2. Convention relating to the Status of Refugees

Geneva, 28 July 1951

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 22 April 1954, in accordance with article 43.

REGISTRATION: 22 April 1954, No. 2545.


Note: The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Status of Refugees and Stateless Persons, held at Geneva from 2 to 25 July 1951. The Conference was convened pursuant to resolution 429 (V), adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 14 December 1950.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Accession(a), Succession(d), Ratification</th>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Accession(a), Succession(d), Ratification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>30 Aug 2005 a</td>
<td>China 3</td>
<td>24 Sep 1982 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>21 Feb 1963 d</td>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>15 Oct 1962 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigua and Barbuda</td>
<td>7 Sep 1995 a</td>
<td>Côte d'Ivoire</td>
<td>8 Dec 1961 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>15 Nov 1961 a</td>
<td>Croatia 2</td>
<td>12 Oct 1992 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>6 Jul 1993 a</td>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>16 May 1963 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>22 Jan 1954 a</td>
<td>Czech Republic 4</td>
<td>11 May 1993 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>28 Jul 1951</td>
<td>Democratic Republic of the Congo</td>
<td>19 Jul 1965 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>12 Feb 1993 a</td>
<td>Denmark 28 Jul 1951</td>
<td>4 Dec 1952</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahamas</td>
<td>15 Sep 1993 a</td>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>9 Aug 1977 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>23 Aug 2001 a</td>
<td>Dominica</td>
<td>17 Feb 1994 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>22 Jul 1953</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>4 Jan 1978 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>27 Jun 1990 a</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>17 Aug 1955 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>4 Apr 1962 d</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>22 May 1981 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>9 Feb 1982 a</td>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>28 Apr 1983 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina 2</td>
<td>1 Sep 1993 d</td>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>7 Feb 1986 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>6 Jan 1969 a</td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>10 Apr 1997 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>15 Jul 1952</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>10 Nov 1969 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>16 Nov 1960</td>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>12 Jun 1972 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>18 Jun 1980 a</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>10 Oct 1968 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>19 Jul 1963 a</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>23 Jun 1954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>15 Oct 1992 a</td>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>27 Apr 1964 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>23 Oct 1961 d</td>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>7 Sep 1966 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>4 Jun 1969 a</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>9 Aug 1999 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>4 Sep 1962 d</td>
<td>Germany 5,6</td>
<td>19 Nov 1951</td>
<td>1 Dec 1953</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>19 Aug 1981 a</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>18 Mar 1963 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>28 Jan 1972 a</td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>10 Apr 1952</td>
<td>5 Apr 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>22 Sep 1983 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant</td>
<td>Signature</td>
<td>Accession(a), Succession(d), Ratification</td>
<td>Participant</td>
<td>Signature</td>
<td>Accession(a), Succession(d), Ratification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>28 Dec 1965 d</td>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>1 Apr 1970 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea-Bissau</td>
<td>11 Feb 1976 a</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>21 Dec 1964 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>25 Sep 1984 a</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>22 Jul 1981 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holy See</td>
<td>15 Mar 1956</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>27 Sep 1991 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>23 Mar 1992 a</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>22 Dec 1960 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>14 Mar 1989 a</td>
<td>Republic of Korea</td>
<td>3 Dec 1992 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>30 Nov 1955 a</td>
<td>Republic of Moldova</td>
<td>31 Jan 2002 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran (Islamic Republic of)</td>
<td>28 Jul 1976 a</td>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>7 Aug 1991 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>29 Nov 1956 a</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>2 Feb 1993 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>1 Oct 1954</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>3 Jan 1980 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>15 Nov 1954</td>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>21 Sep 1988 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>30 Jul 1964 d</td>
<td>Sao Tome and Princeipe</td>
<td>1 Feb 1978 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>3 Oct 1981 a</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>2 May 1963 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>15 Jan 1999 a</td>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>12 Mar 2001 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>16 May 1966 a</td>
<td>Seychelles</td>
<td>23 Apr 1980 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyrgyzstan</td>
<td>8 Oct 1996 a</td>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>22 May 1981 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>31 Jul 1997 a</td>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>4 Feb 1993 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td>14 May 1981 a</td>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>6 Jul 1992 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>15 Oct 1964 a</td>
<td>Solomon Islands</td>
<td>28 Feb 1995 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liechtenstein</td>
<td>8 Mar 1957</td>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>10 Oct 1978 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>28 Apr 1997 a</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>12 Jan 1996 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>23 Jul 1953</td>
<td>South Sudan</td>
<td>10 Dec 2018 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>18 Dec 1967 a</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>14 Aug 1978 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>10 Dec 1987 a</td>
<td>St. Kitts and Nevis</td>
<td>1 Feb 2002 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>2 Feb 1973 d</td>
<td>St. Vincent and the Grenadines</td>
<td>3 Nov 1993 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>17 Jun 1971 a</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>22 Feb 1974 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>5 May 1987 a</td>
<td>Suriname</td>
<td>29 Nov 1978 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>7 Jun 2000 a</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>28 Jul 1951 26 Oct 1954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monaco</td>
<td>18 May 1954 a</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>28 Jul 1951 21 Jan 1955</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>10 Oct 2006 d</td>
<td>Tajikistan</td>
<td>7 Dec 1993 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>7 Nov 1956 d</td>
<td>Timor-Leste</td>
<td>7 May 2003 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>16 Dec 1983 a</td>
<td>Togo</td>
<td>27 Feb 1962 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>17 Feb 1995 a</td>
<td>Trinidad and Tobago</td>
<td>10 Nov 2000 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauru</td>
<td>28 Jun 2011 a</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>24 Oct 1957 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>3 May 1956</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>24 Aug 1951 30 Mar 1962</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>30 Jun 1960 a</td>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
<td>2 Mar 1998 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>28 Mar 1980 a</td>
<td>Tuvalu</td>
<td>7 Mar 1986 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>23 Oct 1967 a</td>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>10 Jun 2002 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>23 Mar 1953</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>12 May 1964 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>2 Aug 1978 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Declarations under section B of article 1 of the Convention (Unless otherwise indicated in a footnote, the declarations were received upon ratification, accession or succession.)

(a) "Events occurring in Europe before 1 January 1951"

Participant
Congo
Madagascar
Monaco
Turkey

(b) "Events occurring in Europe or elsewhere before 1 January 1951"

Participant
Afghanistan
Albania
Algeria
Antigua and Barbuda
Argentina\textsuperscript{11,12}
Armenia
Australia\textsuperscript{12}
Austria
Azerbaijan
Bahamas
Belarus
Belgium
Belize
Benin\textsuperscript{12}
Bolivia
Bosnia and Herzegovina\textsuperscript{2}
Botswana\textsuperscript{13}
Brazil\textsuperscript{12}
Bulgaria
Burkina Faso
Burundi
Cameroon\textsuperscript{12}
Canada
### Participant

Central African Republic\(^{1,2}\)
Chad
Chile\(^{1,2}\)
Colombia\(^{1,1,12}\)
Costa Rica
Côte d'Ivoire\(^{12}\)
Croatia\(^2\)
Cyprus
Czech Republic\(^4\)
Democratic Republic of the Congo
Denmark
Djibouti
Dominica
Dominican Republic
Ecuador\(^{12}\)
Egypt
El Salvador
Equatorial Guinea
Estonia
Ethiopia
Fiji
Finland
France\(^{1,2}\)
Gabon
Gambia
Georgia
Germany\(^6\)
Ghana
Greece
Guatemala
Guinea
Guinea-Bissau
Haiti
Holy See\(^{1,2}\)
Honduras
Hungary\(^{1,1,12}\)
Iceland
Iran (Islamic Republic of)\(^{12}\)
Ireland
Israel
Italy\(^{12}\)
Jamaica
Japan
Kazakhstan
Kenya
Kyrgyzstan
Participant
Latvia\textsuperscript{11,12}
Lesotho
Liberia
Liechtenstein
Lithuania
Luxembourg\textsuperscript{12}
Malawi\textsuperscript{14}
Mali
Malta\textsuperscript{12}
Mauritania
Mexico
Moldova
Montenegro
Morocco
Mozambique
Namibia
Nauru
Netherlands
New Zealand
Nicaragua
Niger\textsuperscript{12}
Nigeria
Norway
Panama
Papua New Guinea
Paraguay\textsuperscript{11,12}
Peru\textsuperscript{12}
Philippines
Portugal\textsuperscript{12}
Republic of Korea
Romania
Russian Federation
Rwanda
Samoa
Sao Tome and Principe
Senegal\textsuperscript{12}
Serbia\textsuperscript{2}
Seychelles
Sierra Leone
Slovakia\textsuperscript{4}
Slovenia\textsuperscript{2}
Solomon Islands
Somalia
South Africa
South Sudan
Spain
Participant
St. Kitts and Nevis
St. Vincent and the Grenadines
Sudan
Suriname
Swaziland
Sweden
Switzerland
Tajikistan
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia
Timor-Leste
Togo
Trinidad and Tobago
Tunisia
Turkmenistan
Tuvalu
Uganda
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
United Republic of Tanzania
Uruguay
Yemen
Zambia
Zimbabwe

Declarations and Reservations
(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

ANGOLA
The Government of the People's Republic of Angola also declares that the provisions of the Convention shall be applicable in Angola provided that they are not contrary to or incompatible with the constitutional and legal provisions in force in the People's Republic of Angola, especially as regards articles 7, 13, 15, 18 and 24 of the Convention. Those provisions shall not be construed so as to accord to any category of aliens resident in Angola more extensive rights than are enjoyed by Angolan citizens.

The Government of the People’s Republic of Angola also considers that the provisions of articles 8 and 9 of the Convention cannot be construed so as to limit its right to adopt in respect of a refugee or group of refugees such measures as it deems necessary to safeguard national interests and to ensure respect for its sovereignty, whenever circumstances so require.

In addition, the Government of the People’s Republic of Angola wishes to make the following reservations:
Ad article 17: The Government of the People's Republic of Angola accepts the obligations set forth in article 17, provided that:
(a) Paragraph 1 of this article shall not be interpreted to mean that refugees must enjoy the same privileges as may be accorded to nationals of countries with which the People's Republic of Angola has signed special cooperation agreements;
(b) Paragraph 2 of this article shall be construed as a recommendation and not as an obligation.

AUSTRALIA
The Convention is ratified:
(a) Subject to the reservation that the Republic of Austria regards the provisions of article 17, paragraphs 1 and 2 (excepting, however, the phrase "who was already exempt from them at the date of entry into force of this Convention for the Contracting State concerned, or . . . " in the latter paragraph) not as a binding obligation, but merely as a recommendation.
(b) Subject to the reservation that the provisions of article 22, paragraph 1, shall not be applicable to the
establishment and maintenance of private elementary schools, that the "public relief and assistance" referred to in article 23 shall be interpreted solely in the sense of allocations from public welfare funds (Armenversorgung), and that the "documents or certifications" referred to in article 25, paragraphs 2 and 3 shall be construed to mean the identity certificates provided for in the Convention of 30 June 1928 relating to refugees.

**BAHAMAS**

"Refugees and their dependants would normally be subjected to the same laws and regulations relating generally to the employment of non-Bahamians within the Commonwealth of the Bahamas, so long as they have not acquired status in the Commonwealth of the Bahamas."

**BELGIUM**

1. In all cases where the Convention grants to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country, this provision shall not be interpreted by the Belgian Government as necessarily involving the regime accorded to nationals of countries with which Belgium has concluded regional customs, economic or political agreements.

2. Article 15 of the Convention shall not be applicable in Belgium; refugees lawfully staying in Belgian territory will enjoy the same treatment, as regards the right of association, as that accorded to aliens in general.

**BOTSWANA**

"Subject to the reservation of articles 7, 17, 26, 31, 32 and 34 and paragraph 1 of article 12 of the Convention."

**BRAZIL**

"Refugees will be granted the same treatment accorded to nationals of foreign countries in general, with the exception of the preferential treatment extended to nationals of Portugal through the Friendship and Consultation Treaty of 1953 and Article 199 of the Brazilian Constitutional Amendment No.1, of 1969."

**CANADA**

"Subject to the following reservation with reference to Articles 23 and 24 of the Convention:

"Canada interprets the phrase "lawfully staying" as referring only to refugees admitted for permanent residence; refugees admitted for temporary residence will be accorded the same treatment with respect to the matters dealt with in articles 23 and 24 as is accorded visitors generally."

**CHILE**

(1) With the reservation that, with reference to the provisions of article 34, the Government of Chile will be unable to grant to refugees facilities greater that those granted to aliens in general, in view of the liberal nature of Chilean naturalization laws;

(2) With the reservation that the period specified in article 17, paragraph 2 (a) shall, in the case of Chile, be extended from three to ten years;

(3) With the reservation that article 17, paragraph 2 (c) shall apply only if the refugee is the widow or the widower of a Chilean spouse;

(4) With the reservation that the Government of Chile cannot grant a longer period for compliance with an expulsion order than that granted to other aliens in general under Chilean law.

**CHINA**

"[Subject to] reservations on the following articles:

(1) The latter half of article 14, which reads "In the territory of any other Contracting State, he shall be accorded the same protection as is accorded in that territory to nationals of the country in which he has his habitual residence."

(2) Article 16 (3)."

**CYPRUS**

With confirmation of the reservations made by the Government of the United Kingdom upon application of the Convention to the territory of Cyprus.

**DENMARK**

"[Subject to] the following reservation:

The obligation in article 17, paragraph 1, to accord to refugees lawfully staying in Denmark the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country as regards the right to engage in wage-earning employment shall not be construed to mean that refugees shall be entitled to the privileges which in this respect are accorded to nationals of Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden."

**ECUADOR**

"[Subject to] the following declarations and reservation:

With respect to article 1, relating to the definition of the term "refugee", the Government of Ecuador declares that its accession to the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees does not imply its acceptance of the Conventions which have not been expressly signed and ratified by Ecuador.

With respect to article 15, Ecuador further declares that its acceptance of the provisions contained therein shall be limited in so far as those provisions are in conflict with the constitutional and statutory provisions in force prohibiting aliens, and consequently refugees, from being members of political bodies."

**EGYPT**

With reservations in respect of article 12 (1), articles 20 and 22 (1), and articles 23 and 24.

1. Egypt formulated a reservation to article 12 (1) because it is in contradiction with the internal laws of Egypt. This article provides that the personal status of a refugee shall be governed by the law of the country of his domicile or, failing this, of his residence. This formula contradicts article 25 of the Egyptian civil code, which reads as follows:

"The judge declares the applicable law in the case of persons without nationality or with more than one nationality at the same time. In the case of persons where there is proof, in accordance with Egypt, of Egyptian nationality, and at the same time in accordance with one or more foreign countries, of nationality of that country, the Egyptian law must be applied."

The competent Egyptian authorities are not in a position to amend this article (25) of the civil code.

2. Concerning articles 20, 22 (paragraph 1), 23 and 24 of the Convention of 1951, the competent Egyptian authorities had reservations because these articles consider the refugee as equal to the national.

We made this general reservation to avoid any obstacle which might affect the discretionary authority of Egypt in granting privileges to refugees on a case-by-case basis.
ESTONIA

“[Subject to the following] reservations, [...]:
1) to Articles 23 and 24 as follows:
The Republic of Estonia considers articles 23 and 24 merely as recommendatory, not as legally binding.
2) to Article 25 as follows:
The Republic of Estonia shall not be bound to cause a certificate to be delivered by an Estonian authority, in place of the authorities of a foreign country, if documentary records necessary for the delivery of such a certificate do not exist in the Republic of Estonia.
3) to Article 28, paragraph 1 as follows:
The Republic of Estonia shall not be obliged within five years from the entry into force of the present Convention to issue travel documents provided in article 28.”

ETHIOPIA

“[Subject to the following reservations made under the terms of Article 42, paragraph 1, of the Convention and Article VII, paragraph 1, of the Protocol :]
The provisions of articles 8, 9, 17 (2) and 22 (1) of the Convention are recognized only as recommendations and not as legally binding obligations.”

FIJI

The Government of Fiji stated that “...[t]he first and fourth reservations made by the United Kingdom are affirmed but have been redrafted as more suitable to the application of Fiji in the following terms:

1. The Government of Fiji understands articles 8 and 9 as not preventing them from taking in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the ground of his nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of Fiji from exercising any rights over property and interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which at the date of entry into force of this Convention on behalf of Fiji were under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland or of the Government of Fiji respectively by reason of a state of war which existed between them and any other State.

2. The Government of Fiji cannot undertake to give effect to the obligations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 3 so far as the law allows.

Commentary:
No arrangements exist in Fiji for the administrative assistance for which provision is made in article 25 nor have any such arrangements been found necessary in the case of refugees. Any need for the documents or certifications mentioned in paragraph 2 of that article would be met by affidavits...
All other reservations made by the United Kingdom to the above-mentioned [Convention are] withdrawn.”

FINLAND

“[Subject to the following reservations:
(1) A general reservation to the effect that the application of those provisions of the Convention which grant to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country shall not be affected by the fact that special rights and privileges are now or may in future be accorded by Finland to the nationals of Denmark, Iceland, Norway and Sweden or to the nationals of any one of those Countries;
(2) A reservation to article 24, paragraph 3 to the effect that it shall not be binding on Finland;
(3) to Articles 23 and 24 as follows:
The Republic of Estonia considers articles 23 and 24 merely as recommendatory, not as legally binding.
2) to Article 25 as follows:
The Republic of Estonia shall not be bound to cause a certificate to be delivered by an Estonian authority, in place of the authorities of a foreign country, if documentary records necessary for the delivery of such a certificate do not exist in the Republic of Estonia.
3) to Article 28, paragraph 1 as follows:
The Republic of Estonia shall not be obliged within five years from the entry into force of the present Convention to issue travel documents provided in article 28.”

FRANCE

In depositing its instrument of ratification, the Government of the French Republic, acting in accordance with article 42 of the Convention, makes the following statements:
(a) It considers that article 29, paragraph 2, does not prevent the application in French territory of the provisions of the Act of 7 May 1934 authorizing the levying of the Nansen tax for the support of refugee welfare, resettlement and relief work.
(b) Article 17 in no way prevents the application of the laws and regulations establishing the proportion of alien workers that employers are authorized to employ in France or affects the obligations of such employers in connexion with the employment of alien workers.

GAMBIA

“According to the paragraph 1, article 40 of the [...] Convention, before the full restoration of the territorial integrity of Georgia, this Convention is applicable only to the territory where the jurisdiction of Georgia is exercised.”

GREECE

“In cases or circumstances which, in its opinion, would justify exceptional procedure for reasons of national security or public order, the Hellenic Government reserves the right to derogate from the obligations imposed by the provisions of article 26.”

GUATEMALA

The Holy See, in conformity with the terms of article 42, paragraph 1, of the Convention, makes the reservation that the application of the Convention must be compatible in practice with the special nature of the Vatican City State and without prejudice to the norms governing access to and sojourn therein.

HONDURAS

(a) With respect to article 7:
The Government of the Republic of Honduras understands this article to mean that it shall accord to refugees such facilities and treatment as it shall deem appropriate at its discretion, taking into account the economic, social, democratic and security needs of the country;
(b) With respect to article 17:
This article shall in no way be understood as limiting the application of the labour and civil service laws of the country, especially is so far as they refer to the requirements, quotas and conditions of work which an alien must fulfil in his employment;
(e) With respect to article 34:
The Government of the Republic of Honduras shall not be obligated to guarantee refugees more favourable naturalization facilities than those ordinarily granted to aliens in accordance with the laws of the country.

IRAN (ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF)

Subject to the following reservations:
1. In all cases where, under the provisions of this Convention, refugees enjoy the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign State, the Government of Iran reserves the right not to accord refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of States with which Iran has concluded regional establishment, customs, economic or political agreements.

2. The Government of Iran considers the stipulations contained in articles 17, 23, 24 and 26 as being recommendations only.

Ireland 25

"[S]ubject to the following declarations and reservations:

2. The Government of Ireland understands the words 'public order' in article 32 (1) and the words 'in accordance with due process of law' in article 32 (2) to mean, respectively, 'public policy' and 'in accordance with a procedure provided by law'.

3. With regard to article 17 the Government of Ireland do not undertake to grant to refugees rights of wage-earning employment more favourable than those granted to aliens generally.

4. The Government of Ireland undertake to give effect to article 25 only insofar as may be practicable and permissible under the laws of Ireland.

5. With regard to article 29 (1) the Government of Ireland do not undertake to accord to refugees treatment more favourable than that accorded to aliens generally with respect to

(c) Income Tax (including Surtax)."

ISRAEL

"[S]ubject to the following statements and reservations:

2. Articles 8 and 12 shall not apply to Israel.

3. Article 28 shall apply to Israel with the limitations which result from Section 6 of the Passport Law of 5712-1952, according to which the Minister may, at his discretion:

(a) Refuse to grant, or to extend the validity of a passport or laissez-passer;

(b) Attach conditions to the grant or the extension of the validity of a passport or laissez-passer;

(c) Cancel, or shorten the period of validity of a passport or laissez-passer issued, and order the surrender thereof;

(d) Limit, either at or after the issue of a passport or laissez-passer, the range of countries for which it is to be valid.

4. Permits provided for by Article 30 shall be issued by the Minister of Finance at his discretion."

ITALY 26

JAMAICA

"The Government of Jamaica confirms and maintains the following reservations, which were made when the Convention was extended to Jamaica by the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland:

(i) The Government of the United Kingdom understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking by the above-mentioned territory, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the ground of his nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which, at the date of entry into force of the Convention for the above-mentioned territory, are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State.

(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom accept paragraph 2 of article 17 in its application to the above-mentioned territory with the substitution of 'four years' for 'three years' in subparagraph (a) and with the omission of subparagraph (c).

(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 2 of that article will be applied in the above-mentioned territory so far as the law allows.

(iv) The Government of the United Kingdom cannot undertake that effect will be given in the above-mentioned territory to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 will be applied in the above-mentioned territory so far as the law allows."

LATVIA

"Reservation

In accordance with paragraph 1 of article 42 of the [said Convention], the Republic of Latvia declares that it does not consider itself bound by the article 8 and the article 34 of the Convention.

Reservation

In accordance with paragraph 1 of the article 42 of the [said Convention], the Republic of Latvia, in respect of the article 26 of the Convention, reserves the right to designate the place or places of residence of the refugees whenever considerations of national security or public order so require.

Reservation

In accordance with paragraph 1 of the article 42 of the [said Convention], the Republic of Latvia declares that the provisions of paragraphs 1 and 2 of the article 17 and article 24 of the Convention it considers as recommendations and not legal obligations.

Reservation

In accordance with paragraph 1 of the article 42 of the [said Convention], the Republic of Latvia declares that in all cases where the Convention grants to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country, this provision shall not be interpreted by the Government of the Republic of Latvia as necessarily involving the regime accorded to nationals of countries with which the Republic of Latvia had concluded regional customs, economic, political or social security agreements."

LIECHTENSTEIN 27

LUXEMBOURG

Subject to the following reservation: in all cases where this Convention grants to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country, this provision shall not be interpreted as necessarily involving the régime accorded to nationals of countries with which the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg has concluded regional, customs, economic or political agreements.

The Grand Duchy of Luxembourg considers that the reservation made by the Republic of Guatemala concerning the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees of 28 July 1951 and the Protocol relating to the Status of Refugee of 31 January 1967 does not affect the
obligations of Guatemala deriving from those instruments.

**MADAGASCAR**

The provisions of article 7 (1) shall not be interpreted as requiring the same treatment as is accorded to nationals of countries with which the Malagasy Republic has concluded conventions of establishment or agreements on co-operation; the provisions of articles 8 and 9 shall not be interpreted as forbidding the Malagasy Government to take, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, measures with regard to a refugee because of his nationality in the interests of national security.

The provisions of article 17 cannot be interpreted as preventing the application of the laws and regulations establishing the proportion of alien workers that employers are authorized to employ in Madagascar or affecting the obligations of such employers in connexion with the employment of alien workers.

**MALAWI**

“In respect of articles 7, 13, 15, 19, 22 and 24 the Government of the Republic of Malawi considers these provisions as recommendations only and not legally binding obligations.

In respect of article 17 the Government of the Republic of Malawi does not consider itself bound to grant a refugee who fulfills any of the conditions set forth in subparagraphs (a) to (c) to paragraph (2) of article 17 automatic exemption for the obligation to obtain a work permit.

In respect of article 17 as a whole, the Government of the Republic of Malawi does not undertake to grant to refugees rights of wage earning employment more favourable than those granted to aliens generally.

In respect of article 26 the Government of the Republic of Malawi reserves its right to designate the place or places of residence of the refugees and to restrict their movements whenever considerations of national security or public order so require.

In respect of article 34 the Government of the Republic of Malawi is not bound to grant to refugees any more favourable naturalization facilities than are granted, in accordance with the relevant laws and regulations, to aliens generally.”

**MALTA**

**MEXICO**

It will always be the task of the Government of Mexico to determine and grant, in accordance with its legal provisions in force, refugee status, without prejudice to the definition of a refugee provided for under article 1 of the Convention and article 2 of its Protocol.

The Government of Mexico has the power to grant refugees greater facilities for naturalization and assimilation than those accorded to aliens in general, within the framework of its population policy and, particularly, with regard to refugees, in accordance with its national legislation.

The Government of Mexico is convinced of the importance of ensuring that all refugees can obtain wage-earning employment as a means of subsistence and affirms that refugees will be treated, in accordance with the law, under the same conditions as aliens in general, including the laws and regulations which establish the proportion of alien workers that employers are authorized to employ in Mexico, and this will not affect the obligations of employers with regard to the employment of alien workers.

On the other hand, since the Government of Mexico is unable to guarantee refugees who meet any of the requirements referred to in article 17, paragraph 2, (a), (b) and (c), of the Convention, the automatic extension of the obligations for obtaining a work permit, it lodges an express reservation to these provisions.

The Government of Mexico reserves the right to assign, in accordance with its national legislation, the place or places of residence of refugees and to establish the conditions for moving within the national territory, for which reason it lodges an express reservation to articles 26 and 31 (2) of the Convention.

**MONACO**

Subject to the reservation that the stipulations contained in articles 7 (paragraph 2), 15, 22 (paragraph 1), 23 and 24 shall be provisionally considered as being recommendations and not legal obligations.

** MOZAMBIQUE**

The Government of Mozambique will take these provisions as simple recommendations not binding it to accord to refugees the same treatment as is accorded to Mozambicans with respect to elementary education and property.

The Government of Mozambique will interpret these provisions to the effect that it is not required to grant privileges from obligation to obtain a work permit.

The Government of Mozambique will not be bound to accord to refugees or groups of refugees resident in its territory more extensive rights than those enjoyed by nationals with respect to the right of association and it reserves the right to restrict them in the interest of national security.

The Government of Mozambique reserves its right to designate place or places for principal residence for refugees or to restrict their freedom of movement whenever considerations of national security make it advisable.

The Government of Mozambique does not consider itself bound to grant to refugees facilities greater than those granted to other categories of aliens in general, with respect to naturalization laws.”

**NAMIBIA**

“Subject to the following reservation in respect of article 26:

The Government of the Republic of Namibia reserves the right to designate a place or places for principal reception and residence for refugees or to restrict their freedom of movement if consideration of national security so required or make it advisable.”

**NETHERLANDS**

This signature is appended subject to the reservation that in all cases where this Convention grants to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country this provision shall not be interpreted as involving the régime accorded to nationals of countries with which the Netherlands has concluded regional, customs, economic or political agreements.

(1) With reference to article 26 of this Convention, the Netherlands Government reserves the right to designate a place of principal residence for certain refugees or groups of refugees in the public interest.

(2) In the notifications concerning overseas territories referred to in article 40, paragraph 2, of this Convention, the Netherlands Government reserves the right to make a declaration in accordance with section B of article 1 with respect to such territories and to make reservations in accordance with article 42 of the Convention.
In depositing the instrument of ratification by the Netherlands, . . . I declare on behalf of the Netherlands Government that it does not regard the Ambonese who were transported to the Netherlands after 27 December 1949, the date of the transfer of sovereignty by the Kingdom of the Netherlands to the Republic of the United States of Indonesia, as eligible for the status of refugees as defined in article 1 of the said Convention.

**NEW ZEALAND**

"The Government of New Zealand can only undertake to give effect to the provisions contained in paragraph 2 of article 24 of the Convention so far as the law of New Zealand allows."

**NORWAY**

"The obligation stipulated in article 17 (1) to accord to refugees lawfully staying in the country the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country in the same circumstances as regards the right to engage in wage-earning employment, shall not be construed as extending to refugees the benefits of agreements which may in the future be concluded between Norway, Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Sweden, or between Norway and any one of these countries, for the purpose of establishing special conditions for the transfer of labour between these countries."

**PAPUA NEW GUINEA**

"The Government of Papua New Guinea in accordance with article 42 paragraph 1 of the Convention makes a reservation with respect to the provisions contained in articles 17 (1), 21, 22 (1), 26, 31, 32 and 34 of the Convention and does not accept the obligations stipulated in these articles."

**POLAND**

The Republic of Poland does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 24, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

**PORTUGAL**

"In all cases in which the Convention confers upon the refugees the most favoured person status granted to nationals of a foreign country, this clause will not be interpreted in such a way as to mean the status granted by Portugal to the nationals of Brazil."

**REPUBLIC OF KOREA**

"... with the following declarations and reservations:

1. According to paragraph 1, article 40 of the Convention, the Republic of Moldova declares that, until the full restoration of the territorial integrity of the Republic of Moldova, the provisions of this Convention are applicable only in the territory where the jurisdiction of the Republic of Moldova is exercised.

2. The Republic of Moldova shall apply the provisions of this convention with no discrimination generally not only as to race, religion or country of origin as stipulated in Article 3 of the Convention.

3. For the purposes of this Convention by the notion "residence"shall be understood the permanent and lawful domicile.

4. According to paragraph 1 of Article 42 of the Convention, the Republic of Moldova reserves the right that the provisions of the Convention, according to which refugees shall be accorded treatment not less favorable than that accorded aliens generally, are not interpreted as an obligation to offer refugees a regime similar to that accorded to the citizens of the states with which the Republic of Moldova has signed regional customs, economic, political and social security treaties.

5. According to paragraph 1 of Article 42 of the Convention, the Republic of Moldova reserves the right to consider the provisions of Article 13 as recommendations and not as obligations.

6. According to paragraph 1 of Article 42 of the Convention, the Republic of Moldova reserves the right to consider the provisions of Article 17 (2) as recommendations and not as obligations.

7. According to paragraph 1 of Article 42 of the Convention, the Republic of Moldova reserves the right to consider the provisions of Article 21 of the Convention as not obliged to accord housing to refugees.

8. The Government of the Republic of Moldova reserves the right to apply the provisions of Article 24 so that they do not infringe upon the constitutional and domestic legislation provisions ridding the right to labor and social protection.

9. According to paragraph 1 of Article 42 of the Convention, in implementing Article 26 of this Convention, the Republic of Moldova reserves the right to establish the place of residence for certain refugees or groups of refugees in the interest of the state and society.

10. The Republic of Moldova shall apply the provisions of Article 31 of the Convention as of the date of the entry into force of the Law on Refugee Status.

**RWANDA**

For reasons of public policy (ordre public), the Rwandese Re public reserves the right to determine the place of residence of refugees and to establish limits to their freedom of movement.

**SIERRA LEONE**

"The Government of Sierra Leone wishes to state with regard to article 17 (2) that Sierra Leone does not consider itself bound to grant to refugees the rights stipulated therein.

Further, with regard to article 17 as a whole, the Government of Sierra Leone wishes to state that it considers the article to be a recommendation only and not a binding obligation.

The Government of Sierra Leone wishes to state that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 29, and it reserves the right to impose special taxes on aliens as provided for in the Constitution."

**SOMALIA**

"[Subject to] the following declaration:

The Government of the Somali Democratic Republic acceded to the Convention and Protocol on the understanding that nothing in the said Convention or Protocol will be construed to prejudice or adversely affect the national status, or political aspiration of displaced people from Somali Territories under alien domination. It is in this spirit, that the Somali Democratic Republic will commit itself to respect the terms and provisions of the said Convention and Protocol."

**SPAIN**

(a) The expression "the most favourable treatment" shall, in all the articles in which it is used, be interpreted as not including rights which, by law or by treaty, are granted to nationals of Portugal, Andorra, the Philippines or the Latin American countries or to nationals of countries with which international agreements of a regional nature are concluded.

(b) The Government of Spain considers that article 8 is not a binding rule but a recommendation.
Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste accedes to the application of those provisions of the Convention which
on the application of article 12, paragraph 1. Article 12, paragraph 2, shall be interpreted as referring exclusively
to rights acquired by a refugee before he obtained, in any country, the status of refugee.
(d) Article 26 of the Convention shall be interpreted
as not precluding the adoption of special measures
concerning the place of residence of particular refugees,
in accordance with Spanish law.

SUDAN

SWEDEN

First , a general reservation to the effect that the application of those provisions of the Convention which
grant to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded
to nationals of a foreign country shall not be affected by
the fact that special rights and privileges are now or may
in future be accorded by Sweden to the nationals of
Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Norway or to the nationals
of any one of those countries; and, secondly , the
following reservations: a reservation to article 8 to the
effect that that article shall not be binding on Sweden; a
reservation to article 12, paragraph 1, to the effect that the
Convention shall not modify the rule of Swedish private
international law, as now in force, under which the
personal status of a refugee is governed by the law of his
country of nationality ...; a reservation to article 17, paragraph 2, to the effect that Sweden does not consider itself bound to grant a refugee who fulfils any one of the
conditions set out in subparagraphs (a)-(c) an automatic exemption from the obligation to obtain a work permit; a
reservation to article 24, paragraph 1 (b), to the effect that notwithstanding the principle of national treatment for
refugees, Sweden shall not be bound to accord to refugees
the same treatment as is accorded to nationals in respect of
the possibility of entitlement to a national pension
under the provisions of the National Insurance Act; and
likewise to the effect that, in so far as the right to a
supplementary pension under the said Act and the
computation of such pension in certain respects are
concerned, the rules applicable to Swedish nationals shall
be more favourable than those applied to other insured
persons; a reservation to article 24, paragraph 3, to the effect that the provisions of this paragraph shall not be
binding on Sweden; and a reservation to article 25, to the effect that Sweden does not consider itself bound to cause
a certificate to be delivered by a Swedish authority, in the
place of the authorities of a foreign country, if the
documentary records necessary for the delivery of such a
certificate do not exist in Sweden.

SCHANZ

TIMOR-ESTE

"In conformity with Article 42 of the Convention, the
Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste accedes to the
Convention with reservations in respect of Articles 16 (2),
20, 21, 22, 23 and 24."

TURKEY

The Egyptian Government considers moreover, that the
term "events occurring before 1 January 1951" refers to
the beginning of the events. Consequently, since the
pressure exerted upon the Turkish minority in Bulgaria,
which began before 1 January 1951, is still continuing,
the provision of this Convention must also apply to the
Bulgarian refugees of Turkish extraction compelled to
leave that country as a result of this pressure and who,
being unable to enter Turkey, might seek refuge on the
territory of another contracting party after 1 January 1951.

The Turkish Government will, at the time of
ratification, enter reservations which it could make under
article 42 of the Convention.

No provision of this Convention may be interpreted as
granting to refugees greater rights than those accorded to
Turkish citizens in Turkey:

The Government of the Republic of Turkey is not a
country, the status of refugee.

The Government of the Republic of Turkey
considers the Convention of 28 July 1951 independently
of the aforementioned Arrangements ...
by and the resulting expense is reimbursed to the Government of the Republic of Uganda by the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees or any other agency of the United Nations which may succeed it.

(8) In respect of article 32: Without recourse to legal process the Government of the Republic of Uganda shall, in the public interest, have the unfettered right to expel any refugee in her territory and may at any time apply such internal measures as the Government may deem necessary in the circumstances; so however that, any action taken by the Government of the Republic of Uganda in this regard shall not operate to the prejudice of the provisions of article 33 of this Convention.”

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

“(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing them from taking in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the ground of his nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which at the date of entry into force of this Convention for the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State.

(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland accept paragraph 2 of article 17 with the substitution of “four years” for “three years” in sub-paragraph (a) and with the omission of sub-paragraph (c).

(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, in respect of such of the matters referred to in sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 as fall within the scope of the National Health Service, can only undertake to apply the provisions of that paragraph so far as the law allows; and it can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 2 of that Article so far as the law allows.

(iv) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake to give effect to the obligations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 3 so far as the law allows.

Commentary

In connexion with sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 relating to certain matters within the scope of the National Health Service, the National Health Service (Amendment) Act, 1949, contains powers for charges to be made to persons not ordinarily resident in Great Britain (which category would include refugees) who receive treatment under the Service. While these powers have not yet been exercised it is possible that this might have to be done at some future date. In Northern Ireland the health services are restricted to persons ordinarily resident in the country except where regulations are made to extend the Service to others. It is for these reasons that the Government of the United Kingdom while they are prepared in the future, as in the past, to give the most sympathetic consideration to the situation of refugees, find it necessary to make a reservation to sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 of the Convention.

The scheme of Industrial Injuries Insurance in Great Britain does not meet the requirements of paragraph 2 of article 24 of the Convention. Where an insured person has died as the result of an industrial accident or a disease due to the nature of his employment, benefit cannot generally be paid to his dependants who are abroad unless they are in any part of the British Commonwealth, in the Irish Republic or in a country with which the United Kingdom has made a reciprocal agreement concerning the payment of industrial injury benefits. There is an exception to this rule in favour of the dependants of certain seamen who die as a result of industrial accidents happening to them while they are in the service of British ships. In this matter refugees are treated in the same way as citizens of the United Kingdom and Colonies and by reason of paragraphs 3 and 4 of article 24 of the Convention, the dependants of refugees will be able to take advantage of reciprocal agreements which provide for the payment of United Kingdom industrial injury benefits in other countries. By reason of paragraphs (3) and (4) of article 24 refugees will enjoy under the scheme of National Insurance and Industrial Injuries Insurance certain rights which are withheld from British subjects who are not citizens of the United Kingdom and Colonies.

No arrangements exist in the United Kingdom for the administrative assistance for which provision is made in article 25 nor have any such arrangements been found necessary in the case of refugees. Any need for the documents or certifications mentioned in paragraph 2 of that article would be met by affidavits.

ZAMBIA

“Subject to the following reservations made pursuant to article 42 (1) of the Convention:

Article 17 (2)

The Government of the Republic of Zambia wishes to state with regard to article 17, paragraph 2, that Zambia does not consider itself bound to the extent of the conditions set out in sub-paragraphs (a) to (c) automatic exemption from the obligation to grant a refugee who does not wish to undertake to grant to refugees rights of wage-earning employment more favourable than those granted to aliens generally.

Article 22 (1)

The Government of the Republic of Zambia wishes to state that it considers article 22 (1) to be a recommendation only and not a binding obligation to accord to refugees the same treatment as is accorded to nationals with respect to elementary education.

Article 26

The Government of the Republic of Zambia wishes to state with regard to article 26 that it reserves the right to designate a place or places of residence for refugees.

Article 28

The Government of the Republic of Zambia wishes to state with regard to article 28 that Zambia considers itself not bound to issue a travel document with a return clause in cases where a country of second asylum has accepted or indicated its willingness to accept a refugee from Zambia.”

ZIMBABWE

“1. The Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe declares that it is not bound by any of the reservations to the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, the application of which had been extended by the Government of the United Kingdom to its territory before the attainment of independence.

2. The Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe wishes to state with regard to article 17, paragraph 2, that it does not consider itself bound to grant a refugee who...
fulfills any of the conditions set out in subparagraphs (a) to (c) automatic exemption from the obligation to obtain a work permit. In addition, with regard to article 17 as a whole, the Republic of Zimbabwe does not undertake to grant to refugees rights of wage-earning employment more favourable than those granted to aliens generally.

3. The Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe wishes to state that it considers article 22 (1) as being a recommendation only and not an obligation to accord to refugees the same treatment as it accords to nationals with respect to elementary education.

4. The Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe considers articles 23 and 24 as being recommendations only.

5. The Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe wishes to state with regard to article 26 that it reserves the right to designate a place or places of residence for refugees.

Objections
(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

BELGIUM
[Regarding the reservation made by Guatemala upon accession] [the Belgian Government] considers that it is impossible for the other States parties to determine the scope of a reservation which is expressed in such broad terms and which refers for the most part to domestic law, and that the reservation is thus not acceptable. It therefore voices an objection to the said reservation.

ETHIOPIA
"The Provisional Military Government of Socialist Ethiopia wishes to place on record its objection to the declaration [made by Somalia upon accession] and that it does not recognize it as valid on the ground that there are no Somali territories under alien domination."

FRANCE
"The Federal Government views [the reservation made by Guatemala] as being worded in such general terms that its application could conceivably nullify the provisions of the Convention and the Protocol. Consequently, this reservation cannot be accepted."

GREECE

ITALY
[The Government of Italy] considers [the reservation made by Guatemala] to be unacceptable since the very general terms in which it is couched and the fact that it refers for the most part to domestic law and leaves it to the Guatemalan Government to decide whether to apply numerous aspects of the Convention make it impossible for other States parties to determine the scope of the reservation.

LUXEMBOURG

NETHERLANDS
"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands is of the opinion that a reservation phrased in such general terms and referring to the domestic law only is undesirable, since its scope is not entirely clear."

Territorial Application

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Date of receipt of the notification</th>
<th>Territories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>22 Jan 1954</td>
<td>Nauru, Norfolk Island and Papua New Guinea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>4 Dec 1952</td>
<td>Greenland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>23 Jun 1954</td>
<td>All territories for the international relations of which France is responsible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>29 Jul 1971</td>
<td>Suriname</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland</td>
<td>11 Mar 1954</td>
<td>Channel Islands and Isle of Man</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 Oct 1956</td>
<td>The following territories with reservations: British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Cyprus, Dominica, Falkland Islands, Fiji, Gambia, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Grenada, Jamaica, Kenya, Mauritius, St. Vincent, Seychelles, Somaliland Protectorate, Zanzibar and St. Helena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19 Jun 1957</td>
<td>British Honduras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11 Jul 1960</td>
<td>Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
26 of the Convention, in conjunction with article 1, grants to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country, this provision shall not be interpreted as involving the regime accorded to nationals of countries with which the Kingdom of the Netherlands has concluded regional, customs, economic or political agreements which apply to Surinam;

"2. that the Government of Surinam as regards article 26 of the Convention, in conjunction with article 1, paragraph 1, of the Protocol, reserves the right for reasons of public order to appoint for certain refugees or groups of refugees a principal place of residence."
Notes:


2 The former Yugoslavia had signed and ratified the Convention on 28 July 1951 and 15 December 1959, respectively declaring that it considered itself bound by alternative (b) of Section B(1) of the Convention. See also note 1 under “Bosnia and Herzegovina”, “Croatia”, “former Yugoslavia”, “Slovenia”, “The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia” and “Yugoslavia” in the “Historical Information” section in the front matter of this volume.

3 On 27 April 1999, the Government of Portugal informed the Secretary-General that the Convention would apply to Macau. Subsequently, on 18 November and 3 December 1999, the Secretary-General received communications concerning the status of Macao from the Governments of China and Portugal (see also note 3 under “China” and note 1 under “Portugal” regarding Macao in the “Historical Information” section in the front matter of this volume). Upon resuming the exercise of sovereignty over Macao, China notified the Secretary-General that the Convention with the reservation made by China will also apply to the Macao Special Administrative Region.

4 Czechoslovakia had acceded to the Convention on 26 November 1991 declaring that it considered itself bound by alternative (b) of Section B (1) of the Convention. See also note 1 under “Czech Republic” and note 1 under “Slovakia” in the “Historical Information” section in the front matter of this volume.

5 See note 1 under “Germany” regarding Berlin (West) in the “Historical Information” section in the front matter of this volume.

6 The German Democratic Republic had acceded to the Convention on 4 September 1990 choosing alternative (b) of Section B (1) of the Convention. See also note 2 under “Germany” in the “Historical Information” section in the front matter of this volume.

7 Upon notifying its succession (29 November1978) the Government of Suriname informed the Secretary-General that the Republic of Suriname did not succeed to the reservations formulated on 29 July 1951 by the Netherlands when the Convention and Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees were extended to Suriname.

8 In a declaration contained in the notification of succession to the Convention, the Government of Tuvalu confirmed that it regards the Convention [...] as continuing in force subject to reservations previously made by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland in relation to the Colony of the Gilbert and Ellice Islands.

9 The instrument of accession was accompanied by the following communication:

"Having transmitted to the Secretary-General the Instrument of Accession of Ukraine simultaneously to the 1951 Convention and 1967 Protocol relating to the status of refugees, and in view of the fact that the Protocol provides in article 1 (2) that "the term ‘refugee’ shall...mean any person within the definition of article 1 of the Convention as if the words ‘As result of events occurring before 1 January 1951 and...and the words ‘...as a result of such events' in article 1 A (2) were omitted" and thus modifies in effect the provisions of article 1 of the Convention, it is the position of the Government of Ukraine that no separate declaration under article 1 B (1) of the Convention is required in the circumstances."

10 The formality was effected by the Yemen Arab Republic. See also note 1 under “Yemen” in the “Historical Information” section in the front matter of this volume.

11 States having previously specified alternative (a) under section B (1) of article 1.

12 Notifications of the extension of their obligations under the Convention by adopting alternative (b) of section B (1) of article 1 of the Convention were received by the Secretary-General on the dates indicated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Date of notification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>5 Nov 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>1 Dec 1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>6 Jul 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>14 Feb 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>29 Dec 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>15 Oct 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>28 Jan 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>10 Oct 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire</td>
<td>20 Dec 1966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>1 Feb 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>3 Feb 1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holy See</td>
<td>17 Nov 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>8 Jan 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran (Islamic Republic of)</td>
<td>27 Sep 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1 Mar 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>3 Nov 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>22 Aug 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>17 Jan 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>7 Dec 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>10 Jan 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>8 Dec 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>13 Jul 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>12 Oct 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>7 Mar 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Togo</td>
<td>23 Oct 1962</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13 On 21 January 1983, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Botswana the following communication:

"Having simultaneously acceded to the Convention and Protocol [relating to the status of refugees done at New York on 31 January 1967] on the 6th January 1969 and in view of the fact that the Protocol provides in article 1 (2) that the term ‘refugee’ shall ...mean any person within the definition of article 1 of the Convention’ as if the words ‘As a result of events occurring before 1 January 1951 and...and the words ‘...as a result of such events' in article 1 A(2) were omitted" and thus modifies in effect the provisions of article 1 of the Convention, it is the position of the Government of Botswana that no separate declaration under article 1 B (1) of the Convention is required in the circumstances."
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On the basis of the afore-mentioned communication, the Secretary-General has included Botswana in the list of States having chosen formula (b) under section B of article 1.

Subsequently, in a communication, received by the Secretary-General on 29 April 1986, and with reference to article 1 B (1) of the above-mentioned Convention, the Government of Botswana confirmed that it has no objection to be listed among the States applying the Convention without any geographical limitation.

The instrument of accession contains the following declaration:

"... The mandatory declaration specifying which of the two meanings in Article 1 (B) (I) a Contracting State applies for the purpose of its obligations under the Convention has been superseded by the provisions of Article 1 of the Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees of 31 January 1967. Furthermore, the previous date-line would render Malawi's accession nugatory.

"Consequently, and since [the Government of the Republic of Malawi] is simultaneously acceding to the said Protocol, the obligations hereby assumed by the Government of the Republic of Malawi are not limited by the previous dateline or bounded by the concomitant geographic limitation in the Convention."

On the basis of the above declaration, the Secretary-General has included Malawi in the list of States having chosen formula (b) under section B of article 1.

Further, on 4 February 1988, the Secretary-General received the following declaration from the Government of Malawi:

"When making the declaration under Section B of article 1 of the Convention, the Government of the Republic of Malawi intended and intends to apply the Convention and the Protocol thereto liberally in the lines of article 1 of the Protocol without being bounded by the geographic limitation or the dateline specified in the Convention.

"In the view of the Government of the Republic of Malawi the formula in the Convention is static and the Government of the Republic of Malawi's position, as stated, merely seeks to assist in the progressive development of international law in this area as epitomised by the 1967 Protocol. It is therefore the view of the Government of the Republic of Malawi that the declaration is consistent with the objects and purposes of the Convention and it entails the assumption of obligation beyond but perfectly consistent with those of the Convention and the Protocol thereto."

In view of the said declaration, Malawi remains listed among those States which, in accordance with Section B of article 1 of the Convention, will apply the said Convention to events occurring in Europe or elsewhere before 1 January 1951.

These reservations replace those made at the time of signature. For the text of reservations made on signature, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol.189, p.186.

On 7 April 1972, upon its accession to the Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees done at New York on 31 January 1967, the Government of Brazil withdraws its reservations excluding articles 15 and 17, paragraphs 1 and 3, from its application to the Convention. For the text of the said reservations, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 380, p.430.

On notifying its succession to the Convention, the Government of Denmark informed the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw as from 1 October 1961 the reservation to article 14 of the Convention.

In a communication received on 25 March 1968, the Government of Denmark informed the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw as from that date the reservations made on ratification to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of article 24 and partially the reservation made on ratification to article 17 by rewording the said reservation. For the text of the reservations originally formulated by the Government of Denmark on ratification, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol.189, p.198.

On 7 October 2004, the Government of Finland informed the Secretary-General of the following:

"WHEREAS the Instrument of Accession contained reservations, inter alia, to Article 7, paragraph 2; Article 8; Article 12, paragraph 1; Article 24, paragraph 1 (b) and paragraph 3; Article 25 and Article 28, paragraph 1 in the Convention;

NOW THEREFORE the Government of the Republic of Finland do hereby withdraw the said reservations, while the general reservation concerning nationals of Denmark, Iceland, Norway and Sweden and the reservation on Article 24, paragraph 3, will remain."

The original reservations made upon accession, read as follows:

"[S]ubject to the following reservations: (1)
A general reservation to the effect that the application of those provisions of the Convention which grant to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country shall not be affected by the fact that special rights and privileges are now or may in future be accorded by Finland to the nationals of Denmark, Iceland, Norway and Sweden or to the nationals of any one of those Countries;

(2) A reservation to article 7, paragraph 2, to the effect that Finland is not prepared, as a general measure, to grant refugees who fulfil the conditions of three years residence in Finland an exemption from any legislative reciprocity which Finnish law
may have stipulated as a condition governing an alien’s eligibility for same right or privilege;

(3) A reservation to article 8 to the effect that that article shall not be binding on Finland;

(4) A reservation to article 12, paragraph 1, to the effect that the Convention shall not modify the rule of Finnish private international law, as now in force, under which the personal status of a refugee is governed by the law of his country of nationality;

(5) A reservation to article 24, paragraph 1 (b) and paragraph 3 to the effect that they shall not be binding on Finland;

(6) A reservation to article 25, effect that Finland does not consider itself bound to cause a certificate to be delivered by a Finnish authority, in the place of the authorities of a foreign country, if the documentary records necessary for the delivery of such certificate do not exist in Finland;

(7) A reservation with respect to the provisions contained in paragraph 1 of article 28. Finland does not accept the obligations stipulated in the said paragraph, but is prepared to recognize travel documents issued by other Contracting States pursuant to this article."

On notifying its succession to the Convention, the Government of Gambia confirmed the reservations made at the time of the extension of the Convention to its territory by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 19 April 1978, the Government of Greece declared that it withdrew the reservations that it had made upon ratification pertaining to articles 8, 11, 13, 24 (3), 26, 28, 31, 32 and 34, and also the objection contained in paragraph 6 of the relevant declaration of reservations by Greece is also withdrawn.  

Subsequently, in a notification received on 27 February 1995, the Government of Greece notified the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw its reservation to article 17 made upon ratification. For the text of the reservations and objection so withdrawn, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 354, p.402.

In a communication received on 26 April 2007, the Government of the Republic of Guatemala notified the Secretary-General that it has decided to withdraw the reservation and declaration made upon accession to the Convention. The text of the reservation and declaration withdrawn reads as follows:

The Republic of Guatemala accedes to the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees and its Protocol, with the reservation that it will not apply provisions of those instruments in respect of which the Convention allows reservations if those provisions contravene constitutional precepts in Guatemala or norms of public order under domestic law.

The expression "treatment as favourable as possible" in all articles of the Convention and of the Protocol in which the expression is used should be interpreted as not including rights which, under law or treaty, the Republic of Guatemala has accorded or is according to nationals of the Central American countries or of other countries with which it has concluded or is entering into agreements of a regional nature.

On 29 May 2013, the Government of Honduras informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw the following reservations to articles 24, 26 and 31 of the Convention made upon accession:

(c) With respect to article 24:

The Government of Honduras shall apply this article to the extent that it does not violate constitutional provisions governing labour, administrative or social security legislation in force in the country;

(d) With respect to articles 26 and 31:

The Government of Honduras reserves the right to designate, change or limit the place of residence of certain refugees or groups of refugees and to restrict their freedom of movement when national or international considerations so warrant;

In a communication received on 23 October 1968, the Government of Ireland notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of two of its reservations in respect of article 29 (1), namely those indicated at (a) and (b) of paragraph 5 of declarations and reservations contained in the instrument of accession by the Government of Ireland to the Convention; for the text of the withdrawn reservations, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 254, p.412.

In a communication received on 20 October 1964, the Government of Italy has notified the Secretary-General that it withdraws the reservations made at the time of signature, and confirmed at the time of ratification, to articles 6, 7, 8, 19, 22, 23, 25 and 34 of the Convention [see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol.189, p. 192]. The above-mentioned reservations are inconsistent with the internal provisions issued by the Italian Government since the ratification of the Convention. The Italian Government also adopted in December 1963 provisions which implement the contents of paragraph 2 of article 17:

Furthermore, the Italian Government confirms that "it maintains its declaration made in accordance with section B (1) of article 1, and that it recognizes the provisions of articles 17 and 18 as recommendations only". (See also note 12.)

Subsequently, in a communication received on 1 March 1990, the Government of Italy notified the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw the declaration by which the provisions of articles 17 and 18 were recognized by it as recommendations only. For the complete text of the reservations see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 189, p.192.

On 13 October 2009, the Government of Liechtenstein informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw the reservations concerning articles 17 and 24 of the Convention made upon Ratification. The texts of the reservations withdrawn read as follows:

Ad article 17: With respect to the right to engage in wage-earning employment, refugees are treated in law on the same footing as aliens in general, on the understanding, however, that...
the competent authorities shall make every effort insofar as possible, to apply to them the provisions of this article.

Ad article 24, paragraph 1(a) and (b), and paragraph 3: Provisions relating to aliens in general on training, apprenticeship, unemployment insurance, old-age and survivors insurance shall be applicable to refugees. Nevertheless, in the case of old-age and survivors insurance, refugees residing in Liechtenstein (including their survivors if the latter are considered as refugees) are already entitled to normal old-age or survivors’ benefits after paying their contributions for at least one full year, provided that they have resided in Liechtenstein for ten years – of which five years without interruption have immediately preceded the occurrence of the event insured against. Moreover, the one-third reduction in benefits provided in the case of aliens and stateless persons under article 74 of the Act on Old-Age and Survivors Insurance, is not applicable to age or survivors' benefits, are paid not only their own by the employers.

On 11 July 2014, the Government of Mexico notified the Secretary-General of the partial withdrawal of the reservation made upon accession. The portion of the reservation which has been withdrawn read as follows:

The Government of Mexico lodges an express reservation to article 32 of the Convention and, therefore refers to the application of article 33 of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States, without prejudice to observance of the principle of non-refoulement set forth in article 33 of the Convention.

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 21 January 1954, the Government of Norway gave notice of the withdrawal, with immediate effect, of the reservation to article 24 of the Convention, "as the Acts mentioned in the said reservation have been amended to accord to refugees lawfully staying in the country the same treatment as is accorded to Norwegian nationals". For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol.189, p.198.

On 20 August 2013, the Government of the Independent State of Papua New Guinea notified the Secretary-General, in accordance with article 42 (2) of the Convention, of its decision to partially withdraw its reservation made upon accession:

"... In accordance with article 42, paragraph 2 of the Convention, I wish to communicate to you that Papua New Guinea withdraws its reservation with respect to the provisions contained in articles 17 (1), 21, 22 (1), 26, 31, 32 and 34 of the Convention in relations to refugees transferred by the Government of Australia to Papua New Guinea and accepts the obligations stipulated in these articles in relation to such persons. This withdrawal has immediate effect. The reservation remains in effect for all other persons...”

The text, which was communicated in a notification received on 13 July 1976, replaces the reservations originally made by Portugal upon accession. For the text of the reservations withdrawn, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 383, p.314.

In a communication received on 1 September 2009, the Government of the Republic of Korea notified the Secretary-General that it has decided to withdraw the reservation in respect to article 7 made upon accession to the Convention as of 8 September 2009. The text of the reservation withdrawn reads as follows:

"The Republic of Korea declares pursuant to article 42 of the Convention that it is not bound by article 7 which provides for the exemption of refugees from legislative reciprocity after fulfilling the condition of three years' residence in the territory of the Contracting States."

In a communication received on 20 April 1961, the Government of Sweden gave notice of the withdrawal, as from 1 July 1961, of the reservation to article 14 of the Convention.

In a communication received on 25 November 1966, the Government of Sweden has notified the Secretary-General that it has decided, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 42 of the Convention, to withdraw some of its reservations to article 24, paragraph 1 (b), by rewording them and to withdraw the reservation to article 24, paragraph 2.

In a communication received on 5 March 1970, the Government of Sweden notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of its reservation to article 7, paragraph 2, of the Convention.


In a communication received on 18 February 1963, the Government of Switzerland gave notice to the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservation made at the time of ratification to article 24, paragraph 1 (a) and (b) and paragraph
3, of the Convention, in so far as that reservation concerns old-age and survivors' insurance.

In a communication received on 3 July 1972, the Government of Switzerland gave notice of its withdrawal of the reservation to article 17 formulated in its instrument of ratification of the Convention.

In a communication received on 17 December 1980, the Government of Switzerland gave notice of its withdrawal, in its entirety, of the subsisting reservation formulated in respect of article 24, number 1, letters a and b, which encompasses training, apprenticeship and unemployment insurance with effect from 1 January 1981, date of entry into force of the Swiss Law on Asylum of 5 October 1979. For the text of the reservations made initially, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 202, p. 368.

36 See succession by Jamaica.

37 See succession by Kenya.

38 In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General on 22 March 1968, the President of the Republic of Malawi, referring to the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, done at Geneva on 28 July 1951, stated the following:

"In my letter to you of the 24th November 1964, concerning the disposition of Malawi's inherited treaty obligations, my Government declared that with respect to multilateral treaties which had been applied or extended to the former Nyasaland Protectorate, any Party to such a treaty could on the basis of reciprocity rely as against Malawi on the terms of such treaty until Malawi notified its depositary of what action it wished to take by way of confirmation of termination, confirmation of succession, or accession.

"I am now to inform you as depositary of this Convention that the Government of Malawi wishes to terminate any connection with this Convention which it might have inherited. The Government of Malawi considers that any legal relationship with the aforementioned Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, Geneva, 1951 which might have devolved upon it by way of succession from the ratification of the United Kingdom, is terminated as of this date."

See succession by Zambia.

39 See succession by Botswana (formerly Bechuanaland Protectorate).

40 On 3 October 1983, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Argentina the following objection:

"The Government of Argentina makes a] formal objection to the declaration of territorial extension issued by the United Kingdom with regard to the Malvinas Islands (and dependencies), which that country is illegally occupying and refers to as the "Falkland Islands".

The Argentine Republic rejects and considers null and void the [declaration] of territorial extension.

With reference to the above-mentioned objection the Secretary-General received, on 28 February 1985, from the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland the following declaration:

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland have no doubt as to their right, by notification to the Depositary under the relevant provisions of the above-mentioned Convention, to extend the application of the Convention in question to the Falkland Islands or to the Falkland Islands Dependencies, as the case may be.

For this reason alone, the Government of the United Kingdom are unable to regard the Argentine [communication] under reference as having any legal effect."

41 See note 1 under “United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland” in the “Historical Information” section in the front matter of this volume.

42 See succession by Fiji.