## 7. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE SUPPRESSION AND PUNISHMENT OF THE CRIME OF APARTHEID

# New York, 30 November 1973

ENTRY INTO FORCE:	18 July 1976, in accordance with article XV(1).
<b>REGISTRATION:</b>	18 July 1976, No. 14861.
STATUS:	Signatories: 31. Parties: 110.
TEXT:	United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1015, p. 243.

*Note:* The Convention was opened for signature at New York on 30 November 1973.

Participant <sup>1</sup>	Signatur	re	Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)		Participant <sup>1</sup>	Signatu	re	Accessio	Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)	
Afghanistan			6 Jul	1983 a	Democratic Republic of					
Algeria	23 Jan	1974	26 May	1982	the Congo			11 Jul	1978 a	
Antigua and Barbuda			7 Oct	1982 a	Ecuador	.12 Mar	1975	12 May	1975	
Argentina	6 Jun	1975	7 Nov	1985	Egypt	•		13 Jun	1977 a	
Armenia			23 Jun	1993 a	El Salvador			30 Nov	1979 a	
Azerbaijan			16 Aug	1996 a	Estonia			21 Oct	1991 a	
Bahamas			31 Mar	1981 a	Ethiopia			19 Sep	1978 a	
Bahrain			27 Mar	1990 a	Gabon			29 Feb	1980 a	
Bangladesh			5 Feb	1985 a	Gambia			29 Dec	1978 a	
Barbados			7 Feb	1979 a	Georgia	•		21 Mar	2005 a	
Belarus	4 Mar	1974	2 Dec	1975	Ghana	••		1 Aug	1978 a	
Benin	7 Oct	1974	30 Dec	1974	Guatemala	••		15 Jun	2005 a	
Bolivia (Plurinational					Guinea	1 Mar	1974	3 Mar	1975	
State of)			6 Oct	1983 a	Guyana			30 Sep	1977 a	
Bosnia and					Haiti			19 Dec	1977 a	
Herzegovina <sup>2</sup>			1 Sep	1993 d	Honduras			29 Apr	2005 a	
Bulgaria	27 Jun	1974	18 Jul	1974	Hungary	.26 Apr	1974	20 Jun	1974	
Burkina Faso	3 Feb	1976	24 Oct	1978	India	•		22 Sep	1977 a	
Burundi			12 Jul	1978 a	Iran (Islamic Republic					
Cabo Verde			12 Jun	1979 a	of)	•		17 Apr	1985 a	
Cambodia <sup>3</sup>			28 Jul	1981 a	Iraq	1 Jul	1975	9 Jul	1975	
Cameroon			1 Nov	1976 a	Jamaica	.30 Mar	1976	18 Feb	1977	
Central African					Jordan	5 Jun	1974	1 Jul	1992	
Republic			8 May	1981 a	Kenya	2 Oct	1974			
Chad	23 Oct	1974	23 Oct	1974	Kuwait	•		23 Feb	1977 a	
China			18 Apr	1983 a	Kyrgyzstan	•		5 Sep	1997 a	
Colombia			23 May	1988 a	Lao People's					
Congo			5 Oct	1983 a	Democratic					
Costa Rica			15 Oct	1986 a	Republic			5 Oct	1981 a	
Croatia <sup>2</sup>			12 Oct	1992 d	Latvia			14 Apr	1992 a	
Cuba			1 Feb	1977 a	Lesotho			4 Nov	1983 a	
Czech Republic <sup>4</sup>			22 Feb	1993 d	Liberia			5 Nov	1976 a	

Participant <sup>1</sup> S	Signature	Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)	Participant <sup>1</sup> Signature	Ratification, Accession(a), Succession(d)	
Libya		8 Jul 1976 a	Senegal	18 Feb 1977 a	
Madagascar		26 May 1977 a	Serbia <sup>2</sup>	12 Mar 2001 d	
Maldives		24 Apr 1984 a	Seychelles	13 Feb 1978 a	
Mali		19 Aug 1977 a	Slovakia <sup>4</sup>	28 May 1993 d	
Mauritania		13 Dec 1988 a	Slovenia <sup>2</sup>	6 Jul 1992 d	
Mexico		4 Mar 1980 a	Somalia 2 Aug 1974	28 Jan 1975	
Mongolia1	17 May 1974	8 Aug 1975	South Africa	14 May 2024 a	
Montenegro <sup>5</sup>		23 Oct 2006 d	Sri Lanka	18 Feb 1982 a	
Mozambique		18 Apr 1983 a	St. Vincent and the		
Namibia <sup>6</sup>		11 Nov 1982 a	Grenadines	9 Nov 1981 a	
Nepal		12 Jul 1977 a	State of Palestine	2 Apr 2014 a	
Nicaragua		28 Mar 1980 a	Sudan 10 Oct 1974	21 Mar 1977	
Niger		28 Jun 1978 a	Suriname	3 Jun 1980 a	
Nigeria2	26 Jun 1974	31 Mar 1977	Syrian Arab Republic17 Jan 1974	18 Jun 1976	
North Macedonia <sup>2</sup>		18 Jan 1994 d	Togo	24 May 1984 a	
Oman	3 Apr 1974	22 Aug 1991	Trinidad and Tobago 7 Apr 1975	26 Oct 1979	
Pakistan		27 Feb 1986 a	Tunisia	21 Jan 1977 a	
Panama	7 May 1976	16 Mar 1977	Uganda11 Mar 1975	10 Jun 1986	
Paraguay		2 Dec 2005 a	Ukraine	10 Nov 1975	
Peru		1 Nov 1978 a	United Arab Emirates 9 Sep 1975	15 Oct 1975	
Philippines	2 May 1974	26 Jan 1978	United Republic of		
Poland	7 Jun 1974	15 Mar 1976	Tanzania	11 Jun 1976 a	
Qatar1	18 Mar 1975	19 Mar 1975	Uruguay	19 Apr 2012 a	
Republic of Moldova		28 Oct 2005 a	Venezuela (Bolivarian	29  Lag = 1092  a	
Romania	6 Sep 1974	15 Aug 1978	Republic of)	28 Jan 1983 a	
Russian Federation1	1	26 Nov 1975	Viet Nam	9 Jun 1981 a	
Rwanda1	15 Oct 1974	23 Jan 1981	Yemen <sup>7</sup>	17 Aug 1987 a	
Sao Tome and Principe		5 Oct 1979 a	Zambia Zimbabwe	14 Feb 1983 a 13 May 1991 a	

# Declarations and Reservations (Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

### ARGENTINA

It is the understanding of the Argentine Republic that article XII of the Convention should be interpreted to mean that its express consent shall be required in order for any dispute to which it is a party and which has not been settled by negotiation to be brought before the International Court of Justice.

## BAHRAIN<sup>8</sup>

### EGYPT<sup>9</sup>

### INDIA

"The Government of the Republic of India accede to the said Convention with effect from 17 August 1977."

### IRAQ

Ratification by the Republic of Iraq of the above Convention shall in no way imply recognition of Israel, or be conducive to the establishment of such relations therewith as may be provided for in the Convention.

### KUWAIT<sup>10</sup>

"It is understood that the Accession of the State of Kuwait [...] does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the State of Kuwait."

#### MOZAMBIQUE

The People's Republic of Mozambique interprets article 12 of the Convention as to mean that the submission of any dispute concerning the interpretation and application of the Convention to the International Court of Justice shall be at the previous consent and request of all the parties to the dispute.

### NEPAL

"The Constitution of Nepal contains provisions for the protection of individual rights, including the right to freedom of speech and expression, the right to form unions and associations not motivated by party politics and the right to freedom of professing his/her own religion; and nothing in the Convention shall be deemed to require or to authorize legislation or other action by Nepal incompatible with the provisions of the Constitution of Nepal.

"His Majesty's Government interprets article 4 of the said Convention as requiring a Party to the Convention to adopt further legislative measures in the fields covered by sub-paragraphs (a) and (b) of that article only insofar as His Majesty's Government may consider, with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, that some legislative addition to, or variation of, existing law and practice in those fields is necessary for the attainment of the end specified in the earlier part of article 4.

"His Majesty's Government does not consider itself bound by the provision of article 12 of the Convention under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of

### Notes:

<sup>1</sup> The German Democratic Republic had signed and ratified the Convention on 2 May 1974 and 12 August 1974 (See <u>C.N.216.1974.TREATIES-9</u>), respectively. See also note 2 under "Germany" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

<sup>2</sup> The former Yugoslavia had signed and ratified the Convention on 17 December 1974 and 1 July 1975, respectively. See also note 1 under "Bosnia and Herzegovina", "Croatia", "former Yugoslavia", "Slovenia", "The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" and "Yugoslavia" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

<sup>3</sup> The Secretary-General received, on 10 September 1981 from the Government of Viet Nam, the following objection with regard to the accession of Democratic Kampuchea:

"The accession to the above-mentioned international Convention on behalf of the so-called 'Government of Kampuchea' by the genocidal clique of Pol Pot-Ieng Sary-Khieu Samphan, which was overthrown on 7 January 1979 by the Kampuchean people, is completely illegal and has no legal value. Only the Government of the People's Republic of Kampuchea, which is actually in power in Kampuchea, is empowered to represent the Kampuchea people and to sign and accede to international agreements and conventions.

As a party to that Convention, the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam is of the opinion that the accession of the so-called 'Government of Democratic Kampuchea' constitutes not only a gross violation of the standards of law and international morality, but also one of the most cynical affronts to the three million Kampucheans who are the victims of the most despicable crime of contemporary history, committed by the Pol Pot régime which is spurned by the whole of mankind." the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision."

### **REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA**

Until the full establishment of the territorial integrity of the Republic of Moldova, the provisions of the Convention will be applied only on the territory effectively controlled by the authorities of the Republic of Moldova.

### **UNITED ARAB EMIRATES**

"The ratification of the United Arab Emirates to this Convention shall in no way amount to recognition of nor the establishment of any treaty relations with Israel."

### VENEZUELA (BOLIVARIAN REPUBLIC OF)

With a reservation excluding the provisions of article XII of the Convention.

### YEMEN<sup>7,10</sup>

The accession of the Government of the Yemen Arab Republic to this Convention shall in no way imply recognition of Israel or the establishment of such relations therewith as may be provided for in the Convention.

Thereafter, similar communications objecting to the signature by Democratic Kampuchea were received by the Secretary-General on 14 September 1981 from the Government of the German Democratic Republic, on 12 November 1981 from the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on 19 November 1981 from the Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, on 3 December 1981 from the Government of Hungary, on 5 January 1982 from the Government of Bulgaria, on 13 January 1982 from the Government of Mongolia, and on 17 May 1982 from the Government of Czechoslovakia.

<sup>4</sup> Czechoslovakia had signed and ratified the Convention on 29 August 1975 and 25 March 1976, respectively. See also note 1 under "Czech Republic" and note 1 under "Slovakia" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

<sup>5</sup> See note 1 under "Montenegro" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

<sup>6</sup> See note 1 under "Namibia" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

<sup>7</sup> Democratic Yemen had signed the Convention on 31 July 1974 (See, <u>C.N.203.1974</u>.TREATIES-8 of 19 August 1974). See also note 1 under "Yemen" in the "Historical Information" section in the front matter of this volume.

<sup>8</sup> On 8 July 2021, the Government of Bahrain notified the Secretary-General of its withdrawal of the following reservation made upon accession:

"The accession by the State of Bahrain to the said Convention shall in no way constitute recognition of Israel or be a cause for the establishment of any relations of any kind therewith."

<sup>9</sup> Upon accession, the Government of Egypt had formulated a declaration concerning Israel. For the text of the declaration, see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 1045, p. 397. In this regard, the Secretary-General received, on 30 August 1977, a declaration from the Government of Israel identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, as the one made with regard to the accession by Kuwait (see note 9).

Subsequently, in a notification received on 18 January 1980, the Government of Egypt informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw the declaration. The notification indicates 25 January 1980 as the effective date of the withdrawal.

<sup>10</sup> The Secretary-General received, on 12 May 1977 from the Government of Israel, the following communication:

"The instrument deposited by the Government of Kuwait contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are, moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That pronouncement by the Government of Kuwait cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Kuwait under general international law or under particular treaties. The Government of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Kuwait an attitude of complete reciprocity."

A communication identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, was received by the Secretary-General from the Government of Israel, on 15 December 1987, in respect of the declaration made upon accession by Yemen.