2. b) Protocol on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Mines, Booby-Traps and Other Devices as amended on 3 May 1996 (Protocol II, as amended on 3 May 1996) annexed to the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons which may be deemed to be Excessively Injurious or to have Indiscriminate Effects

Geneva, 3 May 1996

ENTRY INTO FORCE:	3 December 1998, in accordance with article 2 of the Protocol.
REGISTRATION:	3 December 1998, No. 22495.
STATUS:	Parties: 107.
TEXT:	United Nations, <i>Treaty Series</i> , vol. 2048, p. 93; Doc. CCW/CONF.I/16 (Part I).

Note: At its 14th plenary meeting on 3 May 1996, the Conference of the States Parties to the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons which may be deemed to be Excessively Injurious or to have Indiscriminate Effects concluded at Geneva on 10 October 1980 adopted, pursuant to article 8 (1) (b) of the Convention, Protocol II, as amended.

Afghanistan 9 Aug 2017 P Dominican Republic 21 Jun 2010 P Albania 28 Aug 2002 P Ecuador 14 Aug 2000 P Argentina 21 Oct 1998 P El Salvador 26 Jan 2000 P Australia 22 Aug 1997 P Estonia 20 Apr 2000 P Australia 27 Jul 1998 P Finland 3 Apr 1998 P Bangladesh 6 Sep 2000 P France 23 Jul 1998 P Belarus 2 Mar 2004 P Gabon 22 Sep 2010 P Belgium 10 Mar 1999 P Georgia 8 Jun 2009 P Benin 27 Sep 2019 P Germany 2 May 1997 P Bolivia (Plurinational State of) 21 Sep 2001 P Greace 20 Jan 1999 P Burkina Faso 26 Nov 2003 P Guinea-Bissau 6 Aug 2008 P Burkina Faso 26 Nov 2003 P Holy See 22 Jul 1997 P Cabo Verde 16 Sep 1997 P Honduras 30 Oct 2003 P	0	Succession(d), Consent to be ound(P)		ssion(d), nt to be (P)
Argentina 21 Oct 1998 P El Salvador 26 Jan 2000 P Australia 22 Aug 1997 P Estonia 20 Apr 2000 P Austria 27 Jul 1998 P Estonia 20 Apr 2000 P Bangladesh 6 Sep 2000 P France 23 Jul 1998 P Belarus 2 Mar 2004 P Gabon 22 Sep 2010 P Belgium 10 Mar 1999 P Georgia 8 Jun 2009 P Benin 27 Sep 2019 P Gerrany 2 May 1997 P Bolivia (Plurinational State of) 21 Sep 2001 P Greece 20 Jan 1999 P Bosnia and Herzegovina 7 Sep 2000 P Grenada 10 Dec 2014 P Brazil 4 Oct 1999 P Guatemala 29 Oct 2011 P Bulgaria 3 Dec 198 P Holy See 22 Jul 1997 P Cabo Verde 16 Sep 1997 P Honduras 30 Oct 2003 P Cameroon 7 Dec 2006 P Iceland 22 Aug 208 P	Afghanistan	9 Aug 2017 P	Dominican Republic21 Jun	2010 P
Australia 22 Aug 1997 P Estonia 20 Apr 2000 P Austria 27 Jul 1998 P Finland 3 Apr 1998 P Bangladesh 6 Sep 2000 P France 23 Jul 1998 P Belarus 2 Mar 2004 P Gabon 22 Sep 2010 P Belgium 10 Mar 1999 P Georgia 8 Jun 2009 P Benin 27 Sep 2019 P Germany 2 May 1997 P Bolivia (Plurinational State of) 21 Sep 2000 P Grecce 20 Jan 1999 P Bosnia and Herzegovina 7 Sep 2000 P Grenada 10 Dec 2014 P Brazil 4 Oct 1999 P Guatemala 29 Oct 2001 P Bugaria 3 Dec 1998 P Guinea-Bissau 6 Aug 2008 P Burkina Faso 26 Nov 2003 P Holy See 22 Jul 1997 P Cambodia 25 Mar 1997 P Honduras 30 Oct 2003 P Cameroon 7 Dec 2006 P Iceland 22 Aug 208 P	Albania2	8 Aug 2002 P	Ecuador14 Au	g 2000 P
Austria 27 Jul 1998 P Finland 3 Apr 1998 P Bangladesh 6 Sep 2000 P France 23 Jul 1998 P Belarus 2 Mar 2004 P Gabon 22 Sep 2010 P Belgium 10 Mar 1999 P Georgia 8 Jun 2009 P Benin 27 Sep 2019 P Germany 2 May 1997 P Bolivia (Plurinational State of) 21 Sep 2001 P Greece 20 Jan 1999 P Bosnia and Herzegovina 7 Sep 2000 P Grenada 10 Dec 2014 P Brazil 4 Oct 1999 P Guatemala 29 Oct 2001 P Bulgaria 3 Dec 1998 P Guinea-Bissau 6 Aug 2008 P Burkina Faso 26 Nov 2003 P Holy See 22 Jul 1997 P Cambodia 25 Mar 1997 P Honduras 30 Oct 2003 P Cameroon 7 Dec 2006 P Iceland 22 Aug 2008 P Canada 5 Jan 1998 P India 2 Sep 1999 P <t< td=""><td>Argentina2</td><td>1 Oct 1998 P</td><td>El Salvador26 Jan</td><td>2000 P</td></t<>	Argentina2	1 Oct 1998 P	El Salvador26 Jan	2000 P
Bangladesh. 6 Sep 2000 P France 23 Jul 1998 P Belarus 2 Mar 2004 P Gabon 22 Sep 2010 P Belgium 10 Mar 1999 P Georgia 8 Jun 2009 P Benin 27 Sep 2019 P Germany 2 May 1997 P Bolivia (Plurinational State of) 21 Sep 2001 P Greece 20 Jan 1999 P Bosnia and Herzegovina 7 Sep 2000 P Grenada 10 Dec 2014 P Brazil 4 Oct 1999 P Guatemala 29 Oct 2001 P Bulgaria 3 Dec 1998 P Guinea-Bissau 6 Aug 2008 P Burkina Faso 26 Nov 2003 P Holy See 22 Jul 1997 P Cabo Verde 16 Sep 1997 P Honduras 30 Oct 2003 P Cameroon 7 Dec 206 P Iceland 22 Aug 208 P Canada 5 Jan 1998 P India 2 Sep 1999 P Chile 15 Oct 2003 P Iraq 24 Sep 2014 P	Australia2	2 Aug 1997 P	Estonia20 Ap	r 2000 P
Belarus 2 Mar 2004 P Gabon 22 Sep 2010 P Belgium 10 Mar 1999 P Georgia 8 Jun 2009 P Benin 27 Sep 2019 P Germany 2 May 1997 P Bolivia (Plurinational State of) 21 Sep 2001 P Greece 20 Jan 1999 P Bosnia and Herzegovina 7 Sep 2000 P Grenada 10 Dec 2014 P Brazil 4 Oct 1999 P Guatemala 29 Oct 2001 P Bulgaria 3 Dec 1998 P Guinea-Bissau 6 Aug 2008 P Burkina Faso 26 Nov 2003 P Holy See 22 Jul 1997 P Cabo Verde 16 Sep 1997 P Honduras 30 Oct 2003 P Cameroon 7 Dec 2006 P Iceland 22 Aug 2008 P Canada 5 Jan 1998 P India 2 Sep 1997 P Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Ireland 22 Aug 2008 P Canada 5 Jan 1998 P Ireland 27 Mar 1997 P <t< td=""><td>Austria2</td><td>7 Jul 1998 P</td><td>Finland 3 Ap</td><td>r 1998 P</td></t<>	Austria2	7 Jul 1998 P	Finland 3 Ap	r 1998 P
Belgium 10 Mar 1999 P Georgia 8 Jun 2009 P Benin 27 Sep 2019 P Germany 2 May 1997 P Bolivia (Plurinational State of) 21 Sep 2001 P Greece 20 Jan 1999 P Bosnia and Herzegovina 7 Sep 2000 P Grenada 10 Dec 2014 P Brazil 4 Oct 1999 P Guatemala 29 Oct 2001 P Bulgaria 3 Dec 1998 P Guinea-Bissau 6 Aug 2008 P Burkina Faso 26 Nov 2003 P Holy See 22 Jul 1997 P Cabo Verde 16 Sep 1997 P Honduras 30 Oct 2003 P Cameroon 7 Dec 2006 P Iceland 22 Aug 2008 P Canada 5 Jan 1998 P India 2 Sep 1999 P Chile 15 Oct 2003 P Iraq 4 Sep 2014 P China 4 Nov 1998 P India 2 Sep 1999 P Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Iraq 24 Sep 2014 P <	Bangladesh	6 Sep 2000 P	France23 Jul	1998 P
Benin. 27 Sep 2019 P Germany 2 May 1997 P Bolivia (Plurinational State of) 21 Sep 2001 P Greece 20 Jan 1999 P Bosnia and Herzegovina 7 Sep 2000 P Grenada 10 Dec 2014 P Brazil 4 Oct 1999 P Guatemala 29 Oct 2001 P Bulgaria 3 Dec 1998 P Guinea-Bissau 6 Aug 2008 P Burkina Faso 26 Nov 203 P Holy See 22 Jul 1997 P Cabo Verde 16 Sep 1997 P Honduras 30 Oct 2003 P Cameroon 7 Dec 2006 P Iceland 22 Aug 2008 P Canada 5 Jan 1998 P India 2 Sep 1999 P China 4 Nov 1998 P Iraq 24 Sep 2014 P China 6 Mar 2000 P Iraq 24 Sep 2014 P China 6 Mar 2000 P Iraq 30 Oct 2008 P Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Iraq 30 Oct 2000 P Co	Belarus	2 Mar 2004 P	Gabon22 Sep	2010 P
Bolivia (Plurinational State of) 21 Sep 2001 P Greece 20 Jan 1999 P Bosnia and Herzegovina 7 Sep 2000 P Grenada 10 Dec 2014 P Brazil 4 Oct 1999 P Guatemala 29 Oct 2001 P Bulgaria 3 Dec 1998 P Guinea-Bissau 6 Aug 2008 P Burkina Faso 26 Nov 203 P Holy See 22 Jul 1997 P Cabo Verde 16 Sep 1997 P Honduras 30 Oct 2003 P Cambodia 25 Mar 1997 P Hungary 30 Jan 1998 P Cameroon 7 Dec 2006 P Iceland 22 Aug 2008 P Chile 15 Oct 2003 P Ireaq 24 Sep 2014 P China 4 Nov 1998 P Ireland 27 Mar 1997 P Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Israel 30 Oct 2008 P Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Israel 30 Oct 2000 P Costa Rica 17 Dec 1998 P Italy 13 Jan 1999 P	Belgium1	0 Mar 1999 P	Georgia 8 Jun	2009 P
Bosnia and Herzegovina 7 Sep 2000 P Grenada 10 Dec 2014 P Brazil 4 Oct 1999 P Guatemala 29 Oct 2001 P Bulgaria 3 Dec 1998 P Guinea-Bissau 6 Aug 2008 P Burkina Faso 26 Nov 2003 P Holy See 22 Jul 1997 P Cabo Verde 16 Sep 1997 P Honduras 30 Oct 2003 P Cambodia 25 Mar 1997 P Honduras 30 Jan 1998 P Cameroon 7 Dec 2006 P Iceland 22 Aug 2008 P Chile 15 Oct 2003 P India 2 Sep 1999 P China 4 Nov 1998 P Ireland 24 Sep 2014 P China 6 Mar 2000 P Israel 30 Oct 2000 P Costa Rica 17 Dec 1998 P Ireland 27 Mar 1997 P Croatia 25 Apr 2002 P Jamaica 25 Sep 2008 P Cyprus 22 Jul 2003 P Japan 10 Jun 1997 P Czech R	Benin2	7 Sep 2019 P	Germany 2 Ma	y 1997 P
Brazil 4 Oct 1999 P Guatemala 29 Oct 2001 P Bulgaria 3 Dec 1998 P Guinea-Bissau 6 Aug 2008 P Burkina Faso 26 Nov 2003 P Holy See 22 Jul 1997 P Cabo Verde 16 Sep 1997 P Honduras 30 Oct 2003 P Cambodia 25 Mar 1997 P Hungary 30 Jan 1998 P Cameroon 7 Dec 2006 P Iceland 22 Aug 2008 P Canada 5 Jan 1998 P India 2 Sep 1999 P Chile 15 Oct 2003 P Ireland 27 Mar 1997 P Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Israel 30 Oct 2008 P Cotanada 5 Jan 1998 P Ireland 27 Mar 1997 P Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Israel 30 Oct 2000 P Costa Rica 17 Dec 1998 P Itelay 13 Jan 1999 P Croatia 25 Apr 2002 P Jamaica 25 Sep 2008 P Cyprus	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)2	1 Sep 2001 P	Greece	1999 P
Bulgaria 3 Dec 1998 P Guinea-Bissau 6 Aug 2008 P Burkina Faso 26 Nov 2003 P Holy See 22 Jul 1997 P Cabo Verde 16 Sep 1997 P Honduras 30 Oct 2003 P Cambodia 25 Mar 1997 P Honduras 30 Jan 1998 P Cameroon 7 Dec 2006 P Iceland 22 Aug 2008 P Canada 5 Jan 1998 P India 2 Sep 1999 P Chile 15 Oct 2003 P Ireq 24 Sep 2014 P China 4 Nov 1998 P Ireland 27 Mar 1997 P Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Israel 30 Oct 2000 P Costa Rica 17 Dec 1998 P Italy 13 Jan 1999 P Croatia 25 Apr 2002 P Jamaica 25 Sep 2008 P Cyprus 22 Jul 2003 P Japan 10 Jun 1997 P Czech Republic 10 Aug 1998 P Jordan 6 Sep 2000 P	Bosnia and Herzegovina	7 Sep 2000 P	Grenada10 Dec	2014 P
Burkina Faso 26 Nov 2003 P Holy See 22 Jul 1997 P Cabo Verde 16 Sep 1997 P Honduras 30 Oct 2003 P Cambodia 25 Mar 1997 P Honduras 30 Oct 2003 P Cameroon 7 Dec 2006 P Iceland 22 Aug 2008 P Canada 5 Jan 1998 P India 2 Sep 1997 P Chile 15 Oct 2003 P Iraq 24 Sep 2014 P China 4 Nov 1998 P Ireland 27 Mar 1997 P Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Israel 30 Oct 2000 P Costa Rica 17 Dec 1998 P Italy 13 Jan 1999 P Croatia 25 Apr 2002 P Jamaica 25 Sep 2008 P Cyprus 22 Jul 2003 P Japan 10 Jun 1997 P Czech Republic 10 Aug 1998 P Jordan 6 Sep 2000 P	Brazil	4 Oct 1999 P	Guatemala29 Oct	2001 P
Cabo Verde 16 Sep 1997 P Cambodia 25 Mar 1997 P Cameroon 7 Dec 2006 P Canada 5 Jan 1998 P Chile 15 Oct 2003 P Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Costa Rica 17 Dec 1998 P Croatia 25 Apr 2000 P Cyprus 25 Apr 2002 P Jamaica 25 Sep 2000 P Colombia 25 Apr 2002 P Jamaica 25 Sep 2008 P Cyprus 22 Jul 2008 P Cyprus 22 Jul 2003 P Japan 10 Jun 1997 P Czech Republic 10 Aug 1998 P	Bulgaria	3 Dec 1998 P	Guinea-Bissau 6 Au	g 2008 P
Cambodia 25 Mar 1997 P Hungary 30 Jan 1998 P Cameroon 7 Dec 2006 P Iceland 22 Aug 2008 P Canada 5 Jan 1998 P India 2 Sep 1999 P Chile 15 Oct 2003 P Iraq 24 Sep 2014 P China 4 Nov 1998 P Ireland 27 Mar 1997 P Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Israel 30 Oct 2000 P Costa Rica 17 Dec 1998 P Italy 13 Jan 1999 P Croatia 25 Apr 2002 P Jamaica 25 Sep 2008 P Cyprus 22 Jul 2003 P Japan 10 Jun 1997 P Czech Republic 10 Aug 1998 P Jordan 6 Sep 2000 P	Burkina Faso2	6 Nov 2003 P	Holy See	1997 P
Cameroon. 7 Dec 2006 P Iceland 22 Aug 2008 P Canada 5 Jan 1998 P India 2 Sep 1999 P Chile. 15 Oct 2003 P Iraq. 24 Sep 2014 P China. 4 Nov 1998 P Ireland 27 Mar 1997 P Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Israel 30 Oct 2000 P Costa Rica 17 Dec 1998 P Italy 13 Jan 1999 P Croatia 25 Apr 2002 P Jamaica 25 Sep 2008 P Cyprus 22 Jul 2003 P Japan 10 Jun 1997 P Czech Republic 10 Aug 1998 P Jordan 6 Sep 2000 P	Cabo Verde1	6 Sep 1997 P	Honduras	2003 P
Canada 5 Jan 1998 P India 2 Sep 1999 P Chile 15 Oct 2003 P Iraq 24 Sep 2014 P China 4 Nov 1998 P Ireland 27 Mar 1997 P Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Israel 30 Oct 2000 P Costa Rica 17 Dec 1998 P Italy 13 Jan 1999 P Croatia 25 Apr 2002 P Jamaica 25 Sep 2008 P Cyprus 22 Jul 2003 P Japan 10 Jun 1997 P Czech Republic 10 Aug 1998 P Jordan 6 Sep 2000 P	Cambodia2	5 Mar 1997 P	Hungary	1998 P
Chile	Cameroon	7 Dec 2006 P	Iceland22 Au	g 2008 P
China	Canada	5 Jan 1998 P	India 2 Ser	1999 P
Colombia 6 Mar 2000 P Israel 30 Oct 2000 P Costa Rica 17 Dec 1998 P Italy 13 Jan 1999 P Croatia 25 Apr 2002 P Jamaica 25 Sep 2008 P Cyprus 22 Jul 2003 P Japan 10 Jun 1997 P Czech Republic 10 Aug 1998 P Jordan 6 Sep 2000 P	Chile1	5 Oct 2003 P	Iraq24 Sep	2014 P
Costa Rica. 17 Dec 1998 P Italy. 13 Jan 1999 P Croatia 25 Apr 2002 P Jamaica 25 Sep 2008 P Cyprus. 22 Jul 2003 P Japan 10 Jun 1997 P Czech Republic. 10 Aug 1998 P Jordan. 6 Sep 2000 P	China	4 Nov 1998 P	Ireland27 Ma	r 1997 P
Croatia 25 Apr 2002 P Jamaica 25 Sep 2008 P Cyprus 22 Jul 2003 P Japan 10 Jun 1997 P Czech Republic 10 Aug 1998 P Jordan 6 Sep 2000 P	Colombia	6 Mar 2000 P	Israel	2000 P
Cyprus	Costa Rica1	7 Dec 1998 P	Italy13 Jan	1999 P
Czech Republic	Croatia2	5 Apr 2002 P	Jamaica25 Sep	2008 P
	Cyprus2	2 Jul 2003 P	Japan10 Jun	1997 P
Denmark	Czech Republic1	0 Aug 1998 P	Jordan 6 Ser	2000 P
	Denmark	0 Apr 1997 P	Kuwait24 Ma	y 2013 P

	ession(d), ent to be d(P)	Successi Consent Participant bound(F	to be
Latvia22 Au	ug 2002 P	Republic of Korea 9 May	2001 P
Lebanon 5 Ap	pr 2017 P	Republic of Moldova16 Jul	2001 P
Liberia16 Se	p 2005 P	Romania25 Aug	2003 P
Liechtenstein19 No	ov 1997 P	Russian Federation 2 Mar	2005 P
Lithuania 3 Ju	n 1998 P	Senegal	1999 P
Luxembourg 5 Au	ıg 1999 P	Serbia14 Feb	2011 P
Madagascar14 M	ar 2008 P	Seychelles 8 Jun	2000 P
Maldives 7 Se	p 2000 P	Sierra Leone	2004 P
Mali	et 2001 P	Slovakia	1999 P
Malta24 Se	p 2004 P	Slovenia 3 Dec	2002 P
Mauritius 2 No	ov 2018 P	South Africa26 Jun	1998 P
Monaco 12 Au	ug 1997 P	Spain27 Jan	1998 P
Montenegro	ec 2011 P	Sri Lanka24 Sep	2004 P
Могоссо19 М	ar 2002 P	St. Vincent and the Grenadines 6 Dec	2010 P
Nauru 12 No	ov 2001 P	Sweden16 Jul	1997 P
Netherlands (Kingdom of the) ¹ 25 M	ar 1999 P	Switzerland	1998 P
New Zealand 8 Ja	n 1998 P	Tajikistan12 Oct	1999 P
Nicaragua 5 De	ec 2000 P	Trinidad and Tobago29 Jul	2024 P
Niger	р 2007 Р	Tunisia23 Mar	2006 P
North Macedonia31 M	ay 2005 P	Türkiye 2 Mar	2005 P
Norway20 Ap	or 1998 P	Turkmenistan19 Mar	2004 P
Pakistan 9 M	ar 1999 P	Ukraine15 Dec	1999 P
Panama 3 No	ov 1999 P	United Kingdom of Great Britain and	
Paraguay22 Se	p 2004 P	Northern Ireland11 Feb	1999 P
Peru 3 Ju	l 1997 P	United States of America24 May	1999 P
Philippines12 Ju	n 1997 P	Uruguay18 Aug	1998 P
Poland14 Oc	et 2003 P	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) 19 Apr	2005 P
Portugal31 M	ar 1999 P	Zambia25 Sep	2013 P

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon consent to be bound or succession.)

AUSTRIA

BELARUS

"declared that according to paragraph 3 c) of the Technical annex of the Amended Protocol II the Republic of Belarus defers the implementation of paragraph 3 b) of the Amended Protocol II for a period of 9 years from the date on which the Amended Protocol II enters into force."

BELGIUM

It is the understanding of the Government of the Kingdom of Belgium that the provisions of Protocol II as amended which by their contents or nature may be applied also in peacetime, shall be observed at all times.

It is the understanding of the Government of the Kingdom of Belgium that the word 'primarily' is included

in article 2, paragraph 3 of amended Protocol II to clarify that mines designed to be detonated by the presence, proximity or contact of a vehicle as opposed to a person, that are equipped with anti-handling devices, are not considered anti-personnel mines as a result of being so equipped.

CANADA²

"Canada reserves the right to transfer and use a small number of mines prohibited under this Protocol to be used exclusively for training and testing purposes. Canada will ensure that the number of such mines shall not exceed that absolutely necessary for such purposes."

"1. It is understood that the provisions of Amended Protocol II shall, as the context requires, be observed at all times.

2. It is understood that the word "primarily" is included in Article 2, paragraph 3 of Amended Protocol II to clarify that mines designed to be detonated by the presence, proximity or contact of a vehicle as opposed to a person, that are equipped with anti-handling devices, are not considered anti-personnel mines as a result of being so equipped. 3. It is understood that the maintenance of

3. It is understood that the maintenance of a minefield referred to in Article 10, in accordance with the standards on marking, monitoring and protection by fencing or other means set out in Amended Protocol II, would not be considered as a use of the mines contained therein."

CHINA

I. According to the provisions contained in Technical Annex 2 (c) and 3 (c) of the Amended Protocol II, China will defer compliance with 2 (b), 3 (a) and 3 (b);

DENMARK

FINLAND

FRANCE

France takes it that article 4 and Technical Annex to amended Protocol II do not require the removal or replacement of mines that have already been laid.

The provisions of amended Protocol II such as those concerning the marking, monitoring and protection of zones which contain anti-personnel mines and are under the control of a party, are applicable to all zones containing mines, irrespective of the date on which those mines were laid.

GERMANY

[Same declarations, *mutatis mutandis*, as those made by Ireland.]

It is understood that article 5, paragraph 2 (b) does not preclude agreement among the states concerned, in connection with peace treaties or similar arrangements, to allocate responsibilities under paragraph 2 (b) in another manner which nevertheless respects the essential spirit and purpose of the article.

GREECE

"It is understood that the provisions of the protocol shall, as the context requires, be observed at all times."

HUNGARY

The Republic of Hungary

1) declines to observe the 9 year period of deferral on compliance as allowed for in Paragraphs 2 (c) and 3 (c) of the Technical Annex to Amended Protocol II, and even prior to the entry into force of Amended Protocol II intends to be bound by its implementation measures as stipulated therein, as well as the rules of procedure regarding record keeping, detectability, selfdestruction and self-deactivation and perimeter marking as stipulated in the Technical Annex; 2) intends to eliminate and eventually

2) intends to eliminate and eventually destroy its entire stockpile of anti-personnel landmines by December 31, 2000 the latest, in addition to the already undertaken destruction of stockpiled landmines, as initiated in August of 1996 and completed in 40%;

3) refrains from the emplacement of antipersonnel landmines and, for the duration of their complete destruction, intends to designate a central storage facility to pool the remainder stock of antipersonnel landmines as a way to facilitate inspection by international monitors; 4) announces a total ban on the development, production, acquisition, export and transfer of all types of anti-personnel landmines;

5) refrains from the operational use of anti-personnel landmines, unless a policy-revision becomes necessitated by a significant deterioration in the national security environment of the country, in which case due attention shall be paid to compliance with laws governing international warfare;

6) stands ready to engage in implementing appropriate confidence building measures, as a way to be enabled to present the implementation of the measures announced unilaterally by the Republic of Hungary in the course of joint military, educational, and training and other cooperational activities conducted with other armed forces;

7) offers appropriate technical and training assistance to international organizations engaged in demining activities;

8) urges her neighbours and other countries in the region to seek unilateral or coordinated measures designed to achieve the total elimination of all types of anti-personnel landmines from the weapons arsenal of the countries in the region, and expresses her readiness to engage in further negotiations to advance this cause;

9) reiterates her commitment to promote the early conclusion of and wide adherence to an international convention stipulating a total and comprehensive ban on anti-personnel landmines, by reaffirming her determination to contribute actively to the success of international efforts furthering this goal.

IRELAND

"It is the understanding of Ireland that the provisions of the amended Protocol which by their contents or nature may be applied also in peacetime, shall be observed at all times."

"It is the understanding of Ireland that the word 'primarily' is included in article 2, paragraph 3 of the amended Protocol to clarify that mines designed to be detonated by the presence, proximity or contact of a vehicle as opposed to a person, that are equipped with anti-handling devices, are not considered anti-personnel mines as a result of being so equipped."

ISRAEL

"Article 1:

The declaration made by Israel upon accession to the [Convention], shall be equally applicable regarding the Amended Protocol II.

Article 2 (3):

Israel understands that the word 'primarily' is included in article 2, paragraph 3 of the Amended Protocol II, to clarify that mines designed to be detonated by the presence, proximity or contact of vehicles as opposed to persons, that are equipped with anti-handling devices are not considered Anti-personnel mines as a result of being so equipped.

Article 3 (9):

Israel understands, regarding article 3, paragraph 9, that an area of land can itself be a legitimate military objective for the purpose of the use of landmines, if its neutralization or denial of its use, in the circumstances ruling at the time, offers a definite military advantage.

Article 4:

It is the understanding of the State of Israel, regarding article 4 of the Amended Protocol II and the Technical Annex, that article 4 of the Amended Protocol II shall not apply to mines already emplaced. However, provisions of the Amended Protocol II, such as those regarding marking, monitoring and protection of areas containing mines under the control of a high contracting party, shall apply to all areas containing mines, regardless of when the mines were emplaced.

Article 5 (2) (b)

Israel understands that article 5 paragraph 2 (b) does not apply to the transfer of areas pursuant to peace treaties, agreements on the cessation of hostilities, or as part of a peace process or steps leading thereto.

Article 7 (f) (1):

Israel reserves the right to use other devices (as defined in Article 2 (5) of the Amended Protocol II) to destroy any stock of food or drink that is judged likely to be used by an enemy military force, if due precautions are taken for the safety of the civilian population.

Article II (7

(a) Israel understands that the provision on technical assitance marticle 11 paragraph 7, will be without prejudice to a High contracting Party's constitutional and

other legal provisions. (b) No provision of the Amended Protocol II may be construed as affecting the discretion of the State of Israel to refuse assisstance or to restrict or deny permission for the export equipment, material or scientific or technological information for any reason.

Article *[4*:

a) It is the understanding of the Government of the State of Israel that the compliance of commanders and others responsible for planning, deciding upon, or executing military actions to which the Convention on Conventional Weapons and its Protocols apply, cannot be judged on the basis of information which subsequently but comes to light, but must be assessed on the basis of the information available to them at the time that such actions were taken.

b) Article 14 of the Amended Protocol II (insofar as it relates to penal sanctions) shall apply only in a situation in which an individual-

) Knew, or should have known, that his action was prohibited under the Amended Protocol II, 2) intended to kill or cause serious injury to a civilian;

and

3) knew or should have known, that the person he intended to kill or cause serious injury to was a civilian.

c) Israel understands that the provisions of article 14 of the amended Protocol II relating to penal sanctions refer to measures by authorities of States Parties to the Protocol and do not authorize the trial of any person before an international criminal tribunal. Israel shall not recognize the jurisdiction of any international tribunal to prosecute an Israel citizen for violation of the Protocol or the Convention on Covnentional Weapons.

General:

Israel understands that nothing in the Amended Protocol II may be construed as restriction or affecting in any way non-lethal weapon technology that is designed to temporarily disable, stun, signal the presence of a person, or operate in any other fashion, but not to causpermanent incapacity.'

ITALY

"Under article 2 of the amended Protocol II, in order to fully address the humanitarian concerns raised by antipersonnel land-mines, the Italian Parliament has enacted and brought into force a legislation containing a far more stringent definition of those devices. In this regard, while reaffirming its commitment to promote the further development of international humanitarian law, the Italian Government confirms its understanding that the word 'primarily' is included in article 2, paragraph 3 of the amended Protocol II to clarify that mines designed to be detonated by the presence, proximity or contact of a vehicle as opposed to a person, that are equipped with anti-handling devices, are not considered anti-personnel mines as a result of being so equipped."

"Under article 5 of the amended Protocol II, it is the understanding of the Italian Government that article 5

(paragraph 2) does not preclude agreement in connection with peace treaties and related agreements among concerned states to allocate responsibilites under this paragraph in another manner which reflects the spirit and purpose of the article."

LATVIA³

LIECHTENSTEIN

[Same declaration, mutatis mutandis, as the one made by Ireland.]

NETHERLANDS (KINGDOM OF THE)

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands takes the view that the provisions of the Protocol which, given their content or nature, can also be applied in peacetime, must be observed in all circumstances

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands takes the view that the word 'primarily' means only that mines that are designed to be exploded by the presence, proximity or contact of a vehicle and that are equipped with an anti-handling device are not regarded as antipersonnel mines because of that device.'

'The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands takes the view that a specific area of land may also be a military objective if, because of its location or other reasons specified in paragraph six, its total or partial destruction, capture, or neutralization in the circumstances ruling at the time, offers a definitive military advantage."

The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands takes the view that military advantage refers to the advantage anticipated from the attack considered as a whole and not only from isolated or particular parts of the attack.

The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands takes the view that the words 'as far as it is able' mean 'as far as it is technically able'.

PAKISTAN

It is understood that for the purposes of interpretation the provisions of article 1 take precedence over provisions or undertakings in any other article.

The rights and obligations arising from situations described in article 1 are absolute and immutable and the observance of any other provision of the Protocol cannot be construed, either directly or indirectly, as affecting the right of peoples struggling against colonial or other forms of alien domination and foreign occupation in the exercise of their inalienable right of self-determination, as enshrined in the Charter of the United Nations and the Declaration on Principles of International Law Concerning Friendly Relations and Cooperation among states in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations

The provisions of the Protocol must be observed at all times, depending on the circumstances.

In the context of the word "primarily" it is understood that such anti-tank mines which use antipersonnel mines as a fuse but do not explode on contact with a person are not anti-personnel mines.

It is understood that an area of land can itself be a legitimate military objective for the purposes of the use of landmines, if its neutralisation or denial, in the circumstances ruling at the time, offers a definite military advantage.

It is declared that compliance with subparas 2(b) and 3(a) and (b) is deferred as provided for in sub-paras 2(c) and 3(c), respectively.

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Reservation and declarations :

"I. Reservation

With respect to the application of Protocol II to the 1980 Convention, as amended on 3 May 1996 ("Amended Mines Protocol"), the Republic of Korea reserves the right to use a small number of mines prohibited under this Protocol exclusively for training and testing purposes.

II. Declarations

It is the understanding of the Republic of Korea that: 1. With respect to Article 3(8)(a) of the Amended Mines Protocol, in case there is an evident indication that an object which is normally dedicated to civilian purposes, such as a place of worship, a house or other dwelling or a school, is being used to make an effective contribution to military action, it shall be considered as a military object.

2. Article 4 and the Technical Annex of the Amended Mines Protocol do not require the removal or replacement of mines that have already been laid.

3. "Cessation of active hostilities" provided for in Articles 9(2) and 10(1) of the Amended Mines Protocol is interpreted as meaning the time when the present Armistice regime on the Korean peninsula has been transformed into a peace regime, establishing a stable peace on the Korean peninsula.

peace on the Korean peninsula. 4. Any decision by any military commander, military personnel, or any other person responsible for planning, authorizing, or executing military action shall only be judged on the basis of that person's assessment of the information reasonably available to the person at the time the person planned, authorized, or executed that action under review, and shall not be judged on the basis of information that comes to light after the action under review was taken."

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

1. For the purposes of interpreting subparagraph 10 (c) of article 3, of Protocol II, the Russian Federation understands alternatives as non-flying devices and technologies which are not anti-personnel mines and may temporarily disable, paralyse or indicate the presence of one or several persons without causing irreversible harm to them;

2. In implementing subparagraph 2 (a) of article 5, of Protocol II, the Russian Federation holds the position that anti-personnel mines which are not remotely-delivered will be placed within perimetermarked areas which are monitored by military personnel and protected by fencing or other means, to ensure the effective exclusion of civilians from such areas. Such marking must be of a distinct and durable character and must at least be visible to a person who is about to enter the perimeter-marked area. The line of the State border designated in the locality may be considered as the marking (designation) of part of the perimeter of a mined area within the border zone when there are active and repeated attempts to traverse it by armed intruders or when military, economic, physical and geographic, or other conditions make it impossible to use armed forces. The civilian population will be informed in good time about the danger of the mines and will not be allowed into the mined area;

3. For the purposes of interpreting subparagraph 1 (i) of article 7, of Protocol II, the Russian Federation understands the cultural or spiritual heritage of peoples as cultural property in the terms of article 1 of the Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict of 1954;

4. The Russian Federation understands the commonly available technical mine detection equipment referred to in paragraph 2 (a) of the Technical Annex to Protocol II as the mine-searching equipment which is available in the Russian Federation and meets the requirements of the aforementioned paragraph;

5. In accordance with paragraph 2 (c) and paragraph 3 (c) of the Technical Annex to Protocol II, the Russian Federation will ensure the observance of paragraph 2 (b) and paragraphs 3 (a) and 3 (b) of the Technical Annex to Protocol II not later than nine years from the date of the entry into force of the said Protocol.

SOUTH AFRICA

"It is understood that Article 5 (2) (b) does not preclude agreement among the States concerned, in connection with peace treaties or similar arrangements, to alloctate responsibilities under this paragraph in another manner which nevertheless respects the essential spirit and purpose of the Article."

SWEDEN

"Sweden intends to apply the Protocol also in time of peace."

[Same declaration, mutatis mutandis, as the one made by Ireland.]

"Sweden is of the opinion that the obligations ensuing from article 5, paragraph 2 shall not be interpreted to the effect that the High Contracting Parties or parties in a conflict are prevented from entering into an agreement allowing another party to conduct mine clearance."

SWITZERLAND

Switzerland interprets the definition of "anti-personnel mine" as excluding any mine designed to explode in the presence or proximity of, or upon contact with, a vehicle, when such mine is equipped with an anti-handling device.

UKRAINE

Ukraine declares that it shall defer implementation of the provisions of subparagraphs 3 (a) and (b) of the technical annex for a period of nine years from the date on which this Protocol enters into force.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"(a) the [declaration conveying consent to be bound by Protocols I, II and III to the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Conventional Weapons which may be Deemed to be Excessively Injurious or to have Indiscriminate Effects, concluded at Geneva on 10 October 1980], in so far as it applies to Protocol II to the [1980] Convention, continues to apply to Protocol II as amended;

(b) the [declaration dated 28 January 1998 accompanying the United Kingdom's ratification of Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949 relating to the Protection of Victims of Armed Conflicts, opened for signature at Geneva on 12 December 1977], in so far as it is relevant, also applies to the provisions of Protocol II as amended;

the provisions of Protocol II as amended; (c) nothing in the present declaration or in Protocol II as amended shall be taken as limiting the obligations of the United Kingdom under the [Convention on the Prohibition and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on their Destruction concluded at Oslo on 18 September 1997 (the "Ottawa Convention")] nor its rights in relation to other Parties to that Convention;

in relation to other Parties to that Convention; (d) Article 2 (14) is interpreted to have the same meaning as Article 2 (3) of the Ottawa Convention;

(c) the references in Article 12 (2) to "force" and "mission" are interpreted as including forces and missions authorised by the United Nations Security Council under Chapter VII or Chapter VIII of the Charter of the United Nations which are deployed by a regional arrangement or agency. This applies to all such forces or missions, whether or not they include contingents contributed by non-member States of the regional arrangement or agency."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"The United States reserves the right to use other devices (as defined in Article 2(5) of the Amended Mines Protocol) to destroy any stock of food or drink that is judged likely to be used by an enemy military force, if due precautions are taken for the safety of the civilian population."

(1) UNITED STATES COMPLIANCE, - The United States understands that -

(A) any decision by any military commander, military personnel, or any other person responsible for planning, authorizing, or executing military action shall only be judged on the basis of that person's assessment of the information reasonably available to the person at the time the person planned, authorized, or executed the action under review, and shall not be judged on the basis of information that comes to light after the action under review was taken; and

(B) Article 14 of the Amended Mines Protocol (insofar as it relates to penal sanctions) shall apply only in a situation in which an individual -

(i) knew, or should have known, that his action was prohibited under the Amended Mines Protocol;

(ii) intended to kill or cause serious injury to a civilian; and

(iii) knew or should have known, that the person he intended to kill or cause serious injury was a civilian.

(2) EFFECTIVE EXCLUSION. - The United States understands that, for the purposes of Article 5(6)(b) of the Amended Mines Protocol, the maintenance of observation over avenues of approach where mines subject to that Article are deployed constitutes one acceptable form of monitoring to ensure the effective exclusion of civilians.

and the approximate of the approximate of the part of the information of the approximate of the app

(4) LEGITIMATE MILITARY OBJECTIVES. - The United States understands that an area of land itself can be a legitimate military objective for the purpose of the use of landmines, if its neutralization or denial, in the circumstances applicable at the time, offers a military advantage.

(5) PEACE TREATIES. - The United States understands that the allocation of responsibilities for

landmines in Article 5(2)(b) of the Amended Mines Protocol does not preclude agreement, in connection with peace treaties or similar arrangements, to allocate responsibilities under that Article in a manner that respects the essential spirit and purpose of the Article. (6) BOOBY-TRAPS AND OTHER DEVICES. - For

(6) BOOBY-TRAPS AND OTHER DEVICES. - For the purposes of the Amended Mines Protocol, the United States understands that -

(A) the prohibition contained in Article 7(2) of the Amended Mines Protocol does not preclude the expedient adaptation or adaptation in advance of other objects for use as booby-traps or other devices;

(B) a trip-wired hand grenade shall be considered a "booby-trap" under Article 2(4) of the Amended Mines Protocol and shall not be considered a "mine" or an "antipersonnel mine" under Article 2(1) or Article 2(3), respectively; and

(C) none of the provisions of the Amended Mines Protocol, including Article 2(5), applies to hand grenades other than trip-wired hand grenades.

(7) NON-LETHAL CAPABILITIES. - The United States understands that nothing in the Amended Mines Protocol may be construed as restricting or affecting in any way non-lethal weapon technology that is designed to temporarily disable, stun, signal the presence of a person, or operate in any other fashion, but not to cause permanent incapacity. (8) INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL

(8) INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL JURISDICTION. - The United States understands that the provisions of Article 14 of the Amended Mines Protocol relating to penal sanctions refer to measures by the authorities of States Parties to the Protocol and do not authorize the trial of any person before an international criminal tribunal. The United States shall not recognize the jurisdiction of any international tribunal to prosecute a United States citizen for a violation of the Protocol or the Convention on Conventional Weapons.

(9) TECHNICAL COOPERATION AND ASSISTANCE. - The United States understands that -

(A) no provision of the Protocol may be construed as affecting the discretion of the United States to refuse assistance or to restrict or deny permission for the export of equipment, material, or scientific or technological information for any reason; and

(B) the Amended Mines Protocol may not be used as a pretext for the transfer of weapons technology or the provision of assistance to the military mining or military counter-mining capabilities of a State Party to the Protocol."

Notes:

¹ On 28 April 2014, the Government of The Netherlands informed the Secretary-General of the Territorial Application in respect of the Caribbean part of The Netherlands (Islands of Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba).

² In keeping with the depositary practice followed in similar cases, the Secretary-General proposed to receive the declaration for deposit in the absence of any objection on the part of the Contracting States, either to the deposit itself or to the procedure envisaged, within a period of 90 days from the date of its circulation (i.e. 21 July 1998). None of the Contracting Parties to the Protocol having notified the Secretary-General of an objection within the 90 days period, the declaration was deemed

to have been accepted for deposit upon the expiration of the 90 day period in question, i.e., on 19 October 1998.

³ "In its Declaration of 9 July 2002, the Republic of Latvia declared that according to the sub-paragraph (c) of paragraph 2 of the Technical Annex of the Protocol on the Use of Mines, Booby-Traps and Other Devices as amended on 3 May 1996 (Protocol II as amended on 3 May 1996) it would defer compliance with sub-paragraph (b) for a period of 9 years from the entry into force of the said Protocol. The Republic of Latvia has the honour to inform that in accordance with respective national legislation the abovementioned Declaration ceased to have effect on 19 July 2007."