# 15. a) Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with **Disabilities**

# New York, 13 December 2006

**ENTRY INTO FORCE:** 3 May 2008, in accordance with article 13(1).

**REGISTRATION:** 3 May 2008, No. 44910.

**STATUS:** Signatories: 94. Parties: 107.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 2518, p. 283. Doc. A/61/611

Note: The above Optional Protocol was adopted on 13 December 2006 during the sixty-first session of the General Assembly by resolution A/RES/61/106. In accordance with its article 10, the Optional Protocol shall be open for signature by all signatory States and regional integration organizations of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities at United Nations Headquarters in New York as of 30 March 2007.

Participant	Signature		Formal confirmation(c), Accession(a), Ratification		Participant	Signature		Formal confirmation(c), Accession(a), Ratification	
Afghanistan	••	18	8 Sep	2012 a	Cook Islands	••		8 May	2009 a
Algeria	30 Mar 20	007			Costa Rica	30 Mar	2007	1 Oct	2008
Andorra	27 Apr 20	007 11	l Mar	2014	Côte d'Ivoire	7 Jun	2007		
Angola	••	19	9 May	2014 a	Croatia	30 Mar	2007	15 Aug	2007
Antigua and Barbuda	30 Mar 20	007			Cyprus	30 Mar	2007	27 Jun	2011
Argentina	30 Mar 20	007 2	2 Sep	2008	Czech Republic	30 Mar	2007	24 Aug	2021
Armenia	30 Mar 20	007 26	o Dec	2022	Democratic Republic of	2			
Australia	••	21	l Aug	2009 a	the Congo	••		30 Sep	2015 a
Austria	30 Mar 20	007 26	5 Sep	2008	Denmark <sup>2</sup>	••		23 Sep	2014 a
Azerbaijan	9 Jan 20	008 28	3 Jan	2009	Djibouti	••		18 Jun	2012 a
Bangladesh	••	12	2 May	2008 a	Dominica	••		1 Oct	2012 a
Belgium	30 Mar 20	007 2	2 Jul	2009	Dominican Republic	30 Mar	2007	18 Aug	2009
Benin	8 Feb 20	008 5	5 Jul	2012	Ecuador	30 Mar	2007	3 Apr	2008
Bolivia (Plurinational					El Salvador	30 Mar	2007	14 Dec	2007
State of) <sup>1</sup>	13 Aug 20	007 16	6 Nov	2009	Estonia	••		30 May	2012 a
Bosnia and					Eswatini	25 Sep	2007	24 Sep	2012
Herzegovina			2 Mar	2010	Fiji	2 Jun	2010		
Brazil	30 Mar 20	007 1	l Aug	2008	Finland	30 Mar	2007	11 May	2016
Bulgaria	18 Dec 20	800			France	23 Sep	2008	18 Feb	2010
Burkina Faso	23 May 20	007 23	3 Jul	2009	Gabon	25 Sep	2007	26 Jun	2014
Burundi	26 Apr 20	007 22	2 May	2014	Gambia	••		6 Jul	2015 a
Cambodia	1 Oct 20	007			Georgia	10 Jul	2009	12 Apr	2021
Cameroon	1 Oct 20	800			Germany	30 Mar	2007	24 Feb	2009
Canada	••	3	3 Dec	2018 a	Ghana	30 Mar	2007	31 Jul	2012
Central African					Greece	27 Sep	2010	31 May	2012
Republic	•	007 11	Oct	2016	Guatemala		2007	7 Apr	2009
Chad	-	012			Guinea		2007	8 Feb	2008
Chile			) Jul	2008	Guinea-Bissau	_	2013	22 Oct	2018
Congo	30 Mar 20	007 2	2 Sep	2014		r		-	

22.1.1 2000 D	
Haiti	
Honduras	За
Hungary	3
Iceland30 Mar 2007 Sao Tome and Principe. 26 May 2021	la
Ireland	3 a
Italy	
Jamaica	)
Jordan30 Mar 2007 Seychelles30 Mar 2007	
Kazakhstan	
Latvia	)
Lebanon	
Liberia	
Lithuania	7
Luxembourg	l a
Madagascar	7
Mali	) a
Malta	
Mauritania	) a
Mauritius	) a
Mexico	) a
Monaco	3
Mongolia	a a
Montenegro	6 a
Morocco	3 a
Mozambique	l
Namibia	3
Nepal	5
New Zealand <sup>3</sup>	) a
Nicaragua21 Oct 2008 2 Feb 2010 Uganda30 Mar 2007 25 Sep 2008	3
Niger	)
Nigeria	
North Macedonia 29 Jul 2009 29 Dec 2011 United Kingdom of	
Palau Great Britain and	`
Northern Ireland26 Feb 2009 / Aug 2009	)
Paraguay	)
Peru	
Portugal30 Mar 2007 23 Sep 2009 Venezuela (Bolivarian	· u
Qatar	За
Republic of Korea	
Republic of Moldova 27 Sep 2018 15 Nov 2022 Zambia	
Zimbabwe	3 a

# Declarations and Reservations (Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.)

#### ARMENIA

"The Declaration of the Republic of Azerbaijan contains unsubstantiated and baseless allegations against the Republic of Armenia and distorts the essence of the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict which was triggered and sustained by the use of force by Azerbaijan against peaceful demands of the people of Nagorno-Karabakh for human rights and self-determination."

## **AZERBAIJAN**

"The Republic of Azerbaijan declares that it is unable to guarantee the application of the provisions of the Protocol in the territories occupied by the Republic of Armenia until these territories are liberated from occupation."

# EL SALVADOR<sup>1,4,5</sup>

## **GUINEA-BISSAU**

The Republic of Guinea-Bissau does not recognize the competence of the Committee under articles 6 and 7 to conduct inquiries into serious and systematic violations of the Convention (Article 8).

#### SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC

The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic declares that it does not recognize the competence of the Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities ("the Committee") provided for in articles 6 and 7 of the Optional Protocol, in accordance with Article 8 of that Protocol, which provides that each State Party may, at the time of signature or ratification of the present Protocol or accession thereto, declare that it does not recognize the competence of the Committee provided for in articles 6 and 7.

#### TÜRKIYE6

"Turkey declares that [its] ratification of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities neither amounts to any form of recognition of the Greek Cypriot Administration's pretention to represent the defunct 'Republic of Cyprus' as party to that Protocol, nor should it imply any obligation on the part of Turkey to enter into any dealing with the so-called Republic of Cyprus within the framework of the said Protocol."

# **Objections**

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.)

## **AUSTRIA**

"The Government of Austria has examined the reservation to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and Optional Protocol thereto made by the Government of El Salvador.

According to its reservation, El Salvador envisages becoming Party to the Convention only to the extent that its provisions do not prejudice or violate the provisions of any of the precepts, principles and norms enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of El Salvador, particularly in its enumeration of principles. In the absence of further clarification, this reservation does not clearly specify the extent of El Salvador's derogation from the provisions of the Convention. This general and vague wording of the reservation raises doubts as to the degree of commitment assumed by El Salvador in becoming a party to the Convention and is therefore incompatible with international law.

The Government of Austria objects to the reservation made by the Government of the Republic of El Salvador to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and Optional Protocol thereto.

This objection, however, does not preclude the entry into force, in its entirety, of the Convention between Austria and El Salvador."

"The Government of Austria has examined the declaration made by the Republic of Turkey upon ratification of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities adopted on 13 December 2006. It welcomes the ratification of the Optional Protocol by Turkey as a significant step for the promotion of the rights of persons with disabilities. However, as a member state of the European Union, Austria opposes the declaration made by the Republic of

Turkey which describes another member state, the Republic of Cyprus, as a defunct entity."

#### CYPRUS

"The Republic of Cyprus has examined the declaration deposited by the Republic of Turkey upon ratification of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (New York, 13 December 2006) on 26 March 2015, limiting the implementation of the provisions of the Convention and the Protocol, by excluding the Republic of Cyprus from the application of the Protocol at reference by the Republic of Turkey. The content of the declaration makes it tantamount in its essence to a reservation. Such a reservation is contrary to the object and purpose of the Convention and its Protocol, as it prevents the realization of the cooperation between States Parties foreseen by the Convention and its Protocol. In addition, such a declaration / reservation also relies on the principle of reciprocity, which is incompatible with human rights' obligations assumed through this Convention. The legal reasoning, cited above, renders Turkey's declaration unacceptable, as the declaration under reference contravenes the letter and the spirit of the Convention and its Protocol. The Republic of Cyprus, therefore, objects to the aforesaid declaration made by the Republic of Turkey and considers the declaration null and void. This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Convention, in its entirety, between the Republic of Cyprus and the Republic of Turkey, without the Republic of Turkey benefiting from its declaration / reservation."

#### GREECE

"... The Government of the Hellenic Republic has examined the declaration made by the Republic of Turkey

upon ratification, on 26 March 2015, of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with

The Republic of Turkey declares that its ratification of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities should not imply any obligation on the part of Turkey to enter into any dealing with the Republic of Cyprus within the framework of the said Protocol.

In [the] view of the Government of the Hellenic Republic, the above declaration in fact amounts to a reservation, as it purports to exclude the application of the Protocol in Turkey's relations with the Republic of Cyprus. Such a reservation is incompatible with the principle that inter-State reciprocity has no place in the context of human rights treaties, which concern the

endowment of individuals with rights. It is, therefore, contrary to the object and purpose of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and its Optional Protocol.

The Government of the Hellenic Republic recalls that, according to Article 14 paragraph 1 of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, reservations incompatible with the object and purpose of this Protocol shall not be permitted.

For the above reasons, the Government of the Hellenic Republic objects to the declaration made by the Republic of Turkey upon ratification of the said Protocol.

This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Protocol between the Hellenic Republic and the Republic of Turkey..."

## Notes:

- See Note 1 under "Bolivia (Plurinational State of)" in the "Historical Information" section.
- Upon its accession of the Optional Protocol the Government of Denmark notified the Secretary-General that the Optional Protocol shall not apply to Greenland.
- With territorial exclusion. See C.N.721.2016.TREATIES-IV.15.a of 4 October 2016.
- On 28 January 2010, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Germany the following communication relating to the declaration made by the Republic of El Salvador upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The Federal Republic of Germany has carefully examined the aforementioned reservation.

The Federal Republic of Germany is of the opinion that reservations which consist in a general reference to a system of norms (like the constitution or the legal order of the reserving State) without specifying the contents thereof leave it uncertain to which extent that State accepts to be bound by the obligations under the treaty. Moreover, those norms may be subject to changes.

The reservation made by the Republic of El Salvador is therefore not sufficiently precise to make it possible to determine the restrictions that are introduced into the agreement.

The Federal Republic of Germany is therefore of the opinion that the reservation is incompatible with object and purpose of the Convention and the Protocol and would like to recall that, according to Article 46, paragraph 1 of the Convention, and Article 14, paragraph 1 of the Protocol, a reservation incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention shall not be permitted.

The Federal Republic of Germany therefore objects to the above-mentioned reservation. This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Convention and the Protocol between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Republic of El Salvador."

On 18 March 2015, the Government of El Salvador informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw the following Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Government of the Republic of El Salvador signs the present Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and the Optional Protocol thereto, adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 13 December 2006, to the extent that its provisions do not prejudice or violate the provisions of any of the precepts, principles and norms enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of El Salvador, particularly in its enumeration of principles.

On 6 December 2016, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Portugal the following communication relating to the declaration made by the Republic of Turkey upon ratification:

"The Government of the Portuguese Republic has examined the declaration made by the Republic of Turkey upon ratification of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities adopted on 13 December 2006.

It welcomes the ratification of the Optional Protocol by the Republic of Turkey since this is a significant step for the promotion of human rights, particularly the rights of those persons with disabilities.

Nevertheless, the Portuguese Republic, as a European Union Member State, opposes the declaration made by the Republic of Turkey since it describes another Member State, the Republic of Cyprus, as a defunct entity."