6. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION AGAINST THE RECRUITMENT, USE, FINANCING AND TRAINING OF MERCENARIES

New York, 4 December 1989

ENTRY INTO FORCE:	20 October 2001, in accordance with article 19(1).					
REGISTRATION:	20 October 2001, No. 37789.					
STATUS:	Signatories: 17. Parties: 38.					
TEXT:	United Nations, <i>Treaty Series</i> , vol. 2163, p. 75; depositary notification C.N.888.2004.TREATIES-1 of 3 September 2004 [Proposal of corrections to the original text of the Convention (authentic Russian text)] and C.N.1070.2004.TREATIES-4 of 4 October 2004 [Rectification of the original of the Convention (Russian authentic text)].					

Note: The Convention was adopted by Resolution $\frac{44/34^{1}}{1000}$ on 4 December 1989. It is open for signature by all States until 31 December 1990 at United Nations Headquarters in New York.

Participant	Signature, Succession to signature(d)		Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)		Participant	Signature, Succession to signature(d)		Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)	
Angola	.28 Dec	1990			Mali	•••		12 Apr	2002 a
Armenia			23 Nov	2020 a	Mauritania	•••		9 Feb	1998 a
Azerbaijan			4 Dec	1997 a	Montenegro ³	23 Oct	2006 d		
Barbados			10 Jul	1992 a	Morocco	5 Oct	1990		
Belarus	.13 Dec	1990	28 May	1997	New Zealand ⁴	•••		22 Sep	2004 a
Belgium			31 May	2002 a	Nigeria	4 Apr	1990		
Cameroon	.21 Dec	1990	26 Jan	1996	Peru	•••		23 Mar	2007 a
Congo	.20 Jun	1990			Poland	28 Dec	1990		
Costa Rica			20 Sep	2001 a	Qatar	•••		26 Mar	1999 a
Côte d'Ivoire			2 Jan	2025 a	Republic of Moldova	•••		28 Feb	2006 a
Croatia ²			27 Mar	2000 a	Romania	17 Dec	1990		
Cuba			9 Feb	2007 a	Saudi Arabia	•••		14 Apr	1997 a
Cyprus			8 Jul	1993 a	Senegal	•••		9 Jun	1999 a
Democratic Republic of					Serbia ²	12 Mar	2001 d	14 Jan	2016
the Congo	.20 Mar	1990			Seychelles	•••		12 Mar	1990 a
Ecuador			7 Dec	2016 a	Suriname	27 Feb	1990	10 Aug	1990
Equatorial Guinea			21 Jan	2019 a	Syrian Arab Republic .	•••		23 Oct	2008 a
Georgia			8 Jun	1995 a	Тодо	•••		25 Feb	1991 a
Germany	.20 Dec	1990			Turkmenistan	•••		18 Sep	1996 a
Guinea			18 Jul	2003 a	Ukraine ⁵	21 Sep	1990	13 Sep	1993
Honduras			1 Apr	2008 a	Uruguay	20 Nov	1990	14 Jul	1999
Italy	5 Feb	1990	21 Aug	1995	Uzbekistan			19 Jan	1998 a
Liberia			16 Sep	2005 a	Venezuela (Bolivarian				
Libya	•		22 Sep	2000 a	Republic of)	•••		12 Nov	2013 a
Maldives	.17 Jul	1990	11 Sep	1991					

Declarations and Reservations (Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or acession.)

ARMENIA

"No provision of the present Convention should be interpreted as implying an obligation of mutual legal assistance if the requested State party has reason to believe that the request for legal assistance concerning certain offences has been submitted for the purposes of prosecuting or punishing a certain person on the grounds of race, religion, nationality or political views, or if acceding to the request would otherwise prejudice the implementation of rights of that person on any of those grounds.

No provision of the present Convention should be interpreted as implying an obligation of extradition if the requested State party has reason to believe that the request for extradition based on the offences set forth in the Convention has been submitted for the purposes of prosecuting or punishing a certain person on the grounds of race, religion, nationality or political views, or if acceding to the request would prejudice the implementation of rights of that person on any of those grounds.

No provision of the present Convention should be interpreted as implying, for the Republic of Armenia, an obligation to extradite Armenian nationals."

BELGIUM

No provision of the present Convention should be interpreted as implying an obligation of mutual judicial assistance if the requested State party has reason to believe that the request for judicial assistance concerning certain offences has been submitted for the purposes of prosecuting or punishing a certain person on the grounds of ethnic origin, religion, nationality or political views, or if acceding to the request would prejudice the situation of

that person on any of those grounds. No provision of the present Convention should be interpreted as implying an obligation of extradition if the requested State party has reason to believe that the request for extradition based on the offences set forth in the Convention has been submitted for the purposes of prosecuting or punishing a certain person on the grounds of ethnic origin, religion, nationality or political views, or if acceding to the request would prejudice the situation of that person on any of those grounds.

No provision of the Convention should be interpreted as implying, for Belgium, an obligation to extradite Belgian nationals.

CUBA

With respect to article 1, paragraph 1, Cuba considers it pointless and irrelevant to include in the definition of "mercenary" the criterion established in paragraph 1 (b), which requires that material compensation be "substantially in excess of that promised or paid to combatants of similar rank and functions in the armed forces of that party". Cuba is of the view that material compensation alone, whatever the amount, is sufficient for an activity to be considered mercenary. Cuba considers that in order for a natural or legal

person to be defined as a mercenary under this

Convention, it is not necessary for all the criteria set forth in articles 1 and 2 to be met.

The Republic of Cuba will therefore continue to apply the definition set forth in article 119 of its Penal Code of 1988, which defines a mercenary as "anyone who, in order to receive a wage or other form of material compensation, joins a military formation made up, in whole or in part, of individuals who are not citizens of the State in whose territory they plan to act" and "who collaborates in or carries out any other act for the direct or indirect purpose of achieving the aforementioned objective".

With respect to article 13, paragraph 1, Cuba considers that it should be clarified that the law referred to in this article applies only to judicial cooperation between States parties, not to criminal proceedings brought against persons presumed to have committed the offence of mercenarism.

Pursuant to the provisions of article 17, paragraph 2, Cuba declares that it does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of this article.

REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA

Until the full re-establishment of the territorial integrity of the Republic of Moldova, the provisions of the Convention shall be applied only on the territory controlled effectively by the authorities of the Republic of the Moldova.

No provision of the Convention should be interpreted as implying, for the Republic of Moldova, an obligation to extradite its own citizens or persons granted with political asylum.

According to article 17 paragraph 2 of the Convention, the Republic of Moldova does not consider itself bound by article 17, paragraph 1 of the Convention.

SAUDI ARABIA

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia does not consider itself bound by article 17, paragraph 1, of the Convention.

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC

Reservation:

The Syrian Arab Republic makes a reservation to article 17, paragraph 1 of the Convention.

VENEZUELA (BOLIVARIAN REPUBLIC OF)

With regard to the provisions laid down in article 12, the extradition of Venezuelan nationals is expressly prohibited by the Venezuelan law. In that respect, in the event of a request for extradition of a Venezuelan national, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela will submit the case to the competent Venezuelan authorities for the purpose of prosecution, through proceedings in accordance with the laws of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela.

Pursuant to paragraph 2, article 17, of the International Convention against the Recruitment, Use, Financing and Training of Mercenaries, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela makes an express reservation to paragraph 1 of the same article. Therefore, it does not consider itself bound to resort to arbitration as a means of dispute settlement, nor does it recognize the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice.

Notes:

¹ Official Records of the General Assembly, Fortyfourth Session, Supplement No. 49 (A/44/49), p. 306.

² The former Yugoslavia had signed the Convention on 12 December 1990. See also note 1 under "Bosnia and Herzegovina", "Croatia", "former Yugoslavia", "Slovenia", "The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" and "Yugoslavia" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

³ See note 1 under "Montenegro" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

⁴ With the following territorial exclusion:

"... consistent with the constitutional status of Tokelau and taking into account the commitment of the Government of New Zealand to the development of self-government for Tokelau through an act of self-determination under the Charter of the United Nations, this ratification shall not extend to Tokelau unless and until a Declaration to this effect is lodged by the Government of New Zealand with the Depositary on the basis of appropriate consultation with that territory."

⁵ On 20 October 2015, the Government of Ukraine made a communication. The text can be found here: C.N.614.2015.TREATIES-XVIII.6 of 20 October 2015.