
New York, 15 November 2000

ENTRY INTO FORCE

28 January 2004, in accordance with article 22 which reads as follows: "1. This Protocol will enter into force on the ninetieth day after the date of deposit of the fortieth instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession, except that it shall not enter into force before the entry into force of the Convention. For the purpose of this paragraph, any instrument deposited by a regional economic integration organization shall not be counted as additional to those deposited by member states of such organization. 2. For each State or regional economic integration organization ratifying, accepting, approving or acceding to this Protocol after the deposit of the fortieth instrument of such action, this Protocol shall enter into force on the thirtieth day after the date of deposit by such State or organization of the relevant instrument or on the date this Protocol enters into force pursuant to paragraph 1 of this article, whichever is the later.".

REGISTRATION:

STATUS:

TEXT:


Note: The Protocol was adopted by resolution A/RES/55/25 of 15 November 2000 at the fifty-fifth session of the General Assembly of the United Nations. In accordance with its article 21, the Protocol will be open for signature by all States and by regional economic integration organizations, provided that at least one Member State of such organization has signed the Protocol, from 12 to 15 December 2000 at the Palazzi di Giustizia in Palermo, Italy, and thereafter at United Nations Headquarters in New York until 12 December 2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>2 Feb 2017 a</td>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>13 Dec 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>21 Aug 2002</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>15 Dec 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>9 Mar 2004</td>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>14 Dec 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>19 Sep 2014 a</td>
<td>Cabo Verde</td>
<td>13 Dec 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigua and Barbuda</td>
<td>17 Feb 2010 a</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>11 Nov 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>19 Nov 2002</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>13 Dec 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>1 Jul 2003</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>14 Dec 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>27 May 2004</td>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>6 Oct 2006 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>30 Nov 2007</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>8 Aug 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahamas</td>
<td>26 Sep 2008</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>16 Mar 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>7 Jun 2004 a</td>
<td>Côte d'Ivoire</td>
<td>8 Jun 2017 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>11 Nov 2014</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>12 Dec 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>25 Jun 2003</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>20 Jun 2013 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>11 Aug 2004</td>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>12 Dec 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>14 Sep 2006 a</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>10 Dec 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>30 Aug 2004</td>
<td>Democratic Republic of Congo</td>
<td>28 Oct 2005 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia (Plurinational State of)</td>
<td>12 Dec 2000</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>28 Dec 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina</td>
<td>24 Apr 2002</td>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>20 Apr 2005 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>29 Aug 2002</td>
<td>Dominica</td>
<td>17 May 2013 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>29 Jan 2004</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>10 Dec 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[XVIII 12 b. PENAL MATTERS\]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Ratification, Acceptance(A), Approval(AA), Accession(a), Succession(d)</th>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>12 Dec 2000</td>
<td>5 May 2003</td>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>24 Sep 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>12 Dec 2000</td>
<td>21 Aug 2012 a</td>
<td>Mongolia</td>
<td>27 Jun 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada</td>
<td>12 Dec 2000</td>
<td>1 Apr 2004 a</td>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>30 Mar 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>16 Apr 2008 a</td>
<td>16 Apr 2008 a</td>
<td>New Zealand ²</td>
<td>14 Dec 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>13 Dec 2000</td>
<td>19 Apr 2011</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>15 Feb 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>18 Nov 2008 a</td>
<td>18 Nov 2008 a</td>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>18 Mar 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>12 Dec 2000</td>
<td>28 Sep 2009</td>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>13 May 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>13 Dec 2000</td>
<td>20 Feb 2009 a</td>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>23 Sep 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>31 Jul 2008 a</td>
<td>31 Jul 2008 a</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>12 Dec 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>15 Sep 2005 a</td>
<td>15 Sep 2005 a</td>
<td>Republic of Moldova</td>
<td>14 Dec 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao People's Democratic</td>
<td>26 Sep 2003 a</td>
<td>26 Sep 2003 a</td>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td>14 Dec 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic</td>
<td>10 Dec 2002</td>
<td>23 Apr 2003</td>
<td>Sao Tome and Principe</td>
<td>12 Apr 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Republic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>27 Nov 2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XVIII 2b. PENAL MATTERS 2
**AFGHANISTAN**

"... the Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan registers its reservation in relation to Article 18 of the said Protocol.”

**ALGERIA**

The Government of the Algerian People's Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 20, paragraph 2, of this Protocol, which provides that any dispute between two or more States concerning the interpretation or application of the said Protocol that cannot be settled through negotiation shall, at the request of one of those States, be submitted to arbitration or referred to the International Court of Justice.

The Government of the Algerian People's Democratic Republic believes that any dispute of this kind can only be submitted to arbitration or referred to the International Court of Justice with the consent of all parties to the dispute.

Ratification of this Protocol by the Algerian People's Democratic Republic in no way signifies recognition of Israel.

Such ratification cannot be construed as leading to the establishment of any kind of relations with Israel.

**AZERBAIJAN**

"The Republic of Azerbaijan declares that it is unable to guarantee the application of the provisions of the Protocol in the territories occupied by the Republic of Armenia until these territories are liberated from that occupation."

"In accordance with paragraph 3 of Article 20 of the Protocol, the Republic of Azerbaijan declares that it does not consider itself bound by paragraph 2 of Article 20."

**BAHAMAS**

"In accordance with Article 20 paragraph 3, the Commonwealth of The Bahamas enters a specific reservation to the procedure established under Article 20 paragraph 2 of the Protocol on the basis that referral of a dispute concerning the application or interpretation of the provisions of the Protocol to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice must be by consent of all the parties to the dispute."

**BAHRAIN**

"... the Kingdom of Bahrain does not consider itself bound by paragraph 2 of article 20 of the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air."

**BELGIUM**

*Declaration:*

The French, Flemish and German-speaking Communities and the Regions of Wallonia, Flanders and Brussels-Capital are also bound by this signature.
**CUBA**

The Republic of Cuba declares that, in accordance with the provisions of Article 20, paragraph 3 of the Protocol, it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraph 2 of that Article.

**Ecuador**

With regard to the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, the Government of Ecuador declares that migrants are the victims of illicit trafficking in persons on the part of criminal organizations whose only goal is unjust and undue enrichment at the expense of persons wishing to perform honest work abroad.

The provisions of the Protocol must be understood in conjunction with the International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families, adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in 1990, and with current international instruments on human rights.

Exercising the powers referred to in article 20, paragraph 3, of the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, the Government of Ecuador makes a reservation with regard to article 20, paragraph 2, relating to the settlement of disputes.

**El Salvador**

**Reservation:**

The Government of the Republic of El Salvador does not consider itself bound by paragraph 2 of this article, inasmuch as it does not recognize the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice. With regard to article 9, paragraph 2, it hereby declares that only in the event of the revision of criminal judgements shall the State, in keeping with its domestic legislation, by law compensate the victims of judicial errors that have been duly proved. With regard to article 18, it states that the return of smuggled migrants shall take place to the extent possible and within the means of the State.

**Reservation:**

With regard to article 20, paragraph 3, the Government of the Republic of El Salvador does not consider itself bound by paragraph 2 of this article, inasmuch as it does not recognize the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice.

**Declarations:**

With regard to article 9, paragraph 2, it hereby declares that only in the event of the revision of criminal judgements shall the State, in keeping with its domestic legislation, by law compensate the victims of judicial errors that have been duly proved. With regard to article 18, it states that the return of smuggled migrants shall take place to the extent possible and within the means of the State.

**Ethiopia**

Ethiopia does not accept the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice which is provided under Article 20(2) of the said Protocol.

**European Union**

"Article 21 (3) of the Protocol provides that the instrument of accession of a regional economic integration organisation shall contain a declaration specifying the matters governed by the Protocol in respect of which competence has been transferred to the organisation by its Member States which are Parties to the Protocol. The Protocol against the smuggling of migrants by land, air and sea shall apply, with regard to the competences transferred to the European Community, to the territories in which the Treaty establishing the European Community is applied and under the conditions laid down in that Treaty, in particular Article 299 thereof and the Protocols annexed to it.

This declaration is without prejudice to the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland under the Protocol integrating the Schengen acquis into the framework of the European Union and under the Protocol on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community.

This declaration is equally without prejudice to the position of Denmark under the Protocol on the position of Denmark annexed to the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community.

Pursuant to Article 299, this declaration is also not applicable to the territories of the Member States in which the said Treaty does not apply and is without prejudice to such acts or positions as may be adopted under the Protocol by the Member States concerned on behalf of and in the interests of those territories. In accordance with the provision referred to above, this declaration indicates the competence that the Member States have transferred to the Community under the Treaties in matters governed by the Protocol. The scope and the extent of such Community competence are, by their nature, subject to continuous development as the Community further adopts relevant rules and regulations, and the Community will complete or amend this declaration, if necessary, in accordance with Article 21 (3) of the Protocol.

The Community points out that it has competence with regard to the crossing of external borders of the Member States, regulating standards and procedures when carrying out checks on persons at such borders and rules on visas for intended stays of no more than three months. The Community is also competent for measures on immigration policy regarding conditions of entry and residence and measures to counter illegal immigration and illegal residence, including repatriation of illegal residents. Moreover, it can take measures to ensure cooperation between the relevant departments of the administrations of the Member States, as well as between those departments and the Commission, in the aforementioned areas. In these fields the Community has adopted rules and regulations and, where it has done so, it is hence solely for the Community to enter into external undertakings with third States or competent international organisations.

In addition, Community policy in the sphere of development cooperation complements policies pursued by Member States and includes provisions to prevent and combat smuggling of migrants."

**Fiji**

"Fiji reserves waiving its sovereign rights and declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraph 2 of article 20."

**Greece**


The Greek State makes use of Article 20(3) of the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, and declares that it is not bound by para. 2 of this article."
INDONESIA
"... the Government of the Republic of Indonesia conveys her declaration on the provision of Article 6 paragraph (2) subparagraph c, Article 9 paragraph (1) subparagraph a, and Article 9 paragraph (2) of the Protocol [which] will have to be implemented in strict compliance with the principles of the sovereignty and territorial integrity of a state."
"... the Government of the Republic of Indonesia conveys her reservation not to be bound by the provision of Article 20 (2) and takes the position that disputes relating to the interpretation and application on the Protocol which have not been settled through the channel provided for in Paragraph (1) of the said Article, may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the concern of all Parties to the dispute;"

LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC
"In accordance with paragraph 3, Article 20 of the Protocol Against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, supplementing the United Nations Convention Against Transnational Organized Crime, the Lao People's Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by paragraph 2, Article 20 of the present Protocol. The Lao People's Democratic Republic declares that to refer a dispute relating to interpretation and application of the present Protocol to arbitration or the International Court of Justice, the agreement of all parties concerned in the dispute is necessary."

LITHUANIA
"AND WHEREAS, it is provided in paragraph 3 of Article 20 of the Protocol, the Republic of Lithuania would like to declare that it does not consider itself bound by paragraph 2 of Article 20, which provides that any State Party may refer any dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the said Protocol to the International Court of Justice."

MALAWI
"The Government of the Republic of Malawi in its efforts to curb and stamp out offences related to trafficking in persons especially women and children has embarked upon various social and legal reforms to incorporate obligations emanating from this Protocol; Further, expressly declares its acceptance of Article 20 (2) on settlement of disputes concerning interpretation and application of this Protocol in consonant with Article 20 (3)."

MYANMAR
"The Government of the Union of Myanmar wishes to express reservation on Article 20 and does not consider itself bound by obligations to refer disputes relating to the interpretation or application of this Protocol to the International Court of Justice."

REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA
In accordance with paragraph 3 of article 20 of the Protocol, the Republic of Moldova does not consider itself bound by provisions of the paragraph 2 of article 20 of the Protocol.
Until the full establishment of the territorial integrity of the Republic of Moldova, the provisions of the Protocol will be applied only on the territory controlled by the authorities of the Republic of Moldova.

SOUTH AFRICA
"AND WHEREAS pending a decision by the Government of the Republic of South Africa on the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, the Government of the Republic does not consider itself bound by the terms of Article 20 (2) of the Protocol which provides for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in differences arising out of the interpretation or application of the Protocol. The Republic will adhere to the position that, for the submission of a particular dispute for settlement by the International Court, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is required in every individual case."

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC
The Syrian Arab Republic expresses a reservation about the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, article 20, paragraph 2.
"... The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic is not a party to the 1951 Convention and the 1967 Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees referred to in the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, article [19], paragraph 1."

TUNISIA
In ratifying the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, supplementing the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 15 November 2000, declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 20, paragraph 2, of the Protocol and affirms that disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Protocol may be referred to the International Court of Justice only after it has given its prior consent.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
"(1) The United States of America criminalizes most but not all forms of attempts to commit the offenses established in accordance with Article 6, paragraph 1 of this Protocol. With respect to the obligation under Article 6, Paragraph 2 (a), the United States of America reserves the right to criminalize attempts to commit the conduct described in Article 6, paragraph 1 (b), to the extent that under its laws such conduct relates to false or fraudulent passports and other specified identity documents, constitutes fraud or the making of a false statement, or constitutes attempted use of a false or fraudulent visa.
(2) In accordance with Article 20, paragraph 3, the United States of America and the United States of America declares that it does not consider itself bound by the obligation set forth in Article 20, paragraph 2.".
"The United States of America understands the obligation to establish the offenses in the Protocol as money laundering predicate offenses, in light of Article 6, paragraph 2 (b) of the United Nations Convention Against Transnational Organized Crime, as requiring States Parties whose money laundering legislation sets forth a list of specific predicate offenses to include in such list a comprehensive range of offenses associated with smuggling of migrants."

VENEZUELA (BOLIVARIAN REPUBLIC OF)
The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, in accordance with the provision of article 20 (3) of the Protocol against Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, supplementing the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, formulates a reservation with respect to the provision established under paragraph 2 of the said article. Consequently, it does not consider itself obligated to refer to arbitration as a means of settlement of disputes, nor does it recognize the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice.
Objections
(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

AUSTRIA


By seeking to exclude the application of Article 18 of the Protocol in its entirety, the reservation contravenes the purpose of the Protocol, namely to protect the rights of migrants and to promote cooperation among States Parties. It generally excludes a central issue the Protocol intends to govern.

Austria therefore considers the reservation to be incompatible with the object and purpose of the Protocol and objects to it. This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol between Austria and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan. The Protocol will thus become operative between the two States without the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan benefiting from the aforementioned reservation.”

CROATIA


The Republic of Croatia considers that the reservation made by the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan in relation to Article 18 of the said Protocol excludes one of the most important elements of the said Protocol, namely the return of smuggled migrants, and thus is incompatible with the object and purpose of the Protocol. The Republic of Croatia would like to recall that, according to Article 19(c) of the Vienna Convention no the Law of Treaties, a reservation incompatible with the object and purpose of a treaty shall not be permitted.

The Republic of Croatia therefore objects to the aforementioned reservation made by the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan. This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol between Croatia and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan. The Protocol thus becomes operative between the two States without the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan benefiting from its reservation.”

CZECH REPUBLIC


The Government of the Czech Republic considers the reservation to Article 18 of the said Protocol to be incompatible with the object and purpose of the Protocol, since, in the opinion of the Government of the Czech Republic, Article 18 forms an essential element of the Protocol and the general derogation from it impairs the raison d’être of the Protocol.

According to Article 19 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, a reservation which is incompatible with the object and purpose of a treaty is not permissible.

Therefore, the Government of the Czech Republic objects to the aforementioned reservation made by the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan. This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol between the Czech Republic and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, without the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan benefiting from its reservation.

FINLAND


In view of the Government of Finland, the reservation made by the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan to Article 18 of the Protocol is incompatible with the object and purpose of the Protocol. The reservation purports to exclude in its entirety the operation of an Article regulating the return of smuggled migrants. This is a central Article of the Protocol, whose very purpose is to prevent and combat the smuggling of migrants and to promote cooperation among States Parties to that end.

According to Article 19 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties and customary international law, reservations incompatible with the object and purpose of the treaty shall not be permitted.

Therefore, the Government of Finland objects to the aforesaid reservation made by the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan. This objection does not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol between Finland and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan. The Protocol is thus operative between the two States without the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan benefiting from its reservation.”

GERMANY


The declaration is a reservation, in the sense that it is a unilateral statement by a State which purports to exclude or to modify the legal effect of certain provisions of the treaty in their application to that state (cf. Article 2 (1) (d) of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties).

This reservation is not permissible under the terms of Article 19 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties because it is not provided for in the Protocol and it is incompatible with the object and purpose of the treaty (cf. Article 19 (c)). Afghanistan seeks to exclude precisely the issue that Article 18 of the Protocol is intended to govern, namely the return of smuggled migrants to a State Party’s own territory.”

NETHERLANDS


The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands considers that the general reservation made by the Islamic
Republic of Afghanistan regarding Article 18 of the Protocol excludes the legal effect of a central provision of the Protocol, namely the return of smuggled migrants to a State Party’s territory.

The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands considers that a reservation of this kind must be regarded as incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention and would recall that according to customary international law, as codified in the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, a reservation incompatible with the object and purpose of a treaty shall not be permitted. The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands therefore objects to the aforesaid reservation made by the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan to the Protocol.

This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, supplementing the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan."

Slovakia


By excluding Article 18 of the said Protocol, the reservation seeks to exclude a central issue the Protocol intends to govern, namely the protection of the rights of smuggled migrants and promotion of cooperation among States Parties. The reservation is incompatible with the object and the purpose of the Protocol and therefore inadmissible under Article 19 (c) of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties.

For these reasons, the Government of the Slovak Republic raises an objection to the aforementioned reservation. This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol between the Slovak Republic and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan. The Protocol will thus become operative between the two States without the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan benefiting from its reservation."

Notifications made under article 8 (6)

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.)

ARMENIA


Name of Authority: Police of the Republic of Armenia
Full postal address: str. Nalbandyan 130 Yerevan 0025

Name of service to be contacted: General Department on Combat against Organized Crime
Name of person to be contacted: Mr. Armen Petrosyan
Title: Police Major, Head of Division on Combat against Illegal Migration
Telephone: +374 10 523 749
Fax: +374 10 564 772
Email: armpet777@mail.ru
Office Hours: 09:00 to 18:00
Lunch breaks: from 13:00 to 14:00
GMT: +4
Languages: Russian
Acceptance of requests through INTERPOL:
Formats and channels accepted: Any, for police purposes only
Specific procedure in urgent cases: Depends on the case.

AUSTRIA

Notification under article 8 (6):

“FEDERAL MINISTRY OF INTERIOR – Criminal Intelligence Service
Central Service for Combating Illegal Immigration/Human Trafficking"

AZERBAIJAN

"In accordance with paragraph 6 of Article 8 of the Protocol, the Republic of Azerbaijan declares that the Ministry of Transport is designated as an authority to receive and respond to requests for assistance, for confirmation of registry or of the right of a vessel to fly its flag and for authorization to take appropriate measures."

BELGIUM

In accordance with article 8, paragraph 6 of the supplementary Protocol, the Federal Department of the Interior, rue de Louvain 3, 1000 Brussels (for the coastline, the Maritime coordination and rescue centre) has been designated as the authority.

CZECH REPUBLIC

"Without prejudice to Article 18 of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime and the notification of the Czech Republic made in
accordance with Article 18, paragraph 13 thereof, the Czech Republic notifies, in accordance with Article 8, paragraph 6 of the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, supplementing the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, the Police Presidium of the Czech Republic, International Police Cooperation Division as the authority responsible for receiving requests for assistance, for confirmation of registry or of the right of a vessel to fly its flag and for authorization to take appropriate measures and to respond thereto.

Contact details:
Police Presidium of the Czech Republic
International Police Cooperation Division
P.O. BOX 62/MPS
Strojnickà 27
170 89 Praha 7
Czech Republic
Telephone number : +420 974 834 380
Fax number: +420 974 834 716, +420 974 834 718
Email address: interpol@mvcr.cz
24-hour service
Working languages in order of preference: Czech, English, French

DENMARK

"Authorization granted by a Danish authority pursuant to Article 8 denotes only that Denmark will abstain from pleading infringement of Danish sovereignty in connection with the requesting State's boarding of a vessel. Danish authorities cannot authorize another state to take legal action on behalf of the Kingdom of Denmark."

FINLAND

"In Finland the authorities responsible for suppressing the use of vessels for smuggling of migrants by sea are the Border Guard and the National Bureau of Investigation. The authority responsible for responding to a request concerning confirmation of registry or the right of a vessel to fly the flag is the Finnish Maritime Administration."

GERMANY

Germany designates the Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie [Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency] Bernhard-Nocht-Str. 78 D-20359 Hamburg
Tel: +49 (0) 40-31900
Fax: +49 (0) 40-31905000
as the responsible authority under Article 8, paragraph 6 of the Protocol.

GUATEMALA

In accordance with article 8, paragraph 6 of the Protocol, the Government of the Republic of Guatemala has designated the judiciary and the Public Prosecutor’s Office as the central authorities for the receipt of requests for mutual legal assistance, with the power either to execute them or to transmit them to the competent authorities for execution.

In addition to the central authorities referred to above, the Government of the Republic of Guatemala has designated the Ministry of Defence, through the Navy, as the authority to receive and respond to requests for assistance, for confirmation of registry or of the right of a vessel to fly the Guatemalan flag and for authorization to take appropriate measures.

IRELAND

“... pursuant to article 8 (6) of the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, supplementing the United Nations Convention Against Transnational Organized Crime, the Iraqi authority to receive and respond to requests for assistance, for confirmation of registry or of the right of a vessel to fly its flag and for authorization to take appropriate measures is the Iraqi Ministry of Transportation in cooperation with the competent Iraqi security authorities.”

in order to carry out [the] Republic of Iraq commitments under the Convention, the relevant Iraqi authorities have designated the Ministry of the Interior of Iraq as the central authority with responsibility and power to receive requests for mutual legal assistance and to take action in accordance with articles 16 and 17 of the Convention and Article 8 of the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air.

ITALY

“...the Italian Ministry of Infrastructures and Transportations has designated the “Comando Generale del Corpo delle Capitanerie di Porto” (Port Authority Headquarters) as the competent authority to receive and respond to requests for assistance, confirmation of registry or the right of a vessel to fly its flag, and authorization to take appropriate measures.”

Furthermore, on 17 March 2009, the Permanent Mission of Italy to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General of the following:

“... a correction has been made to the English translation of the “Comando Generale del Corpo delle Capitanerie di Porto” from “Port Authority Headquarters” to “Italian Coast Guard Headquarters” as the competent authority to receive and respond to requests for assistance, confirmation of registry or the right of a vessel to fly its flag, and authorization to take appropriate measures.”

LATVIA

“In accordance with article 8, paragraph 6 of the Protocol against Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, Supplementing the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, the Republic of Latvia designates the following national authorities to receive and respond to requests for assistance, for confirmation of registry or of the right of a vessel to fly its flag and for authorization to take appropriate measures:

"Ministry of Interior
Address:
Cierkurkalna 1st line 1, K-2
Riga, LV-1026
Latvia
Phone: +371 67219263
Fax: +371 67829686
E-mail: kanceleja@iem.gov.lv
Website: http://www.iem.gov.lv

LIECHTENSTEIN

National Police
Crime Investigation Division
Gewerbeweg 4
P.O. Box 684
9490 Vaduz
Principality of Liechtenstein
Phone: +423 236 79 79 (24 hours)
Fax: +423 236 79 70
E-Mail: kripo@landespolizei.li, ipk.lp@llv.li
Languages: German, English
Office hours: 08:30 - 1630
GMT: +1
MALAWI

"The Competent Authority charged with the responsibility of coordinating and the rendering of mutual legal assistance is:
The Principal Secretary
Ministry of Home Affairs and Internal Security
Private Bag 331, Lilongwe 3, MALAWI
Fax: 265 1789509 Tel: 265 1 789 177
The Official Language of communication is English."

NETHERLANDS

“The central authority of the Kingdom of the Netherlands, for the Kingdom in Europe is:
Ministry of Infrastructure, as central authority to receive and respond to requests of the right of a vessel to fly its flag.
P.O. Box 20301
2500, EH The Hague
The Netherlands”

PANAMA

...... in accordance with article 8 (6), the Republic of Panama has designated the Maritime Authority of Panama as the authority to receive and respond to requests for assistance and for confirmation of registry or of the right of a vessel to fly its flag.

PERU

Notification under article 8 (6):
Authority: Javier Moscoso Flores
Director General of the Dirección General de Capitanías y Guardacostas, Peru
Email: jorge.moscoso@dicapi.mil.pe.

REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA

In accordance with paragraph 6 of article 8 of the Protocol, the Ministry of Transportation and Communication is designated as a central authority responsible for receiving the requests of legal assistance referred to in this article.

ROMANIA

“In accordance with Article 8 paragraph 6 of the supplementing Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, the Romanian central authority designated to receive the requests for assistance is the Ministry of Public Works, Transports and Housing (Blvd. Dinicu Golescu nr. 38, sector 1 Bucuresti, tel. 223 29 81/fax,223 0272).”

SERBIA

“The Permanent Mission of the Republic of Serbia to the OSCE and other International Organizations in Vienna ... has the honour to notify of the Serbian competent authority for the implementation of the Article 8 (Measures Against Smuggling of Migrants by Sea) of the Protocol ...
The requests shall be addressed to:
Name of Authority: Ministry of Infrastructure of the Republic of Serbia
Ministry of Infrastructure,
Full postal address: 22-26 Nemanjina Street, 11000 Belgrade, Republic of Serbia
Name of Service to be contacted: Department for Water Traffic and Navigation Safety
Name of Person to be contacted: Mr. Veljko Kovacevic, Department for Water Traffic and Navigation Safety
Telephone: +381 11 202 90 10
Fax: +381 11 202 00 01
E-mail: vkpomorstvo@mi.gov.rs
Office hours: from 08:30 to 16:30
Time zone: GMT 1
Languages English.”

SOUTH AFRICA

"AND WHEREAS the Secretary-General is hereby notified, in accordance with Article 8 (6) of the Protocol, that the Director-General of the Department of Transport has been designated as the authority to receive and respond to requests for assistance in terms of the Protocol."

ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES

“Pursuant to Article 8(6), the Government of Saint Vincent and the Grenadines would like to notify the Secretary-General of the following:
Designation of Authority:
Mr. Keith Miller
Commissioner of Police
Point of Contact for the Designation of the Authority
Attention: Commissioner of Police
c/o Coast Guard Base
Calliaqua
P.O.Box 3020
Kingstown
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Tel: +1784 457 4578/4554
Fax: +1784 457 4586
Email: sygcoguard@vincysurf.com”

SWEDEN

"Pursuant to Article 8 (6) of the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, supplementing the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, Sweden designates the Ministry of Justice, as central authority to receive and respond to requests for assistance referred to in this article.
Furthermore, the Swedish Coast Guard is a designated authority to respond to requests of the right of a vessel to fly a Swedish flag. Such requests should be addressed to:
NCC (National Contact Centre) Sweden at Coast Guard HQ
P.O.Box 536
S-371 23 KARLSKRONA
Sweden
Phone: + 46 455 35 35 35 (24 hours)
Fax: + 46 455 812 75 (24 hours)
E-mail: ncc.sweden@coastguard.se (24 hours)."

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

“The United Kingdom has the honour to designate the Director of Detection at Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs as the authority for the purposes of paragraph 6 of Article 8 of the above-mentioned Protocol. Communications should be addressed as follows:
Director of Detection
Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs
Customs House
20 Lower Thames Street
London EC3R 6EE
Tel No: +44 (0) 870 785 3841 (office hours)
+44 (0) 870 785 3600 (24 hours)
Fax No: +44 (0) 870 240 3738 (24 hours)
(Office house 08:00 - 18:00 GMT:0:language English)
* Please note that requests in languages other than English must be accompanied by a translation in English. Please provide a name; telephone number; fax number;
status and requesting authority. Please also provide details of the name of port; registry type; description of vessel; vessel port; last port of call; intended destination; persons on board; nationality (ies); details of reasons for suspicion and intended action.”

**UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA**

".....the notification of the designation of the necessary authority or authorities to receive and respond to request for assistance, for confirmation of registry or of the right of a vessel to fly its flag and for authorization to take appropriate measures under article 8 (6) of the Protocol:

Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation
P.O. Box 9000
Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

"Pursuant to Article 8, paragraph 6 of the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, supplementing the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, I request that you notify the other States concerned with the Protocol that the Operations Center, U.S. Department of State, is designated as the United States authority to receive and respond to requests made under the above-referenced provision of the Protocol."

---

**Notes:**

1. With a territorial exclusion in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland.

2. See note 1 under "Montenegro" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

3. For the Kingdom in Europe.

Further, on 18 January 2007, the Kingdom of the Netherlands informed the Secretary-General that the Protocol would apply to Aruba with the following:

In accordance with article 8, paragraph 6, of the Convention the central authority of Aruba is:

The Procurator-General of Aruba
Havenstraat 2,
Oranjestad
Aruba
Tel: (297) 582 1415
Fax: (297) 583 8891
om.aruba@setarnet.aw

Following a modification of the internal constitutional relations within the Kingdom of the Netherlands (see note 2 under “Netherlands” in Historical Information), effective 10 October 2010, the Protocol applies to the Caribbean part of the Netherlands (Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba).

4. With the following territorial exclusion:

".....consistent with the constitutional status of Tokelau and taking into account the commitment of the Government of New Zealand to the development of self-government for Tokelau through an act of self-determination under the Charter of the United Nations, this ratification shall not extend to Tokelau unless and until a Declaration to this effect is lodged by the Government of New Zealand with the Depositary on the basis of appropriate consultation with that territory....."

5. On 20 October 2015, the Government of Ukraine made a communication. The text can be found here: C.N.603.2015.TREATIES-XVIII.12.b of 20 October 2015.