11. a) Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others

Lake Success, New York, 21 March 1950

ENTRY INTO FORCE:	25 July 1951, in accordance with article 24.		
REGISTRATION:	25 July 1951, No. 1342.		
STATUS:	Signatories: 25. Parties: 82.		
TEXT:	United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 96, p. 271.		

Note: The Convention was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution $317 (IV)^2$ of 2 December 1949.

Participant ^{3,4} Signatur	re	Accession(a), Succession(d), Ratification		Participant ^{3,4} Signature		re	Accession(a), Succession(d), Ratification	
Afghanistan		21 May	1985 a	Finland	27 Feb	1953	8 Jun	1972
Albania		6 Nov	1958 a	France	•••		19 Nov	1960 a
Algeria		31 Oct	1963 a	Ghana	24 Sep	2003		
Argentina		15 Nov	1957 a	Guatemala	•••		13 Dec	2007 a
Azerbaijan		16 Aug	1996 a	Guinea	•••		26 Apr	1962 a
Bangladesh		11 Jan	1985 a	Haiti	•••		26 Aug	1953 a
Belarus		24 Aug	1956 a	Honduras	. 13 Apr	1954	15 Jun	1993
Belgium		22 Jun	1965 a	Hungary	•••		29 Sep	1955 a
Benin25 Sep	2003			India	. 9 May	1950	9 Jan	1953
Bolivia (Plurinational				Indonesia	25 Sep	2003		
State of)		6 Oct	1983 a	Iran (Islamic Republic				
Bosnia and				of)	. 16 Jul	1953		
Herzegovina ⁵		1 Sep	1993 d	Iraq	•••		22 Sep	1955 a
Brazil 5 Oct	1951	12 Sep	1958	Israel	•.		28 Dec	1950 a
Bulgaria		18 Jan	1955 a	Italy	•.		18 Jan	1980 a
Burkina Faso		27 Aug	1962 a	Japan	•••		1 May	1958 a
Cambodia27 Sep	2004			Jordan	•••		13 Apr	1976 a
Cameroon		19 Feb	1982 a	Kazakhstan	17 Nov	2004	24 Jan	2006
Central African		• • •	1001	Kuwait	•••		20 Nov	1968 a
Republic		29 Sep	1981 a	Kyrgyzstan	•••		5 Sep	1997 a
Congo		25 Aug	1977 a	Lao People's				
Côte d'Ivoire		2 Nov	1999 a	Democratic				
Croatia ⁵		12 Oct	1992 d	Republic			14 Apr	1978 a
Cuba		4 Sep	1952 a	Latvia			14 Apr	1992 a
Cyprus		5 Oct	1983 a	Lesotho		2003	24 Sep	2004
Czech Republic ⁶		30 Dec	1993 d	Liberia	21 Mar	1950		
Denmark12 Feb	1951			Libya			3 Dec	1956 a
Djibouti		21 Mar	1979 a	Luxembourg		1950	5 Oct	1983
Ecuador24 Mar	1950	3 Apr	1979	Madagascar	1 Oct	2001		
Egypt ⁷		12 Jun	1959 a	Malawi	•••		13 Oct	1965 a
Ethiopia		10 Sep	1981 a	Mali	•.		23 Dec	1964 a

Participant ^{3,4}	Signature	Accession(a), Succession(d), Ratification		Participant ^{3,4}	Signature	Accession(a), Succession(d), Ratification	
Mauritania		6 Jun	1986 a	Rwanda		26 Sep	2003 a
Mauritius	24 Sep 2003			Senegal	•••	19 Jul	1979 a
Mexico		21 Feb	1956 a	Serbia ⁵	•••	12 Mar	2001 d
Micronesia (Federated				Seychelles	•••	5 May	1992 a
States of)	23 Sep 2003	2 Jun	2011	Sierra Leone	26 Sep 2003		
Montenegro ⁸		23 Oct	2006 d	Singapore	•••	26 Oct	1966 a
Morocco		17 Aug	1973 a	Slovakia ⁶		28 May	1993 d
Myanmar	14 Mar 1956			Slovenia ⁵	•••	6 Jul	1992 d
Nepal		10 Dec	2002 a	South Africa	16 Oct 1950	10 Oct	1951
Niger		10 Jun	1977 a	Spain	•••	18 Jun	1962 a
Nigeria	25 Sep 2003			Sri Lanka		15 Apr	1958 a
North Macedonia ⁵		18 Jan	1994 d	Syrian Arab Republic ⁷	•••	12 Jun	1959 a
Norway		23 Jan	1952 a	Tajikistan		19 Oct	2001 a
Pakistan	21 Mar 1950	11 Jul	1952	тодо		14 Mar	1990 a
Paraguay	26 Mar 2007			Ukraine ¹		15 Nov	1954 a
Philippines	20 Dec 1950	19 Sep	1952	Uzbekistan	•••	27 Feb	2004 a
Poland		2 Jun	1952 a	Venezuela (Bolivarian			
Portugal ⁴		30 Sep	1992 a	Republic of)	•••	18 Dec	1968 a
Republic of Korea		13 Feb	1962 a	Yemen ⁹	•••	6 Apr	1989 a
Romania		15 Feb	1955 a	Zimbabwe	•••	15 Nov	1995 a
Russian Federation		11 Aug	1954 a				

Declarations and Reservations (Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

AFGHANISTAN

"Whereas, the Government of the Democratic Republic of Afghanistan does not agree with the procedure of referring disputes arising between the Parties to the Convention relating to its interpretation of application, to the International Court of Justice, at the request of any one of the Parties to the dispute, therefore, it does not undertake any commitment regarding observation of article 22 of the present Convention."

ALBANIA

Thanks to the conditions created by the popular democratic régime in Albania, the offences covered by this Convention do not find favourable ground for development there, since the social conditions which give rise to such offences have been elim- inated. Nevertheless, in view of the importance of the campaign against these offences in the countries where they still exist and the international importance of that campaign, the People's Republic of Albania has decided to accede to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others adopted on 2 December 1949 at the fourth session of the United Nations General Assembly. The People's Republic of Albania does not consider

The People's Republic of Albania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 which stipulates that any dispute between the parties to the Convention relating to its interpretation, application or execution shall, at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute, be referred to the International Court of Justice. The People's Republic of Albania declares that with respect to the competence of the International Court in that connexion, it will continue to maintain as in the past that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Con- vention, which provides for the compulsory competence of the International Court of Justice and declares that the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision.

BELARUS^{10,11,12}

BULGARIA¹²

The offences referred to in the Convention are unknown under the socialist régime of the People's Republic of Bulgaria, for the conditions favouring them have been eliminated. Nevertheless, since it is important to counteract these offences in the countries where they still exist, and since it is important to the international community that such action should be taken, the People's Republic of Bulgaria has decided to accede to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others adopted by the fourth session of the General Assembly of the United Nations on 2 December 1949.

ETHIOPIA

"Socialist Ethiopia does not consider itself bound by article 22 of the Convention."

FINLAND

"Finland reserves itself the right to leave the decision whether its citizens will or will not be prosecuted for a crime committed abroad to Finland's competent authority;"

FRANCE¹³

HUNGARY^{11,12,14}

KAZAKHSTAN

The Republic of Kazakhstan will implement provisions of articles 1 and 18 of the Convention within the bounds of prevention and suppression of crimes and administrative offences provided by the Legislation of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The Lao People's Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 which state that disputes between the Parties to the Convention relating to its interpretation or application shall, at the request of any one of the Parties to the dispute, be referred to the International Court of Justice. The Lao People's

Notes:

¹ On 20 October 2015, the Government of Ukraine made a communication. The text can be found here: C.N.611.2015.TREATIES-VII.11.a of 20 October 2015.

² Official Records of the General Assembly, Fourth Session, Resolutions (A/125 and Corr.1 and 2), p. 33.

³ The German Democratic Republic had acceded to the Convention on 16 July 1974 with a reservation and a declaration. For the text of the reservation and declaration see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 943, p. 339. See also note 2 under "Germany" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

⁴ On 7 July 1999, the Government of Portugal informed the Secretary-General that the Convention would apply to Macao.

Subsequently, on 18 November and 3 December 1999, the Secretary-General received communications regarding the status of Macao from Portugal and China (see note 3 under "China" and note 1 under "Portgual" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume). Upon resuming the exercise of sovereignty over Macao, China notified the Secretary-General that the Convention will also apply to the Macao Special Administrative Region. Democratic Republic declares that, with respect to the competence of the International Court concerning disputes relating to the interpretation and application of the Convention, for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice the agreement of all the parties to the dispute is necessary.

MALAWI

"The Government of Malawi accedes to this Convention with the exception of article 22 thereof, the effects of which are reserved."

ROMANIA^{12,15}

RUSSIAN FEDERATION¹⁰

In the Soviet Union the social conditions which give rise to the offences covered by the Convention have been eliminated. Nevertheless, in view of the international importance of suppressing these offences, the Government of the Soviet Union has decided to accede to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others adopted on 2 December 1949 at the fourth session of the United Nations General Assembly.

UKRAINE¹⁰

In the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic the social condi- tions which give rise to the offences covered by the Convention have been eliminated. Nevertheless, in view of the international importance of suppressing these offences, the Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic has decided to accede to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others adopted on 2 December 1949 at the fourth session of the United Nations General Assembly.

⁵ The former Yugoslavia had signed and ratified the Convention on 6 February 1951 and 26 April 1951, respectively. See also note 1 under "Bosnia and Herzegovina", "Croatia", "former Yugoslavia", "Slovenia", "The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" and "Yugoslavia" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

⁶ Czechoslovakia had acceded to the Convention on 14 March 1958. See also note 1 under "Czech Republic" and note 1 under "Slovakia" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

⁷ Accession by the United Arab Republic. See also note 1 under "United Arab Republic" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

⁸ See note 1 under "Montenegro" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

⁹ The formality was effected by the Yemen Arab Republic. See also note 1 under "Yemen" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

¹⁰ In communications received on 8 March 1989, 19 April 1989 and 20 April 1989, respectively, the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, Belarus and Ukraine, notified the Secretary-General that they had decided to withdraw the reservations relating to article 22 made upon accession. For the texts of the reservations see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 196, p. 349, vol. 1527 and vol. 201, p. 372, respectively.

¹¹ The Government of the Philippines informed the Secretary-General that it objects to the reservations made by the Governments of Belarus and Hungary because it feels that the reference to the International Court of Justice of any dispute relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention should not be made dependent on the consent of all parties.

¹² In a communication received on 13 May 1955, the Government of Haiti informed the Secretary-General that it considers that in case of dispute it should be possible for either of the Contracting Parties concerned, without previous agreement between them, to refer a dispute to the International Court of Justice and that consequently it does not accept the reservation entered into by Bulgaria.

On that same date, the Government of South Africa informed the Secretary-General that it regards article 22 as fundamental to the Convention and cannot, therefore, accept the reservation entered into by Bulgaria.

Similar communications were received by the Secretary-General from the Governments of Haiti and South Africa in respect of the reservations made by the Governments of Belarus, Hungary and Romania. On 24 June 1992, the Government of Bulgaria notified the Secretary-General its decision to withdraw the reservation to article 22 of the Convention made upon accession which reads as follows:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares, with respect to the competence of the International Court of Justice in disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, that the consent of all the parties to the dispute is necessary in each particular case before any dispute whatsoever can be referred to the Court.

¹³ On 11 March 2005, the Government of France informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw its declaration made upon accession, which reads as follows:

The Government of the French Republic declares that, until further notice, this Convention will only be applicable to the metropolitan territory of the French Republic.

¹⁴ In a communication received on 8 December 1989, the Government of Hungary notified the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw its reservation relating to article 22 made upon accession. For the text of the reservation see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 1427, p. 407.

¹⁵ In a communication received on 2 April 1997, the Government of Romania notified the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw its reservation relating to article 22 made upon accession.