14. b) Protocol to the International Convention for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency

Geneva, 20 April 1929¹

ENTRY INTO FORCE REGISTRATION:

22 February 1931. 22 February 1931, No. 2623.¹

Note: The Protocol came into force at the same time as the Convention, of which it forms an integral part, and was registered under the same number.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Austria Latvia (June 25th, 1931) (July 22nd, 1939 a) Belgium Mexico (June 6th, 1932) (March 30th, 1936 a) Brazil Monaco (July 1st, 1938 a) (October 21st, 1931) Bulgaria The Netherlands (May 22nd, 1930) (April 30th, 1932) Colombia Norway⁵ (May 9th, 1932) (March 16th, 1931) Cuba In view of the provisions of Article 176, paragraph 2, of the (June 13th, 1933) Norwegian Ordinary Criminal Code and Article 2 of the Czechoslovakia² Norwegian Law on the Extradition of Criminals, the extradition provided for in Article 10 of the present (September 12th, 1931) Convention may not be granted for the offence referred to in Denmark³ Article 3, No. 2, where the person uttering the counterfeit (February 19th, 1931) currency himself accepted it bona fide as genuine. Ecuador (September 25th, 1937 a) Poland Estonia (June 15th, 1934) (August 30th, 1930 a) Portugal Finland (September 18th, 1930) (September 25th, 1936 a) Romania Germany⁴ (March 7th, 1939) (October 3rd, 1933) Spain Greece (April 28th, 1930) (May 19th, 1931) Turkey Hungary (January 21st, 1937 a) (June 14th, 1933) Union of Soviet Socialist Republics⁶ Ireland (July 13th, 1931) (July 24th, 1934 a) Yugoslavia (former)7 Italy (November 24th, 1930) (December 27th, 1935)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Albania	China ⁸
United States of America	Japan
India	Luxembourg
As provided in Article 24 of the Convention, this signature	Panama
does not include the territories of any Prince or Chief under the	
suzerainty of His Majesty.	

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

Participant ^{4,9}	Ratifica Accessio Success	on(a),	Participant ^{4,9}	Ratificat Accessio Successi	on(a),
Algeria ¹⁰	17 Mar	1965 a	Lithuania	2 Apr	2004 a
Andorra	3 Oct	2007 a	Luxembourg	14 Mar	2002
Australia	5 Jan	1982 a	Malawi	18 Nov	1965 a
Bahamas	9 Jul	1975 a	Malaysia ¹²	4 Jul	1972 a
Belarus	23 Aug	2001 d	Mali	6 Jan	1970 a
Benin	17 Mar	1966 a	Mauritius	18 Jul	1969 d
Bosnia and Herzegovina	27 Apr	2009 a	Niger	5 May	1969 a
Burkina Faso	8 Dec	1964 a	Peru	11 May	1970 a
Côte d'Ivoire	25 May	1964 a	Philippines ¹³	5 May	1971 a
Cyprus	10 Jun	1965 a	San Marino	18 Oct	1967 a
Czech Republic	9 Feb	1996 d	Senegal	25 Aug	1965 a
Egypt	15 Jul	1957 a	Serbia ¹⁴	18 Mar	2016 d
Fiji	25 Mar	1971 d	Slovakia ²	28 May	1993 d
France	28 Mar	1958	Slovenia	9 May	2006 d
Gabon	11 Aug	1964 a	Solomon Islands	3 Sep	1981 d
Georgia	20 Jul	2000 a	South Africa	29 Aug	1967 a
Ghana	9 Jul	1964 a	Sri Lanka	2 Jun	1967 a
Holy See	1 Mar	1965 a	Sweden	15 Mar	2001 a
Indonesia ¹¹	3 Aug	1982 a	Switzerland	30 Dec	1948
Iraq	14 May	1965 a	Syrian Arab Republic ¹⁵	14 Aug	1964
Israel	10 Feb	1965 a	Thailand	6 Jun	1963 a
Kazakhstan	22 Dec	2010 a	Togo	3 Oct	1978 a
Kuwait	9 Dec	1968 a	Uganda	15 Apr	1965 a
Lebanon	6 Oct	1966 a	United Kingdom of Great Britain and		
Liberia	16 Sep	2005 a	Northern Ireland	28 Jul	1959

Territorial Application

Participant	Date of receipt of th notification	e Territories
Netherlands ¹⁶ United Kingdom ¹⁷	22 Mar 1954 13 Oct 1960	Netherlands Antilles and Suriname Antigua, Bahamas, Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands, British Virgin Islands, Dominica, Falkland Islands (Malvinas), Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland, Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Grenada, Jamaica, Kenya, Mauritius, Montserrat, North Borneo, Sarawak, Sierra Leone, Singapore, St. Christopher-Nevis-Anguilla, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Swaziland, Tanganyika, Trinidad, Uganda and Zanzibar
	7 Mar 1963	Barbados and its dependencies

Notes:

¹ League of Nations, Treaty Series, .../doc/Publication/UNTS/LON/Volume%20112/v112.pdf .

 2 $\,$ See notes 1 under "Czech Republic" and "Slovakia" in the

"Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

³ According to a Declaration made by the Danish Government when ratifying the Convention, the latter was to take effect in respect of Denmark only upon the coming into force of the Danish Penal Code of April 15th, 1930. This Code having entered into force on January 1st, 1933, the Convention has become effective for Denmark from the same date.

⁴ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connection, the Secretary-General received, on 2 March 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974, concerning the applica tion, as from 6 June 1958, of the International Convention of 20April 1929 for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroac tive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the International Convention for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency, April 20th, 1929 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

See also note 2 under "Germany" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

⁵ The reservation by Norway has not given rise to any objection on the part of the States to which it was communicated in accordance with Article 22, it may be considered as accepted.

⁶ Instrument deposited in Berlin.

⁷ See note 1 under "former Yugoslavia" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

⁸ See note concerning signatures, ratifications and accession made on behalf of China (note 1 under "China" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume).

⁹ The Republic of Viet-Nam had acceded to the Convention and the Protocol on 3 December 1964. See also note 1 under "Viet Nam" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volument. ¹⁰ With the following reservation, which is deemed to have been accepted by the other Contracting Parties in accordance with article 22 of the Convention:

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by article 19 of the Convention, which confers upon the International Court of Justice jurisdiction with respect to any disputes concerning the Convention.

The jurisdiction of international tribunals may be accepted, by way of exception, in cases with respect to which the Algerian Government shall have expressly given its consent.

¹¹ With the following reservation, which is deemed to have been accepted by the other Contracting Parties in accordance with article 22 of the Convention:

"The Government of the Republic of Indonesia does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 19 of this Convention but takes the position that any dispute relating to the interpreta tion or application of the Convention may be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice for decision, only with the agreement of all the parties to the dispute.

¹² With the following reservation, which is deemed to have been accepted by the other Contracting Parties in accordance with article 22 of the Convention:

"The Government of Malaysia does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 19 of the Convention.".

¹³ With the following reservation, which is deemed to have been accepted by the other Contracting Parties in accordance with article 22 of the Convention:

"Articles 5 and 8 of the Convention shall be inoperative with respect to the Philippines unless and until Article 163 of the Revised Penal Code and Section 14 (a), Rule 110, of the Rules of the Court in the Philippines, shall have been amended to conform to the said provisions of the Convention."

¹⁴ See note 1 under "Yugoslavia", "former Yugoslavia" and "Serbia and Montenegro" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

¹⁵ In a communication received on 14 August 1964, the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, referring to Presidential decree No.1147 of 20 June 1959, pursuant to which the application of the Convention for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency and Protocol, done at Geneva on 30 April 1929, was extended to the Syrian Province of the United Arab Republic, and to décret-loi No.25 promulgated on 13 June 1962 by the President of the Syrian Arab Republic (see also note 1 under "United Arab Republic"(Egypt/Syria)" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume) has informed the Secretary-General that the Syrian Arab Republic considers itself a party to the said Convention and Protocol as from 20 June 1959. See also note 1 under "United Arab Republic (Egypt and Syria)" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

¹⁶ See note 1 under "Netherlands" regarding Aruba/Netherlands Antilles in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume. ¹⁷ See note 1 under "United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.