

MULTILATERAL TREATIES
in respect of which
THE SECRETARY-GENERAL
PERFORMS DEPOSITARY FUNCTIONS

List of Signatures, Ratifications, Accessions, etc.
as at 31 December 1976



UNITED NATIONS



**TRAITES MULTILATERAUX POUR LESQUELS LE SECRETAIRE
EXERCE LES FONCTIONS DE DEPOSITAIRE**

Etat, au 31 décembre 1976, des signatures, ratifications, adhésions

Rectificatif

[Pour plus de commodité, on a donné comme référence dans chaque cas, outre le numéro de la page, celui du chapitre suivi du numéro de l'Accord.]

1. Ne s'applique pas au texte français.
2. Ne s'applique pas au texte français.
3. *Page 310 (XI.A.16)*

Après Hongrie insérer 23 novembre 1976 dans la colonne Signature et supprimer la même date dans la colonne Signature définitive (s) . . .

Après Tunisie insérer 11 juin 1976 dans la colonne Signature et supprimer la même date dans la colonne Signature définitive (s) . . .

Dans la colonne Signature définitive (s) . . . après les dates 30 décembre 1976 correspondant à la France et 17 décembre 1976 correspondant à la Suède ajouter s

4. Ne s'applique pas au texte français.

MULTILATERAL TREATIES
in respect of which
THE SECRETARY-GENERAL
PERFORMS DEPOSITARY FUNCTIONS

List of Signatures, Ratifications, Accessions, etc.
as at 31 December 1976



UNITED NATIONS
New York, 1977

ST/LEG/SER.D/10

UNITED NATIONS PUBLICATION

Sales No. E.77.V.7

Price: \$U.S. 25.00
(or equivalent in other currencies)

PREFACE

This is the tenth annual issue of the present publication. The initial volume, containing a comprehensive list of signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., as at 31 December 1967, relating to multilateral treaties deposited with the Secretary-General was published in February 1968.¹ The ninth volume, which brought the information concerned up to date as at 31 December 1975, was published in May 1976.

This volume brings the information concerned up to date as at 31 December 1976. It retains the same form and system of presentation as the nine preceding volumes, and therefore the introduction to the initial volume in the new series of this publication is herein reproduced in full.

In addition to the status as at 31 December 1976 of those treaties included in the previous issues, this volume provides information concerning three multilateral instruments deposited with the Secretary-General in 1976, namely, the Agreement Establishing the International Fund for Agricultural Development, concluded at Rome on 13 June 1976 (see chapter X); the Agreement on Minimum Requirements for the Issue and Validity of Driving Permits (APC), concluded at Geneva on 1 April 1975 (see chapter XI.B); and the Constitution of the Asia-Pacific Telecommunity, concluded at Bang-

¹ *Multilateral treaties in respect of which the Secretary-General Performs Depositary Functions, List of Signatures, Ratifications, Accessions, etc. as at 31 December 1967 (ST/LEG/SER.D/1) and Multilateral treaties in respect of which the Secretary-General Performs Depositary Functions, Annex Final Clauses (ST/LEG/SER.D/1 Annex).*

kok on 27 March 1976 (see new chapter XXV). This volume also contains information on the amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization (see chapter IX) and the amendments to the title and substantive provisions of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization (see chapter XII) as well as information concerning the extensions of the International Sugar Agreement 1973, approved by the International Sugar Council on 30 September 1975 and 15 June 1976 in its resolutions Nos 1 and 2 respectively (see chapter XIX). Furthermore, a new chapter entitled "Telecommunications" has been added, and the Convention relating to the Distribution of Programme-carrying Signals transmitted by Satellite concluded at Brussels on 21 May 1974, which in earlier editions was published under chapter XIV (Educational and Cultural Matters) now appears under new chapter XXV.

Additional pages reproducing the text of the final clauses of the new treaties mentioned above are being issued simultaneously with this volume in *Supplement No. 8* to the Annex containing the final clauses of the multilateral treaties in respect of which the Secretary-General performs depositary functions. This annex was published in a separate loose-leaf volume at the same time as the main part of its initial edition (see paragraph 3 of the Introduction)¹ and *Supplement No. 1*, *Supplement No. 2*, *Supplement No. 3*, *Supplement No. 4*, *Supplement No. 5*, *Supplement No. 6* and *Supplement No. 7* thereto were published in 1968, 1969, 1971, 1974 (*Supplement No. 4* and *Supplement No. 5*) 1975 and 1976, respectively.

Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China

China is an original Member of the United Nations, the Charter having been signed and ratified on its behalf, on 26 June and 28 September 1945 respectively, by the Government of the Republic of China, which continued to represent China in the United Nations until 25 October 1971.

On 25 October 1971, the General Assembly of the United Nations adopted its resolution 2758 (XXVI), reading as follows:

"The General Assembly,

"Recalling the principles of the Charter of the United Nations,

"Considering that the restoration of the lawful rights of the People's Republic of China is essential both for the protection of the Charter of the United Nations and for the cause that the United Nations must serve under the Charter,

"Recognizing that the representatives of the Government of the People's Republic of China are the only lawful representatives of China to the United Nations and that the People's Republic of China is one of the five permanent members of the Security Council,

"Decides to restore all its rights to the People's Republic of China and to recognize the representatives of its Government as the only legitimate representatives of China to the United Nations, and to expel forthwith the representatives of Chiang Kai-shek from the place which they unlawfully occupy at the United Nations and in all the organizations related to it."

The United Nations had been notified on 18 November 1949 of the formation, on 1 October 1949, of the Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China. Proposals to effect a change in the representation of China in the United Nations subsequent to that time were not approved until the resolution quoted above was adopted.

On 29 September 1972, a communication was received by the Secretary-General from the Minister for Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China stating:

"1. With regard to the multilateral treaties signed, ratified or acceded to by the defunct Chinese government before the establishment of the Government of the People's Republic of China, my Government will

examine their contents before making a decision in the light of the circumstances as to whether or not they should be recognized.

“2. As from October 1, 1949, the day of the founding of the People's Republic of China, the Chiang Kai-shek clique has no right at all to represent China. Its signature and ratification of, or accession to, any multilateral treaties by usurping the name of 'China'

are all illegal and null and void. My Government will study these multilateral treaties before making a decision in the light of the circumstances as to whether or not they should be acceded to.”

All entries recorded throughout this publication in respect of China refer to actions taken by the authorities representing China in the United Nations at the time of those actions.

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
INTRODUCTION	xvii
Part I. United Nations Multilateral Treaties	
CHAPTER I. CHARTER OF THE UNITED NATIONS AND STATUTE OF THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE	
1. Charter of the United Nations. Signed at San Francisco on 26 June 1945	3
2. Declarations of acceptance of the obligations contained in the Charter of the United Nations (admission of States to membership in the United Nations in accordance with Article 4 of the Charter)	5
3. Statute of the International Court of Justice	9
4. Declarations recognizing as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice	10
5. Amendments to the Charter of the United Nations:	
(a) Amendments to Articles 23, 27 and 61 of the Charter of the United Nations. Adopted by General Assembly resolutions 1991 A and B (XVIII) of 17 December 1963	25
(b) Amendment to Article 109 of the Charter of the United Nations. Adopted by General Assembly resolution 2101 (XX) of 20 December 1965	28
(c) Amendment to Article 61 of the Charter of the United Nations. Adopted by General Assembly resolution 2847 (XXVI) of 20 December 1971	30
CHAPTER II. PACIFIC SETTLEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL DISPUTES	
1. Revised General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 28 April 1949	33
CHAPTER III. PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES, DIPLOMATIC AND CONSULAR RELATIONS	
1. Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations. Approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 13 February 1946	35
2. Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the Specialized Agencies. Approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 21 November 1947	40
3. Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations. Done at Vienna on 18 April 1961	51
4. Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations concerning Acquisition of Nationality. Done at Vienna on 18 April 1961	61
5. Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes. Done at Vienna on 18 April 1961	62
6. Vienna Convention on Consular Relations. Done at Vienna on 24 April 1963	64
7. Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations concerning Acquisition of Nationality. Done at Vienna on 24 April 1963	68
8. Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes. Done at Vienna on 24 April 1963	69
9. Convention on Special Missions. Opened for signature at New York on 16 December 1969	71
10. Optional Protocol to the Convention on Special Missions concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes. Opened for signature at New York on 16 December 1969	72
11. Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crimes against Internationally Protected Persons, including Diplomatic Agents. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 14 December 1973	73

CONTENTS (continued)

	<i>Page</i>
12. Vienna Convention on the representation of States in their relations with international organizations of a universal character. Done at Vienna on 14 March 1975	76
CHAPTER IV. HUMAN RIGHTS¹	
1. Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 9 December 1948	77
2. International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination. Opened for signature at New York on 7 March 1966	84
3. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Opened for signature at New York on 19 December 1966	95
4. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Opened for signature at New York on 19 December 1966	101
5. Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Opened for signature at New York on 19 December 1966	107
6. Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 26 November 1968	108
7. International Convention on the Suppression and Punishment of the Crime of <i>Apartheid</i> . Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 30 November 1973	110
CHAPTER V. REFUGEES AND STATELESS PERSONS	
1. Constitution of the International Refugee Organization. Opened for signature at Flushing Meadow, New York, on 15 December 1946	113
2. Convention relating to the Status of Refugees. Done at Geneva on 28 July 1951	115
3. Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons. Done at New York on 28 September 1954	128
4. Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness. Done at New York on 30 August 1961	136
5. Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees. Done at New York on 31 January 1967	138
CHAPTER VI. NARCOTIC DRUGS AND PSYCHOTROPIC SUBSTANCES	
1. Protocol amending the Agreements, Conventions and Protocols on Narcotic Drugs, concluded at The Hague on 23 January 1912, at Geneva on 11 February 1925 and 19 February 1925 and 13 July 1931, at Bangkok on 27 November 1931 and at Geneva on 26 June 1936. Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946	143
2. International Opium Convention. The Hague, January 23rd, 1912	146
3. Agreement concerning the Suppression of the Manufacture of, Internal Trade in, and Use of, Prepared Opium. Signed at Geneva on 11 February 1925 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946	149
4. Agreement concerning the Suppression of the Manufacture of, Internal Trade in, and Use of, Prepared Opium, with Protocol and Final Act. Geneva, February 11th, 1925	150
5. International Opium Convention, with Protocol. Signed at Geneva on 19 February 1925 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946	151
6. (a) International Opium Convention. Geneva, February 19th, 1925	153
(b) Protocol. Geneva, February 19th, 1925	155
7. Convention for Limiting the Manufacture and Regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs with Protocol of Signature. Signed at Geneva on 13 July 1931 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946	156

¹ For other multilateral treaties concluded in the field of human rights, see chapters V, VII, XVI, XVII and XVIII.

CONTENTS (*continued*)

	<i>Page</i>
8. (a) Convention for limiting the Manufacture and regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs. Geneva, July 13th, 1931	159
(b) Protocol of Signature. Geneva, July 13th, 1931	162
9. Agreement concerning the Suppression of Opium Smoking. Signed at Bangkok on 27 November 1931 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946	164
10. Agreement concerning the Suppression of Opium Smoking. Bangkok, November 27th, 1931	165
11. Convention for the Suppression of the Illicit Traffic in Dangerous Drugs, with Protocol of Signature. Signed at Geneva on 26 June 1936 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946	166
12. (a) Convention of 1936 for the Suppression of the Illicit Traffic in Dangerous Drugs. Geneva, June 26th, 1936	168
(b) Protocol of Signature. Geneva, June 26th, 1936	169
13. Protocol Bringing under International Control Drugs Outside the Scope of the Convention of 13 July 1931 for Limiting the Manufacture and Regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs, as amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946. Signed at Paris on 19 November 1948	170
14. Protocol for Limiting and Regulating the Cultivation of the Poppy Plant, the Production of, International and Wholesale Trade in, and Use of Opium. Opened for signature at New York on 23 June 1953	174
15. Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961. Done at New York on 30 March 1961	177
16. Convention on Psychotropic Substances. Done at Vienna on 21 February 1971	185
17. Protocol amending the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961. Done at Geneva on 25 March 1972	190
18. Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, as amended by the Protocol amending the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961. Done at New York on 8 August 1975	193
CHAPTER VII. TRAFFIC IN PERSONS	
1. Protocol to amend the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children, concluded at Geneva on 30 September 1921, and the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age, concluded at Geneva on 11 October 1933. Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947	195
2. Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children, concluded at Geneva on 30 September 1921 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947	198
3. International Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children. Geneva, September 30th, 1921	200
4. Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age, concluded at Geneva on 11 October 1933 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947	202
5. International Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age. Geneva, October 11th, 1933	203
6. Protocol amending the International Agreement for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, signed at Paris on 18 May 1904, and the International Convention for the Suppression of White Slave Traffic, signed at Paris on 4 May 1910. Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949	204
7. International Agreement for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, signed at Paris on 18 May 1904 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949	206
8. International Agreement for the Suppression of the "White Slave Traffic". Signed at Paris on 18 May 1904	208

CONTENTS (continued)

	Page
9. International Convention for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, signed at Paris on 4 May 1910 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949	210
10. International Convention for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic. Signed at Paris on 4 May 1910	212
11. (a) Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others. Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 21 March 1950	214
(b) Final Protocol to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others. Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 21 March 1950	217
 CHAPTER VIII. OBSCENE PUBLICATIONS	
1. Protocol to amend the Convention for the Suppression of the Circulation of, and Traffic in, Obscene Publications, concluded at Geneva on 12 September 1923. Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947	219
2. Convention for the Suppression of the Circulation of, and Traffic in, Obscene Publications, concluded at Geneva on 12 September 1923 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947	220
3. International Convention for the Suppression of the Circulation of and Traffic in Obscene Publications. Geneva, September 12th, 1923	222
4. Protocol amending the Agreement for the Suppression of the Circulation of Obscene Publications, signed at Paris on 4 May 1910. Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949	224
5. Agreement for the Suppression of the Circulation of Obscene Publications, signed at Paris on 4 May 1910 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949	226
6. Agreement for the Suppression of the Circulation of Obscene Publications. Signed at Paris on 4 May 1910	228
 CHAPTER IX. HEALTH	
1. Constitution of the World Health Organization. Signed at New York on 22 July 1946	231
Amendments to the Constitution of the World Health Organization:	
(a) Amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization. Adopted by the Twelfth World Health Assembly on 28 May 1959	235
(b) Amendment to article 7 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization. Adopted by the Eighteenth World Health Assembly on 20 May 1965	236
(c) Amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization. Adopted by the Twentieth World Health Assembly on 23 May 1967	237
(d) Amendments to articles 34 and 55 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization. Adopted by the Twenty-sixth World Health Assembly on 22 May 1973	239
(e) Amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization. Adopted by the Twenty-ninth World Health Assembly on 17 May 1976	241
2. Protocol concerning the <i>Office internationale d'hygiène publique</i> . Signed at New York on 22 July 1946	242
 CHAPTER X. INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT	
1. (a) General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, with Annexes and Schedules of Tariffs Concessions. Authenticated by the Final Act, adopted at the conclusion of the second session of the Preparatory Committee of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Employment and signed at Geneva on 30 October 1947	245

CONTENTS (*continued*)

	<i>Page</i>
(b) Havana Charter for an International Trade Organization. Authenticated by the Final Act of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Employment, signed at Havana on 24 March 1948	257
(c) Agreement on most-favoured-nation treatment for areas of Western Germany under military occupation. Signed at Geneva on 14 September 1948	257
(d) Memorandum of understanding relative to application to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Agreement on most-favoured-nation treatment for areas of Western Germany under military occupation. Signed at Annecy on 13 August 1949	257
2. Agreement establishing the African Development Bank. Done at Khartoum on 4 August 1963	258
3. Convention on Transit Trade of Land-locked States. Done at New York on 8 July 1965	260
4. Agreement establishing the Asian Development Bank. Done at Manila on 4 December 1965	264
5. Articles of Association for the Establishment of an Economic Community of West Africa. Done at Accra on 4 May 1967	268
6. Agreement establishing the Caribbean Development Bank, with Protocol to provide for procedure for amendment of article 36 of the Agreement. Done at Kingston, Jamaica, on 18 October 1969	269
7. Convention on the Limitation Period in the International Sale of Goods. Concluded at New York on 12 June 1974	271
8. Agreement Establishing the International Fund for Agricultural Development. Concluded at Rome on 13 June 1976	272

CHAPTER XI. TRANSPORT AND COMMUNICATIONS

A. Customs Matters

1. Agreement providing for the provisional application of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring, on Commercial Road Vehicles and on the International Transport of Goods by Road. Signed at Geneva on 16 June 1949	273
2. Additional Protocol to the Agreement providing for the provisional application of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring, on Commercial Road Vehicles and on the International Transport of Goods by Road. Signed at Geneva on 16 June 1949	276
3. Additional Protocol to the Agreement providing for the provisional application of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring, on Commercial Road Vehicles and on the International Transport of Goods by Road, relating to the international transport of goods by container under the T.I.R. Carnet Régime. Done at Geneva on 11 March 1950	277
4. Additional Protocol amending certain provisions of the Agreement providing for the provisional application of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring, on Commercial Road Vehicles and on the International Transport of Goods by Road. Done at Geneva on 28 November 1952	278
5. International Convention to Facilitate the Importation of Commercial Samples and Advertising Material. Done at Geneva on 7 November 1952	279
6. Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring. Done at New York on 4 June 1954	282
7. Additional Protocol to the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring, relating to the Importation of Tourist Publicity Documents and Material. Done at New York on 4 June 1954	287
8. Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Private Road Vehicles. Done at New York on 4 June 1954	290
9. Customs Convention on Containers, with annexes and Protocol of Signature. Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956	294

CONTENTS (*continued*)

	<i>Page</i>
10. Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Commercial Road Vehicles, with Annexes and Protocol of Signature. Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956	296
11. Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation for Private Use of Aircraft and Pleasure Boats, with Annexes and Protocol of Signature. Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956	298
12. Customs Convention concerning Spare Parts used for repairing EUROP Wagons. Done at Geneva on 15 January 1958	300
13. Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets (TIR Convention), with Annexes and Protocol of Signature. Done at Geneva on 15 January 1959	301
14. European Convention on Customs Treatment of Pallets used in International Transport. Done at Geneva on 9 December 1960	304
15. Customs Convention on Containers, 1972. Done at Geneva on 2 December 1972	306
16. Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR carnets (TIR Convention) with annexes. Concluded at Geneva on 14 November 1975	308
<i>B. Road Traffic</i>	
1. Convention on Road Traffic, with annexes. Signed at Geneva on 19 September 1949	309
2. Protocol concerning countries or territories at present occupied. Signed at Geneva on 19 September 1949	320
3. Protocol on Road Signs and Signals. Signed at Geneva on 19 September 1949	321
4. European Agreement supplementing the 1949 Convention on Road Traffic and the 1949 Protocol on Road Signs and Signals. Done at Geneva on 16 September 1950	323
5. European Agreement on the application of article 3 of annex 7 of the 1949 Convention on Road Traffic concerning the dimensions and weights of vehicles permitted to travel on certain roads of the Contracting Parties, with annex. Signed at Geneva on 16 September 1950	324
6. European Agreement on the application of article 23 of the 1949 Convention on Road Traffic concerning the dimensions and weights of vehicles permitted to travel on certain roads of the Contracting Parties, with annex. Signed at Geneva on 16 September 1950	325
7. Declaration on the Construction of Main International Traffic Arteries, with annexes. Signed at Geneva on 16 September 1950	326
8. General Agreement on Economic Regulations for International Road Transport, with Set of Rules and Annexes:	
(a) Additional Protocol	
(b) Protocol of Signature	
Done at Geneva on 17 March 1954	327
(c) Protocol relating to the adoption of Annex C. 1 to the Set of Rules annexed to the General Agreement on Economic Regulations for International Road Transport. Done at Geneva on 1 July 1954	327
9. Agreement on Signs for Road Works, amending the European Agreement of 16 September 1950 supplementing the 1949 Convention on Road Traffic and the 1949 Protocol on Road Signs and Signals. Done at Geneva on 16 December 1955	328
10. Convention on the Taxation of Road Vehicles for Private Use in International Traffic, and Protocol of Signature. Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956	329
11. Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR), and Protocol of Signature. Done at Geneva on 19 May 1956	330
12. Convention on the Taxation of Road Vehicles Engaged in International Goods Transport. Done at Geneva on 14 December 1956	332

CONTENTS (*continued*)

	<i>Page</i>
13. Convention on the Taxation of Road Vehicles Engaged in International Passenger Transport. Done at Geneva on 14 December 1956	333
14. European Agreement concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road (ADR), with annexes and Protocol of Signature. Done at Geneva on 30 September 1957	334
(a) Protocol amending article 14(3) of the European Agreement of 30 September 1957 concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road (ADR). Done at New York on 21 August 1975	336
15. European Agreement on Road Markings. Done at Geneva on 13 December 1957	337
16. Agreement concerning the Adoption of Uniform Conditions of Approval and Reciprocal Recognition of Approval for Motor Vehicle Equipment and Parts. Done at Geneva on 20 March 1958	338
17. Agreement on Special Equipment for the Transport of Perishable Foodstuffs and on the Use of such Equipment for the International Transport of some of those Foodstuffs, with annexes. Done at Geneva on 15 January 1962	360
18. European Agreement concerning the Work of Crews of Vehicles Engaged in International Road Transport (AETR), with annex and Protocol of Signature. Done at Geneva on 19 January 1962	361
19. Convention on Road Traffic, with annexes. Done at Vienna on 8 November 1968	362
20. Convention on Road Signs and Signals, with annexes. Done at Vienna on 8 November 1968	365
21. European Agreement concerning the Work of Crews of Vehicles Engaged in International Road Transport (AETR), with annex and Protocol of Signature. Done at Geneva on 1 July 1970	368
22. Agreement on the International Carriage of Perishable Foodstuffs and on the Special Equipment to be used for such Carriage (ATP), with annexes. Done at Geneva on 1 September 1970	370
23. European Agreement (with annex) supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968. Done at Geneva on 1 May 1971	371
24. European Agreement (with annex) supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968. Done at Geneva on 1 May 1971	374
25. Protocol on Road Markings, additional to the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968. Done at Geneva on 1 March 1973	375
26. Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Passengers and Luggage by Road (CVR). Done at Geneva on 1 March 1973	376
27. Agreement on Minimum Requirements for the Issue and Validity of Driving Permits (APC). Concluded at Geneva on 1 April 1975	377
<i>C. Crossing of Frontiers by Rail</i>	
1. International Convention to Facilitate the Crossing of Frontiers for Passengers and Baggage carried by Rail, with annex. Signed at Geneva on 10 January 1952	378
2. International Convention to Facilitate the Crossing of Frontiers for Goods carried by Rail, with annex. Signed at Geneva on 10 January 1952	379
CHAPTER XII. NAVIGATION	
1. Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization. Signed at Geneva on 6 March 1948	381
Amendments to the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization:	
(a) Amendments to articles 17 and 18 of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization. Adopted by the Assembly of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization in resolution A.69 (ES.II) of 15 September 1964	389

CONTENTS (continued)

	<i>Page</i>
(b) Amendment to article 28 of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization. Adopted by the Assembly of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization in resolution A.70 (IV) of 28 September 1965	391
(c) Amendments to articles 10, 16, 17, 18, 20, 28, 31 and 32 of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization. Adopted by the Assembly of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization in resolution A.315 (ES.V) of 17 October 1974	393
(d) Amendments to the title and substantive provisions of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization. Adopted by the Assembly of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization in resolution A.358 (IX) of 14 November 1975	395
2. Convention regarding the Measurement and Registration of Vessels Employed in Inland Navigation. Done at Bangkok on 22 June 1956	396
3. Convention relating to the Unification of Certain Rules concerning Collisions in Inland Navigation. Done at Geneva on 15 March 1960	397
4. Convention on the Registration of Inland Navigation Vessels, with annexed Protocols: Protocol No. 1 concerning Rights <i>in rem</i> in Inland Navigation Vessels Protocol No. 2 concerning Attachment and Forced Sale of Inland Navigation Vessels Done at Geneva on 25 January 1965	399
5. Convention on the Measurement of Inland Navigation Vessels, with Annex and Protocol of Signature. Done at Geneva on 15 February 1966	400
6. Convention on a Code of Conduct for Liner Conferences. Concluded at Geneva on 6 April 1974	402
CHAPTER XIII. ECONOMIC STATISTICS	
1. Protocol amending the International Convention relating to Economic Statistics, signed at Geneva on 14 December 1928. Signed at Paris on 9 December 1948	405
2. International Convention relating to Economic Statistics. Signed at Geneva on 14 December 1928 and amended by the Protocol signed at Paris on 9 December 1948	406
3. (a) International Convention relating to Economic Statistics. Geneva, December 14th, 1928	407
(b) Protocol. Geneva, December 14th, 1928	409
CHAPTER XIV. EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL MATTERS	
1. Agreement for Facilitating the International Circulation of Visual and Auditory Materials of an Educational, Scientific and Cultural Character with Protocol of Signature. Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 15 July 1949	411
2. Agreement on the Importation of Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials with annexed Protocol. Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 22 November 1950	412
3. International Convention for the Protection of Performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organizations. Done at Rome on 26 October 1961	416
4. Convention for the Protection of Producers of Phonograms against Unauthorized Duplication of their Phonograms. Done at Geneva on 29 October 1971	419
5. Convention relating to the Distribution of Programme-carrying Signals transmitted by Satellite. Concluded at Brussels on 21 May 1974 (See chapter XXV)	

CONTENTS (continued)

	<i>Page</i>
CHAPTER XV. DECLARATION OF DEATH OF MISSING PERSONS	
1. Convention on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons. Established and opened for accession on 6 April 1950 by the United Nations Conference on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons	421
2. Protocol for extending the period of validity of the Convention on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons. Opened for accession at New York on 16 January 1957	423
3. Protocol for the further extension of the period of validity of the Convention on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons. Opened for accession at New York on 15 January 1967	424
CHAPTER XVI. STATUS OF WOMEN	
1. Convention on the Political Rights of Women. Opened for signature at New York on 31 March 1953	425
2. Convention on the Nationality of Married Women. Done at New York on 20 February 1957	434
3. Convention on Consent to Marriage, Minimum Age for Marriage and Registration of Marriages. Signed at New York on 10 December 1962	438
CHAPTER XVII. FREEDOM OF INFORMATION	
1. Convention on the International Right of Correction. Opened for signature at New York on 31 March 1953	441
CHAPTER XVIII. SLAVERY	
1. Protocol amending the Slavery Convention signed at Geneva on 25 September 1926. Done at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, on 7 December 1953	443
2. Slavery Convention signed at Geneva on 25 September 1926 and amended by the Protocol done at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, on 7 December 1953	445
3. Slavery Convention. Geneva, September 25th, 1926	447
4. Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade, and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery. Done at Geneva on 7 September 1956	449
CHAPTER XIX. COMMODITIES	
1. International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1956. Opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations from 15 November 1955 to 15 February 1956	453
2. Protocol amending the International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1956. Done at Geneva on 3 April 1958	454
3. International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1956. Opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations from 15 November 1955 to 15 February 1956, and amended by the Protocol done at Geneva on 3 April 1958	455
4. International Coffee Agreement, 1962. Signed at New York on 28 September 1962	456
5. International Coffee Agreement, 1968. Open for signature at New York from 18 to 31 March 1968	460
(a) Extension with modifications of the International Coffee Agreement, 1968. Approved by the International Coffee Council in resolution No. 264 of 14 April 1973	464
6. International Sugar Agreement, 1968. Open for signature at New York from 3 to 24 December 1968	466
7. Agreement establishing the Asian Coconut Community. Opened for signature at Bangkok on 12 December 1968	470
8. Agreement establishing the Pepper Community. Opened for signature at Bangkok on 16 April 1971	471

CONTENTS (continued)

	<i>Page</i>
9. International Cocoa Agreement, 1972. Concluded at Geneva on 21 October 1972	472
10. International Sugar Agreement, 1973. Concluded at Geneva on 13 October 1973	475
(a) Extension of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973. Approved by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 1 of 30 September 1975	478
(b) International Sugar Agreement, 1973. Concluded at Geneva on 13 October 1973, as extended by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 1 of 30 September 1975	480
(c) Extension of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973. Approved by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 2 of 18 June 1976	482
(d) International Sugar Agreement, 1973. Concluded at Geneva on 13 October 1973, as extended until 31 December 1976 by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 2 of 18 June 1976	484
11. Agreement establishing the Asian Rice Trade Fund. Drawn up at Bangkok on 16 March 1973	486
12. Protocol for the Continuation in Force of the International Coffee Agreement, 1968, as extended. Concluded at London on 26 September 1974	487
13. International Tin Agreement, 1975. Concluded at Geneva on 21 June 1975	489
14. International Cocoa Agreement, 1975. Concluded at Geneva on 20 October 1975	491
15. International Coffee Agreement, 1976. Concluded at London on 3 December 1975	493
 CHAPTER XX. MAINTENANCE OBLIGATIONS	
1. Convention on the Recovery Abroad of Maintenance. Concluded at New York on 20 June 1956	495
 CHAPTER XXI. LAW OF THE SEA	
1. Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone. Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958	499
2. Convention on the High Seas. Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958	506
3. Convention on Fishing and Conservation of the Living Resources of the High Seas. Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958	514
4. Convention on the Continental Shelf. Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958	516
5. Optional Protocol of Signature concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes. Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958	521
 CHAPTER XXII. COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION	
1. Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards. Done at New York on 10 June 1958	523
2. European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration. Done at Geneva on 21 April 1961	528
 CHAPTER XXIII. LAW OF TREATIES	
1. Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, with annex. Done at Vienna on 23 May 1969	531
 CHAPTER XXIV. OUTER SPACE	
1. Convention on Registration of Objects Launched into Outer Space. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 12 November 1974	537
 CHAPTER XXV. TELECOMMUNICATIONS	
1. Convention relating to the Distribution of Programme-carrying Signals transmitted by Satellite. Concluded at Brussels on 21 May 1974	539
2. Constitution of the Asia-Pacific Telecommunity. Concluded at Bangkok on 27 March 1976	540

CONTENTS (*continued*)

Page

Part II. League of Nations Multilateral Treaties

1. Convention concerning the Use of Broadcasting in the Cause of Peace. Geneva, September 23rd, 1936	543
2. Special Protocol concerning Statelessness. The Hague, April 12th, 1930	545
3. Protocol relating to a Certain Case of Statelessness. The Hague, April 12th, 1930	546
4. Convention on Certain Questions relating to the Conflict of Nationality Laws. The Hague, April 12th, 1930	547
5. Protocol relating to Military Obligations in Certain Cases of Double Nationality. The Hague, April 12th, 1930	549
6. Protocol on Arbitration Clauses. Geneva, September 24th, 1923	550
7. Convention on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards. Geneva, September 26th, 1927	553
8. Convention for the Settlement of Certain Conflicts of Laws in connection with Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes, and Protocol. Geneva, June 7th, 1930	555
9. Convention for the Settlement of Certain Conflicts of Laws in connection with Cheques, and Protocol. Geneva, March 19th, 1931	556
10. Convention providing a Uniform Law for Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes, with Annexes and Protocol. Geneva, June 7th, 1930	557
11. Convention providing a Uniform Law for Cheques, with Annexes and Protocol. Geneva, March 19th, 1931	560
12. Convention on the Stamp Laws in connection with Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes, and Protocol. Geneva, June 7th, 1930	563
13. Convention on the Stamp Laws in connection with Cheques, and Protocol. Geneva, March 19th, 1931	565
14. International Convention for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency, and Protocol. Geneva, April 20th, 1929	567
15. Optional Protocol concerning the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency. Geneva, April 20th, 1929	571
16. Convention and Statute on Freedom of Transit. Barcelona, April 20th, 1921	572
17. Convention and Statute on the Régime of Navigable Waterways of International Concern. Barcelona, April 20th, 1921	573
18. Additional Protocol to the Convention on the Régime of Navigable Waterways of International Concern. Barcelona, April 20th, 1921	574
19. Declaration recognising the Right to a Flag of States having no Sea-coast. Barcelona, April 20th, 1921	576
20. Convention and Statute on the International Régime of Maritime Ports, and Protocol of Signature. Geneva, December 9th, 1923	577
21. Convention on the Taxation of Foreign Motor Vehicles, with Protocol-Annex. Geneva, March 30th, 1931	579
22. International Convention relating to the Simplification of Customs Formalities, and Protocol. Geneva, November 3rd, 1923	581
23. International Convention for the Campaign against Contagious Diseases of Animals, with Declaration attached. Geneva, February 20th, 1935	583
24. Convention concerning the Transit of Animals, Meat and Other Products of Animal Origin, with Annex. Geneva, February 20th, 1935	584
25. International Convention concerning the Export and Import of Animal Products (other than Meat, Meat Preparations, Fresh Animal Products, Milk and Milk Products), with Annex. Geneva, February 20th, 1935	585
26. Convention and Statute establishing an International Relief Union. Geneva, July 12th, 1927	586
27. Convention and Statute on the International Régime of Railways, and Protocol of Signature. Geneva, December 9th, 1923	588

CONTENTS (*continued*)

	<i>Page</i>
28. Convention regarding the Measurement of Vessels employed in Inland Navigation, and Protocol of Signature, Paris, November 27th, 1925	590
29. General Act of Arbitration (Pacific Settlement of International Disputes). Geneva, September 26th, 1928	592
30. Convention concerning the Unification of Road Signals. Geneva, March 30th, 1931	599

INTRODUCTION*

1. Since 1949, the Secretariat has periodically published information on the status of multilateral treaties in respect of which the Secretary-General performs depositary functions, as an essential part of those functions. The present publication continues that service. However, the form of presentation has been changed, and the coverage has been expanded by inclusion of certain League of Nations multilateral treaties which were not listed in earlier editions; the title has also been altered to reflect the contents more appropriately. The new form of presentation of this publication, as well as the nature and arrangement of the material contained therein, are described below.

The new form of presentation

2. The most recent basic publication of this information was in 1959 in a volume entitled *Status of Multilateral Conventions in respect of which the Secretary-General Acts as Depositary* (ST/LEG/3.Rev.1).¹ Like the preceding edition of 1952, it was in loose-leaf form; sets of revised pages, and of additional pages setting out the status and the final clauses of new treaties deposited with the Secretary-General, were issued thereafter in annual supplements, to bring the information up to date. Experience has shown, however, that the loose-leaf system is not entirely suitable to this type of publication. So many changes in the status of treaties have occurred each year that most of the pages, except for those containing the text of final clauses, have had to be re-issued annually. Yet it appears from the number of requests for treaty information that only a limited number of recipients have made systematic use of the annual supplements to maintain the publication in complete and current form. Furthermore, the loose-leaf system has had the important disadvantage that where an action taken by a government in respect of a treaty is later withdrawn or replaced by a new action, the information about the earlier action often disappears from the publication through discard of the old page, leaving no permanent record for future reference.

3. In view of the above considerations, it was decided to change the form of presentation of the publication. The new form consists of two components: (a) the main part, to be issued annually in a bound volume, containing a comprehensive list of signatures, ratifica-

tions, accessions, etc., relating to multilateral treaties in respect of which the Secretary-General performs depositary functions; and (b) an annex giving the final clauses of those treaties. To avoid annual reprinting of the final clauses and yet to permit the collection of them to be kept up to date, the annex is published in a separate volume in loose-leaf form.²

4. This volume, being the first of a new series, consolidates the information provided in earlier editions so as to provide a complete and easily accessible record of actions which have been taken by States regarding the treaties in question since the assumption by the Secretary-General of depositary functions in respect of them. It is thus not limited to the present status of the treaties, or to those actions which still have legal effect. Therefore it lists ratifications or accessions to treaties by States which have later ceased to be bound by those treaties through denunciation, reservations which have been withdrawn, and notifications of application to territories which have later become independent.

Treaties covered by this publication

5. Like all previous editions of the publication, this volume covers all multilateral treaties which have been concluded under the auspices of the United Nations or its specialized agencies and the originals of which have been deposited with the Secretary-General. It likewise includes the Charter of the United Nations, in respect of which certain depositary functions have been conferred on the Secretary-General, although the authentic text of the Charter is deposited with the Government of the United States of America. It also continues to list those League of Nations treaties and certain pre-United Nations treaties which were amended by Protocols adopted by the General Assembly (treaties relating to narcotic drugs, traffic in women and children, obscene publications, economic statistics, and slavery). Moreover, certain other League of Nations treaties are here covered for the first time in a United Nations publication of the present kind.

6. All multilateral treaties deposited with the League of Nations were transferred, following the dissolution of the League, to the custody of the United Nations, pursuant to General Assembly resolution 24 (I) of 12 February 1946³ and a League of Nations Assembly resolution of 18 April 1946.⁴ In its resolution of 12 February 1946, the General Assembly declared the

* Introduction published in the first volume of the new series of the present publication (ST/LEG/SER.D/1).

¹ The initial publication was issued in 1949 in a bound volume entitled *Signatures, Ratifications, Accessions, Acceptances, etc. concerning the Multilateral Conventions and Agreements in respect of which the Secretary-General Acts as Depositary* (United Nations publication, Sales No.: 1949.V.9), 15 November 1949; it was followed by *Corrigenda and Addenda* (United Nations publication, Sales No.: 1951.V.3), 1 May 1951. Both were superseded by *Status of Multilateral Conventions in respect of which the Secretary-General Acts as Depositary* (ST/LEG/3), 10 October 1952, to which printed supplements Nos 1 to 24 were subsequently issued.

² *Multilateral treaties in respect of which the Secretary-General Performs Depositary Functions*, Annex: Final Clauses (ST/LEG/SER.D/1. Annex). For the description of the contents and arrangement of this Annex, see the introductory note therein.

³ United Nations, *Resolutions adopted by the General Assembly during the First Part of its First Session* (A/64), p. 35.

⁴ League of Nations, *Official Journal, Special Supplement No. 194*, p. 57.

willingness of the United Nations to accept the custody of those treaties and to charge the Secretariat of the United Nations with the task of performing the functions, pertaining to a secretariat, formerly entrusted under their provisions to the League of Nations. Since the transfer of custody to the United Nations, States have taken various actions (signature, ratification, accession, denunciation, etc.) in respect of a number of the League treaties. This publication covers all such treaties in respect of which any action has been communicated to the Secretary-General.

7. Furthermore, this publication also covers eleven League treaties⁵ that were the subjects of General Assembly resolutions 1903 (XVIII) of 18 November 1963 and 2021 (XX) of 5 November 1965. By those resolutions, the General Assembly decided that it was the appropriate organ of the United Nations to exercise the power conferred by certain treaties on the Council of the League of Nations to invite States to accede thereto, requested the Secretary-General to issue such invitations to certain States, and recognized that, from among the treaties involved, eleven might be of interest for accession by additional States. Those eleven treaties are therefore included herein.

Division into parts and chapters

8. The material dealt with in this volume is arranged in two parts. Part I is devoted to United Nations multilateral treaties, and part II to League of Nations multilateral treaties. However, for ease of reference, those League of Nations treaties and other pre-United Nations treaties which were amended by Protocols adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations are included in part I, so that the lists of States which have become parties to the amending Protocol and to the treaty as amended are followed immediately by a list showing the status of the original treaty as at the time of its transfer into the custody of the United Nations.

9. Part I is divided by subject into twenty-two chapters. Within each chapter the treaties are listed in the chronological order of their conclusion. In part II, which has no chapters, the treaties are listed in the order in which they appear in the last League of Nations publication of the list of signatures, ratifications and accessions in respect of Agreements and Conventions concluded under the auspices of the League of Nations.⁶

How each treaty is covered

(a) UNITED NATIONS MULTILATERAL TREATIES

10. After the full title, particulars are given in respect of each treaty regarding entry into force, registration and publication in the United Nations *Treaty Series* or, if it is not yet published in the *Treaty Series*, the place where its text may be found. A footnote is

⁵ See treaties listed in part II of this publication under numbers: 1, 3, 4, 5, 14 to 18, 20 and 22.

⁶ League of Nations, *Official Journal, Special Supplement No. 193*, Twenty-first List, Geneva, 1944 and *ibid.*, *Special Supplement No. 195*, Supplement to the Twenty-first List, Geneva, 1946. This applies only to the 26 treaties of the League of Nations which were listed in the first edition of the present publication. Those treaties included in subsequent editions were numbered in the order of their insertion.

appended to the title of the treaty giving a brief reference to how it was adopted.

11. States are listed alphabetically along with the dates of the signature, ratification, accession, etc., of each. The arrangement under each treaty reflects the provisions of the final clauses of that treaty regarding the methods by which States may become parties to it. Thus, for instance, for treaties which are open for accession only, the date on which the instrument of accession was deposited with the Secretary-General is shown opposite the name of the States concerned. For treaties which are open for signature followed by ratification or acceptance, or for accession, the dates of signature are shown in the first column, and the dates of deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or accession in the second column; the symbol "A" indicates acceptance, and the symbol "a" accession. For treaties which provide either for signature without reservation as to acceptance (definitive signature), or signature subject to acceptance followed by acceptance, or acceptance, the dates of signature subject to acceptance are given in the first column, and the dates of definitive signature or acceptance in the second column, definitive signatures being marked by an asterisk.

12. Under the practice which has developed regarding the succession of States in relation to multilateral treaties in respect of which the Secretary-General performs depositary functions,⁷ States which recognize that they continue to be bound by a treaty made applicable to their territory by their predecessors address a formal notification to that effect to the Secretary-General, who, in the exercise of his depositary functions, informs all interested States accordingly. A State making such a notification is deemed to become as from the date of its independence a party in its own right to the treaty in question, and is so listed in this publication, a notification of succession being indicated by symbol "d" immediately following a date in the column relating to ratifications, acceptances and accessions. In such cases, the date shown is the date of receipt by the Secretary-General of the notification of succession.

13. Declarations and reservations made by States on signature, ratification, accession, etc., are given either in footnotes appended to the names of the States concerned, or, when numerous and extensive, are grouped together under a separate heading following the list of States. Notifications of objections to declarations or reservations are usually referred to in footnotes to those declarations or reservations, though when abundant they are likewise grouped together following the text of declarations and reservations. When the final clauses of a treaty provide for notifications relating to the territorial application of the treaty, information on such notifications is given in a separate section. The texts of declarations and reservations are normally given in full. Unless shown in quotation marks, the text is a transla-

⁷ For the detailed account of this practice, see *Yearbook of the International Law Commission, 1962*, vol. II, p. 106, Succession of States in relation to general multilateral treaties of which the Secretary-General is depositary: memorandum prepared by the Secretariat.

tion, and, unless otherwise indicated, the translation is by the Secretariat.

14. Various other notifications, such as those relating to a denunciation of a treaty or withdrawal of a reservation or a declaration, are referred to in footnotes. In accordance with the aim of this volume to be a complete record of the actions of States (see paragraph 4 above), in cases where a denunciation has already become effective, the State concerned is retained in the list, but the date of its definitive signature or deposit of an instrument of ratification or accession is shown in square brackets, and a footnote is appended giving the date of receipt and the effective date of the notification of denunciation. Similarly, in cases where a reservation or a declaration has been withdrawn, the text of the reservation or declaration is retained (or a reference is provided where the text may be found), but information regarding the date of receipt of the notification of withdrawal is given in a footnote.

(b) LEAGUE OF NATIONS MULTILATERAL TREATIES

15. As said above, the Secretary-General has assumed depositary functions in respect of the League of Nations multilateral treaties pursuant to General Assembly resolution 24 (I) of 12 February 1946. Along with the authentic texts of the League of Nations multilateral treaties, the Secretariat of the United Nations took custody of the official records pertaining thereto and, in particular, of the last official League of Nations publication of the list of signatures, ratifications and accessions in respect of multilateral treaties concluded under the auspices of the League of Nations.⁸ In the exercise of depositary functions under the above-mentioned resolution, the Secretary-General has informed all interested States of new signatures, receipt in deposit of instruments of ratification or accession, and notifications of succession, as well as various other notifications communicated to him in accordance with the provisions of the treaties concerned. He has also provided, upon request of the parties or of other interested States, information relating to the status of the said treaties. The information so provided is based on the official records of the League of Nations referred to earlier, with only such changes indicated as have been formally notified to the Secretary-General since his assumption of depositary functions.

16. Accordingly, the list of signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., in respect of each of the League of Nations multilateral treaties covered by this publication is divided into two sections. The first section reflects the position as at the time of the transfer of those treaties to the custody of the United Nations, without implying a judgment by the Secretary-General on the current legal effect of the information provided, or on the status of any of the parties or territories listed therein. That section preserves both the contents

and the form of presentation of the last official list of the League of Nations⁹. The second section gives a list of actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General. The form of presentation of the latter follows that used in this publication in respect of the United Nations multilateral treaties.

17. Explanations concerning the content and arrangement of material in the last official list of the League of Nations are given in the introduction to the publication containing that list.⁸ However, the following brief excerpts from its text are given below for the convenience of the users of this volume.

"In respect of each convention, ratifications, definitive accessions and definitive signatures, on the one hand, and simple signatures, on the other, are treated separately.

...

"Those conventions which require ratification—which are the most numerous—become binding upon States only when the latter have deposited their ratification, or, in certain cases—if they have not signed the convention within a specified period after the date of its conclusion—when they accede to it.

"In principle, accession is definitive in the same way as ratification. Sometimes, however, Governments have made their accession subject to confirmation; in such a case a State is not bound by the fact of accession. The term 'definitive accession' is used in view of this possibility.

"When a convention makes no provision for ratification, mere signature suffices to render it binding upon States. Here again, however, Governments have sometimes made their signature subject to confirmation; for instance, they sometimes append their signature 'ad referendum'. The term 'definitive signature' is used in view of this possibility.

...

"The letter 'a' immediately following a date indicates an accession.

...

"The names of States Members of the League of Nations, and also those of States which are not members of the League and which are not dependencies of some other State, are printed in ordinary characters.

"On the other hand, the names of colonies, protectorates, mandated territories and all territories which are in any way dependent upon another country are printed in italics."

It should also be noted that dates of definitive signatures, ratifications, accessions and notifications of extension to territories are shown in parentheses.

⁸ See footnote 6, p. xviii.

⁹ With the exception of minor corrections or modifications concerning the titles and the original footnotes.

PART I

United Nations Multilateral Treaties

CHAPTER I. CHARTER OF THE UNITED NATIONS AND STATUTE OF THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE

I. Charter of the United Nations

Signed at San Francisco on 26 June 1945

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 October 1945, in accordance with Article 110.

Original Members of the United Nations which, having signed the Charter,¹ deposited their instruments of ratification with the Government of the United States of America on the dates indicated

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification</i>
ARGENTINA	24 September 1945
AUSTRALIA	1 November 1945
BELGIUM	27 December 1945
BOLIVIA	14 November 1945
BRAZIL	21 September 1945
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	24 October 1945
CANADA	9 November 1945
CHILE	11 October 1945
CHINA ²	28 September 1945
COLOMBIA	5 November 1945
COSTA RICA	2 November 1945
CUBA	15 October 1945
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	19 October 1945
DENMARK	9 October 1945
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	4 September 1945
ECUADOR	21 December 1945
EGYPT (UNITED ARAB REPUBLIC) ³	22 October 1945

¹ All States listed herein signed the Charter on 26 June 1945, with the exception of Poland on behalf of which it was signed on 15 October 1945.

² See note, p. iii.

³ By a communication dated 24 February 1958, the Minister for Foreign Affairs of the United Arab Republic notified the Secretary-General of the United Nations of the establishment by Egypt and Syria of a single State, the United Arab Republic. Subsequently, in a note dated 1 March 1958, the Ministry for Foreign Affairs of the United Arab Republic informed the Secretary-General of the following: ". . . It is to be noted that the Government of the United Arab Republic declares that the Union henceforth is a single Member of the United Nations, bound by the provisions of the Charter and that all international treaties and agreements concluded by Egypt or Syria with other countries will remain valid within the regional limits prescribed on their conclusion and in accordance with the principles of international law."

In a cable dated 8 October 1961, the Prime Minister and Minister for Foreign Affairs of the Syrian Arab Republic informed the President of the General Assembly of the United Nations that Syria had resumed her former status as an independent State and requested that the United Nations take note of the resumed membership in the United Nations of the Syrian Arab Republic. This request was brought to the attention of Member States by the President of the General Assembly at its 1035th plenary meeting on 13 October 1961. At the 1036th plenary meeting which took place on the same date, the President of the General Assembly stated that no objection having been received on the part of any Member State the delegation of the Syrian Arab Republic has taken its seat in the Assembly as a Member of the United Nations with all the

obligations and rights that go with that status. In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General on 19 July 1962, the Permanent Representative of Syria to the United Nations communicated to him the text of *décret-loi* No. 25 promulgated by the President of the Syrian Arab Republic on 13 June 1962 and stated the following:

"It follows from article 2 of the text in question that obligations contracted by the Syrian Arab Republic under multilateral agreements and conventions during the period of the Union with Egypt remain in force in Syria. The period of the Union between Syria and Egypt extends from 22 February 1958 to 27 September 1961."

Finally, in a communication dated 2 September 1971, the Permanent Representative of the Arab Republic of Egypt to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that the United Arab Republic had assumed the name of Arab Republic of Egypt (Egypt), and, in a communication dated 13 September 1971, the Permanent Mission of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that the official name of Syria was "Syrian Arab Republic".

Accordingly, in so far as concerns any action taken by Egypt or subsequently by the United Arab Republic in respect of any instrument concluded under the auspices of the United Nations, the date of such action is shown in the list of States opposite the name of Egypt. The dates of actions taken by Syria prior to the formation of the United Arab Republic are shown opposite the name of the Syrian Arab Republic, as also are the dates of receipt of instruments of accession or notification of application to the Syrian Province deposited on behalf of the United Arab Republic during the time when the Syrian Arab Republic formed part of the United Arab Republic.

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification</i>
EL SALVADOR	26 September 1945
ETHIOPIA	13 November 1945
FRANCE	31 August 1945
GREECE	25 October 1945
GUATEMALA	21 November 1945
HAITI	27 September 1945
HONDURAS	17 December 1945
INDIA	30 October 1945
IRAN	16 October 1945
IRAQ	21 December 1945
LEBANON	15 October 1945
LIBERIA	2 November 1945
LUXEMBOURG	17 October 1945
MEXICO	7 November 1945
NETHERLANDS	10 December 1945
NEW ZEALAND	19 September 1945
NICARAGUA	6 September 1945
NORWAY	27 November 1945
PANAMA	13 November 1945
PARAGUAY	12 October 1945
PERU	31 October 1945
PHILIPPINES	11 October 1945
POLAND	24 October 1945
SAUDI ARABIA	18 October 1945
SOUTH AFRICA (UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA) ⁴	7 November 1945
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC (SYRIA) ³	19 October 1945
TURKEY	28 September 1945
UKRAINIAN SSR	24 October 1945
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	24 October 1945
UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND	20 October 1945
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	8 August 1945
URUGUAY	18 December 1945
VENEZUELA	15 November 1945
YUGOSLAVIA	19 October 1945

⁴ In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 25 May 1961, the Permanent Representative of the Union of South Africa to the United Nations informed him "that as

from 31 May 1961, the Union of South Africa will be a republic under the name of Republic of South Africa".

2. Declarations of acceptance of the obligations contained in the Charter of the United Nations

(admission of States to membership in the United Nations in accordance with Article 4 of the Charter)¹

State	Resolution	Date of adoption	Registration and publication of the Declarations ²			United Nations Treaty Series		
			Date	Number	Volume	Page		
AFGHANISTAN	34 (I)	9 November 1946	14 December 1946	7	1	39		
ALBANIA	995 (X)	14 December 1955	14 December 1955	3043	223	23		
ALGERIA	1754 (XVII)	8 October 1962	11 October 1962	6336	442	37		
ANGOLA	31/44	1 December 1976	1 December 1976					
AUSTRIA	995 (X)	14 December 1955	14 December 1955	3044	223	27		
BAHAMAS	3051 (XXVIII)	18 September 1973	18 September 1973	12760	891			
BAHRAIN	2752 (XXVI)	21 September 1971	21 September 1971	11351	797	77		
BANGLADESH	3203 (XXIX)	17 September 1974	17 September 1974	13543				
BARBADOS	2175 (XXI)	9 December 1966	9 December 1966	8437	581	131		
BENIN ^{2a}	1481 (XV)	20 September 1960	20 September 1960	5357	375	91		
BHUTAN	2751 (XXVI)	21 September 1971	21 September 1971	11340	796	295		
BOTSWANA	2136 (XXI)	17 October 1966	17 October 1966	8357	575	151		
BULGARIA	995 (X)	14 December 1955	14 December 1955	3045	223	31		
BURMA	188 (S-II)	19 April 1948	19 April 1948	225	15	3		
BURUNDI	1749 (XVII)	18 September 1962	18 September 1962	6303	437	149		
CAPE VERDE	3363 (XXX)	16 September 1975	16 September 1975					
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE ^{2b}	1488 (XV)	20 September 1960	20 September 1960	5363	375	115		
CHAD	1485 (XV)	20 September 1960	20 September 1960	5361	375	107		
COMOROS	3385 (XXX)	12 November 1975	12 November 1975					
CONGO ³	1486 (XV)	20 September 1960	20 September 1960	5362	375	111		
CYPRUS	1489 (XV)	20 September 1960	9 June 1961	5711	397	283		
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA ^{3a}	995 (X)	14 December 1955	14 December 1955	3046	223	35		
DEMOCRATIC YEMEN ⁴	2310 (XXII)	14 December 1967	14 December 1967	8861	614	21		
EQUATORIAL GUINEA	2384 (XXIII)	12 November 1968	12 November 1968	9295	649	197		
FIJI	2622 (XXV)	13 October 1970	13 October 1970	10789	752	207		

¹ The Provisional Rules of Procedure of the General Assembly (rules 113-116), under which the first six new Members were admitted to membership in the United Nations, namely, Afghanistan, Iceland, Pakistan, Sweden, Thailand and Yemen, stipulated that the membership, in case of a favourable decision of the General Assembly, shall become effective on the date on which the applicant State presented to the Secretary-General an instrument of adherence. Accordingly, the membership of Afghanistan, Iceland and Sweden became effective on 19 November 1946, that of Thailand on 16 December 1946 and that of Pakistan and Yemen on 30 September 1947.

By resolution 116 (II) of 21 November 1947, the General Assembly adopted new rules governing the admission of new Members. Under these rules (135-139), a declaration, made in a formal instrument, accepting the obligations contained in the Charter, shall be submitted to the Secretary-General by an applicant State at the same time as the application for membership. The membership becomes effective, if the application is approved, on the date on which the General Assembly takes its decision on the application. Accordingly, for all Members other than the six mentioned in the preceding paragraph, the membership became effective on the respective dates shown in the first column of this list.

² The declarations are registered *ex officio* by the Secretariat on the effective dates of membership. However, since the registration did not start until 14 December 1946, when the General Assembly, by resolution 97 (I), adopted the regulations to give effect to Article 102 of the Charter of the United Nations, the declarations of Afghanistan, Iceland and Sweden were registered on that date. Furthermore, in some instances, where the declaration accepting the obligations contained in the Charter was submitted to the Secretary-General together with the application in cabled form or emanated from a representative other than the Head of State or Government or the

Minister for Foreign Affairs, the registration was not effected until the date of receipt by the Secretary-General of the confirmation of the declaration in the formal instrument bearing the signature of one of those authorities. (For the text of the Regulations to give effect to Article 102 of the Charter of the United Nations, adopted by General Assembly resolution 97 (I) of 14 December 1946 and modified by resolutions 364 B (IV) and 482 (V) of 1 December 1949 and 12 December 1950, respectively, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 76, p. XVIII.)

^{2a} In a communication dated 2 December 1975, the Permanent Mission of the People's Republic of Benin to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that their country (formerly Dahomey), would henceforth be known as "Benin".

^{2b} In a communication dated 20 December 1976 the Permanent Mission of the Central African Empire to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that, by a decision of the extraordinary Congress of the Movement for the Social Development of Black Africa (MESAN), held at Bangui from 10 November to 4 December 1976, the Central African Republic had been constituted into the Central African Empire.

³ In a communication dated 15 November 1971, the Permanent Mission of the People's Republic of the Congo to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that their country would henceforth be known as "the Congo".

^{3a} In a communication dated 28 December 1970, the Permanent Representative of the Khmer Republic to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that Cambodia had assumed the name of "Khmer Republic".

In a communication dated 30 April 1975, the Royal Government of National Union of Cambodia informed the Secretary-General that their country had reassumed the name of "Cambodia". In a communication dated 6 April 1976 the Government of Democratic Kampuchea informed the Secretary-

Decision of the General Assembly				Registration and publication of the Declarations ²				
				Registration		United Nations Treaty Series		
State	Resolution	Date of adoption		Date	Number	Volume	Page	
FINLAND	995 (X)	14 December	1955	19 December 1955	3055	223	69	
GABON	1487 (XV)	20 September	1960	7 November 1960	5436	379	99	
GAMBIA	2008 (XX)	21 September	1965	21 September 1965	7928	545	143	
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	3050 (XXVIII)	18 September	1973	18 September 1973	12758	891		
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ...	3050 (XXVIII)	18 September	1973	18 September 1973	12759	891		
GHANA	1118 (XI)	8 March	1957	8 March 1957	3727	261	113	
GRENADA	3204 (XXIX)	17 September	1974	17 September 1974	13544			
GUINEA	1325 (XIII)	12 December	1958	12 December 1958	4595	317	77	
GUINEA-BISSAU	3205 (XXIX)	17 September	1974	17 September 1974	13545			
GUYANA	2133 (XXI)	20 September	1966	20 September 1966	8316	572	225	
HUNGARY	995 (X)	14 December	1955	15 December 1955	3054	223	65	
ICELAND	34 (I)	9 November	1946	14 December 1946	8	1	41	
INDONESIA ⁵	491 (V)	28 September	1950	28 September 1950	916	71	153	
IRELAND	995 (X)	14 December	1955	29 November 1956	3594	254	223	
ISRAEL	273 (III)	11 May	1949	11 May 1949	448	30	53	
ITALY	995 (X)	14 December	1955	9 April 1956	3217	231	175	
IVORY COAST	1484 (XV)	20 September	1960	20 September 1960	5360	375	103	
JAMAICA	1750 (XVII)	18 September	1962	18 September 1962	6304	437	153	
JAPAN	1113 (XI)	18 December	1956	18 December 1956	3626	256	167	
JORDAN	995 (X)	14 December	1955	14 December 1955	3048	223	43	
KENYA	1976 (XVIII)	16 December	1963	16 December 1963	7015	483	233	
KUWAIT	1872 (S-IV)	14 May	1963	14 May 1963	6705	463	213	
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ⁶	995 (X)	14 December	1955	14 December 1955	3049	223	47	
LESOTHO	2137 (XXI)	17 October	1966	17 October 1966	8358	575	155	
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC ⁷	995 (X)	14 December	1955	14 December 1955	3050	223	51	
MADAGASCAR	1478 (XV)	20 September	1960	20 September 1960	5356	375	87	
MALAWI ⁸		1 December	1964	1 December 1964	7496	519	3	

General that the name "Democratic Kampuchea" should henceforth be used.

⁴ Democratic Yemen was successively listed in the previous editions as "Southern Yemen", "People's Republic of Southern Yemen" and "People's Democratic Republic of Yemen". In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 10 May 1972, the Permanent Representative of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen to the United Nations requested that, for practical reasons, the name of the State be shortened to "Democratic Yemen".

⁵ In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General on 20 January 1965, the First Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for Foreign Affairs of Indonesia informed the Secretary-General that "Indonesia has decided at this stage and under the present circumstances to withdraw from the United Nations". In his reply of 26 February 1965, after noting the contents of the letter from Indonesia, the Secretary-General expressed "the earnest hope that in due time [Indonesia] will resume full co-operation with the United Nations". For the text of the letter from Indonesia and the Secretary-General's reply, see documents A/5857 and Corr.1 and A/5899.

In a telegram of 19 September 1966, the Government of Indonesia informed the Secretary-General that it "has decided to resume full co-operation with the United Nations and to resume participation in its activities starting with the twenty-first session of the General Assembly". For the text of that telegram, see document A/6419.

At the 1420th plenary meeting of the General Assembly held on 28 September 1966, the President of the General Assembly, referring to the above-mentioned correspondence and to the decision of the Government of Indonesia "to resume full co-

operation with the United Nations", stated, *inter alia*, that "it would appear, therefore, that the Government of Indonesia considers that its recent absence from the Organization was based not upon a withdrawal from the United Nations but upon a cessation of co-operation. The action so far taken by the United Nations on this matter would not appear to preclude this view. If this is also the general view of the membership, the Secretary-General would give instructions for the necessary administrative action to be taken for Indonesia to participate again in the proceedings of the Organization.... Unless I hear any objection, I would assume that it is the will of the membership that Indonesia should resume full participation in the activities of the United Nations and the Secretary-General may proceed in the manner I have outlined." There having been no objection, the President invited the representatives of Indonesia to take their seats in the General Assembly. (See *Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-first Session, Plenary Meetings, 1420th meeting.*)

⁶ By a note dated 22 December 1975, the Permanent Mission of the Lao People's Democratic Republic to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that their country (formerly "Laos"), had assumed the name of "Lao People's Democratic Republic".

⁷ In a communication dated 6 January 1971, the Chargé d'Affaires of the Libyan Arab Republic to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that the name "Libyan Arab Republic" should be substituted for that of "Libya".

⁸ The decision to admit Malawi and Malta to membership in the United Nations was taken by the General Assembly during its nineteenth session at the 1286th meeting held on 1 December 1964.

State	Resolution	Date of adoption	Registration and publication of the Declarations ²			
			Registration		United Nations Treaty Series	
			Date	Number	Volume	Page
MALAYSIA ⁹	1134 (XII)	17 September 1957	17 September 1957	3995	277	3
MALDIVES ¹⁰	2009 (XX)	21 September 1965	21 September 1965	7929	545	147
MALI	1491 (XV)	28 September 1960	28 October 1960	5412	377	361
MALTA ⁸		1 December 1964	1 December 1964	7497	519	7
MAURITANIA	1631 (XVI)	27 October 1961	26 March 1963	6576	457	59
MAURITIUS	2371 (XXII)	24 April 1968	24 April 1968	9064	634	217
MONGOLIA	1630 (XVI)	27 October 1961	17 July 1962	6261	434	141
MOROCCO	1111 (XI)	12 November 1956	12 November 1956	3575	253	77
MOZAMBIQUE	3365 (XXX)	16 September 1975	16 September 1975			
NEPAL	995 (X)	14 December 1955	14 December 1955	3051	223	55
NIGER	1482 (XV)	20 September 1960	20 September 1960	5358	375	95
NIGERIA	1492 (XV)	7 October 1960	8 May 1961	5688	395	237
OMAN	2754 (XXVI)	7 October 1971	7 October 1971	11359	797	225
PAKISTAN	108 (II)	30 September 1947	30 September 1947	112	8	57
PAPUA NEW GUINEA	3368 (XXX)	10 October 1975	10 October 1975			
PORTUGAL	995 (X)	14 December 1955	21 February 1956	3155	229	3
QATAR	2753 (XXVI)	21 September 1971	21 September 1971	11352	797	81
ROMANIA	995 (X)	14 December 1955	14 December 1955	3052	223	59
RWANDA	1748 (XVII)	18 September 1962	18 September 1962	6302	437	145
SAMOA	31/104	15 December 1976	15 December 1976			
SÃO TOMÉ AND PRÍNCIPE	3364 (XXX)	16 September 1975	16 September 1975			
SENEGAL	1490 (XV)	28 September 1960	28 September 1960	5374	376	79
SEYCHELLES	31/1	21 September 1976	21 September 1976			
SIERRA LEONE	1623 (XVI)	27 September 1961	27 September 1961	5876	409	43
SINGAPORE	2010 (XX)	21 September 1965	21 September 1965	7930	545	151
SOMALIA	1479 (XV)	20 September 1960	23 February 1961	5577	388	179
SPAIN	995 (X)	14 December 1955	14 December 1955	3053	223	63
SRI LANKA ¹¹	995 (X)	14 December 1955	14 December 1955	3047	223	39
SUDAN	1110 (XI)	12 November 1956	12 November 1956	3576	253	81
SURINAM	3413 (XXX)	4 December 1975	4 December 1975			
SWAZILAND	2376 (XXIII)	24 September 1968	24 September 1968	9252	646	177
SWEDEN	34 (I)	9 November 1946	14 December 1946	9	1	43
THAILAND	101 (I)	15 December 1946	16 December 1946	11	1	47
TOGO	1477 (XV)	20 September 1960	20 September 1960	5355	375	83
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	1751 (XVII)	18 September 1962	18 September 1962	6305	437	157
TUNISIA	1112 (XI)	12 November 1956	12 November 1956	3577	253	85
UGANDA	1758 (XVII)	25 October 1962	25 October 1962	6357	443	47
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	2794 (XXVI)	9 December 1971	9 December 1971	11424	802	

⁹ On 16 September 1963, the Permanent Representative of Malaysia to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General the following communication:

"By the Constitutional process of Amendment provided for in Article 159 of the Constitution of the Federation of Malaya carried out recently in both Houses of Parliament with the requisite two-thirds majorities, the name of the State as set out in Article 1 thereof has been changed from 'Federation of Malaya' to 'Malaysia'.

"This Mission has therefore from this date assumed the name of 'Permanent Mission of Malaysia to the United Nations'.

"I shall be grateful for your having this change noted and also for your bringing it to the notice of all Missions accredited to the United Nations."

Subsequently, the Government of Malaysia confirmed to the Secretary-General that all multilateral treaties, in respect of

which he acts as depositary and to which the Federation of Malaya has become a party either by succession or by ratification or accession, continue to be binding on Malaysia, and that henceforth Malaysia should be listed in the relevant United Nations publications as a party to those treaties.

¹⁰ In a letter of 14 April 1969, the Permanent Representative of the Republic of Maldives to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that "after the change from a Sultanate to a Republican Administration, the Maldivian Government has decided that the country be known as 'Maldives' instead of 'Maldivé Islands' and that the full title of the State be called 'Republic of Maldives'".

¹¹ In the previous editions of this publication, Sri Lanka was listed as Ceylon. In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 29 August 1972, the Chargé d'Affaires a.i. of the Permanent Mission of Sri Lanka to the United Nations stated that the official designation "Sri Lanka" should be used.

State	Decision of the General Assembly		Registration and publication of the Declarations ²			
			Registration		United Nations Treaty Series	
			Date	Number	Volume	Page
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON ¹²	1476 (XV)	20 September 1960	20 September 1960	5354	375	79
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA ¹³						
TANGANYIKA .	1667 (XVI)	14 December 1961	14 December 1961	6000	416	147
ZANZIBAR	1975 (XVIII)	16 December 1963	16 December 1963	7016	483	237
UPPER VOLTA . . .	1483 (XV)	20 September 1960	20 September 1960	5359	375	99
YEMEN	108 (II)	30 September 1947	30 September 1947	113	8	59
ZAIRE ¹⁴	1480 (XV)	20 September 1960	2 January 1962	6020	418	157
ZAMBIA ¹⁵		1 December 1964	1 December 1964	7498	519	11

¹² In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General, the President of the United Republic of Cameroon requested that the latter designation be used thenceforth. The United Republic of Cameroon appeared in the previous issues of this publication under the name "Cameroon".

¹³ In a note addressed to the Secretary-General on 6 May 1964, the Ministry of External Affairs of the United Republic of Tanzania informed him that, following the signature and ratification of the Articles of Union between the Republic of Tanganyika and the People's Republic of Zanzibar, the two countries had been united on 26 April 1964, as one sovereign State under the name of the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar. The Ministry further asked the Secretary-General "to note that the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar declares that it is now a single Member of the United Nations bound by the provisions of the Charter, and that all international treaties and agreements in force between the Republic of Tanganyika or the People's Republic of Zanzibar and other States or international organizations will, to the extent that their implementation is consistent with the constitutional position established by the Articles of the Union, remain in force within the regional limits prescribed on their conclusion and in accordance with the principles of international law".

In communicating the above-mentioned note, in accordance with the request contained therein, to all States Members of the United Nations, to the principal organs of the United Nations and to the subsidiary organs of the United Nations to which Tanganyika and Zanzibar had been appointed, and to the specialized agencies of the United Nations and the International Atomic Energy Agency, the Secretary-General

stated that he "is taking action, within the limits of his administrative responsibilities, to give effect to the declaration in the attached note that the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar is now a single Member of the United Nations bound by the provision of the Charter. This action is undertaken without prejudice to and pending such action as other organs of the United Nations may take on the basis of the notification of the establishment of the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar." No objection was raised in this regard in any of the organs concerned.

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 2 November 1964, the Permanent Mission of the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar informed him that "the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar shall, with immediate effect, be known as the United Republic of Tanzania".

Subsequently, the Government of the United Republic of Tanzania confirmed to the Secretary-General that the United Republic of Tanzania continues to be bound by multilateral treaties in respect of which the Secretary-General acts as depositary and which had been signed, ratified or acceded to on behalf of Tanganyika.

¹⁴ In a communication dated 27 October 1971, the Permanent Representative of the Republic of Zaire to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that the Democratic Republic of the Congo would thenceforth be known as the "Republic of Zaire".

¹⁵ The decision to admit Zambia to membership in the United Nations was taken by the General Assembly during its nineteenth session at the 1286th meeting held on 1 December 1964.

3. Statute of the International Court of Justice

Parties: All members of the United Nations.¹
Switzerland as from 28 July 1948.²
Liechtenstein as from 29 March 1950.³
San Marino as from 18 February 1954.⁴

¹ See pages 3 to 8. Before becoming a Member of the United Nations, Japan was a party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice from 2 April 1954 to 18 December 1956; for the text of the declaration by the Government of Japan accepting the conditions determined upon the recommendation of the Security Council by the General Assembly in resolution 805 (VIII) of 9 December 1953 for Japan to become a party to the Statute of the Court, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 188, p. 137.

² Upon the recommendation of the Security Council, adopted on 15 November 1946, the General Assembly by resolution 91 (I) adopted on 11 December 1946, and in pursuance of Article 93, paragraph 2, of the Charter, determined the conditions on which Switzerland could become a Party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice. On 28 July 1948, a declaration accepting these conditions was deposited with the Secretary-General on behalf of Switzerland (registered under No. 271; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 17, p. 111) and accordingly, on that date Switzerland became a Party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice.

³ Upon the recommendation of the Security Council, adopted

on 1 September 1949, the General Assembly by resolution 363 (IV) adopted on 1 December 1949, and in pursuance of Article 93, paragraph 2, of the Charter, determined the conditions on which Liechtenstein could become a Party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice. On 29 March 1950, a declaration accepting these conditions was deposited with the Secretary-General on behalf of Liechtenstein (registered under No. 758; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 51, p. 115) and accordingly on that date Liechtenstein became a party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice.

⁴ Upon the recommendation of the Security Council, adopted on 3 December 1953, the General Assembly by resolution 806 (VIII) adopted on 9 December 1953, and in pursuance of Article 93, paragraph 2, of the Charter, determined the conditions on which San Marino could become a Party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice. On 18 February 1954, a declaration accepting these conditions was deposited with the Secretary-General on behalf of San Marino (registered under No. 2495; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 186, p. 295) and accordingly on that date San Marino became a Party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice.

4. Declarations recognizing as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice

States which have made declarations under Article 36, paragraph 2 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice or whose declarations made under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice are deemed to be acceptances of the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice¹

AUSTRALIA	HONDURAS	NIGERIA
AUSTRIA	INDIA	NORWAY
BELGIUM	ISRAEL	PAKISTAN
BOTSWANA	JAPAN	PANAMA ²
CANADA	KENYA	PHILIPPINES
COLOMBIA ²	LIBERIA	PORTUGAL
COSTA RICA	LIECHTENSTEIN	SOMALIA
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	LUXEMBOURG ²	SUDAN
DENMARK	MALAWI	SWAZILAND
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC ²	MALTA	SWEDEN
EGYPT	MAURITIUS	SWITZERLAND
EL SALVADOR	MEXICO	UGANDA
FINLAND	NETHERLANDS	UNITED KINGDOM
GAMBIA	NEW ZEALAND ²	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
HAITI ²	NICARAGUA ²	URUGUAY ²

Note. The declarations recognizing as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, deposited with the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bolivia, Brazil, Guatemala, Thailand and Turkey were made for specified periods of time which expired. For the texts of those declarations, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 1, p. 49 (Guatemala); vol. 15, p. 221 (Brazil); vol. 16, p. 207 (Bolivia); vol. 65, p. 157 (Thailand), and vol. 191, p. 357; vol. 308, p. 301; vol. 491, p. 385 and vol. 604, p. 349 (Turkey).

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 12 April 1967, the Government of South Africa gave notice of withdrawal and termination, with effect from that date, of the declaration of 12 September 1955. For the text of the said declaration, which was deposited with the Secretary-General on 13 September 1955, and for the notice of termination, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 216, p. 115, and vol. 595, p. 363 respectively.

A declaration recognizing as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice had been deposited on 26

October 1946 with the Secretary-General on behalf of the Republic of China (for the text of that declaration, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 1, p. 35). In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 5 December 1972, the Government of the People's Republic of China indicated that it does not recognize the statement made by the defunct Chinese government on 26 October 1946 in accordance with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice concerning the acceptance of the compulsory jurisdiction of the Court.

In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 10 January 1974, the Government of France gave notice of the termination of the declaration of 20 May 1966. For the text of that declaration, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 562, p. 71.

¹ See paragraph 5 of Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice

² States having made declarations under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice

Texts of the declarations

(The date shown after the name of the State indicates the date of deposit of the declaration)

(a) Declarations made under Article 36, paragraph 2 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice

AUSTRALIA

17 March 1975³

Whereas on the first day of November one thousand nine hundred and forty-five Australia ratified the Charter of the United Nations of which the Statute of the International Court of Justice is an integral part; and

Whereas Australia made a declaration under paragraph 2 of Article 36, of the said Statute on the sixth day of February, one thousand nine hundred and fifty-four; and

Whereas Australia desires to withdraw the said declaration;

The Government of Australia hereby *withdraws* the

said declaration and *declares* for and on behalf of Australia that it recognises as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court, until such time as notice may be given to withdraw this declaration.

The Government of Australia further *declares* that this declaration does not apply to any dispute in regard to which the parties thereto have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement.

In witness whereof, I, Edward Gough Whitlam, Prime Minister acting for and on behalf of the Minister of State for Foreign Affairs of Australia, have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Minister of State for Foreign Affairs.

³ Registered on 17 March 1975. This declaration replaces that of 6 February 1954 registered under No. 2484; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 186, p. 77.

Dated this thirteenth day of March, one thousand nine hundred and seventy-five.

(Signed) Edward Gough WHITLAM
Prime Minister acting for
and on behalf of the Minister
of State for Foreign Affairs
of Australia.

AUSTRIA

19 May 1971⁴

I hereby declare that the Republic of Austria recognizes as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other state which accepts or has accepted the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes referred to in paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice.

This Declaration does not apply to any dispute in respect of which the parties thereto have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to other means of peaceful settlement for its final and binding decision.

This Declaration shall remain in force for a period of five years and thereafter until it will be terminated or modified by a written declaration.

DONE at Vienna on 28 April 1971.

(Signed) Franz JONAS
The Federal President

BELGIUM

17 June 1958⁵

I declare on behalf of the Belgian Government that I recognize as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with Article 36, paragraph 2 of the Statute of the Court, in legal disputes arising after 13 July 1948 concerning situations or facts subsequent to that date, except those in regard to which the parties have agreed or may agree to have recourse to another method of pacific settlement.

This declaration is made subject to ratification.⁶ It shall take effect on the day of deposit of the instrument of ratification for a period of five years. Upon the expiry of that period, it shall continue to have effect until notice of its termination is given.

Brussels, 3 April 1958

(Signed) V. LAROCK
Minister of Foreign Affairs

BOTSWANA

16 March 1970⁷

"I, Sir Seretse Khama, President of the Republic of Botswana, have the honour to declare on behalf of

⁴ Registered under No. 11092; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 778, p. 301.

⁵ Registered under No. 4364; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 302, p. 251. The previous declaration, valid for a period of five years, was deposited by Belgium on 13 July 1948: see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 16, p. 203.

⁶ The instrument of ratification was deposited on 17 June 1958.

⁷ Registered under No. 10359; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 721, p. 121.

the Government of the Republic of Botswana, that it recognises as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in accordance with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court.

"This Declaration does not extend:

"(a) to disputes in respect of which the parties have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to another means of peaceful settlement; or

"(b) to disputes relating to matters which, by international law, are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the Republic of Botswana.

"The Government of the Republic of Botswana also reserves the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and with effect as from the moment of such notification, either to add to, amend or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations, or any that may hereafter be added.

"DONE at Gaborone this 14th day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and seventy."

(Signed) Seretse M. KHAMA
President

CANADA

7 April 1970⁹

"On behalf of the Government of Canada,

"(1) I give notice that I hereby terminate the acceptance by Canada of the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice hitherto effective by virtue of the declaration made on September 20, 1929¹⁰ and ratified on July 28, 1930, under Article 36 of the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice, and made applicable to the International Court of Justice by paragraph 5 of Article 36 of the Statute of that Court.

"(2) I declare that the Government of Canada accepts as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special convention, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court, until such time as notice may be given to terminate the acceptance, over all disputes arising after the present declaration with regard to situations or facts subsequent to this declaration, other than:

"(a) disputes in regard to which parties have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

"(b) disputes with the Government of any other country which is a member of the Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;

"(c) disputes with regard to questions which by international law fall exclusively within the jurisdiction of Canada;

⁹ Registered under No. 10415; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 724, p. 63

¹⁰ See *Yearbook of the International Court of Justice 1968-1969*, p. 46.

“(d) disputes arising out of or concerning jurisdiction or rights claimed or exercised by Canada in respect of the conservation, management or exploitation of the living resources of the sea, or in respect of the prevention or control of pollution or contamination of the marine environment in marine areas adjacent to the coast of Canada.

“(3) The Government of Canada also reserves the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and with effect as from the moment of such notification, either to add to, amend or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations, or any that may hereafter be added.”

New York, April 7, 1970

(Signed) YVON BEAULNE
Ambassador and Permanent Representative

COSTA RICA

20 February 1973¹¹

The Government of Costa Rica recognizes as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes of the kinds referred to in Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice. This Declaration shall be valid for a period of five years and shall be understood to be tacitly renewed for like periods, unless denounced before the expiration of the said period.

(Signed) Gonzalo J. FACIO
Minister for Foreign Affairs

DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA

19 September 1957^{11a}

On behalf of the Royal Government of Cambodia I have the honour to declare that, in accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, I recognize as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State Member of the United Nations, accepting the same obligation, that is to say on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the said Court in all legal disputes, other than:

1. Disputes in regard to which the Parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;
2. Disputes with regard to questions which by international law fall exclusively within the jurisdiction of the Kingdom of Cambodia;
3. Disputes relating to any matter excluded from judicial settlement or compulsory arbitration by virtue of any treaty, convention or other international agreement or instrument to which the Kingdom of Cambodia is a party.

This declaration is valid for ten years from the date of its deposit. It shall remain in force thereafter

¹¹ Registered under No. 12294

^{11a} Registered under No. 3998; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 277, p. 77.

until notice to the contrary has been given by the Royal Government of Cambodia.
Phnom-Penh, 9 September 1957

(Signed) Sim VAR

DENMARK

10 December 1956¹²

In conformity with the Royal Decree of 3 December 1956, I have the honour, on behalf of the Danish Government, to make the following declaration:

Pursuant to Article 36, paragraph 2 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, the Kingdom of Denmark recognizes as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement the jurisdiction of the Court in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, that is to say on condition of reciprocity, for a period of five years from 10 December 1956 and thereafter for further periods of five years, if this declaration is not denounced by notice of not less than six months before the expiration of any five-year period.
New York, 10 December 1956

(Signed) Karl I. ESKEKUND
Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary,
Permanent Representative to the United Nations

EGYPT

22 July 1957¹³

“I, Mahmoud Fawzi, Minister for Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Egypt, declare on behalf of the Government of the Republic of Egypt, that, in accordance with Article 36 (2) of the Statute of the International Court of Justice and in pursuance and for the purposes of paragraph 9 (b) of the Declaration¹⁴ of the Government of the Republic of Egypt dated April 24, 1957 on the ‘Suez Canal and the arrangements for its operation’, the Government of the Republic of Egypt accept as compulsory *ipso facto*, on condition of reciprocity and without special agreement, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes that may arise under the said paragraph 9 (b) of the above Declaration dated April 24, 1957, with effect as from that date.

“18th July, 1957”

(Signed) Mahmoud FAWZI

EL SALVADOR

26 November 1973¹⁵

In my capacity as Minister for Foreign Affairs and on behalf of the Government of the Republic of El Salvador,

¹² Registered under No. 3646; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 257, p. 35. This declaration replaces that of 10 December 1946; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 1, p. 45.

¹³ Registered under No. 3940; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 272, p. 225.

¹⁴ Registered under No. 3821; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 265, p. 299.

¹⁵ Registered under No. 12837. With respect to this declaration the Secretary-General received on 3 July and 9 September 1974, respectively, a declaration from the Government of Honduras and a second declaration from the Government of El Salvador (those declarations also registered under No. 12837 on the respective dates of their receipt, and to be published in volume 899 of the United Nations *Treaty Series*).

Considering that Article 36, paragraph 5, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice provides that a declaration made under Article 36 of the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice makes the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice compulsory in accordance with the terms of the original declaration,

Considering that the Government of El Salvador, in accordance with the Agreement of the Executive Authority of 26 May 1930, ratified by the Legislative Authority in accordance with Decree No. 110 of 3 July 1930, made a declaration¹⁶ recognizing the compulsory jurisdiction of the Permanent Court of International Justice, with the reservations set forth in the same document and on the basis of the Political Constitution of the Republic which, at the time, was that promulgated on 24 August 1886,

Considering that, after the notification of that declaration, other Political Constitutions of the Republic have been promulgated, the latest being that currently in effect as from 24 January 1962, and that moreover, after that declaration, the United Nations Charter was adopted on 26 June 1945 and the Charter of the Organization of American States on 30 April 1948, revised by the Protocol of Buenos Aires in 1967;

Considering that, consequently, the terms of the declaration must be adapted to accord with those postulated in the Political Constitution currently in effect, and with the present circumstances; bearing in mind, furthermore, the texts of similar declarations made by other States Members of the United Nations,

I therefore:

Make the following declaration:

In accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, El Salvador recognizes as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the Court in all legal disputes concerning:

- (a) The interpretation of a treaty;
- (b) Any question of international law;
- (c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;
- (d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation.

This declaration shall apply solely to situations or facts that may arise after this date; it is made on condition of reciprocity in relation to any other State party to any dispute with El Salvador and is subject to the following exceptions, on which El Salvador does not accept the Court's compulsory jurisdiction:

- (I) Disputes which the parties have agreed or may agree to submit to other means of peaceful settlement;
- (II) Disputes which, under International law, fall exclusively within the domestic jurisdiction of El Salvador;
- (III) Disputes with El Salvador concerning or relating to:

- (1) The status of its territory or the modification or delimitation of its frontiers or any other matter concerning boundaries;
- (2) The territorial sea and the corresponding continental slope or continental shelf and the resources thereof, unless El Salvador accepts the jurisdiction in that particular case;
- (3) The condition of its islands, bays and gulfs and that of the bays and gulfs that for historical reasons belong to it or are under a system of joint ownership, whether or not recognized by rulings of international tribunals;
- (4) The airspace superjacent to its land and maritime territory;
- (IV) Disputes relating to or connected with facts or situations of hostilities, armed conflicts, individual or collective actions taken in self-defence, resistance to aggression, fulfilment of obligations imposed by international bodies, and other similar or related acts, measures or situations in which El Salvador is, has been or may at some time be involved;
- (V) Pre-existing disputes, it being understood that this includes any dispute the foundations, reasons, facts, causes, origins, definitions, allegations or bases of which existed prior to this date, even if they are submitted or brought to the knowledge of the Court hereafter; and
- (VI) Disputes that may arise over the interpretation or implementation of a multilateral treaty unless (i) all the parties to the treaty are also parties in the case before the Court, or (ii) El Salvador expressly accepts the Court's jurisdiction in that particular case.

This declaration revokes and replaces the previous declaration made before the Permanent Court of International Justice and will remain in effect for a period of five years from this date. The above shall not prejudice the right which El Salvador reserves to be able at any time to modify, add to, clarify or derogate from the exceptions presented in it.

This declaration is made in compliance with Executive Agreement No. 826 of 24 November 1973, ratified by the Legislative Authority under Decree No. 488 of 26 November 1973.

(Signed) Mauricio A. Borgonovo POHL,
Minister for Foreign Affairs
of El Salvador

FINLAND

25 June 1958¹⁷

On behalf of the Finnish Government, I hereby declare that I recognize as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other state accepting the same obligation, that is to say, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2 of the Statute of the Court, for a period of five years from 25 June 1958. This declaration shall be renewed by tacit agreement for further periods of the same duration, unless it is denounced not later

¹⁶ See *Yearbook of the International Court of Justice 1972-1973*, p. 59.

¹⁷ Registered under No. 4376; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 303, p. 137.

than six months before the expiry of any such period. This declaration shall apply only to disputes arising in regard to situations or facts subsequent to 25 June 1958.

New York, 25 June 1958

(Signed) G. A. GRIPENBERG
Permanent Representative of Finland
to the United Nations

GAMBIA

22 June 1966¹⁸

"In accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, I declare, on behalf of the Government of the Gambia, that the Gambia recognises as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice until such time as notice may be given to terminate the acceptance, over all disputes arising in the future concerning:

"(a) The interpretation of a treaty;
"(b) Any question of international law;
"(c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;

"(d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation;
"with the reservation, however, that this declaration does not apply to

"(a) Disputes in regard to which the parties have agreed to a settlement other than by recourse to the International Court of Justice;

"(b) Disputes with any country in the Commonwealth;

"(c) Disputes which, by international law, fall exclusively within the jurisdiction of the Gambia."

Bathurst, The Gambia
14th June, 1966

(Signed) A. B. N'JIE
Minister of State for External Affairs

HONDURAS

10 March 1960¹⁹

The Government of the Republic of Honduras, duly authorized by the National Congress, under Decree No. 99 of 29 January 1960, to renew the Declaration referred to in Article 36 (2) of the Statute of the International Court of Justice,

Hereby declares:

1. That it renews the Declaration made by it for a period of six years on 19 April 1954²⁰ and deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on 24 May 1954, the term of which will expire on 24 May 1960; recognizing as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the

¹⁸ Registered under No. 8232; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 565, p. 21.

¹⁹ Registered under No. 236; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 353, p. 309.

²⁰ United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 15, p. 217, and vol. 190, p. 377.

International Court of Justice in all legal disputes concerning:

- (a) The interpretation of a treaty;
- (b) Any question of international law;
- (c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;
- (d) The nature and extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation.

2. This new Declaration is made on condition of reciprocity, for an indefinite term, starting from the date on which it is deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

National Palace, Tegucigalpa, D.C.,
20 February 1960.

(Signed) Ramon VILLEDA MORALES
The Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs:

(Signed) Andres ALVARADO PUERTO

INDIA

18 September 1974²¹

I have the honour to declare, on behalf of the Government of the Republic of India, that they accept, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court, until such time as notice may be given to terminate such acceptance, as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, and on the basis and condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice over all disputes other than:

- (1) disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method or methods of settlement;
- (2) disputes with the government of any State which is or has been a Member of the Commonwealth of Nations;
- (3) disputes in regard to matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the Republic of India;
- (4) disputes relating to or connected with facts or situations of hostilities, armed conflicts, individual or collective actions taken in self-defence, resistance to aggression, fulfilment of obligations imposed by international bodies, and other similar or related acts, measures or situations in which India is, has been or may in future be involved;
- (5) disputes with regard to which any other party to a dispute has accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice exclusively for or in relation to the purposes of such dispute; or where the acceptance of the Court's compulsory jurisdiction on behalf of a party to the dispute was deposited or ratified less than 12 months prior to the filing of the application bringing the dispute before the Court;
- (6) disputes where the jurisdiction of the Court is

²¹ Registered on 18 September 1974. The declaration of 14 September 1959, deposited with the Secretary-General on the same date and superseded by the declaration reproduced herein, is reproduced in United Nations *Treaty Series*, vol. 340, p. 289.

or may be founded on the basis of a treaty concluded under the auspices of the League of Nations, unless the Government of India specially agree to jurisdiction in each case;

- (7) disputes concerning the interpretation or application of a multilateral treaty unless all the parties to the treaty are also parties to the case before the Court or Government of India specially agree to jurisdiction;
- (8) disputes with the government of any State with which, on the date of an application to bring a dispute before the Court, the Government of India has no diplomatic relations or which has not been recognized by the Government of India;
- (9) disputes with non-sovereign States or territories;
- (10) disputes with India concerning or relating to:
 - (a) The status of its territory or the modification or delimitation of its frontiers or any other matter concerning boundaries;
 - (b) the territorial sea, the continental shelf and the margins, the exclusive fishery zone, the exclusive economic zone, and other zones of national maritime jurisdiction including for the regulation and control of marine pollution and the conduct of scientific research by foreign vessels;
 - (c) the condition and status of its islands, bays and gulfs and that of the bays and gulfs that for historical reasons belong to it;
 - (d) the airspace superjacent to its land and maritime territory; and
 - (e) the determination and delimitation of its maritime boundaries.
- (11) disputes prior to the date of this declaration, including any dispute the foundations, reasons, facts, causes, origins, definitions, allegations or bases of which existed prior to this date, even if they are submitted or brought to the knowledge of the Court hereafter.

2. This declaration revokes and replaces the previous declaration made by the Government of India on 14th September 1959.

(Signed) (Swaran SINGH)
Minister of External Affairs

ISRAEL

17 October 1956²²

"On behalf of the Government of Israel I declare that Israel recognizes as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to all other Members of the United Nations and to any non-member State which becomes a party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice pursuant to Article 93, paragraph 2, of the Charter, and subject to reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the

²² Registered under No. 3571; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 252, p. 301. This declaration replaces that of 4 September 1950, which took effect on 25 October 1951, the date of deposit of the instrument of ratification, and which was made for a period of five years from the date of deposit of the instrument of ratification; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 108, p. 239.

Statute of the Court in all legal disputes concerning situations or facts which may arise subsequent to 25 October 1951 provided that such dispute does not involve a legal title created or conferred by a Government or authority other than the Government of Israel or an authority under the jurisdiction of that Government.

"This Declaration does not apply to:

"(a) Any dispute in respect to which the parties have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to another means of peaceful settlement;

"(b) Any dispute relating to matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the State of Israel;

"(c) Any dispute between the State of Israel and any other State whether or not a member of the United Nations which does not recognize Israel or which refuses to establish or to maintain normal diplomatic relations with Israel and the absence or breach of normal relations precedes the dispute and exists independently of that dispute;

"(d) Disputes arising out of events occurring between 15 May 1948 and 20 July 1949;

"(e) Without prejudice to the operation of subparagraph (d) above, disputes arising out of, or having reference to, any hostilities, war, state of war, breach of the peace, breach of armistice agreement or belligerent or military occupation (whether such war shall have been declared or not, and whether any state of belligerency shall have been recognized or not) in which the Government of Israel are or may have been or may be involved at any time.

"The validity of the present Declaration is from 25 October 1956 and it remains in force for disputes arising after 25 October 1951 until such time as notice may be given to terminate it.

"IN WITNESS WHEREOF I, Golda Meir, Minister for Foreign Affairs, have hereunto caused the Seal of the Ministry for Foreign Affairs to be affixed, and have subscribed my signature at Jerusalem this Twenty Eighth day of Tishri, Five Thousand Seven Hundred and Seventeen which corresponds to the Third day of October, One Thousand Nine Hundred and Fifty Six."

(Signed) Golda MEIR

JAPAN

15 September 1958²³

"I have the honour, by direction of the Minister for Foreign Affairs, to declare on behalf of the Government of Japan, that in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, Japan recognizes as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation and on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, over all disputes which arise on and after the date of the present declaration with regard to situations or facts subsequent to the same date and which are not settled by other means of peaceful settlement.

"This declaration does not apply to disputes which

²³ Registered under No. 4517; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 312, p. 155.

the parties thereto have agreed or shall agree to refer for final and binding decision to arbitration or judicial settlement.

"This declaration shall remain in force for a period of five years and thereafter until it may be terminated by a written notice."

New York, 15 September 1958

(Signed) Koto MATSUDAIRA
Permanent Representative of Japan
to the United Nations

KENYA

19 April 1965²⁴

"I have the honour to declare, on behalf of the Government of the Republic of Kenya, that it accepts, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice until such time as notice may be given to terminate such acceptance, as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, and on the basis and condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction over all disputes arising after 12th December, 1963, with regard to situations or facts subsequent to that date, other than:

1. Disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method or methods of settlement;

2. Dispute with the Government of any State which, on the date of this Declaration, is a member of the Commonwealth of Nations or may so become subsequently;

3. Disputes with regard to questions which by general rules of International Law fall exclusively within the jurisdiction of Kenya;

4. Disputes concerning any question relating to or arising out of belligerent or military occupation or the discharge of any functions pursuant to any recommendation or decision of an organ of the United Nations, in accordance with which the Government of the Republic of Kenya have accepted obligations.

The Government of the Republic of Kenya reserves the right at any time by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations to add to, amend, or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations. Such notifications shall be effective on the date of their receipt by the Secretary-General of the United Nations."

12th April, 1965

(Signed) Joseph MURUMBI
Minister for External Affairs

LIBERIA

20 March 1952^{25, 26}

"On behalf of the Government of the Republic of Liberia, I, Gabriel L. Dennis, Secretary of State of Liberia, subject to ratification²⁷ declare that the Republic of Liberia recognizes as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other

²⁴ Registered under No. 7697; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 531, p. 113.

^{25, 26} Registered under No. 2145; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 163, p. 117.

²⁷ The instrument of ratification was deposited on 17 April 1953.

State, also a party to the Statute pursuant to Article 93 of the United Nations Charter, which accepts the same obligation (i.e., subject to reciprocity), the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes arising after ratification concerning:

"(a) The interpretation of a treaty;

"(b) Any question of international law;

"(c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;

"(d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation.

"This declaration does not apply:

"(a) To any dispute which the Republic of Liberia considers essentially within its domestic jurisdiction;

"(b) To any dispute in regard to which the parties have agreed or may agree to bring before other tribunals as a result of agreements already existing or which may be made in the future.

"The present declaration has been made for a period of 5 years as from the date of deposit of the ratification and thereafter until notice of termination is given.

"Done at Monrovia this 3rd day of March 1952."

(Signed) Gabriel L. DENNIS
Secretary of State

LIECHTENSTEIN

29 March 1950²⁸

The Government of the Principality of Liechtenstein, duly authorized by His Serene Highness, the Reigning Prince François Joseph II, in accordance with the Order of the Diet of the Principality of Liechtenstein dated 9 March 1950, which came into force on 10 March 1950,

Declares by these presents that the Principality of Liechtenstein recognizes as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes concerning:

(a) The interpretation of a treaty;

(b) Any question of international law;

(c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;

(d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation.

The present Declaration, which is made under Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, shall take effect from the date on which the Principality becomes a party to the Statute²⁹ and shall have effect as long as the Declaration has not been revoked subject to one year's notice.

Done at Vaduz, 10 March 1950.

On behalf of the Government
of the Principality of Liechtenstein

(Signed) A. FRICK
The Head of the Government

²⁸ Registered under No. 759; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 51, p. 119.

²⁹ Liechtenstein became a party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice on 29 March 1950; see footnote 3, p. 9.

MALAWI

12 December 1966³⁰

"On behalf of the Government of Malawi, I declare under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice that I recognize as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes which may arise in respect of facts or situations subsequent to this declaration concerning—

- "(a) The interpretation of a treaty;
- "(b) Any question of international law;
- "(c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;
- "(d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of international obligation:

"Provided that this declaration shall not apply to—

- "(i) Disputes with regard to matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the Republic of Malawi as determined by the Government of Malawi;
- "(ii) Disputes in regard to which the parties of the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement; or
- "(iii) Disputes concerning any question relating to or arising out of belligerent or military occupation.

"The Government of Malawi also reserves the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, to add to, amend, or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations or any that may hereafter be added. Such notifications shall be effective on the date of their receipt by the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

"Given under my hand in Zomba this 22nd day of November 1966."

(Signed) H. KAMUZU BANDA
President
and Minister for External Affairs

MALTA

6 December 1966³¹

"I have the honour to declare, on behalf of the Government of Malta, that Malta accepts as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special convention, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court, until such time as notice may be given to terminate the acceptance, over all disputes other than:—

- "(i) Disputes in regard to which the Parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

³⁰ Registered under No. 8438; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 581, p. 135.

³¹ Registered under No. 8423; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 580, p. 205.

"(ii) Disputes with the Government of any other country which is a Member of the British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such manner as the Parties have agreed or shall agree;

"(iii) Disputes with regard to questions which by international law fall exclusively within the jurisdiction of Malta;

"(iv) Disputes concerning any question relating to or arising out of belligerent or military occupation or the discharge of any functions pursuant to any recommendation or decision of an organ of the United Nations, in accordance with which the Government of Malta have accepted obligations;

"(v) Disputes arising under a multilateral treaty, unless (1) all Parties to the treaty affected by the decision are also Parties to the case before the Court, or (2) the Government of Malta specially agrees to jurisdiction;

"(vi) Disputes relating to any matter excluded from compulsory adjudication or arbitration under any treaty, convention or other international agreement or instrument to which Malta is a Party;

"(vii) Disputes in respect of which arbitral or judicial proceedings are taking, or have taken place with any State which, at the date of the commencement of the proceedings, had not itself accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice; and

"(viii) Disputes in respect of which any other Party to the dispute has accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice only in relation to or for the purposes of the dispute; or where the acceptance of the Court's compulsory jurisdiction on behalf of any other Party to the dispute was deposited or ratified less than twelve months prior to the filing of the application bringing the dispute before the Court.

"The Government of Malta also reserves the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and with effect as from the moment of such notification either to add to, amend or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations or any that may hereafter be added."

29 November 1966

(Signed) G. FELICE
Acting Minister

MAURITIUS

23 September 1968³²

"I have the honour to declare, on behalf of the Government of Mauritius, that Mauritius accepts as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special convention, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court, until such

³² Registered under No. 9251; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 646, p. 171.

time as notice may be given to terminate the acceptance, over all disputes other than :

- “(i) Disputes in regard to which the Parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;
- “(ii) Disputes with the Government of any other country which is a Member of the British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;
- “(iii) Disputes with regards to questions which by international law fall exclusively within the jurisdiction of Mauritius;
- “(iv) Disputes concerning any question relating to or arising out of belligerent or military occupation or the discharge of any functions pursuant to any recommendation or decision of an organ of the United Nations, in accordance with which the Government of Mauritius has accepted obligations;
- “(v) Disputes relating to any matter excluded from compulsory adjudication or arbitration under any treaty, convention or other international agreement or instrument to which Mauritius is a party;
- “(vi) Disputes in respect of which arbitral or judicial proceedings are taking, or have taken place with any State which, at the date of the commencement of the proceedings, had not itself accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice; and
- “(vii) Disputes in respect of which any other Party to the dispute has accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice only in relation to or for the purposes of the dispute; or where the acceptance of the Court's compulsory jurisdiction on behalf of any other Party to the dispute was deposited or ratified less than twelve months prior to the filing of the application bringing the dispute before the Court.

“The Government of Mauritius also reserves the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and with effect as from the moment of such notification either to add to, amend or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations or any that may hereafter be added.”
Port Louis, 4 September 1968

(Signed) S. RAMGOOLAM
Prime Minister
and Minister for External Affairs

MEXICO

28 October 1947³³

In regard to any legal dispute that may in future arise between the United States of Mexico and any other State out of events subsequent to the date of this Declaration, the Mexican Government recognizes as compulsory *ipso facto*, and without any special agreement being required therefor, the jurisdiction of the

³³ Registered under No. 127; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 9, p. 97.

International Court of Justice in accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the said Court, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, that is, on condition of strict reciprocity. This Declaration, which does not apply to disputes arising from matters that, in the opinion of the Mexican Government, are within the domestic jurisdiction of the United States of Mexico, shall be binding for a period of five years as from 1 March 1947 and after that date shall continue in force until six months after the Mexican Government gives notice of denunciation.
Mexico, D. F., 23 October 1947

(Signed) Jaime TORRES BODET
Secretary of State for External Relations

NETHERLANDS

1 August 1956³⁴

I hereby declare that the Government of the Kingdom of The Netherlands recognizes, in accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, with effect from 6 August 1956, as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, that is on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of said Court in all disputes arising or which may arise after 5 August 1921, with the exception of disputes in respect of which the parties, excluding the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, may have agreed to have recourse to some other method of pacific settlement.

The aforesaid obligation is accepted for a period of five years and will be renewed by tacit agreement for additional periods of five years, unless notice is given, not less than six months before the expiry of any such period, that the Government of the Kingdom of The Netherlands does not wish to renew it.

The acceptance of the jurisdiction of the Court founded on the declaration of 5 August 1946 is terminated with effect from 6 August 1956.³⁵

New York, 1 August 1956

(Signed) E. L. C. SCHIFF
Acting Permanent Representative
of the Kingdom of the Netherlands
to the United Nations

NIGERIA

3 September 1965³⁶

“Whereas under Article 93 of the United Nations Charter all Member States are *ipso facto* parties to the Statute of the International Court of Justice:

“And Whereas the Government of the Federal Republic of Nigeria has decided to accept the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice and it is necessary to make a declaration in terms of Article 36 (2) of the Statute of the Court:

“Now therefore, I, Nuhu Bamali, Minister of State for External Affairs hereby declare that the Government of the Federal Republic of Nigeria recognizes

³⁴ Registered under No. 3483; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 248, p. 33.

³⁵ See United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 1, p. 7 and vol. 248, p. 357.

³⁶ Registered under No. 7913; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 544, p. 113.

as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, that is to say, on the sole condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in conformity with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Court.

“DONE at Lagos, this 14th day of August, one thousand nine hundred and sixty-five.”

(Signed) Nuhu BAMALI
Minister of State for External Affairs

NORWAY

2 April 1976³⁷

“I hereby declare on behalf of the Royal Norwegian Government that Norway recognizes as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, that is on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in conformity with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Court, for a period of five years as from 3 October 1976. This declaration shall thereafter be tacitly renewed for additional periods of five years, unless notice of termination is given not less than six months before the expiration of the current period; provided, however, that the Royal Norwegian Government, having regard to Article 95 of the Charter of the United Nations, reserves the right at any time to amend the scope of this declaration in the light of the results of the Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea in respect of the settlement of disputes.”

(Signed) Ole ALGARD
Permanent Representative of Norway
to the United Nations

PAKISTAN

13 September 1960³⁸

“I have the honour, by direction of the President of Pakistan, to make the following declaration on behalf of the Government of Pakistan under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice:

“The Government of Pakistan recognize as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes after the 24th June, 1948, arising, concerning:

- “(a) The interpretation of a treaty;
- “(b) Any question of international law;
- “(c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;

³⁷ Registered on 2 April 1976. This declaration replaced that of 19 December 1956 registered under No. 3642; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 256, p. 315.

³⁸ Registered under No. 5332; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 374, p. 127. This declaration replaces that of 23 May 1957, in respect of which the Government of Pakistan gave notice of termination on 13 September 1960; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 269, p. 77, and vol. 374, p. 382. For the declaration of 22 June 1948 and the notice of its termination, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 16, p. 197, and vol. 257, p. 360.

“(d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation;

“Provided, that the declaration shall not apply to:

“(a) Disputes the solution of which the parties shall entrust to other tribunals by virtue of agreements already in existence or which may be concluded in the future; or

“(b) Disputes relating to questions which by international law fall exclusively within the domestic jurisdiction of Pakistan;

“(c) Disputes arising under a multilateral treaty unless

“(i) All parties to the treaty affected by the decision are also parties to the case before the Court, or

“(ii) The Government of Pakistan specially agree to jurisdiction; and

“provided further, that this Declaration shall remain in force till such time as notice may be given to terminate it.”

Pakistan Mission to the United Nations
New York, September 12th, 1960

(Signed) Said HASAN
Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary
Permanent Representative of Pakistan
to the United Nations

PHILIPPINES

18 January 1972³⁹

“I, CARLOS P. ROMULO, Secretary of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of the Philippines, hereby declare, under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, that the Republic of the Philippines recognizes as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes arising hereafter concerning:

“(a) The interpretation of a treaty;

“(b) Any question of international law;

“(c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;

“(d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation;

Provided, that this declaration shall not apply to any dispute:

“(a) In regard to which the parties thereto have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement; or

“(b) Which the Republic of the Philippines considers to be essentially within its domestic jurisdiction; or

“(c) In respect of which the other party has accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International

³⁹ Registered under No. 11523; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 808, p. 3. This declaration replaced that of 21 August 1947, in respect of which a notice of withdrawal was given on 23 December 1971; for the text of that declaration see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 7, p. 229.

Court of Justice only in relation to or for the purposes of such dispute; or where the acceptance of the compulsory jurisdiction was deposited or ratified less than 12 months prior to the filing of the application bringing the dispute before the Court; or

“(d) Arising under a multilateral treaty, unless (1) all parties to the treaty are also parties to the case before the Court, or (2) the Republic of the Philippines specially agrees to jurisdiction; or

“(e) Arising out of or concerning jurisdiction or rights claimed or exercised by the Philippines:

“(i) In respect of the natural resources, including living organisms belonging to sedentary species, of the sea-bed and subsoil of the continental shelf of the Philippines, or its analogue in an archipelago, as described in Proclamation No. 370 dated 20 March 1968 of the President of the Republic of the Philippines; or

“(ii) In respect of the territory of the Republic of the Philippines, including its territorial seas and inland waters; and

“Provided, further, that this declaration shall remain in force until notice is given to the Secretary-General of the United Nations of its termination.

Done at Manila this 23rd day of December 1971.

(Signed) Carlos P. ROMULO
Secretary of Foreign Affairs

PORTUGAL

19 December 1955⁴⁰

“Under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, I declare on behalf of the Portuguese Government that Portugal recognizes the jurisdiction of this Court as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, as provided for in the said paragraph 2 of Article 36 and under the following conditions:

“(1) The present declaration covers disputes arising out of events both prior and subsequent to the declaration of acceptance of the ‘optional clause’ which Portugal made on December 16, 1920, as a party to the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice.

“(2) The present declaration enters into force at the moment it is deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations; it shall be valid for a period of one year, and thereafter until notice of its denunciation is given to the said Secretary-General.

“(3) The Portuguese Government reserves the right to exclude from the scope of the present declaration, at any time during its validity, any given category or categories of disputes, by notifying the Secretary-General of the United Nations and with effect from the moment of such notification.”

Portuguese Embassy,
Washington, D.C., 19 December 1955

(Signed) L. ESTEVES FERNANDES

⁴⁰ Registered under No. 3079; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 224, p. 275.

SOMALIA

11 April 1963⁴¹

“I have the honour to declare on behalf of the Government of the Somali Republic that the Somali Republic accepts as compulsory *ipso facto*, and without special agreement, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court, until such times as notice may be given to terminate the acceptance, over all legal disputes arising other than disputes in respect of which any other Party to the dispute has accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice only in relation to or for the purposes of the dispute; or where the acceptance of the Court’s compulsory jurisdiction on behalf of any other Party to the dispute was deposited or ratified less than twelve months prior to the filing of the application bringing the dispute before the Court.

“The Somali Republic also reserves the right at any time by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and with effect as from the moment of such notification, either to add to, amend or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations, or any that may hereafter be added.”

Mogadishu,
March 25, 1963.

(Signed) Abdullahi Issa
Minister for Foreign Affairs

SUDAN

2 January 1958⁴²

“I have the honour by direction of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs to declare, on behalf of the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, that in pursuance of paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, the Government of the Republic of the Sudan recognize as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, on condition of reciprocity, until such time as notice may be given to terminate this Declaration, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes arising after the first day of January 1956 with regard to situations or facts subsequent to that date concerning:—

“(a) The interpretation of a treaty concluded or ratified by the Republic of the Sudan on or after the first day of January 1956;

“(b) Any question of International Law;

“(c) The existence of any fact, which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation; or

“(d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation;

“but excluding the following:—

“(i) Disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

⁴¹ Registered under No. 6597; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 458, p. 43

⁴² Registered under No. 4139; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 284, p. 215.

- “(ii) Disputes in regard to matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the Republic of the Sudan as determined by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan;
- “(iii) Disputes arising out of events occurring during any period in which the Republic of the Sudan is engaged in hostilities as a belligerent.”

30 December, 1957

(Signed) Yacoub OSMAN
Permanent Representative of the Sudan
to the United Nations

SWAZILAND

26 May 1969⁴³

“I, Prince Makhosini Jameson Dlamini, Prime Minister of the Kingdom of Swaziland to whom His Majesty has delegated responsibility for the conduct of foreign affairs, have the honour to declare on behalf of the Government of the Kingdom of Swaziland, that it recognizes as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in accordance with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court.

“This Declaration does not extend:

- “(a) To disputes in respect of which the parties have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to another means of peaceful settlement; or
- “(b) To disputes relating to matters which, by international law, are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the Kingdom of Swaziland.

“The Government of the Kingdom of Swaziland also reserves the right to add to, amend or withdraw this Declaration by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, with effect as from the moment of such notification.”

Mbabane, 9th May, 1969

(Signed) Makhosini Jameson DLAMINI
Prime Minister
and Minister for Foreign Affairs

SWEDEN

6 April 1957⁴⁴

On behalf of the Royal Swedish Government, I declare that it accepts as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the said Court for a period of five years as from 6 April 1957. This obligation shall be renewed by tacit agreement for further periods of the same duration unless notice of abrogation is made at least six months before the expiration of any such period. The above-mentioned obligation is accepted only in respect of disputes which

⁴³ Registered under No. 9589; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 673, p. 155.

⁴⁴ Registered under No. 3794; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 264, p. 221. This declaration replaces that of 5 April 1947, which was made for a period of ten years; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 2, p. 3.

may arise with regard to situations or facts subsequent to 6 April 1957.

New York, 6 April 1957

(Signed) Claes CARBONNIER
Permanent Representative *a.i.* of Sweden
to the United Nations

SWITZERLAND

28 July 1948⁴⁵

THE SWISS FEDERAL COUNCIL

Duly authorized for that purpose by a Federal Order which was adopted on 12 March 1948 by the Federal Assembly of the Swiss Confederation and entered into force on 17 June 1948,

Hereby declares

That the Swiss Confederation recognizes as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes concerning:

- a. The interpretation of a treaty;
- b. Any question of international law;
- c. The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;
- d. The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation.

This declaration which is made under Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice shall take effect from the date on which the Swiss Confederation becomes a party to that Statute⁴⁶ and shall have effect as long as it has not been abrogated subject to one year's notice.

Done at Berne, 6 July 1948.

On behalf of the Swiss Federal Council.

(Signed) CELIO
The President of the Confederation
(Signed) LEIMGRUBER
The Chancellor of the Confederation

UGANDA

3 October 1963⁴⁷

“I hereby declare on behalf of the Government of Uganda that Uganda recognises as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, and on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court.”

New York, 3rd October, 1963

(Signed) Apollo K. KIRONDE
Ambassador and Permanent Representative of Uganda
to the United Nations

⁴⁵ Registered under No. 272; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 17, p. 115.

⁴⁶ Switzerland became a party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice on 28 July 1948; see footnote 2, p. 9.

⁴⁷ Registered under No. 6946; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 479, p. 35.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

1 January 1969⁴⁸

"I have the honour, by direction of Her Majesty's Principal Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs, to declare on behalf of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland that they accept as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special convention, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court, until such time as notice may be given to terminate the acceptance, over all disputes arising after the 24th of October 1945, with regard to situations or facts subsequent to the same date, other than:

- "(i) any dispute which the United Kingdom
 - "a) has agreed with the other Party or Parties thereto to settle by some other method of peaceful settlement; or
 - "b) has already submitted to arbitration by agreement with any State which had not at the time of submission accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice.

"(ii) disputes with the Government of any other country which is a Member of the Commonwealth with regard to situations or facts existing before the 1st of January, 1969.

"(iii) disputes in respect of which any other Party to the dispute has accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice only in relation to or for the purpose of the dispute; or

where the acceptance of the Court's compulsory jurisdiction on behalf of any other Party to the dispute was deposited or ratified less than twelve months prior to the filing of the application bringing the dispute before the Court.

"2. The Government of the United Kingdom also reserve the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and with effect as from the moment of such notification, either to add to, amend or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations, or any that may hereafter be added."

United Kingdom Mission to the United Nations.

New York, 1 January 1969

(Signed) L. C. GLASS

⁴⁸ Registered under No. 9370; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 654, p. 335. This declaration replaces that of 27 November 1963, in respect of which notice of withdrawal was given on 1 January 1969; for the text of that declaration, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 482, p. 221. For declarations preceding that of 27 November 1963, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 211, p. 109; vol. 219, p. 179; vol. 265, p. 221, and vol. 316, p. 59.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

26 August 1946⁴⁹

"I, Harry S. Truman, President of the United States of America, declare on behalf of the United States of America, under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, and in accordance with the Resolution of 2 August 1946, of the Senate of the United States of America (two-thirds of the Senators present concurring therein), that the United States of America recognizes as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes hereafter arising concerning

"a. The interpretation of a treaty;

"b. Any question of international law;

"c. The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;

"d. The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation;

"Provided, that this declaration shall not apply to

"(a) Disputes the solution of which the parties shall entrust to other tribunals by virtue of agreements already in existence or which may be concluded in the future; or

"(b) Disputes with regard to matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the United States of America as determined by the United States of America; or

"(c) Disputes arising under a multilateral treaty, unless (1) all parties to the treaty affected by the decision are also parties to the case before the Court, or (2) the United States of America specially agrees to jurisdiction; and

"Provided further, that this declaration shall remain in force for a period of five years and thereafter until the expiration of six months after notice may be given to terminate this declaration.

"Done at Washington this fourteenth day of August 1946."

(Signed) Harry S. TRUMAN

⁴⁹ Registered under No. 3; see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 1, p. 9.

(b) Declarations made under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice, which are deemed to be acceptances of the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice

[All data and footnotes concerning these declarations are reprinted from the International Court of Justice Yearbook, 1971-1972]

COLOMBIA⁵⁰

30.X.37

[Translation from the French]

The Republic of Colombia recognizes as compulsory, *ipso facto* and without special agreement, on condition of reciprocity, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the Permanent Court of International Justice, in accordance with article 36 of the Statute.

The present Declaration applies only to disputes arising out of facts subsequent to January 6th, 1932. Geneva, 30 October 1937.

(Signed) J. M. YEPES
Legal Adviser of the Permanent Delegation
of Colombia to the League of Nations

accepting the same obligation, that is to say on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the Court in conformity with article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute, in any disputes arising after the signature of the present declaration with regard to situations or facts subsequent to this signature, except in cases where the parties have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to another procedure or to another method of pacific settlement. The present declaration is made for a period of five years. Unless it is denounced six months before the expiration of that period, it shall be considered as renewed for a further period of five years and similarly thereafter.

Geneva, 15 September 1930

(Signed) BECH

NEW ZEALAND⁵²

8.IV.40

I refer to my letter of the 30 March notifying you of the termination by His Majesty's Government in New Zealand of their acceptance of the jurisdiction of the Permanent Court of International Justice in conformity with paragraph 2 of article 36 of the Statute of the Court.

I have now the honour to inform you that the New Zealand Government have been considering the conditions under which they would be prepared to accept the Optional Clause for a further period, and, in accordance with the directions I have received, I hereby, on behalf of His Majesty's Government in the Dominion of New Zealand, accept as compulsory *ipso facto* and without special convention, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the Court, in conformity with paragraph 2 of article 36 of the Statute of the Court, for a period of five years from today's date and thereafter until such time as notice may be given to terminate the acceptance, over all disputes arising after the 29 March 1930, with regard to situations or facts subsequent to the said date, other than:

disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

disputes with the Government of any other Member of the League which is a Member of the British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;

disputes with regard to questions which by international law fall exclusively within the jurisdiction of New Zealand; and

disputes arising out of events occurring at a time when His Majesty's Government in New Zealand were involved in hostilities;

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

30.IX.24

[Translation from the French]

On behalf of the Government of the Dominican Republic and subject to ratification, I recognize, in relation to any other Member or State accepting the same obligation, that is to say, on the sole condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the Court as compulsory, *ipso facto* and without special convention. Geneva, 30 September 1924.

(Signed) Jacinto R. DE CASTRO

The instrument of ratification was deposited on 4 February 1933.

HAITI

4.X.21

[Translation from the French]

On behalf of the Republic of Haiti, I recognize the jurisdiction of the Permanent Court of International Justice as compulsory.

(Signed) F. ADDOR
Consul

LUXEMBOURG⁵¹

15.IX.30

[Translation from the French]

The Government of the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg recognizes as compulsory, *ipso facto* and without special agreement, in relation to any other State

⁵⁰ An instrument of ratification was deposited on 30 October 1937. Ratification was not required under the terms of the Optional Clause, the act of signature itself sufficing to make the undertaking binding, except where the declaration has been made expressly subject to ratification. Nevertheless, certain States, which had signed without any such reservation, subsequently ratified their declarations

⁵¹ The Government of Luxembourg had in 1921 signed the Optional Clause subject to ratification. That declaration was, however, never ratified.

⁵² This declaration replaced that of 19 September 1929, in respect of which a reservation had been formulated on 7 September 1939, and notice of termination given on 30 March 1940. (P.C.I.J., Series E, No. 16, pp 342 and 343, note 2.)

And subject to the condition that His Majesty's Government in the Dominion of New Zealand reserve the right to require that proceedings in the Court shall be suspended in respect of any dispute which has been submitted to and is under consideration by the Council of the League of Nations, provided that notice to suspend is given after the dispute has been submitted to the Council and is given within ten days of the notification of the initiation of the proceedings in the Court, and provided also that such suspension shall be limited to a period of twelve months or such longer period as may be agreed by the parties to the dispute or determined by a decision of all the Members of the Council other than the Parties to the dispute.

London, 1 April 1940

(Signed) W. J. JORDAN

NICARAGUA⁵³

24.IX.29

[Translation from the French]

On behalf of the Republic of Nicaragua, I recognize as compulsory unconditionally the jurisdiction of the Permanent Court of International Justice.

Geneva, 24 September 1929

(Signed) T. F. MEDINA

⁵³ According to a telegram dated 29 November 1939, addressed to the League of Nations, Nicaragua had ratified the Protocol of Signature of the Statute of the *Permanent Court of International Justice* (16 December 1920), and the instrument of ratification was to follow. It does not appear, however, that the instrument of ratification was ever received by the League of Nations.

PANAMA⁵⁴

25.X.21

[Translation from the French]

On behalf of the Government of Panama, I recognize, in relation to any other Member or State which accepts the same obligation, that is to say, on the sole condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the Court as compulsory, *ipso facto* and without any special convention.

Paris, 25 October 1921

(Signed) R. A. AMADOR
Chargé d'Affaires

URUGUAY⁵⁵

Prior to 28.I.21⁵⁶

[Translation from the French]

On behalf of the Government of Uruguay, I recognize in relation to any Member or State accepting the same obligation, that is to say, on the sole condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the Court as compulsory, *ipso facto* and without special convention.

(Signed) B. FERNANDEZ Y MEDINA

⁵⁴ An instrument of ratification was deposited on 14 June 1929 (in this connexion, see remark in footnote 50, p. 23).

⁵⁵ An instrument of ratification was deposited on 27 September 1921 (in this connexion, see remark in footnote 50, p. 23).

⁵⁶ The date on which this declaration (undated) was first published in a League of Nations document.

5. Amendments to the Charter of the United Nations

(a) Amendments to Articles 23, 27 and 61 of the Charter of the United Nations

Adopted by General Assembly resolutions 1991 A and B (XVIII) of 17 December 1963¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 31 August 1965 for all Members of the United Nations, in accordance with Article 108 of the Charter.²

REGISTRATION: 1 March 1966, No. 8132.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 557, p. 143.

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification</i>
AFGHANISTAN	25 February 1965
ALBANIA	7 December 1964
ALGERIA	26 March 1964
ARGENTINA	15 March 1966
AUSTRALIA	9 June 1965
AUSTRIA	7 October 1964
BELGIUM	29 April 1965
BENIN	17 September 1965
BOLIVIA	19 January 1966
BRAZIL	23 December 1964
BULGARIA	13 January 1965
BURMA	3 June 1965
BURUNDI	23 August 1965
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	22 June 1965
CANADA	9 September 1964
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	6 August 1964
CHAD	2 November 1964
CHILE	31 August 1965
CHINA ³	
COLOMBIA	10 October 1966
CONGO	7 July 1965
COSTA RICA	7 October 1964
CUBA	22 December 1964
CYPRUS	1 September 1965
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	19 January 1965
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	20 January 1966
DENMARK	12 January 1965
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	4 November 1965
ECUADOR	31 August 1965
EGYPT	16 December 1964
EL SALVADOR	1 December 1964
ETHIOPIA	22 July 1964
FINLAND	18 January 1965
FRANCE	24 August 1965
GABON	11 August 1964

¹ See *Official Records of the General Assembly, Eighteenth Session, Supplement No. 15 (A/5515)*, p. 21.

² As depositary of the amendments to the Charter, the Secretary-General drew up a protocol of entry into force of the amendments and communicated it to all Member States.

³ Ratification on behalf of the Republic of China on 2 August 1965. See note, p. iii.

In communications addressed to the Secretary-General, the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Czechoslovakia, Hungary and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, pointing out that in the annex to the said protocol, which contains a list of States Members of the United Nations having deposited instruments of ratification of the amendments, there is a reference to an instrument of ratification by China, stated that their Governments did not recognize any authority other than the Government of the People's Republic of China as entitled to represent and act on behalf of China and that, therefore, they considered the said instrument as having no legal force what-

soever. They noted, however, the position in this matter of the Government of the People's Republic of China, which had announced that it would not object to the introduction of the amendments to the relevant Articles of the Charter even before the restoration of the rights of the People's Republic of China in the United Nations.

In a note addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the communication from the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics mentioned above, the Permanent Representative of the Republic of China to the United Nations stated that the Republic of China, a permanent member of the Security Council, had ratified the amendments and deposited the instrument of ratification with the Secretary-General on 2 August 1965 and that, therefore, there could be no question that the protocol of entry into force of the amendments was valid in its entirety. He further stated that the allegations made by the Soviet Union were untenable both in law and in fact and could in no way affect the validity of the protocol and the entry into force of the amendments.

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification</i>	
GHANA	4 May	1964
GREECE	2 August	1965
GUATEMALA	18 August	1965
GUINEA	19 August	1964
HONDURAS	9 October	1968
HUNGARY	23 February	1965
ICELAND	6 November	1964
INDIA	10 September	1964
INDONESIA	30 March	1973
IRAN	12 January	1965
IRAQ	25 November	1964
IRELAND	27 October	1964
ISRAEL	13 May	1965
ITALY	25 August	1965
IVORY COAST	2 October	1964
JAMAICA	12 March	1964
JAPAN	4 June	1965
JORDAN	7 August	1964
KENYA	28 October	1964
KUWAIT	28 December	1964
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	20 April	1965
LEBANON	27 September	1965
LIBERIA	21 September	1964
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC	27 August	1964
LUXEMBOURG	22 October	1965
MADAGASCAR	14 December	1964
MALAWI	2 June	1965
MALAYSIA	26 May	1965
MALI	23 September	1964
MALTA	23 June	1965
MAURITANIA	29 January	1965
MEXICO	5 May	1965
MONGOLIA	10 March	1965
MOROCCO	9 November	1964
NEPAL	3 December	1964
NETHERLANDS	14 December	1964
NEW ZEALAND	26 August	1964
NIGER	8 September	1964
NIGERIA	5 December	1964
NORWAY	17 December	1964
PAKISTAN	25 March	1965
PANAMA	27 July	1965
PARAGUAY	17 August	1965
PERU	2 December	1966
PHILIPPINES	9 November	1964
POLAND	8 January	1965
ROMANIA	5 February	1965
RWANDA	17 November	1964
SAUDI ARABIA	17 June	1965
SENEGAL	23 April	1965
SIERRA LEONE	25 March	1965
SOMALIA	6 October	1965
SPAIN	5 August	1965
SRI LANKA	13 November	1964
SUDAN	7 May	1965
SWEDEN	18 December	1964
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	24 February	1965
THAILAND	23 March	1964
TOGO	19 August	1964
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	18 August	1964
TUNISIA	29 May	1964
TURKEY	1 July	1965
UGANDA	10 February	1965

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification</i>	
UKRAINIAN SSR	17 May	1965
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	10 February	1965
UNITED KINGDOM	4 June	1965
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	25 June	1964
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	7 October	1964
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	31 August	1965
UPPER VOLTA	11 August	1964
VENEZUELA	1 September	1965
YEMEN	7 July	1965
YUGOSLAVIA	9 December	1964
ZAIRE	20 May	1966
ZAMBIA	28 April	1965

(b) Amendment to Article 109 of the Charter of the United Nations

Adopted by General Assembly resolution 2101 (XX) of 20 December 1965⁴

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 12 June 1968 for all Members of the United Nations, in accordance with Article 108 of the Charter.

REGISTRATION: 12 June 1968, No. 8132.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 638, p. 308.

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification</i>
AFGHANISTAN	16 November 1966
ALBANIA	12 October 1966
ALGERIA	30 April 1969
ARGENTINA	12 April 1967
AUSTRALIA	27 September 1966
AUSTRIA	29 September 1966
BELGIUM	29 June 1966
BENIN	29 June 1966
BOLIVIA	28 July 1966
BOTSWANA	12 June 1968
BRAZIL	12 July 1966
BULGARIA	2 June 1966
BURMA	8 June 1967
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	21 September 1966
CANADA	11 July 1966
CHILE	22 August 1968
CHINA ⁵	
CUBA	17 May 1976
CYPRUS	31 May 1966
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	7 October 1966
DENMARK	31 May 1967
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	4 May 1966
ECUADOR	5 May 1966
EGYPT	23 January 1967
ETHIOPIA	28 July 1966
FINLAND	11 January 1967
FRANCE	18 October 1967
GABON	24 December 1968
GAMBIA	11 July 1966
GHANA	8 September 1966
GREECE	17 October 1969
GUATEMALA	16 June 1966
GUYANA	31 January 1968
HUNGARY	4 May 1967
ICELAND	21 June 1966
INDIA	11 July 1966
INDONESIA	30 March 1973
IRAN	13 January 1967
IRAQ	12 January 1967
IRELAND	20 September 1966
ISRAEL	29 August 1966
ITALY	4 December 1967
IVORY COAST	15 January 1968
JAMAICA	12 July 1966

⁴ See *Official Records of the General Assembly, Twentieth Session, Supplement No. 14 (A/6014)*, p. 90.

⁵ Ratification on behalf of the Republic of China on 8 July 1966. See note, page iii.

In communications addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned ratification, the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Albania, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and Yugoslavia stated that the only Government entitled to represent and to assume

international obligations on behalf of China is the Government of the People's Republic of China and that, therefore, they did not recognize as valid the said ratification.

In a note addressed to the Secretary-General, the Permanent Mission of the Republic of China stated that the allegations contained in the above-mentioned communications are untenable both in law and in fact and cannot in any way affect the requirements of Article 108 of the Charter or the validity of the amendments to the Charter duly ratified under the said Article.

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification</i>	
JORDAN	25	March 1966
KENYA	16	June 1966
KUWAIT	26	October 1967
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	21	October 1966
LEBANON	20	March 1969
LIBERIA	1	July 1969
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC	3	August 1967
LUXEMBOURG	12	December 1967
MADAGASCAR	23	January 1968
MALAWI	11	April 1966
MALAYSIA	28	April 1966
MALDIVES	5	September 1968
MALTA	30	June 1966
MEXICO	18	April 1967
MONGOLIA	17	April 1969
MOROCCO	27	December 1966
NEPAL	20	July 1966
NETHERLANDS	5	January 1967
NEW ZEALAND	20	May 1966
NIGER	28	April 1966
NIGERIA	15	June 1967
NORWAY	29	April 1966
PAKISTAN	10	August 1966
PARAGUAY	7	August 1967
PHILIPPINES	2	October 1967
POLAND	22	May 1967
ROMANIA	12	January 1967
RWANDA	9	September 1966
SAUDI ARABIA	11	December 1968
SIERRA LEONE	24	January 1968
SINGAPORE	25	July 1966
SPAIN	28	October 1966
SRI LANKA	24	August 1966
SUDAN	24	April 1968
SWEDEN	15	July 1966
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	8	December 1967
THAILAND	9	June 1966
TOGO	14	May 1968
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	22	April 1966
TUNISIA	23	August 1966
TURKEY	16	March 1967
UGANDA	15	April 1969
UKRAINIAN SSR	1	November 1966
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	22	September 1966
UNITED KINGDOM	19	October 1966
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	20	June 1966
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	31	May 1967
UPPER VOLTA	18	July 1966
VENEZUELA	9	November 1967
YUGOSLAVIA	13	March 1967
ZAIRE	9	June 1966

(c) Amendment to Article 61 of the Charter of the United Nations**Adopted by General Assembly resolution 2847 (XXVI) of 20 December 1971¹**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 September 1973 for all Members of the United Nations, in accordance with Article 108 of the Charter.

REGISTRATION: 24 September 1973, No. 8132.

TEXT: General Assembly resolution 2847 (XXVI) of 20 December 1971.

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification</i>
AFGHANISTAN	20 September 1973
ALBANIA	22 March 1974
ALGERIA	21 June 1972
ARGENTINA	19 March 1973
AUSTRALIA	16 November 1972
AUSTRIA	12 January 1973
BAHRAIN	22 August 1972
BARBADOS	12 June 1972
BELGIUM	26 March 1973
BENIN	5 February 1973
BHUTAN	13 September 1972
BOLIVIA	29 June 1973
BOTSWANA	12 February 1973
BRAZIL	7 September 1972
BULGARIA	5 June 1973
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	15 June 1973
CANADA	28 September 1972
CHAD	11 May 1973
CHILE	23 July 1974
CHINA	15 September 1972
COLOMBIA	20 May 1975
COSTA RICA	14 August 1973
CUBA	17 May 1976
CYPRUS	26 June 1972
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	4 February 1974
DEMOCRATIC YEMEN	15 June 1972
DENMARK	23 January 1973
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	29 November 1972
ECUADOR	20 April 1973
EGYPT	28 December 1972
ETHIOPIA	27 February 1974
FIJI	12 June 1972
FINLAND	30 March 1972
FRANCE	1 June 1973
GHANA	8 January 1973
GREECE	15 January 1974
GUATEMALA	3 October 1972
GUINEA	27 June 1973
GUYANA	22 May 1973
HUNGARY	12 July 1973
ICELAND	6 March 1973
INDIA	5 January 1973
INDONESIA	30 March 1973
IRAN	15 March 1973
IRAQ	9 August 1972
IRELAND	6 October 1972
ITALY	25 July 1973
IVORY COAST	28 February 1973
JAMAICA	6 October 1972
JAPAN	15 June 1973
JORDAN	2 June 1972

¹ See *Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-sixth Session, Supplement No. 29, A/8429, p. 67.*

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification</i>
KENYA	5 October 1972
KUWAIT	20 June 1972
LEBANON	2 July 1973
LESOTHO	30 May 1973
LIBERIA	4 December 1972
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC	12 April 1973
LUXEMBOURG	5 June 1973
MADAGASCAR	19 July 1973
MALAWI	15 September 1972
MALAYSIA	16 June 1972
MALI	30 August 1973
MALTA	22 February 1973
MAURITIUS	29 June 1973
MEXICO	11 April 1973
MONGOLIA	18 May 1973
MOROCCO	26 September 1972
NEPAL	24 November 1972
NETHERLANDS	31 October 1972
NEW ZEALAND	19 July 1972
NICARAGUA	17 July 1973
NIGER	22 August 1972
NIGERIA	17 October 1973
NORWAY	14 March 1973
OMAN	23 June 1972
PAKISTAN	21 August 1973
PANAMA	26 September 1972
PARAGUAY	28 December 1973
PERU	26 June 1973
PHILIPPINES	14 November 1972
POLAND	19 September 1973
QATAR	15 June 1972
ROMANIA	26 February 1973
RWANDA	6 November 1973
SENEGAL	25 January 1973
SIERRA LEONE	15 October 1973
SINGAPORE	18 April 1972
SPAIN	26 July 1973
SRI LANKA	6 December 1972
SUDAN	4 October 1972
SWEDEN	22 December 1972
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	21 August 1974
THAILAND	19 July 1972
TOGO	29 October 1973
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	11 September 1972
TUNISIA	8 November 1972
UGANDA	12 June 1972
UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC	16 May 1973
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	1 June 1973
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	29 September 1972
UNITED KINGDOM	19 June 1973
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	12 December 1972
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	4 April 1973
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	24 September 1973
VENEZUELA	29 October 1974
YEMEN	7 July 1972
YUGOSLAVIA	23 October 1972
ZAIRE	16 August 1973
ZAMBIA	13 October 1972

CHAPTER II. PACIFIC SETTLEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL DISPUTES

I. Revised General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 28 April 1949¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 September 1950, in accordance with article 44.

REGISTRATION: 20 September 1950, No. 912.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 71, p. 101.

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession</i>		<i>Extending to</i>
BELGIUM	23 December	1949	All the provisions of the Act (chapters I, II, III, and IV).
DENMARK	25 March	1952	All the provisions of the Act (chapters I, II, III, and IV).
LUXEMBOURG	28 June	1961	All the provisions of the Act (chapters I, II, III, and IV).
NETHERLANDS ²	9 June	1971	The provisions relating to conciliation and judicial settlement (chapters I and II), together with general provisions dealing with these procedures (chapter IV).
NORWAY	16 July	1951	All the provisions of the Act (chapters I, II, III, and IV).
SWEDEN	22 June	1950	The provisions relating to conciliation and judicial settlement (chapters I and II), together with the general provisions dealing with these procedures (chapter IV) subject to the reservation on disputes arising out of facts prior to this accession.
UPPER VOLTA	27 March	1962	All the provisions of the Act (chapters I, II, III, and IV).

¹ Resolution 268 A (III). See *Official Records of the General Assembly, Third Session, Part II (A/900)*, p. 10.

² For the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles.

CHAPTER III. PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES, DIPLOMATIC AND CONSULAR RELATIONS

I. Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations

Approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 13 February 1946¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: As regards each State on the date of deposit of its instrument of accession, in accordance with section 32.

REGISTRATION: 14 December 1946, No. 4.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 1, p. 15, and vol. 90, p. 327 (corrigendum to vol. 1).

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession, notification of succession (d)</i>	
AFGHANISTAN	5 September	1947
ALBANIA	2 July	1957
ALGERIA	31 October	1963
ARGENTINA	12 October	1956
AUSTRALIA	2 March	1949
AUSTRIA	10 May	1957
BARBADOS	10 January	1972 <i>d</i>
BELGIUM	25 September	1948
BOLIVIA	23 December	1949
BRAZIL	15 December	1949
BULGARIA	30 September	1960
BURMA	25 January	1955
BURUNDI	17 March	1971
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	22 October	1953
CANADA	22 January	1948
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	4 September	1962 <i>d</i>
CHILE	15 October	1948
COLOMBIA	6 August	1974
CONGO	15 October	1962 <i>d</i>
COSTA RICA	26 October	1949
CUBA	9 September	1959
CYPRUS	5 November	1963 <i>d</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	7 September	1955
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	6 November	1963
DENMARK	10 June	1948
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	7 March	1947
ECUADOR	22 March	1956
EGYPT	17 September	1948
EL SALVADOR	9 July	1947
ETHIOPIA	22 July	1947
FIJI	21 June	1971 <i>d</i>
FINLAND	31 July	1958
FRANCE	18 August	1947
GABON	13 March	1964
GAMBIA	1 August	1966 <i>d</i>
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	4 October	1974
GHANA	5 August	1958
GREECE	29 December	1947
GUATEMALA	7 July	1947
GUINEA	10 January	1968

¹ Resolution 22 A (I). See *Resolutions adopted by the General Assembly during the First Part of its First Session (A/64)*, p. 25.

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession, notification of succession (d)</i>	
GUYANA	28 December	1972
HAITI	6 August	1947
HONDURAS	16 May	1947
HUNGARY	30 July	1956
ICELAND	10 March	1948
INDIA	13 May	1948
INDONESIA	8 March	1972
IRAN	8 May	1947
IRAQ	15 September	1949
IRELAND	10 May	1967
ISRAEL	21 September	1949
ITALY	3 February	1958
IVORY COAST	8 December	1961 <i>d</i>
JAMAICA	9 September	1963
JAPAN	18 April	1963
JORDAN	3 January	1958
KENYA	1 July	1965
KUWAIT	13 December	1963
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	24 November	1956
LEBANON	10 March	1949
LESOTHO	26 November	1969
LIBERIA	14 March	1947
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC	28 November	1958
LUXEMBOURG	14 February	1949
MADAGASCAR	23 May	1962 <i>d</i>
MALAWI	17 May	1966
MALAYSIA	28 October	1957 <i>d</i>
MALI	28 March	1968
MALTA	27 June	1968 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS	18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
MEXICO	26 November	1962
MONGOLIA	31 May	1962
MOROCCO	18 March	1957
NEPAL	28 September	1965
NETHERLANDS	19 April	1948
NEW ZEALAND ²	10 December	1947
NICARAGUA	29 November	1947
NIGER	25 August	1961 <i>d</i>
NIGERIA	26 June	1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY	18 August	1947
PAKISTAN	22 September	1948
PANAMA	27 May	1947
PAPUA NEW GUINEA	4 December	1975 <i>d</i>
PARAGUAY	2 October	1953
PERU	24 July	1963
PHILIPPINES	28 October	1947
POLAND	8 January	1948
ROMANIA	5 July	1956
RWANDA	15 April	1964
SENEGAL	27 May	1963 <i>d</i>
SIERRA LEONE	13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SINGAPORE	18 March	1966 <i>d</i>
SOMALIA	9 July	1963
SPAIN	31 July	1974
SWEDEN	28 August	1947
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	29 September	1953
THAILAND	30 March	1956
TOGO	27 February	1962 <i>d</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	19 October	1965
TUNISIA	7 May	1957

² In a communication received on 25 November 1960, the Government of New Zealand gave notice of the withdrawal of the reservation made upon deposit of its instrument of accession. For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 11, p. 406.

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession, notification of succession (d)</i>	
TURKEY	22 August	1950
UKRAINIAN SSR	20 November	1953
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	22 September	1953
UNITED KINGDOM	17 September	1946
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	20 October	1961 <i>d</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	29 October	1962
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	29 April	1970
UPPER VOLTA	27 April	1962
YEMEN	23 July	1963
YUGOSLAVIA	30 June	1950
ZAIRE	8 December	1964
ZAMBIA	16 June	1975 <i>d</i>

Declarations and Reservations

ALBANIA³

The People's Republic of Albania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of section 30, which provide that any difference arising out of the interpretation or application of the present Convention shall be brought before the International Court of Justice, whose opinion shall be accepted as decisive by the parties; with respect to the competence of the Court in disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, the People's Republic of Albania will continue to maintain, as it has heretofore, that in every individual case the agreement of all the parties to the dispute is required in order that the dispute may be laid before the International Court of Justice for a ruling.

ALGERIA³

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by section 30 of the said Convention which provides for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in the case of differences arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention. It declares that, for the submission of a particular dispute to the International Court of Justice for settlement, the consent of all parties to the dispute is necessary in each case.

This reservation also applies to the provision of the same section that the advisory opinion given by the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive.

BULGARIA³

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provision of Section 30 of the Convention which provides for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, and, with respect to the competence of the International Court in the case of differences arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention, the position of the People's Republic of Bulgaria is that, for the submission of a particular dispute to the International

Court for settlement, the consent of all parties to the dispute is necessary in each case. This reservation also applies to the provision of the same section that the advisory opinion given by the International Court shall be accepted as decisive.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC³

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provision of section 30 of the Convention which envisages the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court and, in regard to the competence of the International Court in differences arising out of the interpretation and application of the Convention, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic will, as hitherto, adhere to the position that, for the submission of a particular dispute for settlement by the International Court, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is required in every individual case. This reservation is equally applicable to the provision contained in the same section, whereby the advisory opinion of the International Court shall be accepted as decisive.

CANADA

"With the reservation that exemption from taxation imposed by any law in Canada on salaries and emoluments shall not extend to a Canadian citizen residing or ordinarily resident in Canada."

CZECHOSLOVAKIA³

". . . The Czechoslovak Republic does not consider itself bound by section 30 of the Convention which envisages the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court in differences arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention; in regard to the competence of the International Court in such differences, the Czechoslovak Republic adheres to the position that, for the submission of a particular dispute for settlement by the International Court, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is required in every individual case. This reservation is equally applicable to the further provisions contained in the same section, whereby the advisory opinion of the International Court shall be accepted as decisive."

³ The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland has notified the Secretary-General that it is unable to accept these reservations because in its view they are not of the kind which intending parties to the Convention have the right to make.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC⁴

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provision of section 30 of the Convention, which provides for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, and, with regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice for disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention, takes the view that in every single case the consent of all parties to the dispute shall be necessary to refer a particular dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

This reservation applies equally to the provision contained in this section according to which the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive.

HUNGARY⁴

The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic expressly reserves its position with regard to section 30 of the Convention, since, in its opinion, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice can be founded only on the voluntary prior acceptance of such jurisdiction by all the parties concerned.

INDONESIA

"Article 1(b) section 1: The capacity of the United Nations to acquire and dispose of immovable property shall be exercised with due regard to national laws and regulations.

"Article VIII, section 30:⁴ With regard to competence of the International Court of Justice in disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Government of Indonesia reserves the right to maintain that in every individual case the agreement of the parties to the dispute is required before the Court for a ruling."

LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

1. Laotian nationals domiciled or habitually resident in Laos shall not enjoy exemption from the taxation payable in Laos on salaries and income.

2. Laotian nationals who are officials of the United Nations shall not be immune from National Service obligations.

MEXICO

(a) The United Nations and its organs shall not be entitled to acquire immovable property in Mexican territory, in view of the property regulations laid down by the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States.

(b) Officials and experts of the United Nations and its organs who are of Mexican nationality shall enjoy, in the exercise of their functions in Mexican territory, exclusively those privileges which are granted them by section 18, paragraphs (a), (d), (f) and (g), and by section 22, paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d) and (f) respectively, of the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations, on the understanding that the inviolability established in the aforesaid section 22, paragraph (c), shall be granted only for official papers and documents.

MONGOLIA⁴

"... The Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of section 30 of the said General Convention, which provide that any difference arising out of the interpretation or application of the present Convention shall be referred to the International Court of Justice;

"and in such a case the position of the Mongolian People's Republic is that, for submission of a particular dispute to the International Court for settlement, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is necessary in every case.

"This reservation is equally applicable to the provision that the advisory opinion given by the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive."

NEPAL

"Subject to the reservation with regard to section 18 (c) of the Convention, that United Nations officials of Nepalese nationality shall not be exempt from service obligations applicable to them pursuant to Nepalese law; and

"Subject to the reservation⁴ with regard to section 30 of the Convention, that any difference arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention to which Nepal is a party, shall be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the specific agreement of His Majesty's Government of Nepal."

ROMANIA⁴

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the terms of section 30 of the Convention which provide for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court in differences arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention; with respect to the competence of the International Court in such differences, the Romanian People's Republic takes the view that, for the purpose of the submission of any dispute whatsoever to the Court for a ruling, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is required in every individual case. This reservation is equally applicable to the provisions contained in the said section which stipulate that the advisory opinion of the International Court is to be accepted as decisive.

THAILAND

"... Officials of the United Nations of Thai nationality shall not be immune from national service obligations".

TURKEY⁵

With the following reservations.

(a) The deferment, during service with the United Nations, of the second period of military service

⁴ See footnote 3, p. 37.

⁵ By a notification received by the Secretary-General on 20 June 1957, the Government of Turkey withdrew the second, third and fourth reservations contained in its instrument of accession. For the text of those reservations see: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 70, p. 266.

of Turkish nationals who occupy posts with the said Organization, will be arranged in accordance with the procedures provided in Military Law No. 1111, account being taken of their position as reserve officers or private soldiers, provided that they complete their previous military service as required under Article 6 of the above-mentioned Law, as reserve officers or private soldiers.

...

- (e) Turkish nationals entrusted by the United Nations with a mission in Turkey as officials of the Organization are subject to the taxes payable by their fellow citizens. They must make an annual declaration of their salaries in accordance with the provisions set forth in chapter 4, section 2, of Law No. 5421 concerning income tax.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC⁶

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provision of section 30 of the Convention which envisages the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court and, in regard to the competence of the International Court in differences arising out of the interpretation and application of the Convention, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic will, as hitherto, adhere to the position that, for the submission of a particular dispute for settlement by the International Court, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is required in every individual case. This reservation is equally applicable to the provision contained in the same section, whereby the advisory opinion of the International Court shall be accepted as decisive.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS^{6, 7}

The Soviet Union does not consider itself bound by the provision of section 30 of the Convention which envisages the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court, and in regard to the competence of the International Court in differences arising out of the interpretation and application of the Convention, the

Soviet Union will, as hitherto, adhere to the position that, for the submission of a particular dispute for settlement by the International Court, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is required in every individual case. This reservation is equally applicable to the provision contained in the same section, whereby the advisory opinion of the International Court shall be accepted as decisive.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

“(1) Paragraph (b) of section 18 regarding immunity from taxation and paragraph (c) of section 18 regarding immunity from national service obligations shall not apply with respect to United States nationals and aliens admitted for permanent residence.

“(2) Nothing in article IV, regarding the privileges and immunities of representatives of Members, in article V, regarding the privileges and immunities of United Nations officials, or in article VI, regarding the privileges and immunities of experts on missions for the United Nations, shall be construed to grant any person who has abused his privileges of residence by activities in the United States outside his official capacity exemption from the laws and regulations of the United States regarding the continued residence of aliens, provided that:

“(a) No proceedings shall be instituted under such laws or regulations to require any such person to leave the United States except with the prior approval of the Secretary of State of the United States. Such approval shall be given only after consultation with the appropriate Member in the case of a representative of a Member (or a member of his family) or with the Secretary-General in the case of any person referred to in articles V and VI;

“(b) A representative of the Member concerned or the Secretary-General, as the case may be, shall have the right to appear in any such proceedings on behalf of the person against whom they are instituted;

“(c) Persons who are entitled to diplomatic privileges and immunities under the Convention shall not be required to leave the United States otherwise than in accordance with the customary procedure applicable to members of diplomatic missions accredited or notified to the United States.”

⁶ See footnote 3, p. 37.

⁷ The Government of Lebanon has notified the Secretary-General that it objects to this reservation.

2. Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the Specialized Agencies

Approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 21 November 1947¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: The Convention is in force for each acceding State in respect of a specialized agency indicated in its instrument of accession or in a subsequent notification as from the date of deposit of the instrument of accession or receipt of the notification.

REGISTRATION: 16 August 1949, No. 521.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 33, p. 261.²

Final texts or revised texts of annexes transmitted to the Secretary-General by the specialized agencies concerned and the dates of their receipt by the Secretary-General²

1. Annex I—International Labour Organisation (ILO)	14 September 1948
2. Annex II—Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)	13 December 1948
Revised text of annex II	26 May 1960
Second revised text of annex II	28 December 1965
3. Annex III—International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO)	11 August 1948
4. Annex IV—United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)	7 February 1949
5. Annex V—International Monetary Fund (IMF)	9 May 1949
6. Annex VI—International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD)	29 April 1949
7. Annex VII—World Health Organization (WHO)	2 August 1948
Revised text of annex VII	1 June 1950
Second revised text of annex VII	1 July 1957
Third revised text of annex VII	25 July 1958
8. Annex VIII—Universal Postal Union (UPU)	11 July 1949
9. Annex IX—International Telecommunication Union (ITU)	16 January 1951
10. Annex X—International Refugee Organization (IRO) ³	4 April 1949
11. Annex XI—World Meteorological Organization (WMO)	29 December 1951
12. Annex XII—Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO)	12 February 1959
Revised text of annex XII	9 July 1968
13. Annex XIII—International Finance Corporation (IFC)	22 April 1959
14. Annex XIV—International Development Association (IDA)	15 February 1962

Accessions (a), notifications of succession (d), notifications of undertaking to apply the Convention to further specialized agencies, notifications of acceptance of revised texts of annexes

ALGERIA 25 March 1964 *a*

ARGENTINA 10 October 1963 *a*

Specialized agencies in respect of which, on accession, on notification of succession or in subsequent notifications, States have undertaken to apply the Convention, and revised texts of annexes in respect of which States have notified their acceptance

ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, FUND, BANK, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO

ILO, FAO (revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO, IFC

¹ Resolution 179 (II); see *Official Records of the Second Session of the General Assembly, Resolutions (A/519)*, p. 112.

² For the final texts of annexes I to VIII and X, which had been transmitted to the Secretary-General as at the date of registration of the Convention, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 33, p. 290. For the texts of final or revised texts of annexes transmitted to the Secretary-General subsequent to the date of registration of the Convention, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, as follows: vol. 71, p. 318 (revised text of annex VII); vol. 79, p. 326 (annex IX); vol. 117, p. 386

(annex XI); vol. 275, p. 298 (second revised text of annex VII); vol. 314, p. 308 (third revised text of annex VII); vol. 323, p. 364 (annex XII); vol. 327, p. 326 (annex XIII); vol. 371, p. 266 (revised text of annex II); vol. 423, p. 284 (annex XIV); vol. 559, p. 348 (second revised text of annex II); and vol. 645, p. 340 (revised text of annex XII).

³ Resolution No. 108, adopted by the General Council of the International Refugee Organization at its 101st meeting on 15 February 1952, provided for the liquidation of the Organization.

Accessions (a), notifications of succession (d), notifications of undertaking to apply the Convention to further specialized agencies, notifications of acceptance of revised texts of annexes

Specialized agencies in respect of which, on accession, on notification of succession or in subsequent notifications, States have undertaken to apply the Convention, and revised texts of annexes in respect of which States have notified their acceptance

AUSTRIA	21 July	1950 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, IRO
	28 March	1951	ITU
	21 January	1955	WHO (revised text of Annex VII), WMO
	1 November	1957	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
	28 October	1958	WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
	10 November	1959	IFC
	14 February	1962	FAO (revised text of Annex II)
	8 November	1962	IDA
	22 July	1966	FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
BARBADOS	19 November	1971 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO
BELGIUM	14 March	1962 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO, IFC, IDA
BRAZIL	22 March	1963 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO, IFC, IDA
	24 April	1963	BANK
	15 July	1966	FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
	11 February	1969	IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
BULGARIA	13 June	1968 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO
	2 December	1968	IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	18 March	1966 a	ILO, UNESCO, UPU, ITU, WMO
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE ..	15 October	1962 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, WMO
CHILE	21 September	1951 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, UNESCO
	7 June	1961	UNESCO
CUBA	13 September	1972 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO
CYPRUS	6 May	1964 d	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	29 December	1966 a	ILO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA ...	15 October	1953 a	UPU
	26 September	1955	FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, ITU, WMO
DENMARK	25 January	1950 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU
	5 April	1950	IRO
	22 May	1951	WHO (revised text of Annex VII)
	19 July	1951	ITU
	10 March	1953	WMO
	14 October	1957	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
	8 January	1959	WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
	20 May	1960	IMCO
	26 December	1960	FAO (revised text of Annex II)
	19 July	1961	IFC
	3 August	1962	IDA
	20 March	1969	IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
ECUADOR	8 June	1951 a	ILO
	7 July	1953	FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, ITU
	14 July	1954	WMO
	12 December	1958	UPU
	2 August	1960	FAO (revised text of Annex II)
	26 July	1966	FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
EGYPT ..	28 September	1954 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU
	1 June	1955	WMO
	3 February	1958	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
	24 May	1976	IFC

Accessions (a), notifications of succession (d), notifications of undertaking to apply the Convention to further specialized agencies, notifications of acceptance of revised texts of annexes

FIJI	21 June	1971 d
FINLAND	31 July	1958 a
	2 December	1958
	8 June	1959
	27 July	1959
	8 September	1960
	16 November	1962
	24 November	1969
GABON	29 June	1961 a
GAMBIA	1 August	1966 d
	1 August	1966
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	4 October	1974 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ^{4, 5}	10 October	1957 a
	10 October	1957
	19 May	1958
	5 September	1958
	11 February	1959
	12 January	1962
	12 April	1962
	23 May	1963

Specialized agencies in respect of which, on accession, on notification of succession or in subsequent notifications, States have undertaken to apply the Convention, and revised texts of annexes in respect of which States have notified their acceptance

ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (Second revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
IMCO
IFC
FAO (revised text of Annex II)
IDA
IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
ITU
ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO
IMF, IBRD, IFC, IDA
ILO, UNESCO, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
ILO, FAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, ITU, WMO
ICAO
UPU
WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
IMCO
IFC
FAO (revised text of Annex II)

⁴ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 10 October 1957, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Convention will also apply to the Saar Territory except that Section 7 (b) of the Convention shall not take effect with regard to the Saar Territory until the expiration of the interim period defined in Article 3 of the Treaty of 27 October 1956 between France and the Federal Republic of Germany.

⁵ In a note accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Convention would also apply to *Land Berlin*.

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bulgaria, France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America, the Federal Republic of Germany, Mongolia, Poland and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52.

Subsequently, upon accession to the Convention, the Government of the German Democratic Republic made on the same subject the following declaration:

As regards the application of the Convention to Berlin (West), the German Democratic Republic notes, in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic of 3 September 1971, that Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and cannot be governed by it. Consequently, the declaration of the Federal Republic of Germany to the effect that the said Convention is valid also for "*Land Berlin*" is in contradiction with the Quadripartite Agreement, which provides that agreements affecting matters of the status of Berlin (West) may not be extended to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany.

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration the Secretary-General received on 8 July 1975 from the Governments of the United States of America, France and the United King-

dom, the following declaration:

["The communication mentioned in the Note listed refers] to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. This Agreement was concluded in Berlin between the Governments of the French Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America. [The Government sending this communication is not a party to the Quadripartite Agreement and is] therefore not competent to make authoritative comments on its provisions.

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to bring the following to the attention of the States Parties to the [instrument referred to in the above-mentioned communication.] When authorising the extension of [this instrument] to the Western Sectors of Berlin, the authorities of the Three Powers, acting in the exercise of their supreme authority, ensured in accordance with established procedures that [this instrument is] applied in the Western Sectors of Berlin in such a way as not to affect matters of security and status.

"Accordingly, the application of [this instrument] to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect.

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not consider it necessary to respond to any further communications of a similar nature by States which are not signatories to the Quadripartite Agreement. This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of those Governments in this matter."

Subsequently, on 19 September 1975, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany made on the same subject the following declaration:

"By their Notes of 8 July 1975, . . . the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the [communication] referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the Note of the Three Powers wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned [instrument] extended

[Footnote continues on following page]

<i>Accessions (a), notifications of succession (d), notifications of undertaking to apply the Convention to further specialized agencies, notifications of acceptance of revised texts of annexes</i>			<i>Specialized agencies in respect of which, on accession, on notification of succession or in subsequent notifications, States have undertaken to apply the Convention, and revised texts of annexes in respect of which States have notified their acceptance</i>
GHANA	9 September	1958 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, (second revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO
	27 October	1958	WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
	16 September	1960	FAO (revised text of Annex II)
GUATEMALA	30 June	1951 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, IRO
	4 October	1954	WMO
	18 May	1962	IDA
GUINEA	1 July	1959 a	WMO
	29 March	1968	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, IMCO, IFC, IDA
GUYANA	13 September	1973 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO, IFC, IDA
HAITI	16 April	1952 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU
	16 April	1952	WMO
	5 August	1959	IMCO
HUNGARY	2 August	1967 a	ILO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
	9 August	1973 ^e	FAO, ICAO, IMCO
INDIA	10 February	1949 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO
	19 October	1949	IMF, IBRD, UPU
	9 March	1955	WMO
	3 June	1955	WHO (revised text of Annex VII), ITU
	3 July	1958	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
	3 August	1961	IFC
	12 April	1963	FAO (revised text of Annex II)
INDONESIA	8 March	1972 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO, IFC, IDA
IRAN	16 May	1974 a	ILO, FAO (second revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO (revised text of Annex XII), IFC, IDA
IRAQ	9 July	1954 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
IRELAND	10 May	1967 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO, IFC, IDA
	27 December	1968	IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
IVORY COAST	8 September	1961 a	WHO
	28 December	1961	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, UPU, ITU
	4 June	1962	IMF, IBRD, IFC, IDA
	26 September	1962	WMO
JAMAICA	4 November	1963 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
JAPAN	18 April	1963 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO, IFC, IDA
JORDAN	12 December	1950 a	FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU
	24 March	1951	ITU
	10 December	1957	WMO
	11 August	1960	FAO (revised text of Annex II)
KENYA	1 July	1965 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO, IFC, IDA
	3 March	1966	FAO (second revised text of Annex II)

footnote continued from previous page

by it under the established procedures [continues] in full force and effect.

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany

wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter."

^e With the reservations made upon accession.

<i>Accessions (a), notifications of succession (d), notifications of undertaking to apply the Convention to further specialized agencies, notifications of acceptance of revised texts of annexes</i>			<i>Specialized agencies in respect of which, on accession, on notification of succession or in subsequent notifications, States have undertaken to apply the Convention, and revised texts of annexes in respect of which States have notified their acceptance</i>
KUWAIT	13 November 1961 <i>a</i> 7 February 1963		ITU ILO, FAO (revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, WMO, IMCO, IFC, IDA
	29 August 1966 9 July 1969		FAO (second revised text of Annex II) IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	9 August 1960 <i>a</i>		ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO, IFC
LESOTHO	26 November 1969 <i>a</i>		ILO, FAO (second revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IFC, IDA
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC	30 April 1958 <i>a</i>		ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, (second revised text of Annex VII), ITU, WMO
LUXEMBOURG	20 September 1950 <i>a</i> 27 March 1951 22 August 1952		ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, IRO ITU WMO
MADAGASCAR	3 January 1966 <i>a</i> 22 November 1966 19 November 1968		ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO, IFC FAO (second revised text of Annex II) IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
MALAWI	2 August 1965 <i>a</i> 16 September 1966		ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO, IFC, IDA FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
MALAYSIA	29 March 1962 <i>d</i> 23 November 1962		ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
MALDIVES	26 May 1969 <i>a</i>		WHO, UPU, ITU, IMCO
MALI	24 June 1968 <i>a</i>		ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
MALTA	27 June 1968 <i>d</i> 27 June 1968 21 October 1968		ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO IBRD, IDA FAO (second revised text of Annex II), WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
	13 February 1969		IMF, IFC
MAURITIUS	18 July 1969 <i>d</i>		ILO, FAO (second revised text of Annex II) ^{6a} , ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
MONGOLIA	3 March 1970 <i>a</i> 20 September 1974		ILO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
MOROCCO	28 April 1958 <i>a</i> 10 June 1958 13 August 1958 30 November 1966 3 November 1976		ICAO, WMO ILO, FAO, UNESCO, WHO, ITU UPU FAO (second revised text of Annex II) IMF, IBRD, IFC, IDA
NEPAL ⁷	23 February 1954 <i>a</i> 28 September 1965		WHO FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, UPU, ITU

^{6a} Between 12 March 1968, the date of accession to independence, and 18 July 1969, the date of the notification of succession, Mauritius applied Annex II unrevised.

⁷ The instrument of accession by the Government of Nepal was deposited with the Director-General of the World Health Organization, in accordance with Section 42 of the Convention.

Accessions (a), notifications of succession (d), notifications of undertaking to apply the Convention to further specialized agencies, notifications of acceptance of revised texts of annexes

Specialized agencies in respect of which, on accession, on notification of succession or in subsequent notifications, States have undertaken to apply the Convention, and revised texts of annexes in respect of which States have notified their acceptance

NETHERLANDS	2 December	1948	a	ICAO, WHO
	2 December	1948		ILO
	21 July	1949		FAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, IRO
	15 February	1951		WHO (revised text of Annex VII)
	15 June	1951		ITU
	14 May	1952		UPU
	5 January	1954		WMO
	18 March	1965		WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
	28 June	1965		FAO (revised text of Annex II), IMCO, IFC, IDA
	9 December	1966		FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
NEW ZEALAND	29 October	1969		IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
	25 November	1960	a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
	17 October	1963		IMCO
NICARAGUA	23 May	1967		FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
	6 June	1969		IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
	6 April	1959	a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
NIGER	15 May	1968	a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IDA
NIGERIA	26 June	1961	d	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (second revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO
NORWAY	25 January	1950	a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, IRO
	14 September	1950		WHO (revised text of Annex VII)
	20 September	1951		ITU
	22 November	1955		WMO
	11 September	1957		WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
	10 November	1960		IFC
	10 November	1960		FAO (revised text of Annex II)
	30 January	1961		IMCO
	2 August	1966		FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
	1 October	1968		IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
PAKISTAN	23 July	1951	a	IBRD
	7 November	1951		IMF
	15 September	1961		ILO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
	13 March	1962		FAO, IMCO
PHILIPPINES	17 July	1962		IFC, IDA
	20 March	1950	a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO
	21 May	1958		WMO
	12 March	1959		WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
POLAND	13 January	1961		IFC
	19 June	1969	a	ILO, FAO (second revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
ROMANIA	15 September	1970	a	ILO, FAO (second revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
	23 August	1974		IMF, IBRD
RWANDA	15 April	1964	a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
	23 June	1964		IMF, IBRD, IDA
SENEGAL	2 March	1966	a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO, IFC, IDA
SIERRA LEONE	13 March	1962	d	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (second revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO
SINGAPORE	18 March	1966	d	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO

<i>Accessions (a), notifications of succession (d), notifications of undertaking to apply the Convention to further specialized agencies, notifications of acceptance of revised texts of annexes</i>			<i>Specialized agencies in respect of which, on accession, on notification of succession or in subsequent notifications, States have undertaken to apply the Convention, and revised texts of annexes in respect of which States have notified their acceptance</i>
SPAIN	26 September	1974 a	ILO, FAO (second revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO (revised text of Annex XII), IFC, IDA
SWEDEN	12 September	1951 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU
	31 July	1953	WMO
	22 August	1957	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
	1 February	1960	IMCO
	3 September	1960	IFC
	28 September	1960	FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
	11 April	1962	IDA
THAILAND	13 September	1968	IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
	30 March	1956 a	FAO, ICAO
	19 June	1961	ILO, FAO (revised text of Annex II), UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (second revised text of Annex VII), ITU, WMO, IFC
TOGO	28 April	1965	UPU
	21 March	1966	FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
	15 July	1960 a	WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
TONGO	16 September	1975	UPU
	17 March	1976 d	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (second revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	19 October	1965 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO
	15 July	1966	FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
TUNISIA	3 December	1957 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
	19 May	1958	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
UKRAINIAN SSR	13 April	1966 a	ILO, UNESCO, UPU, ITU, WMO
	10 January	1966 a	ILO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	16 November	1972	ICAO
	16 August	1949 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, IRO
UNITED KINGDOM	UPU, ITU, WMO		
	17 December	1954	WHO (revised text of Annex VII)
	22 September	1955	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
	30 September	1957	IMCO
	4 November	1959	IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)
	28 November	1968	
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	ILO, FAO, UNESCO, WHO		
	29 October	1962 a	WMO
	26 March	1963	ICAO, IMF, IBRD, ITU, IFC
UPPER VOLTA	10 April	1963	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO, IFC
	6 April	1962 a	
YUGOSLAVIA	ILO, FAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU		
	23 November	1951 a	WMO
	5 March	1952	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
	16 March	1959	WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
	14 April	1960	FAO (revised text of Annex II), IMCO, IFC, IDA
	8 April	1964	FAO (revised text of Annex II)
	27 February	1969	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IFC, IDA
ZAIRE	8 December	1964 a	
ZAMBIA	16 June	1975 d	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (second revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMCO (revised text of Annex XII)

Declarations and Reservations⁸

BULGARIA⁹

The People's Republic of Bulgaria will consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention only if, before a dispute arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention is referred to the International Court of Justice, the Parties involved in the dispute have, for each individual case, given their prior consent thereto. This reservation applies also to section 32, which provides that the opinion of the International Court of Justice shall be considered as decisive.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC⁹

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, concerning the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice. Concerning the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in disputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic will maintain the same position as hitherto, namely, that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for settlement, the agreement of all Parties involved in the dispute must be obtained in each individual case. This reservation similarly applies to the provision contained in section 32, stipulating that the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive.

CUBA⁹

The Revolutionary Government of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, under which the International Court of Justice has compulsory jurisdiction in disputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention. Concerning the competence of the International Court of Justice in such disputes, Cuba takes the position that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for settlement, the agreement of all parties involved in the dispute must be obtained in each individual case. This reservation also applies to the provision of section 32 requiring the parties concerned to accept the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice as decisive.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA⁹

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, under which the International Court of Justice has compulsory jurisdiction in disputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention; concerning the competence of the International Court of Justice in such disputes, the

⁸ Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made on accession.

⁹ The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland has notified the Secretary-General that it is unable to accept these reservations because in its view they are not of the kind which intending parties to the Convention have the right to make.

Czechoslovak Socialist Republic takes the position that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for settlement, the agreement of all Parties involved in the dispute must be obtained in each individual case. This reservation also applies to the provision of section 32 requiring the Parties concerned to accept the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice as decisive.

GABON

However, I have to invite your attention to the fact that it is not possible for any Government fully to comply with the requirements of section 11 of that Convention in so far as it requires the specialized agency to enjoy in the territory of a State party to the Convention treatment not less favourable than that accorded by the Government of that State to any other Government in the matter of priorities and rates on telecommunications, unless and until all other Governments collaborate in according this treatment to the agency in question. It is understood that this matter is being discussed in the International Telecommunication Union.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC⁹

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, which provide for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, and with regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice for disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention, takes the view that in every single case the consent of all parties to the dispute shall be necessary to refer a particular dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

This reservation applies equally to the provision contained in section 32 according to which the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany takes the liberty of calling attention to the fact that the provisions of section 11 of article IV of the Convention, to the effect that the specialized agencies shall enjoy, in the territory of each State party to this Convention, for their official communications, treatment not less favourable than that accorded by the Government of such State to any other Government in the matter of priorities, rates and other taxes, cannot be fully complied with by any Government. Reference is made to the provisions of article 37 and of annex 3 of the International Telecommunication Convention concluded at Buenos Aires in 1952, as well as to the resolutions Nos. 27 and 28 appended to that Convention."

HUNGARY⁹

The Hungarian People's Republic accepts sections 24 and 32 of the Convention with the reservation that disputes regarding the interpretation and application

of the Convention shall be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all parties involved in the given dispute.

The Hungarian People's Republic makes a reservation also with regard to the provision in section 32 making the advisory opinion of the Court decisive in certain cases.

INDONESIA

"(1) Article II(b) section 3: The capacity of the specialized agencies to acquire and dispose of immovable property shall be exercised with due regard to national laws and regulations.¹⁰

"(2) Article IX section 32 :¹¹ With regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice in disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Government of Indonesia reserves the right to maintain that in every individual case the agreement of the parties to the dispute is required before the Court for a ruling."

IVORY COAST

(Declaration contained in a subsequent notification received on 28 December 1961)

. . . It is not possible for any Government fully to comply with the requirements of section 11 of that Convention in so far as it requires the specialized agency to enjoy in the territory of a State party to the Convention treatment not less favourable than that accorded by the Government of that State to any other Government in the matter of priorities and rates on telecommunications, unless and until all other Governments collaborate in according this treatment to the agency in question. It is understood that this matter is being discussed in the International Telecommunication Union.

MADAGASCAR

. . . The Malagasy Government will not be able to comply fully with the provisions of article IV, section 11, of the Convention, which states that the specialized agencies shall enjoy, in the territory of each State party to the Convention, for their official communications, treatment not less favourable than that accorded by the Government of such State to any other Government, in the matter of priorities, rates and taxes on telecommunications, until such time as all Governments decide to co-operate by according such treatment to the agencies in question.

MONGOLIA^{11, 12}

"The Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, which provide for the compulsory jurisdic-

tion of the International Court of Justice. As to the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in disputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention the Mongolian People's Republic maintains that for the submission of a particular dispute to the International Court of Justice for settlement, the consent of all Parties to the dispute must be obtained in each individual case. This reservation is equally applicable to the provision of section 32 whereby the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive."

NEW ZEALAND

". . . The Government of New Zealand, in common with other Governments, cannot give full effect to article IV, section 11, of the Convention, which requires that the specialized agencies shall enjoy, in the territory of each State party to the Convention, for their official communications, treatment not less favourable than the treatment accorded by the Government of such a State to any other Government in the matter of priorities, rates and taxes on telecommunications, as long as all Governments have not decided to co-operate in granting this treatment to the agencies in question.

"It is noted that this matter has been receiving the consideration of the United Nations and of the International Telecommunication Union. It is also noted that the final text of the annex of the Convention approved by the International Telecommunication Union, and transmitted by the Union to the Secretary-General of the United Nations in accordance with section 36 of the Convention, contains a statement that the Union would not claim for itself the enjoyment of privileged treatment with regard to the facilities in respect of communications provided in section 11 of the Convention."

NORWAY

(Declaration contained in a subsequent notification received on 20 September 1951)

"I have further been instructed to inform you that the Norwegian Government is of the opinion that it is impossible for any government to comply fully with Section 11 of the said Convention, which requires that the Specialized Agencies shall enjoy, in the territory of each state party to the Convention, for their official communications, treatment no less favourable than that accorded by the Government of such State to any other Government in the matter of priorities, rates and taxes on telecommunications as long as all governments have not agreed to grant to the agency in question, the treatment specified in this Section."

PAKISTAN

(Declaration contained in a subsequent notification received on 15 September 1961 and also, with the second paragraph omitted, in subsequent notifications received on 13 March 1962 and 17 July 1962)

"The enjoyment by Specialized Agencies of the communication privileges provided in Article IV, Section 11 of the Convention cannot, in practice, be

¹⁰ In a communication received on 10 January 1973, the Government of Indonesia informed the Secretary-General, in reference to that reservation, that it would grant to the Specialized Agencies the same privileges and immunities which it had granted to the International Monetary Fund and the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development.

¹¹ See footnote 9, p. 47.

¹² The reservation was repeated in essence in the notification of application to FAO received from Mongolia on 20 September 1974.

determined by unilateral action of individual Governments and has in fact been determined by the International Telecommunication Convention, Atlantic City, 1947 and Telegraph and Telephone Regulations annexed thereto. Pakistan would, therefore, not be able to comply with the provisions of Article IV, Section 11 of the Convention in view of Resolution No. 28 (annexure I) passed at the Plenipotentiary Conference of the International Telecommunication Union, held in Buenos Aires in 1952.

"The International Telecommunication Union shall not claim for itself the communication privileges provided in Article IV, Section 11 of the Convention."

POLAND¹³

Subject to the reservation, in respect of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, that disputes arising out of the interpretation and application of the Convention shall be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the agreement of all parties to the dispute and that the Polish People's Republic reserves the right not to accept the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice as decisive.

ROMANIA¹³

The Socialist Republic of Romania states that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32, whereby the question whether an abuse of a privilege or immunity has occurred, and differences arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention and disputes between specialized agencies and Member States, shall be referred to the International Court of Justice. The position of the Socialist Republic of Romania is that such questions, differences or disputes may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the agreement of the parties in each individual case.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC¹³

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, concerning the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice. Concerning the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in disputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic will maintain the same position as hitherto, namely, that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for settlement, the agreement of all Parties involved in the dispute must be obtained in each individual case. This reservation similarly applies to the provision contained in section 32, stipulating that the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS¹³

Declaration made upon accession and also contained in a subsequent notification received on 16 November 1972:

¹³ See footnote 9, p. 47.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, concerning the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice. Concerning the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in disputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention, the USSR will maintain the same position as hitherto, namely, that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for settlement, the agreement of all Parties involved in the dispute must be obtained in each individual case. This reservation similarly applies to the provision contained in section 32, stipulating that the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"I have to invite your attention to the fact that it is not possible for any Government fully to comply with the requirements of Section 11 of that Convention in so far as it requires the Specialized Agency to enjoy in the territory of a state party to the Convention treatment not less favourable than that accorded by the Government of that state to any other Government in the matter of priorities and rates on telecommunications, unless and until all other Governments collaborate in according this treatment to the Agency in question. It is understood that this matter is being discussed in the International Telecommunication Union."

Declaration contained in a subsequent notification received on 17 December 1954:

"With regard to the Universal Postal Union and the World Meteorological Organization, I have the honour to draw your attention to the fact that no Government can fully comply with Section 11 of this Convention which requires that the specialized agencies shall enjoy, in the territory of each State party to the Convention, for their official communications, treatment not less favourable than that accorded by the Government of such a State to any other Government in the matter of priorities, rates and taxes on telecommunications so long as all the other Governments have not decided to co-operate in granting this treatment to the agencies in question. This matter is under consideration by the United Nations and the International Telecommunication Union.

"The final text of the annex to the Convention approved by the International Telecommunication Union and transmitted by the Union to the Secretary-General of the United Nations in accordance with Section 36 of the Convention contains a statement that the Union would not claim for itself the enjoyment of privileged treatment with regard to the facilities in respect of communications provided in Section 11 of the Convention."

Declaration contained in a subsequent notification received on 4 November 1959:

"Her Majesty's Government observe that it would be impracticable for any Government fully to comply with Section 11 of the Convention which requires that the Specialized Agencies shall enjoy, in the territory

of each State party to the Convention, for their official communications, treatment not less favourable than that accorded by the Government of such State to any other Government in the matter of priorities, rates and taxes on telecommunications, until such time as

all the other Governments have decided to co-operate in granting this treatment to the agencies in question. This matter is under consideration by the United Nations and the International Telecommunication Union.”

3. Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations

Done at Vienna on 18 April 1961¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 April 1964, in accordance with article 51.

REGISTRATION: 24 June 1964, No. 7310.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 500, p. 95.

State	Signature		Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)	
AFGHANISTAN			6 October	1965 a
ALBANIA	18 April	1961		
ALGERIA			14 April	1964 a
ARGENTINA	18 April	1961	10 October	1963
AUSTRALIA	30 March	1962	26 January	1968
AUSTRIA	18 April	1961	28 April	1966
BAHRAIN			2 November	1971 a
BARBADOS			6 May	1968 d
BELGIUM	23 October	1961	2 May	1968
BENIN			27 March	1967 a
BHUTAN			7 December	1972 a
BOTSWANA			11 April	1969 a
BRAZIL	18 April	1961	25 March	1965
BULGARIA	18 April	1961	17 January	1968
BURUNDI			1 May	1968 a
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	18 April	1961	14 May	1964
CANADA	5 February	1962	26 May	1966
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	28 March	1962	19 March	1973
CHILE	18 April	1961	9 January	1968
CHINA ²			25 November	1975 a
COLOMBIA	18 April	1961	5 April	1973
CONGO			11 March	1963 a
COSTA RICA	14 February	1962	9 November	1964
CUBA	16 January	1962	26 September	1963
CYPRUS			10 September	1968 a
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	18 April	1961	24 May	1963
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA			31 August	1965 a
DEMOCRATIC YEMEN			24 November	1976 a
DENMARK	18 April	1961	2 October	1968
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	30 March	1962	14 January	1964
ECUADOR ^{2a}	18 April	1961	21 September	1964
EGYPT			9 June	1964 a

¹ The Convention was adopted on 14 April 1961 by the United Nations Conference on Diplomatic Intercourse and Immunities held at the Neue Hofburg in Vienna, Austria, from 2 March to 14 April 1961. The Conference also adopted the Optional Protocol concerning the Acquisition of Nationality, the Optional Protocol concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, the Final Act and four resolutions annexed to that Act. The Convention and two Protocols were deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The Final Act, by unanimous decision of the Conference, was deposited in the archives of the Federal Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Austria. The text of the Final Act and of the annexed resolutions is published in the United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 500, p. 212. For the proceedings of the Conference, see *United Nations Conference on Diplomatic Intercourse and Immunities, Official Records*, vols. I and II (United Nations publication, Sales Nos: 61.X.2 and 62.X.1).

² Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 18 April 1961 and 19 December 1969 respectively. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

In communications addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned signature and/or ratification, the Permanent Representatives or the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Mongolia, Pakistan, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics stated that their Governments considered the said signature and/or ratification as null

and void, since the so-called "Government of China" had no right to speak or assume obligations on behalf of China, there being only one Chinese State, the People's Republic of China, and one Government entitled to represent it, the Government of the People's Republic of China.

In letters addressed to the Secretary-General in regard to the above-mentioned communications, the Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations stated that the Republic of China, a sovereign State and Member of the United Nations, had attended the 1961 Conference on Diplomatic Intercourse and Immunities, contributed to the formulation of the Convention concerned, signed the Convention and duly deposited the instrument of ratification thereof, and that "any statements and reservations relating to the above-mentioned Convention that are incompatible with or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China under this Convention".

The instrument of accession deposited on behalf of the Government of China on 25 November 1975 contained the following declaration: The "signature" on and "ratification" of this Convention by the Chiang Kai-shek clique usurping the name of China are illegal and null and void.

^{2a} Upon ratification of the Convention, the Government of Ecuador withdrew the reservation to paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of article 37 of the Convention formulated at the time of its signature.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)
EL SALVADOR		9 December 1965 a
EQUATORIAL GUINEA		30 August 1976 a
FIJI		21 June 1971 d
FINLAND	20 October 1961	9 December 1969
FRANCE	30 March 1962	31 December 1970
GABON		2 April 1964 a
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		2 February 1973 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³	18 April 1961	11 November 1964

³ The instrument of ratification contains the following statement: "the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, the Optional Protocol concerning the Acquisition of Nationality and the Optional Protocol concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, shall also apply to Land Berlin as from the date on which the Convention and the Protocols will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

The Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics have informed the Secretary-General, that they consider the above-mentioned statement as having no legal force on the ground that West Berlin is not, and never has been, a State territory of the Federal Republic of Germany and that, consequently, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany is in no way competent to assume any obligations in respect of West Berlin or to extend to it the application of international agreements, including the Convention in question.

The Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America have informed the Secretary-General that, in the Declaration on Berlin of 5 May 1955, which accords with instruments that previously entered into force, the Allied Kommandatura as the supreme authority in Berlin had authorized the Berlin authorities to assure the representation abroad of the interests of Berlin and its inhabitants under suitable arrangements, and that the arrangements made in accordance with the said authorization permitted the Federal Republic of Germany to extend to Berlin the international agreements which the Federal Republic concludes, provided that the final decision in every case of such an extension was left to the Allied Kommandatura and that internal Berlin action was required to make any such agreement applicable as domestic law in Berlin. For these reasons they consider the objections referred to in the preceding paragraph as unfounded.

Subsequently, the Secretary-General received the following communications:

German Democratic Republic (27 December 1973):

"With regard to the application to Berlin (West) of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations and in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement concluded on September 3, 1971 between the governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, of the United States of America and of the French Republic, the German Democratic Republic declares that Berlin (West) is no constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and must not be governed by it. For this reason the statement of the government of the Federal Republic of Germany, according to which this convention also applies to the 'Land Berlin', is in contradiction to the Quadripartite Agreement and cannot produce any validity."

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (17 June 1974—in relation to the declaration by the German Democratic Republic received on 27 December 1973):

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America wish to bring to the attention of the States Parties to the Convention that the extension of the Convention to the Western Sectors of Berlin received the prior authorization, under established procedures, of the authorities of France, the United Kingdom and the United States on the basis of their supreme authority in those Sectors.

"In a communication to the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics which is an integral part (Annex IV A) of the Quadripartite Agreement of the 3rd of September 1971 the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States reaffirmed that, provided matters of security and status are not affected, international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin. For its part, the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, in a communication to the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States which is similarly an integral part (Annex IV B) of the Quadripartite Agreement of the 3rd of September 1971, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such extension.

"Accordingly, the application of the Convention to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect."
Federal Republic of Germany (15 July 1974):

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany shares the position set out in the Note of the Three Powers. The extension of the Convention to Berlin (West) continues in full force and effect."

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (12 September 1974):

The Soviet Union shares the view expressed in the communications from the German Democratic Republic concerning the action by the Federal Republic of Germany in extending to "Land Berlin" . . . the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 . . . Berlin (West) has never been a "Land of the Federal Republic of Germany", does not form part of the Federal Republic of Germany and is not governed by it. This fact was reaffirmed and given legal effect in the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. The declarations by the Federal Republic of Germany extending international agreements to "Land Berlin" are regarded and will continue to be regarded by the Soviet Union as having no legal effect.

Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (19 September 1974):

The Ukrainian SSR shares the view set forth in the communication from the German Democratic Republic on the question of the extension by the Federal Republic of Germany of the application of . . . the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, of 18 April 1961 to "Land Berlin". Berlin (West) has never been a Land of the Federal Republic of Germany, is not a part of the Federal Republic of Germany and is not governed by it. This was reaffirmed and firmly established in the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. Statements by the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension of international agreements to "Land Berlin" are regarded and will continue to be regarded by the Ukrainian SSR as having no legal force whatsoever.

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (8 July 1975—in relation to the declaration by the Soviet Union received on 12 September 1974):

"In a communication to the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics which is an integral part (Annex IV A) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States confirmed that, provided that matters of security and status are not affected and provided that extension is specified in each case, international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin in accordance with established procedures. For its part, the Government of

[Footnote continues on following page

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
GHANA	18 April	1961	28 June	1962
GREECE ^{3a}	29 March	1962	16 July	1970
GUATEMALA	18 April	1961	1 October	1963
GUINEA			10 January	1968 a
GUYANA			28 December	1972 a
HOLY SEE	18 April	1961	17 April	1964
HONDURAS			13 February	1968 a
HUNGARY	18 April	1961	24 September	1965
ICELAND			18 May	1971 a
INDIA			15 October	1965 a
IRAN	27 May	1961	3 February	1965
IRAQ	20 February	1962	15 October	1963
IRELAND	18 April	1961	10 May	1967
ISRAEL	18 April	1961	11 August	1970
ITALY	13 March	1962	25 June	1969
IVORY COAST			1 October	1962 a
JAMAICA			5 June	1963 a
JAPAN	26 March	1962	8 June	1964
JORDAN			29 July	1971 a
KENYA			1 July	1965 a
KUWAIT			23 July	1969 a
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			3 December	1962 a
LEBANON	18 April	1961	16 March	1971
LESOTHO			26 November	1969 a
LIBERIA	18 April	1961	15 May	1962
LIECHTENSTEIN	18 April	1961	8 May	1964

footnote continued from previous page

the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, in a communication to the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States which is similarly an integral part (Annex IV B) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such extension.

"The Quadripartite Agreement does not impose any requirement regarding terminology to be used by the Federal Republic of Germany when extending to the Western Sectors of Berlin such international agreements or arrangements nor, of course, does the Quadripartite Agreement affect terminology used in the past.

"In any case, the use by the Federal Republic of Germany of the terminology mentioned in the [Note] under reference can in no way affect quadripartite agreements or decisions relating to Berlin.

"Consequently, the validity of the Berlin Declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany is unaffected by the use of this terminology and the application to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the [instrument] mentioned in the above listed [document] continues in full force and effect."

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (8 July 1975—in relation to the declaration by the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic received on 19 September 1974):

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to point out that the [State whose communication is reported in the above mentioned Note is not a party] to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, which was concluded in Berlin by the Governments of the French Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and the United States of America, and [is] not therefore competent to comment authoritatively on its provisions.

"The Quadripartite Agreement does not impose any requirement regarding terminology to be used by the Federal Republic of Germany when extending to the Western Sectors of Berlin treaties or agreements to which it has become a party nor, of course, does the Agreement affect terminology used in the past.

"In any case the use by the Federal Republic of Germany of the terminology mentioned in the [communication] under reference can in no way affect quadripartite agreements or decisions relating to Berlin.

"Consequently the validity of the Berlin Declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany is unaffected by the use of this terminology.

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not consider it necessary to respond to any further communications of a similar nature by States which are not signatories to the Quadripartite Agreement. This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of those Governments in this matter."

Federal Republic of Germany (19 September 1975):

"By their Notes of 8 July 1975, disseminated by Circular Note . . . C.N.190.1975.TREATIES-4 of 13 August 1975, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the [communication] referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the Notes of the Three Powers wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned [instrument] extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect.

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter."

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (8 December 1975):

The Permanent Mission of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to the United Nations considers it necessary to confirm the position on the question set forth in the Permanent Mission's note no. 491 of 11 September 1974. The declarations by the Federal Republic of Germany extending the above-mentioned [Convention] to "Land Berlin" will continue to be regarded by the Soviet side as having no legal effect.

^{3a} In a letter accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of Greece notified the Secretary-General that it did not maintain the reservation made at the time of signature of the Convention with respect to the last sentence of paragraph 2 of article 37. For the text of the said reservation, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol 500, p. 186.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
LUXEMBOURG	2 February	1962	17 August	1966
MADAGASCAR			31 July	1963 <i>a</i>
MALAWI			19 May	1965 <i>a</i>
MALAYSIA			9 November	1965 <i>a</i>
MALI			28 March	1968 <i>a</i>
MALTA ⁴			7 March	1967 <i>d</i>
MAURITANIA			16 July	1962 <i>a</i>
MAURITIUS			18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
MEXICO	18 April	1961	16 June	1965
MONGOLIA			5 January	1967 <i>a</i>
MOROCCO			19 June	1968 <i>a</i>
NEPAL			28 September	1965 <i>a</i>
NEW ZEALAND	28 March	1962	23 September	1970
NICARAGUA			31 October	1975 <i>a</i>
NIGER			5 December	1962 <i>a</i>
NIGERIA	31 March	1962	19 June	1967
NORWAY	18 April	1961	24 October	1967
OMAN			31 May	1974 <i>a</i>
PAKISTAN	29 March	1962	29 March	1962
PANAMA	18 April	1961	4 December	1963
PAPUA NEW GUINEA			4 December	1975 <i>d</i>
PARAGUAY			23 December	1969 <i>a</i>
PERU			18 December	1968 <i>a</i>
PHILIPPINES	20 October	1961	15 November	1965
POLAND	18 April	1961	19 April	1965
PORTUGAL			11 September	1968 <i>a</i>
REPUBLIC OF KOREA ^{4a}	28 March	1962	28 December	1970
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{4b}			10 May	1973 <i>a</i>
ROMANIA	18 April	1961	15 November	1968
RWANDA			15 April	1964 <i>a</i>
SAN MARINO	25 October	1961	8 September	1965
SENEGAL	18 April	1961	12 October	1972
SIERRA LEONE			13 August	1962 <i>a</i>
SOMALIA			29 March	1968 <i>a</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	28 March	1962		
SPAIN			21 November	1967 <i>a</i>
SRI LANKA	18 April	1961		
SWAZILAND			25 April	1969 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	18 April	1961	21 March	1967
SWITZERLAND	18 April	1961	30 October	1963
THAILAND	30 October	1961		
TOGO			27 November	1970 <i>a</i>
TONGA			31 January	1973 <i>d</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO			19 October	1965 <i>a</i>
TUNISIA			24 January	1968 <i>a</i>
UGANDA			15 April	1965 <i>a</i>
UKRAINIAN SSR	18 April	1961	12 June	1964

⁴ In its notification of succession, the Government of Malta indicated that it considers itself bound by the Convention as from 1 October 1964 [the date of entry into force of the Convention for the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland]

^{4a} In communications addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned ratification, the Permanent Mission of Bulgaria and the Permanent Representative of Romania to the United Nations stated that their Governments considered the said ratification as null and void, for the South Korean authorities could not speak on behalf of Korea.

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General concerning the above-mentioned communication from the Permanent Representative of Romania, the Permanent Observer of the Republic of Korea to the United Nations stated the following:

"The Republic of Korea took part in the United Nations Conference on Diplomatic Intercourse and Immunities, and contributed to the formulation of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, signed the Convention on the same day and duly deposited the instrument of ratification thereof with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on 28 December 1970.

"As the resolution 195 (III) of the General Assembly of the United Nations dated 12 December 1948 declares unmistakably, the Government of the Republic of Korea is the only lawful government in Korea.

"Therefore, the rights and obligations of the Republic of Korea under the said Convention shall in no way be affected by any statement that has no basis in fact or unjustly distorts the legitimacy of the Government of the Republic of Korea."

^{4b} The Democratic Republic of Viet-Nam and the Republic

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	18 April 1961	25 March 1964
UNITED KINGDOM	11 December 1961	1 September 1964
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	27 February 1962	5 November 1962
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	29 June 1961	13 November 1972
URUGUAY	18 April 1961	10 March 1970
VENEZUELA	18 April 1961	16 March 1965
YUGOSLAVIA	18 April 1961	1 April 1963
ZAIRE	18 April 1961	19 July 1965

Declarations and Reservations^{4c}

BAHRAIN⁵

"1. With respect to paragraph 3 of article 27, relating to the "Diplomatic Bag", the Government of the State of Bahrain reserves its right to open the diplomatic bag if there are serious grounds for presuming that it contains articles the import or export of which is prohibited by law.

"2. The approval of this Convention does not constitute a recognition of Israel, or amount to entering with it into any transaction required by the aforesaid Convention."

BOTSWANA

"Subject to the reservation that article 37 of the Convention should be applicable on the basis of reciprocity only."

BULGARIA

Reservation concerning article 11, paragraph 1:

In accordance with the principle of the equality of States, the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that any difference of opinion regarding the size of a diplomatic mission should be settled by agreement between the sending State and the receiving State.

Declaration concerning articles 48 and 50:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 48 and 50 of the Convention, under the terms of which a number of States are precluded from acceding to the Convention. The provisions of these articles are inconsistent with the very nature of the Convention, which is universal in character and should be open for accession by all States. In accordance with the principle of equality, no State has the right to bar other States from accession to a convention of this kind.

of South Viet-Nam (the latter of which replaced the Republic of Viet-Nam) united on 2 July 1976 to constitute the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam. At the time of preparing this publication no indication had been received from the Government of the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam regarding its position with respect to a possible succession.

^{4c} For objections by certain States to some of these declarations and reservations, see page 57.

⁵ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 6 January 1972, the Government of Israel made the following declaration:

"The instrument of accession by the Government of Bahrain of 2 November 1971 to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 1961, contains declarations of a political character, made by the Government of Bahrain in respect of Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. These declarations cannot in any way affect the obligations of Bahrain already existing under general international law.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation concerning article 11, paragraph 1:

In accordance with the principle of the equality of rights of States, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that any difference of opinion regarding the size of a diplomatic mission should be settled by agreement between the sending State and the receiving State.

Declaration concerning articles 48 and 50:

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 48 and 50 of the Convention, under the terms of which a number of States are precluded from acceding to the Convention. The Convention deals with matters which affect the interests of all States and should therefore be open for accession by all States. In accordance with the principle of sovereign equality no State has the right to bar other States from accession to a Convention of this nature.

CHINA

The Government of the People's Republic of China holds reservations on the provisions about nuncios and the representative of the Holy See in articles 14 and 16 and on the provisions of paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of article 37.

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of Cuba makes an explicit reservation in respect of the provisions of articles 48 and 50 of the Convention, because it considers that, in view of the nature of the contents of the Convention and the subject it governs, all free and sovereign States have the right to participate in it; for that reason, the Revolutionary Government of Cuba favours facilitating the admission of all countries of the International Community, without any distinction based on the extent of a State's territory, the number of its inhabitants or its social, economic or political system.

DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA

The diplomatic immunities and privileges provided for in article 37, paragraph 2, of the aforementioned Convention, recognized and admitted in customary law and in the practice of States in favour of heads of missions and members of diplomatic staff of the mis-

The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards Bahrain an attitude of complete reciprocity".

sion, cannot be granted by the Royal Government of Cambodia for the benefit of other categories of mission staff, including administrative and technical staff.

DEMOCRATIC YEMEN

Reservation concerning article 11, paragraph 1:

In conformity with the principle of equality among States, the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen holds that any difference of opinion regarding the size of the diplomatic mission should be settled by agreement between the sending State and the receiving State.

Declaration

The People's Democratic Republic of Yemen states that its acceptance of the provisions of the Convention does not, in any way whatsoever, imply recognition of, or entering into contractual relations with, Israel.

EGYPT^{5a}

"1. Paragraph 2 of article 37 shall not apply.

"2. It is understood that the accession to this Convention does not mean in any way a recognition of Israel by the Government of the United Arab Republic. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the United Arab Republic and Israel."

FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic considers that article 38, paragraph 1, is to be interpreted as granting to a diplomatic agent who is a national of or permanently resident in the receiving State only immunity from jurisdiction, and inviolability, both being confined to official acts performed by the said diplomatic agent in the exercise of his functions.

The Government of the French Republic declares that the provisions of the bilateral agreements in force between France and foreign States are not affected by the provisions of the Convention.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation concerning article 11, paragraph 1:

"In accordance with the principle of the equality of rights of States, the German Democratic Republic considers that any difference of opinion regarding the number of personnel of a diplomatic mission shall be settled by agreement between the sending State and the receiving State."

Declaration concerning articles 48 and 50:

"The German Democratic Republic considers it necessary to draw attention to the fact that Articles 48 and 50 of the Convention preclude a number of States from becoming members of this Convention. The Convention deals with matters which affect the interests of all

^{5a} In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 5 September 1969, the Government of Israel declared that it "has noted the political character of the declaration made by the Government of Kuwait on acceding to the above Convention. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Kuwait an attitude of complete reciprocity".

An identical communication, *mutatis mutandis*, was received by the Secretary-General from the Government of Israel on 15 October 1969 in respect of the declaration made on accession on behalf of the Government of the United Arab Republic.

States and should therefore be open for accession by all States. In accordance with the principle of sovereign equality, no State has the right to bar other States from accession to such a Convention."

HUNGARY

"The Hungarian People's Republic considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 48 and 50 of the Convention, under the terms of which a number of States were precluded from signing and are precluded from acceding to the Convention. The Convention deals with matters which affect the interests of all States and therefore, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, no State should be barred from participation in a Convention of this nature."

IRAQ

"With reservation that paragraph 2 of article 37 shall be applied on the basis of reciprocity."

JAPAN

"I have the honour to notify, under the instructions of my Government, that the Government of Japan, upon signing the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, wishes to make the following declaration with regard to article 34(a) of the said Convention:

"It is understood that the taxes referred to in article 34(a) include those collected by special collectors under the laws and regulations of Japan provided that they are normally incorporated in the price of goods or services. For example, in the case of the travelling tax, railway, shipping and airline companies are made special collectors of the tax by the Travelling Tax Law. Passengers of railroad trains, vessels and airplanes who are legally liable to pay the tax for their travels within Japan are required to purchase travel tickets normally at a price incorporating the tax without being specifically informed of its amount. Accordingly, taxes collected by special collectors such as the travelling tax have to be considered as the indirect taxes normally incorporated in the price of goods or services referred to in article 34(a)."

KUWAIT^{5a}

If the State of Kuwait has reason to believe that the diplomatic pouch contains something which may not be sent by pouch under paragraph 4 of article 27 of the Convention, it considers that it has the right to request that the pouch be opened in the presence of the representative of the diplomatic mission (concerned). If this request is refused by the authorities of the sending State, the diplomatic pouch shall be returned to its place of origin.

The Government of Kuwait declares that its accession to the Convention does not imply recognition of "Israel" or entering with it into relations governed by the Convention thereto acceded.

MALTA

"The Government of Malta wishes to declare that paragraph 2 of article 37 shall be applied on the basis of reciprocity."

MONGOLIA

In respect of article 11, paragraph 1, the Government of the Mongolian People's Republic maintains that any difference of opinion with regard to the size of a diplomatic mission should be settled by agreement between the sending and receiving States.

Referring to articles 48 and 50, the Government of the Mongolian People's Republic deems it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 48 and 50 of the Vienna Convention and declares that, as the Convention deals with matters affecting the interests of all States, it should be open for accession by all States.

MOROCCO

The Kingdom of Morocco accedes to the Convention subject to the reservation that paragraph 2 of article 37 is not applicable.

NEPAL

"Subject to the reservation with regard to article 8, paragraph 3 of the Convention, that the prior consent to His Majesty's Government of Nepal shall be required for the appointment to the diplomatic staff of any mission in Nepal of any national of a third State who is not also a national of the sending State."

OMAN

". . . The accession of this Convention does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the Sultanate of Oman and Israel."

PORTUGAL^{5b}

ROMANIA

The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of articles 48 and 50 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, are at variance with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multilateral treaties governing matters of general interest.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC
Reservation concerning article 11, paragraph 1:

In accordance with the principle of the equality of rights of States, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Re-

public considers that any difference of opinion regarding the size of a diplomatic mission should be settled by agreement between the sending State and the receiving State.

Declaration concerning articles 48 and 50:

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 48 and 50 of the Convention, under the terms of which a number of States are precluded from acceding to the Convention. The Convention deals with matters which affect the interests of all States and should therefore be open for accession by all States. In accordance with the principle of sovereign equality, no State has the right to bar other States from accession to a Convention of this nature.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST
REPUBLICS

Reservation concerning article 11, paragraph 1:

In accordance with the principle of the equality of rights of States, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that any difference of opinion regarding the size of a diplomatic mission should be settled by agreement between the sending State and the receiving State.

Declaration concerning articles 48 and 50:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 48 and 50 of the Convention, under the terms of which a number of States are precluded from acceding to the Convention. The Convention deals with matters which affect the interests of all States and should therefore be open for accession by all States. In accordance with the principle of sovereign equality, no State has the right to bar other States from accession to a Convention of this nature.

VENEZUELA⁶

. . .

3. Under the Constitution of Venezuela, all Venezuelan nationals are equal before the law and none may enjoy special privileges; for that reason I make a formal reservation to article 38 of the Convention.

Objections⁷

AUSTRALIA

14 March 1968

"The Government of the Commonwealth of Australia does not regard the statements concerning paragraph (1) of Article 11 made by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist

Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the Mongolian People's Republic as modifying any rights or obligations under that paragraph.

"The Government of the Commonwealth of Australia declares that it does not recognize as valid the reserva-

^{5b} In a communication received on 1 June 1972, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw the reservation to paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Convention. For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 645, p. 372.

⁶ In the instrument of ratification, the Government of Venezuela confirmed the reservation set forth in paragraph 3 of its reservations made upon signature. On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Permanent Representative of Vene-

zuela to the United Nations stated that the reservations set forth in paragraphs 1 and 2 had not been maintained by the Government of Venezuela upon ratification and should be considered as withdrawn: for the text of those reservations, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 500, p. 202.

⁷ The dates of receipt by the Secretary-General of the communications notifying the objections, other than those formulated at the time of ratification or accession, are shown above their texts.

tions to paragraph 2, Article 37, of the Convention made by the United Arab Republic and by Cambodia.”

20 November 1970

“The Government of the Commonwealth of Australia declares that it does not recognize as valid the reservations to article 37, paragraph 2, of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by Morocco and Portugal.”

6 September 1973

“The Government of Australia does not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Convention made by the German Democratic Republic, in a letter accompanying the instrument of accession as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph.”

BELGIUM

The Belgian Government considers the statement made by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Mongolian People's Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 to be incompatible with the letter and spirit of the Convention and does not regard it as modifying any rights or obligations under that paragraph.

The Belgian Government also considers the reservation made by the United Arab Republic and the Kingdom of Cambodia to paragraph 2 of article 37 to be incompatible with the letter and spirit of the Convention.

28 January 1975

The Government of the Kingdom of Belgium objects to the reservations made with respect to article 27, paragraph 3, by Bahrain and with respect to article 37, paragraph 2, by the United Arab Republic (now the Arab Republic of Egypt), Cambodia (now the Khmer Republic) and Morocco. The Government nevertheless considers that the Convention remains in force as between it and the aforementioned States, respectively, except in respect of the provisions which in each case are the subject of the said reservations.

BULGARIA

22 September 1972

The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria cannot regard the reservation made by the Bahraini Government with respect to article 27, paragraph 3, of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations as valid.

CANADA

“The Government of Canada does not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of Article 11 of the Convention made by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics as modifying any rights or obligations under this paragraph.”

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

19 January 1972

“... The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic raises objections against the above-mentioned reservation and does not recognize that reservation submitted by the Government of the State of Bahrain.

“The inviolability of diplomatic mail, mostly transported by diplomatic couriers, is absolute and unexceptional. It is the obligation of all States to ensure its in-

violability and to abstain from its opening or detention.

“The reservation is not compatible with the object and purpose of the Convention in the sense of the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice, it cannot be considered admissible since it is contrary to a valid norm of general international law and a fundamental provision of the Convention.”

DENMARK

“The Government of Denmark does not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of Article 11 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the People's Republic of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Mongolian People's Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph. Further, the Government of Denmark does not regard as valid the reservation to paragraph 2 of Article 37 made by the United Arab Republic, Cambodia and Morocco. This statement shall not be regarded as precluding the entry into force of the Convention between Denmark and the above-mentioned countries.”

5 August 1970

“The Government of Denmark does not regard the reservation to article 37, paragraph 2, of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by Portugal on 11th of September 1968 as valid.

“This statement shall not be regarded as precluding the entry into force of the said Convention between Denmark and Portugal.”

FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic does not regard the statements concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 made by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Mongolian People's Republic, the People's Republic of Bulgaria, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics as modifying any rights or obligations under that paragraph.

The Government of the French Republic does not regard as valid the reservation to article 27, paragraph 4, made by the State of Kuwait.

The Government of the French Republic does not regard as valid the reservations to article 37, paragraph 2, made by the Government of Cambodia, the Government of the Kingdom of Morocco, the Government of Portugal and the Government of the United Arab Republic.

None of these declarations shall be regarded as an obstacle to the entry into force of the Convention between the French Republic and the States mentioned.

28 December 1976

The Government of the French Republic does not regard as valid the reservations made by the People's Republic of China to article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961. This declaration is not to be regarded as preventing the Convention's entry into force as between the French Republic and the People's Republic of China.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

“The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany considers as incompatible with the letter and spirit of the Convention the reservations made by the

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic concerning Article 11 of the Convention."

16 March 1967

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservations made by the United Arab Republic and the Kingdom of Cambodia to article 37, paragraph 2, of the Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961, as incompatible with content and spirit of the aforementioned Convention."

10 May 1967

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservation made by the Mongolian People's Republic on 5 January 1967 in respect of article 11 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 as incompatible with the letter and spirit of the Convention."

9 July 1968

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservation made by the People's Republic of Bulgaria on 17 January 1968 in respect of article 11, paragraph 1, of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 as incompatible with the letter and spirit of the Convention."

23 December 1968

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservations made by the Kingdom of Morocco on 19 June 1968 and by Portugal on 11 September 1968 in respect of article 37, paragraph 2, of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 as incompatible with the letter and spirit of the Convention."

25 September 1974

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservation made by the German Democratic Republic on 2 February 1973 upon accession to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 in respect of the latter's article 11, para. 1, as incompatible with the letter and intent of the Convention."

4 February 1975

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservation made by the Government of Bahrain in respect of paragraph 3 of article 27 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 as incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention.

GREECE

The Government of Greece cannot accept the reservation to paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Convention made by Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Mongolia, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, as well as the reservation to paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Convention made by Cambodia, Morocco, Portugal and the United Arab Republic

GUATEMALA

23 December 1963

The Government of Guatemala rejects formally the reservations to articles 48 and 50 of the Convention

made by the Government of Cuba in its instrument of ratification.

HAITI

9 May 1972

The Haitian Government considers that the reservation expressed by the Government of Bahrain with regard to the inviolability of diplomatic correspondence may destroy the effectiveness of the Convention, one of the main aims of which is precisely to put an end to certain practices impeding the performance of the functions assigned to diplomatic agents.

HUNGARY

7 July 1975

"The reservation made by the Government of Bahrain to article 27, paragraph 3, of the 1961 Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations is contrary to the principle of the inviolability of the diplomatic bag which is generally recognized in the international practice, and is incompatible with the objectives of the Convention.

"Therefore, the Hungarian People's Republic does not recognize this reservation as valid."

LUXEMBOURG

18 January 1965

With reference to the reservation and declaration made by the Governments of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics upon ratification of the Convention, the Government of Luxembourg regrets that it cannot accept that reservation or that declaration which tends to modify the effect of certain provisions of the Convention.

25 October 1965

With reference to the statement made by the Government of Hungary upon ratification of the Convention, the Government of Luxembourg regrets that it cannot accept this declaration.

MALTA

"The Government of Malta does not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 made by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph."

NEW ZEALAND

"The Government of New Zealand does not regard the statements concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the People's Republic of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Mongolian People's Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph. Further, the Government of New Zealand does not accept the reservation to paragraph 2 of Article 37 of the Convention made by Cambodia, Morocco, Portugal and the United Arab Republic."

POLAND

3 November 1975

"The reservation made by the Government of Bahrain to article 27, paragraph 3 of the Vienna Convention on

Diplomatic Relations, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, is not compatible with the object and purpose of this Convention. It is contrary to fundamental principles of diplomatic international law. Therefore, the Polish People's Republic does not recognize this reservation as valid."

TONGA

31 January 1973

In its notification of succession, the Government of Tonga has indicated that it adopts the objections made by the United Kingdom respecting the reservations and statements made by Egypt, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, Mongolia, Bulgaria, the Khmer Republic, Morocco and Portugal, when ratifying (or acceding to) the said Convention on Diplomatic Relations.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

28 July 1972

The reservation made by the Government of Bahrain to the above-mentioned Convention is contrary to the principle of the inviolability of the diplomatic bag, which is generally recognized in international practice, and is therefore unacceptable to the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

6 June 1972

With respect to the reservation made by Bahrain to article 27 (3):

... This reservation is contrary to the principle of the inviolability of the diplomatic bag, which is recognized in international practice, and is therefore unacceptable.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

1 September 1964

"The Government of the United Kingdom do not regard as valid the reservation to paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the United Arab Republic. Further, the Government of the United Kingdom do not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Convention made by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph."

7 June 1967

"The Government of the United Kingdom do not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Convention made by the Government of the Mongolian People's Republic as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph."

29 March 1968

"The Government of the United Kingdom do not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of article

11 of the Convention made by the Government of Bulgaria as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph."

19 June 1968

"The Government of the United Kingdom do not regard as valid the reservation to paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the Government of Cambodia."

23 August 1968

"The Government of the United Kingdom do not regard as valid the reservation to paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the Kingdom of Morocco."

10 December 1968

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland do not regard as valid the reservation to paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the Government of Portugal."

13 March 1973

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland wish to put on record that they do not regard as valid the reservation to paragraph 3 of Article 27 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the Government of Bahrain."

16 April 1973

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland wish to place on record that they do not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of Article 11 of the Convention made by the German Democratic Republic, in a letter accompanying the instrument of accession, as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph."

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

22 June 1964

"The Government of the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar rejects formally the reservation to article 11, paragraph 1, of the Convention made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in its instrument of ratification."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

2 July 1974

"The Government of the United States of America . . . states its objection to reservations with respect to paragraph 3 of article 27 by Bahrain; with respect to paragraph 4 of article 27 by Kuwait; with respect to paragraph 2 of article 37 by the United Arab Republic (now the Arab Republic of Egypt), by Cambodia (now the Khmer Republic) and by Morocco, respectively. The Government of the United States, however, considers the Convention as continuing in force between it and the respective above-mentioned States except for the provisions to which the reservations are addressed in each case."

4. Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations concerning Acquisition of Nationality

Done at Vienna on 18 April 1961¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 April 1964, in accordance with article VI.

REGISTRATION: 24 June 1964, No. 7311.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 500, p. 223.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
ARGENTINA	25 October	1961	10 October	1963
BELGIUM			2 May	1968 <i>a</i>
BOISWANA			11 April	1969 <i>a</i>
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE .	28 March	1962	19 March	1973
CHINA ²				
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA . .			31 August	1965 <i>a</i>
DENMARK	18 April	1961	2 October	1968
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	30 March	1962	14 January	1964
EGYPT			9 June	1964 <i>a</i>
FINLAND	20 October	1961	9 December	1969
GABON			2 April	1964 <i>a</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³	28 March	1962	11 November	1964
GHANA	18 April	1961		
GUINEA			10 January	1968 <i>a</i>
ICELAND			18 May	1971 <i>a</i>
INDIA			15 October	1965 <i>a</i>
IRAN	27 May	1961	3 February	1965
IRAQ	20 February	1962	15 October	1963
ITALY	13 March	1962	25 June	1969
KENYA			1 July	1965 <i>a</i>
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			3 December	1962 <i>a</i>
LEBANON	18 April	1961		
MADAGASCAR			31 July	1963 <i>a</i>
MALAYSIA			9 November	1965 <i>a</i>
NEPAL			28 September	1965 <i>a</i>
NIGER			28 March	1966 <i>a</i>
NORWAY	18 April	1961	24 October	1967
OMAN			31 May	1974 <i>a</i>
PANAMA			4 December	1963 <i>a</i>
PARAGUAY			23 December	1969 <i>a</i>
PHILIPPINES	20 October	1961	15 November	1965
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	30 March	1962		
SENEGAL	18 April	1961		
SWEDEN	18 April	1961	21 March	1967
THAILAND	30 October	1961		
TUNISIA			24 January	1968 <i>a</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	27 February	1962	5 November	1962
YUGOSLAVIA	18 April	1961	1 April	1963
ZAIRE			15 July	1976 <i>a</i>

¹ See footnote 1, p. 51.

² Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 18 April 1961. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

³ See footnote 3, p. 52, and footnote 3, p. 62.

5. Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes

Done at Vienna on 18 April 1961¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 April 1964, in accordance with article VIII.

REGISTRATION: 24 June 1964, No. 7312.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 500, p. 241.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
AUSTRALIA			26 January	1968 <i>a</i>
AUSTRIA	18 April	1961	28 April	1966
BELGIUM	23 October	1961	2 May	1968
BOTSWANA			11 April	1969 <i>a</i>
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE ..	28 March	1962	19 March	1973
CHINA ^{1a}				
COLOMBIA	18 April	1961		
COSTA RICA			9 November	1964 <i>a</i>
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA .			31 August	1965 <i>a</i>
DENMARK	18 April	1961	2 October	1968
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	30 March	1962	13 February	1964
ECUADOR	18 April	1961	21 September	1964
FIJI			21 June	1971 <i>a</i>
FINLAND	20 October	1961	9 December	1969
FRANCE	30 March	1962	31 December	1970
GABON			2 April	1964 <i>a</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ^{2, 3}	18 April	1961	11 November	1964
GHANA	18 April	1961		
GUINEA			10 January	1968 <i>a</i>
ICELAND			18 May	1971 <i>a</i>
INDIA			15 October	1965 <i>a</i>
IRAN	27 May	1961	3 February	1965
IRAQ	20 February	1962	15 October	1963
IRELAND	18 April	1961		
ISRAEL	18 April	1961		

¹ See footnote 1, p. 51.

^{1a} Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 18 April 1961. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

² See footnote 3, p. 52.

³ In a communication received on 22 March 1965, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany informed the Secretary-General of the following:

"The Federal Republic of Germany is not a Party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice. In order to meet her obligations under article I of the Optional Protocol on the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, and in accordance with Security Council resolution of 15 October 1946 on the conditions under which the International Court of Justice shall be open to States not Parties to that Statute [resolution 9 (1946) adopted by the Security Council at its 76th meeting], the Federal Republic has issued a declaration accepting the competence of the International Court of Justice for the disputes named in article I of the Optional

Protocol on the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes. This declaration also applies to the disputes named in article IV of the Optional Protocol on the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes which arise from the interpretation or application of the Optional Protocol on the Acquisition of Nationality."

The declaration referred to above was deposited by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany on 29 January 1965 with the Registrar of the International Court of Justice who transmitted certified true copies thereof to all States parties to the Statute of the International Court of Justice, in accordance with paragraph 3 of the Security Council resolution referred to above.

In the same communication, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany has notified the Secretary-General, in accordance with article IV of the Optional Protocol concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, that it will extend the provisions of the said Protocol to disputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the Optional Protocol concerning the Acquisition of Nationality, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
ITALY	13 March	1962	25 June	1969
JAPAN	26 March	1962	8 June	1964
KENYA			1 July	1965 <i>a</i>
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			3 December	1962 <i>a</i>
LEBANON	18 April	1961		
LIECHTENSTEIN	18 April	1961	8 May	1964
LUXEMBOURG	2 February	1962	17 August	1966
MADAGASCAR			31 July	1963 <i>a</i>
MALAYSIA			9 November	1965 <i>a</i>
MALTA ⁴			7 March	1967 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS			18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
NEPAL			28 September	1965 <i>a</i>
NEW ZEALAND	28 March	1962	23 September	1970
NIGER			26 April	1966 <i>a</i>
NORWAY	18 April	1961	24 October	1967
OMAN			31 May	1974 <i>a</i>
PAKISTAN			29 March	1976 <i>a</i>
PANAMA			4 December	1963 <i>a</i>
PARAGUAY			23 December	1969 <i>a</i>
PHILIPPINES	20 October	1961	15 November	1965
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	30 March	1962		
SWEDEN	18 April	1961	21 March	1967
SWITZERLAND	18 April	1961	22 November	1963
UNITED KINGDOM	11 December	1961	1 September	1964
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	27 February	1962	5 November	1962
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	29 June	1961	13 November	1972
YUGOSLAVIA	18 April	1961	1 April	1963
ZAIRE			19 July	1965 <i>a</i>

⁴ See footnote 4, p. 54, which also applies to this Protocol.

6. Vienna Convention on Consular Relations

Done at Vienna on 24 April 1963¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 19 March 1967, in accordance with article 77.

REGISTRATION: 8 June 1967, No. 8638.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 596, p. 261.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d)</i>	
ALGERIA			14 April	1964 <i>a</i>
ARGENTINA	24 April	1963	7 March	1967
AUSTRALIA	31 March	1964	12 February	1973
AUSTRIA	24 April	1963	12 June	1969
BELGIUM	31 March	1964	9 September	1970
BENIN	24 April	1963		
BOLIVIA	6 August	1963	22 September	1970
BRAZIL	24 April	1963	11 May	1967
CANADA			18 July	1974 <i>a</i>
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE . .	24 April	1963		
CHILE	24 April	1963	9 January	1968
CHINA ^{1a}				
COLOMBIA	24 April	1963	6 September	1972
CONGO	24 April	1963		
COSTA RICA	6 June	1963	29 December	1966
CUBA	24 April	1963	15 October	1965
CYPRUS			14 April	1976 <i>a</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	31 March	1964	13 March	1968
DENMARK	24 April	1963	15 November	1972
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	24 April	1963	4 March	1964
ECUADOR	25 March	1964	11 March	1965
EGYPT			21 June	1965 <i>a</i>
EL SALVADOR			19 January	1973 <i>a</i>
EQUATORIAL GUINEA			30 August	1976 <i>a</i>
FIJI			28 April	1972 <i>a</i>
FINLAND	28 October	1963		
FRANCE	24 April	1963	31 December	1970
GABON	24 April	1963	23 February	1965
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ^{1b}	31 October	1963	7 September	1971
GHANA	24 April	1963	4 October	1963
GREECE			14 October	1975 <i>a</i>
GUATEMALA			9 February	1973 <i>a</i>
GUYANA			13 September	1973 <i>a</i>
HOLY SEE	24 April	1963	8 October	1970
HONDURAS			13 February	1968 <i>a</i>
IRAN	24 April	1963	5 June	1975
IRAQ			14 January	1970 <i>a</i>
IRELAND	24 April	1963	10 May	1967
ISRAEL	25 February	1964		

¹The Convention was adopted on 22 April 1963 by the United Nations Conference on Consular Relations held at the Neue Hofburg in Vienna, Austria, from 4 March to 22 April 1963. The Conference also adopted the Optional Protocol concerning Acquisition of Nationality, the Optional Protocol concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, the Final Act and three resolutions annexed to that Act. The Convention and the two Protocols were deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The Final Act, by unanimous decision of the Conference, was deposited in the archives of the Federal Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Austria. For the proceedings of the Conference, see *United Nations Conference on Consular Relations, Official Records*, vols. I and II (United Nations publication, Sales Nos : 63.X.2 and 64.X.1). The text of the Convention, two Protocols, Final Act and resolutions is published in vol. II

^{1a}Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 24 April 1963. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

^{1b}With the following declaration:
". . . The Convention and Optional Protocols shall also apply to Land Berlin with effect from the date on which they enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany, subject to the existing rights and responsibilities of the Powers responsible for Berlin including the right to decide on the admission of heads of consular missions in their sectors and to determine the extent of consular privileges and immunities."
With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, a communication was received on 30 March 1972 from the Government of Czechoslovakia. The said communication is identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding one referred to in the second paragraph of footnote 3, p. 52.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d)</i>	
ITALY	22 November 1963	25 June	1969
IVORY COAST	24 April 1963		
JAMAICA		9 February	1976 <i>a</i>
JORDAN		7 March	1973 <i>a</i>
KENYA		1 July	1965 <i>a</i>
KUWAIT	10 January 1964	31 July	1975
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		9 August	1973 <i>a</i>
LEBANON	24 April 1963	20 March	1975
LESOTHO		26 July	1972 <i>a</i>
LIBERIA	24 April 1963		
LIECHTENSTEIN	24 April 1963	18 May	1966
LUXEMBOURG	24 March 1964	8 March	1972
MADAGASCAR		17 February	1967 <i>a</i>
MALI		28 March	1968 <i>a</i>
MAURITIUS		13 May	1970 <i>a</i>
MEXICO	7 October 1963	16 June	1965
NEPAL		28 September	1965 <i>a</i>
NEW ZEALAND		10 September	1974 <i>a</i>
NICARAGUA		31 October	1975 <i>a</i>
NIGER	24 April 1963	26 April	1966
NIGERIA		22 January	1968 <i>a</i>
NORWAY	24 April 1963		
OMAN		31 May	1974 <i>a</i>
PAKISTAN		14 April	1969 <i>a</i>
PANAMA	4 December 1963	28 August	1967
PAPUA NEW GUINEA		4 December	1975 <i>d</i>
PARAGUAY		23 December	1969 <i>a</i>
PERU	24 April 1963		
PHILIPPINES	24 April 1963	15 November	1965
POLAND	20 March 1964		
PORTUGAL		13 September	1972 <i>a</i>
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{1b}		10 May	1973 <i>a</i>
ROMANIA		24 February	1972 <i>a</i>
RWANDA		31 May	1974 <i>a</i>
SENEGAL		29 April	1966 <i>a</i>
SOMALIA		29 March	1968 <i>a</i>
SPAIN		3 February	1970 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	8 October 1963	19 March	1974
SWITZERLAND	23 October 1963	3 May	1965
TONGA		7 January	1972 <i>a</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		19 October	1965 <i>a</i>
TUNISIA		8 July	1964 <i>a</i>
TURKEY		19 February	1976 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	27 March 1964	9 May	1972
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAM- EROON	21 August 1963	22 May	1967
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	24 April 1963	24 November	1969
UPPER VOLTA	24 April 1963	11 August	1964
URUGUAY	24 April 1963	10 March	1970
VENEZUELA ²	24 April 1963	27 October	1965
YUGOSLAVIA	24 April 1963	8 February	1965
ZAIRE	24 April 1963	15 July	1976

^{1b} See note 4b, p. 54.

² The instrument of ratification does not maintain the reservations made on behalf of the Government of Venezuela upon signature of the Convention. On depositing the said instrument,

the Permanent Representative of Venezuela to the United Nations confirmed that those reservations should be considered as withdrawn. For the text of the reservations in question, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 596, p. 452.

Declarations and Reservations

CUBA³

The Revolutionary Government of Cuba makes an express reservation to the provisions of articles 74 and 76 of the Convention because it considers that, in view of the nature of the content and rules of the Convention, all free and sovereign States have the right to participate in it, and the Revolutionary Government is therefore in favour of facilitating accession by all countries in the international community, without distinction as to the territorial size of States, the number of their inhabitants or their social, economic or political systems.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"Contrary to the principle of sovereign equality of States and to the right of all States to participate in general multilateral treaties, articles 74 and 76 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations deprive certain States of their undeniable right to become parties to a treaty of a general character, concerning matters of legitimate interest of any State, which, according to its preamble, should contribute to the development of friendly relations among nations irrespective of their differing constitutional and social systems."

DENMARK

In respect of article 5 (j), consular posts established in Denmark by foreign States may not, except by virtue of a special agreement, execute letters rogatory or commissions to take evidence for the courts of the sending State, and may transmit judicial and extra-judicial documents only in civil or commercial matters.

(1) "With reference to Article 22, the Government of Denmark expresses the wish that it may be possible to maintain the practice existing between Denmark and a number of other countries to appoint honorary consular officers from among persons having the nationality of the receiving State or of a third State; the Government of Denmark further expresses the hope that States with which Denmark establishes consular relations will give their consent, pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3 of Article 22, to the appointment of honorary consuls having the nationality of the receiving State or a third State.

(2) "With reference to Article 68, the Government of Denmark expresses its desire, in accordance with Danish practice, to continue appointing honorary consular officers and, on condition of reciprocity, its willingness to continue receiving honorary consular officers in Denmark."

³In a communication received on 26 November 1965, the Government of Luxembourg declared that it is not in a position to accept the reservations formulated by the Government of Cuba regarding articles 74 and 76 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, done on 24 April 1963.

EGYPT⁴

"1—It is understood that the accession to this Convention does not mean in any way a recognition of Israel by the Government of the United Arab Republic. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the United Arab Republic and Israel.

"2—Paragraph 1 of article 46 concerning exemption from registration of aliens and residence permits shall not apply to consular employees.

"3—Article 49 concerning exemption from taxation shall apply only to consular officers, their spouses and minor children. This exemption cannot be extended to consular employees and to members of the service staff.

"4—Article 62, concerning exemption from custom duties and taxes on articles for the official use of a consular post headed by an honorary officer, shall not apply.

"5—Article 65 is not accepted. Honorary consular officers cannot be exempted from registration of aliens and residence permits.

"6—It is the understanding of the United Arab Republic that the privileges and immunities specified in this Convention are granted only to consular officers, their spouses and minor children and cannot be extended to other members of their families."

FIJI

"Fiji will interpret the exemption accorded to members of a consular post by paragraph 3 of Article 44 from liability to give evidence concerning matters connected with the exercise of their functions as relating only to acts in respect of which consular officers and consular employees enjoy immunity from the jurisdiction of the

⁴In a communication received on 16 March 1966, the Government of Israel declared that it "has noted the political character of paragraph 1 of the declaration made by the Government of the United Arab Republic. In the view of the Government of Israel, the Convention and Protocol are not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of the United Arab Republic an attitude of complete reciprocity."

The instrument of ratification by the Government of France of the Convention contains the following declaration: the Government of the French Republic does not regard as valid the reservations to articles 46, 49, 62 and 65 of the Convention made by the Government of the United Arab Republic. This declaration shall not be regarded as an obstacle to the entry into force of the Convention between the French Republic and the United Arab Republic.

In a letter accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany made the following declaration:

"... The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany does not regard as valid the reservations to articles 46, 49, 62 and 65 of the Convention made by the Government of the United Arab Republic.

"This declaration shall not be regarded as an obstacle to the entry into force of the Convention between the Federal Republic of Germany and the United Arab Republic."

Upon ratification of the Convention, the Government of Denmark objected to the reservations made by the Arab Republic of Egypt to paragraph 1 of article 46 and to articles 49, 62 and 65 of the Convention and to the reservation made by Italy to paragraph 1(c) of article 36 of the Convention.

judicial or administrative authorities of the receiving State in accordance with the provisions of article 43 of the Convention."

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Declaration received on 8 April 1974:

"The Federal Republic of Germany interprets the provisions of Chapter II of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, done on 24 April 1963, as applying to all career consular personnel (consular officers, consular employees and members of the service staff), including those assigned to a consular post headed by an honorary consular officer, and that it will apply the said provisions accordingly."

IRAQ⁵

The accession of the Republic of Iraq to this Convention shall in no way constitute recognition of the Member of the United Nations called Israel or imply any obligation toward or relation with the said Member.

ITALY

With reference to the provision contained in article 36, paragraph 1 (c), of the Convention on Consular Relations, the Italian Government considers that the right of a consular official to visit nationals of his State who are for any reason held in custody and to act on their behalf may not be waived, inasmuch as it is embodied in general law. The Italian Government will therefore act on the basis of reciprocity.

KUWAIT

Upon ratification:

It is understood that the ratification of this Convention does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the Government of the State of Kuwait. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the State of Kuwait and Israel.

LESOTHO

"The Kingdom of Lesotho will interpret the exemption accorded to members of a consular post by paragraph 3 of article 44 from liability to give evidence concerning matters connected with the exercise of their functions or to produce official correspondence and documents relating thereto as not extending to matters, correspondence or documents connected with the administration of the estate of a deceased person in respect of which a grant of representation has been made to a member of a consular post."

MEXICO

Mexico does not accept that part of article 31, paragraph 4 of the Convention which refers to expropriation of consular premises. The main reason for this reservation is that that paragraph, by contemplating the possibility of expropriation of consular premises by the receiving State, presupposes that the sending State

is the owner of the premises. That situation is precluded in the Mexican Republic by article 27 of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States, according to which foreign States cannot acquire private title to immovable property unless it is situated at the permanent seat of Federal Power and necessary for the direct use of their embassies or legations.

OMAN

"... The accession of this Convention does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the Sultanate of Oman and Israel."

ROMANIA

The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of articles 74 and 76 of the Convention are incompatible with the principle that multilateral international treaties whose subject-matter and purposes are of interest to the international community as a whole should be open for universal accession.

SWEDEN

Reservation:

With regard to article 35, paragraph 1, and article 58, paragraph 1, Sweden does not accord to consular posts headed by honorary consular officers the right to employ diplomatic or consular couriers and diplomatic or consular bags, or to Governments, diplomatic missions and other consular posts the right to employ these means in communicating with consular posts headed by honorary consular officers, except to the extent that Sweden may have consented thereto in particular cases.

Declaration:

"With reference to article 22 of the Convention, the Swedish Government expresses the wish that in countries where it has been an established practice to allow nationals of the receiving State or of a third State to be appointed as Swedish honorary consuls, this will continue to be allowed as before. The Swedish Government also expresses the hope that countries with which Sweden establishes new consular relations will follow a similar practice and will give their consent to such appointments pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 22."

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"The United Kingdom will interpret the exemption accorded to members of a consular post by paragraph 3 of article 44 from liability to give evidence concerning matters connected with the exercise of their functions as relating only to acts in respect of which consular officers and consular employees enjoy immunity from the jurisdiction of the judicial or administrative authorities of the receiving State in accordance with the provisions of article 43 of the Convention."

Declaration made upon ratification:

"... The United Kingdom hereby confirms its declaration in respect of paragraph 3 of article 44 of the Convention made at the time of signature, and further declares that it will interpret Chapter II of the Convention as applying to all career consular employees, including those employed at a consular post headed by an honorary consular officer."

⁵ On 16 March 1970, the Secretary-General received the following communication from the Government of Israel: "the Government of Israel has noted the accentuated political character of the declaration made by the Government of Iraq on that occasion. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. That declaration cannot in any way affect the obligations of Iraq under international law. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards that Member of the United Nations an attitude of complete reciprocity".

7. Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations concerning Acquisition of Nationality

Done at Vienna on 24 April 1963¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 19 March 1967, in accordance with article VI.

REGISTRATION: 8 June 1967, No. 8639.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 596, p. 469.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
BELGIUM			9 September	1970 <i>a</i>
BRAZIL	24 April	1963		
CHINA ²				
COLOMBIA	24 April	1963		
CONGO	24 April	1963		
DENMARK	24 April	1963	15 November	1972
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	24 April	1963	4 March	1964
EGYPT			21 June	1965 <i>a</i>
FINLAND	28 October	1963		
GABON			23 February	1965 <i>a</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL				
REPUBLIC OF ³	31 October	1963	7 September	1971
GHANA	24 April	1963	4 October	1963
IRAN			5 June	1975 <i>a</i>
IRAQ ⁴			14 January	1970 <i>a</i>
ITALY	22 November	1963	25 June	1969
KENYA			1 July	1965 <i>a</i>
KUWAIT	10 January	1964		
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC				
REPUBLIC			9 August	1973 <i>a</i>
LIBERIA	24 April	1963		
MADAGASCAR			17 February	1967 <i>a</i>
NEPAL			28 September	1965 <i>a</i>
NORWAY	24 April	1963		
OMAN			31 May	1974 <i>a</i>
PANAMA	4 December	1963	28 August	1967
PARAGUAY			23 December	1969 <i>a</i>
PHILIPPINES			15 November	1965 <i>a</i>
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH				
VIET-NAM] ⁵			10 May	1973 <i>a</i>
SENEGAL			29 April	1966 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	8 October	1963	19 March	1974
TUNISIA			24 January	1968 <i>a</i>
UNITED ARAB REPUBLIC			21 June	1965 <i>a</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAM-				
EROON	21 August	1963		
YUGOSLAVIA	24 April	1963		
ZAIRE	24 April	1963		

¹ See footnote 1, p. 64.

² Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 24 April 1963. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

³ See footnote 1b, p. 64.

⁴ See p. 67 for the text of the reservation contained in the instrument of accession by the Government of Iraq to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations and to this Protocol. See also footnote 5 on that page for the communication from the Government of Israel.

⁵ See note 4b, p. 54.

8. Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes

Done at Vienna on 24 April 1963¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 19 March 1967, in accordance with article VIII.

REGISTRATION: 8 June 1967, No. 8640.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 596, p. 487.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
ARGENTINA	24 April 1963	
AUSTRALIA		12 February 1973 <i>a</i>
AUSTRIA	24 April 1963	12 June 1969
BELGIUM ..	31 March 1964	9 September 1970
BENIN	24 April 1963	
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE ..	24 April 1963	
CHILE ..	24 April 1963	
CHINA ²		
COLOMBIA ..	24 April 1963	
CONGO	24 April 1963	
DENMARK ..	24 April 1963	15 November 1972
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC ..	24 April 1963	4 March 1964
FINLAND ..	28 October 1963	
FRANCE ..	24 April 1963	31 December 1970
GABON ..	24 April 1963	23 February 1965
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³	31 October 1963	7 September 1971
GHANA ..	24 April 1963	
IRAN ..		5 June 1975 <i>a</i>
IRELAND ..	24 April 1963	
ITALY ..	22 November 1963	25 June 1969
IVORY COAST ..	24 April 1963	
KENYA ..		1 July 1965 <i>a</i>
KUWAIT ..	10 January 1964	
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ..		9 August 1973 <i>a</i>
LEBANON ..	24 April 1963	
LIBERIA ..	24 April 1963	
LIECHTENSTEIN ..	24 April 1963	18 May 1966
LUXEMBOURG ..	24 March 1964	8 March 1972
MADAGASCAR ..		17 February 1967 <i>a</i>
MAURITIUS ..		13 May 1970 <i>a</i>
NEPAL ..		28 September 1965 <i>a</i>
NEW ZEALAND ..		10 September 1974
NIGER ..	24 April 1963	

¹ See footnote 1, p. 64.

² Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 24 April 1963. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

³ See footnote 1b, p. 64.

In a communication deposited on 24 January 1972 with the Registrar of the International Court of Justice, who transmitted it to the Secretary-General pursuant to operative paragraph 3 of Security Council resolution 9 (1946) of 15 October 1946, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated as follows:

"On behalf of the Federal Republic of Germany and with reference to the resolution adopted by the United Nations Security Council on 15 October 1946, I have the honour to make the following declaration:

"In respect of any dispute between the Federal Republic of Germany and any Party to the Vienna Convention on Con-

sular Relations of 24 April 1963 and to the Optional Protocol thereto concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes that may arise within the scope of that Protocol, the Federal Republic of Germany accepts the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice. This declaration also applies to such disputes as may arise, within the scope of article IV of the Optional Protocol concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, in connexion with the Optional Protocol concerning Acquisition of Nationality.

"It is in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations and with the terms and subject to the conditions of the Statute and Rules of the International Court of Justice that the jurisdiction of the Court is hereby recognized.

"The Federal Republic of Germany undertakes to comply in good faith with the decisions of the Court and to accept all the obligations of a Member of the United Nations under Article 94 of the Charter."

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
NORWAY	24 April	1963	31 May	1974 <i>a</i>
OMAN			29 March	1976 <i>a</i>
PAKISTAN	4 December	1963	28 August	1967
PANAMA			23 December	1969 <i>a</i>
PARAGUAY				
PERU	24 April	1963		
PHILIPPINES	24 April	1963	15 November	1965
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ⁴			10 May	1973 <i>a</i>
SENEGAL			29 April	1966 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	8 October	1963	19 March	1974
SWITZERLAND	23 October	1963	3 May	1965
UNITED KINGDOM	27 March	1964	9 May	1972
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAM- EROON	21 August	1963		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	24 April	1963	24 November	1969
UPPER VOLTA	24 April	1963	11 August	1964
URUGUAY	24 April	1963		
YUGOSLAVIA	24 April	1963		
ZAIRE	24 April	1963		

⁴ See note 4b, p. 54.

9. Convention on Special Missions

Opened for signature at New York on 16 December 1969¹

Not yet in force (see article 53).

TEXT: Annex to General Assembly resolution 2530 (XXIV) of 8 December 1969.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
ARGENTINA	18 December 1969	13 October	1972
CHINA ²			
CUBA		9 June	1976 <i>a</i>
CYPRUS	18 September 1970	24 January	1972
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		1 October	1976 <i>a</i>
EL SALVADOR	18 December 1970		
FIJI		18 October	1972 <i>a</i>
FINLAND	28 December 1970		
IRAN		5 June	1975 <i>a</i>
ISRAEL	9 November 1970		
JAMAICA	18 December 1969		
LIECHTENSTEIN	15 December 1970		
NICARAGUA	18 September 1970		
PARAGUAY		19 September	1975 <i>a</i>
PHILIPPINES	16 December 1969	26 November	1976
SWITZERLAND	31 July 1970		
TUNISIA	19 August 1970	2 November	1971
UNITED KINGDOM	17 December 1970		
YUGOSLAVIA	18 December 1969	5 March	1974

¹ The Convention was adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 2530 (XXIV) of 8 December 1969. For the text of the resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 30 (A/7630)*.

² Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 28 December 1970. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

Declarations and Reservations

CUBA

Reservation

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba enters an express reservation with regard to the third sentence of paragraph 1 of article 25 of the Convention, and consequently does not accept the assumption of consent to enter the premises of the special mission for any of the reasons mentioned in that paragraph or for any other reasons.

Declaration

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba considers the provisions of articles 50 and 52 of the Convention to be discriminatory in nature because,

whereas the Convention deals with matters affecting the interests of all States, the said provisions deny a number of States the right to sign and accede to the Convention, a situation which is contrary to the principle of the sovereign equality of States.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

“The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic considers the articles 50 and 52 of the Convention to be in contradiction to the principle of international law of sovereign equality of States and to the right of all States to become Parties of the international multilateral treaties dealing with matters of general interest.”

10. Optional Protocol to the Convention on Special Missions concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes

Opened for signature at New York on 16 December 1969¹

Not yet in force (see article VII).

TEXT: Annex to General Assembly resolution 2530 (XXIV) of 8 December 1969.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
CHINA ²		
CYPRUS	31 December 1970	24 January 1972
EL SALVADOR	18 December 1970	
FINLAND	28 December 1970	
IRAN		5 June 1975 a
JAMAICA	1 July 1970	
LIECHTENSTEIN	15 December 1970	
PARAGUAY		19 September 1975 a
PHILIPPINES	16 December 1969	26 November 1976
SWITZERLAND	31 July 1970	
UNITED KINGDOM	17 December 1970	
YUGOSLAVIA	18 December 1969	5 March 1974

¹ The Optional Protocol was adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 2530 (XXIV) of 8 December 1969. For the text of the resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 30 (A/7630)*.

² Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 28 December 1970. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

II. Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crimes against Internationally Protected Persons, including Diplomatic Agents

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 14 December 1973¹

Not yet in force (see article 17).

TEXT: Annex to General Assembly resolution 3166 (XXVIII) of 14 December 1973.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRALIA	30 December	1974		
BULGARIA	27 June	1974	18 July	1974
BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIAL- IST REPUBLIC	11 June	1974	5 February	1976
CANADA	26 June	1974	4 August	1976
CYPRUS			24 December	1975 <i>a</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	11 October	1974	30 June	1975
DENMARK	10 May	1974	1 July	1975 ²
ECUADOR	27 August	1974	12 March	1975
FINLAND	10 May	1974		
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUB- LIC	23 May	1974	30 November	1976
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	15 August	1974		
GHANA			25 April	1975 <i>a</i>
GUATEMALA	12 December	1974		
HUNGARY	6 November	1974	26 March	1975
ICELAND	10 May	1974		
ITALY	30 December	1974		
LIBERIA			30 September	1975 <i>a</i>
MONGOLIA	23 August	1974	8 August	1975
NICARAGUA	29 October	1974	10 March	1975
NORWAY	10 May	1974		
PAKISTAN			29 March	1976 <i>a</i>
PARAGUAY	25 October	1974	24 November	1975
PHILIPPINES			26 November	1976 <i>a</i>
POLAND	7 June	1974		
ROMANIA	27 December	1974		
RWANDA	15 October	1974		
SWEDEN	10 May	1974	1 July	1975
TUNISIA	15 May	1974		
UKRAINIAN SSR	18 June	1974	20 January	1976
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	7 June	1974	15 January	1976
UNITED KINGDOM	13 December	1974		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	28 December	1973	26 October	1976
YUGOSLAVIA	17 December	1974	29 December	1976

¹ Resolution 3166 (XXVIII) of 14 December 1973. For the text of the resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-eighth Session, Supplement No. 30 (A/9030)*. The Convention was opened for signature at New York on 14 December 1973.

² With the following declaration: Until further decision, the Convention shall not apply to the Faroe Islands or Greenland.

Declarations and Reservations

BULGARIA

Declaration made upon signature and renewed upon ratification:

Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States

Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for submission of the dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST
REPUBLIC

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for submission of the dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Upon signature:

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention and declares that, in conformity with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for submission of the dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice."

Upon ratification:

"[Czechoslovakia] does not feel itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention."

ECUADOR

Upon signature:

Ecuador wishes to avail itself of the provisions of article 13, paragraph 2, of the Convention, declaring that it does not consider itself bound to refer disputes concerning the application of the Convention to the International Court of Justice.

FINLAND

Upon signature:

"Finland reserves the right to apply the provision of article 8, paragraph 3, in such a way that extradition shall be restricted to offences which, under Finnish Law, are punishable by a penalty more severe than imprisonment for one year and, provided also that other conditions in the Finnish Legislation for extradition are fulfilled.

"Finland also reserves the right to make such other reservations as it may deem appropriate if and when ratifying this Convention."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Declaration made upon signature and renewed upon ratification:

The German Democratic Republic does not regard itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, and reaffirms its view that in conformity with the principle of the sovereign equality of States the approval of all parties to any dispute is required in order to subject a certain dispute to arbitration or to submit it for decision to the International Court of Justice.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Upon signature:

". . . The Federal Republic of Germany reserves the right, upon ratifying this Convention, to state its views on the explanations of vote and declarations made by other States upon signing or ratifying or acceding to that Convention and to make reservations regarding certain provisions of the said Convention."

GHANA³

"(ii) Paragraph 1 of article 13 of the Convention provides that disputes may be submitted to arbitration, failing which any of the parties to the dispute may refer it to the International Court of Justice by request. Since Ghana is opposed to any form of compulsory arbitration, she wishes to exercise her option under article 13 (2) to make a reservation on article 13 (1). It is noted that such a reservation can be withdrawn later under article 13 (3)."

HUNGARY

Declaration made upon signature and renewed upon ratification:

"The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention. These provisions are at variance with the position of the Hungarian People's Republic according to which for the submission of disputes between States to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice the consent of all of the interested parties is required."

MONGOLIA

Declaration made upon signature and renewed upon ratification:

"The Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for submission of the dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice."

PAKISTAN

"Pakistan shall not be bound by paragraph 1 of article 13 of the Convention".

³In a notification received on 18 November 1976, the Government of Ghana informed the Secretary-General that it has decided to withdraw the reservation as contained in its instrument of accession, concerning article 3 (1) (c) of the said Convention. That reservation reads as follows:

"(i) Paragraph 1(c) of article 3 of the Convention contemplates that a State may exercise jurisdiction when the crime is committed against its own agent. This may lead to some friction with the State in whose territory the crime has been committed or the State whose national the offender is. It may also not afford the offender a fair trial. Ghana therefore wishes to make a reservation on article 3(1) (c) of the Convention."

ROMANIA

Upon signature:

The Socialist Republic of Romania declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention which is not settled by negotiation shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or referred to the International Court of Justice.

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that such disputes may be submitted to arbitration or referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

TUNISIA

Upon signature:

No dispute may be brought before the International Court of Justice unless by agreement between all parties to the dispute.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, para-

graph 1, of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for submission of the dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for submission of the dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice.

12. Vienna Convention on the representation of States in their relations with international organizations of a universal character

Done at Vienna on 14 March 1975¹

Not yet in force (see article 89).

TEXT: A/CONF.67/16.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
ARGENTINA	7 April	1975		
BARBADOS	29 March	1976		
BRAZIL	14 March	1975		
BULGARIA	26 November	1975	23 February	1976
BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC	13 October	1975		
CHILE	28 November	1975	22 July	1976
CUBA	30 March	1976		
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	24 February	1976	30 August	1976
ECUADOR	25 August	1975	6 January	1976
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	15 March	1976		
HOLY SEE	14 March	1975		
HUNGARY	12 February	1976		
MONGOLIA	30 October	1975	14 December	1976
NIGERIA	17 December	1975		
PANAMA	12 March	1976		
PERU	14 March	1975		
POLAND	10 November	1975		
TURKEY	30 March	1976		
UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC	17 October	1975		
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	10 October	1975		
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	29 March	1976		
YEMEN	30 March	1976		
YUGOSLAVIA	14 March	1975		

¹ The Convention was adopted on 13 March 1975 by the United Nations Conference on the Representation of States in their Relations with International Organizations held at the Neue Hofburg in Vienna, Austria, from 4 February to 14 March 1975. The Convention was opened for signature at Vienna on 14 March 1975 at the Federal Ministry for Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Austria. After 30 September 1975 it remained open for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, the closing date for signature being 30 March 1976.

CHAPTER IV. HUMAN RIGHTS¹

1. Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 9 December 1948²

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 12 January 1951, in accordance with article XIII.

REGISTRATION: 12 January 1951, No. 1021.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 78, p. 277.

State	Signature	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
AFGHANISTAN		22 March	1956 <i>a</i>
ALBANIA		12 May	1955 <i>a</i>
ALGERIA		31 October	1963 <i>a</i>
ARGENTINA		5 June	1956 <i>a</i>
AUSTRALIA ³	11 December 1948	8 July	1949
AUSTRIA		19 March	1958 <i>a</i>
BAHAMAS		5 August	1975 <i>d</i>
BELGIUM ⁴	12 December 1949	5 September	1951
BOLIVIA	11 December 1948		
BRAZIL	11 December 1948	15 April	1952
BULGARIA		21 July	1950 <i>a</i>
BURMA	30 December 1949	14 March	1956
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	16 December 1949	11 August	1954
CANADA	28 November 1949	3 September	1952
CHILE	11 December 1948	3 June	1953
CHINA ^{4a}	20 July 1949		
COLOMBIA	12 August 1949	27 October	1959
COSTA RICA		14 October	1950 <i>a</i>
CUBA	28 December 1949	4 March	1953
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	28 December 1949	21 December	1950
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA		14 October	1950 <i>a</i>
DENMARK	28 September 1949	15 June	1951
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	11 December 1948		
ECUADOR	11 December 1948	21 December	1949
EGYPT	12 December 1948	8 February	1952
EL SALVADOR	27 April 1949	28 September	1950
ETHIOPIA	11 December 1948	1 July	1949
FIJI		11 January	1973 <i>d</i>
FINLAND		18 December	1959 <i>a</i>
FRANCE	11 December 1948	14 October	1950
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		27 March	1973 <i>a</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ⁵		24 November	1954 <i>a</i>

¹ For other multilateral treaties concluded in the field of human rights, see chapters V, VII, XVI, XVII and XVIII.

² Resolution 260 (III), see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Third Session, Part I (A/810)*, p. 174.

³ In a notification made on ratification, the Government of Australia extended the application of the Convention to all territories for the conduct of whose foreign relations Australia is responsible.

⁴ In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 13 March 1952, the Government of Belgium extended the application of the Convention to Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda Urundi.

^{4a} Ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 19 July 1951. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

⁵ In a note accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention would also apply to *Land Berlin*.

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, a communication from the German Democratic Republic was received by the Secretary-General on 27 December 1973. The text of the communication is identical, *mutatis mutandis*, to that published in footnote 3, 4th paragraph, p. 52.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received from the

Footnote continues on following page

State	Signature	Notification of succession (d)	Ratification, accession (a)
GHANA			24 December 1958 a
GREECE	29 December 1949		8 December 1954
GUATEMALA	22 June 1949		13 January 1950
HAITI	11 December 1948		14 October 1950
HONDURAS	22 April 1949		5 March 1952
HUNGARY			7 January 1952 a
ICELAND	14 May 1949		29 August 1949
INDIA	29 November 1949		27 August 1959
IRAN	8 December 1949		14 August 1956
IRAQ			20 January 1959 a
IRELAND			22 June 1976 a
ISRAEL	17 August 1949		9 March 1950
ITALY			4 June 1952 a
JAMAICA			23 September 1968 a
JORDAN			3 April 1950 a
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			8 December 1950 a
LEBANON	30 December 1949		17 December 1953
LESOTHO			29 November 1974 a
LIBERIA	11 December 1948		9 June 1950
MALI			16 July 1974 a
MEXICO	14 December 1948		22 July 1952
MONACO			30 March 1950 a
MONGOLIA			5 January 1967 a
MOROCCO			24 January 1958 a
NEPAL			17 January 1969 a
NETHERLANDS			20 June 1966 a
NEW ZEALAND	25 November 1949		
NICARAGUA			29 January 1952 a
NORWAY	11 December 1948		22 July 1949
PAKISTAN	11 December 1948		12 October 1957
PANAMA	11 December 1948		11 January 1950
PARAGUAY	11 December 1948		
PERU	11 December 1948		24 February 1960
PHILIPPINES	11 December 1948		7 July 1950
POLAND			14 November 1950 a
REPUBLIC OF KOREA			14 October 1950 a
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{5a}			11 August 1950 a
ROMANIA			2 November 1950 a
RWANDA			16 April 1975 a
SAUDI ARABIA			13 July 1950 a
SPAIN			13 September 1968 a
SRI LANKA			12 October 1950 a
SWEDEN	30 December 1949		27 May 1952
SYRIA			25 June 1955 a
TONGA			16 February 1972 a
TUNISIA			29 November 1956 a
TURKEY			31 July 1950 a
UKRAINIAN SSR	16 December 1949		15 November 1954
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	16 December 1949		3 May 1954
UNITED KINGDOM ⁶			30 January 1970 a

footnote continued from previous page]

Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America (17 June 1974 and 8 July 1975), the Federal Republic of Germany (15 July 1974 and 19 September 1975), the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (12 September 1974 and 8 December 1975), and the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (19 September 1974), communications identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52.

^{5a} See note 4b, p. 54.

⁶ In a notification made on accession, the Government of the United Kingdom extended the application of the Convention to

the following territories for whose conduct of international relations the United Kingdom is responsible: Channel Islands, Isle of Man; Dominica, Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent; Bahamas, Bermuda, British Virgin Islands, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Fiji, Gibraltar, Hong Kong, Pitcairn, St. Helena and Dependencies, Seychelles, Turks and Caicos Islands.

In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 2 June 1970, the Government of the United Kingdom extended the application of the Convention to the Kingdom of Tonga for whose international relations the United Kingdom is or was then responsible.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	11 December 1948	
UPPER VOLTA		14 September 1965 a
URUGUAY	11 December 1948	11 July 1967
VENEZUELA		12 July 1960 a
YUGOSLAVIA	11 December 1948	29 August 1950
ZAIRE		31 May 1962 d

Declarations and Reservations⁷

ALBANIA

As regards article IX: The People's Republic of Albania does not consider as binding upon itself the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties with regard to the interpretation, application and implementation of the Convention shall be referred for examination to the International Court at the request of any party to the dispute. The People's Republic of Albania declares that, as regards the International Court's jurisdiction in respect of disputes concerning the interpretation, application and implementation of the Convention, the People's Republic of Albania will, as hitherto, maintain the position that in each particular case the agreement of all parties to the dispute is essential for the submission of any particular dispute to the International Court for decision.

As regards article XII: The People's Republic of Albania declares that it is not in agreement with article XII of the Convention and considers that all the provisions of the Convention should extend to Non-Self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories.

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by article IX of the Convention, which confers on the International Court of Justice jurisdiction in all disputes relating to the said Convention.

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria declares that no provision of article VI of the said Convention shall be interpreted as depriving its tribunals of jurisdiction in cases of genocide or other acts enumerated in article III which have been committed in its territory or as conferring such jurisdiction on foreign tribunals.

International tribunals may, as an exceptional measure, be recognized as having jurisdiction, in cases in which the Algerian Government has given its express approval.

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria declares that it does not accept the terms of article XII of the Convention and considers that all the provisions of the said Convention should apply to Non-Self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories.

ARGENTINA

Ad article IX: The Argentine Government reserves the right not to submit to the procedure laid down in

⁷ For objections by certain States to some of these reservations, see p. 82.

this article any dispute relating directly or indirectly to the territories referred to in its reservation to article XII.

Ad article XII: If any other Contracting Party extends the application of the Convention to territories under the sovereignty of the Argentine Republic, this extension shall in no way affect the rights of the Republic.

BULGARIA

As regards article IX: The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider as binding upon itself the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties with regard to the interpretation, application and implementation of the present Convention shall be referred for examination to the International Court at the request of any party to the dispute, and declares that, as regards the International Court's jurisdiction in respect of disputes concerning the interpretation, application and implementation of the Convention, the People's Republic of Bulgaria will, as hitherto, maintain the position that in each particular case the agreement of all parties to the dispute is essential for the submission of any particular dispute to the International Court for decision.

As regards article XII: The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that it is not in agreement with article XII of the Convention and considers that all the provisions of the Convention should extend to Non-Self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories.

BURMA

"(1) With reference to article VI, the Union of Burma makes the reservation that nothing contained in the said Article shall be construed as depriving the Courts and tribunals of the Union of jurisdiction or as giving foreign Courts and tribunals jurisdiction over any cases of genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in article III committed within the Union territory.

"(2) With reference to article VIII, the Union of Burma makes the reservation that the said article shall not apply to the Union."

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

As regards Article IX: The Byelorussian SSR does not consider as binding upon itself the provisions of Article IX which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties with regard to the interpretation,

application and implementation of the present Convention shall be referred for examination to the International Court at the request of any party to the dispute, and declares that, as regards the International Court's jurisdiction in respect of disputes concerning the interpretation, application and implementation of the Convention, the Byelorussian SSR will, as hitherto, maintain the position that in each particular case the agreement of all parties to the dispute is essential for the submission of any particular dispute to the International Court for decision.

As regards Article XII: The Byelorussian SSR declares that it is not in agreement with Article XII of the Convention and considers that all the provisions of the Convention should extend to non-self-governing territories, including trust territories.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

“As regards Article IX: Czechoslovakia does not consider as binding upon itself the provisions of Article IX which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties with regard to the interpretation, application and implementation of the present Convention shall be referred for examination to the International Court at the request of any party to the dispute, and declares that, as regards the International Court's jurisdiction in respect of disputes concerning the interpretation, application and implementation of the Convention, Czechoslovakia will, as hitherto, maintain the position that in each particular case the agreement of all parties to the dispute is essential for the submission of any particular dispute to the International Court for decision.

“As regards Article XII: Czechoslovakia declares that it is not in agreement with Article XII of the Convention and considers that all the provisions of the Convention should extend to non-self-governing territories, including trust territories.”

FINLAND

“. . . Subject to the provisions of Article 47, paragraph 2, of the Constitution Act, 1919, concerning the impeachment of the President of the Republic of Finland.”

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

As regards article IX: The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX of the Convention, which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation, application or fulfilment of the Convention are, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be submitted to the International Court of Justice, and declares that, as regards the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in respect of disputes relating to the interpretation, application or fulfilment of the Convention, the German Democratic Republic takes the position that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to the dispute is necessary for the submission of a given dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

As regards article XII: The German Democratic Republic declares that it cannot accept the provisions of article XII of the Convention and considers that the

Convention should also extend to Non-Self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories.

The German Democratic Republic deems it necessary to state that article XI of the Convention deprives a number of States of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention. As the Convention regulates matters affecting the interests of all States, it should be open to participation by all States whose policies are guided by the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations.

HUNGARY

The Hungarian People's Republic reserves its rights with regard to the provisions of article IX of the Convention which grant wide jurisdiction to the International Court at The Hague, and with regard to the provisions of article XII which do not define the obligations of countries having colonies with regard to questions of colonial exploitation and to acts which might be described as genocide.

INDIA

“With reference to article IX of the Convention, the Government of India declare that, for the submission of any dispute in terms of this article to the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is required in each case.”

MONGOLIA

The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic deems it necessary to state that the Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX which stipulates that disputes between the Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation, application or implementation of the present Convention shall be submitted to the International Court of Justice at the request of any of the parties to the dispute and declares that the Mongolian People's Republic will maintain the position that in each particular case the consent of all contending parties is essential for the submission of any particular dispute to the International Court of Justice.

The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic declares that it is not in a position to agree with article XII of the Convention and considers that the provisions of the said article should be extended to non-self-governing territories, including trust territories.

The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic deems it appropriate to draw attention to the discriminatory character of article XI of the Convention, under the terms of which a number of States are precluded from acceding to the Convention and declares that the Convention deals with matters which affect the interests of all States and it should, therefore, be open for accession by all States.

MOROCCO

With reference to article VI, the Government of His Majesty the King considers that Moroccan courts and tribunals alone have jurisdiction with respect to acts of genocide committed within the territory of the Kingdom of Morocco.

The competence of international courts may be admitted exceptionally in cases with respect to which the Moroccan Government has given its specific agreement.

With reference to article IX, the Moroccan Government states that no dispute relating to the interpretation, application or fulfilment of the present Convention can be brought before the International Court of Justice, without the prior agreement of the parties to the dispute.

PHILIPPINES

"1. With reference to article IV of the Convention, the Philippine Government cannot sanction any situation which would subject its Head of State, who is not a ruler, to conditions less favorable than those accorded other Heads of State, whether constitutionally responsible rules or not. The Philippine Government does not consider said article, therefore, as overriding the existing immunities from judicial processes guaranteed certain public officials by the Constitution of the Philippines.

"2. With reference to article VII of the Convention, the Philippine Government does not undertake to give effect to said article until the Congress of the Philippines has enacted the necessary legislation defining and punishing the crime of genocide, which legislation, under the Constitution of the Philippines, cannot have any retroactive effect.

"3. With reference to articles VI and IX of the Convention, the Philippine Government takes the position that nothing contained in said articles shall be construed as depriving Philippine courts of jurisdiction over all cases of genocide committed within Philippine territory save only in those cases where the Philippine Government consents to have the decision of the Philippine courts reviewed by either of the international tribunals referred to in said articles. With further reference to article IX of the Convention, the Philippine Government does not consider said article to extend the concept of State responsibility beyond that recognized by the generally accepted principles of international law."

POLAND

As regards article IX: Poland does not regard itself as bound by the provisions of this article since the agreement of all the parties to a dispute is a necessary condition in each specific case for submission to the International Court of Justice.

As regards article XII: Poland does not accept the provisions of this article, considering that the Convention should apply to Non-Self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories.

ROMANIA

As regards article IX: The People's Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX, which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation, application or fulfilment of the Convention shall be submitted to the International Court of Justice at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, and declares that as regards the jurisdiction of the

Court in disputes relating to the interpretation, application or fulfilment of the Convention, the People's Republic of Romania will adhere to the view which it has held up to the present, that in each particular case the agreement of all the parties to a dispute is required before it can be referred to the International Court of Justice for settlement.

As regards article XII: The People's Republic of Romania declares that it is not in agreement with article XII of the Convention, and considers that all the provisions of the Convention should apply to the Non-Self-Governing Territories, including the Trust Territories.

RWANDA

The Rwandese Republic does not consider itself as bound by article IX of the Convention.

SPAIN

With a reservation in respect of the whole of article IX (jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice).

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

As regards article IX: The Ukrainian SSR does not consider as binding upon itself the provisions of Article IX which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties with regard to the interpretation, application and implementation of the present Convention shall be referred for examination to the International Court at the request of any party to the dispute, and declares that, as regards the International Court's jurisdiction in respect of disputes concerning the interpretation, application and implementation of the Convention, the Ukrainian SSR will, as hitherto, maintain the position that in each particular case the agreement of all parties to the dispute is essential for the submission of any particular dispute to the International Court for decision.

As regards article XII: The Ukrainian SSR declares that it is not in agreement with Article XII of the Convention and considers that all the provisions of the Convention should extend to Non-Self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

As regards article IX: The Soviet Union does not consider as binding upon itself the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties with regard to the interpretation, application and implementation of the present Convention shall be referred for examination to the International Court at the request of any party to the dispute, and declares that, as regards the International Court's jurisdiction in respect of disputes concerning the interpretation, application and implementation of the Convention, the Soviet Union will, as hitherto, maintain the position that in each particular case the agreement of all parties to the dispute is essential for the submission of any particular dispute to the International Court for decision.

As regards article XII: The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that it is not in agreement with

article XII of the Convention and considers that all the provisions of the Convention should extend to Non-Self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories.

VENEZUELA

With reference to article VI, notice is given that any proceedings to which Venezuela may be a party before an international penal tribunal would be invalid

without Venezuela's prior express acceptance of the jurisdiction of such international tribunal.

With reference to article VII, notice is given that the laws in force in Venezuela do not permit the extradition of Venezuelan nationals.

With reference to article IX, the reservation is made that the submission of a dispute to the International Court of Justice shall be regarded as valid only when it takes place with Venezuela's approval, signified by the express conclusion of a prior agreement in each case.

Objections⁸

AUSTRALIA

"The Australian Government does not accept any of the reservations contained in the instrument of accession of the People's Republic of Bulgaria, or in the instrument of ratification of the Republic of the Philippines.

"Also, the Australian Government does not accept any of the reservations made at the time of signature of the Convention by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics."⁹

"The Australian Government does not accept the reservations contained in the instruments of accession of the Governments of Poland and Romania."^{9a}

BELGIUM

The Government of Belgium does not accept the reservations made by Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

BRAZIL

The Government of Brazil objects to the reservations made to the Convention by Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, the Philippines, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The Brazilian Government considers the said reservations as incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention.

The position taken by the Government of Brazil is founded on the Advisory Opinion of the International Court of Justice of 28 May 1951¹⁰ and on the resolution adopted by the sixth session of the General Assembly on 12 January 1952, on reservations to multilateral conventions.¹¹

The Brazilian Government reserves the right to draw any such legal consequences as it may deem fit from its formal objection to the above-mentioned reservations.

⁸ Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were communicated to the Secretary-General on ratification or accession by the objecting State.

⁹ Communication of 15 November 1950.

^{9a} Communication of 19 January 1951.

¹⁰ *International Court of Justice, Report 1951*, p. 15.

¹¹ Resolution 598 (VI); see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Sixth Session, Supplement No. 20 (A/2119)*, p. 84.

CHINA

"The Government of China . . . objects to all the identical reservations made at the time of signature or ratification or accession to the Convention by Albania, Bulgaria, Burma, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The Chinese Government considers the above-mentioned reservations as incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention and, therefore, by virtue of the Advisory Opinion of the International Court of Justice of 28 May 1951,¹² would not regard the above-mentioned States as being Parties to the Convention."¹³

CUBA

The Government of Cuba does not accept the reservations made by Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

ECUADOR

The Government of Ecuador is not in agreement with the reservations made to articles IX and XII of the Convention by the Governments of Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and, therefore, they do not apply to Ecuador which accepted without any modifications the integral text of the Convention.¹⁴

The Government of Ecuador does not accept the reservations made by the Governments of Poland and Romania to articles IX and XII of the Convention.¹⁵

GREECE

We further declare that we have not accepted and do not accept any reservation which has already been made or which may hereafter be made by the countries signatory to this instrument or by countries which have acceded or may hereafter accede thereto.

¹² *International Court of Justice, Report 1951*, p. 15.

¹³ Communications received on 15 November 1954, 13 September 1955 and 25 July 1956, the last two in respect of the reservations by Albania and Burma, respectively.

¹⁴ Communications received on 31 March 1950 and 21 August 1950, the latter with respect to the reservations made by the Government of Bulgaria.

¹⁵ Communication received on 9 January 1951.

NETHERLANDS

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands declares that it considers the reservations made by Albania, Algeria, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, India, Morocco, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in respect of Article IX of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, opened for signature at Paris on 9 December 1948, to be incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention. The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands therefore does not deem any State which has made or which will make such reservation a party to the Convention."

NORWAY

"The Norwegian Government does not accept the reservations made to the Convention by the Government of the Philippines at the time of ratification."¹⁶

[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM]

Referring to the reservations to articles IX and XII made on signature by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, and on accession by Bulgaria, and to the reservations to articles IV, VI, VII and IX of the Convention made on ratification by the Philippines, the Government of the Republic of Viet-Nam informed the Secretary-General that it was the intent of the Government of Viet-Nam in acceding to the Convention for the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, to accept only the text of that Convention as approved on 9 December 1948 in resolution 260 A (III) and voted by the General Assembly of the

¹⁶ Communication received on 10 April 1952.

United Nations at its 179th plenary meeting, and not the reservations submitted by the above-mentioned States or by any other State at the time of signature by their representatives, or of deposit of their instruments of ratification or accession to the Convention."¹⁷

SRI LANKA

"The Government of Ceylon does not accept the reservations made by Romania to the Convention."¹⁸

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"The Government of the United Kingdom do not accept the reservations to articles IV, VII, VIII, IX or XII of the Convention made by Albania, Algeria, Argentina, Bulgaria, Burma, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, India, Mongolia, Morocco, the Philippines, Poland, Romania, Spain, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics or Venezuela."

21 November 1975

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland have consistently stated that they are unable to accept reservations in respect of article IX of the said Convention; in their view this is not the kind of reservation which intending parties to the Convention have the right to make.

"Accordingly, the Government of the United Kingdom do not accept the reservation entered by the Republic of Rwanda against article IX of the Convention. They also wish to place on record that they take the same view of the similar reservation made by the German Democratic Republic as notified by the circular letter C.N.85.1973.TREATIES-2 of 25 April 1973."

¹⁷ Communication received on 3 November 1950.

¹⁸ Communication received on 6 February 1951.

2. International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination

Opened for signature at New York on 7 March 1966¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 4 January 1969, in accordance with article 19.²

REGISTRATION: 12 March 1969, No. 9464.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 660, p. 195.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d)</i>
ALGERIA	9 December 1966	14 February 1972
ARGENTINA	13 July 1967	2 October 1968
AUSTRALIA	13 October 1966	30 September 1975
AUSTRIA	22 July 1969	9 May 1972
BAHAMAS		5 August 1975 <i>d</i>
BARBADOS		8 November 1972 <i>a</i>
BELGIUM	17 August 1967	7 August 1975
BENIN	2 February 1967	
BHUTAN	26 March 1973	
BOLIVIA	7 June 1966	22 September 1970
BOTSWANA		20 February 1974 <i>a</i>
BRAZIL	7 March 1966	27 March 1968
BULGARIA	1 June 1966	8 August 1966
BURUNDI	1 February 1967	
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	7 March 1966	8 April 1969
CANADA	24 August 1966	14 October 1970
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	7 March 1966	16 March 1971
CHILE	3 October 1966	20 October 1971
CHINA ³		
COLOMBIA	23 March 1967	

¹ The Convention was adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 2106 (XX) of 21 December 1965. For the text of the resolution and of the Convention, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Twentieth Session, Supplement No. 14 (A/6014)*, p. 47.

² Article 19 of the Convention provides that the Convention shall enter into force on the thirtieth day after the date of deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations of the twenty-seventh instrument of ratification or instrument of accession. On 5 December 1968, the Government of Poland deposited the twenty-seventh instrument. However, among those instruments there were some which contained a reservation and therefore were subject to the provisions of article 20 of the Convention allowing States to notify objections within ninety days from the date of circulation by the Secretary-General of the reservations. In respect of two such instruments, namely those of Kuwait and Spain, the ninety-day period had not yet expired on the date of deposit of the twenty-seventh instrument. The reservation contained in one further instrument, that of India, had not yet been circulated on that date, and the twenty-seventh instrument itself, that of Poland, contained a reservation; in respect of these two instruments the ninety-day period would only begin to run on the date of the Secretary-General's notification of their deposit. Therefore, in that notification, which was dated 13 December 1968, the Secretary-General called the attention of the interested States to the situation and stated the following:

"It appears from the provisions of article 20 of the Convention that it would not be possible to determine the legal effect of the four instruments in question pending the expiry of the respective periods of time mentioned in the preceding paragraph.

"Having regard to the above-mentioned consideration, the Secretary-General is not at the present time in a position to ascertain the date of entry into force of the Convention."

Subsequently, in a notification dated 17 March 1969, the Secretary-General informed the interested States: (a) that within the period of ninety days from the date of his previous notification he had received an objection from one State to the reservation contained in the instrument of ratification by the Government of India; and (b) that the Convention, in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 19, had entered into force on 4 January 1969, i.e., on the thirtieth day after the date of deposit of the instrument of ratification of the Convention by the Government of Poland, which was the twenty-seventh instrument of ratification or instrument of accession deposited with the Secretary-General.

³ Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 31 March 1966 and 10 December 1970 respectively. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

With reference to the above-mentioned signature and/or ratification, communications have been received by the Secretary-General from the Governments of Bulgaria (12 March 1971), Mongolia (11 January 1971), the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic (9 June 1971), the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (21 April 1971) and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (18 January 1971) stating that they considered the said signature and/or ratification

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d)
COSTA RICA	14 March 1966	16 January 1967
CUBA	7 June 1966	15 February 1972
CYPRUS	12 December 1966	21 April 1967
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	7 October 1966	29 December 1966
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	12 April 1966	
DEMOCRATIC YEMEN		18 October 1972 a
DENMARK	21 June 1966	9 December 1971
ECUADOR		22 September 1966 a
EGYPT	28 September 1966	1 May 1967
ETHIOPIA		23 June 1976 a
FIJI		11 January 1973 d
FINLAND	6 October 1966	14 July 1970
FRANCE		28 July 1971 a
GABON	20 September 1966	
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		27 March 1973 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ^{3a}	10 February 1967	16 May 1969
GHANA	8 September 1966	8 September 1966
GREECE	7 March 1966	18 June 1970
GUATEMALA	8 September 1967	
GUINEA	24 March 1966	
GUYANA	11 December 1968	
HAITI	30 October 1972	19 December 1972
HOLY SEE	21 November 1966	1 May 1969
HUNGARY	15 September 1966	4 May 1967
ICELAND	14 November 1966	13 March 1967
INDIA	2 March 1967	3 December 1968
IRAN	8 March 1967	29 August 1968
IRAQ	18 February 1969	14 January 1970
IRELAND	21 March 1968	
ISRAEL	7 March 1966	
ITALY	13 March 1968	5 January 1976
IVORY COAST		4 January 1973 a
JAMAICA	14 August 1966	4 June 1971
JORDAN		30 May 1974 a
KUWAIT		15 October 1968 a

as null and void, since the so-called "Government of China" had no right to speak or assume obligations on behalf of China, there being only one Chinese State, the People's Republic of China, and one Government entitled to represent it, the Government of the People's Republic of China.

In letters addressed to the Secretary-General in regard to the above-mentioned communications, the Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations stated that the Republic of China, a sovereign State and Member of the United Nations, had attended the Twentieth Regular Session of the United Nations General Assembly, contributed to the formulation of the Convention concerned, signed the Convention and duly deposited the instrument of ratification thereof, and that "any statements and reservations relating to the above-mentioned Convention that are incompatible with or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China under this Convention".

^{3a} In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Convention "shall also apply to Land Berlin with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, the Secretary-General received communications from the Governments of Bulgaria (16 September 1969), Czechoslovakia (3 November 1969), Mongolia (7 January 1970), Poland (20 June 1969), the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (10 November 1969) and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (4 August 1969). The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to those referred to in the second paragraph of footnote 3, p. 52.

Upon accession, the Government of the German Democratic Republic made in respect of the above-mentioned declaration a declaration which is identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the one reproduced in the fourth paragraph of footnote 3, p. 52. Subsequently, the Secretary-General received from the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany (15 July 1974 and 19 September 1975), France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America (17 June 1974 and 8 July 1975), the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (19 September 1974) and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (12 September 1974 and 8 December 1975), declarations identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d)</i>	
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		22 February	1974 <i>a</i>
LEBANON		12 November	1971 <i>a</i>
LESOTHO		4 November	1971 <i>a</i>
LIBERIA		5 November	1976 <i>a</i>
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC		3 July	1968 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG	12 December 1967		
MADAGASCAR	18 December 1967	7 February	1969
MALI		16 July	1974 <i>a</i>
MALTA	5 September 1968	27 May	1971
MAURITANIA	21 December 1966		
MAURITIUS		30 May	1972 <i>a</i>
MEXICO	1 November 1966	20 February	1975
MONGOLIA	3 May 1966	6 August	1969
MOROCCO	18 September 1967	18 December	1970
NEPAL		30 January	1971 <i>a</i>
NETHERLANDS	24 October 1966	10 December	1971
NEW ZEALAND	25 October 1966	22 November	1972
NIGER	14 March 1966	27 April	1967
NIGERIA		16 October	1967 <i>a</i>
NORWAY	21 November 1966	6 August	1970
PAKISTAN	19 September 1966	21 September	1966
PANAMA	8 December 1966	16 August	1967
PERU	22 July 1966	29 September	1971
PHILIPPINES	7 March 1966	15 September	1967
POLAND	7 March 1966	5 December	1968
QATAR		22 July	1976 <i>a</i>
ROMANIA		15 September	1970 <i>a</i>
RWANDA		16 April	1975 <i>a</i>
SENEGAL	22 July 1968	19 April	1972
SIERRA LEONE	17 November 1966	2 August	1967
SOMALIA	26 January 1967	26 August	1975
SPAIN		13 September	1968 <i>a</i>
SWAZILAND		7 April	1969 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	5 May 1966	6 December	1971
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC		21 April	1969 <i>a</i>
TOGO		1 September	1972 <i>a</i>
TONGA		16 February	1972 <i>a</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	9 June 1967	4 October	1973
TUNISIA	12 April 1966	13 January	1967
TURKEY	13 October 1972		
UKRAINIAN SSR	7 March 1966	7 March	1969
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	7 March 1966	4 February	1969
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES		20 June	1974 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	11 October 1966	7 March	1969
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAM- EROON	12 December 1966	24 June	1971
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA		27 October	1972 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	28 September 1966		
UPPER VOLTA		18 July	1974 <i>a</i>
URUGUAY	21 February 1967	30 August	1968
VENEZUELA	21 April 1967	10 October	1967
YUGOSLAVIA	15 April 1966	2 October	1967
ZAIRE		21 April	1976 <i>a</i>
ZAMBIA	11 October 1968	4 February	1972

Declarations and Reservations

AUSTRALIA

Upon ratification:

"The Government of Australia . . . declares that Australia is not at present in a position specifically to treat as offences all the matters covered by article 4 (a) of the Convention. Acts of the kind there mentioned are punishable only to the extent provided by the existing criminal law dealing with such matters as the maintenance of public order, public mischief, assault, riot, criminal libel, conspiracy and attempts. It is the intention of the Australian Government, at the first suitable moment, to seek from Parliament legislation specifically implementing the terms of article 4 (a)."

AUSTRIA

"Article 4 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination provides that the measures specifically described in subparagraphs (a), (b) and (c) shall be undertaken with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the rights expressly set forth in article 5 of the Convention. The Republic of Austria therefore considers that through such measures the right to freedom of opinion and expression and the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association may not be jeopardized. These rights are laid down in articles 19 and 20 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights; they were reaffirmed by the General Assembly of the United Nations when it adopted articles 19 and 21 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and are referred to in article 5 (d) (viii) and (ix) of the present Convention."

BAHAMAS

"Firstly the Government of the Commonwealth of the Bahamas wishes to state its understanding of article 4 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination. It interprets article 4 as requiring a party to the Convention to adopt further legislative measures in the fields covered by subparagraph (a), (b) and (c) of that article only in so far as it may consider with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration set out in article 5 of the Convention (in particular to freedom of opinion and expression and the right of freedom of peaceful assembly and association) that some legislative addition to, or variation of existing law and practice in these fields is necessary for the attainment of the ends specified in article 4. Lastly, the Constitution of the Commonwealth of the Bahamas entrenches and guarantees to every person in the Commonwealth of the Bahamas the fundamental rights and freedoms of the individual irrespective of his race or place of origin. The Constitution prescribes judicial process to be observed in the event of the violation of any of these rights whether by the State or by a private individual. Acceptance of this Convention by the Commonwealth of the Bahamas does not imply the acceptance of obligations going beyond the constitutional limits nor the acceptance of any obligations to introduce judicial process beyond these prescribed under the Constitution."

BARBADOS

"The Constitution of Barbados entrenches and guarantees to every person in Barbados the fundamental rights and freedoms of the individual irrespective of his race or place of origin. The Constitution prescribes judicial processes to be observed in the event of the violation of any of these rights whether by the state or by a private individual. Accession to the Convention does not imply the acceptance of obligations going beyond the constitutional limits nor the acceptance of any obligations to introduce judicial processes beyond those provided in the Constitution.

"The Government of Barbados interprets article 4 of the said Convention as requiring a Party to the Convention to enact measures in the fields covered by subparagraphs (a), (b) and (c) of that article only where it is considered that the need arises to enact such legislation."

BELGIUM

Upon ratification:

In order to meet the requirements of article 4 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, the Kingdom of Belgium will take care to adapt its legislation to the obligations it has assumed in becoming a party to the said Convention.

The Kingdom of Belgium nevertheless wishes to emphasize the importance which it attaches to the fact that article 4 of the Convention provides that the measures laid down in subparagraphs (a), (b), and (c) should be adopted with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the rights expressly set forth in article 5 of the Convention. The Kingdom of Belgium therefore considers that the obligations imposed by article 4 must be reconciled with the right to freedom of opinion and expression and the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association. Those rights are proclaimed in articles 19 and 20 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and have been reaffirmed in articles 19 and 21 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. They have also been stated in article 5, subparagraph (d) (viii) and (ix) of the said Convention.

The Kingdom of Belgium also wishes to emphasize the importance which it attaches to respect for the rights set forth in the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, especially in articles 10 and 11 dealing respectively with freedom of opinion and expression and freedom of peaceful assembly and association.

BULGARIA

The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that the provisions of article 17, paragraph 1, and article 18, paragraph 1, of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, the effect of which is to prevent sovereign States from becoming Parties to the Convention, are of a discriminatory nature. The Convention, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality

of States, should be open for accession by all States without any discrimination whatsoever.

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, which provides for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in the settlement of disputes with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention. The People's Republic of Bulgaria maintains its position that no dispute between two or more States can be referred to the International Court of Justice without the consent in each particular case of all the States parties to the dispute.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic states that the provision in article 17, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination whereby a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention is of a discriminatory nature, and hold that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, the Convention should be open to participation by all interested States without discrimination or restriction of any kind.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referral of the dispute to the International Court.

CUBA

Upon signature:

The Government of the Republic of Cuba will make such reservations as it may deem appropriate if and when the Convention is ratified.

Upon ratification:

Reservation:

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba does not accept the provision in article 22 of the Convention to the effect that disputes between two or more States Parties shall be referred to the International Court of Justice, since it considers that such disputes should be settled exclusively by the procedures expressly provided for in the Convention or by negotiation through the diplomatic channel between the disputants.

Statement:

This Convention, intended to eliminate all forms of racial discrimination, should not, as it expressly does in articles 17 and 18, exclude States not Members of the United Nations, members of the specialized agencies or Parties to the Statute of the International Court of Justice from making an effective contribution under the Convention, since these articles constitute in themselves a form of discrimination that is at variance with the principles set out in the Convention; the Revolutionary

Government of the Republic of Cuba accordingly ratifies the Convention, but with the qualification just indicated.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic considers that the provision of article 17, paragraph 1, is not in keeping with the aims and objectives of the Convention since it fails to ensure that all States without any distinction and discrimination be given opportunity to become Parties to the Convention.

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provision of article 22 and maintains that any dispute between two or more Parties over the interpretation or application of the Convention, which is not settled by negotiation or by procedures expressly provided for in the Convention, can be referred to the International Court of Justice only at the request of all the parties to the dispute, if they did not agree to another means of settlement."

DEMOCRATIC YEMEN^{3b}

"The accession of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen to this Convention shall in no way signify recognition of Israel or entry into a relationship with it regarding any matter regulated by the said Convention.

"The People's Democratic Republic of Yemen does not consider itself bound by the provisions of Article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referral of the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

"The People's Democratic Republic of Yemen states that the provisions of Article 17, paragraph 1, and Article 18, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination whereby a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention is of a discriminatory nature, and holds that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, the Convention should be opened to participation by all interested States without discrimination or restriction of any kind."

DENMARK⁴

^{3b} In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 12 February 1973 the Permanent Representative of Israel to the United Nations stated: "The Government of Israel has noted the political character of a reservation made by the Government of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen on that occasion. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, that declaration cannot in any way affect the obligations of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen already existing under general international law or under particular treaties. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen, an attitude of complete reciprocity."

⁴ In a communication received on 4 October 1972, the Government of Denmark notified the Secretary-General that it withdraws the reservation made with regard to the implementation on the Faroe Islands of the Convention, which reads as follows: ". . . The Home Government of the Faroe Island has yet to approve the legislation enacted to implement the Convention in the other parts of Denmark."

EGYPT^{4a}

"The United Arab Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and it states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referring the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

"It is understood that the signing of this Convention does not mean in any way a recognition of Israel by the Government of the United Arab Republic. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the United Arab Republic and Israel."

FIJI

The reservation and declarations formulated by the Government of the United Kingdom on behalf of Fiji are affirmed but have been redrafted in the following terms:

"To the extent, if any, that any law relating to elections in Fiji may not fulfil the obligations referred to in article 5(c), that any law relating to land in Fiji which prohibits or restricts the alienation of land by the indigenous inhabitants may not fulfil the obligations referred to in article 5(d)(v), or that the school system of Fiji may not fulfil the obligations referred to in articles 2, 3, or 5(e)(v), the Government of Fiji reserves the right not to implement the aforementioned provisions of the Convention.

"The Government of Fiji wishes to state its understanding of certain articles in the Convention. It interprets article 4 as requiring a party to the Convention to adopt further legislative measures in the fields covered by sub-paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of that article only in so far as it may consider with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the rights expressly set forth in article 5 of the Convention (in particular the right to freedom of opinion and expression and the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association) that some legislative addition to or variation of existing law and practice in those fields is necessary for the attainment of the end specified in the earlier part of Article 4. Further, the Government of Fiji interprets the requirement in article 6 concerning 'reparation or satisfaction' as being fulfilled if one or other of these forms of redress is made available and interprets 'satisfaction' as including any form of redress effective to bring the discriminatory conduct to an end. In addition it interprets article 20 and the other related provisions of Part III of the Convention as meaning that if a reservation is not accepted the State making the reservation does not become a Party to the Convention.

"The Government of Fiji maintains the view that Article 15 is discriminatory in that it establishes a procedure for the receipt of petitions relating to dependent

The legislation by which the Convention has been implemented on the Faroe Islands will enter into force by November 1, 1972, from which date the withdrawal of the above reservation will become effective.

^{4a} See footnote 5a, p. 90.

territories whilst making no comparable provision for States without such territories."

FRANCE^{4b}

With regard to article 4, France wishes to make it clear that it interprets the reference made therein to the principles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and to the rights set forth in article 5 of the Convention as releasing the States Parties from the obligation to enact anti-discrimination legislation which is incompatible with the freedoms of opinion and expression and of peaceful assembly and association guaranteed by those texts.

With regard to article 6, France declares that the question of remedy through tribunals is, as far as France is concerned, governed by the rules of ordinary law.

With regard to article 15, France's accession to the Convention may not be interpreted as implying any change in its position regarding the resolution mentioned in that provision.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referral of the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

The German Democratic Republic deems it necessary to state that article 17, paragraph 1, of the Convention deprives a number of States of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention. As the Convention regulates matters affecting the interests of all States, it should be open to participation by all States whose policies are guided by the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations.

HUNGARY

"The Hungarian People's Republic considers that the provisions of article 17, paragraph 1, and of article 18, paragraph 1, of the Convention, barring accession to the Convention by all States, are of a discriminating nature and contrary to international law. The Hungarian People's Republic maintains its general position that multilateral treaties of a universal character should, in conformity with the principles of sovereign equality of States, be open for accession by all States without any discrimination whatever."

"The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by article 22 of the Convention providing that any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention shall, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision. The Hungarian People's Republic takes the view that such disputes shall be

^{4b} In a communication received subsequently, the Government of France indicated that the first paragraph of the above declaration did not purport to limit the obligations under the Convention in respect of the French Government, but only to record the latter's interpretation of article 4 of the Convention.

referred to the International Court of Justice only by agreement of all parties concerned."

INDIA⁵

"The Government of India declare that for reference of any dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision in terms of Article 22 of the International Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Racial Discrimination, the consent of all parties to the dispute is necessary in each individual case."

IRAQ^{5a}

On signature:

"The Ministry for Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Iraq hereby declares that signature for and on behalf of the Republic of Iraq of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, which was adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 21 December 1965, as well as approval by the Arab States of the said Convention and entry into it by their respective governments, shall in no way signify recognition of Israel or lead to entry by the Arab States into such dealings with Israel as may be regulated by the said Convention.

"Furthermore, the Government of the Republic of Iraq does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article twenty-two of the Convention aforementioned and affirms its reservation that it does not accept the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice provided for in the said article."

On ratification:

1. The acceptance and ratification of the Convention by Iraq shall in no way signify recognition of Israel or be conducive to entry by Iraq into such dealings with Israel as are regulated by the Convention,⁶

⁵ In a communication received on 24 February 1969, the Government of Pakistan notified the Secretary-General that it "has decided not to accept the reservation made by the Government of India in her instrument of ratification".

^{5a} In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 10 July 1969, the Government of Israel declared that it "has noted the political character of the declaration made by the Government of Iraq on signing the above Convention. In the view of the Government of Israel, the Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity. Moreover, it is the view of the Government of Israel that no legal relevance can be attached to those Iraqi statements which purport to represent the views of the other States".

Except for the omission of the last sentence, identical communications in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, were received by the Secretary-General from the Government of Israel as follows: on 29 December 1966 in respect of the declaration made on signature of the Convention by the Government of the United Arab Republic; on 16 August 1968 in respect of the declaration made on accession by the Government of Libya; on 12 December 1968 in respect of the declaration made on accession by the Government of Kuwait; on 9 July 1969 in respect of the declaration made on accession by the Government of Syria, and on 25 September 1974 in respect of the declaration made upon accession by the United Arab Emirates.

⁶ On 21 April 1970, the Secretary-General received the following notification from the Government of Israel: "With regard to the political declaration in the guise of a reservation made on the occasion of the ratification of the above treaty, the Government of Israel wishes to refer to its objection circulated by the Secretary-General in his letter C.N.133.1969, TREATIES-14 [see footnote 5a above] and to maintain that objection".

2. Iraq does not accept the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, concerning the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice. The Republic of Iraq does not consider itself to be bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention and deems it necessary that in all cases the approval of all parties to the dispute be secured before the case is referred to the International Court of Justice.

ITALY

Upon signature and ratification:

(a) The positive measures, provided for in article 4 of the Convention and specifically described in subparagraphs (a) and (b) of that article, designed to eradicate all incitement to, or acts of, discrimination, are to be interpreted, as that article provides, "with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the rights expressly set forth in article 5" of the Convention. Consequently, the obligations deriving from the aforementioned article 4 are not to jeopardize the right to freedom of opinion and expression and the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association which are laid down in articles 19 and 20 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, were reaffirmed by the General Assembly of the United Nations when it adopted articles 19 and 21 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and are referred to in articles 5 (d) (viii) and (ix) of the Convention. In fact, the Italian Government, in conformity with the obligations resulting from Articles 55 (c) and 56 of the Charter of the United Nations, remains faithful to the principle laid down in article 29 (2) of the Universal Declaration, which provides that "in the exercise of his rights and freedoms, everyone shall be subject only to such limitations as are determined by law solely for the purpose of securing due recognition and respect for the rights and freedoms of others and of meeting the just requirements of morality, public order and the general welfare in a democratic society."

(b) Effective remedies against acts of racial discrimination which violate his individual rights and fundamental freedoms will be assured to everyone, in conformity with article 6 of the Convention, by the ordinary courts within the framework of their respective jurisdiction. Claims for reparation for any damage suffered as a result of acts of racial discrimination must be brought against the persons responsible for the malicious or criminal acts which caused such damage.

JAMAICA

"The Constitution of Jamaica entrenches and guarantees to every person in Jamaica the fundamental rights and freedoms of the individual irrespective of his race or place of origin. The Constitution prescribes judicial processes to be observed in the event of the violation of any of these rights whether by the State or by a private individual. Ratification of the Convention by Jamaica does not imply the acceptance of obligations going beyond the constitutional limits nor the acceptance of any obligation to introduce judicial processes beyond those prescribed under the Constitution."

KUWAIT^{6a}

"In acceding to the said Convention, the Government of the State of Kuwait takes the view that its accession does not in any way imply recognition of Israel, nor does it oblige it to apply the provisions of the Convention in respect of the said country.

"The Government of the State of Kuwait does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any party to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and it states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referring the dispute to the International Court of Justice."

LEBANON

The Republic of Lebanon does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any party to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and it states that, in each individual case, the consent of all States parties to such a dispute is necessary for referring the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC^{6a}

"(a) The Kingdom of Libya does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and it states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referring the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

"(b) It is understood that the accession to this Convention does not mean in any way a recognition of Israel by the Government of the Kingdom of Libya. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the Kingdom of Libya and Israel."

MADAGASCAR

The Government of the Malagasy Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referral of the dispute to the International Court.

MALTA

Upon signature and ratification:

"The Government of Malta wishes to state its understanding of certain articles in the Convention.

"It interprets article 4 as requiring a party to the Convention to adopt further measures in the fields covered by sub-paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of that article should it consider, with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the rights set forth in article 5 of the Convention, that the need arises to enact 'ad hoc' legislation, in addition to or variation of existing law and practice to bring to an end any act of racial discrimination.

"Further, the Government of Malta interprets the requirements in article 6 concerning 'reparation or satisfaction' as being fulfilled if one or other of these forms of redress is made available and interprets 'satisfaction' as including any form of redress effective to bring the discriminatory conduct to an end".

MONGOLIA

The Mongolian People's Republic states that the provision in article 17, paragraph 1, of the Convention whereby a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention is of a discriminatory nature, and it holds that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination should be open to participation by all interested States without discrimination or restriction of any kind.

The Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and it states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referral of the dispute to the International Court.

MOROCCO

The Kingdom of Morocco does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision. The Kingdom of Morocco states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referring the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

NEPAL

"The Constitution of Nepal contains provisions for the protection of individual rights, including the right to freedom of speech and expression, the right to form unions and associations not motivated by party politics and the right to freedom of professing his/her own

^{6a} See footnote 5a, p. 90.

religion; and nothing in the Convention shall be deemed to require or to authorize legislation or other action by Nepal incompatible with the provisions of the Constitution of Nepal.

"His Majesty's Government interprets article 4 of the said Convention as requiring a Party to the Convention to adopt further legislative measures in the fields covered by sub-paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of that article only insofar as His Majesty's Government may consider, with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, that some legislative addition to, or variation of, existing law and practice in those fields is necessary for the attainment of the end specified in the earlier part of article 4. His Majesty's Government interprets the requirement in article 6 concerning 'reparation or satisfaction' as being fulfilled if one or other of these forms of redress is made available; and further interprets 'satisfaction' as including any form of redress effective to bring the discriminatory conduct to an end.

"His Majesty's Government does not consider itself bound by the provision of article 22 of the Convention under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision."

POLAND

The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention.

The Polish People's Republic considers that the provisions of article 17, paragraph 1, and article 18, paragraph 1, of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, which make it impossible for many States to become parties to the said Convention, are of a discriminatory nature and are incompatible with the object and purpose of that Convention.

The Polish People's Republic considers that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, the said Convention should be open for participation by all States without any discrimination or restrictions whatsoever.

ROMANIA

The Socialist Republic of Romania declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, whereby any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention which is not settled by negotiation or by the procedures expressly provided for in the Convention shall, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, be referred to the International Court of Justice.

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that such disputes may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania declares that the provisions of articles 17 and 18 of

the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination are not in accordance with the principle that multilateral treaties, the aims and objectives of which concern the world community as a whole, should be open to participation by all States.

RWANDA

The Rwandese Republic does not consider itself as bound by article 22 of the Convention.

SPAIN

With a reservation in respect of the whole of article 22 (jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice).

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC^{6b}

1. The accession of the Syrian Arab Republic to this Convention shall in no way signify recognition of Israel or entry into a relationship with it regarding any matter regulated by the said Convention.

2. The Syrian Arab Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the Parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision. The Syrian Arab Republic states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referring the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

TONGA

Reservation:

"To the extent, if any, that any law relating to elections in Tonga may not fulfil the obligations referred to in article 5(c), that any law relating to land in Tonga which prohibits or restricts the alienation of land by the indigenous inhabitants may not fulfil the obligations referred to in article 5(d) (v), or that the school system of Tonga may not fulfil the obligations referred to in articles 2, 3, or 5(e) (v), the Kingdom of Tonga reserves the right not to apply the Convention to Tonga.

Declaration:

"Secondly, the Kingdom of Tonga wishes to state its understanding of certain articles in the Convention. It interprets article 4 as requiring a party to the Convention to adopt further legislative measures in the fields covered by sub-paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of that article only in so far as it may consider with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the rights expressly set forth in article 5 of the Convention (in particular the right to freedom of opinion and expression and the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association) that some legislative addition to or variation of existing law and practice in those fields is necessary for the attainment of the end specified in the earlier part of article 4. Further, the Kingdom of Tonga interprets the requirement in article 6 concerning 'reparation or satisfaction' as being fulfilled if one or

^{6b} See footnote 5a, p. 90.

other of these forms of redress is made available and interprets 'satisfaction' as including any form of redress effective to bring the discriminatory conduct to an end. In addition it interprets article 20 and the other related provisions of Part III of the Convention as meaning that if a reservation is not accepted the State making the reservation does not become a Party to the Convention.

"Lastly, the Kingdom of Tonga maintains its position in regard to article 15. In its view this article is discriminatory in that it establishes a procedure for the receipt of petitions relating to dependent territories while making no comparable provision for States without such territories. Moreover, the article purports to establish a procedure applicable to the dependent territories of States whether or not those States have become parties to the Convention. His Majesty's Government have decided that the Kingdom of Tonga should accede to the Convention, these objections notwithstanding because of the importance they attach to the Convention as a whole."

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic states that the provision in article 17, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination whereby a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention is of a discriminatory nature, and hold that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, the Convention should be open to participation by all interested States without discrimination or restriction of any kind.

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referral of the dispute to the International Court.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics states that the provision in article 17, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination whereby a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention is of a discriminatory nature, and hold that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, the Convention should be open to participation by all interested States without discrimination or restriction of any kind.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referral of the dispute to the International Court.

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES⁷

"The accession of the United Arab Emirates to this Convention shall in no way amount to recognition of nor the establishment of any treaty relations with Israel."

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

On signature:

Subject to the following reservation and interpretative statements:

"First, in the present circumstances deriving from the usurpation of power in Rhodesia by the illegal régime, the United Kingdom must sign subject to a reservation of the right not to apply the Convention to Rhodesia unless and until the United Kingdom informs the Secretary-General of the United Nations that it is in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Convention in respect of that territory can be fully implemented.

"Secondly, the United Kingdom wishes to state its understanding of certain articles in the Convention. It interprets article 4 as requiring a party to the Convention to adopt further legislative measures in the fields covered by sub-paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of that article only in so far as it may consider with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the rights expressly set forth in article 5 of the Convention (in particular the right to freedom of opinion and expression and the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association) that some legislative addition to or variation of existing law and practice in those fields is necessary for the attainment of the end specified in the earlier part of article 4. Further, the United Kingdom interprets the requirement in article 6 concerning "reparation or satisfaction" as being fulfilled if one or other of these forms of redress is made available and interprets "satisfaction" as including any form of redress effective to bring the discriminatory conduct to an end. In addition it interprets article 20 and the other related provisions of Part III of the Convention as meaning that if a reservation is not accepted the State making the reservation does not become a Party to the Convention.

"Lastly, the United Kingdom maintains its position in regard to article 15. In its view this article is discriminatory in that it establishes a procedure for the receipt of petitions relating to dependent territories while making no comparable provision for States without such territories. Moreover, the article purports to establish a procedure applicable to the dependent territories of States whether or not those States have become parties to the Convention. Her Majesty's Government have decided that the United Kingdom should sign the Convention, these objections notwithstanding, because of the importance they attach to the Convention as a whole."

On ratification:

"First, the reservation and interpretative statements made by the United Kingdom at the time of signature of the Convention are maintained.

⁷ See footnote 5a, p. 90.

"Secondly, the United Kingdom does not regard the Commonwealth Immigrants Acts, 1962 and 1968, or their application, as involving any racial discrimination within the meaning of paragraph 1 of article 1, or any other provision of the Convention, and fully reserves its right to continue to apply those Acts.

"Lastly, to the extent, if any, that any law relating to elections in Fiji may not fulfil the obligations referred to in article 5 (c), that any law relating to land in Fiji which prohibits or restricts the alienation of land by the indigenous inhabitants may not fulfil the obligations referred to in article 5 (d) (v), or that the school system of Fiji may not fulfil the obligations referred

to in articles 2, 3 or 5 (e) (v), the United Kingdom reserves the right not to apply the Convention to Fiji."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"The Constitution of the United States contains provisions for the protection of individual rights, such as the right of free speech, and nothing in the Convention shall be deemed to require or to authorize legislation or other action by the United States of America incompatible with the provisions of the Constitution of the United States of America."

Declaration recognizing the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination in accordance with article 14 of the Convention

COSTA RICA

8 January 1974

Costa Rica recognizes the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination established under article 8 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, in accordance with article 14 of the Convention, to receive and consider communications from individuals or groups of individuals within its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation by the State of any of the rights set forth in the Convention.

NETHERLANDS

Upon ratification:

In accordance with article 14, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination concluded at New York on 7 March 1966, the Kingdom of the Netherlands recognizes, for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles, the competence of the Committee for the Elimination of Racial Discrimination to receive and consider communications from individuals or groups of individuals within its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation, by the Kingdom of the Netherlands, of any of the rights set forth in the above-mentioned Convention.

NORWAY

23 January 1976

"The Norwegian Government recognizes the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination to receive and consider communications

from individuals or groups of individuals within the jurisdiction of Norway claiming to be victims of a violation by Norway of any of the rights set forth in the International Convention of 21 December 1965 on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination according to article 14 of the said Convention, with the reservation that the Committee shall not consider any communication from an individual or group of individuals unless the Committee has ascertained that the same matter is not being examined or has not been examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement."

SWEDEN

Upon ratification:

". . . Sweden recognizes the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination to receive and consider communications from individuals or groups of individuals within the jurisdiction of Sweden claiming to be victims of a violation by Sweden of any of the rights set forth in the Convention, with the reservation that the Committee shall not consider any communication from an individual or a group of individuals unless the Committee has ascertained that the same matter is not being examined or has not been examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement."

URUGUAY

11 September 1972

The Government of Uruguay recognizes the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, under article 14 of the Convention.

3. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

Opened for signature at New York on 19 December 1966¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 3 January 1976, in accordance with article 27.^{1a}

REGISTRATION: 3 January 1976.

TEXT: Annex to General Assembly resolution 2200 (XXI) of 16 December 1966.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
ALGERIA	10 December 1968		
ARGENTINA	19 February 1968		
AUSTRALIA	18 December 1972	10 December	1975
AUSTRIA	10 December 1973		
BARBADOS		5 January	1973 a
BELGIUM	10 December 1968		
BULGARIA	8 October 1968	21 September	1970
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	19 March 1968	12 November	1973
CANADA		19 May	1976 a
CHILE	16 September 1969	10 February	1972
CHINA ²			
COLOMBIA	21 December 1966	29 October	1969
COSTA RICA	19 December 1966	29 November	1968
CYPRUS	9 January 1967	2 April	1969
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	7 October 1968	23 December	1975
DENMARK	20 March 1968	6 January	1972
ECUADOR	29 September 1967	6 March	1969
EGYPT	4 August 1967		
EL SALVADOR	21 September 1967		
FINLAND	11 October 1967	19 August	1975
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	27 March 1973	8 November	1973
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ^{2a}	9 October 1968	17 December	1973

¹ The Covenant was adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 2200 (XXI) of 16 December 1966. For the text of the resolution and the Covenant, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-first Session, Supplement No. 16 (A/6316)*, p. 49.

^{1a} The thirty-fifth instrument of ratification or accession was deposited with the Secretary-General on 3 October 1975. The Contracting States did not object to having those instruments accompanied with reservations taken into account under article 27 (1) for the purpose of determining the date of general entry into force of the Covenant.

² Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 5 October 1967. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

With reference to the above-mentioned signature, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Representatives or Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Bulgaria, Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Mongolia, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and Yugoslavia, stating that their Governments did not recognize the said signature as valid since the only Government authorized to represent China and to assume obligations on its behalf was the Government of the People's Republic of China.

In letters addressed to the Secretary-General in regard to the above-mentioned communications, the Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations stated that the Republic of China, a sovereign State and Member of the United Nations, had attended the twenty-first regular session of the General Assembly of the United Nations and contributed to the formulation of, and signed the Covenants and the Optional Protocol concerned, and that "any statements or reservations relating to the above-mentioned Covenants and Optional Protocol that are incompatible with or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China under these Covenants and Optional Protocol".

^{2a} With the following declaration: "... The said Covenant shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany except as far as Allied rights and responsibilities are affected."

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 5 July 1974 a communication from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics which states in part as follows:

By reason of their material content, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights of 19 December 1966 directly affect matters of security and status. With this in mind, the Soviet Union considers the statement made by the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension of the operation of these Covenants to Berlin (West) to be illegal and to have

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
GUINEA	28 February 1967	
GUYANA	22 August 1968	
HONDURAS	19 December 1966	
HUNGARY	25 March 1969	17 January 1974
ICELAND	30 December 1968	
IRAN	4 April 1968	24 June 1975
IRAQ	18 February 1969	25 January 1971
IRELAND	1 October 1973	
ISRAEL	19 December 1966	
ITALY	18 January 1967	
JAMAICA	19 December 1966	3 October 1975
JORDAN	30 June 1972	28 May 1975
KENYA		1 May 1972 a
LEBANON		3 November 1972 a
LIBERIA	18 April 1967	
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC		15 May 1970 a
LUXEMBOURG	26 November 1974	
MADAGASCAR	14 April 1970	22 September 1971
MALI		16 July 1974 a
MALTA	22 October 1968	
MAURITIUS		12 December 1973 a
MONGOLIA	5 June 1968	18 November 1974
NETHERLANDS	25 June 1969	
NEW ZEALAND	12 November 1968	
NORWAY	20 March 1968	13 September 1972
PANAMA	27 July 1976	
PHILIPPINES	19 December 1966	7 June 1974
POLAND	2 March 1967	
PORTUGAL	7 October 1976	
ROMANIA	27 June 1968	9 December 1974
RWANDA		16 April 1975 a
SENEGAL	6 July 1970	
SPAIN	28 September 1976	
SURINAM		28 December 1976 a
SWEDEN	29 September 1967	6 December 1971
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC		21 April 1969 a

no force in law, since, under the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, the treaty obligations of the Federal Republic of Germany affecting matters of security and status may not be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin

Communications identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, were received from the Governments of the German Democratic Republic (12 August 1974) and of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (16 August 1974).

In this regard, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America, in a communication received on 5 November 1974, made the following declaration:

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America wish to bring to the attention of the States Parties to the Covenants that the extension of the Covenants to the Western Sectors of Berlin received the prior authorization, under established procedures, of the authorities of France, the United Kingdom and the United States on the basis of their supreme authority in those Sectors.

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to point out that the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the primary purpose of both of which is the protection of the rights of the individual, are not treaties which 'by reason of their material content, directly affect matters of security and status'

"As for the references to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 which are contained in the communication made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics referred to in the Legal Counsel's Note, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to point out that, in a communication to the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics which is an integral part (Annex IV A) of the Quadripartite Agreement, they reaffirmed that, provided that matters of security and status are not affected, international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin. For its part the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, in a communication to the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States which is similarly an integral part (Annex IV B) of the Quadripartite Agreement, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such extension.

State	Signature		Ratification, accession (a)	
TUNISIA	30 April	1968	18 March	1969
UKRAINIAN SSR	20 March	1968	12 November	1973
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	18 March	1968	16 October	1973
UNITED KINGDOM ^{2b}	16 September	1968	20 May	1976
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA			11 June	1976 a
URUGUAY	21 February	1967	1 April	1970
VENEZUELA	24 June	1969		
YUGOSLAVIA	8 August	1967	2 June	1971
ZAIRE			1 November	1976 a

"In authorizing the extension of the Covenants to the Western Sectors of Berlin, as mentioned above, the authorities of France, the United Kingdom and the United States took all necessary measures to ensure that the Covenants cannot be applied in the Western Sectors of Berlin in such a way as to affect matters of security and status. Accordingly, the application of the Covenants to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect."

In a communication received on 6 December 1974, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated in part:

"By their note of 4 November 1974, circulated to all States Parties to either of the Covenants by C.N.306.1974.TREATIES-7 of 19 November 1974, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the communication of the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany shares the position set out in the note of the Three Powers. The extension of the Covenants to Berlin (West) continues in full force and effect."

On the same subject, the Secretary-General received the following communications:

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (13 February 1975):

The Soviet Union deems it essential to reassert its view that the extension by the Federal Republic of Germany of the operation of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights of 19 December 1966 to Berlin (West) is illegal, as stated in the note dated 4 July 1974 addressed to the Secretary-General (C.N.145.1974.TREATIES-3) of 5 August 1974.

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (8 July 1975—in relation to the declarations by the German Democratic Republic and by the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic received on 12 and 16 August 1974, respectively):

"The communications mentioned in the Notes listed above refer to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. This Agreement was concluded in Berlin between the Governments of the French Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America. The Governments sending these communications are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement and are therefore not competent to make authoritative comments on its provisions.

The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to bring the following to the attention of the States Parties to the instruments referred to in the above-mentioned communications. When authorising the extension of these instruments to the Western Sectors of Berlin, the authorities of the Three Powers, acting in the exercise of their supreme authority, ensured in accordance with established procedures that those instruments are applied in the Western Sectors of Berlin in such a way as not to affect matters of security and status.

Accordingly, the application of these instruments to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect.

The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not consider it necessary to respond to any further communications of a similar nature by States which are not signatories to the Quadripartite Agreement. This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of those Governments in this matter."

Federal Republic of Germany (19 September 1975—in relation to the declarations by the German Democratic Republic and the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic received on 12 and 16 August 1974, respectively):

"By their Note of 8 July 1975, disseminated by Circular Note . . . C.N.198.1975.TREATIES-6 of 13 August 1975, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the communications referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the Note of the Three Powers, wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned instruments extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect.

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter."

^{2b} The instrument of ratification contains a declaration to the effect that the Covenant is ratified in respect of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Bailiwick of Guernsey, the Bailiwick of Jersey, the Isle of Man, Belize, Bermuda, the British Virgin Islands, the Cayman Islands, the Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Gibraltar, the Gilbert Islands, Hong Kong, Montserrat, the Pitcairn Group, St. Helena and Dependencies, the Solomon Islands, the Turks and Caicos Islands and Tuvalu.

Declarations and Reservations

BARBADOS

"The Government of Barbados states that it reserves the right to postpone—

"(a) The application of sub-paragraph (a) (1) of article 7 of the Covenant in so far as it concerns the provision of equal pay to men and women for equal work;

"(b) The application of article 10(2) in so far as it relates to the special protection to be accorded mothers during a reasonable period during and after childbirth; and

"(c) The application of article 13(2) (a) of the Covenant, in so far as it relates to primary education; since, while the Barbados Government fully accepts the principles embodied in the same articles and undertakes to take the necessary steps to apply them in their entirety, the problems of implementation are such that full application of the principles in question cannot be guaranteed at this stage."

BULGARIA

"The People's Republic of Bulgaria deems it necessary to underline that the provisions of article 48, paragraphs 1 and 3, of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and article 26, paragraphs 1 and 3, of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, under which a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become parties to the Covenants, are of a discriminatory nature. These provisions are inconsistent with the very nature of the Covenants, which are universal in character and should be open for accession by all States. In accordance with the principle of sovereign equality, no State has the right to bar other States from becoming parties to a covenant of this kind."

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 26 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and of paragraph 1 of article 48 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, under which a number of States cannot become parties to these Covenants, are of a discriminatory nature and considers that the Covenants, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, should be open for participation by all States concerned without any discrimination or limitation.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Upon signature:

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of article 26, paragraph 1, of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights are in contradiction with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multilateral treaties governing matters of general interest.

Upon ratification:

The provision of article 26, paragraph 1, of the Covenant is in contradiction with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multilateral treaties regulating matters of general interest.

DENMARK^{2c}

"The Government of Denmark cannot, for the time being, undertake to comply entirely with the provisions of Article 7 (d) on remuneration for public holidays."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic considers that article 26, paragraph 1, of the Covenant runs counter to the principle that all States which are guided in their policies by the purposes and principles of the United Nations Charter have the right to become parties to conventions which affect the interests of all States.

HUNGARY

Upon signature:

"The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that paragraph 1 of article 26 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and paragraph 1 of article 48 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights according to which certain States may not become signatories to the said Covenants are of a discriminatory nature and are contrary to the basic principle of international law that multilateral treaties. These discriminatory provisions are incompatible with the objectives and purposes of the all States are entitled to become signatories to general Covenants."

Upon ratification:

"The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that the provisions of article 48, paragraphs 1 and 3, of . . . the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and article 26, paragraphs 1 and 3, of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights are inconsistent with the universal character of the Covenants. It follows from the principle of sovereign equality of States that the Covenants should be open for participation by all States without any discrimination or limitation."

IRAQ³

Upon signature:

"The entry of the Republic of Iraq as a party to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cul-

^{2c} In a communication received on 14 January 1976, the Government of Denmark notified the Secretary-General that it withdraws its reservation made prior with regard to article 7 (a) (i) on equal pay for equal work.

³ In two communications received by the Secretary-General on 10 July 1969 and 23 March 1971 respectively, the Government of Israel declared that it "has noted the political character of the declaration made by the Government of Iraq on signing and ratifying the above Covenants. In the view of the Government of Israel, these two Covenants are not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity."

tural Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights shall in no way signify recognition of Israel nor shall it entail any obligations towards Israel under the said two Covenants."

"The entry of the Republic of Iraq as a party to the above two Covenants shall not constitute entry by it as a party to the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights."

Upon ratification:

"Ratification by Iraq . . . shall in no way signify recognition of Israel nor shall it be conducive to entry with her into such dealings as are regulated by the said [Covenant]."

KENYA

"While the Kenya Government recognizes and endorses the principles laid down in paragraph 2 of article 10 of the Covenant, the present circumstances obtaining in Kenya do not render necessary or expedient the imposition of those principles by legislation".

LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC⁴

"The acceptance and the accession to this Covenant by the Libyan Arab Republic shall in no way signify a recognition of Israel or be conducive to entry by the Libyan Arab Republic into such dealings with Israel as are regulated by the Covenant."

MADAGASCAR

The Government of Madagascar states that it reserves the right to postpone the application of article 13, paragraph 2, of the Covenant, more particularly in so far as relates to primary education, since, while the Malagasy Government fully accepts the principles embodied in the said paragraph and undertakes to take the necessary steps to apply them in their entirety at the earliest possible date, the problems of implementation, and particularly the financial implications, are such that full application of the principles in question cannot be guaranteed at this stage.

MALTA

"The Government of Malta recognises and endorses the principles laid down in paragraph 2 of article 10 of the Covenant. However, the present circumstances obtaining in Malta do not render necessary and do not render expedient the imposition of those principles by legislation."

MONGOLIA

Declaration made upon signature and renewed upon ratification:

[Same declaration, *mutatis mutandis*, as the one reproduced under "Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic": see page 98.]

Identical communications, *mutatis mutandis*, were received by the Secretary-General from the Government of Israel on 9 July 1969 in respect of the declaration made on accession by the Government of Syria, and on 29 June 1970 in respect of the declaration made on accession by the Government of Libya. In the latter communication, the Government of Israel moreover stated that the declaration concerned "cannot in any way affect the obligations of the Libyan Arab Republic already existing under general international law".

NORWAY

Subject to reservations to article 8, paragraph 1 (d) "to the effect that the current Norwegian practice of referring labour conflicts to the State Wages Board (a permanent tripartite arbitral commission in matters of wages) by Act of Parliament for the particular conflict, shall not be considered incompatible with the right to strike, this right being fully recognised in Norway."

ROMANIA

Upon signature:

The Government of the Socialist Republic of Romania declares that the provisions of article 26, paragraph 1, of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights are at variance with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multilateral treaties governing matters of general interest.

Upon ratification:

(a) The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of article 26 (1) of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights are inconsistent with the principle that multilateral international treaties whose purposes concern the international community as a whole must be open to universal participation.

(b) The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance in a state of dependence of certain territories referred to in articles 1 (3) and 14 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights is inconsistent with the Charter of the United Nations and the instruments adopted by the Organization on the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, adopted unanimously by the United Nations General Assembly in its resolution 2625 (XXV) of 1970, which solemnly proclaims the duty of States to promote the realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring a speedy end to colonialism.

RWANDA

The Rwandese Republic [is] bound, however, in respect of education, only by the provisions of its Constitution.

SWEDEN

Sweden enters a reservation in connexion with article 7 (d) of the Covenant in the matter of the right to remuneration for public holidays.

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC⁴

1. The accession of the Syrian Arab Republic to these two Covenants shall in no way signify recognition of Israel or entry into a relationship with it regarding any matter regulated by the said two Covenants.

2. The Syrian Arab Republic considers that paragraph 1 of article 26 of the Covenant on Economic,

⁴ See footnote 3, p. 98.

Social and Cultural Rights and paragraph 1 of article 48 of the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights are incompatible with the purposes and objectives of the said Covenants, inasmuch as they do not allow all States, without distinction or discrimination, the opportunity to become parties to the said Covenants.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

[*Same declaration, mutatis mutandis, as the one reproduced under "Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic": see page 98.*]

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

[*Same declaration, mutatis mutandis, as the one reproduced under "Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic": see page 98.*]

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Upon signature:

"First, the Government of the United Kingdom declare their understanding that, by virtue of Article 103 of the Charter of the United Nations, in the event of any conflict between their obligations under Article 1 of the Covenant and their obligations under the Charter (in particular, under Articles 1, 2 and 73 thereof) their obligations under the Charter shall prevail.

"Secondly, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that they must reserve the right to postpone the application of sub-paragraph (a)(i) of Article 7 of the Covenant in so far as it concerns the provision of equal pay to men and women for equal work, since, while they fully accept this principle and are pledged to work towards its complete application at the earliest possible time, the problems of implementation are such that complete application cannot be guaranteed at present.

"Thirdly, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that, in relation to Article 8 of the Covenant, they must reserve the right not to apply sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 in Hong Kong, in so far as it may involve the right of trade unions not engaged in the same trade or industry to establish federations or confederations.

"Lastly, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that the provisions of the Covenant shall not apply to Southern Rhodesia unless and until they inform the Secretary-General of the United Nations

that they are in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Covenant in respect of that territory can be fully implemented."

Upon ratification:

"Firstly the Government of the United Kingdom maintain their declaration in respect of article 1 made at the time of signature of the Covenant.

"The Government of the United Kingdom declare that for the purposes of article 2(3) the British Virgin Islands, the Cayman Islands, the Gilbert Islands, the Pitcairn Islands Group, St. Helena and Dependencies, the Turks and Caicos Islands and Tuvalu are developing countries.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to interpret article 6 as not precluding the imposition of restrictions, based on place of birth or residence qualifications, on the taking of employment in any particular region or territory for the purpose of safeguarding the employment opportunities of workers in that region or territory.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to postpone the application of sub-paragraph (i) of paragraph (a) of Article 7, in so far as it concerns the provision of equal pay to men and women for equal work in the private sector in Jersey, Guernsey, the Isle of Man, Bermuda, Hong Kong and the Solomon Islands.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right not to apply sub-paragraph 1(b) of article 8 in Hong Kong.

"The Government of the United Kingdom while recognising the right of everyone to social security in accordance with article 9 reserve the right to postpone implementation of the right in the Cayman Islands and the Falkland Islands because of shortage of resources in these territories.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to postpone the application of paragraph 1 of article 10 in regard to a small number of customary marriages in the Solomon Islands and the application of paragraph 2 of article 10 in so far as it concerns paid maternity leave in Bermuda and the Falkland Islands.

"The Government of the United Kingdom maintain the right to postpone the application of sub-paragraph (a) of paragraph 2 of article 13, and article 14, in so far as they require compulsory primary education, in the Gilbert Islands, the Solomon Islands and Tuvalu.

"Lastly the Government of the United Kingdom declare that the provisions of the Covenant shall not apply to Southern Rhodesia unless and until they inform the Secretary-General of the United Nations that they are in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Covenant in respect of that territory can be fully implemented."

4. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

Opened for signature at New York on 19 December 1966¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 23 March 1976, in accordance with article 49.

TEXT: Annex to General Assembly resolution 2200 (XXI) of 16 December 1966.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
ALGERIA	10 December 1968	
ARGENTINA	19 February 1968	
AUSTRALIA	18 December 1972	
AUSTRIA	10 December 1973	
BARBADOS		5 January 1973 <i>a</i>
BELGIUM	10 December 1968	
BULGARIA	8 October 1968	21 September 1970
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	19 March 1968	12 November 1973
CANADA		19 May 1976 <i>a</i>
CHILE	16 September 1969	10 February 1972
CHINA ²		
COLOMBIA	21 December 1966	29 October 1969
COSTA RICA	19 December 1966	29 November 1968
CYPRUS	19 December 1966	2 April 1969
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	7 October 1968	23 December 1975
DENMARK	20 March 1968	6 January 1972
ECUADOR	4 April 1968	6 March 1969
EGYPT	4 August 1967	
EL SALVADOR	21 September 1967	
FINLAND	11 October 1967	19 August 1975
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	27 March 1973	8 November 1973
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³	9 October 1968	17 December 1973
GUINEA	28 February 1967	
GUYANA	22 August 1968	
HONDURAS	19 December 1966	
HUNGARY	25 March 1969	17 January 1974
ICELAND	30 December 1968	
IRAN	4 April 1968	24 June 1975
IRAQ	18 February 1969	25 January 1971
IRELAND	1 October 1973	
ISRAEL	19 December 1966	
ITALY	18 January 1967	
JAMAICA	19 December 1966	3 October 1975
JORDAN	30 June 1972	28 May 1975
KENYA		1 May 1972 <i>a</i>
LEBANON		3 November 1972 <i>a</i>
LIBERIA	18 April 1967	
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC		15 May 1970 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG	26 November 1974	
MADAGASCAR	17 September 1969	21 June 1971
MALI		16 July 1974 <i>a</i>
MAURITIUS		12 December 1973 <i>a</i>
MONGOLIA	5 June 1968	18 November 1974
NETHERLANDS	25 June 1969	

¹ The Covenant was adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 2200 (XXI) of 16 December 1966. For the text of the resolution and the Covenant, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-first Session, Supplement No. 16 (A/6316)*, p. 49.

² See footnote 2, p. 95.

³ With the following declaration: "... The said Covenant shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany except as far as Allied rights and responsibilities are affected."

For the communications on this subject addressed to the Secretary-General by various Governments, see footnote 2a, p. 95.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
NEW ZEALAND	12 November 1968	
NORWAY	20 March 1968	13 September 1972
PANAMA	27 July 1976	
PHILIPPINES	19 December 1966	
POLAND	2 March 1967	
PORTUGAL	7 October 1976	
ROMANIA	27 June 1968	9 December 1974
RWANDA		16 April 1975 <i>a</i>
SENEGAL	6 July 1970	
SPAIN	28 September 1976	
SURINAM		28 December 1976 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	29 September 1967	6 December 1971
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC		21 April 1969 <i>a</i>
TUNISIA	30 April 1968	18 March 1969
UKRAINIAN SSR	20 March 1968	12 November 1973
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	18 March 1968	16 October 1973
UNITED KINGDOM ⁴	16 September 1968	20 May 1976
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA		11 June 1976 <i>a</i>
URUGUAY	21 February 1967	1 April 1970
VENEZUELA	24 June 1969	
YUGOSLAVIA	8 August 1967	2 June 1971
ZAIRE		1 November 1976 <i>a</i>

⁴ The instrument of ratification contains a declaration to the effect that the Covenant is ratified in respect of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Bailiwick of Guernsey, the Bailiwick of Jersey, the Isle of Man, Belize, Bermuda, the British Virgin Islands, the Cayman Islands, the Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Gibraltar, the Gilbert Islands, Hong Kong, Montserrat, the Pitcairn Group, St. Helena and Dependencies, the Solomon Islands, the Turks and Caicos Islands and Tuvalu.

Declarations and Reservations

BARBADOS

"The Government of Barbados states that it reserves the right not to apply in full, the guarantee of free legal assistance in accordance with paragraph 3(d) of Article 14 of the Covenant, since, while accepting the principles contained in the same paragraph, the problems of implementation are such that full application cannot be guaranteed at present."

BULGARIA

[For the text of the declaration, see p. 98.]

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

[For the text of the declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification, see p. 98.]

CHILE

7 September 1976

Notification under article 4 of the Covenant

Chile signed the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and ratified it on 10 February 1972. This Covenant entered into force internationally on [23] March 1976.

As you are aware, my country has been under a state of siege for reasons of internal defence since 11 March 1976; the state of siege was legally proclaimed by Legislative Decree No. 1,369.

The proclamation was made in accordance with the constitutional provisions concerning state of siege, which have been in force since 1925, in view of the inescapable duty of the government authorities to preserve public order and the fact that there continue to exist in Chile extremist seditious groups whose aim is to overthrow the established Government.

As a consequence of the proclamation of the state of siege, the rights referred to in articles 9, 12, 13, 19 and 25 (b) of the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights have been restricted in Chile.

Derogation from these rights is expressly authorized by article 4 (1) of the Covenant.

I am informing the other States Parties of the foregoing, through you, in accordance with the provisions of article 4 (3) of the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Upon signature:

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of article 48, paragraph 1, of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights are in contradiction with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multilateral treaties governing matters of general interest.

Upon ratification:

The provision of article 48, paragraph 1, is in contradiction with the principle that all States have the

right to become parties to multilateral treaties regulating matters of general interest.

DENMARK

"1. The Government of Denmark makes a reservation in respect of Article 10, paragraph 3, second sentence. In Danish practice, considerable efforts are made to ensure appropriate age distribution of convicts serving sentences of imprisonment, but it is considered valuable to maintain possibilities of flexible arrangements.

"2. (a). Article 14, paragraph 1, shall not be binding on Denmark in respect of public hearings.

In Danish law, the right to exclude the press and the public from trials may go beyond what is permissible under this Covenant, and the Government of Denmark finds that this right should not be restricted.

"(b). Article 14, paragraphs 5 and 7, shall not be binding on Denmark.

The Danish Administration of Justice Act contains detailed provisions regulating the matters dealt with in these two paragraphs. In some cases, Danish legislation is less restrictive than the Covenant (e.g. a verdict returned by a jury on the question of guilt cannot be reviewed by a higher tribunal, cf. paragraph 5); in other cases, Danish legislation is more restrictive than the Covenant (e.g. with respect to resumption of a criminal case in which the accused party was acquitted, cf. paragraph 7).

"3. Reservation is further made to Article 20, paragraph 1. This reservation is in accordance with the vote cast by Denmark in the XVI General Assembly of the United Nations in 1961 when the Danish Delegation, referring to the preceding article concerning freedom of expression, voted against the prohibition against propaganda for war."

FINLAND

Upon ratification:

Reservations

"1. With respect to article 9, paragraph 3, of the Covenant Finland declares that according to the present Finnish legislation the administrative authorities may take decisions concerning arrest or imprisonment, in which event the case is taken up for decision in court only after a certain time lapse;

"2. With respect to article 10, paragraph 2 (b) and 3, of the Covenant, Finland declares that although juvenile offenders are, as a rule, segregated from adults, it does not deem appropriate to adopt an absolute prohibition not allowing for more flexible arrangements;

"3. With respect to article 13 of the Covenant, Finland declares that the article does not correspond to the present Finnish legislation regarding an alien's right to be heard or lodge a complaint in respect of a decision concerning his expulsion;

"4. With respect to article 14, paragraph 1, of the Covenant, Finland declares that under Finnish law a sentence can be declared secret if its publication could be an affront to morals or endanger national security;

"5. With respect to article 14, paragraph 3 (d), of the Covenant, Finland declares that the contents of this

paragraph do not correspond to the present legislation in Finland inasmuch as it is a question of the defendant's absolute right to have legal assistance already at the stage of preliminary investigations;

"6. With respect to article 14, paragraph 7, of the Covenant, Finland declares that it is going to pursue its present practice, according to which a sentence can be changed to the detriment of the convicted person, if it is established that a member or an official of the court, the prosecutor or the legal counsel have through criminal or fraudulent activities obtained the acquittal of the defendant or a substantially more lenient penalty, or if false evidence has been presented with the same effect, and according to which an aggravated criminal case may be taken up for reconsideration if within a year until then unknown evidence is presented, which would have led to conviction or a substantially more severe penalty;

"7. With respect to article 20, paragraph 1, of the Covenant, Finland declares that it will not apply the provisions of this paragraph, this being compatible with the standpoint Finland already expressed at the 16th United Nations General Assembly by voting against the prohibition of propaganda for war, on the grounds that this might endanger the freedom of expression referred in article 19 of the Covenant."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic considers that article 48, paragraph 1, of the Covenant runs counter to the principle that all States which are guided in their policies by the purposes and principles of the United Nations Charter have the right to become parties to conventions which affect the interests of all States.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"1. Articles 19, 21 and 22 in conjunction with Article 2 (1) of the Covenant shall be applied within the scope of Article 16 of the Convention of 4 November 1950 for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.

"2. Article 14 (3) (d) of the Covenant shall be applied in such manner that it is for the court to decide whether an accused person held in custody has to appear in person at the hearing before the court of review (*Revisionsgericht*).

"3. Article 14 (5) of the Covenant shall be applied in such manner that:

(a) A further appeal does not have to be instituted in all cases solely on the grounds the accused person—having been acquitted by the lower court—was convicted for the first time in the proceedings concerned by the appellate court.

(b) In the case of criminal offences of minor gravity the review by a higher tribunal of a decision not imposing imprisonment does not have to be admitted in all cases.

"4. Article 15 (1) of the Covenant shall be applied in such manner that when provision is made by law for the imposition of a lighter penalty the hitherto applicable law may for certain exceptional categories of cases

remain applicable to criminal offences committed before the law was amended."

HUNGARY

[For the text of the declaration, see p. 98.]

IRAQ

[For the text of the declarations, see p. 98.]

LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC

[For the text of the declaration, see p. 99.]

MONGOLIA

Declaration made upon signature and renewed upon ratification:

[Same declaration, mutatis mutandis, as the one reproduced under "Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic"; see page 98.]

NORWAY

Subject to reservations to article 6, paragraph 4, article 10, paragraph 2 (b) and paragraph 3 "with regard to the obligation to keep accused juvenile persons and juvenile offenders segregated from adults" and to article 14, paragraphs 5 and 7 and to article 20, paragraph 1.

ROMANIA

Upon signature:

The Government of the Socialist Republic of Romania declares that the provisions of article 48, paragraph 1, of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights are at variance with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multi-lateral treaties governing matters of general interest.

Upon ratification:

(a) The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of article 48 (1) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights are inconsistent with the principle that multi-lateral international treaties whose purposes concern the international community as a whole must be open to universal participation.

(b) The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance in a state of dependence of certain territories referred to in article 1 (3) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights is inconsistent with the Charter of the United Nations and the instruments adopted by the Organization on the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, adopted unanimously by the United Nations General Assembly in its resolution 2625 (XXV) of 1970, which solemnly proclaims the duty of States to promote the realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring a speedy end to colonialism.

SWEDEN

Sweden reserves the right not to apply the provisions of article 10, paragraph 3, with regard to the obligation to segregate juvenile offenders from adults, the provisions of article 14, paragraph 7, and the provisions of article 20, paragraph 1, of the Covenant.

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC

[For the text of the declaration, see p. 99.]

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

[Same declaration, mutatis mutandis, as the one reproduced under "Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic": see page 98.]

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

[Same declaration, mutatis mutandis, as the one reproduced under "Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic": see page 98.]

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Upon signature:

"First, the Government of the United Kingdom declare their understanding that, by virtue of Article 103 of the Charter of the United Nations, in the event of any conflict between their obligations under Article 1 of the Covenant and their obligations under the Charter (in particular, under Articles 1, 2 and 73 thereof) their obligations under the Charter shall prevail.

"Secondly, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that:

"(a) In relation to Article 14 of the Covenant, they must reserve the right not to apply, or not to apply in full, the guarantee of free legal assistance contained in sub-paragraph (d) of paragraph 3 in so far as the shortage of legal practitioners and other considerations render the application of this guarantee in British Honduras, Fiji and St. Helena impossible;

"(b) In relation to Article 23 of the Covenant, they must reserve the right not to apply the first sentence of paragraph 4 in so far as it concerns any inequality which may arise from the operation of the law of domicile;

"(c) In relation to Article 25 of the Covenant, they must reserve the right not to apply:

"(i) Sub-paragraph (b) in so far as it may require the establishment of an elected legislature in Hong Kong and the introduction of equal suffrage, as between different electoral rolls, for elections in Fiji; and

"(ii) Sub-paragraph (c) in so far as it applies to jury service in the Isle of Man and to the employment of married women in the Civil Service of Northern Ireland, Fiji, and Hong Kong.

"Lastly the Government of the United Kingdom declare that the provisions of the Covenant shall not apply to Southern Rhodesia unless and until they inform the Secretary-General of the United Nations that they are in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Covenant in respect of that territory can be fully implemented."

Upon ratification:

"Firstly the Government of the United Kingdom maintain their declaration in respect of article 1 made at the time of signature of the Covenant.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to apply to members of and persons serving with the armed forces of the Crown and to persons lawfully detained in penal establishments of whatever character such laws and procedures as they may from time to time deem to be necessary for the preservation of service and custodial discipline and their acceptance of the provisions of the Covenant is subject to such restrictions as may for these purposes from time to time be authorised by law.

"Where at any time there is a lack of suitable prison facilities or where the mixing of adults and juveniles is deemed to be mutually beneficial, the Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right not to apply article 10(2)(b) and 10(3), so far as those provisions require juveniles who are detained to be accommodated separately from adults, and not to apply article 10(2)(a) in Gibraltar, Montserrat and the Turks and Caicos Islands in so far as it requires segregation of accused and convicted persons.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right not to apply article 11 in Jersey.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to interpret the provisions of article 12(1) relating to the territory of a State as applying separately to each of the territories comprising the United Kingdom and its dependencies.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to continue to apply such immigration legislation governing entry into, stay in and departure from the United Kingdom as they may deem necessary from time to time and, accordingly, their acceptance of article 12(4) and of the other provisions of the Covenant is subject to the provisions of any such legislation as regards persons not at the time having the right under the law of the United Kingdom to enter and remain in the United Kingdom. The United Kingdom also reserves a similar right in regard to each of its dependent territories.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right not to apply article 13 in Hong Kong in so far as it confers a right of review of a decision to deport an alien and a right to be represented for this purpose before the competent authority.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right not to apply or not to apply in full the guarantee of free legal assistance in sub-paragraph (d) of paragraph 3 of article 14 in so far as the shortage of legal practitioners renders the application of this guarantee impossible in the British Virgin Islands, the

Cayman Islands, the Falkland Islands, the Gilbert Islands, the Pitcairn Islands Group, St. Helena and Dependencies and Tuvalu.

"The Government of the United Kingdom interpret article 20 consistently with the rights conferred by articles 19 and 21 of the Covenant and having legislated in matters of practical concern in the interests of public order (ordre public) reserve the right not to introduce any further legislation. The United Kingdom also reserve a similar right in regard to each of its dependent territories.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to postpone the application of paragraph 3 of article 23 in regard to a small number of customary marriages in the Solomon Islands.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to enact such nationality legislation as they may deem necessary from time to time to reserve the acquisition and possession of citizenship under such legislation to those having sufficient connection with the United Kingdom or any of its dependent territories and accordingly their acceptance of article 24(3) and of the other provisions of the Covenant is subject to the provisions of any such legislation.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right not so apply sub-paragraph (b) of article 25 in so far as it may require the establishment of an elected Executive or Legislative Council in Hong Kong and sub-paragraph (c) of article 25 in so far as it relates to jury service in the Isle of Man.

"Lastly the Government of the United Kingdom declare that the provisions of the Covenant shall not apply to Southern Rhodesia unless and until they inform the Secretary-General of the United Nations that they are in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Covenant in respect of that territory can be fully implemented."

Notification under article 4(3) of the Covenant

"The Government of the United Kingdom notify other States Parties to the present Covenant, in accordance with article 4, of their intention to take and continue measures derogating from their obligations under the Covenant.

"There have been in the United Kingdom in recent years campaigns of organised terrorism related to Northern Irish affairs which have manifested themselves in activities which have included murder, attempted murder, maiming, intimidation and violent civil disturbances and in bombing and fire-raising which have resulted in death, injury and widespread destruction of property. This situation constitutes a public emergency within the meaning of article 4(1) of the Covenant. The emergency commenced prior to the ratification by the United Kingdom of the Covenant and legislation has, from time to time, been promulgated with regard to it.

"The Government of the United Kingdom have found it necessary (and in some cases continue to find it necessary) to take powers, to the extent strictly required by the exigencies of the situation, for the protection of life, for the protection of property and the prevention of outbreaks of public disorder, and including the exercise

of powers of arrest and detention and exclusion. In so far as any of these measures is inconsistent with the provisions of Articles 9, 10(2), 10(3), 12(1), 14, 17,

19(2), 21 or 22 of the Covenant, the United Kingdom hereby derogates from its obligations under those provisions."

Declarations recognizing the competence of the Human Rights Committee under article 41

(For entry into force of the provisions relating to the Committee, see article 41(2))

DENMARK

10 December 1971

"The Danish Government recognizes, for a period of two years from the entry into force of the Covenant, the competence of the Committee referred to in article 28 to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant".

FINLAND

19 August 1975

"Finland declares, under article 41 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights that it recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee referred to in article 28 of the said Covenant, to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligation under this Covenant."

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

22 April 1976

"The Federal Republic of Germany in accordance with article 41 of the said Covenant recognizes for a period of two years from the entry into force of that article the competence of the Human Rights Committee to receive and consider communications from a State Party in so far as that State Party has recognized in regard to itself the competence of the Committee and as corresponding obligations have been assumed under the Covenant by the Federal Republic of Germany and by the State Party concerned."

NORWAY

31 August 1972

"Norway recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee referred to in article 28 of the Covenant, to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant."

SWEDEN

26 November 1971

"Sweden recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee referred to in article 28 of the Covenant to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant."

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

20 May 1976

"The Government of the United Kingdom declare under article 41 of this Covenant that it recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee to receive and consider communications submitted by another State Party, provided that such other State Party has, not less than twelve months prior to the submission by it of a communication relating to the United Kingdom, made a declaration under article 41 recognizing the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications relating to itself."

5. Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

Opened for signature at New York on 19 December 1966¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 23 March 1976, in accordance with article 9.

TEXT: Annex to General Assembly resolution 2200 (XXI) of 16 December 1966.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRIA	10 December 1973		
BARBADOS		5 January	1973 <i>a</i>
CANADA		19 May	1976 <i>a</i>
CHINA ²			
COLOMBIA	21 December 1966	29 October	1969
COSTA RICA	19 December 1966	29 November	1968
CYPRUS	19 December 1966		
DENMARK	20 March 1968	6 January	1972
ECUADOR	4 April 1968	6 March	1969
EL SALVADOR	21 September 1967		
FINLAND	11 December 1967	19 August	1975
GUINEA	19 March 1975		
HONDURAS	19 December 1966		
ITALY	30 April 1976		
JAMAICA	19 December 1966	3 October	1975
MADAGASCAR	17 September 1969	21 June	1971
MAURITIUS		12 December	1973 <i>a</i>
NETHERLANDS	25 June 1969		
NORWAY	20 March 1968	13 September	1972
PANAMA	27 July 1976		
PHILIPPINES	19 December 1966		
SENEGAL	6 July 1970		
SURINAM		28 December	1976 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	29 September 1967	6 December	1971
URUGUAY	21 February 1967	1 April	1970
VENEZUELA	15 November 1976		
ZAIRE		1 November	1976 <i>a</i>

¹ The Optional Protocol was adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 2200 (XXI) of 16 December 1966. For the text of the resolution and the Optional Protocol, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-first Session, Supplement No. 16 (A/6316)*, p. 49.

² See footnote 2, p. 95.

Declarations and Reservations

DENMARK³

“With reference to Article 5, paragraph 2 (a), the Government of Denmark makes a reservation with respect to the Competence of the Committee to consider a communication from an individual if the matter has already been considered under other procedures of international investigation.”

NORWAY³

Subject to the following reservation to article 5, paragraph 2:

“... The Committee shall not have competence to consider a communication from an individual if the same

matter has already been examined under other procedures of international investigation or settlement.”

SWEDEN³

On the understanding that the provisions of article 5, paragraph 2, of the Protocol signify that the Human Rights Committee provided for in article 28 of the said Covenant shall not consider any communication from an individual unless it has ascertained that the same matter is not being examined or has not been examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement.

³ See p. 106 for the text of the declarations by which Denmark, Norway and Sweden recognized the competence of the Human Rights Committee established under article 4 of the Covenant.

6. Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 26 November 1968¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 11 November 1970, in accordance with article VIII.

REGISTRATION: 11 November 1970, No. 10823.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 754.

State	Signature		Ratification, accession (a)	
ALBANIA			19 May	1971 a
BULGARIA	21 January	1969	21 May	1969
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	7 January	1969	8 May	1969
CUBA			13 September	1972 a
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	21 May	1969	13 August	1970
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			27 March	1973 a
GUINEA			7 June	1971 a
HUNGARY	25 March	1969	24 June	1969
INDIA			12 January	1971 a
KENYA			1 May	1972 a
MEXICO	3 July	1969		
MONGOLIA	31 January	1969	21 May	1969
NIGERIA			1 December	1970 a
PHILIPPINES			15 May	1973 a
POLAND	16 December	1968	14 February	1969
ROMANIA	17 April	1969	15 September	1969
RWANDA			16 April	1975 a
TUNISIA			15 June	1972 a
UKRAINIAN SSSR	14 January	1969	19 June	1969
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	6 January	1969	22 April	1969
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAM- EROON			6 October	1972 a
YUGOSLAVIA	16 December	1968	9 June	1970

¹ Resolution 2391 (XXIII); see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-third Session, Supplement No. 18 (A/7218)*, p. 40. The Convention was opened for signature at New York on 16 December 1968.

Declarations

ALBANIA

The Government of the People's Republic of Albania states that the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity are unacceptable because, in preventing a number of States from becoming parties to the Convention, they are discriminatory in nature and thus violate the principle of the sovereign equality of States and are incompatible with the spirit and purposes of the Convention.

BULGARIA

The People's Republic of Bulgaria deems it necessary at the same time to declare that the provisions of arti-

cles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, which prevent a number of States from signing the Convention or acceding to it, are contrary to the principle of the sovereign equality of States.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, which prevent certain States from signing the Convention or acceding to it, are contrary to the principle of the sovereign equality of States.

CUBA

The Government of the Republic of Cuba declares that it regards the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity as discriminatory and contrary to the principle of the equality of States.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 26 November 1968, are in contradiction with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multilateral treaties governing matters of general interest."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic deems it necessary to state that articles V and VII of the Convention deprive a number of States of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention. As the Convention regulates matters affecting the interests of all States, it should be open to participation by all States whose policies are guided by the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations.

GUINEA

The Government of the Republic of Guinea considers that the dispositions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, adopted by the General Assembly on 26 November 1968, make it impossible for a number of States to become parties to the Convention and are therefore of a discriminatory character which is contradictory to the object and aims of this Convention.

The Government of the Republic of Guinea is of the opinion that, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, the Convention should be open to all States without any discrimination and limitation.

HUNGARY

"The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that the provisions contained in articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on November 26, 1968, which deny the possibility to certain States to become signatories to the

Convention are of discriminatory nature, violate the principles of sovereign equality of States and are more particularly incompatible with the objectives and purposes of the said Convention."

MONGOLIA

"The Mongolian People's Republic deems it necessary to state that the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity have discriminatory nature and seek to preclude certain States from participation in the Convention and declares that as the Convention deals with matters affecting the interests of all States it should be open to participation by all States without any discrimination or restriction."

POLAND

"The Polish People's Republic considers that the dispositions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, adopted by the General Assembly on the 26th of November 1968, make it impossible for a number of States to become parties to the Convention and are therefore of a discriminatory character which is contradictory to the object and aims of this Convention.

"The Polish People's Republic is of the opinion that, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, the Convention should be open to all States without any discrimination and limitation."

ROMANIA

The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania states that the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity are not compatible with the principle that multilateral international treaties, the subject and purpose of which concern the international community as a whole, should be open for universal participation.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

[*Same declaration, mutatis mutandis, as the one reproduced under "Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic": see p. 108.*]

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

[*Same declaration, mutatis mutandis, as the one reproduced under "Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic": see p. 108.*]

7. International Convention on the Suppression and Punishment of the Crime of *Apartheid*

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 30 November 1973¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 18 July 1976, in accordance with article XV, paragraph 1.

REGISTRATION: 18 July 1976.

TEXT: Annex to General Assembly resolution 3068 (XXVIII) of 30 November 1973.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
ALGERIA	23	January 1974		
ARGENTINA	6	June 1975		
BENIN	7	October 1974	30	December 1974
BULGARIA	27	June 1974	18	July 1974
BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SO- CIALIST REPUBLIC	4	March 1974	2	December 1975
CHAD	23	October 1974	23	October 1974
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	29	August 1975	25	March 1976
DEMOCRATIC YEMEN	31	July 1974		
ECUADOR	12	March 1975	12	May 1975
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC RE- PUBLIC	2	May 1974	12	August 1974
GUINEA	1	March 1974	3	March 1975
HUNGARY	26	April 1974	20	June 1974
IRAQ	1	July 1975	9	July 1975
JAMAICA	30	March 1976		
JORDAN	5	June 1974		
KENYA	2	October 1974		
LIBERIA			5	November 1976 a
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC			8	July 1976 a
MONGOLIA	17	May 1974	8	August 1975
NIGERIA	26	June 1974		
OMAN	3	April 1974		
PANAMA	7	May 1976		
PHILIPPINES	2	May 1974		
POLAND	7	June 1974	15	March 1976
QATAR	18	March 1975	19	March 1975
ROMANIA	6	September 1974		
RWANDA	15	October 1974		
SOMALIA	2	August 1974	28	January 1975
SUDAN	10	October 1974		
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	17	January 1974	18	June 1976
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	7	April 1975		
UGANDA	1	March 1975		
UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC	20	February 1974	10	November 1975
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	12	February 1974	26	November 1975
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	9	September 1975	15	October 1975
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON			1	November 1976 a
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA			11	June 1976 a
UPPER VOLTA	3	February 1976		
YUGOSLAVIA	17	October 1974	1	July 1975

¹ Resolution 3068 (XXVIII) of 30 November 1973. For the text of the resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-eighth Session, Supplement No. 30 (A/9030)*. The Convention was opened for signature at New York on 30 November 1973.

Declarations and Reservations

IRAQ

Upon ratification:

Ratification by the Republic of Iraq of the above Convention shall in no way imply recognition of Israel, or be conducive to the establishment of such relations therewith as may be provided for in the Convention.

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

Upon ratification:

“The ratification of the United Arab Emirates to this Convention shall in no way amount to recognition of nor the establishment of any treaty relations with Israel.”

CHAPTER V. REFUGEES AND STATELESS PERSONS

I. Constitution of the International Refugee Organization

Opened for signature at Flushing Meadow, New York, on 15 December 1946¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 August 1948, in accordance with article 18.

REGISTRATION: 20 August 1948, No. 283.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 18, p. 3.

Note: Resolution No. 108, adopted by the General Council of the International Refugee Organization at its 101st meeting on 15 February 1952, provided for the liquidation of the Organization.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to approval</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</i>
ARGENTINA	10 June 1947	
AUSTRALIA		13 May 1947 s
BELGIUM	1 May 1947	30 March 1948
BOLIVIA	5 June 1947	
BRAZIL	1 July 1947	
CANADA	16 December 1946	7 August 1947
CHINA ² ..		29 April 1947 s
DENMARK		20 August 1948 s
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	17 December 1946	22 October 1947
FRANCE	17 December 1946	3 March 1948
GUATEMALA	16 December 1946	28 July 1947
HONDURAS	18 December 1946	
ICELAND		12 May 1947 s
ITALY		24 March 1949 s
LIBERIA	31 December 1946	
LUXEMBOURG		5 August 1948
NETHERLANDS	28 January 1947	11 August 1947
NEW ZEALAND		17 March 1947 s
NORWAY	4 February 1947	18 August 1947
PANAMA ³	23 June 1947	
PERU	25 July 1947	
PHILIPPINES	18 December 1946	
SWITZERLAND		28 March 1949
UNITED KINGDOM		5 February 1947 s
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16 December 1946	3 July 1947
VENEZUELA ..	4 June 1948	13 September 1948

Declarations and Reservations

FRANCE

The said Constitution is ratified subject to the proviso that the French Government reserves the right to pay all or part of its contribution in francs or in kind.

¹ The Constitution was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 62 (I) of 15 December 1946. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Second Part of the First Session, Resolutions (A/64/Add.1)*, p. 97.

² See note, p. iii.

³ In a letter of 2 September 1947 addressed to the Secretary-General, the Permanent Representative of Panama stated that,

Furthermore, in pursuance of the tenth paragraph of the preamble of the said Constitution to the effect that the International Refugee Organization is of a non-permanent nature, the budgetary payments assigned to France may be made only for a maximum of three twelve-month period.

when signing the Constitution, he omitted to indicate that his signature was subject to ratification as specified in the full powers presented for this purpose, and requested that his signature be regarded as having been affixed subject to ratification

GUATEMALA

Subject to the provision that, in conformity with article 10, paragraph 2, of the Constitution of the International Refugee Organization, the Republic of Guatemala would pay its due contribution in kind according to the needs and ability of the country.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Accepted pursuant to the authority granted by the joint resolution of the Congress of the United States of America approved 1 July 1947 (Public Law 146, 80th Congress) . . . The above-mentioned joint resolution reads in part as follows: "Provided, however, that this authority is granted and the approval of the Congress of the acceptance of membership of the United

States in the International Refugee Organization is given upon condition and with the reservation that no agreement shall be concluded on behalf of the United States and no action shall be taken by any officer, agency, or any other person and acceptance of the Constitution of the Organization by or on behalf of the Government of the United States shall not constitute or authorize action (1) whereby any person shall be admitted to or settled or resettled in the United States or any of its Territories or possessions without prior approval thereof by the Congress, and this joint resolution shall not be construed as such prior approval, or (2) which will have the effect of abrogating, suspending, modifying, adding to, or superseding any of the immigration laws or any other laws of the United States."

2. Convention relating to the Status of Refugees

Done at Geneva on 28 July 1951¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 22 April 1954, in accordance with article 43.

REGISTRATION: 22 April 1954, No. 2545.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 189, p. 137.

State	Signature		Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)	
ALGERIA			21 February	1963 <i>d</i>
ARGENTINA			15 November	1961 <i>a</i>
AUSTRALIA			22 January	1954 <i>a</i>
AUSTRIA	28 July	1951	1 November	1954
BELGIUM	28 July	1951	22 July	1953
BENIN			4 April	1962 <i>d</i>
BOTSWANA			6 January	1969 <i>a</i>
BRAZIL	15 July	1952	16 November	1960
BURUNDI			19 July	1963 <i>a</i>
CANADA			4 June	1969 <i>a</i>
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE			4 September	1962 <i>d</i>
CHILE			28 January	1972 <i>a</i>
COLOMBIA	28 July	1951	10 October	1961
CONGO			15 October	1962 <i>d</i>
CYPRUS			16 May	1963 <i>d</i>
DENMARK	28 July	1951	4 December	1952
ECUADOR			17 August	1955 <i>a</i>
ETHIOPIA			10 November	1969 <i>a</i>
FIJI			12 June	1972 <i>d</i>
FINLAND			10 October	1968 <i>a</i>
FRANCE	11 September	1952	23 June	1954
GABON			27 April	1964 <i>a</i>
GAMBIA			7 September	1966 <i>d</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	19 November	1951	1 December	1953
GHANA			18 March	1963 <i>a</i>
GREECE	10 April	1952	5 April	1960
GUINEA			28 December	1965 <i>d</i>
GUINEA-BISSAU			11 February	1976 <i>a</i>
HOLY SEE	21 May	1952	15 March	1956
ICELAND			30 November	1955 <i>a</i>
IRAN			28 July	1976 <i>a</i>
IRELAND			29 November	1956 <i>a</i>
ISRAEL	1 August	1951	1 October	1954
ITALY	23 July	1952	15 November	1954
IVORY COAST			8 December	1961 <i>d</i>
JAMAICA			30 July	1964 <i>d</i>
KENYA			16 May	1966 <i>a</i>
LIBERIA			15 October	1964 <i>a</i>
LIECHTENSTEIN	28 July	1951	8 March	1957
LUXEMBOURG	28 July	1951	23 July	1953
MADAGASCAR			18 December	1967 <i>a</i>
MALI			2 February	1973 <i>d</i>
MALTA			17 June	1971 <i>a</i>
MONACO			18 May	1954 <i>a</i>

¹The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Status of Refugees and Stateless Persons, held at Geneva from 2 to 25 July 1951. The Conference was convened pursuant to resolution 429 (V), adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 14 December 1950. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifth Session, Supplement No. 20 (A/1775)*, p. 48. For the text of the Final Act, re-

solution and recommendations adopted by the Conference, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 189, p. 137.

²On 15 December 1955, a communication was received by the Secretary-General from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stating that the Convention also applies to *Land Berlin* as from the date of its entry into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)
MOROCCO		7 November 1956 <i>d</i>
NETHERLANDS	28 July 1951	3 May 1956
NEW ZEALAND		30 June 1960 <i>a</i>
NIGER		25 August 1961 <i>d</i>
NIGERIA		23 October 1967 <i>a</i>
NORWAY	28 July 1951	23 March 1953
PARAGUAY		1 April 1970 <i>a</i>
PERU		21 December 1964 <i>a</i>
PORTUGAL		22 December 1960 <i>a</i>
SENEGAL		2 May 1963 <i>d</i>
SUDAN		22 February 1974 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	28 July 1951	26 October 1954
SWITZERLAND	28 July 1951	21 January 1955
TOGO		27 February 1962 <i>d</i>
TUNISIA		24 October 1957 <i>d</i>
TURKEY	24 August 1951	30 March 1962
UGANDA		27 September 1976 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	28 July 1951	11 March 1954
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON		23 October 1961 <i>d</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA		12 May 1964 <i>a</i>
URUGUAY		22 September 1970 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	28 July 1951	15 December 1959
ZAIRE		19 July 1965 <i>a</i>
ZAMBIA		24 September 1969 <i>d</i>

Declarations under section B of article 1 of the Convention

States having declared that, for the purpose of their obligations under the Convention, the words "events occurring before 1 January 1951" in article 1, section A, shall be understood to mean:

(a) "Events occurring in Europe before 1 January 1951";

ARGENTINA	ITALY	PARAGUAY
BRAZIL	MADAGASCAR	PERU
CONGO	MONACO	TURKEY
	MALTA	

(b) "Events occurring in Europe or elsewhere before 1 January 1951";

ALGERIA ³	GHANA	NIGERIA
AUSTRALIA ⁴	GREECE	NORWAY
AUSTRIA	GUINEA ³	PORTUGAL
BELGIUM	GUINEA-BISSAU	SENEGAL ⁴
BENIN ⁴	HOLY SEE ⁴	SUDAN ⁴
BURUNDI	ICELAND	SWEDEN
CANADA	IRAN	SWITZERLAND
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE ⁴	IRELAND	TOGO ⁴
CHILE ⁴	ISRAEL	TUNISIA ³
COLOMBIA ⁵	IVORY COAST ⁴	UGANDA
CYPRUS	JAMAICA	UNITED KINGDOM
DENMARK	KENYA	UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON ⁴
ECUADOR ⁴	LIBERIA	UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA
ETHIOPIA	LIECHTENSTEIN	URUGUAY
FINLAND	LUXEMBOURG ⁴	YUGOSLAVIA
FRANCE ⁴	MALI ⁴	ZAIRE
GABON	MOROCCO ³	ZAMBIA
GAMBIA	NETHERLANDS	
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	NEW ZEALAND	
	NIGER ⁴	

³ The Governments of Algeria, Guinea, Morocco and Tunisia, on notifying the Secretary-General of the succession to the Convention, declared that they extend their obligations under the Convention by adopting alternative (b) of section B (1)

of article 1 of the Convention, that is to say, "events occurring in Europe or elsewhere before 1 January 1951".

⁴ Notifications of the extension of their obligations under the Convention by adopting alternative (b) of section B (1)

[Footnote continues on following page]

Other Declarations and Reservations

AUSTRALIA⁶AUSTRIA⁷

The Convention is ratified:

(a) Subject to the reservation that the Republic of Austria regards the provisions of article 17, paragraphs 1 and 2 (excepting, however, the phrase "who was already exempt from them at the date of entry into force of this Convention for the Contracting State concerned, or..." in the latter paragraph) not as a binding obligation, but merely as a recommendation.

(b) Subject to the reservation that the provisions of article 22, paragraph 1, shall not be applicable to the establishment and maintenance of private elementary schools, that the "public relief and assistance" referred to in article 23 shall be interpreted solely in the sense of allocations from public welfare funds (Armenversorgung), and that the "documents or certifications" referred to in article 25, paragraphs 2 and 3, shall be construed to mean the identity certificates provided for in the Convention of 30 June 1928 relating to refugees.

BELGIUM

1. In all cases where the Convention grants to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country, this provision shall not be interpreted by the Belgian Government as necessarily involving the régime accorded to nationals of countries with which Belgium has concluded regional customs, economic or political agreements.

2. Article 15 of the Convention shall not be applicable in Belgium; refugees lawfully staying in Belgian territory will enjoy the same treatment, as regards the right of association, as that accorded to aliens in general.

Footnote continued from previous page

of the Convention were received by the Secretary-General on the dates indicated:

Australia	1 December	1967
Benin	6 July	1970
Central African Empire	15 October	1962
Chile	28 January	1972
Ecuador	1 February	1972
France	3 February	1971
Holy See	17 November	1961
Ivory Coast	20 December	1966
Luxembourg	22 August	1972
Mali	2 February	1973
Niger	7 December	1964
Portugal	13 July	1976
Senegal	12 October	1964
Sudan	7 March	1974
Togo	23 October	1962
United Republic of Cameroon	29 December	1961

⁶ The declaration specifying alternative (a) of section B (1) of article 1 of the Convention, made on signature, was replaced by the Government of Colombia in its instrument of ratification by the declaration specifying alternative (b) of that section.

⁷ In a communication received on 1 December 1967, the Government of Australia notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservations to articles 17, 18, 19, 26 and 32, and, in a communication received by the Secretary-General on 11 March 1971, of the withdrawal of the reservation to

BOTSWANA

"Subject to the reservation of articles 7, 17, 26, 31, 32 and 34 and paragraph 1 of article 12 of the Convention."

BRAZIL^{7a}

CANADA

Reservations to articles 23 and 24:

"Canada interprets the phrase 'lawfully staying' as referring only to refugees admitted for permanent residence: refugees admitted for temporary residence will be accorded the same treatment with respect to the matters dealt with in articles 23 and 24 as is accorded visitors generally."

CHILE

(1) With the reservation that, with reference to the provisions of article 34, the Government of Chile will be unable to grant to refugees facilities greater than those granted to aliens in general, in view of the liberal nature of Chilean naturalization laws;

(2) With the reservation that the period specified in article 17, paragraph 2 (a) shall, in the case of Chile, be extended from three to ten years;

(3) With the reservation that article 17, paragraph 2 (c) shall apply only if the refugee is the widow or the widower of a Chilean spouse;

(4) With the reservation that the Government of Chile cannot grant a longer period for compliance with an expulsion order than that granted to other aliens in general under Chilean law.

CYPRUS⁸DENMARK⁹

"The obligation in article 17, paragraph 1, to accord to refugees lawfully staying in Denmark the most

paragraph 1 of article 28 of the Convention. For the text of those reservations, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 189, p. 202.

⁷ These reservations replace those made at the time of signature. For the text of reservations made on signature, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 189, p. 186.

^{7a} On 7 April 1972, the instrument of accession by the Government of Brazil to the Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees, done at New York on 31 January 1967, was deposited with the Secretary-General, in accordance with article V.

In the said instrument, the Government of Brazil withdraws its reservations excluding articles 15 and 17, paragraphs 1 and 3, from its application to the Convention and declares that "refugees will be granted the same treatment accorded to nationals of foreign countries in general, with the exception of the preferential treatment extended to nationals of Portugal through the Friendship and Consultation Treaty of 1953 and Article 199 of the Brazilian Constitutional Amendment No. 1, of 1969".

⁸ On notifying its succession to the Convention, the Government of Cyprus confirmed the reservations made at the time of the extension of the Convention to its territory by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. For the text of these reservations, see p. 125.

⁹ In a communication received on 23 August 1962, the Government of Denmark informed the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw as from 1 October 1961 the reservation to article 14 of the Convention.

In a communication received on 25 March 1968, the Government of Denmark informed the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw as from that date the reservations made on

[Footnote continues on following page]

favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country as regards the right to engage in wage-earning employment shall not be construed to mean that refugees shall be entitled to the privileges which in this respect are accorded to nationals of Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden."

ECUADOR

With respect to article 1, relating to the definition of the term "refugee", the Government of Ecuador declares that its accession to the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees does not imply its acceptance of the Conventions which have not been expressly signed and ratified by Ecuador.

With respect to article 15, Ecuador further declares that its acceptance of the provisions contained therein shall be limited in so far as those provisions are in conflict with the constitutional and statutory provisions in force prohibiting aliens, and consequently refugees, from being members of political bodies.

ETHIOPIA

"The provisions of articles 8, 9, 17 (2) and 22 (1) of the Convention are recognized only as recommendations and not as legally binding obligations."

FIJI

The Government of Fiji stated that the first and fourth reservations made by the United Kingdom are affirmed but have been redrafted as more suitable to the application of Fiji in the following terms:

"1. The Government of Fiji understands articles 8 and 9 as not preventing them from taking in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the ground of his nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of Fiji from exercising any rights over property and interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which at the date of entry into force of this Convention on behalf of Fiji were under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland or of the Government of Fiji respectively by reason of a state of war which existed between them and any other State.

"2. The Government of Fiji cannot undertake to give effect to the obligations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 3 so far as the law allows.

"Commentary: No arrangements exist in Fiji for the administrative assistance for which provision is made in article 25 nor have any such arrangements been found

footnote continued from previous page

ratification to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of article 24 and partially the reservation made on ratification to article 17, the latter reservation, with effect as from 25 March 1968, to read as quoted above. For the text of the reservations originally formulated by the Government of Denmark on ratification, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 189, p. 198.

necessary in the case of refugees. Any need for the documents or certifications mentioned in paragraph 2 of that article would be met by affidavits.

"All other reservation made by the United Kingdom to the above-mentioned Convention is withdrawn."

FINLAND

"(1) A general reservation to the effect that the application of those provisions of the Convention which grant to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country shall not be affected by the fact that special rights and privileges are now or may in future be accorded by Finland to the nationals of Denmark, Iceland, Norway and Sweden or to the nationals of any one of those Countries;

"(2) A reservation to article 7, paragraph 2, to the effect that Finland is not prepared, as a general measure, to grant refugees who fulfil the conditions of three years residence in Finland an exemption from any legislative reciprocity which Finnish law may have stipulated as a condition governing an alien's eligibility for same right or privilege;

"(3) A reservation to article 8 to the effect that that article shall not be binding on Finland;

"(4) A reservation to article 12, paragraph 1, to the effect that the Convention shall not modify the rule of Finnish private international law, as now in force, under which the personal status of a refugee is governed by the law of his country of nationality;

"(5) A reservation to article 24, paragraph 1 (b) and paragraph 3 to the effect that they shall not be binding on Finland;

"(6) A reservation to article 25, to the effect that Finland does not consider itself bound to cause a certificate to be delivered by a Finnish authority, in the place of the authorities of a foreign country, if the documentary records necessary for the delivery of such certificate do not exist in Finland;

"(7) A reservation with respect to the provisions contained in paragraph 1 of article 28. Finland does not accept the obligations stipulated in the said paragraph, but is prepared to recognize travel documents issued by other Contracting States pursuant to this article."

FRANCE

In depositing its instrument of ratification, the Government of the French Republic, acting in accordance with article 42 of the Convention, makes the following statements:

(a) It considers that article 29, paragraph 2, does not prevent the application in French territory of the provisions of the Act of 7 May 1934 authorizing the levying of the Nansen tax for the support of refugee welfare, resettlement and relief work.

(b) Article 17 in no way prevents the application of the laws and regulations establishing the proportion of alien workers that employers are authorized to employ in France or affects the obligations of such employers in connexion with the employment of alien workers.

GAMBIA¹⁰

GREECE

"(1) In cases or circumstances which, in its opinion, would justify exceptional procedure for reasons of national security or public order, the Royal Hellenic Government reserves the right to derogate from the obligations imposed by the provisions of articles 8, 26, 28, 31 and 32.

"(2) In the opinion of the Royal Hellenic Government, the provisions of articles 11, 24 (3) and 34 constitute recommendations and not juridical obligations.

"(3) It is understood that the provisions of article 13 shall not be deemed to refer to rights or claims on movable or immovable property owned by such persons prior to their entry into Greece as refugees.

"(4) As far as wage-earning employment under article 17 is concerned, the Royal Hellenic Government shall not accord to the refugees less rights than those accorded generally to nationals of foreign countries.

"(5) Public relief accorded under article 23 shall be deemed to be the relief accorded on the basis of general laws and regulations of the country. Exceptional measures which the Royal Hellenic Government has taken or shall deem necessary to take as a result of special circumstances in favour of a particular group of Greek nationals shall not automatically extend to persons falling under the provisions of the present Convention.

"(6) The Royal Hellenic Government does not accept and does not consider as valid, as far as Greece is concerned, the second paragraph of the reservation made by the Government of Turkey at the time of the signature of the present Convention.

"The above reservations have been published together with the text of the aforementioned Convention in the Official Gazette of the Kingdom of Greece the 26th of September 1959 (A No. 201)."

HOLY SEE

The Holy See, in conformity with the terms of article 42, paragraph 1, of the Convention, makes the reservation that the application of the Convention must be compatible in practice with the special nature of the Vatican City State and without prejudice to the norms governing access to and sojourn therein.

IRAN

1. In all cases where, under the provisions of this Convention, refugees enjoy the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign State, the Government of Iran reserves the right not to accord refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of States with which Iran has concluded regional establishment, customs, economic or political agreements.

2. The Government of Iran considers the stipulations contained in articles 17, 23, 24 and 26 as being recommendations only.

¹⁰ On notifying its succession to the Convention, the Government of Gambia confirmed the reservations made at the time of the extension of the Convention to its territory by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. For the text of these reservations, see p. 125.

IRELAND¹¹

"2. The Government of Ireland understands the words 'public order' in article 32 (1) and the words 'in accordance with due process of law' in article 32 (2) to mean, respectively, 'public policy' and 'in accordance with a procedure provided by law'.

"3. With regard to article 17 the Government of Ireland do not undertake to grant to refugees rights of wage-earning employment more favourable than those granted to aliens generally.

"4. The Government of Ireland undertake to give effect to article 25 only insofar as may be practicable and permissible under the laws of Ireland.

"5. With regard to article 29 (1) the Government of Ireland do not undertake to accord to refugees treatment more favourable than that accorded to aliens generally with respect to

...
"(c) Income Tax (including Sur-tax)."

ISRAEL

"2. Articles 8 and 12 shall not apply to Israel.

"3. Article 28 shall apply to Israel with the limitations which result from Section 6 of the Passport Law of 5712-1952, according to which the Minister may, at his discretion:

"(a) Refuse to grant, or to extend the validity of a passport or laissez-passer;

"(b) Attach conditions to the grant or the extension of the validity of a passport or laissez-passer;

"(c) Cancel, or shorten the period of validity of a passport or laissez-passer issued, and order the surrender thereof;

"(d) Limit, either at or after the issue of a passport or laissez-passer, the range of countries for which it is to be valid.

"4. Permits provided for by Article 30 shall be issued by the Minister of Finance at his discretion."

ITALY¹²

In signing this Convention, the Government of the Republic of Italy declares that the provisions of articles 17 and 18 are recognized by it as recommendations only.

¹¹ In a communication received on 23 October 1968, the Government of Ireland notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of two of its reservations in respect of article 29 (1), namely those indicated at (a) and (b) of paragraph 5 of declarations and reservations contained in the instrument of accession by the Government of Ireland to the Convention; for the text of the withdrawn reservations, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol 254, p. 412.

¹² In a communication received on 20 October 1964, the Government of Italy has notified the Secretary-General that "it withdraws the reservations made at the time of signature, and confirmed at the time of ratification, to articles 6, 7, 8, 19, 22, 23, 25 and 34 of the Convention [see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol 189, p. 192]. The above-mentioned reservations are inconsistent with the internal provisions issued by the Italian Government since the ratification of the Convention. The Italian Government also adopted in December 1963 provisions which implement the contents of paragraph 2 of article 17".

Furthermore, the Italian Government confirms that "it maintains its declaration made in accordance with section B (1) of article 1, and that it recognizes the provisions of articles 17 and 18 as recommendations only".

JAMAICA

"The Government of Jamaica confirms and maintains the following reservations, which were made when the Convention was extended to Jamaica by the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland:

"(i) The Government of the United Kingdom understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking by the above-mentioned territory, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the ground of his nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which, at the date of entry into force of the Convention for the above-mentioned territory, are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State.

"(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom accept paragraph 2 of article 17 in its application to the above-mentioned territory with the substitution of 'four years' for 'three years' in sub-paragraph (a) and with the omission of sub-paragraph (c).

"(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom can only undertake that the provisions of sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 and of paragraph 2 of that article will be applied to the above-mentioned territory so far as the law allows.

"(iv) The Government of the United Kingdom cannot undertake that effect will be given in the above-mentioned territory to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 will be applied in the above-mentioned territory so far as the law allows."

LIECHTENSTEIN

Ad *article 17*: With respect to the right to engage in wage-earning employment, refugees are treated in law on the same footing as aliens in general, on the understanding, however, that the competent authorities shall make every effort in so far as possible, to apply to them the provisions of this article.

Ad *article 24, paragraphs 1 (a) and (b), and paragraph 3*: Provisions relating to aliens in general on training, apprenticeship, unemployment insurance, old-age and survivors insurance shall be applicable to refugees. Nevertheless, in the case of old-age and survivors insurance, refugees residing in Liechtenstein (including their survivors if the latter are considered as refugees) are already entitled to normal old-age or survivors' benefits after paying their contributions for at least one full year, provided that they have resided in Liechtenstein for ten years—of which five years without interruption have immediately preceded the occurrence of the event insured against. Moreover, the one-third reduction in benefits provided in the case of

aliens and stateless persons under article 74 of the Act on Old-Age and Survivors Insurance, is not applicable to refugees. Refugees residing in Liechtenstein who, on the occurrence of the event insured against, are not entitled to old-age or survivors' benefits, are paid not only their own contributions but any contributions which may have been made by the employers.

LUXEMBOURG

Subject to the following reservation: in all cases where this Convention grants to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country, this provision shall not be interpreted as necessarily involving the régime accorded to nationals of countries with which the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg has concluded regional, customs, economic or political agreements.

MADAGASCAR

The provisions of article 7 (1) shall not be interpreted as requiring the same treatment as is accorded to nationals of countries with which the Malagasy Republic has concluded conventions of establishment or agreements on co-operation;

The provisions of articles 8 and 9 shall not be interpreted as forbidding the Malagasy Government to take, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, measures with regard to a refugee because of his nationality in the interests of national security.

The provisions of article 17 cannot be interpreted as preventing the application of the laws and regulations establishing the proportion of alien workers that employers are authorized to employ in Madagascar or affecting the obligations of such employers in connexion with the employment of alien workers.

MALTA

"Article 7 paragraph 2, articles 14, 23, 27 and 28 shall not apply to Malta, and article 7 paragraphs 3, 4 and 5, articles 8, 9, 11, 17, 18, 31, 32 and 34 shall apply to Malta compatibly with its own special problems, its peculiar position and characteristics."

MONACO

Subject to the reservation that the stipulations contained in articles 7 (paragraph 2), 15, 22 (paragraph 1), 23 and 24 shall be provisionally considered as being recommendations and not legal obligations.

NETHERLANDS

This signature is appended subject to the reservation that in all cases where this Convention grants to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country this provision shall not be interpreted as involving the régime accorded to nationals of countries with which the Netherlands has concluded regional, customs, economic or political agreements.

(1) With reference to article 26 of this Convention, the Netherlands Government reserves the right to designate a place of principal residence for certain refugees or groups of refugees in the public interest.

(2) In the notifications concerning overseas territories referred to in article 40, paragraph 2, of this Convention, the Netherlands Government reserves the right to make a declaration in accordance with section B of article 1 with respect to such territories and to make reservations in accordance with article 42 of the Convention.

Interpretative declaration: In depositing the instrument of ratification by the Netherlands, . . . I declare on behalf of the Netherlands Government that it does not regard the Amboinese who were transported to the Netherlands after 27 December 1949, the date of the transfer of sovereignty by the Kingdom of the Netherlands to the Republic of the United States of Indonesia, as eligible for the status of refugees as defined in article 1 of the said Convention.

NEW ZEALAND

"... The Government of New Zealand can only undertake to give effect to the provisions contained in paragraph 2 of article 24 of the Convention so far as the law of New Zealand allows...".

NORWAY¹³

"The obligation stipulated in article 17 (1) to accord to refugees lawfully staying in the country the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country in the same circumstances as regards the right to engage in wage-earning employment, shall not be construed as extending to refugees the benefits of agreements which may in the future be concluded between Norway, Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Sweden, or between Norway and any one of these countries, for the purpose of establishing special conditions for the transfer of labour between these countries."

PORTUGAL^{13a}

13 July 1976

"1. The Convention will be applied without any geographical limitation.

"2. In all cases in which the Convention confers upon the refugees the most favoured person status granted to nationals of a foreign country, this clause will not be interpreted in such a way as to mean the status granted by Portugal to the nationals of Brazil."

SUDAN

The accession was effected with reservation as to article 26.

¹³ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 21 January 1954, the Government of Norway gave notice of the withdrawal, with immediate effect, of the reservation to article 24 of the Convention, "as the Acts mentioned in the said reservation have been amended to accord to refugees lawfully staying in the country the same treatment as is accorded to Norwegian nationals". For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 189, p. 198

^{13a} In a communication received on 13 July 1976, the Government of Portugal informed the Secretary-General that it wished to reformulate the terms of its accession to the said Convention more broadly (see paragraph 1 above), and that the reservations made at the time of the accession of Portugal to the Convention were withdrawn and the text of paragraph 2 above substituted therefor. For the text of the reservations withdrawn, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 383, p. 314.

SWEDEN¹⁴

Reservations: First, a general reservation to the effect that the application of those provisions of the Convention which grant to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country shall not be affected by the fact that special rights and privileges are now or may in future be accorded by Sweden to the nationals of Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Norway or to the nationals of any one of those countries; and, secondly, the following reservations: a reservation to article 8 to the effect that that article shall not be binding on Sweden; a reservation to article 12, paragraph 1, to the effect that the Convention shall not modify the rule of Swedish private international law, as now in force, under which the personal status of a refugee is governed by the law of his country of nationality; a reservation to article 17, paragraph 2, to the effect that Sweden does not consider itself bound to grant a refugee who fulfils any one of the conditions set out in sub-paragraphs (a)-(c) an automatic exemption from the obligation to obtain a work permit; a reservation to article 24, paragraph 1 (b), to the effect that notwithstanding the principle of national treatment for refugees, Sweden shall not be bound to accord to refugees the same treatment as is accorded to nationals in respect of the possibility of entitlement to a national pension under the provisions of the National Insurance Act; and likewise to the effect that, in so far as the right to a supplementary pension under the said Act and the computation of such pension in certain respects are concerned, the rules applicable to Swedish nationals shall be more favourable than those applied to other insured persons; a reservation to article 24, paragraph 3, to the effect that the provisions of this paragraph shall not be binding on Sweden; and a reservation to article 25, to the effect that Sweden does not consider itself bound to cause a certificate to be delivered by a Swedish authority, in the place of the authorities of a foreign country, if the documentary records necessary for the delivery of such a certificate do not exist in Sweden.

SWITZERLAND¹⁵

Ad Article 24, paragraphs 1 (a) and (b), paragraph 3: Provisions relating to aliens in general on training,

¹⁴ In a communication received on 20 April 1961, the Government of Sweden gave notice of the withdrawal, as from 1 July 1961, of the reservation to article 14 of the Convention.

In a communication received on 25 November 1966, the Government of Sweden has notified the Secretary-General that it has decided, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 42 of the Convention, to withdraw some of its reservations to article 24, paragraph 1 (b), and the reservation to article 24, paragraph 2

In a communication received on 5 March 1970, the Government of Sweden notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of its reservation to article 7, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

For the text of the withdrawn reservations, as originally formulated by the Government of Sweden in its instrument of ratification, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 200, p. 336

¹⁵ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 18 February 1963, the Government of Switzerland gave notice of the withdrawal of the reservation made at the time of ratification to article 24, paragraph 1 (a) and (b) and paragraph 3, of the Convention, in so far as that reservation concerns old-age and survivors' insurance.

[Footnote continues on following page]

apprenticeship, unemployment insurance, old-age and survivors insurance shall be applicable to refugees. Nevertheless, in the case of old-age and survivors insurance, refugees residing in Switzerland (including their survivors if the latter are considered as refugees) are already entitled to normal old-age or survivors' benefits after paying their contributions for at least one full year, provided that they have resided in Switzerland for ten years—of which five years without interruption have immediately preceded the occurrence of the event insured against. Moreover, the one-third reduction in benefits provided in the case of aliens and stateless persons under article 40 of the Federal Act on Old-Age and Survivors Insurance, is not applicable to refugees. Refugees residing in Switzerland who, on the occurrence of the event insured against, are not entitled to old-age or survivors' benefits, are paid not only their own contributions under the Federal Council's Order of 14 March 1952, but any contributions which may have been made by the employers.

TURKEY

The Government of the Turkish Republic, in signing this Convention states that so far as the commitments accepted by it under the Convention are concerned, the term "events occurring before 1 January 1951" in article 1, section A, shall be understood to refer to events occurring in Europe before 1 January. It does not therefore intend to accept any commitment in connexion with events occurring outside of Europe.

The Turkish Government considers moreover, that the term "events occurring before 1 January 1951" refers to the beginning of the events. Consequently, since the pressure exerted upon the Turkish minority in Bulgaria, which began before 1 January 1951, is still continuing, the provision of this Convention must also apply to the Bulgarian refugees of Turkish extraction compelled to leave that country as a result of this pressure and who, being unable to enter Turkey, might seek refuge on the territory of another contracting party after 1 January 1951.

The Turkish Government will, at the time of ratification, enter reservations which it could make under article 42 of the Convention.

Reservation and declaration made upon ratification:

No provision of this Convention may be interpreted as granting to refugees greater rights than those accorded to Turkish citizens in Turkey;

(A) The Government of the Republic of Turkey is not a party to the Arrangements of 12 May 1926 and of 30 June 1928 mentioned in article 1, paragraph A, of this Convention. Furthermore, the 150 persons affected by the Arrangement of 30 June 1928 having been amnestied under Act No. 3527, the provisions laid down in this Arrangement are no longer valid in the case of

footnote continued from previous page]

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 3 July 1972, the Government of Switzerland notified him of its decision to withdraw the reservation to article 17 formulated in its instrument of ratification of the Convention. For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 202, p. 368.

Turkey. Consequently, the Government of the Republic of Turkey considers the Convention of 28 July 1951 independently of the aforementioned Arrangements.

(B) For the purposes of the obligations arising out of this Convention, the Government of the Republic understands the words "events occurring before 1 January 1951" mentioned in paragraph B of article 1 to mean "events occurring in Europe before 1 January 1951".

(C) Similarly, the Government of the Republic understands that the action of "re-availment" or "re-acquisition" as referred to in article 1, paragraph C, of the Convention—that is to say: "If (1) He has voluntarily re-availed himself of the protection of the country of his nationality; or (2) Having lost his nationality, he has voluntarily reacquired it"—does not depend only on the request of the person concerned but also on the consent of the State in question.

UGANDA

(1) *In respect of article 7:* "The Government of the Republic of Uganda understands this provision as not conferring any legal, political or other enforceable right upon refugees who, at any given time may be in Uganda. On the basis of this understanding the Government of the Republic of Uganda shall accord refugees such facilities and treatment as the Government of the Republic of Uganda shall in her absolute discretion, deem fit having regard to her own security, economic and social needs."

(2) *In respect of articles 8 and 9:* "The Government of the Republic of Uganda declares that the provisions of articles 8 and 9 are recognised by it as recommendations only."

(3) *In respect of article 13:* "The Government of the Republic of Uganda reserves to itself the right to abridge this provision without recourse to courts of law or arbitral tribunals, national or international, if the Government of the Republic of Uganda deems such abridgement to be in the public interest."

(4) *In respect of article 15:* "The Government of the Republic of Uganda shall in the public interest have the full freedom to withhold any or all rights conferred by this article from any refugees as a class of residents within her territory."

(5) *In respect of article 16:* "The Government of the Republic of Uganda understands article 16 paragraphs 2 and 3 thereof as not requiring the Government of the Republic of Uganda to accord to a refugee in need of legal assistance, treatment more favourable than that extended to aliens generally in similar circumstances."

(6) *In respect of article 17:* "The obligation specified in article 17 to accord to refugees lawfully staying in the country in the same circumstances shall not be construed as extending to refugees the benefit of preferential treatment granted to nationals of the states who enjoy special privileges on account of existing or future treaties between Uganda and those countries, particularly states of the East African Community and the Organization of African Unity, in accordance with

the provisions which govern such charters in this respect."

(7) *In respect of article 25:* "The Government of the Republic of Uganda understands that this article shall not require the Government of the Republic of Uganda to incur expenses on behalf of the refugees in connection with the granting of such assistance except in so far as such assistance is requested by and the resulting expense is reimbursed to the Government of the Republic of Uganda by the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees or any other agency of the United Nations which may succeed it."

(8) *In respect of article 32:* "Without recourse to legal process the Government of the Republic of Uganda shall, in the public interest, have the unfettered right to expel any refugee in her territory and may at any time apply such internal measures as the Government may deem necessary in the circumstances; so however that, any action taken by the Government of the Republic of Uganda in this regard shall not operate to the prejudice of the provisions of article 33 of this Convention."

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing them from taking in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the ground of his nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which at the date of entry into force of this Convention for the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State.

(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland accept paragraph 2 of article 17 with the substitution of "four years" for "three years" in sub-paragraph (a) and with the omission of sub-paragraph (c).

(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, in respect of such of the matters referred to in sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 as fall within the scope of the National Health Service, can only undertake to apply the provisions of that paragraph so far as the law allows; and it can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 2 of that Article so far as the law allows.

(iv) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake to give effect to the obligations contained in paragraphs

1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 3 so far as the law allows."

Commentary

"In connexion with sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 relating to certain matters within the scope of the National Health Service, the National Health Service (Amendment) Act, 1949, contains powers for charges to be made to persons not ordinarily resident in Great Britain (which category would include refugees) who receive treatment under the Service. While these powers have not yet been exercised it is possible that this might have to be done at some future date. In Northern Ireland the health services are restricted to persons ordinarily resident in the country except where regulations are made to extend the Service to others. It is for these reasons that the Government of the United Kingdom while they are prepared in the future, as in the past, to give the most sympathetic consideration to the situation of refugees, find it necessary to make a reservation to sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 of the Convention.

The scheme of Industrial Injuries Insurance in Great Britain does not meet the requirements of paragraph 2 of article 24 of the Convention. Where an insured person has died as the result of an industrial accident or a disease due to the nature of his employment, benefit cannot generally be paid to his dependents who are abroad unless they are in any part of the British Commonwealth, in the Irish Republic or in a country with which the United Kingdom has made a reciprocal agreement concerning the payment of industrial injury benefits. There is an exception to this rule in favour of the dependents of certain seamen who die as a result of industrial accidents happening to them while they are in the service of British ships. In this matter refugees are treated in the same way as citizens of the United Kingdom and Colonies and by reason of paragraphs 3 and 4 of article 24 of the Convention, the dependents of refugees will be able to take advantage of reciprocal agreements which provide for the payment of United Kingdom industrial injury benefits in other countries. By reason of paragraphs (3) and (4) of article 24 refugees will enjoy under the scheme of National Insurance and Industrial Injuries Insurance certain rights which are withheld from British subjects who are not citizens of the United Kingdom and Colonies.

No arrangements exist in the United Kingdom for the administrative assistance for which provision is made in article 25 nor have any such arrangements been found necessary in the case of refugees. Any need for the documents or certifications mentioned in paragraph 2 of that article would be met by affidavits."

ZAMBIA

"Subject to the following reservations made pursuant to article 42 (1) of the Convention:

Article 17 (2)

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia wishes to state with regard to article 17, paragraph 2, that Zambia does not consider itself bound to grant to a refugee who fulfils any one of the conditions set out in sub-

paragraphs (a) to (c) automatic exemption from the obligation to obtain a work permit.

"Further, with regard to article 17 as a whole, Zambia does not wish to undertake to grant to refugees rights of wage-earning employment more favourable than those granted to aliens generally."

Article 22 (1)

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia wishes to state that it considers article 22 (1) to be a recommendation only and not a binding obligation to accord to refugees the same treatment as is accorded to nationals with respect to elementary education."

Article 26

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia wishes to state with regard to article 26 that it reserves the right to designate a place or places of residence for refugees."

Article 28

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia wishes to state with regard to article 28 that Zambia considers itself not bound to issue a travel document with a return clause in cases where a country of second asylum has accepted or indicated its willingness to accept a refugee from Zambia."

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>		<i>Extension to</i>
AUSTRALIA	22 January	1954	Norfolk Island, Papua, New Guinea and Nauru.
DENMARK	4 December	1952	Greenland (with reservations).
FRANCE	23 June	1954	All territories for the international relations of which France is responsible.
NETHERLANDS	29 July	1971	Surinam
UNITED KINGDOM	11 March	1954	The Channel Islands and the Isle of Man (with reservations and a declaration).
	25 October	1956	The following territories with reservations: British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Cyprus, Dominica, Falkland Islands, Fiji, Gambia, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Grenada, Jamaica, Kenya, Mauritius, St. Vincent, Seychelles, Somaliland Protectorate, Zanzibar and St. Helena.
	19 June	1957	British Honduras (with reservations).
	11 July	1960	Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland ^{16, 17} (with reservations).
	11 November	1960	Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate ^{17a} and Swaziland (with reservations).
	4 September	1968	St. Lucia, Montserrat.
	20 April	1970	The Bahama Islands (with a reservation).

¹⁶ The Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland was dissolved immediately before 1 January 1964. In reply to the Secretariat's inquiry as to the legal effect of that dissolution, in so far as concerns the application in the territories formerly constituting the Federation, i.e., Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland and Southern Rhodesia, of certain multilateral treaties deposited with the Secretary-General which had been extended by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to the Federation or to any of the territories concerned prior to the formation of the Federation, and of the International Convention to Facilitate the Importation of Commercial Samples and Advertising Material, done at Geneva on 7 November 1952, to which the Federation acceded in its capacity of a Contracting Party to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (see p. 279), the Government of the United Kingdom in a communication received on 16 April 1964, provided the following clarification:

"Her Majesty's Government consider that in general, multilateral treaties applicable to the Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland continued to apply to the constituent territories of the former Federation on its dissolution. Multilateral treaties under which the Federation enjoyed membership of international organisations fall in a special category; their continued application to the constituent territories of the former Federation depends in each case on the terms of the treaty. Her Majesty's Government regard all the conventions listed in the Secretariat's letter of February 26 as applying to the constituent territories of the former Federa-

tion since its dissolution, but the accession by the Federation to the International Convention to Facilitate the Importation of Commercial Samples and Advertising Material has not led to this result as Article XIII of the Convention allows Her Majesty's Government to extend provisions of the Convention to the three constituent territories of the former Federation if considered desirable.

"With regard to the final query by the Secretariat, I am to reply that extensions prior to the inauguration of the Federation do, of course, continue to apply to the constituent territories."

Northern Rhodesia and Nyasaland have since become independent States under the names of Zambia and Malawi, respectively.

¹⁷ In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General on 22 March 1968, the President of the Republic of Malawi, referring to the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, done at Geneva on 28 July 1951, stated the following:

"In my letter to you of the 24th November 1964, concerning the disposition of Malawi's inherited treaty obligations, my Government declared that with respect to multilateral treaties which had been applied or extended to the former Nyasaland Protectorate, any Party to such a treaty could on the basis of reciprocity rely as against Malawi on the terms of such treaty until Malawi notified its depositary of what action it wished to take by way of confirmation of termination, confirmation of succession, or accession.

[Footnote continues on following page

Declarations and reservations made on notifications of territorial application

GREENLAND

Subject to the reservations made on ratification by the Government of Denmark (see page 117).

THE CHANNEL ISLANDS AND THE ISLE OF MAN

"(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking in the Isle of Man and in the Channel Islands, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the ground of his nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which at the date of the entry into force of this Convention for the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other state.

(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland accept paragraph 2 of article 17 in its application to the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands with the substitution of "four years" for "three years" in sub-paragraph (a) and with the omission of sub-paragraph (c).

(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland can only undertake that the provisions of sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 and of paragraph 2 of that article will be applied in the Channel Islands so far as the law allows, and that the provisions of that sub-paragraph, in respect of such matters referred to therein as fall within the scope of the Isle of Man Health Service, and of paragraph 2 of that article will be applied in the Isle of Man so far as the law allows.

(iv) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 will be applied in the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands so far as the law allows.

footnote continued from previous page]

"I am now to inform you as depositary of this Convention that the Government of Malawi wishes to terminate any connection with this Convention which it might have inherited. The Government of Malawi considers that any legal relationship with the aforementioned Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, Geneva, 1951 which might have devolved upon it by way of succession from the ratification of the United Kingdom, is terminated as of this date."

The considerations upon which certain of these reservations are based are similar to those set out in the memorandum relating to the corresponding reservations made in respect of the United Kingdom, which was enclosed in my note under reference."

BRITISH SOLOMON ISLANDS PROTECTORATE, CYPRUS,¹⁸ DOMINICA, FALKLAND ISLANDS, FIJI,^{18a} GAMBIA,¹⁹ GILBERT AND ELLICE ISLANDS, GRENADA, JAMAICA,²⁰ KENYA,²¹ MAURITIUS, ST. VINCENT, SEYCHELLES AND SOMALILAND PROTECTORATE

(i) The Government of the United Kingdom understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking by the above-mentioned territories, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the ground of his nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which, at the date of entry into force of the Convention for the above-mentioned territories, are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State.

(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom accept paragraph 2 of article 17 in its application to the above-mentioned territories with the substitution of "four years" for "three years" in sub-paragraph (a) and with the omission of sub-paragraph (c).

(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom can only undertake that the provisions of sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 and of paragraph 2 of that article will be applied to the above-mentioned territories so far as the law allows.

(iv) The Government of the United Kingdom cannot undertake that effect will be given in the above-mentioned territories to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of para-

The Government of Zambia notified the Secretary-General of its succession to the Convention on 24 September 1969. For the text of the reservations made in the notification of succession, see p. 123.

^{17a} The Government of Botswana (formerly Bechuanaland Protectorate) acceded to the Convention of 6 January 1969. For the text of reservation made on accession, see p. 117.

¹⁸ See footnote 8, p. 117.

^{18a} For the text of reservations made upon notification of succession by the Government of Fiji, see p. 118.

¹⁹ See footnote 10, p. 119.

²⁰ For the text of reservations made on accession by the Government of Jamaica, see p. 120.

²¹ Kenya acceded to the Convention without reservations.

graph 3 will be applied in the above-mentioned territories so far as the law allows.

ZANZIBAR AND ST. HELENA

With the reservations listed under (i), (iii) and (iv) above.

BRITISH HONDURAS

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand Articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking by the above mentioned territory, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the ground of his nationality. The provisions of Article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of Article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which, at the date of entry into force of this Convention for the above mentioned territory, are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State."

FEDERATION OF RHODESIA AND NYASALAND²²

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking by the above-mentioned territory, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the grounds of his nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which, at the date of entry into force of the Convention for the above-mentioned territory, are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State.

"The Government of the United Kingdom accept paragraph 2 of article 17 in its application to the above-mentioned territory with the substitution of 'four years' for 'three years' in sub-paragraph (a) and with the omission of sub-paragraph (c).

"The Government of the United Kingdom can only undertake that the provisions of sub-paragraph (b) of

paragraph 1 of article 24 and paragraph 2 of that article will be applied to the above-mentioned territory as far as the law allows.

"The Government of the United Kingdom cannot undertake that effect will be given in the above-mentioned territory to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 will be applied in the above-mentioned territory so far as the law allows."

BASUTOLAND, BECHUANALAND PROTECTORATE²³ AND SWAZILAND

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking by the above-mentioned territories, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the grounds of his nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which, at the date of entry into force of the Convention for the above-mentioned territories, are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State.

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland accept paragraph 2 of article 17 in its application to the above-mentioned territories with the substitution of 'four years' for 'three years' in sub-paragraph (a) and with the omission of sub-paragraph (c).

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in the above-mentioned territories to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 will be applied in the above-mentioned territories so far as the law allows."

THE BAHAMAS ISLANDS

"Subject to the following reservation in respect of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 17 of the Convention:

"Refugees and their dependants would normally be subject to the same laws and regulations relating generally to the employment of non-Bahamians within the Commonwealth of the Bahama Islands, so long as they have not acquired Bahamian status."

²² See footnotes 16 and 17 on p. 124.

²³ See footnote 17a, p. 125.

SURINAM

The extension is subject to the following reservations, which had been made in substance by the Government of the Netherlands upon ratification:

“1. that in all cases where the Convention, in conjunction with the Protocol, grants to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country, this provision shall not be interpreted as involving the regime accorded to nationals of countries

with which the Kingdom of the Netherlands has concluded regional, customs, economic or political agreements which apply to Surinam;

“2. that the Government of Surinam as regards article 26 of the Convention, in conjunction with article 1, paragraph 1, of the Protocol, reserves the right for reasons of public order to appoint for certain refugees or groups of refugees a principal place of residence.”

3. Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons

Done at New York on 28 September 1954¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 6 June 1960, in accordance with article 39.

REGISTRATION: 6 June 1960, No. 5158.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 360, p. 117.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), Notification of succession (d)</i>	
ALGERIA		15 July	1964 <i>a</i>
ARGENTINA		1 June	1972 <i>a</i>
AUSTRALIA		13 December	1973 <i>a</i>
BARBADOS		6 March	1972 <i>d</i>
BELGIUM	28 September 1954	27 May	1960
BOTSWANA		25 February	1969 <i>d</i>
BRAZIL	28 September 1954		
COLOMBIA	30 December 1954		
COSTA RICA	28 September 1954		
DENMARK	28 September 1954	17 January	1956
ECUADOR	28 September 1954	2 October	1970
EL SALVADOR	28 September 1954		
FIJI		12 June	1972 <i>d</i>
FINLAND		10 October	1968 <i>a</i>
FRANCE	12 January 1955	8 March	1960
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ^{1a}	28 September 1954	26 October	1976
GREECE		4 November	1975 <i>a</i>
GUATEMALA	28 September 1954		
GUINEA		21 March	1962 <i>a</i>
HOLY SEE	28 September 1954		
HONDURAS	28 September 1954		
IRELAND		17 December	1962 <i>a</i>
ISRAEL	1 October 1954	23 December	1958
ITALY	20 October 1954	3 December	1962
LESOTHO		4 November	1974 <i>d</i>
LIBERIA		11 September	1964 <i>a</i>
LIECHTENSTEIN	28 September 1954		
LUXEMBOURG	28 October 1955	27 June	1960
MADAGASCAR		[20 February	1962 <i>a</i>] ²
NETHERLANDS	28 September 1954	12 April	1962
NORWAY	28 September 1954	19 November	1956
PHILIPPINES	22 June 1955		
REPUBLIC OF KOREA		22 August	1962 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	28 September 1954	2 April	1965
SWITZERLAND	28 September 1954	3 July	1972
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		11 April	1966 <i>d</i>
TUNISIA		29 July	1969 <i>a</i>
UGANDA		15 April	1965 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	28 September 1954	16 April	1959
YUGOSLAVIA		9 April	1959 <i>a</i>
ZAMBIA		1 November	1974 <i>d</i>

¹ The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference on the Status of Stateless Persons, held at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York from 13 to 23 September 1954. The Conference was convened pursuant to resolution 526A (XVII) of 26 April 1954 of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Seventeenth Session, Supplement No 1 (E/2596)*, p. 12. For the Final Act, recommendation and resolution adopted by the Conference, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 360, p. 117.

^{1a} Instrument received by the Secretary-General on 2 August 1976 and supplemented by a notification of reservation received

on 26 October 1976, the date on which the instrument is deemed to have been deposited.

In a letter accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the said Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, the Secretary-General received on 13 October 1976 from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics the following communication:

The Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons of 28 September 1954 affects, in its substance, matters relat-

[Footnote continues on following page

Declarations and Reservations

ARGENTINA

The application of this Convention in territories whose sovereignty is the subject of discussion between two or more States, irrespective of whether they are parties to the Convention, cannot be construed as an alteration, renunciation or relinquishment of the position previously maintained by each of them.

BARBADOS

"The Government of Barbados . . . declares with regard to the reservations made by the United Kingdom on notification of the territorial application of the Convention to the West Indies (including Barbados) on the 19th March, 1962 that it can only undertake that the provisions of Articles 23, 24, 25 and 31 will be applied in Barbados so far as the law allows.

The application of the Convention to Barbados was also made subject to reservations to Articles 8, 9 and 26 which are hereby withdrawn."

BOTSWANA^{2a}

"(a) Article 31 of the said Convention shall not oblige Botswana to grant to a stateless person a status more favourable than that accorded to aliens in general;

"(b) Articles 12 (1) and 7 (2) of the Convention shall be recognized as recommendations only."

COSTA RICA

Costa Rica signs the present Convention with the reservation that the expression "treatment as favourable as possible", referred to in those of its provisions to which reservations may be made, must not be understood to include the special treatment which has been or may be granted to the nationals of Spain, the Latin American countries in general, and in particular to the countries which constituted the United Provinces of Central America and now form the Organization of Central American States.

DENMARK³

Denmark is not bound by article 24, paragraph 3;

The provisions of article 24, paragraph 1, under which stateless persons are in certain cases placed on

footnote continued from previous page

ing to the status of West Berlin. The USSR therefore regards the declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the application of the said Convention to West Berlin as illegal and as having no legal force, since, under the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, the treaty obligations of the Federal Republic of Germany affecting matters of security and status cannot be applied to West Berlin.

² By a notification received by the Secretary-General on 2 April 1965, the Government of Madagascar denounced the Convention; the denunciation took effect on 2 April 1966.

^{2a} In the notification of succession, the Government of Botswana also maintained the reservations made by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland on extension of the Convention to the Bechuanaland Protectorate; for the text of these reservations, see p. 133.

³ In a communication received on 23 August 1962, the Government of Denmark informed the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw as from 1 October 1961 the reservation to article 14 of the Convention.

In a communication received on 25 March 1968, the Government of Denmark informed the Secretary-General of its deci-

the same footing as nationals, shall not oblige Denmark to grant stateless persons in every case exactly the same remuneration as that provided by law for nationals, but only to grant them what is required for their support.

Article 31 shall not oblige Denmark to grant to stateless persons a status more favourable than that accorded to aliens in general.

EL SALVADOR

El Salvador signs the present Convention with the reservation that the expression "treatment as favourable as possible", referred to in those of its provisions to which reservations may be made, must not be understood to include the special treatment which has been or may be granted to the nationals of Spain, the Latin American countries in general, and in particular to the countries which constituted the United Provinces of Central America and now form the Organization of Central American States.

FIJI

The Government of Fiji stated that the first and third reservations made by the United Kingdom are affirmed but have been redrafted as more suitable to the application of Fiji in the following terms:

"1. The Government of Fiji understands articles 8 and 9 as not preventing them from taking in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances measures in the interests of national security in the case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of Fiji from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which at the date of entry into force of this Convention in respect of Fiji were under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland or of the Government of Fiji respectively by reason of a state of war which existed between them and any other State.

"2. The Government of Fiji cannot undertake to give effect to the obligations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 3 so far as the law allows.

"*Commentary*: No arrangements exist in Fiji for the administrative assistance for which provision is made in article 25 nor have any such arrangements been found necessary in the case of stateless persons. Any need for the documents or certificates mentioned in paragraph 2 of that article would be met by affidavit.

"All other reservation made by the United Kingdom to the above-mentioned Convention is withdrawn."

sion to withdraw as from that date, the reservation to article 24, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

For the text of the reservations withdrawn by the above communications, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 360, p. 132.

FINLAND

"(1) A general reservation to the effect that the application of those provisions of the Convention which grant to stateless persons the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country shall not be affected by the fact that special rights and privileges are now or may in future be accorded by Finland to the nationals of Denmark, Iceland, Norway and Sweden or to the nationals of any one of those Countries;

"(2) A reservation to article 7, paragraph 2, to the effect that Finland is not prepared, as a general measure, to grant stateless persons who fulfil the conditions of three years residence in Finland an exemption from any legislative reciprocity which Finnish law may have stipulated as a condition governing an alien's eligibility for same right or privilege:

"(3) A reservation to article 8 to the effect that that article shall not be binding on Finland;

["(4) A reservation to article 12, paragraph 1, to the effect that the Convention shall not modify the rule of Finnish private international law, as now in force, under which the personal status of a stateless person is governed by the law of his country of nationality;]^{3a}

"(5) A reservation to article 24, paragraph 1 (b) and paragraph 3 to the effect that they shall not be binding on Finland;

"(6) A reservation to article 25, to the effect that Finland does not consider itself bound to cause a certificate to be delivered by a Finnish authority, in the place of the authorities of a foreign country, if the documentary records necessary for the delivery of such certificate do not exist in Finland;

"(7) A reservation with respect to the provisions contained in article 28. Finland does not accept the obligations stipulated in the said article, but is prepared to recognize travel documents issued by other Contracting States pursuant to this article."

FRANCE

The provisions of article 10, paragraph 2, are regarded by the French Government as applying only to stateless persons who were forcibly displaced from French territory, and who have, prior to the date of entry into force of this Convention, returned there direct from the country to which they were forced to proceed, without in the meantime having received authorization to reside in the territory of any other State.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

26 October 1976

1. Article 23 will be applied without restriction only to stateless persons who are also refugees within the meaning of the Convention of 28 July 1951 relating to the Status of Refugees and the Protocol of 31 January 1967 relating to the Status of Refugees, but otherwise only to the extent provided for under national legislation;

2. Article 27 will not be applied.

^{3a} In a communication received on 30 September 1970, the Government of Finland notified the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw the reservation formulated in its instrument of accession to article 12, paragraph 1, of the Convention.

GUATEMALA

Guatemala signs the present Convention with the reservation that the expression "treatment as favourable as possible", referred to in those of its provisions to which reservations may be made, must not be understood to include the special treatment which has been or may be granted to the nationals of Spain, the Latin American countries in general, and in particular to the countries which constituted the United Provinces of Central America and now form the Organization of Central American States.

HOLY SEE

"Saving clauses to which no reservation can be made, reservation as of letter submitted to the Secretariat, April 23, 1954", worded as follows:

"The Convention will be applied in the form compatible with the special nature of the State of the Vatican City and without prejudice to the norms that guard access thereunto and sojourn therein."

HONDURAS

Honduras signs the present Convention with the reservation that the expression "treatment as favourable as possible", referred to in those of its provisions to which reservations may be made, must not be understood to include the special treatment which has been or may be granted to the nationals of Spain, the Latin American countries in general, and in particular to the countries which constituted the United Provinces of Central America and now form the Organization of Central American States.

IRELAND

Declaration

"The Government of Ireland understand the words 'public order' and 'in accordance with due process of law', as they appear in article 31 of the Convention, to mean respectively, 'public policy' and 'in accordance with a procedure provided by law';"

Reservation

"With regard to article 29(1), the Government of Ireland do not undertake to accord to stateless persons treatment more favourable than that accorded to aliens generally with respect to

(a) The stamp duty chargeable in Ireland in connection with conveyances, transfers and leases of lands, tenements and hereditaments, and

(b) Income tax (including sur-tax)."

ITALY⁴

The provisions of articles 17 and 18 are recognized as recommendations only.

⁴ In a communication received on 25 January 1968, the Government of Italy notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservations made at the time of signature to articles 6, 7 (2), 8, 19, 22 (2), 23, 25 and 32 (see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 360, p. 201), informing him that it maintains the reservations concerning articles 17 and 18 of the Convention and that, accordingly, these two articles are regarded as recommendations only.

LESOTHO

"1. In accordance with article 38 of the Convention, the Government of the Kingdom of Lesotho declares that it understands articles 8 and 9 as not preventing it from taking in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances measures in the interest of national security in the case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the Kingdom of Lesotho from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which at the date of entry into force of this Convention in respect of Lesotho were under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland or of the Government of Lesotho by reason of a state of war which existed between them and any other State.

"2. The Government of the Kingdom of Lesotho cannot undertake to give effect to the obligations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 3 so far as the laws of Lesotho allow.

"3. The Government of the Kingdom of Lesotho shall not be bound under article 31 to grant to a stateless person a status more favourable than that accorded to aliens generally."^{4a}

NETHERLANDS

The Government of the Kingdom reserves the right not to apply the provisions of article 8 of the Convention to stateless persons who previously possessed enemy nationality or the equivalent thereof with respect to the Kingdom of the Netherlands;

With reference to article 26 of the Convention, the Government of the Kingdom reserves the right to designate a place of principal residence for certain stateless persons or groups of stateless persons in the public interest.

PHILIPPINES

"(a) As regards Article 17, paragraph 1, granting stateless persons the right to engage in wage-earning employment, my Government finds that this provision conflicts with the Philippine Immigration Act of 1940, as amended, which classifies as excludable aliens under Section 29 those coming to the Philippines to perform unskilled labor, and permits the admission of pre-arranged employees under Section 9 (g) only when there are no persons in the Philippines willing and competent to perform the labor or service for which the admission of aliens is desired.

"(b) As regards Article 31, paragraph 1, to the effect that 'the Contracting States shall not expel a stateless person lawfully in their territory save on grounds of national security or public order,' this pro-

^{4a} Reservations 1 and 2 had been formulated by the Government of the United Kingdom in respect of the territory of Basutoland. Reservation 3 constitutes a new reservation, which was made subject to the provisions of article 39(2) of the Convention.

vision would unduly restrict the power of the Philippine Government to deport undesirable aliens under Section 37 of the same Immigration Act which states the various grounds upon which aliens may be deported.

"Upon signing the Convention on behalf of the Philippine Government, I am therefore hereby registering its non-conformity to the provisions of Article 17, paragraph 1, and Article 31, paragraph 1, thereof, for the reasons stated in (a) and (b) above."

SWEDEN⁵

Subject to the following reservations:

(1) . . .

(2) To article 8. This article will not be binding on Sweden.

(3) To article 12, paragraph 1. This paragraph will not be binding on Sweden.

(4) To article 24, paragraph 1 (b). Notwithstanding the rule concerning the treatment of stateless persons as nationals, Sweden will not be bound to accord to stateless persons the same treatment as is accorded to nationals in respect of the possibility of entitlement to a national pension under the provisions of the National Insurance Act; and likewise to the effect that, in so far as the right to a supplementary pension under the said Act and the computation of such pension in certain respects are concerned, the rules applicable to Swedish nationals shall be more favourable than those applied to other insured persons.

(5) To article 24, paragraph 3. The provisions of this paragraph will not be binding on Sweden

(6) To article 25, paragraph 2. Sweden does not consider itself obliged to cause a Swedish authority, in lieu of a foreign authority, to deliver certificates for the issuance of which there is insufficient documentation in Sweden.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Declaration: "I have the honour further to state that the Government of the United Kingdom deposit the present instrument of ratification on the understanding that the combined effects of articles 36 and 38 permit them to include in any declaration or notification made under paragraph 1 of article 36 or paragraph 2 of article 38 respectively any reservation consistent with article 38 which the Government of the territory concerned might desire to make."

Reservations: "When ratifying the Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons which was opened for signature at New York on September 28, 1954, the Government of the United Kingdom have deemed it

⁵ In a communication received on 25 November 1966, the Government of Sweden has notified the Secretary-General that it has decided, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 38 of the Convention, to withdraw some of its reservations to article 24, paragraph 1 (b), and the reservation to article 24, paragraph 2 of the Convention. In a communication received on 5 March 1970, the Government of Sweden notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of its reservation to article 7, paragraph 2, of the Convention. For the text of the reservations to article 24, paragraph 1 (b), as originally formulated by the Government of Sweden in its instrument of ratification, and of the reservation to article 7, paragraph 2, see ¹United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 529, p. 362.

necessary to make certain reservations in accordance with paragraph 1 of Article 38 thereof the text of which is reproduced below:

- (1) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand Articles 8 and 9 as not preventing them from taking in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances measures in the interests of national security in the case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality. The provisions of Article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of Article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which at the date of entry into force of this Convention for the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other state.
- (2) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, in respect of such of the matters referred to in sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of Article 24 as fall within the scope of the National Health Service, can only undertake to apply the provisions of that paragraph so far as the law allows.
- (3) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake to give effect to the obligations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Article 25 and can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 3 so far as the law allows."

Commentary: "In connexion with sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of Article 24 which relates to certain matters within the scope of the National Health Service, the National Health Service (Amendment) Act 1949 contains powers for charges to be made to persons not ordinarily resident in Great Britain (which category would include some stateless persons) who receive treatment under the Service. These powers have not yet been exercised but it may be necessary to exercise

them at some future date. In Northern Ireland the Health Services are restricted to persons ordinarily resident in the country except where regulations are made to extend the Services to others. For these reasons, the Government of the United Kingdom, while prepared in the future, as in the past, to give the most sympathetic consideration to the situation of stateless persons, find it necessary to make reservation to sub-paragraph (b) of Article 24.

"No arrangements exist in the United Kingdom for the administrative assistance for which provision is made in Article 25 nor have any such arrangements been found necessary in the case of stateless persons. Any need for the documents or certifications mentioned in paragraph 2 of that Article would be met by affidavit."

ZAMBIA^{5a}

"Article 22(1):

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia considers paragraph 1 of article 22 to be a recommendation only, and not a binding obligation to accord to stateless persons national treatment with respect to elementary education;

"Article 26:

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia reserves the right under article 26 to designate a place or places of residence for stateless persons;

"Article 28:

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia does not consider itself bound under article 28 to issue a travel document with a return clause in cases where a country of second asylum has accepted or indicated its willingness to accept a stateless person from Zambia;

"Article 31:

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia shall not undertake under article 31 to grant treatment more favourable than that accorded to aliens generally with respect to expulsion."

^{5a} In its notification of succession, the Government of Zambia declared that it withdrew the reservations made by the Government of the United Kingdom upon extension of the Convention by the latter to the former Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland. The reservations reproduced herein are new reservations, which were made subject to the provisions of article 39(2) of the Convention.

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>
FRANCE	8 March 1960

Extension to

Departments of Algeria, of the Oases and of Saoura Guadeloupe, Martinique and Guiana and the five Overseas Territories (New Caledonia and Dependencies, French Polynesia, French Somaliland, the Comoro Archipelago and the Islands of St. Pierre and Miquelon).

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
NETHERLANDS ⁶	12 April 1962	Surinam and Netherlands New Guinea, with the same reservations as those formulated in the instrument of ratification by the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands (see page 126).
UNITED KINGDOM	16 April 1959	The Channel Islands and the Isle of Man, with reservations.
	7 December 1959	High Commission Territories of Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate ^{6a} and Swaziland, with reservations.
	9 December 1959	Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland, ^{7,8} with reservations.
	19 March 1962	Aden Colony, Bermuda, Malta, Sarawak, Seychelles, St. Helena, Uganda, Virgin Islands and Zanzibar. British Guiana, British Honduras. British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Falkland Islands, Fiji, Gambia, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Hong Kong, Kenya, Mauritius, North Borneo, State of Singapore and the West Indies, with reservations.

⁶ In the note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Netherlands stated, with reference to article 36, paragraph 3 of the Convention, that "if at any time the Government of the Netherlands Antilles agrees to the extension of the Convention to its territory, the Secretary-General shall be notified thereof without delay. Such notification will contain the reservations, if any, which the Government of the Netherlands Antilles might wish to make with respect to local requirements in accordance with article 38 of the Convention."

^{6a} See footnote 2a, p. 129.

⁷ See footnote 16, p. 124.

⁸ In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General on 22 March 1968, the President of the Republic of Malawi, referring to the Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, done at New York on 28 September 1954, stated the following:

"In my letter to you of the 24th November 1964, concerning

the disposition of Malawi's inherited treaty obligations, my Government declared that with respect to multilateral treaties which had been applied or extended to the former Nyasaland Protectorate, any Party to such a treaty could on the basis of reciprocity rely as against Malawi on the terms of that treaty until Malawi notified its depositary of what action it wished to take by way of confirmation of termination, confirmation of succession, or accession.

"I am to inform you as depositary of this Convention that the Government of Malawi now wishes to terminate any connection with this Convention which it might have inherited. The Government of Malawi considers that any legal relationship with the aforementioned Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, New York, 1954 which might have devolved upon it by way of succession from the ratification of the United Kingdom, is terminated as of this date."

Declarations and reservations made on notifications of territorial application

CHANNEL ISLANDS AND ISLE OF MAN

"(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand Articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking in the Isle of Man and in the Channel Islands, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality. The provisions of Article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of Article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which, at the date of entry into force of this Convention for the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands, are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other state.

"(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland can only undertake that the provisions of sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of Article 24 and of paragraph 2 of that Article will be applied in the Channel Islands so far as the law allows, and that the provisions of that sub-paragraph, in respect of such matters referred to therein as fall within the scope of the Isle of Man Health Service, will be applied in the Isle of Man so far as the law allows.

"(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands to paragraphs 1 and 2 of Article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 will be applied in the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands so far as the law allows."

HIGH COMMISSION TERRITORIES OF BASUTOLAND, BECHUANALAND PROTECTORATE⁹ AND SWAZILAND

"(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand Articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking, in the High Com-

⁹ See footnote 2a, p. 129.

mission Territories of Basutoland, the Bechuanaland Protectorate and Swaziland, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality. The provisions of Article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of Article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which, at the date of entry into force of this Convention for the High Commission Territories of Basutoland, the Bechuanaland Protectorate and Swaziland are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other state.

“(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in the High Commission Territories of Basutoland, the Bechuanaland Protectorate and Swaziland to the obligations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 of Article 25 shall be applied in the High Commission Territories of Basutoland, the Bechuanaland Protectorate and Swaziland so far as the law allows.”

FEDERATION OF RHODESIA AND NYASALAND¹⁰

“The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in the Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland to paragraphs 1 and 2 of Article 25 and can only undertake that the provision of paragraph 3 of Article 25 will be applied in the Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland so far as the law allows.”

BRITISH GUIANA, BRITISH SOLOMON ISLANDS PROTECTORATE, FALKLAND ISLANDS, GAMBIA, GILBERT AND ELLICE ISLANDS, KENYA, MAURITIUS

(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking in the territories mentioned above, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreements or arrangements for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded

to any property or interests which, at the date of entry into force of this Convention for the territories mentioned above, are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State.

(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland in respect of the provisions of sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24, can only undertake that effect will be given in the territories mentioned above to the provisions of that paragraph so far as the law allows.

(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in the territories mentioned above to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 will be applied in these territories so far as the law allows.

BRITISH HONDURAS, HONG KONG

(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking in the territories mentioned above, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which, at the date of entry into force of this Convention for the territories mentioned above under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State.

(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in the territories mentioned above to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 will be applied in these territories so far as the law allows.

NORTH BORNEO

(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking in Borneo, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be

¹⁰ See footnote 16, p. 124.

completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or other interests which, at the date of entry into force of this Convention for North Borneo, are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State.

(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland can only undertake that the provisions of sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 and of paragraph 2 of that article will be applied in North Borneo as far as the law allows.

(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in North Borneo to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 will be applied in North Borneo so far as the law allows.

Fiji

(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking in Fiji, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the

case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality.

(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, in respect of the provisions of sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24, can only undertake that effect will be given in Fiji to the provisions of that paragraph so far as the law allows.

(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in Fiji to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 will be applied in Fiji so far as the law allows.

THE STATE OF SINGAPORE

(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in the State of Singapore to article 23.

THE WEST INDIES

(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in the West Indies to articles 8, 9, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 31.

4. Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness

Done at New York on 30 August 1961¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 13 December 1975, in accordance with article 18.

REGISTRATION: 13 December 1975.

TEXT: A/CONF.9/15, 1961.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a),</i>
AUSTRALIA		13 December 1973 <i>a</i>
AUSTRIA		22 September 1972 <i>a</i>
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	5 December 1961	
FRANCE	31 May 1962	
IRELAND		18 January 1973 <i>a</i>
ISRAEL	30 August 1961	
NETHERLANDS	30 August 1961	
NORWAY		11 August 1971 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN		19 February 1969 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	30 August 1961	29 March 1966

Declarations and Reservations

AUSTRIA

Declarations concerning article 8, paragraph 3 (a), (i) and (ii):

"Austria declares to retain the right to deprive a person of his nationality, if such person enters, on his own free will, the military service of a foreign State.

"Austria declares to retain the right to deprive a person of his nationality, if such person being in the service of a foreign State, conducts himself in a manner seriously prejudicial to the interests or to the prestige of the Republic of Austria."

FRANCE

At the time of signature of this Convention, the Government of the French Republic declares that it reserves the right to exercise the power available to it under article 8 (3) on the terms laid down in that paragraph, when it deposits the instrument of ratification of the Convention.

The Government of the French Republic also declares, in accordance with article 17 of the Convention, that it makes a reservation in respect of article 11, and that article 11 will not apply so far as the French Republic is concerned.

The Government of the French Republic further declares, with respect to article 14 of the Convention, that in accordance with article 17 it accepts the jurisdiction of the Court only in relation to States Parties to this Convention which shall also have accepted its jurisdiction subject to the same reservations; it also declares that article 14 will not apply when there exists between the French Republic and another party to this Convention an earlier treaty providing another method for the settlement of disputes between the two States.

IRELAND

"In accordance with paragraph 3 of article 8 of the Convention Ireland retains the right to deprive a naturalised Irish citizen of his citizenship pursuant to section 19 (1) (b) of the Irish Nationality and Citizenship Act, 1956, on grounds specified in the aforesaid paragraph."

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"On depositing this instrument I have the honour, on instructions from Her Majesty's Principal Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, to declare on behalf of the United Kingdom and in accordance with para-

¹The Convention was adopted and opened for signature by the United Nations Conference on the Elimination or Reduction of Future Statelessness, convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations pursuant to General Assembly resolution 896 (IX) of 4 December 1954. For the text of this resolution,

see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Ninth Session, Supplement No. 21 (A/2890)*, p. 49. The Conference met at the European Office of the United Nations at Geneva from 24 March to 18 April 1959 and reconvened at the Headquarters of the United Nations at New York from 15 to 28 August 1961.

graph 3 (a) of Article 8 of the Convention that, notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph 1 of Article 8, the United Kingdom retains the right to deprive a naturalised person of his nationality on the following grounds, being grounds existing in United Kingdom law at the present time: that, inconsistently with his duty of loyalty to Her Britannic Majesty, the person

- (i) has, in disregard of an express prohibition of Her Britannic Majesty, rendered or continued to render services to, or received or continued to receive emoluments from, another State, or
- (ii) has conducted himself in a manner seriously prejudicial to the vital interests of Her Britannic Majesty."

Territorial application

Declarations made upon signature (s) or ratification under article 15 of the Convention

FRANCE	31 May	1962 s	The Convention will apply to the Overseas Departments and the Overseas Territories of the French Republic.
UNITED KINGDOM	29 March	1966	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) The Convention shall apply to the following non-metropolitan territories for the international relations of which the United Kingdom is responsible: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Antigua, Bahamas, Barbados, Basutoland, Bechuanaland, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Cayman Islands, Channel Islands, Dominica, Falkland Islands, Fiji, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Grenada, Hong Kong, Isle of Man, Mauritius, Montserrat, St. Helena, St. Kitts, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Seychelles, Swaziland, Turks and Caicos Islands, Virgin Islands. (b) The Convention shall not apply to Aden and the Protectorate of South Arabia; Brunei; Southern Rhodesia; and Tonga, whose consent to the application of the Convention has been withheld.

5. Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees

Done at New York on 31 January 1967¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 4 October 1967, in accordance with article VIII.

REGISTRATION: 4 October 1967, No. 8791.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 606, p. 267.

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession, notification of succession (d)</i>
ALGERIA	8 November 1967
ARGENTINA	6 December 1967
AUSTRALIA ^{1a}	13 December 1973
AUSTRIA	5 September 1973
BELGIUM	8 April 1969
BENIN	6 July 1970
BOTSWANA	6 January 1969
BRAZIL	7 April 1972
BURUNDI	15 March 1971
CANADA	4 June 1969
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	30 August 1967
CHILE	27 April 1972
CONGO	10 July 1970
CYPRUS	9 July 1968
DENMARK	29 January 1968
ECUADOR	6 March 1969
ETHIOPIA	10 November 1969
FIJI	12 June 1972 <i>d</i>
FINLAND	10 October 1968
FRANCE	3 February 1971
GABON	28 August 1973
GAMBIA	29 September 1967
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	5 November 1969
GHANA	30 October 1968
GREECE	7 August 1968
GUINEA	16 May 1968
GUINEA-BISSAU	11 February 1976
HOLY SEE	8 June 1967
ICELAND	26 April 1968
IRAN	28 July 1976
IRELAND	6 November 1968
ISRAEL	14 June 1968
ITALY	26 January 1972
IVORY COAST	16 February 1970
LIECHTENSTEIN	20 May 1968
LUXEMBOURG	22 April 1971
MALI	2 February 1973
MALTA	15 September 1971
MOROCCO	20 April 1971
NETHERLANDS ³	29 November 1968

¹ On the recommendation of the Executive Committee of the Programme of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, the High Commissioner submitted the draft of the above-mentioned Protocol to the General Assembly of the United Nations, through the Economic and Social Council, in the addendum to his report concerning measures to extend the personal scope of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees. The Economic and Social Council, in resolution 1186 (XLI) of 18 November 1966, took note with approval of the draft Protocol and transmitted the said addendum to the General Assembly. The General Assembly, in resolution 2198 (XXI) of 16 December 1966, took note of the Protocol and requested the Secretary-General "to transmit the text of the Protocol to the States mentioned in article V thereof, with a view to enabling them to accede to the Protocol."

^{1a} With the following declaration: "The Government of Australia will not extend the provisions of the Protocol to Papua/New Guinea."

² In a note accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Protocol "shall also apply to Land Berlin with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bulgaria and Mongolia. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

³ "The Kingdom of the Netherlands accedes to the said Protocol so far as the territory of the Kingdom situated in Europe is concerned."

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession, notification of succession (d)</i>	
NEW ZEALAND	6 August	1973
NIGER	2 February	1970
NIGERIA	2 May	1968
NORWAY	28 November	1967
PARAGUAY	1 April	1970
PORTUGAL	13 July	1976
SENEGAL	3 October	1967
SUDAN	23 May	1974
SWAZILAND	28 January	1969
SWEDEN	4 October	1967
SWITZERLAND	20 May	1968
TOGO	1 December	1969
TUNISIA	16 October	1968
TURKEY	31 July	1968
UGANDA	27 September	1976
UNITED KINGDOM	4 September	1968
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	19 September	1967
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	4 September	1968
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	1 November	1968
URUGUAY	22 September	1970
YUGOSLAVIA	15 January	1968
ZAIRE	13 January	1975
ZAMBIA	24 September	1969

Declarations and Reservations⁴

BOTSWANA

“Subject to the reservation in respect of article IV of the said Protocol and in respect of the application in accordance with article I thereof of the provisions of articles 7, 17, 26, 31, 32 and 34 and paragraph 1 of article 12 of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, done at Geneva on 28 July 1951.”

BURUNDI

In acceding to this Protocol, the Government of the Republic of Burundi enters the following reservations:

1. The provisions of article 22 are accepted, in respect of elementary education, only

(a) In so far as they apply to public education, and not to private education;

(b) On the understanding that the treatment applicable to refugees shall be the most favourable accorded to nationals of other States.

2. The provisions of article 17 (1) and (2) are accepted as mere recommendations and, in any event, shall not be interpreted as necessarily involving the regime accorded to nationals of countries with which the Republic of Burundi may have concluded regional, customs, economic or political agreements.

3. The provisions of article 26 are accepted only subject to the reservation that refugees:

(a) Do not choose their place of residence in a region bordering on their country of origin;

(b) Refrain, in any event, when exercising their right to move freely, from any activity or incursion of a subversive nature with respect to the country of which they are nationals.

⁴ See article VII of the Protocol, relating to reservations and declarations, in *Final Clauses (ST/LEG/SER.D/1. Annex)*, page V-15.

CHILE

(1) With the reservation that, with reference to the provisions of article 34, the Government of Chile will be unable to grant to refugees facilities greater than those granted to aliens in general, in view of the liberal nature of Chilean naturalization laws;

(2) With the reservation that the period specified in article 17, paragraph 2 (a) shall, in the case of Chile, be extended from three to ten years;

(3) With the reservation that article 17, paragraph 2 (c) shall apply only if the refugee is the widow or the widower of a Chilean spouse;

(4) With the reservation that the Government of Chile cannot grant a longer period for compliance with an expulsion order than that granted to other aliens in general under Chilean law.

CONGO

The Protocol is accepted with the exception of article IV.

ETHIOPIA

Subject to the following reservation in respect of the application, under article I of the Protocol, of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, done at Geneva on 28 July 1951:

“The provisions of articles 8, 9, 17 (2) and 22 (1) of the Convention are recognized only as recommendations and not as legally binding obligations.”

FINLAND

Subject to the following reservations concerning the application of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees in accordance with article I of the Protocol:

[For the text of these reservations see page 118.]

FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic declares that it has decided to extend the obligations that it assumes pursuant to the Convention of 28 July 1951, in accordance with paragraph 2, section B, of article 1 of the said Convention, and, accordingly, will apply the Protocol of 31 January 1967 without any geographical limitation.

GHANA

"The Government of Ghana does not consider itself bound by article IV of the Protocol regarding the settlement of disputes."

ISRAEL

"The Government of Israel accedes to the Protocol subject to the same statements and reservations made at the time of ratifying the Convention [relating to the Status of Refugees, done at Geneva on 28 July 1951], in accordance with the provisions of article VII (2) of the Protocol."

MALTA

In accordance with article VII (2), the reservations to the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees of 28 July 1951 by the Government of Malta on deposit of its instrument of accession on 17 June 1971, pursuant to article 42 of the said Convention, are applicable in relation to its obligations under the present Protocol.

NETHERLANDS^{4a}

"In accordance with article VII of the Protocol, all reservations made by the Kingdom of the Netherlands upon signature and ratification of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, which was signed in Geneva on 28 July 1951, are regarded to apply to the obligations resulting from the Protocol."

PORTUGAL

Upon accession:

"1. The Protocol will be applied without any geographical limitation.

"2. In all cases in which the Protocol confers upon the refugees the most favoured person status granted to nationals of a foreign country, this clause will not be interpreted in such a way as to mean the status granted by Portugal to the nationals of Brazil or to the nationals of other countries with whom Portugal may establish commonwealth type relations"

SWAZILAND

Subject to the following reservations in respect of the application of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, done at Geneva on 28 July 1951, under article I of the Protocol:

"(1) The Government of the Kingdom of Swaziland is not in a position to assume obligations as contained in article 22 of the said Convention, and therefore will not consider itself bound by the provisions therein;

^{4a} In a notification received on 29 July 1971, the Government of the Netherlands declared that the Protocol shall extend to Surinam. The extension is made subject to reservations identical in substance to those already made upon accession.

"(2) Similarly, the Government of the Kingdom of Swaziland is not in a position to assume the obligations of article 34 of the said Convention, and must expressly reserve the right not to apply the provisions therein." and with the following declaration:

"The Government of the Kingdom of Swaziland deems it essential to draw attention to the accession herewith as a Member of the United Nations, and not as a Party to the said Convention by reason of succession or otherwise."

TURKEY

The instrument of accession stipulates that the Government of Turkey maintains the provisions of the declaration made under section B of article 1 of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, done at Geneva on 28 July 1951, according to which it applies the Convention only to persons who have become refugees as a result of events occurring in Europe, and also the reservation clause made upon ratification of the Convention to the effect that no provision of this Convention may be interpreted as granting to refugees greater rights than those accorded to Turkish citizens in Turkey.

UGANDA

[Same reservations as for the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees: see p. 122.]

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN
AND NORTHERN IRELAND⁵

"(a) In accordance with the provisions of the first sentence of Article VII.4 of the Protocol, the United Kingdom hereby excludes from the application of the Protocol the following territories for the international relations of which it is responsible; Jersey, Southern Rhodesia, Swaziland.

"(b) In accordance with the provisions of the second sentence of Article VII.4 of the said Protocol, the United Kingdom hereby extends the application of the Protocol to the following territories for the international relations of which it is responsible: St. Lucia, Montserrat."

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

". . . Subject to the reservation, hereby made, that the provisions of Article IV of the Protocol shall not be applicable to the United Republic of Tanzania except within the explicit consent of the Government of the United Republic of Tanzania."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

With the following reservations in respect of the application, in accordance with article I of the Protocol, of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, done at New York on 28 July 1951:

"The United States of America construes Article 29 of the Convention as applying only to refugees who are

⁵ In a notification received on 20 April 1970, the Government of the United Kingdom declared that the Protocol shall extend to the Bahamas Islands. The extension is made subject to the reservation the text of which appears on p. 126.

resident in the United States and reserves the right to tax refugees who are not residents of the United States in accordance with its general rules relating to non-resident aliens.

“The United States of America accepts the obligation of paragraph 1 (b) of Article 24 of the Convention except insofar as that paragraph may conflict in certain

instances with any provisions of title II (old age, survivors' and disability insurance) or title XVIII (hospital and medical insurance for the aged) of the Social Security Act. As to any such provision, the United States will accord to refugees lawfully staying in its territory treatment no less favorable than is accorded aliens generally in the same circumstances.”

CHAPTER VI. NARCOTIC DRUGS AND PSYCHOTROPIC SUBSTANCES

1. Protocol amending the Agreements, Conventions and Protocols on Narcotic Drugs, concluded at The Hague on 23 January 1912, at Geneva on 11 February 1925 and 19 February 1925 and 13 July 1931, at Bangkok on 27 November 1931 and at Geneva on 26 June 1936

Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 11 December 1946, in accordance with paragraph 1 of article VII.

REGISTRATION: 3 February 1948, No. 186.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 12, p. 179.

The amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol came into force in respect of the Agreements and Conventions listed below as follows in accordance with paragraph 2 of article VII of the Protocol:²

Agreement concerning the Suppression of the Manufacture of, Internal Trade in, and Use of, Prepared Opium (with Protocol), signed at Geneva on 11 February 1925	27 October 1947
International Opium Convention (with Protocol) signed at Geneva on 19 February 1925	3 February 1948
Convention for Limiting the Manufacture and Regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs (with Protocol of Signature) signed at Geneva on 13 July 1931	21 November 1947
Agreement concerning the Suppression of Opium Smoking, signed at Bangkok on 27 November 1931	27 October 1947
Convention for the Suppression of the Illicit Traffic in Dangerous Drugs, signed at Geneva on 26 June 1936	10 October 1947

Signatures and acceptances of the Protocol of 11 December 1946

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to approval</i>	<i>Definitive signatures (s), acceptance, notification of succession (d)</i>
AFGHANISTAN		11 December 1946 s
ALBANIA		23 June 1947
ARGENTINA		11 December 1946 s
AUSTRALIA	11 December 1946	28 August 1947
AUSTRIA		17 May 1950
BAHAMAS		13 August 1975 d
BELGIUM		11 December 1946 s
BOLIVIA		11 December 1946 s
BRAZIL		17 December 1946 s
BYELORUSSIAN SSR		11 December 1946 s
CANADA		11 December 1946 s
CHILE		11 December 1946 s
CHINA ^{2a}		11 December 1946 s

¹ The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 54 (I) of 19 November 1946. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Second Part of the First Session, Resolutions (A/64/Add 1)*, p. 81.

² The Protocol does not contain any formal amendment in respect of the Convention of 23 January 1912. However, its article III provides as follows:

"The functions conferred upon the Netherlands Government under articles 21 and 25 of the International Opium Conven-

tion signed at The Hague on 23 January 1912, and entrusted to the Secretary-General of the League of Nations with the consent of the Netherlands Government, by a resolution of the League of Nations Assembly dated 15 December 1920, shall henceforward be exercised by the Secretary-General of the United Nations"

The Convention of 23 January 1912 (which, consequently, was amended in effect by the Protocol of 11 December 1946) has been included in the present chapter.

^{2a} See note, p. iii.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to approval</i>	<i>Definitive signatures (s), acceptance, notification of succession (d)</i>
COLOMBIA		11 December 1946 s
COSTA RICA ³	11 December 1946	
CUBA	12 December 1946	
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		11 December 1946 s
DENMARK ³	11 December 1946	15 June 1949
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC		11 December 1946 s
ECUADOR	14 December 1946	8 June 1951
EGYPT ³	11 December 1946	13 September 1948
FIJI		1 November 1971 d
FINLAND		3 February 1948
FRANCE ³	11 December 1946	10 October 1947
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ⁴		12 August 1959
GREECE ³	11 December 1946	21 February 1949
GUATEMALA ³	13 December 1946	
HAITI	14 December 1946	31 May 1951
HONDURAS		11 December 1946 s
HUNGARY		16 December 1955
INDIA		11 December 1946 s
IRAN		11 December 1946 s
IRAQ ³	12 December 1946	14 September 1950
IRELAND		18 February 1948
ITALY		25 March 1948 s
JAPAN		27 March 1952
LEBANON		13 December 1946 s
LIBERIA		11 December 1946 s
LIECHTENSTEIN ⁵		25 September 1947
LUXEMBOURG ³	11 December 1946	13 October 1949
MEXICO		11 December 1946 s
MONACO		21 November 1947 s
NETHERLANDS ³	11 December 1946	10 March 1948
NEW ZEALAND		11 December 1946 s
NICARAGUA	13 December 1946	24 April 1950
NORWAY ³	11 December 1946	2 July 1947
PANAMA		15 December 1946 s
PARAGUAY	14 December 1946	
PERU	26 November 1948	
PHILIPPINES ³	11 December 1946	25 May 1950
POLAND		11 December 1946 s
ROMANIA		11 October 1961
SAUDI ARABIA		11 December 1946 s
SOUTH AFRICA ³	15 December 1946	24 February 1948
SPAIN		26 September 1955 s
SWEDEN		17 October 1947 s
SWITZERLAND ⁵		25 September 1947
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC		11 December 1946 s
THAILAND		27 October 1947 s
TURKEY		11 December 1946 s
UKRAINIAN SSR	11 December 1946	8 January 1948

³ The signature was affixed without reservation as to approval, but the full powers provided for signature with such reservation.

⁴ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 22 January 1960, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Protocol "also applies to Land Berlin as from 12 August 1959, i.e., the day on which the Protocol entered into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the

Governments of Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52.

⁵ The instrument of acceptance of the Protocol by the Government of the Swiss Confederation stipulates that the declaration of acceptance is also valid for the Principality of Liechtenstein.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to approval</i>	<i>Definitive signatures (s), acceptance, notification of succession (d)</i>	
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	11 December 1946	25 October	1947
UNITED KINGDOM		11 December	1946 <i>s</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	11 December 1946	12 August	1947
URUGUAY	14 December 1946		
VENEZUELA	11 December 1946		
YUGOSLAVIA ⁶	11 December 1946	19 May	1948

⁶ See footnote 3, page 144.

2. International Opium Convention

The Hague, January 23rd, 1912¹

Observation:^{1a} This Convention, signed in 1912, was not concluded under the auspices of the League of Nations, but it served as a starting-point for the system devised by the League of Nations and has, in a sense, been incorporated in that system.

*Schedule*² containing the signatures of the Convention, the signatures of the Protocol of Signature of the Powers not represented at the First Opium Conference, provided for in the penultimate paragraph of Article 22 of the Convention, the ratifications of the Convention, and the signatures of the Protocol respecting the putting into force of the Convention provided under "B" of the Final Protocol of the Third International Opium Conference.

(The ratifications and signatures in accordance with Article 295 of the Peace Treaty of Versailles or in accordance with a similar article of other treaties of peace are marked *.)

State	Signatures of the Convention	Signatures of the Protocol of the Powers not represented at the Opium Conference	Ratifications of the Convention and Accessions	Signatures of the Protocol relative to the bringing into force of the Convention (dates of the entry into force)
AFGHANISTAN	—	—	May 5, 1944	—
ALBANIA	—	Feb. 3, 1925	Feb. 3, 1925	Feb. 3, 1925
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Jan. 23, 1912	—	Dec. 15, 1913	Feb. 11, 1915
SAUDI ARABIA (a)	—	—	Feb. 19, 1943	—
ARGENTINE REPUBLIC	—	Oct. 17, 1912	April 23, 1946	—
AUSTRIA	—	—	July 16, 1920*	July 16, 1920*
BELGIUM ³	—	June 18, 1912	June 16, 1914	May 14, 1919
Belgian Congo and Mandated Territory of Ruanda-Urundi (a)	—	—	July 29, 1942	—
BOLIVIA	—	June 4, 1913	Jan. 10, 1920*	Jan. 10, 1920*
BRAZIL	—	Oct. 16, 1912	Dec. 23, 1914	Jan. 10, 1920*
GREAT BRITAIN ⁴	Jan. 23, 1912	—	July 15, 1914	Jan. 10, 1920*
Burma ^{4a}	—	—	—	—
BULGARIA	—	March 2, 1914	Aug. 9, 1920*	Aug. 9, 1920*
CHILE	—	July 2, 1913	Jan. 16, 1923	May 18, 1923
CHINA ^{4b}	Jan. 23, 1912	—	Feb. 9, 1914	Feb. 11, 1915
COLOMBIA ⁵	—	Jan. 15, 1913	June 26, 1924	June 30, 1924
COSTA RICA	—	April 25, 1912	August 1, 1924	July 29, 1925
CUBA	—	May 8, 1913	March 8, 1920*	March 8, 1920*
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	—	—	Jan. 10, 1920*	Jan. 10, 1920*

¹ Registered No. 222. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 8, p. 187.

^{1a} See foot-note 2, p. 143

² This Schedule which appeared in the Annexes to the Supplementary Report on the Work of the League is reproduced here for purposes of information.

³ Subject to adherence or denunciation as regards the Belgian Congo

⁴ In accordance with the following reservation:

The articles of the present Convention, if ratified by His Britannic Majesty's Government, shall apply to the Government of British India, Ceylon, the Straits Settlements, Hong-Kong, and Wei-Hai-Wei in every respect in the same way as they shall apply to the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland; but His Britannic Majesty's Government reserve the right of signing or denouncing separately the said Convention in the name of any Dominion, Colony, Dependency, or Protectorate of His Majesty other than those which have been specified

In virtue of the above-mentioned reservation, Great Britain signed the Convention for the following Dominions, Colonies, Dependencies, and Protectorates:

On December 17th, 1912, for Canada, Newfoundland, New Zealand, Brunei, Cyprus, the East Africa Protectorate, Falk-

land Islands, Malay Protectorates, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gold Coast, Jamaica, Johore, Kedah, Kelantan, Perlis, Trengganu, Malta, Northern Nigeria, Northern Borneo, Nyasaland, St. Helena, Sarawak, Seychelles, Somaliland, Southern Nigeria, Trinidad, Uganda: on February 27th, 1913, for the Colony of Fiji; on April 22nd, 1913, for the Colony of Sierra Leone, the Gilbert and Ellice Islands Protectorate and the Solomon Islands Protectorate; on June 25th, 1913, for the Government of the Commonwealth of Australia; on November 14th, 1913, for the Bahama Islands and for the three Colonies of the Windward Islands, that is to say, Grenada, St. Lucia and St. Vincent; on January 30th, 1914, for the Leeward Islands; on February 11th, 1914, for British Guiana as well as for British Honduras; on March 11th, 1914 for the Government of the Union of South Africa; on March 28th, 1914, for Zanzibar, Southern and Northern Rhodesia, Basutoland, the Bechuanaland Protectorate and Swaziland; on April 4th, 1914, for the Colony of Barbados; on April 8th, 1914, for Mauritius and its dependencies; on July 11th, 1914, for the Bermuda Islands; on August 21st, 1924 for Palestine and together with France for the New Hebrides; on October 20th, 1924, for Iraq

^{4a} See footnote 3, p. 545.

^{4b} See note, p. iii.

⁵ Subject to approval of the Colombian Parliament.

State	Signatures of the Convention	Signatures of the Protocol of the Powers not represented at the Opium Conference	Ratifications of the Convention and Accessions	Signatures of the Protocol relative to the bringing into force of the Convention (dates of the entry into force)
DENMARK ⁶	—	Dec. 17, 1912	July 10, 1913	Oct. 21, 1921
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	—	Nov. 12, 1912	June 7, 1923	April 14, 1931
ECUADOR	—	July 2, 1912	Feb. 25, 1915	Aug. 23, 1923
EGYPT (a)	—	—	June 5, 1942	—
ESTONIA	—	Jan. 9, 1923	April 20, 1923	Jan. 21, 1931
FINLAND	—	April 24, 1922	May 16, 1922	Dec. 1, 1922
FRANCE ⁷	Jan. 23, 1912	—	Jan. 10, 1920*	Jan. 10, 1920*
GERMANY	Jan. 23, 1912	—	Jan. 10, 1920*	Jan. 10, 1920*
GREECE	—	—	March 30, 1920*	March 30, 1920*
GUATEMALA	—	June 17, 1912	Aug. 27, 1913	Jan. 10, 1920*
HAITI	—	Aug. 21, 1912	June 30, 1920*	June 30, 1920*
HONDURAS	—	July 5, 1912	Aug. 29, 1913	April 3, 1915
HUNGARY	—	—	July 26, 1921*	July 26, 1921*
IRAN ⁸	Jan. 23, 1912	—	—	—
ITALY	Jan. 23, 1912	—	June 28, 1914	Jan. 10, 1920*
JAPAN	Jan. 23, 1912	—	Jan. 10, 1920*	Jan. 10, 1920*
LATVIA	—	Feb. 6, 1922	March 25, 1924	Jan. 18, 1932
LIBERIA	—	—	June 30, 1920*	June 30, 1920*
LIECHTENSTEIN ⁹	—	—	—	—
LITHUANIA	—	April 7, 1922	—	—
LUXEMBOURG	—	June 18, 1912	Aug. 21, 1922	Aug. 21, 1922
MEXICO	—	May 15, 1912	April 2, 1925	May 8, 1925
MONACO	—	May 1, 1923	Feb. 20, 1925	May 26, 1925
THE NETHERLANDS	Jan. 23, 1912	—	July 28, 1914	Feb. 11, 1915
NICARAGUA	—	July 18, 1913	Nov. 10, 1914	Nov. 3, 1920
NORWAY	—	Sept. 2, 1913	Nov. 12, 1914	Sept. 20, 1915
PANAMA	—	June 19, 1912	Nov. 25, 1920*	Nov. 25, 1920*
PARAGUAY (a)	—	Dec. 14, 1912	March 17, 1943	—
PERU	—	July 24, 1913	Jan. 10, 1920*	Jan. 10, 1920*
POLAND	—	—	Jan. 10, 1920*	Jan. 10, 1920*
PORTUGAL	Jan. 23, 1912	—	Dec. 15, 1913	April 8, 1920*
ROMANIA	—	Dec. 27, 1913	Sept. 14, 1920*	Sept. 14, 1920*
RUSSIA	Jan. 23, 1912	—	—	—
SALVADOR	—	July 30, 1912	Sept. 19, 1922	May 29, 1931
SPAIN	—	Oct. 23, 1912	Jan. 25, 1919	Feb. 11, 1921
SWEDEN ¹⁰	—	Aug. 27, 1913	April 17, 1914	Jan. 13, 1921
SWITZERLAND ¹¹	—	Dec. 29, 1913	Jan. 15, 1925	Jan. 15, 1925
THAILAND ¹²	Jan. 23, 1912	—	July 10, 1913	Jan. 10, 1920*
TURKEY	Sept. 15, 1933	—	Sept. 15, 1933	Sept. 15, 1933
URUGUAY	—	March 9, 1914	April 3, 1916	Jan. 10, 1920*
VENEZUELA	—	Sept. 10, 1912	Oct. 28, 1913	July 12, 1927
YUGOSLAVIA	—	—	Feb. 10, 1920*	Feb. 10, 1920*

⁶ The signature of the Protocol of Signature of the Powers not represented at the Conference as well as its ratification were given by Denmark for Iceland and the Danish Antilles; the signature of the Protocol respecting the putting into force of the Convention was given separately by Denmark and Iceland.

⁷ With the reservation that a separate and special ratification or denunciation may subsequently be obtained for the French Protectorates. France and Great Britain signed the Convention for the New Hebrides, August 21st, 1924

⁸ With the reservation of articles 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 (Iran having no treaty with China) and paragraph (a) of article 3.

⁹ The Netherlands Minister for Foreign Affairs, by a letter dated October 14th, 1936, transmitted to the Secretariat, at the request of the Swiss Legation at The Hague, the following declaration:

"Under the terms of the arrangements concluded between the Government of the Principality of Liechtenstein and the Swiss Government in 1929 and 1935, in application of the Customs Union Treaty concluded between these two countries on March 29th, 1923, the Swiss legislation on narcotic drugs,

including all the measures taken by the Federal authorities to give effect to the different international Conventions on dangerous drugs, will be applicable to the territory of the Principality in the same way as to the territory of the Confederation, as long as the said Treaty remains in force. The Principality of Liechtenstein will accordingly participate, so long as the said Treaty remains in force, in the international Conventions which have been or may hereafter be concluded in the matter of narcotic drugs, it being neither necessary nor advisable for that country to accede to them separately"

¹⁰ Subject to the following declaration: "Opium not being manufactured in Sweden, the Swedish Government will for the moment confine themselves to prohibiting the importation of prepared opium, but they declare at the same time that they are ready to take the measures indicated in Article 8 of the Convention if experience proves their expediency"

¹¹ Subject to ratification and with the declaration that the Swiss Government will be unable to issue the necessary legal enactments within the terms fixed by the Convention.

¹² With the reservation of articles 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 (Thailand having no treaty with China).

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>
BAHAMAS	13 August 1975 <i>d</i>	MALAWI	22 July 1965 <i>d</i>
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE ..	4 September 1962 <i>d</i>	MALAYSIA	21 August 1958 <i>d</i>
CONGO	15 October 1962 <i>d</i>	MALTA ..	3 January 1966 <i>d</i>
CYPRUS	16 May 1963 <i>d</i>	MAURITIUS	18 July 1969 <i>d</i>
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA ...	3 October 1951 <i>d</i> ¹⁴	NIGER ...	25 August 1961 <i>d</i>
ETHIOPIA	28 December 1948 <i>a</i>	NIGERIA	26 June 1961 <i>d</i>
FIJI	1 November 1971 <i>d</i>	PHILIPPINES	30 September 1959 <i>d</i>
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ¹³		[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ..	11 August 1950 <i>d</i> ¹⁴
GHANA	3 April 1958 <i>d</i>	RWANDA	5 May 1964 <i>d</i>
INDONESIA	29 May 1958 <i>a</i>	SENEGAL	2 May 1963 <i>d</i>
ISRAEL	12 May 1952 <i>a</i>	SIERRA LEONE ..	13 March 1962 <i>d</i>
IVORY COAST	8 December 1961 <i>d</i>	SRI LANKA	4 December 1957 <i>d</i>
JAMAICA ...	26 December 1963 <i>d</i>	SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC ...	20 January 1954 <i>d</i>
JORDAN	12 May 1958 <i>a</i>	TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	11 April 1966 <i>d</i>
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	7 October 1950 <i>d</i> ¹⁴	UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON .	20 November 1961 <i>d</i>
LEBANON	24 May 1954 <i>d</i>	ZAIRE	31 May 1962 <i>d</i>
LESOTHO ...	4 November 1974 <i>d</i>	ZAMBIA	9 April 1973 <i>d</i>

¹³ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as from 16 December 1957.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 16 March 1976 the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 7 February 1974 concerning the application, as from 16 December 1957, of the International Opium Convention of 23 January 1912 the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relations between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic this declaration has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared: "The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the International Opium Convention, January 23rd 1912 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

¹⁴ Procedure effected in the form of a joint notification by the State of Viet-Nam and the Government of France, whereby notice was given of the transfer of duties and obligations arising from the application of the Convention. See also note 4b, p. 54.

3. Agreement concerning the Suppression of the Manufacture of, Internal Trade in, and Use of, Prepared Opium

Signed at Geneva on 11 February 1925 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 27 October 1947, the date on which the amendments to the Agreement, as set forth in the Protocol of 11 December 1946 entered into force, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article VII of the Protocol.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, notification (d) in respect of the Agreement as amended</i>
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	3 October 1951 ^d ¹
INDIA	11 December 1946
JAPAN	27 March 1952
FRANCE	10 October 1947
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	7 October 1950 ^d ¹
NETHERLANDS	10 March 1948
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ²	11 August 1950 ^d ¹
THAILAND	27 October 1947
UNITED KINGDOM	11 December 1946

¹ As in footnote 14, p. 148.

² See note 4b, p. 54.

4. Agreement concerning the Suppression of the Manufacture of, Internal Trade in, and Use of, Prepared Opium, with Protocol and Final Act

Geneva, February 11th, 1925¹

IN FORCE since July 28th, 1926 (Article 14).

Ratifications

BRITISH EMPIRE (February 17th, 1926)

The signature of this Protocol is subject, in respect of British Protectorates, to the conditions contained in Article XIII of the Agreement.

Burma²

INDIA (February 17th, 1926)

FRANCE (April 29th, 1926)

JAPAN (October 10th, 1928)

THE NETHERLANDS (including the *Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao*)
(March 1st, 1927)

PORTUGAL (September 13th, 1926)

While accepting the principle of a monopoly as formulated in Article I, does so, as regards the moment at which the measures provided for in the first paragraph thereof shall come into force, subject to the limitation contained in the second paragraph of the article.

The Portuguese Government, being bound by a contract consistent with the provisions of the Hague Convention of 1912, will not be able to put into operation the provisions of paragraph I of Article VI of the present Agreement so long as its obligations under this contract are in force.

THAILAND (May 6th, 1927)

Under reservation of Article I, paragraph 3 (*a*), with regard to the time when this provision shall come into force, and of Article V. The reason for these reservations had been stated by the First Delegate of Thailand on November 14th, 1924. The Thai Government is hoping to put into force the system of registration and rationing within the period of three years. After that date, the reservation in regard to Article I, paragraph 3 (*a*), will fall to the ground.

¹ Registered No. 1239. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 51, p. 337.

² See footnote 3, p. 511.

5. International Opium Convention, with Protocol

Signed at Geneva on 19 February 1925 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 3 February 1948, the date on which the amendments to the Convention, as set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 11 December 1946 entered into force, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article VII of the Protocol.

State	Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, or succession to the Convention and the said Protocol		Accession (a), notifi- cation of succession (d) to the Convention as amended	
AFGHANISTAN			29 January	1957 a
ALGERIA			31 October	1963 a
ARGENTINA	11 December	1946		
AUSTRALIA	28 August	1947		
AUSTRIA	17 May	1950		
BAHAMAS	13 August	1975		
BELGIUM	11 December	1946		
BENIN			5 December	1961 d
BOLIVIA	14 December	1946		
BRAZIL	17 December	1946		
CANADA	11 December	1946		
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE			4 September	1962 d
CHILE	11 December	1946		
COLOMBIA	11 December	1946		
CONGO			15 October	1962 d
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	11 December	1946		
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA			3 October	1951 d ¹
DENMARK	15 June	1949		
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	11 December	1946		
ECUADOR	8 June	1951		
EGYPT	13 September	1948		
ETHIOPIA			9 September	1947 a
FIJI	1 November	1971		
FINLAND	3 February	1948		
FRANCE	10 October	1947		
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	12 August	1959		
GHANA			7 April	1958 d
GREECE	21 February	1949		
HAITI	31 May	1951		
HONDURAS	11 December	1946		
HUNGARY	16 December	1955		
INDIA	11 December	1946		
INDONESIA			3 April	1958 a
IRAQ	14 September	1950		
IRELAND	18 February	1948		
ISRAEL			16 May	1952 a
ITALY	25 March	1948		
IVORY COAST			8 December	1961 d
JAMAICA			26 December	1963 d
JAPAN	27 March	1952		
JORDAN			7 May	1958 a
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			7 October	1950 d ¹
LEBANON	13 December	1946		
LESOTHO			4 November	1974 d

¹ As in footnote 14, p. 148.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, or succession to the Convention and the said Protocol</i>		<i>Accession (a), notifi- cation of succession (d) to the Convention as amended</i>	
LIECHTENSTEIN ²	25 September	1947		
LUXEMBOURG	13 October	1949		
MALAWI			22 July	1965 <i>d</i>
MALAYSIA			21 August	1958 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS			18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
MONACO	21 November	1947		
MOROCCO			7 November	1956 <i>d</i>
NETHERLANDS	10 March	1948		
NEW ZEALAND	11 December	1946		
NIGER			25 August	1961 <i>d</i>
NIGERIA			26 June	1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY	2 July	1947		
POLAND	11 December	1946		
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{2a}			11 August	1950 <i>d</i> ³
ROMANIA	11 October	1961		
RWANDA			5 August	1964 <i>d</i>
SENEGAL			2 May	1963 <i>d</i>
SIERRA LEONE			13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	24 February	1948		
SPAIN	26 September	1955		
SRI LANKA			4 December	1957 <i>d</i>
SWEDEN	17 October	1947		
SWITZERLAND ²	25 September	1947		
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	11 December	1946		
THAILAND	27 October	1947		
TOGO			27 February	1962 <i>d</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO			11 April	1966 <i>d</i>
TURKEY	11 December	1946		
UGANDA			20 October	1965 <i>a</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	25 October	1947		
UNITED KINGDOM	11 December	1946		
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON			20 November	1961 <i>d</i>
UPPER VOLTA			26 April	1963 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	19 May	1948		
ZAIRE			31 May	1962 <i>d</i>
ZAMBIA			9 April	1973 <i>d</i>

² See footnote 5, p. 144.^{2a} See note 4b, p. 54.³ As in footnote 14, p. 148.

6. (a) International Opium Convention

Geneva, February 19th, 1925¹

IN FORCE since September 25th, 1928 (Article 36).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

ARGENTINA	(April 18th, 1946)
AUSTRIA	(November 25th, 1927)
BELGIUM	(August 24th, 1927)
Does not apply to the Belgian Congo or to the territory of Ruanda-Urundi under Belgian mandate.	
<i>Belgian Congo and Mandated Territory of Ruanda-Urundi</i>	
	(December 17th, 1941 a)
BOLIVIA	(April 15th, 1932 a)
1. Bolivia does not undertake to restrict the home cultivation or production of coca, or to prohibit the use of coca leaves by the native population.	
2. The exportation of coca leaves shall be subject to control by the Bolivian Government, by means of export certificates.	
3. The Bolivian Government designates the following as places from which coca may be exported: Villazon, Yacuiba, Antofagasta, Arica and Mollendo.	
BRAZIL	(June 10th, 1932)
BRITISH EMPIRE	(February 17th, 1926)
His Britannic Majesty's ratification shall not be deemed to apply in the case of the Dominion of Canada or the Irish Free State and, in pursuance of the power reserved in Article 39 of the Convention, the instrument shall not be deemed to apply in the case of the Colony of the Bahamas or the State of Sarawak under His Britannic Majesty's protection.	
<i>State of Sarawak</i>	(March 11th, 1926 a)
<i>Bahamas</i>	(October 22nd, 1926 a)
<i>Burma</i> ²	
CANADA	(June 27th, 1928)
AUSTRALIA	(February 17th, 1926)
NEW ZEALAND	(February 17th, 1926)
Including the mandated territory of <i>Western Samoa</i> .	
UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA	(February 17th, 1926)
IRELAND	(September 1st, 1931)
INDIA	(February 17th, 1926)
IRAQ	(August 8th, 1931 a)
BULGARIA	(March 9th, 1927)
CHILE	(April 11th, 1933)
COLOMBIA	(December 3rd, 1930 a)
COSTA RICA	(January 8th, 1935 a)
CUBA	(July 6th, 1931)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(April 11th, 1927)

¹ Registered No. 1845. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 81, p. 317.² See footnote 3, p. 511.*Ratifications or definitive accessions*

DENMARK	(April 23rd, 1930)
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	(July 19th, 1928 a)
ECUADOR	(October 23rd, 1934 a)
EGYPT	(March 16th, 1926 a)
ESTONIA	(August 30th, 1930 a)
FINLAND	(December 5th, 1927 a)
FRANCE	(July 2nd, 1927)
The French Government is compelled to make all reservation, as regards the Colonies, Protectorates and mandated territories under its authority, as to the possibility of regularly producing, within the strictly prescribed time-limit, the quarterly statistics provided for in paragraph 2 of Article 22.	
GERMANY	(August 15th, 1929)
Subject to the reservation annexed to the Procès-verbal of the plenary meeting of February 16th, 1925. (The validity of the signature and ratification of this Convention are subject to the condition that a German expert will be appointed as a member of the Central Board.)	
GREECE	(December 10th, 1929)
HAITI	(November 30th, 1938 a)
HUNGARY	(August 27th, 1930)
HONDURAS	(September 21st, 1934 a)
ITALY (for the Kingdom and Colonies)	(December 11th, 1929 a)
JAPAN	(October 10th, 1928)
LATVIA	(October 31st, 1928)
LIECHTENSTEIN ³	
LITHUANIA	(February 13th, 1931 a)
LUXEMBOURG	(March 27th, 1928)
MONACO	(February 9th, 1927 a)
THE NETHERLANDS	
(including <i>Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao</i>)	
<i>New Hebrides</i>	(December 27th, 1927 a)

³ The Swiss Federal Political Department, by a letter dated July 15th, 1936, informed the Secretariat of the following:

"Under the terms of the arrangements concluded between the Government of the Principality of Liechtenstein and the Swiss Government in 1929 and 1935, in application of the Customs Union Treaty concluded between these two countries on March 29th, 1923, the Swiss legislation on narcotic drugs, including all the measures taken by the Federal authorities to give effect to the different international Conventions on dangerous drugs, will be applicable to the territory of the Principality in the same way as to the territory of the Confederation, as long as the said Treaty remains in force. The Principality of Liechtenstein will accordingly participate, so long as the said Treaty remains in force, in the international Conventions which have been or may hereafter be concluded in the matter of narcotic drugs, it being neither necessary nor advisable for that country to accede to them separately."

Ratifications or definitive accessions

NORWAY	(March 16th, 1931 <i>a</i>)
PARAGUAY	(June 25th, 1941 <i>a</i>)
POLAND	(June 16th, 1927)
PORTUGAL	(September 13th, 1926)
ROMANIA	(May 18th, 1928 <i>a</i>)
SALVADOR	(December 2nd, 1926 <i>a</i>)
SAN MARINO	(April 21st, 1926 <i>a</i>)
SPAIN	(June 22nd, 1928)
Includes also the <i>Spanish Colonies</i> and the <i>Spanish Protectorate of Morocco</i> .	
Sudan	(February 20th, 1926)
SWEDEN	(December 6th, 1930 <i>a</i>)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

SWITZERLAND	(April 3rd, 1929)
With reference to the declaration made by the Swiss delegation at the 36th plenary meeting of the Conference concerning the forwarding of the quarterly statistics provided for in Article 22, paragraph 2.	
THAILAND	(October 11th, 1929)
TURKEY	(April 3rd, 1933 <i>a</i>)
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	(October 31st, 1935 <i>a</i>)
URUGUAY	(September 11th, 1930)
VENEZUELA	(June 19th, 1929 <i>a</i>)
YUGOSLAVIA	(September 4th, 1929)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

ALBANIA

IRAN

Ad referendum and subject to the League of Nations complying with the request made by Iran in the Memorandum O. D. C. 24.

NICARAGUA

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Notification of succession</i>
BAHAMAS	13 August 1975
FIJI	1 November 1971
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ⁴	
TONGA	5 September 1973

⁴ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as from 7 April 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 16 March 1976 the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974, concerning the application, as from 7 April 1958, of the International Opium Convention of 19 February 1925, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relations between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic

Republic this declaration has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared: "The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the International Opium Convention, February 19th 1925 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

(b) Protocol

Geneva, February 19th, 1925

IN FORCE since September 25th, 1928.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

ARGENTINE	(April 18th, 1946)
BRITISH EMPIRE	(February 17th, 1926)
(Same reservation as for the Convention.)	
<i>State of Sarawak</i>	(March 11th, 1926 a)
<i>Bahamas</i>	(October 22nd, 1926 a)
<i>Burma</i> ¹	
CANADA	(June 27th, 1928)
AUSTRALIA	(February 17th, 1926)
NEW ZEALAND	(February 17th, 1926)
UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA	(February 17th, 1926)
INDIA	(February 17th, 1926)
IRAQ	(August 8th, 1931 a)
BOLIVIA	(April 15th, 1932 a)
BULGARIA	(March 9th, 1927)
CHILE	(April 11th, 1933)
COLOMBIA	(December 3rd, 1930 a)
COSTA RICA	(January 8th, 1935 a)
CUBA	(July 6th, 1931)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(April 11th, 1927)
ECUADOR	(October 23rd, 1934 a)
EGYPT	(March 16th, 1926 a)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

ESTONIA	(August 30th, 1930 a)
FINLAND	(December 5th, 1927 a)
GERMANY	(August 15th, 1929)
GREECE	(December 10th, 1929)
HAITI	(November 30th, 1938 a)
HONDURAS	(September 21st, 1934 a)
JAPAN	(October 10th, 1928)
LATVIA	(October 31st, 1928)
LUXEMBOURG	(March 27th, 1928)
THE NETHERLANDS	
(including <i>Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao</i>)	
	(June 4th, 1928)
PORTUGAL	(September 13th, 1926)
ROMANIA	(May 18th, 1928 a)
SALVADOR	(December 2nd, 1926 a)
SPAIN	(April 19th, 1930 a)
<i>Sudan</i>	(February 20th, 1926)
THAILAND	(October 11th, 1929)
TURKEY	(April 3rd, 1933 a)
VENEZUELA	(June 19th, 1929 a)
YUGOSLAVIA	(September 4th, 1929)

¹ See footnote 3, p. 545.*Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification*

ALBANIA

IRAN

NICARAGUA

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Notification of succession</i>
BAHAMAS	13 August 1975
FIJI	1 November 1971
TONGA	5 September 1973

7. Convention for Limiting the Manufacture and Regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs with Protocol of Signature

Signed at Geneva on 13 July 1931 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 November 1947, the date on which the amendments to the Convention, as set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 11 December 1946, entered into force in accordance with paragraph 2 of article VII of the Protocol.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, or succession or ratification in respect of the Convention and the Protocol</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d) in respect of the Convention as amended</i>	
AFGHANISTAN	11 December	1946		
ALBANIA	23 June	1947		
ALGERIA			31 October	1963 <i>a</i>
ARGENTINA	11 December	1946		
AUSTRALIA	28 August	1947		
AUSTRIA	17 May	1950		
BAHAMAS	13 August	1975		
BELGIUM	11 December	1946	5 December	1961 <i>d</i>
BENIN				
BRAZIL	17 December	1946		
CANADA	11 December	1946		
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE			4 September	1962 <i>d</i>
CHILE	11 December	1946		
CHINA ¹	11 December	1946		
COLOMBIA	11 December	1946		
CONGO			15 October	1962 <i>d</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	11 December	1946		
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA			3 October	1951 <i>d</i> ²
DENMARK	15 June	1949		
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	11 December	1946		
ECUADOR	8 June	1951		
EGYPT	13 September	1948		
ETHIOPIA			9 September	1947
FIJI	1 November	1971		
FINLAND	3 February	1948		
FRANCE	10 October	1947		
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	12 August	1959		
GHANA			7 April	1958 <i>d</i>
GREECE	21 February	1949		
GUINEA			26 April	1962 <i>d</i>
HAITI	31 May	1951		
HONDURAS	11 December	1946		
HUNGARY	16 December	1955		
INDIA	11 December	1946		
INDONESIA			3 April	1958 <i>a</i>
IRAN	11 December	1946		
IRAQ	14 September	1950		
IRELAND	18 February	1948		
ISRAEL			16 May	1952 <i>a</i>
ITALY	25 March	1948		
IVORY COAST			8 December	1961 <i>d</i>
JAMAICA			26 December	1963 <i>d</i>
JAPAN	27 March	1952		
JORDAN			12 April	1954 <i>a</i>

¹ See note, p. iii.

² As in footnote 14, p. 148.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, or succession or ratification in respect of the Convention and the Protocol</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d) in respect of the Convention as amended</i>	
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			7 October	1950 <i>d</i> ^{2a}
LEBANON	13 December	1946	4 November	1974 <i>d</i>
LESOTHO				
LIECHTENSTEIN ³	25 September	1947		
LUXEMBOURG	13 October	1949		
MALAWI			22 July	1965 <i>d</i>
MALAYSIA			21 August	1958 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS			18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
MEXICO	11 December	1946		
MONACO	21 November	1947		
MOROCCO			7 November	1956 <i>d</i>
NETHERLANDS	10 March	1948		
NEW ZEALAND	11 December	1946		
NICARAGUA	24 April	1950		
NIGER			25 August	1961 <i>d</i>
NIGERIA			26 June	1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY	2 July	1947		
PANAMA	15 December	1946		
PHILIPPINES	25 May	1950		
POLAND	11 December	1946		
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ⁴			11 August	1950 <i>d</i> ^{2a}
ROMANIA	11 October	1961	5 August	1964 <i>d</i>
RWANDA				
SAUDI ARABIA	11 December	1946		
SENEGAL			2 May	1963 <i>d</i>
SIERRA LEONE			13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	24 February	1948		
SPAIN			4 December	1957 <i>d</i>
SRI LANKA	26 September	1955		
SWEDEN	17 October	1947		
SWITZERLAND ³	25 September	1947		
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	11 December	1946		
THAILAND	27 October	1947		
TOGO			27 February	1962 <i>d</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO			11 April	1966 <i>d</i>
TURKEY	11 December	1946		
UGANDA			20 October	1965 <i>a</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	25 October	1947		
UNITED KINGDOM	11 December	1946		
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON			20 November	1961 <i>d</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA			3 July	1964 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	12 August	1947		
UPPER VOLTA			26 April	1963 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA			10 June	1949 <i>a</i>
ZAIRE			31 May	1962 <i>d</i>
ZAMBIA			9 April	1973 <i>d</i>

^{2a} As in footnote 14, p. 148.³ See footnote 5, p. 144.⁴ See footnote 4b, p. 54

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>		<i>Extension to</i>
FRANCE	17 March	1950	Archipelago of the New Hebrides under French and British Condominium.
UNITED KINGDOM			
UNITED KINGDOM	7 March	1949	Aden, Malta, Bahamas, Jamaica, St. Lucia.
	5 April	1949	Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony.
	13 February	1952	Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate and Swaziland.

8. (a) Convention for limiting the Manufacture and regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs

Geneva, July 13th, 1931¹

IN FORCE since July 9th, 1933 (Article 30).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AFGHANISTAN (June 21st, 1935 *a*)

ALBANIA (October 9th, 1937 *a*)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (April 28th, 1932)

1. The Government of the United States of America reserves the right to impose, for purpose of internal control and control of import into, and export from, territory under its jurisdiction, of opium, coca leaves, all of their derivatives and similar substances produced by synthetic process, measures stricter than the provisions of the Convention.
2. The Government of the United States of America reserves the right to impose, for purposes of controlling transit through its territories of raw opium, coca leaves, all of their derivatives and similar substances produced by synthetic process, measures by which the production of an import permit issued by the country of destination may be made a condition precedent to the granting of permission for transit through its territory.
3. The Government of the United States of America finds it impracticable to undertake to send statistics of import and export to the Permanent Central Opium Board short of 60 days after the close of the three-months period to which such statistics refer.
4. The Government of the United States of America finds it impracticable to undertake to state separately amounts of drugs purchased or imported for Government purposes.
5. Plenipotentiaries of the United States of America formally declare that the signing of the Convention for limiting the Manufacture and regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs by them on the part of the United States of America on this date is not to be construed to mean that the Government of the United States of America recognises a regime or entity which signs or accedes to the Convention as the Government of a country when that regime or entity is not recognised by the Government of the United States of America as the Government of that country.
6. The plenipotentiaries of the United States of America further declare that the participation of the United States of America in the Convention for limiting the Manufacture and regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs, signed on this date, does not involve any contractual obligation on the part of the United States of America to

Ratifications or definitive accessions

a country represented by a regime or entity which the Government of the United States of America does not recognise as the government of that country until such country has a government recognised by the Government of the United States of America.

SAUDI ARABIA (August 15th, 1936)

ARGENTINA (April 18th, 1946)

AUSTRIA (July 3rd, 1934)

BELGIUM (April 10th, 1933)

This ratification does not include the Belgian Congo, nor the Territory of Ruanda-Urundi under Belgian mandate.

Belgian Congo and Mandated Territory of Ruanda-Urundi (December 17th, 1941 *a*)

BRAZIL (April 5th, 1933)

GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND (April 1st, 1933)

His Majesty does not assume any obligation in respect of any of his Colonies, Protectorates and Overseas Territories or territories under suzerainty or under mandate exercised by his Government in the United Kingdom.

British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Ceylon, Cyprus, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar, Gold Coast [(a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate], Hong-Kong, Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Leeward Islands (Antigua, Dominica, Montserrat, St. Christopher and Nevis, Virgin Islands), Mauritius, Nigeria [(a) Colony, (b) Protectorate, (c) Cameroons under British Mandate], North Borneo (State of), Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland Protectorate, Sarawak, Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Somaliland Protectorate, Straits Settlements, Tanganyika Territory, Tonga, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda Protectorate, Zanzibar Protectorate

(May 18th, 1936 *a*)

Southern Rhodesia (July 14th, 1937 *a*)

Barbados, Bermuda, British Guiana, Fiji, Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States: Negri Sembilan, Pahang, Perak, Selangor; (b) Unfederated Malay States: Kedah, Perlis and Brunei], Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), St. Helena and Ascension, Trans-Jordan, Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Vincent), Burma (August 24th, 1938 *a*)

Newfoundland (June 28th, 1937 *a*)

CANADA (October 17th, 1932)

¹ Registered No. 3219. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 139, p. 301.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AUSTRALIA	(January 24th, 1934 a)
This accession applies to <i>Papua, Norfolk Island</i> and the mandated territories of <i>New Guinea</i> and <i>Nauru</i> .	
NEW ZEALAND	(June 17th, 1935 a)
UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA	(January 4th, 1938 a)
IRELAND	(April 11th, 1933 a)
INDIA	(November 14th, 1932)
BULGARIA	(March 20th, 1933 a)
CHILE	(March 31st, 1933)
CHINA ^{1a}	(January 10th, 1934 a)
COLOMBIA	(January 29th, 1934 a)
COSTA RICA	(April 5th, 1933)
CUBA	(April 4th, 1933)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(April 12th, 1933)
DENMARK	(June 5th, 1936)
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	(April 8th, 1933)
EGYPT	(April 10th, 1933)
ECUADOR	(April 13th, 1935 a)
ESTONIA	(July 5th, 1935 a)
FINLAND	(September 25th, 1936 a)
FRANCE	(April 10th, 1933)

The French Government makes every reservation, with regard to the Colonies, Protectorates and mandated Territories under its authority, as to the possibility of regularly producing the quarterly statistics referred to in Article 13 within the strict time-limit laid down.

GERMANY	(April 10th, 1933)
GREECE	(December 27th, 1934)
GUATEMALA	(May 1st, 1933)
HAITI	(May 4th, 1933 a)
HONDURAS	(September 21st, 1934 a)
HUNGARY	(April 10th, 1933 a)
IRAN	(September 28th, 1932)
IRAQ	(May 30th, 1934 a)
ITALY	(March 21st, 1933)
JAPAN	(June 3rd, 1935)

The Japanese Government declare that, in view of the necessity of close co-operation between the High Contracting Parties in order to carry out most effectively the provisions of the Convention for limiting the Manufacture and regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs, signed at Geneva on July 13th, 1931, they understand that the present position of Japan, regardless of whether she be a Member of the League of Nations or not, is to be maintained in the matter of the composition of the organs and the appointment of the members thereof mentioned in the said Convention.²

LATVIA	(August 3rd, 1937 a)
LIECHTENSTEIN ³	
LITHUANIA	(April 10th, 1933)
LUXEMBOURG	(May 30th, 1936)

^{1a} See note, p. iii.

² Before ratifying the Convention with the declaration here set out, the Japanese Government consulted the Contracting Parties, through the intermediary of the Secretary-General. A summary of the correspondence which took place was published in the League of Nations *Official Journal* for September 1935 (16th Year, No. 9).

³ The Swiss Federal Political Department, by a letter dated July 15th, 1936, informed the Secretariat of the following:

Ratifications or definitive accessions

MEXICO	(March 13th, 1933)
The Government of the United States of Mexico reserves the right to impose in its territory—as it has already done—measures more severe than those laid down by the Convention itself, for the restriction of the cultivation or the preparation, use, possession, importation, exportation and consumption of the drugs to which the present Convention refers.	
MONACO	(February 16th, 1933)
THE NETHERLANDS (including the <i>Netherlands Indies, Surinam</i> and <i>Curaçao</i>)	(May 22nd, 1933)
NICARAGUA	(March 16th, 1932 a)
NORWAY	(September 12th, 1934 a)
PANAMA	(April 15th, 1935)
PARAGUAY	(June 25th, 1941)
PERU	(May 20th, 1932 a)
POLAND	(April 11th, 1933)
PORTUGAL	(June 17th, 1932)

The Portuguese Government makes every reservation with regard to its colonies as to the possibility of regularly producing the quarterly statistics referred to in Article 13 within the strict time-limit laid down.

ROMANIA	(April 11th, 1933)
SALVADOR	(April 7th, 1933 a)

(a) The Republic of Salvador does not agree to the provisions of Article 26, on the ground that there is no reason why the High Contracting Parties should be given the option of not applying the Convention to their colonies, protectorates, and overseas mandated territories.

(b) The Republic of Salvador states that it disagrees with the reservations embodied in Nos. 5 and 6 of the declarations made by the plenipotentiaries of the United States of America regarding Governments not recognised by the Government of that country; in its opinion, those reservations constitute an infringement of the national sovereignty of Salvador, whose present Government, though not as yet recognised by the United States Government, has been recognised by the majority of the civilised countries of the world. Their recognition is due to their conviction that that Government is a perfectly constitutional one and affords a full and complete guarantee of the performance of its

“Under the terms of the arrangements concluded between the Government of the Principality of Liechtenstein and the Swiss Government in 1929 and 1935, in application of the Customs Union Treaty concluded between these two countries on March 29th, 1923, the Swiss legislation on narcotic drugs, including all the measures taken by the Federal authorities to give effect to the different international Conventions on dangerous drugs, will be applicable to the territory of the Principality in the same way as to the territory of the Confederation, as long as the said Treaty remains in force. The Principality of Liechtenstein will accordingly participate, so long as the said Treaty remains in force, in the international Conventions which have been or may hereafter be concluded in the matter of narcotic drugs, it being neither necessary nor advisable for that country to accede to them separately.”

Ratifications or definitive accessions

international duties, inasmuch as it enjoys the unanimous, decided and effective support of all the inhabitants of the Republic, whether citizens of the country or foreigners resident therein.

As it respects the internal regimes of other nations, the Republic of Salvador considers that the Convention in question, being of a strictly hygienic and humanitarian character, does not offer a suitable occasion to formulate such political reservations as have called forth this comment.

SAN MARINO (June 12th, 1933)
SPAIN (April 7th, 1933)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Sudan (August 25th, 1932 *a*)
SWEDEN (August 12th, 1932)
SWITZERLAND (April 10th, 1933)
THAILAND (February 22nd, 1934)
As its harmful-habit-forming drugs law goes beyond the provisions of the Geneva Convention and the present Convention on certain points, the Thai Government reserves the right to apply its existing law.
TURKEY (April 3rd, 1933 *a*)
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS (October 31st, 1935 *a*)
URUGUAY (April 7th, 1933)
VENEZUELA (November 15th, 1933)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

BOLIVIA

LIBERIA

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>
BAHAMAS	13 August 1975
FIJI	1 November 1971 <i>d</i>
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ⁴	

⁴ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as from 7 April 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 16 March 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 7 April 1958, of the Convention for Limiting the Manufacture and Regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs of 13 July 1931, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relations between the Federal

Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic this declaration has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared: "The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention for Limiting the Manufacture and Regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs, July 13th, 1931 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

(b) Protocol of Signature

Geneva, July 13th, 1931

IN FORCE since July 9th, 1933.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

ALBANIA	(October 9th, 1937 <i>a</i>)
AUSTRIA	(July 3rd, 1934)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	(April 28th, 1932)
SAUDI ARABIA	(August 15th, 1936)
BELGIUM	(April 10th, 1933)
BRAZIL	(April 5th, 1933)
GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND	(April 1st, 1933)

Same reservation as for the Convention.

British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Ceylon, Cyprus, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar, Gold Coast [(a) (Colony), (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate], Hong-Kong, Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Leeward Islands (Antigua, Dominica, Montserrat, St. Christopher and Nevis, Virgin Islands), Mauritius, Nigeria [(a) Colony, (b) Protectorate, (c) Cameroons under British Mandate], North Borneo (State of), Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland Protectorate, Sarawak, Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Somaliland Protectorate, Straits Settlements, Tanganyika Territory, Tonga, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda Protectorate, Zanzibar Protectorate,

Southern Rhodesia (July 14th, 1937 *a*)

Barbados, Bermuda, British Guiana, Fiji, Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States: Negri Sembilan, Pahang, Perak, Selangor; (b) Unfederated Malay States: Kedah, Perlis, and Brunei], Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), St. Helena and Ascension, Trans-Jordan, Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Vincent), Burma

(August 24th, 1938 *a*)

<i>Newfoundland</i>	(June 28th, 1937 <i>a</i>)
CANADA	(October 17th, 1932)
AUSTRALIA	(January 24th, 1934 <i>a</i>)
NEW ZEALAND	(June 17th, 1935 <i>a</i>)
UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA	(January 4th, 1938 <i>a</i>)
IRELAND	(April 11th, 1933 <i>a</i>)
INDIA	(November 14th, 1932)
CHILE	(November 20th, 1933)
COLOMBIA	(January 29th, 1934 <i>a</i>)
COSTA RICA	(April 5th, 1933)
CUBA	(April 4th, 1933)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(April 12th, 1933 <i>a</i>)
DENMARK	(June 5th, 1936)
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	(April 8th, 1933)
ECUADOR	(April 13th, 1935 <i>a</i>)
EGYPT	(April 10th, 1933)
ESTONIA	(July 5th, 1935 <i>a</i>)
FINLAND	(September 25th, 1936 <i>a</i>)
FRANCE	(April 10th, 1933)
GERMANY	(April 10th, 1933)
GREECE	(December 27th, 1934)
HONDURAS	(September 21st, 1934 <i>a</i>)
HUNGARY	(April 10th, 1933 <i>a</i>)
IRAN	(September 28th, 1932)
ITALY	(March 21st, 1933)
JAPAN	(June 3rd, 1935)
LIECHTENSTEIN ¹	
LITHUANIA	(April 10th, 1933)
LUXEMBOURG	(May 30th, 1936)
MEXICO	(March 13th, 1933)
MONACO	(March 20th, 1933)
THE NETHERLANDS ² (including the <i>Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao</i>)	(May 22nd, 1933)
NICARAGUA	(March 16th, 1932 <i>a</i>)
NORWAY	(September 12th, 1934 <i>a</i>)
PERU	(May 20th, 1932 <i>a</i>)
POLAND	(April 11th, 1933)
PORTUGAL	(June 17th, 1932)
ROMANIA	(April 11th, 1933)
SAN MARINO	(June 12th, 1933)
SPAIN	(April 7th, 1933)
<i>Sudan</i>	(January 18th, 1933 <i>a</i>)
SWEDEN	(August 12th, 1932)
SWITZERLAND	(April 10th, 1933)
THAILAND	(February 22nd, 1934)
TURKEY	(April 3rd, 1933 <i>a</i>)
URUGUAY	(April 7th, 1933)
VENEZUELA	(September 11th, 1934)

¹ See footnote 3, p. 160.² The instrument of ratification specifies that the reservation relating to paragraph 2 of article 22, as formulated by the Netherlands representative at the time of signature of the Protocol, should be considered as withdrawn.

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

BOLIVIA

GUATEMALA
PANAMA

PARAGUAY

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>
BAHAMAS	13 August 1975
FIJI	1 November 1971 <i>d</i>

9. Agreement concerning the Suppression of Opium Smoking

Signed at Bangkok on 27 November 1931 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 27 October 1947, the date on which the amendments to the Agreement, as set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 11 December 1946 entered into force, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article VII of the Protocol.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, notification (d) in respect of the Agreement as amended</i>	
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	3 October	1951 <i>d</i> ¹
FRANCE	10 October	1947
INDIA	11 December	1946
JAPAN	27 March	1952
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	7 October	1950 <i>d</i> ¹
NETHERLANDS	10 March	1948
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ²	11 August	1950 <i>d</i> ¹
THAILAND	27 October	1947
UNITED KINGDOM	11 December	1946

¹ As in footnote 14, p. 148.

² See note 4b, p. 54.

10. Agreement concerning the Suppression of Opium Smoking*Bangkok, November 27th, 1931¹*

IN FORCE since April 22nd, 1937 (Article VI).

Ratifications

GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND	(April 3rd, 1933)
INDIA	(December 4th, 1935)
FRANCE	(May 10th, 1933)
JAPAN	(January 22nd, 1937)
THE NETHERLANDS	(May 22nd, 1933)
PORTUGAL	(January 27th, 1934)
THAILAND	(November 19th, 1934)
With reservation to Article I.	

¹Registration No. 4100. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 177, p. 373.

11. Convention for the Suppression of the Illicit Traffic in Dangerous Drugs, with Protocol of Signature

Signed at Geneva on 26 June 1936 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 10 October 1947, the date on which the amendments to the Convention, as set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 11 December 1946, entered into force in accordance with paragraph 2 of article VII of the Protocol.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) in respect of the Convention as amended or unamended</i>	
AUSTRIA		17 May	1950
BELGIUM	11 December 1946		
BRAZIL	17 December 1946		
CANADA	11 December 1946		
CHILE		21 November	1972 <i>a</i>
CHINA ¹	11 December 1946		
COLOMBIA	11 December 1946		
CUBA		9 August	1967
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA		3 October	1951 <i>a</i>
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC		9 June	1958 <i>a</i>
EGYPT	13 September 1948		
ETHIOPIA		9 September	1947 <i>a</i>
FRANCE	10 October 1947		
GREECE	21 February 1949		
HAITI	31 May 1951		
INDIA	11 December 1946		
INDONESIA		3 April	1958 <i>a</i>
ISRAEL		16 May	1952 <i>a</i>
ITALY		3 April	1961 <i>a</i>
IVORY COAST		20 December	1961 <i>a</i>
JAPAN		7 September	1955
JORDAN		7 May	1958 <i>a</i>
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		13 July	1951 <i>a</i>
LIECHTENSTEIN		24 May	1961 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG		28 June	1955 <i>a</i>
MADAGASCAR		11 December	1974 <i>a</i>
MALAWI		8 June	1965 <i>a</i>
MEXICO		6 May	1955
NETHERLANDS ²		[19 March	1959] ³
ROMANIA	11 October 1961		
SPAIN		5 June	1970 ⁴
SRI LANKA		4 December	1957 <i>a</i>
SWITZERLAND		31 December	1952
TURKEY	11 December 1946		
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON		15 January	1962 <i>a</i>

¹ See note, p. iii.

² The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Convention and the Protocol of signature will be applicable to the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands New Guinea. In a communication received on 4 August 1960, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General that the Convention will be applicable to the Netherlands Antilles. The ratification was made subject to the reservation recorded in the Protocol of Signature annexed to the Convention; for the text of that reservation, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 327, p. 322.

³ In a communication received on 14 December 1965, the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General of the denunciation of the Convention for

the territory of the Kingdom in Europe and the Territories of Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles. The denunciation took effect on 14 December 1966.

⁴ Instrument of ratification of the unamended 1936 Convention. Spain, on behalf of which the Protocol of 11 December 1946 amending the Agreements, Conventions and Protocols on Narcotic Drugs, concluded at The Hague on 23 January 1912, at Geneva on 11 February 1925 and 19 February 1925 and 13 July 1931, at Bangkok on 27 November 1931 and at Geneva on 26 June 1936 was signed definitively on 26 September 1955 (see p. 144), as a result of the said definitive signature and of its ratification of the unamended 1936 Convention, has become a party to the said Convention of 1936 as amended by the said Protocol of 1946.

Declarations and Reservations**CUBA**

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba expressly reserves its position on the provisions of article 17 of the Convention, being ready to settle any dispute which may arise on the interpretation or application of the Convention bilaterally, by means of diplomatic consultations.

ITALY

. . . In exercise of the right accorded to it by article 13, paragraph 2, of the said Convention, the Government of Italy desires that, in the case of letters of request concerning narcotic drugs, the procedure hitherto followed in previous relations with the other Contracting States should continue to be used and, failing that, the diplomatic channel, provided, however, that the method specified in article 13, paragraph 1, sub-paragraph (c), should be adopted in cases of emergency.

MEXICO

In accepting the provisions of articles 11 and 12 of this Convention, the Government of the United States of Mexico wishes to state explicitly that its Central Office will exercise the powers granted to it by the said Convention unless such powers have been expressly conferred by the General Constitution of the Republic on an agency of a constituent State, being an agency established before the date of the entry into force of this Convention, and that the Government of the United States of Mexico reserves the right to impose in its territory—as it has already done—measures more severe than those laid down by the Convention itself, for the restriction of the cultivation or the manufacture, extraction, possession, offering for sale, importation or exportation of or traffic in the drugs to which the present Convention refers.

12. (a) Convention of 1936 for the Suppression of the Illicit Traffic in Dangerous Drugs

Geneva, June 26th, 1936¹

IN FORCE since October 26th, 1939 (Article 22).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

BELGIUM (November 27th, 1937)
Belgium does not assume any obligation as regards the Belgian Congo and the Territories of Ruanda-Urundi in respect of which a mandate is being exercised by her on behalf of the League of Nations.

BRAZIL (July 2nd, 1938)

CANADA (September 27th, 1938)

CHINA² (October 21st, 1937)

COLOMBIA (April 11th, 1944)

EGYPT (January 29th, 1940)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

FRANCE (January 16th, 1940)
The French Government does not assume any obligations as regards its Colonies or Protectorates or the territories placed under its mandate.

GREECE (February 16th, 1938)

GUATEMALA (August 2nd, 1938 *a*)

HAITI (November 30th, 1938 *a*)

INDIA (August 4th, 1937)

ROMANIA (June 28th, 1938)

TURKEY (July 28th, 1939 *a*)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

GREAT BRITAIN AND
NORTHERN IRELAND

BULGARIA

CUBA

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

DENMARK

ECUADOR

ESTONIA

HONDURAS

HUNGARY

MONACO

PANAMA

POLAND

PORTUGAL

SPAIN

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST
REPUBLICS

URUGUAY

VENEZUELA

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification</i>
SPAIN	5 June 1970 ³

¹ Registered No. 4648. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 198, p. 299.

² See note, p. iii.

³ See note 4, p. 166.

(b) Protocol of Signature

Geneva, June 26th, 1936

IN FORCE since October 26th, 1939.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

BELGIUM	(November 27th, 1937)
BRAZIL	(July 2nd, 1938)
CANADA	(September 27th, 1938)
CHINA ¹	(October 21st, 1937)
COLOMBIA	(April 11th, 1944)
EGYPT	(January 29th, 1940)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

FRANCE	(January 16th, 1940)
Same reservation as for the Convention.	
GREECE	(February 16th, 1938)
GUATEMALA	(August 2nd, 1938 <i>a</i>)
HAITI	(November 30th, 1938 <i>a</i>)
INDIA	(August 4th, 1937)
ROMANIA	(June 28th, 1938 <i>a</i>)
TURKEY	(July 28th, 1939 <i>a</i>)

¹ See note, p. iii.

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND	ECUADOR	PORTUGAL
BULGARIA	ESTONIA	SPAIN
CUBA	HONDURAS	UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	HUNGARY	URUGUAY
DENMARK	MONACO	VENEZUELA
	PANAMA	
	POLAND	

**Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the
Secretary-General of the United Nations**

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification</i>
SPAIN	5 June 1970 ¹

¹ See note 4, p. 166.

13. Protocol Bringing under International Control Drugs Outside the Scope of the Convention of 13 July 1931 for Limiting the Manufacture and Regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs, as amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946

Signed at Paris on 19 November 1948¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 December 1949, in accordance with article 6.

REGISTRATION: 1 December 1949, No. 688.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 44, p. 277.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to acceptance</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, notification of succession (d)</i>
AFGHANISTAN		19 November 1948 s
ALBANIA	19 November 1948	25 July 1949
ARGENTINA	19 November 1948	
AUSTRALIA		19 November 1948 s
AUSTRIA		17 May 1950
BAHAMAS		13 August 1975 d
BELGIUM	19 November 1948	21 November 1951
BENIN		5 December 1961 d
BOLIVIA	19 November 1948	
BRAZIL	19 November 1948	9 December 1959
BURMA	19 November 1948	2 March 1950
BYELORUSSIAN SSR		19 November 1948 s
CANADA		19 November 1948 s
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE		4 September 1962 d
CHILE	19 November 1948	
CHINA ^{1a}		19 November 1948 s
COLOMBIA	19 November 1948	
CONGO		15 October 1962 d
COSTA RICA	19 November 1948	
CUBA		30 June 1961
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	19 November 1948	17 January 1950
DENMARK	19 November 1948	19 October 1949
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	19 November 1948	9 June 1958
ECUADOR	19 November 1948	30 August 1962
EL SALVADOR	19 November 1948	31 December 1959
EGYPT	6 December 1948	16 September 1949
ETHIOPIA		5 May 1949 s
FIJI		1 November 1971 d
FINLAND		31 October 1949
FRANCE	19 November 1948	11 January 1949
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²		12 August 1959
GHANA		7 April 1958 d
GREECE	7 December 1948	29 July 1952
GUATEMALA	19 November 1948	

¹ The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 211 (III) of 8 October 1948. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Third Session, Part I, Resolutions (A/810)*, p. 62.

^{1a} See note, p. iii.

² In a communication received on 22 January 1960, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Protocol "also applies to Land Berlin as from 12 September 1959, i.e., the day on which the Protocol entered into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Government of Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to acceptance</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, notification of succession (d)</i>	
HONDURAS	19 November 1948		
HUNGARY		2 July	1957
INDIA	19 November 1948	10 November	1950
INDONESIA		21 February	1951
IRAQ	12 July 1949	27 July	1954
IRELAND		11 August	1952
ISRAEL		16 May	1952
ITALY		14 March	1949 <i>s</i>
IVORY COAST		8 December	1961 <i>d</i>
JAMAICA		26 December	1963 <i>d</i>
JAPAN		5 May	1952
JORDAN		7 May	1958
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ³		7 October	1950
LEBANON		19 November	1948 <i>s</i>
LESOTHO		4 November	1974 <i>d</i>
LIBERIA	19 November 1948		
LIECHTENSTEIN	19 November 1948	24 May	1961
LUXEMBOURG	19 November 1948	17 October	1952
MALAWI		22 July	1965 <i>d</i>
MALAYSIA		21 August	1958 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS		18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
MEXICO		19 November	1948 <i>s</i>
MONACO		19 November	1948 <i>s</i>
MOROCCO		7 November	1956 <i>d</i>
NETHERLANDS	19 November 1948	26 September	1950
NEW ZEALAND		19 November	1948 <i>s</i>
NICARAGUA	19 November 1948	13 January	1961
NIGER		25 August	1961 <i>d</i>
NIGERIA		26 June	1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY	19 November 1948	24 May	1949
PAKISTAN	21 November 1948	27 August	1952
PANAMA	19 November 1948		
PARAGUAY	19 November 1948		
PERU	19 November 1948		
PHILIPPINES	10 March 1949	7 December	1953
POLAND		26 January	1949 <i>s</i>
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{3, 4}		11 August	1950
ROMANIA	19 November 1948	11 October	1961
RWANDA		30 April	1964 <i>d</i>
SAN MARINO	19 November 1948		
SAUDI ARABIA		19 November	1948 <i>s</i>
SENEGAL		2 May	1963 <i>d</i>
SIERRA LEONE		13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SOUTH AFRICA		8 December	1948 <i>s</i>
SPAIN		26 September	1955 <i>s</i>
SRI LANKA		17 January	1949
SWEDEN		3 March	1949 <i>s</i>
SWITZERLAND	19 November 1948	18 March	1953

³ Same procedure as the one described in footnote 14, p. 148.

⁴ See note 4b, p. 54.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to acceptance</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, notification of succession (d)</i>
TOGO		27 February 1962 <i>d</i>
TONGA		5 September 1973 <i>d</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		11 April 1966 <i>d</i>
TURKEY	19 November 1948	14 July 1950
UGANDA		15 April 1965
UKRAINIAN SSR	19 November 1948	7 May 1959
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS		19 November 1948 <i>s</i>
UNITED KINGDOM		19 November 1948 <i>s</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON		20 November 1961 <i>d</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA		7 October 1964
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	19 November 1948	11 August 1950
UPPER VOLTA		26 April 1963
URUGUAY	22 November 1948	
VENEZUELA	19 November 1948	
YEMEN		12 December 1949 <i>s</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	19 November 1948	10 June 1949
ZAIRE		13 August 1962 <i>d</i>
ZAMBIA		9 April 1973 <i>d</i>

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
AUSTRALIA	19 November 1948	All territories including the Trust Territories of New Guinea and Nauru.
BELGIUM	27 January 1953	Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi.
DENMARK	19 October 1949	Greenland.
FRANCE	15 September 1949	Departments of Algeria, Overseas Departments (Guadeloupe, Guiana, Martinique, Réunion), Overseas Territories (French West Africa, French Equatorial Africa, French Somaliland, Madagascar and Dependencies, Comoro Islands, French Establishments in India, New Caledonia and Dependencies, French Establishments in Oceania, Saint-Pierre and Miquelon); Tunisia and Morocco (French zone of the Sherifian Empire); Trust Territories of Togoland and the Cameroons under French Administration.
	25 November 1949	Viet-Nam.
	28 December 1949	Laos.
FRANCE	15 September 1949	} The New Hebrides Archipelago under Anglo-French Condominium.
UNITED KINGDOM	27 February 1950	
ITALY	12 March 1954	Somaliland.
NETHERLANDS	14 August 1952	Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea.
NEW ZEALAND	19 November 1948	All the territories, including the Trust Territory of Western Samoa.
SOUTH AFRICA	5 October 1954	South West Africa.

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	19 November 1948	Aden, Bahamas, Barbados, Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, Brunei, Cyprus, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Gold Coast, Hong Kong, Jamaica, Kenya, Leeward Islands (Antigua, Montserrat, St. Christopher and Nevis, Virgin Islands), Malayan Federation, Malta, Mauritius, Newfoundland, Nigeria, North Borneo, Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland Protectorate, Sarawak, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Solomon Islands Protectorate, Somaliland Protectorate, Southern Rhodesia, St. Helena, Tanganyika, Tonga, Trinidad, Uganda Protectorate, Windward Islands (Dominica, Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent), Zanzibar Protectorate.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	11 August 1950	All territories for the foreign relations of which it is responsible.

14. Protocol for Limiting and Regulating the Cultivation of the Poppy Plant, the Production of, International and Wholesale Trade in, and Use of Opium

Opened for signature at New York on 23 June 1953¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE : 8 March 1963, in accordance with article 21.

REGISTRATION : 8 March 1963, No. 6555.

TEXT : United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 456, p. 3.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)	
ARGENTINA		24 March	1958 a
AUSTRALIA		13 January	1955 a
BELGIUM		30 June	1958 a
BRAZIL		3 November	1959 a
CANADA	23 December 1953	7 May	1954
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE		4 September	1962 d
CHILE	9 July 1953	9 May	1957
CHINA ²			
CONGO		15 October	1962 d
COSTA RICA	16 October 1953		
CUBA		8 September	1954 a
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	29 December 1953	22 March	1957
DENMARK	23 June 1953	20 July	1954
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	23 June 1953	9 June	1958
ECUADOR	23 June 1953	17 August	1955
EGYPT	23 June 1953	8 March	1954
EL SALVADOR		31 December	1959 a
FRANCE	23 June 1953	21 April	1954
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³	23 June 1953	12 August	1959
GREECE	23 June 1953	6 February	1963
GUATEMALA		29 May	1956 a
INDIA	23 June 1953	30 April	1954
INDONESIA		11 July	1957 a
IRAN	15 December 1953	30 December	1959
IRAQ	29 December 1953		
ISRAEL	30 December 1953	8 October	1957
ITALY	23 June 1953	13 November	1957

¹ The Protocol was adopted and opened for signature by the United Nations Opium Conference, held at United Nations Headquarters, New York, from 11 May to 18 June 1953. The Conference was convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations pursuant to resolution 436 A (XIV) of 27 May 1952 of the United Nations Economic and Social Council. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Fourteenth Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/2332)*, p. 28. The Conference also adopted the Final Act and seventeen resolutions, for the text of which see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 456, p. 3.

² Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 18 September 1953 and 25 May 1954 respectively. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface p. iii.

In communications addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned signature and/or ratification, the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Czechoslovakia, Denmark, India, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and Yugoslavia stated that, since their Governments did not recognize the Nationalist Chinese authorities as the Government of China, they could not regard the said signature or ratification as valid. The Permanent Missions of Czechoslovakia and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics further stated that the sole authorities entitled to act for China and

the Chinese people in the United Nations and in international relations, and to sign, ratify, accede or denounce treaties, conventions and agreements on behalf of China, were the Government of the People's Republic of China and its duly appointed representatives.

In a note addressed to the Secretary-General, the Permanent Mission of China to the United Nations stated that the Government of the Republic of China was the only legal Government which represented China and the Chinese people in international relations and that, therefore, the allegations made in the above-mentioned communications as to the lack of validity of the signature or ratification in question had no legal foundation whatever.

³ In a communication received on 27 April 1960, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that "the Protocol... will also apply to Land Berlin as from the day on which the Protocol will enter into force".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, Poland, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
IVORY COAST			8 December	1961 <i>d</i>
JAPAN	23 June	1953	21 July	1954
JORDAN			7 May	1958 <i>a</i>
LEBANON	11 November	1953		
LIECHTENSTEIN	23 June	1953	24 May	1961
LUXEMBOURG			28 June	1955 <i>a</i>
MADAGASCAR			31 July	1963 <i>d</i>
MONACO	26 June	1953	12 April	1956
NETHERLANDS	30 December	1953		
NEW ZEALAND	28 December	1953	[2 November	1956] ⁴
NICARAGUA			11 December	1959 <i>a</i>
NIGER			7 December	1964 <i>d</i>
PAKISTAN	3 December	1953	10 March	1955
PANAMA	28 December	1953	13 April	1954
PHILIPPINES	23 June	1953	1 June	1955
REPUBLIC OF KOREA				
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{4a}	23 June	1953	29 April	1958
RWANDA			30 April	1964 <i>d</i>
SENEGAL			2 May	1963 <i>d</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	29 December	1953	9 March	1960
SPAIN	22 October	1953	15 June	1956
SRI LANKA			4 December	1957 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN			16 January	1958 <i>a</i>
SWITZERLAND	23 June	1953	27 November	1956
TURKEY	28 December	1953	15 July	1963
UNITED KINGDOM	23 June	1953		
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON			15 January	1962 <i>d</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	23 June	1953	18 February	1955
VENEZUELA	30 December	1953		
YUGOSLAVIA	24 June	1953		
ZAIRE			31 May	1962 <i>d</i>

⁴ The instrument of denunciation of the Protocol was deposited by the Government of New Zealand on 17 December 1968 in respect of the metropolitan territory of New Zealand and in respect of the Cook Islands, Niue and Tokelau Islands, the denunciation to take effect on 1 January 1969.

^{4a} See note 4b, p. 54.

Declarations and Reservations

DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA

The Royal Government of Cambodia expresses its intention of availing itself of the provisions of article 19 of the Protocol.

FRANCE

It is expressly declared that the French Government reserves the right, in respect of French establishments in India, to apply the transitional measures of article 19 of this Protocol, it being understood that the period mentioned in paragraph 1, sub-paragraph (b) (iii) of that article shall be fifteen years after the coming into effect of this Protocol.

The French Government likewise reserves the right in accordance with the transitional measures of article 19 to authorize the export of opium to French establishments in India for the same period of time.

INDIA

"1. It is hereby expressly declared that the Government of India, in accordance with the provisions of article 19 of this Protocol, will permit

"(i) The use of opium for quasi-medical purposes until 31 December 1959;

"(ii) The production of opium and the export thereof, for quasi-medical purposes, to Pakistan, Ceylon, Aden and the French and Portuguese possessions on the subcontinent of India for a period of fifteen years from the date of the coming into force of this Protocol; and

"(iii) The smoking of opium, for their lifetime, by addicts not under 21 years of age, registered by the appropriate authorities for that purpose on or before 30 September 1953.

"2. The Government of India expressly reserve to themselves the right to modify this declaration or to

make any other declaration under article 19 of this Protocol, at the time of the deposit by them of their instrument of ratification.”

IRAN

“The Imperial Government of Iran, in accordance with article 25 of the Protocol for Limiting and Regulating the Cultivation of the Poppy Plant, the Production of, International and Wholesale Trade in, and Use of Opium, done at New York on 23 June 1953, and in accordance with article 16 of the Bill approved by the Iranian Parliament on 16 Bahman 1337 (7 February 1959), declares its ratification of the Protocol,

and hereby further specifies that its ratification of the Protocol will in no way affect the status of the Law providing for the Prohibition of the Poppy Cultivation, as approved by Parliament on 7 Aban 1334 (30 October 1955).”

PAKISTAN

“The Government of Pakistan will permit for a period of fifteen years after the coming into effect of the said Protocol: (i) the use of opium for quasi-medical purposes; and (ii) the production of opium and/or import thereof from India or Iran for such purposes.”

Territorial application

(Article 20 of the Protocol)

Declarations made on signature (s), ratification, or accession (a)

<i>State</i>	<i>Date of receipt</i>	<i>Application to</i>
AUSTRALIA	13 January 1955 <i>a</i>	Papua and Norfolk Island and the Trust Territories of New Guinea and Nauru.
BELGIUM	30 June 1958 <i>a</i>	Belgian Congo and Ruanda-Urundi.
FRANCE	21 April 1954	Territories of the French Union.
NEW ZEALAND	2 November 1956	[The Cook Islands (including Niue), the Tokelau Island] ⁵ and the Trust Territory of Western Samoa.
SOUTH AFRICA	29 December 1953 <i>s</i>	South West Africa.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	18 February 1955	All areas for the international relations of which the United States is responsible.

⁵ See footnote 4, p. 175.

15. Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961

Done at New York on 30 March 1961¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 13 December 1964, in accordance with article 41.

REGISTRATION: 13 December 1964, No. 7515.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 520, p. 151, vol. 557, p. 280 (corrigendum to the Russian text), vol. 570, p. 346 (*procès-verbal* of rectification of the authentic Russian text), and vol. 590, p. 325 (*procès-verbal* of rectification of the authentic Spanish text).

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d) or participation pursuant to article 19 of the amending Protocol of 25 March 1972 (P)</i>	
AFGHANISTAN	30 March	1961	19 March	1963
ALGERIA			7 April	1965 <i>a</i>
ARGENTINA	31 July	1961	10 October	1963
AUSTRALIA	30 March	1961	1 December	1967
BAHAMAS			13 August	1975 <i>d</i>
BANGLADESH			25 April	1975 <i>a</i>
BARBADOS			21 June	1976 <i>d</i>
BELGIUM	28 July	1961	17 October	1969
BENIN	30 March	1961	27 April	1962
BRAZIL	30 March	1961	18 June	1964
BULGARIA	31 July	1961	25 October	1968
BURMA	30 March	1961	29 July	1963
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	31 July	1961	20 February	1964
CANADA	30 March	1961	11 October	1961
CHAD	30 March	1961	29 January	1963
CHILE	30 March	1961	7 February	1968
CHINA ^{1a}				
COLOMBIA			3 March	1975 <i>a</i>
CONGO	30 March	1961		
COSTA RICA	30 March	1961	7 May	1970
CUBA			30 August	1962 <i>a</i>
CYPRUS			30 January	1969 <i>a</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	31 July	1961	20 March	1964
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	30 March	1961		
DENMARK	30 March	1961	15 September	1964
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC			26 September	1972 <i>a</i>
ECUADOR			14 January	1964 <i>a</i>
EGYPT	30 March	1961	20 July	1966
EL SALVADOR	30 March	1961		
ETHIOPIA			29 April	1965 <i>a</i>
FIJI			1 November	1971 <i>d</i>
FINLAND	30 March	1961	6 July	1965
FRANCE			19 February	1969 <i>a</i>
GABON			29 February	1968 <i>a</i>
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			2 December	1975 <i>a</i> ^{1b}

¹ The Convention was adopted and opened for signature by the United Nations Conference for the Adoption of a Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, held at United Nations Headquarters, New York, from 24 January to 25 March 1961. The Conference was convened pursuant to resolution 689 J (XXVI) of 28 July 1958 of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Twenty-sixth Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/3169)*, p. 17. The Conference also adopted the Final Act and five resolutions for the text of which, see United Nations, *Treaty*

Series, vol. 520, p. 151. For the proceedings of the Conference, see *Official Records of the United Nations Conference for the Adoption of a Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs*, volumes I and II, United Nations publications, Sales Nos.: 63.XI.4 and 63.XI.5.

^{1a} Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 30 March 1961 and 12 May 1969 respectively. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

^{1b} The Secretary-General received on 15 March 1976 a com-

[Footnote continues on following page]

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d) or participation pursuant to article 19 of the amending Protocol of 25 March 1972 (P)</i>	
GERMANY, FEDERAL				
REPUBLIC OF ^{1c}	31 July	1961	3 December	1973
GHANA	30 March	1961	15 January	1964
GREECE			6 June	1972 a
GUATEMALA	26 July	1961	1 December	1967
GUINEA			7 October	1968 a
HAITI	3 April	1961	29 January	1973
HOLY SEE	30 March	1961	1 September	1970
HONDURAS			16 April	1973 a
HUNGARY	31 July	1961	24 April	1964
ICELAND			18 December	1974 a
INDIA	30 March	1961	13 December	1964
INDONESIA	28 July	1961	3 September	1976
IRAN	30 March	1961	30 August	1972
IRAQ	30 March	1961	29 August	1962
ISRAEL			23 November	1962 a
ITALY	4 April	1961	14 April	1975
IVORY COAST			10 July	1962 a
JAMAICA			29 April	1964 a
JAPAN	26 July	1961	13 July	1964
JORDAN	30 March	1961	15 November	1962
KENYA			13 November	1964 a
KUWAIT			16 April	1962 a
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			22 June	1973 a
LEBANON	30 March	1961	23 April	1965
LESOTHO			4 November	1974 d
LIBERIA	30 March	1961		
LIECHTENSTEIN	14 July	1961		
LUXEMBOURG	28 July	1961	27 October	1972
MADAGASCAR	30 March	1961	20 June	1974
MALAWI			8 June	1965 a
MALAYSIA			11 July	1967 a
MALI			15 December	1964 a
MAURITIUS			18 July	1969 d
MEXICO	24 July	1961	18 April	1967
MONACO			14 August	1969 a
MOROCCO			4 December	1961 a

footnote continued from previous page]

munication from the Government of the German Democratic Republic stating in part as follows:

In acceding to the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs of 30 March 1961 the German Democratic Republic started solely from the provisions on accession to this Convention as set forth in its article 40. There was no intention of acceding to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 25 March 1972.

^{1c}In a letter accompanying the instrument of ratification the Permanent Representative of the Federal Republic of Germany to the United Nations made the following declaration on behalf of his Government:

"... The said Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany"

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 3 May 1974 a communication from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics stating as follows:

The Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, contains, as is well known, provisions relating to both the territories of the States parties and the exercise by them of their jurisdiction. As a result of the unconditional extension by the Federal Republic of Germany of the operation of that Convention to Berlin (West), matters concerning the status of

the western sectors of Berlin would be affected, which would be contrary to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, in accordance with which the western sectors of Berlin are not a part of the Federal Republic of Germany and will not be governed by it in the future.

In the light of the foregoing, the Soviet Union can take note of the statement of the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension of the operation of the aforesaid Convention to Berlin (West) only on the understanding that it will be so extended subject to conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and to observance of the established procedure and that the application of the provisions of that Convention to the western sectors of Berlin will not affect matters of status.

An identical communication in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, was received on 6 August 1974 from the Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic.

Upon accession, the Government of the German Democratic Republic made the following declaration:

Concerning the application of the Convention to Berlin (West), the German Democratic Republic states, in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and

[Footnote continues on following page]

State	Signature		Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d) or participation pursuant to article 19 of the amending Protocol of 25 March 1972 (P)	
NETHERLANDS ²	31 July	1961	16 July	1965
NEW ZEALAND	30 March	1961	26 March	1963
NICARAGUA	30 March	1961	21 June	1973
NIGER			18 April	1963 a
NIGERIA	30 March	1961	6 June	1969
NORWAY	30 March	1961	1 September	1967
PAKISTAN	30 March	1961	9 July	1965
PANAMA	30 March	1961	4 December	1963
PARAGUAY	30 March	1961	3 February	1972
PERU ³	30 March	1961	22 July	1964
PHILIPPINES	30 March	1961	2 October	1967
POLAND	31 July	1961	16 March	1966
PORTUGAL ^{3a}	30 March	1961	30 December	1971
REPUBLIC OF KOREA [REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ⁴	30 March	1961	14 February	1962
ROMANIA			14 September	1970 a
ROMANIA			14 January	1974 a
SAUDI ARABIA ⁵			21 April	1973 a
SENEGAL			24 January	1964 a
SINGAPORE			15 March	1973 a
SOUTH AFRICA			16 November	1971 a
SPAIN	27 July	1961	1 March	1966
SRI LANKA			11 July	1963 a
SUDAN			24 April	1974 a
SWEDEN	3 April	1961	18 December	1964
SWITZERLAND	20 April	1961	23 January	1970
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC			22 August	1962 a
THAILAND	24 July	1961	31 October	1961
TOGO			6 May	1963 a
TONGA			5 September	1973 d
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO			22 June	1964 a
TUNISIA	30 March	1961	8 September	1964
TURKEY			23 May	1967 a
UKRAINIAN SSR	31 July	1961	15 April	1964
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	31 July	1961	20 February	1964
UNITED KINGDOM	30 March	1961	2 September	1964

footnote continued from previous page]

Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic, that Berlin (West) is no constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and must not be governed by it.

In the light of the foregoing, the German Democratic Republic takes note of the declaration of the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension of the operation of the Convention to Berlin (West) only on the understanding that it will be so extended in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement and that the application of the provisions of the Convention to Berlin (West) will not affect matters of the status of Berlin (West).

² The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Convention is ratified for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles.

³ In the instrument of ratification, the Government of Peru withdrew the reservation made on its behalf at the time of signing the Convention; for the text of that reservation, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 520, p. 376.

^{3a} In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 15 February 1972, the Chargé d'Affaires a.i. of the Republic of Uganda to the United Nations informed him of the following:

"It is the understanding of the Government of the Republic of Uganda that in ratifying the said Convention, the Government of Portugal did not purport to act on behalf of

Angola, Mozambique and Guinea-Bissau which are distinct and separate political entities for which Portugal lacks any legal, moral or political capacity to represent."

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 25 April 1972, the Permanent Representative of Portugal to the United Nations informed him as follows with respect to the above-mentioned communication:

"The Government of Portugal is surprised that communications containing meaningless statements such as that from the Chargé d'Affaires of Uganda should be circulated, since they show clear ignorance of the fact that Portugal was admitted to the membership of the United Nations with the territorial composition that it has today, and including Angola, Mozambique and Portuguese Guinea."

⁴ The accession was initially effected in the name of the Government of the Republic of Viet-Nam. In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 23 November 1970, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Albania had stated that the Albanian Government considered the above-mentioned accession to be without any legal validity, since the only representative of the people of South Viet-Nam qualified to speak on its behalf and to enter into international commitments were the Provisional Revolutionary Government of the Republic of South Viet-Nam.

A similar communication was received by the Secretary-General on 11 January 1971 from the Permanent Representative of the Mongolian People's Republic to the United Nations. See also note 4b, p. 54, on this subject.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d) or participation pursuant to article 19 of the amending Protocol of 25 March 1972 (P)</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON		15 January 1962 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		25 May 1967 <i>a</i>
UPPER VOLTA		16 September 1969 <i>a</i>
URUGUAY		31 October 1975 <i>a</i>
VENEZUELA	30 March 1961	14 February 1969
YUGOSLAVIA	30 March 1961	27 August 1963
ZAIRE	28 April 1961	19 November 1973
ZAMBIA		12 August 1965 <i>a</i>

⁵ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 23 May 1972 the Permanent Representative of Israel to the United Nations made the following declaration:

"The Government of Israel has noted the political character of the reservation made by the Government of Saudi Arabia on that occasion. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, the said pronouncement by the Government of Saudi Arabia cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Saudi Arabia, under general international law or under particular treaties. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Saudi Arabia an attitude of complete reciprocity."

Declarations and Reservations

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not approve the present wording of article 42 which might prevent the application of the Convention to "non-metropolitan" territories.

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 48, paragraph 2, which prescribe the compulsory referral of any dispute to the International Court of Justice.

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria declares that the agreement of all parties to a dispute shall in every case be necessary for the referral thereof to the International Court of Justice.

ARGENTINA

Reservation to article 48, paragraph 2: The Argentine Republic does not recognize the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice.

Reservation to article 49: The Argentine Republic reserves the rights conferred by paragraph 1 (c) "Coca leaf chewing" and paragraph 1 (e) "Trade in the drug referred to under (c) for the purposes mentioned therein".

BANGLADESH

"[Subject to the reservations] referred to in article 49 (1) (a), (d) and (e) of the Convention, namely, subject to the right of the Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh to permit temporarily in its territory:

- (a) The quasi-medical use of opium,

- (d) The use of cannabis, cannabis resin, extracts and tinctures of cannabis for non-medical purposes, and

- (e) The production and manufacture of and trade in the drugs referred to under (a) and (d) above for the purposes mentioned therein."

BULGARIA^{5a}

Reservation under article 48, paragraph 2

"The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider herself bound to implement the provisions of article 48, paragraph 2, concerning the obligatory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice.

"Every kind of dispute between two or more Parties on the Convention relating to its interpretation and application, which cannot be settled by negotiations, is to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision only after the arguing Parties have given previous consent for every separate case explicitly."

Declaration

"The People's Republic of Bulgaria considers it necessary to stress that the wording of article 40, paragraph 1; article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3; article 13, paragraph 2; article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2; and article 31, paragraph 1 "b" has a discriminatory charac-

^{5a} The reservation and declaration reproduced herein were made by the Government of Bulgaria on ratification of the Convention. For the text of reservations as formulated by the Government of Bulgaria in respect of the same articles of the Convention at the time of its signature, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 520, p. 355.

ter as it excludes the participation of a certain number of States. These texts are obviously inconsistent with the character of the Convention, aiming at unifying the efforts of all Parties with a view to achieving regulation of the questions, affecting the interests of all countries in this field.”

BURMA

“I declare that my signature to this Single Convention is subject to the understanding that the Shan State is being allowed to have reservation of the right:

“(1) To allow addicts in the Shan State to smoke opium for a transitory period of 20 years with effect from the date of coming into force of this Single Convention;

“(2) To produce and manufacture opium for the above purpose;

“(3) To furnish a list of opium consumers in the Shan State after the Shan State Government has completed the taking of such list on the 31st December, 1963.”

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic will not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, article 13, paragraph 2, article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2 and article 31, paragraph 1 (b) of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs as applied to States not entitled to become Parties to the Single Convention on the basis of the procedure provided for in article 40 of that Convention.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic deems it essential to draw attention to the discriminatory character of article 40, paragraph 1, of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, under the terms of which certain States are not entitled to become Parties to the said Convention. The Single Convention concerns matters which are of interest to all States and has as its objective the enlistment of the efforts of all countries in the struggle against the social evil of the abuse of narcotics. The Convention should therefore be open to all countries. According to the principle of the sovereign equality of States, no States have the right to deny to other countries the possibility of participating in a Convention of this type.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

“The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic is not bound by the provisions of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, article 13, paragraph 2, article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2 and article 31, paragraph 1 (b) of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, concerning those States, which have been deprived of the possibility to become Parties of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, according to the procedure embodied in the article 40 of the aforesaid Convention.

“The Single Convention regulates questions concerning interests of all states and has as its aim to unify their endeavour in the struggle against such great evil as is the misuse of narcotics. Therefore, in accordance with the international legal principle of equality of states, neither state has the right to prevent other states in participating in the Convention of this kind in par-

ticular, and the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs must, therefore, be open to all states.”

EGYPT⁶

Declaration made upon ratification:

“It is understood that ratification by the United Arab Republic of this Convention does not mean in any way a recognition of Israel by the Government of the United Arab Republic. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the United Arab Republic and Israel.”

FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic declares that it accedes to this Convention while reserving the possibility provided for in article 44, paragraph 2 in fine of continuing in force article 9 of the Convention for the Suppression of the Illicit Traffic in Dangerous Drugs, signed at Geneva on 26 June 1936.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservations

In respect of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, article 13, paragraph 2, article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2, and article 31, paragraph 1 (b):

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, of article 13, paragraph 2, of article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2, and of article 31, paragraph 1(b) of the Convention, insofar as they concern States which have no opportunity to become parties to the Convention in accordance with article 40.

In respect of article 48, paragraph 2:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provision of article 48, paragraph 2, of the Convention, which provides for compulsory jurisdiction by the International Court of Justice, and, with regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice for disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, holds the view that in any such case the consent of all parties to the dispute shall be required to refer it for decision to the International Court of Justice.

Declarations:

In respect of article 40:

The German Democratic Republic considers that the provisions of article 40 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that, all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

⁶ The following communication was received by the Secretary-General on 21 September 1966 from the Government of Israel with reference to the above-mentioned declaration:

“The Government of Israel noted the political character of the declaration made by the Government of the United Arab Republic at the time of the transmission of the instrument of ratification. In the view of the Government of Israel, the Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of the United Arab Republic an attitude of complete reciprocity.”

In respect of article 42:

The position of the German Democratic Republic on Article 42 of the Convention, as far as the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories is concerned, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing colonialism in all its forms and manifestations to a speedy and unconditional end.

HUNGARY

"(1) The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic accepts the provision of paragraph 2 of article 48 with the reservation that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, the agreement of all parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

"(2) As regards countries which have been deprived of the possibility of becoming parties, on the basis of the provisions of article 40 of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, to the Convention, the Government of the Hungarian People's Republic does not consider as obligatory upon herself points 2 and 3 of article 12, point 2 of article 13, points 1 and 2 of article 14 and sub-point 1 (b) of article 31.

"The Hungarian People's Republic deems it necessary to state that the provisions in article 40 of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs by which certain States are barred from becoming Parties to the Convention are at variance with the principle of sovereign equality of States and are detrimental to the interests attached to the universality of the Convention."

INDIA

"Subject to the reservations referred to in Article 49 (1) (a), (b), (d) and (e) of the Convention, namely, subject to the right of the Government of India to permit temporarily in any of its territories:

- "(a) The quasi-medical use of opium,
- "(b) Opium smoking,
- "(d) The use of cannabis, cannabis resin, extracts and tinctures of cannabis for non-medical purposes, and
- "(e) The production and manufacture of and trade in the drugs referred to under (a), (b) and (d) above for the purposes mentioned therein.

"Since the Government of India do not recognise the Nationalist Chinese authorities as the competent Government of China, they cannot regard signature of the said Convention by a Nationalist Chinese Representative as a valid signature on behalf of China."

INDONESIA⁷

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

- (1) ...
- (2) ...

⁷In its instrument of ratification the Government of Indonesia withdraws the declarations made upon signature regarding its intention to make reservations with respect to article 40(1) and article 42 of the said Convention. For the text of these declarations, corresponding to paragraphs 1 and 2, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 520, p. 368.

"(3) With respect to article 48, paragraph 2, the Indonesian Government does not consider itself bound by the provisions of this paragraph which provide for a mandatory reference to the International Court of Justice of any dispute which cannot be resolved according to the terms of paragraph 1. The Indonesian Government takes the position that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case."

NETHERLANDS

In view of the equality from the point of view of public law between the Netherlands, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles, the term "non-metropolitan" mentioned in article 42 of this Convention no longer has its original meaning so far as Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles are concerned, and will consequently be deemed to mean "non-European".

PAKISTAN

". . . The Government of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan will permit temporarily in any of its territories:

- "(i) The quasi-medical use of opium;
- "(ii) The use of cannabis, cannabis resin, extracts and tinctures of cannabis for non-medical purposes, and
- "(iii) The production and manufacture of and trade in the drugs referred to under (i) and (ii) above."

POLAND

"The Government of the Polish People's Republic does not consider itself being bound by the provisions of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, article 13, paragraph 2, article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2 and article 31, paragraph 1 (b) of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, and concerning States deprived of the opportunity to participate in the above Convention.

"In the opinion of the Government of the Polish People's Republic it is inadmissible to impose obligations contained in the mentioned provisions, upon States which in result of other provisions of the same Convention may be deprived of the opportunity to adhere to it.

"The Polish People's Republic deems it appropriate to draw the attention to the discriminatory character of article 40, paragraph 1, of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, on the basis of which certain States have been deprived of the opportunity of becoming Parties to this Convention. The Single Convention deals with the questions of interest to all States and is meant to mobilize efforts of all countries in the struggle against the social danger which is the abuse of narcotic drugs. This Convention therefore should be open to all States. In accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, no State has the right to deprive any other State of the opportunity to participate in a Convention of such type."

ROMANIA

Reservations:

- (a) The Socialist Republic of Romania declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of

article 48, paragraph 2, whereby any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention which is not settled by negotiation or by any other means shall, at the request of one of the Contracting Parties concerned, be referred to the International Court of Justice.

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that such disputes may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

(b) The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, article 13, paragraph 2, article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2, article 31, paragraph 1 (b), in so far as those provisions refer to States which are not Parties to the Single Convention.

Declarations:

(a) The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance of the state of dependence of certain territories to which the provisions of article 42 and article 46, paragraph 1, of the Convention apply is not in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations and the documents adopted by the United Nations concerning the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, unanimously adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in its resolution 2625 (XXV) of 1970, which solemnly proclaims the obligation of States to promote realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring an end to colonialism without delay.

(b) The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of article 40 of the Convention are not in accordance with the principle that international multilateral treaties, the aims and objectives of which concern the international community as a whole, should be open to participation by all States.

SAUDI ARABIA

"The accession of the Government of Saudi Arabia to the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs shall not be construed as implying recognition of the so-called State of Israel nor does the accession, in any way, imply the intention of the Government of Saudi Arabia to enter into any intercourse whatsoever with the latter in matters bearing on this Convention."

SOUTH AFRICA

"... Subject to a reservation in respect of article 48 of the Convention, as provided for in article 50, paragraph 2."

SRI LANKA

The Government of Ceylon notified the Secretary-General that in respect of article 17 of the Convention, "the existing administration will be maintained for the purpose of applying the provisions of the Convention without setting up a 'special administration' for the purpose".

The Government added that this was to be considered a statement and not a reservation.

SWITZERLAND

Switzerland maintains in force article 9 of the Convention for the Suppression of the Illicit Traffic in Dangerous Drugs, signed at Geneva on 26 June 1936.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic will not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, article 13, paragraph 2, article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2 and article 31, paragraph 1 (b) of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs as applied to States not entitled to become Parties to the Single Convention on the basis of the procedure provided for in article 40 of that Convention.

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic deems it essential to draw attention to the discriminatory character of article 40, paragraph 1, of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, under the terms of which certain States are not entitled to become Parties to the said Convention. The Single Convention concerns matters which are of interest to all States and has as its objective the enlistment of the efforts of all countries in the struggle against the social evil of the abuse of narcotics. The Convention should therefore be open to all countries. According to the principle of the sovereign equality of States, no States have the right to deny to other countries the possibility of participating in a Convention of this type.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics will not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, article 13, paragraph 2, article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2 and article 31, paragraph 1 (b) of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs as applied to States not entitled to become Parties to the Single Convention on the basis of the procedure provided for in article 40 of that Convention.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics deems it essential to draw attention to the discriminatory character of article 40, paragraph 1, of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, under the terms of which certain States are not entitled to become Parties to the said Convention. The Single Convention concerns matters which are of interest to all States and has as its objective the enlistment of the efforts of all countries in the struggle against the social evil of the abuse of narcotics. The Convention should therefore be open to all countries. According to the principle of the sovereign equality of States, no States have the right to deny to other countries the possibility of participating in a Convention of this type.

Territorial application
(Article 42 of the Convention)

Declarations made on ratification or accession

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Application to</i>
AUSTRALIA	1 December 1967	“The Convention shall apply to all non-metropolitan territories for the international relations of which Australia is responsible, namely, the territories of Papua, Norfolk Island, Christmas Island, Cocos (Keeling) Islands, Heard and MacDonalld Islands, Ashmore and Cartier Islands, the Australian Antarctic Territory and the Trust Territories of New Guinea and Nauru.”
FRANCE	19 February 1969	The whole of the territory of the French Republic.
INDIA	13 December 1964	“The Convention shall apply to Sikkim.”
NETHERLANDS	16 July 1965	“The Convention is ratified for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles.”
NEW ZEALAND	26 March 1963	“The Convention shall apply to the Cook Islands (including Niue) and the Tokelau Islands, being non-metropolitan territories for the international relations of which the Government of New Zealand is responsible.”
UNITED KINGDOM	2 September 1964	“The Secretary-General will be notified later about the application of the Convention to the non-metropolitan territories for the international relations of which the United Kingdom is responsible. There are no cases where the previous consent of a non-metropolitan territory is not required.”
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	25 May 1967	“The Convention shall apply to all areas for the international relations of which the United States is responsible.”

Notifications made subsequent to ratification or accession

UNITED KINGDOM	26 January 1965	Antigua, Bahamas, Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands, Brunei, Cayman Islands, Dominica, Falkland Islands, Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Grenada, Hong Kong, Mauritius, Montserrat, St. Helena, St. Lucia, St. Christopher-Nevis-Anguilla, St. Vincent, Seychelles, Southern Rhodesia, Swaziland, Tonga, Turks and Caicos Islands, Virgin Islands.
	27 May 1965	Aden and Protectorate of South Arabia.
	3 May 1966	Barbados.

16. Convention on Psychotropic Substances

Done at Vienna on 21 February 1971¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 16 August 1976, in accordance with article 26(1).

REGISTRATION: 16 August 1976.

TEXT: E/CONF.58/6.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</i>	
ARGENTINA	21 February	1971		
AUSTRALIA	23 December	1971		
BARBADOS			28 January	1975 <i>a</i>
BENIN			6 November	1973 <i>a</i>
BRAZIL	21 February	1971	14 February	1973
BULGARIA			18 May	1972 <i>a</i>
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	30 December	1971		
CHILE	21 February	1971	18 May	1972
CHINA ²				
COSTA RICA	2 September	1971		
CUBA			26 April	1976 <i>a</i>
CYPRUS			26 November	1973 <i>a</i>
DENMARK	21 February	1971	18 April	1975
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC			19 November	1975 <i>a</i>
ECUADOR			7 September	1973 <i>a</i>
EGYPT	21 February	1971	14 June	1972
FINLAND	15 October	1971	20 November	1972
FRANCE	17 December	1971	28 January	1975 ^{2a}
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			2 December	1975 <i>a</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ^{2b}	23 December	1971	8 November	1976
GHANA	21 February	1971		
GREECE	21 February	1971		
GUYANA	21 February	1971		
HOLY SEE	21 February	1971	7 January	1976
HUNGARY	30 December	1971		
ICELAND			18 December	1974 <i>a</i>
INDIA			23 April	1975 <i>a</i>
IRAN	21 February	1971		
IRAQ			17 May	1976 <i>a</i>
JAPAN	21 December	1971		
JORDAN			8 August	1975 <i>a</i>
LEBANON	21 February	1971		
LESOTHO			23 April	1975 <i>a</i>
LIBERIA	21 February	1971		
MADAGASCAR			20 June	1974 <i>a</i>
MAURITIUS			8 May	1973 <i>a</i>
MEXICO			20 February	1975 <i>a</i>
MONACO	21 February	1971		
NEW ZEALAND	13 September	1971		
NICARAGUA			24 October	1973 <i>a</i>

¹ The Convention was adopted and opened for signature by the United Nations Conference for the Adoption of a Protocol on Psychotropic Substances, held at Vienna from 11 January to 21 February 1971. The Conference was convened pursuant to resolution 1474 (XLVIII) of 24 March 1970 of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Forty-eighth Session, Resolutions* (E/4832).

² Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 21 February 1971. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

^{2a} With a declaration that the provisions of the Convention will apply throughout the territory of the French Republic (European and overseas departments and overseas territories).

^{2b} With the following declaration:

The Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</i>	
NORWAY			18 July	1975 a
PANAMA			18 February	1972 a
PARAGUAY ³	28 July	1971	3 February	1972
PHILIPPINES			7 June	1974 a
POLAND	30 December	1971	3 January	1975
RWANDA	21 February	1971		
SAUDI ARABIA			29 January	1975 a
SOUTH AFRICA			27 January	1972 a
SPAIN ⁴			20 July	1973 a
SWEDEN	21 February	1971	5 December	1972
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC			8 March	1976 a
THAILAND			21 November	1975 a
TOGO	21 February	1971	18 May	1976
TONGA			24 October	1975 a
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	21 February	1971		
TURKEY	21 February	1971		
UKRAINIAN SSR	30 December	1971		
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	30 December	1971		
UNITED KINGDOM	21 February	1971		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	21 February	1971		
URUGUAY			16 March	1976 a
VENEZUELA	21 February	1971	23 May	1972
YUGOSLAVIA	21 February	1971	15 October	1973

³ The signature on behalf of the Government of Paraguay was affixed "Ad Referendum" in accordance with the instructions contained in the full powers. In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 12 October 1971, the Permanent Representative of Paraguay to the United Nations indicated that the words "Ad Referendum" should be taken as meaning that the Convention concerned was subject to ratification by the Republic of Paraguay in accordance with its constitutional requirements and to the deposit of an instrument of ratification under article 25 of the said Convention.

⁴ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 20 December 1973, the Permanent Representative of Spain to the United Nations made the following statement:

Spain considers itself to be internationally responsible for the territory of the Sahara; consequently, the provisions of the 1971 Vienna Convention on Psychotropic Substances shall also apply to that territory.

Declarations and Reservations

ARGENTINA

"With a reservation concerning the effects of the application of the Convention to non-metropolitan Territories whose sovereignty is in dispute, as indicated in our vote on article 27."

BRAZIL

Upon signature (maintained upon ratification except as far as concerns the reservation to article 27):

"With a reservation to article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2, articles 27 and 31."

BULGARIA

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the decisions of the International Court on cases that have been brought before it, pursuant to article 31 of the Convention, without the consent of the People's Republic of Bulgaria.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservations:

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic will not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 1971 as applied to States not entitled to become Parties to the Convention on the basis of the

procedure provided for in article 25 of that Convention.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 31 of the Convention concerning the referral to the International Court of Justice of a dispute relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention at the request of any one of the Parties to the dispute and declares that the referral of any such dispute to the International Court of Justice shall in each case require the consent of all the Parties to the dispute.

Declarations:

The Byelorussian SSR states that the provisions of article 25 of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances, under the terms of which a number of States are not entitled to become Parties to the said Convention, are of a discriminatory nature and considers that in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States the Convention should be open for participation by all interested States without any discrimination or restriction.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic deems it essential to state that the provisions of article 27 of the Convention are at variance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples of the United Nations General Assembly

(resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of "bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations".

CUBA

Reservation:

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 31 of the Convention, since, in its view, disputes between Parties should be settled only by direct negotiation through the diplomatic channel.

Declaration:

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba considers that, despite the fact that the Convention deals with matters affecting the interests of all States, the provisions of article 25, paragraph 1, and article 26 of the Convention are discriminatory in character in that they deny a number of States the right of signature and accession, thus violating the principle of the sovereign equality of States.

EGYPT

Upon signature:

"Subject to reservation as to:

"(a) Article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2

"(b) Article 27, and

"(c) Article 31."

Upon ratification:

The United Arab Republic [Arab Republic of Egypt] reserves its position on Article 19, Para. 1, 2 (Concerning Measures by the Board to ensure the execution of the provision of the Convention and its right of contestation).

The UAR [Arab Republic of Egypt] reserves its position on article 27 (concerning the existence of territories or colonies pertaining to certain states).

The UAR [Arab Republic of Egypt] reserves its position on article 31 (concerning the method of settlement of disputes between members).

FRANCE

Upon ratification:

With regard to article 31, France does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraph 2 and declares that disputes relating to the interpretation and application of the Convention which have not been settled through the channels provided for in paragraph 1 of the said article may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all the parties to the dispute.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservations

In respect of article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of Article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention, insofar as they concern States which have no opportunity to become parties to the Convention in accordance with Article 25.

In respect of article 31, paragraph 2 :

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of Article 31, paragraph 2, of the Convention, which provides for compulsory jurisdiction by the International Court of Justice, and, with regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice for disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, holds the view that in any such case the consent of all parties to the dispute shall be required to refer it for decision to the International Court of Justice.

Declarations

In respect of article 25:

The German Democratic Republic considers that the provisions of Article 25 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

In respect of article 27:

The position of the German Democratic Republic on the provisions of Article 27 of the Convention, insofar as they concern the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing colonialism in all its forms and manifestations to a speedy and unconditional end.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Upon ratification:

Reservations:⁵

1. In respect of article 11, paragraph 2 (only regarding Schedule III):

In the Federal Republic of Germany, manufacturers, wholesale distributors, importers and exporters are not required to keep records of the type described but instead to mark specifically those items in their invoices which contain substances and preparations in Schedule III. Invoices and packaging slips showing such items are to be preserved by these persons for a minimum period of five years.

2. In respect of article 11, paragraph 4:

In the Federal Republic of Germany, the persons and institutions named in this provision will keep separate files, for at least five years, of invoices showing items that contain substances and preparations in Schedule III which they have received from the persons named in article 11, paragraph 2, and will once a year determine their stock of substances and preparations in Schedule III. Any other acquisition and any disposal or removal without prescription of substances and preparations in Schedule III will be recorded separately. These records will likewise be preserved for five years.

⁵ In accordance with article 32 of the Convention the reservations in question, which are not contemplated by the said article 32, will be deemed to have been accepted if less than one third of the Contracting States have objected thereto by the end of twelve months after the date (1 December 1976) when they were circulated by the Secretary-General.

HUNGARY

“The Hungarian Government avails itself of the possibility accorded to it in paragraph 2 of article 32 and makes reservations in respect of article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2, article 27 and article 31 of the present Convention.”

INDIA

“The Government of India reserve their position with regard to paragraph 2 of article 31 of the aforesaid Convention and do not consider themselves bound by the provisions of that paragraph.”

IRAQ

Reservations:

The Government of the Republic of Iraq hereby declare that they do not consider themselves bound by the provisions of paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 19 of the Convention inasmuch as those two paragraphs are considered to be an interference in the internal affairs of the Republic of Iraq.

2 The Government of the Republic of Iraq declare that they do not consider themselves to be bound by the provisions of paragraph (2) of article 31 of the said Convention. The Government of the Republic of Iraq consider that recourse to the International Court of Justice in a dispute to which they are party shall not be had except with their approval

Declaration:

Entry into the above Convention by the Republic of Iraq shall, however, in no way signify recognition of Israel or be conducive to entry into any relations therewith.

MEXICO

The Government of Mexico, in acceding to the Convention on Psychotropic Substances adopted on 21 February 1971, makes, pursuant to the provisions of article 32, paragraph 4, of the Convention, an express reservation with regard to the application of the said international instrument, since there still exist in its territory certain indigenous ethnic groups which, in magical or religious rites, traditionally make use of wild plants which contain psychotropic substances from among those in schedule I.

POLAND

Reservations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

“The Government of the Polish People’s Republic wishes to make reservations concerning the following provisions:

“(1) Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Article 19 of the above-said Convention as applicable to states deprived of the opportunities of becoming Parties to the Convention in view of the procedure provided for in Article 25 of the Convention.

“In the considered opinion of the Government of the Polish People’s Republic the provisions of Article 25 of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 1971 are of discriminatory character. In this connection the

Government of the Polish People’s Republic reiterates its firm position that the above-said Convention, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of states, should be open to all interested states without any discrimination.

“(2) Paragraph 2 of Article 31 of the Convention which provides that disputes which cannot be settled by negotiation, investigation, mediation, conciliation, arbitration, recourse to regional bodies, judicial process or other peaceful means of their own choice, shall be referred, at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute, to the International Court of Justice for decision. In this connection the Government of the Polish People’s Republic wishes to state that a submission of a dispute to the International Court of Justice, for its decision can be made only with full consent to such a procedure by all parties to the dispute and not at the request of one or some of them.”

SOUTH AFRICA

“The Government of the Republic of South Africa deem it advisable to accede to the Convention on Psychotropic Substances, subject to reservations in respect of Article 19 paragraphs 1 and 2, Article 27 and Article 31 as provided for in article 32 paragraph 2 of the Convention.”

TURKEY

With a reservation to the second paragraph of article 31.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservations:

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic will not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 1971 as applied to States not entitled to become Parties to the Convention on the basis of the procedure provided for in article 25 of that Convention.

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 31 of the Convention concerning the referral to the International Court of Justice of a dispute relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention at the request of any one of the Parties to the dispute and declares that the referral of any such dispute to the International Court of Justice shall in each case require the consent of all Parties to the dispute.

Declarations:

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic states that the provisions of article 25 of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances, under the terms of which a number of States are not entitled to become Parties to the said Convention, are of a discriminatory nature and considers that in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States the Convention should be open for participation by all interested States without any discrimination or restriction.

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic deems it essential to state that the provisions of article 27 of the Convention are at variance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries

and Peoples of the United Nations General Assembly (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of “bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations”.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservations:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics will not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 1971 as applied to States not entitled to become Parties to the Convention on the basis of the procedure provided for in article 25 of that Convention.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 31 of the Convention concerning the referral to the International Court of Justice of a dispute relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention at the request of any one of the Parties to the dispute and declares that the referral of any such dispute to the

International Court of Justice shall in each case require the consent of all Parties to the dispute.

Declarations:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics states that the provisions of article 25 of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances, under the terms of which a number of States are not entitled to become Parties to the said Convention, are of a discriminatory nature and considers that in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States the Convention should be open for participation by all interested States without any discrimination or restriction.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics deems it essential to state that the provisions of article 27 of the Convention are at variance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples of the United Nations General Assembly (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of “bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations”.

YUGOSLAVIA

Subject to a reservation to article 27 of the Convention.

17. Protocol amending the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961

Done at Geneva on 25 March 1972¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 8 August 1975, in accordance with article 18.

REGISTRATION: 8 August 1975.

TEXT: E/CONF.63/9.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
ARGENTINA	25 March	1972	16 November	1973
AUSTRALIA	22 November	1972	22 November	1972
BARBADOS			21 June	1976 ^a
BELGIUM	25 March	1972		
BENIN			6 November	1973 ^a
BRAZIL	25 March	1972	16 May	1973
CANADA			5 August	1976 ^a
CHILE	25 March	1972	19 December	1975
COLOMBIA			3 March	1975 ^a
COSTA RICA	25 March	1972	14 February	1973
CYPRUS	25 March	1972	30 November	1973
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	25 March	1972		
DENMARK	25 March	1972	18 April	1975
ECUADOR	25 March	1972	25 July	1973
EGYPT	25 March	1972	14 January	1974
FIJI			21 November	1973 ^a
FINLAND	16 May	1972	12 January	1973
FRANCE	25 March	1972	4 September	1975 ²
GABON	25 March	1972		
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	25 March	1972	20 February	1975 ³
GHANA	25 March	1972		
GREECE	25 March	1972		
GUATEMALA	25 March	1972	9 December	1975
HAITI	25 March	1972	29 January	1973
HOLY SEE	25 March	1972	7 January	1976
ICELAND			18 December	1974 ^a
INDONESIA	25 March	1972	3 September	1976
IRAN	25 March	1972		
ISRAEL	27 March	1972	1 February	1974
ITALY	25 March	1972	14 April	1975
IVORY COAST	25 March	1972	28 February	1973
JAPAN	15 December	1972	27 September	1973
JORDAN	25 March	1972	28 February	1973

¹ The Protocol was adopted on 24 March 1972 by the United Nations Conference to consider amendments to the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, held at Geneva from 6 to 25 March 1972. The Conference was convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations pursuant to resolution 1577(L) of 20 May 1971 of the United Nations Economic and Social Council. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Fiftieth Session, Supplement No. 1* (E/5044), p. 8. In addition to the Protocol, the Conference adopted three resolutions annexed to the Final Act (see documents E/CONF.63/7 and 8). The resolutions concern the Secretariat of the International Narcotics Control Board, assistance in narcotics control, and social conditions and protection against drug addiction.

² With a declaration that the provisions of the Protocol shall apply to the entire territory of the French Republic (European

and overseas departments and overseas territories).

³ With a declaration that the said Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

In this regard, the Secretary-General received on 9 June 1975 a communication from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics stating in part: The Soviet Union can take note of the declaration by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension to Berlin (West) of the sphere of application of the Protocol amending the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, signed in Geneva on 25 March 1972 only on the understanding that this extension is carried out in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, that the established procedures are respected, and that in the application of the provisions of the Protocol questions concerning status will not be raised.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
KENYA		9 February	1973 <i>a</i>
KUWAIT		7 November	1973 <i>a</i>
LEBANON	25 March 1972		
LESOTHO		4 November	1974 <i>a</i>
LIBERIA	25 March 1972		
LIECHTENSTEIN	25 March 1972		
LUXEMBOURG	25 March 1972	13 October	1976
MADAGASCAR	25 March 1972	20 June	1974
MALAWI		4 October	1973 <i>a</i>
MONACO	25 March 1972	30 December	1975
MOROCCO	28 December 1972		
NEW ZEALAND	15 December 1972		
NICARAGUA	25 March 1972		
NIGER	28 November 1972	28 December	1973
NORWAY	25 March 1972	12 November	1973
PAKISTAN	29 December 1972		
PANAMA	18 May 1972	19 October	1972
PARAGUAY ⁴	18 October 1972	20 June	1973
PERU	25 March 1972		
PHILIPPINES	25 March 1972	7 June	1974
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	29 December 1972	25 January	1973
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{4a}	25 March 1972		
ROMANIA		14 January	1974 <i>a</i>
SENEGAL	16 August 1972	25 March	1974
SINGAPORE		9 July	1975 <i>a</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	25 March 1972	16 December	1975
SPAIN	25 March 1972		
SWEDEN	25 March 1972	5 December	1972
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC ..		1 February	1974 <i>a</i>
THAILAND		9 January	1975 <i>a</i>
TOGO	25 March 1972	10 November	1976
TONGA		5 September	1973 <i>a</i>
TUNISIA	22 December 1972	29 June	1976
TURKEY	25 March 1972		
UNITED KINGDOM	25 March 1972		
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON		30 May	1974 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ..	25 March 1972	1 November	1972
URUGUAY		31 October	1975 <i>a</i>
VENEZUELA	25 March 1972		
YUGOSLAVIA	25 March 1972		
ZAIRE		15 July	1976 <i>a</i>

⁴ The signature on behalf of the Government of Paraguay was affixed "Ad Referendum" in accordance with the instructions contained in the full powers. In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 18 October 1972, the Permanent Representative of Paraguay to the United Nations confirmed that the words "Ad Referendum" which preceded his signature should be considered to mean that the Protocol concerned is subject to ratification by the Republic of Paraguay, in accordance with the procedure established by the National Constitution, and to deposit of the instrument of ratification, as provided in the Protocol.

^{4a} See note 4b, p. 54.

Declarations and Reservations

BRAZIL

"Brazil wishes to take this opportunity to repeat the declaration that was made at the appropriate occasion during the plenary session of the Protocol's Negotiating Conference which took place in Geneva from March 6th to March 24th, 1972, to the effect that the amendments to article 36 of the Convention do not oblige States with laws against extradition of nationals to extradite them."

"Under the terms of article 21 of the Protocol, Brazil wishes to make it clear that it does not accept the amendment introduced by article 1 of the Protocol to article 2, par. 4, of the 1961 Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs."

CANADA

"Subject to a reservation with respect to sub-paragraphs (i), (ii) and (iii) of paragraph 2 (b) of the amending article 14."

EGYPT

It is understood that the ratification of this Agreement does not mean in any way a recognition of Israel by the Government of the Arab Republic of Egypt. Furthermore, no treaty relation will arise between the Arab Republic of Egypt and Israel.

GREECE

"With a reservation to article 1(4) amending the article 2 of the Single Convention."

ISRAEL

Upon signature:

"... The Government of Israel will not proceed to the ratification of the Protocol until it has received assurances that all the neighbouring States who intend to become parties to it will do so without reservation or declaration, and that the so-called reservation or declaration referring to Israel and made by one of Israel's neighbours in connection with its participation in the 1961 Single Convention, and which was quoted at the meeting of the Second Committee on 18 March 1972, is withdrawn."

Upon ratification:

"... The Government of the State of Israel, in accordance with the powers vested in it by the law, decided to ratify the Protocol while maintaining all its rights to

adopt toward all other parties an attitude of complete reciprocity."

KUWAIT⁵

In acceding to the Protocol the Government of the State of Kuwait takes the view that its accession to the said Protocol does not in any way imply its recognition of Israel, nor does it oblige it to apply the provisions of the aforementioned Protocol in respect of the said country.

PANAMA

"With a reservation regarding article 36, paragraph 2 that appears on document of May 3, 1972 signed by the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Panama."

[The reservation reads as follows:

... With the express reservation that the amendment which article 14 of the Protocol makes to article 36, paragraph 2, of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961 (a) does not modify the extradition treaties to which the Republic of Panama is a party in any manner which may compel it to extradite its own nationals; (b) does not require the Republic of Panama to include, in such extradition treaties as it may conclude in the future, any provision requiring it to extradite its own nationals; and (c) may not be interpreted or applied in any manner which gives rise to an obligation on the part of the Republic of Panama to extradite any of its own nationals.]

ROMANIA

Reservation:

The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions contained in article 6, in so far as those provisions relate to States which are not parties to the Single Convention.

Declaration:

The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of article 17 of the Protocol are not in accordance with the principle that international multilateral treaties, the aims and objectives of which concern the world community as a whole, should be open to participation by all States.

⁵ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 26 December 1973, the Acting Permanent Representative of Israel to the United Nations made the following statement:

"The instrument of acceptance by the Government of Kuwait of the Protocol contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are, moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Protocol. That statement, therefore, possesses no legal validity whatsoever.

"The Government of Israel utterly rejects that statement and will proceed on the assumption that it has no validity as to the rights and duties of any State Party to the said treaties.

"The declaration of the Government of Kuwait cannot in any way affect Kuwait's obligations under whatever other obligations are binding upon that State by virtue of general international law.

"The Government of Israel, will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt toward the Government of Kuwait an attitude of complete reciprocity."

18. Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, as amended by the Protocol amending the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961

Done at New York on 8 August 1975¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 8 August 1975, in accordance with article 18 of the Protocol of 25 March 1972.

REGISTRATION: 8 August 1975.

TEXT: E/CONF.63/9 (amendments).

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification or accession in respect of the Protocol of 25 March 1972 or participation in the Convention after entry into force of the amending Protocol of 25 March 1972</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) in respect of the Convention as amended</i>
ARGENTINA	16 November 1973	
AUSTRALIA	22 November 1972	
BARBADOS	21 June 1976	
BENIN	6 November 1973	
BOLIVIA	23 September 1976 <i>a</i>	
BRAZIL	16 May 1973	
CANADA	5 August 1976	
CHILE	19 December 1975	
COLOMBIA	3 March 1975	
COSTA RICA	14 February 1973	
CYPRUS	30 November 1973	
DENMARK	18 April 1975	
ECUADOR	25 July 1973	
EGYPT	14 January 1974	
FIJI	21 November 1973	
FINLAND	12 January 1973	
FRANCE	4 September 1975	
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	20 February 1975	
GUATEMALA	9 December 1975	
HAITI	29 January 1973	
HOLY SEE	7 January 1976	
ICELAND	18 December 1974	
INDONESIA	3 September 1976	
ISRAEL	1 February 1974	
ITALY	14 April 1975	
IVORY COAST	28 February 1973	
JAPAN	27 September 1973	
JORDAN	28 February 1973	
KENYA	9 February 1973	
KUWAIT	7 November 1973	
LESOTHO	4 November 1974	
LUXEMBOURG	13 October 1976	
MADAGASCAR	20 June 1974	
MALAWI	4 October 1973	
MONACO	30 December 1975	
NIGER	28 December 1973	
NORWAY	12 November 1973	
PANAMA	19 October 1972	
PARAGUAY	20 June 1973	
PHILIPPINES	7 June 1974	
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	25 January 1973	
ROMANIA	14 January 1974	

¹ The text of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs as amended by the Protocol of 25 March 1972 was established by the Secretary-General in accordance with article 22 of the Protocol.

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification or accession in respect of the Protocol of 25 March 1972 or participation in the Convention after entry into force of the amending Protocol of 25 March 1972</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a) in respect of the Convention as amended</i>
SENEGAL	25 March	1974	
SINGAPORE	9 July	1975	
SOUTH AFRICA	16 December	1975	
SWEDEN	5 December	1972	
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	1 February	1974	
THAILAND	9 January	1975	
TOGO	10 November	1976	
TONGA	5 September	1973	
TUNISIA	29 June	1976	
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	30 May	1974	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	1 November	1972	
URUGUAY	31 October	1975	
ZAIRE	15 July	1976	

Declarations and Reservations

[See text of declarations and reservations made in respect of the unamended Convention and of the amending Protocol of 25 March 1972.]

CHAPTER VII. TRAFFIC IN PERSONS

1. Protocol to amend the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children, concluded at Geneva on 30 September 1921, and the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age, concluded at Geneva on 11 October 1933

Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 12 November 1947, in accordance with article V.²

REGISTRATION: 24 April 1950, No. 770.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 53, p. 13.

State	Signature subject to approval	Definitive signature (s) acceptance
AFGHANISTAN		12 November 1947 s
ALBANIA		25 July 1949
AUSTRALIA		13 November 1947 s
AUSTRIA		7 June 1950 s
BELGIUM		12 November 1947 s
BRAZIL	17 March 1948	6 April 1950
BURMA		13 May 1949 s
CANADA		24 November 1947 s
CHINA ³		12 November 1947 s
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		12 November 1947 s
DENMARK	12 November 1947	21 November 1949
EGYPT		12 November 1947 s
FINLAND		6 January 1949
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		16 July 1974
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ⁴		29 May 1973
GREECE	9 March 1951	5 April 1960
HUNGARY		2 February 1950 s
INDIA		12 November 1947 s
IRAN	16 July 1953	
IRELAND		19 July 1961
ITALY		5 January 1949
IVORY COAST		5 November 1962 s

¹ The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 126 (II) of 20 October 1947. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Second Session, Resolutions (A/519)*, p. 32.

² The amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol entered into force in respect of both Conventions on 24 April 1950, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article V of the Protocol.

³ See note, p. iii.

⁴ The instrument of acceptance by the Federal Republic of Germany was accompanied by the following declaration:

“... The said Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.”

With reference to the above declaration, the Secretary-General received the following communications:

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (communication received on 4 December 1973):

The 1921 Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children and the 1933 Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age, as amended by the 1947 Protocol, and also the 1904 International Agreement for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic and the 1910 International Convention for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, as amended by the 1949 Protocol, deal with matters related to the territory of the countries Parties to the Conventions and to the exercise of authority by the Parties. As is well known, the western sector of Berlin is not an integral part of the Federal Republic of Germany and cannot be governed by it. In that connexion, the Soviet Union regards the above-mentioned statement by the Federal Republic of Germany as unlawful and as having no legal force, with all the consequences that flow therefrom, since the extension of the force of the said treaty instruments to the western sector of Berlin raises questions relating to its status, thus conflicting with the relevant provisions of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971.

[footnote continues on following page]

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to approval</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s) acceptance</i>
JAMAICA		16 March 1965
LEBANON		12 November 1947 s
LUXEMBOURG	12 November 1947	14 March 1955
MALTA		27 February 1975
MEXICO		12 November 1947 s
NETHERLANDS	12 November 1947	7 March 1949
NICARAGUA	12 November 1947	24 April 1950
NIGER		7 December 1964
NORWAY	12 November 1947	28 November 1947
PAKISTAN		12 November 1947 s
POLAND		21 December 1950
ROMANIA		2 November 1950 s
SIERRA LEONE		13 August 1962 s
SINGAPORE		26 October 1966
SOUTH AFRICA		12 November 1947 s
SWEDEN		9 June 1948 s
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC		17 November 1947 s
TURKEY		12 November 1947 s
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS		18 December 1947 s
YUGOSLAVIA		12 November 1947 s

footnote continued from previous page]

Czechoslovakia (communication received on 6 December 1973):

"The Czechoslovak party is willing to take due notice of the above declaration of the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany on the extension of force of the Protocol to amend the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children concluded at Geneva on 30 September 1921 and of the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age concluded at Geneva on 11 October 1933 and of the Protocol amending the International Agreement for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic signed at Paris on 18 May 1904, and the International Convention for the Suppression of White Slave Traffic signed at Paris on 4 May 1910 to apply also to Berlin (West) only on the understanding that this extension of force is carried out in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and in accordance with the established procedures"

German Democratic Republic (communication accompanying the instrument of acceptance):

With regard to the application to Berlin (West) of the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children of 30 September 1921 as amended by the Protocol of 12 November 1947 the German Democratic Republic states in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic that Berlin (West) is no constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and must not be governed by it. The statement of the Federal Republic of Germany that this Convention as amended by the said Protocol was also to be extended to Berlin (West) is contrary to the Quadripartite Agreement which stipulates that agreements concerning the status of Berlin (West) must not be extended to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany. Consequently, the statement of the Federal Republic of Germany can have no legal effects.

France, United Kingdom, United States of America (communication received on 17 July 1974):

"In a communication to the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics which is an integral part (Annex IV A) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America reaffirmed that, provided that matters of security and status are not affected, international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin in accordance

with established procedures. For its part, the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, in a communication to the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States which is similarly an integral part (Annex IV B) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such extension.

"The purpose and effect of the established procedures referred to above, which were specifically endorsed in Annex IV A and B to the Quadripartite Agreement, are precisely to ensure that agreements and arrangements to be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin are extended in such a way that questions of security and status remain unaffected and to take account of the fact that these Sectors continue not to be a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it. The extension to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Conventions of 1921 and 1933, as amended by the Protocol of 1947, and of the Agreement of 1904 and the Convention of 1910, as amended by the Protocol of 1949, received the prior authorization, under these established procedures, of the authorities of France, the United Kingdom and the United States. The rights and responsibilities of the Governments of those three countries remain unaffected thereby. There is thus no question that the extension to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Conventions of 1921 and 1933, as amended by the Protocol of 1947, and the Agreement of 1904 and the Convention of 1910, as amended by the Protocol of 1949, is in any way inconsistent with the Quadripartite Agreement.

"Accordingly, the application to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Conventions of 1921 and 1933, as amended by the Protocol of 1947, and the Agreement of 1904 and the Convention of 1910, as amended by the Protocol of 1949, continues in full force and effect."

Federal Republic of Germany (communication received on 27 August 1974):

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany shares the position set out in the Note of the Three Powers. The extension of the Protocols to Berlin (West) continues in full force and effect."

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (8 July 1975—in relation to the declaration by the German Democratic Republic received on 27 August 1974):

"The communication mentioned in [the Note] listed above refer to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. This Agreement was concluded in Berlin between the Governments of the French Republic, the Union of Soviet So-

[Footnote continues on following page

Declarations and Reservations

MALTA

“In accepting the above-mentioned Protocol, Malta considers itself bound only in so far as the Protocol applies to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children concluded at Geneva on 30 September 1921 to which Malta is a party.”

PAKISTAN

“. . . In accordance with paragraph 4 of the *Schedule to the Indian Independence Order, 1947*, Pakistan considers herself a party to the International Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic of Women and Children concluded at Geneva on 30 September 1921 by the fact that India became a party to the above-mentioned Convention before 15 August 1947.”

footnote continued from previous page

cialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America. [The Government sending these communications is not a party to the Quadripartite Agreement and is] therefore not competent to make authoritative comments on its provisions.

“The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to bring the following to the attention of the States Parties to the instruments referred to in the above-mentioned communications. When authorising the extension of these instruments to the Western Sectors of Berlin, the authorities of the Three Powers, acting in the exercise of their supreme authority, ensured in accordance with established procedures that those instruments are applied in the Western Sectors of Berlin in such a way as not to affect matters of security and status.

“Accordingly, the application of these instruments to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect.

“The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not consider it necessary to respond to any

further communications of a similar nature by States which are not signatories to the Quadripartite Agreement. This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of those Governments in this matter.”

Federal Republic of Germany (19 September 1975):

“By their Note of 8 July 1975, disseminated by Circular Note . . . C.N.196.1975.TREATIES-1 of 13 August 1975, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the communications referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the Note of the Three Powers, wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned instruments extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect.

“The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter.”

2. Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children, concluded at Geneva on 30 September 1921 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 April 1950, the date on which the amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 12 November 1947 entered into force, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article V of the Protocol.

REGISTRATION: 24 April 1950, No. 771.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 53, p. 39.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 12 November 1947</i>	<i>Accession (a) to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 12 November 1947</i>
AFGHANISTAN	12 November 1947	
ALBANIA	25 July 1949	
ALGERIA		31 October 1963 <i>a</i>
AUSTRALIA	13 November 1947	
AUSTRIA	7 June 1950	
BELGIUM	12 November 1947	
BRAZIL	6 April 1950	
BURMA	13 May 1949	
CANADA	24 November 1947	
CHINA ¹	12 November 1947	
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	12 November 1947	
DENMARK	21 November 1949	
EGYPT	12 November 1947	
FINLAND	6 January 1949	
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ²		
GREECE	5 April 1960	
HUNGARY	2 February 1950	
INDIA	12 November 1947	
IRELAND	19 July 1961	
ITALY	5 January 1949	
JAMAICA	16 March 1965	
LEBANON	12 November 1947	
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC		17 February 1959 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG	14 March 1955	
MADAGASCAR		18 February 1963 <i>a</i>
MALAWI		25 February 1966 <i>a</i>
MALTA	27 February 1975	
MEXICO	12 November 1947	
NETHERLANDS	7 March 1949	
NICARAGUA	24 April 1950	
NORWAY	28 November 1947	
PAKISTAN	12 November 1947	
PHILIPPINES		30 September 1954 <i>a</i>
POLAND	21 December 1950	
ROMANIA	2 November 1950	
SIERRA LEONE	13 August 1962	
SINGAPORE	26 October 1966	
SOUTH AFRICA	12 November 1947	
SWEDEN	9 June 1948	
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	17 November 1947	

¹ See note, p. iii.

² A notification of reapplication of the Convention of 30 September 1921 was received on 21 February 1974 from the Government of the German Democratic Republic. As an instrument of acceptance of the amending Protocol of 12 November 1947 was deposited with the Secretary-General on 16 July 1974 on behalf of the Government of the German Democratic Republic, the latter has been applying the Convention as amended since 16 July 1974.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 12 November 1947</i>	<i>Accession (a) to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 12 November 1947</i>
TURKEY	12 November 1947	
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	18 December 1947	
YUGOSLAVIA	12 November 1947	

Declarations and reservations

[See the text of the declarations and reservations in respect of the unamended Convention and the amending Protocol of 12 November 1947.]

3. International Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children

Geneva, September 30th, 1921¹

IN FORCE (Article 11).²

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AFGHANISTAN	(April 10th, 1935 <i>a</i>)
ALBANIA	(October 13th, 1924)
AUSTRIA	(August 9th, 1922)
BELGIUM	(June 15th, 1922)
BRAZIL	(August 18th, 1933)
BRITISH EMPIRE	(June 28th, 1922)
Does not include the Island of Newfoundland, the British Colonies and Protectorates, the Island of Nauru, or any territories administered under mandates by Great Britain.	
<i>Bahamas, Barbados, British Honduras, Ceylon, Cyprus, Gibraltar, Grenada, Hong-Kong, Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Malta, Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland, Seychelles, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Southern Rhodesia, Straits Settlements, Trinidad and Tobago</i> (September 18th, 1922 <i>a</i>)	
<i>British Guiana and Fiji</i>	(October 24th, 1922 <i>a</i>)
<i>Jamaica and Mauritius</i>	(March 7th, 1924 <i>a</i>)
<i>Leeward Islands</i>	(March 7th, 1924 <i>a</i>)
<i>Falkland Islands and Dependencies</i>	(May 8th, 1924 <i>a</i>)
<i>Gold Coast Colony</i>	(July 3rd, 1924 <i>a</i>)
<i>Sierra Leone (Colony)</i>	(November 16th, 1927 <i>a</i>)
<i>Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Tanganyika (Territory), Uganda (Protectorate)</i>	(April 10th, 1931 <i>a</i>)
<i>British Solomon Islands (Protectorate), Gilbert and Ellice Islands (Colony), Palestine (including Trans-Jordan), Sarawak (Protected State)</i>	(November 2nd, 1931 <i>a</i>)
<i>Zanzibar (Protectorate)</i>	(January 14th, 1932 <i>a</i>)
<i>Burma³</i>	
Burma reserves the right at her discretion to substitute the age of 16 years or any greater age that may be subsequently decided upon for the age-limit prescribed in paragraph B of the Final Protocol of the Convention of May 4th, 1910, and under Article 5 of the 1921 Convention.	
CANADA	(June 28th, 1922)
AUSTRALIA	(June 28th, 1922)
Does not include Papua, Norfolk Island and the mandated territory of New Guinea.	
<i>Papua, Norfolk Island, New Guinea, Nauru</i> (September 2nd, 1936)	

Ratifications or definitive accessions

NEW ZEALAND	(June 28th, 1922)
Does not include the mandated territory of Western Samoa.	
UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA	(June 28th, 1922)
IRELAND	(May 18th, 1934 <i>a</i>)
INDIA	(June 28th, 1922)
Reserves the right at its discretion to substitute the age of 16 years or any greater age that may be subsequently decided upon for the age-limit prescribed in paragraph (b) of the Final Protocol of the Convention of May 4th, 1910, and in Article 5 of the present Convention.	
BULGARIA	(April 29th, 1925 <i>a</i>)
CHILE	(January 15th, 1929)
CHINA ⁴	(February 24th, 1926)
COLOMBIA	(November 8th, 1934)
CUBA	(May 7th, 1923)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(September 29th, 1923)
DENMARK	(April 23rd, 1931 <i>a</i>) ⁵
This ratification does not include Greenland, the Convention, in view of the special circumstances, being of no interest for that possession.	
EGYPT	(April 13th, 1932 <i>a</i>)
ESTONIA	(February 28th, 1930)
FINLAND	(August 16th, 1926 <i>a</i>)
FRANCE	(March 1st, 1926 <i>a</i>)
Does not include the French Colonies, the countries in the French Protectorate or the territories under French mandate	
<i>Syria and Lebanon</i>	(June 2nd, 1930 <i>a</i>)
GERMANY	(July 8th, 1924)
GREECE	(April 9th, 1923)
HUNGARY	(April 25th, 1925)
IRAN	(March 28th, 1933)
IRAQ	(May 15th, 1925 <i>a</i>)
The Government of Iraq desire to reserve to themselves the right to fix an age-limit lower than that specified in Article 5 of the Convention.	
ITALY	(June 30th, 1924)
<i>Italian Colonies</i>	(July 27th, 1922 <i>a</i>)
Subject to the age-limit for native women and children, referred to in Article 5, being reduced from twenty-one to sixteen years.	

⁴ See note, p. iii.

⁵ According to a reservation made by the Danish Government when ratifying the Convention, the latter was to take effect, in respect of Denmark, only upon the coming into force of the Danish Penal Code of April 15th, 1930. This Code having entered into force on January 1st, 1933, the Convention has become effective for Denmark from the same date.

¹ Registered No. 269. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 9, p. 415.

² Article 11.—“The present Convention shall come into force in respect of each Party on the date of the deposit of its ratification or act of accession”.

³ See footnote 3, p. 545

Ratifications or definitive accessions

JAPAN	(December 15th, 1925)
Does not include Chosen, Taiwan, the leased Territory of Kwantung, the Japanese portion of Saghalien Island and Japan's mandated territory in the South Seas.	
LATVIA	(February 12th, 1924)
LITHUANIA	(September 14th, 1931)
LUXEMBOURG	(December 31st, 1929 <i>a</i>)
MEXICO	(May 10th, 1932 <i>a</i>)
MONACO	(July 18th, 1931 <i>a</i>)
THE NETHERLANDS (including <i>Netherlands Indies, Surinam</i> and <i>Curaçao</i>)	(September 19th, 1923)
NICARAGUA	(December 12th, 1935 <i>a</i>)
NORWAY	(August 16th, 1922)
POLAND	(October 8th, 1924)
PORTUGAL	(December 1st, 1923)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

ROMANIA	(September 5th, 1923)
SPAIN	(May 12th, 1924 <i>a</i>)
Does not include the Spanish Possessions in Africa or the territories of the Spanish Protectorate in Morocco.	
<i>Sudan</i>	(June 1st, 1932 <i>a</i>)
SWEDEN	(June 9th, 1925)
SWITZERLAND	(January 20th, 1926)
THAILAND	(July 13th, 1922)
With reservation as to the age-limit prescribed in paragraph (<i>b</i>) of the Final Protocol of the Convention of 1910 and Article 5 of this Convention, in so far as concerns the nationals of Thailand.	
TURKEY	(April 15th, 1937 <i>a</i>)
URUGUAY	(October 21st, 1924 <i>a</i>)
YUGOSLAVIA	(May 2nd, 1929 <i>a</i>)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

ARGENTINE REPUBLIC (<i>a</i>)	PANAMA (<i>a</i>)
COSTA RICA	PERU (<i>a</i>)

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

State	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
BAHAMAS	10 June	1976 <i>d</i>
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	21 May	1948 <i>a</i>
CYPRUS	16 May	1963 <i>d</i>
FIJI	12 June	1972 <i>d</i>
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ⁶		
GHANA	7 April	1958 <i>d</i>
JAMAICA	30 July	1964 <i>d</i>
MALTA	24 March	1967 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS	18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
PAKISTAN	12 November	1947 <i>d</i>
SIERRA LEONE	13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SINGAPORE	7 June	1966 <i>d</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	11 April	1966 <i>d</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	18 December	1947 <i>a</i>
ZAMBIA	26 March	1973 <i>d</i>

⁶ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as from 8 March 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 2 March 1976 the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974, concerning the application, as from 8 March 1958, of the International Convention of 30 September 1921 for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the International Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children, September 30th, 1921, to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

4. Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age, concluded at Geneva on 11 October 1933 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 April 1950, the date on which the amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 12 November 1947 entered into force, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article V of the Protocol

REGISTRATION: 24 April 1950, No. 772.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 53, p. 49.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 12 November 1947</i>	<i>Accession (a) to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 12 November 1947</i>	
AFGHANISTAN	12 November 1947		
ALGERIA		31 October	1963 a
AUSTRALIA	13 November 1947		
AUSTRIA	7 June 1950		
BELGIUM	12 November 1947		
BRAZIL	6 April 1950		
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	12 November 1947		
FINLAND	6 January 1949		
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		16 July	1974 a
GREECE	5 April 1960		
HUNGARY	2 February 1950		
IRELAND	19 July 1961		
IVORY COAST	5 November 1962		
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC		17 February	1959 a
LUXEMBOURG		14 March	1955 a
MADAGASCAR		12 February	1964 a
MALI		2 February	1973 a
MEXICO	12 November 1947		
NETHERLANDS	7 March 1949		
NICARAGUA	24 April 1950		
NIGER	7 December 1964		
NORWAY	28 November 1947		
PHILIPPINES		30 September	1954 a
POLAND	21 December 1950		
ROMANIA	2 November 1950		
SINGAPORE		26 October	1966 a
SOUTH AFRICA	12 November 1947		
SWEDEN	9 June 1948		
TURKEY	12 November 1947		
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	18 December 1947		

Declarations and Reservations

[See also the text of the declarations and reservations in respect of the unamended Convention and the amending Protocol of 12 November 1947.]

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 4 of the Convention as amended by the Protocol, according to which disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention which have not been settled through negotiation shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, unless the parties have not agreed on another way of adjustment. With regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in every single

case the consent of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary to submit a particular dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

Declaration:

The German Democratic Republic, in its attitude towards article 1 of the Convention, in so far as it concerns the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories, is guided by the stipulations of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which sets forth the need for an early and unconditional elimination of colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

5. International Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age

Geneva, October 11th, 1933¹

IN FORCE since August 24th, 1934 (Article 8).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AFGHANISTAN	(April 10th, 1935 <i>a</i>)
AUSTRALIA	(September 2nd, 1936)
(Including <i>Papua</i> and <i>Norfolk Island</i> and the mandated territories of <i>New Guinea</i> and <i>Nauru</i> .)	
AUSTRIA	(August 7th, 1936)
UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA	(November 20th, 1935)
BELGIUM	(June 11th, 1936)
With reservation as regards Article 10.	
BRAZIL	(June 24th, 1938 <i>a</i>)
BULGARIA	(December 19th, 1934)
CHILE	(March 20th, 1935)
CUBA	(June 25th, 1936 <i>a</i>)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(July 27th, 1935)
FINLAND	(December 21st, 1936 <i>a</i>)
GREECE	(August 20th, 1937)
HUNGARY	(August 12th, 1935)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

IRAN	(April 12th, 1935 <i>a</i>)
IRELAND	(May 25th, 1938 <i>a</i>)
LATVIA	(September 17th, 1935)
MEXICO	(May 3rd, 1938 <i>a</i>)
THE NETHERLANDS (including the <i>Netherlands Indies</i> , <i>Surinam</i> and <i>Curaçao</i>)	(September 20th, 1935)
NICARAGUA	(December 12th, 1935 <i>a</i>)
NORWAY	(June 26th, 1935 <i>a</i>)
POLAND	(December 8th, 1937)
PORTUGAL	(January 7th, 1937)
ROMANIA	(June 6th, 1935 <i>a</i>)
<i>Sudan</i>	(June 13th, 1934 <i>a</i>)
SWEDEN	(June 25th, 1934)
SWITZERLAND	(July 17th, 1934)
TURKEY	(March 19th, 1941 <i>a</i>)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

ALBANIA	LITHUANIA
GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND and all parts of the <i>British Empire</i> which are not separate members of the <i>League of Nations</i> .	MONACO
CHINA	PANAMA
GERMANY	SPAIN
	YUGOSLAVIA

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

State	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
BENIN	4 April	1962 <i>d</i>
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	21 May	1948 <i>a</i>
CONGO	15 October	1962 <i>d</i>
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	4 September	1962 <i>d</i>
FRANCE	8 January	1947
IVORY COAST	8 December	1961 <i>d</i>
NIGER	25 August	1961 <i>d</i>
SENEGAL	2 May	1963 <i>d</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	18 December	1947 <i>a</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	27 October	1961 <i>d</i>

¹ Registered No. 3476. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, Vol. 150, p. 431.

6. Protocol amending the International Agreement for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, signed at Paris on 18 May 1904, and the International Convention for the Suppression of White Slave Traffic, signed at Paris on 4 May 1910

Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 4 May 1949, in accordance with article 5.²

REGISTRATION: 4 May 1949, No. 446.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 30, p. 23.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to acceptance</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, notification of succession (d)</i>	
AUSTRALIA ³			8 December	1949 <i>s</i>
AUSTRIA			7 June	1950 <i>s</i>
BAHAMAS			10 June	1976 <i>d</i>
BELGIUM	20 May	1949	13 October	1952
BRAZIL	4 May	1949		
CANADA			4 May	1949 <i>s</i>
CHILE			20 June	1949 <i>s</i>
CHINA ⁴			4 May	1949 <i>s</i>
CUBA	4 May	1949	4 August	1965
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	9 May	1949	21 June	1951
DENMARK	21 November	1949	1 March	1950
EGYPT	9 May	1949	16 September	1949
FIJI			12 June	1972 <i>d</i>
FINLAND			31 October	1949
FRANCE			5 May	1949 <i>s</i>
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			16 July	1974
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ⁵			29 May	1973
INDIA	12 May	1949	28 December	1949
IRAN	28 December	1949	30 December	1959
IRAQ			1 June	1949 <i>s</i>
IRELAND			19 July	1961
ITALY			13 November	1952
LUXEMBOURG	4 May	1949	14 March	1955
NETHERLANDS	2 June	1949	26 September	1950
NORWAY			4 May	1949 <i>s</i>
PAKISTAN	13 May	1949	16 June	1952
SOUTH AFRICA	22 August	1950	14 August	1951
SRI LANKA			14 July	1949 <i>s</i>
SWEDEN			25 February	1952 <i>s</i>
SWITZERLAND			23 September	1949
TURKEY	4 May	1949	13 September	1950
UNITED KINGDOM			4 May	1949 <i>s</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	4 May	1949	14 August	1950
YUGOSLAVIA	4 May	1949	26 April	1951

¹ The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 256 (III) of 3 December 1948. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Third Session, Part I, Resolutions (A/810)*, p. 164.

² The amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol entered into force on 21 June 1951 in respect of the Agreement of 18 May 1904, and on 14 August 1951 in respect of the Convention of 4 May 1910, in accordance with the second paragraph of article 5 of the Protocol.

³ In a notification made on signature, the Government of Australia declared that it extends the application of the Protocol to all territories for the conduct of whose foreign relations Australia is responsible.

⁴ See note, p. iii

⁵ With the following declaration:

"... The said Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications were received from the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (on 4 December 1973), Czechoslovakia (6 December 1973), the German Democratic Republic (16 July 1974), France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America (17 July 1974 and 8 July 1975) and the Federal Republic of Germany (27 August 1974 and 19 September 1975). The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones reproduced in footnote 4, p. 195

Declarations and Reservations

CUBA

“The Revolutionary Government of Cuba ratifies the present Protocol in order to co-operate in the supervision by the United Nations, as depositary, of all treaties drawn up prior to its establishment by international organizations which have ceased to exist, since, owing to the social and economic measures taken in Cuba under the revolutionary laws to increase employment opportunities for the mass of the people, the white slave traffic has been stamped out, the social evils inherited from former periods which were its main cause, unemployment and idleness, having been eliminated; and moreover, the fact that this Protocol shall likewise apply to colonial countries on a basis of equality shall not be taken to mean any acceptance of the position of subjection of these countries, since not only is it a fundamental principle of Cuba’s

present policy strongly to condemn colonialism and to proclaim the right of peoples under colonial rule to achieve national liberation, but colonialism has been denounced by the United Nations.”

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic, in its attitude towards the new wording of article 11, paragraphs 1 and 5, of the Convention, in so far as it concerns the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories, is guided by the stipulations of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which sets forth the need for an early and unconditional elimination of colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

7. International Agreement for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, signed at Paris on 18 May 1904 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1951, the date on which the amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 4 May 1949 entered into force, in accordance with the second paragraph of article 5 of the Protocol.

REGISTRATION: 21 June 1951, No. 1257.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 92, p. 19.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 4 May 1949 or succession to the Agreement and the said Protocol</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d) to the Agreement as amended by the Protocol of 4 May 1949</i>
ALGERIA		31 October 1963 <i>a</i>
AUSTRALIA	8 December 1949	
AUSTRIA	7 June 1950	
BAHAMAS	10 June 1976	
BELGIUM	13 October 1952	
BENIN		4 April 1962 <i>d</i>
CANADA	4 May 1949	
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE		4 September 1962 <i>d</i>
CHILE	20 June 1949	
CHINA ¹	4 May 1949	
CONGO		15 October 1962 <i>d</i>
CUBA	4 August 1965	
CYPRUS		16 May 1963 <i>d</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	21 June 1951	
DENMARK	1 March 1950	
EGYPT	16 September 1949	
FIJI	12 June 1972	
FINLAND	31 October 1949	
FRANCE	5 May 1949	
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ²		7 April 1958 <i>d</i>
GHANA		
INDIA	28 December 1949	
IRAN	30 December 1959	
IRAQ	1 June 1949	
IRELAND	19 July 1961	
ITALY	13 November 1952	
IVORY COAST		8 December 1961 <i>d</i>
JAMAICA		30 July 1964 <i>d</i>
LUXEMBOURG	14 March 1955	
MADAGASCAR		9 October 1963 <i>d</i>
MALAWI		10 June 1965 <i>a</i>
MALI		2 February 1973 <i>d</i>
MALTA		24 March 1967 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS		18 July 1969 <i>d</i>
MEXICO		21 February 1956 <i>a</i>
MOROCCO		7 November 1956 <i>d</i>
NETHERLANDS	26 September 1950	

¹ See note, p. iii.

² A notification of reapplication of the Agreement of 18 May 1904 was received on 16 July 1974 from the Government of the German Democratic Republic. As an instrument of acceptance of the amending Protocol of 4 May 1949 was deposited with the Secretary-General on the same date on behalf of the Government of the German Democratic Republic, the latter has been applying the Agreement as amended since 16 July 1974.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 4 May 1949 or succession to the Agreement and the said Protocol</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d) to the Agreement as amended by the Protocol of 4 May 1949</i>
NIGER		25 August 1961 <i>d</i>
NIGERIA		26 June 1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY	4 May 1949	
PAKISTAN	16 June 1952	
SENEGAL		2 May 1963 <i>d</i>
SIERRA LEONE		13 March 1962 <i>d</i>
SINGAPORE		7 June 1966 <i>d</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	14 August 1951	
SRI LANKA	14 July 1949	
SWEDEN	25 February 1952	
SWITZERLAND	23 September 1949	
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		11 April 1966 <i>d</i>
TURKEY	13 September 1950	
UNITED KINGDOM	4 May 1949	
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON		3 November 1961 <i>d</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA		18 March 1963 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	14 August 1950	
YUGOSLAVIA	26 April 1951	
ZAMBIA		26 March 1973 <i>d</i>

Declarations and reservations

[See the text of the declarations and reservations in respect of the unamended Arrangement and the amending Protocol of 4 May 1949.]

8. International Agreement for the Suppression of the "White Slave Traffic"

Signed at Paris on 18 May 1904¹

IN FORCE since 18 July 1905 (article 8).

The following list was provided by the Government of France at the time of the transfer to the Secretary-General of the depositary functions in respect of the Agreement.

(1) States which ratified the Agreement

BELGIUM	ITALY	SPAIN
DENMARK	NETHERLANDS	SWEDEN AND NORWAY
FRANCE	PORTUGAL	SWITZERLAND
GERMANY	RUSSIA	UNITED KINGDOM

(2) States which acceded to the Agreement

AUSTRIA-HUNGARY	COLOMBIA	LUXEMBOURG
BRAZIL	CZECHOSLOVAKIA	POLAND
BULGARIA	LEBANON ²	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(3) The Agreement was declared applicable to the following colonies, dominions and protectorates

German colonies	Gibraltar	Seychelles
Iceland and Danish West Indies	Gilbert and Ellice Islands	Sierra Leone
Australia	Gold Coast	Somaliland
Bahamas	Hong Kong	Southern Rhodesia
Barbados	India	Sri Lanka
British Central Africa	Jamaica	Trinidad
British Guinea and Guiana	Leeward Islands	Uganda
British Solomon Islands	Malta	Wei-hai-wei
Burma	New Zealand	Windward Islands
Canada	Northern Nigeria	Zanzibar
Fiji Islands	Palestine and Transjordan	French colonies
Gambia	St. Helena	Eritrea
	Sarawak	Netherlands colonies

(4) The following colonies, dominions and protectorates consented to concur in article I of the Agreement

Basutoland	British Honduras	Orange River Colony
Bechuanaland	Cape Town	Southern Nigeria
Bermuda	Cyprus	Straits Settlements
British East Africa	Natal	Transvaal

¹ Registered under No. 11: see *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. I, p. 83.

² The instrument of accession by the Government of Lebanon was deposited with the Secretary-General on 20 June 1949.

(5) States and territories on behalf of which accession to the Convention of 4 May 1910 on the White Slave Traffic entailed *ipso facto* accession to the Agreement of 18 May 1904 by virtue of article 8 of the Convention of 1910

Chile	Union of South Africa	Nauru
Cuba	Kenya	Jersey
Egypt	Nyasaland	Guernsey
Finland	Papua and Norfolk	Falkland Islands
Irish Free State	Grenada	Iraq
Lithuania	St. Lucia	Sudan
Norway	St. Vincent	Turkey
Persia	Isle of Man	Uruguay
Siam	Japan	Monaco
Estonia	China	Morocco
Newfoundland	Yugoslavia	Tunisia
Tanganyika	New Guinea	Mauritius

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Notification of succession</i>
BAHAMAS	10 June 1976
FIJI	12 June 1972
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ³	

³ In a notification received on 16 July 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Agreement as from 10 August 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 2 March 1976 the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 17 June 1974, concerning the application, as from 10 August 1958, of the International Agreement of 18 May 1904 for the Suppression of the "White Slave Traffic", the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the International Agreement for the Suppression of the 'White Slave Traffic', May 18th, 1904 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

9. International Convention for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, signed at Paris on 4 May 1910 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 14 August 1951, the date on which the amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 4 May 1949 entered into force, in accordance with the second paragraph of article 5 of the Protocol.

REGISTRATION: 14 August 1951, No. 1358.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 98, p. 101.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 4 May 1949, or succession to the Convention and the said Protocol</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d) to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 4 May 1949</i>
ALGERIA		31 October 1963 <i>a</i>
AUSTRALIA	8 December 1949	
AUSTRIA	7 June 1950	
BAHAMAS	10 June 1976	
BELGIUM	13 October 1952	
BENIN		4 April 1962 <i>d</i>
CANADA	4 May 1949	
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE . .		4 September 1962 <i>d</i>
CHILE	20 June 1949	
CHINA ¹	4 May 1949	
CONGO		15 October 1962 <i>d</i>
CUBA	4 August 1965	
CYPRUS		16 May 1963 <i>d</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	21 June 1951	
DENMARK	1 March 1950	
EGYPT	16 September 1949	
FIJI	12 June 1972	
FINLAND	31 October 1949	
FRANCE	5 May 1949	
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ²		7 April 1958 <i>d</i>
GHANA		
INDIA	28 December 1949	
IRAN	30 December 1959	
IRAQ	1 June 1949	
IRELAND	19 July 1961	
ITALY	13 November 1952	
IVORY COAST		8 December 1961 <i>d</i>
JAMAICA		17 March 1965 <i>d</i>
LUXEMBOURG	14 March 1955	
MADAGASCAR		9 October 1963 <i>d</i>
MALAWI		10 June 1965 <i>a</i>
MALI		2 February 1973 <i>d</i>
MALTA		24 March 1967 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS		18 July 1969 <i>d</i>
MEXICO		21 February 1956 <i>a</i>
MOROCCO		7 November 1956 <i>d</i>
NETHERLANDS	26 September 1950	
NIGER		25 August 1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY	4 May 1949	
PAKISTAN	16 June 1952	

¹ See note, p. iii.

² A notification of reapplication of the Convention of 4 May 1910 was received on 16 July 1974 from the Government of the German Democratic Republic. As an instrument of acceptance of the amending Protocol of 4 May 1949 was deposited with the Secretary-General on the same date on behalf of the Government of the German Democratic Republic, the latter has been applying the Convention as amended since 16 July 1974.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 4 May 1949, or succession to the Convention and the said Protocol</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d) to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 4 May 1949</i>
SENEGAL		2 May 1963 <i>d</i>
SIERRA LEONE		13 March 1962 <i>d</i>
SINGAPORE		7 June 1966 <i>d</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	14 August 1951	
SRI LANKA	14 July 1949	
SWEDEN	25 February 1952	
SWITZERLAND	23 September 1949	
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		11 April 1966 <i>d</i>
TURKEY	13 September 1950	
UNITED KINGDOM	4 May 1949	
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON		3 November 1961 <i>d</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA		18 March 1963 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	26 April 1951	
ZAMBIA		26 March 1973 <i>d</i>

Declarations and Reservations

[See the text of the declarations and reservations in respect of the unamended Convention and the amending Protocol of 4 May 1949.]

10. International Convention for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic

Signed at Paris on 4 May 1910¹

The following list was provided by the Government of France at the time of the transfer to the Secretary-General of the depositary functions in respect of the Convention.

(1) States which ratified the Convention

AUSTRIA-HUNGARY	GERMANY	PORTUGAL
BELGIUM	GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN	RUSSIA
BRAZIL	IRELAND	
DENMARK	ITALY	SPAIN
FRANCE	NETHERLANDS	SWEDEN

(2) States which acceded to the Convention

BULGARIA	ESTONIA	PERSIA
CHILE	FINLAND	POLAND
CHINA ²	IRISH FREE STATE	SIAM
COLOMBIA	JAPAN	SWITZERLAND
CUBA	LITHUANIA	TURKEY
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	LUXEMBOURG	URUGUAY
EGYPT	MONACO	YUGOSLAVIA
	NORWAY	

(3) The Convention was declared applicable to the following colonies, dominions and protectorates

French colonies, Morocco, Tunisia	Southern Rhodesia	Leeward Islands
Netherlands East and West Indies, Surinam and Curaçao	Straits Settlements	Falkland Islands
Canada	Trinidad	Gold Coast
Union of South Africa	Australia	Iraq
Newfoundland	Papua and Norfolk	Gambia
New Zealand	India	Uganda
Bahamas	Barbados	Tanganyika
Sri Lanka	British Honduras	Burma
Cyprus	Grenada	New Guinea
Kenya	St. Lucia	Nauru
Fiji Islands	St. Vincent	Sudan
Gibraltar	Seychelles	Sierra Leone
Hong Kong	British Guiana	Palestine and Transjordan
Jamaica	Isle of Man	Sarawak
Malta	Jersey	Gilbert and Ellice Islands
Nyasaland	Guernsey	British Solomon Islands
	Mauritius	Zanzibar

¹ *Great Britain, Treaty Series No. 20 (1912).*

² See note, p. iii

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
BAHAMAS	10 June	1976 <i>d</i>
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ³		
LEBANON	22 September	1949 <i>a</i>
FIJI	12 June	1972 <i>d</i>

³ In a notification received on 16 July 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as from 10 August 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 2 March 1976 the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 17 June 1974, concerning the application, as from 10 August 1958, of the International Convention of 4 May 1910 for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the International Convention for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, May 4th 1910 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

11. (a) Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others

Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 21 March 1950¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 25 July 1951, in accordance with article 24.

REGISTRATION: 25 July 1951, No. 1342.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 96, p. 271.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
ALBANIA		6 November 1958 a
ALGERIA		31 October 1963 a
ARGENTINA		15 November 1957 a
BELGIUM		22 June 1965 a
BRAZIL	5 October 1951	12 September 1958
BULGARIA		18 January 1955 a
BURMA	14 March 1956	
BYELORUSSIAN SSR		24 August 1956 a
CUBA		4 September 1952 a
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		14 March 1958 a
DENMARK	12 February 1951	
ECUADOR	24 March 1950	
EGYPT		12 June 1959 a
FINLAND	27 February 1953	8 June 1972
FRANCE		19 November 1960 a
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		16 July 1974 a
GUINEA		26 April 1962 a
HAITI		26 August 1953 a
HONDURAS	13 April 1954	
HUNGARY		29 September 1955 a
INDIA	9 May 1950	9 January 1953
IRAN	16 July 1953	
IRAQ		22 September 1955 a
ISRAEL		28 December 1950 a
JAPAN		1 May 1958 a
JORDAN		13 April 1976 a
KUWAIT		20 November 1968 a
LIBERIA	21 March 1950	
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC		3 December 1956 a
LUXEMBOURG	9 October 1950	
MALAWI		13 October 1965 a
MALI		23 December 1964 a
MEXICO		21 February 1956 a
MOROCCO		17 August 1973 a
NORWAY		23 January 1952 a
PAKISTAN	21 March 1950	11 July 1952
PHILIPPINES	20 December 1950	19 September 1952
POLAND		2 June 1952 a
REPUBLIC OF KOREA		13 February 1962 a
ROMANIA		15 February 1955 a
SINGAPORE		26 October 1966 a
SOUTH AFRICA	16 October 1950	10 October 1951
SPAIN		18 June 1962 a
SRI LANKA		15 April 1958 a
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC		12 June 1959 a ²
UKRAINIAN SSR		15 November 1954 a

¹ The Convention was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 317 (IV) of 2 December 1949. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of*

the General Assembly, Fourth Session, Resolutions (A/1251 & Corr. 1 and 2), p. 33

² Accession by the United Arab Republic. See footnote 3, p. 3.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)	
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS		11 August	1954 a
UPPER VOLTA		27 August	1962 a
VENEZUELA		18 December	1968 a
YUGOSLAVIA	6 February 1951	26 April	1951

Declarations and Reservations

ALBANIA

Declaration:

Thanks to the conditions created by the popular democratic régime in Albania, the offences covered by this Convention do not find favourable ground for development there, since the social conditions which give rise to such offences have been eliminated. Nevertheless, in view of the importance of the campaign against these offences in the countries where they still exist and the international importance of that campaign, the People's Republic of Albania has decided to accede to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others adopted on 2 December 1949 at the fourth session of the United Nations General Assembly.

Reservation to article 22:

The People's Republic of Albania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 which stipulates that any dispute between the parties to the Convention relating to its interpretation, application or execution shall, at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute, be referred to the International Court of Justice. The People's Republic of Albania declares that with respect to the competence of the International Court in that connexion, it will continue to maintain as in the past that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, which provides for the compulsory competence of the International Court of Justice and declares that the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision.

BULGARIA³

Declaration:

The offences referred to in the Convention are unknown under the socialist régime of the People's Republic of Bulgaria, for the conditions favouring them have been eliminated. Nevertheless, since it is important to counteract these offences in the countries where they still exist, and since it is important to the inter-

national community that such action should be taken, the People's Republic of Bulgaria has decided to accede to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others adopted by the fourth session of the General Assembly of the United Nations on 2 December 1949.

Reservation to article 22:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares, with respect to the competence of the International Court of Justice in disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, that the consent of all the parties to the dispute is necessary in each particular case before any dispute whatsoever can be referred to the Court.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC³

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22, which provides that any dispute between the parties to the present Convention relating to its interpretation or application shall, at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute, be referred to the International Court of Justice, and declares that with respect to the competence of the International Court to adjudicate disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic will take the position that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.⁴

FINLAND

Reservation to article 9:

"A reservation to article 9 to the effect that Finland reserves itself the right to leave the decision whether its citizens will or will not be prosecuted for a crime committed abroad to Finland's competent authority;"

³ The Government of Haiti informed the Secretary-General that it considers that in case of dispute it should be possible for either of the Contracting Parties concerned, without previous agreement between them, to refer a dispute to the International Court of Justice and that consequently it does not accept the reservation entered into by Bulgaria.

The Government of South Africa informed the Secretary-General that it regards article 22 as fundamental to the Convention and cannot, therefore, accept the reservation entered into by Bulgaria.

Similar communications were received by the Secretary-General from those two Governments in respect of the reservations made by the Governments of Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Hungary and Romania.

FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic declares that, until further notice, this Convention will only be applicable to the metropolitan territory of the French Republic.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, according to which disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention which have not been settled through negotiation shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, unless the parties have agreed on another way of adjustment. With regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in every single case the consent of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary to submit a particular dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

Declaration:

The German Democratic Republic, in its attitude towards article 23 of the Convention, in so far as it concerns the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories, is guided by the stipulations of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples [Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960], which sets forth the need for an early and unconditional elimination of colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

HUNGARY⁴

"The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic declares explicit reservation concerning Article 22 of the Convention being its view that the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice may be based solely on the previous voluntary submission of all parties interested."

MALAWI

". . . The Government of Malawi accedes to this Convention with the exception of article 22 thereof, the effects of which are reserved."

ROMANIA⁵

With the following reservation to article 22: the People's Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice

⁴ See footnote 3, p. 215. The Government of the Philippines informed the Secretary-General that it objects to the reservations made by the Governments of Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic and Hungary because it feels that the reference to the International Court of Justice of any dispute relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention should not be made dependent on the consent of all parties.

⁵ See footnote 3, p. 215.

for decision, and declares that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Declaration:

In the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic the social conditions which give rise to the offences covered by the Convention have been eliminated. Nevertheless, in view of the international importance of suppressing these offences, the Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic has decided to accede to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others adopted on 2 December 1949 at the fourth session of the United Nations General Assembly.

Reservation to article 22:

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22, which provides that any dispute between the parties to the present Convention relating to its interpretation or application shall, at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute, be referred to the International Court of Justice, and declares that with respect to the competence of the International Court to adjudicate disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic will take the position that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declaration:

In the Soviet Union the social conditions which give rise to the offences covered by the Convention have been eliminated. Nevertheless, in view of the international importance of suppressing these offences, the Government of the Soviet Union has decided to accede to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others adopted on 2 December 1949 at the fourth session of the United Nations General Assembly.

Reservation to article 22:

The Soviet Union does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22, which provides that any dispute between the parties to the present Convention relating to its interpretation or application shall, at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute, be referred to the International Court of Justice, and declares that with respect to the competence of the International Court to adjudicate disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Soviet Union will take the position that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

11. (b) Final Protocol to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others

Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 21 March 1950

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 25 July 1951, in accordance with the second paragraph of the Protocol.

REGISTRATION: 25 July 1951, No. 1342.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 96, p. 316.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
ALBANIA		6 November 1958 <i>a</i>
ARGENTINA		1 December 1960 <i>a</i>
BELGIUM		22 June 1965 <i>a</i>
BRAZIL	5 October 1951	12 September 1958
BULGARIA		18 January 1955 <i>a</i>
BURMA	14 March 1956	
BYELORUSSIAN SSR ¹		24 August 1956 <i>a</i>
CUBA		4 September 1952 <i>a</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		14 March 1958 <i>a</i>
DENMARK	12 February 1951	
ECUADOR	24 March 1950	
EGYPT ¹		12 June 1959 <i>a</i>
FINLAND	27 February 1953	
GUINEA		26 April 1962 <i>a</i>
HAITI		26 August 1953 <i>a</i>
HONDURAS	13 April 1954	
INDIA	9 May 1950	9 January 1953
IRAN	16 July 1953	
ISRAEL		28 December 1950 <i>a</i>
JAPAN		1 May 1958 <i>a</i>
KUWAIT		20 November 1968 <i>a</i>
LIBERIA	21 March 1950	
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC ¹		3 December 1956 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG	9 October 1950	
MEXICO ¹		21 February 1956 <i>a</i>
NORWAY		23 January 1952 <i>a</i>
PAKISTAN	21 March 1950	
PHILIPPINES	20 December 1950	19 September 1952
POLAND		2 June 1952 <i>a</i>
REPUBLIC OF KOREA		13 February 1962 <i>a</i>
ROMANIA		15 February 1955 <i>a</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	16 October 1950	10 October 1951
SPAIN ¹		18 June 1962 <i>a</i>
SRI LANKA		7 August 1958 <i>a</i>
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC ¹		12 June 1959 <i>a</i> ²
UKRAINIAN SSR		15 November 1954 <i>a</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS		11 August 1954 <i>a</i>
VENEZUELA		18 December 1968 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	6 February 1951	26 April 1951

¹In communications received on the dates indicated in parentheses, the Governments of the following States notified the Secretary-General that their instruments of accession to the Convention also apply to the Final Protocol: Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic (15 November 1956); Libyan Arab

Republic (7 January 1957); Mexico (16 April 1956); Spain (23 August 1962); United Arab Republic (20 October 1959).

²Accession by the United Arab Republic. See footnote 3, p. 3.

CHAPTER VIII. OBSCENE PUBLICATIONS

1. Protocol to amend the Convention for the Suppression of the Circulation of, and Traffic in, Obscene Publications, concluded at Geneva on 12 September 1923

Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 12 November 1947, in accordance with article V.²

REGISTRATION: 2 February 1950, No. 709.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 46, p. 169.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to approval</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, notification of succession (d)</i>
AFGHANISTAN		12 November 1947 <i>s</i>
ALBANIA		25 July 1949
AUSTRALIA		13 November 1947 <i>s</i>
AUSTRIA		4 August 1950 <i>s</i>
BELGIUM		12 November 1947 <i>s</i>
BRAZIL	17 March 1948	3 April 1950
BURMA		13 May 1949 <i>s</i>
CANADA		24 November 1947 <i>s</i>
CHINA ³		12 November 1947 <i>s</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		12 November 1947 <i>s</i>
DENMARK ⁴	12 November 1947	21 November 1949
EGYPT		12 November 1947 <i>s</i>
FIJI		1 November 1971 <i>d</i>
FINLAND		6 January 1949
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ⁵		
GREECE	9 March 1951	5 April 1960
GUATEMALA	9 July 1948	26 August 1949
HUNGARY		2 February 1950 <i>s</i>
INDIA		12 November 1947 <i>s</i>
IRAN	16 July 1953	
IRELAND		28 February 1952
ITALY		16 June 1949 <i>s</i>
LUXEMBOURG	12 November 1947	14 March 1955
MEXICO		4 February 1948
NETHERLANDS	12 November 1947	7 March 1949
NEW ZEALAND		28 October 1948 <i>s</i>
NORWAY	12 November 1947	28 November 1947
PAKISTAN		12 November 1947 <i>s</i>
POLAND		21 December 1950
ROMANIA		2 November 1950 <i>s</i>
SOUTH AFRICA		12 November 1947 <i>s</i>
TURKEY		12 November 1947 <i>s</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS		18 December 1947 <i>s</i>
UNITED KINGDOM		16 May 1949 <i>s</i>
YUGOSLAVIA		12 November 1947 <i>s</i>

¹ The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 126 (II) of 20 October 1947. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Second Session, Resolutions (A/519)*, p. 32.

² The amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol entered into force on 2 February 1950, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article V of the Protocol.

³ See note, p. iii.

⁴ See footnote 2, p. 220.

⁵ An instrument of acceptance of the Protocol was deposited on 2 December 1975 with the Secretary-General on behalf of the Government of the German Democratic Republic. A "notification of reapplication" of the Convention of 1923 by the German Democratic Republic had been deposited with the Secretary-General on 21 February 1974 (see footnote 5, p. 223).

2. Convention for the Suppression of the Circulation of, and Traffic in, Obscene Publications, concluded at Geneva on 12 September 1923 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 2 February 1950, the date on which the amendments, set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 12 November 1947, entered into force in accordance with paragraph 2 of article V of the Protocol

REGISTRATION: 2 February 1950, No. 710.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 46, p. 201.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 12 November 1947, or succession to the Convention and the said Protocol</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d) in respect of the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 12 November 1947</i>	
AFGHANISTAN	12 November 1947		
ALBANIA	25 July 1949		
AUSTRALIA	13 November 1947		
AUSTRIA	4 August 1950		
BELGIUM	12 November 1947		
BRAZIL	3 April 1950		
BURMA	13 May 1949		
CANADA	24 November 1947		
CHINA ¹	12 November 1947		
CYPRUS		16 May	1963 <i>d</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	12 November 1947		
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA		30 March	1959 <i>a</i>
DENMARK ²	[21 November 1949]		
EGYPT	12 November 1947		
FIJI	1 November 1971		
FINLAND	6 January 1949		
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ³			
GHANA		7 April	1958 <i>d</i>
GREECE	5 April 1960		
GUATEMALA	26 August 1949		
HAITI		26 August	1953
HUNGARY	2 February 1950		
INDIA	12 November 1947		
IRELAND	28 February 1952		
ITALY	16 June 1949		
JAMAICA		30 July	1964 <i>d</i>
JORDAN		11 May	1959 <i>a</i>
LESOTHO		28 November	1975 <i>d</i>
LUXEMBOURG	14 March 1955		
MADAGASCAR		10 April	1963 <i>a</i>
MALAWI		22 July	1965 <i>a</i>
MALAYSIA		21 August	1958 <i>d</i>
MALTA		24 March	1967 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS		18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
MEXICO	4 February 1948		
NETHERLANDS	7 March 1949		
NEW ZEALAND	28 October 1948		
NIGERIA		26 June	1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY	28 November 1947		

¹ See note, p. iii.

² The instrument of denunciation of the Convention by the Government of Denmark was received on 16 August 1967. In communicating the instrument, the Government of Denmark has informed the Secretary-General that the denunciation is intended to apply also in relation to the States par-

ties to the 1923 Convention (see p. 222), which have not yet become parties to the Protocol of 12 November 1947 amending the said Convention (see p. 219). The denunciation took effect on 16 August 1968.

³ See footnote 5, p. 219

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 12 November 1947, or succession to the Convention and the said Protocol</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d) in respect of the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 12 November 1947</i>	
PAKISTAN	12 November 1947		
POLAND	21 December 1950		
ROMANIA	2 November 1950		
SIERRA LEONE		13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	12 November 1947		
SRI LANKA		15 April	1958 <i>a</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		11 April	1966 <i>d</i>
TURKEY	12 November 1947		
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	18 December 1947		
UNITED KINGDOM	16 May 1949		
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TAN- ZANIA		28 November	1962 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	12 November 1947		
ZAIRE		31 May	1962 <i>d</i>
ZAMBIA		1 November	1974 <i>d</i>

3. International Convention for the Suppression of the Circulation of and Traffic in Obscene Publications

Geneva, September 12th, 1923¹

IN FORCE since August 7th, 1924 (Article II).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AFGHANISTAN	(May 10th, 1937 <i>a</i>)
ALBANIA	(October 13th, 1924)
AUSTRIA	(January 12th, 1925)
BELGIUM	(July 31st, 1926)
Includes also the <i>Belgian Congo</i> and the mandated territory of <i>Ruanda-Urundi</i> .	
BRAZIL	(September 19th, 1931)
GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND	(December 11th, 1925)
Does not include any of the Colonies, Overseas Possessions, Protectorates or Territories under His Britannic Majesty's sovereignty or authority.	
<i>Newfoundland</i>	(December 31st, 1925 <i>a</i>)
<i>Southern Rhodesia</i>	(December 31st, 1925 <i>a</i>)
<i>Barbados, Basutoland, Bechuanaland, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Ceylon, Cyprus, Fiji, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Gold Coast, Hong-Kong, Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Leeward Islands, Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States; (b) Non-Federated Malay States: Brunei, Johore, Kedah, Kelantan, Trengganu], Malta, Mauritius, Nigeria [(a) Colony, (b) Protectorate, (c) Cameroons under British Mandate], Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland, Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Somaliland, Straits Settlements, Swaziland, Tanganyika Territory, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda, Windward Islands, Zanzibar</i>	
	(November 3rd, 1926 <i>a</i>)
<i>Bahamas, Bermuda, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Palestine, St. Helena, Trans-Jordan</i>	
	(May 23rd, 1927 <i>a</i>)
<i>Jamaica</i>	(August 22nd, 1927 <i>a</i>)
<i>British Guiana</i>	(September 23rd, 1929 <i>a</i>)
<i>Burma</i> ^{1a}	
CANADA	(May 23rd, 1924 <i>a</i>)
AUSTRALIA (including the territories of <i>Papua</i> and <i>Norfolk Island</i> and the mandated territories of <i>New Guinea</i> and <i>Nauru</i>)	(June 29th, 1935 <i>a</i>)
NEW ZEALAND, including the mandated territory of <i>Western Samoa</i>	(December 11th, 1925)
UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA, including the mandated territory of <i>South West Africa</i>	(Dec. 11th, 1925)
IRELAND	(September 15th, 1930)
INDIA	(December 11th, 1925)
BULGARIA	(July 1st, 1924)

¹ Registered No. 685. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 27, p. 213.

^{1a} See footnote 3, p. 545.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

CHINA ²	(February 24th, 1926)
COLOMBIA	(November 8th, 1934)
CUBA	(September 20th, 1934)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(April 11th, 1927)
DENMARK ³	(May 6th, 1930)
With regard to Article IV, see also Article I. The acts mentioned in Article I are punishable under the rules of Danish law only if they fall within the provisions of Article 184 of the Danish Penal Code, which inflicts penalties upon any person publishing obscene writings, or placing on sale, distributing, or otherwise circulating or publicly exposing obscene images. Further, it is to be observed that the Danish legislation relating to the Press contains special provisions on the subject of the persons who may be prosecuted for Press offences. The latter provisions apply to the acts covered by Article 184 in so far as these acts can be considered as Press offences. The modification of Danish legislation on these points must await the revision of the Danish Penal Code, which is likely to be effected in the near future.	
EGYPT	(October 29th, 1924 <i>a</i>)
ESTONIA	(March 10th, 1936 <i>a</i>)
FINLAND	(June 29th, 1925)
FRANCE	(January 16th, 1940)
The French Government does not assume any obligation as regards its colonies or Protectorates or the Territories placed under its mandate.	
<i>Morocco</i>	(May 7th, 1940 <i>a</i>)
GERMANY	(May 11th, 1925)
GREECE	(October 9th, 1929)
GUATEMALA	(October 25th, 1933 <i>a</i>)
HUNGARY	(February 12th, 1929)
IRAN	(September 28th, 1932)
IRAQ	(April 26th, 1929 <i>a</i>)
ITALY	(July 8th, 1924)
JAPAN	(May 13th, 1936)
The provisions of Article 15 of the Convention are in no way derogatory to the acts of the Japanese judicial authorities in the application of Japanese laws and decrees. ⁴	

² See note, p. iii.

³ See footnote 2, p. 220.

⁴ By a communication dated February 14th, 1936, the Japanese Government withdrew the declaration regarding Taiwan, Chosen, the leased territory of Kwantung, Karafuto and the territories under Japanese mandate, expressed at the time of signing the Convention. For the text of that declaration, see *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 27, p. 232.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

LATVIA	(October 7th, 1925)
* LUXEMBOURG	(August 10th, 1927)
Subject to reservation "that, in the application of the penal clauses of the Convention, the Luxembourg authorities will observe the closing paragraph of Article 24 of the Constitution of the Grand-Duchy, which provides that proceedings may not be taken against the publisher, printer or distributor if the author is known and if he is a Luxembourg subject residing in the Grand-Duchy".	
SAN MARINO	(April 21st, 1926 <i>a</i>)
MONACO	(May 11th, 1925)
THE NETHERLANDS (including <i>Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao</i>)	(September 13th, 1927)
NORWAY	(May 8th, 1929 <i>a</i>)

* This ratification, given subject to reservation, has been submitted to the signatory States for acceptance.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

PARAGUAY	(October 21st, 1933 <i>a</i>)
POLAND	(March 8th, 1927)
PORTUGAL	(October 4th, 1927)
ROMANIA	(June 7th, 1926)
SALVADOR	(July 2nd, 1937)
SPAIN	(December 19th, 1924)
SWITZERLAND	(January 20th, 1926)
THAILAND	(July 28th, 1924)
The Thai Government reserve full right to enforce the provisions of the present Convention against foreigners in Thailand in accordance with the principles prevailing for applying Thai legislation to such foreigners.	
TURKEY	(September 12th, 1929)
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	(July 8th, 1935 <i>a</i>)
YUGOSLAVIA	(May 2nd, 1929)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

ARGENTINE REPUBLIC (<i>a</i>)	HONDURAS	PERU (<i>a</i>)
COSTA RICA	LITHUANIA	URUGUAY
	PANAMA	

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>
FIJI	1 November 1971 <i>d</i>
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ⁵	
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ⁶	
MEXICO	9 January 1948 <i>a</i>

⁵ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as from 18 December 1958.

⁶ In a notification received on 25 January 1974, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany denounced the Convention.

4. Protocol amending the Agreement for the Suppression of the Circulation of Obscene Publications, signed at Paris on 4 May 1910

Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 4 May 1949, in accordance with article 5.²

REGISTRATION: 4 May 1949, No. 445.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 30, p. 3.

State	Signature subject to acceptance		Definitive signature (s), acceptance, Notification of succession (d)	
AUSTRALIA			8 December	1949 s
AUSTRIA			4 August	1950 s
BELGIUM	20 May	1949	13 October	1952
BRAZIL	4 May	1949		
CANADA			4 May	1949 s
CHINA ³			4 May	1949 s
COLOMBIA	1 June	1949		
CUBA	4 May	1949		
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	9 May	1949	21 June	1951
DENMARK	21 November	1949	1 March	1950
EGYPT	9 May	1949	16 September	1949
EL SALVADOR	5 May	1949		
FIJI			1 November	1971 d
FINLAND			31 October	1949
FRANCE			5 May	1949 s
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ^{3a}				
ICELAND			25 October	1950
INDIA	12 May	1949	28 December	1949
IRAN	28 December	1949	30 December	1959
IRAQ	1 June	1949	14 September	1950
IRELAND			28 February	1952
ITALY			13 November	1952
LUXEMBOURG	4 May	1949	14 March	1955
MEXICO			22 July	1952
NETHERLANDS	2 June	1949	26 September	1950
NEW ZEALAND			14 October	1950 s
NORWAY			4 May	1949 s
PAKISTAN	13 May	1949	4 May	1951

¹The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 256 (III) of 3 December 1948. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Third Session, Part I, Resolutions (A/810)*, p. 164.

²The amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol entered into force on 1 March 1950, in accordance with the second paragraph of article 5 of the Protocol.

³See note, p. iii.

^{3a}An instrument of acceptance of the Protocol was deposited on 2 December 1975 with the Secretary-General by the Government of the German Democratic Republic. A "notification of reapplication" of the Agreement of 4 May 1910 on behalf of

the German Democratic Republic had been deposited with the Secretary-General on 4 October 1974 (see note 3, p. 228).

The instrument deposited in the name of the German Democratic Republic in respect of the Protocol of 4 May 1949 contains the following declaration:

The position of the German Democratic Republic in respect of the redraft of article 7 of the Agreement made by the Protocol, as far as it concerns the application of the Agreement to colonial and other dependent territories, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing colonialism in all its forms and manifestations to a speedy and unconditional end.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to acceptance</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, Notification of succession (d)</i>	
ROMANIA ⁴			2 November	1950 s
SOUTH AFRICA			1 September	1950 s
SRI LANKA			14 July	1949 s
SWITZERLAND			23 September	1949
TURKEY	4 May	1949	13 September	1950
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS ⁴			14 May	1949 s
UNITED ARAB REPUBLIC	9 May	1949	16 September	1949
UNITED KINGDOM			4 May	1949 s
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	4 May	1949	14 August	1950
YUGOSLAVIA	4 May	1949	29 April	1953

⁴In signing the Protocol, the Governments of the People's Republic of Romania and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declared that they are not in agreement with article 7 of the annex to the said Protocol.

5. Agreement for the Suppression of the Circulation of Obscene Publications, signed at Paris on 4 May 1910 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 March 1950, the date on which the amendments to the Agreement, set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 4 May 1949, entered into force in accordance with the second paragraph of article 5 of the Protocol.

REGISTRATION: 1 March 1950, No. 728.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 47, p. 159.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 4 May 1949, or succession to the Agreement and to the said Protocol</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d) in respect of the Agreement as amended by the Protocol of 4 May 1949</i>	
AUSTRALIA	8 December 1949		
AUSTRIA	4 August 1950		
BELGIUM	13 October 1952		
BURMA ¹		13 May	1949 <i>a</i>
CANADA	4 May 1949		
CHINA ²	4 May 1949		
CYPRUS		16 May	1963 <i>d</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	21 June 1951		
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA		30 March	1959 <i>a</i>
DENMARK	1 March 1950		
EGYPT	16 September 1949		
FIJI	1 November 1971		
FINLAND	31 October 1949		
FRANCE	5 May 1949		
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ³			
GHANA		7 April	1958 <i>d</i>
HAITI ¹		26 August	1953
ICELAND	25 October 1950		
INDIA	28 December 1949		
IRAN	30 December 1959		
IRAQ	14 September 1950		
IRELAND	28 February 1952		
ITALY	13 November 1952		
JAMAICA ¹		30 July	1964 <i>a</i>
JORDAN ¹		11 May	1959 <i>a</i>
LESOTHO		28 November	1975 <i>d</i>
LUXEMBOURG	14 March 1955		
MADAGASCAR		10 April	1963 <i>a</i>
MALAWI		22 July	1965 <i>a</i>
MALAYSIA		31 August	1957 <i>d</i>
MALTA		24 March	1967 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS		18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
MEXICO	22 July 1952		
NETHERLANDS	26 September 1950		

¹ States whose ratification of or accession to the Convention of 12 September 1923 as amended, in accordance with its article 10, *ipso facto* and without special notification in-

volved concomitant and full acceptance of the Agreement of 4 May 1910 as amended.

² See note, p. iii.

³ See note 3a, p. 224.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 4 May 1949, or succession to the Agreement and to the said Protocol</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d) in respect of the Agreement as amended by the Protocol of 4 May 1949</i>
NEW ZEALAND	14 October 1950	
NIGERIA		26 June 1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY	4 May 1949	
PAKISTAN	4 May 1951	
ROMANIA	2 November 1950	
SIERRA LEONE		13 March 1962 <i>d</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	1 September 1950	
SRI LANKA	14 July 1949	
SWITZERLAND	23 September 1949	
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		11 April 1966 <i>d</i>
TURKEY	13 September 1950	
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	14 May 1949	
UNITED KINGDOM	4 May 1949	
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA		28 November 1962 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	14 August 1950	
YUGOSLAVIA	29 April 1953	
ZAIRE		31 May 1962 <i>d</i>
ZAMBIA		1 November 1974 <i>d</i>

6. Agreement for the Suppression of the Circulation of Obscene Publications

Signed at Paris on 4 May 1910¹

The following list was provided by the Government of France at the time of the transfer to the Secretary-General of the depositary functions in respect of the Agreement.

(1) States which ratified the Agreement

AUSTRIA-HUNGARY	GERMANY	PORTUGAL
BELGIUM	GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN	RUSSIA
BRAZIL	IRELAND	SPAIN
DENMARK	ITALY	SWITZERLAND
FRANCE	NETHERLANDS	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(2) States which acceded the Agreement

ALBANIA	FINLAND	NORWAY
BULGARIA	IRELAND	POLAND
CHINA ²	LATVIA	ROMANIA
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	LUXEMBOURG	SAN MARINO
EGYPT	MONACO	SIAM
ESTONIA		

(3) The Agreement was declared applicable to the following colonies, dominions and protectorates

Australia	Iceland and Danish West Indies	Seychelles
Bahamas	India	Sierra Leone
Barbados	Iraq	Solomon Islands
Basutoland	Jamaica	Somaliland
Bechuanaland	Kenya	Southern Nigeria
Belgian Congo and Ruanda-Urundi	Leeward Islands (Antigua, Dominica, Montserrat, St. Kitts-Nevis)	Southern Rhodesia
Bermuda	Malay States	South West Africa
British East Africa	Malta	Straits Settlements
British Guiana	Mauritius	Swaziland
British Honduras	Netherlands East Indies, Surinam and Curaçao	Tanganyika
Canada	Newfoundland	Transjordan
Ceylon	New Zealand	Trinidad and Tobago
Cyprus	Northern Nigeria	Turks and Caicos Islands
Falkland Islands	Northern Rhodesia	Uganda
Fiji	Nyasaland	Union of South Africa
Gambia	Palestine	Virgin Islands
German Colonies	St. Helena	Wei-hai-wei
Gibraltar	Samoa	Western Pacific Islands
Gilbert and Ellice Islands		Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent)
Gold Coast		Zanzibar
Hong Kong		

(4) States which by their accession to or their ratification of the Convention of 12 September 1923 for the Suppression of the Circulation of, and Traffic in, Obscene Publications, *ipso facto* accepted the Agreement of 4 May 1910 by virtue of article 10 of the Convention of 12 September 1923

AFGHANISTAN	GREECE	MEXICO
COLOMBIA	GUATEMALA	PARAGUAY
CUBA	IRAN	TURKEY
EL SALVADOR	JAPAN	YUGOSLAVIA

¹ U.S. *Treaties and Conventions*, vol. III, p. 2918.

² See note, p. iii.

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Notification of succession (d)</i>
FIJI	1 November 1971 d
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ³	

³ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 4 October 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 18 December 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 2 March 1976 the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 30 September 1974, concerning the application, as from 18 December 1958, of the Agreement of 4 May 1910 for the Suppression of the Circulation of Obscene Publications, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

“The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Agreement for the Suppression of the Circulation of Obscene Publications, May 4th 1910 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession”

CHAPTER IX. HEALTH

I. Constitution of the World Health Organization

Signed at New York on 22 July 1946¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 April 1948, in accordance with article 80.

REGISTRATION: 7 April 1948, No. 221.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 14, p. 185, and vol. 377, p. 380 (amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution, adopted on 28 May 1959), and World Health Assembly resolution 20.36; *Official Records of the World Health Organization*, No. 160, p. 20 (amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution, adopted on 23 May 1967).²

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to approval</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</i>	
AFGHANISTAN			19 April	1948
ALBANIA	22 July	1946	26 May	1947
ALGERIA			8 November	1962
ANGOLA			15 May	1976
ARGENTINA	22 July	1946	22 October	1948
AUSTRALIA	22 July	1946	2 February	1948
AUSTRIA	22 July	1946	30 June	1947
BAHAMAS			1 April	1974
BAHRAIN			2 November	1971
BANGLADESH			19 May	1972
BARBADOS			25 April	1967
BELGIUM	22 July	1946	25 June	1948
BENIN			20 September	1960
BOLIVIA	22 July	1946	23 December	1949
BOTSWANA			26 February	1975
BRAZIL	22 July	1946	2 June	1948
BULGARIA	22 July	1946	9 June	1948
BURMA			1 July	1948
BURUNDI			22 October	1962
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	22 July	1946	7 April	1948
CANADA	22 July	1946	29 August	1946
CAPE VERDE			5 January	1976
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE			20 September	1960

Note: In accordance with article 6 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization, the applications for membership of the following States, non-members or then non-members of the United Nations, were approved by the World Health Assembly on the dates indicated below:

Sri Lanka	28 June	1948
Monaco	2 July	1948
Republic of Korea	30 June	1949
Democratic Kampuchea	16 May	1950
Indonesia	16 May	1950
Lao People's Democratic Republic	16 May	1950
[Republic of South Viet-Nam]*	16 May	1950
Japan	16 May	1951
Germany, Federal		
Republic of	16 May	1951
Spain	16 May	1951
Libyan Arab Republic	6 May	1952
Nepal	15 May	1953
Morocco	9 May	1956
Samoa	16 May	1962
Sudan	9 May	1956

Tunisia	9 May	1956
United Republic of Cameroon ...	4 May	1960
Togo	4 May	1960
Kuwait	9 May	1960
Mauritania	20 February	1961

* See note 4b, p. 54.

¹ The Constitution was drawn up by the International Health Conference, which had been convened pursuant to resolution 1 (I) of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations, adopted on 15 February 1946. The Conference was held at New York from 19 June to 22 July 1946. In addition to the Constitution, the Conference drew up the Final Act, the Arrangements for the Establishment of an Interim Commission of the World Health Organization and the Protocol concerning the *Office international d'hygiène publique*, for the text of which, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 9, p. 3.

² For the list of States having accepted these amendments, see pp. 235 and 237. For the list of States having accepted the amendment to article 7 and to articles 34 and 55, adopted on 20 May 1965 and 22 May 1973, respectively, which have not yet come into force, see pp. 236 and 239.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to approval</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</i>	
CHAD			1 January	1961
CHILE	22 July	1946	15 October	1948
CHINA ^{2a}			22 July	1946 ^s
COLOMBIA	22 July	1946	14 May	1959
COMOROS			9 December	1975
CONGO			26 October	1960
COSTA RICA	22 July	1946	17 March	1949
CUBA	22 July	1946	9 May	1950
CYPRUS			16 January	1961
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	22 July	1946	1 March	1948
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA ..			17 May	1950
DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF KOREA			19 May	1973
[DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF VIET-NAM] ^{2b}			22 October	1975
DEMOCRATIC YEMEN			6 May	1968
DENMARK	22 July	1946	19 April	1948
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	22 July	1946	21 June	1948
ECUADOR	22 July	1946	1 March	1949
EGYPT	22 July	1946	16 December	1947
EL SALVADOR	22 July	1946	22 June	1948
ETHIOPIA	22 July	1946	11 April	1947
FIJI			1 January	1972
FINLAND	22 July	1946	7 October	1947
FRANCE	22 July	1946	16 June	1948
GABON			21 November	1960
GAMBIA			26 April	1971
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			8 May	1973
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³			29 May	1951
GHANA			8 April	1957
GREECE	22 July	1946	12 March	1948
GRENADA			4 December	1974
GUATEMALA	22 July	1946	26 August	1949
GUINEA			19 May	1959
GUINEA-BISSAU			29 July	1974
GUYANA			27 September	1966
HAITI	22 July	1946	12 August	1947
HONDURAS	22 July	1946	8 April	1949
HUNGARY	19 February	1947	17 June	1948
ICELAND			17 June	1948
INDIA	22 July	1946	12 January	1948
INDONESIA			23 May	1950
IRAN	22 July	1946	23 November	1946
IRAQ	22 July	1946	23 September	1947
IRELAND	22 July	1946	20 October	1947
ISRAEL			21 June	1949

^{2a} See note, p. iii.

^{2b} See note 4b, p. 54.

³ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 26 October 1964, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Constitution of the World Health Organization, including the amendments which came into force on 25 October 1960, applies to *Land Berlin*.

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communi-

cations have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to approval</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</i>	
ITALY	22 July	1946	11 April	1947
IVORY COAST			28 October	1960
JAMAICA			21 March	1963
JAPAN			16 May	1951
JORDAN	22 July	1946	7 April	1947
KENYA			27 January	1964
KUWAIT			9 May	1960
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			17 May	1950
LEBANON	22 July	1946	19 January	1949
LESOTHO			7 July	1967
LIBERIA	22 July	1946	14 March	1947
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC			16 May	1952
LUXEMBOURG	22 July	1946	3 June	1949
MADAGASCAR			16 January	1961
MALAWI			9 April	1965
MALAYSIA			24 April	1958
MALDIVES			5 November	1965
MALI			17 October	1960
MALTA			1 February	1965
MAURITANIA			7 March	1961
MAURITIUS			9 December	1968
MEXICO	22 July	1946	7 April	1948
MONACO			8 July	1948
MONGOLIA			18 April	1962
MOROCCO			14 May	1956
MOZAMBIQUE			11 September	1975
NEPAL			2 September	1953
NETHERLANDS	22 July	1946	25 April	1947
NEW ZEALAND	22 July	1946	10 December	1946
NICARAGUA	22 July	1946	24 April	1950
NIGER			5 October	1960
NIGERIA			25 November	1960
NORWAY	22 July	1946	18 August	1947
OMAN			28 May	1971
PAKISTAN			23 June	1948
PANAMA	22 July	1946	20 February	1951
PAPUA NEW GUINEA			29 April	1976
PARAGUAY	22 July	1946	4 January	1949
PERU	22 July	1946	11 November	1949
PHILIPPINES	22 July	1946	9 July	1948
POLAND	22 July	1946	6 May	1948
PORTUGAL	22 July	1946	13 February	1948
QATAR			11 May	1972
REPUBLIC OF KOREA			17 August	1949
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ⁴			17 May	1950
ROMANIA			8 June	1948
RWANDA			7 November	1962
SAMOA			16 May	1962
SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE			23 March	1976
SAUDI ARABIA	22 July	1946	26 May	1947
SENEGAL			31 October	1960
SIERRA LEONE			20 October	1961
SINGAPORE			25 February	1966
SOMALIA			26 January	1961

⁴ See note 4b, p. 54.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to approval</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</i>	
SOUTH AFRICA	22 July	1946	7 August	1947
SPAIN			28 May	1951
SRI LANKA			7 July	1948
SUDAN			14 May	1956
SURINAM			25 March	1976
SWAZILAND			16 April	1973
SWEDEN	13 January	1947	28 August	1947
SWITZERLAND	22 July	1946	26 March	1947
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	22 July	1946	18 December	1946
THAILAND	22 July	1946	26 September	1947
TOGO			13 May	1960
TONGA			14 August	1975
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO			3 January	1963
TUNISIA			14 May	1956
TURKEY	22 July	1946	2 January	1948
UGANDA			7 March	1963
UKRAINIAN SSR	22 July	1946	3 April	1948
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	22 July	1946	24 March	1948
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES			30 March	1972
UNITED KINGDOM			22 July	1946 s
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON			6 May	1960
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA ⁴ in respect of TANGANYIKA			15 March	1962
in respect of ZANZIBAR			29 February	1964
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ⁵	22 July	1946	21 June	1948
UPPER VOLTA			4 October	1960
URUGUAY	22 July	1946	22 April	1949
VENEZUELA	22 July	1946	7 July	1948
YEMEN			20 November	1953 s
YUGOSLAVIA	22 July	1946	19 November	1947
ZAIRE			24 February	1961
ZAMBIA			2 February	1965 s

⁴ See footnote 13, p. 8.

⁵ Accepted subject to the provisions of the joint resolution of the Congress of the United States of America approved 14 June 1948 (Public Law 643, 80th Congress), section 4 of which reads as follows: "In adopting this joint resolution the Congress does so with the understanding that, in the absence of any provision in the World Health Organization Constitution for withdrawal from the organization, the United States reserves its right to withdraw from the organization

on a one-year notice, provided, however, that the financial obligations of the United States to the organization shall be met in full for the organization's current fiscal year."

The World Health Assembly adopted unanimously on 2 July 1948 the following resolution: "The Assembly recognized the validity of the ratification by the United States of America and resolved that the Secretary-General of the United Nations be advised of this decision."

Amendments to the Constitution of the World Health Organization

(a) Amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization

Adopted by the Twelfth World Health Assembly on 28 May 1959

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 25 October 1960 for all Members of the World Health Organization, in accordance with article 73 of the Constitution.

REGISTRATION: 25 October 1960, No. 221.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 377, p. 380.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance</i>
AFGHANISTAN	11 August 1960	LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC	8 February 1960
ALBANIA	27 July 1960	LUXEMBOURG	25 October 1960
ALGERIA	8 November 1962	MADAGASCAR	16 January 1961
ARGENTINA	11 April 1962	MALAYSIA	4 February 1960
AUSTRALIA	12 August 1959	MALI	17 October 1960
AUSTRIA	29 March 1960	MAURITANIA	7 March 1961
BELGIUM	20 November 1959	MEXICO	2 August 1960
BENIN	20 September 1960	MOROCCO	28 March 1960
BRAZIL	18 March 1963	NEPAL	12 May 1960
BULGARIA	11 February 1960	NETHERLANDS ²	14 September 1960
BURMA	19 April 1960	NEW ZEALAND	4 April 1960
BURUNDI	22 October 1962	NIGER	5 October 1960
CANADA	25 February 1960	NIGERIA	25 November 1960
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE ..	20 September 1960	NORWAY	2 November 1959
CHAD	1 January 1961	PAKISTAN	12 February 1960
CHILE	28 April 1960	PARAGUAY	8 February 1960
CHINA ¹		PHILIPPINES	25 March 1960
CONGO	26 October 1960	POLAND	18 February 1960
CUBA	27 July 1960	REPUBLIC OF KOREA	29 December 1959
CYPRUS	16 January 1961	[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH	
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA ..	8 December 1959	VIET-NAM] ^{2a}	7 September 1959
DENMARK	15 January 1960	ROMANIA	2 December 1960
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	16 September 1960	RWANDA	7 November 1962
ECUADOR	10 June 1960	SAMOA	16 May 1962
EGYPT	25 March 1960	SOMALIA	26 January 1961
EL SALVADOR	10 February 1960	SPAIN	4 November 1959
ETHIOPIA	3 May 1960	SRI LANKA	9 May 1960
FINLAND	4 May 1960	SUDAN	1 April 1960
FRANCE	10 March 1961	SWEDEN	1 December 1959
GABON	21 November 1960	SWITZERLAND	15 January 1960
GHANA	16 September 1960	SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	25 March 1960 ³
GREECE	23 May 1960	THAILAND	24 September 1959
GUINEA	5 August 1960	TOGO	13 May 1960
HONDURAS	23 February 1960	TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	3 January 1963
ICELAND	5 January 1961	TUNISIA	18 March 1960
INDIA	23 February 1960	TURKEY	10 January 1962
INDONESIA	4 November 1959	UGANDA	7 March 1963
IRAN	2 May 1960	UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST	
IRAQ	25 November 1959	REPUBLICS	17 June 1960
IRELAND	15 October 1960	UNITED KINGDOM	1 April 1960
ISRAEL	4 January 1960	UNITED REPUBLIC OF	
ITALY	28 December 1960	CAMEROON	6 May 1960
IVORY COAST	28 October 1960	UPPER VOLTA	4 October 1960
JAMAICA	21 March 1963	VENEZUELA	20 March 1961
JORDAN	25 March 1960	YUGOSLAVIA	8 April 1960
KUWAIT	9 May 1960	ZAIRE	24 February 1961
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC			
REPUBLIC	4 May 1960		
LEBANON	3 January 1961		

¹ Acceptance on behalf of the Republic of China on 25 April 1960. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

² The instrument of acceptance stipulates that the Kingdom of the Netherlands accepts the amendments for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea.

^{2a} See note 4b, p. 54.

³ Acceptance by the United Arab Republic. See footnote 3, p. 3.

(b) Amendment to article 7 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization

Adopted by the Eighteenth World Health Assembly on 20 May 1965

Not yet in force (see article 73 of the Constitution).

TEXT: World Health Assembly resolution 18.48; *Official Records of the World Health Organization*, No. 143, p. 32.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance</i>
AFGHANISTAN	16 November 1966	MAURITANIA	26 October 1965
ALGERIA	27 May 1966	MAURITIUS	8 April 1969
BAHRAIN	25 June 1975	MONGOLIA	5 October 1971
BARBADOS	3 July 1967	MOROCCO	2 March 1967
BENIN	2 February 1966	NIGER	9 May 1966
BULGARIA	26 January 1973	NIGERIA	30 June 1966
BURMA	8 March 1966	OMAN	25 June 1971
BURUNDI	11 May 1970	PAKISTAN	8 July 1966
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	30 December 1970	PERU	20 June 1967
COSTA RICA	15 June 1967	PHILIPPINES	20 November 1967
CUBA	17 June 1975	POLAND	19 February 1971
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	13 December 1965	RWANDA	5 January 1966
EGYPT	20 July 1966	SAUDI ARABIA	26 May 1967
ETHIOPIA	19 September 1966	SENEGAL	7 July 1966
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC		SIERRA LEONE	3 March 1966
REPUBLIC	21 February 1974	SOMALIA	26 April 1971
GHANA	9 February 1966	SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	2 June 1966
GUINEA	22 December 1965	TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	2 December 1965
INDIA	10 May 1966	TUNISIA	9 March 1966
IRAQ	12 February 1968	UNION OF SOVIET	
IVORY COAST	6 December 1965	SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	2 February 1972
JAMAICA	28 September 1970	UNITED REPUBLIC OF	
JORDAN	11 May 1970	CAMEROON	5 September 1967
KUWAIT	11 May 1966	UNITED REPUBLIC OF TAN-	
LEBANON	5 February 1968	ZANIA	17 August 1966
MADAGASCAR	26 November 1965	UPPER VOLTA	6 May 1966
MALDIVES	10 July 1968	YUGOSLAVIA	29 March 1966
MALI	18 October 1966	ZAMBIA	22 November 1965

(c) Amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization

Adopted by the Twentieth World Health Assembly on 23 May 1967

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 May 1975 for all Members of the World Health Organization in accordance with article 73 of the Constitution.

REGISTRATION: 21 May 1975, No. 221.

TEXT: World Health Assembly resolution 20.36; *Official Records of the World Health Organization*, No. 160, p. 20.

State	Acceptance	State	Acceptance
AFGHANISTAN	28 April 1975	HAITI	5 September 1974
ALBANIA	17 October 1974	HONDURAS	31 October 1974
ARGENTINA	5 February 1971	HUNGARY	9 October 1975
AUSTRALIA	14 October 1968	ICELAND	12 July 1972
AUSTRIA	10 February 1970	INDIA	16 March 1971
BAHRAIN	25 June 1975	IRAN	31 July 1972
BANGLADESH	25 April 1975	IRAQ	9 April 1970
BARBADOS	27 December 1967	IRELAND	3 March 1975
BELGIUM	3 May 1968	ISRAEL	20 October 1970
BENIN	14 December 1970	IVORY COAST	12 September 1967
BRAZIL	8 August 1968	JAMAICA	28 September 1970
BULGARIA	26 January 1973	JAPAN	21 June 1972
BURMA	27 February 1969	JORDAN	11 May 1970
BURUNDI	11 May 1970	KENYA	3 January 1972
CANADA	24 May 1968	KUWAIT	2 January 1968
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	30 December 1970	LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	29 July 1968
CHILE	17 June 1975	LESOTHO	21 February 1974
CHINA	14 January 1974 ¹	LUXEMBOURG	5 April 1972
CONGO	28 May 1975	MADAGASCAR	19 October 1967
CUBA	17 June 1975	MALAWI	20 May 1970
CYPRUS	24 November 1969	MALAYSIA	24 January 1974
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	4 September 1968	MALDIVES	2 December 1968
DEMOCRATIC YEMEN	17 January 1975	MALI	6 August 1968
DENMARK	20 November 1967	MAURITANIA	21 May 1975
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	29 October 1975	MAURITIUS	8 April 1969
ECUADOR	22 October 1974	MEXICO	6 September 1968
EGYPT	26 July 1968	MONACO	14 May 1970
ETHIOPIA	1 May 1972	MONGOLIA	5 October 1971
FIJI	29 January 1975	MOROCCO	2 June 1975
FINLAND	21 December 1967	NEPAL	20 May 1975
FRANCE	24 February 1970	NETHERLANDS	7 June 1968
GABON	13 December 1974	NEW ZEALAND	28 December 1967
GAMBIA	13 May 1974	NICARAGUA	6 December 1974
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	21 February 1974	NIGER	4 September 1968
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	23 December 1971	NIGERIA	24 January 1968
GHANA	30 August 1968	NORWAY	7 February 1968
GREECE	29 May 1975	OMAN	25 June 1971
GUATEMALA	30 April 1975	PAKISTAN	29 July 1975
GUINEA	12 November 1973	PANAMA	26 February 1975
GUINEA-BISSAU	12 May 1976	PARAGUAY	15 January 1976
		PERU	18 October 1967

¹ With a declaration to the effect that the acceptance of the Amendments by the Chiang Kai-shek clique usurping the name of China is illegal and null and void. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii. An instrument of acceptance on behalf of the Republic of China had been deposited with the Secretary-General on 19 January 1971. In this connexion, the Secretary-General had received communications from the Governments of Mongolia, Poland, Romania and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics objecting to the said acceptance, as well as communications in reply on behalf of the Government of the Republic

of China.

² With a declaration to the effect that "the said amendments will also apply to *Land Berlin* with effect from the date on which they enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, Mongolia and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance</i>
PHILIPPINES	10 November 1971	SWITZERLAND	5 December 1967
POLAND	19 February 1971	THAILAND	27 January 1975
PORTUGAL	8 July 1975	TOGO	29 December 1969
QATAR	8 October 1975	TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	27 February 1968
REPUBLIC OF KOREA ³	13 December 1967	TUNISIA	5 October 1967
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{3a}	12 July 1973	TURKEY	15 August 1969
ROMANIA	24 February 1972	UGANDA	22 May 1975
SAMOA	19 February 1975	UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	10 June 1975
SAUDI ARABIA	9 November 1967	UNITED KINGDOM	19 June 1968
SENEGAL	12 June 1970	UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	2 December 1970
SIERRA LEONE	26 January 1970	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ⁴	19 May 1975
SOMALIA	26 April 1971	UPPER VOLTA	10 January 1972
SPAIN	21 April 1970	YUGOSLAVIA	3 September 1968
SRI LANKA	12 April 1974	ZAIRE	23 July 1975
SUDAN	28 May 1975	ZAMBIA	25 January 1968
SWEDEN	9 September 1968		

³ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 24 February 1972 with reference to the above-mentioned acceptance, the Permanent Representative of Romania to the United Nations stated that his Government considers that the said acceptance constitutes an illegal act, inasmuch as the South Korean authorities can, in no case, act on behalf of Korea.

^{3a} See note 4b p. 54.

⁴ The instrument of acceptance contains the following statement:

"As was the case in the original acceptance by the United States of America of the Constitution of the World Health Organization, the present acceptance is subject to the provisions of the joint resolution of the Congress of the United States of America approved June 14, 1948 (Public Law 643, 80th Congress)."

(d) Amendments to articles 34 and 55 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization*Adopted by the Twenty-sixth World Health Assembly on 22 May 1973*

Not yet in force (see article 73 of the Constitution).

TEXT: World Health Assembly resolution 26.37, *Official Records of the World Health Organization*, No. 209, p. 19.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance</i>
AFGHANISTAN	28 February 1975
ARGENTINA	4 October 1976
AUSTRALIA	11 March 1975
BAHAMAS	14 December 1976
BAHRAIN	25 June 1975
BANGLADESH	26 February 1976
BARBADOS	7 June 1974
BELGIUM	6 August 1974
BENIN	24 November 1975
BOLIVIA	17 October 1975
BRAZIL	7 August 1974
BULGARIA	27 January 1976
BURMA	30 December 1975
CANADA	12 June 1974
CHAD	3 November 1976
CHINA	5 March 1976
CYPRUS	20 June 1975
DENMARK	7 October 1974
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	16 October 1975
ECUADOR	12 March 1975
EGYPT	14 January 1974
EL SALVADOR	17 October 1975
ETHIOPIA	9 January 1976
FIJI	15 November 1973
FINLAND	17 June 1974
FRANCE	28 January 1975
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	13 July 1976
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ¹	9 July 1975
GREECE	4 November 1975
GRENADA	16 July 1976
GUINEA	22 September 1975
GUINEA-BISSAU	18 November 1975
GUYANA	24 May 1974
HONDURAS	8 November 1974
ICELAND	5 December 1975
IRELAND	3 March 1975
ISRAEL	8 September 1976
JORDAN	30 November 1976
KENYA	17 September 1976
KUWAIT	17 July 1975
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	28 September 1976
MADAGASCAR	27 September 1976
MALAWI	21 October 1974
MALAYSIA	3 July 1975
MALDIVES	16 September 1975
MALI	27 March 1975
MALTA	19 July 1976
MAURITANIA	21 September 1976

¹ With a declaration that the said amendments shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which they enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance</i>
MAURITIUS	26 January 1976
MEXICO	25 July 1975
MONACO	4 November 1975
MOROCCO	30 December 1975
NEPAL	10 February 1976
NETHERLANDS ²	27 January 1975
NEW ZEALAND	19 February 1976
NICARAGUA	5 November 1976
NIGER	11 July 1974
NIGERIA	15 October 1975
NORWAY	14 November 1975
OMAN	10 April 1974
PAKISTAN	29 April 1976
PANAMA	18 February 1975
PARAGUAY	15 January 1976
PHILIPPINES	17 September 1976
PORTUGAL	20 February 1975
QATAR	8 December 1975
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	16 November 1976
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{2a}	10 October 1974
RWANDA	19 November 1976
SAMOA	6 January 1976
SINGAPORE	22 September 1975
SOMALIA	8 October 1975
SPAIN	10 October 1975
SRI LANKA	12 November 1974
SWAZILAND	18 November 1975
SWEDEN	13 May 1974
SWITZERLAND	21 August 1974
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	18 June 1975
THAILAND	27 January 1975
TOGO	16 January 1975
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	30 January 1975
TUNISIA	6 January 1976
UGANDA	24 November 1975
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	2 July 1974
UNITED KINGDOM	23 July 1974
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	30 May 1974
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	6 January 1976
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ³	19 May 1975
VENEZUELA	23 July 1975
YUGOSLAVIA	22 April 1975
ZAIRE	15 July 1976

² On behalf of the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles.

^{2a} See note 4b, p. 54.

³ With the declaration reproduced in footnote 4, page 238.

(e) Amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the
World Health Organization

Adopted by the Twenty-ninth World Health Assembly on 17 May 1976

Not yet in force (see article 73 of the Constitution).

TEXT: *World Health Assembly*, resolution 29.38, *Official Records of the World Health Organization*, No. 233, p. 21.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance</i>
ECUADOR	22 November 1976
EGYPT	21 December 1976
NIGER	28 December 1976
NORWAY	29 December 1976
SPAIN	4 November 1976
SURINAM	4 October 1976

2. Protocol concerning the *Office international d'hygiène publique*

Signed at New York on 22 July 1946¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 October 1947, in accordance with article 7.

REGISTRATION: 20 October 1947, No. 125.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 9, p. 3.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to approval</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</i>	
AFGHANISTAN			19 April	1948
ALBANIA			22 July	1946 s
ARGENTINA*	22 July	1946	22 October	1948
AUSTRALIA*	22 July	1946	8 May	1947
AUSTRIA			22 July	1946 s
BELGIUM*	22 July	1946	25 June	1948
BOLIVIA*			22 July	1946 s
BRAZIL*	22 July	1946	2 June	1948
BULGARIA*			22 July	1946 s
BURMA*			1 July	1948
BYELORUSSIAN SSR			22 July	1946 s
CANADA*	22 July	1946	29 August	1946
CHILE*	22 July	1946		
CHINA ²			22 July	1946 s
COLOMBIA			22 July	1946 s
COSTA RICA			22 July	1946 s
CUBA	22 July	1946	9 May	1950
CZECHOSLOVAKIA*	22 July	1946	1 March	1948
DENMARK*	22 July	1946	21 April	1947
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	22 July	1946		
ECUADOR	22 July	1946		
EGYPT	22 July	1946	16 December	1947
ETHIOPIA	22 July	1946	11 April	1947
FINLAND			22 July	1946 s
FRANCE*	22 July	1946		
GREECE*	22 July	1946	12 March	1948
GUATEMALA	22 July	1946	26 August	1949
HAITI	22 July	1946	12 August	1947
HONDURAS	22 July	1946	8 April	1949
HUNGARY*	19 February	1947	17 June	1948
INDIA*	22 July	1946	12 January	1948
IRAN*	22 July	1946	27 January	1947
IRAQ*	22 July	1946	23 September	1947
IRELAND*	22 July	1946	20 October	1947
ITALY*	22 July	1946	11 April	1947
JAPAN*			11 December	1951
JORDAN			22 July	1946 s
LEBANON*	22 July	1946		
LIBERIA	22 July	1946		
LUXEMBOURG*	22 July	1946	3 June	1949
MEXICO*	22 July	1946	7 April	1948
NETHERLANDS*	22 July	1946	25 April	1947
NEW ZEALAND*	22 July	1946	10 December	1946
NICARAGUA	22 July	1946		
NORWAY*	22 July	1946	18 August	1947
PAKISTAN*			23 June	1948
PANAMA	22 July	1946	20 February	1951

* States parties to the Arrangement for the creation at Paris of an *Office international d'hygiène publique*, signed at Rome on 9 December 1907.

¹ See footnote 1, p. 231.

² See note, p. iii.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to approval</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</i>	
PARAGUAY	22 July	1946		
PERU*	22 July	1946		
PHILIPPINES			22 July	1946 <i>s</i>
POLAND*			22 July	1946 <i>s</i>
PORTUGAL*	22 July	1946	11 August	1948
SAUDI ARABIA*			22 July	1946 <i>s</i>
SOUTH AFRICA*	22 July	1946	19 March	1948
SRI LANKA			23 May	1949
SWEDEN*	13 January	1947	28 August	1947
SWITZERLAND*	22 July	1946	26 March	1947
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	22 July	1946		
THAILAND			22 July	1946 <i>s</i>
TURKEY*			22 July	1946 <i>s</i>
UKRAINIAN SSR			22 July	1946 <i>s</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS*			22 July	1946 <i>s</i>
UNITED KINGDOM*			22 July	1946 <i>s</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA*	22 July	1946	7 August	1947
URUGUAY*	22 July	1946		
VENEZUELA	22 July	1946	7 March	1949
YUGOSLAVIA*	22 July	1946	19 November	1947

* States parties to the Arrangement for the creation at Paris of an *Office international d'hygiène publique*, signed at Rome on 9 December 1907.

CHAPTER X. INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT

1. (a) General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, with Annexes and Schedules of Tariffs Concessions

Authenticated by the Final Act, adopted at the conclusion of the second session of the Preparatory Committee of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Employment and signed at Geneva on 30 October 1947

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Applied provisionally as from 1 January 1948, pursuant to the Protocol of Provisional Application of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 30 October 1947.¹

REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 I, (b).

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 55, p. 187.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance</i>
LIBERIA	17 May 1950 ²
HAITI	7 March 1952

List of GATT instruments which are deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations

Note: All multilateral instruments relating to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (protocols, declarations, etc., hereinafter referred to as GATT instruments which were concluded prior to 1 February 1955, are deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Those which have been concluded since that date are deposited with the Director-General of the Contracting Parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade.

A list of the GATT instruments deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations is given below, showing—in respect of each instrument—the date of entry into force and particulars regarding registration and publication in the United Nations *Treaty Series*. Thereafter a list of the Contracting Parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade is given and then two tables indicating the effective dates of the said instruments in respect of each Contracting Party.

For the list of the GATT instruments deposited with the Director-General of the Contracting Parties and their status, see GATT publication *Status of Legal Instruments* (GATT/LEG/1, September 1971, and Supplements Nos. 1 to 4).

1. Protocol of Provisional Application of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 30 October 1947

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1948.³

REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 I (c).

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 55, p. 308.

2. Protocol of Rectifications to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Havana on 24 March 1948

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 March 1948.³

REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 II (a).

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 62, p. 2.

3. Declaration, signed at Havana on 24 March 1948

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 March 1948.³

REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 II (b).

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 62, p. 26.

¹ See tables 1 and 2 hereafter for the list of Contracting Parties applying the General Agreement.

² See footnote 8, p. 249.

³ See tables 1 and 2 hereafter for the list of Contracting Parties applying this GATT instrument.

- 4. Protocol modifying certain provisions of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Havana on 24 March 1948**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 March 1948.⁴

REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 II (c).

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 62, p. 30.
- 5. Special Protocol modifying article XIV of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Havana on 24 March 1948**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 9 May 1949.⁴

REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 II (d).

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 62, p. 40.
- 6. Special Protocol relating to article XXIV of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Havana on 24 March 1948**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 June 1948.⁴

REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 II (e).

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 62, p. 56.
- 7. Second Protocol of Rectifications to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 14 September 1948**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 14 September 1948.⁴

REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 III (b).

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 62, p. 74.
- 8. Protocol modifying part I and article XXIX of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 14 September 1948**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 September 1952.⁴

REGISTRATION: 24 September 1952, No. 814 III (d).

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 138, p. 334.
- 9. Protocol modifying part II and article XXVI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 14 September 1948**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 14 December 1948.⁴

REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 III (c).

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 62, p. 80.
- 10. Protocol for the Accession of Signatories of the Final Act of 30 October 1947, signed at Geneva on 14 September 1948**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 14 September 1948.⁴

REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 III (a).

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 62, p. 68.
- 11. Third Protocol of Rectifications to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Annecy on 13 August 1949**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 October 1951.⁴

REGISTRATION: 21 October 1951, No. 814 IV (c).

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 107, p. 311.

⁴ See tables 1 and 2 hereafter for the list of Contracting Parties applying this GATT instrument.

- 12. First Protocol of Modifications to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Annecy on 13 August 1949**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 September 1952.⁵
REGISTRATION: 24 September 1952, No. 814 IV (e).
TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 138, p. 381.
- 13. Protocol modifying article XXVI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Annecy on 13 August 1949**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 28 March 1950.⁵
REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 IV (a).
TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 62, p. 113.
- 14. Protocol replacing schedule I (Australia) of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Annecy on 13 August 1949**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 October 1951.⁵
REGISTRATION: 21 October 1951, No. 814 IV (b).
TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 107, p. 83.
- 15. Protocol replacing schedule VI (Ceylon) of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Annecy on 13 August 1949**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 September 1952.⁵
REGISTRATION: 24 September 1952, No. 814 IV (d).
TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 138, p. 346.
- 16. Annecy Protocol of Terms of Accession to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 10 October 1949**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1950.⁵
REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 V.
TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 62, p. 121.
- 17. Fourth Protocol of Rectifications to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 3 April 1950**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 September 1952.⁵
REGISTRATION: 24 September 1952, No. 814 IX.
TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 138, p. 398.
- 18. Fifth Protocol of Rectifications to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Torquay on 16 December 1950**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 June 1953.⁵
REGISTRATION: 30 June 1953, No. 814 X.
TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 167, p. 265.
- 19. Decisions agreeing to the accession of certain Governments to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade**
 - (a) Decision by the Contracting Parties agreeing to the accession of the Republic of Austria to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Torquay on 21 April 1951**

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1951.⁵
REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (a).
TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 142, p. 9.

⁵ See tables 1 and 2 hereafter for the list of Contracting Parties applying this GATT instrument.

- (b) **Decision by the Contracting Parties agreeing to the accession of the Federal Republic of Germany to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Torquay on 21 April 1951**
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1951.⁶
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (a).
 TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 142, p. 13.
- (c) **Decision by the Contracting Parties agreeing to the accession of the Republic of Korea to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Torquay on 21 April 1951**
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1951.⁶
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (a).
 TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 142, p. 18.
- (d) **Decision by the Contracting Parties agreeing to the accession of Peru to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Torquay on 21 April 1951**
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1951.⁶
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (a).
 TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 142, p. 22.
- (e) **Decision by the Contracting Parties agreeing to the accession of the Republic of the Philippines to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Torquay on 21 April 1951**
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1951.⁶
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (a).
 TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 142, p. 26.
- (f) **Decision by the Contracting Parties agreeing to the accession of the Republic of Turkey to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Torquay on 21 April 1951**
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1951.⁶
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (a).
 TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 142, p. 30.
20. **Torquay Protocol to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Torquay on 21 April 1951**
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 6 June 1951.⁶
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (b).
 TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 142, p. 34.
21. **Declaration on the continued application of the schedules to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, done at Torquay on 21 April 1951**
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 April 1951.⁶
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (c).
 TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 147, p. 390.
22. **First Protocol of Rectifications and Modifications to the texts of the schedules to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, done at Geneva on 27 October 1951**
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 October 1953.⁶
 REGISTRATION: 21 October 1953, No. 814 XI.
 TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 176, p. 2.
23. **First Protocol of Supplementary Concessions to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (Union of South Africa and Federal Republic of Germany), done at Geneva on 27 October 1951**
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 25 May 1952.⁶
 REGISTRATION: 25 May 1952, No. 814 VII (a).
 TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 131, p. 316.

⁶ See tables 1 and 2 hereafter for the list of Contracting Parties applying this GATT instrument.

24. **Second Protocol of Rectifications and Modifications to the texts of the schedules to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 8 November 1952**
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 2 February 1959.⁷
 REGISTRATION: 2 February 1959, No. 814 XXV.
 TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 321, p. 245.
25. **Second Protocol of Supplementary Concessions to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (Austria and Federal Republic of Germany), done at Innsbruck on 22 November 1952**
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 August 1953.⁷
 REGISTRATION: 30 August 1953, No. 814 VII (b).
 TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 172, p. 340.
26. **Third Protocol of Rectifications and Modifications to the texts of the schedules to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 24 October 1953**
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 2 February 1959.⁷
 REGISTRATION: 2 February 1959, No. 814 XXVI.
 TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 321, p. 266.
27. **Declaration on the Continued Application of schedules to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, done at Geneva on 24 October 1953**
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1954.⁷
 REGISTRATION: 1 January 1954, No. 814 XII.
 TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 183, p. 351.

List of Contracting Parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade⁸

ARGENTINA	GREECE	PAKISTAN
AUSTRALIA	GUYANA	PERU
AUSTRIA	HAITI	POLAND
BANGLADESH	HUNGARY	PORTUGAL
BARBADOS	ICELAND	REPUBLIC OF KOREA
BELGIUM	INDIA	ROMANIA
BENIN	INDONESIA	RWANDA
BRAZIL	IRELAND	SENEGAL
BURMA	ISRAEL	SIERRA LEONE
BURUNDI	ITALY	SINGAPORE
CANADA	IVORY COAST	SOUTH AFRICA
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	JAMAICA	SOUTHERN RHODESIA
CHAD	JAPAN	SPAIN
CHILE	KENYA	SRI LANKA
CONGO	KUWAIT	SWEDEN
CUBA	LUXEMBOURG	SWITZERLAND
CYPRUS	MADAGASCAR	TOGO
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	MALAWI	TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO
DENMARK	MALAYSIA	TURKEY
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	MALTA	UGANDA
EGYPT	MAURITANIA	UNITED KINGDOM
FINLAND	MAURITIUS	UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON
FRANCE	NETHERLANDS	UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA
GABON	NEW ZEALAND	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
GAMBIA	NICARAGUA	UPPER VOLTA
GERMANY, FEDERAL	NIGER	URUGUAY
REPUBLIC OF	NIGERIA	YUGOSLAVIA
GHANA	NORWAY	ZAIRE

⁷ See tables 1 and 2 hereafter for the list of Contracting Parties applying this GATT instrument.

⁸ The following States which had provisionally applied the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade notified the Secretary-General of the cessation of such application:

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of provisional application</i>		<i>Effective date of withdrawal</i>
CHINA*	21 May	1948	
LEBANON	29 July	1948	25 February 1951
LIBERIA	20 May	1950	13 June 1953
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	30 July	1948	6 August 1951

* See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii. Notification of withdrawal on behalf of the Republic of China received on 6 March 1950.

Tables indicating the effective dates of the GATT instruments deposited with the Secretary-General for the Contracting Parties

Note: The GATT instruments deposited with the Secretary-General are identified by Arabic numerals in the order in which they appear in the list preceding these tables (see pages 217 to 221). Roman numerals are used in the tables to indicate the months.

Table 1 gives the list of States for which the said instruments became effective as the result of procedures effected by those States with the Secretary-General, together with the date of such procedures in respect of each instrument. Table 2 gives the list of States for which certain of these instruments became effective simultaneously in consequence of the States concerned having become Contracting Parties to the General Agreement through procedures (Protocol of accession or procedure provided for by article XXVI:5(c)) not effected with the Secretary-General, and the effective date of the respective instruments in respect of each of those States.

TABLE I

Effective dates of the GATT instruments deposited with the Secretary-General for Contracting Parties which effected separate procedures in respect of each of them with the Secretary-General

<i>Contracting Party</i>	<i>GATT instruments</i>									
	1		2		3		4		5	
Australia ¹	1.	I.1948	24.	III.1948			24.	III.1948	9.	V.1949
Austria			19.	X.1951			19.	X.1951	19.	X.1951
Belgium	1.	I.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	9.	V.1949
Brazil ..	30.	VII.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	9.	V.1949
Burma	29.	VII.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	9.	V.1949
Canada ..	1.	I.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	9.	V.1949
Chile			24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	9.	V.1949
Cuba ..	1.	I.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	9.	V.1949
Czechoslovakia	20.	IV.1948	24.	III.1948			24.	III.1948	9.	V.1949
Denmark			28.	V.1950			28.	V.1950	28.	V.1950
Dominican Republic ..			19.	V.1950			19.	V.1950	19.	V.1950
Finland			25.	V.1950			25.	V.1950	25.	V.1950
France	1.	I.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	9.	V.1949
Germany, Federal Republic of			1.	X.1951			1.	X.1951	1.	X.1951
Ghana			6.	III.1957			6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957
Greece			1.	III.1950			1.	III.1950	1.	III.1950
Haiti			1.	I.1950			1.	I.1950	1.	I.1950
India	8.	VII.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	9.	V.1949
Indonesia			27.	XII.1949			27.	XII.1949	9.	V.1949
Italy			30.	V.1950			30.	V.1950	27.	XII.1949
Japan			10.	IX.1955			10.	IX.1955	30.	V.1950
Luxembourg	1.	I.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	10.	IX.1955
Malaysia			31.	VIII.1957			31.	VIII.1957	9.	V.1949
Netherlands	1.	I.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	31.	VIII.1957
New Zealand	30.	VII.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	9.	V.1949
Nicaragua			28.	V.1950			28.	V.1950	9.	V.1949
Norway	10.	VII.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	28.	V.1950
Pakistan ..	30.	VII.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	9.	V.1949
Peru			7.	X.1951			7.	X.1951	9.	V.1949
South Africa ..	13.	VI.1948	24.	III.1948			16.	II.1949	7.	X.1951
Southern Rhodesia ..	11.	VII.1948	24.	III.1948			9.	V.1949	9.	V.1949
Sri Lanka	29.	VII.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	9.	V.1949
Sweden			30.	IV.1950			30.	IV.1950	30.	IV.1950
Turkey			17.	X.1951			17.	X.1951	17.	X.1951
United Kingdom ..	1.	I.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	9.	V.1949
United States of America	1.	I.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	24.	III.1948	16.	XII.1953
Uruguay			16.	XII.1953			16.	XII.1953	9.	V.1949

¹ In a notification received on 4 August 1975 the Government of Australia declared that the General Agreement would apply provisionally to Papua New Guinea.

TABLE 1 (continued)

Contracting Party	GATT instruments									
	6		7		8		9		10	
Australia ¹	17.	XI.1950	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	25.	II.1949	14.	IX.1948
Austria	19.	X.1951	19.	X.1951	19.	X.1951	19.	X.1951		
Belgium	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Brazil	20.	X.1952	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	3.	VIII.1950	14.	IX.1948
Burma	8.	X.1951	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	II.1949	14.	IX.1948
Canada	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Chile	16.	III.1949	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	24.	IX.1952	14.	II.1949
Cuba	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Czechoslovakia	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	22.	III.1949		
Denmark	28.	V.1950	28.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	28.	V.1950		
Dominican Republic	19.	V.1950	19.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	19.	V.1950		
Finland	25.	V.1950	25.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	25.	V.1950		
France	14.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Germany, Federal Republic of	1.	X.1951	1.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	1.	X.1951		
Ghana	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957		
Greece	1.	III.1950	1.	III.1950	24.	IX.1952	1.	III.1950		
Haiti	1.	I.1950	1.	I.1950	24.	IX.1952	1.	I.1950		
India	31.	III.1949	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Indonesia	27.	XII.1949			24.	IX.1952	27.	XII.1949		
Italy	30.	V.1950	30.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	V.1950		
Japan	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955		
Luxembourg	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Malaysia	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957		
Netherlands	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
New Zealand	9.	VII.1951	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	9.	II.1949	14.	IX.1948
Nicaragua	28.	V.1950	28.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	28.	V.1950		
Norway	25.	XI.1949	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Pakistan	9.	IX.1949	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Peru	7.	X.1951	7.	X.1951	7.	X.1951	7.	X.1951		
South Africa	19.	IX.1950	14.	IX.1948	11.	I.1949	11.	I.1949	16.	II.1949
Southern Rhodesia	18.	IV.1950	14.	IX.1948	1.	II.1949	1.	II.1949	8.	II.1949
Sri Lanka	12.	IX.1950	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Sweden	30.	IV.1950	30.	IV.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	IV.1950		
Turkey	17.	X.1951	17.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	17.	X.1951		
United Kingdom	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
United States of America	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Uruguay	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953		

¹ See footnote 1, p. 250.

TABLE 1 (continued)

Contracting Party	GATT instruments									
	11		12		13		14		15	
Australia ¹	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Austria	21.	X.1951	19.	X.1951	19.	X.1951	19.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Belgium	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Brazil	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Burma	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	8.	X.1951	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Canada	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Chile	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	24.	IX.1952	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Cuba	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	29.	IX.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Czechoslovakia	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Denmark	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	V.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Dominican Republic ..	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	19.	V.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Finland	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	25.	V.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
France	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Germany, Federal Republic of	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	1.	X.1951	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Ghana	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957
Greece	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Haiti	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
India	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Indonesia	21.	X.1951			24.	XI.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Italy	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	30.	IV.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Japan	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955
Luxembourg	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Malaysia	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957
Netherlands	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
New Zealand	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Nicaragua	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	V.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Norway	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Pakistan	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Peru	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	7.	X.1951	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
South Africa	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	18.	V.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Southern Rhodesia ..	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Sri Lanka	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	12.	IX.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Sweden	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	30.	IV.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Turkey	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	17.	X.1951	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
United Kingdom	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
United States of America	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Uruguay	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953

¹ See footnote 1, p. 250.

TABLE 1 (continued)

Contracting Party	GATT instruments									
	16		17		18		19(a)		19(b)	
Australia ¹	28.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Austria	19.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953				
Belgium	1.	I.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Brazil	26.	I.1952	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Burma			24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Canada	1.	I.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Chile	26.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Cuba	29.	III.1951	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Czechoslovakia	11.	II.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951		
Denmark	28.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Dominican Republic	19.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Finland	25.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
France	19.	IV.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Germany, Federal Republic of	1.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953				
Ghana	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957				
Greece	1.	III.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Haiti	1.	I.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
India	21.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Indonesia			24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953				
Italy	30.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Japan	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955				
Luxembourg	1.	I.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Malaysia	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957				
Netherlands	1.	I.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
New Zealand	28.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Nicaragua	28.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Norway	29.	VII.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Pakistan	19.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Peru	7.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953				
South Africa	4.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Southern Rhodesia			24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Sri Lanka	3.	III.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Sweden	30.	IV.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Turkey	17.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953				
United Kingdom	1.	I.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
United States of America	1.	I.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	VI.1953	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951
Uruguay	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953				

¹ See footnote 1, p. 250.

TABLE 1 (continued)

Contracting Party	GATT instruments									
	19(c)		19(d)		19(e)		19(f)		20	
Australia ¹	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	17.	XI.1951
Austria									19.	X.1951
Belgium	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Brazil	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	III.1953
Burma	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	20.	XI.1951
Canada	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Chile	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	24.	X.1952
Cuba	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Czechoslovakia			21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	8.	VII.1951
Denmark	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	20.	I.1952
Dominican Republic	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Finland			21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	4.	VIII.1951
France	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Germany, Federal Republic of									1.	X.1951
Ghana									6.	III.1957
Greece	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Haiti	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	8.	XI.1951
India	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	18.	XI.1951
Indonesia									18.	XI.1951
Italy	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	17.	XI.1951
Japan									10.	IX.1955
Luxembourg	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Malaysia									31.	VIII.1957
Netherlands	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
New Zealand	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	11.	XI.1951
Nicaragua	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	30.	VII.1953
Norway	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	2.	VIII.1951
Pakistan	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	18.	XI.1951
Peru									7.	X.1951
South Africa	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	18.	XI.1951
Southern Rhodesia	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	20.	VII.1951
Sri Lanka	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Sweden	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	7.	VII.1951
Turkey									17.	X.1951
United Kingdom	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	18.	I.1952
United States of America	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Uruguay									16.	XII.1953

¹ See footnote 1, p. 250.

TABLE 1 (continued)

Contracting Party	GATT instruments									
	21		22		23		24		25	
Australia ¹	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Austria			21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959	30.	VIII.1953
Belgium	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Brazil	19.	II.1953	21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Burma			21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Canada	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953	25.	V.1952	2.	II.1959		
Chile	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953	24.	IX.1952	2.	II.1959		
Cuba	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Czechoslovakia	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Denmark			21.	X.1953	25.	V.1952	2.	II.1959		
Dominican Republic ..	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Finland	5.	VII.1951	21.	X.1953	25.	V.1952	2.	II.1959		
France	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Germany, Federal Republic of			21.	X.1953	25.	V.1952	2.	II.1959	30.	VIII.1953
Ghana			6.	III.1957			2.	II.1959		
Greece	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953	25.	V.1952	2.	II.1959		
Haiti	9.	X.1951	21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
India	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953	25.	V.1952	2.	II.1959		
Indonesia			21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Italy			21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Japan			10.	IX.1955			2.	II.1959		
Luxembourg	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Malaysia			31.	VIII.1957			2.	II.1959		
Netherlands	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953	25.	V.1952	2.	II.1959		
New Zealand	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Nicaragua			21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Norway			21.	X.1953	25.	V.1952	2.	II.1959		
Pakistan			21.	X.1953	25.	V.1952	2.	II.1959		
Peru			21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
South Africa	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953	25.	V.1952	2.	II.1959		
Southern Rhodesia ..	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Sri Lanka	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953	25.	V.1952	2.	II.1959		
Sweden	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
Turkey			21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
United Kingdom	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953			2.	II.1959		
United States of America	21.	IV.1951	21.	X.1953	25.	V.1952	2.	II.1959		
Uruguay			16.	XII.1953			2.	II.1959		

¹ See footnote 1, p. 250.

TABLE 1 (continued)

<i>Contracting Party</i>	<i>GATT instruments</i>			
	26		27	
Australia ¹	2.	II.1959	23.	II.1954
Austria	2.	II.1959	30.	IV.1954
Belgium	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Brazil	2.	II.1959		
Burma	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Canada	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Chile	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Cuba	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Czechoslovakia	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Denmark	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Dominican Republic	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Finland	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
France	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Germany, Federal Republic of	2.	II.1959	15.	VI.1954
Ghana	2.	II.1959		
Greece	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Haiti	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
India	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Indonesia	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Italy	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Japan	2.	II.1959		
Luxembourg	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Malaysia	2.	II.1959		
Netherlands	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
New Zealand	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Nicaragua	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Norway	2.	II.1959	28.	IV.1954
Pakistan	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Peru	2.	II.1959	26.	IV.1954
South Africa	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Southern Rhodesia	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Sri Lanka	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Sweden	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Turkey	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
United Kingdom	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
United States of America	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954
Uruguay	2.	II.1959	1.	I.1954

¹ See footnote 1, p. 250.

TABLE 2

Effective dates of certain GATT instruments deposited with the Secretary-General (Nos. 2, 4 to 9, 11 to 18, 20, 22, 24 and 26 in the preceding list unless otherwise indicated) for States which became bound by them through becoming Contracting Parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade in accordance with procedures not effected with the Secretary-General

<i>Contracting Party</i>	<i>Effective date</i>	<i>Contracting Party</i>	<i>Effective date</i>
Argentina	11. X.1967	Gabon	17. VIII.1960
Bangladesh ^a	16. XII.1972	Gambia	18. II.1965
Barbados	30. XI.1966	Guyana	26. V.1966
Benin	1. VIII.1960	Hungary ^a	9. IX.1973
Burundi	1. VII.1962	Iceland	21. IV.1968
Central African Empire	14. VIII.1960	Ireland	22. XII.1967
Chad	11. VIII.1960	Israel ^b	5. VII.1962
Congo	15. VIII.1960	Ivory Coast	7. VIII.1960
Cyprus	16. VIII.1960	Jamaica	6. VIII.1962
Egypt ^a	9. V.1970		

^b Also bound, as from the date shown herein, by the Protocol of Provisional application of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (No. 1 in the list of GATT instruments).

^a GATT instruments Nos. 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17 and 18.

TABLE 2 (continued)

<i>Contracting Party</i>	<i>Effective date</i>	<i>Contracting Party</i>	<i>Effective date</i>
Kenya	12. XII.1963	Rwanda	1. VII.1962
Kuwait	19. VI.1961	Senegal	20. VI.1960
Madagascar	25. VI.1960	Sierra Leone	27. IV.1961
Malawi	6. VII.1964	Singapore	9. VIII.1965
Malta	21. IX.1964	Spain ^d	29. VIII.1963
Mauritania	28. XI.1960	Switzerland ^d	1. VIII.1966
Mauritius ^e	12. III.1968	Togo	27. IV.1960
Niger	3. VIII.1960	Trinidad and Tobago	31. VIII.1962
Nigeria	1. X.1960	Uganda	9. X.1962
Poland	18. X.1967	United Republic of Cameroon	1. I.1960
Portugal ^d	6. V.1962	United Republic of Tanzania	9. XII.1961
Republic of Korea ^d	14. IV.1967	Upper Volta	5. VIII.1960
Romania ^e	14. XI.1971	Yugoslavia ^d	25. VIII.1966
		Zaire ^e	11. IX.1971

^e GATT instruments Nos. 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17 and 18.

^d See footnote b, p. 256.

1. (b) Havana Charter for an International Trade Organization

Authenticated by the Final Act of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Employment, signed at Havana on 24 March 1948

Note: The conditions for the entry into force of the Havana Charter, set forth in its article 103, were not fulfilled within the prescribed time-limit. No instrument of acceptance was deposited with the Secretary-General. For the text of the Havana Charter, see *United Nations Conference on Trade and Employment, Final Act and Related Documents*, E/CONF.2/78, United Nations publication, Sales No.: 1948.II.D.4.

1. (c) Agreement on most-favoured-nation treatment for areas of Western Germany under military occupation

Signed at Geneva on 14 September 1948¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 14 October 1948, in accordance with article V.

REGISTRATION: 14 October 1948, No. 296.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 18, p. 267.

1. (d) Memorandum of understanding relative to application to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Agreement on most-favoured-nation treatment for areas of Western Germany under military occupation

Signed at Annecy on 13 August 1949¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 13 August 1949 by signature.

REGISTRATION: 24 September 1949, No. 296.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 42, p. 356.

¹ The above-mentioned Agreement and Memorandum of Understanding were concluded within the framework of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. The Contracting Parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade which were signatories of the Agreement of 14 September 1948 met informally at Geneva on 16 October 1951. At that meeting, it was recommended that all signatories to the latter Agreement who wished to do so should, if possible, notify their withdrawal from it by depositing a notice of intention of withdrawal with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on the same date, such notices to cover also the Memorandum of understanding.

The date of 14 December 1951 was generally considered as appropriate for such an action, the withdrawal to take effect on 15 June 1952. For the States which were parties to the Agreement and the Memorandum of understanding, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 18, p. 267; vol. 19, p. 328; vol. 20, p. 308; vol. 24, p. 320; vol. 35, p. 370; vol. 42, p. 356; vol. 43, p. 339; vol. 44, p. 339; vol. 46, p. 350; vol. 53, p. 419, and vol. 70, p. 272. For the dates of receipt of the notices of withdrawal, see *ibid.*, vol. 117, p. 385; vol. 121, p. 327, and vol. 128, p. 293.

2. Agreement establishing the African Development Bank

Done at Khartoum on 4 August 1963¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 10 September 1964, in accordance with article 65.

REGISTRATION: 10 September 1964, No. 7408.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 510, p. 3.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
ALGERIA	4 August 1963	10 September 1964
BENIN	8 October 1963	25 August 1964
BOTSWANA ²		31 March 1972 a
BURUNDI ²	4 August 1963	2 January 1968 a
CAPE VERDE		15 April 1976 a
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	4 August 1963	26 August 1970 a
CHAD ²		26 August 1968 a
COMOROS		3 May 1976 a
CONGO	29 November 1963	10 February 1965
EGYPT	4 August 1963	14 September 1964
EQUATORIAL GUINEA		30 June 1975 a
ETHIOPIA	4 August 1963	14 July 1964
GABON ²		31 December 1972 a
GAMBIA ²		2 July 1973 a
GHANA	4 August 1963	30 June 1964
GUINEA	4 August 1963	21 May 1964
GUINEA-BISSAU		5 May 1975 a
IVORY COAST	4 August 1963	20 March 1964
KENYA	4 August 1963	24 January 1964
LESOTHO ²		2 July 1973 a
LIBERIA	4 August 1963	23 June 1964
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC ²	4 August 1963	21 July 1972 a
MADAGASCAR		3 May 1976 a
MALAWI ²		25 July 1966 a
MALI	4 August 1963	23 April 1964
MAURITANIA	4 August 1963	9 September 1964
MAURITIUS ²		1 January 1974 a
MOROCCO	4 August 1963	2 June 1964
MOZAMBIQUE		4 June 1976 a

¹ The Agreement was approved and opened for signature by the Conference of Finance Ministers on the Establishment of an African Development Bank convened pursuant to resolution 52 (IV) of the United Nations Economic Commission for Africa. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Thirty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 10 (E/3586, E/CN.14/168)*, p. 44. The Conference met at Khartoum from 31 July to 4 August 1963. For the text of the Final Act of the Conference, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 510, p. 3.

² Article 64 (2) of the Agreement provides that a State may, after the Agreement has entered into force, become a member of the Bank by accession to the Agreement on such

terms as the Board of Governors may determine; that the Government of such State shall deposit its instrument of accession on or before a date appointed by the Board, and that, upon the deposit, the State concerned shall become a member of the Bank on the appointed date.

Following are, in respect of each acceding State, the number and date of the pertinent resolution adopted by the Board of Governors of the Bank. In all cases the terms for accession included the payment of the first instalment of its initial subscription to the Bank by the State concerned, and the appointed date corresponded to the date of deposit of the instrument of accession with the Secretary-General:

<i>State</i>	<i>Number of Resolution</i>	<i>Date of Resolution</i>
Botswana	9-71	28 July 1971
Burundi	4-67	31 December 1967
Central African Empire	3-70	26 August 1970
Chad	2-68/3-68	25 June 1968/26 August 1968
Gabon	8-72	20 July 1972
Gambia	2-73	2 July 1973
Lesotho	3-73	2 July 1973
Libyan Arab Republic	13-72	21 July 1972
Malawi	2-66	19 April 1966
Mauritius	4-73	2 July 1973
Swaziland	6-71	26 July 1971
Zambia	6-66	15 August 1966

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
NIGER	25 October	1963	29 July	1964
NIGERIA	4 August	1963	12 March	1964
RWANDA	18 December	1963	18 January	1965
SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE			14 April	1976 <i>a</i>
SENEGAL	17 December	1963	11 September	1964
SIERRA LEONE	4 August	1963	18 February	1964
SOMALIA	4 August	1963	22 October	1964
SUDAN	4 August	1963	9 September	1963
SWAZILAND ²			26 July	1971 <i>a</i>
TOGO	18 October	1963	3 July	1964
TUNISIA	4 August	1963	29 October	1964
UGANDA	4 August	1963	16 December	1963
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	8 October	1963	7 May	1964
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA ³	4 August	1963	27 November	1963
UPPER VOLTA	21 November	1963	22 September	1964
ZAIRE	4 August	1963	5 June	1964
ZAMBIA ²			1 September	1966 <i>a</i>

³ The Agreement was originally signed and the instrument of ratification was deposited on behalf of Tanganyika. Following the formation of the Union between Tanganyika and Zanzibar under the name of the United Republic of Tanzania (see footnote 13, p. 8), the Government of Tanzania submitted a declaration to the African Development Bank to the effect that "it assumes the membership in the ADB both as regards Tanganyika and Zanzibar, and desires the Bank to give effect to this extension and to increase its subscription by one million units of account". The said declaration was considered by the Board of Governors of the African Development Bank at its first plenary session on 4 November 1964. In resolution No. 3 adopted on the same date, the Board of Governors, having expressed the desire of giving full effect to the extension of membership of Tanzania, decided, *inter alia*, that the subscription of Tanzania to the capital stock of the ADB should be increased by one million units of account, half of it to consist of paid-up shares, and the other half of callable shares; and that the extension of membership of Tanzania should take effect upon the payment to the ADB of the first instalment of its initial subscription to the paid-up capital stock as provided in the resolution. The Board further took note that, upon the extension of its membership, Tanzania would have 1,255 votes.

3. Convention on Transit Trade of Land-locked States

Done at New York on 8 July 1965¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 9 June 1967, in accordance with article 20.

REGISTRATION: 9 June 1967, No. 8641.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 597, p. 3.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
AFGHANISTAN	8 July 1965	
ARGENTINA	29 December 1965	
AUSTRALIA		2 May 1972 <i>a</i>
BELGIUM	30 December 1965	21 April 1970
BOLIVIA	29 December 1965	
BRAZIL	4 August 1965	
BURUNDI		1 May 1968 <i>a</i>
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	28 December 1965	11 July 1972
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	30 December 1965	
CHILE	20 December 1965	25 October 1972
CHAD		2 March 1967 <i>a</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	10 December 1965	8 August 1967
DENMARK		26 March 1969 <i>a</i>
FINLAND		22 January 1971 <i>a</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL		
REPUBLIC OF	20 December 1965	
HOLY SEE	30 December 1965	
HUNGARY	30 December 1965	20 September 1967
ITALY	31 December 1965	
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC		
REPUBLIC	8 July 1965	29 December 1967
LESOTHO		28 May 1969 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG	28 December 1965	
MALAWI		12 December 1966 <i>a</i>
MALI		11 October 1967 <i>a</i>
MONGOLIA		26 July 1966 <i>a</i>
NEPAL	9 July 1965	22 August 1966
NETHERLANDS	30 December 1965	30 November 1971
NIGER		3 June 1966 <i>a</i>
NIGERIA		16 May 1966 <i>a</i>
NORWAY		17 September 1968 <i>a</i>
PARAGUAY	23 December 1965	
RWANDA	23 July 1965	13 August 1968
SAN MARINO	23 July 1965	12 June 1968
SUDAN	11 August 1965	
SWAZILAND		26 May 1969 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN		16 June 1971 <i>a</i>
SWITZERLAND	10 December 1965	
TURKEY		25 March 1969 <i>a</i>
UGANDA	21 December 1965	
UKRAINIAN SSR	31 December 1965	21 July 1972
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST		
REPUBLICS	28 December 1965	21 July 1972
UNITED REPUBLIC OF		
CAMEROON	10 August 1965	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	30 December 1965	29 October 1968
YUGOSLAVIA	8 July 1965	10 May 1967
ZAMBIA	23 December 1965	2 December 1966

¹The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference on Transit Trade of Land-locked Countries, which had been convened pursuant to the decision of the General Assembly of the United Nations taken at its 1328th plenary meeting on 10 February 1965; see *Official Records of the*

General Assembly, Nineteenth Session, Supplement No. 15 (A/5815), p. 9. The Conference met at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York from 7 June 1965 to 8 July 1965.

Declarations and Reservations

BELGIUM²

1. With regard to the application of article 3 of the Convention, the Belgian Government considers that the exemption relates exclusively to duties or taxes on imports or exports, and not to taxes on transactions, such as the Belgian tax on transport and auxiliary services, which also apply to internal trade.

2. Belgium can apply article 4, paragraph 1, only in so far as State-owned means of transport and handling equipment are concerned.

3. The Belgian Government intends, upon depositing its instrument of ratification of the Convention, to make a reservation concerning the rights and obligations of Belgium arising from its adherence to certain international treaties relating to economic matters or trade.

BOLIVIA

I have been instructed by my Government to place on record the Bolivian view, which is already to be found in the records of the Conference, that Bolivia is not a land-locked State but a nation which is deprived by temporary circumstances of access to the sea across its own coast and that unrestricted and unconditional freedom of transit must be recognized in international law as an inherent right of enclosed territories and countries for reasons of justice and because of the need to facilitate such transit as a contribution to general progress on a basis of equality.

Bolivia will on no occasion fail to maintain these views, which are inherent in national sovereignty, and, by signing the Convention, will give evidence of its willingness to co-operate with the United Nations and the developing countries without a sea-coast.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST
REPUBLIC

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 17, 19, 22 and 23 of the Convention, under which a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention. The Convention deals with matters that affect the interests of all States, and it should therefore be open for participation by all States. According to the principle of sovereign equality, no States have the right to exclude other States from participation in a Convention of this type.

The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 16 of the Convention on Transit Trade of Land-locked States, under which members of the arbitration commission may be appointed by the

² Reservations 1 and 2 formulated by the Government of Belgium upon signature of the Convention have been confirmed on ratification; a reservation referred to in item 3 was not made.

President of the International Court of Justice, and declares that, in each individual case, the consent of the contending States is necessary for the appointment of members of the arbitration commission by the President of the International Court of Justice.

CHILE

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

. . . With a reservation with regard to article 16, to the effect that, in any dispute with American countries over the interpretation or implementation of this Convention, Chile shall proceed in accordance with whatever inter-American instruments concerning the peaceful settlement of disputes may be binding both on Chile and on the other American country.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"1) The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by article 16 providing for a compulsory procedure of arbitration for any dispute which may arise with respect to interpretation or application of the provisions of the Convention. The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic maintains that the consensus of all Parties to the dispute is indispensable in any particular case to be submitted for arbitration.

"2) The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic considers articles 17 and 19 to be of discriminatory character since, on the basis of their provisions, a number of States has been deprived of the possibility of becoming a Party to the Convention.

"The Convention relates to matters which are of interest to all States; consequently, it has to be open for participation of all States. In accordance with the principle of sovereign equality, no States have the right to exclude other States from becoming a Party to the Convention of general interest.

"3) The latter reservation applies also to articles 22 and 23 for the same reasons."

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"In respect of article 2, paragraph 1, article 5 and article 7:

"The Federal Republic of Germany starts from the assumption that normal frontier controls which, in accordance with international agreements and with existing national legislation, are carried through in an adequate and non-discriminatory manner, meet the requirements of article 2, paragraph 1, article 5 and article 7.

"In respect of article 2, paragraph 2:

"The Federal Republic of Germany understands this provision to imply that, as long as agreements according to article 2, paragraph 2, have not been concluded, the national regulations of the transit state will apply.

"In respect of article 4, paragraph 1 and article 6, paragraph 1:

"The Federal Republic of Germany is not in a position to assume obligations as provided for in article 4, paragraph 1 and in article 6, paragraph 1. Considering transport conditions in the Federal Republic of Germany, however, it may be taken for granted that sufficient means of transport as well as handling equipment and storage facilities will be available for traffic in transit. Should difficulties arise nevertheless, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany would be prepared to seek remedies.

"In respect of article 4, paragraph 2 and article 6, paragraph 2:

"The Federal Republic of Germany is not in a position to assume obligations as contained in article 4, paragraph 2 and article 6, paragraph 2. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany is, however, prepared, within the scope of its possibilities, to use its influence as regards tariffs and charges so as to facilitate traffic in transit as much as possible."

HUNGARY

The Hungarian People's Republic does not recognize as having binding force the provision in Article 16 of the Convention, under which disputes regarding the interpretation and application of the Convention shall be referred to an arbitration commission appointed by the International Court of Justice. The Hungarian People's Republic wishes to stress that the consent of all parties to any dispute shall be necessary for the dispute to be referred to the arbitration commission.

The Hungarian People's Republic is of the opinion that Articles 17, 19, 22 and 23 of the Convention, which debar a number of States the right to become parties to the Convention, are of a discriminatory nature. The Convention is a general multilateral international treaty, and therefore, as follows from the principles of international law, every State shall have the right to become a party to it.

ITALY

... The Permanent Representative of Italy wishes to notify the Secretary-General that the Italian Government intends to enter specific reservations to the Convention on depositing its instrument of ratification.

LUXEMBOURG

The Government of Luxembourg envisages the possibility, on depositing the instrument of ratification of the Convention on Transit Trade of Land-locked States, of entering a reservation relating to its membership in regional economic unions or common markets.

MONGOLIA

The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic deems it essential to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of the provisions of articles 17, 19, 22 and 23 of the Convention, under which a number of States are excluded from participation in this Convention. The Convention deals with matters of interest

to all States and should therefore be open for participation by all States.

The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 16 of the Convention on Transit Trade of Land-locked States under which members of the arbitration commission may be appointed by the President of the International Court of Justice, and declares that the appointment of members of the arbitration commission should be made only with the consent of all the parties to the dispute.

SUDAN

"The Government of the Republic of the Sudan will not consider itself bound by the third sentence of article 2, paragraph 1, of the Convention in respect of the passage across its territory of goods destined to or coming from South Africa or Portugal or goods the ownership of which could be claimed by South Africa or Portugal. The reservation is made in accordance with the spirit of Security Council resolution S/5773, in which the Security Council condemned the *apartheid* Policies of the Government of the Republic of South Africa, resolution A/AC.109/124 in which the Special Committee condemned the colonial policy of Portugal and its persistent refusal to carry out the resolutions of the General Assembly, the Security Council and the Special Committee, and resolution CM/Res.6(I) of the Council of Ministers of the Organization of African Unity. The reservations will remain in force pending the ending of the prevailing situation in South Africa and the Portuguese colonies.

"Nor will the Republic of the Sudan, as a member of the Arab League, consider itself bound by the same provision in respect of the passage across its territory of goods destined for or coming from Israel."

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 17, 19, 22 and 23 of the Convention, under which a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention. The Convention deals with matters that affect the interests of all States, and it should therefore be open for participation by all States. According to the principle of sovereign equality, no States have the right to exclude other States from participation in a Convention of this type.

The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 16 of the Convention on Transit Trade of Land-locked States, under which members of the arbitration commission may be appointed by the President of the International Court of Justice, and declares that, in each individual case, the consent of the contending States is necessary for the appointment of members of the arbitration commission by the President of the International Court of Justice.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 17, 19, 22 and 23 of the Convention, under which a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention. The Convention deals with matters that affect the interests of all States, and it should therefore be open for participation by all States. According to the principle of sovereign equality, no States have the right to exclude

other States from participation in a Convention of this type.

The Government of the Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 16 of the Convention on Transit Trade of Land-locked States, under which members of the arbitration commission may be appointed by the President of the International Court of Justice, and declares that, in each individual case, the consent of the contending States is necessary for the appointment of members of the arbitration commission by the President of the International Court of Justice.

4. Agreement establishing the Asian Development Bank

Done at Manila on 4 December 1965¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 22 August 1966, in accordance with article 65.

REGISTRATION: 22 August 1966, No. 8303.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 571, p. 123.

<i>State or Territory^{1a}</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, acceptance (A)</i>
AFGHANISTAN	4 December 1965	22 August 1966
AUSTRALIA ^{1a}	4 December 1965	19 September 1966
AUSTRIA	31 January 1966	29 September 1966
BANGLADESH		14 March 1973 A
BELGIUM	31 January 1966	16 August 1966
BURMA		26 April 1973 A
CANADA	4 December 1965	22 August 1966
CHINA ^{1b}		
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA ...	4 December 1965	30 September 1966
DENMARK	28 January 1966	16 August 1966
FINLAND	28 January 1966	22 August 1966
FRANCE ²		27 July 1970 A
GERMANY, FEDERAL		
REPUBLIC OF	4 December 1965	30 August 1966
INDIA	4 December 1965	20 July 1966
INDONESIA ²		24 November 1966 A
IRAN	4 December 1965	
ITALY	31 January 1966	30 September 1966
JAPAN	4 December 1965	16 August 1966
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC		
REPUBLIC	4 December 1965	30 August 1966
MALAYSIA	4 December 1965	16 August 1966
NEPAL	4 December 1965	21 June 1966 A
NETHERLANDS ³	4 December 1965	29 August 1966
NEW ZEALAND	4 December 1965	29 September 1966
NORWAY	28 January 1966	14 July 1966
PAKISTAN	4 December 1965	12 May 1966
PHILIPPINES	4 December 1965	5 July 1966
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	4 December 1965	16 August 1966
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH		
VIET-NAM] ⁴	28 January 1966	22 September 1966
SAMOA	4 December 1965	23 June 1966
SINGAPORE	28 January 1966	21 September 1966
SRI LANKA	4 December 1965	29 September 1966
SWEDEN	31 January 1966	29 September 1966
SWITZERLAND ²		31 December 1967 A
THAILAND	4 December 1965	16 August 1966
TONGA		29 March 1972 A
UNITED KINGDOM ^{1a}	4 December 1965	26 September 1966
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	4 December 1965	16 August 1966 A

¹ The Agreement was adopted by the Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Asian Development Bank, which had been convened pursuant to resolution 62 (XXI) of the United Nations Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East, and which met at Manila from 2 to 4 December 1965.

^{1a} Pursuant to the procedure provided for in article 3 (3) of the Agreement, various non-autonomous territories became members of the Bank, as indicated hereafter:

<i>Territory</i>	<i>State presenting the application for admission</i>	<i>Date of the resolution by the Council of Governors</i>		<i>Date on which the resolution took effect</i>	
Hong Kong ..	United Kingdom	26 March	1969	27 March	1969
Fiji ..	United Kingdom	24 March	1970	2 April	1970
Papua and New Guinea ...	Australia	12 March	1971	8 April	1971
British Solomon Islands Protectorate	United Kingdom	12 April	1973	30 April	1973
Gilbert and Ellice Islands ..	United Kingdom	27 April	1974	28 May	1974
Cook Islands ..	New Zealand	8 April	1976	20 April	1976

[footnote continues on following page]

Declarations and Reservations

AUSTRALIA

. . . .⁵

"The Australian Government further declares in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 56 of the said Agreement that it retains the right to levy taxation in respect of salary and emoluments paid by the Bank for services rendered in Australia to a Director, alternate, officer or employee of the Bank, including an expert performing a mission for the Bank, being a resident of Australia within the meaning of the Australian legislation relating to income tax unless the person is not a citizen of Australia and came to Australia solely for the purpose of performing duties of the office in the Bank held by him.

"The Australian Government is unable to accord to the Bank, in respect of any mailbags which the Bank might wish to despatch through postal channels in Australia, the reduced rates which the Australian Government accords, on the basis of reciprocity, to certain other Governments in respect of mailbags despatched through postal channels by their diplomatic missions in Australia.

"The Australian Government is, insofar as the article applies to priorities, rates and taxes on telecommunications, unable fully to comply with article 54 of the Agreement which requires that the Bank in respect of its official communications shall be accorded by each member treatment not less favourable than that accorded to the official communications of any other member, until such time as all other Governments have

footnote continued from previous page

^{1b} Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 4 December 1965 and 22 September 1966 respectively. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

² Instrument deposited with the Asian Development Bank. Indonesia, Switzerland and France were admitted to membership in the Bank, pursuant to article 3, paragraph 2, of the Agreement establishing the Asian Development Bank, on the terms established by the Board of Governors of the Bank in resolutions Nos. 4, 11 and 31, respectively, the first two adopted at the inaugural meeting of the Board of Governors held at Tokyo, 24-26 November 1966, the last one at the third annual meeting held at Seoul, 9-11 April 1970. The Secretary-General has been informed by the Bank that the Governments of Indonesia, Switzerland and France complied with the said terms on 24 November 1966, 31 December 1967 and 27 July 1970 respectively.

Tonga, Bangladesh and Burma were admitted to membership in the Bank, pursuant to article 3, paragraph 2, of the Agreement, on the terms established by the Board of Governors of the Bank in resolution No. 48, adopted on 23 March 1972, No. 54, adopted on 11 November 1972, and No. 63, adopted on 26 April 1973, respectively. The Secretary-General has been informed by the Bank that the Governments of Tonga, Bangladesh and Burma had complied with the terms of the said resolutions and had become members of the Bank on 29 March 1972, 14 March 1973 and 26 April 1973 respectively.

³ The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Agreement is ratified for the Kingdom in Europe.

⁴ See note 4b, p. 54.

⁵ In a notification received on 12 May 1976, the Government of Australia informed the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the declaration made upon ratification under article 24(2) (ii) of the said Agreement. For the text of the declaration so withdrawn, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 572, p. 368.

decided to co-operate in granting this treatment to international organizations. This reservation shall not affect the right of the Bank to lodge press telegrams at prescribed press rates to the press and radio in Australia.

"The Australian Government understands that nothing in the said Agreement affects the application of any Australian law relating to quarantine."

CANADA

"... Canada retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax Canadian citizens resident or ordinarily resident in Canada."

DENMARK

"According to article 14, paragraph ix, in the Agreement establishing the Asian Development Bank, 'the proceeds of any loan, investment or other financing undertaken in the ordinary operations of the Bank or with Special Funds established by the Bank pursuant to paragraph 1 (i) of article 19, shall be used only for procurement in member countries of goods and services produced in member countries...'

"The declared shipping policy of the Danish Government is based on the principle of free circulation of shipping in international trade in free and fair competition. In accordance with this policy transactions and transfers in connection with maritime transport should not be hampered by provisions giving preferential treatment to one country or a group of countries, the aim always being that normal commercial consideration should determine the method and flag of shipment. The Government of Denmark trusts that article 14, paragraph ix, will not be applied contrary to this principle."

FRANCE

Pursuant to article 56 (2) of the said Agreement, the French Government retains for itself the right to levy taxes, as provided by French law, on salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to French nationals.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"1. The Federal Republic of Germany makes use of the reservation provided for in article 56, paragraph 2, of the Agreement establishing the Asian Development Bank and retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Asian Development Bank to Germans within the meaning of Article 116 of the Basic Law for the Federal Republic of Germany who have their domicile or ordinary residence in the area of application of the said Basic Law, including Land Berlin;

"2. The Agreement establishing the Asian Development Bank shall also apply to Land Berlin as from the day on which the Convention will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

INDIA

"The Government of India declares that India retains for herself and her political sub-divisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Asian Development Bank to citizens or nationals of India."

ITALY

"The Italian Government, pursuant to article 56, paragraph 2, of the Agreement, retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to Italian citizens employed in offices of the Bank that might be set up in Italy or performing any activities in Italy on behalf of the Bank."

On the occasion of the deposit of the instrument of ratification, the Permanent Representative of Italy to the United Nations, on the instructions of the Minister for Foreign Affairs of Italy, has made the following observations:

"The Italian Government considers that paragraph 1 of article 56 is to be construed in the light of current practice concerning exemption of international organizations from taxation. According to such practice, relief from taxation is granted to international organizations only in respect of articles acquired in pursuance of the official activities of an organization and, in the case of internal indirect taxes, only for substantial purchases where it is reasonably practicable to allow such relief.

"The Italian Government considers that the provision of article 50, paragraph 1, concerning immunity from jurisdiction is to be construed within the limits in which such immunity is provided by international law.

"I also have the honour to inform your Excellency that it is the intention of the Italian Government to seek from the Asian Development Bank an understanding to the effect that the special procedure to be provided for pursuant to paragraph 2 of article 50 of the bye-laws and regulations of the Bank, or in contracts entered into with the Bank, should not be of prejudice to the jurisdiction of Italian Courts with respect to any claims put forward by private parties."

JAPAN

"... Japan retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to its nationals."

MALAYSIA

"The Government of Malaysia declares that it retains for itself the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Asian Development Bank to Malaysian citizens."

NETHERLANDS

This ratification is subject to the reservation provided for in article 56, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

NEW ZEALAND

"... Pursuant to paragraph 2 (ii) of article 24 of the Agreement, the Government of New Zealand hereby

declares that it desires the use of the portion of its subscription paid pursuant to paragraph 2 (b) of article 6 of the Agreement to be wholly restricted to payments for goods or services produced in its territory."

NORWAY

"According to article 14, paragraph ix, in the Agreement establishing the Asian Development Bank, 'the proceeds of any loan, investment or other financing undertaken in the ordinary operations of the Bank or with Special Funds established by the Bank pursuant to paragraph 1 (i) of article 19, shall be used only for procurement in member countries of goods and services produced in member countries...'

"The declared shipping policy of the Norwegian Government is based on the principle of free circulation of shipping in international trade in free and fair competition. In accordance with this policy transactions and transfers in connection with maritime transport should not be hampered by provisions giving preferential treatment to one country or a group of countries, the aim always being that normal commercial consideration should determine the method and flag of shipment. The Government of Norway trusts that article 14, paragraph ix, will not be applied contrary to this principle."

PHILIPPINES

"The Government of the Philippines declares that it retains for itself and its political sub-divisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to citizens or nationals of the Philippines."

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

"The Republic of Korea retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to its nationals."

SINGAPORE

"... Singapore retains for itself the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Asian Development Bank to citizens and nationals of Singapore."

SRI LANKA

"In accordance with paragraph 2 of article 56 of the Asian Development Bank Agreement, the Government of Ceylon retains for itself and its political subdivision the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to citizens or nationals of Ceylon resident or ordinarily resident in Ceylon."

SWEDEN

"According to the main rule of article 14, paragraph ix, in the Agreement establishing the Asian Development Bank, the proceeds of any loan, investment or other financing undertaken by the Bank shall be used only for procurement in member countries of goods and services produced in member countries.

"The shipping policy of the Swedish Government is based on the principle of free circulation of shipping in international trade in free and fair competition. The

Swedish Government trusts that article 14, paragraph ix, will not be applied contrary to this principle. Similarly, it is part of the assistance policy of the Swedish Government that multilateral development assistance should be based on the principle of free international competitive bidding. The Swedish Government expresses the hope that it will be possible to reach agreement on such modification of article 14, paragraph ix, that it does not conflict with this principle."

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

". . . In accordance with paragraph 2 of article 56, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that they retain the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Asian Development Bank to citizens of the United Kingdom and Colonies."

In a letter transmitting the instrument of ratification, the Permanent Representative of the United Kingdom to the United Nations, on the instructions of Her Majesty's Principal Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, has made the following observations:

"Article 54 of the Agreement has the effect of affording Government telecommunication privileges to the Asian Development Bank. The list of persons and authorities entitled to such privileges in Annex 3 to the International Telecommunications Convention signed at Geneva on the 21st of December, 1959, does not include international organizations other than the United Nations. There is thus a clear conflict between article 54 and the Telecommunications Convention, to which the United Kingdom (and no doubt other members of the Asian Development Bank) is a party. The United Kingdom wishes to propose that this conflict be considered at an early meeting of the Board of Governors.

"Paragraph 1 of article 56 of the Agreement might perhaps be construed as allowing the Asian Development Bank complete exemption from all customs duties and taxes on goods without any qualification. It is current practice to accord relief from taxation on goods to international organizations only in respect of articles acquired in pursuance of the official activities of an organization, and, in the case of internal indirect taxes, only for substantial purchases where it is reasonably practicable to allow such relief. The Government of the United Kingdom consider that paragraph 1 of article 56 is to be construed in the light of current practice."

"I also have the honour to inform you that it is the intention of the Government of the United Kingdom to seek from the Asian Development Bank:

"(a) An understanding that it will insure any motor vehicle belonging to, or operated on behalf of, the Bank against third party claims for damage arising from an accident caused by such a vehicle in the United Kingdom and that the immunity of the Bank from legal process under paragraph 1 of article 50 will not be asserted in the case of any civil action in the United Kingdom by a third party for damage arising from an accident caused by such a vehicle;

"(b) An understanding that no immunity under article 55 will be asserted in respect of any motor traffic offence committed by a member of the personnel of the Bank or in respect of damage caused by a motor vehicle belonging to, or driven by, him."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"The United States of America retains for itself and for all political subdivisions of the United States of America the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Asian Development Bank to any citizen or national of the United States of America."

5. Articles of Association for the Establishment of an Economic Community of West Africa

Done at Accra on 4 May 1967¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 4 May 1967, in accordance with article 7, paragraph 2.²

REGISTRATION: 4 May 1967, No. 8623.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 595, p. 287.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature</i>
BENIN	4 May 1967	NIGER	4 May 1967
GAMBIA	21 November 1967	NIGERIA	4 May 1967
GHANA	4 May 1967	SENEGAL	4 May 1967
LIBERIA	4 May 1967	SIERRA LEONE	4 May 1967
MALI	4 May 1967	TOGO	4 May 1967
MAURITANIA	4 May 1967	UPPER VOLTA	4 May 1967

¹ Adopted by the West African Sub-regional Conference on Economic Co-operation, held at Accra from 27 April to 4 May 1967.

² The Articles of Association for the Establishment of an Economic Community of West Africa done at Accra on 4 May 1967, constituted a transitional arrangement pending the formal establishment of the Economic Community of West Africa, to be effected by means of a Treaty. The said Treaty was concluded at Lagos on 28 May 1975 ("Treaty of the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS)"), and is deposited with the Government of Nigeria. It entered into force provisionally on 28 May 1975, the date when it was signed on behalf of the following States: Benin, the Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Ivory Coast, Liberia, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Togo and Upper Volta.

It came into force definitively on 20 June 1975, upon ratification by seven States, in accordance with article 62 (1).

6. Agreement establishing the Caribbean Development Bank, with Protocol to provide for procedure for amendment of article 36 of the Agreement

Done at Kingston, Jamaica, on 18 October 1969¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 26 January 1970, in accordance with article 64.

REGISTRATION: 26 January 1970, No. 10232.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 712, p. 217.

State or Territory ²	Signature		Ratification, accession (a)	
ANTIGUA	18 October	1969	30 January	1970
BAHAMAS	18 October	1969	28 January	1970
BARBADOS	18 October	1969	16 January	1970
BRITISH HONDURAS	18 October	1969	26 January	1970
BRITISH VIRGIN ISLANDS ...	18 October	1969	30 January	1970
CANADA	18 October	1969	22 January	1970
CAYMAN ISLANDS	18 October	1969	27 January	1970
COLOMBIA			22 November	1974 a
DOMINICA	18 October	1969	26 January	1970
GRENADA	18 October	1969	26 January	1970
GUYANA	18 October	1969	22 January	1970
JAMAICA	18 October	1969	9 January	1970
MONTSERRAT	18 October	1969	28 January	1970
St. CHRISTOPHER-NEVIS-ANGUILLA				
GUILLA	18 October	1969	26 January	1970
St. LUCIA	18 October	1969	26 January	1970
St. VINCENT	18 October	1969	26 January	1970
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	18 October	1969	20 January	1970
TURKS AND CAICOS ISLANDS	18 October	1969	5 January	1970
UNITED KINGDOM	18 October	1969	23 January	1970
VENEZUELA			25 April	1973 a

Declarations³

ANTIGUA, BAHAMAS, BRITISH HONDURAS,⁴ BRITISH VIRGIN ISLANDS, CAYMAN ISLANDS, DOMINICA, GRENADA, MONTSERRAT, ST. CHRISTOPHER-NEVIS-ANGUILLA, ST. LUCIA, ST. VINCENT, TURKS AND CAICOS ISLAND

The instruments of ratification by the Governments of the above-mentioned Associated States or Territories, all

contain a declaration made in accordance with the first provision of the second part of paragraph 3 of article 63 of the Agreement to the effect that the privilege conferred by article 53 shall be restricted in its territory to treatment not less favourable than the Government concerned accords to international financial institutions of which it is a member.

¹ The Agreement and Protocol were adopted by the Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Caribbean Development Bank which met at Kingston, Jamaica, on 18 October 1969. The Conference was convened for that purpose by the Acting Secretary-General of the Commonwealth Caribbean Regional Secretariat in accordance with the decision of the Commonwealth Caribbean Conference of Finance Ministers taken at its meeting held at Port of Spain, Trinidad and Tobago, on 22 July 1969. Both instruments were opened for signature by the Plenipotentiary Conference at Kingston on 18 October 1969. The Conference also adopted the Final Act, approved the memorandum of understanding relating to the allocation of the Bank's resources to multinational projects, which had been adopted by the Conference of Finance Ministers at Port of Spain, and adopted the resolution on the duties of the Trustee designated under article 7, paragraph (8), of the Agreement. The texts of the said memorandum and resolution are appended to the Final Act as annexes A and B.

The Protocol to provide for procedure for amendment of article 36 of the Agreement became void, when the amendment proposed under the said procedure at the Inaugural Meeting of the Board of Governors of the Caribbean Development

Bank, held at Nassau, Bahamas, on 31 January 1970, had failed to obtain the required majority.

² See articles 3 and 62 of the Agreement in the annex to this publication: *Final Clauses* (ST/LEG/SER.D/1. Annex), page X-15.

³ See paragraph 3 of article 63 of the Agreement allowing the signatory Governments to make certain declarations on depositing their instruments of ratification or acceptance, and the decision taken in respect of the said paragraph by the Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Caribbean Bank as recorded in paragraph 7 d of the Final Act of the Conference, in *Final Clauses* (ST/LEG/SER.D/1. Annex), page X-18, footnote 1, and page X-19.

⁴ In its instrument of ratification, the Government of British Honduras further declared that the Agreement was ratified subject "... to the condition that the Government of British Honduras undertakes that legislation to give effect to the immunities and privileges to be conferred on the Bank in British Honduras by virtue of the Agreement will be passed on or before February 21st, 1970." Regarding this part of the declaration, see footnote 5 below.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND
NORTHERN IRELAND^{5, 6}

“(a) In the United Kingdom the immunity conferred by paragraph 1 of article 49 and sub-paragraph (a) of article 54 of the Agreement shall not apply in relation to a civil action arising out of an accident caused by a motor vehicle belonging to the Bank or operated on its behalf or to a traffic offence committed by the driver of such a vehicle.

“(b) As Bank telegrams and telephone calls are not defined as Government telegrams and telephone calls in Annex 2 to the International Telecommunication Convention (Montreux, 1965) and are therefore not entitled

⁵ Paragraph (d) of the United Kingdom declaration and the declaration by the Government of British Honduras quoted in footnote 4 above, not being provided for in paragraph 3 of article 63 of the Agreement, the Government of the United Kingdom informed the Secretary-General that all signatories to the Agreement had been consulted in connexion therewith and, in particular, that “the signatories to the Agreement were requested to notify any objection on their part to these declarations and no objection has been notified by any signatory.” With reference to these declarations, the Secretary-General, in his report of 27 January 1970 to the Board of Governors of the Caribbean Development Bank on the status of the Agreement, stated that, inasmuch as the said declarations were not provided in the Agreement, but having taken note of the information given in their respect by the Government of the United Kingdom, he had received the instruments of ratification of the Government of the United Kingdom and the Government of British Honduras provisionally in deposit, without prejudice to and pending the decision of the competent organ of the Caribbean Development Bank as to the acceptability of the declarations concerned.

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 30 January 1970, the Government of British Honduras notified him of the withdrawal of the pertinent part of its declaration.

by the Convention to the privileges thereby conferred on Government telegrams and telephone calls, the Government of the United Kingdom, having regard to their obligations under the International Telecommunication Convention, declare that the privileges conferred by article 53 of the Agreement shall be correspondingly restricted in the United Kingdom, but, subject thereto, shall be not less favourable than the United Kingdom affords to international financial institutions of which it is a member.

“(c) The exemption referred to in paragraph 6(b) of article 55 of the Agreement shall not extend to any bearer instrument issued by the Bank in the United Kingdom or issued elsewhere by the Bank and transferred in the United Kingdom.

In so far as concerns paragraph (d) of the declaration of the United Kingdom, the Acting Secretary of the Caribbean Development Bank informed the Secretary-General that the Board of Governors of the Bank, at the inaugural meeting held on 31 January 1970, had decided to accept the conditions accompanying the United Kingdom ratification and had requested him to notify the Secretary-General of its decision. As a result of these actions, the Secretary-General considered the instruments of ratification by the Government of British Honduras and the Government of the United Kingdom as definitively deposited and informed all Governments concerned and the Bank accordingly.

⁶ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 8 February 1972, the Government of the United Kingdom notified him of its decision to withdraw paragraph d of its declaration, which reads as follows:

“(d) None of the immunities, exemptions and privileges conferred by the Agreement shall be granted in the United Kingdom until such time as the necessary legislation shall have been enacted by Parliament.”

(The necessary legislation has been enacted by the Parliament of the United Kingdom and came into operation on 5 February 1972.)

7. Convention on the Limitation Period in the International Sale of Goods

Concluded at New York on 12 June 1974¹

Not yet in force (see article 44).

TEXT: A/CONF. 63/15.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
BRAZIL	14 June	1974		
BULGARIA	24 February	1975		
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	14 June	1974		
COSTA RICA	30 August	1974		
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	29 August	1975		
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	14 June	1974		
GHANA	5 December	1974	7 October	1975
HUNGARY	14 June	1974		
MONGOLIA	14 June	1974		
NICARAGUA	13 May	1975		
NORWAY	11 December	1975		
POLAND	14 June	1974		
UKRAINIAN SSR	14 June	1974		
USSR	14 June	1974		

¹ The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference on Prescription (limitation) in the International Sales of Goods, which convened at the Headquarters of the United Nations, at New York, from 20 May to 14 June 1974. The Conference was convened in accordance with Resolution 3104 (XXVIII) of the General Assembly adopted on 12 December 1973 [see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-eighth Session, Supplement No. 30 (A/9030)*]. The Convention was opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, on 14 June 1974, the closing date for signature being 31 December 1975.

Declarations and Reservations

NORWAY

Upon signature:

“In accordance with article 34 the Government of the Kingdom of Norway declares that the Convention shall not govern contracts of sale where the seller and the buyer both have their relevant places of business within the territories of the Nordic States (i.e. Norway, Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Sweden).”

8. Agreement Establishing the International Fund for Agricultural Development

Concluded at Rome on 13 June 1976¹

Not yet in force (see article 13, section 3).

TEXT: A/CONF.73/15 and A/CONF.73/15/Add.1/Rev.2. (Pledges of initial contributions as at 20 December 1976).

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) acceptance (A), approval (AA)</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA .	22 December 1976	
MOROCCO	22 December 1976	

¹ The Agreement was adopted on 13 June 1976 by the United Nations Conference on the Establishment of an International Fund for Agricultural Development, which met at the Headquarters of the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations and the World Food Council in Rome, Italy, from 10 to 13 June 1976. In accordance with section 1(a) of its article 13, the Agreement was opened for signature by the States concerned on 20 December 1976 at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York.

CHAPTER XI. TRANSPORT AND COMMUNICATIONS¹

A. CUSTOMS MATTERS

1. Agreement providing for the provisional application of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring, on Commercial Road Vehicles and on the International Transport of Goods by Road

Signed at Geneva on 16 June 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1950, in accordance with article III.

REGISTRATION: 1 January 1950, No. 696.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 45, p. 149.

TERMINATION: The Agreement, the Additional Protocol of 16 June 1949 (see p. 276) and the Additional Protocol of 28 November 1952 (see p. 278) were terminated, in accordance with articles III and IV of the Agreement, as follows: on 1 January 1965 in respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods by Road, and on 1 January 1966 in respect of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring and on Commercial Road Vehicles. (The Additional Protocol of 11 March 1950 (see p. 277) was abrogated by the Additional Protocol of 28 November 1952, in accordance with article V of the latter Protocol.)

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s) ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>
AUSTRIA ²		27 December 1949 s
BELGO-LUXEMBOURG ECONOMIC UNION	16 June 1949	
CZECHOSLOVAKIA ³	28 December 1949	
DENMARK		29 December 1949 s
FRANCE		16 June 1949 s
ITALY	16 June 1949	26 January 1954
LIECHTENSTEIN ⁴		
MALAYSIA ⁵		29 June 1959 d
NETHERLANDS ⁶		16 June 1949 s

¹ Unless otherwise indicated, all treaties listed in this chapter were drawn up within the framework of the Inland Transport Committee of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe.

² With the declaration that the signature applies only to the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring and on Commercial Road Vehicles. In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 22 May 1950, the Government of Austria declared that the signature affixed on its behalf on 27 December 1949 also applies to the Draft International Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods by Road.

³ With the declaration that the signature applies only to the Draft International Conventions on Commercial Road Vehicles and on International Transport of Goods by Road; and with the reservation that the date of entry into force

of the latter Draft Convention "will be determined later, according to the results of the meeting of the Customs Experts of the European Economic Commission which will be held in Geneva on 20 February 1950".

⁴ In a notification received on 6 December 1949, the Government of Switzerland, referring to article II of the Agreement, declared that, as the Principality of Liechtenstein forms part of the Customs territory of the Confederation, the provisions of the draft conventions will also apply to it.

⁵ Only in respect of the Draft Customs Convention on Touring.

⁶ In a communication received on 10 April 1952, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General that the reservation as to ratification, made on its behalf upon signature, is to be considered as withdrawn.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
NORWAY		16 June	1949 <i>s</i>
POLAND ⁷		7 January	1959 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN ⁸		15 September	1950 <i>a</i>
SWITZERLAND ⁴		16 June	1949 <i>s</i>
TURKEY ⁹		16 January	1957 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM ¹⁰		16 June	1949 <i>s</i>
YUGOSLAVIA		10 July	1958 <i>a</i>

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>		<i>Extension to</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	17 March	1950	In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Touring only, Gibraltar, Malta, Mauritius, Nyasaland, Sarawak and the Somaliland Protectorate.
	28 July	1950	In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Touring only, Cyprus, St. Helena, Seychelles, Fiji and the Colony of Aden.
	18 October	1950	In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Touring only, North Borneo, Singapore, Federation of Malaya, Leeward Islands, "Colonies of the Windward Islands", Trinidad, British Guiana, British Honduras, and Sierra Leone. In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Commercial Road Vehicles, Singapore and Sierra Leone.
	7 September	1951	In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Touring only, Brunei, Gambia, Jamaica, Kenya, Uganda, Tanganyika, Zanzibar. In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Commercial Road Vehicles, Brunei, Gambia, Kenya, Uganda and Tanganyika.
	6 February	1952	In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Touring only, Northern Rhodesia. In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Commercial Road Vehicles, Nyasaland and Northern Rhodesia.

Denunciations

<i>State</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>		<i>To take effect on</i>		<i>In respect of the Draft Convention on</i>
AUSTRIA	25 April	1961	1 January	1962	Touring
	15 October	1963	1 January	1965	Commercial Road Vehicles International Transport of Goods by Road

⁷ Only in respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods by Road.

⁸ In a note accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of Sweden indicated that it desired to apply the provisions of the Agreement as from 1 July 1950.

⁹ Only in respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Touring.

¹⁰ Only in respect of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring and on Commercial Road Vehicles.

<i>State</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>To take effect on</i>	<i>In respect of the Draft Convention on</i>
DENMARK ¹¹	15 September 1961	1 January 1962	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles International Transport of Goods by Road
FRANCE	16 May 1960	1 January 1961	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles International Transport of Goods by Road
ITALY ¹²	20 February 1964	1 January 1965	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles International Transport of Goods by Road
LIECHTENSTEIN	7 July 1960	1 January 1961	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles International Transport of Goods by Road
NETHERLANDS ¹³	15 September 1960	1 January 1961	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles International Transport of Goods by Road
NORWAY	2 March 1960 3 February 1965	1 January 1961 1 January 1966	International Transport of Goods by Road Touring Commercial Road Vehicles
POLAND	20 October 1961	1 January 1963	International Transport of Goods by Road
SWEDEN	25 February 1959 30 September 1965	1 January 1960	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles International Transport of Goods by Road
SWITZERLAND	7 July 1960	1 January 1961	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles International Transport of Goods by Road
TURKEY	10 August 1964	1 January 1965	Touring
UNITED KINGDOM	30 September 1958 30 July 1959	1 January 1959 1 January 1960	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles
YUGOSLAVIA	8 December 1960 29 January 1964	1 January 1962 1 January 1965	Touring International Transport of Goods by Road Commercial Road Vehicles

¹¹ In its notice of denunciation, the Government of Denmark made the following statement: "However, the Government of Denmark regards its denunciation as limited only to those Parties to the three Draft Conventions, who have adhered to and ratified—or in future may adhere to and ratify—the Customs Convention of May 18, 1956 on the Temporary Importation for Private Use of Aircraft and Pleasure Boats, the Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Commercial Road Vehicles done at Geneva on May 18, 1956, and the Customs Convention of January 15, 1959, on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets".

¹² In its notice of denunciation, the Government of Italy made the following statement: However, the Government of Italy regards its denunciation as limited only to those Parties to the three Draft Conventions, who have adhered to and ratified—or in future may adhere to and ratify—the Customs

Convention of May 18, 1956 on the Temporary Importation for Private Use of Aircraft and Pleasure Boats, the Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Commercial Road Vehicles done at Geneva on May 18, 1956, and the Customs Convention of January 15, 1959, on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets.

¹³ In its notice of denunciation, the Government of the Netherlands made the following statement: "However, as to the Draft Customs Convention on International Transport of Goods by Road annexed to the Agreement of 16 June 1949, the Netherlands Government will consider itself no longer bound in its relations with only those Parties to the Draft Convention, for whom the Customs Convention of 15 January 1959 has come into force, as from the date on which the 1959 Convention enters into force between those Parties and the Kingdom of the Netherlands".

2. Additional Protocol to the Agreement providing for the provisional application of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring, on Commercial Road Vehicles and on the International Transport of Goods by Road

Signed at Geneva on 16 June 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1950.

REGISTRATION: 1 January 1950, No. 696.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 45, p. 158.

TERMINATION: See under the Agreement of 16 June 1949, p. 273.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Accession</i>
AUSTRIA	27 December 1949	
BELGO-LUXEMBOURG ECONOMIC UNION	16 June 1949	
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	28 December 1949	
DENMARK	29 December 1949	
FRANCE	16 June 1949	
ITALY	16 June 1949	
NETHERLANDS	16 June 1949	
NORWAY	16 June 1949	
SWITZERLAND	16 June 1949	
TURKEY		16 January 1957
UNITED KINGDOM	16 June 1949	

3. Additional Protocol to the Agreement providing for the provisional application of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring, on Commercial Road Vehicles and on the International Transport of Goods by Road, relating to the international transport of goods by container under the T.I.R. Carnet Régime

Done at Geneva on 11 March 1950

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 11 March 1950.

REGISTRATION: 7 June 1950, No. 696.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 65, p. 319.

ABROGATION: See under the Agreement of 16 June 1949, p. 273.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</i>	
BELGO-LUXEMBOURG			
ECONOMIC UNION	11 March	1950	
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	6 September	1950	
DENMARK		7 July	1950 <i>s</i>
FRANCE		11 March	1950 <i>s</i>
ITALY	11 March	1950	26 January 1954
NETHERLANDS		11 March	1950 <i>s</i>
SWEDEN		7 December	1950 <i>a</i>
SWITZERLAND		11 March	1950 <i>s</i>

4. Additional Protocol amending certain provisions of the Agreement providing for the provisional application of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring, on Commercial Road Vehicles and on the International Transport of Goods by Road

Done at Geneva on 28 November 1952

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 July 1955, in accordance with article VI. From the time of its entry into force, this Protocol, in accordance with its article VII, became an integral part of the Agreement of 16 June 1949.

REGISTRATION: 7 July 1955, No. 696.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 212, p. 296.

TERMINATION: See under the Agreement of 16 June 1949, p. 273.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification</i>	
AUSTRIA		3 June	1954 <i>s</i>
BELGO-LUXEMBOURG ECONOMIC UNION	5 December 1952		
DENMARK		28 November	1952 <i>s</i>
FRANCE		28 November	1952 <i>s</i>
ITALY	28 November 1952	7 July	1955
NETHERLANDS		28 November	1952 <i>s</i>
NORWAY		10 February	1954 <i>s</i>
SWEDEN		28 November	1952 <i>s</i>
SWITZERLAND		28 November	1952 <i>s</i>

5. International Convention to Facilitate the Importation of Commercial Samples and Advertising Material

Done at Geneva on 7 November 1952¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 November 1955, in accordance with Article XI.

REGISTRATION: 20 November 1955, No. 3010.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 221, p. 255.

State	Signature		Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)	
AUSTRALIA			6 January	1956 a
AUSTRIA			8 June	1956 a
BELGIUM	30 June	1953	28 August	1957
CANADA			12 June	1974 a
CUBA			26 April	1976 a
CYPRUS			16 May	1963 d
CZECHOSLOVAKIA			12 January	1956 a
DENMARK			5 October	1955 a
EGYPT			29 September	1955 a
FEDERATION OF RHODESIA AND NYASALAND			[30 April	1956 a] ²
FIJI			31 October	1972 d
FINLAND			27 May	1954 a
FRANCE			7 February	1964 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³	12 June	1953	2 September	1955
GHANA			7 April	1958 d
GREECE	12 June	1953	10 February	1955
GUINEA			8 May	1962 a
HAITI			12 February	1958 a
HUNGARY			3 June	1957 a
INDIA			3 August	1954 a
INDONESIA			21 April	1954 a
IRAN			11 June	1970 a
IRELAND			23 April	1959 a
ISRAEL			8 October	1957 a
ITALY			20 February	1958 a
JAMAICA			11 November	1963 d
JAPAN			2 August	1955 a
KENYA			3 September	1965 a
LUXEMBOURG			9 September	1957 a
MALAYSIA			21 August	1958 d
MALTA			27 June	1968 d
MAURITIUS			18 July	1969 d
NETHERLANDS ⁴			3 May	1955 a
NEW ZEALAND			19 April	1957 a
NIGERIA			26 June	1961 d

¹ The Convention was drawn up by the Contracting Parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade at its seventh session, held at Geneva in November 1952. The proposal for the conclusion of such a convention had been referred to the Contracting Parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade by the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations in resolution 347 (XII) of 7 March 1951. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Twelfth Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/1987)*, p. 7.

² See footnote 16, p. 124.

³ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 15 December 1955, the Government of the Federal Republic

of Germany stated that the Convention "also applies to Land Berlin, as from the date of its entry into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

In a note accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of Romania made a declaration to the effect that it considers that the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany is not competent to extend the application of this Convention to West Berlin because West Berlin does not constitute a part of the territory of the Federal Republic of Germany.

⁴ The instrument of accession stipulates that the Kingdom of the Netherlands accedes to the Convention for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
NORWAY		2 November	1954 <i>a</i>
PAKISTAN		12 October	1953 <i>a</i>
POLAND		18 February	1960 <i>a</i>
PORTUGAL		24 September	1956 <i>a</i>
ROMANIA		15 November	1968 <i>a</i>
RWANDA		1 December	1964 <i>d</i>
SIERRA LEONE		13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SINGAPORE		7 June	1966 <i>d</i>
SPAIN ⁵		9 September	1954 <i>a</i>
SRI LANKA ⁶		28 October	1959 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	30 June	23 February	1955
SWITZERLAND		4 December	1954 <i>a</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		11 April	1966 <i>d</i>
TURKEY		8 December	1956 <i>a</i>
UGANDA		15 April	1965 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	30 June	21 October	1955
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA		28 November	1962 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	28 May	17 September	1957
YUGOSLAVIA		29 May	1956 <i>a</i>
ZAIRE		31 May	1962 <i>d</i>

Declarations and Reservations

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of the final clause of article VIII, paragraph 2, which authorizes the Parties to request the President of the International Court of Justice to nominate arbitrators for the settlement of disputes.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"The Federal Republic of Germany cannot consider roasted coffee, coffee—and tea extracts as well as tobacco goods including cigarette paper as samples of negligible value. No privileges provided for in article II of the International Convention to Facilitate the Importation of Commercial Samples and Advertising Material can be granted with respect to the importation of the above-described products into the territory of the Federal Republic of Germany."

INDIA

"The concession of duty-free import would be available to only those catalogues, price lists and trade notices which are supplied free."

MALTA

"In the application of paragraph 5 of Article III of the Convention the period allowed by the Government of Malta for re-exportation of samples which qualify for exemption from import duties under that Article, should be three months which may be extended on sufficient cause being shown."

ROMANIA

(a) In acceding to the International Convention to Facilitate the Importation of Commercial Samples and

⁵In a communication received on 17 June 1959, the Government of Spain notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of its reservation made on accession. For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 221, p. 282.

Advertising Material, done at Geneva on 7 November 1952, in the interests of the development of international economic co-operation, the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that negotiation between the parties to a dispute, as provided for in article VIII (1) of the Convention, constitutes the means of settling such disputes in a spirit of co-operation between the States and of full respect for their interests.

(b) The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance of the state of dependence of certain territories to which the provisions of article XIII of the above-mentioned Convention apply is not in accordance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples, adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December 1960 in resolution 1514 (XV), which proclaims the need to put an end to colonialism in all its forms and manifestations immediately and unconditionally.

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

"Paragraph 6 of Article III cannot be implemented in Trinidad as the Customs and Excise Department is not self-accounting and refunds are made on Treasury vouchers."

UGANDA

"Uganda shall not be bound by article V of the Convention."

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

"In accordance with article XIV, Tanganyika [United Republic of Tanzania] reserves the right not to grant to advertising films temporary duty-free admission treatment."

⁶In a communication received on 29 January 1963, the Government of Sri Lanka notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of its reservation made on accession to the Convention. For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 349, p. 334.

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
AUSTRALIA	12 January 1956	Papua and the Trust Territory of New Guinea.
BELGIUM	28 August 1957	Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi.
NEW ZEALAND	19 April 1957	The Cook Islands (including Niue), the Tokelau Islands and the Trust Territory of Western Samoa.
UNITED KINGDOM	21 October 1955	The Isle of Man.
	5 February 1957	Aden, Barbados, British Guiana, British Honduras, Cyprus, Falkland Islands, Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gold Coast, Hong Kong, Jamaica, Kenya (with reservation), Leeward Islands (Antigua, Montserrat, St. Christopher, Nevis and Anguilla, British Virgin Islands), Federation of Malaya, Malta (with reservations), Mauritius, North Borneo, Federation of Nigeria, St. Helena, Sarawak, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somaliland Protectorate, Tanganyika (with reservation), Trinidad and Tobago (with reservation), Uganda (with reservation), Windward Islands (Dominica, Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent), Zanzibar, Tonga.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	17 September 1957	All possessions of the United States except American Samoa, Guam, Kingman Reef, Johnston Island, Midway Islands, the Virgin Islands and Wake Island.

Reservations made on notifications of territorial application

KENYA⁷

“Kenya shall not be bound by Article V of the Convention.”

MALTA⁸

“(i) The period allowed by law for re-exportation of goods released on temporary importation is three months but this period may be extended on sufficient cause being shown. (ii) If the whole quantity of goods is not taken out of Malta the deposit made to cover duty shall be forfeited. (iii) Samples of high value will be controlled under temporary importation and under regulations to be made in accordance with paragraph 3 of Article III of the Convention”.

⁷ Kenya acceded to the Convention on 3 September 1965, without any reservations.

⁸ On notifying its succession to the Convention, the Government of Malta maintained only the reservation referred to under paragraph (i); see page 280.

TANGANYIKA⁹

“Tanganyika shall not be bound by article V of the Convention.”

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO¹⁰

“Paragraph 6 of Article III cannot be implemented in Trinidad as the Customs and Excise Department is not self-accounting and refunds are made on Treasury vouchers.”

UGANDA¹¹

“Uganda shall not be bound by Article V of the Convention.”

⁹ For the reservation made by the United Republic of Tanzania on accession, see p. 280.

¹⁰ This reservation has been maintained by the Government of Trinidad and Tobago upon succession to the Convention. See p. 280.

¹¹ Uganda acceded to the Convention on 15 April 1965 with the same reservation. See p. 280.

6. Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring

Done at New York on 4 June 1954^{1, 2}

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 11 September 1957, in accordance with article 16.

REGISTRATION: 11 September 1957, No. 3992.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 276, p. 191; and vol. 596, p. 542 (amendment to article 2).²

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)	
ALGERIA		31 October	1963 a
ARGENTINA	4 June	1954	
AUSTRALIA		6 January	1967 a
AUSTRIA	4 June	30 March	1956
BARBADOS		5 March	1971 d
BELGIUM	4 June	21 February	1955
BULGARIA		7 October	1959 a
CANADA		1 June	1955 a
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE ..		15 October	1962 a
CHILE		15 August	1974 a
COSTA RICA	20 July	4 September	1963
CUBA	4 June	23 October	1963
CYPRUS		16 May	1963 d
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA ..	4 June	29 November	1955
DENMARK		13 October	1955 a
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	4 June	1954	
ECUADOR	4 June	30 August	1962
EGYPT	4 June	4 April	1957
EL SALVADOR		18 June	1958 a
FIJI		31 October	1972 d
FINLAND		21 June	1962 a
FRANCE	4 June	24 April	1959
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³	4 June	16 September	1957
GHANA		16 June	1958 a
GREECE ^{3a}		15 January	1974 a
GUATEMALA	4 June	1954	
HAITI	4 June	1954	
HOLY SEE	4 June	1954	
HONDURAS	15 June	1954	
HUNGARY		29 October	1963 a

¹ The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference on Customs Formalities for the Temporary Importation of Private Road Motor Vehicles and for Tourism, held at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, from 11 May to 4 June 1954. It also adopted the Additional Protocol to the said Convention, relating to the Importation of Tourist Publicity Documents and Material, and the Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Private Road Vehicles. The Conference was convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations in accordance with resolution 468 F (XV) adopted by the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations on 15 April 1953. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Fifteenth Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/2419)*, p. 9. For the text of the Final Act of the Conference, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 276, p. 191.

² In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 9 August 1966, the Government of the Netherlands proposed an amendment to article 2, paragraph 3 of the Convention to the effect that the words "one portable television set" be inserted after the words "one portable wireless receiving set". The text of the proposed amendment was circulated by the Secretary-General to all contracting States on 6 September 1966. No objection having been expressed

to the proposed amendment within the period of six months from the date of the circulation of its text by any of the contracting States, the amendment is deemed to have been accepted, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 23 of the Convention. Pursuant to paragraph 3 of the same article, the amendment entered into force for all contracting States three months after the expiration of the said period of six months, that is to say, on 6 June 1967.

³ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that this Convention, the additional Protocol thereto and the Convention on the Temporary Importation of Private Road Vehicles also apply to *Land Berlin*.

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

^{3a} In a notification received on 4 April 1974, the Government of Greece stated that it accepted the decisions, recommendations and declarations contained in the Final Act of the Conference.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
INDIA	30	December 1954	5 May	1958
IRAN			3 April	1968 <i>a</i>
IRELAND			14 August	1967 <i>a</i>
ISRAEL			1 August	1957 <i>a</i>
ITALY	4	June 1954	12 February	1958
JAMAICA			11 November	1963 <i>d</i>
JAPAN	2	December 1954	7 September	1955
JORDAN			18 December	1957 <i>a</i>
LEBANON			16 March	1971 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG	6	December 1954	21 November	1956
MALAYSIA			7 May	1958 <i>d</i>
MALI			1 August	1973 <i>a</i>
MALTA			3 January	1966 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS			18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
MEXICO	4	June 1954	13 June	1957
MONACO	4	June 1954		
MOROCCO			25 September	1957 <i>a</i>
NEPAL			21 September	1960 <i>a</i>
NETHERLANDS ⁴	4	June 1954	7 March	1958
NEW ZEALAND			17 August	1962 <i>a</i>
NIGERIA			26 June	1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY			10 October	1961 <i>a</i>
PANAMA	4	June 1954		
PERU			16 January	1959 <i>a</i>
PHILIPPINES	4	June 1954	9 February	1960
POLAND			16 March	1960 <i>a</i>
PORTUGAL	4	June 1954	18 September	1958
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{4a}			31 January	1956 <i>a</i>
ROMANIA			26 January	1961 <i>a</i>
RWANDA			1 December	1964 <i>d</i>
SENEGAL			19 April	1972 <i>a</i>
SIERRA LEONE			13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SINGAPORE			22 November	1966 <i>d</i>
SPAIN	4	June 1954	18 August	1958
SRI LANKA	4	June 1954	28 November	1955
SWEDEN	4	June 1954	11 June	1957
SWITZERLAND	4	June 1954	23 May	1956
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC			26 March	1959 ⁵
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO			11 April	1966 <i>d</i>
TUNISIA			20 June	1974 <i>a</i>
UGANDA			15 April	1965 <i>a</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS			17 August	1959 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	4	June 1954	27 February	1956
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TAN- ZANIA			22 June	1964 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	4	June 1954	25 July	1956
URUGUAY	4	June 1954	8 September	1967
YUGOSLAVIA			10 July	1958 <i>a</i>

Declarations and Reservations

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria reserves the right, notwithstanding article 1 of the said Convention, not to regard as tourists persons who, in the course of their visit, accept any paid employment.

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 21 of the said Convention concerning compulsory arbitration and declares that the agreement of all the parties in dispute is required for the submission of each individual dispute to arbitration.

⁴The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Kingdom of the Netherlands ratifies the Convention for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea.

^{4a} See note 4b, p. 54.

⁵ Notification by the United Arab Republic. See footnote 3, p. 3.

BULGARIA⁶

... The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound with respect to the arbitration referred to in article 21, paragraphs 2 and 3.

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 21 of the Convention.

DENMARK

Notwithstanding the provisions of article 3 of this Convention, the Scandinavian countries shall be permitted to make special rules applicable to persons residing in those countries.

EGYPT

"The Delegation of Egypt reserves its Government's right to withhold the advantages provided for by the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring from any person who, while visiting Egypt as a tourist, takes up employment with or without pay."

FINLAND

"(i) Notwithstanding the provisions of article 3 the Government of Finland shall be permitted to make special rules applicable to persons residing in the Scandinavian countries;

"(ii) Taking into account the relevant provisions in the Finnish legislation the Government of Finland apply the rule in article 10, paragraph 2 so far as subparagraph *c* is concerned to tourists under 21 years of age."

GHANA

"(1) That exemption on arms and ammunition included in article 2(3) of the Convention shall not be applicable to Ghana.

"(2) That the authorisation contained in article 4(b) of the Convention, to export travel souvenirs of a total value not exceeding 100 USA dollars, without the formalities applying to Exchange Control and without payment of export duties shall not apply to Ghana."

GUATEMALA

"The Guatemalan Government reserves the right:

"(1) Not to consider as tourists persons who enter the country for business as provided in article 1.

"(2) Not to accept the provisions of article 19 in respect of territories in dispute which are under the *de facto* administration of another State."

⁶ The Governments of Italy and Switzerland have notified the Secretary-General that they object to this reservation. The Government of the United States of America has notified the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation, but "considers that it may, and hereby states that it will, apply the aforesaid reservation reciprocally with respect to Bulgaria".

HAITI

The Delegation of Haiti reserves its Government's right to withhold the advantages provided for by the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring from any person who, while visiting Haiti as a tourist, accepts any paid employment or engages in any other form of gainful occupation.

HUNGARY

"... The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the terms of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 21 of the Convention."

POLAND⁷

1. The Government of the People's Republic of Poland reserves the right not to apply the provisions of article 4 of the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring.

2. Notwithstanding article 21 of the Convention, a dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the States parties to the dispute, whose consent is needed for the appointment of an arbitrator or arbitrators.

ROMANIA⁸

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 21, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention. The position of the Romanian People's Republic is that a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute and that only persons nominated by unanimous agreement of the parties in dispute may act as arbitrators.

SENEGAL

1. The Government of the Republic of Senegal reserves the right to withhold the benefits of the provisions of the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring from any person who, while visiting Senegal as a tourist takes any employment paid or not;

2. The Government of the Republic of Senegal reserves the right:

a) Not to consider as tourists persons who enter the country for business as provided in article 1.

b) Not to accept the provisions of article 19 in respect of territories in dispute which are under the *de facto* administration of another State.

SWEDEN

"Notwithstanding the provisions of article 3 of the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring,

⁷ The Governments of Italy and Switzerland have notified the Secretary-General that they object to these reservations.

⁸ The Governments of Switzerland and the Republic of Viet-Nam informed the Secretary-General that they object to this reservation. The Government of the United States of America informed the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation but "considers that it may and hereby states that it will apply this reservation reciprocally with respect to Romania".

the Scandinavian countries shall be permitted to make special rules applicable to persons residing in those countries."

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC

Reserving "the right of the Government to deny the privileges and facilities provided in the said Convention, to any tourist who takes up any job—paid or unpaid—during his stay in the country".

TUNISIA

A dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute.

UGANDA

"Article 2

"The Government of Uganda shall be bound by Article 2 provided that a tourist's stay in the East African Territories does not exceed six months, but shall not be bound by Article 2 in so far as it refers to portable gramophones with records, portable sound recording apparatus, portable wireless receiving sets, tents and other camping equipment, fishing outfits, non-powered bicycles, skis, tennis racquets and other similar articles if the period of stay in the Territories does not exceed six months, but undertakes to allow the temporary importation of these articles in accordance with the temporary importation permit procedure.

"Article 3

"The Government of Uganda shall not be bound by Article 3 but undertakes to grant reasonable concessions.

"Article 4

"The Government of Uganda shall not be bound by Article 4 and reserves the right to require that such goods shall be dealt with in accordance with the temporary importation permit procedure."

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS⁹

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, considering that disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring can be decided by arbitration, declares that a dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute and that only persons nominated by unanimous agreement of the parties in dispute may act as arbitrators.

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA¹⁰

"The Government of the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar [Tanzania] shall not be bound by article 3 of the Convention, but undertakes to grant reasonable concessions in respect of the items referred to therein."

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
BELGIUM	21 February 1955	Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi, with reservations. ¹¹
NEW ZEALAND	21 May 1963	Cook Islands (including Niue).
PORTUGAL	18 September 1958	Overseas Provinces.
UNITED KINGDOM	7 August 1957	North Borneo, Cyprus, Fiji, Jamaica, Federation of Malaya, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somaliland Protectorate, Tonga and Zanzibar; and Malta with reservation. ¹²

⁹ The Governments of Italy and Switzerland have notified the Secretary-General that they object to this reservation. The Government of the United States of America has notified the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation, but "considers that it may and hereby states that it will apply this reservation reciprocally with respect to the Soviet Union". The Government of Yugoslavia has informed the Secretary-General that it does not object to this reservation subject to the provisions of paragraph 7 of article 20 of the Convention.

¹⁰ In a communication received on 2 August 1965, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General that, in accordance with paragraph 7 of article 20 and paragraph 7 of article 14, respectively, of the Convention and Additional Protocol, Portugal reserves the right of not extending to the United Republic of Tanzania the benefit of those provisions of the Convention and the Additional Protocol to which apply the reservations made upon accession by the United Republic of Tanzania.

¹¹ This Convention is applicable to the Territory of the Belgian Congo and to the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi, subject to the following reservations:

(1) The temporary importation of firearms and their ammunition cannot be considered without a temporary importation document (article 2 of the Convention);

(2) The exemption in the case of wine, spirits, toilet water and perfume must continue to be limited to opened containers and subject, in the case of alcoholic beverages in particular, to the observance of the legal provisions in force (article 3 of the Convention);

(3) Worked ivory and objects of indigenous art must be excluded from the operation of the Convention (article 4).

The Government of Rwanda notified the Secretary-General of its succession to the Convention on 1 December 1964. Subsequently, in a communication received on 10 February 1965, the Government of Rwanda informed the Secretary-General that it did not intend to maintain any of the above-mentioned reservations.

¹² "The definition of 'Personal effects' contained in paragraph 3 of article 2 of the Convention shall not include 'one portable wireless set'."

On 3 January 1966, the Government of Malta notified the Secretary-General of its succession to the Convention. In a communication received on 28 February 1966, the Government of Malta notified the Secretary-General that it did not intend to maintain the said reservation, which had been made on its behalf by the Government of the United Kingdom at the time of the notification of the extension of the Convention to Malta.

Territorial application (*continued*)UNITED KINGDOM (*continued*)

14 January	1958	Brunei, Antigua, Mauritius, Sarawak, Dominica, Bermuda, Gambia, Montserrat, Federation of Nigeria, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Gibraltar, Virgin Islands, St. Helena, Grenada, St. Vincent; and Kenya, Uganda and Tanganyika with reservations. ¹³
16 June	1959	Barbados.
12 September	1960	British Honduras.
11 November	1960	Hong Kong.
9 January	1961	St. Christopher, Nevis and Anguilla.
15 September	1961	Trinidad and Tobago.
5 February	1962	British Guiana.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 25 July 1956 Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.

¹³“(i) The Governments of Kenya, Uganda and Tanganyika shall not be bound by article 2 of the Convention in so far as it refers to portable musical instruments, portable gramophones with records, portable sound-recording apparatus, non-powered bicycles and sporting firearms with cartridges, but undertake to allow the temporary importation of these articles in accordance with the temporary importation permit procedure.

“(ii) The Governments of Kenya, Uganda and Tanganyika shall not be bound by article 3 of the Convention but under-

take to grant reasonable concessions in respect of the items referred to therein.

“(iii) The Governments of Kenya, Uganda and Tanganyika shall not be bound by article 4 of the Convention and reserve the right to require a temporary importation permit in respect of the articles referred to therein.”

For the reservations made on accession by the Governments of Uganda and the United Republic of Tanzania, see p. 285.

7. Additional Protocol to the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring, relating to the Importation of Tourist Publicity Documents and Material

Done at New York on 4 June 1954¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 28 June 1956, in accordance with article 10.

REGISTRATION: 11 September 1957, No. 3992.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 276, p. 191.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
ALGERIA			31 October	1963 <i>a</i>
ARGENTINA	4 June	1954		
AUSTRALIA			6 January	1967 <i>a</i>
AUSTRIA	4 June	1954	30 March	1956
BARBADOS			5 March	1971 <i>d</i>
BELGIUM	4 June	1954	21 February	1955
BULGARIA			7 October	1959 <i>a</i>
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE .			15 October	1962 <i>a</i>
CHILE ..			15 August	1974 <i>a</i>
COSTA RICA	20 July	1954	4 September	1963
CUBA ..	4 June	1954	29 June	1964
CYPRUS			16 May	1963 <i>d</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA			8 March	1967 <i>a</i>
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA .	4 June	1954		
DENMARK			13 October	1955 <i>a</i>
ECUADOR	4 June	1954	30 August	1962
EGYPT	4 June	1954	4 April	1957
EL SALVADOR			18 June	1958 <i>a</i>
FIJI ^{1a}			31 October	1972 <i>a</i>
FINLAND			21 June	1962 <i>a</i>
FRANCE	4 June	1954	24 April	1959
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	4 June	1954	16 September	1957
GHANA ..			16 June	1958 <i>a</i>
GREECE ^{2a}			15 January	1974 <i>a</i>
HAITI	4 June	1954	12 February	1958
HOLY SEE	4 June	1954		
HONDURAS	15 June	1954		
HUNGARY			29 October	1963 <i>a</i>
INDIA			15 February	1957 <i>a</i>
IRAN			3 April	1968 <i>a</i>
IRELAND			14 August	1967 <i>a</i>
ISRAEL			1 August	1957 <i>a</i>
ITALY	4 June	1954	12 February	1958
JAMAICA			11 November	1963 <i>d</i>
JAPAN	2 December	1954	7 September	1955
JORDAN			18 December	1957 <i>a</i>
LEBANON			16 March	1971 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG	6 December	1954	21 November	1956
MALAYSIA			7 May	1958 <i>d</i>
MALI			11 June	1974 <i>a</i>
MALTA			29 July	1968 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS			18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
MEXICO	4 June	1954	13 June	1957
MONACO	4 June	1954		
MOROCCO			25 September	1957 <i>a</i>

¹ See footnote 1, p. 282.

^{1a} With a reservation, the text of which is reproduced on p. 288. In this connexion, see article 14 (3) of the Protocol.

² See footnote 3, p. 282.

^{2a} See footnote 3a, page 282.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
NEPAL			21 September	1960 <i>a</i>
NETHERLANDS ³	4 June	1954	7 March	1958
NEW ZEALAND			17 August	1962 <i>a</i>
NIGERIA			26 June	1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY			10 October	1961 <i>a</i>
PANAMA	4 June	1954		
PERU			16 January	1959 <i>a</i>
PHILIPPINES	4 June	1954	9 February	1960
POLAND			16 March	1960 <i>a</i>
PORTUGAL			18 September	1958 <i>a</i>
ROMANIA			26 January	1961 <i>a</i>
RWANDA			1 December	1964 <i>d</i>
SENEGAL			19 April	1972 <i>a</i>
SIERRA LEONE			13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SINGAPORE			22 November	1966 <i>d</i>
SPAIN			5 September	1958 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	4 June	1954	11 June	1957
SWITZERLAND	4 June	1954	23 May	1956
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC			26 March	1959 ⁴
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO			11 April	1966 <i>d</i>
TUNISIA			20 June	1974 <i>a</i>
UGANDA			16 April	1965 <i>a</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS			17 August	1959 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM ⁵	4 June	1954	27 February	1956
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA			22 June	1964 <i>a</i>
URUGUAY	4 June	1954		
YUGOSLAVIA			10 July	1958 <i>a</i>

Declarations and Reservations⁶

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 15 of the Protocol concerning compulsory arbitration and declares that the agreement of all the parties in dispute is required for the submission of each individual dispute to arbitration.

BULGARIA⁷

... The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound with respect to the arbitration referred to in article 15, paragraphs 2 and 3.

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 15 of the Protocol.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

... The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic shall not be bound by any provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 15.

FIJI

"Fiji shall not be bound by Article 2 of the Additional Protocol in so far as it refers to unframed photographs and unframed photographic enlargements; but undertakes to allow the temporary duty and tax free admission of these articles under the provisions applicable to Article 3 of the Protocol."

HUNGARY

"... The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the terms of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 15 of the Protocol."

³ The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Kingdom of the Netherlands ratifies the Additional Protocol for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea

⁴ Notification by the United Arab Republic. See footnote 3, p. 3.

⁵ In a notification received on 4 March 1959, the Government of the United Kingdom gave notice of the withdrawal of the reservation to article 2 and informed the Secretary-General that "...the United Kingdom has been giving full effect to article 2 of the Additional Protocol since the 1st of

January 1959...". For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 276, p. 204.

⁶ In a communication received on 16 September 1968, the Government of Japan notified the Secretary-General that, in accordance with paragraph 7 of article 14 of the Protocol, it "reserves the right of not extending to the States making reservations the benefit of the provisions to which such reservations apply".

⁷ The Governments of Italy and Switzerland have notified the Secretary-General that they object to this reservation.

MALTA

“Notwithstanding article 3 of the Additional Protocol the duty-free temporary importation into Malta of display material (e.g., showcases, stands and similar articles), sound recordings and flags, shall be subject to the making of a deposit with the Comptroller of Customs equivalent to the amount of duty payable on the goods allowed to be temporarily imported or to the giving of a security for such duty.”

POLAND⁸

Notwithstanding article 15 of the Protocol, a dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the States parties to the dispute, whose consent is needed for the appointment of an arbitrator or arbitrators.

ROMANIA⁸

The Romanian People’s Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 15, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Additional Protocol. The position of the Romanian People’s Republic is that a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Additional Protocol may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute and that only persons nominated by unanimous agreement of the parties in dispute may act as arbitrators.

TUNISIA

A dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute.

UGANDA

“Notwithstanding Articles 2, 3 and 4, the Government of Uganda reserves the right to require temporary importation permits in respect of any item specified therein which may be or become dutiable at any time.”

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, considering that disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Additional Protocol to the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring can be decided by arbitration, declares that a dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute and only persons nominated by unanimous agreement of the parties in dispute may act as arbitrators.

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA⁹

“Notwithstanding articles 2, 3 and 4 of the Additional Protocol, the Government of the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar [Tanzania] reserves the right to require temporary importation permits in respect of any item specified therein which may at any time be dutiable.”

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
BELGIUM	21 February 1955	Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi.
NEW ZEALAND	21 May 1963	Cook Islands (including Niue).
PORTUGAL	18 September 1958	Overseas Provinces.
UNITED KINGDOM	7 August 1957	North Borneo, Cyprus, Jamaica, Federation of Malaya, Malta, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somaliland Protectorate, Tonga and Zanzibar.
	14 January 1958	Brunei, Antigua, Mauritius, Sarawak, St. Vincent, Gambia, Montserrat, Federation of Nigeria, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Gibraltar, Virgin Islands, Grenada, St. Helena and Dominica; and Kenya, Uganda and Tanganyika with reservations ¹⁰
	16 June 1959	Barbados.
	12 September 1960	British Honduras.
	11 November 1960	Hong Kong.
	9 January 1961	St. Christopher, Nevis and Anguilla.
	15 September 1961	Trinidad and Tobago.
	5 February 1962	British Guiana.

⁸ The Government of Switzerland has notified the Secretary-General that it objects to this reservation.

⁹ In a communication received on 2 August 1965, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General that, in accordance with paragraph 7 of article 20 and paragraph 7 of article 14, respectively, of the Convention and Additional Protocol, Portugal reserves the right of not extending to the United Republic of Tanzania the benefit of those provisions of the Convention and the Additional Protocol to which apply

the reservations made upon accession by the United Republic of Tanzania.

¹⁰ With the following reservation: “Notwithstanding articles 2, 3 and 4 of the Additional Protocol, the Governments of Kenya, Uganda and Tanganyika reserve the right to require temporary importation permits in respect of any item specified therein which may at any time be dutiable.”

For the reservations made by Uganda and the United Republic of Tanzania upon accession, see above.

8. Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Private Road Vehicles

Done at New York on 4 June 1954¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 December 1957, in accordance with article 35.

REGISTRATION: 15 December 1957, No. 4101.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 282, p. 249.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
ALGERIA			31 October	1963 <i>a</i>
ARGENTINA	4 June	1954	6 January	1967 <i>a</i>
AUSTRALIA			30 March	1956
AUSTRIA	4 June	1954	5 March	1971 <i>d</i>
BARBADOS			21 February	1955
BELGIUM	4 June	1954	7 October	1959 <i>a</i>
BULGARIA			1 June	1955 <i>a</i>
CANADA			15 October	1962 <i>a</i>
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE ..			15 August	1974 <i>a</i>
CHILE			4 September	1963
COSTA RICA	20 July	1954	20 November	1963
CUBA	4 June	1954	16 May	1963 <i>d</i>
CYPRUS				
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA ..	4 June	1954	13 October	1955 <i>a</i>
DENMARK				
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	4 June	1954	30 August	1962
ECUADOR	4 June	1954	4 April	1957
EGYPT	4 June	1954	18 June	1958 <i>a</i>
EL SALVADOR			31 October	1972 <i>d</i>
FIJI			21 June	1962 <i>a</i>
FINLAND			24 April	1959
FRANCE	4 June	1954		
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	4 June	1954	16 September	1957
GHANA			16 June	1958 <i>a</i>
GUATEMALA	4 June	1954		
HAITI	4 June	1954	12 February	1958
HOLY SEE	4 June	1954		
HONDURAS	15 June	1954		
INDIA	4 June	1954	5 May	1958
IRAN			3 April	1968 <i>a</i>
IRELAND			14 August	1967 <i>a</i>
ISRAEL			1 August	1957 <i>a</i>
ITALY	4 June	1954	12 February	1958
JAMAICA			11 November	1963 <i>d</i>
JAPAN	2 December	1954	8 June	1964
JORDAN			18 December	1957 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG	6 December	1954	21 November	1956
MALAYSIA			7 May	1958 <i>d</i>
MALI			12 June	1974 <i>a</i>
MALTA			3 January	1966 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS			18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
MEXICO	4 June	1954	13 June	1957
MONACO	4 June	1954		
MOROCCO			25 September	1957 <i>a</i>
NEPAL			21 September	1960 <i>a</i>
NETHERLANDS ³	4 June	1954	7 March	1958

¹ See footnote 1, p. 282.

² See footnote 3, p. 282.

³ The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Kingdom of the Netherlands ratifies the Convention for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
NEW ZEALAND			17 August	1962 <i>a</i>
NIGERIA			26 June	1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY			10 October	1961 <i>a</i>
PANAMA	4 June	1954		
PERU			16 January	1959 <i>a</i>
PHILIPPINES	4 June	1954	9 February	1960
POLAND			16 March	1960 <i>a</i>
PORTUGAL	4 June	1954	18 September	1958
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{3a}			31 January	1956 <i>a</i>
ROMANIA			26 January	1961 <i>a</i>
RWANDA			1 December	1964 <i>d</i>
SENEGAL			19 April	1972 <i>a</i>
SIERRA LEONE			13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SINGAPORE			15 August	1966 <i>d</i>
SPAIN	4 June	1954	18 August	1958
SRI LANKA	4 June	1954	28 November	1955
SWEDEN	4 June	1954	11 June	1957
SWITZERLAND	4 June	1954	23 May	1956
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC			26 March	1959 ⁴
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO			11 April	1966 <i>d</i>
TUNISIA			20 June	1974 <i>a</i>
UGANDA			15 April	1965 <i>a</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS			17 August	1959 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	4 June	1954	27 February	1956
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA			28 November	1962 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	4 June	1954	25 July	1956
URUGUAY	4 June	1954		
YUGOSLAVIA			10 July	1958 <i>a</i>

Declarations and Reservations

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by article 40 of the said Convention and declares that a dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties.

BULGARIA⁵

... The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound with respect to the arbitration referred to in article 40, paragraphs 2 and 3.

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 40 of the Convention. At the same time it states that, if this reservation is rejected by more than two-thirds of the Parties to the Convention, it will

consider that the Convention has not been ratified by the Revolutionary Government of Cuba, in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 3 of article 39.

EL SALVADOR

In connexion with article 4, El Salvador reserves its rights with respect to the temporary importation of component parts for the repair of motor vehicles in view of the fact that such component parts may be difficult to identify when taken out of the country; it therefore considers that payment of the taxes prescribed by the law should be made in such cases. The same reservation is made in connexion with other articles of the Convention which refer to component parts for repairs.

GUATEMALA

"The Guatemalan Government reserves its right:

"(1) To consider that the provisions of the Convention shall apply solely to natural persons and not to legal persons and bodies corporate as provided in chapter I, article 1;

"(2) To consider that article 4 shall not be applicable to Guatemala;

"(3) Not to accept the provisions of article 38 in respect of territories in dispute which are under the *de facto* administration of another State."

^{3a} See note 4b, p. 54.

⁴ Notification by the United Arab Republic. See footnote 3, p. 3.

⁵ The Governments of Italy and Switzerland notified the apply the aforesaid reservation reciprocally with respect to but "considers that it may, and hereby states that it will, Secretary-General that they object to this reservation. The Government of the United States of America has notified the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation, Bulgaria".

INDIA

With reference to article 1 (e):

"The Government of India reserves the right to exclude 'legal' persons from the categories of persons to whom concessions envisaged in this Convention are applicable."

With reference to article 2:

"Notwithstanding the provisions of article 2 of this Convention, the Government of India reserves the right to exclude from the benefits of this article persons normally resident outside India who, on the occasion of a temporary visit to India, take up paid employment or any other form of gainful occupation."

ISRAEL

"Article 4, paragraph 1

"The Government of Israel shall not be bound to admit without payment of import duties and import taxes the importation of component parts of the repair of vehicles temporarily imported; likewise, import prohibitions and restrictions in force at the time being in Israel may be applied to the importation of such component parts."

"Article 24, paragraphs 1 and 2

"In view of the fact that land frontiers with neighbouring States are closed at the present time and that, consequently, private road vehicles may not be re-exported except through an Israel port, the Government of Israel shall not be bound to accept as evidence of re-exportation of vehicles or component parts thereof, any of the documents referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 24."

MEXICO

"The Delegation of Mexico, in accordance with the declaration duly made when the matter was under discussion in Working Party I, reserves its rights with regard to article 4, which authorizes the temporary importation of component parts for the repair of motor vehicles. The Delegation cannot agree to this article because the procedure in question is contrary to the legislation of its country, and because such spare parts do not usually have the specifications which would permit of their identification on exit. In the Delegation's opinion, this procedure would be prejudicial to the country's fiscal interests, because in this way it would be possible to import new spare parts without payment of duty by re-exporting old parts belonging to a vehicle not the tourist's own. It has therefore been considered more appropriate that in such cases the proper duty should be paid.

"The same reservation is made with regard to other articles of this Convention which refer to component parts for making repairs."

POLAND⁶

Notwithstanding article 40 of the Convention, a dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the States parties to the dispute, whose consent is needed for the appointment of an arbitrator or arbitrators.

⁶ The Government of Switzerland has notified the Secretary-General that it objects to this reservation.

ROMANIA⁷

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 40, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention. The position of the Romanian People's Republic is that a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute and that only persons nominated by unanimous agreement of the parties in dispute may act as arbitrators.

SENEGAL

1. Notwithstanding the provisions of article 2 of the said Convention, the Government of the Republic of Senegal reserves to itself the right to exclude from the benefits of the said article persons normally resident outside Senegal who, on the occasion of a temporary visit to Senegal take up paid employment or any form of gainful occupation;

2. The Government of the Republic of Senegal reserves the right:

a) To consider that the provisions of the Convention shall apply solely to natural persons and not to legal persons and bodies corporate as provided in chapter 1, article 1;

b) To consider that article 4 shall not be applicable to its territory;

c) Not to accept the provisions of article 38 in respect of territories in dispute which are under the de facto administration of another State.

SRI LANKA

"Notwithstanding the provisions of article 2 of this Convention, the Government of Ceylon reserves to itself the right to exclude from the benefits of this article persons normally resident outside Ceylon who, on the occasion of a temporary visit to Ceylon, take up paid employment or any other form of gainful occupation."

TUNISIA

A dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS⁸

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, considering that disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Private Road Vehicles can be decided by arbitration, declares that a dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute and that only persons nominated by unanimous agreement of the parties in dispute may act as arbitrators.

⁷ The Government of Switzerland has notified the Secretary-General that it objects to this reservation. The Government of the United States of America has notified the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation, but "considers that it may and hereby states that it will apply this reservation reciprocally with respect to Romania".

⁸ The Governments of Italy and Switzerland have notified the Secretary-General that they object to this reservation. The Government of the United States of America has notified the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation, but "considers that it may and hereby states that it will apply this reservation reciprocally with respect to the Soviet Union".

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
BELGIUM	21 February 1955	Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi, with reservations. ⁹
NEW ZEALAND	21 May 1963	Cook Islands (including Niue).
PORTUGAL	18 September 1958	Overseas Provinces.
UNITED KINGDOM	7 August 1957	North Borneo, Cyprus, Fiji, Jamaica, Federation of Malaya, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somaliland Protectorate, Tonga and Zanzibar; and Malta with reservation. ¹⁰
	14 January 1958	Brunei, Antigua, Mauritius, Sarawak, Kenya, Dominica, Gambia, Montserrat, Federation of Nigeria, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, St. Helena, Uganda, Gibraltar, Virgin Islands, Grenada, St. Vincent, Tanganyika.
	16 June 1959	Barbados.
	12 September 1960	British Honduras.
	11 November 1960	Hong Kong.
	9 January 1961	St. Christopher, Nevis and Anguilla.
	15 September 1961	Trinidad and Tobago.
	5 February 1962	British Guiana.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	25 July 1956	Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.

⁹ With regard to the application to the Territory of the Belgian Congo and to the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi of the Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Private Road Vehicles, concluded at New York on 4 June 1954, the Belgian Government considers that in present circumstances the system of free international circulation of motor vehicles should not be extended to legal persons. Temporary admittance without payment should not be granted in respect of component parts imported for the repair of a vehicle covered by free circulation papers.

The latter restriction does not, of course, apply to component parts accompanying vehicles when they are listed in the counterfoil of the international circulation document.

The Government of Rwanda notified the Secretary-General of its succession to the Convention on 1 December 1964. Subsequently, in a communication received on 10 February 1965, the Government of Rwanda informed the Secretary-General that it did not intend to maintain any of the above-mentioned reservations.

¹⁰ "Article 4 of the Convention shall not apply to Malta." On 3 January 1966, the Government of Malta notified the Secretary-General of its succession to the Convention. In a communication received on 28 February 1966, the Government of Malta notified the Secretary-General that it did not intend to maintain the said reservation, which had been made on its behalf by the Government of the United Kingdom at the time of the notification of the extension of the Convention to Malta.

9. Customs Convention on Containers, with annexes and Protocol of Signature

Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 4 August 1949, in accordance with article 13.

REGISTRATION: 4 August 1959, No. 4834.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 338, p. 103.

State	Signature		Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)	
ALGERIA			31 October	1963 a
AUSTRALIA			6 January	1967 a
AUSTRIA	18 May	1956	13 November	1957
BELGIUM	18 May	1956	27 May	1960
BULGARIA			18 January	1960 a
CANADA			8 September	1972 a
CUBA			4 August	1965 a
CZECHOSLOVAKIA			31 May	1962 a
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA ..			4 August	1959 a
DENMARK			3 September	1965 a
FINLAND			15 June	1961 a
FRANCE	18 May	1956	20 May	1959
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ¹ ..	18 May	1956	23 October	1961
GREECE			12 September	1961 a
HUNGARY	18 May	1956	23 July	1957
IRELAND			7 July	1967 a
ISRAEL			14 November	1967 a
ITALY ..	18 May	1956	29 March	1962
JAMAICA			11 November	1963 d
JAPAN			14 May	1971 a
LIECHTENSTEIN ²			7 July	1960
LUXEMBOURG	18 May	1956	25 October	1960
MALAWI			24 May	1969 a
MAURITIUS			18 July	1969 d
NETHERLANDS ³	18 May	1956	27 July	1960
NORWAY			22 November	1961 a
POLAND	18 May	1956	6 May	1959
PORTUGAL			1 May	1964 a
ROMANIA			1 November	1967 a
SIERRA LEONE			13 March	1962 d
SPAIN			21 January	1959 a
SWEDEN	18 May	1956	11 August	1959
SWITZERLAND ²	18 May	1956	7 July	1960
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO			11 April	1966 d
UNITED KINGDOM	18 May	1956	23 May	1958
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON ..			24 September	1963 a
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			3 December	1968 a
YUGOSLAVIA			9 March	1961 a

¹ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 30 November 1961, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "will also apply to Land Berlin, as from the date on which it will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

² On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention will apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein, so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a custom union treaty.

³ The signature was affixed for the Kingdom in Europe. The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Convention is ratified for the Kingdom in Europe, the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea.

Declarations and Reservations

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 17 of the said Convention relating to compulsory arbitration.

BULGARIA

... With respect to article 17, paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Convention... the People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound in the matter of compulsory arbitration.

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 17 of this Convention.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"... In accordance with article 18, paragraph 1 of the Convention, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic will not be bound by the provisions of article 17 of the Convention."

DENMARK⁴

"Pursuant to article 5 in the prevailing Danish Customs Act, the Danish customs area does not comprise Faroe Islands and Greenland. The acceptance of the Convention by Denmark, therefore, applies only to the Danish customs area as defined in the said article."

POLAND

The Government of the People's Republic of Poland does not consider itself bound by article 17 of the Convention.

ROMANIA

The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 17, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention.

The position of the Socialist Republic of Romania is that a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention can be submitted to arbitration only with the consent of all the parties in dispute.

The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance of the state of dependence of certain territories to which the provisions of article 16 of the Convention apply is not in accordance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December 1960 in resolution 1514 (XV), which proclaims the need to put an end to colonialism in all its forms and manifestations immediately and unconditionally.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"In accordance with paragraph 1 of article 16 of the Convention, the said Convention shall extend to the customs territory of the United States [which at the present time includes the States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico]."

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	23 May 1958	The Isle of Man, Jersey and the Bailiwick of Guernsey.
	19 October 1959	Antigua, Barbados, Bermuda, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Brunei, Cyprus, Dominica, Falkland Islands, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony, Grenada, Jamaica, Mauritius, Montserrat, North Borneo, St. Christopher, Nevis and Anguilla, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Sarawak, Sierra Leone, State of Singapore, Trinidad and Tobago, Zanzibar.
	12 December 1974	Hong Kong
AUSTRALIA	3 January 1968	The Territories of Papua, Norfolk Island, Christmas Island, Cocos (Keeling) Islands and the Trust Territory of New Guinea.

⁴ The Working Party on Customs Questions affecting Transport of the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe included the following statement in the report on its Twenty-second session, adopted on 3 September 1965 document TRANS/304-TRANS/WP30/98, paragraph 52): "With regard to the accession of Denmark to the Convention [Customs Convention on Containers, done at Geneva

on 18 May 1956], the Working Party noted that its intention, in preparing the Convention, had always been to allow Denmark to become a party to that instrument only in respect of the Danish Customs zone, which, under the Danish Customs laws, did not include the Faroe Islands and Greenland, and that in its opinion the matter was covered by the principles set forth in article 16 of the Convention"

10. Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Commercial Road Vehicles, with Annexes and Protocol of Signature

Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 8 April 1959, in accordance with article 34.

REGISTRATION: 8 April 1959, No. 4721.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 327, p. 123.

State	Signature		Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)	
ALGERIA			31 October	1963 a
AUSTRIA	18 May	1956	13 November	1957
BELGIUM	18 May	1956	18 February	1963
BULGARIA			7 October	1959 a
CUBA			16 September	1965 a
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA . .			8 April	1959 a
DENMARK			8 January	1959 a
FINLAND			23 May	1967 a
FRANCE	18 May	1956	20 May	1959
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ¹	18 May	1956	23 October	1961
GREECE			12 September	1961 a
HUNGARY	18 May	1956	23 July	1957
IRELAND			26 July	1967 a
ITALY	18 May	1956	29 March	1962
LIECHTENSTEIN ²			7 July	1960
LUXEMBOURG	18 May	1956	28 January	1964
NETHERLANDS ³	18 May	1956	27 July	1960
NORWAY			11 July	1966 a
POLAND	18 May	1956	6 May	1959
PORTUGAL			8 May	1967 a
ROMANIA			7 January	1966 a
SIERRA LEONE			13 March	1962 d
SINGAPORE			15 August	1966 d
SPAIN			17 November	1958 a
SWEDEN	18 May	1956	16 January	1958
SWITZERLAND ²	18 May	1956	7 July	1960
UNITED KINGDOM	18 May	1956	30 July	1959
YUGOSLAVIA			12 June	1961 a

Declarations and Reservations

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 38 of the said Convention relating to the compulsory arbitration of the International Court of Justice.

BULGARIA

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 38 of the Convention relating to compulsory arbitration.

¹ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 30 November 1961, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "will also apply to Land Berlin, as of the date of its entry into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the

POLAND

The Government of the People's Republic of Poland does not consider itself bound by article 38 of the Convention.

ROMANIA

The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 38, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention, its position being that a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention can be submitted to arbitration only with the consent of all the Parties in dispute.

Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

² On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention will apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein, so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

³ The signature was affixed for the Kingdom in Europe

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension of</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	30 July 1959	The Isle of Man, Jersey and the Bailiwick of Guernsey.
	6 November 1959	Gibraltar, Brunei, Somaliland, North Borneo, Seychelles and Singapore.
	29 April 1960	Cyprus, Gambia.
	12 September 1960	Sierra Leone.
	21 September 1960	Hong Kong.
	19 July 1962	Kenya, Uganda.

11. Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation for Private Use of Aircraft and Pleasure Boats, with Annexes and Protocol of Signature

Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1959, in accordance with article 34.

REGISTRATION: 1 January 1959, No. 4630.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 319, p. 21.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
ALGERIA ¹			31 October	1963 <i>a</i>
AUSTRIA	18 May	1956	13 November	1957
BELGIUM	18 May	1956	18 February	1963
DENMARK			8 January	1959 <i>a</i>
FINLAND			30 September	1965 <i>a</i>
FRANCE	18 May	1956	20 May	1959
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	18 May	1956	23 October	1961
HUNGARY	18 May	1956	23 July	1957
ITALY	18 May	1956	29 March	1962
JAMAICA			11 November	1963 <i>d</i>
LIECHTENSTEIN ³			7 July	1960
LUXEMBOURG	18 May	1956	13 October	1964
MALTA			3 May	1966 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS			18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
NETHERLANDS ⁴	18 May	1956	27 July	1960
PORTUGAL			16 February	1965 <i>a</i>
SIERRA LEONE			13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SPAIN ⁵			2 October	1958 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	18 May	1956	16 January	1958
SWITZERLAND ³	18 May	1956	7 July	1960
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO			11 April	1966 <i>d</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	18 May	1956	3 October	1958
YUGOSLAVIA			29 January	1960 <i>a</i>

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension of</i>
FRANCE	14 December 1959	Overseas Territories (St. Pierre and Miquelon, French Somaliland, Comoro Archipelago, New Caledonia and Dependencies, French Polynesia).
FRANCE	28 December 1959	Condominium of the New Hebrides.
UNITED KINGDOM	23 December 1959	

¹ With a reservation that the Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 38 of the Convention relating to compulsory arbitration.

² In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 30 November 1961, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "will also apply to Land Berlin, as of the date of its entry into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said com-

munications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

³ On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention will also apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein, so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

⁴ The signature was affixed for the Kingdom in Europe. The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Convention is ratified for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea.

⁵ The Government of Spain had deposited an instrument of accession on 29 July 1958. On 2 October 1958, the Government of Spain withdrew the said instrument and deposited a new instrument of accession containing a declaration, made under paragraph 1 of article 39 of the Convention, that Spain does not consider itself bound by article 38 of the Convention.

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	3 October 1958	The Isle of Man, Jersey and the Bailiwick of Guernsey.
	13 May 1959	Aden, British Guiana, Brunei, Gambia, Gibraltar, Kenya, Leeward Islands (Antigua, Montserrat), North Borneo, St. Helena, Sarawak, Seychelles, Singapore, Somaliland Protectorate, Tanganyika, Uganda, Windward Islands (Dominica, Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent), Zanzibar, British Solomon Islands Protectorate; and Cyprus. ⁶
	15 September 1959	Jamaica.
	19 October 1959	Malta, Sierra Leone.
	12 May 1960	Hong Kong and Falkland Islands.
	12 January 1961	British Honduras.
	10 February 1961	Mauritius.
	8 May 1961	Trinidad and Tobago.

⁶ With the following note:

"It will involve amendment to Customs and Tariff Law which will be made at earliest opportunity. Facilities as provided by the Convention will be granted by administrative

action in respect of any importation that may be made between the date of extension of the Convention to Cyprus and the amendment of the law."

12. Customs Convention concerning Spare Parts used for repairing EUROP Wagons

Done at Geneva on 15 January 1958

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1961, in accordance with article 6.

REGISTRATION: 1 January 1961, No. 5503.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 383, p. 229.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</i>
AUSTRIA	20 February 1958	3 March 1959
BELGIUM	5 February 1958	10 September 1959
DENMARK ¹		5 February 1958 ^s
FRANCE	7 February 1958	19 August 1959
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	10 February 1958	21 October 1960
ITALY	5 February 1958	8 March 1960
LIECHTENSTEIN ³		7 July 1960
LUXEMBOURG	12 February 1958	19 February 1960
NETHERLANDS ⁴	7 February 1958	7 May 1959
SWITZERLAND ³	20 February 1958	7 July 1960

¹ The signature by Denmark was affixed subject to ratification. In a communication received on 16 May 1958, the Government of Denmark notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservation as to ratification.

² In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic stated that the Convention "will also apply to Land Berlin, as from the date on which the

Convention enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

³ On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention will apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein, so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

⁴ The signature was affixed for the Kingdom in Europe.

13. Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets (TIR Convention), with Annexes¹ and Protocol of Signature

Done at Geneva on 15 January 1959

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 January 1960, in accordance with article 40.

REGISTRATION: 7 January 1960, No. 4996.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 348, p. 13, vol. 481, p. 598 (Amendment 1),¹ and vol. 566, p. 356 (Amendment 2).¹

State	Signature	Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)
AFGHANISTAN		11 October 1971 a
ALBANIA		1 October 1969 a
AUSTRIA	15 February 1959	3 February 1960
BELGIUM	4 March 1959	14 March 1962
BULGARIA		15 April 1959 s
CANADA		26 November 1974 a
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		31 August 1961 a
DENMARK		15 April 1959 s
FINLAND		14 June 1960 a
FRANCE	14 April 1959	3 July 1959
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		24 October 1975 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	13 April 1959	23 October 1961
GREECE		2 May 1961 a
HUNGARY		6 December 1961 a
IRAN		25 May 1971 a
IRELAND		7 July 1967 a
ISRAEL		31 October 1969 a
ITALY	15 April 1959	11 January 1963
JAPAN		14 May 1971 a
JORDAN		8 November 1973 a
LIECHTENSTEIN ³		
LUXEMBOURG	14 April 1959	3 July 1962
MOROCCO		10 October 1975 a

¹ Annexes 3 and 6 to the Convention were modified by agreement between the competent administrations of all the Contracting Parties, in accordance with the procedure provided in article 47, paragraph 4 of the Convention. Amendment 1 (amendment to article 5 of annex 3) entered into force on 19 November 1963; for the text, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 481, p. 598. Amendment 2 (amendments to articles 2 and 5 of annex 3, and article 5 of annex 6) entered into force on 1 July 1966; for the text, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 566, p. 356. For the text of the Convention incorporating these amendments, see document E/ECE/332(E/ECE/TRANS/510)Rev.1.

In a communication received on 12 June 1974, the Government of Austria requested, in accordance with article 46(1) of the Convention, that a conference be convened for the purpose of reviewing the latter. That request was notified by the Secretary-General to all States concerned on 28 June 1974, and the required number of States have expressed their concurrence with the said request within the four-month period provided for by article 46(1).

² In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 1 December 1961, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "will also apply to Land Berlin as from the date of its entry into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the

Governments of Albania, the Byelorussian SSR, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the one hand and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

In this regard, the following declaration was made by the Government of the German Democratic Republic upon accession:

As regards the application of the Convention to Berlin (West) the German Democratic Republic notes in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic of 3 September 1971 that Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and may not be governed by it. Accordingly, the statement of the Federal Republic of Germany to the effect that this Convention also applies to the "Land Berlin" is incompatible with the Quadripartite Agreement.

³ On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention will apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein, so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

State	Signature		Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)	
NETHERLANDS	9 April	1959	27 July	1960
NORWAY			2 March	1960 <i>a</i>
POLAND			3 October	1961 <i>a</i>
PORTUGAL			6 June	1966 <i>a</i>
ROMANIA			9 April	1964 <i>a</i>
SPAIN			12 May	1961 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN			14 April	1959 <i>s</i>
SWITZERLAND ³	12 March	1959	7 July	1960
TURKEY			23 February	1966 <i>a</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS			20 February	1974 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM ⁴	13 April	1959	9 October	1959
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			3 December	1968 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA			23 August	1960 <i>a</i>

Declarations and Reservations

ALBANIA

The Government of the People's Republic of Albania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention which provide for compulsory arbitration to settle disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention. It declares that the agreement of all the parties in dispute is required in each particular case for the submission of the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

BULGARIA

Declaring that it is not bound by paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 44.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"... The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by provisions of article 44, paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Convention."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation in respect of article 44, paragraphs 2 and 3:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention according to which any dispute concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention which is not settled by negotiation shall be submitted to arbitration if any of the Contracting Parties in dispute so requests.

On this matter the German Democratic Republic holds the view that in each individual case submission of a dispute to arbitration requires the consent of all Contracting Parties involved in the dispute.

Declaration in respect of article 43:

In its position on the provisions of article 43 insofar as they concern the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories, the German Democratic Republic is guided by the provisions of the

⁴ On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of the United Kingdom declared that the Convention shall extend to the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man

United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) which proclaim the need for a speedy and unconditional end to colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

GREECE⁵

HUNGARY

"The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider as obligatory paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 44 of the Convention."

POLAND

... Poland does not consider itself bound by paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 44 of the Convention.

ROMANIA

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention, with reference to the settlement by compulsory arbitration of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention at the request of one of the Contracting Parties.

TURKEY⁶

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that the provisions of article 39 of the Customs Conven-

⁵ In a communication received on 16 August 1971, the Government of Greece notified the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw the reservation formulated on deposit of its instrument of accession and which read as follows:

"The Government of Greece does not consider itself bound by the provisions of Chapter IV of the said Convention."

⁶ In a communication received on 12 February 1974, the Government of Turkey notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservations that it had made in respect of chapter IV and articles 44(2) and 44(3) of the Convention. For the text of those reservations, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 557, p 278.

tion on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets, which restrict the participation of certain States in the Convention, are contrary to the generally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics deems it necessary to state that the provisions of article 43 of the Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets, to the effect that States may extend the Customs Convention to territories for the international relations of which they are responsible, are outmoded and at variance with the United Nations General Assembly's Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by article 44, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets and states that the submission to arbitration of any dispute between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Customs Convention must be subject, in each specific case, to the agreement of all the Parties in dispute and that only persons designated by agreement between the Parties in dispute may act as arbitrators.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"In accordance with paragraph 1 of article 43 of the Convention, the said Convention shall extend to the customs territory of the United States [which at the present time includes the States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico]."

14. European Convention on Customs Treatment of Pallets used in International Transport

Done at Geneva on 9 December 1960

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 12 June 1962, in accordance with article 7.

REGISTRATION: 12 June 1962, No. 6200.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 429, p. 211.

State	Signature	Definitive signature (*), ratification, accession (a)
AUSTRALIA		1 October 1969 a
AUSTRIA		7 October 1963 a
BELGIUM	21 February 1961	14 March 1962
BULGARIA		28 February 1961*
CUBA		26 September 1963 a
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		31 May 1962 a
DENMARK		14 March 1961*
FINLAND		19 August 1966 a
FRANCE	8 March 1961	12 March 1962
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ¹	20 December 1960	29 September 1964
HUNGARY		26 July 1963 a
ITALY	15 March 1961	5 January 1967
LUXEMBOURG	6 February 1961	31 July 1962
NETHERLANDS ²	13 March 1961	22 October 1962
NORWAY		27 October 1964 a
POLAND		4 September 1969 a
PORTUGAL		15 January 1968 a
ROMANIA		15 May 1964 a
SPAIN		2 February 1973 a
SWEDEN		1 March 1961*
SWITZERLAND	6 March 1961	24 April 1963
TURKEY		10 October 1974 a
UNITED KINGDOM	7 February 1961	1 October 1962
YUGOSLAVIA		19 June 1964 a

Declarations and Reservations

BULGARIA

Subject to the reservation that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 11, paragraphs 2 and 3.

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 11 of the Convention.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

“... In accordance with article 12, paragraph 1 of the Convention, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic will not be bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 11 of the Convention.”

¹ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention “shall also apply to Land Berlin, as from the date on which the Convention enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany”.

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Union of

HUNGARY

“... The Hungarian People's Republic shall not be bound by the provisions contained in paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 11 of the Convention.”

POLAND

“The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions contained in paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 11 of the Convention.”

ROMANIA

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 11, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention, with reference to the settlement by compulsory arbitration of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention at the request of one of the Parties in dispute.

Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

² The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Convention is ratified for the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	1 October 1962	Aden Colony, Antigua, Bahama Islands, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Channel Islands, Falkland Islands, Fiji, Gambia, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Grenada, Hong Kong, Isle of Man, Kenya, Montserrat, North Borneo, Sarawak, Uganda.

15. Customs Convention on Containers, 1972

Done at Geneva on 2 December 1972¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 6 December 1975, in accordance with article 19.

REGISTRATION: 6 December 1975.

TEXT: E/CONF.59/44 and E/CONF.59/46, and procès-verbal of rectification of the authentic English, French, Russian and Spanish texts established by the Secretary-General on 29 April 1974.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, approval (A), acceptance (AA), accession (a)</i>
AUSTRALIA		10 November 1975 <i>a</i>
AUSTRIA	22 May 1973	
BULGARIA	12 January 1973	
BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC	22 October 1973	1 September 1976
CANADA	5 December 1972	10 December 1975
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	27 December 1973	4 September 1974 <i>A</i>
FINLAND	26 December 1973	
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		4 October 1974 <i>a</i>
GREECE	11 January 1973	
HUNGARY	10 January 1973	12 December 1973
NEW ZEALAND ²		20 December 1974 <i>a</i>
POLAND	20 December 1972	
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	15 January 1973	
ROMANIA	11 December 1973	6 March 1975
SPAIN		16 April 1975 <i>a</i>
SWITZERLAND	5 December 1972	12 October 1976
TURKEY	15 December 1972	
UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC	22 October 1973	1 September 1976
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	18 October 1973	23 August 1976
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	5 December 1972	

¹ The Convention was adopted by the United Nations/IMCO Conference on Containers Traffic, held at Geneva from 13 November to 2 December 1972. The Conference was convened in pursuance of a decision taken by the Economic and Social Council on 22 May 1970 and Council resolutions 1568 (L) and 1725 (LIII). For the text of this decision, see *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Resumed Forty-eighth Session, Supplement No. 1A, (E/4832/Add.1)*. For the text of resolution 1568 (L), see *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Fiftieth Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/5044)* and for the text of resolution 1725 (LIII), see *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Fifty-third Session, Supplement No. 1, (E/5209)*. The Conference adopted a Final Act containing, *inter-alia*, the texts of eight resolutions (see doc. E/CONF.59/44).

The Convention is open for signature until 15 January 1973 at the Office of the United Nations at Geneva and subsequently from 1 February 1973 until 31 December 1973 inclusive at the Headquarters of the United Nations at New York.

² With the following declaration: ". . . Accession to the Convention shall not extend to the Cook Islands, Niue and the Tokelau Islands".

Declarations and Reservations

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Upon signature and upon ratification:

The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the provisions of article 18 of the Customs Convention on Containers, 1972, which bar certain States from participation in it, are contrary to the universally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States.

As to the provisions of article 25 regarding the settlement by arbitration of disputes concerning the interpretation and application of the Convention, the Government of the Byelorussian SSR declares that the adoption of this provision should not be interpreted as changing the view of the Government of the Byelorussian SSR that a dispute may be referred to an arbitration tribunal for consideration only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Upon signature, confirmed upon approval:

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic considers that the provisions of article 18 of the Customs Convention on Containers, 1972, done at Geneva 1972, which bar certain States from participation in it, are contrary to the universally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic considers it necessary to point out that article 18 deprives some States of the possibility to become parties to this Convention.

The Convention regulates questions affecting the interests of all States; therefore it must be open for all States which are guided in their policies by the principles and purposes of the United Nations Charter to become parties to it.

With regard to the provisions of article 25 of the Customs Convention on Containers, 1972, dealing with the settlement of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention by arbitration, the German Democratic Republic declares that the acceptance of this provision should not be interpreted in such a way as if the view of the German Democratic Republic charged that a dispute may be referred to an arbitration tribunal for consideration only with the consent of all parties to the dispute.

The abbreviated state designation on the registration plates required by the Convention corresponds with the distinguishing sign used to indicate the state of registration of motor vehicles and reads "DDR". The competent authority in the German Democratic Republic for all questions in connexion with this Convention is the Customs Administration of the German Democratic Republic.

ROMANIA

Upon signature, confirmed upon ratification:

The Government of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of article 18 of the Customs Convention on Containers, 1972, concluded at Geneva on 2 December 1972, are not in accordance with the principle that multilateral treaties, the aims and objectives of which concern the world community as a whole, should be open to participation by all States.

SPAIN

Reservation to article 9:

. . . Concerning containers granted temporary admission for the carriage of goods in internal traffic, . . . such admission will not be granted in Spain.

SWITZERLAND

(a) Switzerland shall grant temporary admission to containers, in accordance with the procedure laid down in article 6 of the Convention;

(b) The use of containers which have been admitted temporarily for internal traffic, as provided for in article 9 of the Convention shall be authorized subject to the two conditions laid down in annex 3 to the Convention;

(c) The Convention shall also apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein for as long as the latter is bound to the Swiss Confederation by a customs union treaty.

TURKEY

Upon signature:

With reservations to paragraphs 3 and 4 of article 19.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST
REPUBLIC

Upon signature and upon ratification:

The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the provisions of article 18 of the Customs Convention on Containers, 1972, which bar certain States from participation in it, are contrary to the universally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States.

As to the provisions of article 25 regarding the settlement by arbitration of disputes concerning the interpretation and application of the Convention, the Government of the Ukrainian SSR declares that the adoption of this provision should not be interpreted as changing the view of the Government of the Ukrainian SSR that a dispute may be referred to an arbitration tribunal for consideration only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST
REPUBLICS

Upon signature and upon ratification:

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that the provisions of article 18 of the Customs Convention on Containers, 1972, which bar certain States from participation in it, are contrary to the universally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States.

As to the provisions of article 25 regarding the settlement by arbitration of disputes concerning the interpretation and application of the Convention, the Government of the USSR declares that the adoption of this provision should not be interpreted as changing the view of the Government of the USSR that a dispute may be referred to an arbitration tribunal for consideration only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

16. Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR carnets (TIR Convention) with annexes

Concluded at Geneva on 14 November 1975¹

Not yet in force (see article 53).²

TEXT: ECE/TRANS/17.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Definitive signature(s) ratification, acceptance (A) approval (AA), accession (a)</i>
AUSTRIA	27 April 1976	
BELGIUM	22 December 1976	
DENMARK	21 December 1976	
EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY	30 December 1976	
FINLAND	28 December 1976	
FRANCE		30 December 1976
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	30 December 1976	
GREECE	30 December 1976	
HUNGARY		23 November 1976
IRELAND	30 December 1976	
ITALY	28 December 1976	
LUXEMBOURG	23 December 1976	
MOROCCO	15 October 1976	
NETHERLANDS	28 December 1976	
SWEDEN		17 December 1976
SWITZERLAND	4 August 1976	
TUNISIA		11 June 1976
UNITED KINGDOM	22 December 1976	
YUGOSLAVIA	28 April 1976	

¹The Convention was adopted by a revising Conference convened in accordance with article 46 of the TIR Convention of 15 January 1959. In accordance with its article 52(2), it was opened for signature from 1 January 1976 until 31 December 1976 inclusive at the Office of the United Nations at Geneva.

²In accordance with the provisions of article 56, paragraph 1, upon its entry into force, this Convention shall terminate and replace, in relations between the Contracting Parties to this Convention, the TIR Convention, 1959.

B. ROAD TRAFFIC

I. Convention on Road Traffic, with annexes

Signed at Geneva on 19 September 1949¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 26 March 1952, in accordance with article 29.

REGISTRATION: 26 March 1952, No. 1671.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 125, p. 3.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)	
ALBANIA		1 October	1969 a
ALGERIA		16 May	1963 a
ARGENTINA		25 November	1960 a
AUSTRALIA		7 December	1954 a
AUSTRIA	19 September 1949	2 November	1955
BARBADOS		5 March	1971 d
BELGIUM	19 September 1949	23 April	1954
BENIN		5 December	1961 d
BOTSWANA		3 January	1967 a
BULGARIA		13 February	1963 a
CANADA		23 December	1965 a
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE		4 September	1962 d
CHILE		10 August	1960 a
CHINA ²			
CONGO		15 May	1962 d
CUBA		1 October	1952 a
CYPRUS		6 July	1962 d
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	28 December 1949	3 November	1950
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA		14 March	1956 a
DENMARK	19 September 1949	3 February	1956
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	19 September 1949	15 August	1957
ECUADOR		26 September	1962 a
EGYPT	19 September 1949	28 May	1957
FIJI		31 October	1972 d
FINLAND		24 September	1958 a
FRANCE	19 September 1949	15 September	1950
GHANA		6 January	1959 a
GREECE		1 July	1952 a
GUATEMALA		10 January	1962 a
HAITI		12 February	1958 a
HOLY SEE		5 October	1953 a
HUNGARY		30 July	1962 a
INDIA	19 September 1949	9 March	1962
IRELAND		31 May	1962 a
ISRAEL	19 September 1949	6 January	1955
ITALY	19 September 1949	15 December	1952
IVORY COAST		8 December	1961 d
JAMAICA		9 August	1963 d
JAPAN		7 August	1964 a
JORDAN		14 January	1960 a

¹ The Convention was prepared and opened for signature by the United Nations Conference on Road and Motor Transport, held at Geneva from 23 August to 19 September 1949. It was convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations pursuant to resolution 147 B (VII) of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations, adopted on 28 August 1948. For the text of this resolution, see *Resolutions adopted by the Economic and Social Council, during its seventh session (E/1065)*, p. 8. The Conference also prepared and opened for signature the Protocol concerning countries or territories at present occupied and the Protocol on Road Signs and Signals, and reached certain other decisions which are recorded in the

Final Act of the Conference. For the text of the said Final Act, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 125, p. 3.

² Accession on behalf of the Republic of China on 27 June 1957. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

With reference to the above-mentioned accession, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Czechoslovakia, Poland, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and Yugoslavia, on the one hand, and of China on the other hand. For the nature of these communications, see footnote 2, p. 174.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		6 March	1959 <i>a</i>
LEBANON	19 September 1949	2 August	1963
LESOTHO ..		27 September	1973 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG ..	19 September 1949	17 October	1952
MADAGASCAR		27 June	1962 <i>d</i>
MALAWI		17 February	1965 <i>d</i>
MALAYSIA ..		10 September	1958 <i>a</i>
MALI		19 November	1962 <i>d</i>
MALTA		3 January	1966 <i>d</i>
MONACO		3 August	1951 <i>a</i>
MOROCCO		7 November	1956 <i>d</i>
NETHERLANDS ..	19 September 1949	19 September	1952
NEW ZEALAND ..		12 February	1958 <i>a</i>
NIGER		25 August	1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY	19 September 1949	11 April	1957
PARAGUAY ..		18 October	1965 <i>a</i>
PERU ..		9 July	1957 <i>a</i>
PHILIPPINES ..	19 September 1949	15 September	1952
POLAND		29 October	1958 <i>a</i>
PORTUGAL		28 December	1955 <i>a</i>
REPUBLIC OF KOREA ^{2a}		14 June	1971 <i>a</i>
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{2b} ..		2 November	1953 <i>a</i>
ROMANIA ..		26 January	1961 <i>a</i>
RWANDA ..		5 August	1964 <i>d</i>
SAN MARINO ..		19 March	1962 <i>a</i>
SENEGAL ..		13 July	1962 <i>d</i>
SINGAPORE		29 November	1972 <i>d</i>
SIERRA LEONE ..		13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SOUTH AFRICA ..	19 September 1949	9 July	1952
SPAIN ..		13 February	1958 <i>a</i>
SRI LANKA		26 July	1957 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN ..	19 September 1949	25 February	1952
SWITZERLAND ..	19 September 1949		
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC ..		11 December	1953 <i>a</i>
THAILAND ..		15 August	1962 <i>a</i>
TOGO ..		27 February	1962 <i>d</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO ..		8 July	1964 <i>a</i>
TUNISIA ..		8 November	1957 <i>a</i>
TURKEY ..		17 January	1956 <i>a</i>
UGANDA ..		15 April	1965 <i>a</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS ..		17 August	1959 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	19 September 1949	8 July	1957
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	19 September 1949	30 August	1950
VENEZUELA ..		11 May	1962 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA ..	19 September 1949	8 October	1956
ZAIRE ..		6 March	1961 <i>d</i>

Declarations and Reservations

ALBANIA

The Government of the People's Republic of Albania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 33 of the Convention, which lays down that disputes between Contracting States concerning the interpretation

^{2a} In communications addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned accession, the Permanent Representatives of the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Bulgaria, Mongolia and Romania stated that their Governments considered the said accession as null and void since

or application of the Convention may be referred to the International Court of Justice by application from one of the parties to the dispute. The Government of the People's Republic of Albania declares, as it has done hitherto, that in each separate case the agreement of all the parties to the dispute is required for the submission of any dispute for arbitration.

the authorities of South Korea had no right or competence whatsoever to speak on behalf of Korea.

^{2b} See note 4b, p. 54.

AUSTRALIA

"Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of this Convention, annexes 1 and 2 from the application of the Convention."

AUSTRIA^{2c}

". . . Austria will not in future apply annex 1 to the Convention."

BARBADOS

In the notification of succession, the Government of Barbados declared that it wished to maintain the declarations and reservations subject to which the Convention was extended to Barbados by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and which were the same as those made by that Government in its own instrument of ratification (see p. 262).

BOTSWANA

"Excluding annexes 1 and 2 as allowed by article 2 of the Convention."

BULGARIA

(a) Article 33 of the Convention on Road Traffic, which provides that any dispute between any two or more Contracting States concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention, which the Parties are unable to settle by negotiation or by another mode of settlement, may be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision.³

(b) Annex 1 to the Convention on Road Traffic, which provides that cycles fitted with an auxiliary internal combustion engine having a maximum cylinder capacity of 50 cm³ (3.05 cu.in.) shall not be considered as motor vehicles, provided that they retain all the normal characteristics of cycles with respect to their structure.

(c) Section II, paragraph (c) second sentence, of annex 6 to the Convention on Road Traffic, which stipulates: "However, motor cycles with an engine of a maximum cylinder capacity of 50 cm³ (3.05 cu.in.) may be excluded from this obligation."

CHILE

Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of this Convention, annex 1 from the application of the Convention.

CYPRUS

Subject to the following reservations:

"(1) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Government of Cyprus reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily in Cyprus, if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward or for the carriage of goods and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would by the domestic legislation of Cyprus be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(2) In connexion with article 26 of the said Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to Cyprus shall, from nightfall and during the night or

^{2c} Communication received by the Secretary-General on 15 October 1971.

³ The Government of the United Kingdom has informed the Secretary-General that it is unable to accept this reservation because in its view it is not of the kind which intending parties to the Convention have the right to make.

whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary, show only a white light to the front, and to show to the rear a red light or a red reflex reflector in accordance with the domestic legislation of Cyprus."

and with the following declarations:

"(1) In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of Cyprus excludes annexes 1 and 2 from its application of the Convention.

"(2) In accordance with section IV (b) of annex 6 to the Convention, the Government of Cyprus will only permit that one trailer be drawn by a vehicle, it will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer and it will not permit articulated vehicles to be used for transport of passengers for hire or reward."

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of this Convention, annex 2 from the application of the Convention.

DENMARK

Subject to a declaration made in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, excluding annex 1 from its application of the Convention.

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of this Convention, annexes 1 and 2 from the application of the Convention and renewing the reservation concerning paragraph 2 of article 1 of the Convention already made in plenary meeting.

FIJI

In its notification of succession, the Government of Fiji declared that it wished to maintain the declarations and reservations made on behalf of Fiji when the Convention was extended to Fiji by the Government of the United Kingdom on 16 December 1965 (see p. 306).

FINLAND

Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of this Convention, annex 1 from the application of the Convention.

With reference to annex 6, section IV (b), the Government of Finland declare that they will permit only one trailer to be drawn by a vehicle and that they will not permit an articulate vehicle to draw a trailer.

FRANCE

With reference to annex 6, section IV (b), the French Government declares that it will only permit that one trailer be drawn by a vehicle and that it will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer.

GHANA

"Subject to the following reservations:

"(i) Cycles in international traffic admitted to Ghana shall from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary show only a white light to the front and show to the rear a red light, a reflex reflector and a white surface with regard to article 26 of the Convention.

"(ii) In accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, annexes 1 and 2 should be excluded."

GUATEMALA

Article 33 of the Convention shall apply without prejudice to the provisions of article 149, item 3, of the Constitution of the Republic.

Notification received on 26 September 1962.

In accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 and paragraph IV (b) of Annex 6 of the Convention, respectively, the Government of Guatemala:

1. Excludes annex 1 from its application of the Convention.
2. Will only permit that one trailer be drawn by a vehicle and will not permit articulated vehicles for the transport of passengers.

HUNGARY⁴

"The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 33 of the Convention."

INDIA

"Subject to a declaration made in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, excluding annexes 1 and 2 from its application of the Convention."

IRELAND

"1. Annexes 1 and 2 are excluded from Ireland's application of the Convention.

"2. In relation to annex 6, the number of trailers drawn by a mechanically propelled vehicle may not exceed that permitted under Irish legislation."

ISRAEL

"Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of this Convention, annex 1 from the application of the Convention."

JAMAICA

"(a) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Government of Jamaica reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily in Jamaica, if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward or for the carriage of goods and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by the domestic legislation of Jamaica, be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(b) In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the said Convention, annexes 1 and 2 shall be excluded from Jamaica's application of the Convention.

"(c) In accordance with the provisions of paragraph (b) of section IV of annex 6 to the said Convention, the Jamaica Government will permit only one trailer to be drawn by a vehicle, will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer and will not permit articulated vehicles to be used for the transport of passengers for hire or reward."

⁴The Government of the United Kingdom has informed the Secretary-General that it is unable to accept this reservation because in its view it is not of the kind which intending parties to the Convention have the right to make.

JAPAN

"Subject to a declaration made in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, excluding annex 1 from its application of the Convention."

MALAWI

"Excluding annexes 1 and 2 from the application of the Convention."

MALAYSIA

"Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1, of this Convention, annexes 1 and 2 from the application of the Convention."

MALTA

"In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of Malta excludes annex 1 from its application of the Convention."

MONACO

With reference to annex 6, section IV (b), the Government of the Principality of Monaco indicates that it will permit only one trailer to be drawn by a vehicle and that it will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer.

NETHERLANDS

Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1, of this Convention, annex 2 from the application of the Convention.

NEW ZEALAND

"Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1, of this Convention, annexes 1 and 2 from the application of the Convention."

NORWAY

Subject to a declaration made in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, excluding annex 1 from its application of the Convention.

PHILIPPINES

"Subject to a declaration made in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, excluding annex 1 from its application of the Convention."

PORTUGAL

In accordance with section IV (b) of annex 6, the Government of Portugal has indicated that it will only permit one trailer to be drawn by a vehicle and that it will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer, and that it will not permit articulated vehicles for the transport of passengers.

ROMANIA⁵

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 33, under which

⁵The Government of the United States of America has informed the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation, but "considers that it may and hereby states that it will apply this reservation reciprocally with respect to Romania".

The Government of the United Kingdom has informed the Secretary-General that it is unable to accept this reservation because in its view it is not of the kind which intending parties to the Convention have the right to make.

any dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention may be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision by application from any of the States concerned. The position of the Romanian People's Republic is that the agreement of all the parties in dispute is required in each case for the submission of any dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

SAN MARINO

Excluding, in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2, annex 1 from the application of the Convention.

SENEGAL

Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of the Convention, annex 1 from the application of the Convention.

SINGAPORE

In its notification of succession the Government of Singapore declared that it did not wish to maintain the reservation made by the Government of the United Kingdom at the time of notification of territorial application of the Convention to Singapore.

SIERRA LEONE

Subject to the following reservations:

"(1) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Government of Sierra Leone reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily in Sierra Leone if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward, and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by the domestic legislation of Sierra Leone, be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(2) In connexion with article 26 of the Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to Sierra Leone shall, from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary, show only a white light to the front and show to the rear a red light in accordance with the domestic legislation of the territory."

and with the following declarations:

"(1) In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of Sierra Leone excludes annexes 1 and 2 from its application of the Convention.

"(2) In accordance with section IV (b) of annex 6 to the Convention, the Government of Sierra Leone will only permit that one trailer be drawn by a vehicle, it will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer and it will not permit articulated vehicles to be used for transport of passenger for hire or reward."

SOUTH AFRICA

"Subject to a declaration made in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, excluding annexes 1 and 2 from its application of the Convention."

SWEDEN

"Subject to a declaration made in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, excluding annex 1 from its application of the Convention."

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

"Subject to the exclusion of annexes 1 and 2."

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS⁶

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 33 of the Convention on Road Traffic, which lays down that disputes between Contracting States concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention may be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision by application from any of the States concerned, and declares that the agreement of all the States in dispute is required in each separate case for the submission of any dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"Subject to the following reservations:

"(1) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward or for the carriage of goods and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by the domestic legislation of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(2) In connexion with article 26 of the said Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, shall, from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary, show only a white light to the front, and show to the rear a red light and a red reflex reflector in accordance with the domestic legislation of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.⁷

⁶ The Government of the United States of America has informed the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation, but "considers that it may and hereby states that it will apply this reservation reciprocally with respect to the Soviet Union".

The Governments of Greece and of the Netherlands informed the Secretary-General that they do not consider themselves bound by the provisions to which the reservation is made, as far as the Soviet Union is concerned.

The Government of the United Kingdom has informed the Secretary-General that it is unable to accept this reservation because in its view it is not of the kind which intending parties to the Convention have the right to make.

⁷ At the 1949 United Nations Conference on Road and Motor Transport, the Conference placed on record that there would be no objection to a reservation by the United Kingdom in respect of article 26 of the Convention. In the letter transmitting the instrument of ratification of the Convention, the Permanent Representative of the United Kingdom drew the attention of the Secretary-General to the fact that "...the reservation made in respect of article 26 of the Convention omits the phrase 'and a white surface' between the words 'a red reflex reflector' and the words 'in accordance with the domestic legislation of the United Kingdom,' which were included in the text of the reservation set out in sub-paragraph (d) of paragraph 7 of the Final Act of the United Nations Conference on Road and Motor Transport, 1949. This omission is occasioned by the fact that the white surface requirement has since been repealed by United Kingdom legislation."

"(3) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland reserve the right, in applying the said Convention to any of the other territories for whose international relations they are responsible, to apply it subject to reservations similar to those set out above.

"Furthermore, the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland declare:

"(1) That, in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the said Convention, they exclude annexes 1 and 2 from their application of the Convention.

"(2) In accordance with section IV (b) of annex 6 to the said Convention, they will only permit that one trailer be drawn by a vehicle, that they will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer and that they

will not permit articulated vehicles to be used for the transport of passengers for hire or reward."

VENEZUELA⁸

Article 31 (System of amendments to the Convention)

Amendments to the Convention shall not enter into force with respect to the Republic of Venezuela until the relevant constitutional requirements have been complied with.

Article 33 (Settlement of disputes)

The Republic shall be bound by the terms of Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice. That is to say, no case may be submitted to the International Court of Justice except by agreement between the Parties.

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>		<i>Extension to</i>
AUSTRALIA	3 May	1961	Papua and Trust Territory of New Guinea.
BELGIUM	23 April	1954	Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi.
FRANCE	29 October	1952	French Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia, all French Overseas Territories and Togoland and the Cameroons under French Mandate.
	19 January	1953	Principality of Andorra.
JAPAN	12 June	1972	Okinawa ^{8a}
NETHERLANDS	14 January	1955	Surinam and the Netherlands New Guinea with declaration.
	9 May	1957	The Netherlands Antilles with declaration.
NEW ZEALAND	29 November	1961	Trust Territory of Western Samoa, with declaration.
PORTUGAL	19 January	1956	All Overseas Provinces—excluding Macau—with declaration.
SOUTH AFRICA	9 July	1952	South West Africa.
SPAIN	13 February	1958	African localities and provinces.
UNITED KINGDOM	22 January	1958	The Isle of Man with declarations and reservations.
	28 May	1958	Bailiwick of Guernsey and the States of Jersey with declarations and reservations.
	27 August	1958	Aden Colony, British Guiana, Seychelles, Cyprus, Gibraltar, British Honduras and Uganda with declarations and reservations.
	5 March	1959	Jamaica ^{8b} , St. Lucia and Trinidad with declarations and reservations.

⁸ The Government of the Republic of Viet-Nam has informed the Secretary-General that it objects to the reservation made to article 33 of the Convention.

The Government of the United Kingdom has informed the Secretary-General that it is unable to accept these reservations because in its view these reservations are not of the kind which intending parties to the Convention have the right to make. (See also note 4b, p. 54 on this subject.)

^{8a} In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 12 June 1972, the Permanent Representative of Japan to the United Nations, upon instructions from his Government, made the following statement:

"Japan has assumed as of May 15, 1972 full responsibility and authority for the exercise of all and any powers of administration, legislation and jurisdiction over "Okinawa" in accordance with the Agreement between Japan and the United States of America concerning the Ryukyu Islands and the Daito Islands signed on June 17, 1971. Under the United States administration, all vehicles were required to keep to the right side of the road in Okinawa. Upon reversion of

Okinawa to Japan, the Government of Japan began to take the measures, in conformity with Article 9, paragraph 1 of the Convention on Road Traffic, necessary for shifting the side to which vehicles are required to keep in Okinawa from the right to the left so that there shall be uniformity with the rest of Japan. It is estimated that it will take at least three years before the changes may be smoothly carried out."

^{8b} In a communication received on 11 May 1971, the Government of the United Kingdom informed the Secretary-General of the following:

"At the time of the notification of the extension of this Convention to Jamaica in 1959, the Cayman Islands were a dependency of Jamaica, and the extension of the Convention to Jamaica therefore extended it automatically to the Cayman Islands

". . . The Convention continued to apply and still applies to the Cayman Islands which, when Jamaica became independent, remained a territory for whose international relations the United Kingdom is responsible."

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
UNITED KINGDOM (<i>continued</i>)	25 March 1959	Gambia.
	13 May 1959	Mauritius and Singapore with declarations and reservations.
	23 November 1959	Malta with a declaration.
	8 February 1960	Zanzibar.
	25 March 1960	Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland ⁹ with declaration.
	22 April 1960	St. Vincent, North Borneo and Sierra Leone with declarations and reservations.
	27 September 1960	Barbados with declarations and reservations.
	12 January 1961	Hong Kong, with declarations and reservations.
	3 August 1961	Bahamas, with declaration.
	14 July 1965	Swaziland and Grenada, with reservations.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16 December 1965	Fiji, with declarations and reservations.
	30 August 1950	All the territories for the international relations of which the United States of America is responsible.

Declarations and reservations made on notifications of territorial application

NETHERLANDS NEW GUINEA

Excluding annexes 1 and 2 from the application of the Convention to Netherlands New Guinea.

NETHERLANDS ANTILLES

Excluding annexes 1 and 2 from the application of the Convention to Netherlands Antilles.

TRUST TERRITORY OF WESTERN SAMOA

"Excluding annexes 1 and 2 from the application of the Convention."

PORTUGUESE OVERSEAS PROVINCES

(*excluding Macau*)

Subject to the declaration made on accession by the Government of Portugal (see p. 312).

ISLE OF MAN

The Convention is applied to the Isle of Man subject to declarations and reservations the terms of which are identical to those of the United Kingdom set out under Nos. 1 and 2 above.

BAILIWICK OF GUERNSEY

The declarations made by the Insular Authorities of the Bailiwick of Guernsey are identical to those made by the United Kingdom upon signature and on deposit of its instrument of ratification.

"Subject to the following reservations:

"(1) The provisions of the said Convention concerning motor vehicles shall not apply in the Island of Sark, in which Island the use of motor vehicles, except motor tractors for use for certain limited purposes, is prohibited.

"(2) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Insular Authorities of the Bailiwick of Guernsey reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only

temporarily in the Bailiwick if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by domestic legislation of the Bailiwick, be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(3) In connexion with article 26 of the said Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to the Bailiwick of Guernsey shall, from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary, show only a white light to the front and show to the rear a red reflex reflector, in accordance with the domestic legislation of the Bailiwick."

STATES OF JERSEY

The declarations made by the States of Jersey are identical to those made by the United Kingdom upon signature and on deposit of its instrument of ratification.

"The Convention is applied to the States of Jersey subject to the following reservations:

"(1) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the States of Jersey reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle other than one brought into and only temporarily in the Island if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by the domestic legislation of the Island, be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(2) In connexion with article 26 of the said Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to the Island shall, from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions rendered it necessary, show to the rear a red light and a red reflex reflector in accordance with the domestic legislation of the Island."

ADEN COLONY, BRITISH GUIANA, AND SEYCHELLES

The declarations made by the Governments of Aden Colony, British Guiana and Seychelles are identical to

⁹ See footnote 16, p. 124.

those made by the United Kingdom upon signature and on deposit of its instrument of ratification.

"The Convention is extended to Aden Colony, British Guiana and Seychelles subject to the following reservations:

"(1) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Governments of Aden Colony, British Guiana and Seychelles reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily in Aden Colony, British Guiana and Seychelles, if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward or for the carriage of goods and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by the domestic legislation of Aden Colony, British Guiana and Seychelles be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(2) In connexion with article 26 of the said Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to the territory shall, from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary, show only a white light to the front, and show to the rear a red light and a red reflex reflector in accordance with the domestic legislation of Aden Colony, British Guiana and Seychelles."

CYPRUS¹⁰

[With the same declarations and reservations as those made on behalf of the Governments of Aden Colony, British Guiana, and Seychelles; see above.]

GIBRALTAR

The declarations made by the Government of Gibraltar are identical to those made by the United Kingdom upon signature and on deposit of its instrument of ratification.

"The Convention is extended to Gibraltar subject to the following reservation:

"In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention the Government of Gibraltar reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily in Gibraltar, if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would by the domestic legislation of Gibraltar be required to have a special vocational licence."

BRITISH HONDURAS

"The Convention is extended to British Honduras subject to the following reservations:

"(1) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Government of British Honduras reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily in British Honduras, if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward or for the carriage of goods and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would by the domestic legislation of British Honduras be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(2) In connexion with article 26 of the said Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to

¹⁰ For declarations and reservations made by Cyprus on notification of succession to the Convention on 6 July 1962, see p. 311.

British Honduras shall, from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary, show only a white light to the front, and show to the rear a red light and a red reflex reflector in accordance with the domestic legislation of British Honduras."

UGANDA¹¹

"The Convention is extended to Uganda subject to the following reservation:

"In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Government of Uganda reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily in Uganda, if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward or for the carriage of goods and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would by the domestic legislation of Uganda be required to have a special vocational licence."

JAMAICA¹²

"The Convention is extended to Jamaica subject to the following reservation:

"In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Government of Jamaica reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily in Jamaica, if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward or for the carriage of goods and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by the domestic legislation of Jamaica, be required to have a special vocational licence."

ST. LUCIA AND TRINIDAD¹³

The declarations made by the Governments of St. Lucia and Trinidad are identical to those made by the United Kingdom upon signature and on deposit of its instrument of ratification.

"The Convention is extended to St. Lucia and Trinidad subject to the following reservations:

"(1) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Governments of St. Lucia and Trinidad reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily in St. Lucia and Trinidad, if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward or for the carriage of goods and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by the domestic legislation of St. Lucia and Trinidad, be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(2) In connexion with article 26 of the said Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to the territory, from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary, show only a white light to the front, and show to the rear a red light and a red reflex reflector in accordance with the domestic legislation of St. Lucia and Trinidad"

¹¹ Uganda acceded to the Convention on 15 April 1965, without any declarations or reservations.

¹² For declarations and reservations made by Jamaica on notification of succession to the Convention on 9 August 1963, see p. 312.

¹³ For the declaration made by Trinidad and Tobago on accession to the Convention on 8 July 1964, see p. 313.

MAURITIUS

"In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of Mauritius excludes annex 2 from its application of the Convention.

"The Convention is extended to Mauritius subject to the following reservations:

"(1) In accordance with the provisions of paragraph (b) of section IV of annex 6, the Government of Mauritius will only permit that one trailer be drawn by a vehicle, will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer or that articulated vehicles shall be used for the transport of passengers for hire or reward.

"(2) The Government of Mauritius reserves the right not to apply the provisions of paragraph 1 of annex 8 of the said Convention whereby the minimum age for driving a motor vehicle under the conditions set out in article 24 of the Convention shall be eighteen years."

SINGAPORE

"In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of Singapore excludes annexes 1 and 2 from its application of the Convention."

MALTA¹⁴

"In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of Malta excludes annex 1 from its application of the Convention."

FEDERATION OF RHODESIA AND NYASALAND¹⁵

"In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of the Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland exclude annexes 1 and 2 from their application of the Convention."

ST. VINCENT

The declarations made by the Government of St. Vincent are identical to those made by the United Kingdom upon signature and on deposit of its instrument of ratification.

"The Convention is extended to St. Vincent subject to the following reservations:

"(1) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Government of St. Vincent reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle other than one brought into and only temporarily in St. Vincent if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward or for the carriage of goods and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by the domestic legislation of St. Vincent, be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(2) In connexion with article 26 of the said Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to the territory shall, from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary, show only a white light to the front, and show to the rear a red light and a red reflex reflector in accordance with the domestic legislation of St. Vincent."

NORTH BORNEO

"The Convention is extended to North Borneo subject to the following reservation:

"In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Government of North Borneo reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and temporarily in North Borneo, if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward or for the carriage of goods and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by the domestic legislation of North Borneo, be required to have a special vocational licence."

SIERRA LEONE¹⁶

[With the same declarations and reservations as those made on behalf of the Government of St. Vincent; see above.]

BARBADOS

"The declarations and reservations relating to Barbados are the same as those made by the United Kingdom in its instrument of ratification."

HONG KONG

The declarations made by the Government of Hong Kong are identical to those made by the United Kingdom upon signature and on deposit of its instrument of ratification.

"The Convention is extended to Hong Kong subject to the following reservations:

"(1) In connexion with article 26 of the said Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to the territory shall, from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary, show only a white light to the front, and show to the rear a red light and a red reflex reflector in accordance with the domestic legislation of Hong Kong.

"(2) In connexion with paragraph (b) of Section II of Annex 6—Lighting, Hong Kong legislation stipulates that every motor vehicle, other than a motor cycle with or without a sidecar, shall be equipped with direction indicators of one of the types described in that paragraph."

BAHAMAS

"In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of the Bahamas exclude annexes 1 and 2 from their application of the Convention."

¹⁴ In the notification of succession to the Convention on 3 January 1966, the Government of Malta confirmed this declaration; see p. 312.

¹⁵ See footnote 16, p. 124.

¹⁶ For declarations and reservations made by Sierra Leone on notification of succession to the Convention on 13 March 1962, see p. 313.

SWAZILAND AND GRENADA

FIJI^{16a}

"Subject to the reservations contained in the United Kingdom instrument of ratification."

"Subject to the same reservations and declarations made in respect of the United Kingdom on ratification."

Distinguishing Sign of Vehicles in International Traffic

(Distinctive letters notified to the Secretary-General)

Albania	AL	Lebanon	RL
Algeria	DZ	Lesotho	LS ¹⁷
Argentina	RA	Luxembourg	L
Australia	AUS	Madagascar	RM
Austria	A	Malawi	MW
Barbados	BDS ¹⁷	Malaysia	MAL
Belgium	B	Mali	RMM
Benin	DY	Malta	M
Botswana	RB	Mauritius	MS ¹⁷
Brazil	BR	Mexico	MEX
Bulgaria	BG	Monaco	MC
Burma	BUR	Morocco	MA
Canada	CDN	Netherlands	NL
Central African Empire	RCA	Surinam	SME
Chile	RCH	Netherlands Antilles	NA
China ¹⁸	RC	New Zealand	NZ
Congo	RCB	Nicaragua	NIC
Costa Rica	CR	Niger	NIG
Cyprus	CY	Nigeria	WAN ¹⁷
Czechoslovakia	CS	Norway	N
Democratic Kampuchea	K	Pakistan	PAK
Denmark	DK	Paraguay	PY
Faeroe Islands	FR	Peru	PE
Dominican Republic	DOM	Philippines	PI
Ecuador	EC	Poland	PL
Egypt	ET	Portugal	P
Fiji	FJI	Republic of Korea	ROK
Finland	SF	[Republic of South Viet-Nam] ^{18a}	VN
France (including French overseas territories)	F	Romania	R
Andorra	AND	Rwanda	RWA
Gambia	WAG ¹⁷	Samoa	WS ¹⁷
Ghana	GH	San Marino	RSM
Greece	GR	Senegal	SN
Guatemala	GCA	Sierra Leone	WAL
Haiti	RH	Singapore	SGP
Holy See	V	South Africa	ZA
Hungary	H	Spain (including African localities and provinces)	E
Iceland	IS	Sri Lanka	CL
India	IND	Swaziland	SD ¹⁷
Indonesia	RI	Sweden	S
Iran	IR	Switzerland	CH
Ireland	IRL	Syrian Arab Republic	SYR
Israel	IL	Thailand	T
Italy	I	Togo	TG
Ivory Coast	CI	Trinidad and Tobago	TT
Jamaica	JA	Tunisia	TN
Japan	J	Turkey	TR
Jordan	HKJ	Uganda	EAU
Kenya	EAK ¹⁷	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	SU
Lao People's Democratic Republic	LAO	United Kingdom	GB
		Aden	ADN
		Alderney	GBA
		Bahamas	BS
		British Honduras	BH

^{16a} For the declaration made by Fiji on notification of succession to the Convention, see p. 311.

¹⁷ Distinctive letters notified to the Secretary-General, prior to the independence of that country, by the Government responsible for its international relations.

¹⁸ See note 2, p. 309.

^{18a} See note 4b, p. 54.

Brunei	BRU	St. Vincent	WV
Guernsey	GBG	United Republic of Tanzania	
Gibraltar	GBZ	Tanganyika	EAT ¹⁷
Jersey	GBJ	Zanzibar	EAZ ¹⁷
Hong Kong	HK	United States	USA
Seychelles	SY	Uruguay	U
Southern Rhodesia	RSR	Venezuela	YV
Windward Islands		Yugoslavia	YU
Grenada	WG	Zaire	CGO
St. Lucia	WL	Zambia	RNR ¹⁷

2. Protocol concerning countries or territories at present occupied

Signed at Geneva on 19 September 1949¹

REGISTRATION: 26 March 1952, No. 1671.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 125, p. 3.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
BELGIUM	19 September 1949	23 April	1954
BOTSWANA		3 January	1967 <i>a</i>
CHILE		10 August	1960 <i>a</i>
CUBA		1 October	1952 <i>a</i>
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA		14 March	1956 <i>a</i>
DENMARK	19 September 1949		
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	19 September 1949	15 August	1957
EGYPT	19 September 1949	28 May	1957
FRANCE	19 September 1949	15 September	1950
GUATEMALA		10 January	1962 <i>a</i>
HAITI		12 February	1958 <i>a</i>
INDIA	19 September 1949		
ITALY	19 September 1949	15 December	1952
LEBANON	19 September 1949		
LUXEMBOURG	19 September 1949	17 October	1952
NETHERLANDS	19 September 1949		
NORWAY	19 September 1949		
PHILIPPINES	19 September 1949		
PORTUGAL		28 December	1955 <i>a</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	19 September 1949	9 July	1952
SWEDEN	19 September 1949		
SWITZERLAND	19 September 1949		
TUNISIA		8 November	1957 <i>a</i>
TURKEY		17 January	1956 <i>a</i>
UGANDA		15 April	1965 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	19 September 1949	8 July	1957
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	19 September 1949	30 August	1950

¹ See footnote 1, p. 309.

3. Protocol on Road Signs and Signals

Signed at Geneva on 19 September 1949¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 December 1953, in accordance with article 58.

REGISTRATION: 20 December 1953, No. 1671.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 182, p. 229, and vol. 514, p. 254 (amendments to the Protocol²).

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
AUSTRIA	19 September 1949	2 November	1955
BELGIUM	19 September 1949	23 April	1954
BULGARIA		13 February	1963 <i>a</i>
CUBA		1 October	1952 <i>a</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	28 December 1949	3 November	1950
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA ...		14 March	1956 <i>a</i>
DENMARK	19 September 1949	1 July	1959
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC		15 August	1957 <i>a</i>
ECUADOR		26 September	1962 <i>a</i>
EGYPT	19 September 1949	28 May	1957
FINLAND		24 September	1958 <i>a</i>
FRANCE	19 September 1949	18 August	1954
GREECE		1 July	1952 <i>a</i>
HAITI		12 February	1958 <i>a</i>
HOLY SEE		1 October	1956 <i>a</i>
HUNGARY		30 July	1962 <i>a</i>
INDIA	29 December 1949		
ISRAEL	19 September 1949		
ITALY	19 September 1949	15 December	1952
LEBANON	19 September 1949		
LUXEMBOURG	19 September 1949	17 October	1952
MONACO ..		25 September	1951 <i>a</i>
NETHERLANDS ..	19 September 1949	19 September	1952
NIGER ..		5 March	1968 <i>a</i>
NORWAY	19 September 1949		
POLAND		29 October	1958 <i>a</i>
PORTUGAL		15 February	1957 <i>a</i>
ROMANIA		26 January	1961 <i>a</i>
RWANDA		5 August	1964 <i>d</i>
SAN MARINO ..		19 March	1962 <i>a</i>
SENEGAL		13 July	1962 <i>a</i>
SPAIN		13 February	1958 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	19 September 1949	25 February	1952
SWITZERLAND	19 September 1949		
THAILAND		15 August	1962 <i>a</i>
TUNISIA		8 November	1957 <i>a</i>
UGANDA ..		15 April	1965 <i>a</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS ...		17 August	1959 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM		16 May	1966 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA ..	19 September 1949	8 October	1956

¹ See footnote 1, p. 309.

² Registration: 22 October 1964, No. 1671. The proposal for these amendments was communicated to the Secretary-General by the Government of France on 3 February 1964 pursuant to paragraph 1 of article 60 of the Protocol. In accordance with paragraph 5 of the same article, they entered into force on 22 October 1964 as regards all the Contracting

Parties, with the exception that the Government of Portugal, having notified the Secretary-General of its objection to the amendment adding new paragraph 3 *bis* to article 35, is not bound by that amendment. For the text of the Protocol incorporating the said amendments, see *United Nations Conference on Road and Motor Transport, Final Act and Related Documents* (United Nations publication, Sales No.: 1967. VIII.1).

Declarations and Reservations

AUSTRIA

Subject to the reservation in respect of paragraph 1 of article 45 contained in paragraph 7 (f) of the Final Act of the Conference on Road and Motor Transport.³

BULGARIA

Article 62 of the Protocol on Road Signs and Signals, which provides that any dispute between any two or more Contracting States concerning the interpretation or application of this Protocol, which the Parties are unable to settle by negotiation or by another mode of settlement, may be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision.

FINLAND

"With reference to article 15, paragraph 5 of this Protocol, the Government of Finland reserve the right to use the Saint Andrew's Cross at level-crossings with gates."

HUNGARY

"The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provision of paragraph 5, article 15 of the Protocol which stipulates that level-crossings with gates shall not be provided with a sign in the form of a Saint Andrew's cross, as well as by the provisions of article 62 of the said Protocol."

NORWAY

Subject to the reservation in respect of paragraph 5 of article 15 contained in paragraph 7 (e) of the Final Act of the Conference on Road and Motor Transport.⁴

ROMANIA

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 62, under which any dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Protocol may be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision by application from any of the States concerned. The position of the Romanian People's Republic is that the agreement of all the parties in dispute is required in each case for the submission of any dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

SWEDEN

Subject to the reservation in respect of paragraph 5 of article 15 contained in paragraph 7 (e) of the Final Act of the Conference on Road and Motor Transport.⁴

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS⁵

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 62 of the Protocol on Road Signs and Signals, which lays down that disputes between Contracting States concerning the interpretation or application of this Protocol may be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision by application from any of the States concerned, and declares that the agreement of all the States in dispute is required in each separate case for the submission of any dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
NETHERLANDS	14 January 1955	Surinam and the Netherlands New Guinea.
	9 May 1957	The Netherlands Antilles.
PORTUGAL	15 February 1957	Portuguese Overseas Provinces of Angola and Mozambique.
SPAIN	13 February 1958	African localities and provinces.

³ The said reservation reads as follows: "That the signs for the special identification of routes in Austria may be either rectangular or circular in shape."

⁴ The said reservation reads as follows: "That the use of the Saint Andrew's Cross at level-crossings with gates shall be permitted in Sweden and Norway."

⁵ The Government of Greece has informed the Secretary-General that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions to which the reservation is made, as far as the Soviet Union is concerned.

4. European Agreement supplementing the 1949 Convention on Road Traffic and the 1949 Protocol on Road Signs and Signals

Done at Geneva on 16 September 1950

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 December 1953, in accordance with article 4.

REGISTRATION: 20 December 1953, No. 1671.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 182, p. 286.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</i>
AUSTRIA ¹	28 June 1951	2 November 1955
BELGIUM ²	16 September 1950	23 April 1954
FRANCE		16 September 1950 <i>s</i>
GREECE		1 July 1952 <i>a</i>
HOLY SEE		1 October 1956 <i>a</i>
HUNGARY ³		30 July 1962 <i>a</i>
ITALY		30 March 1957 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG	16 September 1950	17 October 1952
NETHERLANDS ⁴	16 September 1950	4 December 1952 <i>s</i>
POLAND		29 October 1958 <i>a</i>
SPAIN		9 June 1960 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM		16 May 1966 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA		16 September 1950 <i>s</i>

¹ In a communication received on 15 October 1971, the Government of Austria denounced, in accordance with article 3 of the Agreement, the addendum, in article 1 of that Agreement, to annex 1 of the 1949 Convention.

² The signature was affixed without reservation as to ratification, but the full powers of the plenipotentiary provided for the signature of the Agreement subject to ratification.

³ With the declaration that "the Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 5 of the Agreement".

⁴ In a communication received on 4 December 1952, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General that the reservation as to ratification, made on its behalf on the signature of the Agreement, is to be considered as having been withdrawn. Consequently, the date of 4 December 1952 should be considered as the date of the definitive signature.

5. European Agreement on the application of article 3 of annex 7 of the 1949 Convention on Road Traffic concerning the dimensions and weights of vehicles permitted to travel on certain roads of the Contracting Parties, with annex

Signed at Geneva on 16 September 1950

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Came into force on 23 April 1954, and terminated on 27 November 1954, in accordance with article 5, the denunciation by France of the Agreement having reduced the number of Contracting Parties to less than three.

REGISTRATION: 23 April 1954, No. 1671.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 189, p. 366.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification</i>
BELGIUM	16 September 1950 ¹	23 April 1954
FRANCE		[16 September 1950 s] ²
LUXEMBOURG	16 September 1950 ¹	17 October 1952

¹ The signature was affixed without reservation as to ratification but the full powers of the plenipotentiary provided for the signature of the Agreement subject to ratification.

² Notice of denunciation of the Agreement was given by the Government of France on 26 May 1954.

6. European Agreement on the application of article 23 of the 1949 Convention on Road Traffic concerning the dimensions and weights of vehicles permitted to travel on certain roads of the Contracting Parties, with annex

Signed at Geneva on 16 September 1950

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1952, in accordance with article 5.

REGISTRATION: 1 July 1952, No. 1671.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 133, p. 368; and vol. 251, p. 378 (addendum to the annex).

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</i>
BELGIUM	16 September 1950 ¹	23 April 1954
FRANCE		[16 September 1950 s] ²
GREECE		1 July 1952 a
ITALY		30 March 1957 a
LUXEMBOURG	16 September 1950	17 October 1952
NETHERLANDS ³	16 September 1950	4 December 1952 s
YUGOSLAVIA		16 September 1950 s

¹The signature was affixed without reservation as to ratification but the full powers of the plenipotentiary provided for the signature of the Agreement subject to ratification

²In a communication received on 27 March 1961, the Government of France gave notice of the denunciation of the Agreement, which took effect on 27 September 1961.

³In a communication received on 4 December 1952, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General that the reservation as to ratification, made on its behalf upon signature, is to be considered as having been withdrawn. Consequently, the date of 4 December 1952 should be considered as the date of the definitive signature.

7. Declaration on the Construction of Main International Traffic Arteries, with annexes

Signed at Geneva on 16 September 1950¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 16 September 1950, in accordance with paragraph 6.

REGISTRATION: 1 July 1951, No. 1264.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 92, p. 91.¹

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRIA		1 October	1951 a
BELGIUM ²	16 September 1950	23 April	1954
BULGARIA		8 May	1962 a
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		6 March	1973 a
DENMARK		8 June	1966 a
FINLAND		9 September	1965 a
FRANCE		16 September	1950 s
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF		13 November	1957 a
GREECE		1 July	1952 a
HUNGARY		5 December	1962 a
IRELAND		20 May	1968 a
ITALY		30 March	1957 a
LUXEMBOURG		16 September	1950 s
NETHERLANDS ³	16 September 1950	4 December	1952 s
NORWAY		15 December	1953 a
POLAND		26 September	1960 a
PORTUGAL		1 April	1954 a
ROMANIA		7 April	1965 a
SPAIN		25 March	1960 a
SWEDEN		31 March	1952 a
TURKEY		10 June	1954 a
UNITED KINGDOM		16 September	1950 s
YUGOSLAVIA		18 November	1960 a

¹ For additions and amendments to annexes I and II to the Declaration, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 92, p. 122; vol. 108, p. 321; vol. 133, p. 365; vol. 184, p. 344; vol. 203, p. 336; vol. 451, p. 326; vol. 645, p. 348 and p. 350; vol. 651, p. 350, and vol. 764 (corrigendum to vol. 645, p. 350).

² The signature was affixed without reservation as to the ratification but the full powers of the plenipotentiary provided for the signature of the Declaration subject to ratification.

³ In a communication received on 4 December 1952, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General that the reservation as to ratification, made on its behalf upon signature, is to be considered as having been withdrawn. Consequently, the date of 4 December 1952 should be considered as the date of the definitive signature.

8. General Agreement on Economic Regulations for International Road Transport, with Set of Rules and Annexes

(a) Additional Protocol

(b) Protocol of Signature

Done at Geneva on 17 March 1954

Not yet in force, with the exception of the Additional Protocol¹ (see article 10 of the Agreement and the penultimate paragraph of the Protocol of Signature).

TEXT: E/ECE/186 (E/ECE/TRANS/460), 22 March 1954.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</i>	
BELGIUM	17 March	1954		
DENMARK	17 March	1954		
FRANCE			17 March	1954 s
GREECE	17 March	1954	11 December	1956
ITALY	17 March	1954	18 October	1957
LUXEMBOURG	17 March	1954		
NETHERLANDS	17 March	1954		
NORWAY			17 January	1956 a
SWEDEN	17 March	1954		
SWITZERLAND	17 March	1954		
UNITED KINGDOM	17 March	1954		
YUGOSLAVIA	17 March	1954		

¹ Paragraph 3 of the Additional Protocol provides that it "shall enter into force on the date of its signature and shall be considered as an integral part of the General Agreement on the date of entry into force of the Agreement".

(c) Protocol relating to the adoption of Annex C. 1 to the Set of Rules annexed to the General Agreement on Economic Regulations for International Road Transport

Done at Geneva on 1 July 1954

Not yet in force (see preamble).

TEXT: E/ECE/186 (E/ECE/TRANS/460), Add.1, 21 September 1954.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>
BELGIUM	1 July 1954
FRANCE	1 July 1954*
LUXEMBOURG	1 July 1954
NETHERLANDS	1 July 1954

* Signature without reservation as to ratification.

9. Agreement on Signs for Road Works, amending the European Agreement of 16 September 1950 supplementing the 1949 Convention on Road Traffic and the 1949 Protocol on Road Signs and Signals¹

Done at Geneva on 16 December 1955

Not yet in force (see article 2).

TEXT: E/ECE/223 (E/ECE/TRANS/481), 1956.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s) ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRIA	16 December 1955		
BELGIUM	16 December 1955	28 May	1956
FRANCE		16 December	1955 <i>s</i>
GREECE	16 December 1955		
HOLY SEE		1 October	1956 <i>a</i>
HUNGARY		30 July	1962 <i>a</i>
ITALY		12 February	1958 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG	16 December 1955	3 June	1957
NETHERLANDS ²	16 December 1955	31 January	1958
POLAND		29 October	1958 <i>a</i>
SPAIN		9 June	1960 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM		16 May	1966 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	16 December 1955	19 March	1957

¹ For the Agreement of 16 September 1950, see p. 323.

² The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Agreement is ratified for the Kingdom in Europe.

10. Convention on the Taxation of Road Vehicles for Private Use in International Traffic, and Protocol of Signature

Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 18 August 1959, in accordance with article 6.

REGISTRATION: 18 August 1959, No. 4844.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 339, p. 3.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to ratification</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRALIA			3 May	1961 <i>a</i>
AUSTRIA	18 May	1956	12 November	1958
BELGIUM	18 May	1956		
CZECHOSLOVAKIA ¹			2 July	1962 <i>a</i>
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA . .			22 September	1959 <i>a</i>
DENMARK			9 February	1968 <i>a</i>
FINLAND			18 May	1956 <i>s</i>
FRANCE	18 May	1956	20 May	1959
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²			7 July	1961 <i>a</i>
GHANA			18 August	1959 <i>a</i>
IRELAND			31 May	1962 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG	18 May	1956	28 May	1965
MALTA			22 November	1966 <i>a</i>
NETHERLANDS ³	18 May	1956	20 April	1959
NORWAY			9 July	1965 <i>a</i>
POLAND ⁴	18 May	1956	4 September	1969
ROMANIA ⁵			10 July	1967 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	18 May	1956	16 January	1958
UNITED KINGDOM	18 May	1956	15 January	1963
YUGOSLAVIA	18 May	1956	8 April	1960

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>		<i>Extension to</i>
AUSTRALIA	3 May	1961	Papua and Trust Territory of New Guinea.
UNITED KINGDOM	15 January	1963	Jersey, Guernsey, Alderney and the Isle of Man.
	6 June	1963	Falkland Islands and Gibraltar.
	18 July	1963	Seychelles and Virgin Islands.
	26 July	1963	St. Lucia and Montserrat.
	8 November	1963	St. Vincent, Brunei, Zanzibar and British Guiana.
	6 May	1964	Mauritius.

¹ With the declaration that "... in accordance with article 11, paragraph 2 of the Convention, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic will not be bound by the provisions of article 10 of the Convention".

² In a note accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "will also apply to Land Berlin, as from the date on which the Convention enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, the Byelorussian SSR, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

³ The signature was affixed for the Kingdom in Europe. The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Convention

and the Protocol of signature are ratified for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea.

⁴ "The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions contained in paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 10 of the Convention."

⁵ The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 10, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention, its position being that a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention cannot be submitted to arbitration without the consent of all the parties in dispute.

The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania believes that the maintenance of the state of dependence of certain territories to which the regulations of article 9 of the Convention refer is not in harmony with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December 1960 in resolution 1514 (XV), in which the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations is proclaimed

11. Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR), and Protocol of Signature

Done at Geneva on 19 May 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 2 July 1961, in accordance with article 43.

REGISTRATION: 2 July 1961, No. 5742.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 399, p. 189.

State	Signature		Ratification, accession (a)	
AUSTRIA	19 May	1956	18 July	1960
BELGIUM	19 May	1956	18 September	1962
CZECHOSLOVAKIA			4 September	1974 a
DENMARK			28 June	1965 a
FINLAND			27 June	1973 a
FRANCE	19 May	1956	20 May	1959
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ¹			27 December	1973 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	19 May	1956	7 November	1961
HUNGARY ³			29 April	1970 a
ITALY			3 April	1961 a
LUXEMBOURG	19 May	1956	20 April	1964
NETHERLANDS ⁴	19 May	1956	27 September	1960
NORWAY			1 July	1969 a
POLAND ⁵	19 May	1956	13 June	1962
PORTUGAL			22 September	1969 a
ROMANIA			23 January	1973 a
SPAIN			12 February	1974 a
SWEDEN	19 May	1956	2 April	1969
SWITZERLAND	19 May	1956	27 February	1970
UNITED KINGDOM ⁶			21 July	1967 a
YUGOSLAVIA	19 May	1956	22 October	1958

¹ In the instrument of accession, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared that the German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by article 47 of the Convention.

² In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 7 November 1961, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "will also apply to Land Berlin, as from the date on which the Convention enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications were received by the Secretary-General from the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America, the Federal Republic of Germany, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The communications in question are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

Upon accession to the Convention, on 27 December 1973, the Government of the German Democratic Republic made on the same subject a declaration identical in essence to that reproduced in the fifth paragraph of footnote 3, p. 52.

The latter declaration gave rise to communications from the Governments of the following States: France, United Kingdom and United States of America (received on 17 June 1974), Federal Republic of Germany (received on 15 July 1974). The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to those reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52.

³ The accession is made subject to the reservation that "the Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by article 47 of the Convention". A note accompanying the instrument of accession contains the following declaration:

"1. The Hungarian People's Republic deems it necessary to call attention to the discriminative character of article 42 of the Convention by which a number of States are debarred

from accession to the Convention. The matters regulated by the Convention concern the interests of all States, and therefore, in conformity with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, no State should be prevented from becoming a Party to such a Convention.

"2. The Hungarian People's Republic points out that the provisions of article 46 of the Convention are contrary to the principle of international law recording the self-determination of peoples as well as to United Nations General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960 on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples."

⁴ The signature was affixed for the Kingdom in Europe.

⁵ Subject to the reservation that the Government of the Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by article 47 of the Convention.

⁶ In a communication received on 31 October 1968, the Government of the United Kingdom notified the Secretary-General of the extension of the Convention to Gibraltar.

In this connexion the Government of Spain declared in its instrument of accession to the Convention that Spain did not consider itself bound by the said United Kingdom communication, since it would not apply the Convention to Gibraltar by reason of the fact that article X of the Treaty of Utrecht signed on 13 July 1713, did not grant Gibraltar communication by land with Spain. In a subsequent communication, received on 12 February 1974, the Government of Spain stated that in making the above-quoted declaration its intention was not to formulate a reservation that might be covered by article 48(3) of the Convention, but to place on record the fact that Spain did not consider itself bound by the communication from the Government of the United Kingdom, a communication which had no legal force whatever inasmuch as it was contrary to article X of the Treaty of Utrecht.

[Footnote continues on following page]

Declarations and Reservations

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

“ . . . The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic will not be bound by the provision of article 47.”

ROMANIA

Reservation contained in the instrument of accession:

The Socialist Republic of Romania declares, pursuant to article 48 of the Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR), done at Geneva on 19 May 1956, that it does not consider itself as bound by article 47 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention which is not settled by negotiation or other means may, at the request of any one of the Con-

footnote continued from previous page]

Subsequently, on 11 September 1974, a communication was received from the Government of the United Kingdom to the effect that that Government did not accept the statements made by the Government of Spain in its instrument of accession and in the letter received by the Secretary-General on 12 February 1974, concerning the effect of article X of the Treaty of Utrecht and the legal force of the notification by the Government of the United Kingdom of the extension of the Convention to Gibraltar.

In a communication received on 12 November 1969, the Government of the United Kingdom notified the Secretary-General of the extension of the Convention to the Isle of Man.

In a communication received on 3 March 1972 the Government of the United Kingdom notified the Secretary-General of the extension of the Convention to the Bailiwick of Guernsey.

tracting Parties concerned, be referred to the International Court of Justice.

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that such disputes may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

Declaration contained in a communication accompanying the instrument of accession:

The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania declares that the provisions of article 42, paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Convention are not in keeping with the principle that multilateral international treaties must be open for participation by all States for which the aim and purpose of such treaties are of concern.

The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania declares that the maintenance of the dependent status of certain territories to which reference is made in article 46 of the Convention is not in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations and the documents adopted by the United Nations concerning the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, unanimously adopted in 1970 by the General Assembly in its resolution 2625 (XXV), which solemnly proclaims the duty of States to promote realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring a speedy end to colonialism.

12. Convention on the Taxation of Road Vehicles Engaged in International Goods Transport

Done at Geneva on 14 December 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 29 August 1962, in accordance with article 5.

REGISTRATION: 29 August 1962, No. 6292.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 436, p. 115.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to ratification</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</i>
AUSTRIA	14 December 1956	7 April 1960
CUBA ¹		14 February 1966 a
CZECHOSLOVAKIA ²		2 July 1962 a
DENMARK		9 February 1968 a
FINLAND		11 January 1967 a
GHANA		29 August 1962 a
IRELAND		31 May 1962 a
LUXEMBOURG	20 February 1957	28 May 1965
MOROCCO ³		29 August 1962 a
NETHERLANDS ⁴	15 May 1957	
NORWAY		17 May 1957 s
POLAND ⁵	14 December 1956	4 September 1969
SWEDEN	14 December 1956	16 January 1958
UNITED KINGDOM ⁶		6 August 1969 a
YUGOSLAVIA		29 May 1959 a

¹ Subject to the following declaration: In accordance with article 10 of this Convention, the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself as bound by the provisions of article 9; instead, it will at all times be prepared to settle any dispute that may arise concerning the interpretation or application of one or more operative parts of this Convention by diplomatic negotiation with the dissenting party or parties.

² Subject to the declaration that "...in accordance with article 10, paragraph 1, of the Convention, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic will not be bound by the provisions of article 9 of the Convention"

³ Subject to the reservation that if the point of departure and the destination of vehicles engaged in transport are both in Moroccan territory, those vehicles shall not enjoy the privileges granted under the said Convention. [See paragraph 2 of article 3 of the Convention.]

⁴ The signature was affixed for the Kingdom in Europe

⁵ "The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions contained in paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 9 of the Convention."

⁶ In a communication received on 24 February 1970, the Government of the United Kingdom notified the Secretary-General that the Convention shall extend to the Isle of Man.

13. Convention on the Taxation of Road Vehicles Engaged in International Passenger Transport

Done at Geneva on 14 December 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 29 August 1962, in accordance with article 5.

REGISTRATION: 29 August 1962, No. 6293.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 436, p. 131.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to ratification</i>	<i>Definitive signature (*), ratification, accession (a)</i>
AUSTRIA	14 December 1956	7 April 1960
CUBA ¹		16 September 1965 a
CZECHOSLOVAKIA ²		2 July 1962 a
DENMARK		9 February 1968 a
FINLAND		11 January 1967 a
GHANA		29 August 1962 a
IRELAND		31 May 1962 a
LUXEMBOURG	20 February 1957	28 May 1965
NETHERLANDS ³	15 May 1957	
NORWAY		17 May 1957*
POLAND ⁴	14 December 1956	4 September 1969
ROMANIA ⁵		19 February 1968 a
SWEDEN	14 December 1956	16 January 1958
UNITED KINGDOM ⁶	17 May 1957	15 January 1963
YUGOSLAVIA		29 May 1959 a

¹ With the following declaration: In accordance with article 10 of this Convention, the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself as bound by the provisions of article 9; instead, it will at all times be prepared to settle any dispute that may arise concerning the interpretation or application of one or more operative parts of this Convention by diplomatic negotiation with the dissenting party or parties.

² With the declaration that "...in accordance with article 10, paragraph 1, of the Convention, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic will not be bound by the provisions of article 9 of the Convention".

³ The signature was affixed for the Kingdom in Europe.

⁴ "The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions contained in paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 9 of the Convention."

⁵ Subject to the following reservation: The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 9, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention. The position of the Socialist Republic of Romania is that a dispute concern-

ing the interpretation or application of the Convention can be submitted to arbitration only with the consent of all parties in dispute.

Furthermore, the Government of Romania made the following declaration: The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance of the state of dependence of certain territories to which the provisions of article 8 of the Convention apply is not in accordance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December 1960 in resolution 1514 (XV), which proclaims the need to put an end to colonialism in all its forms and manifestations immediately and unconditionally.

⁶ In a notification made on ratification, the Government of the United Kingdom declared that the Convention shall extend to Jersey and the Isle of Man.

In a notification received on 6 June 1963, the Government of the United Kingdom declared that the Convention shall extend to Gibraltar.

14. European Agreement concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road (ADR), with annexes and Protocol of Signature

Done at Geneva on 30 September 1957¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 29 January 1968, in accordance with article 7.

REGISTRATION: 29 January 1968, No. 8940.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 619, p. 77; vols. 641; 774, p. 368, and 828 (amendments to annexes A and B)⁴.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
AUSTRIA	13 December 1957	20 September 1973
BELGIUM	18 October 1957	25 August 1960
FRANCE	13 December 1957	2 February 1960
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ²		27 December 1973 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³	13 December 1957	1 December 1969
ITALY	13 December 1957	3 June 1963

¹ Amendments proposed by the Government of France to annexes A and B to the Agreement and circulated by the Secretary-General on 29 January 1968 entered into force on 29 July 1968, in accordance with paragraph 3 of article 14 of the Agreement. That date coincided with the date on which the said annexes in their original form were to become applicable pursuant to paragraph 1 of article 7 of the Agreement, which provides that annexes shall not apply until six months after the entry into force of the Agreement. Accordingly, annexes A and B as amended became applicable on 29 July 1968. For the French authentic text of annexes A and B, incorporating the said amendments, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 641.

Further amendments to annexes A and B of the Agreement entered into force subsequently but have not yet been published in United Nations *Treaty Series*. For each group of such amendments the indication of the State which formulated the corresponding proposals, the date on which the proposals were communicated by a circular letter from the Secretary-General and the date of entry into force will be found hereafter:

State	Date of circulation	Date of entry into force
France	1 January 1973	1 July 1973
id.	1 July 1973	1 January 1974
id.	15 September 1973	15 March 1974
Federal Republic of Germany	18 September 1973	18 March 1974
United Kingdom	1 October 1973	1 April 1974
Spain	15 April 1974	15 October 1974
France	9 May 1975	1 October 1975*
United Kingdom	19 May 1975	19 November 1975
France	21 October 1975	21 April 1976
United Kingdom	21 October 1975	21 April 1976
id.	29 March 1976	29 September 1976
Secretary-General	29 March 1976	29 September 1976
United Kingdom	17 August 1976	17 February 1977

* Date retained in accordance with article 14 (3) of the Agreement for the purpose of ensuring concordance with the International Regulations concerning carriage of dangerous goods by rail (RID).

In communications received on 25 June and 17 July 1974, respectively, the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany and Switzerland informed the Secretary-General that they objected to the proposed amendment circulated on 15 April 1974, relating to marginal 2021 12^a (a) of annex A.

In a notification received on 26 January 1976, the Government of Sweden informed the Secretary-General that it objected to the amendment proposed by the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and circulated on 21 October 1975, relating to marginals 3620 (d) (iii), 3659 (8) (b), and 3680 (h) (i).

Amendments proposed by France with respect to Annex B were circulated by the Secretary-General on 28 May 1976. However, those were subsequently withdrawn by the French Government (on 28 July 1976).

² In the instrument of accession, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared that the German Democratic Republic did not consider itself bound by article 11 of the Agreement.

³ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Agreement "shall also apply to Land Berlin with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications have been received by the Secretary-General from the Governments of Bulgaria (on 13 May 1970) and Mongolia

[Footnote continues on following page]

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
LUXEMBOURG	13 December 1957	21 July 1970
NETHERLANDS ⁴	13 December 1957	1 November 1963
NORWAY		5 February 1976 <i>a</i>
POLAND		6 May 1975 <i>a</i>
PORTUGAL		29 December 1967 <i>a</i>
SPAIN		22 November 1972 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN		1 March 1974 <i>a</i>
SWITZERLAND	6 November 1957	20 June 1972
UNITED KINGDOM	1 October 1957	29 June 1968
YUGOSLAVIA		28 May 1971 <i>a</i>

footnote continued from previous page]

(on 22 June 1970). The communications in question are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding declarations reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52.

Furthermore, the Government of the German Democratic Republic, upon accession to the Convention, made on the same subject a declaration which is identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to that reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52. The latter declaration in turn gave rise to communications by the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America (received on 17 June 1974 and 8 July 1975), the Federal Republic of Germany (received on 15 July 1974 and 19 September 1975) and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (received on 12 September 1974 and 8 December 1975), which are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52.

⁴The signature was affixed for the Kingdom in Europe.

14.(a) Protocol amending article 14 (3) of the European Agreement of 30 September 1957 concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road (ADR)

Done at New York on 21 August 1975¹

Not yet in force (see article 3).

TEXT: C.N.229.1975. TREATIES.1975

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance</i>	
AUSTRIA	10 August	1976
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	10 August	1976
SPAIN	5 December	1975
SWEDEN	23 February	1976
SWITZERLAND	19 February	1976
UNITED KINGDOM	13 February	1976
YUGOSLAVIA	1 October	1976

¹The text of the Protocol was drawn up by the Group of Experts on the Transport of Dangerous Goods at its special session held in Geneva on 20 January 1975. The Protocol has been adopted for the purpose of acceptance on 21 August 1975 by the Contracting Parties to the Agreement and will enter into force when the instruments of acceptance of all States Parties have been deposited with the Secretary-General.

15. European Agreement on Road Markings

Done at Geneva on 13 December 1957

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 10 August 1960, in accordance with article 10.

REGISTRATION: 10 August 1960, No. 5296.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 372, p. 159.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to ratification</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</i>
BELGIUM ¹	14 January 1958	28 August 1958
BULGARIA ²		14 March 1963 <i>a</i>
CYPRUS		30 July 1973 <i>a</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA ³		12 May 1960 <i>a</i>
FRANCE		4 February 1958 <i>s</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ⁴	13 December 1957	3 January 1963
GHANA		10 August 1960 <i>a</i>
HUNGARY ⁵		30 July 1962 <i>a</i>
ITALY	13 February 1958	
LUXEMBOURG	13 December 1957	28 June 1961
NETHERLANDS ⁶	13 December 1957	
PORTUGAL	13 December 1957	26 March 1959
ROMANIA ⁷		20 December 1963 <i>a</i>
SPAIN		3 January 1961 <i>a</i>
SWITZERLAND	17 February 1958	
TURKEY	28 February 1958	25 May 1961
UNITED KINGDOM	25 February 1958	
YUGOSLAVIA		29 May 1959 <i>a</i>

¹ With the declaration that Belgium does not consider itself bound by article 14 of the Agreement.

² With the declaration that the People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 14 as they stand.

³ With the declaration that "the Czechoslovak Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 14 of the Agreement".

⁴ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Agreement "will also apply to Land Berlin, as from the date on which the Convention enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the

Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52.

⁵ With the declaration that "the Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 14 of the said Agreement".

⁶ The signature was affixed for the Kingdom in Europe.

⁷ With the declaration that the Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the stipulations of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 14 of this Agreement.

16. Agreement concerning the Adoption of Uniform Conditions of Approval and Reciprocal Recognition of Approval for Motor Vehicle Equipment and Parts

Done at Geneva on 20 March 1958¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 June 1959, in accordance with article 7.

REGISTRATION: 20 June 1959, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 335, p. 211; vol. 516, p. 378 (*Procès-verbal* of Rectification of the authentic English and French texts of paragraph 8 of article 1 of the Agreement), and vol. 609, p. 290 (amendment).¹

State	Signature subject to ratification		Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)	
AUSTRIA			12 March	1971 a
BELGIUM			7 July	1959 a
CZECHOSLOVAKIA			12 May	1960 a
DENMARK ^{1a}			21 October	1976 a
FINLAND			19 July	1976 a
FRANCE			26 June	1958 s
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			4 October	1974 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	19 June	1958	29 November	1965
HUNGARY	30 June	1958	3 May	1960
ITALY	28 March	1958	25 February	1963
LUXEMBOURG			13 October	1971 a
NETHERLANDS	30 March	1958	30 June	1960
NORWAY			3 February	1975 a
ROMANIA			23 December	1976 a
SPAIN			11 August	1961 a
SWEDEN			21 April	1959 a
SWITZERLAND			29 June	1973 a
UNITED KINGDOM			15 January	1963 a
YUGOSLAVIA			14 February	1962 a

Declarations and reservations

AUSTRIA

"The accession of the Republic of Austria covers only the Agreement itself. The Republic of Austria is therefore not bound by any of the Regulations annexed to the Agreement."

¹ An amendment to article 1, paragraph 1, of the Agreement, proposed by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, was circulated by the Secretary-General among all the Contracting Parties on 10 February 1967. None of the Contracting Parties having expressed an objection to the proposed amendment within the period of six months following the date of its circulation, it was deemed to have been accepted, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 13 of the Agreement. Pursuant to paragraph 3 of the same article, the amendment entered into force for all the Contracting Parties three months after the expiration of the said period of six months, that is to say, on 10 November 1967. For the text of this amendment, see United Nations *Treaty Series*, vol. 609, p. 290.

^{1a} With a declaration that the Agreement does not apply to the Faeroe Islands.

² In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Agreement "shall apply to Land Berlin as from the date on which the Agreement enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, the Secretary-General received communications from the Governments

BELGIUM

(a) In accordance with article 1, paragraph 6, Belgium declares that it does not consider itself bound by any of the Regulations annexed to the Agreement;

(b) In accordance with article 11, paragraph 1, Belgium declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 10 of the Agreement.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"The Czechoslovak Republic does not consider itself bound by the provision of article 10 of the Agreement."

of Czechoslovakia (1 February 1966 and 13 September 1967), Hungary (10 February 1966), Poland (4 March 1966), the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (12 April 1966 and 2 June 1967), the Byelorussian SSR (6 June 1966 and 10 November 1967), Albania (14 June 1966), France (23 November 1966 and 21 August 1968), the United Kingdom (23 November 1966 and 21 August 1968), the Federal Republic of Germany (25 November 1966 and 21 August 1968) and the United States of America (21 August 1968). The communications in question are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to those reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52.

Upon accession to the Agreement, on 4 October 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic made on the

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

In regard to article 1:

In accordance with article 1, paragraph 6 of the Agreement the German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by any of the regulations then annexed to the Agreement.

In regard to article 9:

The German Democratic Republic, in its attitude towards the provisions of the Agreement, in so far as they concern the application of this Agreement to colonial and other dependent territories, is guided by the stipulations of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which set forth the need for an early and unconditional elimination of colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

In regard to article 10:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 10 of the Agreement according to which a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Agreement which is not settled by negotiation shall be submitted to arbitration if any one of the Contracting Parties in dispute so requests.

In this regard the German Democratic Republic holds the view that in every single case the consent of all the Contracting Parties to the dispute shall be necessary to settle a particular dispute by arbitration.

HUNGARY

"The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic hereby ratifies the Agreement . . . with the reservation that it does not recognize article 10 of the Agreement as binding upon it."

ITALY

Italy does not consider itself bound by article 10 of the Agreement.

same subject a declaration identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the one reproduced in the fifth paragraph of footnote 3, p. 52.

In reference to the latter declaration, the Secretary-General received communications from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America (8 July 1975) and from the Federal Republic of Germany (19 September 1975) identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding declarations cited in footnote 3, p. 52.

LUXEMBOURG

In accordance with paragraph 6 of article 1 of the Agreement, the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg declares that it is not bound by Regulations Nos. 1 to 20 inclusive, annexed to the Agreement.

ROMANIA

Reservation:

The Socialist Republic of Romania declares, under paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Agreement concerning the Adoption of Uniform Conditions of Approval and Reciprocal Recognition of Approval for Motor Vehicle Equipment and Parts, done at Geneva on 20 March 1958, that it does not consider itself bound by article 10 of the Agreement.

Declarations:

(a) The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance of the dependent status of certain territories to which reference is made in article 9 of the Agreement concerning the Adoption of Uniform Conditions of Approval and Reciprocal Recognition of Approval for Motor Vehicle Equipment and Parts, done at Geneva on 20 March 1958, is not in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations and the documents adopted by the United Nations concerning the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, unanimously adopted in 1970 by the General Assembly in its resolution 2625 (XXV), which solemnly proclaims the duty of States to promote realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring a speedy end to colonialism.

(b) Under paragraph 6 of article 1 of the Agreement, the Socialist Republic of Romania declares that it does not consider itself bound by Regulations Nos. 13, 14, 16, 17, 22, 29, 32, 33, 34 and 35.

SPAIN

Subject to reservations provided for in article 11 of the Agreement.

Regulations annexed to the Agreement of 20 March 1958 concerning the Adoption of Uniform Conditions of Approval and Reciprocal Recognition of Approval for Motor Vehicle Equipment and Parts

Regulation No. 1: Uniform Regulations for the approval of motor vehicle headlights emitting an asymmetrical passing beam or a driving beam or both¹

Regulation No. 2: Uniform Regulations concerning approval of lamps for headlights emitting an asymmetrical passing beam or a driving beam or both¹

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium, France and Sweden

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 8 August 1960, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 8 August 1960, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 372, p. 370, and vol. 552, p. 370 (consolidated text of Regulations Nos. 1 and 2, incorporating all amendments).

Contracting Parties applying Regulations Nos. 1 and 2

Effective date of application

State	Regulation No. 1		Regulation No. 2	
	Effective date	Year	Effective date	Year
AUSTRIA	30 April	1972	30 April	1972
BELGIUM	8 August	1960	8 August	1960
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	8 May	1961	8 May	1961
DENMARK	20 December	1976	20 December	1976
FINLAND	17 September	1976	17 September	1976
FRANCE	8 August	1960	8 August	1960
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	3 January	1976	3 January	1976
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	2 May	1966	2 May	1966
HUNGARY	9 May	1965	8 August	1960
ITALY	26 July	1963	26 July	1963
NETHERLANDS	9 March	1962	9 March	1962
For the territory of the King- dom situated in Europe				
ROMANIA	21 February	1977	21 February	1977
SPAIN	10 October	1961	10 October	1961
SWEDEN	8 August	1960	8 August	1960
UNITED KINGDOM	30 June	1963	30 June	1963
YUGOSLAVIA	15 April	1962	15 April	1962

¹ Regulations Nos. 1 and 2 were twice amended: in the first instance, on the proposal of the Government of France communicated to the Secretary-General on 22 October 1962; in the second instance, on the proposal of the Government of the Netherlands communicated to the Secretary-General on 23 March 1965.

The amendments proposed by the Government of France entered into force on 28 April 1963, in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 12 of the Agreement, in respect of all Contracting

Parties applying Regulations Nos. 1 and 2. The registration took place on the same date under No. 4789; for the text, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 462, p. 354.

The amendments proposed by the Government of the Netherlands entered into force in the manner described above on 30 January 1966. The registration took place on the same date under No. 4789; for the consolidated text of Regulations Nos. 1 and 2, incorporating all amendments, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 552, p. 370

Regulation No. 3: Uniform provisions for the approval of reflex reflecting devices for motor vehicles***Proposed by the Governments of France and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland***

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 November 1963, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 November 1963, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 480, p. 376; and vol. 557, p. 274 (Procès-verbal of rectification of the authentic text).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 3

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
AUSTRIA	30 April 1972
BELGIUM	20 September 1969
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	16 February 1964
DENMARK	20 December 1976
FINLAND	17 September 1976
FRANCE	1 November 1963
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	3 January 1976
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	28 January 1966
HUNGARY	9 May 1965
ITALY	21 June 1964
NETHERLANDS	11 March 1966
With respect to its European territory	
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
SPAIN	26 February 1966
SWEDEN	30 August 1966
UNITED KINGDOM	1 November 1963
YUGOSLAVIA	25 July 1969

Regulation No. 4: Uniform provisions for the approval of devices for the illumination of rear registration plates of motor vehicles (except motor cycles) and their trailers¹***Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and Italy***

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 April 1964, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 15 April 1964, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 493, p. 308.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 4

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
AUSTRIA	30 April 1972
BELGIUM	15 April 1964
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	17 June 1969
DENMARK	20 December 1976
FRANCE	6 July 1964
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	3 January 1976
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	28 January 1966
HUNGARY	9 May 1965
ITALY	15 April 1964
NETHERLANDS	10 January 1971
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
SPAIN	26 February 1966
SWEDEN	6 July 1971
UNITED KINGDOM	25 September 1967
YUGOSLAVIA	25 July 1969

¹ Amendments to Regulation No. 4, proposed by the Government of France, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 6 December 1973. These amendments have been accepted and entered into force on 6 May 1974. The text of the amendments concerned is reproduced in document GE.73-26971 (F) of the Transport Division of the Economic Commission for Europe.

Regulation No. 5: Uniform provisions for the approval of motor vehicle "sealed beam" headlamps (SB) emitting an asymmetrical passing beam or a driving beam or both

Proposed by the Governments of Sweden and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 September 1967, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 30 September 1967, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 606, p. 324.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 5

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
AUSTRIA	30 April 1972
BELGIUM	19 March 1972
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	15 April 1968
DENMARK	20 December 1976
FINLAND	17 September 1976
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	30 September 1967
HUNGARY	18 October 1976
ITALY	8 February 1969
NETHERLANDS	30 September 1967
For its territory in Europe	
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
SPAIN	20 October 1969
SWEDEN	30 September 1967
UNITED KINGDOM	30 September 1967
YUGOSLAVIA	25 July 1969

Regulation No. 6: Uniform provisions for the approval of direction indicators for motor vehicles (except motor cycles) and their trailers

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 October 1967, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 15 October 1967, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 607, p. 282, and vol. 754, p. 344 (Procès-verbal of rectification of the authentic text).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 6

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
AUSTRIA	30 April 1972
BELGIUM	15 October 1967
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	17 June 1969
FRANCE	15 October 1967
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	3 January 1976
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	15 October 1967
HUNGARY	18 October 1976
ITALY	12 April 1968
NETHERLANDS	15 October 1967
For its territory in Europe	
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
SPAIN	20 February 1971
SWEDEN	6 July 1971
UNITED KINGDOM	15 October 1967
YUGOSLAVIA	25 July 1969

Regulation No. 7: Uniform provisions for the approval of position (side) lights, red rear lights and stop lights for motor vehicles (except motor cycles) and their trailers

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 October 1967, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 15 October 1967, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 607, p. 308.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 7		
State	Effective date of application	
AUSTRIA	30 April	1972
BELGIUM	15 October	1967
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	17 June	1969
DENMARK	20 December	1976
FRANCE	15 October	1967
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	3 January	1976
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	15 October	1967
HUNGARY	18 October	1976
ITALY	12 April	1968
NETHERLANDS	15 October	1967
For its territory in Europe		
ROMANIA	21 February	1977
SPAIN	20 February	1971
SWEDEN	6 July	1971
UNITED KINGDOM	15 October	1967
YUGOSLAVIA	25 July	1969

Regulation No. 8: Uniform provisions for the approval of motor vehicle headlights emitting an asymmetrical passing beam or a driving beam or both and equipped with halogen lamps (H₁, H₂ and H₃ lamps), and of the lamps themselves¹

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 November 1967, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 15 November 1967, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 609, p. 292, and vol. 764, p. 388 (amendments).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 8		
State	Effective date of application	
AUSTRIA	30 April	1972
BELGIUM	15 November	1967
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	17 June	1969
DENMARK	20 December	1976
FINLAND	17 September	1976
FRANCE	15 November	1967
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	3 January	1976
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	15 November	1967
HUNGARY	18 October	1976
ITALY	26 March	1976
NETHERLANDS	15 November	1967
For its territory in Europe		
ROMANIA	21 February	1977
SPAIN	15 November	1967
SWEDEN	15 November	1967
UNITED KINGDOM	30 March	1969
YUGOSLAVIA	25 July	1969

¹ Amendments to Regulation No. 8, proposed by the Government of France, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 25 August 1970. The amendments have been accepted and entered into force on 25 January 1971.

Further amendments to Regulation No. 8, proposed by the Government of France, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 6 December 1973. These amendments have been accepted and entered into force on 6 May 1974. The revised text of the Regulation has been published as document E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Add.7/Rev.1 of the Transport Division of the Economic Commission for Europe.

**Regulation No. 9: Uniform provisions concerning the approval
of vehicles with regard to noise¹**

Proposed by the Governments of Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 March 1969, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 March 1969, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 659, p. 342.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 9

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
BELGIUM	11 October 1976
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	1 March 1969
HUNGARY	18 October 1976
ITALY	1 March 1969
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
SPAIN	20 February 1971
YUGOSLAVIA	1 March 1969

**Regulation No. 10: Uniform provisions concerning the approval
of vehicles with regard to radio interference suppression²**

*Proposed by the Governments of France and the United Kingdom
of Great Britain and Northern Ireland*

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 April 1969, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 April 1969, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 667, p. 316.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 10

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
BELGIUM	7 March 1976
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	15 July 1969
FRANCE	1 April 1969
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	24 May 1970
HUNGARY	18 October 1976
ITALY	27 December 1975
NETHERLANDS	22 January 1974
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
SPAIN	20 February 1971
SWEDEN	5 September 1971
UNITED KINGDOM	1 April 1969
YUGOSLAVIA	23 April 1973

¹ Amendments to Regulation No. 9, proposed by the Government of Italy, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 17 September 1973. The amendments have been accepted and entered into force on 17 February 1974. For the text of the revised Regulation see document E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/515/Add.8/Rev.1 of the Transport Division of the Economic Commission for Europe.

² Amendments to Regulation No. 10 proposed by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 5 March 1974. The said amendments have not been accepted, the Government of Sweden having objected to them by a notification received on 1 June 1974 (see article 12 of the Agreement).

Amendments to Regulation No. 10, proposed by the Government of Czechoslovakia, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 28 March 1975. The amendments in question were not accepted, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany having objected thereto by a notification received on 26 June 1975.

**Regulation No. 11: Uniform provisions concerning the approval
of vehicles with regard to the strength of door latches and hinges¹**

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and France

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 June 1969, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 June 1969, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 673, p. 354.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 11

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>	
BELGIUM	1 June	1969
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	14 April	1972
DENMARK	20 December	1976
FRANCE	1 June	1969
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	24 May	1970
HUNGARY	18 October	1976
ITALY	17 September	1975
NETHERLANDS	1 June	1969
For its territory in Europe		
ROMANIA	21 February	1977
SPAIN	28 December	1975
SWEDEN	6 July	1971
UNITED KINGDOM	1 June	1969

**Regulation No. 12: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard
to the protection of the driver against the steering mechanism in the event of impact**

*Proposed by the Governments of France and the United Kingdom of Great Britain
and Northern Ireland²*

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1969, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 July 1969, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 680, p. 338.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 12

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>	
BELGIUM	19 March	1972
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	14 April	1972
DENMARK	20 December	1976
FRANCE	1 July	1969
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	16 September	1972
ITALY	17 September	1975
NETHERLANDS	1 July	1969
For its territory in Europe		
ROMANIA	21 February	1977
SWEDEN	26 December	1969
UNITED KINGDOM	1 July	1969

¹ Amendments to Regulation No. 11, proposed by the Government of Belgium, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 6 December 1973. These amendments have been accepted and entered into force on 6 May 1974. For the text of the amendments, see document GE 73-28078 (E) of the Transport Division of the Economic Commission for Europe.

² Amendments to Regulation No. 12, proposed by the Government of France, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 20 May 1974. The amendments have been accepted and entered into force on 20 October 1974. For the text of the amendments concerned, see document GE.74-22669 (E) of the Transport Division of the Economic Commission for Europe.

**Regulation No. 13: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles
with regard to braking¹**

Proposed by the Governments of Italy and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 June 1970, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 June 1970, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 730, p. 342.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 13

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>	
BELGIUM	11 October	1976
HUNGARY	18 October	1976
ITALY	1 June	1970
NETHERLANDS	1 June	1970

**Regulation No. 14: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles
with regard to safety-belt anchorages on private cars²**

Proposed by the Governments of France and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 April 1970, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 April 1970, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 723, p. 302, and vol. 778, p. 372 (amendments).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 14

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>	
BELGIUM	11 December	1970
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	14 April	1972
DENMARK	20 December	1976
FINLAND	17 September	1976
FRANCE	1 April	1970
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	27 March	1973
HUNGARY	18 October	1976
ITALY	15 June	1976
NETHERLANDS	1 April	1970
SPAIN	20 July	1973

¹ Amendments to Regulation No. 13, proposed by the Government of Italy, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 29 March 1973. The amendments have been accepted and entered into force on 29 August 1973. For the text of the Regulation as amended, see document E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.12/Rev.1 of the Transport Division of the Economic Commission for Europe.

Further amendments to Regulation No. 13, proposed by the Government of the Netherlands, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 11 February 1974. The amendments were accepted and entered into force on 11 July 1974. For the text of the amendments, see documents W/TRANS/WP29/493/Add.1 and Add.1/Amend.1 of the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe.

² Amendments to Regulation No. 14, proposed by the Government of France, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 21 December 1970. The amendments were accepted and came into force on 21 May 1971.

Amendments to Regulation No. 14, proposed by the Government of the Netherlands, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 28 November 1975. The amendments were accepted and came into force on 28 April 1976. For the text of the amendments, see document GE.75-27055 of the Transport Division of the Economic Commission for Europe.

Regulation No. 15: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles equipped with a positive-ignition engine with regard to the emission of gaseous pollutants by the engine¹

Proposed by the Governments of France and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 August 1970, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 August 1970, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 740, p. 364.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 15

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
BELGIUM	11 December 1970
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	14 April 1972
FRANCE	1 August 1970
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	16 September 1972
HUNGARY	18 October 1976
ITALY	14 April 1973
NETHERLANDS	29 May 1971
NORWAY	4 April 1975
SPAIN	1 August 1970
SWITZERLAND	28 August 1973
UNITED KINGDOM	17 July 1972
YUGOSLAVIA ..	27 August 1976

¹ Amendments to Regulation No. 15 proposed by the Government of the United Kingdom, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 11 July 1974. The amendments were accepted and entered into force on 11 December 1974. For the text of the amendments see document GE.74-22255 of the Transport Division of the Economic Commission for Europe.

Amendments to Regulation No. 15 proposed by the Government of France were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 5 March 1976. The amendments in question were not accepted, the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland having objected thereto by a notification received on 4 June 1976.

Further amendments to Regulation No. 15 proposed by the Government of the United Kingdom were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties on 15 October 1976. In accordance with a proposal by the Government of the United Kingdom received on 22 October 1976 the said amendments will enter into force on 1 March 1977 (instead of 15 March 1977) subject to the acceptance of the Governments concerned.

**Regulation No. 16: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of safety belts
for adult occupants of power-driven vehicles¹**

Proposed by the Governments of France and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 December 1970, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 December 1970, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 756, p. 232, and vol. 820, p. 420 (amendments).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 16

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
BELGIUM	1 December 1970
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	14 April 1972
DENMARK	20 December 1976
FINLAND	17 September 1976
FRANCE	1 December 1970
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	14 May 1973
ITALY	15 June 1976
NETHERLANDS	1 December 1970
SPAIN	6 May 1973
YUGOSLAVIA	27 August 1976

¹ Amendments to Regulation No. 16 proposed by the Governments of Belgium, France and the Netherlands were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 18 February 1972. The proposed amendments having thus been communicated jointly by all Governments applying Regulation No. 16, it was not necessary to wait for the expiration of the three-month period provided for by article 12 (1) of the Agreement for the possible formulation of objections, and the amendments consequently entered into force on 18 April 1972, i.e. within a period of two months from their circulation, in accordance with the other provisions of article 12 of the Agreement.

Further amendments to Regulation No. 16 proposed by the Government of the Netherlands were circulated by the Secretary-General among the contracting parties to the Agreement on 3 May 1973. The amendments were deemed to have been accepted, in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 12 of the Agreement and entered into force on 3 October 1973. For the revised text of the Regulation see document E/ECE/324, E/ECE/TRANS/505, Rev.1/Add.15/Rev.1 of the Transport Division of the Economic Commission for Europe.

**Regulation No. 17: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles
with regard to the strength of the seats and of their anchorages¹**

Proposed by the Governments of France and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 December 1970, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 December 1970, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 756, p. 286.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 17

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>	
BELGIUM	23 March	1976
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	14 April	1972
DENMARK	20 December	1976
FRANCE	1 December	1970
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	27 March	1973
ITALY	17 September	1975
NETHERLANDS	1 December	1970
SWEDEN	6 July	1971
UNITED KINGDOM	12 February	1972
YUGOSLAVIA	27 August	1976

**Regulation No. 18: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of power-driven vehicles with regard
to their protection against unauthorized use**

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and France

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 March 1971, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 March 1971, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 768, p. 300.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 18

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>	
BELGIUM	1 March	1971
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	14 April	1972
DENMARK	20 December	1976
FRANCE	1 March	1971
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	27 March	1973
HUNGARY	18 October	1976
ITALY	17 September	1975
NETHERLANDS	1 March	1971
ROMANIA	21 February	1977
SPAIN	27 July	1971
SWEDEN	15 August	1974
UNITED KINGDOM	3 April	1972

¹ Amendments to Regulation No. 17, proposed by the Government of France, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 11 April 1973. The amendments were accepted and entered into force on 11 September 1973.

**Regulation No. 19: Uniform provisions concerning the approval
of motor vehicle fog lights¹**

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 March 1971, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 March 1971, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 768, p. 315.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 19

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
AUSTRIA	30 April 1972
BELGIUM	1 March 1971
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	14 April 1972
DENMARK	20 December 1976
FINLAND	17 September 1976
FRANCE	13 September 1971
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	3 January 1976
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	27 March 1973
HUNGARY	18 October 1976
ITALY	4 July 1971
NETHERLANDS	1 March 1971
NORWAY	4 April 1975
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
SPAIN	7 April 1974
SWEDEN	28 May 1972
UNITED KINGDOM	30 November 1971
YUGOSLAVIA	27 August 1976

¹ Amendments to Regulation No. 19, proposed by the Government of Spain, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 7 November 1973. The said amendments were accepted and came into force on 7 April 1974 (on the same date the Regulation itself also came into force for Spain, which had made its acceptance of the Regulation subject to the acceptance of the aforesaid amendments: see article 1(8) of the Agreement).

Further amendments to this Regulation, proposed by the Government of the Netherlands, were circulated by the Secretary-General on 18 June 1974. These amendments were rejected in accordance with article 12 of the Agreement, the Government of Sweden having objected thereto by a notification received on 12 September 1974.

A new draft proposal was made by the Government of the Netherlands and circulated among the Contracting Parties on 12 June 1975. These amendments entered into force with retroactive effect on 18 December 1974, in accordance with a decision by the Contracting Parties. For the text of the amendments, see document E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.18/Rev.1 of the Transport Division of the Economic Commission for Europe.

Regulation No. 20: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of motor vehicle headlights emitting an asymmetrical passing beam or a driving beam or both and equipped with Halogen lamps (H₄ lamps) and of the lamps themselves¹

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 May 1971, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 May 1971, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 774, p. 174.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 20

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
AUSTRIA	30 April 1972
BELGIUM	1 May 1971
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	14 April 1972
DENMARK	20 December 1976
FINLAND	17 September 1976
FRANCE	1 May 1971
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	3 January 1976
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	16 September 1972
HUNGARY	18 October 1976
ITALY	4 July 1971
NETHERLANDS	1 May 1971
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
SPAIN	19 November 1973
SWEDEN	1 May 1971
UNITED KINGDOM	30 November 1971
YUGOSLAVIA	27 August 1976

¹ Amendments to Regulation No. 20, proposed by the Government of Sweden, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 19 December 1974. The amendments in question were not accepted, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany having objected thereto by a notification received on 18 March 1975.

Further amendments to Regulation No. 20, proposed by the Government of Sweden, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties on 15 March 1976. The amendments were accepted and came into force on 15 August 1976. For the text of the amendments, see document GE.75-30666 of the Transport Division of the Economic Commission for Europe.

**Regulation No. 21: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles
with regard to their interior fittings¹**

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and France

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 December 1971, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 March 1972, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 801, p. 394.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 21

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
BELGIUM	1 December 1971
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	30 July 1972
DENMARK	20 December 1976
FRANCE	1 December 1971
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	13 November 1973
ITALY	17 September 1975
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
SWEDEN	1 December 1971
UNITED KINGDOM	11 February 1973

**Regulation No. 22: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of
protective helmets for drivers and passengers of motor cycles²**

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 June 1972, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 June 1972, No. 4789

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 826, p. 300.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 22

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
BELGIUM	1 June 1972
DENMARK	20 December 1976
NETHERLANDS	1 June 1972
SPAIN	3 December 1976
SWEDEN	15 June 1973

¹ Amendments to Regulation No. 21, proposed by the Government of Czechoslovakia, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 28 March 1975. The amendments in question were not accepted, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany having objected thereto by a notification received on 26 June 1975.

² Amendments to Regulation No. 22, proposed by the Government of Belgium, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 7 October 1974. The amendments were accepted in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 12 of the Agreement and entered into force on 7 March 1975. For the text of the amendments in question, see documents GE-74-24058 (E) of the Transport Division of the Economic Commission for Europe.

Regulation No. 23: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of reversing lights for power-driven vehicles and their trailers¹

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 December 1971, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 December 1971, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 801, p. 432.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 23

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
BELGIUM	1 December 1971
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	30 July 1972
DENMARK	22 March 1977
FRANCE	28 October 1972
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	3 January 1976
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	13 November 1973
HUNGARY	18 October 1976
ITALY	5 May 1972
NETHERLANDS	21 January 1973
SPAIN	1 December 1971
SWEDEN	1 December 1971
UNITED KINGDOM	11 February 1973

Regulation No. 24: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles equipped with diesel engines with regard to the emission of pollutants by the engine²

Proposed by the Governments of France and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 September 1972, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 15 September 1972, No. 4789.

TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505, Rev.1/Add.23, and Corr. 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 24

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
BELGIUM	11 October 1976
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	9 December 1975
FRANCE	15 September 1972
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	13 November 1973
HUNGARY	18 October 1976
ITALY	6 April 1974
NETHERLANDS	20 May 1975
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
SPAIN	15 September 1972
UNITED KINGDOM	13 December 1975

¹ Amendments to Regulation No. 23, proposed by the Government of Czechoslovakia, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 28 March 1975. The amendments in question were not accepted, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany having objected thereto by a notification received on 26 June 1975.

Having been informed, in a communication received on 7 June 1976, of the withdrawal of that objection, the Secretary-General again circulated the text of the amendments proposed by the Government of Czechoslovakia among the Contracting Parties on 22 October 1976. The amendments have been accepted and will enter into force on 22 March 1977.

² Amendments to Regulation No. 24 proposed by the Government of France, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 11 April 1973. The amendments have been accepted and entered into force on 11 September 1973.

Regulation No. 25: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of head restraints (headrests), whether or not incorporated in vehicle seats

Proposed by the Governments of France and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 March 1972, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 March 1972, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 814, p. 416.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 25

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	9 December 1975
DENMARK	20 December 1976
FRANCE	1 March 1972
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	13 November 1973
NETHERLANDS	1 March 1972
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
UNITED KINGDOM	11 February 1973

Regulation No. 26: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to their external projections¹

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and France

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1972, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 July 1972, No. 4789.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 829, p. 348.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 26

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
BELGIUM	1 July 1972
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	9 December 1975
DENMARK	20 December 1976
FRANCE	1 July 1972
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	25 October 1975
HUNGARY	18 October 1976
ITALY	17 September 1975
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
SWEDEN	1 July 1972
UNITED KINGDOM	11 February 1973

¹ Amendments to Regulation No. 26, proposed by the Government of France, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 11 April 1973. The amendments have been accepted and entered into force on 11 September 1973.

**Regulation No. 27: Uniform provisions for the approval of
advance-warning triangles¹**

Proposed by the Governments of France and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 September 1972, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 15 September 1972, No. 4789.

TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505, Rev.1/Add.26, and Amend.1.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 27

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
BELGIUM	9 July 1973
DENMARK	20 December 1976
FINLAND	17 September 1976
FRANCE	15 September 1972
HUNGARY	18 October 1976
ITALY	6 April 1974
NETHERLANDS	15 September 1972
SPAIN	21 October 1974
SWEDEN	15 September 1972
UNITED KINGDOM	13 January 1974

**Regulation No. 28: Uniform provisions for the approval of audible warning
devices and of motor vehicles with regard to their audible signals**

Proposed by the Governments of France and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 January 1973, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 15 January 1973, No. 4789.

TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505, Rev.1/Add.27.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 28

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
BELGIUM	11 October 1976
DENMARK	20 December 1976
FRANCE	15 January 1973
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	25 October 1975
HUNGARY	18 October 1976
ITALY	26 August 1973
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
SPAIN	15 January 1973
SWEDEN	8 June 1973
UNITED KINGDOM	1 June 1975

¹ Amendments to Regulation No. 27, proposed by the Government of France, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 11 April 1973. The amendments were accepted and entered into force on 11 September 1973.

Regulation No. 29: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to the protection of the occupants of the cab of a commercial vehicle

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 June 1974, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 15 June 1974, No. 4789.

TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.28, and Corr.1.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 29

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
BELGIUM ..	15 June 1974
DENMARK	20 December 1976
NETHERLANDS	15 June 1974

Regulation No. 30: Uniform Provisions concerning the approval of pneumatic tyres for motor vehicles and their trailers

Proposed by the Governments of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and Sweden

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 April 1975, in accordance with article 1(5) of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 April 1975, No. 4789.

TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.29.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 30

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
NETHERLANDS	1 April 1975
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
SWEDEN	1 April 1975
UNITED KINGDOM	1 April 1975

Regulation No. 31: Uniform Provisions concerning the approval of Halogen Sealed-Beam (H₄SB) Motor Vehicle Headlights emitting an Asymmetrical Passing Beam or a Driving Beam or Both

Proposed by the Governments of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and Sweden

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 May 1975, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 May 1975, No. 4789.

TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.30.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 31

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
DENMARK	20 December 1976
FINLAND	17 September 1976
NETHERLANDS	6 July 1975
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
SWEDEN	1 May 1975
UNITED KINGDOM	1 May 1975

Regulation No. 32: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to the behaviour of the structure of the impacted vehicle in a rear-end collision

Proposed by the Governments of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and Sweden

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1975, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 July 1975, No. 4789.

TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.31.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 32

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	17 September 1976
ITALY	1 November 1976
SWEDEN	1 July 1975
UNITED KINGDOM	1 July 1975

Regulation No. 33: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to the behaviour of the structure of the impacted vehicle in a head-on collision

Proposed by the Governments of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and Sweden

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1975, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 July 1975, No. 4789.

TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.32.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 33

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	17 September 1976
ITALY	1 November 1976
SWEDEN	1 July 1975
UNITED KINGDOM	1 July 1975

Regulation No. 34: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to the prevention of fire risks

Proposed by the Governments of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and Sweden

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1975, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 July 1975, No. 4789.

TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.33.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 34

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
ITALY	1 November 1976
SWEDEN	1 July 1975
UNITED KINGDOM	1 July 1975

Regulation No. 35: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to the arrangement of foot controls

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 10 November 1975, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 10 November 1975, No. 4789.

TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.34.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 35

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
BELGIUM	10 November 1975
UNITED KINGDOM	10 November 1975

Regulation No. 36: Uniform Provisions concerning the Construction of Public Service Vehicles¹

Proposed by the Governments of France and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 March 1976, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.

REGISTRATION: 1 March 1976, No. 4789.

TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.35.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 36

<i>State</i>	<i>Effective date of application</i>
FRANCE	1 March 1976
ROMANIA	21 February 1977
UNITED KINGDOM ¹	1 March 1976

¹ On 4 March 1976, the Secretary-General received from the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland a communication stating in part:

"... Public Service Vehicles approved under Regulation 36 which enter the United Kingdom will continue to have to comply with certain provisions of the "Public Service Vehicle (Conditions of Fitness, Equipment and Use) Regulations 1972" of the United Kingdom which regulate matters not covered by Regulation 36."

17. Agreement on Special Equipment for the Transport of Perishable Foodstuffs and on the Use of such Equipment for the International Transport of some of those Foodstuffs, with annexes

Done at Geneva on 15 January 1962¹

Not yet in force (see article 8).

TEXT: E/ECE/456 (E/ECE/TRANS/526), 1962.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to ratification</i>	<i>Definitive signature (*), ratification, accession (a)</i>
BELGIUM	29 June 1962	
BULGARIA	19 January 1962	
FRANCE		13 February 1962*
GERMANY, FEDERAL		
REPUBLIC OF	10 April 1962	
LUXEMBOURG	22 June 1962	
POLAND ²	19 June 1962	
SPAIN		7 January 1964 a
SWITZERLAND	19 January 1962	
YUGOSLAVIA		25 September 1963 a

¹ Although listed in this chapter for reasons of convenience, this Agreement is not limited to transport by road.

² With the declaration that the Polish People's Republic is not bound by paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 12 of the Agreement.

18. European Agreement concerning the Work of Crews of Vehicles Engaged in International Road Transport (AETR), with annex and Protocol of Signature

Done at Geneva on 19 January 1962

Not yet in force (see article 18).¹

TEXT: E/ECE/457 (E/ECE/TRANS/527), 1962.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
BELGIUM	29 May	1962
FRANCE	13 February	1962
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	16 March	1962
LUXEMBOURG	1 March	1962
NETHERLANDS	12 April	1962
POLAND ²	17 May	1962
SWEDEN	19 June	1962
UNITED KINGDOM	31 January	1962

¹ Instruments of ratification or accession (a) have been transmitted to the Secretary-General, pending their deposit in the manner provided in article 18, paragraph 4 of the Agreement, by the Governments of France, the Netherlands (for the Kingdom in Europe), Spain (a) and Yugoslavia (a).

² With a declaration that the Polish People's Republic is not bound by paragraph 2 and 3 of article 22 of the Agreement.

19. Convention on Road Traffic, with annexes

Done at Vienna on 8 November 1968¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 May 1977, in accordance with article 47 (1).

REGISTRATION: 21 May 1977.

TEXT: *United Nations Conference on Road Traffic, Final Act and Related Documents* (United Nations publication, Sales No.: E/F.69.VIII.1 and Corr.1), p. 11.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)	
AUSTRIA	8 November 1968		
BAHRAIN		4 May	1973 a
BELGIUM	8 November 1968		
BRAZIL	8 November 1968		
BULGARIA	8 November 1968		
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	8 November 1968	18 June	1974
CHILE	8 November 1968		
CHINA ²			
COSTA RICA	8 November 1968		
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	8 November 1968		
DENMARK	8 November 1968		
ECUADOR	8 November 1968		
FINLAND	16 December 1969		
FRANCE	8 November 1968	9 December	1971
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		11 October	1973 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	8 November 1968		
GHANA	22 August 1969		
GUYANA		31 January	1973 a
HOLY SEE	8 November 1968		
HUNGARY	8 November 1968	16 March	1976
INDONESIA	8 November 1968		
IRAN	8 November 1968	21 May	1976
ISRAEL	8 November 1968	11 May	1971
ITALY	8 November 1968		
LUXEMBOURG	8 November 1968	25 November	1975
MEXICO	8 November 1968		
NIGER		11 July	1975 a
NORWAY	23 December 1969		
PHILIPPINES	8 November 1968	27 December	1973

¹ The Convention was prepared and opened for signature by the United Nations Conference on Road Traffic, held at Vienna from 7 October to 8 November 1968. It was convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations pursuant to resolutions 1129 (XLI) and 1203 (XLII) adopted by the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations on 27 July 1966 and 26 May 1967, respectively. For the texts of these resolutions, see *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Forty-first Session, Supplement No. 1* (E/4264), p. 36, and *ibid.*, *Forty-second Session, Supplement No. 1* (E/4393), p. 22. The Conference also prepared and opened for signature the Convention on Road Signs and Signals (see page 318) and adopted the Final Act. In addition, the Conference adopted by acclamation a resolution in which it expressed "its profound gratitude to the people and Government of Austria and to the City of Vienna for the kind and generous hospitality granted to all delegates at the Conference." For the texts of the Final Act and the said resolution, see p. 3 of the publication referred to in the title section above.

² Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 19 December 1969. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

With reference to the above-mentioned signature, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Albania and the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Bulgaria, Mongolia, Romania and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, stating that their Governments did not recognize the said signature as valid since the only Government authorized to represent China and to assume obligations on its behalf was the Government of the People's Republic of China.

In letters addressed to the Secretary-General in regard to the above-mentioned communications, the Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations stated that the Republic of China, a sovereign State and Member of the United Nations, had attended the United Nations Conference on Road Traffic 1968, and contributed to the formulation of, and signed the Convention on Road Traffic and the Convention on Road Signs and Signals, and that "any statements or reservations relating to these two Conventions that are incompatible or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China as a signatory of the said two Conventions."

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
POLAND	8 November 1968		
PORTUGAL	8 November 1968		
REPUBLIC OF KOREA ³	29 December 1969		
ROMANIA	8 November 1968		
SAN MARINO	8 November 1968	20 July	1970
SENEGAL		16 August	1972 a
SPAIN	8 November 1968		
SWEDEN	8 November 1968		
SWITZERLAND	8 November 1968		
THAILAND	8 November 1968		
UKRAINIAN SSR	8 November 1968	12 July	1974
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	8 November 1968	7 June	1974
UNITED KINGDOM	8 November 1968		
VENEZUELA	8 November 1968		
YUGOSLAVIA	8 November 1968	1 October	1976

³ With reference to the above-mentioned signature, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Albania and the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Mongolia, Romania and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, stating that their Governments considered the said signature as illegal, inasmuch as the authorities of South Korea could not act on behalf of Korea.

Declarations and Reservations

BULGARIA

Upon signature:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 52 of the Convention on Road Traffic stating that disputes which relate to the interpretation or application of the Convention may be referred, at the request of any of the Parties, to the International Court of Justice.

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that the provisions of article 45 of the Convention on Road Traffic, under which a number of States may not become parties to this Convention, are discriminatory in character, and it considers that the Convention on Road Traffic should be open for participation by all interested States without any discrimination or restrictions.

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that the provisions of article 46 of the Convention on Road Traffic are anachronistic and at variance with the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation and declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

[Same reservation and declarations, mutatis mutandis, as those reproduced under "Bulgaria": see above.]

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

With a reservation in regard to article 52.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

. . . The Government of the German Democratic Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 52 of the Convention. . . .

HUNGARY

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

1. The wording of article 45, paragraph 1, of the Convention is at variance with the purposes and principles expressed in the Charter of the United Nations. All States, without any restriction, should be given the possibility of participating in the Convention.

2. The provisions of article 46 of the Convention, as such, are anachronistic and are not in conformity with the principles of contemporary international law or the present state of international relations, and they are at variance with United Nations General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960.

Upon ratification:

The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic considers itself bound by article 18, paragraph 3, of the Convention subject to its tenor as defined in the European Agreement supplementary thereto.

It does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 52 of the Convention, in pursuance of article 54, paragraph 1, thereof.

INDONESIA

"Indonesia does not consider itself bound by article 52.

"In conformity with article 1, moped will be deemed as motor-cycle."

POLAND

With the reservation, as provided for in article 54, paragraph 1 of the Convention, that it does not consider itself bound by article 52.

ROMANIA

The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 52 of this Convention.

SPAIN

I declare, in accordance with article 54, that Spain does not consider itself bound by article 52 and enters a reservation with respect to article 46.

THAILAND

"Thailand will not be bound by article 52 of this Convention.

"Thailand will consider mopeds as motor-cycles."

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation and declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

[Same reservation and declarations, mutatis mutandis, as those reproduced under "Bulgaria": see p. 363.]

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation and declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

[Same reservation and declarations, mutatis mutandis, as those reproduced under "Bulgaria": see p. 363.]

Distinguishing Sign of Vehicles in International Traffic

(Distinctive letters notified to the Secretary-General)⁴

Bahrain	BRN
Byelorussian SSR	SU
France	F ⁵
German Democratic Republic	DDR
Guyana	GUY
Hungary	H
Iran	IR
Israel	IL
Luxembourg	L
Niger	RN
Philippines	RP
San Marino	RSM
Senegal	SN
Ukrainian SSR	SU
USSR	SU

⁴ See also list under the 1949 Convention (p. 318).

⁵ Also applicable to the overseas territories.

20. Convention on Road Signs and Signals, with annexes

Done at Vienna on 8 November 1968¹

Not yet in force (see article 39).

TEXT: *United Nations Conference on Road Traffic, Final Act and Related Documents* (United Nations publication, Sales No.: E/F.69.VIII.1 and Corr. 1), p. 79.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRIA	8 November 1968		
BAHRAIN		4 May	1973 <i>a</i>
BELGIUM	8 November 1968		
BRAZIL	8 November 1968		
BULGARIA	8 November 1968		
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	8 November 1968	18 June	1974
CHILE	8 November 1968	27 December	1974
CHINA ²			
COSTA RICA	8 November 1968		
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	8 November 1968		
DENMARK	8 November 1968		
ECUADOR	8 November 1968		
FINLAND	16 December 1969		
FRANCE	8 November 1968	9 December	1971
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		11 October	1973 <i>a</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	8 November 1968		
GHANA	22 August 1969		
HOLY SEE	8 November 1968		
HUNGARY	8 November 1968	16 March	1976
INDONESIA	8 November 1968		
IRAN	8 November 1968	21 May	1976
ITALY	8 November 1968		
LUXEMBOURG	8 November 1968	25 November	1975
MEXICO	8 November 1968		
NORWAY	23 December 1969		
PHILIPPINES	8 November 1968	27 December	1973
POLAND	8 November 1968		
PORTUGAL	8 November 1968		
REPUBLIC OF KOREA ³	29 December 1969		
ROMANIA	8 November 1968		
SAN MARINO	8 November 1968	20 July	1970
SENEGAL		19 April	1972 <i>a</i>
SPAIN	8 November 1968		
SWEDEN	8 November 1968		
SWITZERLAND	8 November 1968		
THAILAND	8 November 1968		
UKRAINIAN SSR	8 November 1968	12 July	1974
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	8 November 1968	7 June	1974
UNITED KINGDOM	8 November 1968		
VENEZUELA	8 November 1968		
YUGOSLAVIA	8 November 1968		

¹ See footnote 1, p. 362.

² Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 19 December 1969. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

³ See footnote 3, p. 363.

Declarations and Reservations

BULGARIA

Upon signature:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44 of the Con-

vention on Road Signs and Signals stating that disputes which relate to the interpretation or application of the Convention may be referred, at the request of any of the Parties, to the International Court of Justice for decision.

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that the provisions of article 37 of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals, under which a number of States may not become parties to this Convention, are discriminatory in character, and it considers that the Convention on Road Signs and Signals should be open for participation by all interested States without any discrimination or restriction.

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that the provisions of article 38 of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals are anachronistic and at variance with the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation and declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

[Same reservation and declarations, mutatis mutandis, as those reproduced under "Bulgaria": see p. 365.]

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

With a reservation in regard to article 44.

FRANCE

The French Government enters a reservation with regard to the application of article 10, paragraph 6, of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals in respect of metropolitan France and French overseas territories:

Decisions adopted under the Economic Commission for Europe provide for advance warning of sign B,2a (Stop) by means of sign B,1, supplemented by a rectangular panel bearing the "Stop" symbol and a figure indicating the distance to sign B,2a. This rule conflicts with the provisions of article 10 of the Convention.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

. . . The Government of the German Democratic Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 44 of the Convention.

HUNGARY

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

1. The wording of article 37, paragraph 1, of the Convention is at variance with the purposes and principles expressed in the Charter of the United Nations. All States, without any restriction, should be given the possibility of participating in the Convention.

2. The provisions of article 38 of the Convention, as such, are anachronistic and are not in conformity with the principles of contemporary international law or the present state of international relations, and they are at variance with the United Nations General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960.

Upon ratification:

The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic considers itself bound by the provision of article 10, paragraph 6, of the Convention, relative to the

[advance warning signs for sign B, 2], subject to its tenor as defined in the European Agreement supplementary thereto.

It does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44 of the Convention, in pursuance of article 46, paragraph 1, thereof.

INDONESIA

"Indonesia does not consider itself bound by article 44.

"In conformity with article 1 moped will be deemed as motor-cycle."

LUXEMBOURG

With regard to the provisions of article 10, paragraph 6:

The advance warning sign for sign B,2a shall be sign B,1, supplemented by a rectangular panel bearing the word "Stop" and a figure indicating the distance to sign B,2a.

With regard to the provisions of article 23, paragraph 7:

Red or yellow arrows shall be used on a black circular background.

POLAND

With reservation, as provided for in article 46, paragraph 1 of the Convention, that it does not consider itself bound by article 44.

ROMANIA

The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44 of this Convention.

SPAIN

I declare, in accordance with article 46, that Spain does not consider itself bound by article 44 and enters a reservation with respect to article 38.

THAILAND

"Thailand will not be bound by article 44 of the Convention.

"Thailand will consider mopeds as motor-cycles."

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation and declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

[Same reservation and declarations, mutatis mutandis, as those reproduced under "Bulgaria": see p. 365.]

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation and declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

[Same reservation and declarations, mutatis mutandis, as those reproduced under "Bulgaria": see p. 365.]

Designations under article 46(2)

<i>State</i>	<i>Model Danger Warning Sign</i>	<i>Model Stop Signal</i>
Bahrain	A ^a	B, 2 ^b
Chile	A ^b	B, 2 ^a
France	(see reservation)	(see reservation)
German Democratic Republic	A ^a	B, 2 ^a
Hungary	A ^a	B, 2 ^a
Iran	A ^a	B, 2 ^a
Luxembourg	A ^a	B, 2 ^b
Philippines	A ^a	B, 2 ^a
San Marino	A ^a	B, 2 ^b
Senegal	A ^a	B, 2 ^b
Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic ..	A ^a	B, 2 ^a
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics ..	A ^a	B, 2 ^a

21. European Agreement concerning the Work of Crews of Vehicles Engaged in International Road Transport (AETR), with annex and Protocol of Signature

Done at Geneva on 1 July 1970

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 5 January 1976, in accordance with article 16 (4).

TEXT: E/ECE/811 (E/ECE/TRANS/564) of 1971, and Procès-Verbal of rectification of the Agreement, established on 29 May 1975.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRIA	31 January	1971*	11 June	1975
BELGIUM	15 January	1971		
CZECHOSLOVAKIA			5 December	1975 a
FRANCE	20 January	1971		
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			10 August	1976 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ¹	23 December	1970	9 July	1975
GREECE			11 January	1974 a
ITALY	29 March	1971		
LUXEMBOURG	2 February	1971		
NETHERLANDS	26 March	1971		
NORWAY	16 March	1971	28 October	1971
POLAND	24 March	1971		
PORTUGAL	30 March	1971	20 September	1973
SPAIN			3 January	1973 a
SWEDEN	19 January	1971	24 August	1973
SWITZERLAND	24 March	1971		
UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND ²	25 March	1971		
YUGOSLAVIA			17 December	1974 a

* The Protocol of signature was signed on 31 March 1971 on behalf of Austria.

¹ With a declaration that the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

² In a notification under article 19 (1), dated on 25 March 1971, the Government of the United Kingdom informed the Secretary-General that the validity of the Agreement would extend to the Isle of Man.

Declarations and Reservations

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Reservation:

Upon acceding to the Agreement the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares, in accordance with its article 21, that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 20, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Agreement.

Declaration:

The Government of Czechoslovakia considers article 19 of the Agreement to be in contradiction to the generally recognized right of nations to self-determination.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation in respect of article 20, paragraphs 2 and 3:

The German Democratic Republic declares, in accordance with article 21, paragraph 1, of the Agreement, that it does not consider itself bound by article 20, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Agreement.

Declaration in respect of article 19:

The position of the German Democratic Republic on the provisions of article 19 of the Agreement, as far as

the application of the Agreement to colonial and other dependent territories is concerned, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

NETHERLANDS

The Government of the Netherlands [will] ratify the Agreement only when the law of the European Economic Community conforms with the provisions of the latter.

POLAND

The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by article 20, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Agreement:

"The Polish People's Republic considers that the Agreement . . . should be open for participation to all European countries without any discrimination."

SPAIN

(a) The Government of Spain avails itself of the first of the options provided for in article 5, paragraph 1 (b) (ii) of the Agreement whereby persons whose age is less than 21 years may be prohibited from driving in its territory vehicles of a permissible maximum weight exceeding 7.5 tons.

(b) The Government of Spain enters the reservation provided for in article 21, paragraph 1, of the Agreement and accordingly does not consider itself bound by article 20, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Agreement.

(c) The Government of Spain selects variant (a) of the procedures set forth in paragraph 6 of the annex entitled "Individual Control Book".

22. Agreement on the International Carriage of Perishable Foodstuffs and on the Special Equipment to be used for such Carriage (ATP), with annexes

Done at Geneva on 1 September 1970¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 November 1976, in accordance with article 11, paragraph 1.

REGISTRATION: 21 November 1976.

TEXT: E/ECE/810(E/ECE/TRANS/563), 1971.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to ratification</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRIA	28 May	1971		
DENMARK			22 November	1976 <i>a</i>
FRANCE			1 March	1971 <i>s</i> ²
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³	4 February	1971	8 October	1974
ITALY	28 May	1971		
LUXEMBOURG	25 May	1971		
NETHERLANDS	28 May	1971 ⁴		
PORTUGAL	28 May	1971		
SPAIN			24 April	1972 <i>a</i>
SWITZERLAND	28 May	1971		
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS			10 September	1971 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA			21 November	1975 <i>a</i>

¹ Although listed in this chapter for reasons of convenience, this agreement is not limited to transport by road.

² The Agreement was first signed without reservation as to ratification by the French Plenipotentiary on 20 January 1971. The signature affixed on 1 March 1971 signifies the approval of the text of the Agreement as corrected in accordance with the decision taken by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe at its thirtieth session (1 to 4 February 1971).

³ Upon ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Agreement would also apply to Berlin (West) from the date upon which it would enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

⁴ The signature was affixed without reservation as to ratification but the full powers of the plenipotentiary provided for the signature of the Agreement subject to ratification.

Declarations and Reservations

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 15, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Agreement relating to the mandatory submission to arbitration, at the request of one of the Parties, of any dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Agreement.

Declarations:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics deems it necessary to state that the provisions of article 9 of the Agreement, which limit the circle of possible participants

to this Agreement, are of a discriminatory character, and states that, in accordance with the principles of sovereign equality among States, the Agreement should be opened for participation by all European States without any discrimination or restriction;

The provisions of article 14 of the Agreement under which Contracting Parties may extend its applicability to territories for the international relations of which they are responsible, are outmoded and contrary to the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960).

23. European Agreement (with annex) supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968

Done at Geneva on 1 May 1971¹

Not yet in force (see article 4).

TEXT: E/ECE/813 (E/ECE/TRANS/567).

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
AUSTRIA	15 December 1972	
BELGIUM	28 October 1971	
BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC		17 December 1974 <i>a</i>
DENMARK	2 May 1972	
FINLAND	22 December 1972	
FRANCE	29 December 1972	16 January 1974
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		18 August 1975 <i>a</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	28 May 1971	
HUNGARY	29 December 1972	16 March 1976
LUXEMBOURG	25 May 1971	25 November 1975
ROMANIA	6 October 1972	
SWEDEN	1 February 1972	
SWITZERLAND	31 October 1972	
UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC		30 December 1974 <i>a</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS		27 September 1974 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	27 October 1971	
YUGOSLAVIA		1 October 1976 <i>a</i>

¹ The text of the Agreement was approved by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe on 1 May 1971, at its thirtieth session held at Geneva. In accordance with a decision of the Committee at its thirty-first session, held at Geneva from 1 to 4 February 1971, the period during which the Agreement was open for signature (originally from 1 May 1971 to 30 April 1972) was extended to 31 December 1972 (Doc. E/ECE/TRANS/568, paragraph 132).

Declarations and Reservations

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers it necessary to state that the provisions of article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Traffic of 1968 and of article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968, under which States may extend the applicability of the Agreements to territories for the international relations of which they are responsible, are anachronistic and contrary to the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by article 9 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Traffic of 1968 or by article 9 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968, under which disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Agree-

ments shall be referred to arbitration if any of the Parties in dispute so requests.

FRANCE

With regard to article 20, paragraph 5, of the Agreement on Road Traffic, France does not intend to make it obligatory for pedestrians to keep to the side appropriate to the direction of traffic. Moreover, with regard to article 23, paragraph 3 (a) (i) and 3 (a) (iii), France does not intend to specify metric distances in connexion with the prohibition of standing and parking mentioned in those provisions.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation:

In accordance with article 11, paragraph 1, of the Agreement the German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 9 of the Agreement according to which a dispute which relates to the interpretation or application of the Agreement and which has not been settled by negotiation shall be referred to arbitration if any of the Contracting Parties in dispute so requests. The German Democratic

Republic holds the view that in each case the consent of all Parties to the dispute is needed to settle a specific dispute by arbitration.

Declarations:

The German Democratic Republic considers that the provisions of article 2 of the Agreement are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

The position of the German Democratic Republic with regard to the provisions of article 3 of the Agreement, as far as the application of the Agreement to colonial and other dependent territories is concerned, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. No. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

HUNGARY

Upon ratification:

Reservation:

The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 9 of the Agreement, in pursuance of article 11, paragraph 1, thereof.

Declarations:

The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that the provisions of article 2 of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968, opened for signature at Geneva on 1 May 1971, are at variance with the generally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States and it considers that these international instruments should be open for participation by all interested States without any discrimination.

The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic further declares that the provisions of article 3 of the European Agreement, supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968 opened for signature at Geneva on 1 May 1971, are at variance with the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples [resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960].

ROMANIA

a. The Socialist Republic of Romania declares that, in accordance with article 11, paragraph 1, of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968, and with article 11, paragraph 1, of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968, it does not consider itself bound by article 9 of the two Agreements, under which any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties which relates to the

interpretation or application of the Agreements and which is not settled by negotiation is to be referred to arbitration if any of the Parties so requests.

It is the position of the Socialist Republic of Romania that such disputes may be referred to arbitration only with the consent of all the Parties in dispute in each individual case.

b. The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of article 2 of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968, and article 2 of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968, are not in keeping with the principle that multi-lateral international treaties whose aim and purpose affect the international community as a whole should be opened to universal participation.

c. The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania feels that the maintenance of a dependent status for certain territories to which reference is made by the provisions of article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968, is not in keeping with the Charter of the United Nations or with the documents adopted by the United Nations concerning the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, which was unanimously adopted in General Assembly resolution 2625 (XXV) of 24 October 1970 and which solemnly proclaims the duty of States to promote realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring a speedy end to colonialism.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers it necessary to state that the provisions of article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Traffic of 1968 and of article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968, under which States may extend the applicability of the Agreements to territories for the international relations of which they are responsible, are anachronistic and contrary to the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 9 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Traffic of 1968 or of article 9 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968, under which disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Agreements shall be referred to arbitration if any of the Parties in dispute so requests.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declaration:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers it necessary to state that the provisions of article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Traffic of 1968 and of article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968, under which States may extend the applicability of the Agreements to territories for the international relations of which they are responsible, are anachronistic and contrary to the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution

1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which solemnly proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

Reservation:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 9 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Traffic of 1968 or of article 9 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968 under which disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Agreements shall be referred to arbitration if any of the Parties in dispute so requests.

24. European Agreement (with annex) supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968

Done at Geneva on 1 May 1971¹

Not yet in force (see article 4).

TEXT: E/ECE/812(E/ECE/TRANS/566) and Corr.1.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRIA	15 December 1972		
BELGIUM	28 October 1971		
BYELORUSSIAN SSR		17 December	1974 a
DENMARK	2 May 1972		
FINLAND	22 December 1972		
FRANCE	29 December 1972	16 January	1974
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		18 August	1975 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	28 May 1971		
HUNGARY	29 December 1972	16 March	1976
LUXEMBOURG	25 May 1971	25 November	1975
ROMANIA	6 October 1972		
SWEDEN	1 February 1972		
SWITZERLAND	31 October 1972		
UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC		30 December	1974 a
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS		27 September	1974 a
UNITED KINGDOM	27 October 1971		

¹ The text of the Agreement was approved by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe on 1 May 1971, at its thirtieth session held at Geneva. In accordance with a decision of the Committee at its thirty-first session, held at Geneva from 1 to 4 February 1971, the period during which the Agreement was open for signature (originally from 1 May 1971 to 30 April 1972) was extended to 31 December 1972 (Doc. E/ECE/TRANS/568, paragraph 132).

Declarations and Reservations

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST
REPUBLIC

[See p. 371]

FRANCE

With regard to article 23, paragraph 3 bis (b), of the Agreement on Road Signs and Signals, France intends to retain the possibility of using lights placed on the side opposite to the direction of traffic, so as to be in a position to convey meanings different from those conveyed by the lights placed on the side appropriate to the direction of traffic.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

[See p. 371]

HUNGARY

[Same reservation and declarations, mutatis mutandis, as those made in respect of the provisions of the Agreement of 1 May 1971: see p. 372.]

ROMANIA

[See p. 372]

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

[See p. 372]

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

[See p. 373]

25. Protocol on Road Markings, additional to the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968

Done at Geneva on 1 March 1973¹

Not yet in force (see article 4).

TEXT: ECE/TRANS/4 and ECE/TRANS/4 Corr.1.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRIA	27 February 1974		
BELGIUM	13 August 1973		
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		18 August	1975 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	15 November 1973		
HUNGARY	18 December 1973	16 March	1976
LUXEMBOURG	4 July 1973	25 November	1975
SWITZERLAND	20 March 1973		

¹ Drawn up by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe at its thirty-second session held at Geneva from 2 January to 2 February 1973 on the basis of a text prepared by the Working Party on Road Transport on its forty-sixth and fiftieth extraordinary sessions (Doc. W/TRANS/SCI/450 and Add.1).

Declarations and Reservations

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation and declarations:

[Same reservation and declarations, *mutatis mutandis*, as those made in respect of the provisions of the Agreement of 1 May 1971: see p. 371.]

HUNGARY

[Same reservation and declarations, *mutatis mutandis*, as those made in respect of the provisions of the Agreement of 1 May 1971: see p. 372.]

26. Convention on the Contract for International Carriage of Passengers and Luggage by Road (CVR)

Done at Geneva on 1 March 1973¹

Not yet in force (see article 25).

TEXT: ECE/TRANS/2 and ECE/TRANS/2/Corr.1.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
CZECHOSLOVAKIA ²		26 January	1976 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	1 March	1974	
LUXEMBOURG	4 July	1973	
YUGOSLAVIA		1 April	1976 a

Declarations and Reservations

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

“The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic as a Contracting Party to the Agreement on General Conditions for International Carriage of Passengers by Bus, signed at Berlin on 5 December 1970, will, in the event of conflict between the Convention and the said Agreement, apply provisions of the said Agreement to an operation for which, according to the contract of carriage:

- The places of departure and destination are situated in the territory of a State which has made the declaration, or
- Carriage is to take place in the territory of at least one State which has made the said declaration and will not be undertaken in the territory of any Contracting Party to the Convention which has not made the declaration.”

¹ Drawn up by the Working Party on Road Transport of the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe at its forty-fifth, forty-eighth, forty-ninth and fiftieth extraordinary sessions (Doc. W/TRANS/SCI/455/Rev.1) and approved by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe.

² With a declaration provided for in article 30 that the Government of Czechoslovakia will not be bound by the provisions of article 29 of the Convention.

**27. Agreement on Minimum Requirements for the Issue and Validity of
Driving Permits (APC)***Concluded at Geneva on 1 April 1975¹*

Not yet in force (see article 7).

TEXT: ECE/TRANS/13.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
LUXEMBOURG	9 December	1975

¹ The Agreement was drawn up under the auspices of the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe and was open for signature until 1 April 1976, at Geneva.

C. CROSSING OF FRONTIERS BY RAIL

1. International Convention to Facilitate the Crossing of Frontiers for Passengers and Baggage carried by Rail, with annex

Signed at Geneva on 10 January 1952

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 April 1953, in accordance with article 14.

REGISTRATION: 1 April 1953, No. 2138.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 163, p. 3; and vol. 328, p. 319 (Modified International Customs Declaration form annexed to the Convention, which entered into force on 24 May 1959).

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to ratification</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRIA			8 June	1956 <i>a</i>
BELGIUM	10 January	1952	22 July	1953
FRANCE	10 January	1952	1 April	1953
ITALY	10 January	1952	22 June	1955
LUXEMBOURG	10 January	1952	26 January	1954
NETHERLANDS ¹			10 January	1952 <i>s</i>
NORWAY	10 January	1952	28 October	1952
PORTUGAL			24 September	1956 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	10 January	1952		
SWITZERLAND	10 January	1952	5 June	1957

¹ In a communication received on 25 May 1952, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservation as to ratification made on its behalf upon signature of the Convention

2. International Convention to Facilitate the Crossing of Frontiers for Goods carried by Rail, with annex

Signed at Geneva on 10 January 1952

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 April 1953, in accordance with article 14.

REGISTRATION: 1 April 1953, No. 2139.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 163, p. 27; and vol. 328, p. 319 (Modified International Customs Declaration form annexed to the Convention, which came into force on 24 May 1959).

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to ratification</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRIA			8 June	1956 <i>a</i>
BELGIUM	10 January	1952	22 July	1953
FRANCE	10 January	1952	1 April	1953
ITALY	10 January	1952	22 June	1955
LUXEMBOURG	10 January	1952	26 January	1954
NETHERLANDS ¹			10 January	1952 <i>s</i>
NORWAY	10 January	1952	28 October	1952
PORTUGAL			24 September	1956 <i>a</i>
SPAIN			17 April	1962 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	10 January	1952		
SWITZERLAND	10 January	1952	5 June	1957

¹ In a communication received on 25 May 1952, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservation as to ratification made on its behalf upon signature of the Convention.

CHAPTER XII. NAVIGATION

1. Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization

Signed at Geneva on 6 March 1948¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 17 March 1958, in accordance with article 60.

REGISTRATION: 17 March 1958, No. 4214.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 289, p. 3.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to acceptance</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</i>	
ALGERIA			31 October	1963
ARGENTINA	6 March	1948	18 June	1953
AUSTRALIA	6 March	1948	13 February	1952
AUSTRIA			2 April	1975
BAHAMAS			22 July	1976
BAHRAIN			22 September	1976
BANGLADESH			27 May	1976
BARBADOS			7 January	1970
BELGIUM	6 March	1948	9 August	1951
BRAZIL			4 March	1963
BULGARIA			5 April	1960
BURMA			6 July	1951
CANADA			15 October	1948
CAPE VERDE			24 August	1976
CHILE	6 March	1948	17 February	1972
CHINA ²			1 March	1973
COLOMBIA	6 March	1948	19 November	1974
CONGO			5 September	1975
CUBA			6 May	1966
CYPRUS			21 November	1973
CZECHOSLOVAKIA			1 October	1963
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA			3 January	1961
DENMARK			3 June	1959
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC			25 August	1953
ECUADOR			12 July	1956
EGYPT	6 March	1948	17 March	1958
EQUATORIAL GUINEA			6 September	1972
ETHIOPIA			3 July	1975
FINLAND	6 March	1948	21 April	1959
FRANCE	6 March	1948	9 April	1952
GABON			1 April	1976
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			25 September	1973
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³			7 January	1959 s
GHANA			6 July	1959
GREECE	6 March	1948	31 December	1958
GUINEA			3 December	1975

¹The Convention was prepared and opened for signature and acceptance by the United Nations Maritime Conference convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations pursuant to Economic and Social Council resolution 35 (IV) of 28 March 1947. The Conference met at Geneva from 19 February to 6 March 1948. For the text of the said resolution and

the Final Act of the Conference, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 289, p. 3.

²The Convention was accepted on behalf of the Republic of China on 1 July 1958. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

[footnotes continue on following page]

State	Signature subject to acceptance		Definitive signature (s), acceptance	
HAITI			23 June	1953
HONDURAS	13 April	1954	23 August	1954
HUNGARY			10 June	1970
ICELAND			8 November	1960
INDIA	6 March	1948	6 January	1959
INDONESIA ⁴			18 January	1961
IRAN	10 June	1954	2 January	1958
IRAQ			28 August	1973
IRELAND	6 March	1948	26 February	1951
ISRAEL			24 April	1952
ITALY	6 March	1948	28 January	1957
IVORY COAST			4 November	1960
JAMAICA			11 May	1976
JAPAN			17 March	1958
JORDAN			9 November	1973
KENYA			22 August	1973
KUWAIT ⁵			5 July	1960
LEBANON	6 March	1948	3 May	1966
LIBERIA	9 March	1954	6 January	1959
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC			16 February	1970
MADAGASCAR			8 March	1961
MALAYSIA			17 June	1971
MALDIVES			31 May	1967
MALTA			22 June	1966 ^s
MAURITANIA ⁵			8 May	1961
MEXICO			21 September	1954
MOROCCO			30 July	1962
NETHERLANDS	6 March	1948	31 March	1949
NEW ZEALAND			9 November	1960
NIGERIA			15 March	1962
NORWAY			29 December	1958
OMAN			30 January	1974
PAKISTAN			21 November	1958

footnotes continued from previous page]

With reference to the above-mentioned acceptance, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and of China on the other hand. For the nature of these communications, see footnote 2, p. 168.

In its instrument of acceptance, the Government of the People's Republic of China declared that the acceptance of and signature on the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization and related conventions and regulations by the Chiang Kai-shek clique usurping the name of China are illegal and null and void.

³ The application of the Federal Republic of Germany for membership in the Organization was approved on 5 January 1959, in accordance with article 8 of the Convention.

In notes accompanying the respective instruments of acceptance of the amendments to articles 17 and 18 (see p. 389) and the amendment to article 28 (see p. 391) of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the said Convention and amendments "shall also apply to *Land Berlin* with effect from the date on which they enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany". In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General, the Government of Poland stated that the said declarations "are in contradiction to the international status of West Berlin which is not part of the Federal Republic of Germany". Furthermore, in a communication addressed to the Secretary-General with regard to the representation of the interests of Berlin (West) in the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that, in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, Berlin (West) is not part of the Federal Republic of Germany and should not be governed by it.

Accordingly, the declaration by the Federal Republic of Germany extending its membership in the aforementioned Organization to include *Land Berlin* is at variance with the Quadripartite Agreement and has no legal validity.

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 10 December 1973, the Permanent Representatives of France and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to the United Nations as well as the Acting Permanent Representative of the United States of America to the United Nations made the following statement:

"With regard to the declaration concerning the representation of the interests of the western sectors of Berlin contained in the instrument, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to bring to the attention of the member states of the United Nations and of IMCO that the extension of the Convention on IMCO to the western sectors of Berlin in 1965 and the consequent representation of the interests of these sectors in IMCO by the Federal Republic of Germany received the prior authorization, under established procedures, of the authorities of France, the United Kingdom and the United States on the basis of their supreme authority in these sectors.

"In a communication to the Government of the USSR which is an integral part (Annex IV A) of the Quadripartite Agreement of September 3, 1971, registered with the Secretariat of the United Nations on June 14, 1973, the three powers reaffirmed that, provided matters of security and status are not affected, the Federal Republic of Germany may represent the interests of the western sectors of Berlin in international conferences and international organizations. For its part, the Government of the USSR, in a communication to the Governments of the three powers which is similarly an integral part (Annex IV B) of the Quadripartite

[footnotes continue on following page]

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to acceptance</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</i>	
PANAMA			31 December	1958
PAPUA NEW GUINEA			6 May	1976
PERU			15 April	1968
PHILIPPINES			9 November	1964
POLAND	6 March	1948	16 March	1960
PORTUGAL	6 March	1948	17 March	1976
REPUBLIC OF KOREA ⁵			10 April	1962
ROMANIA			28 April	1965
SAUDI ARABIA			25 February	1969
SENEGAL			7 November	1960
SIERRA LEONE			14 March	1973
SINGAPORE			17 January	1966
SPAIN			23 January	1962
SRI LANKA			6 April	1972
SUDAN			5 July	1974
SURINAM			14 October	1976
SWEDEN			27 April	1959
SWITZERLAND	6 March	1948	20 July	1955
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC			28 January	1963
THAILAND			20 September	1973
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO			27 April	1965
TUNISIA			23 May	1963
TURKEY	6 March	1948	25 March	1958
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS			24 December	1958
UNITED KINGDOM	6 March	1948	14 February	1949
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON			1 May	1961
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA			8 January	1974
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	6 March	1948	17 August	1950
URUGUAY			10 May	1968 ^s
VENEZUELA			27 October	1975
YUGOSLAVIA			12 February	1960
ZAIRE			16 August	1973

footnotes continued from previous page]

Agreement of September 3, 1971, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such representation.

"The representation of the western sectors of Berlin in IMCO by the Federal Republic of Germany, as described above, therefore continues in full force and effect."

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 10 December 1973, the Permanent Representative of the Federal Republic of Germany to the United Nations made the following statement:

"By their note of 7 December 1973 the Governments of France, the United Kingdom, and the United States answered the assertions made in the communication of the authorities of the German Democratic Republic referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany shares the position set out in the note of the three powers. The extension in 1965 of the IMCO Convention to Berlin (West) and the consequent representation of the interests of Berlin (West) in IMCO by the Federal Republic of Germany continue to be in full force and effect."

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 16 April 1974, the Permanent Mission of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to the United Nations stated that the Soviet Union could take note of the extension of the application of the IMCO Convention to the Western sectors of Berlin by the Federal Republic of Germany only on the understanding that this action was being taken in accordance with the Quadrupartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and subject to compliance with established procedures.

⁴ In a communication received on 9 October 1965, the First Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for Foreign Affairs of Indonesia notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the Republic of Indonesia from the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization. The notification of withdrawal contained the following statement:

"With reference to the provision of Article 59 which stipulates that the withdrawal from IMCO's membership will take effect twelve months from the date on which the notification of withdrawal is received by the Secretary-General of the United Nations, Indonesia will observe her obligations and responsibilities accordingly. Nevertheless, the Indonesian Government has decided to discontinue its participation in the activities of the IMCO as of this date.

"In conclusion, I wish to add that, notwithstanding its withdrawal from IMCO, Indonesia will continue to work for the attainment of mutually beneficial principles of international maritime cooperation"

In a communication received on 29 September 1966, the Presidium Minister and Minister for Foreign Affairs of Indonesia informed the Secretary-General that his Government had decided to resume active participation in the Organization and requested that this communication be considered as superseding the above-mentioned notification of withdrawal.

⁵ The applications of Kuwait, Mauritania and the Republic of Korea for membership in the Organization were approved on 5 July 1960, 13 April 1961 and 21 December 1961, respectively, in accordance with article 8 of the Convention.

Declarations and Reservations

BAHRAIN^{5a}

"The acceptance of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization by the State of Bahrain shall, however, in no way signify recognition of, or entry into any relations with Israel".

CUBA

In accepting the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba declares that its current legislation, which is duly adapted to the encouragement and development of its Merchant Marine, is consistent with the general purposes of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization as defined in article 1 (b) of the Convention. Accordingly, any recommendations relating to this subject that may be adopted by the Organization will be re-examined by the Government of Cuba in the light of the national policy in this regard.

DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA^{5b}

In accepting the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Royal

^{5a} In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 23 December 1976, the Government of Israel stated the following:

"The Instrument deposited by the Government of Bahrain contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That pronouncement by the Government of Bahrain cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Bahrain, under general international law or under particular treaties.

"The Government of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Bahrain an attitude of complete reciprocity."

^{5b} In communications addressed to the Secretary-General on 14 September 1961, 30 November 1961 and 14 March 1962, respectively, the Governments of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Norway and Greece, referring to the declaration set forth above, stated that they assumed that it was a declaration of policy and did not constitute a reservation; and that it had no legal effect with regard to the interpretation of the Convention. They further stated that they would welcome assurances from the Government of Cambodia that the declaration was to be understood in this sense.

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 31 January 1962, the Government of Cambodia stated that [translation from French] "... the Royal Government agrees that the first part of the declaration which it made at the time of the acceptance of the Convention is of a political nature. It therefore has no legal effect regarding the interpretation of the Convention. The statements contained in the third paragraph of the declaration, on the other hand, constitute a reservation to the Convention by the Royal Government of Cambodia."

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 3 July 1962, the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland stated that "... Her Majesty's Government do not share the view of the Cambodian Government that the third paragraph of the declaration constitutes a reservation, but they do not wish on that account, to raise formal objection to the terms of Cambodia's acceptance of the Convention."

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 23 July 1962, the Government of France stated that [translation from French] "... It considers that, for reasons of principle as well as of fact, it cannot accept the terms of the declaration in question, the third paragraph of which is, moreover, described by the Permanent Representative of Cambodia as constituting a reservation"

Government of Cambodia declares that the measures it has adopted or may adopt for giving encouragement or assistance to its national shipping and shipping industries (such, for instance, as loan-financing of national shipping companies at reasonable or even concessional rates of interest, or the allocation to Cambodian ships of cargoes owned or controlled by the Royal Government, or the reservation of coastal trade for national shipping) and such other matters as it may adopt with the object of promoting the development of its own national shipping, are consistent with the purposes of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization as defined in article 1 (b) of the Convention.

Accordingly, the Royal Government will proceed to a re-examination, before they are put into effect, of any recommendations relating to this subject that may be adopted by the Organization.

The Royal Government further declares that its acceptance of the above-mentioned Convention neither has nor shall have the effect of altering or modifying in any way the law in force in the territory of the Kingdom of Cambodia.

DENMARK

"The Government of Denmark supports the work programme adopted during the first Assembly of the Organization in January 1959 and holds the view that it is in the field of technical and nautical matters that the Organization can make its contribution towards the development of shipping and seaborne trade throughout the world.

"If the Organization were to extend its activities to matters of a purely commercial or economic nature, a situation might arise where the Government of Denmark would have to consider resorting to the provisions regarding withdrawal contained in article 59 of the Convention."

ECUADOR

The Government of Ecuador declares that the protectionist measures adopted in the interests of its National Merchant Marine and the Merchant Fleet of Greater Colombia (*Flota Mercante Grancolombiana*), the vessels belonging to which are regarded as Ecuadorian by reason of the participation of the Government of Ecuador in the said Fleet, are measures the sole object of which is to promote the development of the National Merchant Marine and of the Merchant Fleet of Greater Colombia and are consistent with the purposes of the Intergovernmental Maritime Organization, as defined in article 1 (b) of the Convention. Accordingly, any recommendations relating to this subject that may be adopted by the Organization will re-examined by the Government of Ecuador.

FINLAND

"The Government of Finland support the work programme proposed by the Preparatory Committee of the Organization in document IMCO/A.I/11. The Government of Finland hold the view that it is in the field of technical and nautical matters that the Organization can make its contribution towards the de-

velopment of shipping and seaborne trade throughout the world.

"If the Organization were to extend its activities to matters of a purely commercial or economic nature, a situation might arise where the Government of Finland would have to consider resorting to the provisions regarding withdrawal contained in article 59 of the Convention."

GREECE

"In this connection, I wish to state that Greece, in reconfirming its acceptance, considers that the aforesaid organization can play a useful and important role in the field of technical and nautical matters, thus contributing to the development of shipping and seaborne trade throughout the world. In case the organization extends its activities to matters of commercial and economic nature, the Greek Government may find itself bound to reconsider its acceptance of the Convention and avail itself of its provisions concerning withdrawal as laid down in article 59."

ICELAND

"... Iceland will reconsider its ratification, if it subsequently were decided to extend IMCO's competence so as also to deal with questions of an entirely financial or commercial nature.

"... Great stress is laid by Iceland on the real validity of article 59 of the Convention, regarding withdrawal."

INDIA⁶

"In accepting the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Government of India declare that any measures which it adopt or may have adopted for giving encouragement and assistance to its national shipping and shipping industries (such, for instance, as loan-financing of national shipping companies at reasonable or even concessional rates of interest, or the allocation of Government-owned or Government-controlled cargoes to national ships or the reservation of the coastal trade for national shipping) and such other matters as the Government of India may adopt, the sole object of which is to promote the development of its own national shipping, are consistent with the purposes of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization as defined in article 1 (b) of the Convention. Accordingly, any recommendations relating to this subject that may be adopted by the Organization will be subject to re-

⁶In Resolution 1452 (XIV) adopted on 7 December 1959, the General Assembly of the United Nations, noting the statement made on behalf of India at the 614th meeting of its Sixth Committee (Legal) explaining that the Indian declaration was a declaration of policy and that it did not constitute a reservation, expressed the hope "that, in the light of the above-mentioned statement of India an appropriate solution may be reached in the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization at an early date to regularize the position of India".

By a resolution adopted on 1 March 1960, the Council of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, taking note of the statement made on behalf of India referred to in the foregoing resolution and noting, therefore, that the declaration of India has no legal effect with regard to the interpretation of the Convention "considers India to be a member of the Organization".

examination by the Government of India. The Government of India further expressly state that its acceptance of the above-mentioned Convention neither has nor shall have the effect of altering or modifying in any way the law on the subject in force in the territories of the Republic of India."

INDONESIA⁷

"In accepting the Convention, the Government of the Republic of Indonesia declares that it is in the field of technical and nautical matters that the Organization can make its contribution towards the development of shipping and seaborne trade throughout the world.

"On matters of a purely commercial or economic nature, the Government holds the view that assistance and encouragement to its national shipping industries for the development of its domestic and foreign trade and for purposes of security, are consistent with the purposes of the Organization as defined in article 1 (b) of the Convention.

"Accordingly, the acceptance shall never have the effect of altering or modifying in any way the laws in force in the Republic of Indonesia and any recommendation relating to this subject adopted by the Organization will be subject to re-examination by the Government of the Republic of Indonesia."

⁷In communications addressed to the Secretary-General on 14 September 1961, 30 November 1961 and 14 March 1962, respectively, the Governments of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Norway and Greece, referring to the declaration set forth above, stated that they assumed that it was a declaration of policy and did not constitute a reservation; and that it had no legal effect with regard to the interpretation of the Convention. They further stated that they would welcome assurances from the Government of Indonesia that the declaration was to be understood in this sense.

In communications addressed to the Secretary-General on 30 October 1961, 11 January 1962 and 28 March 1962, the Government of Indonesia stated that the declaration in question "... does not constitute a reservation but is an interpretation of article 1 (b) of the said Convention and should be understood as such.

"In view of the above fact, the Government of Indonesia cannot accept the assumption made by [the above-mentioned Governments] that this declaration has no legal effect with regard to the interpretation of the Convention"

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 18 April 1962, the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland stated that "... Her Majesty's Government do not wish to raise formal objection to the terms of Indonesia's acceptance, but they desire to place on record that they do not thereby concede that they will necessarily regard any measures of assistance and encouragement which the Government of Indonesia may give to its national shipping as consistent with the Convention"

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 23 July 1962, the Government of France stated that [translation from French] "... It considers that, for reasons of principle as well as of fact, it cannot accept the terms of the declaration in question"

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 5 September 1962, the Government of the United States of America stated the following:

"The Government of the United States will not raise objection to the terms of Indonesia's acceptance of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization. However, it does not thereby concede that it will necessarily regard every measure of assistance and encouragement which the Government of Indonesia may give to its national shipping as consistent with the Convention"

[Footnote continues on following page]

IRAQ⁸

The participation of the Republic of Iraq in this Convention shall, however, in no way signify recognition of, or entry into any relations with Israel.

The Republic of Iraq hereby declares that article 1(b) of the Convention is not in conflict with the measures taken by it to encourage and assist national shipping companies, such as the granting of financial loans, the assignment of cargo vessels flying its flag to carry specific goods and the assignment of coastal shipping in the interest of national commercial vessels, or any other measures aimed at the development and growth of the national fleet or national shipping.

MALAYSIA

"In accepting the Convention of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Government of Malaysia declares that any measures which she may adopt for giving encouragement or assistance to her national shipping and shipping industries (for instance, such as loan financing of national shipping companies at reasonable or even concessional rates of interest or the allocation to Malaysian cargo ships owned or controlled by the Malaysian Government, or the reservation of coastal trade for national shipping) and such other matter as she may adopt with the object of promoting the development of her own national shipping, are consistent with the purposes of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization as defined in article 1(b) of the Convention. Accordingly any recommendations relating to this subject that may be adopted by the Organisation will be re-examined by the Government of Malaysia. The Government of Malaysia further expressly states that her acceptance of the above-mentioned Convention neither has nor shall have the effect of altering or modifying in any way the law on the subject in force in Malaysia."^{8a}

MEXICO

The Government of the United States of Mexico, in accepting the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, on the understanding that nothing in the said Convention is intended to change national legislation relating to restrictive business practices, expressly states that its acceptance of the above-mentioned international instrument neither has nor shall have the effect of altering or modifying in any way the application of the laws against monopolies in the territory of the Republic of Mexico.

MOROCCO

In joining the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Government of the Kingdom of Morocco wishes to declare that it is not in agree-

footnote continued from previous page

⁸In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 28 November 1973, the Permanent Representative of Israel to the United Nations stated the following:

"The instrument of acceptance by the Government of Iraq of the above-mentioned Convention contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That statement, therefore, possesses no legal validity whatsoever.

ment with a possible broadening of the scope of the activities of this Organization from the purely technical and nautical activities into the field of matters of an economic and commercial nature as stated in article 1 (b) and (c) of the Convention for the Establishment of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization. If such a broadening of the field of activities of the Organization were to take place, the Government of the Kingdom of Morocco reserves the right to reconsider its position concerning the ensuing situation, and might be led to invoke the provisions of article 59 of the Convention, regarding the withdrawal of members from the Organization.

NORWAY

"The Norwegian Government supports the work programme proposed by the Preparatory Committee of the Organization in document IMCO/A.I/11. The Norwegian Government holds the view that it is in the field of technical and nautical matters that the Organization can make its contribution towards the development of shipping and seaborne trade throughout the world.

"If the Organization were to extend its activities to matters of a purely commercial or economic nature, a situation might arise where the Norwegian Government would have to consider resorting to the provisions regarding withdrawal contained in article 59 of the Convention."

POLAND

"In accepting the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, signed at Geneva on 6 March 1948, the Government of the Polish People's Republic declares that it supports the work programme of the Organization, approved by the Assembly at its First Session held in January 1959.

"The Government of the Polish People's Republic holds the view that it is in the field of technical and nautical matters that the Organization shall make its contribution towards the development of shipping and seaborne trade throughout the world."

SPAIN

... The Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization may not extend its activities to economic or commercial questions but must limit itself to questions of a technical character.

"The Government of Israel utterly rejects that statement and will proceed on the assumption that it has no validity as to the rights and duties of any Member State to the said Organization.

"The declaration of the Government of Iraq cannot in any way affect Iraq's obligations under the Constitution of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization or whatever other obligations are binding upon that State by virtue of general international law.

"The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt toward the Government of Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity."

^{8a}In a letter of 3 June 1971, the Prime Minister and Minister of Foreign Affairs of Malaysia notified the Secretary-General as follows:

"The declaration by the Malaysian Government with regard to the above-mentioned Convention is a declaration of policy of the Government of Malaysia, and does not constitute a reservation by the Government of Malaysia to the Convention as stated in the instrument of acceptance."

SRI LANKA^{8b}

In accepting the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, as amended, the Government of Ceylon declares that any measures which it adopts or may have adopted for giving encouragement and assistance to its national shipping and shipping industries (such, for instance, as loan-financing of national shipping companies at reasonable or even concessional rates of interest, or the allocation of Government-owned or Government-controlled cargoes to national ships or the reservation of the coastal trade for national shipping) and such other matters as the Government of Ceylon may adopt, the sole object of which is to promote the development of its own national shipping, are consistent with the purposes of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization as defined in article 1(b) of the Convention. Accordingly, any recommendations relating to this subject that may be adopted by the Organization will be subject to re-examination by the Government of Ceylon. The Government of Ceylon further expressly states that its acceptance of the above-mentioned Convention neither has nor shall have the effect of altering or modifying in any way the law on the subject in force in Ceylon.

SWEDEN

"In accepting the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Government of Sweden declares that it supports the work programme of the Organization as per document A.I/11 and its corrigendum 1, decided upon by the first meeting of the Assembly of the Organization in January 1959.

"The Government of Sweden holds the view that it is in the field of technical and nautical matters that the Organization can make its contribution towards the development of shipping and seaborne trade throughout the world.

"If the Organization were to extend its activities to matters of a purely commercial or economic nature, a situation might arise in which the Government of Sweden would have to consider resorting to the provisions regarding withdrawal contained in article 59 of the Convention."

SWITZERLAND

In depositing its instrument of ratification of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO), Switzerland makes the general reservation that its participation in the work of IMCO, more particularly as regards that organization's relations with the United Nations, cannot exceed the bounds implicit in Switzerland's status as a per-

^{8b} Upon deposit of the instrument of acceptance, the Government of Sri Lanka declared that "... The Declaration set forth in the instrument of acceptance does not constitute a reservation, but is an interpretation of article 1 (b) of the Convention and should be understood as such."

petually neutral State. In conformity with this general reservation, Switzerland wishes to make a particular reservation both in respect of the text of article VI as incorporated in the agreement, at present in draft form, between IMCO and the United Nations, and in respect of any similar clause which may replace or supplement that provision in the said agreement or in any other arrangement.

TURKEY

"... [Participation by Turkey] will in no wise have any effect on the provisions of the Turkish laws concerning cabotage and monopoly."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA⁹

"It being understood that nothing in the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization is intended to alter domestic legislation with respect to restrictive business practices, it is hereby declared that ratification of that Convention by the Government of the United States of America does not and will not have the effect of altering or modifying in any way the application of the antitrust statutes of the United States of America."

YUGOSLAVIA

"In joining the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Government of the Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia wishes to declare that it is not in agreement with a possible broadening of the scope of the activities of this Organization from the purely technical and nautical activities into the field of matters of an economic and commercial nature as stated in Article 1, sections under (b) and (c) of the Convention for the establishment of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization. If such a broadening of the field of activities of the Organization were to take place, the Government of the Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia reserves the right to reconsider its position concerning the ensuing situation.

"At the same time, the Government of the Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia declares its readiness to fulfill all its obligations toward the Organization, as stated in the instrument of ratification."

⁹ In a *note verbale* accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Permanent Representative of the United States of America drew the attention of the Secretary-General to the fact that "... Article 2 of the Convention provides that the functions of the Organization 'shall be consultative and advisory'. Article 3 of the Convention indicates that the functions of the Organization are to make recommendations and to facilitate consultation and exchange of information. The history of the Convention and the records of the conference at which it was formulated indicate no intention to nullify or alter the domestic legislation of any contracting party relating to restrictive business practices or to alter or modify in any way the application of domestic statutes governing the prevention or regulation of business monopolies. It is considered therefore, that the statement as quoted above is merely a clarification of the intended meaning of the convention and a safeguard against any possible misinterpretation, particularly as to the application of Article 4."

Participation in the Convention of Territories (Article 58)

<i>Declaration by</i>	<i>Date of receipt</i>	<i>Participation of</i>
NETHERLANDS	3 October 1949	Indonesia, Surinam and the Netherlands West Indies. By a further notification received on 12 July 1951, notice was given that the participation of the Netherlands in this Convention, from 27 December 1949, no longer includes the territories under the jurisdiction of the Republic of Indonesia but includes Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles (formerly the Netherlands West Indies) and Netherlands New Guinea.
UNITED KINGDOM	19 January 1960	Federation of Nigeria. ¹⁰
	2 October 1961	Sarawak and North Borneo. ¹¹
	7 June 1967	Hong Kong.

Associate Membership in the Organization (Article 9)

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt</i>	<i>Associate membership of</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	19 January 1960	Federation of Nigeria. ¹⁰
	2 October 1961	Joint associate membership of Sarawak and North Borneo. ¹¹
	7 June 1967	Hong Kong.

¹⁰ On 15 March 1962, the Federation of Nigeria became a member of the Organization by depositing on that date the instrument of acceptance of the Convention.

¹¹ In a communication received on 6 August 1964, the Government of the United Kingdom requested the Secretary-General, in his capacity as depositary of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, "to take note that, as a result of the Agreement relating to Malaysia signed at London on July 9, 1963, and legislation enacted in accordance with that Agreement, Sarawak and North Borneo, together with the State of Singapore, federated with the existing States of the Federation of Malaya and the Federation is now called Malaysia. Her Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom are therefore no longer responsible for the international relations of Sarawak and North Borneo."

In a subsequent communication received on 4 March 1965, the Government of the United Kingdom, in amplification of

the information contained in the above-mentioned communication, drew the attention of the Secretary-General to the fact "that the Agreement relating to Malaysia which was signed in London on the 9th of July, 1963, entered into force on the 16th of September 1963, and that, as from the 16th of September, 1963—the date on which Sarawak and North Borneo, together with the State of Singapore, federated with the States of the Federation of Malaya—Her Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom ceased to be responsible for the international relations of Sarawak and North Borneo." It also requested the Secretary-General "to take note that Her Majesty's Government accordingly consider that the joint associate membership in the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization of Sarawak and North Borneo under Article 9 of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization automatically lapsed on the 16th of September 1963"

Amendments to the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization

(a) Amendments to articles 17 and 18 of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization

Adopted by the Assembly of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization in resolution A.69 (ES.II) of 15 September 1964

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 6 October 1967 for all Members of the Organization, in accordance with article 52 of the Convention.

REGISTRATION: 6 October 1967, No. 4214.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 607, p. 276.

Note: Pursuant to article 54 of the Convention, the acceptance of an amendment shall be made by the communication of an instrument to the Secretary-General of the Organization for deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Following is the list of States which have accepted the amendments to articles 17 and 18 of the Convention, showing the respective dates of receipt of the instruments of acceptance by the Secretary-General of the Organization and the dates of their deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

State	Date of receipt of the instrument (IMCO)	Date of deposit of the instrument (UN)
ALGERIA	26 October 1967	3 November 1967
ARGENTINA	30 September 1966	5 October 1966
AUSTRALIA	6 January 1965	15 February 1965
BELGIUM	20 July 1965	26 July 1965
BRAZIL	17 November 1966	30 December 1966
BULGARIA	29 September 1966	3 October 1966
BURMA	27 September 1966	6 October 1966
CANADA	25 January 1965	15 February 1965
CHINA ¹		
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	3 October 1966	6 October 1966
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	18 August 1966	22 August 1966
DENMARK	10 June 1965	14 July 1965
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	28 June 1966	11 July 1966
ECUADOR	12 August 1965	18 August 1965
EGYPT	11 March 1966	18 March 1966
FINLAND	17 January 1967	20 January 1967
FRANCE	5 April 1965	21 April 1965
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	24 September 1965	7 October 1965
GHANA	2 April 1965	17 May 1965
GREECE	1 December 1965	3 December 1965
ICELAND	10 September 1965	14 September 1965
INDIA	23 February 1965	17 March 1965
INDONESIA	11 October 1966	21 October 1966
IRAN	8 June 1966	15 June 1966
IRELAND	8 June 1965	14 June 1965
ISRAEL	6 February 1967	9 February 1967
IVORY COAST	17 September 1965	4 October 1965
KUWAIT	2 September 1966	6 September 1966
LEBANON	15 February 1967	20 February 1967
MADAGASCAR	18 February 1965	25 February 1965

¹ The amendments to articles 17 and 18 of the Convention were accepted on behalf of the Republic of China. The date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance by the Secretary-General of the Organization was 27 January 1966 and the date of its deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations was 31 January 1966. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General with

reference to the above-mentioned acceptance, the Permanent Mission of Romania to the United Nations stated that the only government entitled to represent and to assume obligations on behalf of China is the Central Government of the People's Republic of China and that, consequently, the Government of Romania cannot take note of the said acceptance.

² With declaration of application to *Land Berlin* (see footnote 3, p. 382).

<i>State</i>	<i>Date of receipt of the instrument (IMCO)</i>	<i>Date of deposit of the instrument (UN)</i>
MALTA	5 September 1966	8 September 1966
MAURITANIA	1 November 1966	4 November 1966
MEXICO	11 October 1967	16 October 1967
MOROCCO	6 September 1965	7 October 1965
NETHERLANDS	21 September 1965	4 October 1965
NEW ZEALAND	22 November 1965	26 November 1965
NIGERIA	6 December 1967	11 December 1967
NORWAY	9 September 1965	13 September 1965
PAKISTAN	11 June 1965	18 June 1965
PANAMA	28 July 1966	2 August 1966
PHILIPPINES	31 October 1966	2 November 1966
POLAND	30 June 1965	9 July 1965
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	29 April 1965	5 May 1965
ROMANIA	29 July 1966	3 August 1966
SENEGAL	28 September 1966	6 October 1966
SINGAPORE	14 February 1966	18 February 1966
SPAIN	16 June 1965	28 June 1965
SWEDEN	9 September 1965	13 September 1965
SWITZERLAND	9 January 1967	13 January 1967
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	24 November 1966	5 December 1966
TUNISIA	28 March 1966	8 April 1966
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	16 December 1965	20 December 1965
UNITED KINGDOM	26 January 1965	15 February 1965
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	21 July 1966	25 July 1966
YUGOSLAVIA	4 March 1966	11 March 1966

(b) Amendment to article 28 of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization

Adopted by the Assembly of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization in resolution A.70 (IV) of 28 September 1965

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 3 November 1968 for all Members of the Organization in accordance with article 52 of the Convention.

REGISTRATION: 3 November 1968, No. 4214.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 649, p. 334.

Note: Pursuant to article 54 of the Convention, the acceptance of an amendment shall be made by the communication of an instrument to the Secretary-General of the Organization for deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Following is the list of States which have accepted the amendment to article 28 of the Convention, showing the respective dates of receipt of the instruments of acceptance by the Secretary-General of the Organization and the dates of their deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

<i>State</i>	<i>Date of receipt of the instrument (IMCO)</i>	<i>Date of deposit of the instrument (UN)</i>
ALGERIA	26 October 1967	3 November 1967
ARGENTINA	30 September 1966	5 October 1966
AUSTRALIA	20 June 1966	23 June 1966
BELGIUM	1 June 1966	6 June 1966
BRAZIL	17 November 1966	30 December 1966
BULGARIA	29 September 1966	3 October 1966
CANADA	25 April 1966	29 April 1966
CHINA ¹		
CUBA	9 February 1973	9 February 1973
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	3 October 1966	6 October 1966
DENMARK	10 November 1966	15 November 1966
EGYPT	13 February 1967	15 February 1967
FINLAND	17 January 1967	20 January 1967
FRANCE	1 March 1966	14 March 1966
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	15 July 1966	22 July 1966
GHANA	17 November 1966	21 November 1966
ICELAND	8 March 1967	13 March 1967
INDIA	10 October 1966	13 October 1966
IRAN	20 June 1968	1 July 1968
IRELAND	20 June 1966	23 June 1966
ISRAEL	6 February 1967	9 February 1967
IVORY COAST	17 March 1967	20 March 1967
KUWAIT	2 September 1966	6 September 1966
LEBANON	15 February 1967	20 February 1967
MADAGASCAR	24 January 1966	27 January 1966
MALDIVES	18 April 1968	22 April 1968
MALTA	5 September 1966	8 September 1966
MEXICO	11 October 1967	16 October 1967
MOROCCO	24 January 1966	27 January 1966
NETHERLANDS	9 May 1967	15 May 1967
NEW ZEALAND	25 July 1968	29 July 1968
NIGERIA	6 December 1967	11 December 1967
NORWAY	18 May 1966	23 May 1966
PAKISTAN	29 June 1966	5 July 1966
PANAMA	28 July 1966	2 August 1966
PHILIPPINES	31 October 1966	2 November 1966

¹The amendment to article 28 of the Convention was accepted on behalf of the Republic of China. The date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance by the Secretary-General of the Organization was 22 July 1966 and the date of its deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations was 27 July 1966. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii. In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-

mentioned acceptance, the Permanent Mission of Romania to the United Nations stated that the only government entitled to represent and to assume obligations on behalf of China is the Central Government of the People's Republic of China and that, consequently, the Government of Romania cannot take note of the said acceptance.

² See footnote 3, p. 382.

<i>State</i>	<i>Date of receipt of the instrument (IMCO)</i>		<i>Date of deposit of the instrument (UN)</i>	
POLAND	16	August 1966	19	August 1966
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	5	January 1967	10	January 1967
ROMANIA	10	July 1967	27	July 1967
SINGAPORE	14	February 1966	18	February 1966
SPAIN	4	May 1966	9	May 1966
SWEDEN	21	July 1966	26	July 1966
SWITZERLAND	9	January 1967	13	January 1967
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	17	April 1967	20	April 1967
TUNISIA	16	February 1966	23	February 1966
TURKEY	5	June 1967	9	June 1967
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	28	February 1966	7	March 1966
UNITED KINGDOM	18	May 1966	23	May 1966
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	25	January 1968	1	February 1968
YUGOSLAVIA	22	November 1966	28	November 1966

(c) Amendments to articles 10, 16, 17, 18, 20, 28, 31 and 32 of the Convention
on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization

*Adopted by the Assembly of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization
in resolution A.315 (ES.V) of 17 October 1974*

Not yet in force (see article 52 of the Convention).

TEXT: IMCO Document A/ES.V/RES.315.

Note: Pursuant to article 54 of the Convention, the acceptance of an amendment shall be made by the communication of an instrument to the Secretary-General of the Organization for deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Following is the list of States which have accepted the amendments to articles 10, 16, 17, 18, 20, 28, 31 and 32 of the Convention, showing the respective dates of receipt of the instruments of acceptance by the Secretary-General of the Organization and the dates of their deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

In accordance with article 52 of the Convention the Assembly of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization determined that these amendments are of such a nature that any Member which hereafter declares that it does not accept the amendments and which does not accept the amendments within a period of twelve months after the amendments come into force shall, upon the expiration of this period, cease to be a Party to the Convention.

<i>State</i>	<i>Date of receipt of the instrument (IMCO)</i>	<i>Date of deposit of the instrument (UN)</i>
ALGERIA	21 February 1976	8 March 1976
BAHRAIN ¹	22 September 1976	22 September 1976
BARBADOS	19 June 1975	30 June 1975
BELGIUM	22 June 1976	6 July 1976
BRAZIL	19 July 1976	30 July 1976
BULGARIA		16 April 1975
CANADA	4 July 1975	16 July 1975
CAPE VERDE		24 August 1976
CHILE	2 February 1976	11 February 1976
CHINA	18 April 1975	28 April 1975
CUBA		24 November 1975
CYPRUS	16 February 1976	24 February 1976
CZECHOSLAVAKIA		23 November 1976
DENMARK	5 July 1976	20 July 1976
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	16 December 1976	30 December 1976
ECUADOR	23 December 1976	3 January 1977
EGYPT		16 November 1976
FINLAND	4 October 1976	19 October 1976
FRANCE	17 March 1975	24 March 1975
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	18 September 1975	30 September 1975
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	11 November 1975	1 December 1975
GHANA		18 October 1976
HUNGARY	15 December 1976	30 December 1976
ICELAND	3 May 1976	13 May 1976
INDIA	9 January 1976	16 January 1976

¹ With the following reservation:

"The acceptance of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization by the State of Bahrain shall, however, in no way signify recognition of, or entry into any relations with Israel".

The Secretary-General received on 23 December 1976 a communication from the Government of Israel relating to the above reservation. For the text of this communication, see footnote 5a, p. 384.

² With a declaration that the said amendments shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which they enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany provided that the Federal Republic of Germany does not make a declaration to the contrary to the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization within three months.

<i>State</i>	<i>Date of receipt of the instrument (IMCO)</i>		<i>Date of deposit of the instrument (UN)</i>	
INDONESIA	12	November 1976	23	November 1976
IRAN	1	July 1975	8	July 1975
IRAQ ³			11	March 1976
ISRAEL	25	August 1976	8	September 1976
ITALY	30	April 1976	13	May 1976
LIBERIA	22	August 1975	8	September 1975
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC	13	July 1976	30	July 1976
MADAGASCAR	17	December 1975	29	December 1975
MALDIVES	7	July 1975	21	July 1975
MALTA	25	October 1976	2	November 1976
MEXICO			23	March 1976
MOROCCO ⁴			17	September 1976
NETHERLANDS ⁵	23	October 1975	10	November 1975
NEW ZEALAND	16	March 1976	24	March 1976
NIGERIA			30	June 1976
NORWAY	16	April 1975	28	April 1975
OMAN	8	November 1976	17	November 1976
PAKISTAN	4	May 1976	13	May 1976
PANAMA			23	May 1975
PERU	8	November 1976	17	November 1976
POLAND			15	March 1976
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	29	October 1976	8	November 1976
SPAIN	13	March 1975	24	March 1975
SRI LANKA	6	May 1976	17	May 1976
SURINAM			26	November 1976
SWEDEN	28	April 1975	5	May 1975
SWITZERLAND	30	December 1975	16	January 1976
THAILAND	17	November 1975	1	December 1975
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	12	May 1975	16	May 1975
TUNISIA	4	May 1976	13	May 1976
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	21	April 1975	28	April 1975
UNITED KINGDOM	10	June 1975	26	June 1975
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON			1	November 1976
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	16	September 1976	28	September 1976
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	3	February 1976	11	February 1976
VENEZUELA			27	October 1975
YUGOSLAVIA	23	March 1976	30	March 1976

³ With the following declaration:

Acceptance of the above amendments by the Republic of Iraq shall, however, in no way signify recognition of Israel or be conducive to entry into any relations with it.

⁴ With the same declaration as the one made upon accession to the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization. See p. 386.

⁵ For the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles.

(d) **Amendments to the title and substantive provisions of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization**

Adopted by the Assembly of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization in resolution A.358 (IX) of 14 November 1975

Not yet in force (see article 52 of the Convention).

TEXT: Document A IX/Res.358.

Note: Pursuant to article 54 of the Convention, the acceptance of an amendment shall be made by the communication of an instrument to the Secretary-General of the Organization for deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Following is the list of States which have accepted the amendments to the Convention showing the respective dates of receipt of the instruments of acceptance by the Secretary-General of the Organization and the dates of their deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

<i>State</i>	<i>Date of receipt of the instrument (IMCO)</i>		<i>Date of deposit of the instrument (UN)</i>	
ALGERIA	7 June	1976	6 July	1976
CZECHOSLOVAKIA			23 November	1976
DENMARK	14 September	1976	18 September	1976
EGYPT			16 November	1976
FINLAND	4 October	1976	19 October	1976
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC	3 September	1976	13 September	1976

2. Convention regarding the Measurement and Registration of Vessels Employed in Inland Navigation

Done at Bangkok on 22 June 1956¹

Not yet in force (see article 9).

TEXT: United Nations publication, Sales No.: 1957.II.F.9 (E/CN. 11/461).

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
CHINA²		
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA ..	22 June	1956
INDONESIA ..	22 June	1956
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	22 June	1956
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ³	22 June	1956
THAILAND	22 June	1956

¹ The Convention was adopted by the Inland Waterway Sub-Committee of the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East at its third session, held at Dacca, East Pakistan, in October 1955.

² Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 22 June 1956. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

³ See note 4b, p. 54.

3. Convention relating to the Unification of Certain Rules concerning Collisions in Inland Navigation

Done at Geneva on 15 March 1960¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 13 September 1966, in accordance with article 11.

REGISTRATION: 13 September 1966, No. 8310.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 572, p. 133.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
AUSTRIA	14 June 1960	27 September 1962
BELGIUM	15 June 1960	
FRANCE	15 June 1960	12 March 1962
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		8 October 1976 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	14 June 1960	29 May 1973
HUNGARY		24 July 1973 a
NETHERLANDS ³	14 June 1960	15 June 1966
POLAND		8 May 1972 a
ROMANIA		4 August 1969 a
SWITZERLAND		26 April 1972 a
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS		26 January 1962 a
YUGOSLAVIA		14 February 1962 a

Declarations and Reservations

AUSTRIA

My Government considers the German text as authentic, in accordance with article 19 of the Convention.

BELGIUM

My Government considers the French text as authentic, in accordance with article 19 of the Convention.

FRANCE

In accordance with article 19 of the Convention, my Government considers the French text as authentic.

¹ The Convention was prepared by the Sub-Committee on Inland Water Transport of the Inland Transport Committee of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe and its subsidiary bodies (Working Party on River Law and Groups of Rapporteurs). The Inland Transport Committee decided to open it for signature at its nineteenth session, held from 14 to 18 December 1959 (see Report of the Inland Transport Committee on its nineteenth session, document E/ECE/TRANS/514, paragraph 49).

² The instrument of ratification contains the following statement:

"... The said Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

With reference to the above statement, the German Democratic Republic, upon accession, made the following declaration:

"The German Democratic Republic, in connexion with its accession to the Convention Relating to the Unification of Certain Rules Concerning Collisions in Inland Navigation of 15 March 1960, declares that the statement of the Federal Republic of Germany according to which this Convention is to be extended to Berlin (West) cannot have any legal consequences and, furthermore, is invalid. The statement of the FRG is incompatible with the four-power agreements and regulations of the post-war period as well as with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. As is known, the German Democratic Republic is competent for the waterways in Berlin (West)."

³ The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Convention is ratified for the Kingdom in Europe and Surinam.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservations:

Pursuant to the provisions of article 9 (a):

The German Democratic Republic declares that the provisions of the Convention shall not apply to vessels exclusively employed by the public authorities.

Pursuant to the provisions of article 15:

The German Democratic Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 14 of the Convention in so far as it concerns the referral of disputes to the International Court of Justice.

Declaration:

In accordance with the provisions of article 19:

The German Democratic Republic adopts the German text of the Convention.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

I declare that, in accordance with article 19, my Government adopts the German text.

HUNGARY

(a) Pursuant to article 9 of the Convention, the Hungarian People's Republic reserves the right to provide by law that the provisions of this Convention shall not apply:

- To vessels exclusively employed by the public authorities;
- To those waterways in the territory of the Hungarian People's Republic which are reserved exclusively for its own shipping.

(b) Pursuant to article 15 of the Convention, the Hungarian People's Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 14 of the Convention in so far as it concerns the referral of disputes to the International Court of Justice.

POLAND

. . . The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 14 of the Convention with regard to the reference of disputes to the International Court of Justice. Likewise, it reserves the right not to apply the present Convention to inland waterways reserved exclusively for its own shipping.

ROMANIA

The Socialist Republic of Romania declares, in accordance with the provisions of article 15, that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 14 of the Convention.

The position of the Socialist Republic of Romania is that disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute in each particular case.

The Socialist Republic of Romania reserves the right, in accordance with article 9, paragraphs (a) and (b) of the Convention, to provide by law or international agreement that the provisions of the Convention shall not apply to vessels exclusively employed by the public authorities, or to waterways reserved exclusively for its own shipping.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

(a) With respect to the Convention as a whole: The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

declares that the provisions of this Convention will not be applied on inland waterways of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics that are open to navigation only by ships sailing under the flag of the USSR;

(b) With respect to article 14: The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by article 14 of this Convention with regard to the reference of disputes to the International Court.

In acceding to the Convention, the Government of the USSR deems it necessary at the same time to state its view that article 10 of the Convention, which limits the number of States which may become Parties to it, is illegal.

YUGOSLAVIA

The Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia declares in accordance with article 9 of the afore-mentioned Convention:

(a) that it reserves the right to provide by law or international agreement that the provisions of this Convention shall not apply to vessels exclusively employed by the public authorities;

(b) that it reserves the right to provide by law that the provisions of this Convention shall not apply on waterways reserved exclusively for its own shipping.

**4. Convention on the Registration of Inland Navigation Vessels, with annexed Protocols:
 Protocol No. 1 concerning Rights *in rem* in Inland Navigation Vessels
 Protocol No. 2 concerning Attachment and Forced Sale of Inland Navigation Vessels**

Done at Geneva on 25 January 1965¹

Not yet in force (see article 17).

TEXT: E/ECE/579 (E/ECE/TRANS/540).

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRIA	18 June 1965		
BELGIUM	31 December 1965		
FRANCE	31 December 1965	13 June	1972
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	5 November 1965		
LUXEMBOURG	14 December 1965		
NETHERLANDS ²	30 December 1965	14 November	1974
SWITZERLAND	28 December 1965	14 January	1976
YUGOSLAVIA	17 May 1965		

Declarations and Reservations

BELGIUM

Belgium enters the reservations provided for in article 21, paragraph 1 (b), (c) and (d).

FRANCE

Upon signature:

France declares that it accepts Protocol No. 1, annexed hereto, concerning Rights *in rem* in Inland Navigation Vessels, and Protocol No. 2, also annexed hereto, concerning Attachment and Forced Sale of Inland Navigation Vessels.

Upon ratification:

. . . France, exercising the reservation provided for in article 19 of Protocol No. 1, declares, pursuant to article 21, paragraph 2, of the Convention, that it will not apply the provisions of article 14, paragraph 2 (b), of this Protocol in the event of a forced sale in its territory.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

The Federal Republic of Germany declares that:

(1) German registration offices will supply extracts from documents deposited with them and referred to by the entries in the register only to applicants who produce evidence of a legitimate interest in obtaining such extracts;

(2) It will not apply the Convention to vessels navigating on lakes and adjacent sections of waterways and belonging to the German Federal Railways.

NETHERLANDS

In accordance with article 21, paragraph 1 (d) of the Convention, the Netherlands will not apply this Convention to vessels used exclusively for a non-commercial government service.

SWITZERLAND

Reservations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

Switzerland enters the following reservations pursuant to article 21, paragraph 1 (b), (c) and (d), of the Convention:

ad (b): Its registration offices will supply extracts as specified in article 2, paragraph 3, of the Convention only to applicants who produce evidence of a legitimate interest in obtaining such extracts;

ad (c): It will not apply the Convention to vessels navigating on lakes and adjacent sections of waterways and belonging to national railways administrations or operating under licence;

ad (d): It will not apply the Convention to vessels used exclusively for a non-commercial government service.

Switzerland declares that it accepts Protocol No. 1 concerning Rights *in rem* in Inland Navigation Vessels and declares that, pursuant to article 19 of the said Protocol and to article 21, paragraph 2, of the Convention, it will not apply the provisions of article 14, paragraph 2 (b), of the said Protocol in the event of a forced sale in its territory.

¹The Convention was prepared by the Sub-Committee on Inland Water Transport of the Inland Transport Committee of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe and its subsidiary bodies (Working Party on River Law and Groups of Rapporteurs). The Inland Transport Committee, at its twenty-first session held from 20 to 24 January 1964, decided that the question of the opening of the Convention for signature should be settled by the Sub-Committee on Inland Water Transport at its next session (see Report of the Inland Transport Committee on its twenty-third session, document E/ECE/TRANS/535, paragraph 52). The decision to open the Con-

vention for signature was taken by the said Sub-Committee at its eighth session held from 28 to 30 October 1964 (see document TRANS/291, paragraph 17).

²Ratification for the Kingdom in Europe, and applicable in respect of the Convention only, Protocols No. 1 and No. 2 being excluded. On 13 June 1975, the Secretary-General, in accordance with the provisions of article 15, paragraph 1, of the Convention, has received from the Government of the Netherlands a declaration of acceptance of Protocol No. 1 concerning Rights *in rem* in inland navigation vessels.

5. Convention on the Measurement of Inland Navigation Vessels, with Annex and Protocol of Signature

Done at Geneva on 15 February 1966¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 19 April 1975, in accordance with article 11.

REGISTRATION: 19 April 1975.

TEXT: E/ECE/626 (E/ECE/TRANS/546).

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
BELGIUM	2 November 1966	9 March	1972
BULGARIA	14 November 1966		
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		2 January	1974 <i>a</i>
FRANCE	17 May 1966	8 June	1970
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		31 August	1976 <i>a</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	14 November 1966	19 April	1974
LUXEMBOURG	29 July 1966		
NETHERLANDS ³	14 November 1966		
ROMANIA		24 May	1976 <i>a</i>
SWITZERLAND	14 November 1966	7 February	1975
YUGOSLAVIA		8 December	1969 <i>a</i>

¹The Convention was prepared by the Sub-Committee on Inland Water Transport of the Inland Transport Committee of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe and its subsidiary bodies (Working Party on River Law and Groups of Rapporteurs). The Inland Transport Committee decided to open it for signature at its twenty-fifth session held from 17 to 20 January 1966 (see Report of the Inland Transport Committee on its twenty-fifth session, document E/ECE/TRANS/544, paragraph 63).

The Convention and the Protocol of Signature were signed on behalf of each of the above-mentioned States on the same date, with the exception of Belgium, on behalf of which the Convention was signed on 2 November 1966 and the Protocol on 4 November 1966.

²Upon ratification of the Convention, the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) as from the day on which it will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

In this connexion, the Government of the German Democratic Republic, upon accession to the Convention, declared the following:

"As regards the application of the Convention to Berlin (West) the German Democratic Republic, in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America, and the French Republic of 3 September 1971, states that Berlin (West) continues not to be a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic only takes note of the statement of the Federal Republic of Germany on the extension of the Convention to Berlin (West) on the understanding that such extension is in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement and that by applying the provisions of the Convention to Berlin (West) matters of status of Berlin (West) are not affected."

³The signature of the Convention and of the Protocol of Signature thereto on behalf of the Government of the Netherlands is accompanied by the following declaration:

On signing this Convention [Protocol] the Netherlands Government declares that [it] shall apply only to the Kingdom of the Netherlands in Europe.

Declarations and Reservations

BELGIUM

Article 15, paragraph 2:

The extension of measurement certificates shall not be applicable to certificates issued by Belgium in order to guarantee the value and accuracy of the document.

BULGARIA

On signing this Convention, the People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 14 of the Convention as regards the reference of disputes to the International Court.

It further declares that the validity of measurement certificates issued by its measurement offices for vessels intended for the carriage of goods may be extended only by one of the said offices.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

On acceding to this Convention, the Government of Czechoslovakia declares, pursuant to article 15, paragraph 1, of the Convention, that it does not consider itself bound by article 14 of the Convention as regards the reference of disputes to the International Court of Justice.

FRANCE

Upon signature of the Protocol of Signature:

Since the measurement signs affixed by the French services are not intended solely to establish the fact of measurement, the said signs shall not be either removed or effaced at the time of remeasurement; instead, an indelible mark consisting of a small cross with vertical

and horizontal arms of equal length shall be applied to the left of such signs.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic declares in accordance with article 15, paragraph 1, of the Convention that it does not consider itself bound by article 14 of the Convention in so far as it concerns the referral of disputes to the International Court of Justice.

ROMANIA

The Socialist Republic of Romania declares, pursuant to article 15, paragraph 1, that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 14 of the Convention. The position of the Socialist Republic of Romania is that disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all the parties to the dispute, in each individual case.

Notification of distinctive letters of measurement offices under article 10(5) of the Convention

<i>State</i>	<i>Distinctive letters</i>
Belgium	BR-B
Czechoslovakia	CS
France	F
German Democratic Republic	DDR
Germany, Federal Republic of	D
Romania	RNR
Switzerland	BS-CH (Basel-Stadt)
	BL-CH (Basel-Land)
	AG-CH (Aargau)
Yugoslavia	JR-YU

6. Convention on a Code of Conduct for Liner Conferences

Concluded at Geneva on 6 April 1974¹

Not yet in force (see article 49).

TEXT: TD/Code 11/Rev.1 and Corr.1.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</i>	
ALGERIA	27 June	1975		
BANGLADESH			24 July	1975 a
BELGIUM	30 June	1975		
BENIN			27 October	1975 a
BRAZIL	23 June	1975		
CHILE			25 June	1975 s
COSTA RICA	15 May	1975		
CUBA			23 July	1976 a
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	30 June	1975		
ECUADOR	22 October	1974		
ETHIOPIA	19 June	1975		
FRANCE	30 June	1975		
GABON	10 October	1974		
GAMBIA			30 June	1975 s
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	27 June	1975		
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	30 June	1975		
GHANA	14 May	1975	24 June	1975
GUATEMALA	15 November	1974	3 March	1976
INDIA	27 June	1975		
INDONESIA	5 February	1975		
IRAN	7 August	1974		
IVORY COAST	1 May	1975		
MALTA	15 May	1975		
MEXICO			6 May	1976 a
NIGER	24 June	1975	13 January	1976
NIGERIA			10 September	1975 a
PAKISTAN			27 June	1975 s
PHILIPPINES	2 August	1974	2 March	1976
SENEGAL	30 June	1975		
SRI LANKA			30 June	1975 s
TOGO			25 June	1975 s
TURKEY	30 June	1975		
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	27 June	1975		
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON			15 June	1976 a
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA			3 November	1975 a
VENEZUELA			30 June	1975 s
YUGOSLAVIA	17 December	1974		

¹ Adopted by a Conference of plenipotentiaries which met at Geneva from 12 November to 15 December 1973 and from 11 March to 6 April 1974 under the auspices of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, in accordance with resolution 3035 (XXVII) of the General Assembly of the United Nations dated on 19 December 1972. Open for signature from 1 July 1974 to 30 June 1975.

Declarations and Reservations

BELGIUM

Upon signature:

Under Belgian law, the Convention must be approved by the legislative chambers before it can be ratified.

In due course, the Belgian Government will submit this Convention to the legislative chambers for ratification, with the express reservation that its implementation should not be contrary to the commitments undertaken by Belgium under the Treaty of Rome establishing the European Economic Community and the OECD Code of Liberalisation of invisible trade, and taking into account any reservations it may deem fit to make to the provisions of this Convention.

BRAZIL

Upon signature:

"In accordance with SUNAMAM's resolutions Nos. 3393, of 12/30/1972, and 4173, of 12/21/1972, which set up and structured the "Bureau de Estudos de Fretes Internacionais da SUNAMAM", and by which the "Superintendência Nacional de Marinha Mercante (SUNAMAM)" has the authority to reject any proposal on freight rates put forward by Liner Conferences, the contents of article 14, paragraph 6, of that Convention do not conform to Brazilian Law."

CUBA

Reservation:

The Republic of Cuba enters a reservation concerning the provisions of article 2, paragraph 17, of the Convention, to the effect that Cuba will not apply said paragraph to goods carried by joint liner services for the carriage of any cargo, established in accordance with intergovernmental agreements, regardless of their origin, their destination or the use for which they are intended.

Declaration:

With regard to the definitions in the first paragraph of part one, chapter I, the Republic of Cuba does not accept the inclusion in the concept of "Liner conference or conference" of joint liner services for the carriage of

any type of cargo, established in accordance with intergovernmental agreements.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Upon signature:

"The provisions of the Code of Conduct do not apply to joint line services established on the basis of intergovernmental agreements for serving the bilateral trade;

"Eventual one-sided regulation of the activity of non-conference lines by legislation of individual States would be considered incompatible on the part of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic, with the main aims and principles of the Convention and would not be recognized as valid."

FRANCE

Upon signature:

Under the French Constitution, approval of the Convention is subject to authorization by Parliament.

It is understood that this approval is conditional upon compliance with the commitments undertaken by France under the Treaty of Rome establishing the European Economic Community and the Code of Liberalisation of invisible trade of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, taking into account any reservations which the French Government may deem fit to make to the provisions of this Convention.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Upon signature:

"The Convention under the law of the Federal Republic of Germany, requires the approval of the legislative bodies for ratification. At the appropriate time, the Federal Republic of Germany will implement the Convention in conformity with its obligations under the Treaty of Rome establishing the European Economic Community as well as under the OECD Code of Liberalisation of Current Invisible Operations."

CHAPTER XIII. ECONOMIC STATISTICS

1. Protocol amending the International Convention relating to Economic Statistics, signed at Geneva on 14 December 1928

Signed at Paris on 9 December 1948¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 9 December 1948, in accordance with article V.²

REGISTRATION: 9 December 1948, No. 318.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 20, p. 229.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to acceptance</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</i>
AUSTRALIA		9 December 1948 <i>s</i>
AUSTRIA		10 November 1949
BURMA	9 December 1948	
CANADA		9 December 1948 <i>s</i>
DENMARK	9 December 1948	27 September 1949
EGYPT		9 December 1948 <i>s</i>
FINLAND		17 August 1949
FRANCE	9 December 1948	11 January 1949
GREECE	9 December 1948	9 October 1950
INDIA	9 December 1948	14 March 1949
IRELAND		28 February 1952
ITALY		20 May 1949 <i>s</i>
JAPAN		2 December 1952
NETHERLANDS	9 December 1948	13 April 1950
NORWAY	9 December 1948	22 March 1949
PAKISTAN		3 March 1925 <i>s</i>
SOUTH AFRICA		10 December 1948 <i>s</i>
SWEDEN		9 December 1948 <i>s</i>
SWITZERLAND	9 December 1948	23 January 1970
UNITED KINGDOM		9 December 1948 <i>s</i>

¹ The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 255 (III) of 18 November 1948 (*Official Records of the General Assembly, Third Session, Part I, A/810, p. 160*).

² The amendments set forth in the Annex to the Protocol entered into force on 9 October 1950, in accordance with article V of the Protocol.

2. International Convention relating to Economic Statistics

Signed at Geneva on 14 December 1928 and amended by the Protocol signed at Paris on 9 December 1948

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 9 October 1950, the date on which the amendments to the Convention, as set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 9 December 1948, entered into force in accordance with article V of the Protocol.

REGISTRATION: 9 October 1950, No. 942.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 73, p. 39.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 9 December 1948</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d), in respect of the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 9 December 1948</i>	
AUSTRALIA	9 December 1948		
AUSTRIA	10 November 1949		
BELGIUM ¹		2 May	1952
CANADA	9 December 1948		
DENMARK	27 September 1949		
EGYPT	9 December 1948		
FINLAND	17 August 1949		
FRANCE	11 January 1949		
GHANA		7 April	1958 <i>d</i>
GREECE	9 October 1950		
INDIA	14 March 1949		
IRELAND	28 February 1952		
ISRAEL		28 December	1950 <i>a</i>
ITALY	20 May 1949		
JAPAN	2 December 1952		
LUXEMBOURG		23 July	1953
NETHERLANDS	13 April 1950		
NIGERIA		23 July	1965 <i>a</i>
NORWAY	22 March 1949		
PAKISTAN	3 March 1952		
SOUTH AFRICA	10 December 1948		
SWEDEN	9 December 1948		
SWITZERLAND	23 January 1970		
UNITED KINGDOM ²	9 December 1948		

¹ A declaration accompanying the instrument of ratification by the Government of Belgium stipulates that the ratification applies only to the metropolitan territories, the territories of Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi being expressly excluded

² Notice of application of the Convention to Southern Rhodesia was received from the Government of the United Kingdom on 2 December 1949.

3. (a) International Convention Relating to Economic Statistics

Geneva, December 14th, 1928¹

IN FORCE since December 14th, 1930 (Article 14).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AUSTRIA (March 27th, 1931)

GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND and *all parts of the British Empire which are not separate Members of the League of Nations* (May 9th, 1930)

Does not include any of His Britannic Majesty's Colonies, Protectorates or Territories under suzerainty or mandate

Southern Rhodesia (October 14th, 1931 *a*)

Returns provided for in Article 2, III (B), will not contain information with regard to areas under crops on native farms, and in native reserves, locations and mission stations.²

CANADA (August 23rd, 1930 *a*)

AUSTRALIA (April 13th, 1932 *a*)

Does not apply to the territories of Papua and Norfolk Island, New Guinea and Nauru.

(1) The provision under Article 3, Annex I, Part I (*b*), for separate returns for direct transit trade shall not apply to the Commonwealth of Australia.

(2) The provision under Article 3, Annex I, Part I, Paragraph IV, that when the quantity of goods of any kind is expressed in any unit or units of measure other than weight, an estimate of the average weight of each unit, or multiple of units, shall be shown in the annual returns, shall not apply to the Commonwealth of Australia.²

UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA (including the mandated territory of *South West Africa*) (May 1st, 1930)

IRELAND (September 15th, 1930)

INDIA (May 15th, 1931 *a*)

A. Under the terms of Article 11, the obligations of the Convention shall not extend to the territories in India of any Prince or Chief under the suzerainty of His Majesty the King Emperor.

B² (1) Article 2. I (*a*)—The provisions for returns of "transit trade" made in Annex I, Part I, 1 (*b*) shall not apply to India nor shall returns of the "land frontier trade" of India be required.

(2) Article 2. II (*a*).—The question whether a general census of agriculture can be held in India and, if so, on what lines and at what intervals still

¹ Registered No. 2560. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 110, p. 171. Ratifications and accessions subsequent to registration: vol. 117, p. 330; vol. 122, p. 366; vol. 126, p. 454; vol. 130, p. 463; vol. 134, p. 427; vol. 156, p. 222; vol. 181, p. 392; vol. 185, p. 395; and vol. 189, p. 466.

² These reservations were accepted by the States parties to the Convention, which were consulted in accordance with article 17.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

remains to be settled. For the present, India can assume no obligations under this article.

(3) Article 2. III (*b*). (1).—For farms in the "permanently settled" tracts in India, estimates of the cultivated areas may be used in compiling the returns.

(4) Article 2. III (*b*). (2).—The returns of quantities of crops harvested may be based on estimates of yield each year per unit area in each locality.

(5) Article 2. III (*d*).—Complete returns cannot be guaranteed from Burma, and in respect of the rest of India the returns shall refer to Government forests only.

The Government of India further declared that, with regard to the second paragraph of Article 3 of the Convention, they cannot, with the means of investigation at their disposal, usefully undertake to prepare experimentally the specified tables, and that for similar reasons they are not in a position to accept the proposal contained in Recommendation II of the Convention.

BULGARIA (November 29th, 1929)

CHILE (November 20th, 1934 *a*)

CUBA (August 17th, 1932 *a*)

CZECHOSLOVAKIA (February 19th, 1931)

DENMARK (September 9th, 1929)

In pursuance of Article 11, Greenland is excepted from the provisions of this Convention. Furthermore, the Danish Government, in accepting the Convention, does not assume any obligation in respect of statistics concerning the Faroe Islands.

EGYPT (June 27th, 1930)

FINLAND (September 23rd, 1938)

FRANCE (February 1st, 1933)

By its acceptance, France does not intend to assume any obligation in regard to any of its Colonies, Protectorates and Territories under its suzerainty or mandate.

GREECE (September 18th, 1930)

ITALY (June 11th, 1931)

In accepting the present Convention, Italy does not assume any obligation in respect of her Colonies, Protectorates and other Territories referred to in the first paragraph of Article 11.

LATVIA (July 5th, 1937)

LITHUANIA (April 2nd, 1938 *a*)

THE NETHERLANDS (September 13th, 1932)

This ratification applies only to the territory of the Netherlands in Europe; the Netherlands do not

Ratifications or definitive accessions

intend to assume, at present, any obligation as regards the whole of the Netherlands overseas territories.

Netherlands Indies (May 5th, 1933 *a*)

1. The following shall not be applicable:
 - (a) The provisions of Article 2, III (E) and V;
 - (b) The provisions concerning the system of valuations known as "declared values" mentioned in Annex I, Part I, § II (see Article 3);
 - (c) Article 3, paragraph 2.
2. The returns mentioned in Article 2, IV, shall apply only to coal, petroleum, natural gas, tin, manganese, gold and silver.
3. The statistics of foreign trade mentioned in Article 3 shall not comprise tables concerning transit.³

Ratifications or definitive accessions

NORWAY (March 20th, 1929)

In accordance with Article 11, the Bouvet Island is excepted from the provisions of the present Convention. Furthermore, in ratifying the Convention, Norway does not assume any obligation as regards statistics relating to the Svalbard.

POLAND (July 23rd, 1931)

PORTUGAL (October 23rd, 1931)

In accordance with Article 11, the Portuguese Delegation declares on behalf of its Government that the present Convention does not apply to the Portuguese Colonies.

ROMANIA (June 22nd, 1931)

SWEDEN (February 17th, 1930)

SWITZERLAND (July 10th, 1930)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

BRAZIL
ESTONIA

GERMANY
HUNGARY

YUGOSLAVIA

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification</i>
BELGIUM ⁴	5 May 1950
JAPAN	3 September 1952

³ These reservations were accepted by the States parties to the Convention, which were consulted in accordance with article 17.

⁴ Declaration made on signature: In pursuance of article 11 of the Convention, the Belgian Delegation declares on behalf

of its Government that it cannot accept, in regard to the Colony of the Belgian Congo, the obligations arising out of the clauses of the present Convention.

3. (b) Protocol

Geneva, December 14th, 1928

IN FORCE since December 14th, 1930.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AUSTRIA	(March 27th, 1931)
GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND and <i>all parts of the British Empire which are not separate Members of the League of Nations</i>	(May 9th, 1930)
<i>Southern Rhodesia</i>	(Oct. 14th, 1931 a)
CANADA	(August 23rd, 1930 a)
AUSTRALIA	(April 13th, 1932 a)
UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA (including the mandated territory of <i>South West Africa</i>)	(May 1st, 1930)
IRELAND	(September 15th, 1930)
INDIA	(May 15th, 1931 a)
BULGARIA	(November 29th, 1929)
CHILE	(November 20th, 1934 a)
CUBA	(August 17th, 1932 a)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(Feb. 19th, 1931)
DENMARK	(September 9th, 1929)
EGYPT	(June 27th, 1930)
FINLAND	(September 23rd, 1938)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

FRANCE	(February 1st, 1933)
GREECE	(September 18th, 1930)
ITALY	(June 11th, 1931)
LATVIA	(July 5th, 1937)
LITHUANIA	(April 2nd, 1938 a)
THE NETHERLANDS	(Sept. 13th, 1932)
This ratification applies only to the territory of the Netherlands in Europe; the Netherlands do not intend to assume, at present, any obligation as regards the whole of the Netherlands overseas territories.	
<i>Netherlands Indies</i>	(May 5th, 1933 a)
NORWAY	(March 20th, 1929)
POLAND	(July 23rd, 1931)
PORTUGAL	(October 23rd, 1931)
ROMANIA	(June 22nd, 1931)
SWEDEN	(February 17th, 1930)
SWITZERLAND	(July 10th, 1930)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

BRAZIL
ESTONIA

GERMANY
HUNGARY

YUGOSLAVIA

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification</i>
BELGIUM	5 May 1950
JAPAN	3 September 1952

CHAPTER XIV. EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL MATTERS

I. Agreement for Facilitating the International Circulation of Visual and Auditory Materials of an Educational, Scientific and Cultural Character with Protocol of Signature

Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 15 July 1949¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 12 August 1954, in accordance with article XII.

REGISTRATION: 12 August 1954, No. 2631.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 197, p. 3.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Acceptance, accession (a)</i>	
AFGHANISTAN	29 December 1949		
BRAZIL	15 September 1949	15 August	1962
CANADA	17 December 1949	4 October	1950
CONGO		26 August	1968 <i>a</i>
COSTA RICA		9 June	1971 <i>a</i>
CYPRUS		10 August	1972 <i>a</i>
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA ..		20 February	1952 <i>a</i>
DENMARK	29 December 1949	10 August	1955
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	5 August 1949		
ECUADOR	29 December 1949		
EL SALVADOR	29 December 1949	24 June	1953
GHANA		22 March	1960 <i>a</i>
GREECE	31 December 1949	9 July	1954
HAITI	2 December 1949	14 May	1954
IRAN	31 December 1949	30 December	1959
IRAQ		29 August	1952 <i>a</i>
JORDAN		7 July	1972 <i>a</i>
LEBANON	30 December 1949	12 May	1971
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC ²		22 January	1973 <i>a</i>
MADAGASCAR		23 May	1962 <i>a</i>
MALAWI		5 July	1967 <i>a</i>
MALTA		29 July	1968 <i>a</i>
MOROCCO		25 July	1968 <i>a</i>
NETHERLANDS ³	30 December 1949		
NIGER		22 April	1968 <i>a</i>
NORWAY	20 December 1949	12 January	1950
PAKISTAN		16 February	1950 <i>a</i>
PHILIPPINES	31 December 1949	13 November	1952
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC		16 September	1951 <i>a</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		31 August	1965 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	13 September 1949	14 October	1966
URUGUAY	31 December 1949		
YUGOSLAVIA		30 June	1950 <i>a</i>

¹ The Agreement was approved by the General Conference of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization at its third session, held at Beirut from 17 November to 11 December 1948, in a resolution adopted at the seventeenth plenary meeting on 10 December 1948. For the text of this resolution, see Records of the General Conference of UNESCO, Third Session, Beirut 1948, vol. II, *Resolutions* (3/3C/110, vol. II), p. 113.

² With the following statement:

... The accession of the Libyan Arab Republic to this

Agreement does not imply recognition of Israel or the assumption towards Israel of any commitments arising out of this Agreement.

³ The signature on behalf of the Government of the Netherlands is subject to the following reservation: "As regards article III, paragraph 1, the words 'and quantitative restrictions and from the necessity of applying for an import licence' will be deleted, and excluded from the application of the Agreement."

2. Agreement on the Importation of Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials with annexed Protocol

Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 22 November 1950¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 May 1952, in accordance with article XI.

REGISTRATION: 21 May 1952, No. 1734.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 131, p. 25.

State	Signature	Ratification, acceptance (a), notification of succession (d)
AFGHANISTAN	8 October 1951	19 March 1958
AUSTRIA		12 June 1958 <i>a</i>
BARBADOS		13 April 1973 <i>d</i>
BELGIUM	22 November 1950	31 October 1957
BOLIVIA	22 November 1950	22 September 1970
CHINA ²		
COLOMBIA	22 November 1950	
CONGO		26 August 1968 <i>a</i>
CUBA		27 August 1952 <i>a</i>
CYPRUS		16 May 1963 <i>d</i>
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA		5 November 1951 <i>a</i>
DENMARK		4 April 1960 <i>a</i>
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	22 November 1950	
ECUADOR	22 November 1950	
EGYPT	22 November 1950	8 February 1952
EL SALVADOR	4 December 1950	24 June 1953
FIJI		31 October 1972 <i>d</i>
FINLAND		30 April 1956 <i>a</i>
FRANCE	14 May 1951	14 October 1957
GABON		4 September 1962 <i>a</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³		9 August 1957 <i>a</i>
GHANA		7 April 1958 <i>d</i>
GREECE	22 November 1950	12 December 1955
GUATEMALA	22 November 1950	8 July 1960
HAITI	22 November 1950	14 May 1954
HONDURAS	13 April 1954	
IRAN	9 February 1951	7 January 1966
IRAQ		11 August 1972 <i>a</i>
ISRAEL	22 November 1950	27 March 1952
ITALY		26 November 1962 <i>a</i>
IVORY COAST		19 July 1963 <i>a</i>
JAPAN		17 June 1970 <i>a</i>
JORDAN		31 December 1958 <i>a</i>
KENYA		15 March 1967 <i>a</i>

¹ The Agreement was approved by the General Conference of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization at its fifth session, held at Florence from 22 May to 17 June 1950, in a resolution adopted at the fourteenth plenary meeting on 17 June 1950. For the text of this resolution, see Records of the General Conference of UNESCO, Fifth Session, Florence, 1950, *Resolutions (5C/Resolutions)*, p. 64.

² Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 22 November 1950. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

On depositing the instrument of acceptance of the Agreement, the Government of Romania stated that it considered the above-mentioned signature as null and void, inasmuch as the only Government competent to assume obligations on behalf of China and to represent China at the international level is the Government of the People's Republic of China.

In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General in regard to the above-mentioned declaration, the Permanent Representative of the Republic of China to the United Nations stated:

"The Republic of China, a sovereign State and member of

the United Nations, attended the Fifth Session of the General Conference of the United Nations Educational, Cultural and Scientific Organization, contributed to the formulation of the Agreement on the Importation of Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials and duly signed the said Agreement on 22 November 1950 at the Interim Headquarters of the United Nations at Lake Success. Any statement relating to the said Agreement that is incompatible with or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China as a signatory of the said Agreement.

³ A communication was received on 25 September 1957 from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stating that "the Agreement on the Importation of Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials also applies to Land Berlin".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Government of Poland and the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to those referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, acceptance (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		28 February	1952 <i>a</i>
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC ^{3a} ...		22 January	1973 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG	22 November 1950	31 October	1957
MADAGASCAR		23 May	1962 <i>a</i>
MALAWI		17 August	1965 <i>a</i>
MALAYSIA		29 June	1959 <i>d</i>
MALTA		19 January	1968 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS		18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
MONACO		18 March	1952 <i>a</i>
MOROCCO		25 July	1968 <i>a</i>
NETHERLANDS	22 November 1950	31 October	1957
NEW ZEALAND	16 March 1951	29 June	1962
NICARAGUA		17 December	1963 <i>a</i>
NIGER		22 April	1968 <i>a</i>
NIGERIA		26 June	1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY		2 April	1959 <i>a</i>
PAKISTAN	9 May 1951	17 January	1952
PERU	8 July 1964		
PHILIPPINES	22 November 1950	30 August	1952
POLAND		24 September	1971 <i>a</i>
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{3b}		1 June	1952 <i>a</i>
ROMANIA		24 November	1970 <i>a</i>
RWANDA		1 December	1964 <i>d</i>
SIERRA LEONE		13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SINGAPORE		11 July	1969 <i>a</i>
SPAIN		7 July	1955 <i>a</i>
SRI LANKA		8 January	1952 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN	20 November 1951	21 May	1952
SWITZERLAND	22 November 1950	7 April	1953
THAILAND	22 November 1950	18 June	1951
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		11 April	1966 <i>d</i>
TUNISIA		14 May	1971 <i>a</i>
UGANDA		15 April	1965 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	22 November 1950	11 March	1954
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON		15 May	1964 <i>a</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA		26 March	1963 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	24 June 1959	2 November	1966
UPPER VOLTA		14 September	1965 <i>a</i>
URUGUAY	27 April 1964		
YUGOSLAVIA		26 April	1951 <i>a</i>
ZAIRE		3 May	1962 <i>d</i>
ZAMBIA		1 November	1974 <i>d</i>

^{3a} With the following statement:
... The acceptance of the Libyan Arab Republic to this Agreement does not imply recognition of Israel or the assumption towards Israel of any commitments arising out of this Agreement.

^{3b} See note 4b, p. 54.

Declarations and Reservations

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

“(1) Until the expiration of the interim period as defined in article 3 of the Treaty between France and the Federal Republic of Germany of 27 October 1956 on the Settlement of the Saar Questions, the above-mentioned Agreement does not apply to the Saar Territory;

“(2) In accordance with the aims of the Agreement, as outlined in its preamble, the Federal Republic's interpretation of the provision contained in article 1 of the Agreement is that the granting of customs exemption is intended to serve the promotion of a free exchange of ideas and knowledge between the States Parties; that, however, this provision does not aim at furthering the shifting of production to a foreign country if such shifts are made chiefly for commercial reasons.”

IRAQ⁴

Accession by the Republic of Iraq to the agreement shall . . . in no way imply recognition of Israel or lead to entry into any relations with it.

KENYA

"1. Annex B (vi) of the Agreement requires free admission for 'Antiques, being articles in excess of 100 years of age'. Under the relevant laws in force in Kenya, such items are admitted free of duty only if—

"(a) They can be classified as 'Works of Art'; and

"(b) They are not intended for resale and are admitted as such by the Commissioner of Customs and Excise; and

"(c) They are proved to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Customs and Excise to be 'over 100 years old'.

"If the above conditions are not fulfilled, such articles attract appropriate duty under the Tariff.

"2. With respect to Annex C (i) of the Agreement, films, filmstrips, microfilms and slides of an *educational or scientific character* are granted duty-free entry into Kenya under conditions which accord with those specified in the Agreement. This is not necessarily so in the case of similar materials of a *cultural nature* which are dutiable under the appropriate items in the Tariff. This position may be attributed to the impossibility of defining the word 'cultural' with any degree of precision.

⁴ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 20 October 1972, the Government of Israel made the following declaration:

"The Government of Israel has noted the political character of a reservation made by the Government of Iraq on that occasion. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Agreement is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, that declaration cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Iraq under general international law or under particular treaties. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity."

"3. With respect to Annex C (iii), sound recordings of an educational or scientific character for use under conditions specified in the Agreement are admitted into Kenya free of duty. However, no special provision exists for the admission of sound recordings of a cultural character and these attract duty under the relevant items of the Tariff."

ROMANIA

The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance of the state of dependence of certain territories to which the provisions of articles XIII and XIV of the Agreement refer is inconsistent with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples, which was adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 14 December 1960, by resolution 1514 (XV), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of paragraph 1 of article IX are inconsistent with the principle that all multilateral treaties whose aim and purpose concern the international community as a whole should be open to universal participation.

SWITZERLAND

The Government of Switzerland reserves the right to resume its freedom of action with regard to contracting States which unilaterally apply quantitative restrictions and exchange control measures of a nature to render the Agreement inoperative.

Furthermore, my signature is appended without prejudice to the attitudes of the Government of Switzerland in regard to the Havana Charter for an International Trade Organization signed at Havana on 24 March 1948.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The ratification is subject to the reservation contained in the Protocol annexed to the Agreement.

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
BELGIUM	31 October 1957	Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi.
FRANCE	10 December 1951	Tunisia.
NETHERLANDS	31 October 1957	Surinam and Netherlands New Guinea.
NEW ZEALAND	29 June 1962	Tokelau Islands.
	28 February 1964	Cook Islands (including Niue).
UNITED KINGDOM	11 March 1954	Aden (Colony and Protectorate), Barbados, British Guiana, British Honduras, Brunei (Protected State), Fiji, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar, Gold Coast: (a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland (under United Kingdom Trusteeship), Hong Kong, Jamaica (including Turks and Caicos Islands and the Cayman Islands), Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Leeward Islands (Antigua, Montserrat,

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
UNITED KINGDOM (<i>continued</i>)		St. Christopher, Nevis and Anguilla), Virgin Islands, Federation of Malaya (The British Settlements of Penang and Malacca and the Protected States of Johore, Kedah, Kelantan, Negri Sembilan, Pahang, Perak, Perlis, Selangor and Trengganu), Malta, Mauritius, Nigeria: (<i>a</i>) Colony, (<i>b</i>) Protectorate, (<i>c</i>) Cameroons (under United Kingdom Trusteeship), St. Helena (including Ascension Island and Tristan da Cunha), Sarawak, Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Singapore (including Christmas and Cocos (Keeling) Islands), Somaliland Protectorate, Tanganyika (under United Kingdom Trusteeship), Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda (Protectorate), Western Pacific High Commission Territories: British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony, Central and Southern Line Islands, Zanzibar Protectorate.
	16 September 1954	Cyprus, Falkland Islands (Colony and Dependencies), North Borneo (including Labuan), Tonga (Protected State). Windward Islands (Dominica, Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent).
	18 May 1955	The Channel Islands and the Isle of Man.
	22 March 1956	The Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland. ⁵
	14 March 1960	Bahamas.

⁵ See footnote 16, p. 124.

3. International Convention for the Protection of Performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organizations

Done at Rome on 26 October 1961¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 18 May 1964, in accordance with article 25.

REGISTRATION: 18 May 1964, No. 7247.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 496, p. 43.

State	Signature		Ratification, accession (a)	
ARGENTINA	26 October	1961		
AUSTRIA	26 October	1961	9 March	1973
BELGIUM	26 October	1961		
BRAZIL	26 October	1961	29 June	1965
CHILE	26 October	1961	5 June	1974
COLOMBIA			17 June	1976 <i>a</i>
CONGO			29 June	1962 <i>a</i>
COSTA RICA			9 June	1971 <i>a</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA			13 May	1964 <i>a</i>
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	26 October	1961		
DENMARK	26 October	1961	23 June	1965
ECUADOR	26 June	1962	19 December	1963
FIJI			11 January	1972 <i>a</i>
FINLAND	21 June	1962		
FRANCE	26 October	1961		
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	26 October	1961	21 July	1966 ²
GUATEMALA			14 October	1976 <i>a</i>
HOLY SEE	26 October	1961		
ICELAND	26 October	1961		
INDIA	26 October	1961		
IRELAND	30 June	1962		
ISRAEL	7 February	1962		
ITALY	26 October	1961	8 January	1975
LEBANON	26 June	1962		
LUXEMBOURG			25 November	1975 <i>a</i>
MEXICO	26 October	1961	17 February	1964
MONACO	22 June	1962		
NIGER			5 April	1963 <i>a</i>
PARAGUAY	30 June	1962	26 November	1969
SPAIN	26 October	1961		
SWEDEN	26 October	1961	13 July	1962
UNITED KINGDOM ³	26 October	1961	30 October	1963
YUGOSLAVIA	26 October	1961		

Declarations and Reservations

AUSTRIA

... 1 ... In accordance with article 16, paragraph 1 (a) (iii), of the Convention, Austria will not apply the provisions of article 12 in respect of phonograms the producer of which is not a national of a Contracting State;

2 ... In accordance with article 16, paragraph 1 (a) (iv), of the Convention, ... , as regards phonograms the producer of which is a national of another Contracting State, Austria will limit the protection provided for by article 12 to the extent to which, and to the term for which the latter State grants protection to phonograms first fixed by an Austrian national;

¹ The Convention was drawn up by the Diplomatic Conference on the International Protection of Performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organisations convened jointly by the International Labour Organisation, the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization and the International Union for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works. The Conference was held at Rome at the invitation of the Government of Italy from 10 to 26 October 1961.

² With a declaration to the effect that the Convention shall also apply to *Land Berlin* as from the day on which it will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the

Governments of the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America, Germany (Federal Republic) and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to those referred to in the second paragraph of footnote 3, p. 52.

³ In notifications received on 20 December 1966 and 10 March 1970, the Government of the United Kingdom declared that the Convention shall extend, respectively, to Gibraltar and Bermuda. Both extensions are subject to the same declarations as those made on ratification of the Convention in respect of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. For the text of the latter declarations, see p. 418.

3 . . . In accordance with article 16, paragraph 1 (b), of the Convention, Austria will not apply article 13 (d).

CONGO

In a communication received on 16 May 1964, the Government of the Congo has notified the Secretary-General that it has decided to make its accession subject to the following declarations:

(1) Article 5, paragraph 3: the "criterion of publication" is excluded;

(2) Article 16: the application of article 12 is completely excluded.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"With reservations set forth in article 16, paragraph 1, sub-paragraph (a) (iii) and (iv) of the Convention."

DENMARK

"1) *With regard to article 6, paragraph 2*: Protection will be granted to broadcasting organisations only if their headquarters is situated in another Contracting State and if their broadcasts are transmitted from a transmitter situated in the same Contracting State.

"2) *With regard to article 16, paragraph 1 (a) (ii)*: The provisions of article 12 will be applied solely with respect to use for broadcasting or for any other communication to the public for commercial purposes.

"3) *With regard to article 16, paragraph 1 (a) (iv)*: As regards phonograms the producer of which is a national of another Contracting State, the protection provided for in article 12 will be limited to the extent to which, and to the term for which, the latter State grants protection to phonograms first fixed by a Danish national.

"4) *With regard to article 17*: Denmark will grant the protection provided for in article 5 only if the first fixation of the sound was made in another Contracting State, (the criterion of fixation) and will apply for the purposes of paragraph 1 (a) (iii) and (iv) of article 16 the said criterion instead of the criterion of nationality."

FIJI

"(1) In respect of Article 5(1) (b) and in accordance with Article 5(3) of the Convention, Fiji will not apply, in respect of phonograms, the criterion of fixation;

"(2) In respect of Article 6(1) and in accordance with Article 6(2) of the Convention, Fiji will protect broadcasts only if the headquarters of the broadcasting organisation is situated in another Contracting State and the broadcast was transmitted from a transmitter situated in the same Contracting State;

"(3) In respect of Article 12 and in accordance with Article 16(1) of the Convention,

"(a) Fiji will not apply the provisions of Article 12 in respect of the following uses:

"(i) The causing of a phonogram to be heard in public at any premises where persons reside or

sleep, as part of the amenities provided exclusively or mainly for residents or inmates therein except where a special charge is made for admission to the part of the premises where the phonogram is to be heard,

"(ii) The causing of a phonogram to be heard in public as part of the activities of, or for the benefit of, a club, society or other organisation which is not established or conducted for profit and whose main objects are charitable or are otherwise concerned with the advancement of religion, education or social welfare, except where a charge is made for admission to the place where the phonogram is to be heard, and any of the proceeds of the charge are applied otherwise than for the purpose of the organisation;

"(b) As regards phonograms the producer of which is not a national of another Contracting State or as regards phonograms the producer of which is a national of a Contracting State which has made a declaration under Article 16 (1) (a) (i) stating that it will not apply the provisions of Article 12, Fiji will not grant the protection provided for by Article 12, unless, in either event, the phonogram has been first published in a Contracting State which has made no such declaration."

Communication received on 12 June 1972:

" . . . The Government of Fiji, having reconsidered the said Convention hereby withdraws its declaration in respect of certain provisions of article 12 and in substitution thereof declares in accordance with article 16(1) of the said Convention that Fiji will not apply the provisions of article 12".

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"1. The Federal Republic of Germany makes use of the following reservations provided for in article 5, paragraph 3, and article 16, paragraph 1 a (iv) of the International Convention for the Protection of Performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organisations:

"1) As regards the protection of producers of phonograms it will not apply the criterion of fixation referred to in article 5, paragraph 1 (b) of the Convention;

"2) As regards phonograms the producer of which is a national of another Contracting State, it will limit the protection provided for by article 12 of the Convention to the extent to which, and to the term for which, the latter State grants protection to phonograms first fixed by a German national.

" . . ."

ITALY

Upon ratification:

(1) With regard to article 6, paragraph 1, and in accordance with article 6, paragraph 2, of the Convention Italy will protect broadcasts only if the headquarters of the broadcasting organization is situated in

another Contracting State and the broadcast was transmitted from a transmitter situated in the same Contracting State;

(2) With regard to article 12 and in accordance with article 16, paragraph 1 (a), of the Convention:

(a) Italy will apply the provisions of article 12 to use for broadcasting or for any other communication to the public for commercial purposes, with the exception of cinematography;

(b) It will apply the provisions of article 12 only to phonograms fixed in another Contracting State;

(c) With regard to phonograms fixed in another Contracting State, it will limit the protection provided for by article 12 to the extent to which, and to the term for which, that Contracting State grants protection to phonograms first fixed in Italy; however, if that State does not grant the protection to the same beneficiary or beneficiaries as Italy, that fact will not be considered as a difference in the extent of the protection

(3) With regard to article 13 and in accordance with article 16, paragraph 1 (b), of the Convention: Italy will not apply the provisions of article 13 (d);

(4) With regard to article 5 and in accordance with article 17 of the Convention, Italy will apply only the criterion of fixation for the purposes of article 5; the same criterion, instead of the criterion of nationality, will be applied for the purposes of the declarations provided for in article 16, paragraph 1 (a) (iii) and (iv), of the Convention.

LUXEMBOURG

1. With regard to the protection of producers of phonograms, Luxembourg will not apply the criterion of publication but only the criteria of nationality and fixation, in accordance with article 5, paragraph 3, of the Convention.

2. With regard to the protection of phonograms, in accordance with article 16, paragraph 1 (a) (i), of the Convention, Luxembourg will not apply any of the provisions of article 12.

3. With regard to broadcasting organizations, in accordance with article 16, paragraph 1 (b), of the Convention, Luxembourg will not apply the protection envisaged in article 13 (d) against communication to the public of their television broadcasts.

NIGER

By a communication received on 25 June 1963, the Government of the Niger has notified the Secretary-General that it has decided to make its accession subject to the following declarations:

(1) Article 5, paragraph 3: the "criterion of publication" is excluded;

(2) Article 16: the application of article 12 is completely excluded.

SWEDEN

(a) With regard to article 6, paragraph 2;

(b) With regard to article 16, paragraph 1, subparagraph (a) (ii): the provisions of article 12 will be applied only with respect to use for broadcasting;

(c) With regard to article 16, paragraph 1, subparagraph (a) (iv);

(d) With regard to article 16, paragraph 1, subparagraph (b): the provisions of article 13, item (d), will be applied only with respect to the communication to the public of television broadcasts in a cinema or similar place;

(e) With regard to article 17.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"(1) In respect of article 5(1) (b) and in accordance with article 5(3) of the Convention, the United Kingdom will not apply, in respect of phonograms, the criterion of fixation;

"(2) In respect of article 6(1) and in accordance with article 6(2) of the Convention, the United Kingdom will protect broadcasts only if the headquarters of the broadcasting organisation is situated in another Contracting State and the broadcast was transmitted from a transmitter situated in the same Contracting State;

"(3) In respect of article 12 and in accordance with article 16(1) of the Convention,

"(a) The United Kingdom will not apply the provisions of article 12 in respect of the following uses:

"(i) The causing of a phonogram to be heard in public at any premises where persons reside or sleep, as part of the amenities provided exclusively or mainly for residents or inmates therein except where a special charge is made for admission to the part of the premises where the phonogram is to be heard.

"(ii) The causing of a phonogram to be heard in public as part of the activities of, or for the benefit of, a club, society or other organisation which is not established or conducted for profit and whose main objects are charitable or are otherwise concerned with the advancement of religion, education or social welfare, except where a charge is made for admission to the place where the phonogram is to be heard, and any of the proceeds of the charge are applied otherwise than for the purposes of the organisation.

"(b) As regards phonograms the producer of which is not a national of another Contracting State or as regards phonograms the producer of which is a national of a Contracting State which has made a declaration under article 16(1) (a) (i) stating that it will not apply the provisions of article 12, the United Kingdom will not grant the protection provided for by article 12, unless, in either event, the phonogram has been first published in a Contracting State which has made no such declaration."

4. Convention for the Protection of Producers of Phonograms against Unauthorized Duplication of their Phonograms

Done at Geneva on 29 October 1971¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 18 April 1973, in accordance with article 11.

REGISTRATION: 18 April 1973, No. 12430.

TEXT: Reproduced by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization and the World Intellectual Property Organization.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</i>	
ARGENTINA			19 March	1973 a
AUSTRALIA			12 March	1974 a
AUSTRIA	28 April	1972		
BRAZIL	29 October	1971	6 August	1975
CANADA	29 October	1971		
CHILE			15 December	1976 a
COLOMBIA	29 October	1971		
DENMARK	29 October	1971	7 December	1976
ECUADOR	29 October	1971	4 June	1974
FIJI			15 June	1972 a
FINLAND	21 April	1972	18 December	1972
FRANCE	29 October	1971	12 September	1972
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	29 October	1971	7 February	1974
GUATEMALA			14 October	1976 a
HOLY SEE	29 October	1971		
HUNGARY			24 February	1975 a
INDIA	29 October	1971	1 November	1974
IRAN	29 October	1971		
ISRAEL	29 October	1971		
ITALY	29 October	1971	20 December	1976
JAPAN	21 April	1972		
KENYA	4 April	1972	6 January	1976
LIECHTENSTEIN	28 April	1972		
LUXEMBOURG	29 October	1971	25 November	1975
MEXICO	29 October	1971	11 September	1973
MONACO	29 October	1971	21 August	1974
NEW ZEALAND			3 May	1976 a
NICARAGUA	29 October	1971		
NORWAY	28 April	1972		
PANAMA	28 April	1972	20 March	1974
PHILIPPINES	29 April	1972		
SPAIN	29 October	1971	16 May	1974
SWEDEN	29 October	1971	18 January	1973
SWITZERLAND	29 October	1971		
UNITED KINGDOM	29 October	1971	5 December	1972
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	29 October	1971	26 November	1973
URUGUAY	29 October	1971		
YUGOSLAVIA	29 October	1971		

¹The Convention was adopted by the International Conference of States on the Protection of Phonograms convened jointly by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization and the World Intellectual Property Organization. The Conference was held at the Palais des Nations, in Geneva, from 18 to 29 October 1971.

Declarations and Reservations

HUNGARY

"A. *Ad* article 9, paragraphs 1 and 2:

In the opinion of the Hungarian People's Republic, article 9, paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Convention have a discriminatory character. The Convention is a general, multilateral one and therefore every State has the right to be a party to it, in accordance with the basic principles of international law.

"B. *Ad* article 11, paragraph 3:

The Hungarian People's Republic declares that the provisions of article 11, paragraph 3 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principles of the independence of colonial countries and peoples, formulated, *inter alia*, also in resolution No. 1514 (XV) of the United Nations General Assembly."

Territorial application

Notification by:

UNITED KINGDOM

Date of reception of the notification

4 December 1974

Application to:

Bermuda, Cayman Islands, Gibraltar, Hong Kong, Isle of Man, Montserrat, St. Lucia, Seychelles, British Virgin Islands.

Note: Information concerning the status of the Convention relating to the distribution of programme-carrying signals transmitted by satellite concluded at Brussels on 21 May 1974, which in the 1974 and 1975 issues was published in this place under No. XIV.5, is now published under number XXV.1 in the new chapter entitled "Telecommunications" (see p. 539).

CHAPTER XV. DECLARATION OF DEATH OF MISSING PERSONS

1. Convention on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons

Established and opened for accession on 6 April 1950 by the United Nations Conference on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 January 1952 in accordance with article 14.

REGISTRATION: 24 January 1952, No. 1610.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 119, p. 99.

TERMINATION: 24 January 1972, in accordance with article 1 of the Protocol of 15 January 1967 (United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 808.)

Note: In accordance with article 17 (1), the Convention was to cease to have effect on 23 January 1957. However, the Convention remained in force until 24 January 1972 as a result of the adoption of two protocols extending it (see hereafter, same chapter).

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession</i>
BELGIUM ²	22 July 1953
CHINA ³	
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	30 January 1956
GUATEMALA	25 December 1951
ISRAEL	7 May 1952
ITALY	25 March 1958
PAKISTAN	6 December 1955

Declarations and Reservations

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"The Convention on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons also applies to Land Berlin.

"Moreover, the Permanent Observer on instructions from his government has the honour to communicate to the Secretary-General that in accordance with article 2, sub-paragraph 3, of the Convention the Amtsgericht Schöneberg in Berlin-Schöneberg has been designated as the tribunal which shall be exclusively competent to receive applications and to issue declarations of death which otherwise would have come within

the competence of the tribunals specified in article 2, sub-paragraph 2. This transfer of competence to the Amtsgericht Schöneberg also applies to Land Berlin.

"Furthermore, the Permanent Observer on instructions from his government has the honour to notify the Secretary-General that in accordance with article 1, sub-paragraph 2, the Federal Government has extended the application of the Convention to persons who subsequent to 1945 disappeared under circumstances similar to those specified in its article 1, sub-paragraph 1. This extension of the application of the Convention likewise applies to Land Berlin."

¹The Conference was convened pursuant to General Assembly resolution 369 (IV) of 3 December 1949 and met at Lake Success, New York, from 15 March to 6 April 1950. For the text of resolution 369 (IV), see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Fourth Session (A/1251 & Corr. 1 and 2)*, p. 65. For the text of the Final Act of the Conference, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 119, p. 99.

²A declaration made on accession stipulates that the Government of Belgium does not assume any obligations as regards the Belgian Congo and the Trust Territories of Ruanda-Urundi.

³Accession on behalf of the Republic of China on 20 December 1950. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

ISRAEL

“Having regard to the provisions of the domestic law of Israel according to which matters of marriage are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the established Religious Courts, the effect to be given to declarations of death, whether issued pursuant to the Convention on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons or satisfying the conditions and requirements contained in articles 1, 2 and 3 of the said Convention, and valid by virtue of article 6 thereof, as regards the dissolution of marriages, will depend upon the extent to which the

appropriate Religious Court exercising jurisdiction in a given case will be able to recognize the same in accordance with its own religious law.”

PAKISTAN

The Government of Pakistan extends the application of the Convention to persons having disappeared subsequent to 1945.⁴

⁴ A notification to this effect was received by the Secretary-General from the Government of Pakistan on 11 April 1956.

2. Protocol for extending the period of validity of the Convention on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons

Opened for accession at New York on 16 January 1957

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 22 January 1957, in accordance with article III (a).

REGISTRATION: 22 January 1957, No. 1610.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 258, p. 392.

Termination of the Convention of 6 April 1950: See p. 421.

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession</i>	
CHINA ¹		
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	30 July	1957
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	23 October	1958
GUATEMALA	8 August	1961
ISRAEL	22 January	1957
ITALY	25 March	1958
PAKISTAN	21 January	1957

¹ Accession on behalf of the Republic of China on 9 September 1957. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

With reference to the above-mentioned accession, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Hungary, India, Poland and Yugoslavia, on the one hand, and of China on the other hand. For the nature of these communications, see footnote 2, p. 174.

² A note accompanying the instrument of accession contains the following statement.

"The Protocol for extending the period of validity of the Convention on Declaration of Death of Missing Persons also applies to *Land Berlin*.

"Moreover, the Permanent Observer, on instructions from his Government, has the honour to communicate to the

Secretary-General that, in accordance with article 2, sub-paragraph 3 of the Convention, the Amtsgericht Schöneberg in Berlin-Schöneberg has been designated as the tribunal which shall be exclusively competent to receive applications and to issue declarations of death which otherwise would have come within the competence of the tribunals specified in article 2, sub-paragraph 2. This transfer of competence to the Amtsgericht Schöneberg also applies to *Land Berlin*.

"Furthermore, the Permanent Observer, on instructions from his Government, has the honour to notify the Secretary-General that, in accordance with article 1, sub-paragraph 2 the Federal Government has extended the application of the Convention to persons who subsequent to 1945 disappeared under circumstances similar to those specified in its article 1, sub-paragraph 1. This extension of the application of the Protocol likewise applies to *Land Berlin*"

3. Protocol for the further extension of the period of validity of the Convention on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons

Opened for accession at New York on 15 January 1967

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 January 1967, in accordance with article 3.

REGISTRATION: 24 January 1967, No. 1610.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 588, p. 290.

Termination of the Convention of 6 April 1950: See p. 421.

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession</i>
CHINA ¹	
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	11 August 1967
GUATEMALA	24 January 1967
ISRAEL	15 September 1967
ITALY	24 January 1967
PAKISTAN	24 January 1967

¹ Accession on behalf of the Republic of China on 23 January 1967. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

CHAPTER XVI. STATUS OF WOMEN

1. Convention on the Political Rights of Women

Opened for signature at New York on 31 March 1953¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 July 1954, in accordance with article VI.

REGISTRATION: 7 July 1954, No. 2613.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 193, p. 135.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
AFGHANISTAN			16 November	1966 a
ALBANIA			12 May	1955 a
ARGENTINA	31 March	1953	27 February	1961
AUSTRALIA			10 December	1974 a
AUSTRIA	19 October	1959	18 April	1969
BARBADOS			12 January	1973 a
BELGIUM			20 May	1964 a
BOLIVIA	9 April	1953	22 September	1970
BRAZIL	20 May	1953	13 August	1963
BULGARIA			17 March	1954 a
BURMA	14 September	1954		
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	31 March	1953	11 August	1954
CANADA			30 January	1957 a
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE			4 September	1962 d
CHILE	31 March	1953	18 October	1967
CHINA ²				
CONGO			15 October	1962 d
COSTA RICA	31 March	1953	25 July	1967
CUBA	31 March	1953	8 April	1954
CYPRUS	10 September	1968	12 November	1968
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	31 March	1953	6 April	1955
DENMARK	29 October	1953	7 July	1954
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	31 March	1953	11 December	1953
ECUADOR	31 March	1953	23 April	1954
EL SALVADOR	24 June	1953		
ETHIOPIA	31 March	1953	21 January	1969
FIJI			12 June	1972 d
FINLAND			6 October	1958 a
FRANCE	31 March	1953	22 April,	1957
GABON	19 April	1967	19 April	1967
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			27 March	1973 a

¹ The Convention was opened for signature pursuant to resolution 640 (VII), adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 20 December 1952. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Seventh Session, Supplement No. 20 (A/2361)*, p. 27.

² Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 9 June 1953 and 21 December 1953 respectively. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of

China, Preface, p. iii.

With reference to the above-mentioned ratification, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Denmark, Hungary, India, Norway, Poland, Romania and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and of China on the other hand. For the nature of these communications, see footnote 2, p. 174.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³		4 November 1970 a
GHANA		28 December 1965 a
GREECE	1 April 1953	29 December 1953
GUATEMALA	31 March 1953	7 October 1959
GUINEA	19 March 1975	
HAITI	23 July 1957	12 February 1958
HUNGARY	2 September 1954	20 January 1955
ICELAND	25 November 1953	30 June 1954
INDIA	29 April 1953	1 November 1961
INDONESIA	31 March 1953	16 December 1958
IRELAND		14 November 1968 a
ISRAEL	14 April 1953	6 July 1954
ITALY		6 March 1968 a
JAMAICA		14 August 1966 a
JAPAN	1 April 1955	13 July 1955
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		28 January 1969 a
LEBANON	24 February 1954	5 June 1956
LESOTHO		4 November 1974 a
LIBERIA	9 December 1953	
LUXEMBOURG	4 June 1969	1 November 1976
MADAGASCAR		12 February 1964 a
MALAWI		29 June 1966 a
MALI		16 July 1974 a
MALTA		9 July 1968 a
MAURITANIA		4 May 1976 a
MAURITIUS		18 July 1969 d
MEXICO	31 March 1953	
MONGOLIA		18 August 1965 a
MOROCCO		22 November 1976 a
NEPAL		26 April 1966 a
NETHERLANDS ⁴	8 August 1968	30 July 1971
NEW ZEALAND		22 May 1968 a
NICARAGUA		17 January 1957 a
NIGER		7 December 1964 d
NORWAY	18 September 1953	24 August 1956
PAKISTAN	18 May 1954	7 December 1954
PARAGUAY	16 November 1953	
PERU		1 July 1975 a
PHILIPPINES	23 September 1953	12 September 1957
POLAND	31 March 1953	11 August 1954
REPUBLIC OF KOREA		23 June 1959 a
ROMANIA	27 April 1954	6 August 1954
SENEGAL		2 May 1963 d
SIERRA LEONE		25 July 1962 a
SPAIN		14 January 1974 a
SWAZILAND		20 July 1970 a
SWEDEN	6 October 1953	31 March 1954
THAILAND	5 March 1954	30 November 1954
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		24 June 1966 a

³ In a letter accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that "the said Convention shall also apply to Land Berlin with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications were addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bulgaria, Mongolia, Poland, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. Those communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to those referred to in the second paragraph of footnote 3, p. 52.

Subsequently, on 27 December 1973, the Secretary-General received from the Government of the German Democratic Republic a communication identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the one reproduced in the fourth paragraph of footnote 3, p. 52.

Finally, communications were received on the same subject from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America (on 17 June 1974) and the Federal Republic of Germany (on 15 July 1974): those communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones reproduced in the fifth and sixth paragraphs of footnote 3, p. 52.

⁴ Ratification for the Kingdom in Europe and Surinam.

State	Signature		Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)	
TUNISIA			24 January	1968 a
TURKEY	12 January	1954	26 January	1960
UKRAINIAN SSR	31 March	1953	15 November	1954
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	31 March	1953	3 May	1954
UNITED KINGDOM ⁵			24 February	1967 a
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA			19 June	1975 a
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			8 April	1976 a
URUGUAY	26 May	1953		
YUGOSLAVIA	31 March	1953	23 June	1954
ZAMBIA			4 February	1972 a

Declarations and Reservations

ALBANIA

1. *As regards Article VII:* The People's Republic of Albania declares its disagreement with the last sentence of article VII and considers that the juridical effect of a reservation is to make the Convention operative as between the State making the reservation and all other States parties to the Convention, with the exception only of that part thereof to which the reservation relates.

2. *As regards Article IX:* The Peoples' Republic of Albania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

ARGENTINA

The Argentine Government reserves the right not to submit to the procedure set out in this article [article IX] any dispute which is directly connected with territories which fall within Argentine sovereignty.

AUSTRALIA

"The Government of Australia hereby declares that the accession by Australia shall be subject to the reservation that article III of the Convention shall have no application as regards recruitment to and conditions of service in the Defence Forces.

"The Government of Australia furthermore declares that the Convention shall not extend to Papua New Guinea."

AUSTRIA

"In ratifying the Convention on the Political Rights of Women the Federal President of the Republic of

⁵ The instrument of accession stipulates that the Government of the United Kingdom accedes to the Convention in respect of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the Territories under the territorial sovereignty of the United Kingdom, as well as the State of Brunei, the Kingdom of Tonga, the British Solomon Islands Protectorate and the Protectorate of Swaziland.

For the reservations to article III of the Convention in its application to certain territories, and for the reservations regarding the application of the Convention to the Colony of Aden and to Rhodesia, see p. 431.

Austria declares, that Austria reserves its right to apply the provision of article III to this Convention, as far as service in the armed forces is concerned, within the limits established by national legislation."

BELGIUM

In exercise of the option available to each State under article VII of the Convention on the Political Rights of Women, the Government of Belgium declares that it submits the following reservations to article III of the Convention:

1. The Constitution reserves the exercise of royal powers to men.

As regards the exercise of the functions of regency, article III of the Convention shall not prevent the application of the constitutional rules as interpreted by the Belgian State.

2. Both with respect to the past and the future, the Convention cannot prevent the public authorities from establishing conditions for access to public functions when without any thought of discrimination, they are guided either by the desire to protect women from certain physical or moral risks or by objective considerations deriving from requirements indispensable to the satisfactory operation of certain public services.

BULGARIA

1. *As regards article VII:* The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria declares its disagreement with the last sentence of article VII and considers that the juridical effect of a reservation is to make the Convention operative as between the State making the reservation and all other States parties to the Convention, with the exception only of that part thereof to which the reservation relates.

2. *As regards article IX:* The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST
REPUBLIC

As regards article VII: The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic declares its disagreement with the last sentence of article VII and considers that the juridical effect of a reservation is to make the Convention operative as between the State making the reservation and all other States parties to the Convention, with the exception only of that part thereof to which the reservation relates.

As regards article IX: The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

CANADA

"Inasmuch as under the Canadian constitutional system legislative jurisdiction in respect of political rights is divided between the provinces and the Federal Government, the Government of Canada is obliged, in acceding to this Convention, to make a reservation in respect of rights within the legislative jurisdiction of the provinces."

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

The Government of the Czechoslovak Republic declares its disagreement with the last sentence of article VII and considers that the juridical effect of this reservation is to make the Convention operative as between the State making the reservation and all the other signatories of the Convention, with the exception only of that part of the paragraph to which the reservation relates.

The Government of the Czechoslovak Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

DENMARK

Subject to a reservation with respect to article III of the Convention, in so far as it relates to the right of women to hold military appointments or to act as heads of recruitment services or to serve on recruitment boards.

ECUADOR

The Government of Ecuador signs this Convention subject to a reservation with respect to the last phrase in article I, "without any discrimination", since article 22 of the Political Constitution of the Republic speci-

fies that "a vote in popular elections is obligatory for a man and optional for a woman".

FIJI

"The reservations of the United Kingdom 1 (a), (b), (d) and (f) are affirmed and are redrafted as more suitable to the situation of Fiji in the following terms:

"Article III is accepted subject to reservations pending notification of withdrawal of any case, insofar as it relates to:

"(a) succession to the Crown;

"(b) certain offices primarily of a ceremonial nature;

"(d) recruitment to and conditions of service in the armed forces;

"(f) the employment of married women in the civil service

"All other reservations made by the United Kingdom are withdrawn."

FINLAND

As regards Article III: "A decree may be issued to the effect that only men or women can be appointed to certain functions, which because of their nature, can be properly discharged either only by men or by women."

FRANCE⁶

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservations:

As regards article VII:

The German Democratic Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provision of article VII of the Convention under which the Convention is not to enter into force as between a State Party making a reservation and a State Party objecting to such reservation. The German Democratic Republic is of the opinion that the Convention should also be effective between the State which has made the reservation and all other States Parties, with the exception of that part of the Convention to which the reservation relates.

As regards article IX:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX of the Convention, which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention are, at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary in order to refer the dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

Declaration:

The German Democratic Republic deems it necessary to state that article IV, paragraph 1, and article V, paragraph 1, of the Convention deprive a number of

⁶In a communication received on 26 November 1960 the Government of France gave notice of the withdrawal of the reservation made in the procès verbal of signature of the Convention. For the text of the reservation see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 193, p. 159.

States of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention. As the Convention regulates matters affecting the interests of all States, it should be open to participation by all States whose policies are guided by the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"The Federal Republic of Germany accedes to the Convention with the reservation that article III of the Convention does not apply to service in the armed forces."

GUATEMALA

1. Articles I, II and III shall apply only to female citizens of Guatemala in accordance with the provisions of article 16, paragraph 2 of the Constitution of the Republic.

2. In order to satisfy constitutional requirements, article IX shall be interpreted subject to the provisions of article 149, paragraph 3(b) of the Constitution of the Republic.

HUNGARY

"The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic declares its disagreement with the last sentence of article VII and considers that the juridical effect of a reservation is to make the Convention operative as between the State making the reservation and all the other signatories of the Convention, with the exception only of that part thereof to which the reservation relates.

"The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case."

INDIA

"Article III of the Convention shall have no application as regards recruitment to, and conditions of service in any of the Armed Forces of India or the Forces charged with the maintenance of public order in India."

INDONESIA

". . . The last sentence of article VII and the whole article IX do not apply to Indonesia".

IRELAND

"Article III is accepted subject to reservation in so far as it relates to

"(a) the employment of married women in the public service;

"(b) the unequal remuneration of women in certain positions in the public service,

"and subject to the following declarations :

"(1) that the exclusion of women from positions of employment for which by objective standards or for physical reasons they are not suitable is not regarded as discriminatory;

"(2) that the fact that jury service is not at present obligatory for women is not regarded as discriminatory."

ITALY

"In acceding to the Convention on the Political Rights of Women, done at New York on 31 March 1953, the Italian Government declares that it reserves its rights to apply the provisions of Art. III as far as service in the armed forces and in special armed corps is concerned within the limits established by national legislation."

LESOTHO

"Article III is accepted subject to reservation, pending notification of withdrawal in any case, so far as it relates to: Matters regulated by Basotho Law and Custom."

MALTA

"In acceding to this Convention, the Government of Malta hereby declares that it does not consider itself bound by article III in so far as that article applies to conditions of service in the Public Service and to Jury Service."

MAURITIUS

"The Government of Mauritius hereby declares that it does not consider itself bound by article III of the Convention in so far as that Article applies to recruitment to and conditions of service in the armed forces or to jury service."

MEXICO

Declaration: "It is expressly understood that the Government of Mexico will not deposit its instrument of ratification pending the entry into force of the amendment to the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States which is now under consideration, providing that citizenship rights shall be granted to Mexican women."

MONGOLIA

"To articles IV and V:

"The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic declares its disagreement with paragraph 1 of article IV and paragraph 1 of article V and considers that the present Convention should be open to all States for signature or accession.

"To article VII:

"The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic declares its disagreement with the last sentence of article VII and considers that the juridical effect of a reservation is to make the Convention operative as between the State making the reservation and all other States parties to the Convention, with the exception only of that part thereof to which the reservation relates."

"To article IX:

"The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision."

MOROCCO

The consent of all the parties concerned is required for the referral of any dispute to the International Court of Justice.

NEPAL

As regards article IX of the Convention: "...any dispute shall be referred for decision to the International Court of Justice only at the request of all the parties to the dispute."

NETHERLANDS

This ratification is subject to the reservation that succession to the Crown in conformity with the relevant constitutional provisions shall be excluded from the application of article III of the Convention.

NEW ZEALAND

"Subject to a reservation with respect to Article III of the Convention, in so far as it relates to recruitment and conditions of service in the armed forces of New Zealand."

PAKISTAN

"Article III of the Convention shall have no application as regards recruitment to and conditions of services charged with the maintenance of public order or unsuited to women because of the hazards involved."

POLAND

The Government of the People's Republic of Poland declares its disagreement with the last sentence of article VII and considers that the juridical effect of this reservation is to make the Convention operative as between the State making the reservation and all the other signatories of the Convention, with the exception only of that part of the paragraph to which the reservation relates.

The Government of the People's Republic of Poland does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

ROMANIA

"The Government of the Romanian People's Republic declares its disagreement with the last sentence of article VII and considers that the juridical effect of a reservation is to make the Convention operative as between the State making the reservation

and all other States parties to the Convention, with the exception only of that part thereof to which the reservation relates.

"The Government of the Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case."

SIERRA LEONE

"In acceding to this Convention, the Government of Sierra Leone hereby declares that it does not consider itself bound by article III in so far as that article applies to recruitment to and conditions of service in the Armed Forces or to jury service."

SPAIN

Articles I and III of the Convention shall be interpreted without prejudice to the provisions which in current Spanish legislation define the status of head of family.

Articles II and III shall be interpreted without prejudice to the norms relating to the office of Head of State contained in the Spanish Fundamental Laws.

Article III shall be interpreted without prejudice to the fact that certain functions, which by their nature can be exercised satisfactorily only by men or only by women, shall be exercised exclusively by men or by women, as appropriate, in accordance with Spanish legislation.

SWAZILAND

"(a) Article III of the Convention shall have no application as regards remuneration for women in certain posts in the Civil Service of the Kingdom of Swaziland;

"(b) The Convention shall have no application to matters which are regulated by Swaziland Law and Custom in accordance with Section 62 (2) of the Constitution of the Kingdom of Swaziland."

TUNISIA

[Article IX] For any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice, the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in every case.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

As regards article VII: The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic declares its disagreement with the last sentence of article VII and considers that the juridical effect of a reservation is to make the Convention operative as between the State making the reservation and all other States parties to the Convention, with the exception only of that part thereof to which the reservation relates.

As regards article IX: The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider

itself bound by the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

As regards article VII: The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares its disagreement with the last sentence of article VII and considers that the juridical effect of a reservation is to make the Convention operative as between the State making the reservation and all other States parties to the Convention, with the exception only of that part thereof to which the reservation relates.

As regards article IX: The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland accedes to the Convention with the following reservations submitted in accordance with article VII:

"(1) Article III is accepted subject to reservations, pending notification of withdrawal in any case, in so far as it relates to:

"(a) succession to the Crown;

"(b) certain offices primarily of a ceremonial nature;

"(c) the function of sitting and voting in the House of Lords pertaining to holders of hereditary peerages and holders of certain offices in the Church of England;

"(d) recruitment to and conditions of service in the armed forces;

"(e) jury service in Grenada, the Isle of Man and Montserrat, as well as in the Kingdom of Tonga;⁷

"(f)⁸

"(g) remuneration for women in the Civil Service of Gibraltar and Hong Kong, as well as of the Protectorate of Swaziland;⁹

"(h) the post of Bailiff in Guernsey;

"(i) in the State of Brunei, the exercise of the royal powers, jury service or its equivalent and the holding of certain offices governed by Islamic Law.

"(2) The United Kingdom reserves the right to postpone the application of this Convention in respect of women living in the Colony of Aden, having regard to the local customs and traditions. Further, the United Kingdom reserves the right not to apply this Convention to Rhodesia unless and until the United Kingdom informs the Secretary-General of the United Nations that it is in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Convention in respect of that territory can be fully implemented."

⁷ The reservation contained in sub-paragraph (e) above, as formulated on accession, also applied to the Bahamas. In a communication received on 12 February 1968, the Government of the United Kingdom notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the said reservation in respect of the Bahamas.

⁸ In a communication received on 15 October 1974, the Government of the United Kingdom notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservation contained in sub-paragraph (f) (employment of married women in Her Majesty's Diplomatic Service and in the Civil Service) in respect of the territories where the reservation was still applicable, that is to say: Northern Ireland, Antigua, Hong Kong and St. Lucia. The same reservation had been withdrawn in respect of St. Vincent by a notification received on 24 November 1967. For the text of the reservation, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 590, p. 298.

⁹ By a notification received on 15 October 1974, the Government of the United Kingdom notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of this reservation in respect of the Seychelles, to which the said reservation applied originally.

Objections

CANADA

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Albania in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Bulgaria in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Hungary in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Poland in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Romania in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in respect of articles VII and IX.

CHINA¹⁰

¹⁰ Various communications were received by the Secretary-General on behalf of the Republic of China, objecting to the reservations made by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. In this connexion, see Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Spain in respect of articles I, II and III, on the grounds that they are incompatible with the objectives of the Convention.

DENMARK

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Albania in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Bulgaria in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Hungary in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Poland in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Romania in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in respect of articles VII and IX.

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in respect of articles VII and IX.

ETHIOPIA

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Albania in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Bulgaria in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Hungary in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Poland in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Romania in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in respect of articles VII and IX.

ISRAEL

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Albania in respect of article VII.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Bulgaria in respect of article VII.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic in respect of article VII.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia in respect of article VII.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Hungary in respect of article VII.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Poland in respect of article VII.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Romania in respect of article VII.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic in respect of article VII.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in respect of article VII.

NORWAY

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Albania in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Argentina in respect of article VII.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Bulgaria in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Guatemala in respect of articles I, II and III.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Hungary in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Poland in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Romania in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in respect of articles VII and IX.

PAKISTAN

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Albania in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Argentina in respect of article VII.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Bulgaria in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservation made by France and recorded in the procès-verbal of signature of the Convention.¹¹

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Guatemala in respect of articles I, II and III.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Hungary in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Poland in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Romania in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in respect of articles VII and IX.

PHILIPPINES

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Albania in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Romania in respect of articles VII and IX.

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Mongolia in respect of articles IV, paragraph 1, and V, paragraph 1.

¹¹ See Note 6, p. 428.

SWEDEN

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Albania in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Argentina in respect of article VII.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Bulgaria in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Guatemala in respect of articles I, II and III.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Hungary in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Poland in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Romania in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in respect of articles VII and IX.

YUGOSLAVIA

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Guatemala in respect of articles I, II and III, as these reservations "are not in accordance with the principles contained in Article I of the Charter of the United Nations and with the aims of the Convention".

2. Convention on the Nationality of Married Women

Done at New York on 20 February 1957¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 11 August 1958, in accordance with article 6.

REGISTRATION: 11 August 1958, No. 4468.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 309, p. 65.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)
ALBANIA		27 July 1960 a
ARGENTINA		10 October 1963 a
AUSTRALIA		14 March 1961 a
AUSTRIA		19 January 1968 a
BAHAMAS		10 June 1976 d
BELGIUM	15 May 1972	
BRAZIL	26 July 1966	4 December 1968
BULGARIA		22 June 1960 a
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	7 October 1957	23 December 1958
CANADA	20 February 1957	21 October 1959
CHILE	18 March 1957	
CHINA ²		
COLOMBIA	20 February 1957	
CUBA	20 February 1957	5 December 1957
CYPRUS		26 April 1971 d
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	3 September 1957	5 April 1962
DENMARK	20 February 1957	22 June 1959
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	20 February 1957	10 October 1957
ECUADOR	16 January 1958	29 March 1960
FIJI		12 June 1972 d
FINLAND		15 May 1968 a
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		27 December 1973 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF		7 February 1974 a*

¹ The Convention was opened for signature pursuant to resolution 1040 (XI), adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 29 January 1957. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Eleventh Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/3572)*, p. 18.

² Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 20 February 1957 and 22 September 1958 respectively. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

With reference to the above-mentioned ratification, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of India, Poland, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and of China on the other hand. For the nature of these communications, see footnote 2, p. 174.

³ With the following declaration:

"... The said Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

In this respect, the Secretary-General received the following communications:

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (communication received on 24 May 1974):

The Soviet Government does not object to the extension to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Convention on the Nationality of Married Women provided that this is done in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and that matters of security and status shall not thereby be affected. In this connexion, the Soviet Government would like to draw attention to the fact that the Western Sectors of Berlin are not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany, that the permanent residents of the Western Sectors of Berlin are not nationals of the Federal Republic of Germany and that representation abroad of the interests of the Western Sectors of Berlin by the Federal Republic of Germany is per-

missible only to the extent specified in the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 (annex IV).

Czechoslovakia (communication received on 30 May 1974):

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares, in accordance with the Four-Power Agreement of September 3, 1971, that West Berlin is not a part of the Federal Republic of Germany and neither can be administered by it.

"The declaration of the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany contained in its instrument of accession to the above-mentioned Convention, that the validity of the Convention shall also apply to West Berlin is contradictory to the Four-Power Agreement stipulating that the agreements concerning the security and the statute of West Berlin cannot be expanded by the Federal Republic of Germany to West Berlin.

"Therefore the declaration of the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany cannot have any legal effect."

German Democratic Republic (communication received on 16 July 1974):

With regard to the application of the Convention to Berlin (West) and in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic, the German Democratic Republic declares that Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and is not to be governed by it. The declaration by the Federal Republic of Germany to the effect that this Convention will also apply to Berlin (West) is at variance with the Quadripartite Agreement, which states that treaties affecting matters of security and of the status of Berlin (West) may not be applied to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany.

Ukrainian SSR (communication received on 6 August 1974):

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic refrains from raising an objection to the extension to Berlin (West) of the Con-

[Footnote continues on following page]

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)
GHANA		15 August 1966 a
GUATEMALA	20 February 1957	13 July 1960
GUINEA	19 March 1975	
HUNGARY	5 December 1957	3 December 1959
INDIA	15 May 1957	
IRELAND	24 September 1957	25 November 1957
ISRAEL	12 March 1957	7 June 1957
JAMAICA		30 July 1964 d
LESOTHO		4 November 1974 d
LUXEMBOURG	11 September 1975	
MALAWI		8 September 1966 a
MALAYSIA		24 February 1959 a
MALI		2 February 1973 a
MALTA		7 June 1967 d
MAURITIUS		18 July 1969 d
NETHERLANDS ⁴		8 August 1966 a
NEW ZEALAND	7 July 1958	17 December 1958
NORWAY	9 September 1957	20 May 1958
PAKISTAN	10 April 1958	
POLAND		3 July 1959 a
PORTUGAL	21 February 1957	
ROMANIA		2 December 1960 a
SIERRA LEONE		13 March 1962 d
SINGAPORE		18 March 1966 d
SRI LANKA		30 May 1958 a
SWAZILAND		18 September 1970 a
SWEDEN	6 May 1957	13 May 1958
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		11 April 1966 d
TUNISIA		24 January 1968 a
UGANDA		15 April 1965 a
UKRAINIAN SSR	15 October 1957	3 December 1958
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	6 September 1957	17 September 1958
UNITED KINGDOM	20 February 1957	28 August 1957
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA		28 November 1962 a
URUGUAY	20 February 1957	
YUGOSLAVIA	27 March 1957	13 March 1959
ZAMBIA		22 January 1975 d

footnote continued from previous page]

vention on the Nationality of Married Women only on the understanding that this action is being taken in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and will not affect matters of security and status. In this connexion, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic wishes to direct attention to the fact that the Western Sectors of Berlin are not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany, permanent residents of Berlin (West) are not nationals of the Federal Republic of Germany and representation abroad of the interests of Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany is permitted only to the extent defined by the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 (annex IV).

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (communications received on 8 July 1975—in relation to the communications by Czechoslovakia and by the German Democratic Republic):

"The communications mentioned in the Notes listed above refer to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. This Agreement was concluded in Berlin between the Governments of the French Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America. The Governments sending these communications are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement and are therefore not competent to make authoritative comments on its provisions.

The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to bring the following to the attention of the States Parties to the instruments referred to in the above-mentioned communications. When authorising the extension of these instruments to the Western Sectors of Berlin, the authorities of the Three Powers, acting in the exercise of their supreme authority, ensured in accordance with established procedures that those instruments are applied in the Western Sectors of Berlin in such a way as not to affect matters of security and status.

Accordingly, the application of these instruments to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect.

The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not consider it necessary to respond to any further communications of a similar nature by States which are not signatories to the Quadripartite Agreement. This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of those Governments in this matter."

Federal Republic of Germany (communication received on 19 September 1975—in relation to the communication by Czechoslovakia and by the German Democratic Republic):

Declaration identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the one of the same date, reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52.

⁴ The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Convention is ratified for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles.

Declarations and Reservations

ARGENTINA

Article 7: The Argentine Government expressly reserves the rights of the Republic with respect to the Islas Malvinas (Falkland Islands), the South Sandwich Islands and the lands included within the Argentine Antarctic Sector, declaring that they do not constitute a colony or possession of any nation but are part of Argentine territory and lie within its dominion and sovereignty.

Article 10: The Argentine Government reserves the right not to submit disputes directly or indirectly linked with the territories under Argentine sovereignty to the procedure indicated in this article.

BRAZIL

"Reservation is made concerning application of article 10."

CHILE

The Government of Chile makes a reservation with regard to article 10, in the sense that it does not accept the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice for the purpose of the settlement of disputes which may arise between Contracting States concerning the interpretation or application of the present Convention.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of Article 10, according to which a dispute between the States parties to the Convention in respect of the interpretation and application of the present Convention which has not been settled through negotiation is to be submitted to the International Court of Justice for decision at the request of one of the parties to the dispute, unless the parties have agreed on another way of adjustment. The German Democratic Republic declares that with regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice for disputes in respect of the interpretation and application of the Convention it is of the opinion that in every single case the consent of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary to submit a particular dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

Declaration:

The German Democratic Republic considers that articles 4 and 5 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

GUATEMALA

Article 10 of the said Convention shall, by reason of constitutional requirements, be applied without prejudice to article 149, paragraph 3 (b) of the Constitution of the Republic.

INDIA

"With the following reservation as to Article 10:

"Any dispute which may arise between any two or more contracting States concerning the interpretation or application of the present Convention which is not settled by negotiations shall with the consent of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision unless the parties agree to another mode of settlement."

TUNISIA

[Article 10] For any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice, the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in every case.

URUGUAY

On behalf of Uruguay we hereby make a reservation to the provisions of article 3 which has a bearing on the application of the Convention. The Constitution of Uruguay does not authorize the granting of nationality to an alien unless he is the child of a Uruguayan father or mother, in which case he may become a natural citizen. This case apart, an alien who fulfils the constitutionality and legal conditions may be granted only legal citizenship, and not nationality.

Territorial application

Declarations made upon ratification or accession (a) under paragraph 1 of article 7 of the Convention

<i>Declaration by</i>	<i>Application to</i>
AUSTRALIA 14 March 1961 <i>a</i>	All the non-metropolitan territories for the international relations of which Australia is responsible.
NEW ZEALAND 17 December 1958	The Cook Islands (including Niue), the Tokelau Islands, and the Trust Territory of Western Samoa.
UNITED KINGDOM 28 August 1957	The Channel Islands and the Isle of Man.

Notifications under paragraph 2 of article 7 of the Convention

Notification by

UNITED KINGDOM 18 March 1958

19 May 1958

3 November 1960

1 October 1962

Application to

Aden, the Bahamas, Barbados, Basutoland, Bechuanaland, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands, British Somaliland, Cyprus, Falkland Islands, Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Hong Kong, Jamaica, Kenya, the Leeward Islands (Antigua, Montserrat, St. Christopher-Nevis), the British Virgin Islands, Malta, Mauritius, North Borneo, St. Helena, Sarawak, the Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Swaziland, Tanganyika, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda, the Windward Islands (Dominica, Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent), Zanzibar.

The Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland.⁵

Tonga.

Brunei.

⁵ See footnote 16, p. 124.

3. Convention on Consent to Marriage, Minimum Age for Marriage and Registration of Marriages

Signed at New York on 10 December 1962¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 9 December 1964, in accordance with article 6.

REGISTRATION: 23 December 1964, No. 7525.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 521, p. 231.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)	
ARGENTINA		26 February	1970 a
AUSTRIA		1 October	1969 a
BENIN		19 October	1965 a
BRAZIL		11 February	1970 a
CHILE	10 December 1962		
CHINA ²			
CUBA	17 October 1963	20 August	1965
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	8 October 1963	5 March	1965
DENMARK	31 October 1963	8 September	1964
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC		8 October	1964 a
FIJI		19 July	1971 d
FINLAND		18 August	1964 a
FRANCE	10 December 1962		
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		16 July	1974 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³		9 July	1969 a
GREECE	3 January 1963		
GUINEA	10 December 1962		
HUNGARY		5 November	1975 a
ISRAEL	10 December 1962		
ITALY	20 December 1963		
MALI		19 August	1964 a
NETHERLANDS ⁴	10 December 1962	2 July	1965
NEW ZEALAND	23 December 1963	12 June	1964
NIGER		1 December	1964 a
NORWAY		10 September	1964 a
PHILIPPINES	5 February 1963	21 January	1965
POLAND	17 December 1962	8 January	1965
ROMANIA	27 December 1963		
SAMOA		24 August	1964 a
SPAIN		15 April	1969 a
SRI LANKA	12 December 1962		
SWEDEN	10 December 1962	16 June	1964
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		2 October	1969 a
TUNISIA		24 January	1968 a

¹ The Convention was opened for signature pursuant to resolution 1763 (XVII), adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 7 November 1962. For the text of this resolution see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Seventeenth Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/5217)*, p. 28.

² Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 4 April 1963. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

³ In a note accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Convention "shall also apply to *Land Berlin* with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. Those

communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to those referred to in the second paragraph of footnote 3, p. 51.

In this respect, the Government of the German Democratic Republic, upon accession to the Convention on 16 July 1974, made a declaration which is identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the one reproduced in the fourth paragraph of footnote 3, p. 52.

In reference to that declaration, communications were received by the Secretary-General from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America (8 July 1975) and from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany (19 September 1975), which are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding communications reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52.

⁴ The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Convention is ratified for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d)</i>	
UNITED KINGDOM ⁵ . . .		9 July	1970 a
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	10 December 1962		
UPPER VOLTA		8 December	1964 a
YUGOSLAVIA	10 December 1962	19 June	1964

Declarations and Reservations

DENMARK

"With the reservation that article 1, paragraph 2, shall not apply to the Kingdom of Denmark."

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

The Dominican Republic wishes the laws of the Dominican Republic to continue to have precedence in respect of the possibility, provided for in article 1, paragraph 2, of entering into a civil marriage by means of a proxy or procuration. Consequently, it can accept the said provisions only with reservations.

FIJI

"The Government of Fiji withdraws the reservation, and declarations in respect of the law of Scotland and in respect of Southern Rhodesia, made on 9th July, 1970 by Her Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom, and affirms that the Government of Fiji declares it to be their understanding that:

"(a) paragraph 1 of Article 1, and the second sentence of Article 2, of the Convention are concerned with the entry into marriage under the laws of a State Party and not with the recognition under the laws of one State or territory of the validity of marriages contracted under the laws of another State or territory; and

"(b) paragraph 2 of Article 1 does not require legislative provision to be made where no such legislation already exists, for marriages to be contracted in the absence of one of the parties."

FINLAND

"With the reservation that article 1, paragraph 2, shall not apply to the Republic of Finland."

GREECE

With reservation to article 1, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

HUNGARY

In acceding to the Convention, the Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that it

⁵The instrument of accession stipulates that the Government of the United Kingdom accedes to the Convention in respect of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Associated States (Antigua, Dominica, Grenada, Saint Christopher-Nevis-Anguilla, Saint Lucia and Saint Vincent) and Territories under the territorial sovereignty of the United Kingdom, as well as the State of Brunei.

In a notification received on 15 October 1974, the Government of the United Kingdom informed the Secretary-General that the provisions of the Convention would thenceforth apply in respect of Montserrat. See footnote 6, p. 440.

does not consider paragraph 2 of article 1 of the Convention as binding the Hungarian People's Republic to grant, under the terms thereof, permit of marriage when one of the intending spouses is not present.

NETHERLANDS

In signing the Convention on Consent to Marriage, Minimum Age for Marriage and Registration of Marriages, I the undersigned, Plenipotentiary of the Kingdom of the Netherlands, hereby declare that, in view of the equality which exists, from the standpoint of public law, between the Netherlands, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles, the Government of the Kingdom reserves the right to ratify the Convention in respect of only one or two parts of the Kingdom and to declare at a later date, by written notification to the Secretary-General, that the Convention is to apply also to the other part or parts of the Kingdom.

NORWAY

"With the reservation that article 1, paragraph 2, shall not apply to the Kingdom of Norway."

PHILIPPINES

"The Convention on Consent to Marriage, Minimum Age for Marriage and Registration of Marriages was adopted for the purpose, among other things, of insuring to all persons complete freedom in the choice of a spouse. The first paragraph of Article 1 of the Convention requires that the full and free consent of both parties shall be expressed in the presence of the competent authority and of witnesses.

"Considering the provisions of its Civil Code, the Philippines, in ratifying this Convention interprets the second paragraph of Article 1 (which authorizes, in exceptional cases, the solemnization of marriage by proxy) as not imposing upon the Philippines the obligation to allow within its territory the celebration of proxy marriages or marriages of the kind contemplated in that paragraph, where such manner of marriage is not authorized by the laws of the Philippines. Rather, the solemnization within Philippine territory of a marriage in the absence of one of the parties under the conditions stated in said paragraph will be permitted only if so allowed by Philippine law."

SWEDEN

With reservation to article 1, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND
NORTHERN IRELAND

“(a)⁶

“(b) It is the understanding of the Government of the United Kingdom that paragraph (1) of article 1 and the second sentence of article 2, of the Convention are concerned with entry into marriage under the laws of a State Party and not with the recognition under the laws of one State or territory of the validity of marriages contracted under the laws of another State or territory; nor is paragraph (1) of article 1 applicable to marriages by cohabitation with habit and repute under the law of Scotland;

⁶In a notification received on 15 October 1974, the Government of the United Kingdom informed the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservation corresponding to subparagraph a, according to which it reserved the right to postpone the application of article 2 of the Convention to Montserrat pending notification to the Secretary-General that the said article would be applied there. See footnote 5, p. 439.

“(c) Paragraph (2) of article 1 does not require legislative provision to be made, where no such legislation already exists, for marriages to be contracted in the absence of one of the parties.

“(d) The provisions of the Convention shall not apply to Southern Rhodesia unless and until the Government of the United Kingdom inform the Secretary-General that they are in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Convention in respect of that territory can be fully implemented.”

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

“With the understanding that legislation in force in the various States of the United States of America is in conformity with this Convention and that action by the United States of America with respect to this Convention does not constitute acceptance of the provisions of article 8 as a precedent for any subsequent instruments.”

CHAPTER XVII. FREEDOM OF INFORMATION

1. Convention on the International Right of Correction

Opened for signature at New York on 31 March 1953¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 August 1962, in accordance with article VIII.

REGISTRATION: 24 August 1962, No. 6280.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 435, p. 191.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
ARGENTINA	11 June	1953		
CHILE	22 April	1953		
CUBA			17 November	1954 <i>a</i>
CYPRUS	20 June	1972	13 November	1972
ECUADOR	31 March	1953		
EGYPT	27 January	1955	4 August	1955
EL SALVADOR	11 March	1958	28 October	1958
ETHIOPIA	31 March	1953	21 January	1969
FRANCE	2 April	1954	16 November	1962
GUATEMALA ²	1 April	1953	9 May	1957
GUINEA	19 March	1975		
JAMAICA			15 June	1967 <i>a</i>
PARAGUAY	16 November	1953		
PERU	12 November	1959		
SIERRA LEONE			25 July	1962 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA			31 January	1956 <i>a</i>

¹The Convention was opened for signature in accordance with General Assembly resolution 630 (VII) of 16 December 1952. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Seventh Session, Supplement No. 20 (A/2361)*, p. 22.

²The Convention was signed on behalf of Guatemala with reservation to article V of the Convention. On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Guatemala withdrew the said reservation.

CHAPTER XVIII. SLAVERY

1. Protocol amending the Slavery Convention signed at Geneva on 25 September 1926

Done at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, on 7 December 1953¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 December 1953, in accordance with article III.²

REGISTRATION: 7 December 1953, No. 2422.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 182, p. 51.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to acceptance</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, notification of succession (d)</i>
AFGHANISTAN		16 August 1954 <i>s</i>
AUSTRALIA		9 December 1953 <i>s</i>
AUSTRIA	7 December 1953	16 July 1954
BAHAMAS		10 June 1976 <i>d</i>
BARBADOS		22 July 1976 <i>d</i>
BELGIUM	24 February 1954	13 December 1962
BURMA	14 March 1956	29 April 1957
CANADA		17 December 1953 <i>s</i>
CHINA³		
CUBA		28 June 1954 <i>s</i>
DENMARK		3 March 1954 <i>s</i>
ECUADOR	7 September 1954	17 August 1955
EGYPT	15 June 1954	29 September 1954
FIJI		12 June 1972 <i>d</i>
FINLAND		19 March 1954
FRANCE	14 January 1954	14 February 1963
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		16 July 1974
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF		29 May 1973 ⁴
GREECE	7 December 1953	12 December 1955
GUINEA		12 July 1962
HUNGARY		26 February 1958
INDIA		12 March 1954 <i>s</i>
IRAQ		23 May 1955
IRELAND		31 August 1961
ISRAEL		12 September 1955
ITALY		4 February 1954 <i>s</i>
LIBERIA		7 December 1953 <i>s</i>
MALI		2 February 1973

¹ The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 794 (VIII) of 23 October 1953. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Eighth Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/2630)*, p. 50.

² The amendments set forth in the Annex to the Protocol entered into force on 7 July 1955, in accordance with article III of the Protocol.

³ Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 7 December 1953 and 14 December 1955 respectively. See Note

concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

⁴ With the following declaration:

“ . . . The said Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.”

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 4 December 1973 from the Permanent Mission of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to the United Nations the following communication:

[Footnote continues on following page]

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature subject to acceptance</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, notification of succession (d)</i>
MEXICO		3 February 1954 s
MONACO	28 January 1954	12 November 1954
MOROCCO		11 May 1959
NETHERLANDS ⁵	15 December 1953	7 July 1955
NEW ZEALAND		16 December 1953 s
NIGER		7 December 1964
NORWAY	24 February 1954	11 April 1957
ROMANIA		13 November 1957 s
SOUTH AFRICA		29 December 1953 s
SPAIN		10 November 1976 s
SWEDEN		17 August 1954 s
SWITZERLAND		7 December 1953 s
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC		4 August 1954
TURKEY		14 January 1955 s
UNITED KINGDOM		7 December 1953 s
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16 December 1953	7 March 1956
YUGOSLAVIA	11 February 1954	21 March 1955

footnote continued from previous page

The 1926 Slavery Convention, as amended by the 1953 Protocol, deals with matters relating to the territories under the sovereignty of the countries Parties to the Convention within the limits of which they exercise jurisdiction. As is well known, the western sector of Berlin is not an integral part of the Federal Republic of Germany and cannot be governed by it. In that connexion, the Soviet Union regards the above-mentioned statement by the Federal Republic of Germany as unlawful and as having no legal force, with all the consequences flowing therefrom, since the extension of the validity of the Convention to the Western Sector of Berlin raises questions relating to its status, thus conflicting with the relevant provisions of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971.

The Government of the German Democratic Republic, upon acceptance of the Protocol on 16 July 1974, made a declaration which is identical in essence to the above-quoted declaration.

The following communication on the same subject was received on 17 July 1974 from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America:

"In a communication to the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics which is an integral part (Annex IV A) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America reaffirmed that, provided that matters of security and status are not affected, international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin in accordance with established procedures. For its part, the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, in a communication to the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States which is similarly an integral part (Annex IV B) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such extension.

"The purpose and effect of the established procedures referred to above, which were specifically endorsed in Annex IV

A and B to the Quadripartite Agreement, are precisely to ensure that agreements and arrangements to be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin are extended in such a way that questions of security and status remain unaffected and to take account of the fact that these Sectors continue not to be a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it. The extension of the Convention of 1926, as amended by the Protocol of 1953, to the Western Sectors of Berlin received the prior authorization under these established procedures, of the authorities of France, the United Kingdom and the United States. The rights and responsibilities of the Governments of those three countries remain unaffected thereby. There is thus no question that the extension to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Convention of 1926, as amended by the Protocol of 1953, is in any way inconsistent with the Quadripartite Agreement.

"Accordingly, the application to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Convention of 1926, as amended by the Protocol of 1953, continues in full force and effect."

Subsequently, the Secretary-General received on 27 August 1974 from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany a declaration to the effect that the said Government shared the position set out in the above-quoted declaration, and that the extension of the Protocol to Berlin (West) would continue in full force and effect.

In reference to the declaration by the Government of the German Democratic Republic, communications were received by the Secretary-General from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America (8 July 1975) and from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany (19 September 1975), which are identical in substance, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding communications reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52.

⁵ The instrument of acceptance stipulates that the Kingdom of the Netherlands accepts the Protocol for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea

2. Slavery Convention signed at Geneva on 25 September 1926 and amended by the Protocol done at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, on 7 December 1953

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 July 1955, the date on which the amendments, set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 7 December 1953, entered into force in accordance with article III of the Protocol.

REGISTRATION: 7 July 1955, No. 2861.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 212, p. 17.

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 7 December 1953</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d) to the Convention as amended</i>
AFGHANISTAN	16 August 1954	
ALBANIA		2 July 1957 <i>a</i>
ALGERIA		20 November 1963 <i>a</i>
AUSTRALIA	9 December 1953	
AUSTRIA	16 July 1954	
BAHAMAS	10 June 1976	
BARBADOS	22 July 1976	
BELGIUM	13 December 1962	
BRAZIL		6 January 1966 <i>a</i>
BURMA	29 April 1957	
BYELORUSSIAN SSR		13 September 1956 <i>a</i>
CANADA	17 December 1953	
CHINA ¹		
CUBA	28 June 1954	
DENMARK	3 March 1954	
ECUADOR	17 August 1955	
EGYPT	29 September 1954	
ETHIOPIA		21 January 1969
FIJI	12 June 1972	
FINLAND	19 March 1954	
FRANCE	14 February 1963	
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ²	16 July 1974	
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	29 May 1973	
GREECE	12 December 1955	
GUINEA	12 July 1962	
HUNGARY	26 February 1958	
INDIA	12 March 1954	
IRAQ	23 May 1955	
IRELAND	31 August 1961	
ISRAEL	12 September 1955	
ITALY	4 February 1954	
JAMAICA		30 July 1964 <i>d</i>
JORDAN		5 May 1959 <i>a</i>
KUWAIT		28 May 1963 <i>a</i>
LESOTHO		4 November 1974 <i>d</i>
LIBERIA	7 December 1953	
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC		14 February 1957 <i>a</i>
MADAGASCAR		12 February 1964 <i>a</i>

¹ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 14 December 1955. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

² A notification of reapplication of the Convention of 25 September 1926 was received on 16 July 1974 from the Government of the German Democratic Republic. As an instrument of acceptance of the amending Protocol of 7 December 1953 was deposited with the Secretary-General on the same date on behalf of the Government of the German Democratic Republic, the latter has been applying the Convention as amended since 16 July 1974 (see also footnote 7, p. 448.)

<i>State</i>	<i>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 7 December 1953</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d) to the Convention as amended</i>
MALAWI		2 August 1965 <i>a</i>
MALI	2 February 1973	
MALTA		3 January 1966 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS		18 July 1969 <i>d</i>
MEXICO	3 February 1954	
MONACO	12 November 1954	
MONGOLIA		20 December 1968 <i>a</i>
MOROCCO	11 May 1959	
NEPAL		7 January 1963 <i>a</i>
NETHERLANDS ³	7 July 1955	
NEW ZEALAND	16 December 1953	
NIGER	7 December 1964	
NIGERIA		26 June 1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY	11 April 1957	
PAKISTAN		30 September 1955 <i>a</i>
PHILIPPINES		12 July 1955 <i>a</i>
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ⁴		14 August 1956 <i>a</i>
ROMANIA	13 November 1957	
SAUDI ARABIA		5 July 1973 <i>a</i>
SIERRA LEONE		13 March 1962 <i>d</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	29 December 1953	
SPAIN	10 November 1976	
SRI LANKA		21 March 1958 <i>a</i>
SUDAN		9 September 1957 <i>d</i>
SWEDEN	17 August 1954	
SWITZERLAND	7 December 1953	
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	4 August 1954	
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		11 April 1966 <i>d</i>
TUNISIA		15 July 1966 <i>a</i>
TURKEY	14 January 1955	
UGANDA		12 August 1964 <i>a</i>
UKRAINIAN SSR		27 January 1959 <i>a</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS		8 August 1956 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	7 December 1953	
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA		28 November 1962 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	7 March 1956	
YUGOSLAVIA	21 March 1955	
ZAMBIA		26 March 1973 <i>d</i>

³ See footnote 5, p. 444.

⁴ See note 4b, p. 54.

3. Slavery Convention

Geneva, September 25th, 1926¹

IN FORCE since March 9th, 1927 (Article 12).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AFGHANISTAN	(Nov. 9th, 1935 a)
AUSTRIA	(August 19th, 1927)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	(March 21st, 1929 a)

Subject to the reservation that the Government of the United States, adhering to its policy of opposition to forced or compulsory labour except as punishment for crime of which the person concerned has been duly convicted, adheres to the Convention except as to the first subdivision of the second paragraph of Article five, which reads as follows:

“(1) Subject to the transitional provisions laid down in paragraph (2) below, compulsory or forced labour may only be exacted for public purposes.”²

BELGIUM	(September 23rd, 1927)
GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND	(June 18th, 1927)

Burma³

The Convention is not binding upon Burma in respect of Article 3 in so far as that Article may require her to enter into any convention whereby vessels by reason of the fact that they are owned, fitted out or commanded by Burmans, or of the fact that one-half of the crew is Burman, are classified as native vessels or are denied any privilege, right or immunity enjoyed by similar vessels of other States signatories of the Covenant or are made subject to any liability or disability to which similar ships of these other States are not subject.

CANADA	(August 6th, 1928)
AUSTRALIA	(June 18th, 1927)
NEW ZEALAND	(June 18th, 1927)
UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA (including <i>South West Africa</i>)	(June 18th, 1927)
IRELAND	(June 18th, 1930 a)
INDIA	(June 18th, 1927)

The signature of the Convention is not binding in respect of Article 3 in so far as that article may require India to enter into any convention whereby vessels, by reason of the fact that they are owned, fitted out or commanded by Indians, or of the fact that one-half of the crew is Indian, are classified as native vessels, or are denied any privilege, right or immunity enjoyed by similar

¹ Registered No. 1414. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 60, p. 253.

² This accession, given subject to reservation, has been communicated to the signatory States for acceptance.

³ See footnote 3, p. 545.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

vessels of other States signatories of the Covenant or are made subject to any liability or disability to which similar ships of such other States are not subject.

BULGARIA	(March 9th, 1927)
CHINA ⁴	(April 22nd, 1937)
CUBA	(July 6th, 1931)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(October 10th, 1930)
DENMARK	(May 17th, 1927)
ECUADOR	(March 26th, 1928 a)
EGYPT	(January 25th, 1928 a)
ESTONIA	(May 16th, 1929)
FINLAND	(September 29th, 1927)
FRANCE	(March 28th, 1931)
<i>Syria and Lebanon</i>	(June 25th, 1931 a)
GERMANY	(March 12th, 1929)
GREECE	(July 4th, 1930)
HAITI	(September 3rd, 1927 a)
HUNGARY ⁵	(February 17th, 1933 a)
IRAQ	(January 18th, 1929 a)
ITALY	(August 25th, 1928)
LATVIA	(July 9th, 1927)
LIBERIA	(May 17th, 1930)
MEXICO	(September 8th, 1934 a)
MONACO	(January 17th, 1928 a)
THE NETHERLANDS (including <i>Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao</i>)	(January 7th, 1928)
NICARAGUA	(October 3rd, 1927 a)
NORWAY	(September 10th, 1927)
POLAND	(September 17th, 1930)
PORTUGAL	(October 4th, 1927)
ROMANIA	(June 22nd, 1931)
SPAIN	(September 12th, 1927)
For Spain and the <i>Spanish Colonies</i> , with the exception of the Spanish Protectorate of Morocco.	
<i>Sudan</i>	(September 15th, 1927 a)
SWEDEN	(December 17th, 1927)
SWITZERLAND	(November 1st, 1930 a)
TURKEY	(July 24th, 1933 a)
YUGOSLAVIA	(September 28th, 1929)

⁴ See note, p. iii.

⁵ See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 130, p. 444.

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

ALBANIA ⁶	ships of whatever tonnage in the category of
COLOMBIA	native vessels provided for by the Convention on
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC (a)	the Trade in Arms.
IRAN	LITHUANIA
<i>Ad referendum</i> and interpreting Article 3 as with-	PANAMA
out power to compel Iran to bind herself by any	URUGUAY
arrangement or convention which would place her	

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
BAHAMAS	10 June	1976 <i>d</i>
BARBADOS	22 July	1976 <i>d</i>
BENIN	4 April	1962 <i>d</i>
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	4 September	1962 <i>d</i>
CONGO	15 October	1962 <i>d</i>
FIJI	12 June	1972 <i>d</i>
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ⁷		
GHANA	3 May	1963 <i>d</i>
GUINEA	30 March	1962 <i>d</i>
ISRAEL	6 January	1955 <i>a</i>
IVORY COAST	8 December	1961 <i>d</i>
MALI	2 February	1973 <i>d</i>
MOROCCO	11 May	1959 ^{d8}
NIGER	25 August	1961 <i>d</i>
SENEGAL	2 May	1963 <i>d</i>
TOGO	27 February	1962 <i>d</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	7 March	1962 <i>d</i>

⁶ The Government of Albania deposited on 2 July 1957 the instrument of accession to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 7 December 1953 (see p. 425).

⁷ In a notification received on 16 July 1974 the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 22 December 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 2 March 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 17 June 1974, concerning the application, as from 22 December 1958, of the Slavery Convention of 25 September 1926, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Slavery Convention, September 25th 1926 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

⁸ By virtue of acceptance of the amending Protocol of 7 December 1953.

4. Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade, and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery

Done at Geneva on 7 September 1956¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 April 1957, in accordance with article 13.

REGISTRATION: 30 April 1957, No. 3822.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 266, p. 3.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
AFGHANISTAN		16 November	1966 a
ALBANIA		6 November	1958 a
ALGERIA		31 October	1963 a
ARGENTINA		13 August	1964 a
AUSTRALIA		6 January	1958
AUSTRIA		7 October	1963 a
BAHAMAS		10 June	1976 d
BARBADOS		9 August	1972 d
BELGIUM	7 September 1956	13 December	1962
BRAZIL		6 January	1966 a
BULGARIA	26 June 1957	21 August	1958
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	7 September 1956	5 June	1957
CANADA	7 September 1956	10 January	1963
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE .		30 December	1970 a
CHINA ²			
CUBA	10 January 1957	21 August	1963
CYPRUS		11 May	1962 d
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	7 September 1956	13 June	1958
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA ..		12 June	1957 a
DENMARK	27 June 1957	24 April	1958
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC		31 October	1962 a
ECUADOR		29 March	1960 a
EGYPT		17 April	1958 a
EL SALVADOR	7 September 1956		
ETHIOPIA		21 January	1969 a
FIJI		12 June	1972 d
FINLAND		1 April	1959 a
FRANCE	7 September 1956	26 May	1964
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		16 July	1974 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³	7 September 1956	14 January	1959
GHANA		3 May	1963 a
GREECE	7 September 1956	13 December	1972
GUATEMALA	7 September 1956		

¹The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference of Plenipotentiaries on a Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade, and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery. The Conference was convened pursuant to resolution 608 (XXI) of 30 April 1956 of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations, and met at the European Office of the United Nations in Geneva from 13 August to 4 September 1956. For the text of the said resolution, see *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Twenty-first Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/2889)*, p. 7. In addition to the Convention, the Conference adopted the Final Act and two resolutions for the texts of which, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 226, p. 3.

²Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 23 May 1957 and 28 May 1959 respectively. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China. Preface, p. iii.

With reference to the above-mentioned ratification, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Hungary, Poland and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and of China on the other hand. For the nature of these communications, see footnote 2, p. 174.

³A note accompanying the instrument of ratification contains a statement that "the Supplementary Convention... also applies to Land Berlin as from the date on which the Convention enters into force in the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Czechoslovakia, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to those referred to in the second paragraph of footnote 3, p. 52.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
HAITI	7 September 1956	12 February	1958
HUNGARY	7 September 1956	26 February	1958
ICELAND		17 November	1965 <i>a</i>
INDIA	7 September 1956	23 June	1960
IRAN		30 December	1959 <i>a</i>
IRAQ	7 September 1956	30 September	1963
IRELAND		18 September	1961 <i>a</i>
ISRAEL	7 September 1956	23 October	1957
ITALY	7 September 1956	12 February	1958
IVORY COAST		10 December	1970 <i>a</i>
JAMAICA		30 July	1964 <i>d</i>
JORDAN		27 September	1957 <i>a</i>
KUWAIT		18 January	1963 <i>a</i>
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		9 September	1957 <i>a</i>
LESOTHO		4 November	1974 <i>d</i>
LIBERIA	7 September 1956		
LUXEMBOURG	7 September 1956	1 May	1967
MADAGASCAR		29 February	1972 <i>a</i>
MALAWI		2 August	1965 <i>a</i>
MALAYSIA		18 November	1957 <i>a</i>
MALI		2 February	1973 <i>a</i>
MALTA		3 January	1966 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS		18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
MEXICO	7 September 1956	30 June	1959
MONGOLIA		20 December	1968 <i>a</i>
MOROCCO		11 May	1959 <i>a</i>
NEPAL		7 January	1963 <i>a</i>
NETHERLANDS	7 September 1956	3 December	1957
NEW ZEALAND		26 April	1962 <i>a</i>
NIGER		22 July	1963 <i>a</i>
NIGERIA		26 June	1961 <i>d</i>
NORWAY	7 September 1956	3 May	1960
PAKISTAN	7 September 1956	20 March	1958
PERU	7 September 1956		
PHILIPPINES		17 November	1964 <i>a</i>
POLAND	7 September 1956	10 January	1963
PORTUGAL	7 September 1956	10 August	1959
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{3a}	7 September 1956		
ROMANIA	7 September 1956	13 November	1957
SAN MARINO	7 September 1956	29 August	1967
SAUDI ARABIA		5 July	1973 <i>a</i>
SIERRA LEONE		13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SINGAPORE		28 March	1972 <i>d</i>
SPAIN		21 November	1967 <i>a</i>
SRI LANKA	5 June 1957	21 March	1958
SUDAN	7 September 1956	9 September	1957
SWEDEN		28 October	1959 <i>a</i>
SWITZERLAND		28 July	1964 <i>a</i>
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC ⁴		17 April	1958 <i>a</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		11 April	1966 <i>d</i>
TUNISIA		15 July	1966 <i>a</i>
TURKEY	28 June 1957	17 July	1964
UGANDA		12 August	1964 <i>a</i>
UKRAINIAN SSR	7 September 1956	3 December	1958
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	7 September 1956	12 April	1957
UNITED KINGDOM	7 September 1956	30 April	1957

^{3a} See note 4b, p. 54.

⁴ Accession by the United Arab Republic. See footnote 3, p. 3.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d)</i>	
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA		28 November	1962 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		6 December	1967 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	7 September 1956	20 May	1958
ZAIRE		28 February	1975 <i>a</i>
ZAMBIA		26 March	1973 <i>d</i>

Territorial application

Declarations made upon ratification or accession (a) under paragraph 1 of article 12 of the Convention

<i>Declaration by</i>			<i>Application to</i>
AUSTRALIA	6 January	1958	All the non-self governing, trust and other non-metropolitan territories for the international relations of which Australia is responsible.
FRANCE	26 May	1964	All the territories of the Republic (Metropolitan France, overseas departments and territories).
ITALY	12 February	1958	Somaliland under Italian Administration.
NETHERLANDS	3 December	1957	Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea.
NEW ZEALAND	26 April	1962 <i>a</i>	The Cook Islands (including Niue) and the Tokelau Islands.
UNITED KINGDOM	30 April	1957	The Channel Islands and the Isle of Man.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.	6 December	1967 <i>a</i>	All territories for the international relations of which the United States of America is responsible.

Notifications under paragraph 2 of article 12 of the Convention

<i>Notification by</i>			<i>Application to</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	6 September	1957	Aden, Bahamas, Barbados, Basutoland, Bechuanaland, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, Brunei, Cyprus, Falkland Islands, Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Hong Kong, Jamaica, Kenya, Antigua, Montserrat, St. Kitts-Nevis, Virgin Islands, Malta, Mauritius, North Borneo, St. Helena, Sarawak, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somaliland Protectorate, Swaziland, Tanganyika, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Solomon Islands Protectorate, Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Zanzibar, Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland, ⁵ Bahrain, Qatar, The Trucial States (Abu Dhabi, Ajman, Dubai, Fujairah, Ras al Khaimah, Sharjah and Ummal Qaiwain).
	18 October	1957	Dominica and Tonga.
	21 October	1957	Kuwait.
	30 October	1957	Uganda.
	14 November	1957	Trinidad and Tobago.
	1 July	1958	The Federation of Nigeria.

⁵ See footnote 16, p. 124.

CHAPTER XIX. COMMODITIES

1. International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1956

*Opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations
from 15 November 1955 to 15 February 1956*

TEXT: United Nations publication, Sales No.: 1956.II.D.1 (E/CONF.19/5).

Note: The International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1956, which was drawn up at the first session of the United Nations Conference on Olive Oil held at Geneva from 3 to 17 October 1955 and opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, had not come into force. It was amended by the Protocol of 3 April 1958, adopted at the second session of the United Nations Conference on Olive held in Geneva from 31 March to 3 April 1958. The International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1956, as amended by the said Protocol, entered into force on 26 June 1959 and terminated on 30 September 1963 in accordance with the provisions of its article 37. A new International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1963, adopted at the United Nations Conference on Olive Oil on 20 April 1963 at Geneva (E/CONF.45/4) is deposited with the Government of Spain.¹

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
FRANCE ²	14 February 1956	
ITALY		5 June 1956 <i>a</i>
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC	14 February 1956	
PORTUGAL	15 February 1956	
SPAIN	29 July 1958	
TUNISIA ³	14 February 1956	

¹For the text of this Agreement, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 495, p. 3.

²With the following statement: The Government of the French Republic interprets article 11, paragraph 2, of this Agreement as not precluding the application of the provisions

of domestic laws and regulations, where such provisions are stricter than those of the Agreement.

³A communication dated 14 February 1956 from the Government of France confirms that the Tunisian Government's interpretation of article 11, paragraph 2, of this Agreement is the same as that of the French Government.

2. Protocol amending the International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1956

Done at Geneva on 3 April 1958

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 11 April 1958. in accordance with article 4.

REGISTRATION: 29 May 1958, No. 4355.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 302, p. 121.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>
FRANCE	3 April 1958
ITALY ¹	30 July 1958
PORTUGAL	8 April 1958
SPAIN	9 April 1958
TUNISIA	3 April 1958

¹ The Permanent Representative of Italy to the United Nations has informed the Secretary-General that the signature affixed on behalf of the Government of Italy to the above-mentioned Protocol is subject to parliamentary ratification in accordance with the constitutional requirements of Italy and in conformity with the full powers issued in this regard.

3. International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1956

Opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations from 15 November 1955 to 15 February 1956, and amended by the Protocol done at Geneva on 3 April 1958

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 26 June 1959, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 36.

REGISTRATION: 26 June 1959, No. 4806.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 336, p. 177.

TERMINATION: 30 September 1963, in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 37.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Undertaking under article 36 (5)</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
BELGIUM		21 April	1959	27 August	1962 <i>a</i>
FRANCE ¹	3 April 1958			3 June	1959
GREECE	1 August 1958	23 April	1959	5 October	1960
ISRAEL				10 September	1958 <i>a</i>
ITALY		22 May	1959		
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC				2 September	1959 <i>a</i>
MOROCCO				11 August	1958 <i>a</i>
PORTUGAL	8 April 1958			9 June	1959
SPAIN	9 April 1958	26 June	1959	29 September	1959
TUNISIA	3 April 1958	12 May	1959	18 March	1960
UNITED KINGDOM ²	31 July 1958			19 June	1959

¹ In a communication received on 16 January 1963, the Permanent Representative of France to the United Nations requested the Secretary-General to take note, in his capacity as depositary of the International Agreement on Olive Oil, of the fact that France recognized the independence of Algeria by the declaration of 3 July 1962 and that the obligations which it assumes under the above-mentioned Agreement are accordingly modified.

² With the following declaration:

"1. Her Majesty's Government understand articles 13 and 14 of the Agreement to mean that Her Majesty's Government would have no direct responsibility for publicity, and would assume no such responsibility.

"2. Her Majesty's Government do not regard the provisions about voting in article 28 as setting a precedent but as deriving solely from the special circumstances of the olive oil industry."

4. International Coffee Agreement, 1962

Signed at New York on 28 September 1962¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: provisionally on 1 July 1963 in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 64, and definitively on 27 December 1963 in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 64.

REGISTRATION: 1 July 1963, No. 6791.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 469, p. 169, and vol. 515, p. 322 (Procès-verbal of Rectification of the authentic Russian text of the Agreement).

TERMINATION: 30 September 1968, in accordance with paragraph (1) of article 71. For the status of the new International Coffee Agreement, open for signature at New York from 18 to 31 March 1968, see p. 460.

State	Signature	Undertaking under article 64 (2)	Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)
ARGENTINA	28 September 1962	15 May 1963	10 October 1963
AUSTRALIA	23 November 1962	3 April 1963	11 November 1963
AUSTRIA	23 November 1962		5 July 1963
BELGIUM ²	28 September 1962	8 April 1963	29 June 1964 <i>a</i>
BENIN			6 August 1963 <i>a</i>
BOLIVIA	28 September 1962	29 July 1963	24 October 1967 <i>a</i>
BRAZIL	28 September 1962	17 October 1962	16 October 1963
BURUNDI	28 September 1962		4 December 1962
CANADA	16 October 1962		20 November 1962
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	16 November 1962	23 April 1963	31 December 1963
CHILE	30 November 1962	15 August 1963	
COLOMBIA	28 September 1962	15 November 1962	24 May 1963
CONGO			6 August 1963 <i>a</i>
COSTA RICA	28 September 1962	25 July 1963	23 October 1963
CUBA	30 November 1962	1 February 1963	21 August 1963
CYPRUS			2 November 1967 <i>a</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA			2 November 1965 <i>a</i>
DENMARK	29 November 1962	21 May 1963	27 December 1963
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	28 September 1962		8 May 1963
ECUADOR	28 November 1962	1 April 1963	30 December 1963
EL SALVADOR	28 September 1962	1 March 1963	17 May 1963
ETHIOPIA		17 August 1963	2 December 1964 <i>a</i>
FINLAND			18 August 1964 <i>a</i>
FRANCE	28 September 1962		4 April 1963
GABON	12 October 1962		14 November 1962
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³	19 November 1962	19 July 1963	13 August 1963
GHANA			9 September 1964 <i>a</i>
GUATEMALA	28 September 1962	5 March 1963	5 June 1963
GUINEA			31 January 1968 <i>a</i>
HAITI	28 September 1962	25 July 1963	2 August 1965 <i>a</i>
HONDURAS	28 September 1962	30 July 1963	20 January 1967 <i>a</i>
INDIA	29 November 1962	29 July 1963	19 November 1963

¹ The text of the Agreement was established by the United Nations Coffee Conference, 1962, which met at New York from 9 July to 25 August 1962 and on 28 September 1962. It was approved by the Conference in resolution IV, Final resolution, adopted on 28 September 1962. For the report summarizing the proceedings of the Conference and for the texts of the resolutions adopted by the Conference, see *Summary of Proceedings of the United Nations Coffee Conference, 1962* (E/CONF.42/8), United Nations publication, Sales No.: 63.II.D.1.

² In communications received on 27 July and 28 September 1964, respectively, the Governments of Luxembourg and Belgium have notified the Secretary-General that the accession by Belgium to this Agreement equally binds Luxembourg by virtue of article 5 of the Convention between Belgium and

the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg for the Establishment of an Economic Union between the two countries, signed at Brussels on 25 July 1921.

³ A note accompanying the instrument of ratification contains a statement that "the Agreement shall also apply to Land Berlin from the date of its entry into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America, the Federal Republic of Germany, Poland, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. Those communications are identical, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding ones referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Undertaking under article 64 (2)</i>	<i>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</i>
INDONESIA	21 November 1962	8 February 1963	31 December 1963 <i>A</i>
ISRAEL			11 October 1967 <i>a</i>
ITALY	28 September 1962	28 September 1962	18 February 1966 <i>a</i>
IVORY COAST	24 October 1962		6 May 1963
JAMAICA			3 May 1967 <i>a</i>
JAPAN	28 September 1962	10 May 1963	6 April 1964 <i>a</i>
KENYA			15 December 1966 <i>a</i>
LEBANON	12 October 1962		
LIBERIA			22 June 1967 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG ⁴	20 November 1962		29 June 1964 <i>a</i>
MADAGASCAR	28 September 1962	29 January 1963	26 December 1963
MEXICO	28 September 1962	26 November 1962	1 August 1963
NETHERLANDS	30 November 1962	17 May 1963	30 December 1963
NEW ZEALAND	29 November 1962		23 December 1963
NICARAGUA	29 October 1962	26 June 1963	31 December 1963
NIGERIA	29 November 1962	12 March 1963	21 June 1963
NORWAY	30 November 1962		30 October 1963
PANAMA	8 November 1962		4 June 1963
PARAGUAY			29 April 1968 <i>a</i>
PERU	28 September 1962		4 April 1963
PORTUGAL	29 November 1962	8 April 1963	31 December 1963
RWANDA	2 October 1962		10 December 1962
SIERRA LEONE	30 November 1962	7 February 1963	27 November 1964 <i>a</i>
SPAIN	28 September 1962	9 July 1963	18 October 1963
SWEDEN	5 October 1962		1 July 1963
SWITZERLAND	30 November 1962	25 July 1963	17 December 1964 <i>a</i>
TOGO		6 August 1963	31 December 1963 <i>a</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	30 November 1962	30 November 1962	31 December 1963
TUNISIA			18 November 1963 <i>a</i>
UGANDA	21 November 1962	19 December 1962	16 April 1963
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	23 November 1962	26 July 1963	31 December 1963
UNITED KINGDOM	28 September 1962		25 April 1963
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	28 September 1962		24 May 1963
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	28 September 1962		27 November 1962
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	28 September 1962	24 June 1963 ⁵	27 December 1963
VENEZUELA	28 September 1962	29 January 1963	27 August 1964 <i>a</i>
ZAIRE	27 November 1962	25 July 1963	31 December 1963

⁴ See footnote 2, p. 456.

⁵ With the following declaration:

"... The United States undertakes to seek ratification of the International Coffee Agreement as rapidly as possible. This notification is given in accordance with article 64(2) of the Agreement.

"The Secretary of State wishes to note that the United States Senate has already given its advice and consent to ratification of the Agreement. However, under the Constitution of the United States, it will be necessary to secure domestic legislation in order to enable the United States to carry out certain of the obligations under the Agreement. In particular, it will

be necessary for the United States Government to receive specific authorization from the Congress to require certificates of origin on all coffee imported into the United States, and to prohibit or limit imports of coffee from non-member countries. The necessary legislation has been introduced into both the Senate and the House of Representatives of the United States, and it is anticipated that the authority will be available at or shortly after the commencement of the coming coffee year. Until the implementing legislation has been enacted, the United States does not assume any of the obligations for which such legislation is necessary."

Declarations

CHILE

The Government of Chile, having taken part with the greatest interest in the discussions which took place during the United Nations Coffee Conference, 1962;

Recognizing with satisfaction the efforts made by the United Nations to find a solution to the serious problems created for developing countries by constant fluctuations in the prices of primary commodities, and, in this particular case, its decisive action in sponsoring

an international conference so that coffee-producing and coffee-consuming countries might agree on measures for their common good; and

Drawing attention to the fact that although Chile is not a coffee producer and although its characteristics are those of a small consumer, it took part in the International Coffee Conference as a gesture of solidarity with the American producing countries, whose economies are dependent to a high degree on their sales of coffee and on world coffee prices;

Hereby declares that it approves and signs the International Coffee Agreement, 1962, as an indication of its friendship and solidarity with the American coffee-producing countries and as an expression of its desire for a permanent solution to be found, within the framework of the United Nations and of international co-operation, to the difficulties of trade in primary commodities on the world market.

CUBA

The Government of Cuba practises international economic collaboration, based on the equality of rights and on mutual respect between countries, and in particular on the agreements which are aimed at stabilizing the markets for primary commodities.

Pursuing, as it does, such a policy, Cuba has been a member of all the agreements and conventions on coffee which have been concluded in the past, and took an active part in the United Nations Coffee Conference that culminated in the International Coffee Agreement, 1962, which it is now signing.

In view of the fact that in article 47 (3) of the Agreement it is stated that operations of Government import monopolies and official purchasing agencies may to a greater or lesser extent hinder the increase in consumption of coffee, the Government of Cuba considers it necessary to declare that that statement cannot be interpreted as applying to the Cuban foreign trade monopoly, because that monopoly is an efficient instrument of Cuban policy for the development of Cuba's trade with every country, regardless of its economic, social and political system, on a basis of mutual advantage and respect, and for the development of Cuba's national economy, which contributes directly to raising the standard of living and increasing popular consumption, as can be verified in Cuba in the case of coffee and many other primary commodities.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"As to the provision of Article 47, paragraph 3 of the Agreement, the Permanent Representative of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic would appreciate it if the members of the Organization were informed that the above provision of the Agreement cannot be interpreted as applicable to the operations of the monopoly of foreign trade and internal trade conditions which are an integral part of the economic and legal system of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic."

PANAMA

In view of the fact that the Free Zone of Colon is considered to be outside the customs territory of the Republic, I hereby place on record, in signing the International Coffee Agreement, that coffee passing in transit through the Free Zone of Colon is regarded by the Republic of Panama as coffee in international transit

through the said zone and that consequently it cannot be regarded as coffee imported into or re-exported from the Republic, but solely as coffee in transit proceeding from the producing country, to whose export quota it should be charged, and bound for the consuming country, to whose import quota it should be charged.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, desirous of promoting the expansion and strengthening of economic co-operation among countries on the basis of equality and mutual benefit, upholds international measures aimed at stabilizing the markets for raw materials and foodstuffs. Such a policy meets the interests of all countries, especially the economically under-developed countries, for the economy of the latter is dependent to a substantial degree on conditions in the markets for raw materials and foodstuffs.

Whereas the International Coffee Agreement is the only international instrument aimed at stabilizing the coffee market and solving other coffee problems, the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, desirous of facilitating the achievement of this aim, has signed the aforesaid Agreement.

In view of the fact that article 47 (3) of the Agreement contains a reference to the effect that operations of Government import monopolies and official purchasing agencies to a greater or lesser extent hinder the increase in consumption of coffee, the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics believes it necessary to state that the above-mentioned reference cannot be interpreted as applicable to the foreign-trade monopoly of the USSR.

Soviet foreign trade is conducted on the basis of state monopoly, which has been fixed in the Constitution of the USSR and which is an organic consequence and an integral part of the socio-economical system of the USSR.

The foreign-trade monopoly is aimed at promoting the economic development of the country. As the history of nearly 45 years of Soviet foreign trade confirms, the USSR foreign-trade monopoly ensures the comprehensive development of trade with all countries, irrespective of their social systems and levels of development. Suffice it to say that the USSR is trading with more than 80 countries and the volume of Soviet foreign trade in 1961 (in comparable prices) almost doubled as compared with 1955 and exceeded the 1938 level almost 10 times. The foreign-trade monopoly, far from hindering, actually promotes the development of foreign trade.

Distorting the nature of the Soviet foreign-trade monopoly and its goals can lead nowhere and is an attempt to misinform the public and business circles with regard to the nature of the economic ties of the USSR.

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
AUSTRALIA	23 November 1962	Papua and Trust Territory of New Guinea.
NEW ZEALAND	23 December 1963	Cook Islands (including Niue) and the Tokelau Islands.
UNITED KINGDOM	10 July 1963	Barbados ⁵ and Kenya. ⁶
	14 February 1966	Hong Kong.

⁵ In a communication received on 25 May 1967, the Government of Barbados informed the Secretary-General, with reference to paragraph 4 of article 67 of the International Coffee Agreement, that "Barbados does not wish to assume the

rights and obligations of a Contracting Party nor to continue to participate in the International Coffee Agreement".

⁶ Kenya acceded to the Agreement on 15 December 1966.

5. International Coffee Agreement, 1968

Open for signature at New York from 18 to 31 March 1968¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: provisionally on 1 October 1968 in accordance with paragraph (2) of article 62, and definitively on 30 December 1968 in accordance with paragraph (1) of article 62.²

REGISTRATION: 1 October 1968, No. 9262.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 647, p. 3.

State	Signature	Undertaking under article 62 (2)	Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)
ARGENTINA	18 March 1968		
AUSTRALIA			26 September 1968
AUSTRIA ³			1 October 1969 a
BELGIUM		26 September 1968	31 December 1969 a
BENIN			12 September 1968 AA
BOLIVIA	18 March 1968	27 September 1968	30 December 1968
BRAZIL	28 March 1968	24 September 1968	11 October 1968
BURUNDI	30 March 1968		17 September 1968
CANADA	29 March 1968		21 August 1968
*CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	20 March 1968	30 September 1968	20 December 1968
COLOMBIA	18 March 1968		26 September 1968
*CONGO	28 March 1968	23 September 1968	20 December 1968
COSTA RICA	30 March 1968	27 September 1968	30 December 1968
CYPRUS	28 March 1968		26 September 1968
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	29 March 1968		4 September 1968 AA
DENMARK	29 March 1968	29 March 1968	27 September 1968
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	26 March 1968		30 September 1968
ECUADOR	28 March 1968	11 September 1968	16 December 1968
EL SALVADOR	28 March 1968	27 September 1968	16 December 1968
ETHIOPIA	28 March 1968		24 September 1968
FINLAND	29 March 1968	30 September 1968	30 December 1968
FRANCE	28 March 1968		19 August 1968 AA
*GABON	18 March 1968		30 September 1968

* States which have informed the Secretary-General, in accordance with paragraph (1) of article 5 of the Agreement, that they are joining the International Coffee Organization as members of the group of African and Malagasy Organization (OAMCAF).

¹ The Agreement was approved by the International Coffee Council in resolution number 164, adopted on 19 February 1968 at the twenty-third plenary meeting of its eleventh session (3rd part), held at London from 15 to 19 February 1968. In that resolution, referring to the fact that the International Coffee Agreement, 1962, was due to expire on 30 September 1968, and that under the provisions of paragraph (2) of article 7 it had been renegotiated to continue on the basis of an agreed text, the Council resolved, *inter alia*, "to approve for submission to the Contracting Parties for signature, the proposed International Coffee Agreement, 1968, as contained in documents ICC-11-26, Rev.1 and ICC-11-26, Rev.1, Add.1 and as amended and corrected by document ICC-11-32, the definitive text of which shall be authenticated by the Executive Director in consultation with a Drafting Group composed of Brazil, Colombia, OAMCAF and the United States".

The Executive Director of the International Coffee Organization transmitted to the Secretary-General, on 6 March 1968, the authenticated text of the Agreement in the English, French, Portuguese and Spanish languages, requesting him to establish the authentic text in the Russian language. The Agreement, in all five authentic languages, was opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, on 18 March 1968.

² On 19 December 1968, the International Coffee Council adopted resolution number 199 on the entry into force of the Agreement in which, *inter alia*, having noted that a number of importing members applying the Agreement provisionally might not be able to fulfill the requirements of its article 62 by 31 December 1968, the Council resolved that importing members applying the Agreement provisionally by virtue of notifications under article 62 (2) "shall continue to be deemed provisional members from 1 January 1969 until such time as they deposit their instruments of accession, or until 31 March 1969, whichever is earlier, in keeping with the provisions of article 63, paragraph (1) of the Agreement."

Subsequently, in resolution number 204 of 28 March 1969, the Council extended the provisional membership of Belgium, Italy, Japan and Spain from 1 April 1969 until such time as they deposit their instruments of accession, or until 31 August 1969, whichever is earlier; and, in resolution number 211 of 25 August 1969, the provisional membership of Belgium and Italy from 1 September 1969 until such time as they deposit their instruments of accession, or until 31 August 1970, whichever is earlier. In resolution number 232 of 31 August 1970, the Council extended the provisional membership of Italy from 1 September 1970 until such time as it deposits its instrument of accession or until 31 August 1971, whichever is the earlier.

³ The conditions for accession of Austria were established by the International Coffee Council in resolution number 213 of 27 August 1969

State	Signature	Undertaking under article 62 (2)	Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ⁴	28 March 1968		11 September 1968
GHANA		30 September 1968	23 December 1968
GUATEMALA	28 March 1968	27 September 1968	30 September 1968
GUINEA	28 March 1968	30 September 1968	30 December 1968
HAITI	18 March 1968		25 September 1968
HONDURAS	18 March 1968	27 September 1968	16 December 1968
INDIA	30 March 1968	27 September 1968	31 December 1968
INDONESIA	28 March 1968		26 September 1968 A
ISRAEL	31 March 1968		26 September 1968
ITALY	28 March 1968	22 August 1968	21 March 1973
*IVORY COAST	26 March 1968		27 September 1968
JAMAICA	28 March 1968		17 September 1968
JAPAN	26 March 1968	6 September 1968	28 May 1969 a
KENYA	22 March 1968	6 September 1968	10 December 1968
LIBERIA			18 June 1968
LUXEMBOURG		26 September 1968	31 December 1969 a
*MADAGASCAR	25 March 1968		8 August 1968
MEXICO	20 March 1968	21 August 1968	13 December 1968
NETHERLANDS ⁵	28 March 1968	16 September 1968	30 December 1968
NEW ZEALAND	27 March 1968		7 August 1968
NICARAGUA	29 March 1968		30 September 1968
NIGERIA	18 March 1968		18 June 1968
NORWAY	29 March 1968	26 September 1968	23 December 1968
PANAMA ⁶			21 December 1968 a
PARAGUAY		13 September 1968	27 December 1968
PERU	30 March 1968	30 September 1968	25 October 1968
PORTUGAL	18 March 1968	23 August 1968	30 October 1968
RWANDA	21 March 1968	30 September 1968	31 December 1968
SIERRA LEONE		17 September 1968	11 December 1968
SPAIN		15 August 1968	28 April 1969 a
SWEDEN	29 March 1968		30 September 1968
SWITZERLAND	29 March 1968		30 September 1968
*TOGO	27 March 1968	30 September 1968	29 November 1968
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	29 March 1968		10 July 1968
TUNISIA	29 March 1968		
UGANDA	28 March 1968	30 September 1968	14 October 1968
UNITED KINGDOM	29 March 1968		27 September 1968
*UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	29 March 1968	30 September 1968	9 October 1968
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TAN- ZANIA	28 March 1968	30 September 1968	1 October 1968
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	21 March 1968	30 September 1968	1 November 1968
VENEZUELA	28 March 1968	30 September 1968	18 December 1968
ZAIRE		30 September 1968	12 December 1968

Declarations

BELGIUM

At the 11th meeting of the Council of the International Coffee Organization, the members of the group of consumer countries jointly expressed the view that mem-

* See p. 460.

⁴ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Agreement "shall also apply to Land Berlin as from the date on which the Agreement enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, Poland and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by

ber countries, while respecting their obligations under the International Coffee Agreement, should take the greatest possible care not to interfere with the freedom to choose the vessel in which coffee is transported.

the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to those referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

⁵ The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Convention is ratified for the Kingdom in Europe.

⁶ The conditions for accession of Panama were established by the International Coffee Council in resolution number 192 of 16 December 1968, pursuant to article 63 of the Agreement.

The policy of the Belgian Government with respect to the transport of goods by sea is based on the principle which ensures the free movement of the merchant fleet in international trade, through free and fair competition. In accordance with this principle, the international transport of coffee should not be complicated or obstructed by discriminatory merchant shipping arrangements that give preference to national lines. Rather, the objective should be to ensure that normal commercial considerations alone determine the flag of the merchant ship and the method of shipment.

The Belgian Government is confident that signatories of the International Coffee Agreement will support and maintain the principle of the freedom of choice of merchant ship.

DENMARK, FINLAND, NORWAY, SWEDEN, SWITZERLAND

"At the 11th Meeting of the Council of the International Coffee Organization the Group of Importing countries jointly expressed the view that Member Countries take the greatest possible care not to interfere with freedom of choice in the carriage of coffee while respecting their obligations under the International Coffee Agreement.

"The declared shipping [the word 'shipping' is omitted in the statement of the Swedish Government] policy of the Danish [Finnish] [Norwegian] [Swedish] [Swiss] Government is based on the principle of free circulation of shipping in international trade in free and fair competition. In accordance with this principle international transport of coffee should not be made more costly and hampered by discriminatory shipping provisions giving preferences to national shipping. Rather the aim should be that normal commercial considerations should alone determine the method and flag of shipment.

"The Government of Denmark [Finland] [Norway] [Sweden] [Switzerland] trusts that the principle of freedom of choice in shipping will be supported and adhered to by countries that are signatories to the International Coffee Agreement."

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"In a note accompanying its instrument of ratification, deposited with the Secretary-General on 27 September 1968, the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland stated the following:

[See text below]

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany shares the position outlined in the above statement and requests the Secretary-General to inform the States parties to the International Coffee Agreement, 1968, accordingly."

JAPAN⁷

"At the 11th meeting of the Council of the International Coffee Organization the group of Consumer Countries jointly expressed the view that Member Countries take the greatest possible care not to interfere with freedom of choice in the carriage of coffee while respecting

their obligations under the International Coffee Agreement.

"The shipping policy of Japan is based on the principle of free and fair competition and, in accordance with this policy, the Government of Japan considers that the application of discriminatory shipping practices, including the reservation of cargoes to national lines, will serve to increase costs and reduce efficiency in the international transport of coffee and that in the international carriage of coffee normal commercial considerations alone should determine the method and flag of shipment.

"The Government of Japan hopes that signatories to the International Coffee Agreement will share this view and will refrain from taking any action which might limit the freedom of vessels of all flags to participate in this trade without discrimination."

NETHERLANDS

"At the 11th meeting of the Council of the International Coffee Organization the group of Importing Members jointly expressed the view that Members of the Organization take the greatest possible care not to interfere with freedom of choice in the carriage of coffee while respecting their obligations under the International Coffee Agreement of 1968.

"The declared shipping policy of the Netherlands Government is based on the principle of free circulation of shipping in international trade in free and fair competition. In accordance with this principle international transport of coffee should not be made more costly and hampered by discriminatory shipping provisions giving preferences to national shipping. Rather the aim should be that normal commercial considerations should alone determine the method and flag of shipment.

"The Government of the Netherlands trusts that the principle of freedom of choice in shipping will be supported and adhered to by all Governments Parties to the International Coffee Agreement of 1968."

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"At the 11th meeting of the Council of the International Coffee Organization, Consumer Countries jointly expressed the view that members should take the greatest care not to interfere with freedom of choice in the carriage of coffee while respecting their obligations under the International Coffee Agreement.

"The Government of the United Kingdom consider that the application of discriminatory shipping practices, including the reservation of cargoes to national lines, will serve to increase costs and reduce efficiency in the international transport of coffee. The shipping policy of the United Kingdom is based on the principle of free and fair competition and in accordance with this policy it is the opinion of the Government of the United Kingdom that in the international carriage of coffee normal commercial considerations alone should determine the method and flag of shipment."

"The Government of the United Kingdom hope that signatories to the International Coffee Agreement will support this view and will refrain from taking any action which might limit the freedom of vessels of all flags to participate in this trade without restriction."

⁷ Declaration received by the Secretary-General on 17 June 1969.

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
AUSTRALIA	26 September 1968	Papua and the Trust Territory of New Guinea.
NEW ZEALAND	7 August 1968	Cook Islands, Niue Island and the Tokelau Islands.
SPAIN	15 August 1968	The Territories for whose international relations the Spanish Government is responsible.
UNITED KINGDOM	27 September 1968	Hong Kong.

5. (a) Extension with modifications of the International Coffee Agreement, 1968

*Approved by the International Coffee Council in resolution No. 264
of 14 April 1973¹*

EFFECTIVE DATE: 1 October 1973.

REGISTRATION: 1 October 1973, No. 9262.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance² subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures</i>	<i>Definitive acceptance or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures, accession (a)</i>
AUSTRALIA		28 September 1973
BELGIUM	28 September 1973	25 March 1974
BENIN		30 September 1973
BOLIVIA	27 September 1973	9 May 1974
BRAZIL		21 September 1973
BURUNDI		30 September 1973
CANADA		28 September 1973
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE		26 July 1973
COLOMBIA		4 September 1973
CONGO		30 September 1973
COSTA RICA		28 September 1973 ^{2a}
CYPRUS		30 September 1973
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		26 September 1973
DENMARK		9 August 1973
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC		28 September 1973
ECUADOR		13 September 1973
EL SALVADOR	27 September 1973	2 September 1974
ETHIOPIA		28 September 1973
FINLAND	28 September 1973	28 March 1974
FRANCE		30 July 1973
*GABON	28 September 1973	5 August 1974
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³	28 September 1973	15 May 1974
GHANA		28 September 1973
GUATEMALA		20 September 1973
GUINEA		6 August 1973
HAITI		30 September 1973
HONDURAS		30 September 1973
INDIA		28 September 1973
INDONESIA		25 September 1973
IRELAND		8 July 1975 ^a
*IVORY COAST		26 September 1973
JAMAICA		30 September 1973
JAPAN	28 September 1973	26 September 1974
KENYA		15 August 1973
LIBERIA		30 September 1973
LUXEMBOURG	28 September 1973	25 March 1974
*MADAGASCAR		27 September 1973

* With a notification made under article 5 (1) of the Agreement that it is joining the International Coffee Organization as a member of the African and Malagasy Coffee Organization (OAMCAF).

¹ As contemplated in article 69 (2), the extension until 30 September 1975 with modifications of the International Coffee Agreement, 1968, which was to expire on 30 September 1973, was decided at the twenty-second session of the International Coffee Council (12-14 April 1973) in resolution No. 264 approved on 14 April 1973.

² Pending the fulfilment of the appropriate constitutional procedures, the completion of which, in accordance with paragraph 3 of resolution No. 264, should be confirmed to the Secretary-General by 31 March 1974 or on such later date as the Council may decide, an acceptance subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures is regarded as equal in effect to a definitive acceptance.

[footnotes continue on following page]

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance² subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures</i>	<i>Definitive acceptance or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures, accession (a)</i>
MEXICO	28 September 1973	28 March 1974
NETHERLANDS	28 September 1973	5 June 1975
NEW ZEALAND		30 September 1973
NICARAGUA		25 September 1973
NIGERIA		28 May 1974 <i>a</i>
NORWAY		28 September 1973
PANAMA	30 September 1973	21 January 1974
PARAGUAY		30 September 1973
PERU	27 September 1973	19 February 1975
PORTUGAL	27 September 1973	28 March 1974
RWANDA	22 September 1973	13 September 1974
SIERRA LEONE		30 September 1973
SPAIN		28 September 1973
SWEDEN		17 September 1973
SWITZERLAND		28 September 1973
*TOGO		28 September 1973
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO ⁴ ..		1 February 1974 <i>a</i>
UGANDA		13 September 1973
UNITED KINGDOM		28 September 1973
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON		28 September 1973
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	28 September 1973	4 June 1974
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	28 September 1973	30 November 1973
VENEZUELA	28 September 1973	
YUGOSLAVIA		31 March 1975 <i>a</i>
ZAIRE		29 September 1973

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
AUSTRALIA	28 September 1973	Papua New Guinea ⁵
UNITED KINGDOM	28 September 1973	Hong Kong

footnotes continued from previous page]

In this connexion, the Executive Board of the Organization, exercising the powers of the Council, then the Council itself and lastly the Executive Board, decided, on 20 March 1974, 27 September 1974 and 18 March 1975, respectively, to extend the time-limit for confirmation to 30 September 1974, 31 March 1975 and 30 September 1975, successively.

^{2a} Definitive acceptance by Costa Rica was confirmed in a subsequent notification received on 2 April 1974.

³ In a notification received on 26 August 1974 the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it has entered into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

* With a declaration under article 5(1) of the Agreement to the effect that it is joining the International Coffee Organization as a member of the African and Malagasy Coffee Organization (OAMCAF).

⁴ Accession as an exporting member. In accordance with paragraph 3 of resolution 269 of the International Coffee Council adopted on 2 February 1974, Trinidad and Tobago is considered member of the International Coffee Organization as of 1 October 1973.

⁵ With a declaration to the effect that the Government of Australia and the Government of Papua New Guinea shall together constitute a joint exporting member of the International Coffee Organization.

On 23 June 1975, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Australia the following declaration made in accordance with article 4 of the International Coffee Agreement, 1968, as extended with modifications until 30 September 1975:

"Australia shall participate in the International Coffee Organisation separately with respect to its dependent territory of Papua New Guinea and the Government of Papua New Guinea shall have separate membership of the said Organisation in accordance with article 4 of the said Agreement."

6. International Sugar Agreement, 1968

Open for signature at New York from 3 to 24 December 1968¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: provisionally on 1 January 1969, in accordance with paragraph (2) of article 63, and definitively on 17 June 1969 in accordance with paragraph (1) of article 63.

REGISTRATION: 1 January 1969, No. 9369.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 654, p. 3.

State	Signature	Notification ²	Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a) ^{2a}	
ARGENTINA	24 December 1968	31 December 1968	18 December	1969
AUSTRALIA	17 December 1968	20 December 1968	23 May	1969
BARBADOS	20 December 1968	24 December 1968	18 April	1969
BOLIVIA			18 March	1969 a
BRAZIL	18 December 1968	18 December 1968	13 May	1969
CANADA	19 December 1968		23 December	1968
CHILE			22 February	1973 a
CHINA ³				
COLOMBIA	3 December 1968	31 December 1968	31 December	1969
CONGO			15 December	1969 a
CUBA	18 December 1968	18 December 1968	22 May	1969
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	23 December 1968	31 December 1968	7 March	1969 AA
DENMARK	23 December 1968	23 December 1968	13 April	1970
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	18 December 1968	30 December 1968	13 November	1969
FIJI			17 October	1970 ^{3a}
FINLAND		9 June 1969	6 March	1970 a
GHANA		2 May 1969	17 September	1969 a
GUATEMALA	18 December 1968	20 December 1968	31 December	1969
GUYANA	23 December 1968	24 December 1968	7 March	1969
HONDURAS	16 December 1968	17 February 1969	23 December	1969
HUNGARY	23 December 1968	30 December 1968 ²	9 July	1969

¹ The text of the Agreement was established by the United Nations Sugar Conference, 1968, which met at Geneva from 17 April to 1 June 1968 and from 23 September to 24 October 1968. It was adopted by the Conference at its final plenary meeting held on 24 October 1968. For the report summarizing the proceedings of the Conference and for the text of the resolutions adopted by the Conference, see *United Nations Sugar Conference, 1968, Summary of Proceedings*, TD/SUGAR.7/12 (United Nations publication, Sales number: E.69.II.D.6).

² Listed in this column are notifications provided for in paragraph (1) of article 61 and indicating, pursuant to paragraph (1) of article 62, that the Governments concerned will apply the Agreement provisionally, with the exceptions of the notifications by the Governments of Hungary, Sweden and Venezuela, which did not contain such indication. The Governments of Hungary and Sweden notified the Secretary-General of the provisional application of the Agreement on 15 and 14 January 1969, respectively.

^{2a} At its second session, held in London from 28-30 May 1969, the International Sugar Council took, *inter alia*, the following decision:

"Considering the number of still outstanding instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval from Members which were signatory Governments and the difficulties foreseen by many of these Members in securing a deposit of their instruments by 1 July 1969, the date referred to in article 61, the Council agreed, under paragraph (2) of article 61, to extend to 31 December 1969 the time-limit for the deposit of the appropriate instruments. The Council similarly agreed to extend to that date the time limit for the deposit of the instruments of accession by Governments for whom it has established conditions of accession under article 64 at its first and second sessions."

The conditions of accession to the International Sugar Agreement, 1968, were established by the International Sugar Council as follows: at its first session, in resolutions numbers 4,

5, 6, 7 and 8, respectively, all approved on 31 January 1969, for the Governments of India, Bolivia, the Philippines, the Congo (Brazzaville) and Ghana; and at its second session, in resolutions numbers 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13, respectively, all approved on 30 May 1969, for the Governments of Sierra Leone, Malawi, Ireland, Uganda and Finland.

Subsequently, in November 1969, the conditions of accession to the Agreement were established by the Executive Committee, acting on behalf of the International Sugar Council, for the Governments of Nigeria, the Republic of Korea, Syria and Thailand, and, in February 1970, for the Government of Cameroon.

At its third session, the Council agreed to extend to 9 March 1970 the time limit for deposit of the instrument of accession by Finland. It also agreed that other Members having difficulties in securing a deposit of their instruments, should approach the Executive Committee before 31 December 1969. Pursuant to this decision, the Executive Committee agreed to the extension of time-limit to 1 July 1970 for Denmark, the Philippines and Portugal, and to a further extension to 1 July 1971 for the Philippines and Portugal.

³ Signature, notification and ratification on 16 December 1968, 16 December 1968 and 8 September 1969 respectively on behalf of the Republic of China. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

^{3a} In a communication dated on 10 October 1970, which was received by the Secretary-General on 17 October 1970, the Government of Fiji notified him as follows: "... Fiji attained independence on 10th October, 1970 and the Government of Fiji declares pursuant to paragraph 2 of article 66 of the International Sugar Agreement that as from the date of this notification it has assumed the rights and obligations of a Contracting Party to the Agreement."

State	Signature	Notification ²	Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a) ³
INDIA			4 February 1969 <i>a</i>
INDONESIA	24 December 1968	30 December 1968	18 June 1969 <i>A</i>
IRELAND			11 September 1969 <i>a</i>
JAMAICA	3 December 1968		27 December 1968
JAPAN	23 December 1968	23 December 1968	17 June 1969 <i>A</i>
KENYA	18 December 1968		30 December 1968
LEBANON			1 March 1972 <i>a</i>
MADAGASCAR	23 December 1968	31 December 1968	4 August 1969
MALAWI			9 July 1969 <i>a</i>
MALAYSIA			29 December 1972 <i>a</i>
MAURITIUS	11 December 1968		23 December 1968 <i>A</i>
MEXICO	20 December 1968	27 December 1968	29 December 1969
NEW ZEALAND	23 December 1968		23 December 1968
NICARAGUA	23 December 1968	30 December 1968	
NIGERIA			13 February 1970 <i>a</i>
PERU	24 December 1968	31 December 1968	10 December 1969
PHILIPPINES		29 January 1969	22 March 1971 <i>a</i>
POLAND	23 December 1968	23 December 1968	31 December 1969
PORTUGAL ^{3b}	20 December 1968	31 December 1968	31 December 1970
REPUBLIC OF KOREA		31 August 1972 ²	20 December 1972 <i>a</i>
SINGAPORE			1 August 1972 <i>a</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	12 December 1968		24 December 1968
SWAZILAND	23 December 1968	23 December 1968	18 February 1969
SWEDEN	20 December 1968	20 December 1968 ²	23 July 1969
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC			7 May 1970 <i>a</i>
THAILAND			29 December 1969 <i>a</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	23 December 1968		23 December 1968
UGANDA			30 June 1969 <i>a</i>
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	23 December 1968		30 December 1968 <i>AA</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	20 December 1968	20 December 1968	12 March 1969
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON			22 June 1970 <i>a</i>
VENEZUELA	23 December 1968	27 December 1968 ²	

Declarations and Reservations⁴

CHILE

The accession of the Republic of Chile to the Agreement is not to be deemed to imply any waiver of the right to continue the programme for increasing sugar-

beet cultivation called for by Chilean agricultural and sugar-production policy, since the expansion of sugar-beet cultivation is intended not only to increase sugar production but also to promote greater yields in other types of farming which involve crop rotation.

^{3b} The instrument of ratification deposited with the Secretary-General was issued in the name of the Portuguese Republic. In reply to inquiries made by the Secretary-General and the Executive Director of the International Sugar Organization, the Government of Portugal had declared *inter alia* that in terms of article 1 of the Portuguese Constitution, Portugal is a unitary republic comprising the territories listed therein—and these cover the Portuguese Overseas Provinces—that the signature of the Agreement by Portugal under article 59, its notification under article 61 (1) and its indication under article 62 (1) all extend to Portugal's entire national territory, including the Overseas Provinces.

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 7 June 1971, the Permanent Representative of Nigeria to the United Nations, referring to the ratification of the Agreement by Portugal, stated the following:

"The Permanent Representative has been instructed to state that the Federal Republic of Nigeria, as a Party to the International Sugar Agreement 1968, does not recognise any right by the Portuguese Republic, implied or expressed, to extend the provisions of the Agreement to the so-called 'Portuguese Overseas Provinces'. The Portuguese Govern-

ment holds, and continues to colonise the African territories of Angola, Mozambique and Guinea (Bissau), in violation of the rights of the peoples of these territories to self-determination and freedom and contrary to the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Territories and Peoples, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other pertinent resolutions of United Nations organs. The Federal Republic of Nigeria does not recognise any claim by Portugal to the aforementioned African territories as 'Portuguese Overseas Provinces' constituting parts of its own national territory."

On 10 August and 1 October 1971, respectively, the Secretary-General received similar communications from the Permanent Missions of Uganda and Kenya to the United Nations.

⁴ Among the decisions reached at its first session held at London from 20 to 31 January 1969, the International Sugar Council noted the withdrawal by the Government of Peru of its reservation and agreed that no action on its part under article 65 (2) (c) of the Agreement was required in respect of the reservation made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the declarations made by the

[Footnotes continue on following page

CUBA⁵

The signing on behalf of the Republic of Cuba of the present International Sugar Agreement, 1968, which in article 40 and in annex B contains a reference to China (Taiwan), does not in any sense signify that the Cuban Government recognizes the authority of the Government of Chiang Kai-shek over the territory of Taiwan or that it recognizes the so-called 'Nationalist Government of China' as the legal or competent Government of China.

HUNGARY⁵

"1. The Hungarian People's Republic deems it necessary to point to the discriminatory nature of articles 59 and 64 of the Agreement. The provisions of these articles deprive several States of the opportunity to sign the Agreement or to accede to it. The Agreement settles questions which touch upon the interests of all States and therefore—in conformity with the sovereign equality of States—no State must be prevented from becoming a party to it.

"2. The provision of article 66 extending the Agreement to the territories for whose international relations any one of the Contracting Parties is responsible is out of date and contrary to the United Nations General Assembly resolution of 14 December 1960 on the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples.

"3. In many places the Agreement distinguishes between mainland China and Taiwan. In this connection the Hungarian People's Republic declares that the Chiang Kai-shek régime cannot act as representative of China. There exists only one Chinese State in the world—the People's Republic of China.

"4. The Hungarian People's Republic calls attention to the fact that in article 36 of the Agreement the German Democratic Republic, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and the Democratic Republic of Vietnam are designated by improper names.

"5. The Hungarian People's Republic declares that the reference made to the so-called Republic of Vietnam in Annex B to the Agreement is unwarranted, because representatives of the Saigon régime cannot act in the name of Vietnam."

INDIA⁵*Declaration*

"Since the Government of India do not recognize the Nationalist Chinese authorities as the competent Government of China, they cannot regard signature of the

footnote continued from previous page]

Governments of Cuba, Poland, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

In the report to the International Sugar Organization of 20 February 1969 on the accession of India to the Agreement, the Executive Director of the Organization, referring to the above declaration and reservations, stated that the declaration was identical in terms to that made by India on accession to the 1958 Agreement on 13 July 1961; and that the reservations were similar in terms and effect to its reservations to the 1958 Agreement and fell, therefore, under the provisions of article 65 (2) (a).

⁵ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 5 March 1969, the Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations, referring to "certain statements and reservations regarding the signing of the International Sugar Agree-

ment by a Nationalist Chinese representative as a valid signature on behalf of China."

Reservations

"Without prejudice to the general obligations under the present Agreement, the Government of India undertakes to discharge its obligations under article 50 relating to support measures, article 52 relating to maximum stocks, article 53 relating to minimum stocks, and article 55 relating to customs duties, internal taxes and fiscal charges and quantitative or other controls, only to the extent consistent with its policy in the fields of controls, taxation and pricing which it is pursuing in the process of developing its economy on a planned basis."

PERU⁶

The Government of Peru, in signing the International Sugar Agreement, 1968, which it proposes to ratify in due course, wishes to place on record its reservations to all those provisions of the Agreement which may affect the right of Peru to claim an increase in its sales quota for sugar whenever special circumstances prevent export to international markets, subject to special arrangements.

POLAND⁵

"The signing of the International Sugar Agreement in the provisions of which China (Taiwan) is mentioned may under no circumstances be regarded as a recognition by the Government of the Polish People's Republic of the authority of the Kuomintang over the territory of Taiwan of the so-called 'Chinese nationalist government'.

"The Government of the Polish People's Republic considers that the provisions of articles 13, 59 and 64 of the International Sugar Agreement, the effect of which is to prevent sovereign States from becoming parties to the Agreement or from taking part as observers in the work of the International Sugar Organization, are of a discriminatory nature. The Agreement, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, should be open for the participation of all States without any discrimination or restrictions whatsoever."

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS⁵*Reservation*

It is understood that, in view of the socio-economic system prevailing in the USSR, the provisions of the

ment of 1968 on behalf of the Chinese Government", made the following declaration:

"The Republic of China, a sovereign state and member of the United Nations, attended the 1968 United Nations Sugar Conference, contributed to the formulation of the International Sugar Agreement of 1968 and signed the Agreement on 16 December 1968. Any statements or reservations relating to the Agreement that are incompatible with or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China under the said Agreement."

⁶ In a communication received on 10 March 1969, the Government of Peru notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of this reservation which had been made on its behalf at the time of signature of the Agreement.

articles of the Agreement relating to the limitations of production, maximum and minimum stocks of sugar and the subsidization of production and exports do not apply to the USSR.

Declarations

(a) In the event that the European Economic Community accedes to the Agreement, the participation of the USSR in the Agreement shall not be deemed to imply recognition by it of the European Economic Community and shall not give rise to any obligations on the part of the USSR in respect of the Community.

(b) The provisions of articles 4 and 66 of the Agreement, which provide that Contracting Parties may extend the Agreement to territories for whose international relations they are responsible, are outmoded and at variance with the United Nations General Assembly's Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960).

(c) The provisions of the Agreement restricting the opportunity for certain States to participate in it are contrary to the generally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States.

(d) In connexion with the reference in the Agreement to China (mainland) and China (Taiwan), the Soviet Union deems it necessary to state that the Chiang Kai-shek clique does not represent anyone and is not entitled to speak on behalf of China. There is only one Chinese State in the world, namely, the People's Republic of China.

(e) In article 36 of the Agreement, the names of the German Democratic Republic, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and the Democratic Republic of Viet-Nam are distorted.

(f) The reference in Annex B of the Agreement to the so-called "Republic of Viet-Nam" is illegal, since the Saigon authorities cannot in any sense speak on behalf of Viet-Nam.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND⁵

"Since the Government of the United Kingdom do not recognise the Nationalist Chinese Authorities as the competent Government of China, they cannot regard the signature of the Agreement by a Nationalist Chinese Representative as a valid signature on behalf of China."

Territorial application

<i>Notification by</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>	<i>Extension to</i>
AUSTRALIA	20 December 1968	The Territory of Papua and the Trust Territory of New Guinea.
UNITED KINGDOM ⁷	20 December 1968	Antigua, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, British Virgin Islands, Fiji, ⁸ Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony, Gibraltar, Montserrat, Seychelles, St. Helena.
	16 January 1969	St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla.
	27 January 1969	Bahama Islands, Turks and Caicos Islands.
	12 March 1969	Bermuda and Tonga.
	9 April 1969	[Brunei] ⁹ and Dominica.

Withdrawal

<i>State</i>	<i>Date of receipt of notification</i>
THAILAND	30 July 1971

⁷In the said notification, the Government of the United Kingdom declared that the notification is given without prejudice to its right to make further extensions at a later date, that it will apply the Agreement provisionally on behalf of the territories referred to above, pursuant to paragraph (1) of article 62, and that it intends, on ratification of the Agreement, to exercise, as a Contracting Party, its rights under article 4 and to make notification to the Secretary-General under paragraph (3) of article 66 to the effect that it wishes to apply for separate membership for Antigua, British Honduras and Fiji.

On deposit of the instrument of ratification, the Government of the United Kingdom notified the Secretary-General, in accordance with paragraph (3) of article 66 of the Agreement, that it wished to exercise its rights under article 4 to have separate membership extended to Antigua, British Honduras, Fiji and St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla.

⁸ See footnote 3a, p. 466.

⁹ In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 26 March 1970, the Government of the United Kingdom declared that the Agreement shall cease to apply to Brunei.

7. Agreement establishing the Asian Coconut Community

Opened for signature at Bangkok on 12 December 1968¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 July 1969, in accordance with article 12.

REGISTRATION: 30 July 1969, No. 9733.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 684, p. 163, and vol. 803 (Amendment to article 11(2)).

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, acceptance (A) accession (a)</i>
INDIA	12 December 1968	18 June 1969
INDONESIA	12 December 1968	30 July 1969 <i>A</i>
MALAYSIA	30 June 1969	22 February 1972
PAPUA NEW GUINEA		11 November 1976 <i>a</i>
PHILIPPINES	12 December 1968	26 August 1969
SAMOA		28 December 1972 <i>a</i>
SRI LANKA	11 March 1969	25 April 1969
THAILAND	26 June 1969	

¹ The Agreement was drawn up at the meeting of the Inter-Governmental Consultations on the Asian Coconut Community, held at the headquarters of the Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East in Bangkok from 26 to 28 November 1968, which was attended by the representatives of the Governments of Sri Lanka, India, Indonesia, the Philippines, Singapore and Thailand and of the United Nations Development Programme and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

At its Fifth regular session, held at Djakarta, Indonesia, from 16 to 21 December 1971, the Asian Coconut Community decided by its resolution ACC (V) 1 dated 16 December 1971, in accordance with article 15 of the Agreement, to modify article 11(2) of the latter, to read: "Instruments of ratification or acceptance shall be deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations *not later than 31 December 1972.*"

8. Agreement establishing the Pepper Community

Opened for signature at Bangkok on 16 April 1971¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 29 March 1972, in accordance with article 12.

REGISTRATION: 29 March 1972, No. 11654.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 818.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</i>	
INDIA	21 April	1971	29 March	1972
INDONESIA	21 April	1971	1 November	1971
MALAYSIA	21 April	1971	22 March	1972

¹ This Agreement was drawn up at the meeting of the Inter-Governmental Consultations on the Pepper Community, held at the headquarters of the Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East in Bangkok from 24 to 27 February 1971, which was attended by the representatives of the Governments of Sri Lanka, India, Indonesia and Malaysia and of the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization and the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.

9. International Cocoa Agreement, 1972

Concluded at Geneva on 21 October 1972¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 30 June 1973, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 67.²

REGISTRATION: 30 June 1973, No. 12652.

TEXT: TD/COCOA.3/9.

<i>State or Organization</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Undertaking of provisional application</i>	<i>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a), notification under article 70 (4) (n)</i>
*ALGERIA	12 January 1973	22 June 1973	20 November 1973
AUSTRALIA ³	12 January 1973		27 April 1973
*AUSTRIA	9 January 1973		29 June 1973
*BELGIUM	3 January 1973	28 June 1973	
*BRAZIL	12 January 1973		25 June 1973
*BULGARIA	15 January 1973		10 May 1973 AA
CANADA	12 January 1973		23 March 1973
*CHILE	12 January 1973	22 June 1973	26 September 1974
*COLOMBIA	12 January 1973	29 June 1973	
*CUBA	15 January 1973	23 April 1973	4 September 1974
CZECHOSLOVAKIA			15 March 1974 a
*DENMARK	20 November 1972	30 April 1973	29 June 1973
*ECUADOR	15 January 1973	15 January 1973	7 September 1973
*EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY	15 January 1973	29 June 1973	
*FINLAND	15 January 1973		27 June 1973
*FRANCE	22 November 1972	30 June 1973	2 August 1973 AA
GABON			30 September 1974 a
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			20 January 1975 a
*GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ⁴	12 January 1973	29 June 1973	7 February 1974
GHANA	22 November 1972		27 February 1973
GRENADA			5 February 1975 a
*GUATEMALA	15 January 1973	13 June 1973	20 September 1973
*HONDURAS	15 January 1973	29 June 1973	

¹ State or Organization having notified the Secretary-General, in accordance with article 65 (1) of the Agreement, that it was undertaking to seek ratification, acceptance or approval of the Agreement in accordance with its constitutional procedures as rapidly as possible on or before 30 April 1973 or in any case within a period of two months thereafter. In this regard, the International Cocoa Council decided on 2 August 1973, in accordance with article 64 (3), to extend to 31 March 1974 the time limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval for States which, having indicated that they would apply the Agreement provisionally (article 66), were not able to effect such deposit before 30 June 1973. Subsequently, the International Cocoa Council decided, at its second session held in London from 11 to 15 March 1974, to extend that time-limit to 30 September 1974, at its third session held at London from 27 to 30 August, to extend it to 31 March 1975, at its fourth session held in London from 10 to 14 March 1975, to extend it to 30 September 1975, at its fifth session held in London from 19 to 21 August 1975, to extend it to 31 March 1976 and finally, at its sixth session, held in London from 16 to 18 March 1976, to extend it to 29 September 1976.

² The text of the Agreement was established by the United Nations Cocoa Conference, 1972, which met at Geneva from 6 to 28 March 1972 and from 11 September to 21 October 1972. It was adopted by the Conference at its final plenary meeting, held on 21 October 1972, and was open for signature at New York from 15 November 1972 to 15 January 1973. For the report summarizing the proceedings of the Conference and for

the text of the resolutions adopted by the Conference, see *United Nations Cocoa Conference, 1972, Summary of Proceedings* (United Nations Publications, Sales No. 73.II.D.9.).

³ The Agreement entered into force provisionally on 30 June 1973, the requirements to that effect contained in its article 67 (2), in the interpretation accepted by the Governments concerned, having been met on that date.

⁴ With reference to article 70 (1), the Secretary-General received on 28 September 1973 a notification from the Government of Australia to the effect that the provisions of the Agreement would also apply to the territory of Papua/New Guinea.

⁵ With a declaration to the effect that the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

In this connexion, a communication was received on 10 July 1974 from the Government of Czechoslovakia, to the effect that the Government of Czechoslovakia can take cognizance of the declarations of the Federal Republic of Germany regarding the application of international treaties on West Berlin always only under the assumption that such application is carried out in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of September 3, 1971, and in keeping with the established procedures.

Communications identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, were received on 24 July 1974 and 20 January 1975 (on accession), respectively, from the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the German Democratic Republic.

<i>State or Organization</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Undertaking of provisional application</i>		<i>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a), notification under article 70 (4) (n)</i>
*HUNGARY	15 January 1973			22 May 1973
*IRELAND	12 January 1973			28 June 1973
*ITALY	12 January 1973	27 June	1973	26 September 1975
IVORY COAST	5 January 1973			24 April 1973
*JAMAICA	15 January 1973			29 June 1973
*JAPAN	15 January 1973	29 June	1973	27 September 1973 AA
*LUXEMBOURG	3 January 1973	28 June	1973	
*NETHERLANDS	27 November 1972	29 June	1973	1 April 1974 ^b
NEW ZEALAND				25 October 1973 a
NIGERIA	12 January 1973			30 April 1973
NORWAY	12 January 1973	27 June	1973	2 August 1973 AA
PAPUA NEW GUINEA				16 September 1975 n
PERU				1 March 1976 a
PHILIPPINES				14 January 1974 a
*PORTUGAL	8 January 1973	30 April	1973	30 August 1974
ROMANIA	15 January 1973			26 April 1973
SAMOA ^b	15 January 1973			19 December 1973
SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE ..				24 July 1975 n
*SPAIN	15 January 1973	29 June	1973	2 August 1973
SWEDEN	19 December 1972			25 April 1973
*SWITZERLAND	9 January 1973			26 June 1973
TOGO	21 December 1972	29 June	1973	30 June 1973
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	15 January 1973			30 April 1973
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	9 January 1973			10 April 1973
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS ...	9 January 1973			23 April 1973 A
*UNITED KINGDOM	15 November 1972	18 June	1973	2 August 1973
*VENEZUELA	15 January 1973	27 April	1973	30 June 1975
*YUGOSLAVIA	15 January 1973			26 June 1973
ZAIRE				25 August 1975 a

^b For the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles. With a notification to the effect that the Netherlands will participate as an importing member, in view of the position of Surinam.

^c During its third series of meetings held in London from 21 to 23 November 1973, the Executive Committee of the

International Cocoa Council decided to extend to Western Samoa, which had not made a declaration of provisional application, the benefit of the extension of the time limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval to 31 March 1974.

Declarations and Reservations

BULGARIA

Upon signature:

The restriction contained in article 63 of the International Cocoa Agreement, 1972, which prevents certain States from becoming parties, is contrary to the universal principle of the sovereign equality of States and, in particular, of States which abide by the principles of the United Nations. All States throughout the world are equal under the law, and they should accordingly have the right to become parties to the International Cocoa Agreement, 1972.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares that articles 2, 3, and 70 of the Agreement are not in harmony with the contents and spirit of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December 1960 by resolution 1514 (XV).

"In the opinion of the Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic articles 63 and 68 of the Agreement are discriminatory in nature since they prevent certain States to become Parties to the Agreement".

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

In respect of article 14 and article 68(1):

The Government of the German Democratic Republic deems it necessary to point out that the provisions of articles 14 and 68 of the International Cocoa Agreement, 1972, deny certain States the opportunity to acquire the status of observer or member.

The Cocoa Agreement regulates questions affecting the interests of all States. The Government of the German Democratic Republic therefore holds the view that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, all interested States should, without discrimination of any kind, be given the opportunity to become observers or members under this Agreement.

In respect of article 70:

The position of the Government of the German Democratic Republic with regard to article 70 of the International Cocoa Agreement, 1972, in so far as that article relates to the territorial application of the Agreement to colonial Territories and other dependent Territories, is guided by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

ITALY

Upon signature:

"The Italian Government declares that if in the future any Member State of the European Economic Community withdraws from the International Cocoa Agreement, the Italian Government would have to reconsider its position as a Party to the Agreement.

"This declaration is made in accordance with article 71 of the Agreement."

ROMANIA

Upon signature (confirmed upon ratification):

1. The Government of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance of the dependent status of certain territories, to which reference is made in the provisions of articles 3, 59 and 70, is contrary to the Charter of the United Nations and to the instruments adopted by the United Nations with regard

to the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, adopted unanimously by the United Nations General Assembly in 1970 (resolution 2625 (XXV)), which solemnly proclaims the duty of States to promote realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring a speedy end to colonialism.

2. The Government of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of articles 14 and 68 of the Agreement are contrary to the principle that multilateral treaties should be open for participation by all States to which the aim and purpose of such treaties are of interest.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

(a) The provisions of articles 63 and 68 of the Agreement, which restrict the opportunity for certain States to participate in it, are contrary to the generally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States.

(b) The provisions of articles 2, 3 and 70 of the Agreement concerning the right of the Contracting Parties to extend the Agreement to territories for whose international relations they are responsible are outmoded and at variance with the United Nations General Assembly's Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaimed the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

Territorial application*Notification by:*

UNITED KINGDOM

Date of reception of the notification

24 May 1974

17 June 1974

*Application to:*St. Lucia⁷St. Vincent⁸

Dominica

⁷ As a separate member of the International Cocoa Organization.

⁸ As a joint member of the International Cocoa Organization with the United Kingdom.

10. International Sugar Agreement, 1973

Concluded at Geneva on 13 October 1973¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 1 January 1974 [see article 36(2)], and definitively on 15 October 1974, in accordance with article 36(1).

Validity extended until 31 December 1976: see p. 457.

REGISTRATION: 1 January 1974.

TEXT: TD/SUGAR.8/4 of 16 October 1973 and Secretariat circular letter No. C.N.273.1974.TREATIES-16 of 24 October 1974.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Undertaking of provisional application</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</i>
*ALGERIA	21 December 1973	21 December 1973	
*ARGENTINA	19 December 1973	19 December 1973	14 November 1975 <i>a</i>
AUSTRALIA	19 December 1973		19 December 1973
*BANGLADESH	24 December 1973	21 January 1974	15 October 1974
BARBADOS	21 December 1973		28 December 1973
*BOLIVIA	21 December 1973		11 June 1974
*BRAZIL	18 December 1973	26 December 1973	15 October 1974
*CANADA	14 December 1973	31 December 1973	4 January 1974
*CHILE	6 December 1973	6 December 1973	27 December 1974
*COLOMBIA	21 December 1973	29 January 1974	29 November 1976 <i>a</i>
CONGO	24 December 1973		
*COSTA RICA	21 December 1973	9 January 1974	6 October 1975 <i>a</i>
*CUBA	19 December 1973	19 December 1973	30 December 1974
*CZECHOSLOVAKIA	21 December 1973		27 December 1973 <i>AA</i>
*DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	19 December 1973	19 December 1973	2 October 1974
ECUADOR	21 December 1973		23 May 1974
EGYPT		21 August 1974	25 June 1975 <i>a</i>
*EL SALVADOR	19 December 1973	14 May 1974	10 October 1974
FIJI	21 December 1973		27 December 1973
*FINLAND	21 December 1973	21 December 1973	17 June 1974
*GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	24 December 1973		15 January 1974 <i>AA</i>
*GHANA	21 December 1973		22 January 1974
*GUATEMALA	23 November 1973	27 December 1973	15 November 1974
GUYANA	24 December 1973		31 December 1973
*HUNGARY	21 December 1973	28 December 1973	26 February 1974
INDIA			27 March 1974 <i>a</i>
*INDONESIA	20 December 1973	21 December 1973	19 December 1974
IRAQ	24 December 1973		
JAMAICA	19 December 1973		31 December 1973
JAPAN	21 December 1973		27 December 1973 <i>A</i>
*KENYA	18 December 1973		
*LEBANON	18 December 1973		
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC			10 October 1975 <i>a</i>
*MADAGASCAR	24 December 1973		9 December 1974
*MALAWI	5 December 1973	28 December 1973	12 June 1974
MALAYSIA	20 December 1973		31 December 1973
MAURITIUS	12 December 1973		19 December 1973 <i>A</i>

* State having notified the Secretary-General, in accordance with article 34 (1), that it was undertaking to seek ratification, approval or accession in accordance with the constitutional procedures required, as rapidly as possible and in any case not later than 15 October 1974. On 14 October 1974, the Executive Committee of the International Sugar Organisation, acting on behalf of the Council of the International Sugar Organisation, decided, in accordance with article 34, paragraph 2 of the Agreement, to extend to 15 April 1975 the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, of acceptance, of approval or of accession.

¹ The text of the Agreement was established by the United Nations Sugar Conference, 1973, which met at Geneva from 7 to 30 May 1973 and from 10 September to 13 October 1973. It was adopted by the Conference at its final Plenary meeting held on 13 October 1973. For the report summarizing the proceedings of the Conference and the text of the resolutions adopted by the Conference, see *United Nations Sugar Conference 1973, Summary Proceedings (TD/SUGAR.8/6)*. The Agreement was opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, at New York, on 25 October 1973 until 24 December 1973, in accordance with its article 33.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Undertaking of provisional application</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</i>
*MEXICO	19 December 1973	19 December 1973	15 April 1975
*MOROCCO	24 December 1973		12 March 1974
NEW ZEALAND	21 December 1973		27 December 1973
*NICARAGUA	17 December 1973		3 December 1974
NIGERIA		31 May 1974	13 March 1975 <i>a</i>
*PANAMA	29 November 1973		16 January 1975
*PARAGUAY	21 December 1973	31 December 1973	24 November 1975 <i>a</i>
*PERU	21 December 1973	30 August 1974	14 April 1975
*PHILIPPINES	21 December 1973		15 May 1974
*POLAND	21 December 1973	21 December 1973	27 February 1975 <i>A</i>
*PORTUGAL	30 November 1973	21 December 1973	20 January 1975
*REPUBLIC OF KOREA	21 December 1973		27 March 1974
*SINGAPORE	20 December 1973	16 January 1974	5 February 1974
SOUTH AFRICA	19 December 1973		27 December 1973
SWAZILAND	13 December 1973		28 December 1973
SWEDEN	12 December 1973		12 December 1973
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC ...	18 December 1973		
THAILAND	21 December 1973		27 December 1973
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO ...	24 December 1973		27 December 1973
UGANDA	21 December 1973		31 December 1973
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS ..	21 December 1973	27 December 1973	29 April 1974 <i>AA</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	20 December 1973		27 December 1973 ²
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	21 December 1973 ³		17 September 1974 <i>a</i>
*YUGOSLAVIA	4 December 1973	21 January 1974	15 October 1974

Declarations and Reservations

CUBA⁴

Declarations made on 2 July 1974 with reference to the signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Republic of Cuba considers that the provisions of article 38 of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973, are no longer applicable because they are contrary to the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December 1960 (resolution 1514 (XV)), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

Ratification of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973, by the Republic of Cuba cannot be interpreted as recognition or acceptance of the Republic of Korea, which is referred to in annex B of the Agreement.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

“(a) The provisions of articles 4 and 38, which are extending the Agreement to the territories for whose international relations any one of the Contracting Par-

ties is responsible, are outmoded and contrary to the United Nations General Assembly's Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960);

“(b) In connection with the reference made in Annex B of the Agreement to the Republic of Korea, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares that the South Korean authorities cannot in any case speak on behalf of Korea.”

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The position of the German Democratic Republic concerning the provisions of the Agreement relating to its application to colonial and other dependent territories is based on the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

HUNGARY

Upon signature:

“The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that the provisions of article 38 of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973, are contrary to United Nations General Assembly Resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960 on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples.”

* See page 475.

² In respect of Belize and St. Christopher-Nevis-Anguilla.

³ Since its instrument of ratification could not be deposited within the time-limit that had been provided for, the Government of the United Republic of Cameroon resorted to the accession procedure contemplated under article 37 of the Agreement.

⁴ The Secretary-General received on 2 January 1974 the declarations in question from the Permanent Representative of Cuba to the United Nations with reference to the signing of the Agreement in the name of Cuba.

Declaration received on 3 May 1974 in reference to the signing of the Agreement:

“(a) The provisions of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973 restricting the opportunity for certain States to participate in it are contrary to the generally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States;

“(b) The reference in Annex B of the Agreement to the so-called Republic of Korea is illegal, since the South Korean authorities cannot speak on behalf of the whole of Korea”.

INDIA

“Without prejudice to the general obligations under the present Agreement, the Government of India undertakes to discharge its obligations under article 28 relating to customs duties, internal taxes and fiscal charges and quantitative or other controls only to the extent consistent with its policy in the fields of controls, taxation and pricing which it is pursuing in the process of developing its economy on a planned basis.”

POLAND

“The reference to the International Sugar Agreement in the annex to the so-called Republic of Korea is il-

legal since the authorities of South Korea cannot represent entire Korea.”

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declaration formulated upon signature and confirmed upon approval:

(a) The provisions of articles 4 and 38 of the Agreement regarding the extension of the rights and obligations of Governments under the Agreement to territories for whose international relations they are responsible are outmoded and at variance with the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaimed the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations;

(b) The provisions of the Agreement restricting the opportunity for certain States to participate in it are contrary to the generally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States;

(c) The reference in the annex to the Agreement to the so-called Republic of Korea is illegal, since the South Korean authorities cannot speak on behalf of the whole of Korea.

10. (a) Extension of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973

Approved by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 1 of 30 September 1975¹

EFFECTIVE DATE: 1 January 1976, in accordance with paragraph 2 of Resolution No. 1 adopted by the International Sugar Council on 30 September 1975.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures²</i>	<i>Definitive acceptance or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures</i>	
ARGENTINA	28 November 1975		
AUSTRALIA	17 December 1975	16 June	1976
BANGLADESH		31 December	1975
BARBADOS	30 December 1975	18 February	1976
BRAZIL		18 December	1975
CANADA		31 October	1975
CHILE		19 December	1975
COLOMBIA	12 December 1975	29 November	1976
COSTA RICA		30 December	1975
CUBA		3 December	1975
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		23 December	1975
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	29 December 1975	4 February	1976
ECUADOR		30 December	1975
EL SALVADOR	21 November 1975	6 May	1976
FIJI		18 November	1975
FINLAND	12 December 1975	5 April	1976
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ³		14 November	1975
GUATEMALA	10 November 1975	11 October	1976
GUYANA		26 November	1975
HUNGARY		29 December	1975
INDIA		31 December	1975
INDONESIA	24 December 1975	28 June	1976
JAMAICA		30 December	1975
JAPAN		9 December	1975
MALAWI		31 December	1975
MALAYSIA		29 December	1975
MAURITIUS		5 December	1975
MEXICO	31 December 1975	19 May	1976
NEW ZEALAND		17 December	1975
NICARAGUA	24 November 1975	9 February	1976
PANAMA		19 November	1975
PARAGUAY		31 December	1975
PERU	19 November 1975	25 August	1976
PHILIPPINES		29 December	1975
POLAND		3 December	1975
PORTUGAL	18 December 1975	15 June	1976
REPUBLIC OF KOREA		29 December	1975
SINGAPORE		3 December	1975
SOUTH AFRICA		18 November	1975

¹ The International Sugar Agreement of 1973 would have expired on 31 December 1975. By its resolution No 1 of 30 September 1975 the International Sugar Council, acting pursuant to article 42(3) of the Agreement, decided to recommend the extension of the Agreement until 31 December 1976.

² Acceptance of the resolution subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures is considered as equivalent in effect to definitive acceptance.

According to paragraph 3 of the resolution, the notification of fulfilment of constitutional procedures must reach the Secretary-General before 1 July 1976 or at a later date determined by the Council.

On 16 June 1976 the Executive Committee of the International Sugar Council decided to extend until 31 December 1976 the period for the deposit of notifications of fulfilment of constitutional procedures

³ In its notification of acceptance the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that it maintained the declarations relating to articles 4 and 38 made upon depositing the instrument of approval with the Secretary-General of the said Agreement, on 15 January 1974 (see p. 476).

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures²</i>	<i>Definitive acceptance or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures</i>
SWAZILAND		11 December 1975
SWEDEN		5 December 1975
THAILAND		13 November 1975
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		5 December 1975
UGANDA		20 November 1975
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS		24 December 1975
UNITED KINGDOM ⁴		29 December 1975
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON		31 December 1975
YUGOSLAVIA	31 December 1975	28 June 1976

⁴ In respect of Belize and St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla only.

10. (b) International Sugar Agreement, 1973

Concluded at Geneva on 13 October 1973, as extended by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 1 of 30 September 1975¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1976, in accordance with paragraph 2 of resolution No. 1 adopted by the International Sugar Council on 30 September 1975.

REGISTRATION: 1 January 1976.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance of resolution No. 1 of 30 September 1975</i>	<i>Accession (a) acceptance (A) approval (AA)</i>	
ARGENTINA	28 November 1975*		
AUSTRALIA	16 June 1976		
BANGLADESH	31 December 1975		
BARBADOS	18 February 1976		
BOLIVIA		7 May	1976 a ²
BRAZIL	18 December 1975		
CANADA	31 October 1975		
CHILE	19 December 1975		
COLOMBIA	29 November 1976		
COSTA RICA	30 December 1975		
CUBA	3 December 1975		
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	23 December 1975		
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	4 February 1976		
ECUADOR	30 December 1975	11 June	1976 a ²
EGYPT			
EL SALVADOR	6 May 1976		
FIJI	18 November 1975		
FINLAND	5 April 1976		
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	14 November 1975	7 May	1976 a ²
GHANA			
GUATEMALA	11 October 1976		
GUYANA	26 November 1975		
HUNGARY	29 December 1975		
INDIA	31 December 1975		
INDONESIA	28 June 1976	11 March	1976 a
IRAQ			
JAMAICA	30 December 1975		
JAPAN	9 December 1975		
LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC MADAGASCAR		12 July 22 June	1976 a ² 1976 a ²
MALAWI	31 December 1975		
MALAYSIA	29 December 1975		
MAURITIUS	5 December 1975		
MEXICO	19 May 1976		
NEW ZEALAND	17 December 1975		
NICARAGUA	9 February 1976		
NIGERIA		9 June	1976 a ²
PANAMA	19 November 1975		
PARAGUAY	31 December 1975		
PERU	25 August 1976		
PHILIPPINES	29 December 1975		
POLAND	3 December 1975		
PORTUGAL	15 June 1976		
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	29 December 1975		
SINGAPORE	3 December 1975		

* Acceptance subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures.

¹ See note 1, p. 478.

² Pursuant to the conditions of accession established by the International Sugar Council in accordance with the provisions of article 37 of the Agreement, the accession took effect retroactively as from 1 January 1976.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance of resolution No. 1 of 30 September 1975</i>	<i>Accession (a) acceptance (A) approval (AA)</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	18 November 1975	
SWAZILAND	11 December 1975	
SWEDEN	5 December 1975	
THAILAND	13 November 1975	
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	5 December 1975	
UGANDA	20 November 1975	
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS ...	24 December 1975	
UNITED KINGDOM ³	29 December 1975	
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	31 December 1975	
YUGOSLAVIA	28 June 1976	

³ In respect of Belize and St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla only.

Declarations and Reservations

IRAQ

Accession by the Government of Iraq to the said Agreement shall in no way, however, signify recognition of Israel or entry into any dealings therewith.

10. (c) Extension of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973

Approved by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 2 of 18 June 1976¹

EFFECTIVE DATE: 1 January 1977, in accordance with paragraph 2 of resolution No. 2 adopted by the International Sugar Council on 18 June 1976.

REGISTRATION: 1 January 1977.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures²</i>	<i>Definitive acceptance or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures</i>	
ARGENTINA	4 October 1976		
AUSTRALIA		28 December	1976
BANGLADESH		1 December	1976
BARBADOS		2 December	1976
BOLIVIA		31 December	1976
BRAZIL		19 July	1976
CANADA		15 December	1976
COLOMBIA	29 November 1976		
COSTA RICA		19 August	1976
CUBA		8 November	1976 ³
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		28 December	1976
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	16 December 1976		
ECUADOR		22 November	1976
EGYPT		21 December	1976
EL SALVADOR		8 December	1976
FIJI		18 November	1976
FINLAND	30 December 1976		
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		23 December	1976 ⁴
GHANA	31 December 1976		
GUATEMALA		10 November	1976
GUYANA		30 December	1976
HUNGARY		20 December	1976
INDIA		12 November	1976
INDONESIA	31 December 1976		
JAMAICA		2 November	1976
JAPAN		20 December	1976
MALAWI		31 December	1976
MAURITIUS		7 September	1976
MEXICO	20 December 1976		
NEW ZEALAND		21 September	1976
NICARAGUA	10 August 1976	21 September	1976
PANAMA		31 December	1976
PARAGUAY		14 September	1976
PERU	29 December 1976		
PHILIPPINES		31 December	1976
POLAND		1 November	1976
PORTUGAL	31 August 1976		
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	30 December 1976		
SINGAPORE		4 November	1976

¹ The International Sugar Agreement, 1973, as extended, would have expired on 31 December 1976. By its resolution No. 2 of 18 June 1976 the International Sugar Council, acting pursuant to article 42(3) of the Agreement, decided to recommend the extension of the Agreement until 31 December 1977.

² Acceptance of the resolution subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures is considered as equivalent in effect to definitive acceptance. According to paragraph 3 of the resolution, the notification of fulfilment of constitutional procedures must reach the Secretary-General before 1 July 1977 unless the Council sets a later date.

³ Reaffirming the declarations made on behalf of the Government of Cuba upon ratification of the Agreement.

⁴ Maintaining the declaration concerning articles 4 and 38 of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973, made upon the deposit of its instrument of approval on 15 January 1974.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures²</i>	<i>Definitive acceptance or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures</i>
SOUTH AFRICA		8 November 1976
SWAZILAND		27 August 1976
SWEDEN		19 August 1976
THAILAND		5 November 1976
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		29 December 1976
UGANDA		11 November 1976
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS		18 November 1976
UNITED KINGDOM (In respect of Belize and St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla only.)		20 September 1976
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	30 December 1976	
YUGOSLAVIA		28 December 1976

10. (d) International Sugar Agreement, 1973

Concluded at Geneva on 13 October 1973, as extended until 31 December 1976 by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 2 of 18 June 1976

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 28 December 1976, in accordance with paragraph 2 of resolution No. 2 adopted by the International Sugar Council on 18 June 1976.

REGISTRATION: 28 December 1976.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance of resolution No. 2 of 18 June 1976</i>	<i>Accession acceptance approval</i>
ARGENTINA	4 October 1976*	
AUSTRALIA	28 December 1976	
BANGLADESH	1 December 1976	
BARBADOS	2 December 1976	
BOLIVIA	31 December 1976	
BRAZIL	19 July 1976	
CANADA	15 December 1976	
COLOMBIA	29 November 1976*	
COSTA RICA	19 August 1976	
CUBA ..	8 November 1976	
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	28 December 1976	
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	16 December 1976*	
ECUADOR	22 November 1976	
EGYPT ..	21 December 1976	
EL SALVADOR	8 December 1976	
FIJI ..	18 November 1976	
FINLAND	30 December 1976*	
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	23 December 1976	
GHANA ..	31 December 1976*	
GUATEMALA ..	10 November 1976	
GUYANA	30 December 1976	
HUNGARY ..	20 December 1976	
INDIA ..	12 November 1976	
INDONESIA	31 December 1976*	
JAMAICA	2 November 1976	
JAPAN ..	20 December 1976	
MALAWI ..	31 December 1976	
MAURITIUS	7 September 1976	
MEXICO ..	20 December 1976*	
NEW ZEALAND	21 September 1976	
NICARAGUA ..	21 September 1976	
PANAMA ..	31 December 1976	
PARAGUAY ..	14 September 1976	
PERU ..	29 December 1976*	
PHILIPPINES	31 December 1976	
POLAND ..	1 November 1976	
PORTUGAL ..	31 August 1976*	
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	30 December 1976*	
SINGAPORE ..	4 November 1976	
SOUTH AFRICA ..	8 November 1976	
SWAZILAND ..	27 August 1976	
SWEDEN ..	19 August 1976	
THAILAND	5 November 1976	
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	29 December 1976	
UGANDA	11 November 1976	
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	18 November 1976	

* Acceptance subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures.

<i>State</i>	<i>Acceptance of resolution No. 2 of 18 June 1976</i>	<i>Accession acceptance approval</i>
UNITED KINGDOM (In respect of Belize and St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla only.)	20 September 1976	
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	30 December 1976*	
YUGOSLAVIA	28 December 1976	

11. Agreement establishing the Asian Rice Trade Fund

Drawn up at Bangkok on 16 March 1973¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 December 1974, in accordance with article 19.

REGISTRATION: 1 December 1974.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Acceptance, accession (a)</i>	
BANGLADESH	29 June	1973	1 December	1974
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	18 April	1973		
INDIA	29 June	1973	28 November	1974
PHILIPPINES	19 April	1973	11 March	1975 ^{a2}
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ³	16 April	1974	11 March	1975 ^{a2}
SRI LANKA	31 May	1974	29 November	1974

¹ The text of the Agreement was drawn up by the intergovernmental meeting on the establishment of an Asian Rice Trade Fund convened by the United Nations Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East at Bangkok, Thailand, from 12 to 16 March 1973; it was approved and initialled by the representatives of the Khmer Republic, the Philippines, Sri Lanka and Thailand.

The signatories agreed on 29 November 1973 to extend to 31 May and 1 December 1974, respectively, the time limits provided for by articles 17 and 19 of the Agreement for signature and deposit of instruments of acceptance.

² The States Parties unanimously decided that the instruments of acceptance by the Governments of the Philippines and of the Republic of South Viet-Nam, having been received after the time-limit of 1 December 1974, should be treated as instruments of accession.

³ See note 4b, p. 54.

12. Protocol for the Continuation in Force of the International Coffee Agreement, 1968, as extended

Concluded at London on 26 September 1974¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 October 1975, in accordance with article 5, paragraph 1.

REGISTRATION: 1 October 1975.

TEXT: Annex to resolution 273 adopted by the International Coffee Council on 26 September 1974.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Undertaking of provisional application (article 5, paragraph 2)²</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s) ratification, accession (a) acceptance (A) approval (AA) notification under article 65, paragraph 4 (n)</i>
ANGOLA			30 September 1976 <i>a</i>
AUSTRALIA ³			26 March 1975 <i>s</i>
BELGIUM	26 March 1975	30 September 1975	
BENIN			31 March 1975 <i>s</i>
BOLIVIA	17 March 1975		1 April 1975
BRAZIL	6 January 1975		6 August 1975
BURUNDI	31 March 1975		28 November 1975 <i>a</i> ⁴
CANADA			27 March 1975 <i>s</i>
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE			31 March 1975 <i>s</i>
COLOMBIA	3 March 1975	8 August 1975	1 December 1975
CONGO			31 March 1975 <i>s</i>
COSTA RICA	19 November 1974	29 September 1975	3 February 1976
CYPRUS			17 March 1975 <i>s</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA			28 March 1975 <i>s</i>
DENMARK	18 December 1974		18 December 1974 <i>A</i>
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC			20 November 1975 <i>a</i>
ECUADOR	28 January 1975		11 February 1975
EL SALVADOR	26 March 1975	22 September 1975	30 March 1976
ETHIOPIA			28 March 1975 <i>s</i>
FINLAND	24 February 1975	29 September 1975	2 February 1976
FRANCE	18 March 1975		9 May 1975 <i>AA</i>
GABON			27 March 1975 <i>s</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ⁵			27 March 1975 <i>s</i>
GHANA			24 March 1975 <i>s</i>
GUATEMALA	7 February 1975	18 August 1975	27 May 1976
GUINEA			21 February 1975 <i>s</i>
HAITI	27 March 1975	24 September 1975	29 December 1975
HONDURAS			27 March 1975 <i>s</i>
INDIA			26 March 1975 <i>s</i>

¹ The text of the Protocol was established by the International Coffee Council during its twenty-fifth session, held at London from 16 to 27 September 1974. It was approved by resolution No. 273 of 26 September 1974 of the Council and was open for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, in New York, from 1 November 1974 until 31 March 1975.

² In accordance with article 5(2) of the Protocol, the International Coffee Council, in the course of its twenty-eighth session held at London from 3 to 21 November 1975, decided by Resolution No. 284 approved on 12 November 1975, to extend until 31 March 1976 the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of approval, ratification or acceptance by the Members applying the Protocol provisionally.

At its meeting of 4 March 1976, the Executive Board of the International Coffee Organization, exercising the powers delegated to it by the International Coffee Council, decided further to extend until 30 September 1976 that time-limit.

³ With a declaration that the Protocol shall apply to Papua New Guinea in accordance with article 65 (1) of the Agreement and article 7 of the Protocol, and that the Government of Australia and the Government of Papua New Guinea shall together continue to constitute a joint exporting member of the International Coffee Organization.

Subsequently, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Australia, on 23 June 1975, a notification under article 4 of the Agreement, to the effect that Papua New Guinea would have separate membership in the International Coffee Organization.

⁴ The instrument of ratification by Burundi could not be deposited within the time-limit set forth under the Protocol, and was consequently treated as an instrument of accession.

⁵ With a declaration that the Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany. In this respect the Secretary-General received, on 14 August 1975, the following communication from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics:

The Soviet side will take note of the statement by the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension to West Berlin of the Protocol of 26 September 1974 relating to the continuation in force of the International Coffee Agreement of 1968 only on the understanding that such extension shall be in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and that the established procedures shall be observed.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Undertaking of provisional application (article 5, paragraph 2)²</i>	<i>Definitive signature (s) ratification, accession (a) acceptance (A) approval (AA) notification under article 65, paragraph 4 (n)</i>
INDONESIA			28 January 1975 <i>s</i>
IRELAND			3 November 1975 <i>a</i>
IVORY COAST			17 March 1975 <i>s</i>
JAMAICA	19 March 1975		30 September 1975
JAPAN			10 October 1975 <i>a</i>
KENYA			26 March 1975 <i>s</i>
LIBERIA			12 December 1975 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG	26 March 1975	30 September 1975	
MADAGASCAR			26 March 1975 <i>s</i>
MEXICO	22 January 1975	30 September 1975	22 April 1976
NETHERLANDS ⁶	27 March 1975		26 August 1975 <i>A</i>
NEW ZEALAND			27 March 1975 <i>s</i>
NICARAGUA	14 February 1975		2 July 1975
NIGERIA			27 March 1975 <i>s</i>
NORWAY			25 March 1975 <i>s</i>
PANAMA	31 March 1975	17 September 1975	19 November 1975
PAPUA NEW GUINEA			15 October 1975 <i>n</i>
PARAGUAY	19 March 1975	19 September 1975	
PERU	27 March 1975	10 September 1975	11 November 1975 <i>A</i>
PORTUGAL ⁷	27 March 1975		30 September 1975
RWANDA	22 January 1975		17 June 1975
SIERRA LEONE			31 March 1975 <i>s</i>
SPAIN			27 March 1975 <i>s</i>
SWEDEN			27 March 1975 <i>s</i>
SWITZERLAND			24 March 1975 <i>s</i>
TOGO			27 March 1975 <i>s</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	19 February 1975		2 April 1975
UGANDA	11 March 1975		11 March 1975 <i>A</i>
UNITED KINGDOM ⁸			14 March 1975 <i>s</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON			27 March 1975 <i>s</i>
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA			28 March 1975 <i>s</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	15 January 1975	30 September 1975	7 January 1976 <i>A</i>
VENEZUELA			31 March 1975 <i>s</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	31 March 1975		24 September 1975
ZAIRE			13 August 1975 <i>a</i>

⁶ For the Kingdom in Europe.

⁷ In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 10 October 1975, the Government of Portugal indicated that pursuant to article 65, paragraph 2, and article 4 of the International Coffee Agreement, 1968, as extended, Portugal would henceforth participate in the International Coffee Organization separately from the Territories of Angola and Timor, both of

which individually would thus have separate membership in the Organization, and that pursuant to article 65, paragraph 3, the aforementioned Agreement would cease to extend to the Territory of Macao.

⁸ In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 14 March 1975, the Government of the United Kingdom declared that the Protocol would also apply to Hong Kong.

13. International Tin Agreement, 1975

Concluded at Geneva on 21 June 1975¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 1 July 1976, in accordance with article 50(a).

REGISTRATION: 1 July 1976.

TEXT: TD/TIN.5/10 and TD/TIN.5/10/Corr.1 to 4.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Notification</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a) acceptance (A) approval (AA)</i>	
AUSTRALIA	28 April	1976	23 June	1976	8 November	1976
AUSTRIA	20 April	1976				
BELGIUM	26 April	1976	30 June	1976		
BOLIVIA	30 April	1976	30 June	1976		
BULGARIA			29 June	1976		
CANADA	29 April	1976			30 June	1976
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	27 April	1976			29 June	1976 AA
DENMARK	11 March	1976	30 June	1976	12 August	1976
EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY	29 April	1976	30 June	1976		
FRANCE	23 February	1976	23 June	1976		
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	12 March	1976	29 June	1976	29 September	1976 A ²
HUNGARY	30 April	1976			8 June	1976
INDIA	30 April	1976			9 July	1976
INDONESIA	29 April	1976	29 June	1976	3 August	1976
IRELAND	28 April	1976	29 June	1976		
ITALY	30 April	1976				
JAPAN	16 March	1976			17 June	1976 A
LUXEMBOURG	26 April	1976	30 June	1976		
MALAYSIA	18 March	1976			18 March	1976
NETHERLANDS	26 April	1976	28 June	1976		
NIGERIA	22 April	1976	28 June	1976	6 July	1976
POLAND	29 April	1976	24 June	1976		
ROMANIA	29 April	1976			3 September	1976
SPAIN	29 April	1976			9 December	1976
THAILAND	10 February	1976			24 May	1976
TURKEY			9 June	1976		
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	23 April	1976			11 June	1976 A
UNITED KINGDOM	17 November	1975			28 June	1976
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	11 March	1976	29 June	1976	28 October	1976
YUGOSLAVIA	27 April	1976	22 June	1976	29 December	1976
ZAIRE	30 April	1976				

Reservations and Declarations

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Upon signature:

"The International Tin Agreement, 1975, is being signed with the reservation of approval by the Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic.

¹ The text of the Agreement was adopted by the United Nations Tin Conference, which was held at Geneva from 20 May to 21 June 1975. The Agreement was opened for signature on 1 July 1975 at the United Nations Headquarters in New York, the closing date for signature being 30 April 1976.

² With a declaration that the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it has entered into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic signs the above mentioned Agreement as a consumer country.

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic considers the provisions of the article 53 of the International Tin Agreement, 1975, to be contradictory to the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960)."

HUNGARY

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

(a) The Hungarian People's Republic wishes to become party to the Agreement as an importing country

in accordance with article 5, paragraph *c*), thereof.

(*b*) The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic calls attention to the fact that the provisions of article 52, paragraphs *a*) and *b*), of the Agreement are contrary to the basic principles of international law. It is a postulate of the generally recognized principles of the sovereign equality of States that the Agreement should be open for participation by all States without any discrimination and restriction.

(*c*) The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic calls attention to the fact that article 53 of the Agreement is at variance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December, 1960 (resolution 1514 (XV))."

ROMANIA

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

In signing the Fifth International Tin Agreement adopted at Geneva on 21 June 1975 and in reaffirming its position as stated at the United Nations Tin Conference, the Socialist Republic of Romania:

(*a*) Considers that the provisions of article 52 of the Agreement are not in accordance with the principle whereby international multilateral treaties, the subject and purpose of which are of concern to the whole international community, must be open to universal participation;

(*b*) Declares that the maintenance in a state of dependence of certain territories, referred to in article 53 of the Agreement, is not in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations and the instruments adopted by the United Nations concerning the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation

among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, adopted unanimously in 1970 in General Assembly resolution 2625 (XXV), which solemnly proclaims the obligation of States to promote the realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples with a view to bringing a speedy end to colonialism.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

(*a*) The provisions of articles 47 and 52 of the Agreement which restrict the opportunity for some States to participate in it contradict the generally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States;

(*b*) The provisions of articles 2, 4 and 53 of the Agreement concerning the extension of its operation by participating Governments to territories for whose international relations they are responsible are outdated and contradict the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations;

(*c*) The reference in annex B of the Agreement to the so-called Republic of Korea is illegal, since the South Korean authorities can in no circumstances act on behalf of Korea.

UNITED KINGDOM

Upon signature:

"Her Majesty's Government intend that the United Kingdom should make a financial contribution to the buffer stock of the International Tin Council under the Fifth International Tin Agreement. The basis of this contribution has still to be decided."

14. International Cocoa Agreement, 1975¹

Concluded at Geneva on 20 October 1975

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 1 October 1976, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 69.

REGISTRATION: 1 October 1976.

TEXT:

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Undertaking of provisional application²</i>	<i>Ratification, acceptance (A) approval (AA) accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRALIA	30 August	1976		29 September	1976
AUSTRIA	28 June	1976			
BELGIUM	23 August	1976	30 September 1976		
BRAZIL	9 June	1976	14 September 1976		
BULGARIA	31 August	1976	30 September 1976	7 October	1976 AA
CANADA	30 July	1976		17 September	1976
COLOMBIA			27 September 1976		
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	16 August	1976		30 September	1976 AA
DENMARK	30 June	1976		30 September	1976
ECUADOR	30 June	1976		28 September	1976
EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY	27 July	1976	29 September 1976		
FINLAND	27 August	1976	24 September 1976		
FRANCE	5 April	1976	24 September 1976		
GABON				6 December	1976 a
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	24 May	1976	30 September 1976	30 November	1976 AA
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	14 July	1976	29 September 1976		
GHANA	15 March	1976		28 September	1976
GRENADA				6 December	1976 a
GUATEMALA	7 April	1976	22 September 1976		
HUNGARY	27 August	1976		28 September	1976
IRELAND	26 July	1976	28 September 1976		
ITALY	23 August	1976	29 September 1976		
IVORY COAST				27 September	1976 a
JAMAICA	30 March	1976		30 September	1976
JAPAN	26 April	1976		16 July	1976 A
LUXEMBOURG	23 August	1976	30 September 1976		
MEXICO	31 August	1976	29 September 1976		
NETHERLANDS ³	5 August	1976	16 September 1976		
NEW ZEALAND	28 July	1976		27 September	1976
NIGERIA				30 September	1976 a
NORWAY	26 April	1976		1 July	1976
PANAMA	27 July	1976			
PAPUA NEW GUINEA	12 August	1976		27 September	1976
PERU			28 September 1976		
PORTUGAL	31 August	1976	21 September 1976		
SAMOA				6 December	1976 a
SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE			30 September 1976		
SPAIN	13 July	1976	30 September 1976	9 December	1976
SWEDEN	22 June	1976		7 July	1976
SWITZERLAND	5 April	1976		27 September	1976

¹ The Agreement was adopted by the United Nations Cocoa Conference, which was held in Geneva from 20 September 1975 to 20 October 1975. The Agreement was opened for signature at the United Nations Headquarters, New York, on 10 November 1975, the closing date for signature being 31 August 1976.

² The International Cocoa Council, during its third special session held in London on 1 October 1976, decided to extend until 31 March 1977 the period for the deposit of instruments of ratification, approval or acceptance by the Members applying the Agreement provisionally in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 66 of the Agreement.

³ On behalf of the Kingdom in Europe.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Undertaking of provisional application*</i>	<i>Ratification, acceptance(A) approval(AA) accession(a)</i>	
TOGO	12 May	1976	24 September 1976		
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	9 June	1976		2 July	1976
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	23 August	1976		16 September	1976 A
UNITED KINGDOM ⁴	31 March	1976		19 August	1976
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	31 August	1976	30 September 1976		
VENEZUELA	31 August	1976	18 October 1976		
YUGOSLAVIA	10 May	1976		30 September	1976
ZAIRE	30 July	1976	30 September 1976		

⁴In a letter accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the United Kingdom declared, in accordance with article 71 (1) of the Agreement, that the application thereof shall extend to St. Vincent, St. Lucia and Dominica.

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 3 September 1976, the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland notified him, in accordance with article 71(1) of the Agreement, that the latter shall extend to the Bailiwick of Guernsey, the Bailiwick of Jersey and the Isle of Man.

Declarations and Reservations

BULGARIA

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon approval:

"The People's Republic of Bulgaria considers the provisions of articles 3(2) and 71(1) of the International Cocoa Agreement as inconsistent with the spirit and letter of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (res. GA 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960)."

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon approval:

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic considers the provisions of the articles 3 and 71 of the International Cocoa Agreement, 1975, to be contradictory to the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960)."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Declaration made upon approval:

In respect of article 14:

The Government of the German Democratic Republic deems it necessary to point out that the provisions of article 14 of the Agreement do not permit some States to acquire the status of an observer.

The Cocoa Agreement regulates questions that affect the interests of all States. The Government of the German Democratic Republic therefore considers that in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, all States interested should indiscriminately be given the opportunity to participate as observers in sessions of the International Cocoa Council.

In respect of articles 3 and 71:

The position of the Government of the German Democratic Republic on articles 3 and 71 of the Inter-

national Cocoa Agreement, 1975, as far as the application of the Agreement to colonial and other dependent territories is concerned, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (res. No. 1415 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

HUNGARY

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic, on signing the International Cocoa Agreement, 1975 deems it necessary to declare that the provisions of article 71 of the international Cocoa Agreement, 1975 are at variance with the Declaration of the General Assembly of the United Nations on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaimed the need for a speedy and unconditional elimination of all forms and manifestations of colonialism."

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon acceptance:

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics deems it necessary to declare that the provisions of articles 2, 3 and 71 of the Agreement concerning the extension by the Contracting Parties of its application to territories for whose international relations they are responsible are outmoded and at variance with the United Nations General Assembly's Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaimed the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

15. International Coffee Agreement, 1976

Concluded at London on 3 December 1975¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 1 October 1976, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 61.

REGISTRATION: 1 October 1976.

TEXT:

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Undertaking of provisional application under article 61 (2)</i>	<i>Ratification, acceptance (A) approval (AA) accession (a)</i>
ANGOLA		30 September 1976	
AUSTRALIA	30 July 1976		30 September 1976
AUSTRIA	19 July 1976		
BELGIUM	30 July 1976	28 September 1976	
BENIN	14 April 1976	30 September 1976	
BOLIVIA	15 July 1976	30 September 1976	30 November 1976
BRAZIL	17 February 1976		28 September 1976
BURUNDI	31 July 1976		25 August 1976
CANADA	30 July 1976		17 September 1976
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE	27 July 1976		28 September 1976
COLOMBIA	21 April 1976	21 April 1976	
CONGO		10 September 1976	
COSTA RICA	5 February 1976	17 September 1976	
DENMARK	30 June 1976		17 September 1976
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	30 June 1976	28 September 1976	14 October 1976
ECUADOR	28 July 1976		28 September 1976
EL SALVADOR	4 June 1976	24 May 1976	11 August 1976
ETHIOPIA	27 July 1976	30 September 1976	29 November 1976
EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY	27 July 1976	28 September 1976	
FINLAND	30 July 1976	24 September 1976	
FRANCE	23 February 1976	24 September 1976	
GABON	30 July 1976	11 October 1976	
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	19 March 1976		29 September 1976 ²
GHANA	30 July 1976	30 September 1976	11 October 1976
GUATEMALA	19 March 1976	16 August 1976	15 December 1976
GUINEA	30 July 1976	30 September 1976	11 October 1976
HAITI	3 June 1976	16 September 1976	
HONDURAS	22 April 1976	30 September 1976	11 October 1976
INDIA	16 July 1976		20 September 1976
INDONESIA	22 July 1976	30 September 1976	14 October 1976
IRELAND	26 July 1976	28 September 1976	
ISRAEL	28 July 1976		

¹The International Coffee Agreement, 1976, was negotiated by the International Coffee Council, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the International Coffee Agreement, 1968, as extended by the Protocol of 26 September 1974, and was approved by the Council in the course of its twenty-eighth session, held at London from 3 November to 3 December 1975 (Resolution No. 287 of 3 December 1975). Article 59 of the Agreement provides that it will be open for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, from 31 January to 31 July 1976 inclusive.

The International Coffee Council, on 28 September 1976 at its twenty-ninth session, under the provisions of article 60 (2) and article 61 (2) of the Agreement, decided:

1. To extend to 31 March 1977 the time limit established in article 60 (2) of the Agreement for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval (resolution No. 289);

2. To extend from 31 December 1976 to 30 September 1977 the time limit established in article 61 (2) of the Agreement within which any Government which is applying the Agreement provisionally may deposit its instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval (resolution No. 290).

²With a declaration that the said Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it has entered into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Undertaking of provisional application under article 61 (2)</i>	<i>Ratification, acceptance(A) approval(AA) accession(a)</i>	
ITALY	27 July	1976	29 September 1976		
IVORY COAST	29 July	1976	27 September 1976		
JAMAICA	26 July	1976		24 September	1976
JAPAN	27 July	1976	29 September 1976 ³	10 December	1976 A
KENYA	22 July	1976	17 September 1976		
LIBERIA	7 May	1976	30 September 1976		
LUXEMBOURG	30 July	1976	28 September 1976		
MADAGASCAR				29 September	1976 a
MEXICO	2 February	1976	23 September 1976		
NETHERLANDS	27 July	1976	16 September 1976 ⁴		
NEW ZEALAND	28 July	1976		27 September	1976 ⁵
NICARAGUA	2 March	1976		21 May	1976
NIGERIA	30 July	1976	30 September 1976	11 November	1976
NORWAY	26 April	1976		1 July	1976
PANAMA	27 July	1976	20 September 1976	13 December	1976
PAPUA NEW GUINEA	10 June	1976		19 July	1976
PARAGUAY	30 March	1976	28 September 1976	11 October	1976
PERU	23 July	1976		31 August	1976 A
PORTUGAL	15 July	1976	21 September 1976		
RWANDA	31 March	1976	30 September 1976	23 November	1976
SIERRA LEONE	13 July	1976	30 September 1976	6 October	1976
SPAIN	13 July	1976	30 September 1976	9 December	1976
SWEDEN	22 June	1976		7 July	1976
SWITZERLAND	5 April	1976		27 September	1976
TOGO	25 March	1976	28 September 1976	8 December	1976
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	9 June	1976		2 July	1976
UGANDA	29 July	1976		21 September	1976
UNITED KINGDOM	31 March	1976		19 August	1976 ⁶
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	3 June	1976	30 September 1976		
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	9 June	1976	24 September 1976		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	27 February	1976		24 September	1976
VENEZUELA	30 July	1976	21 September 1976		
YUGOSLAVIA	31 July	1976	30 September 1976	28 December	1976
ZAIRE	30 July	1976	30 September 1976		

³ With a declaration that it was the understanding of the Government of Japan that, during the period of provisional application, the Agreement will be implemented by the Government of Japan within the limitation of its internal legislations and budgets.

⁴ For the Kingdom in Europe.

⁵ With a declaration pursuant to article 64, that the Agreement shall extend to Niue.

⁶ With a declaration in accordance with article 64 (1) of the Agreement, that the application of the latter shall extend to Hong Kong

CHAPTER XX. MAINTENANCE OBLIGATIONS

I. Convention on the Recovery Abroad of Maintenance

Concluded at New York on 20 June 1956¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 25 May 1957, in accordance with article 14.

REGISTRATION: 25 May 1957, No. 3850.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 268, p. 3 and vol. 649, p. 330 (Procès-verbal of Rectification, Spanish text only).

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
ALGERIA		10 September 1969 <i>a</i>
ARGENTINA		29 November 1972 <i>a</i>
AUSTRIA	21 December 1956	16 July 1969
BARBADOS		18 June 1970 <i>a</i>
BELGIUM		1 July 1966 <i>a</i>
BOLIVIA	20 June 1956	
BRAZIL	31 December 1956	14 November 1960
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE .		15 October 1962 <i>a</i>
CHILE		9 January 1961 <i>a</i>
CHINA ²		
COLOMBIA	16 July 1956	
CUBA	20 June 1956	
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		3 October 1958 <i>a</i>
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA . .	20 June 1956	
DENMARK	28 December 1956	22 June 1959
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	20 June 1956	
ECUADOR	20 June 1956	4 June 1974
EL SALVADOR	20 June 1956	
FINLAND		13 September 1962 <i>a</i>
FRANCE ³	5 September 1956	24 June 1960
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ⁴	20 June 1956	20 July 1959
GREECE	20 June 1956	1 November 1965
GUATEMALA	26 December 1956	25 April 1957
HAITI	21 December 1956	12 February 1958

¹ The Convention was adopted and opened for signature by the United Nations Conference on Maintenance Obligations convened pursuant to resolution 572 (XIX) of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations, adopted on 17 May 1955. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Nineteenth Session, Supplement No. 1A* (E/2730/Add.1), p. 5. The Conference met at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York from 29 May to 20 June 1956. For the text of the Final Act of the Conference, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 268, p. 3.

² Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 4 December 1956 and 25 June 1957 respectively. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

With reference to the above-mentioned accession, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Poland, on the one hand, and of China on the other hand. The objection made on that occasion by the Government of Poland and the communication from the Government of the Republic of China are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the corresponding communications referred to in footnote 2, p. 174.

³ The instrument of ratification contains the following declaration:

(a) That the Convention shall apply to the territories of the French Republic, namely: the metropolitan departments, the departments of Algeria, the departments of the Oases and of Saoura, the departments of Guadeloupe, Guiana, Martinique and Réunion and the Overseas Territories (St. Pierre and Miquelon, French Somaliland, the Comoro Archipelago, New Caledonia and Dependencies and French Polynesia);

(b) That its application may be extended, by subsequent notification, to the other States of the Community or to one or more such States.

⁴ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Convention also applies to *Land Berlin*.

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the one hand and by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to those referred to in footnote 3, p. 52.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
HOLY SEE	20 June	1956	5 October	1964
HUNGARY			23 July	1957 <i>a</i>
ISRAEL	20 June	1956	4 April	1957
ITALY	1 August	1956	28 July	1958
LUXEMBOURG			1 November	1971 <i>a</i>
MEXICO	20 June	1956		
MONACO	20 June	1956	28 June	1961
MOROCCO			18 March	1957 <i>a</i>
NETHERLANDS ⁵	20 June	1956	31 July	1962
NIGER			15 February	1965 <i>a</i>
NORWAY			25 October	1957 <i>a</i>
PAKISTAN			14 July	1959 <i>a</i>
PHILIPPINES	20 June	1956	21 March	1968
POLAND			13 October	1960 <i>a</i>
PORTUGAL			25 January	1965 <i>a</i>
SPAIN			6 October	1966 <i>a</i>
SRI LANKA	20 June	1956	7 August	1958
SWEDEN	4 December	1956	1 October	1958
TUNISIA			16 October	1968 <i>a</i>
TURKEY			2 June	1971 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM			13 March	1975 <i>a</i> ⁶
UPPER VOLTA			27 August	1962 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	31 December	1956	29 May	1959

Declarations and Reservations

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 16 of the Convention concerning the competence of the International Court of Justice and affirms that the agreement of all the parties concerned is required in each case before a dispute can be brought before the International Court of Justice.

ARGENTINA

(a) The Argentine Republic reserves the right, with respect to article 10 of the Convention, to restrict the application of the expression "highest priority" in the light of the provisions governing exchange controls in Argentina.

(b) In the event that another Contracting Party extends the application of the Convention to territories over which the Argentine Republic exercises sovereignty, such extension shall in no way affect the latter's rights (the reference is to article 12 of the Convention).

(c) The Argentine Government reserves the right not to apply the procedure provided for in article 16 of the Convention in any dispute directly or indirectly related to the territories referred to in its declaration concerning article 12.

ISRAEL

"Article 5: The Transmitting Agency shall transmit under paragraph 1 any order, final or provisional, and

⁵In a communication received on 12 August 1969, the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General, pursuant to article 12 of the Convention, of the extension of the application of the Convention to the Netherlands Antilles, subject to the reservation with regard to article 1 which was made by the Netherlands upon ratifi-

any other judicial act, obtained by the claimant for the payment of maintenance in a competent tribunal of Israel, and, where necessary and possible, the record of the proceedings in which such order was made.

"Article 10: Israel reserves the right:

"a) to take the necessary measures to prevent transfers of funds under this Article for purposes other than the bona fide payment of existing maintenance obligations;

"b) to limit the amounts transferable pursuant to this Article, to amounts necessary for subsistence."

NETHERLANDS⁵

The Government of the Kingdom makes the following reservation with regard to article 1 of the Convention: the recovery of maintenance shall not be facilitated by virtue of this article if, the claimant and the respondent being both in the Netherlands, or, respectively, in Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles or Netherlands New Guinea, and assistance having been granted or similar arrangements made under the Assistance to the Needy Act (*Loi sur l'Assistance des Pauvres*), no recovery was in general obtained for such assistance from the respondent, having regard to the circumstances of the case in question.

"The Convention has for the time being been ratified for the Kingdom of the Netherlands in Europe only. If, in accordance with article 12, the application of the

cation of the Convention (see above).

⁶In accordance with article 12 of the Convention, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland hereby gives notice that the provisions of the Convention shall not apply to any of the territories for the international relations of which the United Kingdom is responsible."

Convention will at any time be extended to the parts of the Kingdom outside Europe, the Secretary-General will be duly notified thereof. In that event the notification will contain such reservation as may be made on behalf of any of these parts of the Kingdom."

SWEDEN

Article 1: Sweden reserves the right to reject, where the circumstances of the case under consideration appear to make this necessary, any application for legal support aimed at the recovery of maintenance from a person who entered Sweden as a political refugee.

Article 9: Where the proceedings are pending in Sweden, the exemptions in the payment of costs and the facilities provided in article 9, paragraphs 1 and 2,

shall be granted only to nationals of or stateless persons resident in another State Party to this Convention or to any person who would in any case enjoy such advantages under an agreement concluded with the State of which he is a national.

TUNISIA

(1) Persons living abroad may only claim the advantages provided for in the Convention when considered non-residents under the exchange regulations in force in Tunisia.

(2) A dispute may only be referred to the International Court of Justice with the agreement of all the parties to the dispute.

Objections

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

21 April 1973

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not regard as valid the reservation to article 10 of the Convention . . . made by the Government of Argentina."

POLAND

5 February 1969

The Government of the Polish People's Republic wishes to express its objection, in accordance with ar-

ticle 17, paragraph 1, of the said Convention, to the first two reservations made by the Government of Tunisia in its instrument of accession.

UNITED KINGDOM

13 March 1975

With reference to article 17(1) of the Convention . . . the Government of the United Kingdom [objects] to reservations (b) and (c) made by Argentina in respect of articles 12 and 16 upon accession to the Convention.

CHAPTER XXI. LAW OF THE SEA

I. Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone

Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 10 September 1964, in accordance with article 29.

REGISTRATION: 22 November 1964, No. 7477.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 516, p. 205.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
AFGHANISTAN	30 October 1958		
ARGENTINA	29 April 1958		
AUSTRALIA	30 October 1958	14 May	1963
AUSTRIA	27 October 1958		
BELGIUM		6 January	1972 <i>a</i>
BOLIVIA	17 October 1958		
BULGARIA	31 October 1958	31 August	1962
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	30 October 1958	27 February	1961
CANADA	29 April 1958		
CHINA ²			
COLOMBIA	29 April 1958		
COSTA RICA	29 April 1958		
CUBA	29 April 1958		
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	30 October 1958	31 August	1961
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA		18 March	1960 <i>a</i>
DENMARK	29 April 1958	26 September	1968
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	29 April 1958	11 August	1964
FIJI		25 March	1971 <i>d</i>
FINLAND	27 October 1958	16 February	1965
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		27 December	1973 <i>a</i>
GHANA	29 April 1958		
GUATEMALA	29 April 1958		
HAITI	29 April 1958	29 March	1960
HOLY SEE	30 April 1958		
HUNGARY	31 October 1958	6 December	1961
ICELAND	29 April 1958		
IRAN	28 May 1958		
IRELAND	2 October 1958		
ISRAEL	29 April 1958	6 September	1961
ITALY		17 December	1964 <i>a</i>
JAMAICA		8 October	1965 <i>d</i>
JAPAN		10 June	1968 <i>a</i>
KENYA		20 June	1969 <i>a</i>
LESOTHO		23 October	1973 <i>d</i>
LIBERIA	27 May 1958		

¹The four Conventions and the Optional Protocol of Signature listed in this Chapter were prepared and opened for signature by the United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea. The Conference was convened pursuant to resolution 1105 (XI), adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 21 February 1957, and met at the European Office of the United Nations at Geneva from 24 February to 27 April 1958. For the text of the said resolution, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Eleventh Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/3572)*, p. 54. The Conference also adopted

the Final Act and nine resolutions for the text of which, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 450, p. 11. For the preparatory documents and the proceeding of the Conference, see *Official Records of the United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea*, vols. I to VII, United Nations publication, Sales No.: 58.V.4, vols. I to VII.

²Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 29 April 1958. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)
MADAGASCAR		31 July 1962 a
MALAWI		3 November 1965 a
MALAYSIA		21 December 1960 a
MALTA		19 May 1966 d
MAURITIUS		5 October 1970 d
MEXICO		2 August 1966 a
NEPAL	29 April 1958	
NETHERLANDS	31 October 1958	18 February 1966
NEW ZEALAND	29 October 1958	
NIGERIA		26 June 1961 d
PAKISTAN	31 October 1958	
PANAMA	2 May 1958	
PORTUGAL	28 October 1958	8 January 1963
ROMANIA	31 October 1958	12 December 1961
SENEGAL ³		25 April 1961 a
SIERRA LEONE		13 March 1962 d
SOUTH AFRICA		9 April 1963 a
SPAIN		25 February 1971 a
SRI LANKA	30 October 1958	
SWAZILAND		16 October 1970 a
SWITZERLAND	22 October 1958	18 May 1966
THAILAND	29 April 1958	2 July 1968
TONGA		29 June 1971 d
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		11 April 1966 d
TUNISIA	30 October 1958	
UGANDA		14 September 1964 a
UKRAINIAN SSR	30 October 1958	12 January 1961
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	30 October 1958	22 November 1960
UNITED KINGDOM	9 September 1958	14 March 1960
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	15 September 1958	12 April 1961
URUGUAY	29 April 1958	
VENEZUELA	30 October 1958	15 August 1961
YUGOSLAVIA	29 April 1958	28 January 1966

Declarations and Reservations⁴

BULGARIA

Article 20: The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that government ships in foreign waters have immunity and that the measures set forth in this article may therefore apply to such ships only with the consent of the flag State.

Article 23 (Sub-section D. Rules applicable to warships): The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that the coastal State has the right to establish procedures for the authorization of the

passage of foreign warships through its territorial waters.

Reservations made upon ratification:

Article 20: The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that government ships in the territorial sea of another State have immunity and that the measures set forth in this article may therefore apply to such ships only with the consent of the flag State.

Article 23 (Sub-section D. Rules applicable to warships): The Government of the People's Republic of

³ The Secretary-General received on 9 June 1971 a communication from the Government of Senegal denouncing this Convention as well as the Convention on the Living Resources of the High Seas, and specifying that the denunciation would take effect on the thirtieth day from its receipt. The said communication, as well as the related exchange of correspondence between the Secretariat and the Government of Senegal, was circulated by the Secretary-General to all States entitled to become parties to the Conventions concerned under their respective clauses.

The notification of denunciation was registered by the Government of Senegal as at 9 June 1971, under Nos. 7477 and 8164 (See United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 781).

In this connexion, a communication from the Government of the United Kingdom was received by the Secretary-General on 2 January 1973, stating *inter alia*:

"... As regards the notification by the Government of Senegal purporting to denounce the two Conventions of 1958,

the Government of the United Kingdom wish to place on record that in their view those Conventions are not susceptible to unilateral denunciation by a State which is a party to them and they therefore cannot accept the validity or effectiveness of the purported denunciation by the Government of Senegal. Accordingly, the Government of the United Kingdom regard the Government of Senegal as still bound by the obligations which they assumed when they became a party to those Conventions and the Government of the United Kingdom fully reserve all their rights under them as well as their rights and the rights of their nationals in respect of any action which the Government of Senegal have taken or may take as a consequence of the said purported denunciation.

"As regards the various arguments that are set out in the correspondence referred to above with reference to certain other questions relating to the law of treaties, including in

[Footnotes continue on following page

Bulgaria considers that the coastal State has the right to establish procedures for the authorization of the passage of foreign warships through its territorial sea.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Article 20: The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that government ships in foreign territorial waters have immunity and that the measures mentioned in this article may therefore be applied to them only with the consent of the flag State.

Article 23 (Sub-section D. Rules applicable to warships): The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the coastal State has the right to establish procedures for the authorization of the passage of foreign warships through its territorial waters.

COLOMBIA

With respect to the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone, the delegation of Colombia declares that, under article 98 of the Colombian Constitution, authorization by the Senate is required for the passage of foreign troops through Colombian territory and that, by analogy, such authorization is accordingly also required for the passage of foreign warships through Colombian territorial waters.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Articles 14 and 23: "In view of the fact that the Conference had not adopted a special article concerning the passage of warships through the territorial waters of foreign States, the Government of the Czechoslovak Republic deems it necessary to stress that articles 14 and 23 cannot in any sense be interpreted as establishing a right of innocent passage for warships through the territorial waters."

Article 21: "The Government of the Czechoslovak Republic holds that under international law in force all government ships without distinction enjoy immunity and therefore does not agree with the application of articles 19 and 20 of the Convention to government ships operated for commercial purposes."

footnotes continued from previous page]
particular the question of the functions of the Secretary-General as a depositary of the Conventions of 1958 and the question of the duties of the Secretariat in relation to the registration of treaties and in relation to acts, notifications and communications relating to treaties, the Government of the United Kingdom do not consider it necessary at this stage to express any view on those matters but they fully reserve their position in relation thereto and expressly reserve their right formally to make their views known at a later date.

"The Permanent Representative of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to the United Nations requests that copies of this Note should be transmitted by the Secretariat to all States concerned, that is to say, all States Members of the United Nations or Members of any of the Specialised Agencies, and, since the notification by the Government of Senegal was registered by Senegal, further requests that the statement of the position of the Government of the United Kingdom in relation to that notification, as set out in the second paragraph of the present Note, should similarly be registered."

The said communication was registered in the name of the Government of the United Kingdom on 2 January 1973.

⁴ For objections by certain States to some of these declarations and reservations, see p. 502.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation concerning article 20:

The German Democratic Republic considers that government ships in foreign territorial waters have immunity and that the measures set forth in this Article may therefore apply to such ships only with the consent of the flag State.

Declaration concerning articles 26 and 28:

The German Democratic Republic considers that articles 26 and 28 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

HUNGARY

Articles 14 and 23: "The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic is of the opinion that the coastal State is entitled to make the passage of warships through its territorial waters subject to previous authorization.

Article 21: "The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic is of the opinion that the rules contained in Sub-Section B of Section III of Part I of the Convention are generally inapplicable to government ships operated for commercial purposes so far as they encroach on the immunities enjoyed under international law by all government ships, whether commercial or non-commercial, on foreign territorial waters. Consequently, the provisions of Sub-Section B restricting the immunities of government ships operated for commercial purposes are applicable only upon consent of the State whose flag flies."

IRAN

In signing the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone, I make the following reservation:

Article 14: The Iranian Government maintains the objection, on the ground of excess of competence, expressed by its delegation at the twelfth plenary meeting of the Conference on the Law of the Sea on 24 April 1958, to the articles recommended by the Fifth Committee of the Conference and incorporated in part in article 14 of this Convention. The Iranian Government accordingly reserves all rights regarding the contents of this article in so far as it relates to countries having no sea coast.

ITALY

The Government of the Republic of Italy, besides exercising control for the purposes of article 24, paragraph 1 in the zone of the high seas contiguous to the territorial sea, reserves the right to exercise surveillance within the belt of sea extending twelve nautical miles from the coast for the purpose of preventing and punishing infringements of the customs regulations in whatever point of this belt such infringements may be committed.

MEXICO

The Government of Mexico considers that government ships, irrespective of the use to which they are put, enjoy immunity, and it therefore enters an express reservation with regard to article 21 of Sub-Section C (Rules applicable to government ships other than warships) in so far as it applies to article 19, paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, and article 20, paragraphs 2 and 3, of Sub-Section B (Rules applicable to merchant ships).

ROMANIA

Article 20: The Government of the Romanian People's Republic considers that government ships have immunity in foreign territorial waters and that the measures envisaged in this article may not be applied to such ships except with the consent of the flag State.

Article 23: The Government of the Romanian People's Republic considers that the coastal State has the right to provide that the passage of foreign warships through its territorial waters shall be subject to previous approval.

SPAIN

Spain's accession is not to be interpreted as recognition of any rights or situations in connexion with the waters of Gibraltar other than those referred to in article 10 of the Treaty of Utrecht, of 13 July 1713, between the Crowns of Spain and Great Britain.

TUNISIA

With the following reservation: the Government of the Tunisian Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 16, paragraph 4 of this Convention.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Article 20: The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that government ships in foreign territorial waters have immunity and that the measures mentioned in this article may therefore be applied to them only with the consent of the flag State.

Article 23 (Sub-Section D. Rule applicable to warships): The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet So-

cialist Republic considers that a coastal State has the right to establish procedures for the authorization of the passage of foreign warships through its territorial waters.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Article 20: The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that government ships in foreign territorial waters have immunity and that the measures mentioned in this article may therefore be applied to them only with the consent of the flag State.

Article 23 (Sub-Section D. Rules applicable to warships): The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that a coastal State has the right to establish procedures for the authorization of the passage of foreign warships through its territorial waters.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"In depositing their instrument of ratification . . . Her Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland declare that, save as may be stated in any further and separate notices that may hereafter be given, ratification of this Convention on behalf of the United Kingdom does not extend to the States in the Persian Gulf enjoying British protection. Multilateral conventions to which the United Kingdom becomes a party are not extended to these States until such time as an extension is requested by the Ruler of the State concerned."

VENEZUELA

In signing the present Convention, the Republic of Venezuela declares with reference to article 12 that there are special circumstances to be taken into consideration in the following areas: the Gulf of Paria and zones adjacent thereto; the area between the coast of Venezuela and the island of Aruba; and the Gulf of Venezuela.

Reservation made upon ratification: . . . with express reservation in respect of article 12 and paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 24 of the said Convention.

Objections⁵

AUSTRALIA

"... I am instructed by my Government to place on record its formal objections to the reservations hereunder mentioned, which have been made on behalf of other States to the Convention on the Territorial Sea and Contiguous Zone:

(a) The declaration made with reference to article 12 by Venezuela on signature and the reservation made to that article by Venezuela on ratification.

(b) The reservation made to article 14 by Iran on signature.

(c) The reservations made to articles 14 and 23 by Czechoslovakia and Hungary on signature and confirmed on ratification.

⁵ The dates of receipt by the Secretary-General of communications notifying the objections, other than those formulated at the time of ratification or accession, are shown above their texts.

(d) The reservation made to paragraph 4 of article 16 by Tunisia on signature.

(e) The reservation made with regard to the application of articles 19 and 20 to government ships operated for commercial purposes by Czechoslovakia on signature and confirmed on ratification.

(f) The reservations made to article 20 by Bulgaria on signature and on ratification.

(g) The reservations made to article 20 by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on signature and confirmed on ratification.

(h) The reservation made to article 21 by Hungary on signature and confirmed on ratification.

(i) The reservations made to article 23 by Bulgaria on signature and on ratification.

(j) The reservations made to article 23 by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on signature and confirmed on ratification.

(k) The reservation made to paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 24 by Venezuela on ratification.

If the statements referred to above with regard to article 23 are juridically in the nature of declarations rather than of reservations strictly so-called, the objections recorded by my Government will serve to record disagreement with the opinions so declared."

31 January 1968

"The Government of Australia places on record the formal objection to the reservation made by the Government of Mexico."

29 September 1976

"I am instructed by my Government to place on record its formal objection to the reservation by the German Democratic Republic concerning article 20 of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone, 1958, and contained in the instrument of accession of the German Democratic Republic to the said Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone."

DENMARK

"The Government of Denmark declares that it does not find acceptable:

"The reservations made by the Governments of Czechoslovakia and Hungary to article 14;

"The reservation made by the Government of Tunisia to article 16, paragraph 4;

"The reservation made by the Government of Czechoslovakia to article 19;

"The reservations made by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to article 20 and the reservations made by the Governments of Czechoslovakia, Hungary and Mexico to article 21.

"The above-mentioned objections shall not affect the coming into force of the Convention, according to article 29, as between Denmark and the Contracting Parties concerned."

31 October 1974

"The Government of Denmark does not find acceptable the reservation made by the German Democratic Republic on December 27, 1973 to article 20 of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone.

"The Government of Denmark also finds unacceptable the reservation made by the German Democratic Republic on the same date to article 9 of the Convention on the High Seas.

"The above-mentioned objections shall not affect the coming into force of the Conventions as between Denmark and the German Democratic Republic."

FIJI

"The Government of Fiji maintains all other objections communicated to the Secretary-General by the United Kingdom Government to the reservations or declarations made by certain States with respect to this Convention, reserving only its position on that Government's observations bearing on the application of the *Optional Protocol of Signature pending final disposition of the question of the succession by the Government of Fiji to the said Protocol.*"

ISRAEL

"I am instructed to place on record the Government of Israel's formal objection to all reservations and declarations made in connection with the signing or ratification of or accession to the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone and the Convention on the High Seas which are incompatible with the purposes and objects of these Conventions. This objection applies in particular to the declaration or reservation made by Tunisia to article 16, paragraph 4, of the first of the above-mentioned Conventions on the occasion of signature."

JAPAN

"1. In depositing the instrument of accession of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and Contiguous Zone, the Government of Japan wishes to state that it does not consider acceptable any unilateral statement in whatever form, made by a State upon signing, ratifying or acceding to the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone, which is intended to exclude or modify for such State legal effects of the provisions of the Convention.

"2. In particular, the Government of Japan finds unacceptable the following reservations:

"(a) The reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia to article 19, by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to article 20, and by the Government of Hungary to article 21.

"(b) The reservation made by the Government of Tunisia to article 16, paragraph 4.

"The reservation made by the Government of Italy to article 24 in its instrument of accession.

"The reservation made by the Government of Mexico to article 21 in its instrument of accession."

MADAGASCAR

The Malagasy Republic formally expresses its objection to all reservations and statements made in connexion with signature or ratification of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone or in connexion with accession to the said Convention which are inconsistent with the aims and purposes of this Convention.

This objection applies in particular to the statements or reservations made with regard to the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone by Bul-

garia, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Colombia, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Romania, Tunisia, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

NETHERLANDS

"In depositing their instrument of ratification regarding the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone concluded at Geneva on April 29th 1958, the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands declare that they do not find acceptable

"the reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia to article 19, by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to article 20, and by the Governments of Hungary and Czechoslovakia to article 21;

"the reservations made by the Iranian Government to article 14;

"the declaration by the Government of Colombia as far as it amounts to a reservation on article 14;

"the reservation made by the Government of the Tunisian Republic to article 16, paragraph 4;

"the declarations made by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on article 23, and the declaration made by the Governments of Czechoslovakia and Hungary on the articles 14 and 23 as far as these declarations amount to a reservation to the said articles;

"the reservation made by the Government of the Republic of Italy to article 24, paragraph 1.

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands reserve all rights regarding the reservations made by the Government of Venezuela on ratifying the present Convention in respect of article 12 and article 24, paragraphs 2 and 3."

17 March 1967

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands do not find acceptable the reservation made by the Government of Mexico."

PORTUGAL

27 December 1966

"The Government of Portugal cannot accept the reservation proposed by the Mexican Government requiring the exemption of government ships from the dispositions laid down in the Convention, irrespectively of the use to which these ships are put."

THAILAND

On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Thailand made objections to the following reservations:

"1. the reservations to article 20 made by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the USSR;

"2. the reservations to article 21 made by the Governments of Czechoslovakia, Mexico and Hungary;

"3. the reservations to article 23 made by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Colombia, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the USSR."

TONGA

"The Government of Tonga affirms that in the absence of any other statement expressing a contrary intention, it wishes to maintain all objections communicated to the Secretary-General by the United Kingdom to the reservations or declarations made by States with respect to any conventions of which the Secretary-General is the depositary."

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

6 November 1959

"Her Majesty's Government... desire to place on record their formal objection to the following reservations and declarations:

"(a) The reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia to article 19, by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR, and the USSR to article 20, and by Hungary to article 21.

"(b) The reservation made by the Government of Iran to article 14.

"(c) The reservation made by the Government of the Tunisian Republic to article 16, paragraph 4."

5 April 1962

"The reservations made by the Government of Venezuela to article 12 and paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 24."

2 November 1966

"The reservation to article 21 of Sub-section C contained in the Mexican instrument of accession."

13 May 1975

"Her Majesty's Government desire to place on record their formal objection to the reservations by the German Democratic Republic concerning article 20 of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone". (*In this connexion, the Government of the United Kingdom indicated that they had not received the circular letter reproducing the text of the reservations made by the Government of the German Democratic Republic until early in August 1974.*)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA⁶

19 September 1962

"The United States does not find the following reservations acceptable:

⁶ On 27 October 1967, the Government of the United States of America transmitted to the Secretary-General the following communication with reference to its previous communications regarding ratifications and accessions to the Law of the Sea Conventions with reservations which were not acceptable to the United States of America:

"The Government of the United States of America has received an inquiry regarding the applicability of several of the Geneva Law of the Sea Conventions of 1958 between the United States and States which ratified or acceded to

1. The reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia to article 19, by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to article 20 and by Hungary to article 21.

2. The reservation made by the Government of the Tunisian Republic to article 16, paragraph 4.

those Conventions with reservations which the United States found to be unacceptable. The Government of the United States wishes to state that it has considered and will continue to consider all the Geneva Law of the Sea Conventions of 1958 as being in force between it and all other States that have ratified or acceded thereto, including States that have ratified or acceded with reservations unacceptable to the United States. With respect to States which ratified or acceded with reservations unacceptable to the United States, the Conventions are considered by the United States to be in force between it and each of those States except that provisions to which such reservations are addressed shall apply only to the extent that they are not affected by those reservations. The United States considers that such application of the Convention does not in any manner constitute any concurrence by the United States in the substance of any of the reservations involved.

3. The reservation made by the Government of Venezuela to article 12 and to article 24, paragraphs 2 and 3."

17 June 1965

"The reservation made by the Government of Italy in its instrument of accession."

28 September 1966

"The reservation made by the Government of Mexico in its instrument of accession."

11 July 1974

"The Government of the United States does not find acceptable the reservations made by the German Democratic Republic to article 20 of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone and to article 9 of the Convention on the High Seas. The Government of the United States, however, considers those Conventions as continuing in force between it and the German Democratic Republic except that provisions to which the above-mentioned reservations are addressed shall apply only to the extent that they are not affected by those reservations."

2. Convention on the High Seas

Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 September 1962, in accordance with article 34.

REGISTRATION: 3 January 1963, No. 6465.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 450, p. 11.

State	Signature	Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)
AFGHANISTAN	30 October 1958	28 April 1959
ALBANIA		7 December 1964 a
ARGENTINA	29 April 1958	
AUSTRALIA	30 October 1958	14 May 1963
AUSTRIA	27 October 1958	10 January 1974
BELGIUM		6 January 1972 a
BOLIVIA	17 October 1958	
BULGARIA	31 October 1958	31 August 1962
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	30 October 1958	27 February 1961
CANADA	29 April 1958	
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE . .		15 October 1962 a
CHINA ²		
COLOMBIA	29 April 1958	
COSTA RICA	29 April 1958	16 February 1972
CUBA	29 April 1958	
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	30 October 1958	31 August 1961
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA		18 March 1960 a
DENMARK	29 April 1958	26 September 1968
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	29 April 1958	11 August 1964
FIJI		25 March 1971 d
FINLAND	27 October 1958	16 February 1965
FRANCE	30 October 1958	
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		27 December 1973 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ^{2a}	30 October 1958	26 July 1973
GHANA	29 April 1958	
GUATEMALA	29 April 1958	27 November 1961
HAITI	29 April 1958	29 March 1960
HOLY SEE	30 April 1958	
HUNGARY	31 October 1958	6 December 1961

¹ See footnote 1, p. 499.

² Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 29 April 1958. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

^{2a} With the following statement:

"... The said Convention ... shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 5 November 1973 the following communication from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics:

The Soviet Union can take note of the declaration by the Federal Republic of Germany concerning application to Berlin (West) of the Convention on the High Seas ... only on the understanding that such application conforms to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and is subject to observance of the established procedures.

Communications identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, were received from the Government of Czechoslovakia (on 6 December 1973) and from the Government of the Byelorussian SSR (on 13 February 1974). Furthermore, on 27 December 1973, the following communication was received on the same subject from the Government of the German Democratic Republic:

In respect of the application of the Convention on the High Seas to Berlin (West), the German Democratic Republic takes note of the Declaration on this matter made by the Federal Republic of Germany, with the reservation that the provisions of this Convention are to be applied to Berlin (West) in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic according to which Berlin (West) is not a part of the Federal Republic of Germany and may not be governed by it.

[Footnote continues on following page

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
ICELAND	29 April	1958		
INDONESIA	8 May	1958	10 August	1961
IRAN	28 May	1958		
IRELAND	2 October	1958		
ISRAEL	29 April	1958	6 September	1961
ITALY			17 December	1964 <i>a</i>
JAMAICA			8 October	1965 <i>d</i>
JAPAN			10 June	1968 <i>a</i>
KENYA			20 June	1969 <i>a</i>
LEBANON	29 May	1958		
LESOTHO			23 October	1973 <i>d</i>
LIBERIA	27 May	1958		
MADAGASCAR			31 July	1962 <i>a</i>
MALAWI			3 November	1965 <i>a</i>
MALAYSIA			21 December	1960 <i>a</i>
MAURITIUS			5 October	1970 <i>d</i>
MEXICO			2 August	1966 <i>a</i>
MONGOLIA			15 October	1976 <i>a</i>
NEPAL	29 April	1958	28 December	1962
NETHERLANDS	31 October	1958	18 February	1966
NEW ZEALAND	29 October	1958		
NIGERIA			26 June	1961 <i>d</i>
PAKISTAN	31 October	1958		
PANAMA	2 May	1958		
POLAND	31 October	1958	29 June	1962
PORTUGAL	28 October	1958	8 January	1963
ROMANIA	31 October	1958	12 December	1961
SENEGAL			25 April	1961 <i>a</i>
SIERRA LEONE			13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SOUTH AFRICA			9 April	1963 <i>a</i>
SPAIN			25 February	1971 <i>a</i>
SRI LANKA	30 October	1958		
SWAZILAND			16 October	1970 <i>a</i>
SWITZERLAND	24 May	1958	18 May	1966
THAILAND	29 April	1958	2 July	1968
TONGA			29 June	1971 <i>d</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO			11 April	1966 <i>d</i>
TUNISIA	30 October	1958		
UGANDA			14 September	1964 <i>a</i>
UKRAINIAN SSR	30 October	1958	12 January	1961
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	30 October	1958	22 November	1960
UNITED KINGDOM	9 September	1958	14 March	1960

footnote continued from previous page]

With regard to the aforesaid declaration, the Secretary-General received on 8 July 1975, from the Governments of the United States of America, France and the United Kingdom the following declaration:

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to point out that the German Democratic Republic is not a party to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, which was concluded in Berlin by the Governments of the French Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, and is not therefore competent to comment authoritatively on its provisions.

"The above referred to communication contains an incomplete and therefore misleading reference to the Quadripartite Agreement. In this connection the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to draw attention to the fact that the provision of the Quadripartite Agreement referred to in the communication states that "the ties between the Western Sectors of Berlin and the Federal Republic of Germany will be maintained and developed, taking into account that these Sectors continue not to be a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it.

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not consider it necessary to respond to any further communications containing incomplete and misleading references to provisions of the Quadripartite Agreement from States which are not signatories to that Agreement. This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of those Governments in this matter."

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d)</i>	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	15 September 1958	12 April	1961
UPPER VOLTA		4 October	1965 a
URUGUAY	29 April 1958		
VENEZUELA	30 October 1958	15 August	1961
YUGOSLAVIA	29 April 1958	28 January	1966

Declarations and Reservations³

ALBANIA

Article 9: The Government of the People's Republic of Albania considers that, in virtue of well-known principles of international law, all Government ships owned or operated by a State, without exception, irrespective of the purpose for which they are used, are subject to the jurisdiction only of the State under whose flag they sail.

Declaration: The Government of the People's Republic of Albania declares that the definition of piracy as given in the Convention is not consistent with present international law and does not serve to ensure freedom of navigation on the high seas.

BULGARIA

Article 9: The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that the principle of international law according to which a ship on the high seas is not subject to any jurisdiction except that of the flag State applies without restriction to all government ships.

Declaration: The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that the definition of piracy given in the Convention does not cover certain acts which under contemporary international law should be considered as acts of piracy and does not serve to ensure freedom of navigation on international sea routes.

Reservation and declaration made upon ratification:

Reservation concerning article 9: The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that the principle of international law according to which ships on the high seas are subject to the jurisdiction of the flag State applies without restriction to all government ships.

Declaration: The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that the definition of piracy given in the Convention does not cover certain acts which under contemporary international law should be considered as acts of piracy and does not serve to ensure freedom of navigation on international sea routes.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Article 9: The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the principle of international law according to which a ship on the

high seas is not subject to any jurisdiction except that of the flag State applies without restriction to all government ships.

Declaration: The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the definition of piracy given in the Convention does not cover certain acts which under contemporary international law should be considered as acts of piracy and does not serve to ensure freedom of navigation on international sea routes.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Article 9: "The Government of the Czechoslovak Republic holds that under international law in force government ships operated for commercial purposes also enjoy on the high seas complete immunity from the jurisdiction of any State other than the flag State."

Declaration: "The Government of the Czechoslovak Republic maintains that the notion of piracy as defined in the Convention is neither in accordance with the present international law nor with the interest of safeguarding the freedom of navigation on the high seas."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation concerning article 9:

The German Democratic Republic considers that the principle of international law according to which a ship on the high seas is not subject to any jurisdiction except that of the flag State applies without restriction to all government ships.

Declarations concerning articles 15, 31 and 33:

The German Democratic Republic considers that the definition of piracy given in article 15 of the Convention does not cover certain acts which under international law in force should be considered as acts of piracy and does not serve to safeguard the freedom of navigation on the high seas.

The German Democratic Republic considers that articles 31 and 33 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

HUNGARY

Article 9: "The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic is of the opinion that, according to the general rules of international law, ships owned or

³ For objections by certain States to some of these declarations and reservations, see p. 510.

operated by a State and used on government service, whether commercial or non-commercial, enjoy on the high seas the same immunity as warships."

Declaration: "The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that the definition of piracy as given in the Convention is not consistent with present international law and does not serve the general interests of the freedom of navigation on the high seas."

INDONESIA

Reservation made upon ratification: "...that the terms 'territorial sea' and 'internal waters' mentioned in the Convention, as far as the Republic of Indonesia is concerned, are interpreted in accordance with Article 1 of the Government Regulation in Lieu of an Act No. 4 of the Year 1960 (State Gazette 1960, No. 22) concerning Indonesian Waters, which, in accordance with Article 1 of the Act No. 1 of the Year 1961 (State Gazette 1961, No. 3) concerning the Enactment of All Emergency Acts and All Government Regulations in Lieu of an Act which were promulgated before January 1, 1961, has become Act, which Article word by word is as follows:

"*Article 1:* 1. The Indonesian Waters consist of the territorial sea and the internal waters of Indonesia.

"2. The Indonesian territorial sea is a maritime belt of a width of twelve nautical miles, the outer limit of which is measured perpendicular to the baselines or points on the baselines which consist of straight lines connecting the outermost point on the low water mark of the outermost islands or part of such islands comprising Indonesian territory with the provision that in case of straits of a width of not more than twenty-four nautical miles and Indonesia is not the only coastal state the outer limit of the Indonesian territorial sea shall be drawn at the middle of the strait.

"3. The Indonesian internal waters are all waters lying within the baselines mentioned in paragraph 2.

"4. One nautical mile is sixty to one degree of latitude."

IRAN

In signing the Convention on the High Seas, I make the following reservations:

Article 2. With respect to the words "no State may validly purport to subject any part of them to its sovereignty", it shall be understood that this prohibition does not apply to the continental shelf, which is governed by article 2 of the Convention on the Continental Shelf.

Articles 2, 3 and 4. The Iranian Government maintains the objection on the ground of excess of competence, expressed by its delegation at the twelfth plenary meeting of the Conference on the Law of the Sea on 24 April 1958, to the articles recommended by the Fifth Committee of the Conference and incorporated in the afore-mentioned articles of the Convention on the High Seas. The Iranian Government accordingly reserves all rights regarding the contents of these articles in so far as they relate to countries having no sea coast.

Article 2(3)—article 26, paragraphs 1 and 2. Application of the provisions of these articles relating to the laying of submarine cables and pipelines shall be subject to the authorization of the coastal State, in so far as the continental shelf is concerned.

MEXICO

Article 9: The Government of Mexico enters an express reservation with regard to article 9, since it considers that government ships, irrespective of the use to which they are put, enjoy immunity; it therefore does not accept the limitation imposed in the article in question, which provides that only ships owned or operated by a State and used only on government non-commercial service shall have immunity from the jurisdiction of other States on the high seas.

MONGOLIA

(a) Subject to the following reservation in respect of article 9:

The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic considers that the principle of international law under which ships on the high seas are subject only to the jurisdiction of the flag States applies without any restriction whatever to all government ships and

(b) Subject to the following declaration in respect of article 15:

The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic considers that the definition of piracy given in article 15 of the Convention does not cover acts which under contemporary international law should be regarded as acts of piracy and thus does not adequately reflect the requirements that must be fulfilled in order to fully ensure freedom of navigation on international waterways.

POLAND

Article 9: "The Government of the Polish People's Republic considers that the rule expressed in article 9 applies to all ships owned or operated by a State."

Declaration: "The Government of the Polish People's Republic considers that the definition of piracy as contained in the Convention does not fully correspond with the present state of international law in this respect."

ROMANIA

Article 9: The Government of the Romanian People's Republic considers that the principle of international law according to which a ship on the high seas is not subject to any jurisdiction except that of the flag State applies to all government ships regardless of the purpose for which they are used.

Declaration: The Government of the Romanian People's Republic considers that the definition of piracy as given in article 15 of the Convention on the High Seas does not cover certain acts which under contemporary international law should be considered as acts of piracy.

SPAIN

Spain's accession is not to be interpreted as recognition of any rights or situations in connexion with the

waters of Gibraltar other than those referred to in article 10 of the Treaty of Utrecht, of 13 July 1713, between the Crowns of Spain and Great Britain.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Article 9: The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the principle of international law according to which a ship on the high seas is not subject to any jurisdiction except that of the flag State applies without restriction to all government ships.

Declaration: The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the definition of piracy given in the Convention does not cover certain acts which under contemporary international law should be considered as acts of piracy and does not serve to ensure freedom of navigation on international sea routes.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Article 9: The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that the principle of international law according to which a ship on the high seas is not subject to any jurisdiction except that

of the flag State applies without restriction to all government ships.

Declaration: The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that the definition of piracy given in the Convention does not cover certain acts which under contemporary international law should be considered as acts of piracy and does not serve to ensure freedom of navigation on international sea routes.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"In depositing their instrument of ratification . . . Her Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland declare that, save as may be stated in any further and separate notices that may hereafter be given, ratification of this Convention on behalf of the United Kingdom does not extend to the States in the Persian Gulf enjoying British protection. Multilateral conventions to which the United Kingdom becomes a party are not extended to these States until such time as an extension is requested by the Ruler of the State concerned."

Objections⁴

AUSTRALIA

". . . I am instructed by my Government to place on record its formal objections to the reservations hereunder mentioned, which have been made on behalf of other States to the Convention on the High Seas:

(a) The reservation made to articles 2, 3 and 4 by Iran on signature.

(b) The reservation made to paragraph 3 of article 2 and to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 26 by Iran on signature.

(c) The reservation made to article 9 by Bulgaria on signature and on ratification.

(d) The reservations made to article 9 by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on signature and confirmed on ratification.

(e) The reservation made by Indonesia on ratification.

In relation to the reservation made by Indonesia I am instructed to point out that the Australian Government has previously informed the Indonesian Government that it does not recognize the validity in international law of the Regulation referred to in the reservation and that it does not consider itself bound by it."

1 February 1965

"On the instructions of his Government, the Permanent Representative of Australia hereby places on

record the formal objection of the Government of Australia to the reservation contained in the instrument of accession by Albania to the Convention on the High Seas done at Geneva on 29 April 1958."

31 January 1968

"The Government of Australia places on record the formal objection to the reservation made by the Government of Mexico."

29 September 1976

"I am instructed by my Government to place on record the formal objection of the Australian Government to the reservation by the German Democratic Republic concerning article 9 of the Convention on the High Seas, 1958, and contained in the instrument of accession of the German Democratic Republic to that Convention."

DENMARK

"The Government of Denmark declares that it does not find acceptable:

"The reservations made by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Mexico, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to article 9;

"The reservation made by the Government of Iran to article 26, paragraphs 1 and 2;

"The reservation made by the Government of Indonesia regarding the interpretation of the terms 'territorial sea' and 'internal waters'.

"The above-mentioned objections shall not affect the coming into force of the Convention, according to article 34, as between Denmark and the Contracting Parties concerned."

⁴ The dates of receipt by the Secretary-General of communications notifying the objections, other than those formulated at the time of ratification or accession, are shown above their texts.

31 October 1974

"The Government of Denmark does not find acceptable the reservation made by the German Democratic Republic on December 27, 1973 to article 20 of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone.

"The Government of Denmark also finds unacceptable the reservation made by the German Democratic Republic on the same date to article 9 of the Convention on the High Seas.

"The above-mentioned objections shall not affect the coming into force of the Conventions as between Denmark and the German Democratic Republic."

FIJI

"The Government of Fiji declares that it withdraws the observations made by the United Kingdom with respect to the reservation made on ratification of the Convention by the Government of Indonesia and substitutes therefor the following observation:

"With respect to the reservation made by the Government of Indonesia on ratification of the above-mentioned Convention on the High Seas, the Government of Fiji states that it considers that the extent of Indonesian national waters referred to therein is subject to the rule of international law that, where the establishment of a straight baseline has the effect of enclosing as internal waters areas which previously had been considered as part of the high seas, a right of innocent passage shall exist in those waters, subject to the regulations of the national authorities respecting police, customs, quarantine and control of pollution, and without prejudice to the exclusive right of such authorities in respect of the exploration and exploitation of the natural resources of such waters and of the subjacent seabed and subsoil.

"Furthermore, the Government of Fiji maintains all other objections communicated to the Secretary-General by the United Kingdom Government to the reservations or declarations made by certain States with respect to this Convention, reserving only its position on that Government's observations bearing on the application of the Optional Protocol of Signature pending final disposition of the question of the succession by the Government of Fiji to the said Protocol."

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

15 July 1974

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany considers the following reservations to be inconsistent with the aims and purposes of the Convention of 29 April 1958 on the High Seas and therefore to be unacceptable:

"1. The reservation made to the Convention by the Government of Indonesia;

"2. The reservation declared at signature of the Convention by the Government of Iran to articles 2, 3 and 4 and to article 2, item 3, in conjunction with article 26, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention, the latter in so far as that reservation is to open up the possibility of refusing permission to lay submarine cables

and pipelines even where certain conditions have been fulfilled;

"3. The reservations and the declarations to be qualified in substance as reservations made to article 9 of the Convention by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, Mexico, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia and Hungary;

"4. The declarations made by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia and Hungary to the definition of piracy as given in the Convention in so far as the said declarations are to be qualified as reservations.

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany furthermore considers the reservation made on 27 December 1973 by the German Democratic Republic to article 9 of the Convention to be inconsistent with the aims and purposes of the Convention and therefore to be unacceptable.

"This also applies to the declaration made by the Government of the German Democratic Republic on the same date to the definition of piracy as given in the Convention in so far as that declaration is to be qualified as a reservation.

"The present declaration does not affect the applicability, in all other respects, of the Convention under international law as between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Parties to the Convention having made the reservations and declarations referred to above."

ISRAEL

"I am instructed to place on record the Government of Israel's formal objection to all reservations and declarations made in connection with the signing or ratification of or accession to the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone and the Convention on the High Seas which are incompatible with the purposes and objects of these Conventions. This objection applies in particular to the declaration or reservation made by Tunisia to article 16, paragraph 4, of the first of the above-mentioned Conventions on the occasion of signature."

JAPAN

"1. In depositing the instrument of accession of the Convention on the High Seas, the Government of Japan wishes to state that it does not consider acceptable any unilateral statement in whatever form, made by a State upon signing, ratifying or acceding to the Convention on the High Seas, which is intended to exclude or modify for such State legal effects of the provisions of the Convention.

"2. In particular, the Government of Japan finds unacceptable the following reservations:

"(a) The reservations made by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrai-

nian Soviet Socialist Republic, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to article 9.

“(b) The reservations made by the Government of Iran to article 2 and article 26, paragraphs 1 and 2.

“The reservation made by the Government of Indonesia quoted in the communications of the United Nations, C.N.122.1961. Treaties-7 and C.N.73.1962. Treaties-3 [see p. 509].

“The reservation made by the Government of Albania to article 9 in its instrument of accession.

“The reservation made by the Government of Mexico to article 9 in its instrument of accession.”

MADAGASCAR

The Malagasy Republic formally expresses its objection to all reservations and statements made in connexion with signature or ratification of the Convention on the High Seas or in connexion with accession to the said Convention which are inconsistent with the aims and purposes of this Convention.

This objection applies in particular to the statements or reservations made with regard to the Convention on the High Seas by Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Indonesia, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

THE NETHERLANDS

“In depositing their instrument of ratification regarding the Convention on the High Seas concluded at Geneva on April 29th 1958, the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands declare that they do not find acceptable

“the reservations to article 9 made by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics;

“the declarations made by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the definition of piracy given in the Convention, as far as these declarations amount to a reservation;

“the reservations made by the Iranian Government to articles 2, 3, and 4, and

“to articles 2, paragraph 3, and 26, paragraphs 1 and 2;

“the declaration made by the Government of Iran on article 2 as far as it amounts to a reservation to the said article;

“the reservation made by the Government of Indonesia.”

17 March 1967

“The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands do not find acceptable the reservation made by the Government of Mexico.”

PORTUGAL

27 December 1966

“The Government of Portugal cannot accept the reservation proposed by the Mexican Government re-

quiring the exemption of government ships from the dispositions laid down in the Convention, irrespectively of the use to which these ships are put.”

THAILAND

On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Thailand made objections to the following reservations and declarations:

“1. The reservations to article 9 made by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Mexico, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the USSR;

“2. The declarations to article 15 made by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the USSR;

“3. The reservation made by the Government of Indonesia.”

TONGA

“The Government of the Kingdom of Tonga withdraws the observations made by the United Kingdom with respect to the reservation made on ratification of the Convention by the Government of Indonesia and substitute therefor the following observation:

“With respect to the reservation made by the Government of Indonesia on ratification of the abovementioned Convention on the High Seas, the Government of Tonga states that it considers that the extent of Indonesian national waters referred to therein is subject to the rule of international law that, where the establishment of a straight baseline has the effect of enclosing as internal waters areas which previously had been considered as part of the high seas, a right of innocent passage shall exist in those waters, subject to the regulations of the national authorities respecting police, customs, quarantine and control of pollution, and without prejudice to the exclusive right of such authorities in respect of the exploration and exploitation of the natural resources of such waters and of the subjacent seabed and subsoil.”

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

6 November 1959

“Her Majesty’s Government . . . desire to place on record their formal objection to the following reservations and declarations:

“The reservations to article 9 made by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR, and the USSR.

“The reservations to articles 2, 3 and 4, and article 2 (3) made by the Iranian Government.”

5 April 1962

“The reservation made on ratification by the Government of Indonesia.

Her Majesty’s Government have already stated to the Indonesian Government that they cannot regard as valid under international law the provisions of ‘Government Regulation No. 4, 1960, in lieu of an Act concerning Indonesian Waters’ to the extent that these

provisions embody a claim to territorial waters extending to 12 miles or purport to demarcate territorial waters by the drawing of straight base lines between the outermost islands, or points, of a group of islands or purport to treat as internal waters all waters enclosed by those lines."

17 June 1965

"The reservation to article 9 contained in the Albanian instrument of accession to the Convention."

2 November 1966

"The reservation to article 9 contained in the Mexican instrument of accession."

13 May 1975

"Her Majesty's Government desire to place on record their formal objection to the reservations by the German Democratic Republic concerning the reservation of the German Democratic Republic concerning article 9 of the Convention on the High Seas." (*In this connexion, the Government of the United Kingdom indicated that they had not received the circular letter reproducing the text of the reservations made by the Government of the German Democratic Republic until early in August 1974*).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA⁵

19 September 1962

"The United States does not find the following reservations acceptable:

⁵ See footnote 6, p. 504.

"1. The reservations to article 9 made by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

"2. The reservations made by the Iranian Government to articles 2, 3, and 4 and article 26, paragraphs 1 and 2.

"3. The reservation made by the Government of Indonesia."

19 August 1965

"The reservation to article 9 made by the Government of Albania in its instrument of accession."

28 September 1966

"The reservation made by the Government of Mexico in its instrument of accession."

11 July 1974

"The Government of the United States does not find acceptable the reservations made by the German Democratic Republic to article 20 of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone and to article 9 of the Convention on the High Seas. The Government of the United States, however, considers those Conventions as continuing in force between it and the German Democratic Republic except that provisions to which the above-mentioned reservations are addressed shall apply only to the extent that they are not affected by those reservations."

3. Convention on Fishing and Conservation of the Living Resources of the High Seas

Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 March 1966, in accordance with article 18.

REGISTRATION: 20 March 1966, No. 8164.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 559, p. 285.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
AFGHANISTAN	30 October	1958		
ARGENTINA	29 April	1958		
AUSTRALIA	30 October	1958	14 May	1963
BELGIUM			6 January	1972 <i>a</i>
BOLIVIA	17 October	1958		
CANADA	29 April	1958		
CHINA ²				
COLOMBIA	29 April	1958	3 January	1963
COSTA RICA	29 April	1958		
CUBA	29 April	1958		
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA			18 March	1960 <i>a</i>
DENMARK	29 April	1958	26 September	1968
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	29 April	1958	11 August	1964
FIJI			25 March	1971 <i>d</i>
FINLAND	27 October	1958	16 February	1965
FRANCE	30 October	1958	18 September	1970
GHANA	29 April	1958		
HAITI	29 April	1958	29 March	1960
ICELAND	29 April	1958		
INDONESIA	8 May	1958		
IRAN	28 May	1958		
IRELAND	2 October	1958		
ISRAEL	29 April	1958		
JAMAICA			16 April	1964 <i>d</i>
KENYA			20 June	1969 <i>a</i>
LEBANON	29 May	1958		
LESOTHO			23 October	1973 <i>d</i>
LIBERIA	27 May	1958		
MADAGASCAR			31 July	1962 <i>a</i>
MALAWI			3 November	1965 <i>a</i>
MALAYSIA			21 December	1960 <i>a</i>
MAURITIUS			5 October	1970 <i>d</i>
MEXICO			2 August	1966 <i>a</i>
NEPAL	29 April	1958		
NETHERLANDS	31 October	1958	18 February	1966
NEW ZEALAND	29 October	1958		
NIGERIA			26 June	1961 <i>d</i>
PAKISTAN	31 October	1958		
PANAMA	2 May	1958		
PORTUGAL	28 October	1958	8 January	1963
SENEGAL ³			25 April	1961 <i>a</i>
SIERRA LEONE			13 March	1962 <i>d</i>
SOUTH AFRICA			9 April	1963 <i>a</i>
SPAIN			25 February	1971 <i>a</i>
SRI LANKA	30 October	1958		
SWITZERLAND	22 October	1958	18 May	1966
THAILAND	29 April	1958	2 July	1968
TONGA			29 June	1971 <i>d</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO			11 April	1966 <i>d</i>

¹ See footnote 1, p. 499.

² Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 29 April 1958. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

³ See footnote 3, p. 500.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
TUNISIA	30 October	1958		
UGANDA			14 September	1964 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	9 September	1958	14 March	1960
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	15 September	1958	12 April	1961
UPPER VOLTA			4 October	1965 <i>a</i>
URUGUAY	29 April	1958		
VENEZUELA	30 October	1958	10 July	1963
YUGOSLAVIA	29 April	1958	28 January	1966

Declarations and Reservations

DENMARK

Denmark does not consider itself bound by the last sentence of article 2 of the Convention.

SPAIN

Spain's accession is not to be interpreted as recognition of any rights or situations in connexion with the waters of Gibraltar other than those referred to in article 10 of the Treaty of Utrecht, of 13 July 1713, between the Crowns of Spain and Great Britain.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"In depositing their instrument of ratification . . . Her Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland declare that,

save as may be stated in any further and separate notices that may hereafter be given, ratification of this Convention on behalf of the United Kingdom does not extend to the States in the Persian Gulf enjoying British protection. Multilateral conventions to which the United Kingdom becomes a party are not extended to these States until such time as an extension is requested by the Ruler of the State concerned."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

" . . . Subject to the understanding that such ratification shall not be construed to impair the applicability of the principle of 'abstention', as defined in paragraph A.1 of the documents of record in the proceedings of the Conference [on the Law of the Sea, held at Geneva from 24 February to 27 April 1958], identified as A/CONF.13/C.3/L.69, 8 April 1958."

4. Convention on the Continental Shelf

Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 10 June 1964, in accordance with article 11.

REGISTRATION: 10 June 1964, No. 7302.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 499, p. 311.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
AFGHANISTAN	30 October	1958		
ALBANIA			7 December	1964 <i>a</i>
ARGENTINA	29 April	1958		
AUSTRALIA	30 October	1958	14 May	1963
BOLIVIA	17 October	1958		
BULGARIA			31 August	1962 <i>a</i>
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	31 October	1958	27 February	1961
CANADA	29 April	1958	6 February	1970
CHILE	31 October	1958		
CHINA ²				
COLOMBIA	29 April	1958	8 January	1962
COSTA RICA	29 April	1958	16 February	1972
CUBA	29 April	1958		
CYPRUS			11 April	1974 <i>a</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	31 October	1958	31 August	1961
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA			18 March	1960 <i>a</i>
DENMARK	29 April	1958	12 June	1963
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	29 April	1958	11 August	1964
ECUADOR	31 October	1958		
FIJI			25 March	1971 <i>d</i>
FINLAND	27 October	1958	16 February	1965
FRANCE			14 June	1965 <i>a</i>
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			27 December	1973 <i>a</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	30 October	1958		
GHANA	29 April	1958		
GREECE			6 November	1972 <i>a</i>
GUATEMALA	29 April	1958	27 November	1961
HAITI	29 April	1958	29 March	1960
ICELAND	29 April	1958		
INDONESIA	8 May	1958		
IRAN	28 May	1958		
IRELAND	2 October	1958		
ISRAEL	29 April	1958	6 September	1961

¹ See footnote 1, p. 499.

² Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 29 April 1958 and 12 October 1970 respectively. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

In communications addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned ratification, the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Bulgaria, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics stated that the said ratification was illegal since the so-called "Government of China" represented no one and did not have the right to speak on behalf of China, there being only one Chinese State in the world, the People's Republic of China, and one Government entitled to represent it, the Government of the People's Republic of China.

In letters addressed to the Secretary-General concerning the above-mentioned communications, the Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations stated the following:

"The Republic of China, a sovereign state and member of the United Nations, attended the first United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea in 1958, contributed to the formulation of the Convention on the Continental Shelf, signed the said Convention on 29 April 1958 and duly deposited its instrument of ratification with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on 12 October 1970. Any statement relating to the said Convention that is incompatible with or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China under the said Convention."

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
JAMAICA			8 October	1965 <i>a</i>
KENYA			20 June	1969 <i>a</i>
LEBANON	29 May	1958		
LESOTHO			23 October	1973 <i>d</i>
LIBERIA	27 May	1958		
MADAGASCAR			31 July	1962 <i>a</i>
MALAWI			3 November	1965 <i>a</i>
MALAYSIA			21 December	1960 <i>a</i>
MALTA			19 May	1966 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS			5 October	1970 <i>d</i>
MEXICO			2 August	1966 <i>a</i>
NEPAL	29 April	1958		
NETHERLANDS	31 October	1958	18 February	1966
NEW ZEALAND	29 October	1958	18 January	1965
NIGERIA			28 April	1971 <i>a</i>
NORWAY			9 September	1971 <i>a</i>
PAKISTAN	31 October	1958		
PANAMA	2 May	1958		
PERU	31 October	1958		
POLAND	31 October	1958	29 June	1962
PORTUGAL	28 October	1958	8 January	1963
ROMANIA			12 December	1961 <i>a</i>
SENEGAL ^{2a}			25 April	1961 <i>a</i>
SIERRA LEONE			25 November	1966 <i>a</i>
SOUTH AFRICA			9 April	1963 <i>a</i>
SPAIN			25 February	1971 <i>a</i>
SRI LANKA	30 October	1958		
SWAZILAND			16 October	1970 <i>a</i>
SWEDEN			1 June	1966 <i>a</i>
SWITZERLAND	22 October	1958	18 May	1966
THAILAND	29 April	1958	2 July	1968
TONGA			29 June	1971 <i>d</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO			11 July	1968 <i>a</i>
TUNISIA	30 October	1958		
UGANDA			14 September	1964 <i>a</i>
UKRAINIAN SSR	31 October	1958	12 January	1961
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	31 October	1958	22 November	1960
UNITED KINGDOM	9 September	1958	11 May	1964
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	15 September	1958	12 April	1961
URUGUAY	29 April	1958		
VENEZUELA	30 October	1958	15 August	1961
YUGOSLAVIA	29 April	1958	28 January	1966

Declarations and Reservations³

CANADA

"The Government of Canada wishes to make the following declaration with respect to article 1 of the Convention:

^{2a} The Secretary-General received on 1 March 1976, a communication from the Government of Senegal denouncing this Convention and specifying that the denunciation would take effect on the thirtieth day from its receipt, i.e. on 30 March 1976. The said communication was circulated by the Secretary-General to all States entitled to become parties to the Convention under its respective clauses.

The notification of denunciation was registered by the Government of Senegal on 1 March 1976 under No. 7302.

In this connexion, a communication from the Government of the United Kingdom was received by the Secretary-General on 1 September 1976. The content of this communication is, in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, identical to the first paragraph

"In the view of the Canadian Government the presence of an accidental feature such as a depression or a channel in a submerged area should not be regarded as constituting an interruption in the natural prolongation of the land territory of the coastal state into and under the sea."

CHINA

"With regard to the determination of the boundary of the continental shelf as provided in paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 6 of the Convention, the Government of the Republic of China considers:

of the communication by the Government of the United Kingdom reproduced in footnote 3 on p. 500.

³ For objections by certain States to some of these declarations and reservations, see p. 519.

- (1) that the boundary of the continental shelf appertaining to two or more States whose coasts are adjacent to and/or opposite each other shall be determined in accordance with the principle of the natural prolongation of their land territories; and
- (2) that in determining the boundary of the continental shelf of the Republic of China, exposed rocks and islets shall not be taken into account."

FRANCE

In depositing this instrument of accession, the Government of the French Republic declares:

Article 1

In the view of the Government of the French Republic, the expression "adjacent" areas implies a notion of geophysical, geological and geographical dependence which *ipso facto* rules out an unlimited extension of the continental shelf.

Article 2 (paragraph 4)

The Government of the French Republic considers that the expression "living organisms belonging to sedentary species" must be interpreted as excluding crustaceans, with the exception of the species of crab termed "barnacle"; and it makes the following reservations:

Article 4

The Government of the French Republic accepts this article only on condition that the coastal State claiming that the measures it intends to take are "reasonable" agrees that if their reasonableness is contested it shall be determined by arbitration.

Article 5 (paragraph 1)

The Government of the French Republic accepts the provisions of article 5, paragraph 1, with the following reservations:

(a) An essential element which should serve as the basis for appreciating any "interference" with the conservation of the living resources of the sea, resulting from the exploitation of the continental shelf, particularly in breeding areas for maintenance of stocks, shall be the technical report of the international scientific bodies responsible for the conservation of the living resources of the sea in the areas specified respectively in article 1 of the Convention for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries of 8 February 1949 and article 1 of the Convention for the Northeast Atlantic Fisheries of 24 January 1959.

(b) Any restrictions placed on the exercise of acquired fishing rights in waters above the continental shelf shall give rise to a right to compensation.

(c) It must be possible to establish by means of arbitration, if the matter is contested, whether the exploration of the continental shelf and the exploitation of its natural resources result in an interference with the other activities protected by article 5, paragraph 1, which is "unjustifiable".

Article 6 (paragraphs 1 and 2)

In the absence of a specific agreement, the Government of the French Republic will not accept that any boundary of the continental shelf determined by application of the principle of equidistance shall be invoked against it:

- if such boundary is calculated from baselines established after 29 April 1958;
- if it extends beyond the 200-metre isobath;
- if it lies in areas where, in the Government's opinion, there are "special circumstances" within the meaning of article 6, paragraphs 1 and 2, that is to say: the Bay of Biscay, the Bay of Granville, and the sea areas of the Straits of Dover and of the North Sea off the French coast.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic considers that articles 8 and 10 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"In signing the Convention on the Continental Shelf of 29 April 1958, the Federal Republic of Germany declares with reference to article 5, paragraph 1 of the Convention on the Continental Shelf that in the opinion of the Federal Government article 5, paragraph 1 guarantees the exercise of fishing rights (*Fischerei*) in the waters above the continental shelf in the manner hitherto generally in practice."

GREECE

... Pursuant to article 12 of the Convention, the Kingdom of Greece makes a reservation with respect to the system of delimiting the boundaries of the continental shelf appertaining to States whose coasts are adjacent or opposite each other, provided for in article 6, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention. In such cases, the Kingdom of Greece will apply, in the absence of international agreement, the normal baseline system for the purpose of measuring the breadth of the territorial sea.

IRAN

"In signing this Convention on the Continental Shelf, I am instructed by the Iranian Government to make the following reservations:

(a) *Article 4*: With respect to the phrase "the Coastal State may not impede the laying or maintenance of submarine cables or pipe-lines on the continental shelf", the Iranian Government reserves its right to allow or not to allow the laying or maintenance of submarine cables or pipe-lines on its continental shelf.

(b) *Article 6*: With respect to the phrase "and unless another boundary line is justified by special circumstances" included in paragraphs 1 and 2 of this article, the Iranian Government accepts this phrase on the understanding that one method of determining the boundary line in special circumstances would be that of measurement from the high water mark"

SPAIN

Spain's accession is not to be interpreted as recognition of any rights or situations in connexion with the waters of Gibraltar other than those referred to in article 10 of the Treaty of Utrecht, of 13 July 1713, between the Crowns of Spain and Great Britain.

Spain also declares, in connexion with article 1 of the Convention, that the existence of any accident of the surface, such as a depression or a channel, in a submerged zone shall not be deemed to constitute an interruption of the natural extension of the coastal territory into or under the sea.

VENEZUELA

In signing the present Convention, the Republic of Venezuela declares with reference to article 6 that there are special circumstances to be taken into consideration in the following areas: the Gulf of Paria, in so far as the boundary is not determined by existing agreements,

and in zones adjacent thereto; the area between the coast of Venezuela and the island of Aruba; and the Gulf of Venezuela.

Reservation made upon ratification:... with express reservation in respect of article 6 of the said Convention.

YUGOSLAVIA

Subject to the following reservation in respect of article 6 of the Convention:

In delimiting its continental shelf, Yugoslavia recognizes no "special circumstances" which should influence that delimitation.

Objections⁴

CANADA

"The Government of Canada wishes to declare as follows:

- "(i) That it does not find acceptable the declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany with respect to article 5, paragraph 1.
- "(ii) That it reserves its position concerning the declaration of the Government of the French Republic with respect to article 1 and article 2, paragraph 4; and further that it does not find acceptable the reservations made by the Government of the French Republic to articles 4, and 5, paragraph 1.
- "(iii) That it does not find acceptable the reservation made by the Government of the French Republic to article 6, paragraphs 1 and 2, insofar as that reservation relates to a boundary calculated from baselines established after 29 April 1958 or to a boundary extending beyond the 200 metre isobath.
- "(iv) That it reserves its position concerning the reservation made by the Government of the French Republic to article 6, paragraphs 1 and 2, insofar as that reservation relates to a boundary in areas where there are 'special circumstances' within the meaning of article 6, paragraphs 1 and 2.
- "(v) That it does not find acceptable the reservation made by the Iranian Government to article 4."

FIJI

[As under the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone; see p. 503.]

FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic does not accept the reservations made by the Government of Iran with respect to article 4 of the Convention.

NETHERLANDS

"In depositing their instrument of ratification regarding the Convention on the Continental Shelf concluded

at Geneva on April 29th 1958, the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands declare that they do not find acceptable

"the reservations made by the Iranian Government to article 4;

"the reservations made by the Government of the French Republic to articles 5, paragraph 1, and 6, paragraphs 1 and 2.

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands reserve all rights regarding the reservations in respect of article 6 made by the Government of Venezuela when ratifying the present Convention."

NORWAY

"In depositing their instrument of accession regarding the said Convention, the Government of Norway declare that they do not find acceptable the reservations made by the Government of the French Republic to article 5, paragraph 1, and to article 6, paragraphs 1 and 2."

SPAIN

Spain declares the following:

1. That it reserves its position with respect to the declaration made by the Government of the French Republic in connexion with article 1;
2. That it deems unacceptable the reservation made by the Government of the French Republic to article 6, paragraph 2, especially as concerns the Bay of Biscay.

THAILAND

On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Thailand made objections to "the reservations to articles 1, 4, 5 (paragraph 1) and 6 (paragraphs 1 and 2) made by the Government of France."

TONGA

The Secretary-General received on 22 October 1971 a communication from the Government of Tonga to the effect that the latter wishes to maintain all objections made by the United Kingdom to the reservations or declarations made by States with respect to this Convention.

⁴ The dates of receipt by the Secretary-General of communications notifying the objections, other than those formulated at the time of ratification or accession, are shown above their texts

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

14 January 1966

“Article 1: The Government of the United Kingdom take note of the declaration made by the Government of the French Republic and reserve their position concerning it.

“Article 2 (paragraph 4): This declaration does not call for any observations on the part of the Government of the United Kingdom.

“Article 4: The Government of the United Kingdom and the Government of the French Republic are both parties to the Optional Protocol of Signature concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes done at Geneva on the 29th of April, 1958. The Government of the United Kingdom assume that the declaration made by the Government of the French Republic is not intended to derogate from the rights and obligations of the parties to the Optional Protocol.

“Article 5 (paragraph 1): Reservation (a) does not call for any observations on the part of the Government of the United Kingdom.

“The Government of the United Kingdom are unable to accept reservation (b).

“The Government of the United Kingdom are prepared to accept reservation (c) on the understanding that it is not intended to derogate from the rights and obligations of parties to the Optional Protocol of Signature concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes.

“Article 6 (paragraphs 1 and 2): The Government of the United Kingdom are unable to accept the reservations made by the Government of the French Republic.”

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA⁵

19 September 1962

“The United States does not find the following reservations acceptable:

“1. The reservation made by the Iranian Government to article 4.

“2. The reservation made by the Federal Republic of Germany to article 5, paragraph 1.”

9 September 1965

“The reservations [made by France] to articles 4, 5 and 6. The declarations by France with respect to articles 1 and 2 are noted without prejudice.”

16 July 1970

“The Government of the United States does not find acceptable the declaration made by the Government of Canada with respect to article 1 of the Convention on the Continental Shelf. The United States considers that Convention to be in force and applicable between it and Canada, but that such application does not in any manner constitute any concurrence by the United States in the substance of the declaration made by Canada with respect to article 1 of that Convention.”

YUGOSLAVIA

29 September 1965

“The Government of Yugoslavia does not accept the reservation made by the Government of the French Republic with respect to article 6 of the Convention on the Continental Shelf.”

⁵ See footnote 6, p. 504.

5. Optional Protocol of Signature concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes

Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 September 1962.

REGISTRATION: 3 January 1963, No. 6466.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 450, p. 169.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature affixed with reservation as to ratification²</i>	<i>Signature affixed without reservation as to ratification (s),² ratification, notification of succession (d)</i>
AUSTRALIA		14 May 1963 s
AUSTRIA	27 October 1958	
BELGIUM		6 January 1972 s
BOLIVIA		17 October 1958 s
CANADA	29 April 1958	
CHINA ^{2a}		
COLOMBIA ³		29 April 1958 s
COSTA RICA		29 April 1958 s
CUBA		29 April 1958 s
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	22 January 1970	
DENMARK	29 April 1958	26 September 1968
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC		29 April 1958 s
FINLAND		27 October 1958 s
		16 February 1965
FRANCE		30 October 1958 s
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ^{3a}		30 October 1958 s
		26 July 1973
GHANA		29 April 1958 s
HAITI		29 April 1958 s
		29 March 1960
HOLY SEE		30 April 1958 s
INDONESIA	8 May 1958 ⁴	

¹ See footnote 1, p. 499.

² Article V of the Protocol provides that the latter "shall remain open for signature by all States who become Parties to any Convention on the Law of the Sea and is subject to ratification, where necessary, according to the constitutional requirements of the signatory States". Consequently, the signatures listed above appear in the second or the third column according to whether they have been affixed or not with an indication that they were subject to ratification. It will be noted, however, that certain signatures, although they were affixed without reservation as to ratification, were followed by the deposit of an instrument of ratification: in such cases, the two corresponding dates will be found in the third column.

The States listed herein are bound by this Protocol to the extent that they have signed it definitively, ratified it or succeeded to it, and that they are bound by one at least of the four Law of the Sea Conventions to which it related.

^{2a} Signature affixed without reservation as to ratification on behalf of the Republic of China on 29 April 1958. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

³ In signing the Optional Protocol, the delegation of Colombia reserves the obligations of Colombia arising out of conventions concerning the peaceful settlement of disputes which Colombia

has ratified and out of any previous conventions concerning the same subject which Colombia may ratify.

^{3a} With the following declaration:

" . . . The . . . Optional Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 5 November 1973 the following communication from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics:

The Soviet Union can take note of the declaration by the Federal Republic of Germany concerning application to Berlin (West) of . . . the Optional Protocol of signature concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes only on the understanding that such application conforms to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and is subject to observance of the established procedures.

Communications identical in essence were received from the Governments of Czechoslovakia (on 6 December 1973) and the Byelorussian SSR (on 13 February 1974).

⁴ In a communication received on 24 December 1958, the Government of Indonesia informed the Secretary-General that according to the constitutional requirements of Indonesia, the signature affixed on its behalf to this Protocol is subject to ratification.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature affixed with reservation as to ratification²</i>		<i>Signature affixed without reservation as to ratification (s),² ratification, notification of succession (d)</i>	
ISRAEL	29 April	1958	27 May	1958 <i>s</i>
LIBERIA			10 August	1962 <i>s</i>
MADAGASCAR			17 December	1965 <i>s</i>
MALAWI			1 May	1961 <i>s</i>
MALAYSIA			19 May	1966 <i>d</i>
MALTA			5 October	1970 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS			29 April	1958 <i>s</i>
NETHERLANDS	31 October	1958	18 February	1966
NEW ZEALAND			29 October	1958 <i>s</i>
PAKISTAN			6 November	1958 <i>s</i>
PANAMA			2 May	1958 <i>s</i>
PORTUGAL	28 October	1958	8 January	1963
SIERRA LEONE			14 February	1963 <i>s</i>
SRI LANKA			30 October	1958 <i>s</i>
SWEDEN	1 June	1966	28 June	1966
SWITZERLAND	24 May	1958	18 May	1966
UGANDA			15 September	1964 <i>s</i>
UNITED KINGDOM			9 September	1958 <i>s</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ⁵	15 September	1958		
URUGUAY			29 April	1958 <i>s</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	29 April	1958	28 January	1966

⁵ In a communication received on 10 June 1963, the Government of the United States of America informed the Secretary-General that the Protocol "will not enter into force with

respect to the United States until the Protocol has been ratified on the part of the United States and instrument of ratification has been deposited".

CHAPTER XXII. COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

1. Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards

Done at New York on 10 June 1958¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 June 1959, in accordance with article XII.

REGISTRATION: 7 June 1959, No. 4739.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 330, p. 3.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
ARGENTINA	26 August 1958	
AUSTRALIA ^{1a}		26 March 1975 a
AUSTRIA		2 May 1961 a
BELGIUM	10 June 1958	18 August 1975
BENIN		16 May 1974 a
BOTSWANA		20 December 1971 a
BULGARIA	17 December 1958	10 October 1961
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	29 December 1958	15 November 1960
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE		15 October 1962 a
CHILE		4 September 1975 a
COSTA RICA	10 June 1958	
CUBA		30 December 1974 a
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	3 October 1958	10 July 1959
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA		5 January 1960 a
DENMARK ^{1b}		22 December 1972 a
ECUADOR	17 December 1958	3 January 1962
EGYPT		9 March 1959 a
EL SALVADOR	10 June 1958	
FINLAND	29 December 1958	19 January 1962
FRANCE ²	25 November 1958	26 June 1959
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC		20 February 1975 a
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ³	10 June 1958	30 June 1961

¹ The Convention was prepared and opened for signature on 10 June 1958 by the United Nations Conference on International Commercial Arbitration, convened in accordance with resolution 604 (XXI) of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations adopted on 3 May 1956. For the text of this resolution, see *Official Record of the Economic and Social Council, Twenty-first Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/2889)*, p. 5. The Conference met at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York from 20 May to 10 June 1958. For the text of the Final Act of this Conference, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 330, p. 3.

^{1a} With a declaration that the Convention shall extend to all the external Territories for the international relations of which Australia is responsible other than Papua New Guinea.

^{1b} At the time of acceding to the Convention the Government of Denmark declared, in accordance with article X (1), that it would not apply for the time being to the Faroe Islands and Greenland.

In a communication received on 12 November 1975, the Government of Denmark declared that it had withdrawn the above-mentioned declaration, this decision to take effect on 1 January 1976.

² In a notification made on ratification the Government of France declared that the Convention shall extend to all the territories of the French Republic.

³ With a declaration that the Convention will also apply to *Land Berlin* as from the day on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been received from the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the ones reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52.

Upon accession to the Convention, on 20 February 1975, the Government of the German Democratic Republic made the following declaration in this respect:

Pursuant to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic, that Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it. The statements by the Federal Republic of Germany to the effect that these Conventions also apply to "*Land Berlin*" are therefore contrary to the Quadripartite Agreement, which states further that treaties affecting matters of security and status may not be extended to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany. The statements by the Federal Republic of Germany cannot therefore have legal effects.

In regard to the latter declaration, the Secretary-General received on 26 January 1976 from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
GHANA		9 April 1968 <i>a</i>
GREECE		16 July 1962 <i>a</i>
HOLY SEE		14 May 1975 <i>a</i>
HUNGARY		5 March 1962 <i>a</i>
INDIA	10 June 1958	13 July 1960
ISRAEL	10 June 1958	5 January 1959
ITALY		31 January 1969 <i>a</i>
JAPAN		20 June 1961 <i>a</i>
JORDAN	10 June 1958	
LUXEMBOURG	11 November 1958	
MADAGASCAR		16 July 1962 <i>a</i>
MEXICO		14 April 1971 <i>a</i>
MONACO	31 December 1958	
MOROCCO		12 February 1959 <i>a</i>
NETHERLANDS ⁴	10 June 1958	24 April 1964
NIGER		14 October 1964 <i>a</i>
NIGERIA		17 March 1970 <i>a</i>
NORWAY		14 March 1961 <i>a</i>
PAKISTAN	30 December 1958	
PHILIPPINES	10 June 1958	6 July 1967
POLAND	10 June 1958	3 October 1961
REPUBLIC OF KOREA		8 February 1973 <i>a</i>
ROMANIA		13 September 1961 <i>a</i>
SOUTH AFRICA		3 May 1976 <i>a</i>
SRI LANKA	30 December 1958	9 April 1962
SWEDEN	23 December 1958	28 January 1972
SWITZERLAND	29 December 1958	1 June 1965
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC ⁵		9 March 1959 <i>a</i>
THAILAND		21 December 1959 <i>a</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		14 February 1966 <i>a</i>
TUNISIA		17 July 1967 <i>a</i>
UKRAINIAN SSR	29 December 1958	10 October 1960
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	29 December 1958	24 August 1960
UNITED KINGDOM		24 September 1975 <i>a</i> ^{5a}
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA		13 October 1964 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ⁶		30 September 1970 <i>a</i>

Declarations and Reservations

ARGENTINA

Subject to the declaration contained in the Final Act.⁷

and the United States of America a communication confirming their previous declarations. Subsequently, on 24 February 1976, the Secretary-General received from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany a communication which states in part: "The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the [Note] of the Three Powers, wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above mentioned [Convention] extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect."

⁴The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Convention is ratified for the Kingdom of Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles

⁵Accession by the United Arab Republic, see footnote 3, p. 3.

^{5a}In a communication accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of the United Kingdom declared, in accordance with article X of the Convention, that [the Convention] shall extend to Gibraltar, for the international relations of which the Government of the United Kingdom is responsible.

⁶In a communication received on 3 November 1970, the Government of the United States of America notified the Secretary-

AUSTRIA

The Republic of Austria will apply the Convention, in accordance with the first sentence of article I (3) thereof, only to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made in the territory of another Contracting State.

BELGIUM

Upon ratification:

In accordance with article I, paragraph 3, the Government of the Kingdom of Belgium declares that it will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made only in the territory of a Contracting State.

General that the Convention shall apply to all of the territories for the international relations of which the United States of America is responsible.

⁷The said declaration read as follows:

"If another Contracting Party extends the application of the Convention to territories which fall within the sovereignty of the Argentine Republic, the rights of the Argentine Republic shall in no way be affected by that extension."

BOTSWANA

"The Republic of Botswana will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationship, whether contractual or not, which are considered commercial under Botswana law.

"The Republic of Botswana will apply the Convention to the Recognition and Enforcement of Awards made in the territory of another Contracting State."

BULGARIA

"Bulgaria will apply the Convention to recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another contracting State. With regard to awards made in the territory of non-contracting States it will apply the Convention only to the extent to which these States grant reciprocal treatment."

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST
REPUBLIC

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic will apply the provisions of this Convention in respect to arbitral awards made in the territories of non-contracting States only to the extent to which they grant reciprocal treatment.

CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE

Referring to the possibility offered by paragraph 3 of article I of the Convention, the Central African Republic declares that it will apply the Convention on the basis of reciprocity, to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another contracting State; it further declares that it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under its national law.

CUBA

The Republic of Cuba will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made in the territory of another Contracting State. With respect to arbitral awards made by other non-contracting States, it will apply the Convention only in so far as those States grant reciprocal treatment as established by mutual agreement between the parties. Moreover, it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under Cuban legislation.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"Czechoslovakia will apply the Convention to recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another Contracting State. With regard to awards made in the territory of non-contracting States it will apply the Convention only to the extent to which these States grant reciprocal treatment."

DENMARK

In accordance with the terms of article I, paragraph 3, [the Convention] shall have effect only as regards the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made by another Contracting State and [it] shall be valid only with respect to commercial relationships.

ECUADOR

Ecuador, on a basis of reciprocity, will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made in the territory of another contracting State only if such awards have been made with respect to differences arising out of legal relationships which are regarded as commercial under Ecuadorian law.

FRANCE

Referring to the possibility offered by paragraph 3 of Article I of the Convention, France declares that it will apply the Convention on the basis of reciprocity, to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another contracting State; it further declares that it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under its national law.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

In respect of article I:

The German Democratic Republic will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made in the territory of another Contracting State. To arbitral awards made in the territories of non-contracting States, the Convention will be applied only to such extent as those States grant reciprocity. Furthermore, the German Democratic Republic will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of contractual or non-contractual legal relationships which are considered as commercial under the national law of the German Democratic Republic.

In respect of articles VIII and IX:

The German Democratic Republic considers that the provisions of articles VIII and IX of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

In respect of article X:

The position of the German Democratic Republic on article X of the Convention, as far as the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories is concerned, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"With respect to paragraph 1 of article I, and in accordance with paragraph 3 of article I of the Convention, the Federal Republic of Germany will apply the Convention only to the recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another Contracting State."

HOLY SEE

The State of Vatican City will apply the said Convention on the basis of reciprocity, on the one hand, to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State, and on the other hand, only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under Vatican law.

HUNGARY

"...the Hungarian People's Republic shall apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of such awards only as have been made in the territory of one of the other Contracting States and are dealing with differences arising in respect of a legal relationship considered by the Hungarian law as a commercial relationship."

INDIA

"In accordance with Article I of the Convention, the Government of India declare that they will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of a State, party to this Convention. They further declare that they will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under the Law of India."

JAPAN

". . . It will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State."

MADAGASCAR

The Malagasy Republic declares that it will apply the Convention on the basis of reciprocity, to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another contracting State; it further declares that it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under its national law.

MOROCCO

The Government of His Majesty the King of Morocco will only apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State.

NETHERLANDS

Referring to paragraph 3 of article I of the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, the Government of the Kingdom declares that it will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State.

NIGERIA

"In accordance with paragraph 3 of article I of the Convention, the Federal Military Government of the

Federal Republic of Nigeria declares that it will apply the Convention on the basis of reciprocity to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of a State party to this Convention and to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under the laws of the Federal Republic of Nigeria."

NORWAY

"1. We will apply the Convention only to the recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of one of the Contracting States."

"2. We will not apply the Convention to differences where the subject matter of the proceedings is immovable property situated in Norway, or a right in or to such property."

PHILIPPINES

"The Philippines delegation signs *ad referendum* this Convention with the reservation that it does so on the basis of reciprocity and declares that the Philippines will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another contracting State pursuant to Article I, paragraph 3 of the Convention."

(*Declaration made on ratification*) "...the Philippines, on the basis of reciprocity, will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State and only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under the national law of the State making such declaration."

POLAND

"With reservations as mentioned in article I, par. 3."

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

"By virtue of paragraph 3 of article I of the present Convention, the Government of the Republic of Korea declares that it will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State. It further declares that it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under its national law."

ROMANIA

The Romanian People's Republic will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under its legislation.

The Romanian People's Republic will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another Contracting State. As regards awards made in the territory of certain non-contracting States, the Romanian People's Republic will apply the Convention only on the basis of reciprocity established by joint agreement between the parties.

SWITZERLAND

Referring to the possibility offered by paragraph 3 of article I, Switzerland will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State.

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

"In accordance with article I of the Convention, the Government of Trinidad and Tobago declares that it will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State. The Government of Trinidad and Tobago further declares that it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contracted or not, which are considered as commercial under the Law of Trinidad and Tobago."

TUNISIA

With the reservations provided for in article I, paragraph 3, of the Convention, that is to say, the Tunisian State will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State and only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under Tunisian law.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic will apply the provisions of this Convention in respect to arbitral

awards made in the territories of non-contracting States only to the extent to which they grant reciprocal treatment.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics will apply the provisions of this Convention in respect to arbitral awards made in the territories of non-contracting States only to the extent to which they grant reciprocal treatment.

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

"The Government of the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar will apply the Convention, in accordance with the first sentence of article I (3) thereof, only to the recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another Contracting State."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"The United States of America will apply the Convention, on the basis of reciprocity, to the recognition and enforcement of only those awards made in the territory of another Contracting State.

"The United States of America will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under the national law of the United States."

2. European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration

Done at Geneva on 21 April 1961¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 January 1964, in accordance with article X, paragraph 8, with the exception of paragraphs 3 to 7 of article IV which entered into force on 18 October 1965, in accordance with paragraph 4 of the Annex to the Convention.

REGISTRATION: 7 January 1964, No. 7041.

TEXT: United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 484, p. 349.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AUSTRIA	21 April	1961	6 March	1964
BELGIUM	21 April	1961	9 October	1975
BULGARIA	21 April	1961	13 May	1964
BYELORUSSIAN SSR	21 April	1961	14 October	1963
CUBA			1 September	1965 <i>a</i>
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	21 April	1961	13 November	1963
DENMARK ^{1a}	21 April	1961	22 December	1972
FINLAND	21 December	1961		
FRANCE	21 April	1961	16 December	1966
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC			20 February	1975 <i>a</i>
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF ²	21 April	1961	27 October	1964
HUNGARY	21 April	1961	9 October	1963
ITALY	21 April	1961	3 August	1970
POLAND	21 April	1961	15 September	1964
ROMANIA	21 April	1961	16 August	1963
SPAIN	14 December	1961	12 May	1975
TURKEY	21 April	1961		
UKRAINIAN SSR	21 April	1961	18 March	1963
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	21 April	1961	27 June	1962
UPPER VOLTA			26 January	1965 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	21 April	1961	25 September	1963

¹ The Convention was prepared and opened for signature on 21 April 1961 by the Special Meeting of Plenipotentiaries for the purpose of negotiating and signing a European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration, which was convened in accordance with resolution 7 (XV) of the Economic Commission for Europe, adopted on 5 May 1960. The Special Meeting was held at the European Office of the United Nations in Geneva from 10 to 21 April 1961. For the text of the Final Act of the Special Meeting, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 484, p. 349.

^{1a} The instrument of ratification contained a declaration to the effect that the Convention for the time being would not extend to the Faroe Islands and Greenland.

In a communication received on 12 November 1975, the Government of Denmark declared that it had withdrawn the above-mentioned reservation, the decision to take effect on 1 January 1976.

² A note accompanying the instrument of ratification contains a statement that the Convention "shall also apply to Land Berlin as from the day on which the Convention enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America, the Federal Republic of Germany, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to those reproduced in footnote 3, p. 52.

Upon accession to the Convention, on 20 February 1975, the

Government of the German Democratic Republic made the following declaration:

Pursuant to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic, that Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it. The statements by the Federal Republic of Germany to the effect that these Conventions also apply to "Land Berlin" are therefore contrary to the Quadripartite Agreement, which states further that treaties affecting matters of security and status may not be extended to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany. The statements by the Federal Republic of Germany cannot therefore have legal effects.

In regard to the latter declaration, the Secretary-General received on 26 January 1976 from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America a communication confirming their previous declarations. Subsequently, on 24 February 1976, the Secretary-General received from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany a communication which states in part: "The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the [note] of the Three Powers, wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above mentioned [Convention] extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect."

Declarations and Reservations

BELGIUM

Upon ratification:

In accordance with article II, paragraph 2, of the

Convention, the Belgian Government declares that in Belgium only the State has, in the cases referred to in article I, paragraph 1, the faculty to conclude arbitration agreements.

CHAPTER XXIII. LAW OF TREATIES

I. Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, with annex

Done at Vienna on 23 May 1969¹

Not yet in force (see article 84).

TEXT: Document A/CONF.39/27, 23 May 1969, and corrigenda: 1 (English only), 2 (French only), 3 and 5 (Russian only) and 4 (Spanish only).

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
AFGHANISTAN	23 May	1969		
ARGENTINA	23 May	1969	5 December	1972
AUSTRALIA			13 June	1974 <i>a</i>
BARBADOS	23 May	1969	24 June	1971
BOLIVIA	23 May	1969		
BRAZIL	23 May	1969		
CANADA			14 October	1970 <i>a</i>
CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE ..			10 December	1971 <i>a</i>
CHILE	23 May	1969		
CHINA ²				
COLOMBIA	23 May	1969		
CONGO	23 May	1969		
COSTA RICA	23 May	1969		
CYPRUS			28 December	1976 <i>a</i>
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA ..	23 May	1969		
DENMARK	18 April	1970	1 June	1976
ECUADOR	23 May	1969		
EL SALVADOR	16 February	1970		
ETHIOPIA	30 April	1970		
FINLAND	23 May	1969		
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	30 April	1970		
GHANA	23 May	1969		
GREECE			30 October	1974 <i>a</i>
GUATEMALA	23 May	1969		
GUYANA	23 May	1969		
HOLY SEE	30 September	1969		
HONDURAS	23 May	1969		
IRAN	23 May	1969		

¹The Convention was adopted on 22 May 1969 and opened for signature on 23 May 1969 by the United Nations Conference on the Law of Treaties. The Conference was convened pursuant to General Assembly resolutions 2166 (XXI) of 5 December 1966 and 2287 (XXII) of 6 December 1967; for the texts of these resolutions, see *Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-first Session, Supplement No. 16 (A/6316)*, p. 95, and *ibid.*, *Twenty-second Session, Supplement No. 16 (A/6716)*, p. 80. The Conference held two sessions, both at the Neue Hofburg in Vienna, the first session from 26 March to 24 May 1968 and the second session from 9 April to 22 May 1969. In addition to the Convention, the Conference adopted the Final Act and certain declarations and resolutions, which are annexed to that Act. By unanimous decision of the Conference, the original of the Final Act was deposited in the archives of the Federal Ministry for Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Austria. For the text of the Final Act, see Conference document A/CONF.39/26 and corrigenda 1 (Spanish only) and 2 (English only), 23 May 1969.

²Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 27 April 1970. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, Preface, p. iii.

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned signature, the Permanent Mission of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics stated that the said signature was irregular since the so-called "Government of China" represented no one and had no right to speak on behalf of China, there being only one Chinese State in the world—the People's Republic of China.

The Permanent Mission of Bulgaria to the United Nations later addressed to the Secretary-General a similar communication.

In two letters addressed to the Secretary General in regard to the above-mentioned communications, the Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations stated that the Republic of China, a sovereign State and Member of the United Nations, had attended the United Nations Conference on the Law of Treaties in 1968 and 1969, contributed to the formulation of the Convention concerned and signed it, and that "any statements or reservations to the said Convention that are incompatible with or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China as a signatory of the said Convention".

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
ITALY	22 April	1970	25 July	1974
IVORY COAST	23 July	1969		
JAMAICA	23 May	1969	28 July	1970
KENYA	23 May	1969		
KUWAIT			11 November	1975 <i>a</i>
LESOTHO			3 March	1972 <i>a</i>
LIBERIA	23 May	1969		
LUXEMBOURG	4 September	1969		
MADAGASCAR	23 May	1969		
MAURITIUS			18 January	1973 <i>a</i>
MEXICO	23 May	1969	25 September	1974
MOROCCO	23 May	1969	26 September	1972
NEPAL	23 May	1969		
NEW ZEALAND	29 April	1970	4 August	1971
NIGER			27 October	1971 <i>a</i>
NIGERIA	23 May	1969	31 July	1969
PAKISTAN	29 April	1970		
PARAGUAY			3 February	1972 <i>a</i>
PERU	23 May	1969		
PHILIPPINES	23 May	1969	15 November	1972
REPUBLIC OF KOREA ³	27 November	1969		
SPAIN			16 May	1972 <i>a</i>
SUDAN	23 May	1969		
SWEDEN	23 April	1970	4 February	1975
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC			2 October	1970 <i>a</i>
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	23 May	1969		
TUNISIA			23 June	1971 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	20 April	1970	25 June	1971
UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA			12 April	1976 <i>a</i>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	24 April	1970		
URUGUAY	23 May	1969		
YUGOSLAVIA	23 May	1969	27 August	1970
ZAMBIA	23 May	1969		

Declarations and reservations^{3a}

AFGHANISTAN

"Afghanistan's understanding of article 62 (fundamental change of circumstances) is as follows:

"Sub-paragraph 2(a) of this article does not cover unequal and illegal treaties, or any treaties which were contrary to the principle of self-determination. This view was also supported by the Expert Consultant in his statement of 11 May 1968 in the Committee of the

³ With reference to the above-mentioned signature, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Bulgaria, Mongolia and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, stating that the said signature was illegal inasmuch as the South Korean authorities could not under any circumstances speak on behalf of Korea.

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General the Permanent Observer of the Republic of Korea to the United Nations declared that the above-mentioned statement by the Permanent Mission of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics was without legal foundation and therefore neither affected the legitimate act of signing the Convention by the Government of the Republic of Korea nor prejudiced the rights and obligations of the Republic of Korea under it. He further stated that "in this connexion, it should be noted that the General Assembly of the United Nations declared at its third session and has continuously reaffirmed thereafter that the Government of the Republic of Korea is the only lawful Government in Korea".

^{3a} For objections by certain States to some of these declarations and reservations, see p. 534.

Whole and on 14 May 1969 (doc. A/CONF.39/L.40) to the Conference."

ARGENTINA

(a) The Argentine Republic does not regard the rule contained in article 45 (b) as applicable to it inasmuch as the rule in question provides for the renunciation of rights in advance.

(b) The Argentine Republic does not accept the idea that a fundamental change of circumstances which has occurred with regard to those existing at the time of the conclusion of a treaty, and which was not foreseen by the parties, may be invoked as a ground for terminating or withdrawing from the treaty; moreover, it objects to the reservations made by Afghanistan, Morocco and Syria with respect to article 62, paragraph 2 (a), and to any reservations to the same effect as those of the States referred to which may be made in the future with respect to article 62.

The application of this Convention to territories whose sovereignty is a subject of dispute between two or more States, whether or not they are parties to it, cannot be deemed to imply a modification, renunciation or abandonment of the position heretofore maintained by each of them.

BOLIVIA

1. The shortcomings of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties are such as to postpone the realization of the aspirations of mankind.

2. Nevertheless, the rules endorsed by the Convention do represent significant advances, based on the principles of international justice which Bolivia has traditionally supported.

CANADA

"In acceding to the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, the government of Canada declares its understanding that nothing in article 66 of the Convention is intended to exclude the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice where such jurisdiction exists under the provisions of any treaty in force binding the parties with regard to the settlement of disputes. In relation to states parties to the Vienna Convention which accept as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, the government of Canada declares that it does not regard the provisions of article 66 of the Vienna Convention as providing 'some other method of peaceful settlement' within the meaning of paragraph 2 (a) of the declaration of the government of Canada accepting as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice which was deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on April 7, 1970."

COSTA RICA

1. With regard to articles 11 and 12, the delegation of Costa Rica wishes to make a reservation to the effect that the Costa Rican system of constitutional law does not authorize any form of consent which is not subject to ratification by the Legislative Assembly.

2. With regard to article 25, it wishes to make a reservation to the effect that the Political Constitution of Costa Rica does not permit the provisional application of treaties, either.

3. With regard to article 27, it interprets this article as referring to secondary law and not to the provisions of the Political Constitution.

4. With regard to article 38, its interpretation is that no customary rule of general international law shall take precedence over any rule of the Inter-American System to which, in its view, this Convention is supplementary.

DENMARK

Upon ratification:

As between itself and any State which formulates, wholly or in part, a reservation relating to the provisions of article 66 of the Convention concerning the compulsory settlement of certain disputes, Denmark will not consider itself bound by those provisions of part V of the Convention, according to which the procedures for settlement set forth in article 66 are not to apply in the event of reservations formulated by other States.

ECUADOR

In signing this Convention, Ecuador has not considered it necessary to make any reservation in regard to article 4 of the Convention because it understands that the rules referred to in the first part of article 4 include the principle of the peaceful settlement of disputes, which

is set forth in Article 2, paragraph 3 of the Charter of the United Nations and which, as *jus cogens*, has universal and mandatory force.

Ecuador also considers that the first part of article 4 is applicable to existing treaties.

It wishes to place on record, in this form, its view that the said article 4 incorporates the indisputable principle that, in cases where the Convention codifies rules of *lex lata*, these rules, as pre-existing rules, may be invoked and applied to treaties signed before the entry into force of this Convention, which is the instrument codifying the rules.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"The Federal Republic of Germany reserves the right, upon ratifying the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, to state its views on the declarations made by other States upon signing or ratifying or acceding to that Convention and to make reservations regarding certain provisions of the said Convention."

GUATEMALA

The delegation of Guatemala, in signing the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, wishes to make the following reservations:

I. Guatemala cannot accept any provision of this Convention which would prejudice its rights and its claim to the Territory of Belice.

II. Guatemala will not apply articles 11, 12, 25 and 66 in so far as they are contrary to the provisions of the Constitution of the Republic.

III. Guatemala will apply the provision contained in article 38 only in cases where it considers that it is in the national interest to do so.

KUWAIT

The participation of Kuwait in this Convention does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the Government of the State of Kuwait and that furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the State of Kuwait and Israel.

MOROCCO⁴*Upon signature:*

1. Morocco interprets paragraph 2 (a) of article 62 (Fundamental change of circumstances) as not applying to unlawful or inequitable treaties, or to any treaty contrary to the principle of self-determination. Morocco's views on paragraph 2 (a) were supported by the Expert Consultant in his statements in the Committee of the Whole on 11 May 1968 and before the Conference in

⁴ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 16 March 1970, the Government of Israel declared that it "has noted the political character of the declaration made by the Government of Morocco on that occasion. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, that declaration cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Morocco under general international law or under particular treaties. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Morocco an attitude of complete reciprocity".

An identical communication, *mutatis mutandis*, was received by the Secretary-General on 16 November 1970 from the Government of Israel in respect of reservation A made by the Government of Syria on accession to the Convention.

plenary on 14 May 1969 (see Document A/CONF.39/L.40).

2. It shall be understood that Morocco's signature of this Convention does not in any way imply that it recognized Israel. Furthermore, no treaty relationships will be established between Morocco and Israel.

Upon ratification:

1. Morocco interprets article 62 ("Fundamental change of circumstances"), paragraph 2 (a), as not applying to illegal and unequal treaties or treaties contradictory to the principle of self-determination.

2. It is hereby explicitly stated that signature by Morocco of this Convention shall in no way constitute recognition of Israel, nor shall the establishment of any treaty relationship between Morocco and Israel be possible.

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC⁴

A—Acceptance of this Convention by the Syrian Arab Republic and ratification of it by its Government shall in no wise signify recognition of Israel and cannot have as a result the establishment with the latter of any contact governed by the provisions of this Convention.

B—The Syrian Arab Republic considers that article 81 is not in conformity with the aims and purposes of the Convention in that it does not allow all States, without distinction or discrimination, to become parties to it.

C—The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic does not in any case accept the non-applicability of the principle of a fundamental change of circumstances with regard to treaties establishing boundaries, referred to in article 62, paragraph 2 (a), inasmuch as it regards this as a flagrant violation of an obligatory norm which forms part of general international law and which recognizes the right of peoples to self-determination.

D—The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic interprets the provisions in article 52 as follows:

The expression "the threat or use of force" used in this article extends also to the employment of economic, political, military and psychological coercion and to all types of coercion constraining a State to conclude a treaty against its wishes or its interests.

E—The accession of the Syrian Arab Republic to this Convention and the ratification of it by its Government shall not apply to the Annex to the Convention, which concerns obligatory conciliation.

TUNISIA

The dispute referred to in article 66 (a) requires the consent of all parties thereto in order to be submitted to the International Court of Justice for a decision.

CANADA

22 October 1971

"... Canada does not consider itself in treaty relations with the Syrian Arab Republic in respect of those

⁴ The dates of receipt by the Secretary-General of the communications notifying the objections, other than those formulated at the time of ratification or accession, are shown above their texts.

UNITED KINGDOM

Upon signature:

"In signing the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland declare their understanding that nothing in article 66 of the Convention is intended to oust the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice where such jurisdiction exists under any provisions in force binding the parties with regard to the settlement of disputes. In particular, and in relation to States parties to the Vienna Convention which accept as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that they will not regard the provisions of sub-paragraph (b) of article 66 of the Vienna Convention as providing 'some other method of peaceful settlement' within the meaning of sub-paragraph (i)a) of the Declaration of the Government of the United Kingdom accepting as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice which was deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on the 1st of January, 1969.

"The Government of the United Kingdom, while reserving their position for the time being with regard to other declarations and reservations made by various States on signing the Convention, consider it necessary to state that the United Kingdom does not accept that Guatemala has any rights or any valid claim in respect of the territory of British Honduras."

Upon ratification:

It is [the United Kingdom's] understanding that nothing in Article 66 of the Convention is intended to oust the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice where such jurisdiction exists under any provisions in force binding the parties with regard to the settlement of disputes. In particular, and in relation to States parties to the Vienna Convention which accept as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court, the United Kingdom will not regard the provisions of sub-paragraph (b) of Article 66 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties as providing "some other method of peaceful settlement" within the meaning of sub-paragraph (i)(a) of the Declaration of the Government of the United Kingdom which was deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on the 1st of January 1969.

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

"Article 66 of the Convention shall not be applied to the United Republic of Tanzania by any State which enters a reservation on any provision of part V or the whole of that part of the Convention."

Objections⁵

provisions of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties to which the compulsory conciliation procedures set out in the annex to that Convention are applicable."

ISRAEL

"The Government of Israel has noted the political character of paragraph 2 in the declaration made by the Government of Morocco on that occasion. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is

not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, that declaration cannot in any way affect the obligations of Morocco already existing under general international law or under particular treaties. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Morocco an attitude of complete reciprocity."

NEW ZEALAND

14 October 1971

"... The New Zealand Government objects to the reservation entered by the Government of Syria to the obligatory conciliation procedures contained in the Annex to the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties and does not accept the entry into force of the Convention as between New Zealand and Syria."

10 August 1972

"... The New Zealand Government objects to the reservation entered by the Government of Tunisia in respect of Article 66 (a) of the Convention and does not consider New Zealand to be in treaty relations with Tunisia in respect of those provisions of the Convention to which the dispute settlement procedure provided for in Article 66 (a) is applicable."

SWEDEN

4 February 1975

"Article 66 of the Convention contains certain provisions regarding procedures for judicial settlement, arbitration and conciliation. According to these provisions a dispute concerning the application or the interpretation of article 53 or 64, which deal with the so called *jus cogens*, may be submitted to the International Court of Justice. If the dispute concerns the application or the interpretation of any of the other articles in Part V of the Convention, the conciliation procedure specified in the Annex to the Convention may be set in motion.

"The Swedish Government considers that these provisions regarding the settlement of disputes are an important part of the Convention and that they cannot be separated from the substantive rules with which they are connected. Consequently, the Swedish Government considers it necessary to raise objections to any reservation which is made by another State and whose aim is to exclude the application, wholly or in part, of the provisions regarding the settlement of disputes. While not objecting to the entry into force of the Convention between Sweden and such a State, the Swedish Government considers that their treaty relations will not include either the procedural provision in respect of which a reservation has been made or the substantive provisions to which that procedural provision relates.

"For the reasons set out above, the Swedish Government objects to the reservation of the Syrian Arab Republic, according to which its accession to the Convention shall not include the Annex, and to the reservation of Tunisia, according to which the dispute referred to in article 66 (a) requires the consent of all parties thereto in order to be submitted to the International Court of Justice for a decision. In view of these reservations, the Swedish Government considers, *firstly*, that the treaty relations between Sweden and the Syrian

Arab Republic will not include those provisions of Part V of the Convention to which the conciliation procedure in the Annex applies and, *secondly*, that the treaty relations between Sweden and Tunisia will not include articles 53 and 64 of the Convention.

"The Swedish Government has also taken note of the declaration of the Syrian Arab Republic, according to which it interprets the expression "the threat or use of force" as used in article 52 of the Convention so as to extend also to the employment of economic, political, military and psychological coercion and to all types of coercion constraining a State to conclude a treaty against its wishes or its interests. On this point, the Swedish Government observes that since article 52 refers to threat or use of force in violation of the principles of international law embodied in the Charter of the United Nations, it should be interpreted in the light of the practice which has developed or will develop on the basis of the Charter."

UNITED KINGDOM

"The United Kingdom does not accept that the interpretation of Article 52 put forward by the Government of Syria correctly reflects the conclusions reached at the Conference of Vienna on the subject of coercion; the Conference dealt with this matter by adopting a Declaration on this subject which forms part of the Final Act;

"The United Kingdom objects to the reservation entered by the Government of Syria in respect of the Annex to the Convention and does not accept the entry into force of the Convention as between the United Kingdom and Syria;

"With reference to a reservation in relation to the territory of British Honduras made by Guatemala on signing the Convention, the United Kingdom does not accept that Guatemala has any rights or any valid claim with respect to that territory;

"The United Kingdom fully reserves its position in other respects with regard to the declarations made by various States on signature, to some of which the United Kingdom would object, if they were to be confirmed on ratification."

22 June 1972

"... The United Kingdom objects to the reservation entered by the Government of Tunisia in respect of Article 66(a) of the Convention and does not accept the entry into force of the Convention as between the United Kingdom and Tunisia."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

26 May 1971

"... The Government of the United States of America objects to reservation E of the Syrian instrument of accession, which reads in translation as follows:

"E—The accession of the Syrian Arab Republic to this Convention and the ratification of it by its Government shall not apply to the Annex to the Convention, which concerns obligatory conciliation."

"In the view of the United States Government that reservation is incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention and undermines the principle of impartial settlement of disputes concerning the invalidity,

termination, and suspension of the operation of treaties, which was the subject of extensive negotiation at the Vienna Conference.

“The United States Government intends, at such time as it may become a party to the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, to reaffirm its objection to the foregoing reservation and to reject treaty relations with the Syrian Arab Republic under all provisions in Part V of the Convention with regard to which the Syrian Arab Republic has rejected the obligatory conciliation procedures set forth in the Annex to the Convention.

“The United States Government is also concerned about Syrian reservation C declaring that the Syrian Arab Republic does not accept the non-applicability of the principle of a fundamental change of circumstances with regard to treaties establishing boundaries, as stated in Article 62, 2 (a), and Syrian reservation D concerning its interpretation of the expression ‘the threat or use of force’ in Article 52. However, in view of the United States Government’s intention to reject treaty relations with the Syrian Arab Republic under all provisions in Part V to which reservations C and D relate, we do not consider it necessary at this time to object formally to those reservations.

“The United States Government will consider that the absence of treaty relations between the United States

of America and the Syrian Arab Republic with regard to certain provisions in Part V will not in any way impair the duty of the latter to fulfill any obligation embodied in those provisions to which it is subject under international law independently of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties.”

29 September 1972

“. . . The United States of America objects to the reservation by Tunisia to paragraph (a) of Article 66 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties regarding a dispute as to the interpretation or application of Article 53 or 64. The right of a party to invoke the provisions of Article 53 or 64 is inextricably linked with the provisions of Article 42 regarding impeachment of the validity of a treaty and paragraph (a) of Article 66 regarding the right of any party to submit to the International Court of Justice for decision any dispute concerning the application or the interpretation of Article 53 or 64.

“Accordingly, the United States Government intends, at such time as it becomes a party to the Convention, to reaffirm its objection to the Tunisian reservation and declare that it will not consider that Article 53 or 64 of the Convention is in force between the United States of America and Tunisia.”

CHAPTER XXIV. OUTER SPACE

1. Convention on Registration of Objects Launched into Outer Space

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 12 November 1974¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 September 1976, in accordance with article VIII, paragraph 3.

REGISTRATION: 15 September 1976.

TEXT: A/RES/3235 (XXIX).

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>		<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>	
ARGENTINA	26	March 1975		
AUSTRIA	14	October 1975		
BELGIUM	19	March 1975		
BULGARIA	4	February 1976	11	May 1976
BURUNDI	13	November 1975		
BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC	30	June 1975		
CANADA	14	February 1975	4	August 1976
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	5	April 1976		
DENMARK	12	December 1975		
FRANCE	14	January 1975	17	December 1975
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	27	August 1975		
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	2	March 1976		
HUNGARY	13	October 1975		
IRAN	27	May 1975		
MEXICO	19	December 1975		
MONGOLIA	30	October 1975		
NICARAGUA	13	May 1975		
NIGER	5	August 1976	22	Decemeber 1976
PAKISTAN	1	December 1975		
POLAND	4	December 1975		
SINGAPORE	31	August 1976		
SWEDEN	9	June 1976	9	June 1976
SWITZERLAND	14	April 1975		
UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC	11	July 1975		
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	17	June 1975		
UNITED KINGDOM	6	May 1975		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	24	January 1975	15	September 1976

¹ The Convention was adopted by resolution 3235 (XXIX) of the General Assembly dated 12 November 1974, pursuant to resolution 3182 (XXVIII) dated 18 December 1973 and taking into account the report of the Committee on the Pacific Uses of Outer Space (*Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-ninth Session, Supplement 20 (A/9620)*). The Convention was opened for signature on 14 January 1975.

CHAPTER XXV. TELECOMMUNICATIONS

I. Convention relating to the Distribution of Programme-carrying Signals transmitted by Satellite

Concluded at Brussels on 21 May 1974¹

Not yet in force (see article 10).

TEXT: Published as a document of UNESCO and WIPO.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) acceptance</i>	
ARGENTINA	26 March 1975		
AUSTRIA	26 March 1975		
BELGIUM	21 May 1974		
BRAZIL	21 May 1974		
CYPRUS	21 May 1974		
FRANCE	27 March 1975		
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	21 May 1974		
ISRAEL	21 May 1974		
ITALY	21 May 1974		
IVORY COAST	21 May 1974		
KENYA	21 May 1974	6 January	1976
LEBANON	21 May 1974		
MEXICO	21 May 1974	18 March	1976
MOROCCO	21 May 1974		
NICARAGUA		1 December	1975 <i>a</i>
SENEGAL	21 May 1974		
SPAIN	21 May 1974		
SWITZERLAND	21 May 1974		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	21 May 1974		
YUGOSLAVIA	31 March 1975	29 December	1976

¹ The Convention was adopted by the International Conference of States on the Distribution of Programme-carrying Signals, transmitted by Satellite, convened jointly by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization and the World Intellectual Property Organization. The Conference held discussions on the basis of the Draft Convention drawn up by the Committee of Governmental Experts on Problems in the Field of Copyright and of the Protection of Performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organizations Raised by Transmission via Space Satellites held at Nairobi (Kenya) from 2 to 11 July 1973.

Declarations and Reservations

ARGENTINA

Upon signature:

With reference to article 8 (2) the Government of the Argentine Republic states that the words "where the originating organization is a national of another Contracting State" appearing in article 2 (1) are to be considered as if they were replaced by the words "where the signal is emitted from the territory of another Contracting State".

2. Constitution of the Asia-Pacific Telecommunity

Concluded at Bangkok on 27 March 1976¹

Not yet in force (see article 18).

TEXT: E/CN.11/L.441/INF.

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) acceptance (A)</i>
BANGLADESH	1 April 1976	22 October 1976
BURMA	20 October 1976	9 December 1976
CHINA	25 October 1976	
INDIA	28 October 1976	26 November 1976
IRAN	15 September 1976	
NAURU	1 April 1976	22 November 1976
NEPAL	15 September 1976	
PAPUA NEW GUINEA	29 October 1976	
PHILIPPINES	28 October 1976	
THAILAND	15 September 1976	

¹ The Constitution of the Asia-Pacific Telecommunity was adopted on 27 March 1976 by resolution 163(XXXII) of the Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific at its thirty-second session, which took place at Bangkok, Thailand, from 24 March 1976 to 2 April 1976. The Convention was opened for signature at Bangkok from 1 April 1976 to 31 October 1976. It will remain open for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York until its entry into force.

PART II

League of Nations Multilateral Treaties

1. Convention concerning the Use of Broadcasting in the Cause of Peace

Geneva, September 23rd, 1936¹

IN FORCE since April 2nd, 1938 (Article 11).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

BRAZIL	(February 11th, 1938)
GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND	(August 18th, 1937)
<i>Burma</i>	(October 13th, 1937 a)
<i>Southern Rhodesia</i>	(November 1st, 1937 a)
<i>Aden Colony, Bahamas, Barbados, Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Ceylon, Cyprus, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Fiji, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony, Gold Coast [(a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate], Hong Kong, Jamaica (including Turks and Caicos Islands and the Cayman Islands), Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Leeward Islands (Antigua, Dominica, Montserrat, St. Christopher and Nevis, Virgin Islands), Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States: Negri Sembilan, Pahang, Perak, Selangor; (b) Unfederated Malay States: Johore, Kedah, Kelantan, Perlis, Trengganu, and Brunei], Malta, Mauritius, Nigeria [(a) Colony, (b) Protectorate, (c) Cameroons under British Mandate], North Borneo (State of), Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland Protectorate, Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), St. Helena and Ascension, Sarawak, Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Somaliland Protectorate, Straits Settlements, Swaziland, Tanganyika Territory, Tonga, Trans-Jordan, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda Protectorate, Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent), Zanzibar Protectorate</i>	(July 14th, 1939 a)
AUSTRALIA	(June 25th, 1937 a)
Including the Territories of <i>Papua and Norfolk</i>	

Ratifications or definitive accessions

<i>Island and the Mandated Territories of New Guinea and Nauru.</i>	
NEW ZEALAND	(January 27th, 1938)
UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA	(February 1st, 1938 a)
Including the Mandated Territory of <i>South West Africa.</i>	
INDIA	(August 11th, 1937)
IRELAND	(May 25th, 1938 a)
CHILE	(February 20th, 1940)
DENMARK	(October 11th, 1937)
EGYPT	(July 29th, 1938)
ESTONIA	(August 18th, 1938)
FINLAND	(November 29th, 1938 a)
FRANCE	(March 8th, 1938)
<i>French Colonies and Protectorates and Territories under French mandate</i>	(January 14th, 1939 a)
GUATEMALA	(November 18th, 1938 a)
LATVIA	(April 25th, 1939 a)
LUXEMBOURG	(February 8th, 1938)
THE NETHERLANDS (including the <i>Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao</i>)	(February 15th, 1939)
<i>New Hebrides</i>	(July 14th, 1939 a)
NORWAY	(May 5th, 1938)
SALVADOR	(August 18th, 1938 a)
SWEDEN	(June 22nd, 1938 a)
SWITZERLAND	(December 30th, 1938)

¹ Registered No. 4319. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 186, p. 301; vol. 197, p. 394; and vol. 200, p. 557.

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

ALBANIA	MEXICO
ARGENTINE REPUBLIC	ROMANIA
AUSTRIA	SPAIN
BELGIUM	Under reservation of the declaration mentioned in the <i>procès-verbal</i> of the final meeting of the Conference. ³
Under reservation of the declarations mentioned in the <i>procès-verbal</i> of the final meeting of the Conference. ²	TURKEY
COLOMBIA	UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	Under reservation of the declarations mentioned in the <i>procès-verbal</i> of the final meeting of the Conference. ⁴
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	
GREECE	
LITHUANIA	URUGUAY

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
BULGARIA ⁵	17 May	1972 a
HOLY SEE	5 January	1967 a
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	23 March	1966 a
MALTA	1 August	1966 d
MAURITIUS	18 July	1969 d
UNITED REPUBLIC OF CAMEROON	19 June	1967 d

² These declarations are worded as follows:

"The Delegation of Belgium declares its opinion that the right of a country to jam by its own means improper transmissions emanating from another country, in so far as such a right exists in conformity with the general provisions of international law and with the Conventions in force, is in no way affected by the Convention."

³ This declaration is worded as follows:

"The Spanish Delegation declares that its Government reserves the right to put a stop by all possible means to propaganda liable adversely to affect internal order in Spain and involving a breach of the Convention, in the event of the procedure proposed by the Convention not permitting of immediate steps to put a stop to such breach."

⁴ These declarations are worded as follows:

"The Delegation of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that, pending the conclusion of the procedure contemplated in Article 7 of the Convention, it considers that the right to apply reciprocal measures to a country carrying out improper transmissions against it, in so far as such a right exists under the general rules of international law and with the Conventions in force, is in no way affected by the Convention."

"The Delegation of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that its Government, while prepared to apply the principles of the Convention on a basis of reciprocity to all the Contracting States, is nevertheless of opinion that certain of the provisions of the Convention presuppose the existence of diplomatic relations between the Contracting Parties, particularly in connection with the verification of information and the forms of procedure proposed for the settlement of disputes. Accordingly, the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics is of opinion that, in order to avoid the occurrence of differences or misunderstandings between the States Parties to the Convention which do not maintain diplomatic relations with one another, the Convention should be regarded as not creating formal obligations between such States."

⁵ The instrument of accession had been received on 4 November 1971 from the Government of Bulgaria, accompanied by the following reservations:

... 1. The People's Republic of Bulgaria will not consider itself bound by the provisions of the section of article 7 of the

Convention which provides for consideration of disputes between Parties by the International Court of Justice at the request of one of the Parties. Any decision by the Court concerning a dispute between the People's Republic of Bulgaria and another Party to the Convention rendered on a basis of a request made to the Court without the consent of the People's Republic of Bulgaria will be considered null and void.

2. The People's Republic of Bulgaria will apply the principles of the Convention in respect of all States Parties to the Convention on the basis of reciprocity. However, the Convention will not be deemed to create formal commitments between countries which do not maintain diplomatic relations.

Since the Convention concerned is one of those in respect of which the Secretary-General, under resolution 24 (I) of the United Nations General Assembly, exercises the functions previously carried out by the Secretary-General of the League of Nations, and taking into account the practice followed by the latter in the case of reservations made in respect of multilateral treaties which do not contain provision in that regard, the Secretary-General had requested the States concerned, by circular letter dated 17 February 1972, to notify him within ninety days of any objection to the reservation quoted above.

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 12 May 1972 with respect to the above reservation, the Permanent Representative of the United Kingdom to the United Nations stated the following:

"The United Kingdom Government wish to put on record that they are unable to accept the reservation contained in paragraph 1 of this statement. They are also unable to accept the reservation contained in the second sentence of paragraph 2 because, in their view, treaties create rights and obligations between contracting States irrespective of whether those States maintain diplomatic relations. They do not, however, consider these objections as precluding the entry into force of the Convention for Bulgaria."

The above-quoted objection being the only one received by the Secretary-General and not precluding the entry into force of the Convention for Bulgaria, the instrument of accession was deposited with the Secretary-General on 17 May 1972 (i.e. at the expiry of the ninety-day period mentioned above), and the Convention came into force for Bulgaria on 16 July 1972, in accordance with its article 12.

2. Special Protocol concerning Statelessness

The Hague, April 12th, 1930¹

NOT YET IN FORCE (Articles 9 and 10).²

Ratifications or definitive accessions

BELGIUM (April 4th, 1939)

With the reservation that the application of this Protocol will not be extended to the Colony of the Belgian Congo or to the Territories under mandate.

BRAZIL (September 19th, 1931 *a*)

GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND and *all parts of the British Empire which are not separate Members of the League of Nations*

(January 14th, 1932)

*Burma*³

His Majesty the King does not assume any obligation in respect of the Karenni States, which are under His Majesty's suzerainty, or the population of the said States.

AUSTRALIA (July 8th, 1935 *a*)

Including the territories of *Papua and Norfolk Island*

Ratifications or definitive accessions

and the mandated territories of *New Guinea and Nauru*.

UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA (April 9th, 1936)

INDIA (September 28th, 1932)

In accordance with the provisions of Article 13 of this Protocol. His Britannic Majesty does not assume any obligation in respect of the territories in India of any Prince or Chief under His suzerainty or the population of the said territories.

CHINA⁴ (14 February 1935)

SALVADOR (October 14th, 1935)

The Republic of Salvador does not assume the obligation laid down by the Protocol where the Salvadorian nationality possessed by the person and ultimately lost by him was acquired by naturalisation.

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

AUSTRIA

CANADA

COLOMBIA

CUBA

EGYPT

GREECE

IRELAND

LUXEMBOURG

MEXICO

PERU

PORTUGAL

SPAIN

URUGUAY

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

State

CHINA⁴

FIJI

PAKISTAN⁵

Notification of succession

25 May 1973

29 July 1953

¹ See document C.27.M.16.1931.V.

² The Protocol shall enter into force ninety days after having received ten ratifications or accessions (Articles 9 and 10).

³ As mentioned in the latest official list of the League of Nations, Burma, which was formerly a part of India, was separated from the latter on 1 April 1937 and had possessed since that time the status of an overseas territory of the United Kingdom. It was as such that Burma continued to be bound by a ratification or accession recorded on behalf of India before the date above mentioned.

⁴ See note, p. iii.

On 12 September 1973, the Secretary-General received a communication from the Government of China to the effect that it had decided not to recognize as binding on China the Special Protocol concerning Statelessness of April 12th, 1930, signed and ratified by the defunct Government of China. That notification was treated as a withdrawal of the instrument.

⁵ In a communication received on 29 July 1953, the Government of Pakistan notified the Secretary-General that by reason of Article 4 of the Schedule to the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order, 1947, the rights and obligations under the Special Protocol devolve upon Pakistan, and that the Government of Pakistan, "therefore, considers itself a party to that Protocol".

3. Protocol relating to a Certain Case of Statelessness

The Hague, April 12th, 1930¹

IN FORCE since July 1st, 1937 (Articles 9 and 10).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

BRAZIL	(September 19th, 1931 a)
GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND and <i>all parts of the British Empire which are not separate Members of the League of Nations</i>	(January 14th, 1932)
<i>Burma²</i>	
His Majesty the King does not assume any obligation in respect of the Karenni States, which are under His Majesty's suzerainty, or the population of the said States.	
AUSTRALIA	(July 8th, 1935)
(Including the territories of <i>Papua and Norfolk Island</i> and the mandated territories of <i>New Guinea and Nauru</i> .)	
UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA	(April 9th, 1936)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

INDIA	(September 28th, 1932)
In accordance with the Provisions of Article 13 of this Protocol. His Britannic Majesty does not assume any obligation in respect of the territories in India of any Prince or Chief under his suzerainty or the population of the said territories.	
CHILE	(March 20th, 1935)
CHINA ³	(February 14th, 1935)
THE NETHERLANDS	(April 2nd, 1937)
Including the <i>Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao</i> .	
POLAND	(June 15th, 1934)
SALVADOR	(October 14th, 1935 a)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

BELGIUM	DENMARK	LATVIA
Subject to accession later for the Colony of the Congo and the Mandated Territories.	EGYPT	LUXEMBOURG
CANADA	ESTONIA	MEXICO
COLOMBIA	FRANCE	PERU
CUBA	GREECE	PORTUGAL
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	IRELAND	SPAIN
	JAPAN	URUGUAY

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
FIJI	12 June	1972 d
JAMAICA	12 June	1968 a
LESOTHO	4 November	1974 d
MALAWI ⁴	11 July	1967 a
MALTA ⁵	16 August	1966 d
MAURITIUS	18 July	1969 d
NIGER	18 July	1968 a
PAKISTAN	29 July	1953 d
YUGOSLAVIA	15 December	1959 a

¹ Registered No. 4138. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 179, p. 115.

² See footnote 3, p. 545.

³ See note, p. iii.

⁴ The instrument of accession contains the following reservation made in accordance with article 4 of the Protocol:

"Article 1 shall only be binding upon the Government of Malawi in cases where the mother of a person referred to therein is both a citizen of Malawi and of African race. However, no such person who is denied citizenship of Malawi because his mother is not of African race shall be precluded from applying for citizenship of Malawi on the

grounds of close connection with Malawi, birth in Malawi being regarded as a close connection for this purpose."

⁵ The notification of succession contains the following declaration:

"In accordance with article 4 of the Protocol, the Government of Malta declares that:

"(i) article 1 shall apply unconditionally to any person born in Malta on or after the 21st September 1964;

"(ii) in regard to a person born in Malta before the 21st September 1964, article 1 shall only apply, where such person was on 20 September 1964, a citizen of the United Kingdom and Colonies and one of his parents was born in Malta."

4. Convention on Certain Questions relating to the Conflict of Nationality Laws

The Hague, April 12th, 1930¹

IN FORCE since July 1st, 1937 (Articles 25 and 26).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

- BELGIUM** (April 4th, 1939)
Subject to accession later for the Colony of the Congo and the Mandated Territories.
Excluding Article 16 of the Convention.
- BRAZIL** (September 19th, 1931 *a*)
With reservations as regards Articles 5, 6, 7, 16 and 17, which Brazil will not adopt owing to difficulties with which it has to contend in connection with principles forming the basis of its internal legislation.
- GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND** and *all parts of the British Empire which are not separate members of the League of Nations*
(April 6th, 1934)
Burma²
His Majesty the King does not assume any obligation in respect of the Karenni States, which are under His Majesty's suzerainty, or the population of the said States.
- CANADA** (April 6th, 1934)
- AUSTRALIA** (November 10th, 1937)
Including the territories of *Papua and Norfolk Island.*
- INDIA** (October 7th, 1935)
In accordance with the provisions of Article 29. His

Ratifications or definitive accessions

- Britannic Majesty does not assume any obligation in respect of the territories in India of any Prince or Chief under his suzerainty or the population of the said territories.
- CHINA³** (February 14th, 1935)
Subject to reservation as regards Article 4.
- MONACO** (April 27th, 1931 *a*)
- THE NETHERLANDS** (April 2nd, 1937)
Including the *Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao*
Excluding the provisions of Articles 8, 9 and 10 of the Convention.
- NORWAY** (March 16th, 1931 *a*)
- POLAND** (June 15th, 1934)
- SWEDEN** (July 6th, 1933)
The Swedish Government declares that it does not accept to be bound by the provisions of the second sentence of Article 11, in the case where the wife referred to in the article, after recovering the nationality of her country of origin, fails to establish her ordinary residence in that country.

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

- AUSTRIA**
- UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA**
- CHILE**
- COLOMBIA**
Subject to reservation as regards Article 10.
- CUBA**
Subject to reservation as regards Articles 9, 10 and 11.
- CZECHOSLOVAKIA**
- DENMARK**
Subject to reservation as regards Articles 5 and 11.
- EGYPT**
- ESTONIA**
- FRANCE**
- GERMANY**
- GREECE**
- HUNGARY**

- ICELAND**
- IRELAND**
- ITALY**
- JAPAN**
Subject to reservation as regards Articles 4 and 10 and as regards the words "according to its law" of Article 13.
- LATVIA**
- LUXEMBOURG**
- MEXICO**
Subject to reservation as regards paragraph 2 of Article 1.
- PERU**
Subject to reservation as regards Article 4.
- PORTUGAL**
- SALVADOR**
- SPAIN**
- SWITZERLAND**
Subject to reservation as regards Article 10.
- URUGUAY**
- YUGOSLAVIA**

¹ Registered No. 4137. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 179, p. 89.

² See footnote 3, p. 545.

³ See note, p. iii.

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
CYPRUS	27 March	1970 <i>d</i>
FIJI	12 June	1972 <i>d</i>
LESOTHO ⁴		
MALTA ⁵	16 August	1966 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS ⁶	18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
PAKISTAN	29 July	1953 <i>d</i>
SWAZILAND	18 September	1970 <i>a</i>

⁴ The notification of succession contains the following reservation:

"In accordance with article 20 of the Convention, the Government of the Kingdom of Lesotho declares that the second paragraph of article 6 of the Convention shall not apply so as to give effect to a declaration of renunciation of the citizenship of Lesotho if such declaration is made during any war in which Lesotho is engaged, or if the Government of Lesotho considers such declaration otherwise not conducive to the public good."

The above reservation not having been originally formulated by the Government of the United Kingdom in respect of Basutoland, it has become effective for Lesotho on the date on which it would have done so under the provisions of article 26 of the Convention, had it been formulated upon accession, that is to say, on 2 February 1975.

⁵ The notification of succession contains the following declaration:

"In accordance with article 20 of the Convention, the Government of Malta declares that:

"(a) the second paragraph of article 6 of the Convention shall not apply in Malta so as to give immediate effect to a declaration of renunciation of citizenship of Malta, if such declaration is made during any war in which Malta may be engaged or if in the opinion of the Government of Malta such declaration is otherwise contrary to the public policy;

"(b) article 16 of the Convention shall not apply to an illegitimate child born outside Malta."

⁶ The notification of succession contains the following declaration:

"In accordance with Article 20 of the Convention the Government of Mauritius declares that the second paragraph of article 6 of the Convention shall not apply in Mauritius so as to give effect to a declaration of renunciation of the citizenship of Mauritius, if such declaration is made during any war in which Mauritius is engaged."

5. Protocol relating to Military Obligations in Certain Cases of Double Nationality

The Hague, April 12th, 1930¹

IN FORCE since May 25th, 1937 (Articles 11 and 12).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (August 3rd, 1932)

BELGIUM (April 4th, 1939)

Subject to accession later for the Colony of the Congo and the Mandated Territories.

BRAZIL (September 19th, 1931 a)

GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND and all parts of the British Empire which are not separate Members of the League of Nations (January 14th, 1932)

Burma²

His Majesty the King does not assume any obligation in respect of the Karenni States, which are under His Majesty's suzerainty, or the population of the said States.

AUSTRALIA (July 8th, 1935 a)

Including the territories of Papua and Norfolk Island and the mandated territories of New Guinea and Nauru.

UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA (October 9th, 1935 a)

Subject to reservation as regards Article 2.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

INDIA (September 28th, 1932)

In accordance with the provisions of Article 15. His Britannic Majesty does not assume any obligation in respect of the territories in India of any Prince or Chief under his suzerainty or the population of the said territories.

COLOMBIA (February 24th, 1937)

CUBA (October 22nd, 1936)

The Government of Cuba declares that it does not accept the obligation imposed by Article 2 of the Protocol when the minor referred to in that Article, although he has the right, on attaining his majority, to renounce or decline Cuban nationality, habitually resides in the territory of the State and is in fact more closely connected with the latter than with any other State whose nationality he may also possess.

THE NETHERLANDS (April 2nd, 1937)

Including the Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao.

SALVADOR (October 14th, 1935)

SWEDEN (July 6th, 1933)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

CANADA

CHILE

DENMARK

EGYPT

FRANCE

GERMANY

GREECE

IRELAND

LUXEMBOURG

MEXICO

PERU

PORTUGAL

SPAIN

URUGUAY

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

State	Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)
AUSTRIA	28 July 1958
CYPRUS	27 March 1970 d
FIJI	12 June 1972 d
LESOTHO	4 November 1974 d
MALAWI	13 October 1966 a
MALTA	16 August 1966 d
MAURITANIA	2 March 1966 a
MAURITIUS	18 July 1969 d
NIGER	25 July 1966 a
NIGERIA	17 March 1967 a
SWAZILAND	18 September 1970 a

¹ Registered No. 4117. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 178, p. 227.

² See footnote 3, p. 545.

6. Protocol on Arbitration Clauses

Geneva, September 24th, 1923¹

IN FORCE since July 28th, 1924 (Article 6).

<i>Ratifications</i>		<i>Ratifications</i>	
ALBANIA	(August 29th, 1924)	INDIA	(October 23rd, 1937)
AUSTRIA	(January 25th, 1928)	Is not binding as regards the enforcement of the provisions of this Protocol upon the territories in India of any Prince or Chief under the suzerainty of His Majesty.	
BELGIUM	(September 23rd, 1924)	India reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in the first paragraph of Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.	
Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in the first paragraph of Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.			
BRAZIL	(February 5th, 1932)	CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(September 18th, 1931)
Subject to the condition that the arbitral agreement or the arbitration clause mentioned in Article 1 of this Protocol should be limited to contracts which are considered as commercial by the Brazilian legislation.		The Czechoslovak Republic will regard itself as being bound only in relation to States which will have ratified the Convention of September 26th, 1927, on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards, and the Czechoslovak Republic does not intend by this signature to invalidate in any way the bilateral treaties concluded by it which regulate the questions referred to in the present Protocol by provisions going beyond the provisions of the Protocol.	
BRITISH EMPIRE	(September 27th, 1924)	DENMARK	(April 6th, 1925)
Applies only to Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and consequently does not include any of the Colonies, Overseas Possessions or Protectorates under His Britannic Majesty's sovereignty or authority or any territory in respect of which His Majesty's Government exercises a mandate.		Under Danish law, arbitral awards made by an Arbitral Tribunal do not immediately become operative; it is necessary in each case, in order to make an award operative, to apply to the ordinary courts of law. In the course of the proceedings, however, the arbitral award will generally be accepted by such courts without further examination as a basis of the final judgments in the affair.	
<i>Southern Rhodesia</i>	(December 18th, 1924 a)	ESTONIA	(May 16th, 1929)
<i>Newfoundland</i>	(June 22nd, 1925 a)	Limits, in accordance with Article 1, paragraph 2, of this Protocol, the obligation mentioned in paragraph 1 of the said article to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.	
<i>British Guiana, British Honduras, Ceylon, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gold Coast (including Ashanti and the Northern Territories of the Gold Coast and Togoland), Gibraltar, Jamaica (Turks and Caicos Islands and Cayman Islands), Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Leeward Islands, Malta, Mauritius, Northern Rhodesia, Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), Trans-Jordan, Windward Islands (Greenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent), Zanzibar</i>	(March 12th, 1926 a)	FINLAND	(July 10th, 1924)
<i>Tanganyika</i>	(June 17th, 1926 a)	FRANCE	(June 7th, 1928)
<i>St. Helena</i>	(July 29th, 1926 a)	Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in paragraph 2 of Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its own national law. Its acceptance of the present Protocol does not include the Colonies, Overseas Possessions or Protectorates or Territories in respect of which France exercises a mandate.	
<i>Uganda</i>	(June 28th, 1929 a)	GERMANY	(November 5th, 1924)
<i>Bahamas</i>	(January 23rd, 1931 a)	GREECE	(May 26th, 1926)
<i>Burma (excluding the Karenni States under His Majesty's suzerainty)</i>	(October 19th, 1938 a)	IRAQ	(March 12th, 1926 a)
His Majesty reserves the right to limit the obligations mentioned in the first paragraph of Article 1 to contracts which are considered commercial under the law of Burma.		ITALY (excluding Colonies)	(July 28th, 1924)
NEW ZEALAND	(June 9th, 1926)		

¹ Registered No. 678. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 27, p. 157.

Ratifications

- JAPAN (June 4th, 1928)
Chosen, Taiwan, Karafuto, the leased territory of Kwantung, and the territories in respect of which Japan exercises a mandate
 (February 26th, 1929 a)
- LUXEMBOURG (September 15th, 1930)
 Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in the first paragraph of Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.
- MONACO (February 8th, 1927)
 Reserves the right to limit its obligation to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.
- THE NETHERLANDS (including the *Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao*) (August 6th, 1925)
 The Government of the Netherlands declares its opinion that the recognition in principle of the validity of arbitration clauses in no way affects either the restrictive provisions at present existing under Netherlands law or the right to introduce other restrictions in the future.²
- NORWAY (September 2nd, 1927)
- POLAND (June 26th, 1931)
 Under reservation that, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 1, the undertaking contemplated in the said Article will apply only to contracts which are declared as commercial in accordance with national Polish law.

Ratifications

- PORTUGAL (December 10th, 1930)
 (1) In accordance with the second paragraph of Article 1, the Portuguese Government reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in the first paragraph of Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.
 (2) According to the terms of the first paragraph of Article 8, the Portuguese Government declares that its acceptance of the present Protocol does not include its Colonies.
- ROMANIA (March 12th, 1925)
 Subject to the reservation that the Royal Government may in all circumstances limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1, paragraph 2, to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.
- SPAIN (July 29th, 1926)
 Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1, paragraph 2, to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.
 Its acceptance of the present Protocol does not include the Spanish Possessions in Africa, or the territories of the Spanish Protectorate in Morocco.
- SWEDEN (August 8th, 1929)
- SWITZERLAND (May 14th, 1928)
- THAILAND (September 3rd, 1930)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

BOLIVIA
 CHILE
 LATVIA

Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in paragraph 2 of Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.

LIECHTENSTEIN

Subject to the following reservation:

Agreements which are the subject of a special contract, or of clauses embodied in other contracts, attributing competence to a foreign tribunal, if they are concluded between nationals and foreigners or between nationals in the country, shall henceforth be valid only when they have been drawn up in due legal form.

This provision shall apply also to stipulations in articles of association, deeds of partnership and similar instruments and also to agreements for the

submission of a dispute to an arbitral tribunal sitting in a foreign country.

Any agreement which submits to a foreign tribunal or to an arbitral tribunal a dispute relating to insurance contracts shall be null and void if the person insured is domiciled in the country or if the interest insured is situated in the country.

It shall be the duty of the tribunal to ensure as a matter of routine that this provision is observed even during procedure for distraint or during bankruptcy proceedings.³

LITHUANIA
 NICARAGUA
 PANAMA
 PARAGUAY
 PERU
 SALVADOR
 URUGUAY

² Further, when signing and ratifying, the Netherlands Government made a reservation which it withdrew, in respect of the Kingdom in Europe, on February 22nd, 1938 (see *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 185, p. 372) and, as regards the

Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao, on April 16th, 1940 (see *ibid.*), vol. 200, p. 500).

³ This reservation has been submitted to the States parties to the Protocol for acceptance.

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ⁴			
IRELAND	29 November 1956	11 March	1957
ISRAEL	24 October 1951	13 December	1951
MALTA		16 August	1966 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS		18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	4 March 1968		
UGANDA	5 May 1965		
UNITED KINGDOM			
on behalf of <i>Hong Kong</i>		10 February	1965 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	13 March 1959	13 March	1959

⁴ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Protocol as from 4 April 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 4 April 1958, of the Protocol of 24 September 1923 on Arbitration Clauses, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

“The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Protocol on Arbitration Clauses of 24 September 1923 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States.”

7. Convention on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards

Geneva, September 26th, 1927¹

IN FORCE since July 25th, 1929 (Article 8).

Ratifications

AUSTRIA (July 18th, 1930)

BELGIUM (April 27th, 1929)

Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered commercial under its national law.

Belgian Congo, Territory of Ruanda-Urundi
(June 5th, 1930 a)GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND
(July 2nd, 1930)*Newfoundland* (January 7th, 1931 a)*Bahamas, British Guiana, British Honduras, Falkland Islands, Gibraltar, Gold Coast [(a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate], Jamaica (including Turks and Caicos Islands and Cayman Islands), Kenya, Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), Tanganyika Territory, Uganda Protectorate, Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent), Zanzibar*
(May 26th, 1931 a)*Mauritius* (July 13th, 1931 a)*Northern Rhodesia* (July 13th, 1931 a)*Leeward Islands (Antigua, Dominica, Montserrat, St. Christopher-Nevis, Virgin Islands)*
(March 9th, 1932 a)*Malta* (October 11th, 1934 a)*Burma (excluding the Karenni States under His Majesty's suzerainty)* (October 19th, 1938 a)

His Majesty reserves the right to limit the obligations mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered commercial under the law of Burma.

NEW ZEALAND (*Western Samoa* included)
(April 9th, 1929)

INDIA (October 23rd, 1937)

Is not binding as regards the enforcement of the provisions of this Convention upon the territories in India of any Prince or Chief under the suzerainty of His Majesty.

India reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA (September 18th, 1931)

The Czechoslovak Republic does not intend to invalidate in any way the bilateral treaties concluded by it with various States, which regulate the questions referred to in the present Convention by provisions going beyond the provisions of the Convention.

Ratifications

DENMARK (April 25th, 1929)

Under Danish law, arbitral awards made by an Arbitral Tribunal do not immediately become operative; it is necessary in each case, in order to make an award operative, to apply to the ordinary Courts of Law. In the course of the proceedings, however, the arbitral award will generally be accepted by such Courts without further examination as a basis for the final judgment in the affair.

ESTONIA (May 16th, 1929)

Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered commercial under its national law.

FINLAND (July 30th, 1931)

FRANCE (May 13th, 1931)

Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered commercial under its national law.

GERMANY (September 1st, 1930)

GREECE (January 15th, 1932)

The Hellenic Government reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.

ITALY (November 12th, 1930)

LUXEMBOURG (September 15th, 1930)

Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.

THE NETHERLANDS (for the Kingdom in Europe)
(August 12th, 1931)*Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao*
(January 28th, 1933 a)

PORTUGAL (December 10th, 1930)

(1) The Portuguese Government reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered commercial under its national law.

(2) The Portuguese Government declares, according to the terms of Article 10, that the present Convention does not apply to its Colonies.

ROMANIA (June 22nd, 1931)

Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered commercial under its national law.

SPAIN (January 15th, 1930)

SWEDEN (August 8th, 1929)

SWITZERLAND (September 25th, 1930)

THAILAND (July 7th, 1931)

¹Registered No 2096. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 92, p. 301.

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

BOLIVIA

NICARAGUA

PERU

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d)</i>	
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ²			
IRELAND	29 November 1956	10 June	1957
ISRAEL	24 October 1951	27 February	1952
JAPAN	4 February 1952	11 July	1952
MALTA		16 August	1966 <i>d</i>
MAURITIUS		18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	4 March 1968		
UGANDA	5 May 1965		
UNITED KINGDOM on behalf of <i>Hong Kong</i>		10 February	1965 <i>a</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	13 March 1959	13 March	1959

² In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 22 January 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 22 January 1958, of the Convention of 26 September 1927 on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 26 September 1927 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States."

8. Convention for the Settlement of Certain Conflicts of Laws in connection with Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes, and Protocol

Geneva, June 7th, 1930¹

IN FORCE since January 1st, 1934 (Article 13).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AUSTRIA	(August 31st, 1932)
BELGIUM	(August 31st, 1932)
BRAZIL	(August 26th, 1942 <i>a</i>)
DENMARK	(July 27th, 1932)
The Government of the King, by its acceptance of this Convention, does not intend to assume any obligations as regards Greenland.	
FINLAND	(August 31st, 1932)
FRANCE	(April 27th, 1936 <i>a</i>)
*GERMANY	(October 3rd, 1933)
GREECE	(August 31st, 1931)
ITALY	(August 31st, 1932)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

JAPAN	(August 31st, 1932)
MONACO	(January 25th, 1934 <i>a</i>)
THE NETHERLANDS (for the Kingdom in Europe)	(August 20th, 1932)
<i>Netherlands Indies and Curaçao</i>	(July 16th, 1935 <i>a</i>)
<i>Surinam</i>	(August 7th, 1936 <i>a</i>)
NORWAY	(July 27th, 1932)
POLAND	(December 19th, 1936 <i>a</i>)
*PORTUGAL ²	(June 8th, 1934)
SWEDEN	(July 27th, 1932)
SWITZERLAND	(August 26th, 1932) ³
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	(November 25th, 1936 <i>a</i>)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

COLOMBIA	PERU	TURKEY
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	SPAIN	YUGOSLAVIA
ECUADOR		

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations (See also footnote 2)

State	Ratification, accession (a)
HUNGARY	28 October 1964 (a)
LUXEMBOURG	5 March 1963
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ⁴	

* All the parties to this Convention have agreed to consider the instrument of ratification deposited by this country, after the date stipulated in the Convention, as valid. The Japanese Government, however, is of opinion that this ratification has the character of an accession.

¹ Registered No. 3314. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 143, p. 317.

² The ratification was made subject to the reservation that the provisions of the Convention do not apply to the colonial territory of Portugal (see *ibid.*, vol. 143, p. 319). In a communication received on 18 August 1953, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of that reservation.

³ According to a declaration made by the Swiss Government when depositing the instrument of ratification of this Convention, the latter was to take effect, in respect of Switzerland, only after the adoption of a law revising Sections XXIV to XXXIII of the Federal Code of Obligations or, if necessary, of a special law regarding bills of exchange, promissory notes and cheques. The law above referred to having entered into force on July 1st, 1937, the Convention took effect, for Switzerland, as from that date.

⁴ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the Convention of 7 June 1930 for the Settlement of Certain Conflicts of Laws in connection with Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention for the Settlement of Certain Conflicts of Laws in Connection with Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes of 7 June 1930 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States."

9. Convention for the Settlement of Certain Conflicts of Laws in connection with Cheques, and Protocol

Geneva, March 19th, 1931¹

IN FORCE since January 1st, 1934 (Article 14).

<i>Ratifications or definitive accessions</i>		<i>Ratifications or definitive accessions</i>	
BRAZIL	(August 26th, 1942 <i>a</i>)	MONACO	(February 9th, 1933)
DENMARK	(July 27th, 1932)	*THE NETHERLANDS (for the Kingdom in Europe)	(April 2nd, 1934)
The Government of the King, by its acceptance of this Convention, does not intend to assume any obligations as regards Greenland.		<i>Netherlands Indies and Curaçao</i>	(September 30th, 1935 <i>a</i>)
FINLAND	(August 31st, 1932)	<i>Surinam</i>	(August 7th, 1936 <i>a</i>)
FRANCE	(April 27th, 1936 <i>a</i>)	NICARAGUA	(March 16th, 1932 <i>a</i>)
*GERMANY	(October 3rd, 1933)	NORWAY	(July 27th, 1932)
*GREECE	(June 1st, 1934)	POLAND	(December 19th, 1936 <i>a</i>)
ITALY	(August 31st, 1933)	*PORTUGAL ²	(June 8th, 1934)
JAPAN	(August 25th, 1933)	SWEDEN	(July 27th, 1932)
		SWITZERLAND	(August 26th, 1932) ³

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

CZECHOSLOVAKIA	MEXICO	TURKEY
ECUADOR	ROMANIA	YUGOSLAVIA
	SPAIN	

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations (See also footnote 2)

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>
AUSTRIA	1 December 1958
BELGIUM ⁴	18 December 1961
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ⁵	
HUNGARY	28 October 1964 <i>a</i>
INDONESIA	9 March 1959 <i>d</i>
LUXEMBOURG	1 August 1968 <i>a</i>

* All the parties to this Convention have agreed to consider the instrument of ratification deposited by this country, after the date stipulated in the Convention, as valid. The Japanese Government, however, is of opinion that this ratification has the character of an accession.

¹ Registered No. 3317. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 143, p. 407.

² The ratification was made subject to the reservation that the provisions of the Convention do not apply to the colonial territory of Portugal (see *ibid.*, vol. 143, p. 409). In a communication received on 18 August 1953, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of this reservation.

³ According to a declaration made by the Swiss Government when depositing the instrument of ratification of this Convention, the latter was to take effect, in respect of Switzerland, only after the adoption of a law revising Sections XXIV to XXXIII of the Federal Code of Obligations or, if necessary, of a special law regarding bills of exchange, promissory notes and cheques. The law above referred to having entered into force on July 1st, 1937, the Convention took effect, for Switzerland, as from that date.

⁴ With a declaration that, in accordance with article 18 of the Convention, the Government of Belgium does not intend to assume any obligations in respect of the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi.

⁵ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Govern-

ment of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the Convention of 19 March 1931 for the Settlement of Certain Conflicts of Laws in connection with Cheques, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention for the Settlement of Certain Conflicts of Laws in Connection with Cheques of 19 March 1931 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States."

10. Convention providing a Uniform Law for Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes, with Annexes and Protocol

Geneva, June 7th, 1930¹

IN FORCE since January 1st, 1934 (Article VI).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AUSTRIA² (August 31st, 1932)

This ratification is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 6, 10, 14, 15, 17 and 20 of Annex II to this Convention.

BELGIUM (August 31st, 1932)

This ratification is subject to the utilisation of the rights provided in Articles 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 20 of Annex II to this Convention. As regards the Belgian Congo and Ruanda-Urundi, the Belgian Government intends to reserve all the rights provided in the Annex in question, with the exception of the right mentioned in Article 21 of that Annex.

BRAZIL (August 26th, 1942 a)

This accession is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19 and 20 of Annex II to the Convention.

DENMARK³ (July 27th, 1932)

The undertaking by the Government of the King to introduce in Denmark the Uniform Law forming Annex I to this Convention is subject to the reservations referred to in Articles 10, 14, 15, 17, 18 and 20 of Annex II to the said Convention.

* All the parties to this Convention have agreed to consider the instrument of ratification deposited by this country, after the date stipulated in the Convention, as valid. The Japanese Government, however, is of opinion that this ratification has the character of an accession.

** The Minister for Foreign Affairs of the French Republic informed the Secretary-General by a communication received at the Secretariat on October 20th, 1937, that, in consequence of certain changes introduced into French legislation regarding the maturity of commercial bills by the Decree-Law of August 31st, 1937, the holder of a bill of exchange may, in accordance with Article 38 of the Uniform Law for Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes (Annex I to the Convention), present it, not only on the day on which it is payable, but either on that day or on one of the two following business days.

Consequently, the reservation made in this respect by France, on her accession to the Convention, concerning Article 5 of Annex II to the said instrument ceases to apply.

¹ Registered No. 3313. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 143, p. 257.

² In a communication received on 13 May 1963, the Government of Austria notified the Secretary-General that, in accordance with the third paragraph of article I of the Convention, it "has decided to make reservations referred to in article 18 of Annex II to the Convention, to the effect that certain business days shall be assimilated to legal holidays as regards presentment for acceptance of payment and all other acts relating to bills of exchange".

In a communication received on 26 November 1968, the Government of Austria, with reference to the above-mentioned reservations, notified the Secretary-General that "according to

Ratifications or definitive accessions

The Government of the King, by its acceptance of this Convention, does not intend to assume any obligations as regards Greenland.

FINLAND⁴ (August 31st, 1932)

This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 14 and 20 of Annex II to this Convention, and Finland has availed itself of the right granted to the High Contracting Parties by Articles 15, 17 and 18 of the said Annex to legislate on the matters referred to therein.

FRANCE (April 27th, 1936 a)

Declares that Articles 1, 2, 3, 4, 5**, 6, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22 and 23 of Annex II to this Convention are being applied.

* GERMANY (October 3rd, 1933)

This ratification is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 6, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19 and 20 of Annex II to the Convention.

GREECE (August 31st, 1931)

Subject to the following reservations with regard to Annex II:

Article 8: Paragraphs 1 and 3.

Article 9: As regards bills payable at a fixed date, or at a fixed period after date or after sight.

Austrian Law in force since July 26, 1967, no payment, acceptance or other acts may be demanded in respect of bills of exchange and promissory notes on the following legal holidays or days assimilated to such holidays: 1 January (New Year's Day), 6 January (Epiphany), Good Friday, Easter Monday, 1 May (Legal Holiday), Ascension, Whit-Monday, Corpus Christi, 15 August (Assumption), 26 October (National Day), 1 November (All Saints' Day), 8 December (Immaculate Conception), 25 December and 26 December (Christmas), Saturdays and Sundays".

³ In a communication received on 31 January 1966, the Government of Denmark notified the Secretary-General of the following: "As from December 1, 1965, the Danish laws giving effect to the uniform legislation introduced by the Convention were amended to provide that Saturdays shall be assimilated to legal holidays. This communication should be considered as a notification made in accordance with the third paragraph of article I of the Convention."

In the same communication, the Government of Denmark also notified the Secretary-General that the declaration made on its behalf under article X, paragraph 1, of the Convention upon its ratification to the effect that it "does not intend to assume any obligations as regards Greenland", should be considered as withdrawn as from 1 July 1965.

⁴ In a communication received on 29 July 1966, the Government of Finland notified the Secretary-General of the following: "As from 1 June 1966, the First of May and Saturdays of June, July and August shall be assimilated to legal holidays. This communication should be considered as a notification made in accordance with the third paragraph of article I of the Convention."

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Article 13.

Article 15: (a) Proceedings against a drawer or endorser who has made an inequitable gain; (b) Same proceedings against an acceptor who has made an inequitable gain. "These proceedings shall be taken within a period of five years counting from the date of the bill of exchange."

Article 17: The provisions of Greek law relating to short-term limitations shall apply.

Article 20: The above-mentioned reservations apply equally to promissory notes.

ITALY (August 31st, 1932)

The Italian Government reserves the right to avail itself of the right granted in Articles 2, 8, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19 and 20 of Annex II to this Convention.

JAPAN (August 31st, 1932)

This ratification is given subject to the right referred to in the provisions mentioned in Annex II to this Convention, in virtue of Article 1, paragraph 2.

MONACO (January 25th, 1934 a)

NETHERLANDS (for the Kingdom in Europe) (August 20th, 1932)

This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Annex II to the Convention.

Netherlands Indies and Curaçao (July 16th, 1935 a)
Subject to the reservations mentioned in Annex II to the Convention.

Surinam (August 7th, 1936 a)

Subject to the reservations mentioned in Annex II to the Convention.

*Ratifications or definitive accessions*NORWAY^{4a} (July 27th, 1932)

This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 14 and 20 of Annex II to the Convention, and the Royal Norwegian Government reserves the right, at the same time, to avail itself of the right granted to each of the High Contracting Parties by Articles 10, 15, 17 and 18 of the said Annex to legislate on the matters referred to therein.

POLAND (December 19th, 1936 a)

This accession is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 2, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, paragraph 2, and 22 of Annex II to the Convention.

*PORTUGAL⁵ (June 8th, 1934)SWEDEN⁶ (July 27th, 1932)

This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 14 and 20 of Annex II to the Convention, and the Royal Swedish Government has availed itself of the right granted to the High Contracting Parties by Articles 10, 15 and 17 of the said Annex to legislate on the matters referred to therein.

SWITZERLAND⁷ (August 26th, 1932)

This ratification is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 2, 6, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 of Annex II.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS (November 25th, 1936 a)

Subject to the reservation mentioned in Annex II to the Convention.

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

COLOMBIA

PERU

TURKEY

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

SPAIN

YUGOSLAVIA

ECUADOR

^{4a} In a communication received on 15 April 1970, the Government of Norway notified the Secretary-General that as from 1 June 1970, legislation would be promulgated in Norway assimilating Saturdays and the first day of the month of May to legal holidays.

⁵ The ratification was made subject to the reservation that the provisions of the Convention do not apply to the colonial territory of Portugal (see *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 143, p. 261). In a communication received on 18 August 1953, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of this reservation.

⁶ In a communication received on 16 May 1961, the Government of Sweden notified the Secretary-General that the Swedish Government, after having obtained the approval of the Parliament, promulgated on 7 April 1961 the law under which Saturdays from 1 June to 30 September of each year shall be assimilated to legal holidays for the purposes including the presentation for acceptance or payment and all other acts relating to bills of exchange. The Government of Sweden further requested that this communication be considered as

a notification of reservations made in accordance with the third paragraph of article I of the Convention.

In a communication received on 18 June 1965, the Government of Sweden notified the Secretary-General of the following: ... on 26 May 1965, the Swedish Government, with the approval of the Parliament, promulgated legal provisions under which the Swedish law giving effect to the uniform legislation introduced by the Convention was amended to provide that Saturdays shall be assimilated to legal holidays, as is already the case with the Saturdays of April, May, June, July, August and September. These provisions will enter into force on 1 October 1965.

⁷ According to a declaration made by the Swiss Government when depositing the instrument of ratification of this Convention, the latter was to take effect, in respect of Switzerland, only after the adoption of a law revising Sections XXIV to XXXIII of the Federal Code of Obligations or, if necessary, of a special law regarding bills of exchange, promissory notes and cheques. The law above referred to having entered into force on July 1st, 1937, the Convention took effect, for Switzerland, as from that date.

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations (See also footnotes 2 to 4, 4a, 5 and 6)

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a)</i>
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ⁸	
HUNGARY ⁹	28 October 1964 a
LUXEMBOURG ¹⁰	5 March 1963

⁸ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the convention of 7 June 1930 providing a Uniform Law for Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded

under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention Providing a Uniform Law for Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes of 7 June 1930 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States."

⁹ In a communication received on 5 January 1966, the Government of Hungary, with reference to the third paragraph of article I of the Convention and article 18 of Annex II thereof, notified the Secretary-General of the following: "In respect of bills of exchange and promissory notes, no payment may be demanded in Hungary on legal holidays, namely: 1 January (New Year's Day), 4 April (Liberation Day), 1 May (Labour Day), 20 August (Constitution Day), 7 November (Anniversary of the October Socialist Revolution), 25 December (Christmas Day), 26 December (Boxing Day), Easter Monday, and weekly rest days (usually Sundays)."

¹⁰ The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Government of Luxembourg, in accordance with article 1 of the Convention, avails itself of all the reservations provided in articles 1, 4, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 and 20 of Annex II to the Convention.

II. Convention providing a Uniform Law for Cheques, with Annexes and Protocol

Geneva, March 19th, 1931¹

IN FORCE since January 1st, 1934 (Article VI).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

BRAZIL (August 26th, 1942 *a*)

This accession is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 25, 26, 29 and 30 of Annex II to the Convention.

DENMARK² (July 27th, 1932)

The undertaking of the Government of the King to introduce in Denmark the Uniform Law forming Annex I to this Convention is subject to the reservations referred to in Articles 4, 6, 9, 14, para. 1, 16 (*a*), 18, 25, 26, 27 and 29 of Annex II to the said Convention.

The Government of the King, by its acceptance of this Convention, does not intend to assume any obligations as regards Greenland.

FINLAND³ (August 31st, 1932)

This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 4, 6, 9, 14, paragraph 1, 16 (*a*), 18 and 27 of Annex II to this Convention, and Finland has availed itself of the right granted to the High Contracting Parties by Articles 25, 26 and 29 of the said Annex to legislate on the matters referred to therein.

FRANCE (April 27th, 1936 *a*)

Declares that Articles 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27,** 28, 29, 30 and 31 of Annex II to this Convention are being applied.

***GERMANY** (October 3rd, 1933)

This ratification is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 6, 14, 15, 16, paragraph 2, 18, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 29 of Annex II to the Convention.

* All the parties to this Convention have agreed to consider the instrument of ratification deposited by this country, after the date stipulated in the Convention, as valid. The Japanese Government, however, is of opinion that this ratification has the character of an accession.

** The Minister for Foreign Affairs of the French Republic informed the Secretary-General, by a communication received at the Secretariat on October 20th, 1937, that, in consequence of certain changes introduced into French legislation regarding the maturity of commercial bills by the Decree-Law of August 31st, 1937, and in application of Article 27 of Annex II to the Convention and Article II of the Final Act of the Conference by which it was adopted, no payment whatsoever, in respect of a bill, draft cheque, current account, deposit of funds or securities or otherwise, may be demanded and no protest may be drawn up on Saturdays or Mondays, which for these purposes only, are assimilated to legal holidays.

¹ Registered No. 3316. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 143, p. 355.

² See footnote 3, page 557, for the notification by Denmark, which also applies to this Convention.

³ See footnote 4, p. 557, for the notification by Finland, which also applies to this Convention.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

***GREECE** (June 1st, 1934)

Subject to the following conditions:

A. The Hellenic Government does not avail itself of the reservations provided in Articles 1, 2, 5-8, 10-14, 16, paragraph 1 (*a*) and (*b*), 18, paragraph 1, 19-22, 24 and 26, paragraph 2, of Annex II.

B. The Hellenic Government avails itself of the following reservations provided in Annex II:

(1) The reservation in Article 3, paragraph 3 of Article 2 of the Uniform Law being replaced by the words: "A cheque which does not specify the place of payment shall be regarded as payable at the place where it was drawn".

(2) The reservation in Article 4, the following paragraph being added to Article 3: "A cheque issued and payable in Greece shall not be valid as a cheque unless it is drawn on a banking Company or Greek legal person having the status of an institution of public law, engaging in banking business".

(3) The reservation in Article 9, the following provision being added to paragraph 3 of Article 6 of the Uniform Law: "But in such exceptional case the issue of the cheque to bearer is prohibited."

(4) The reservation in Article 15, the following paragraph being added to Article 31 of the Uniform Law: "By presidential decree, promulgated at the instance of the Ministers of Justice and National Economy, it may be decided what institutions in Greece are to be regarded as clearing-houses."

(5) The reservation in the second paragraph of Article 16, it being laid down that "provisions with regard to the loss or theft of cheques shall be embodied in Greek law".

(6) The reservation in Article 17, the following paragraph being added at the end of Article 35: "In exceptional circumstances connected with the rate of exchange of Greek currency, the effects of the stipulation contained in paragraph 3 of the present Article may be abrogated in each case by special legislation as regards cheques payable in Greece. The above provision may also be applied as regards cheques issued in Greece."

(7) The reservation in Article 23, the following being added to No. 2 in Article 45 of the Uniform Law: "which, however, in the case of cheques issued and payable in Greece, shall be calculated in each case at the legal rate of interest in force in Greece". Similarly, the following is added to No. 2 of Article 46 of the Uniform Law: "except in the special case dealt with in No. 2 of the preceding Article".

Ratifications or definitive accessions

(8) The reservation in Article 25, the following Article being added to the National Law: "In the event of forfeiture of the bearer's rights or limitation of the right of action, proceedings may be taken against the drawer or endorser on the ground of his having made an inequitable gain. The right to take such proceedings lapses after three years from the date of the issue of the cheque."

(9) The reservation in the first paragraph of Article 26, a provision being enacted to the following effect: "The causes of interruption or suspension of limitation of actions enacted in the present law shall be governed by the rules regarding limitation and short-term limitation of actions."

(10) The reservation in Article 27, a separate Article being appended in the following terms: "Legal holidays within the meaning of the present law shall be all Sundays and all full days of rest observed by public offices."

(11) The reservation in Article 28 and the reservation in Article 29.

(12) The reservation in Article 30.

ITALY (August 31st, 1933)

In accordance with Article 1 of this Convention, the Royal Italian Government intends to avail itself of the rights provided in Articles 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14, 16, para. 2, 19, 20, 21, para. 2, 23, 25, 26, 29 and 30 of Annex II.

In connection with Article 15 of Annex II to this Convention, the institutions referred to in the said article are, in Italy, solely the "Stanze di compensazione".

JAPAN (August 25th, 1933)

By application of Article I, paragraph 2, of the Convention, this ratification is subject to the benefit of the provisions mentioned in Annex II to this Convention.

MONACO (February 9th, 1933)

*THE NETHERLANDS (for the Kingdom in Europe)
(April 2nd, 1934)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Annex II to the Convention.

Netherlands Indies and Curaçao

(September 30th, 1935 *a*)

Subject to the reservations mentioned in Annex II to the Convention.

Surinam

(August 7th, 1936 *a*)

Subject to the reservations mentioned in Annex II to the Convention.

NICARAGUA

(March 16th, 1932 *a*)

NORWAY^{3a}

(July 27th, 1932)

This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 4, 6, 9, 14, paragraph 1, 16 (*a*) and 18 of Annex II to the Convention, and the Royal Norwegian Government reserves the right, at the same time, to avail itself of the right granted to each of the High Contracting Parties by Articles 25, 26 27 and 29 of the said Annex to legislate on the matters referred to therein.

POLAND

(December 19th, 1936 *a*)

This accession is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 14, paragraph 1, 15, 16, paragraph 1 (*a*), 16, paragraph 2, 17, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29 and 30 of Annex II to the Convention.

*PORTUGAL⁴

(June 8th, 1934)

SWEDEN⁵

(July 27th, 1932)

This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 4, 6, 9, 14, paragraph 1, 16 (*a*) and 18 of Annex II to the Convention, and the Royal Swedish Government has availed itself of the right granted to the High Contracting Parties by Articles 25, 26 and 29 of the said Annex to legislate on the matters referred to therein.

SWITZERLAND⁶

(August 26th, 1932)

This ratification is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 2, 4, 8, 15, 16, paragraph 2, 19, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29 and 30 of Annex II.

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

ECUADOR

MEXICO

ROMANIA

SPAIN

TURKEY

YUGOSLAVIA

^{3a} See footnote 4a, p. 558, for notification by Norway, which also applies to this Convention.

⁴ The ratification was made subject to the reservation that the provisions of the Convention do not apply to the colonial territory of Portugal (see *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 143, p. 361). In a communication received on 18 August 1953, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of this reservation.

⁵ See footnote 6, p. 558, for the notification by Sweden, which also applies to this Convention

⁶ According to the declaration made by the Swiss Government when depositing the instrument of ratification of this Convention, the latter was to take effect, in respect of Switzerland, only after the adoption of a law revising Sections XXIV to XXXIII of the Federal Code of Obligations or, if necessary, of a special law regarding bills of exchange, promissory notes and cheques. The law above referred to having entered into force on July 1st, 1937, the Convention took effect, for Switzerland, as from that date.

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations (See also footnotes 2, 3, 3a, 4 and 5)

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d)</i>
AUSTRIA ^{7, 8}	1 December 1958
BELGIUM ⁹	18 December 1961
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ¹⁰	
HUNGARY ¹¹	28 October 1964 <i>a</i>
INDONESIA	9 March 1959 <i>d</i>
LUXEMBOURG	1 August 1968 <i>a</i>
MALAWI	[3 November 1965 <i>a</i>] ¹²

^{7, 8} The ratification by the Government of Austria is made subject to the reservations contained in articles 6, 14, 15, 16 (paragraph 2), 17, 18, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 of Annex II to the Convention.

In a communication received on 26 November 1968, the Government of Austria, with reference to the reservations provided for in article 27 of Annex II to the Convention, specified legal holidays or days assimilated to such holidays as regards the limit of time for presentment and all acts relating to cheques. For the list of holidays, see the second paragraph of footnote 2, p. 557.

⁹ With a declaration that, in accordance with article X of the Convention, the Government of Belgium does not intend to assume any obligations in respect of the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi. Moreover the Government of Belgium reserves its right to avail itself of all the provisions of Annex II to the Convention.

¹⁰ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the Convention of 19 March 1931 providing a Uniform Law for Cheques, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention providing a Uniform Law for cheques of 19 March 1931 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States."

¹¹ The instrument of accession contains the following reservation: "In accordance with article 30 of Annex II to the Convention, the Hungarian People's Republic declares that the Uniform Law for Cheques shall not be applicable to the special kinds of cheques used in inland trade between Socialist economic organizations."

In a communication received on 5 January 1966, the Government of Hungary, with reference to the third paragraph of article I of the Convention and article 27 of Annex II to the Convention, notified the Secretary-General that "in respect of cheques, no payment may be demanded in Hungary on legal holidays". For list of holidays, see footnote 9, p. 559.

¹² In a communication received on 30 July 1968, the Government of Malawi informed the Secretary-General that it denounced the Convention under the procedure provided in the third paragraph of article 8 of the Convention, which reads as follows:

"In urgent cases a High Contracting Party which denounces the Convention shall immediately notify direct all other High Contracting Parties, and the denunciation shall take effect two days after the receipt of such notification by the said High Contracting Parties. A High Contracting Party denouncing the Convention in these circumstances shall also inform the Secretary-General of the League of Nations of its decision."

and that, in accordance with the above-mentioned provisions, the denunciation took effect on 5 October 1967 in respect of France; on 8 October 1967 in respect of Austria, Denmark, Italy and Norway; on 9 October 1968 in respect of Portugal and Sweden; on 13 October 1967 in respect of Finland; on 14 October 1967 in respect of Poland; on 15 October 1967 in respect of Brazil, Greece, Hungary, Indonesia and Monaco; on 18 October 1967 in respect of Belgium and Switzerland; and on 24 April 1968 in respect of Japan.

The Government of Malawi further informed the Secretary-General that it no longer considered itself bound by the Convention in respect of Nicaragua, the Government of that State having not acknowledged, in spite of several requests, the notification of denunciation addressed to it by the Government of Malawi, and that it had so notified the Government of Nicaragua. Subsequently, in a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 19 March 1969, the Government of Malawi informed him that the latter notification had been received by the Government of Nicaragua on 17 January 1969.

12. Convention on the Stamp Laws in connection with Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes, and Protocol

Geneva, June 7th, 1930¹

IN FORCE since January 1st, 1934 (Article 5).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AUSTRIA (August 31st, 1932)
 BELGIUM (August 31st, 1932)
 BRAZIL (August 26th, 1942 a)
 GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND
 (April 18th, 1934 a)

His Majesty does not assume any obligations in respect of any of his Colonies or Protectorates or any territories under mandate exercised by his Government in the United Kingdom.

Newfoundland (May 7th, 1934 a)
 Subject to the provision D. I. in the Protocol of the Convention.

Barbados (**with limitation), Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate, Bermuda (with limitation), British Guiana (with limitation), British Honduras, Ceylon (with limitation), Cyprus (with limitation), Fiji (with limitation), Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar (with limitation), Gold Coast [(a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate], Kenya (Colony and Protectorate) (with limitation), Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States: Negri Sembilan, Pahang, Perak, Selangor; (b) Unfederated Malay States: Johore, Kedah, Kelantan, Perlis, Trengganu, and Brunei (with limitation)], Malta, Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland Protectorate, Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate) (with limitation), Straits Settlements (with limitation), Swaziland, Trinidad and Tobago (with limitation), Uganda Protectorate (with limitation), Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent) (with limitation)
 (July 18th, 1936 a)

Bahamas (with limitation), British Solomon Islands Protectorate (with limitation), Falkland Islands and Dependencies (with limitation), Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony (with limitation), Mauritius, Saint Helena and Ascension (with limitation), Tanganyika Territory (with limitation), Tonga

* All the parties to this Convention have agreed to consider the instrument of ratification deposited by this country, after the date stipulated in the Convention, as valid. The Japanese Government, however, is of opinion that this ratification has the character of an accession.

** The words "with limitation" placed after the names of certain territories indicate that the limitation contained in Section D of the Protocol of the Convention applies to these territories.

¹ Registered No. 3315. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 143, p. 337.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

(with limitation), Trans-Jordan (with limitation), Zanzibar (with limitation)
 (September 7th, 1938 a)

Jamaica, including the Turks and Caicos Islands and the Cayman Islands (with limitation), Somaliland Protectorate (with limitation)
 (August 3rd, 1939 a)

AUSTRALIA (September 3rd, 1938 a)
 Including the territories of Papua and Norfolk Island and the mandated territories of New Guinea and Nauru.

It is agreed that, in so far as concerns the Commonwealth of Australia, the only instruments to which the provisions of this Convention shall apply are bills of exchange presented for acceptance or accepted or payable elsewhere than in the Commonwealth of Australia.

A similar limitation shall apply in the case of the Territories of Papua and Norfolk Island and the Mandated Territories of New Guinea and Nauru.²

IRELAND³ (July 10th, 1936 a)
 DENMARK (July 27th, 1932)

The Government of the King, by its acceptance of this Convention, does not intend to assume any obligations as regards Greenland.

FINLAND (August 31st, 1932)
 FRANCE (April 27th, 1936 a)
 *GERMANY (October 3rd, 1933)
 ITALY (August 31st, 1932)
 JAPAN (August 31st, 1932)
 MONACO (January 25th, 1934 a)

THE NETHERLANDS (for the Kingdom in Europe)
 (August 20th, 1932)

Netherlands Indies and Curaçao
 (July 16th, 1935 a)
 Surinam (August 7th, 1936 a)

² This limitation was accepted by the States parties to the Convention, which were consulted in accordance with Section D, paragraph 4, of the Protocol of the said Convention.

³ The Government of Ireland having informed the Secretary-General of the League of Nations of its desire to be allowed the limitation specified in paragraph 1 of Section D of the Protocol to this Convention, the Secretary-General has transmitted this desire to the interested States in application of paragraph 4 of the above-mentioned Section. No objection having been raised on the part of the said States, this limitation should be considered as accepted.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

	<i>New Hebrides</i> (**with limitation)	(March 16th, 1939 <i>a</i>)
NORWAY		(July 27th, 1932)
POLAND		(December 19th, 1936 <i>a</i>)
*PORTUGAL ⁴		(June 8th, 1934)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

SWEDEN	(July 27th, 1932)
SWITZERLAND ⁵	(August 26th, 1932)
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	(November 25th, 1936 <i>a</i>)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

COLOMBIA	PERU	TURKEY
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	SPAIN	YUGOSLAVIA
ECUADOR		

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations (See also footnote 4)

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
BAHAMAS ^{5a}	19 May	1976 <i>d</i>
CYPRUS ⁶	5 March	1968 <i>d</i>
FIJI ⁶	25 March	1971 <i>d</i>
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ⁷		
HUNGARY	28 October	1964 <i>a</i>
LUXEMBOURG	5 March	1963
MALAYSIA	14 January	1960 <i>d</i>
MALTA	6 December	1966 <i>d</i>
TONGA ⁶	2 February	1972 <i>d</i>
UGANDA	15 April	1965 <i>a</i>

⁴ The ratification was made subject to the reservation that the provisions of the Convention do not apply to the colonial territory of Portugal (see *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 143, p. 339). In a communication received on 18 August 1953, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of this reservation.

⁵ According to a declaration made by the Swiss Government when depositing the instrument of ratification of this Convention, the latter was to take effect, in respect of Switzerland, only after the adoption of a law revising Sections XXIV to XXXIII of the Federal Code of Obligations or, if necessary, of a special law regarding bills of exchange, promissory notes and cheques. The law above referred to having entered into force on July 1st, 1937, the Convention took effect, for Switzerland, as from that date.

^{5a} Maintaining the limitations contained in Section D of the Protocol to the Convention, subject to which the Convention was made applicable to its territory.

⁶ Maintaining the limitations contained in Section D of the Protocol to the Convention subject to which the Convention was made applicable to its territory before the attainment of independence.

⁷ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the Ger-

man Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the Convention of 7 June 1930 on the Stamp Laws in connection with Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention on the Stamp Laws in Connection with Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes of 7 June 1930 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States"

13. Convention on the Stamp Laws in connection with Cheques, and Protocol

Geneva, March 19th, 1931¹

IN FORCE since November 29th, 1933 (Article 5).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

BRAZIL (August 26th, 1942 *a*)

GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND
(January 13th, 1932)

This ratification does not include any British Colony or Protectorate or any mandated territory in respect of which the mandate is exercised by His Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom.

Barbados, Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, Ceylon, Cyprus, Fiji, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar, Gold Coast [(a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate], Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States: Negri Sembilan, Pahang, Perak, Selangor; (b) Unfederated Malay States: Johore, Kedah, Kelantan, Perlis, Trengganu, and Brunei], Malta, Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland Protectorate, Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Straits Settlements, Swaziland, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda Protectorate, Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent) (July 18th, 1936 *a*)

Bahamas, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony, Mauritius, Saint Helena and Ascension, Tanganyika Territory, Tonga, Trans-Jordan, Zanzibar (September 7th, 1938 *a*)

Jamaica, including the Turks and Caicos Islands and the Cayman Islands (August 3rd, 1939 *a*)

Somaliland Protectorate (August 3rd, 1939 *a*)

AUSTRALIA (September 3rd, 1938 *a*)

Including the territories of *Papua and Norfolk Island* and the mandated territories of *New Guinea and Nauru*.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

IRELAND (July 10th, 1936 *a*)

DENMARK (July 27th, 1932)

The Government of the King, by its acceptance of this Convention, does not intend to assume any obligations as regards Greenland.

FINLAND (August 31st, 1932)

FRANCE (April 27th, 1936 *a*)

*GERMANY (October 3rd, 1933)

*GREECE (June 1st, 1934)

ITALY (August 31st, 1933)

JAPAN (August 25th, 1933)

MONACO (February 9th, 1933)

*THE NETHERLANDS (for the Kingdom in Europe)
(April 2nd, 1934)

Netherlands Indies and Curaçao
(September 30th, 1935 *a*)

Surinam (August 7th, 1936 *a*)

New Hebrides (March 16th, 1939 *a*)

NICARAGUA (March 16th, 1932 *a*)

NORWAY (July 27th, 1932)

POLAND (December 19th, 1936 *a*)

*PORTUGAL² (June 8th, 1934)

SWEDEN (July 27th, 1932)

SWITZERLAND³ (August 26th, 1932)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

ECUADOR

MEXICO

ROMANIA

SPAIN

TURKEY

YUGOSLAVIA

* All the parties to this Convention have agreed to consider the instrument of ratification deposited by this country, after the date stipulated in the Convention, as valid. The Japanese Government, however, is of opinion that this ratification has the character of an accession.

¹ Registered No. 3301. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 143, p. 7.

² The ratification was made subject to the reservation that the provisions of the Convention do not apply to the colonial territory of Portugal (see *ibid.*, vol. 143, p. 9). In a communication received on 18 August 1933, the Government of Portu-

gal notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of this reservation.

³ According to a declaration made by the Swiss Government when depositing the instrument of ratification of this Convention, the latter was to take effect, in respect of Switzerland, only after the adoption of a law revising Sections XXIV to XXXIII of the Federal Code of Obligations or, if necessary, of a special law regarding bills of exchange, promissory notes and cheques. The law above referred to having entered into force on July 1st, 1937, the Convention took effect, for Switzerland, as from that date.

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations (See also footnote 2)

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
AUSTRIA	1 December	1958
BAHAMAS	19 May	1976 <i>d</i>
BELGIUM ^{4, 5}	18 December	1961
CYPRUS	5 March	1968 <i>d</i>
FIJI	25 March	1971 <i>d</i>
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ⁶		
HUNGARY	28 October	1964 <i>a</i>
INDONESIA	9 March	1959 <i>d</i>
LUXEMBOURG	1 August	1968 <i>a</i>
MALAYSIA	14 January	1960 <i>d</i>
MALTA	6 December	1966 <i>d</i>
TONGA	2 February	1972 <i>d</i>

^{4, 5} With a declaration that, in accordance with article 9 of the Convention, the Government of Belgium does not intend to assume any obligations in respect of the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi.

⁶ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the Convention of 19 March 1931 on the Stamp Laws in connection with Cheques, the Government of the Federal Republic of Ger-

many declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention on the Stamp Laws in Connection with Cheques of 19 March 1931 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States."

14. International Convention for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency, and Protocol

Geneva, April 20th, 1929¹

IN FORCE since February 22nd, 1931 (Article 25).

(a) Convention

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AUSTRIA	(June 25th, 1931)
BELGIUM	(June 6th, 1932)
BRAZIL	(July 1st, 1938 <i>a</i>)
BULGARIA	(May 22nd, 1930)
COLOMBIA	(May 9th, 1932)
CUBA	(June 13th, 1933)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(September 12th, 1931)
DENMARK ²	(February 19th, 1931)
ECUADOR	(September 25th, 1937 <i>a</i>)
ESTONIA	(August 30th, 1930 <i>a</i>)
FINLAND	(September 25th, 1936 <i>a</i>)
GERMANY	(October 3rd, 1933)
GREECE	(May 19th, 1931)
HUNGARY	(June 14th, 1933)
IRELAND	(July 24th, 1934 <i>a</i>)
ITALY	(December 27th, 1935)
LATVIA	(July 22nd, 1939 <i>a</i>)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

MEXICO	(March 30th, 1936 <i>a</i>)
MONACO	(October 21st, 1931)
THE NETHERLANDS	(April 30th, 1932)
NORWAY	(March 16th, 1931)
In view of the provisions of Article 176, paragraph 2, of the Norwegian Ordinary Criminal Code and Article 2 of the Norwegian Law on the Extradition of Criminals, the extradition provided for in Article 10 of the present Convention may not be granted for the offence referred to in Article 3, No. 2, where the person uttering the counterfeit currency himself accepted it <i>bona fide</i> as genuine. ³	
POLAND	(June 15th, 1934)
PORTUGAL	(September 18th, 1930)
ROMANIA	(March 7th, 1939)
SPAIN	(April 28th, 1930)
TURKEY	(January 21st, 1937 <i>a</i>)
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS ⁴	(July 13th, 1931)
YUGOSLAVIA	(November 24th, 1930)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

ALBANIA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
INDIA

CHINA^{4a}
JAPAN
LUXEMBOURG
PANAMA

As provided in Article 24 of the Convention, this signature does not include the territories of any Prince or Chief under the suzerainty of His Majesty.

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>
ALGERIA ⁵	17 March 1965 <i>a</i>
BAHAMAS	9 July 1975 <i>d</i>
BENIN	17 March 1966 <i>a</i>
CYPRUS	10 June 1965 <i>a</i>

¹ Registered No. 2623. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 112, p. 371.

² According to a Declaration made by the Danish Government when ratifying the Convention, the latter was to take effect in respect of Denmark only upon the coming into force of the Danish Penal Code of April 15th, 1930. This Code having entered into force on January 1st, 1933, the Convention has become effective for Denmark from the same date.

³ As this reservation has not given rise to any objection on the part of the States to which it was communicated in accordance with Article 22, it may be considered as accepted.

⁴ Instrument deposited in Berlin.

^{4a} See note, p. iii.

⁵ With the following reservation, which is deemed to have been accepted by the other Contracting Parties in accordance with article 22 of the Convention:

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by article 19 of the Convention, which confers upon the International Court of Justice jurisdiction with respect to any disputes concerning the Convention.

The jurisdiction of international tribunals may be accepted, by way of exception, in cases with respect to which the Algerian Government shall have expressly given its consent.

State	Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)	
EGYPT	15 July	1957 a
FIJI	25 March	1971 d
FRANCE	28 March	1958
GABON	11 August	1964 a
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ^{5a}		
GHANA	9 July	1964 a
HOLY SEE	1 March	1965 a
IRAQ	14 May	1965 a
ISRAEL	10 February	1965 a
IVORY COAST	25 May	1964 a
KUWAIT	9 December	1968 a
LEBANON	6 October	1966 a
MALAWI	18 November	1965 a
MALAYSIA ^{5b}	4 July	1972 a
MALI	6 January	1970 a
MAURITIUS	18 July	1969 d
MOROCCO ^{5c}	4 May	1976 a
NIGER	5 May	1969 a
PERU	11 May	1970 a
PHILIPPINES ^{5d}	5 May	1971 a
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ^{5e}	3 December	1964 a
SAN MARINO	18 October	1967 a
SENEGAL	25 August	1965 a
SOUTH AFRICA	29 August	1967 a
SRI LANKA	2 June	1967 a
SWITZERLAND	30 December	1958
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	14 August	1964 ⁶
THAILAND	6 June	1963 a
UGANDA	15 April	1965 a
UNITED KINGDOM	28 July	1959
UPPER VOLTA	8 December	1964 a

^{5a} In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 2 March 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974, concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the International Convention of 20 April 1929 for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the International Convention for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency, April 20th, 1929 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

^{5b} With the following reservation, which is deemed to have been accepted by the other Contracting Parties in accordance with article 22 of the Convention:

"The Government of Malaysia . . . does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 19 of the Convention."

^{5c} With the following reservation, which is deemed to have been accepted by the other Contracting Parties in accordance with article 22 of the Convention: The Kingdom of Morocco does not consider itself bound by article 19 of the Convention which provides that any disputes which might arise relating to the said Convention shall be settled by the Permanent Court of International Justice.

However, it may accept the jurisdiction of the International Court, by way of exception, in cases where the Moroccan Government expressly states that it accepts such jurisdiction.

^{5d} With the following reservation, which is deemed to have been accepted by the other Contracting Parties in accordance with article 22 of the Convention:

"Articles 5 and 8 of the Convention shall be inoperative with respect to the Philippines unless and until Article 163 of the Revised Penal Code and Section 14 (a), Rule 110, of the Rules of the Court in the Philippines, shall have been amended to conform to the said provisions of the Convention."

^{5e} See note 4b, p. 54.

⁶ In a communication received on 14 August 1964, the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, referring to Presidential decree No. 1147 of 20 June 1959, pursuant to which the application of the Convention for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency and Protocol, done at Geneva on 20 April 1929, was extended to the Syrian Province of the United Arab Republic, and to *décret-loi* No. 25 promulgated on 13 June 1962 by the President of the Syrian Arab Republic (see footnote 3, p. 3), has informed the Secretary-General that the Syrian Arab Republic considers itself a party to the said Convention and Protocol as from 20 June 1959.

Accessions in respect of territories

NETHERLANDS	22 March	1954	Netherlands Antilles and Surinam
UNITED KINGDOM	13 October	1960	Antigua, Bahamas, Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands, British Virgin Islands, Dominica, Falkland Island, Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland, ⁷ Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellis Islands, Grenada, Jamaica, Kenya, Mauritius, Montserrat, North Borneo, St. Christopher-Nevis and Anguilla, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Sarawak, Sierra Leone, State of Singapore, Swaziland, Tanganyika, Trinidad, Uganda, Zanzibar.
	7 March	1963	Barbados and its dependencies

(b) Protocol

Note.—The Protocol came into force at the same time as the Convention, of which it forms an integral part, and was registered under the same number.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AUSTRIA	(June 25th, 1931)
BELGIUM	(June 6th, 1932)
BRAZIL	(July 1st, 1938 <i>a</i>)
BULGARIA	(May 22nd, 1930)
COLOMBIA	(May 9th, 1932)
CUBA	(June 13th, 1933)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(September 12th, 1931)
DENMARK ⁸	(February 19th, 1931)
ECUADOR	(September 25th, 1937 <i>a</i>)
ESTONIA	(August 30th, 1930 <i>a</i>)
FINLAND	(September 25th, 1936 <i>a</i>)
GERMANY	(October 3rd, 1933)
GREECE	(May 19th, 1931)
HUNGARY	(June 14th, 1933)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

IRELAND	(July 24th, 1934 <i>a</i>)
ITALY	(December 27th, 1935)
LATVIA	(July 22nd, 1939 <i>a</i>)
MEXICO	(March 30th, 1936 <i>a</i>)
MONACO	(October 21st, 1931)
THE NETHERLANDS	(April 30th, 1932)
NORWAY	(March 16th, 1931)
POLAND	(June 15th, 1934)
PORTUGAL	(September 18th, 1930)
ROMANIA	(March 7th, 1939)
SPAIN	(April 28th, 1930)
TURKEY	(January 21st, 1937 <i>a</i>)
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS ⁹	(July 13th, 1931)
YUGOSLAVIA	(November 24th, 1930)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

ALBANIA	CHINA ¹⁰	LUXEMBOURG
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	JAPAN	PANAMA
INDIA		

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
ALGERIA	17 March	1965 <i>a</i>
BAHAMAS	9 July	1975 <i>d</i>
BENIN	17 March	1966 <i>a</i>
CYPRUS	10 June	1965 <i>a</i>
EGYPT	15 July	1957 <i>a</i>
FIJI	25 March	1971 <i>d</i>
FRANCE	28 March	1958
GABON	11 August	1964 <i>a</i>
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ¹¹		
GHANA	9 July	1964 <i>a</i>
HOLY SEE	1 March	1965 <i>a</i>
IRAQ	14 May	1965 <i>a</i>

⁷ See footnote 16, p. 124.

⁸ Same note as for the Convention; see footnote 2, p. 567.

⁹ Instrument deposited in Berlin.

¹⁰ See note, p. iii.

¹¹ See footnote 5a, p. 568.

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a) notification of succession (d)</i>	
ISRAEL	10 February	1965 <i>a</i>
IVORY COAST	25 May	1964 <i>a</i>
KUWAIT	9 December	1968 <i>a</i>
LEBANON	6 October	1966 <i>a</i>
MALAWI	18 November	1965 <i>a</i>
MALAYSIA	4 July	1972 <i>a</i>
MALI	6 January	1970 <i>a</i>
MAURITIUS	18 July	1969 <i>d</i>
NIGER	5 May	1969 <i>a</i>
PHILIPPINES	5 May	1971 <i>a</i>
PERU	11 May	1970 <i>a</i>
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ¹²	3 December	1964 <i>a</i>
SAN MARINO	18 October	1967 <i>a</i>
SENEGAL	25 August	1965 <i>a</i>
SOUTH AFRICA	29 August	1967 <i>a</i>
SRI LANKA	2 June	1967 <i>a</i>
SWITZERLAND	30 December	1958
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	14 August	1964 ¹³
THAILAND	6 June	1963 <i>a</i>
UGANDA	15 April	1965 <i>a</i>
UNITED KINGDOM	28 July	1959
UPPER VOLTA	8 December	1964 <i>a</i>

Accessions in respect of territories

NETHERLANDS	22 March	1954	Netherlands Antilles and Surinam
UNITED KINGDOM	13 October	1960	Antigua, Bahamas, Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands, British Virgin Islands, Dominica, Falkland Island, Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland, ¹⁴ Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellis Islands, Grenada, Jamaica, Kenya, Mauritius, Montserrat, North Borneo, St. Christopher-Nevis and Anguilla, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Sarawak, Sierra Leone, State of Singapore, Swaziland, Tanganyika, Trinidad, Uganda, Zanzibar.
	7 March	1963	Barbados and its dependencies

¹² See note 4b, p. 54.

¹³ See footnote 6, p. 568.

¹⁴ See footnote 16, p. 124.

15. Optional Protocol concerning the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency

Geneva, April 20th, 1929

IN FORCE since August 30th, 1930.¹

<i>Ratifications or definitive accessions</i>		<i>Ratifications or definitive accessions</i>	
AUSTRIA	(June 25th, 1931)	FINLAND	(September 25th, 1936 a)
BRAZIL	(July 1st, 1938 a)	GREECE	(May 19th, 1931)
BULGARIA	(May 22nd, 1930)	LATVIA	(July 22nd, 1939 a)
COLOMBIA	(May 9th, 1932)	POLAND	(June 15th, 1934)
CUBA	(June 13th, 1933)	PORTUGAL	(September 18th, 1930)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(September 12th, 1931)	ROMANIA	(November 10th, 1930)
ESTONIA	(August 30th, 1930 a)	SPAIN	(April 28th, 1930)
		YUGOSLAVIA	(November 24th, 1930)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

PANAMA

ACTIONS subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession</i>
ALGERIA	17 March 1965
CYPRUS ..	10 June 1965
GABON ..	11 August 1964
GHANA	9 July 1964
IRAQ	14 May 1965
ISRAEL	10 February 1965
IVORY COAST	25 May 1964
MALAWI	18 November 1965
NIGER	5 May 1969
[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH VIET-NAM] ²	3 December 1964
SENEGAL	25 August 1965
SRI LANKA	2 June 1967
UPPER VOLTA	8 December 1964

¹ Registered No. 2624. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 112, p. 395.

² See note 4b, p. 54.

16. Convention and Statute on Freedom of Transit

Barcelona, April 20th, 1921¹

IN FORCE since October 31st, 1922 (Article 6).

<i>Ratifications or definitive accessions</i>	<i>Ratifications or definitive accessions</i>	
ALBANIA (October 8th, 1921)	FRANCE (September 19th, 1924)	
AUSTRIA (November 15th, 1923)	Syria and Lebanon (February 7th, 1929 a)	
BELGIUM (May 16th, 1927)	GERMANY (April 9th, 1924 a)	
BRITISH EMPIRE, including <i>Newfoundland</i> (August 2nd, 1922)	GREECE (February 18th, 1924)	
Subject to the declaration inserted in the Procès-verbal of the meeting of April 19th, 1921, as to the British Dominions which have not been represented at the Barcelona Conference.	HUNGARY (May 18th, 1928 a)	
<i>Federated Malay States: Perak, Selangor, Negri Sembilan and Pahang</i> (August 22nd, 1923 a)	IRAN (January 29th, 1931)	
<i>Non-Federated Malay States: Brunei, Johore, Kedah, Perlis, Kelantan and Trengganu</i> (August 22nd, 1923 a)	IRAQ (March 1st, 1930 a)	
<i>Palestine</i> (January 28th, 1924 a)	ITALY (August 5th, 1922)	
NEW ZEALAND (August 2nd, 1922)	JAPAN (February 20th, 1924)	
INDIA (August 2nd, 1922)	LATVIA (September 29th, 1923)	
BULGARIA (July 11th, 1922)	LUXEMBOURG (March 19th, 1930)	
CHILE (March 19th, 1928)	THE NETHERLANDS (including the <i>Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao</i>) (April 17th, 1924)	
CZECHOSLOVAKIA (October 29th, 1923)	NORWAY (September 4th, 1923)	
DENMARK (November 13th, 1922)	POLAND (October 8th, 1924)	
ESTONIA (June 6th, 1925)	ROMANIA (September 5th, 1923)	
FINLAND (January 29th, 1923)	SPAIN (December 17th, 1929)	
	SWEDEN (January 19th, 1925)	
	SWITZERLAND (July 14th, 1924)	
	THAILAND (November 29th, 1922 a)	
	TURKEY (June 27th, 1933 a)	
	YUGOSLAVIA (May 7th, 1930)	
<i>Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification</i>		
BOLIVIA	GUATEMALA	PERU (a)
CHINA ²	LITHUANIA	PORTUGAL
ETHIOPIA (a)	PANAMA	URUGUAY

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	12 April 1971 d
FIJI	15 March 1972 d
LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	24 November 1956 d
LESOTHO	23 October 1973 d
MALAWI ³	
MALTA	13 May 1966 d
MAURITIUS	18 July 1969 d
NEPAL	22 August 1966 a
NIGERIA	3 November 1967 a
RWANDA	10 February 1965 d
SWAZILAND	24 November 1969 a

¹ Registered No. 171. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 7, p. 11.

² See note, p. iii.

³ In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General on 3 September 1968, the President of the Republic of Malawi, referring to the Convention and Statute on Freedom of Transit, done at Barcelona on 20 April 1921, stated the following:

"As I mentioned in my previous letter to you of the 24th November 1964, concerning Malawi's inherited treaty obligations, my Government regards all multilateral treaties validly applied to the former Nyasaland, including this Convention and Statute, as remaining in force on a reciprocal basis as between Malawi and any other party to the treaty,

pending our notification to the depositary of the treaty confirming Malawi's succession, acceding in her own right, or terminating all legal connection therewith

"On behalf of the Government of Malawi, I would now inform you, as depositary for this Convention and Statute, that my Government considers that as from this date any legal obligations and rights which may have devolved upon Malawi from the previous ratification by the United Kingdom are terminated. Accordingly, Malawi considers herself to have no further legal connection with the Convention and Statute on Freedom of Transit, signed at Barcelona on 20th April 1921. The Government of Malawi wishes, however, to reserve the right to accede to this Convention and Statute at a later date should this become necessary."

17. Convention and Statute on the Régime of Navigable Waterways of International Concern

Barcelona, April 20th, 1921¹

IN FORCE since October 31st, 1922 (Article 6).

<i>Ratifications or definitive accessions</i>	<i>Ratifications or definitive accessions</i>
ALBANIA (October 8th, 1921)	DENMARK (November 13th, 1922)
AUSTRIA (November 15th, 1923)	FINLAND (January 29th, 1923)
BRITISH EMPIRE, including <i>Newfoundland</i> (August 2nd, 1922)	FRANCE (December 31st, 1926)
Subject to the declaration inserted in the Procès-verbal of the meeting of April 19th, 1921, as to the British Dominions which have not been represented at the Barcelona Conference.	GREECE (January 3rd 1928)
<i>Federated Malay States: Perak, Selangor, Negri Sembilan and Pahang</i> (August 22nd, 1923 a)	HUNGARY (May 18th, 1928 a)
<i>Non-Federated Malay States: Brunei, Johore, Kedah, Perlis, Kelantan and Trengganu</i> (August 22nd, 1923 a)	ITALY (August 5th, 1922)
<i>Palestine</i> (January 28th, 1924 a)	LUXEMBOURG (March 19th, 1930)
NEW ZEALAND (August 2nd, 1922)	NORWAY (September 4th, 1923)
INDIA [August 2nd, 1922] ²	ROMANIA (May 9th, 1924 a)
BULGARIA (July 11th, 1922)	In so far as its provisions are not in conflict with the principles of the new Danube Statute drawn up by the International Commission which was appointed in accordance with Articles 349 of the Treaty of Versailles, 304 of the Treaty of Saint-Germain, 232 of the Treaty of Neuilly and 288 of the Treaty of Trianon.
CHILE (March 19th, 1928)	SWEDEN (September 15th, 1927)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA (September 8th, 1924)	THAILAND (November 29th, 1922 a)
	TURKEY (June 27th, 1933 a)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

BELGIUM	GUATEMALA	POLAND
BOLIVIA	LITHUANIA	PORTUGAL
CHINA ^{1a}	PANAMA	SPAIN
COLOMBIA (a)	PERU (a)	URUGUAY
ESTONIA		

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations (see also notes 2 and 3)

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	<i>Denunciation</i>
DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA	12 April 1971 d	
FIJI	15 March 1972 d	
INDIA		26 March 1956 ²
MALAWI ³		
MALTA	13 May 1966 d	
MOROCCO	10 October 1972 a	
NIGERIA	3 November 1967 a	
SWAZILAND	16 October 1970 a	

¹ Registered No. 172. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 7, p. 35.

^{1a} See note, p. iii.

² With effect from 26 March 1957.

³ In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General on 21 March 1969, the President of the Republic of Malawi, referring to the Convention and Statute on the Régime of Navigable Waterways of International Concern, done at Barcelona on 20 April 1921, stated the following:

"In my letter to you of the 24th November 1964, concerning the disposition of Malawi's inherited treaty obligations, my Government declared that with respect to any multilateral treaty which was applied or extended to the former Nyasaland

Protectorate, any Party to such a treaty could on the basis of reciprocity rely as against Malawi on the terms of that treaty until Malawi notified its depositary of what action it wished to take by way of confirmation of termination, confirmation of succession, or accession.

"I am to inform you as depositary of this Convention that the Government of Malawi now wishes to terminate any connection with this Convention which it might have inherited. The Government of Malawi considers that any legal relationship with the aforementioned Convention and Statute on the Régime of Navigable Waterways of International Concern, Barcelona, 1921 which might have devolved upon it by way of succession from the ratification of the United Kingdom, is terminated as of this date."

18. Additional Protocol to the Convention on the Régime of Navigable Waterways of International Concern

Barcelona, April 20th, 1921¹

IN FORCE since October 31st, 1922.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

- ALBANIA (October 8th, 1921)
- AUSTRIA (November 15th, 1923 *a*)
To the full extent indicated under paragraph (a) of the Protocol.
- BRITISH EMPIRE (August 2nd, 1922)
In respect of the United Kingdom only accepting paragraph (a).
- Newfoundland* (August 2nd, 1922)
To the full extent indicated under paragraph (a).
Nyasaland Protectorate and Tanganyika Territory (August 2nd, 1922)
To the full extent indicated in paragraph (b).
- Bahamas, Barbados, British Guiana, British Solomon Islands, Ceylon, Cyprus, Fiji, Gambia Colony and Protectorate, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony, Gold Coast (Ashanti and Northern Territories), Hong-Kong, Jamaica (including Turks and Caicos Islands and Cayman Islands), Kenya Colony and Protectorate, Leeward Islands, Malta, Mauritius, Nigeria Colony and Protectorate, Seychelles, Sierra Leone Colony and Protectorate, St. Helena, Straits Settlements, Tonga Islands, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda Protectorate, Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Lucia and St. Vincent), Zanzibar* (August 2nd, 1922 *a*)
To the full extent indicated under paragraph (a).
- Federated Malay States: Perak, Selangor, Negri Sembilan and Pahang* (August 22nd, 1923 *a*)
To the full extent indicated under paragraph (a).
- Non-Federated Malay States: Brunei, Johore, Kedah, Perlis, Kelantan and Trengganu* (August 22nd, 1923 *a*)
To the full extent indicated under paragraph (a).
- Palestine* (January 28th, 1924 *a*)
To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a) of the Protocol.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

- Bermuda* (December 27th, 1928 *a*)
To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a).
- NEW ZEALAND (August 2nd, 1922)
Accepting paragraph (a).
- INDIA [August 2nd, 1922]
In respect of India only accepting paragraph (a).
- CHILE (March 19th, 1928)
Accepting paragraph (b).
- CZECHOSLOVAKIA (September 8th, 1924)
Accepting paragraph (b).
- DENMARK (November 13th, 1922)
Accepting paragraph (a).
- FINLAND (January 29th, 1923)
Accepting paragraph (b).
- GREECE (January 3rd, 1928)
- HUNGARY (May 18th, 1928 *a*)
To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a).
- LUXEMBOURG (March 19th, 1930 *a*)
To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a).
- NORWAY (September 4th, 1923)
Accepting paragraph (a).
- ROMANIA (May 9th, 1924 *a*)
Is unable to accept any restriction of her liberty in administrative matters on the waterways which are not of international concern, that is to say, on purely national rivers, while at the same time accepting the principles of liberty in accordance with the laws of the country.
- SWEDEN (September 15th, 1927 *a*)
Accepting paragraph (b).
- THAILAND (November 29th, 1922 *a*)
To the full extent indicated under paragraph (a).
- TURKEY (June 27th, 1933 *a*)
To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a).

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

BELGIUM
Accepting paragraph (a).

PERU
PORTUGAL

SPAIN
Accepting paragraph (a).

¹ Registered No. 173. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 7, p. 65.

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>		<i>Denunciation</i>	
FIJI	15 March	1972 <i>d</i>		
To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a).				
INDIA			26 March	1956 ²
MALTA	13 May	1966 <i>d</i>		
To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a).				
MOROCCO	10 October	1972 <i>a</i>		
To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a), "on all navigable waterways".				
NIGERIA	3 November	1967 <i>a</i>		
To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a), namely, on condition of reciprocity on all navigable waterways.				

² With effect from 26 March 1957.

19. Declaration recognising the Right to a Flag of States having no Sea-coast

Barcelona, April 20th, 1921¹

IN FORCE.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

ALBANIA	(October 8th, 1921)
AUSTRIA	(July 10th, 1924)
BELGIUM	(May 16th, 1927)
BRITISH EMPIRE, including <i>Newfoundland</i>	(October 9th, 1922)
CANADA	(October 31st, 1922 a)
AUSTRALIA	(October 31st, 1922 a)
NEW ZEALAND	(October 9th, 1922)
UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA	(October 31st, 1922 a)
INDIA	(October 9th, 1922)
BULGARIA	(July 11th, 1922)
CHILE	(March 19th, 1928)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(September 8th, 1924)
DENMARK	(November 13th, 1922)
*ESTONIA	
FINLAND	(September 22nd, 1922 a)
*FRANCE	
GERMANY	(November 10th, 1931 a)
GREECE	(January 3rd, 1928)
HUNGARY	(May 18th, 1928 a)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

IRAQ	(April 17th, 1935 a)
*ITALY	
JAPAN	(February 20th, 1924)
LATVIA	February 12th, 1924)
MEXICO	(October 17th, 1935 a)
*THE NETHERLANDS (including <i>Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao</i>)	(November 28th, 1921)
NORWAY	(September 4th, 1923)
POLAND	(December 20th, 1924)
ROMANIA	(February 22nd, 1923 a)
SPAIN	(July 1st, 1929)
SWEDEN	(January 19th, 1925)
*SWITZERLAND	
THAILAND	(November 29th, 1922 a)
TURKEY	(June 27th, 1933 a)
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	(May 16th, 1935 a)
YUGOSLAVIA	(May 7th, 1930)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

BOLIVIA	IRAN	PERU (a)
CHINA ²	LITHUANIA	PORTUGAL
GUATEMALA	PANAMA	URUGUAY

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	
FIJI	15 March	1972 d
LESOTHO	23 October	1973 d
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ³		
MALAWI	11 June	1969 d
MALTA	21 September	1966 d
MAURITIUS	18 July	1969 d
MONGOLIA	15 October	1976 a
RWANDA	10 February	1965 d
SWAZILAND	16 October	1970 a

* Accepts Declaration as binding without ratification.

¹ Registered No 174. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 7, p. 73.² See note, p. iii.³ In a notification received on 31 January 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 4 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 23 February 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974, concerning the application, as from 4 June 1958, of the Declaration of 20 April 1921 recognising the Right to a Flag of States having no Sea-coast, the Government of the Federal Republic

of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Declaration recognizing the Right to a Flag of States having no Sea-coast, April 20th, 1921 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

20. Convention and Statute on the International Régime of Maritime Ports, and Protocol of Signature

Geneva, December 9th, 1923¹

IN FORCE since July 26th, 1926 (Article 6).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AUSTRIA (January 20th, 1927 a)

BELGIUM (May 16th, 1927)

Does not apply to the Belgian Congo or to the territory of Ruanda-Urundi under Belgian mandate, without prejudice to the right of ratification at a subsequent date on behalf of either or both of these territories.

With regard to Article 12 of the Statute, the Belgian Government declares that legislation exists in Belgium on the transport of emigrants, and that this legislation, whilst it does not distinguish between flags and consequently does not affect the principle of equality of treatment of flags, imposes special obligations on all vessels engaged in the transport of emigrants.

BRITISH EMPIRE (August 29th, 1924)

This ratification shall not be deemed to apply in the case of the Dominion of Canada, the Commonwealth of Australia, the Dominion of New Zealand, the Union of South Africa or the Irish Free State (or any territories under their authority) or in the case of India, and that, in pursuance of the power reserved in Article 9 of this Convention, it shall not be deemed to apply in the case of any of the Colonies, Possessions or Protectorates or of the territories in respect of which His Britannic Majesty has accepted a mandate; without prejudice, however, to the right of subsequent ratification or accession on behalf of any or all those Dominions, Colonies, Possessions, Protectorates or Territories.

Newfoundland (April 23rd, 1925 a)

Southern Rhodesia (April 23rd, 1925 a)

Bahamas, Barbados, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Brunei, Ceylon, Cyprus, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Fiji, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Gold Coast, Grenada, Hong-Kong, Jamaica (excluding Turks and Caicos Islands and Cayman Islands), Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Leeward Islands (Antigua, Dominica, Montserrat, St. Christopher-Nevis, Virgin Islands), Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States: Perak, Selangor, Negri Sembilan and Pahang; (b) Non-Federated Malay States: Johore, Kedah, Perlis, Kelantan, Trengganu], Mauritius, Nigeria [(a) Colony, (b) Protectorate, (c) Cameroons under British

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Mandate], Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), St. Helena, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Somaliland, Straits Settlements, Tanganyika Territory, Tonga, Trans-Jordan, Trinidad and Tobago, Zanzibar

(September 22nd, 1925 a)

Malta (November 7th, 1925 a)

AUSTRALIA (June 29th, 1925 a)

Does not apply in the case of Papua, Norfolk Island and the mandated territories of Nauru and New Guinea.

NEW ZEALAND (April 1st, 1925)

Including the mandated territory of *Western Samoa*.

INDIA (April 1st, 1925)

CZECHOSLOVAKIA (July 10th, 1931)

With reservation as to the right relating to emigrants mentioned in Article twelve (12) of the Statute.

DENMARK (April 27th, 1926)

Excluding Greenland, the maritime ports of which are subject to a separate régime.

ESTONIA (November 4th, 1931)

The Estonian Government reserves the right regarding emigration provided for in Article 12 of the Statute.

FRANCE (August 2nd, 1932)

Shall have the power, in conformity with Article 8 of the Statute, of suspending the benefit of equality of treatment as regards the mercantile marine of a State which, under the provisions of Article 12, paragraph 1, has itself departed from equality of treatment in favour of its own marine.

Does not include any of the Protectorates, Colonies, Overseas Possessions or Territories under the sovereignty or authority of the French Republic.

GERMANY (May 1st, 1928)

In conformity with Article 12 of the Statute on the International Régime of Maritime Ports, the German Government declares that it reserves the right of limiting the transport of emigrants, in accordance with the provisions of its own legislation, to vessels which have been granted special authorisation as fulfilling the requirements of the said legislation.

In exercising this right, the German Government will continue to be guided as far as possible by the principles of this Statute.

GREECE (January 24th, 1927)

With reservation as to the right relating to emigrants mentioned in Article twelve (12) of the Statute.

¹ Registered No. 1379. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 58, p. 285.

HUNGARY	(March 21st, 1929)	MEXICO	(March 5th, 1934 <i>a</i>)
With reservation as to the right regarding emigration provided in Article 12 of the Statute.		THE NETHERLANDS	(February 22nd, 1928)
IRAQ	(May 1st, 1929 <i>a</i>)	<i>Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao</i>	
With reservation as to the rights regarding emigration provided in Article 12 of the Statute.			(February 22nd, 1928 <i>a</i>)
ITALY	(October 16th, 1933)	The Netherlands Government reserves the right mentioned in Article 12, paragraph 1, of the Statute annexed to the Convention, it being understood that no discrimination shall be made against the flag of any contracting State which in regard to the transport of emigrants does not discriminate against the Netherlands flag.	
With reservation as to the right relating to emigrants mentioned in Article twelve (12) of the Statute.		NORWAY	(June 21st, 1928)
This ratification does not apply to the Italian colonies or possessions.		SWEDEN	(September 15th, 1927)
This ratification cannot be interpreted as implying the admission or the recognition of any reservation or declaration made with a view to limiting in any way the rights granted by Article 12 of the Statute to the High Contracting Parties.		SWITZERLAND	(October 23rd, 1926)
JAPAN	(September 30th, 1926)	THAILAND	(January 9th, 1925)
With reservation as to the right relating to emigrants mentioned in Article twelve (12) of the Statute.		YUGOSLAVIA	(November 20th, 1931)
		With reservation as to the right relating to emigrants mentioned in Article twelve (12) of the Statute.	

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

BRAZIL	PANAMA (<i>a</i>)
BULGARIA	SALVADOR
CHILE	SPAIN
LITHUANIA	With reservation as to the right relating to emigrants mentioned in Article twelve (12) of the Statute.
With reservation as to the right relating to emigrants mentioned in Article twelve (12) of the Statute.	URUGUAY

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>	<i>Denunciation</i>
CYPRUS	9 November 1964 <i>d</i>	
FIJI	15 March 1972 <i>d</i>	
IVORY COAST	22 June 1966 <i>a</i>	
MADAGASCAR ²	4 October 1967 <i>a</i>	
MALAYSIA	31 August 1966 <i>a</i>	
MALTA	18 April 1966 <i>d</i>	
MAURITIUS	18 July 1969 <i>d</i>	
MONACO		20 February 1976 <i>a</i>
MOROCCO	19 October 1972 <i>a</i>	
NIGERIA	3 November 1967 <i>a</i>	
THAILAND		2 October 1973
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	14 June 1966 <i>a</i>	
UPPER VOLTA	18 July 1966 <i>a</i>	

²The Government of Madagascar shall have the power, in conformity with article 8 of the Statute, of suspending the benefit of equality of treatment as regards the mercantile

marine of a State which, under the provisions of article 12, paragraph 1, has itself departed from equality of treatment in favour of its own marine.

21. Convention on the Taxation of Foreign Motor Vehicles, with Protocol-Annex

Geneva, March 30th, 1931¹

IN FORCE since May 9th, 1933 (Article 14).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

BELGIUM	(November 9th, 1932)
Subject to subsequent accession for the colonies and territories under mandate.	
GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND	[April 20th, 1932]
Does not include any colonies, protectorates or overseas territories or territories under suzerainty or mandate.	
<i>Southern Rhodesia</i>	(August 6th, 1932 a)
<i>Newfoundland</i>	(January 9th, 1933 a)
<i>Ceylon, Cyprus, Gold Coast [(a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate], Hong-Kong, Jamaica, Malta, Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent)</i>	(January 3rd, 1935 a)
<i>Nigeria [(a) Colony, (b) Protectorate, (c) Cameroons under British Mandate], Sierra Leone (Colony under Protectorate)</i>	(March 11th, 1936 a)
<i>Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan)</i>	(April 29th, 1936 a)
<i>Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States: Negri Sembilan, Pahang, Perak, Selangor; (b) Unfederated Malay States: Johore, Kedah, Kelantan, Perlis, Trengganu], Straits Settlements</i>	(November 6th, 1937 a)
<i>Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland, Tanganyika Territory, Uganda, Zanzibar</i>	(May 3rd, 1938 a)
<i>Trinidad</i>	(May 21st, 1940 a)
IRELAND	[November 27th, 1933 a]

Ratifications or definitive accessions

BULGARIA	(March 5th, 1932 a)
DENMARK	(December 4th, 1931)
EGYPT	(May 20th, 1939 a)
FINLAND	[May 23rd, 1934 a]
GREECE	(June 6th, 1939 a)
IRAQ	(September 20th, 1938 a)
ITALY	(September 25th, 1933)
LATVIA	(January 10th, 1939 a)
LUXEMBOURG	[March 31st, 1933]
THE NETHERLANDS (including the <i>Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao</i>)	(January 16th, 1934)
POLAND	(June 15th, 1934)
PORTUGAL	(January 23rd, 1932)
Does not assume any obligation as regards its Colonies.	
ROMANIA	[June 19th, 1935 a]
SPAIN	(June 3rd, 1933)
SWEDEN	(November 9th, 1933)
SWITZERLAND	(October 19th, 1934)
TURKEY	(September 25th, 1936)
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	(July 23rd, 1935 a)
YUGOSLAVIA	(May 9th, 1933 a)

¹ Registered No. 3185. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 138, p. 149.

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations (See also footnote 2)

<i>State</i>	<i>Denunciation</i> ³
DENMARK	7 March 1968
FINLAND ⁴	10 September 1956
IRELAND	18 March 1963
LUXEMBOURG	2 June 1965
NETHERLANDS ⁵	
POLAND	26 May 1971
ROMANIA	10 July 1967
UNITED KINGDOM	14 January 1963

²A new convention on the subject of the taxation of foreign motor vehicles was drawn up within the framework of the Inland Transport Committee of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe and opened for signature at Geneva on 18 May 1956, namely, the Convention on the Taxation of Road Vehicles for Private Use in International Traffic. Its article 4 provides as follows:

"As soon as a country which is a Contracting Party to the Convention of 30 March 1931 on the Taxation of Foreign Motor Vehicles becomes a Contracting Party to the present Convention, it shall take the measures laid down in article 17 of the 1931 Convention to denounce that Convention"

For the list of signatures, ratifications and accessions to the Convention of 18 May 1956, see p. 329.

³In accordance with article 17, denunciation takes effect one year after the date of its receipt by the Secretary-General

⁴In a communication of 31 July 1957, the Government of Finland, with reference to its notification of denunciation, has informed the Secretary-General that the said notification has

been intended to take effect in respect of Finland on 10 September 1957, i.e., one year after the date of its receipt by the Secretary-General, only "if the Convention on the Taxation of Road Vehicles for Private Use in International Traffic of 18 May 1956, to which Finland is a party, has entered into force by that date. If the Convention has not entered into force on 10 September 1957, it is the intention of the Government of Finland that the denunciation should take effect on such date thereafter as the Convention shall enter into force."

⁵In a communication received on 1 March 1960, the Government of the Netherlands has informed the Secretary-General that it "will no longer consider itself bound, for the Realm as a whole, by the provisions of the 1931 Convention in its relations with those Parties to the said Convention for whom the Convention of 1956 [on the Taxation of Road Vehicles for Private Use in International Traffic] has come into force, this as from the date on which the Convention of 1956 enters into force between those States and the Kingdom of the Netherlands but not before one year after the day on which you will have received this declaration".

22. International Convention relating to the Simplification of Customs Formalities, and Protocol

Geneva, November 3rd, 1923¹

IN FORCE since November 27th, 1924 (Article 26).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

AUSTRIA	(September 11th, 1924)
BELGIUM	(October 4th, 1924)
BRAZIL	(July 10th, 1929)
BRITISH EMPIRE	(August 29th, 1924)

It is stated in the instrument of ratification that this ratification shall not be deemed to apply in the case of the Dominion of Canada, the Commonwealth of Australia (or any territory under its authority) or the Irish Free State or in the case of India, and that in pursuance of the power reserved in Article XXIX of the Convention, it shall not be deemed to apply in the case of the Island of Newfoundland or of the territories of Iraq and Nauru, in respect of which His Britannic Majesty has accepted a mandate. It does not apply to the Sudan.

Burma²

AUSTRALIA	(March 13th, 1925)
Excluding Papua, Norfolk Island and the Mandated Territory of New Guinea.	
NEW ZEALAND	(August 29th, 1924)
Includes the mandated territory of <i>Western Samoa</i> .	
UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA	(August 29th, 1924)
INDIA	(March 13th, 1925)
BULGARIA	(December 10th, 1926)
CHINA ³	(February 23rd, 1926)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	(February 10th, 1927)
DENMARK	(May 17th, 1924)
EGYPT	(March 23rd, 1925)
ESTONIA	(February 28th, 1930 a)
FINLAND	(May 23rd, 1928)
FRANCE	(September 13th, 1926)
Does not apply to the Colonies under its sovereignty.	

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Morocco (<i>French Protectorate</i>)	(November 8th, 1926)
Tunis	(November 8th, 1926)
Syria and Lebanon	(March 9th, 1933 a)
GERMANY	(August 1st, 1925)
GREECE	(July 6th, 1927)
HUNGARY	(February 23rd, 1926)
IRAN	(May 8th, 1925 a)
IRAQ	(May 3rd, 1934 a)
ITALY	(June 13th, 1924)
LATVIA	(September 28th, 1931 a)
LUXEMBOURG	(June 10th, 1927)
THE NETHERLANDS (including <i>Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao</i>)	(May 30th, 1925)
NORWAY	(September 7th, 1926)
POLAND	(September 4th, 1931)
ROMANIA	(December 23rd, 1925)

Under the same reservations as those formulated by the other Governments and inserted in Article 6 of the Protocol, the Royal Government understands that Article 22 of the Convention confers the right to have recourse to the procedure provided for in this Article for questions of a general nature solely on the High Contracting Parties, private persons being only entitled to appeal to their own judicial authorities in case any dispute arises with the authorities of the Kingdom.

SWEDEN	(February 12th, 1926)
SWITZERLAND	(January 3rd, 1927)
THAILAND	(May 19th, 1925)
YUGOSLAVIA	(May 2nd, 1929)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

CHILE	PARAGUAY	SPAIN
LITHUANIA	PORTUGAL	URUGUAY

¹ Registered No. 775. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 30, p. 371. The Convention and Protocol came into force on the same day.

² See footnote 3, p. 545.

³ See note, p. iii.

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d)</i>		<i>Denunciation</i>	
CYPRUS	6 May	1964 <i>d</i>		
FIJI	31 October	1972 <i>d</i>	31 October	1972
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ⁴				
ISRAEL	29 August	1966 <i>a</i>		
JAPAN	29 July	1952		
LESOTHO	12 January	1970 <i>a</i>		
MALAWI	16 February	1967 <i>a</i>		
NIGER	14 March	1966 <i>a</i>		
NIGERIA	14 September	1964 <i>d</i>		
PAKISTAN	27 January	1951 <i>d</i>		
SINGAPORE	22 December	1967 <i>a</i>		

⁴ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 10 June 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that the notification by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the International Convention of 3 November 1923 relating to the Simplification of Customs Formalities cannot, either for the past or for the future by itself have the effect of establishing contractual relations between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic.

23. International Convention for the Campaign against Contagious Diseases of Animals, with Declaration attached

Geneva, February 20th, 1935¹

IN FORCE since March 23rd, 1938 (Articles 13 and 14).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

BELGIUM (July 21st, 1937)

The Belgian Government does not regard the mere fact that in Belgium the inspection of meat, while carried out by Government veterinary surgeons or by veterinary surgeons approved by the Government, is placed under the supervision of the Minister of the Interior (Inspection of Food-stuffs), as being contrary to the provisions of Article 3, paragraph 5, of the present Convention; particularly since all the requirements of the said Article are observed in Belgium.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

BULGARIA (August 28th, 1936)

IRAQ (December 24th, 1937 *a*)

LATVIA (May 4th, 1937)

POLAND (January 3rd, 1939)

ROMANIA (December 23rd, 1937)

TURKEY (March 19th, 1941)

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS
(September 20th, 1937)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

AUSTRIA

CHILE (*a*)

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

FRANCE

GREECE

ITALY

THE NETHERLANDS (for the
Kingdom in Europe)

SPAIN

SWITZERLAND

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

State

YUGOSLAVIA

Accession

8 February 1967

¹ Registered No. 4310. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 186, p. 173.

24. Convention concerning the Transit of Animals, Meat and Other Products of Animal Origin, with Annex

Geneva, February 20th, 1935¹

IN FORCE since December 6th, 1938 (Articles 20 and 21).

<i>Ratifications</i>		<i>Ratifications</i>	
BELGIUM	(July 21st, 1937)	ROMANIA	(December 23rd, 1937)
BULGARIA	(September 7th, 1938)	TURKEY	(March 19th, 1941)
LATVIA	(May 4th, 1937)	UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	(September 20th, 1937)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

AUSTRIA	which is to facilitate the transit of animals and of animal products.
CHILE (a)	
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	
The Czechoslovak Government does not consider that it can waive the right to make the transit of animals across its territory subject to a previous authorisation. It intends, in practice, to exercise the right so reserved in as liberal a spirit as possible, in conformity with the principles which are at the basis of the present Convention, the object of	
	FRANCE
	GREECE
	ITALY
	THE NETHERLANDS (for the Kingdom in Europe)
	POLAND
	SPAIN
	SWITZERLAND

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	8 February 1967

¹ Registered No. 4486. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 193, p. 37.

25. International Convention concerning the Export and Import of Animal Products (other than Meat, Meat Preparations, Fresh Animal Products, Milk and Milk Products), with Annex

Geneva, February 20th, 1935¹

IN FORCE since December 6th, 1938 (Articles 14 and 15).

<i>Ratifications</i>		<i>Ratifications</i>	
BELGIUM	(July 21st, 1937)	ROMANIA	(December 23rd, 1937)
BULGARIA	(September 7th, 1938)	TURKEY	(March 19th, 1941)
LATVIA	(May 4th, 1937)	UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	(September 20th, 1937)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

AUSTRIA	ITALY
CHILE (<i>a</i>)	THE NETHERLANDS (for the Kingdom in Europe)
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	POLAND
FRANCE	SPAIN
GREECE	SWITZERLAND

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Accession</i>
YUGOSLAVIA	8 February 1967

¹ Registered No. 4487. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 193, p. 59.

26. Convention and Statute establishing an International Relief Union

Geneva, July 12th, 1927¹

IN FORCE since December 27th, 1932 (Article 18).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

ALBANIA	(August 31st, 1929)
BELGIUM	(May 9th, 1929)
GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND	[January 9th, 1929 a]
Does not include any of His Britannic Majesty's Colonies, Protectorates or territories under suzerainty or mandate.	
<i>Burma</i> ²	
NEW ZEALAND	[December 22nd, 1928 a]
On the understanding that no contribution to the initial fund of the Union will fall due by New Zealand before the commencement of the next financial year in that country, viz., April 1st, 1929.	
INDIA	[April 2nd, 1929]
BULGARIA	(May 22nd, 1931)
CHINA ^{2a}	(May 29th, 1935 a)
CUBA	[June 18th, 1934]
CZECHOSLOVAKIA ³	(August 20th, 1931)
ECUADOR	(July 30th, 1928)
EGYPT	[August 7th, 1928]
Subject to later acceptance by the Egyptian Government of the decisions of the Executive Committee fixing its contribution.	
FINLAND	(April 10th, 1929)
FRANCE	(April 27th, 1932)
GERMANY	(July 22nd, 1929)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

GREECE	[January 16th, 1931]
HUNGARY ³	(April 17th, 1929)
It being understood that "the most extensive immunities, facilities and exemptions" mentioned in Article 10 of the present Convention shall not include extritoriality or the other rights and immunities enjoyed in Hungary by duly accredited diplomatic agents.	
IRAN	(September 28th, 1932 a)
IRAQ ³	(June 12th, 1934 a)
ITALY	(August 2nd, 1928)
Applies also to the <i>Italian Colonies</i> .	
LUXEMBOURG	[June 27th, 1929 a]
MONACO	(May 21st, 1929)
POLAND	(July 11th, 1930)
ROMANIA	[September 11th, 1928]
SAN MARINO	(August 12th, 1929)
<i>Sudan</i>	(May 11th, 1928 a)
SWITZERLAND	(January 2nd, 1930 a)
TURKEY	(March 10th, 1932)
VENEZUELA	(June 19th, 1929)
YUGOSLAVIA	[August 28th, 1931 a]

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

BRAZIL	LATVIA	PORTUGAL
COLOMBIA	NICARAGUA	SPAIN
GUATEMALA	PERU	URUGUAY

¹ Registered No. 3115. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 135, p. 247.

² See footnote 3, p. 545.

^{2a} See note, p. iii.

³ See footnote 4, p. 587.

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Notice of withdrawal from the International Relief Union^{4, 5}</i>	
BURMA	1 October	1951
CUBA	8 October	1956
CZECHOSLOVAKIA ⁴		
EGYPT	1 August	1955
FRANCE	20 February	1973
GREECE	6 November	1963
HUNGARY ⁴		
INDIA	9 November	1950
IRAQ ⁴		
LUXEMBOURG	20 April	1964
NEW ZEALAND	2 August	1950
ROMANIA ⁶	24 December	1963
UNITED KINGDOM	4 May	1948
YUGOSLAVIA	5 July	1951

⁴ In a letter of 6 December 1968, the Executive Secretary of the International Relief Union informed the Secretary-General that the Governments of the following States had withdrawn from the said Union by notifying it directly of their withdrawal on the dates indicated:

Czechoslovakia	30 June	1951
Hungary	13 November	1951
Iraq	10 April	1961

⁵ In accordance with article 19, the provisions of the Convention cease to be applicable to the territory of the with-

drawing Member one year after the receipt of the notice of withdrawal by the Secretary-General.

⁶ The notice of withdrawal contains the following statement:

The Romanian People's Republic hereby gives notice of its decision [of withdrawal] and accordingly considers itself free from any obligations deriving from the Convention establishing an International Relief Union.

As regards the question of dealing with the consequences of national disasters the Government of the Romanian People's Republic will continue as heretofore to give assistance to countries which suffer such disasters in the manner it considers appropriate.

27. Convention and Statute on the International Régime of Railways, and Protocol of Signature

Geneva, December 9th, 1923¹

IN FORCE since March 23rd, 1926 (Article 6).

<i>Ratifications or definitive accessions</i>		<i>Ratifications or definitive accessions</i>	
AUSTRIA	(January 20th, 1927)	NEW ZEALAND	(April 1st, 1925)
BELGIUM	(May 16th, 1927)	Including the mandated territory of <i>Western Samoa</i> .	
Does not apply to the Belgian Congo or to the territory of Ruanda-Urundi under Belgian mandate, without prejudice to the right of ratification at a subsequent date on behalf of either or both of these territories.		INDIA	(April 1st, 1925)
BRITISH EMPIRE	(August 29th, 1924)	DENMARK	(April 27th, 1926)
This ratification shall not be deemed to apply in the case of the Dominion of Canada, the Commonwealth of Australia, the Dominion of New Zealand, the Union of South Africa or the Irish Free State (or any territories under their authority) or in the case of India, and in pursuance of the power reserved in Article 9 of this Convention, it shall not be deemed to apply in the case of any of the Colonies, Possessions or Protectorates or of the territories in respect of which His Britannic Majesty has accepted a mandate; without prejudice, however, to the right of subsequent ratification or accession on behalf of any or all of those Dominions, Colonies, Possessions, Protectorates or territories.		ESTONIA	(September 21st, 1929)
<i>Southern Rhodesia</i>		ETHIOPIA	(September 20th, 1928 <i>a</i>)
<i>Newfoundland</i>	(April 23rd, 1925 <i>a</i>)	FINLAND	(February 11th, 1937)
<i>British Guiana, British Honduras, Brunei</i>		FRANCE	(August 28th, 1935)
(September 22nd, 1925 <i>a</i>)		Subject to the reservation contained in Article 9 of the present Convention to the effect that its provisions do not apply to the various Protectorates, Colonies, Possessions or Overseas Territories under the sovereignty or authority of the French Republic.	
<i>Federated Malay States</i> [(a) <i>Perak, Selangor, Negri Sembilan, Pahang</i> ; (b) <i>Non-Federated Malay States: Johore, Kedah, Perlis, Kelantan, Trengganu</i>]		GERMANY	(December 5th, 1927)
(September 22nd, 1925 <i>a</i>)		GREECE	(March 6th, 1929)
<i>Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gold Coast</i> [(a) <i>Colony</i> , (b) <i>Ashanti</i> , (c) <i>Northern Territories</i> , (d) <i>Togoland under British Mandate</i>]		HUNGARY	(March 21st, 1929)
(September 22nd, 1925 <i>a</i>)		ITALY	(December 10th, 1934)
<i>Hong-Kong</i>	(September 22nd, 1925 <i>a</i>)	This ratification does not apply to the Italian colonies or possessions.	
<i>Nigeria</i> [(a) <i>Colony</i> , (b) <i>Protectorate</i> , (c) <i>Cameroons under British Mandate</i>], <i>Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland</i>		JAPAN	(September 30th, 1926)
(September 22nd, 1925 <i>a</i>)		LATVIA	(October 8th, 1934)
<i>Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan)</i>		THE NETHERLANDS (for the Kingdom in Europe)	(February 22nd, 1928)
(September 22nd, 1925 <i>a</i>)		NORWAY	(February 24th, 1926)
<i>Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Straits Settlements</i>		POLAND	(January 7th, 1928)
(September 22nd, 1925 <i>a</i>)		ROMANIA	(December 23rd, 1925)
<i>Tanganyika Territory, Trans-Jordan</i>		SPAIN	(January 15th, 1930)
(September 22nd, 1925 <i>a</i>)		SWEDEN	(September 15th, 1927)
		SWITZERLAND	(October 23rd, 1926)
		THAILAND	(January 9th, 1925)
		YUGOSLAVIA	(May 7th, 1930)

¹ Registered No. 1129. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 47, p. 55.

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

BRAZIL

BULGARIA

CHILE

CHINA (a)²

The Chinese Government, subject to the declarations made in its name by the delegates whom it instructed to take part in the discussions on this Convention, confirms the said declarations regarding:

- (1) The whole of Part III: "Relations between the railway and its users", Articles 14, 15, 16 and 17;
- (2) In Part VI: "General Regulations", Article 37, relating to the conclusion of special agreements for the purpose of putting the provisions of the Statute into force in cases where existing agreements are not adequate for this purpose.

COLOMBIA (a)

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

LITHUANIA

PANAMA (a)

PORTUGAL

SALVADOR

URUGUAY

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

*State**Notification of succession*GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC³

MALAWI

7 January 1969

² See note, p. iii.

³ In a communication received on 4 October 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 26 September 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 24 February 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 30 September 1974, concerning the application, as from 26 September 1958, of the Convention and Statute of 9 December 1923 on the International Régime of Railways, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention and Statute on the International Régime of Railways, December 9th, 1923 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

28. Convention regarding the Measurement of Vessels employed in Inland Navigation, and Protocol of Signature.

Paris, November 27th, 1925.¹

IN FORCE since October 1st, 1927 (Article 12).

<i>Ratifications or definitive accessions</i>	<i>Open to accession by:</i>
AUSTRIA (July, 1927)	ALBANIA
BELGIUM (July 2nd, 1927)	DENMARK
BRITISH EMPIRE (for Great Britain and Northern Ireland) (June 14th, 1927)	ESTONIA
BULGARIA (July 2nd, 1927)	IRAN
CZECHOSLOVAKIA (January 17th, 1929)	IRELAND
FRANCE (July 2nd, 1927)	LATVIA
	LITHUANIA
	LUXEMBURG
	NORWAY
	PORTUGAL
	SWEDEN
	TURKEY
<p>It being understood on behalf of the French Government, and as provided for in Article 6 of the Protocol of Signature, that in the event of a re-measurement of a vessel originally measured by its own officials the original indelible marks, when they are not intended solely to indicate that the vessel has been measured, shall have added to them an indelible cross having arms of equal length, and that this addition shall be regarded as equivalent to the removal described in Article 10 of the Annex to the Convention; that the old measurement plates shall be marked with a cross instead of being withdrawn; and that, if new plates are affixed, the old plates shall be placed at the same level and near to the new ones. In the case provided for above, the notification provided for in the third paragraph of Article 5 and in Article 6 of the Convention shall also be addressed to the original office of inscription.</p>	
GERMANY (July 2nd, 1927)	
GREECE (February 6th, 1931)	
HUNGARY (January 3rd, 1928)	
ITALY (September 27th, 1932)	
THE NETHERLANDS (for the Kingdom in Europe) (July 2nd, 1927)	
POLAND (June 16th, 1930)	
ROUMANIA (May 18th, 1928)	
SPAIN (July 11th, 1927)	
SWITZERLAND (July 2nd, 1927)	
YUGOSLAVIA (May 7th, 1930)	
Under Clause IV of the Protocol of Signature.	

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

FINLAND
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

¹ Registered No. 1539. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, Vol. 67, p. 63.

Actions subsequent to the assumption of Depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<i>State</i>	<i>Denunciation:</i>
BELGIUM	9 March 1972
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	19 April 1974
FRANCE	13 June 1975
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC ²	31 August 1976
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF	14 February 1975
ROMANIA	24 May 1976
SWITZERLAND	7 February 1975
YUGOSLAVIA	28 July 1975 ³

² In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 21 August 1958.

³ In a communication received on 24 November 1975 the Government of Yugoslavia informed the Secretary-General that the denunciation should be considered, for the purpose of article 14 of the Convention of 1925, as having taken effect on 19 April 1975, the date when the Convention of 15 February 1966 on the same subject entered into force in respect of Yugoslavia.

29. General Act of Arbitration (Pacific Settlement of International Disputes)

Geneva, September 26th, 1928¹

IN FORCE since August 16th, 1929 (Article 44).

FIVE-YEAR PERIODS OF OBLIGATION (Article 45).

- 1st period: August 16th, 1929–August 15th, 1934—*Expired.*
- 2nd period: August 16th, 1934–August 15th, 1939—*Expired.*
- 3rd period: August 16th, 1939–August 15th, 1944—*Current period.*
- 4th period: August 16th, 1944–August 15th, 1949—*Period next following.*
- etc...

Under the system established by the General Act (Article 45), States cannot be released from their obligation before the expiration of a five-year period.

In order to obtain release for the ensuing period, they must notify their denunciation six months before the expiration of the current period.

I. Accessions: 22

A (20 accessions)	B (2 accessions)	<i>Provisions relating to conciliation (Chapter I) and general provisions concerning that procedure (Chapter IV)</i>
<i>All the provisions of the Act</i>	<i>Provisions relating to conciliation and judicial settlement (Chapters I and II) and general provisions dealing with these procedures (Chapter IV)</i>	<i>Provisions relating to conciliation (Chapter I) and general provisions concerning that procedure (Chapter IV)</i>
BELGIUM (May 18th, 1929) Subject to the reservation provided in Article 39 (2) (a), with the effect of excluding from the procedures described in this Act disputes arising out of facts prior to the accession of Belgium or prior to the accession of any other Party with whom Belgium may have a dispute.	THE NETHERLANDS (including Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao) (August 8th, 1930) SWEDEN (May 13th, 1929)	
UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND (May 21st, 1931) Subject to the following conditions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the following disputes are excluded from the procedure described in the General Act, including the procedure of conciliation: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Disputes arising prior to the accession of His Majesty to the said General Act or relating to situations or facts prior to the said accession; (ii) Disputes in regard to which parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement; (iii) Disputes between His Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom and the Government of any other Member of the League which is a Member of the British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such a manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree; (iv) Disputes concerning questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States; and (v) Disputes with any Party to the General Act who is not a Member of the League of Nations. 2. That His Majesty reserves the right in relation to the disputes mentioned in Article 17 of the 	General Act to require that the procedure prescribed in Chapter II of the said Act shall be suspended in respect of any dispute which has been submitted to and is under consideration by the Council of the League of Nations, provided that notice to suspend is given after the dispute has been submitted to the Council and is given within ten days of the notification of the initiation of the procedure, and provided also that such suspension shall be limited to a period of twelve months or such longer period as may be agreed by the parties to the dispute or determined by a decision of all the Members of the Council other than the parties to the dispute.	
		3. (i) That, in the case of a dispute not being a dispute mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act which is brought before the Council of the League of Nations in accordance with the provisions of the Covenant, the procedure prescribed in Chapter I of the General Act shall not be applied, and, if already commenced, shall be suspended, unless the Council determines that the said procedure shall be adopted.
		(ii) That, in the case of such a dispute, the procedure described in Chapter III of the General Act shall not be applied unless the Council has failed to effect a settlement of the dispute within twelve months from the date on which it was first submitted to the Council, or, in a case where the procedure prescribed in Chapter I has been adopted without producing an agreement between the parties, within six months

¹ Registered under the number 2123. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol. 93, p. 342.

from the termination of the work of the Conciliation Commission. The Council may extend either of the above periods by a decision of all its Members other than the parties to the dispute.

His Majesty's Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, by a communication which was received at the Secretariat on February 15th, 1939, made the following declaration:

"His Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom will continue, after the 16th August 1939, to participate in the General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes subject to the reservation that, as from that date, the participation of His Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom in the General Act will not, should they unfortunately find themselves involved in hostilities, cover disputes arising out of events occurring during the war. This reservation applies also to the procedure of conciliation.

"The participation of His Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom in the General Act, after the 16th August 1939, will continue, as heretofore, to be subject to the reservations set forth in their instrument of accession."

CANADA

(July 1st, 1931)

Subject to the following conditions:

1. That the following disputes are excluded from the procedure described in the General Act, including the procedure of conciliation:

(i) Disputes arising prior to the accession in respect of Canada to the said General Act or relating to situations or facts prior to the said accession;

(ii) Disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

(iii) Disputes between His Majesty's Government in Canada and the Government of any other Member of the League which is a Member of the British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such a manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;

(iv) Disputes concerning questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States; and

(v) Disputes with any Party to the General Act who is not a Member of the League of Nations.

2. That His Majesty in respect of Canada reserves the right in relation to the disputes mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act to require that the procedure prescribed in Chapter II of the said Act shall be suspended in respect of any dispute which has been submitted to and is under consideration by the Council of the League of Nations, provided that notice to suspend is given after the dispute has been submitted to the Council and is given within ten days of the notification of the initiation of the procedure, and provided also that such suspension shall be limited to a period of twelve months or such longer period as may be agreed by the parties to the dispute or deter-

mined by a decision of all the Members of the Council other than the parties to the dispute.

3. (i) That, in the case of a dispute, not being a dispute mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act, which is brought before the Council of the League of Nations in accordance with the provisions of the Covenant, the procedure prescribed in Chapter I of the General Act shall not be applied, and, if already commenced, shall be suspended, unless the Council determines that the said procedure shall be adopted.

(ii) That, in the case of such a dispute, the procedure described in Chapter III of the General Act shall not be applied unless the Council has failed to effect a settlement of the dispute within twelve months from the date on which it was first submitted to the Council, or, in a case where the procedure prescribed in Chapter I has been adopted without producing an agreement between the parties, within six months from the termination of the work of the Conciliation Commission. The Council may extend either of the above periods by a decision of all its Members other than the parties to the dispute.

By a letter of December 7th, 1939, which the Secretary-General was asked to communicate to the Governments concerned,² the Permanent Delegate of Canada to the League of Nations notified the Secretary-General that, in view of the considerations set out in the letter:

the Canadian Government will not regard their acceptance of the General Act as covering disputes arising out of events occurring during the present war.

AUSTRALIA

(May 21st, 1931)

Subject to the following conditions:

1. That the following disputes are excluded from the procedure described in the General Act, including the procedure of conciliation:

(i) Disputes arising prior to the accession of His Majesty to the said General Act or relating to situations or facts prior to the said accession;

(ii) Disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

(iii) Disputes between His Majesty's Government in the Commonwealth of Australia and the Government of any other Member of the League which is a Member of the British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such a manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;

(iv) Disputes concerning questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States; and

(v) Disputes with any Party to the General Act who is not a Member of the League of Nations.

² The letter was received by the Secretariat of the League of Nations on December 8th, 1939. For the text, see *Official Journal of the League of Nations*, Nos. 1-3, January, February, March 1940.

2. That His Majesty reserves the right in relation to the disputes mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act to require that the procedure prescribed in Chapter II of the said Act shall be suspended in respect of any dispute which has been submitted to and is under consideration by the Council of the League of Nations, provided that notice to suspend is given after the dispute has been submitted to the Council and is given within ten days of the notification of the initiation of the procedure, and provided also that such suspension shall be limited to a period of twelve months or such longer period as may be agreed by the parties to the dispute or determined by a decision of all the Members of the Council other than the parties to the dispute.

3. (i) That, in the case of a dispute, not being a dispute mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act, which is brought before the Council of the League of Nations in accordance with the provisions of the Covenant, the procedure prescribed in Chapter I of the General Act shall not be applied, and, if already commenced, shall be suspended, unless the Council determines that the said procedure shall be adopted.

(ii) That, in the case of such a dispute, the procedure described in Chapter III of the General Act shall not be applied unless the Council has failed to effect a settlement of the dispute within twelve months from the date on which it was first submitted to the Council, or, in a case where the procedure prescribed in Chapter I has been adopted without producing an agreement between the parties, within six months from the termination of the work of the Conciliation Commission. The Council may extend either of the above periods by a decision of all its Members other than the parties to the dispute.

By a telegram of September 7th, 1939, which the Secretary-General was asked to communicate to the Governments concerned,³ the Prime Minister of the Commonwealth of Australia notified the Secretary-General that, in view of the considerations set out in the telegram:

His Majesty's Government in the Commonwealth of Australia will not regard its accession to the General Act as covering or relating to any disputes arising out of events occurring during the present crisis.

NEW ZEALAND

(May 21st, 1931)

Subject to the following conditions:

1. That the following disputes are excluded from the procedure described in the General Act, including the procedure of conciliation:

(i) Disputes arising prior to the accession of His Majesty to the said General Act or relating to situations or facts prior to the said accession;

(ii) Disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

(iii) Disputes between His Majesty's Government in New Zealand and the Government of any other Member of the League which is a Member of the British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such a manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;

(iv) Disputes concerning questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States; and

(v) Disputes with any Party to the General Act who is not a Member of the League of Nations.

2. That His Majesty reserves the right in relation to the disputes mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act to require that the procedure prescribed in Chapter II of the said Act shall be suspended in respect of any dispute which has been submitted to and is under consideration by the Council of the League of Nations, provided that notice to suspend is given after the dispute has been submitted to the Council and is given within ten days of the notification of the initiation of the procedure, and provided also that such suspension shall be limited to a period of twelve months or such longer period as may be agreed by the parties to the dispute or determined by a decision of all the Members of the Council other than the parties to the dispute.

3. (i) That, in the case of a dispute, not being a dispute mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act, which is brought before the Council of the League of Nations in accordance with the provisions of the Covenant, the procedure prescribed in Chapter I of the General Act shall not be applied, and, if already commenced, shall be suspended, unless the Council determines that the said procedure shall be adopted.

(ii) That, in the case of such a dispute, the procedure described in Chapter III of the General Act shall not be applied unless the Council has failed to effect a settlement of the dispute within twelve months from the date on which it was first submitted to the Council, or, in a case where the procedure prescribed in Chapter I has been adopted without producing an agreement between the parties, within six months from the termination of the work of the Conciliation Commission. The Council may extend either of the above periods by a decision of all its Members other than the parties to the dispute.

The High Commissioner for New Zealand in London, by a communication which was received at the Secretariat on February 15th, 1939, made the following declaration:

"His Majesty's Government in the Dominion of New Zealand will continue, after the 16th August 1939, to participate in the General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes subject to the reservation that, as from that date, the participation of the New Zealand Government will not, should it unfortunately find itself involved in hostilities, cover disputes arising out of events occurring during the war. This reservation applies also to the procedure of conciliation.

"The participation of the New Zealand Government in the General Act, after the 16th August 1939.

³ The telegram was received by the Secretariat of the League of Nations on September 8th, 1939. For the text, see *Official Journal of the League of Nations*, Nos. 9-10, September-October 1939.

will continue, as heretofore, to be subject to the reservations set forth in its instrument of accession."

IRELAND (September 26th, 1931)

INDIA (May 21st, 1931)

Subject to the following conditions:

1. That the following disputes are excluded from the procedure described in the General Act, including the procedure of conciliation:

(i) Disputes arising prior to the accession of His Majesty to the said General Act or relating to situations or facts prior to the said accession;

(ii) Disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

(iii) Disputes between the Government of India and the Government of any other Member of the League which is a Member of the British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such a manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;

(iv) Disputes concerning questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States; and

(v) Disputes with any Party to the General Act who is not a Member of the League of Nations.

2. That His Majesty reserves the right in relation to the disputes mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act to require that the procedure prescribed in Chapter II of the said Act shall be suspended in respect of any dispute which has been submitted to and is under consideration by the Council of the League of Nations, provided that notice to suspend is given after the dispute has been submitted to the Council and is given within ten days of the notification of the initiation of the procedure, and provided also that such suspension shall be limited to a period of twelve months or such longer period as may be agreed by the parties to the dispute or determined by a decision of all the Members of the Council other than the parties to the dispute.

3. (i) That, in the case of a dispute, not being a dispute mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act, which is brought before the Council of the League of Nations in accordance with the provisions of the Covenant, the procedure prescribed in Chapter I of the General Act shall not be applied, and, if already commenced, shall be suspended, unless the Council determines that the said procedure shall be adopted.

(ii) That, in the case of such a dispute, the procedure described in Chapter III of the General Act shall not be applied unless the Council has failed to effect a settlement of the dispute within twelve months from the date on which it was first submitted to the Council, or, in a case where the procedure prescribed in Chapter I has been adopted without producing an agreement between the parties, within six months from the termination of the work of the Conciliation Commission. The Council may extend either of the above

periods by a decision of all its Members other than the parties to the dispute.

His Majesty's Secretary of State for India, by a communication which was received at the Secretariat on February 15th, 1939, made the following declaration:

"India will continue, after the 16th August 1939, to participate in the General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes subject to the reservation that, as from that date, the participation of India will not, should she unfortunately find herself involved in hostilities, cover disputes arising out of events occurring during the war. This reservation applies also to the procedure of conciliation.

"The participation of India in the General Act, after the 16th August 1939, will continue, as heretofore, to be subject to the reservations set forth in the instrument of accession in respect of India."

DENMARK (April 14th, 1930)

ESTONIA (September 3rd, 1931)

Subject to the following conditions:

The following disputes are excluded from the procedures described in the General Act, including the procedure of conciliation:

(a) Disputes resulting from facts prior either to the accession of Estonia or to the accession of another Party with whom Estonia might have a dispute;

(b) Disputes concerning questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States.

ETHIOPIA (March 15th, 1935)

FINLAND (September 6th, 1930)

FRANCE (May 21st, 1931)

The said accession concerning all disputes that may arise after the said accession with regard to situations or facts subsequent thereto, other than those which the Permanent Court of International Justice may recognize as bearing on a question left by international law to the exclusive competence of the State, it being understood that in application of Article 39 of the said Act the disputes which the parties or one of them may have referred to the Council of the League of Nations will not be submitted to the procedures described in this Act unless the Council has been unable to pronounce a decision under the conditions laid down in Article 15, paragraph 6, of the Covenant.

Furthermore, in accordance with the resolution adopted by the Assembly of the League of Nations "on the submission and recommendations of the General Act", Article 28 of this Act is interpreted by the French Government as meaning in particular that "respect for rights established by treaty or resulting from international law" is obligatory upon arbitral tribunals constituted in application of Chapter III of the said General Act.

The Minister for Foreign Affairs of the French Republic, by a communication which was received at the Secretariat on February 14th, 1939, made the following declaration:

"The Government of the French Republic declares that it adds to the instrument of accession to the General Act of Arbitration deposited in its name on May 21st, 1931, the reservation that in future that accession shall not extend to disputes relating to any events that may occur in the course of a war in which the French Government is involved."

GREECE (September 14th, 1931)

Subject to the following conditions:

The following disputes are excluded from the procedures described in the General Act, including the procedure of conciliation referred to in Chapter I:

(a) Disputes resulting from facts prior either to the accession of Greece or to the accession of another Party with whom Greece might have a dispute;

(b) Disputes concerning questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States and in particular disputes relating to the territorial status of Greece, including disputes relating to its rights of sovereignty over its ports and lines of communication.

ITALY (September 7th, 1931)

Subject to the following reservations:

I. The following disputes shall be excluded from the procedure described in the said Act:

(a) Disputes arising out of facts or situations prior to the present accession;

(b) Disputes relating to questions which international law leaves to the sole jurisdiction of States;

(c) Disputes affecting the relations between Italy and any third Power.

II. It is understood that, in conformity with Article 29 of the said Act, disputes for the solution of which a special procedure is provided by other conventions shall be settled in accordance with the provisions of those conventions; and that, in particular, disputes which may be submitted to the Council or Assembly of the League of Nations in virtue of one of the provisions of the Covenant shall be settled in accordance with those provisions.

III. It is further understood that the present accession in no way affects Italy's accession to the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice and to the clause in that Statute concerning the compulsory jurisdiction of the Court.

LATVIA (September 17th, 1935)

LUXEMBOURG (September 15th, 1930)

NORWAY⁴ (June 11th, 1930)

PERU (November 21st, 1931)

Subject to reservation (b) provided for in Article 39, paragraph 2.

SPAIN: Denunciation (April 8th, 1939)⁵

SWITZERLAND (December 7th, 1934)

TURKEY (June 26th, 1934)

Subject to the following reservations:

The following disputes are excluded from the procedure described in the Act:

(a) Disputes arising out of facts or situations prior to the present accession;

(b) Disputes relating to questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States;

(c) Disputes affecting the relations between Turkey and any third Power.

2. Open to accession by:

(1) The Members of the League of Nations which have not acceded;

(2) Further, the following States:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	GUATEMALA	SALVADOR
BRAZIL	HONDURAS	SPAIN
CHILE	HUNGARY	UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS
COSTA RICA	JAPAN	VENEZUELA
GERMANY	NICARAGUA	
	PARAGUAY	

⁴ On June 11th, 1929, Norway acceded to Chapters I, II and IV. On June 11th, 1930, it extended its accession to the whole of the Act.

⁵ Spain acceded on September 16th, 1930.

By a letter dated April 1st, 1939, and received by the Secretariat on April 8th, the Spanish National Government denounced the accession of Spain, pursuant to the terms of Article 45 of the General Act.

Under Article 45, this denunciation should have been effected six months before the expiration of the current five-year period—that is to say, in this case, before February 16th, 1939.

In regard to this point, the National Government states in its letter that, as the Secretary-General and almost all the States which are parties to the General Act have "in the past . . . refused to receive any communications from the National Government, this Government could not have acted earlier in pursuance of the right which it now exercises in virtue of Article 45 of the Act".

The Secretary-General brought this communication to the knowledge of the Governments concerned.

Actions subsequent to the date upon which the Secretary-General of the Organization of the United Nations assumed the functions of depositary

	<i>Notification of succession</i>	<i>Denunciation</i>
AUSTRALIA ^{5a}		
FRANCE ⁶		
INDIA ⁷		
PAKISTAN	12 July	1974 ⁸
UNITED KINGDOM		8 February 1974 ⁹

^{5a} On 17 March 1975, the Secretary-General received a declaration to the effect that the Government of Australia, in accordance with article 40 of the above-mentioned Act, abandons all the conditions to which its acceptance is subject (instrument of accession deposited with the Secretary-General of the League of Nations on 21 May 1931) with the exception of the condition relating to disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement.

⁶ In a notification received on 10 January 1974, the Government of France declared the following:

In a case dealt with by the International Court of Justice, the Government of the French Republic noted that it was contended that the 1928 General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes could, in the present circumstances, justify the exercise of jurisdiction by the Court.

On that occasion the French Government specified the reasons why it considered that view to be unfounded.

While reaffirming that position, and, accordingly, without prejudice to it, the French Government requests you, with a view to avoiding any new controversy, to take cognizance of the fact that, with respect to any State or any institution that might contend that the General Act is still in force, the present letter constitutes denunciation of that Act in conformity with Article 45 thereof.

⁷ In a notification received on 18 September 1974, the Minister of External Affairs of India declared the following:

"I have the honour to refer to the General Act of 26th September 1928 for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes, which was accepted for British India by the then His Majesty's Secretary of State for India by a communication addressed to the Secretariat of the League of Nations dated 21st May 1931, and which was later revised on 15th February 1939.

"The Government of India never regarded themselves as bound by the General Act of 1928 since her Independence in 1947, whether by succession or otherwise. Accordingly, India has never been and is not a party to the General Act of 1928 ever since her Independence. I write this to make our position absolutely clear on this point so that there is no doubt in any quarter."

⁸ The notification of succession specified that the Government of Pakistan does not maintain the reservations formulated by British India upon accession to the General Act of Arbitration.

The notification also contains the following declaration:

When Pakistan became a Member of the United Nations in October 1947, the delegation of India communicated to the Secretary-General the text of the Constitutional arrangements made at the time when India and Pakistan became independent (Document A/C.6/161 of 7 October 1947), with reference to the devolution upon them, as successor States of the former British India, of British India's international rights and obligations.

Among the rights and obligations of former British India were those of the General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes done at Geneva on 26th September 1928, which was acceded to by British India on 21st May 1931. The Government of Pakistan regards the Act as continuing in force as between parties to the Act as established on 26th September 1928 and all successor States, Article 17 of the said Act is given efficacy by Article 37 of the Statute of

International Court of Justice, as between Members of the United Nations or parties to the Statute of the Court.

As a result of the arrangements mentioned in paragraph 1, Pakistan has been a separate party to the General Act of 1928 from the date of her independence, i.e. the 14th August 1947, since in accordance with Section 4 of the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order, 1947 (Document No. A/C.6/161 of 7 October 1947), Pakistan succeeded to the rights and obligations of British India under all multilateral treaties binding upon her before her partition into the two successor States. By virtue of these arrangements, the Government of Pakistan did not need to take any step to indicate its consent *de novo* to acceding to multilateral conventions by which British India had been bound. Nevertheless, the Secretary-General of the United Nations was made aware of the situation through the communication referred above.

However, in order to dispel all doubts in this connexion and without prejudice to Pakistan's rights as a successor State to British India, the Government of Pakistan have decided to notify Your Excellency, in your capacity as depositary of the General Act of 1928, that the Government of Pakistan continues to be bound by the accession of British India of the General Act of 1928. The Government of Pakistan does not, however, affirm the reservations made by British India.

In this regard, the Secretary-General received on 18 September 1974 a communication from the Minister of External Affairs of India stating *inter alia*:

2. In the aforementioned communication, the Prime Minister of Pakistan has stated, *inter alia*, that as a result of the constitutional arrangements made at the time when India and Pakistan became independent, Pakistan has been a separate party to the General Act of 1928 for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes from the date of her independence, i.e. 14th August 1947, since in accordance with Section 4 of the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order, 1947, Pakistan succeeded to the rights and obligations of British India under all multilateral treaties binding upon her before her partition into the two successor States.

The Prime Minister of Pakistan has further stated that accordingly, the Government of Pakistan did not need to take any steps to communicate its consent *de novo* to acceding to multilateral conventions by which British India had been bound. However, in order to dispel all doubts in this connexion, the Government of Pakistan have stated that they continue to be bound by the accession of British India of the General Act of 1928. The communication further adds that "the Government of Pakistan does not, however, affirm the reservations made by British India".

3. In this connexion, the Government of India has the following observations to make:

(1) The General Act of 1928 for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes was a political agreement and was an integral part of the League of Nations system. Its efficacy was impaired by the fact that the organs of the League of Nations to which it refers have now disappeared. It is for these reasons that the General Assembly of the United Nations on 28 April 1949 adopted the Revised General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes.

(2) Whereas British India did accede to the General Act of 1928 by a communication of 21 May 1931, revised on

Footnotes continue on following page

footnotes continued from previous page]

15 February 1939, neither India nor Pakistan, into which British India was divided in 1947, succeeded to the General Act of 1928, either under general international law or in accordance with the provisions of the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order, 1947.

(3) India and Pakistan have not yet acceded to the Revised General Act of 1949.

(4) Neither India nor Pakistan have regarded themselves as being party to or bound by the provisions of the General Act of 1928. This is clear from the following:

(a) In 1947, a list of treaties to which the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order, 1947 was to apply was prepared by "Expert Committee No. 9 on Foreign Relations". Their report is contained in *Partition Proceedings*, Volume III, pages 217-276. The list comprises 627 treaties in force in 1947. The 1928 General Act is not included in that list. The report was signed by the representatives of India and Pakistan. India should not therefore have been listed in any record as a party to the General Act of 1928 since 15 August 1947.

(b) In several differences or disputes since 1947, such as those relating to the uses of river waters or the settlement of the boundary in the Rann of Kutch area, the 1928 General Act was not relied upon or cited either by India or by Pakistan.

(c) In a case decided in 1961, the Supreme Court of Pakistan while referring to the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order, 1947 held that this Order "did not and, indeed, could not provide for the devolution of treaty rights and obligations which were not capable of being succeeded to by a part of a country, which is severed from the parent State and established as an independent sovereign power, according to the practice of States". Such treaties would include treaties of alliance, *arbitration* or commerce. The Court held that "an examination of the provisions of the said Order of 1947 also reveals no intention to depart from this principle".

(d) Statements on the existing international law of succession clearly establish that political treaties like the 1928 General Act are not transmissible by succession or by devolution agreements. Professor O'Connell states as follows: "Clearly not all these treaties are transmissible; no State has yet acknowledged its succession to the General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes" (1928). (*State Succession in Municipal Law and International Law*, Vol. II, 1967, page 213.) See also Sir Humphrey Waldock's *Second Report* (article 3) and *Third Report* (articles 6 and 7) on State Succession submitted to the International Law Commission in 1969 and 1970, respectively; *Succession of States and Governments*, Doc. A/CN.4/149-Add.1 and A/CN.4/150—Memorandums prepared by UN Secretariat on 3 December 1962 and 10 December 1962, respectively; and Oscar Schachter, "The Development of International Law through Legal Opinions of the United Nations Secretariat", *British Year book of International Law* (1948) pages 91, 106-107.

(e) The Government of Pakistan had attempted to establish the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in the Trial of Prisoners of War case in May 1973 and in that connexion, as an alternative pleading, for the first time cited the provisions of the General Act of 1928 in support of the Court's jurisdiction to deal with the matter. Although the Government of India did not appear in these proceedings on the ground that their consent, required under the relevant treaty, had not been obtained before instituting these proceedings, their views regarding the non-application of the General Act of 1928 to India-Pakistan were made clear to the Court by a communication dated 4 June 1973 from the Indian Ambassador at The Hague.

4. To sum up, the 1928 General Act, being an integral part of the League of Nations system, ceased to be a treaty in force upon the disappearance of the organs of the League of Nations. Being a political agreement it could not be transmissible under the law of succession. Neither India nor Pakistan have regarded themselves as bound by the General Act of 1928 since 1947. The General Act of 1928 was not listed in the list of 627 agreements to which the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order, 1947 related, and India and Pakistan could therefore not have been listed in any record as parties to the 1928 General Act. Nor have Pakistan or India yet acceded to the Revised General Act of 1949.

5. The Government of Pakistan, by their communication dated 30 May 1974, have now expressed their intention to be bound by the General Act of 1928, without the reservations made by British India. This new act of Pakistan may or may not amount to accession to the General Act of 1928 depending upon their wishes as a sovereign State and the position in international law of the treaty in question. In view of what has been stated above, the Government of India consider that Pakistan cannot, however, become a party to the General Act of 1928 by way of succession under the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order, 1947, as stated by Pakistan.

⁹ The notification of denunciation received from the Government of the United Kingdom on 8 February 1974 contained the following passage:

"In the light of events since then [the accession of the United Kingdom to the General Act] doubts have been raised as to the continued legal force of the General Act. Without prejudice to the views of the United Kingdom as to the continued force of the General Act,

(i) insofar as the General Act may be regarded as still in force, the United Kingdom hereby gives notice of its denunciation of the General Act in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 2 of Article 45 thereof;

(ii) insofar as the General Act may be regarded as no longer in force, this notice serves to place beyond doubt the position of the United Kingdom in this matter.

In a notification received on 1 March 1974, the Government of the United Kingdom subsequently indicated that the notification received on 8 February 1974 was to be treated as a formal notification of denunciation under Article 45 of the General Act in so far as the latter might be regarded as still in force.

30. Convention concerning the Unification of Road Signals

Geneva, March 30th, 1931¹

IN FORCE since July 16th, 1934 (Article 11).²

<i>Ratifications or definitive Accessions: 16</i>	<i>Signatures subject to ratification: 6</i>
EGYPT (June 10th, 1940 <i>a</i>)	BELGIUM
FRANCE (October 11th, 1934)	Subject to subsequent accession for the colonies and territories under mandate.
Does not assume any obligation in regard to Algeria, colonies, protectorates and territories under its mandate.	CZECHOSLOVAKIA
<i>Algeria</i> (July 22nd, 1935 <i>a</i>)	DENMARK
HUNGARY (January 8th, 1937)	GERMANY
ITALY (September 25th, 1933)	YUGOSLAVIA
LATVIA (January 10th, 1939 <i>a</i>)	
LUXEMBOURG (April 9th, 1936)	
MONACO (January 19th, 1932 <i>a</i>)	
THE NETHERLANDS (for the Kingdom in Europe, <i>Surinam and Curaçao</i>) (January 16th, 1934)	
<i>Netherlands Indies</i> (January 29th, 1940 <i>a</i>)	
In view of the special character of the roads in the Netherlands Indies, the Netherlands Government reserves the right to place upon them the danger signals referred to in paragraph I, subparagraph (2), of the Annex to the Convention, at a distance from the obstacle which shall not be less than 60 metres, without making special arrangements. ³	
POLAND (April 5th, 1934)	
PORTUGAL (April 18th, 1932 <i>a</i>)	
Does not include the Portuguese Colonies.	
ROMANIA (June 19th, 1935 <i>a</i>)	
SPAIN (July 18th, 1933)	
SWEDEN (February 25th, 1938 <i>a</i>)	
SWITZERLAND (October 19th, 1934)	
TURKEY (October 15th, 1936)	
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS (July 23rd, 1935 <i>a</i>)	

Actions subsequent to the date upon which the Secretary-General of the Organization of the United Nations assumed the functions of depositary

<i>State</i>	<i>Denunciation</i>
FRANCE	19 October 1954
HUNGARY	30 July 1962
ITALY	29 March 1953
LUXEMBOURG	30 November 1954
MONACO	18 May 1953
NETHERLANDS	29 December 1952 ⁴
POLAND	29 October 1958
PORTUGAL	5 June 1957
ROMANIA	26 May 1961
SPAIN	19 October 1954
SWEDEN	31 March 1952
UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS	26 April 1961

¹ Registered No. 3459. See *Treaty Series of the League of Nations*, vol 150, p 247

² The Convention ceased to have effect on 30 July 1963, the number of States bound by its provisions having been reduced to less than five as the result of successive denunciations

³ This reservation has been submitted to the States Parties to the Convention for acceptance

⁴ Denunciation for the Kingdom in Europe only. The Netherlands wishes to remain a party to the Convention in respect of the Netherlands Antilles, Surinam and Netherlands New Guinea until the Protocol of 19 September 1949 has become applicable to those territories (see p. 320).

كيفية الحصول على منشورات الأمم المتحدة

يمكن الحصول على منشورات الأمم المتحدة من المكتبات ودور التوزيع في جميع أنحاء العالم. استعلم عنها من المكتبة التي تتعامل معها أو اكتب إلى : الأمم المتحدة، قسم البيع في نيويورك أو في جنيف.

如何购取联合国出版物

联合国出版物在全世界各地的书店和经售处均有发售。请向书店询问或写信到纽约或日内瓦的联合国销售组。

HOW TO OBTAIN UNITED NATIONS PUBLICATIONS

United Nations publications may be obtained from bookstores and distributors throughout the world. Consult your bookstore or write to: United Nations, Sales Section, New York or Geneva.

COMMENT SE PROCURER LES PUBLICATIONS DES NATIONS UNIES

Les publications des Nations Unies sont en vente dans les librairies et les agences dépositaires du monde entier. Informez-vous auprès de votre libraire ou adressez-vous à : Nations Unies, Section des ventes, New York ou Genève.

КАК ПОЛУЧИТЬ ИЗДАНИЯ ОРГАНИЗАЦИИ ОБЪЕДИНЕННЫХ НАЦИЙ

Издания Организации Объединенных Наций можно купить в книжных магазинах и агентствах во всех районах мира. Наводите справки об изданиях в вашем книжном магазине или пишите по адресу: Организация Объединенных Наций, Секция по продаже изданий, Нью-Йорк или Женева.

COMO CONSEGUIR PUBLICACIONES DE LAS NACIONES UNIDAS

Las publicaciones de las Naciones Unidas están en venta en librerías y casas distribuidoras en todas partes del mundo. Consulte a su librero o diríjase a: Naciones Unidas, Sección de Ventas, Nueva York o Ginebra.
