12. SECOND OPTIONAL PROTOCOL TO THE INTERNATIONAL COVENANT ON CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS, AIMING AT THE ABOLITION OF THE DEATH PENALTY

New York, 15 December 1989

ENTRY INTO FORCE:	11 July 1991, in accordance with article 8(1).
REGISTRATION:	11 July 1991, No. 14668.
STATUS:	Signatories: 40. Parties: 92.
TEXT:	United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1642, p.414

Note: The said Protocol, of which the Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish texts are equally authentic, was adopted by resolution $\frac{44/128^1}{128}$ of 15 December 1989 at the Forty-fourth session of the General Assembly of the United Nations and is open for signature at the United Nations Headquarters in New York by all States having signed the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

Participant Sig	gnature	Ratification Accession(a Succession(ı),	Participant Signature		Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)	
Albania		17 Oct 20	07 a El Salva	ador		8 Apr	2014 a
Andorra 5	Aug 2002	22 Sep 20	06 Estonia	•••••		30 Jan	2004 a
Angola24	Sep 2013	2 Oct 20	19 Finland	13 Feb	1990	4 Apr	1991
Argentina20	Dec 2006	2 Sep 20	08 France			2 Oct	2007 a
Armenia26	Sep 2019	18 Mar 20	Gabon.			2 Apr	2014 a
Australia		2 Oct 19	90 a Gambia		2017	28 Sep	2018
Austria 8	Apr 1991	2 Mar 19	93 Georgia	۱		22 Mar	1999 a
Azerbaijan		22 Jan 19	99 a German	13 Feb	1990	18 Aug	1992
Belgium12	Jul 1990	8 Dec 19	98 Greece			5 May	1997 a
Benin		5 Jul 20	12 a Guinea-	Bissau12 Sep	2000	24 Sep	2013
Bolivia (Plurinational				as10 May	1990	1 Apr	2008
State of)		12 Jul 20	13 a Hungar	у		24 Feb	1994 a
Bosnia and	a	16 16 20		30 Jan	1991	2 Apr	1991
Herzegovina7	Sep 2000	16 Mar 20	Ireland			18 Jun	1993 a
Brazil	M. 1000	1	109 a Italy	13 Feb	1990	14 Feb	1995
Bulgaria11	Mar 1999	10 Aug 19	Kazakh	stan23 Sep	2020	24 Mar	2022
Cabo Verde		19 May 20	Kyrgyz	stan		6 Dec	2010 a
Canada	NI 2001		05 a Latvia.			19 Apr	2013 a
Chile	Nov 2001	26 Sep 20	Liberia.			16 Sep	2005 a
Colombia	F 1 1000	e		nstein		10 Dec	1998 a
Costa Rica14	Feb 1990	5 Jun 19	Lithuan	ia 8 Sep	2000	27 Mar	2002
Côte d'Ivoire			24 a Luxem	oourg13 Feb	1990	12 Feb	1992
Croatia			95 a Madaga	scar24 Sep	2012	21 Sep	2017
Cyprus ²		1	99 a Malta ⁴			29 Dec	1994 a
Czech Republic			04 a Mexico	•••••		26 Sep	2007 a
Denmark	Feb 1990)		28 Mar	2000 a
Djibouti			02 a Mongol	ia		13 Mar	2012 a
Dominican Republic		1	Monten	egro ⁵		23 Oct	2006 d
Ecuador		23 Feb 19	93 a	-			

Participant	Signature	Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)		Participant Signature		re	Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)	
Mozambique	••••	21 Jul	1993 a	Seychelles			15 Dec	1994 a
Namibia	••••	28 Nov	1994 a	Slovakia	22 Sep	1998	22 Jun	1999
Nepal	••••	4 Mar	1998 a	Slovenia	14 Sep	1993	10 Mar	1994
Netherlands (Kingdon	1			South Africa			28 Aug	2002 a
of the) ⁶	9 Aug 1990	26 Mar	1991	Spain ⁸	23 Feb	1990	11 Apr	1991
New Zealand ⁷	22 Feb 1990	22 Feb	1990	State of Palestine	•••		18 Mar	2019 a
Nicaragua	21 Feb 1990	25 Feb	2009	Sweden	13 Feb	1990	11 May	1990
North Macedonia	••••	26 Jan	1995 a	Switzerland			16 Jun	1994 a
Norway	13 Feb 1990	5 Sep	1991	Timor-Leste			18 Sep	2003 a
Panama		21 Jan	1993 a	Тодо			14 Sep	2016 a
Paraguay		18 Aug	2003 a	Türkiye	6 Apr	2004	2 Mar	2006
Philippines	20 Sep 2006	20 Nov	2007	Turkmenistan	-		11 Jan	2000 a
Poland	21 Mar 2000	25 Apr	2014	Ukraine			25 Jul	2007 a
Portugal	13 Feb 1990	17 Oct	1990	United Kingdom of				
Republic of Moldova.	••••	20 Sep	2006 a	Great Britain and				
Romania	15 Mar 1990	27 Feb	1991	Northern Ireland	31 Mar	1999	10 Dec	1999
Rwanda	••••	15 Dec	2008 a	Uruguay	13 Feb	1990	21 Jan	1993
San Marino	26 Sep 2003	17 Aug	2004	Uzbekistan			23 Dec	2008 a
Sao Tome and Princip	e. 6 Sep 2000	10 Jan	2017	Venezuela (Bolivarian				
Serbia		6 Sep	2001 a	Republic of)	7 Jun	1990	22 Feb	1993
		1		Zambia	•••		19 Dec	2024 a

Declarations and Reservations (Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

AZERBAIJAN⁹

"It is provided for the application of the death penalty in time of war pursuant to a conviction of a person for a most serious crime of a military nature committed during wartime.'

BRAZIL

... with an express reservation to article 2.

CHILE

Reservation:

The State of Chile formulates the reservation authorised under article 2, paragraph 1, of the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty, and may in consequence apply the death penalty in time of war pursuant to a conviction for a most serious crime of a military nature committed during wartime.

CYPRUS²

EL SALVADOR

The Government of the Republic of El Salvador accedes to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights aiming at the abolition of the death penalty with an express reservation permitted to States under article 2 of the Protocol, which consists on the application of the death penalty in accordance with article 27 of the death penalty in accordance with article 27 of the Constitution of the Republic of El Salvador, which reads

as follows: 'The death penalty may be imposed only in the cases provided by the military laws during an international state of war'.

GREECE

Subject to article 2 for the application of the death penalty in time of war pursuant to a conviction for a most serious crime of a military nature committed during wartime.

GUINEA-BISSAU

Hereby declare that the declaration the Government has made in accordance with Article 41 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights to recognize the competence of the Human Rights Committee to receive and consider communications when a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations do not extend to the provisions of the Second Optional Protocol, as provided in Article 4 thereof.

Also, declare that the competence that the Government of Guinea-Bissau recognizes for the Human Rights Committee to receive and consider communications from individuals subject to its jurisdiction does not extend to the provisions of the Second Optional Protocol, in accordance with the option provided in Article 5 thereof.

MALTA⁴

REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA

"Until the full re-establishment of the territorial integrity of the Republic of Moldova, the provisions of

the Convention shall be applied only on the territory controlled effectively by the authorities of the Republic of Moldova.

SPAIN⁸

Objections (Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

AUSTRIA

"The Government of Austria has examined the reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador upon accession to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty, adopted on 15 December 1989.

The Government of Austria recalls that it is the object and purpose of the Second Optional Protocol to abolish the death penalty in all circumstances and that no reservations are permitted other than reservations made within the limits of Article 2 of the Protocol. In the light of the wording of Article 2 (1), a reservation to the Protocol is allowed to the extent that it concerns the application of the death penalty in times of war pursuant to a conviction for a most serious crime of a military nature committed during wartime. According to Article 2 (2), the State Party making such a reservation shall at the time of ratification or accession communicate to the Secretary-General of the United Nations the relevant provisions of its national legislation applicable during wartime.

According to the information available, the applicable provisions of the national legislation of El Salvador specifying the application of the death penalty to the most serious crimes of a military nature in wartime were not communicated to the Secretary-General.

The Government of Austria therefore objects to this reservation.

This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol between Austria and the Republic of El Salvador."

FINLAND

"The Government of Finland welcomes the accession of Brazil to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and has taken note of the reservation made by Brazil to Article 2 thereof upon accession.

The Government of Finland recalls that it is the object and purpose of the Second Optional Protocol to abolish the death penalty in all circumstances and reservations are, as a main rule, not admissible. This object of aiming at the complete abolition of the death penalty enjoys the full support of Finland. However, the Government observes that, in the light of the wording of Article 2(1), a reservation to the Protocol is allowed to the extent it concerns the application of the death penalty in time of war pursuant to a conviction for a most serious crime of a military nature committed during wartime. The acceptability of such a reservation requires that the State Party making the reservation communicates, at the time of ratification or accession, to the Secretary-General of the United Nations the relevant provisions of its national legislation applicable during wartime.

Accordingly, the Government of Finland would find the reservation made by Brazil acceptable, provided it meets the requirements set out in Article 2(1) and (2). According to information available to the Government, the applicable provisions of the national legislation of Brazil were not communicated to the Secretary-General at the time of accession. Therefore, the Government of Finland objects to the reservation. Should, to the contrary, Brazil have communicated the provisions to the Secretary-General pursuant to Article 2(2), this objection may be considered null and void.

This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol between Brazil and Finland. The Protocol will thus become operative between the two states without Brazil benefiting from its reservation."

"The Permanent Mission of Finland to the United Nations presents its compliments to the Secretary-General of the United Nations and, referring to the Depositary Notification C.N.201.2014.TREATIES-IV.12 of 8 April 2014 concerning the accession of the Republic of El Salvador to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty, has the honour to communicate the following statement:

The Government of Finland has carefully examined the reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador to Article 2 of the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty.

The Government of Finland recalls that it is the object and purpose of the Second Optional Protocol to abolish the death penalty in all circumstances and reservations are, as a main rule, not admissible. This object of aiming at the complete abolition of the death penalty enjoys the full support of Finland. However, the Government observes that, in light of the wording of Article 2(1), a reservation to the Protocol is allowed to the extent it concerns the application of the death penalty in time of war pursuant to a conviction for a most serious crime of a military nature committed during wartime. The acceptability of such a reservation requires that the State Party making the reservation communicates, at the time of ratification or accession, to the Secretary-General of the United Nations the relevant provisions of its national legislation applicable during wartime.

legislation applicable during wartime. Accordingly, the Government of Finland would find the reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador acceptable provided it meets the requirements set out in Article 2(1) and (2). According to information available to the Government, the applicable provisions of the national legislation of the Republic of El Salvador were not communicated to the Secretary-General at the time of accession. Therefore, the Government of Finland objects to the reservation.

This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol between Finland and the Republic of El Salvador. The Protocol will thus become operative between the two States without the Republic of El Salvador benefitting from its reservation."

FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic has examined the reservation made by the Government of the Republic of El Salvador upon accession to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty. In that reservation, the Government of the Republic of El Salvador states that it intends to make use of the right contained in article 2, paragraph 1 of the Protocol, providing for application of the death penalty in certain situations, pursuant to article 27 of the Constitution of El Salvador, according to which "The death penalty may be imposed only in the cases provided by the military laws during an international state of war".

during an international state of war". Article 2, paragraph 1 of the Protocol, however, requires that application of the death penalty be limited, "in time of war" to cases in which there is a "conviction for a most serious crime of a military nature committed during wartime".

The absence of a specific restriction on the reservation to the "most serious" crimes committed during wartime seems not to meet the requirements of article 2, paragraph 1 of the Protocol.

In that regard, the reservation made by the Government of the Republic of El Salvador is inadmissible pursuant to the very provisions of the Protocol. Thus, the Government of the French Republic objects to the reservation made by the Government of the Republic of El Salvador to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty. This objection does not preclude the entry into force of this Protocol between France and El Salvador.

GERMANY

The Permanent Mission of the Federal Republic of Germany to the United Nations presents its compliments to the Treaty Section and, with reference to depositary notification C.N.201.2014.TREATIES-IV.12 dated April 8, 2014 regarding the reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador upon its accession to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the Abolition of the Death penalty of 15 December 1989, has the honour to communicate the following:

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany has carefully examined the reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador upon its accession to the Second Optional Protocol.

It recalls, that the complete abolition of the death penalty is the object and purpose of the Second Optional Protocol, and that according to Article 2 reservations are not permissible with the one exception of a reservation that provides for the application of the death penalty in time of war pursuant to a conviction for a most serious crime of a military nature committed during war time. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany believes that the reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador goes beyond the limits of Article 2 of the Optional Protocol, as it does not explicitly limit the application of the death penalty to the most serious crimes of a military nature. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany therefore considers the reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador to be incompatible with the object and purpose of the Second Optional Protocol and that it consequently has to be considered impermissible.

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germanytherefore objects to this reservation. This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the Abolition of the Death Penalty of 15 December 1989 between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Republic of El Salvador.

IRELAND

"The Government of Ireland welcomes the accession by the Republic of El Salvador to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty. The Government of Ireland has examined the reservation made by the Government of the Republic of El Salvador to Article 2 thereof upon accession and recalls that it is the object and purpose of the Second Optional Protocol to abolish the death penalty in all circumstances and that no reservations are permitted other than reservations made in strict accordance with Article 2 of the Protocol.

The Government of Ireland considers that the reservation made by the Government of the Republic of El Salvador goes beyond the strict limits of Article 2 of the Protocol, as it neither explicitly limits the application of the death penalty to the most serious crimes of a military nature committed during wartime nor indicates the relevant provisions of the Republic of El Salvador's national legislation applicable during wartime, which must be communicated, at the time of accession, to the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Should, however, the Government of the Republic of El Salvador have communicated clarification regarding these provisions to the Secretary-General pursuant to Article 2(2), this objection may be considered null and void. The Government of Ireland recalls that, according to

The Government of Ireland recalls that, according to customary international law, as codified in the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, a reservation incompatible with the object and purpose of a treaty shall not be permitted.

The Government of Ireland therefore objects to the aforesaid reservation made by the Government of the Republic of El Salvador to Article 2 of the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty.

This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol between Ireland and the Republic of El Salvador."

ITALY

"The Permanent Mission of Italy to the United Nations presents its compliments to the Secretary-General of the United Nations in his capacity as depositary of the 1989 Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights aiming at the abolition of the death penalty.

The Government of Italy has examined the reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador upon accession to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights aiming at the abolition of the death penalty, adopted in New York on December 15, 1989.

The Government of Italy recalls that it is the object and purpose of the Second Optional Protocol to abolish the death penalty in all circumstances and that no reservations are permitted other than reservations made in strict accordance with Article 2 of the Protocol. The reservation made by the Government of El Salvador goes beyond the limit of Article 2 of the Protocol, as it does not explicitly limit the application of the death penalty to the most serious crimes of a military nature committed during wartime, which must be specified.

According to customary international law, as codified in the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, a reservation incompatible with the object and purpose of a treaty shall not be permitted.

The Government of Italy therefore objects to the aforesaid reservation made by El Salvador to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and considers the reservation null and void.

This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol between El Salvador and Italy. The Convention will enter into force between El Salvador and Italy without El Salvador [benefiting from the reservation.]"

NETHERLANDS (KINGDOM OF THE)

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands welcomes the accession of El Salvador to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and has taken note of the reservation made by El Salvador with respect to Article 2 thereof upon accession.

The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands recalls that it is the object and purpose of the Second Optional Protocol to abolish the death penalty in all circumstances and reservations are, as a main rule, not admissible. This object of aiming at the complete abolition of the death penalty enjoys the full support of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. However, the Government observes that, in the light of the wording of Article 2(1), a reservation to the Protocol is allowed to the extent that it concerns the application of the death penalty in times of war pursuant to a conviction for a most serious crime of a military nature committed during wartime. The acceptability of such a reservation requires that the State Party making the reservation communicates, at the time of ratification or accession, to the Secretary-General of the United Nations the relevant provisions of its national legislation applicable during wartime.

Accordingly, the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands would find the reservation made by El Salvador acceptable, provided that it meets the requirements set out in Article 2(1) and (2). However, according to information available to the Government, the applicable provisions of the national legislation of El Salvador specifying the application of the death penalty to the most serious crimes of a military nature in wartime were not communicated to the Secretary-General at the time of accession. Therefore, the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands objects to the reservation.

This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol [between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and El Salvador]."

NORWAY

"The Permanent Mission of Norway to the United Nations presents its compliments to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and, with reference to Depositary Notification C.N.201.2014.TREATIES-IV.12, has the honour to inform that the Government of Norway has examined the reservation made by the Government of El Salvador upon its accession to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty.

The Government of Norway recalls that, pursuant to paragraph 1 of article 2, of the Optional Protocol, no reservation is permitted except for a reservation that provides for the application of the death penalty in time of war pursuant to a conviction for a most serious crime of a military nature committed during wartime. The Government of Norway notes that the reservation made by El Salvador goes beyond those situations where a reservation is permitted in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Optional Protocol as the reservation does not explicitly limit the application of the death penalty to the most serious crimes of a military nature committed during wartime.

The Government of Norway therefore objects to the reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador. This objection does not preclude the entry into force of the Second Optional Protocol, in its entirety, between Norway and El Salvador. The Second Optional Protocol thus becomes operative between Norway and the Republic of El Salvador without El Salvador benefiting from the aforesaid reservation."

POLAND

"The Government of the Republic of Poland has examined the reservation made by [the] Republic of El Salvador upon accession to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights aiming at the abolition of the death penalty, adopted in New York on December 15, 1989. The Government of the Republic of Poland notes that

The Government of the Republic of Poland notes that the purpose and object of the Protocol is the complete abolition of the death penalty and that no reservation is admissible, except for a reservation which provides for the application of the death penalty in time of war pursuant to a conviction for a most serious crime of a military nature committed during wartime.

While making the reservation [the] Republic of El Salvador invoked the article 27 of its Constitution, which refers to unspecified military laws. The Government of the Republic of Poland notes that [the] Republic of El Salvador refers in its reservation to the domestic legislation possibly affecting the application of the Protocol, including the admissibility of application of death penalty, without specifying the exact content of this legislation. As a result, it is impossible to clearly define the extent to which the reserving State will accept the application of the death penalty and if such an application will be limited to the most serious crimes of a military nature committed during wartime. Thus the reservation is not compatible with the art. 2 of the Protocol and it is incompatible with the object and purpose of the Protocol. According to customary international law as codified in the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, a reservation that is incompatible with the object and purposeof a treaty shall not be permitted.

Therefore, the Government of the Republic of Poland objects to the reservation made by [the] Republic of El Salvador upon accession to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights aiming at the abolition of the death penalty and considers the reservation null and void.

This objection does not preclude the entry into force of the Covenant between the Republic of El Salvador and [the] Republic of Poland."

PORTUGAL

"The Government of the Portuguese Republic has examined the reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty, New York, 15 December 1989.

Under Article 2, paragraph 1 of the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty, New York, 15 December 1989, no reservation is admissible to the present Protocol, except for a reservation made at the time of ratification or accession that provides for the application of the death penalty in time of war pursuant to a conviction for a most serious crime of a military nature committed during wartime.

The Government of the Portuguese Republic considers that the reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador goes beyond the limit of the exception foreseen in Article 2, paragraph 1, since its scope is unclear and does not clarify the cases in which the death penalty will be applicable.

The Government of the Portuguese Republic therefore objects to the aforesaid reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador to Article 2 of the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty, New York, 15 December 1989.

However, this objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Convention between the Portuguese Republic and the Republic of El Salvador."

SPAIN

The Permanent Mission of Spain to the United Nations presents its compliments to the Secretariat of the United Nations and has the honour to communicate that the Kingdom of Spain declares that it objects to the reservation made by the Government of the Republic of El Salvador to article 2 of the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty (New York, 15 December 1989).

The Kingdom of Spain has examined the reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and considers that it does not fall within the limits of the exception provided for in article 2, paragraph 1, of that Optional Protocol. The Government of the Kingdom of Spain considers that the reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador goes beyond those limits, since it does not specify its scope or the cases in which the death penalty would be applicable.

Accordingly, the Kingdom of Spain objects to the aforementioned reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador to article 2 of the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty, without prejudice to the entry into force of the [Protocol] as between the Kingdom of Spain and the Republic of El Salvador.

Sweden

"The Government of Sweden welcomes the accession of El Salvador to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and has examined the reservation made by El Salvador to Article 2 thereof upon accession.

The Government of Sweden recalls that it is the object and purpose of the Second Optional Protocol to abolish the death penalty in all circumstances and that no reservations are permitted other than reservations made in strict accordance with Article 2 of the Protocol. The reservation made by the Government of El Salvador goes beyond the limit of Article 2 of the Protocol, as it does not explicitly limit the application of the death penalty to the most serious crimes of a military nature committed during wartime, which must be specified.

According to customary international law, as codified in the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, a reservation incompatible with the object and purpose of a treaty shall not be permitted. It is in the common interest of States that treaties to which they have chosen to become parties are respected as to their object and purpose, by all parties, and that States are prepared to undertake any legislative changes necessary to comply with their obligations under the treaties.

The Government of Sweden therefore objects to the aforesaid reservation made by El Salvador to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and considers the reservation null and void. This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol between El Salvador and Sweden. The Protocol will enter into force between El Salvador and

Notes:

¹ Official Records of the General Assembly, Forty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 49 (A/44/49), p. 206.

² On 20 June 2003, the Government of Cyprus informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw its reservation made upon accession to the Optional Protocol. The reservation reads as follows:

Sweden without El Salvador benefiting from this reservation."

SWITZERLAND

The Swiss Federal Council has examined the reservation made by the Government of the Republic of El Salvador upon ratification of the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty, adopted on 15 December 1989.

The Federal Council recalls that, pursuant to article 2, paragraph 1, of the Protocol, no reservation is admissible except for a reservation that provides for the application of the death penalty in time of war pursuant to a conviction for a most serious crime of a military nature committed during wartime. The Swiss Federal Council believes that the reservation does not meet those conditions of validity as it does not limit the death penalty in time of war to the most serious crimes. Neither the article of the constitution quoted in the reservation nor the military laws to which it refers indicate such a limitation. It is in the common interest of States that treaties to

It is in the common interest of States that treaties to which they have chosen to become parties are respected by all parties, and that States are prepared to undertake any legislative changes necessary to comply with their treaty obligations.

The Swiss Federal Council objects to the reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador. This objection does not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol, in its entirety, between the Republic of El Salvador and Switzerland.

TOGO

The Togolese Government, which has chosen to be unreservedly abolitionist, has examined the reservation made by the Government of El Salvador to article 2 of the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty. The Togolese Government recalls that article 1, paragraph 1, of the Second Optional Protocol, by stipulating that "no one within the jurisdiction of a State Party to the present Protocol shall be executed", specifically and unambiguously commits States Parties to abolish the death penalty under all circumstances and without exceptions.

However, by not explicitly limiting the application of the death penalty to the most serious crimes of a military nature committed during wartime or indicating the relevant provisions of El Salvador's national legislation applicable during wartime, which must be communicated, at the time of accession, to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, as required by the provisions of article 2 of the Protocol, the reservation made by the Government of El Salvador is incompatible with the spirit and letter of the Protocol.

The Togolese Government therefore objects to the aforesaid reservation. However, this objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol between the Togolese Republic and the Republic of El Salvador.

"The Republic of Cyprus in accordance with article 2.1 of the [...] Protocol reserves the right to apply the Death Penalty in time of war pursuant to a conviction of a most serious crime of a military nature committed during wartime."

³ The German Democratic Republic signed and ratified the Protocol on 7 March 1990 and 16 August 1990, respectively. See also note 2 under "Germany" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume. ⁴ In a communication received on 15 June 2000, the Government of Malta informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw its reservation made upon accession. For the text of the reservation, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 1844, p. 318

⁵ See note 1 under "Montenegro" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

⁶ For the Kingdom in Europe, the Netherlands Antilles and Aruba. See also note 2 under "Netherlands" regarding Netherlands Antilles in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

⁷ See also note 1 under "New Zealand" regarding Tokelau in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

⁸ On 13 January 1998, the Government of Spain notified the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw its reservation made upon ratification. The reservation reads as follows:

Pursuant to article 2, Spain reserves the right to apply the death penalty in the exceptional and extremely serious cases provided for in Fundamental Act No. 13/1985 of 9 December 1985 regulating the Military Criminal Code, in wartime as defined in article 25 of that Act.

⁹ The reservation made upon accession read as follows:

"The Republic of Azerbaijan, adopting the [said Protocol], in exceptional cases, adopting the special law, allows the application of death penalty for the grave crimes, committed during the war or in condition of the threat of war."

With regard to the reservation made by Azerbaijain upon accession, the Secretary-General received communications from the following States on the dates indicated hereinafter:

France (8 february 2000):

The Government of the French Republic has taken note of the reservation made by Azerbaijan to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty, which was adopted on 15 December 1989. This reservation, in allowing the application of the death penalty for grave crimes committed during war or 'in condition of the threat of war', exceeds the scope of the reservations permitted under article 2, paragraph 1, of the Protocol. Under this article, only a reservation made 'at the time of ratification or accession that provides for the application of the death penalty in time of war pursuant to a conviction for a most serious crime of a military nature committed during wartime' is admissible. Consequently, the Government of the French Republic expresses its objection to this reservation, without prejudice to the entry into force of the Protocol between Azerbaijan and France.

Finland (17 March 2000):

"The Government of Finland notes that, according to Article 2 of the Second Optional Protocol, a reservation other than the kind referred to in the same Article is not acceptable. The reservation made by the Government of Azerbaijan is partly in contradiction with Article 2 as it does not limit the application of death penalty to the most serious crimes of a military nature committed during the time of war.

The Government of Finland therefore objects to the reservation made by the Government of Azerbaijan to the said Protocol.

This objection does not preclude the entry into force of the Second Optional Protocol between Azerbaijan and Finland. The Optional Protocol will thus become operative between the two states without Azerbaijan benefitting from the reservation."

GermanyMarch 2000):

"The reservation allows the application of the death penalty for grave crimes committed during war 'or in condition of the threat of war'. Thus the reservation is partly in contradiction of article 2 of the Protocol since it does not limit the application of the death penalty to the most serious crimes of a military nature committed during the time of war.

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany therefore objects to the reservation by the Government of Azerbaijan. This objection does not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol between Azerbaijan and Germany."

Sweden (27 April 2000):

"The Government of Sweden recalls that reservations other than the kind referred to in Article 2 of the Protocol are not permitted. The reservation made by the Government of Azerbaijan goes beyond the limit of Article 2 of the Protocol, as it does not limit the application of the death penalty to the most serious crimes of a military nature committed during the time of war.

The Government of Sweden therefore objects to the aforesaid reservation made by the Government of Azerbaijan to the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

This shall not preclude the entry into force of the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights between the Republic of Azerbaijan and the Kingdom of Sweden, without Azerbaijan benefiting from the reservation."

Netherlands (17 July 2000)

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands notes that, according to Article 2 of the Second Optional Protocol, a reservation other than the kind referred to in the same Article is not acceptable. The reservation made by the Government of Azerbaijan is in contradiction with Article 2 as it does not limit the application of death penalty to the most serious crimes of a military nature committed during the time of war.

The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands therefore objehe aforesaid reservation made by the Government of Azerbaijan.

This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Convention between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and Azerbaijan."

Subsequently, on 28 September 2000, the Government of Azerbaijan communicated to the Secretary-General a modification to its reservation made upon accession. Within a period of 12 months from the date of its circulation, i.e. on 5 October 2000, none of the Contracting States to the Protocol

notified the Secretary-General of an objection. Consequently, the modified reservation was deemed to have been accepted for deposit upon the expiration of the 12 month period, i.e., on 5 October 2001.