

MULTILATERAL TREATIES  
DEPOSITED WITH THE  
SECRETARY-GENERAL

---

Status as at 31 December 1986



UNITED NATIONS

---

# MULTILATERAL TREATIES DEPOSITED WITH THE SECRETARY-GENERAL

---

Status as at 31 December 1986



UNITED NATIONS  
New York, 1987

---

ST/LEG/SER.E/5 /

---

---

UNITED NATIONS PUBLICATION

---

Sales No. E.87.V.6

---

06000

ISBN 92-1-133293-1

INTRODUCTION

1. The present publication continues that entitled Multilateral Treaties in respect of which the Secretary-General Performs Depositary Functions. the last issue of which appeared in 1980 (ST/LEG/SER.D/13) with data up to 31 December 1979. This volume, the <sup>2121</sup> ~~fifth~~ of the series Multilateral Treaties Deposited with the Secretary-General (ST/LEG/SER.E/), consolidates the information (signatures, ratifications, accessions, miscellaneous notifications, reservations, declarations, objections, etc.) relating to all multilateral treaties covered up to 31 December 1986. (A supplement to the second volume was issued to cover actions from 1 January to 31 December 1983 under reference ST/LEG/SER.E/2/Add.1).
2. The previous publication consisted of a main part (comprehensive list of signatures, ratifications, etc.) printed annually, and of an annex entitled Final Clauses (ST/LEG/SER.D/1 Annex and Supplements) in loose-leaf form providing for each treaty deposited with the Secretary-General the text of formal and participation clauses. The annex was updated by annual supplements as required.
3. The present publication corresponds to the main part of the previous one. However, it no longer includes an annex, because, under paragraph 6 of resolution 36/112 adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 10 December 1981, the final clauses of multilateral treaties deposited with the Secretary-General will be re-issued as part of a new publication entitled Handbook of Final Clauses.<sup>1/</sup>

A. Treaties covered by this publication

4. Like previous publications, this volume covers (1) all multilateral treaties the original of which is deposited with the Secretary-General, (2) the Charter of the United Nations, in respect of which certain depositary functions have been conferred upon the Secretary-General (although the original of the Charter itself is deposited with the Government of the United States of America), (3) multilateral treaties formerly deposited with the Secretary-General of the League of Nations, to the extent that formalities or decisions affecting them have been taken within the framework of the United Nations, and (4) certain pre-United Nations treaties, other than those formerly deposited with the Secretary-General of the League of Nations, which were amended by protocols adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations.
5. As to those multilateral treaties formerly deposited with the Secretary-General of the League of Nations, all such treaties, by virtue of General Assembly resolution 24 (I) of 12 February 1946 and of a League of Nations Assembly resolution of 18 April 1946,<sup>2/</sup> were transferred, upon dissolution of the League of Nations, to the custody of the United Nations, and the Secretariat of the United Nations is charged in respect of those treaties with the task of performing the functions, pertaining to a secretariat, formerly entrusted under their provisions to the League of Nations; since those functions are of a de facto depositary nature, the treaties concerned are included in the present publication.

B. Division of the present publication into parts and chapters

6. The present publication follows the order adopted in the previous one. Thus, the material dealt with in this volume is arranged in two parts, part I being devoted to United Nations multilateral treaties and part II to League of Nations multilateral treaties. However, for ease of reference, those League of

Nations treaties and other pre-United Nations treaties that were amended by protocols adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations are included in part I, so that the lists of States which have become parties to the amending protocol and to the treaty as amended are followed immediately by a list showing the status of the original treaty as at the time of its transfer into the custody of the United Nations.

7. Part I is divided into chapters corresponding to given subjects, and within each chapter the treaties are generally listed in the chronological order of their conclusion. Part II, which is not divided into chapters, lists the treaties in the order in which they first gave rise to formalities or decisions within the framework of the United Nations.<sup>3/</sup>

### C. Information provided in respect of each treaty

#### (a) United Nations treaties

8. After the full title, particulars are given in respect of each treaty regarding entry into force, registration and publication in the United Nations Treaty Series or, if it is not yet published in the Treaty Series, the place where its text may be found in United Nations documentation. A note below the title portion briefly recounts how the treaty was adopted

9. Participants are listed alphabetically, along with the dates of signature, ratification, accession, etc., of each.<sup>4/</sup> The arrangement under each treaty reflects the provisions of the final clauses of that treaty regarding the methods of participation.

10. The texts of declarations, reservations and objections are normally given in full, either in special sections or in footnotes. Unless shown in quotation marks, the text is a translation (by the Secretariat), and unless otherwise indicated the reservations or declarations were made upon accomplishment of the final formality (ratification, accession, etc.).

#### (b) League of Nations treaties

11. The information provided is essentially based on the official records of the League of Nations - in particular, on the last official League of Nations publication of the list of signatures, ratifications and accessions in respect of multilateral treaties concluded under the auspices of the League of Nations,<sup>3/</sup> which accounts for the difference in format as compared with treaties deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

12. The list of signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., in respect of each of the League of Nations multilateral treaties covered by this publication is divided into two sections. The first section reflects the position as at the time of the transfer of those treaties to the custody of the United Nations, without implying a judgement by the Secretary-General of the United Nations on the current legal effect of the actions as to which information is provided, or on the status of any of the parties or territories listed therein; that section essentially preserves both the contents and the form of presentation of the last official list of the League of Nations. The second section gives a list of actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General, the form of presentation of the latter section follows that used in this publication in respect of the United Nations multilateral treaties.

13. Detailed explanations concerning the content and arrangement of material in the last official list of the League of Nations are given in the introduction to the publication containing that list. Here it

will be sufficient to note that the procedure of "signature ad referendum" (under which a signature - especially a definitive signature - is not considered to have been definitively affixed until it has been confirmed) was somewhat more frequent in League of Nations days.

D. Information of a general nature

14. On the occasion of treaty formalities, issues of a general character (mainly with regard to questions of representation or of territorial application) are sometimes raised. An effort has been made in the present publication to rationalize the presentation of the related information by regrouping under chapter I-1 and 2 (where a list of all States members of the United Nations is set out) all such questions as may pertain to the States concerned: thus General Assembly resolution 2758 (XXVI) of 25 October 1971 restoring all rights to the People's Republic of China is reproduced under the first mention of China, on page 3. Also described in chapter I 1 and 2 are changes in the official denomination of States or territories, inter alia, on the occasion of union of States, autonomy of territories, etc. In the case of States that are not members of the United Nations or in the case of intergovernmental organizations, the information appears in notes corresponding to the formalities that gave rise to the issue. Cross-references are provided as required.

15. More detailed information regarding the previous publications is set out in the Introduction to Multilateral Treaties in respect of which the Secretary-General Performs Depositary Functions (ST/LEG/SER.D/13).

---

NOTES

1/ Meanwhile, the texts of the final clauses of multilateral treaties covered by the last volume of Multilateral Treaties in respect of which the Secretary-General Performs Depositary Functions (ST/LEG/SER.D/13) will be found in document ST/LEG/SER.D/1 Annex and Supplements 1 to 11.

2/ League of Nations, Official Journal, Special Supplement No. 194, p. 57.

3/ The first 26 treaties are listed in the order in which they appear in the last League of Nations publication of signatures, ratifications and accessions: see League of Nations, Official Journal, Special Supplement No. 193, Twenty-first List, Geneva, 1944, and ibid., Special Supplement No. 195, Supplement to the Twenty-first List, Geneva, 1946.

4/ The following main symbols are used: a, accession; A, acceptance; AA, approval, c, formal confirmation; d, succession; s, definitive signature (entailing those rights and obligations provided for in the treaty); n, notification (of provisional application, of special undertaking, etc.).

---

SUGGESTIONS FOR CORRECTIONS OR MODIFICATIONS SHOULD BE COMMUNICATED TO:

Office of Legal Affairs  
Treaty Section  
United Nations, N.Y. 10017  
United States of America

---



GENERAL TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Table of contents . . . . .	ix
Part I.--United Nations Treaties . . . . .	1
CHAPTER I: Charter of the United Nations and Statute of the International Court of Justice.	3
CHAPTER II: Pacific Settlement of International Disputes . . . . .	33
CHAPTER III: Privileges and Immunities, Diplomatic and Consular Relations, etc. . . . .	35
CHAPTER IV: Human Rights . . . . .	95
CHAPTER V: Refugees and Stateless Persons . . . . .	177
CHAPTER VI: Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances . . . . .	209
CHAPTER VII: Traffic in Persons . . . . .	263
CHAPTER VIII: Obscene Publications . . . . .	288
CHAPTER IX: Health . . . . .	297
CHAPTER X: International Trade and Development . . . . .	309
CHAPTER XI: Transport and Communications . . . . .	365
CHAPTER XII: Navigation . . . . .	515
CHAPTER XIII: Economic Statistics . . . . .	549
CHAPTER XIV: Educational and Cultural Matters . . . . .	555
CHAPTER XV: Declaration of Death of Missing Persons . . . . .	575
CHAPTER XVI: Status of Women . . . . .	579
CHAPTER XVII: Freedom of Information . . . . .	593
CHAPTER XVIII: Miscellaneous Penal Matters . . . . .	595
CHAPTER XIX: Commodities . . . . .	607
CHAPTER XX: Maintenance Obligations . . . . .	697
CHAPTER XXI: Law of the Sea . . . . .	701
CHAPTER XXII: Commercial Arbitration . . . . .	747
CHAPTER XXIII: Law of Treaties . . . . .	757
CHAPTER XXIV: Outer Space . . . . .	769
CHAPTER XXV: Telecommunications . . . . .	773
CHAPTER XXVI: Disarmament . . . . .	777
CHAPTER XXVII: Environment . . . . .	785
CHAPTER XXVIII: Fiscal Matters . . . . .	791
Part II.--League of Nations Treaties . . . . .	795
Index . . . . .	867





TABLE OF CONTENTS  
(Also see index on page 867 )

PART I. UNITED NATIONS TREATIES

CHAPTER I. CHARTER OF THE UNITED NATIONS AND STATUTE OF THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE

1. Charter of the United Nations. Signed at San Francisco on 26 June 1945 . . . . .	3
2. Declarations of acceptance of the obligations contained in the Charter of the United Nations (Admission of States to membership in the United Nations in accordance with Article 4 of the Charter) . . . . .	5
3. Statute of the International Court of Justice (annexed to the Charter of the United Nations)	10
4. Declarations recognizing as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Court . . . . .	11
5. Amendments to the Charter of the United Nations:	
(a) Amendments to Articles 23, 27 and 61 of the Charter of the United Nations. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in its resolutions 1991 A and B (XVIII) of 17 December 1963 . . . . .	28
(b) Amendment to Article 109 of the Charter of the United Nations. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in its resolution 2101 (XX) of 20 December 1965 . . . .	29
(c) Amendment to Article 61 of the Charter of the United Nations. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in its resolution 2847 (XXVI) of 20 December 1971 . . .	30

CHAPTER II. PACIFIC SETTLEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL DISPUTES

1. Revised General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 28 April 1949 . . . . .	33
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

CHAPTER III. PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES, DIPLOMATIC AND CONSULAR RELATIONS, ETC.

1. Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 13 February 1946 . . . . .	35
2. Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the Specialized Agencies. Approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 21 November 1947 . . . . .	41
3. Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations. Done at Vienna on 18 April 1961 . . . . .	52
4. Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations concerning Acquisition of Nationality. Done at Vienna on 18 April 1961 . . . . .	68
5. Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes. Done at Vienna on 18 April 1961 . . . . .	70
6. Vienna Convention on Consular Relations. Done at Vienna on 24 April 1963 . . . . .	72
7. Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations concerning Acquisition of Nationality. Done at Vienna on 24 April 1963 . . . . .	78
8. Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes. Done at Vienna on 24 April 1963 . . . . .	79

	<u>Page</u>
9. Convention on Special Missions. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 8 December 1969 . . . . .	81
10. Optional Protocol to the Convention on Special Missions concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 8 December 1969. . . . .	82
11. Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crimes against Internationally Protected Persons, including Diplomatic Agents. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 14 December 1973 . . . . .	83
12. Vienna Convention on the Representation of States in their Relations with International Organizations of a Universal Character. Concluded at Vienna on 14 March 1975 . . . . .	91
13. Vienna Convention on Succession of States in respect of State Property, Archives and Debts. Concluded at Vienna on 8 April 1983 . . . . .	93

CHAPTER IV. HUMAN RIGHTS

1. Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 9 December 1948 . . . . .	95
2. International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination. Opened for signature at New York on 7 March 1966 . . . . .	103
3. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 16 December 1966 . . . . .	118
4. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 16 December 1966 . . . . .	128
5. Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 16 December 1966 . . . . .	151
6. Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 26 November 1968 . . . . .	153
7. International Convention on the Suppression and Punishment of the Crime of <u>Apartheid</u> . Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 30 November 1973 . . . . .	156
8. Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 18 December 1979 . . . . .	159
9. Convention against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 10 December 1984 . . . . .	173
10. International Convention against <u>Apartheid</u> in Sports. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 10 December 1985 . . . . .	176

CHAPTER V. REFUGEES AND STATELESS PERSONS

1. Constitution of the International Refugee Organization. Opened for signature at Flushing Meadow, New York, on 15 December 1946 . . . . .	177
2. Convention relating to the Status of Refugees. Signed at Geneva on 28 July 1951 . . . . .	179
3. Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons. Done at New York on 28 September 1954 . . . . .	194
4. Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness. Concluded at New York on 30 August 1961 . . . . .	201
5. Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees. Done at New York on 31 January 1967 . . . . .	203

CHAPTER VI. NARCOTIC DRUGS AND PSYCHOTROPIC SUBSTANCES

Page

1. Protocol amending the Agreements, Conventions and Protocols on Narcotic Drugs, concluded at The Hague on 23 January 1912, at Geneva on 11 February 1925 and 19 February 1925 and 13 July 1931, at Bangkok on 27 November 1931 and at Geneva on 26 June 1936. Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946 . . . . .	209
2. International Opium Convention. The Hague, January 23rd, 1912 . . . . .	211
3. Agreement concerning the Suppression of the Manufacture of, Internal Trade in, and Use of, Prepared Opium. Signed at Geneva on 11 February 1925 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946 . . . . .	215
4. Agreement concerning the Suppression of the Manufacture of, Internal Trade in, and Use of, Prepared Opium. Geneva, February 11th, 1925 . . . . .	216
5. International Opium Convention. Signed at Geneva on 19 February 1925 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946 . . . . .	217
6. (a) International Opium Convention. Geneva, February 19th, 1925 . . . . .	218
(b) Protocol. Geneva, February 19th, 1925 . . . . .	220
7. Convention for Limiting the Manufacture and Regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs. Signed at Geneva on 13 July 1931 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946 . . . . .	222
8. (a) Convention for limiting the Manufacture and regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs. Geneva, July 13th, 1931 . . . . .	224
(b) Protocol of Signature. Geneva, July 13th, 1931 . . . . .	227
9. Agreement concerning the Suppression of Opium Smoking. Signed at Bangkok on 27 November 1931 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946 . . . . .	229
10. Agreement concerning the Suppression of Opium Smoking. Bangkok, November 27th, 1931 . . . . .	230
11. Convention for the Suppression of the Illicit Traffic in Dangerous Drugs. Signed at Geneva on 26 June 1936 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946 . . . . .	231
12. (a) Convention of 1936 for the Suppression of the Illicit Traffic in Dangerous Drugs. Geneva, June 26th, 1936 . . . . .	233
(b) Protocol of Signature. Geneva, June 26th, 1936 . . . . .	234
13. Protocol Bringing under International Control Drugs Outside the Scope of the Convention of 13 July 1931 for Limiting the Manufacture and Regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs, as amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946. Signed at Paris on 19 November 1948 . . . . .	235
14. Protocol for Limiting and Regulating the Cultivation of the Poppy Plant, the Production of, International and Wholesale Trade in, and Use of Opium. Done at New York on 23 June 1953 . . . . .	238
15. Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1953. Done at New York on 30 March 1954 . . . . .	241
16. Convention on Psychotropic Substances. Concluded at Vienna on 21 February 1971 . . . . .	249
17. Protocol amending the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1953. Concluded at Geneva on 25 March 1972 . . . . .	256
18. Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1953, as amended by the Protocol of 25 March 1972 amending the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1953. Done at New York on 8 August 1975 . . . . .	260

CHAPTER VII. TRAFFIC IN PERSONS

1. Protocol to amend the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children,

	<u>Page</u>
concluded at Geneva on 30 September 1921, and the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age, concluded at Geneva on 11 October 1933. Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947 . . . . .	263
2. Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children, concluded at Geneva on 30 September 1921 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947 . . . . .	266
3. International Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children. Geneva, September 30th, 1921 . . . . .	267
4. Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age, concluded at Geneva on 11 October 1933 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947 . . . . .	269
5. International Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age. Geneva, October 11th, 1933 . . . . .	270
6. Protocol amending the International Agreement for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, signed at Paris on 18 May 1904, and the International Convention for the Suppression of White Slave Traffic, signed at Paris on 4 May 1910. Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949 . . . . .	272
7. International Agreement for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, signed at Paris on 18 May 1904 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949 . . . . .	274
8. International Agreement for the Suppression of the "White Slave Traffic". Signed at Paris on 18 May 1904 . . . . .	276
9. International Convention for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, signed at Paris on 4 May 1910 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949 . . . . .	278
10. International Convention for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic. Signed at Paris on 4 May 1910 . . . . .	280
11. (a) Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others. Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 21 March 1950 . . . . .	282
(b) Final Protocol to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others. Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 21 March 1950 . . . . .	286

CHAPTER VIII. OBSCENE PUBLICATIONS

1. Protocol to amend the Convention for the Suppression of the Circulation of, and Traffic in, Obscene Publications, concluded at Geneva on 12 September 1923. Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947 . . . . .	288
2. Convention for the Suppression of the Circulation of, and Traffic in, Obscene Publications, concluded at Geneva on 12 September 1923 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947 . . . . .	289
3. International Convention for the Suppression of the Circulation of and Traffic in Obscene Publications. Geneva, September 12th, 1923 . . . . .	291
4. Protocol amending the Agreement for the Suppression of the Circulation of Obscene Publications, signed at Paris on 4 May 1910 Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949 . . . . .	293
5. Agreement for the Suppression of the Circulation of Obscene Publications, signed at Paris on 4 May 1910 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949 . . . . .	294

	<u>Page</u>
6. Agreement for the Suppression of the Circulation of Obscene Publications. Signed at Paris on 4 May 1910 . . . . .	295

CHAPTER IX. HEALTH

1. Constitution of the World Health Organization. Signed at New York on 22 July 1946 . . . . .	297
Amendments to the Constitution of the World Health Organization:	
(a) Amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization. Adopted by the Twelfth World Health Assembly on 28 May 1959 . . . . .	299
(b) Amendment to article 7 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization. Adopted by the Eighteenth World Health Assembly on 20 May 1965. . . . .	300
(c) Amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization. Adopted by the Twentieth World Health Assembly on 23 May 1967 . . . . .	301
(d) Amendments to articles 34 and 55 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization. Adopted by the Twenty-sixth World Health Assembly on 22 May 1973 . . . . .	302
(e) Amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization. Adopted by the Twenty-ninth World Health Assembly on 17 May 1976 . . . . .	304
(f) Amendment to article 74 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization. Adopted by the Thirty-first World Health Assembly on 18 May 1978 . . . . .	305
(g) Amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization. Adopted by the Thirty-ninth World Health Assembly on 12 May 1986 . . . . .	306
2. Protocol concerning the <u>Office international d'hygiène publique</u> . Signed at New York on 22 July 1946 . . . . .	308

CHAPTER X. INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT

1. (a) General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, with Annexes and Schedules of Tariffs Concessions. Authenticated by the Final Act adopted at the conclusion of the second session of the Preparatory Committee of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Employment and signed at Geneva on 30 October 1947 . . . . .	309
(b) Havana Charter for an International Trade Organization. Authenticated by the Final Act of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Employment, signed at Havana on 24 March 1948. . . . .	321
(c) Agreement on most-favoured-nation treatment for areas of Western Germany under military occupation. Signed at Geneva on 14 September 1948 . . . . .	321
(d) Memorandum of understanding relative to application to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Agreement on most-favoured-nation treatment for areas of Western Germany under military occupation Signed at Annecy on 13 August 1949 . . . . .	322
2. Agreement establishing the African Development Bank. Done at Khartoum on 4 August 1963 . .	323
(a) Amendments to the Agreement establishing the African Development Bank Adopted by the Board of Governors of the African Development Bank in Resolution 05-79 of 17 May 1979 . . . . .	325
(b) Agreement establishing the African Development Bank done at Khartoum on 4 August 1963, as amended by Resolution 05-79 adopted by the Board of Governors on 17 May 1979. Concluded at Lusaka on 7 May 1982 . . . . .	326
3. Convention on Transit Trade of Land-locked States. Done at New York on 8 July 1965 . . . .	331
4. Agreement establishing the Asian Development Bank. Done at Manila on 4 December 1965 . . .	334

	<u>Page</u>
5. Articles of Association for the Establishment of an Economic Community of West Africa. Done at Accra on 4 May 1967 . . . . .	338
6. Agreement establishing the Caribbean Development Bank, with Protocol to provide for procedure for amendment of article 36 of the Agreement. Done at Kingston, Jamaica, on 18 October 1969 . . . . .	339
7. Convention on the Limitation Period in the International Sale of Goods. Concluded at New York on 14 June 1974 . . . . .	341
(a) Protocol amending the Convention on the Limitation Period in the International Sale of Goods. Concluded at Vienna on 11 April 1980 . . . . .	342
(b) Convention on the Limitation Period in the International Sale of Goods, concluded at New York on 14 June 1974, as amended by the Protocol of 11 April 1980 . . . . .	343
8. Agreement Establishing the International Fund for Agricultural Development. Concluded at Rome on 13 June 1976 . . . . .	344
9. Constitution of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization. Concluded at Vienna on 8 April 1979 . . . . .	350
10. United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods. Concluded at Vienna on 11 April 1980 . . . . .	361
11. Charter of the Asian and Pacific Development Center. Adopted by the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific on 1 April 1982 . . . . .	363

CHAPTER XI. TRANSPORT AND COMMUNICATIONS

A. Customs Matters

1. Agreement providing for the provisional application of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring, on Commercial Road Vehicles and on the International Transport of Goods by Road. Signed at Geneva on 16 June 1949 . . . . .	365
2. Additional Protocol to the Agreement providing for the provisional application of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring, on Commercial Road Vehicles and on the International Transport of Goods by Road. Signed at Geneva on 16 June 1949 . . . . .	368
3. Additional Protocol to the Agreement providing for the provisional application of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring, on Commercial Road Vehicles and on the International Transport of Goods by Road, relating to the international transport of goods by container under the T.I.R. Carnet Régime. Signed at Geneva on 11 March 1950 . . . . .	369
4. Additional Protocol amending certain provisions of the Agreement providing for the provisional application of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring, on Commercial Road Vehicles and on the International Transport of Goods by Road. Done at Geneva on 28 November 1952 . . . . .	370
5. International Convention to Facilitate the Importation of Commercial Samples and Advertising Material. Done at Geneva on 7 November 1952 . . . . .	371
6. Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring. Done at New York on 4 June 1954 . . . . .	374
7. Additional Protocol to the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring, relating to the Importation of Tourist Publicity Documents and Material. Done at New York on 4 June 1954 . . . . .	379
8. Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Private Road Vehicles. Done at New York on 4 June 1954 . . . . .	382
9. Customs Convention on Containers. Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956 . . . . .	386

	<u>Page</u>
10. Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Commercial Road Vehicles. Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956 . . . . .	388
11. Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation for Private Use of Aircraft and Pleasure Boats. Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956 . . . . .	390
12. Customs Convention concerning Spare Parts used for repairing EUROP Wagons. Done at Geneva on 15 January 1958 . . . . .	392
13. Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets (TIR Convention). Done at Geneva on 15 January 1959 . . . . .	393
14. European Convention on Customs Treatment of Pallets used in International Transport. Done at Geneva on 9 December 1960 . . . . .	396
15. Customs Convention on Containers, 1972. Concluded at Geneva on 2 December 1972 . . . . .	398
16. Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR carnets (TIR Convention). Concluded at Geneva on 14 November 1975 . . . . .	401
17. International Convention on the Harmonization of Frontier Control of Goods. Concluded at Geneva on 21 October 1982 . . . . .	405

**B. Road Traffic**

1. Convention on Road Traffic. Signed at Geneva on 19 September 1949 . . . . .	406
2. Protocol concerning countries or territories at present occupied. Signed at Geneva on 19 September 1949 . . . . .	416
3. Protocol on Road Signs and Signals. Signed at Geneva on 19 September 1949 . . . . .	417
4. European Agreement supplementing the 1949 Convention on Road Traffic and the 1949 Protocol on Road Signs and Signals. Signed at Geneva on 16 September 1950 . . . . .	419
5. European Agreement on the application of article 3 of annex 7 of the 1949 Convention on Road Traffic concerning the dimensions and weights of vehicles permitted to travel on certain roads of the Contracting Parties. Signed at Geneva on 16 September 1950 . . . . .	420
6. European Agreement on the application of article 23 of the 1949 Convention on Road Traffic concerning the dimensions and weights of vehicles permitted to travel on certain roads of the Contracting Parties. Signed at Geneva on 16 September 1950 . . . . .	421
7. Declaration on the Construction of Main International Traffic Arteries. Signed at Geneva on 16 September 1950 . . . . .	422
8. General Agreement on Economic Regulations for International Road Transport:	
(a) Additional Protocol	
(b) Protocol of Signature	
Concluded at Geneva on 17 March 1954 . . . . .	423
(c) Protocol relating to the adoption of Annex C.1 to the Set of Rules annexed to the General Agreement on Economic Regulations for International Road Transport. Concluded at Geneva on 1 July 1954 . . . . .	423
9. Agreement on Signs for Road Works, amending the European Agreement of 16 September 1950 supplementing the 1949 Convention on Road Traffic and the 1949 Protocol on Road Signs and Signals. Concluded at Geneva on 16 December 1955. . . . .	424
10. Convention on the Taxation of Road Vehicles for Private Use in International Traffic. Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956 . . . . .	425
11. Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR). Done at Geneva on 19 May 1956 . . . . .	427



	<u>Page</u>
(a) Protocol to the Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR). Concluded at Geneva on 5 July 1978 . . . . .	430
12. Convention on the Taxation of Road Vehicles Engaged in International Goods Transport. Done at Geneva on 14 December 1956 . . . . .	432
13. Convention on the Taxation of Road Vehicles Engaged in International Passenger Transport. Done at Geneva on 14 December 1956 . . . . .	433
14. European Agreement concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road (ADR). Done at Geneva on 30 September 1957 . . . . .	434
(a) Protocol amending article 14(3) of the European Agreement on 30 September 1957 concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road (ADR). Concluded at New York on 21 August 1975 . . . . .	436
15. European Agreement on Road Markings. Done at Geneva on 13 December 1957 . . . . .	437
16. Agreement concerning the Adoption of Uniform Conditions of Approval and Reciprocal Recognition of Approval for Motor Vehicle Equipment and Parts. Done at Geneva on 20 March 1958 . .	438
17. Agreement on Special Equipment for the Transport of Perishable Foodstuffs. Concluded at Geneva on 15 January 1962 . . . . .	475
18. European Agreement concerning the Work of Crews of Vehicles Engaged in International Road Transport (AETR). Concluded at Geneva on 19 January 1962 . . . . .	476
19. Convention on Road Traffic. Concluded at Vienna on 8 November 1968 . . . . .	477
20. Convention on Road Signs and Signals. Concluded at Vienna on 8 November 1968 . . . . .	483
21. European Agreement concerning the Work of Crews of Vehicles Engaged in International Road Transport (AETR). Concluded at Geneva on 1 July 1970 . . . . .	488
22. Agreement on the International Carriage of Perishable Foodstuffs and on the Special Equipment to be used for such Carriage (ATP). Concluded at Geneva on 1 September 1970 . . . . .	490
23. European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968. Concluded at Geneva on 1 May 1971 . . . . .	493
24. European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968. Concluded at Geneva on 1 May 1971 . . . . .	496
25. Protocol on Road Markings, additional to the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968. Concluded at Geneva on 1 March 1973 . . . . .	498
26. Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Passengers and Luggage by Road (CVR). Concluded at Geneva on 1 March 1973 . . . . .	500
(a) Protocol to the Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Passengers and Luggage by Road (CVR). Concluded at Geneva on 5 July 1978 . . . . .	500
27. Agreement on Minimum Requirements for the Issue and Validity of driving Permits (APC). Concluded at Geneva on 1 April 1975 . . . . .	501
28. European Agreement on main international traffic arteries (AGR). Concluded at Geneva on 15 November 1975 . . . . .	502
29. Intergovernmental Agreement on the Establishment of an Inter-African Motor Vehicle Third Party Liability Insurance Card. Opened for signature at New York on 1 October 1978. . . .	504

### C. Transport by Rail

1. International Convention to Facilitate the Crossing of Frontiers for Passengers and Baggage carried by Rail Signed at Geneva on 10 January 1952 . . . . .	505
2. International Convention to Facilitate the Crossing of Frontiers for Goods carried by Rail. Signed at Geneva on 10 January 1952 . . . . .	506
3. European Agreement on Main International Railway Lines. Concluded at Geneva on 31 May 1985	507

D. Water Transport

1. Convention relating to the Limitation of the Liability of Owners of Inland Navigation Vessels (CLN). Concluded at Geneva on 1 March 1973 . . . . .	508
(a) Protocol to the Convention relating to the Limitation of the Liability of Owners of Inland Navigation Vessels (CLN). Concluded at Geneva on 5 July 1978 . . . . .	509
2. Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Passengers and Luggage by Inland Waterway (CVN). Concluded at Geneva on 6 February 1976 . . . . .	510
(a) Protocol to the Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Passengers and Luggage by Inland Waterway (CVN). Concluded at Geneva on 5 July 1978 . . . . .	511
3. United Nations Convention on the Carriage of Goods by Sea, 1978. Concluded at Hamburg on 31 March 1978 . . . . .	512

E. Multimodal Transport

1. United Nations Convention on International Multimodal Transport of Goods. Concluded at Geneva on 24 May 1980 . . . . .	513
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

## CHAPTER XII. NAVIGATION

1. Convention on the International Maritime Organization. Done at Geneva on 6 March 1948 . . . . .	515
Amendments to the Convention on the International Maritime Organization:	
(a) Amendments to articles 17 and 18 of the Convention on the International Maritime Organization. Adopted by the Assembly of the International Maritime Organization in resolution A.69 (ES.II) of 15 September 1964 . . . . .	521
(b) Amendment to article 28 of the Convention on the International Maritime Organization. Adopted by the Assembly of the International Maritime Organization in resolution A.70 (IV) of 28 September 1965 . . . . .	522
(c) Amendments to articles 10, 16, 17, 18, 20, 28, 31 and 32 of the Convention on the International Maritime Organization. Adopted by the Assembly of the International Maritime Organization in resolution A.315 (ES.V) of 17 October 1974 . . . . .	523
(d) Amendments to the title and substantive provisions of the Convention on the International Maritime Organization. Adopted by the Assembly of the International Maritime Organization by its resolutions A.358 (IX) of 14 November 1975 and A.371 (X) of 9 November 1977 (rectification of resolution A.358 (IX)) . . . . .	525
(e) Amendments to the Convention on the International Maritime Organization relating to the institutionalization of the Committee on Technical Co-operation in the Convention. Adopted by the Assembly of the International Maritime Organization in resolution A.400 (X) of 17 November 1977 . . . . .	527
(f) Amendments to articles 17, 18, 20 and 51 of the Convention on the International Maritime Organization. Adopted by the Assembly of the International Maritime Organization in resolution A.450 (XI) of 15 November 1979 . . . . .	529
2. Convention regarding the Measurement and Registration of Vessels Employed in Inland Navigation. Concluded at Bangkok on 22 June 1956 . . . . .	535
3. Convention relating to the Unification of Certain Rules concerning Collisions in Inland Navigation. Concluded at Geneva on 15 March 1960 . . . . .	536

	<u>Page</u>
4. Convention on the Registration of Inland Navigation Vessels. Concluded at Geneva on 25 January 1965 . . . . .	539
5. Convention on the Measurement of Inland Navigation Vessels. Concluded at Geneva on 15 February 1966 . . . . .	541
6. Convention on a Code of Conduct for Liner Conferences. Concluded at Geneva on 6 April 1974 . . . . .	543
7. United Nations Convention on Conditions for Registration of Ships. Concluded at Geneva on 7 February 1986 . . . . .	548

CHAPTER XIII. ECONOMIC STATISTICS

1. Protocol amending the International Convention relating to Economic Statistics, signed at Geneva on 14 December 1928. Signed at Paris on 9 December 1948 . . . . .	549
2. International Convention relating to Economic Statistics. Signed at Geneva on 14 December 1928 and amended by the Protocol signed at Paris on 9 December 1948 . . . . .	550
3. (a) International Convention relating to Economic Statistics. Geneva, December 14th, 1928 . . . . .	551
(b) Protocol. Geneva, December 14th, 1928 . . . . .	553

CHAPTER XIV. EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL MATTERS

1. Agreement for Facilitating the International Circulation of Visual and Auditory Materials of an Educational, Scientific and Cultural Character. Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 15 July 1949 . . . . .	555
2. Agreement on the Importation of Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials. Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 22 November 1950 . . . . .	557
3. International Convention for the Protection of Performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organizations. Done at Rome on 26 October 1961 . . . . .	561
4. Convention for the Protection of Producers of Phonograms against Unauthorized Duplication of their Phonograms. Concluded at Geneva on 29 October 1971 . . . . .	566
5. Protocol to the Agreement on the Importation of Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials of 22 November 1950. Concluded at Nairobi on 26 November 1976 . . . . .	568
6. International Agreement for the Establishment of the University for Peace. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 5 December 1980 . . . . .	570
7. Statutes of the International Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology. Concluded at Madrid on 13 September 1983 . . . . .	571
a) Protocol of the Reconvened Plenipotentiary Meeting on the Establishment of the International Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology. Concluded at Vienna on 4 April 1984 . . . . .	573

CHAPTER XV. DECLARATION OF DEATH OF MISSING PERSONS

1. Convention on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons. Established and opened for accession on 6 April 1950 by the United Nations Conference on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons . . . . .	575
2. Protocol for extending the period of validity of the Convention on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons. Opened for accession at New York on 16 January 1957 . . . . .	577

	<u>Page</u>
3. Protocol for the further extension of the period of validity of the Convention on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons. Opened for accession at New York on 15 January 1967 . . . . .	578
 <b>CHAPTER XVI. STATUS OF WOMEN</b>	
1. Convention on the Political Rights of Women. Opened for signature at New York on 31 March 1953 . . . . .	579
2. Convention on the Nationality of Married Women. Done at New York on 20 February 1957 . . .	586
3. Convention on Consent to Marriage, Minimum Age for Marriage and Registration of Marriages. Opened for signature at New York on 10 December 1962 . . . . .	590
 <b>CHAPTER XVII. FREEDOM OF INFORMATION</b>	
1. Convention on the International Right of Correction. Opened for signature at New York on 31 March 1953 . . . . .	593
 <b>CHAPTER XVIII. MISCELLANEOUS PENAL MATTERS</b>	
1. Protocol amending the Slavery Convention signed at Geneva on 25 September 1926. Done at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, on 7 December 1953 . . . . .	595
2. Slavery Convention signed at Geneva on 25 September 1926 and amended by the Protocol done at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, on 7 December 1953 . . . . .	597
3. Slavery Convention. Geneva, September 25th, 1926 . . . . .	599
4. Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade, and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery. Done at the European Office of the United Nations at Geneva on 7 September 1956 . . . . .	601
5. International Convention Against the Taking of Hostages. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 17 December 1979 . . . . .	604
 <b>CHAPTER XIX. COMMODITIES</b>	
1. International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1956. Opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations from 15 November 1955 to 15 February 1956 . . . . .	607
2. Protocol amending the International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1956. Adopted at the second session of the United Nations Conference on Olive Oil held in Geneva from 31 March to 3 April 1958 . . . . .	608
3. International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1956, as amended by the Protocol of 3 April 1958 . .	609
4. International Coffee Agreement, 1962. Done at New York on 28 September 1962 . . . . .	610
5. International Coffee Agreement, 1968. Open for signature at New York from 18 to 31 March 1968 . . . . .	614
(a) Extension with modifications of the International Coffee Agreement, 1968. Approved by the International Coffee Council in resolution No. 264 of 14 April 1973 . . . . .	617

	<u>Page</u>
(b) International Coffee Agreement, 1968, open for signature at New York from 18 to 31 March 1968, as extended with modifications by the International Coffee Council in resolution No. 264 of 14 April 1973 . . . . .	617
(c) Protocol for the Continuation in Force of the International Coffee Agreement, 1968, as extended. Concluded at London on 26 September 1974 . . . . .	619
(d) International Coffee Agreement, 1968, open for signature at New York from 18 to 31 March 1968, as extended by the Protocol of 26 September 1974 . . . . .	621
6. International Sugar Agreement, 1968. Open for signature at New York from 3 to 24 December 1968 . . . . .	623
7. Agreement establishing the Asian Coconut Community. Opened for signature at Bangkok on 12 December 1968 . . . . .	628
8. Agreement establishing the Pepper Community. Opened for signature at Bangkok on 16 April 1971 . . . . .	629
9. International Cocoa Agreement, 1972. Concluded at Geneva on 21 October 1972 . . . . .	630
10. International Sugar Agreement, 1973. Concluded at Geneva on 13 October 1973 . . . . .	633
(a) Extension of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973. Approved by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 1 of 30 September 1975 . . . . .	636
(b) International Sugar Agreement, 1973, concluded at Geneva on 13 October 1973, as extended by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 1 of 30 September 1975 . . . . .	637
(c) Extension of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973. Approved by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 2 of 18 June 1976 . . . . .	638
(d) International Sugar Agreement, 1973, concluded at Geneva on 13 October 1973, as extended by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 2 of 18 June 1976 . . . . .	639
(e) Extension of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973. Approved by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 3 of 31 August 1977 . . . . .	640
11. Agreement establishing the Asian Rice Trade Fund Drawn up at Bangkok on 16 March 1973 . . . . .	642
12. <u>Note</u> . . . . .	642
13. International Tin Agreement, 1975. Concluded at Geneva on 21 June 1975 . . . . .	643
14. International Cocoa Agreement, 1975. Concluded at Geneva on 20 October 1975 . . . . .	646
15. International Coffee Agreement, 1976. Concluded at London on 3 December 1975 . . . . .	649
(a) Extension of the International Coffee Agreement, 1976. Approved by the International Coffee Council in resolution No. 318 of 25 September 1981 . . . . .	652
(b) International Coffee Agreement, 1976, concluded at London on 3 December 1975, as extended by the International Coffee Council in Resolution No. 318 of 25 September 1981 . . . . .	654
16. Agreement establishing the International Tea Promotion Association. Concluded at Geneva on 31 March 1977 . . . . .	656
17. Agreement establishing the Southeast Asia Tin Research and Development Centre. Concluded at Bangkok on 28 April 1977 . . . . .	657
18. International Sugar Agreement, 1977. Concluded at Geneva on 7 October 1977 . . . . .	658
(a) Extension of the International Sugar Agreement, 1977. Approved by the International Sugar Council in Decisions No. 13 of 20 November 1981 and No. 14 of 21 May 1982 . . . . .	662
(b) Extension of the International Sugar Agreement, 1977. Concluded at Geneva on 7 October 1977, as extended until 31 December 1984 by the International Sugar Council in decisions No. 13 of 20 November 1981 and No. 14 of 21 May 1982 . . . . .	663
19. Agreement establishing the International Tropical Timber Bureau. Concluded at Geneva on 9 November 1977 . . . . .	665
20. International Natural Rubber Agreement, 1979. Concluded at Geneva on 6 October 1979 . . . . .	666
21. Agreement establishing the Common Fund for Commodities. Concluded at Geneva on 27 June 1980 . . . . .	669

	<u>Page</u>
22. International Cocoa Agreement, 1980. Concluded at Geneva on 19 November 1980 . . . . .	673
23. Sixth International Tin Agreement, 1981. Concluded at Geneva on 26 June 1981 . . . . .	677
24. International Agreement on Jute and Jute Products, 1982. Concluded at Geneva on 1 October 1982 . . . . .	679
25. International Coffee Agreement 1983. Adopted by the International Coffee Council on 16 September 1982 . . . . .	681
26. International Tropical Timber Agreement, 1983. Concluded at Geneva on 18 November 1983 . . . . .	684
27. International Sugar Agreement, 1984. Concluded at Geneva on 5 July 1984 . . . . .	686
28. International Wheat Agreement, 1986	
a) Wheat Trade Convention, 1986. Concluded at London on 14 March 1986 . . . . .	689
b) Food Aid Convention, 1986. Concluded at London on 13 March 1986 . . . . .	691
29. Terms of Reference of the International Nickel Study Group, as adopted on 2 May 1986 by the United Nations Conference on Nickel, 1985 . . . . .	692
30. International Agreement on Olive Oil and Table Olives, 1986. Concluded at Geneva on 1 July 1986 . . . . .	693
31. International Cocoa Agreement, 1986. Concluded at Geneva on 25 July 1986 . . . . .	694

CHAPTER XX. MAINTENANCE OBLIGATIONS

1. Convention on the Recovery Abroad of Maintenance Done at New York on 20 June 1956 . . . . .	697
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

CHAPTER XXI. LAW OF THE SEA

1. Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958 . . . . .	701
2. Convention on the High Seas Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958 . . . . .	708
3. Convention on Fishing and Conservation of the Living Resources of the High Seas. Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958 . . . . .	716
4. Convention on the Continental Shelf. Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958 . . . . .	718
5. Optional Protocol of Signature concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes. Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958 . . . . .	723
6. United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea Concluded at Montego Bay, Jamaica, on 10 December 1982 . . . . .	725

CHAPTER XXII. COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

1. Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards Done at New York on 10 June 1958 . . . . .	747
2. European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration. Done at Geneva on 21 April 1961 . . . . .	754

CHAPTER XXIII. LAW OF TREATIES

1. Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties. Concluded at Vienna on 23 May 1969 . . . . .	757
2. Vienna Convention on Succession of States in respect of Treaties. Concluded at Vienna on 23 August 1978 . . . . .	766
3. Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties between States and International Organisations or between International Organizations Concluded at Vienna on 21 March 1986 . . . . .	767

CHAPTER XXIV. OUTER SPACE

Page

1. Convention on Registration of Objects Launched into Outer Space. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 12 November 1974 . . . . . 769
2. Agreement governing the Activities of States on the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 5 December 1979. . . . . 771

CHAPTER XXV. TELECOMMUNICATIONS

1. Convention relating to the Distribution of Programme-carrying Signals transmitted by Satellite. Concluded at Brussels on 21 May 1974 . . . . . 773
2. Constitution of the Asia-Pacific Telecommunity. Adopted by the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific on 27 March 1976 . . . . . 774
  - (a) Amendment to article 11, paragraph 2 (a), of the Constitution of the Asia-Pacific telecommunity. Adopted by the General Assembly of the Asian-Pacific Telecommunity at Bangkok on 13 November 1981 . . . . . 775
3. Agreement establishing the Asia-Pacific Institute for Broadcasting Development. Concluded at Kuala Lumpur on 12 August 1977 . . . . . 776

CHAPTER XXVI. DISARMAMENT

1. Convention on the Prohibition of Military or any Other Hostile use of Environmental Modification Techniques. Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 10 December 1976 . . . . . 777
2. Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of certain Conventional Weapons which may be deemed to be excessively injurious or to have indiscriminate effects (and Protocols. Concluded at Geneva on 10 October 1980 . . . . . 781

CHAPTER XXVII. ENVIRONMENT

1. Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution. Concluded at Geneva on 13 November 1979 . . . . . 785
  - a) Protocol to the 1979 Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution on Long-Term Financing of the Co-Operative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-Range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP). Concluded at Geneva on 28 September 1984 . . . . . 788
  - b) Protocol to the 1979 Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution on the Reduction of Sulphur Emissions or their Transboundary Fluxes by at least 30 per cent. Concluded at Helsinki on 8 July 1985 . . . . . 789
2. Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer. Concluded at Vienna on 22 March 1985 . . . . . 790

CHAPTER XXVIII. FISCAL MATTERS

1. (a) Multilateral Convention for the Avoidance of Double Taxation of Copyright Royalties Concluded at Madrid on 13 December 1979 . . . . . 791
- (b) Additional Protocol. Concluded at Madrid on 13 December 1979 . . . . . 792

## PART II. LEAGUE OF NATIONS TREATIES

Page

1. Convention concerning the Use of Broadcasting in the Cause of Peace. Geneva, September 23rd, 1936 . . . . .	795
2. Special Protocol concerning Statelessness. The Hague, April 12th, 1930 . . . . .	800
3. Protocol relating to a Certain Case of Statelessness. The Hague, April 12th, 1930 . . . . .	801
4. Convention on Certain Questions relating to the Conflict of Nationality Laws. The Hague, April 12th, 1930 . . . . .	802
5. Protocol relating to Military Obligations in Certain Cases of Double Nationality. The Hague, April 12th, 1930 . . . . .	804
6. Protocol on Arbitration Clauses. Geneva, September 24th, 1923 . . . . .	805
7. Convention on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards. Geneva, September 26th, 1927 . . . . .	808
8. Convention for the Settlement of Certain Conflicts of Laws in connection with Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes. Geneva, June 7th, 1930 . . . . .	810
9. Convention for the Settlement of Certain Conflicts of Laws in connection with Cheques. Geneva, March 19th, 1931 . . . . .	812
10. Convention providing a Uniform Law for Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes. Geneva, June 7th, 1930 . . . . .	814
11. Convention providing a Uniform Law for Cheques. Geneva, March 19th, 1931 . . . . .	818
12. Convention on the Stamp Laws in connection with Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes. Geneva, June 7th, 1930 . . . . .	822
13. Convention on the Stamp Laws in connection with Cheques. Geneva, March 19th, 1931 . . . . .	825
14. (a) International Convention for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency and (b) Protocol Geneva, April 20th, 1929 . . . . .	827
15. Optional Protocol concerning the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency. Geneva, April 20th, 1929 . . . . .	831
16. Convention and Statute on Freedom of Transit. Barcelona, April 20th, 1921 . . . . .	832
17. Convention and Statute on the Régime of Navigable Waterways of International Concern. Barcelona, April 20th, 1921 . . . . .	834
18. Additional Protocol to the Convention on the Régime of Navigable Waterways of International Concern. Barcelona, April 20th, 1921 . . . . .	836
19. Declaration recognizing the Right to a Flag of States having no Sea-coast. Barcelona, April 20th 1921 . . . . .	838
20. Convention on the International Régime of Maritime Ports Geneva, December 9th, 1923 . . . . .	840
21. Convention on the Taxation of Foreign Motor Vehicles. Geneva, March 30th, 1931 . . . . .	842
22. International Convention relating to the Simplification of Customs Formalities. Geneva, November 3rd, 1923 . . . . .	844
23. International Convention for the Campaign against Contagious Diseases of Animals. Geneva, February 20th, 1935 . . . . .	846
24. Convention concerning the Transit of Animals, Meat and Other Products of Animal Origin. Geneva, February, 20th, 1935 . . . . .	847
25. International Convention concerning the Export and Import of Animal Products (other than Meat, Meat Preparations, Fresh Animal Products, Milk and Milk Products). Geneva, February 20th, 1935 . . . . .	848
26. Convention establishing an International Relief Union. Geneva, July 12th, 1927 . . . . .	849
27. Convention on the International Régime of Railways. Geneva, December 9th, 1923 . . . . .	851
28. Convention regarding the Measurement of Vessels employed in Inland Navigation. Paris, November 27th, 1925 . . . . .	853



	<u>Page</u>
29. General Act of Arbitration (Pacific Settlement of International Disputes). Geneva, September 26th, 1928 . . . . .	855
30. Convention concerning the Unification of Road Signals. Geneva, March 30th, 1931 . . . . .	863
31. Agreement concerning maritime signals. Signed at Lisbon, October 23, 1930 . . . . .	864

# **Part I**

## **United Nations Multilateral Treaties**



CHAPTER I. CHARTER OF THE UNITED NATIONS AND STATUTE OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE

1. CHARTER OF THE UNITED NATIONS

Signed at San Francisco on 26 June 1945

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 October 1945, in accordance with Article 110.

Original Members of the United Nations which, having signed the Charter,<sup>1</sup>  
deposited their instruments of ratification with the Government of  
the United States of America on the dates indicated

Participant	Ratification	Participant	Ratification
Argentina . . . . .	24 Sep 1945	Lebanon . . . . .	15 Oct 1945
Australia . . . . .	1 Nov 1945	Liberia . . . . .	2 Nov 1945
Belgium . . . . .	27 Dec 1945	Luxembourg . . . . .	15 Oct 1945
Bolivia . . . . .	14 Nov 1945	Mexico . . . . .	7 Nov 1945
Brazil . . . . .	21 Sep 1945	Netherlands <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	10 Dec 1945
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	24 Oct 1945	New Zealand . . . . .	19 Sep 1945
Canada . . . . .	9 Nov 1945	Nicaragua . . . . .	6 Sep 1945
Chile . . . . .	11 Oct 1945	Norway . . . . .	27 Nov 1945
China <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	28 Sep 1945	Panama . . . . .	13 Nov 1945
Colombia . . . . .	5 Nov 1945	Paraguay . . . . .	12 Oct 1945
Costa Rica . . . . .	2 Nov 1945	Peru . . . . .	31 Oct 1945
Cuba . . . . .	15 Oct 1945	Philippines . . . . .	11 Oct 1945
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	19 Oct 1945	Poland . . . . .	24 Oct 1945
Denmark . . . . .	9 Oct 1945	Saudi Arabia . . . . .	18 Oct 1945
Dominican Republic . . . . .	4 Sep 1945	South Africa . . . . .	
Ecuador . . . . .	21 Dec 1945	(Union of South Africa) <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	7 Nov 1945
Egypt (United Arab Republic) <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	22 Oct 1945	Syrian Arab Republic (Syria) <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	19 Oct 1945
El Salvador . . . . .	26 Sep 1945	Turkey . . . . .	28 Sep 1945
Ethiopia . . . . .	13 Nov 1945	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	24 Oct 1945
France . . . . .	31 Aug 1945	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	24 Oct 1945
Greece . . . . .	25 Oct 1945	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland . . . . .	20 Oct 1945
Guatemala . . . . .	21 Nov 1945	United States of America . . . . .	8 Aug 1945
Haiti . . . . .	27 Sep 1945	Uruguay . . . . .	18 Dec 1945
Honduras . . . . .	17 Dec 1945	Venezuela . . . . .	15 Nov 1945
India . . . . .	30 Oct 1945	Yugoslavia . . . . .	19 Oct 1945
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	16 Oct 1945		
Iraq . . . . .	21 Dec 1945		

NOTES:

1/ All States listed herein signed the Charter on 26 June 1945, with the exception of Poland on behalf of which it was signed on 15 October 1945.

2/ Signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China

China is an original Member of the United Nations, the Charter having been signed and ratified on its behalf, on 26 June and 28 September 1945 respectively, by the Government of the Republic of China, which continued to represent China in the United Nations until 25 October 1971

On 25 October 1971, the General Assembly of the United Nations adopted its resolution 2758 (XXVI), reading as follows:

"The General Assembly,

"Recalling the principles of the Charter of the United Nations,

"Considering that the restoration of the lawful rights of the People's Republic of China is essential both for the protection of the Charter of the United Nations and for the cause that the United Nations must serve under the Charter,

Recognizing that the representatives of the Government of the People's Republic of China are the only lawful representatives of China to the United Nations and that the People's Republic of China is one of the five permanent members of the Security Council,

Decides to restore all its rights to the People's Republic of China and to recognize the representatives of its Government as the only

legitimate representatives of China to the United Nations, and to expel forthwith the representatives of Chiang Kai-shek from the place which they unlawfully occupy at the United Nations and in all the organizations related to it "

The United Nations had been notified on 18 November 1949 of the formation, on 1 October 1949, of the Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China. Proposals to effect a change in the representation of China in the United Nations subsequent to that time were not approved until the resolution quoted above was adopted

On 29 September 1972, a communication was received by the Secretary-General from the Minister for Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China stating:

"1. With regard to the multilateral treaties signed, ratified or acceded to by the defunct Chinese government before the establishment of the Government of the People's Republic of China, my Government will examine their contents before making a decision in the light of the circumstances as to whether or not they should be recognized

"2. As from October 1, 1949, the day of the founding of the People's Republic of China, the Chiang Kai-shek clique has no right at all to represent China. Its signature and ratification of, or accession to, any multilateral treaties by usurping the name of 'China' are all illegal and null and void. My Government will study these multilateral treaties before making a decision in the light of the circumstances as to whether or not they should be acceded to ."

All entries recorded throughout this publication in respect of China refer to actions taken by the authorities representing China in the United Nations at the time of those actions.

3/ By a communication dated 24 February 1958, the Minister for Foreign Affairs of the United Arab Republic notified the Secretary-General of the United Nations of the establishment by Egypt and Syria of a single State, the United Arab Republic. Subsequently, in a note dated 1 March 1958, the Ministry for Foreign Affairs of the United Arab Republic informed the Secretary-General of the following: ". It is to be noted that the Government of the United Arab Republic declares that the Union henceforth is a single Member of the United Nations, bound by the provisions of the Charter and that all international treaties and agreements concluded by Egypt or Syria with other countries will remain valid within the regional limits prescribed on their conclusion and in accordance with the principles of international law."

In a cable dated 8 October 1961, the Prime Minister and Minister for Foreign Affairs of the Syrian Arab Republic informed the President of the General Assembly of the United Nations that Syria had resumed her former status as an independent State and requested that the United Nations take note of the resumed membership in the United Nations of the Syrian Arab Republic. This request was brought to the attention of Member

States by the President of the General Assembly at its 1035th plenary meeting on 13 October 1961. At the 1036th plenary meeting which took place on the same date, the President of the General Assembly stated that no objection having been received on the part of any Member State the delegation of the Syrian Arab Republic has taken its seat in the Assembly as a Member of the United Nations with all the obligations and rights that go with that status. In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General on 19 July 1962, the Permanent Representative of Syria to the United Nations communicated to him the text of décret-loi No. 25 promulgated by the President of the Syrian Arab Republic on 13 June 1962 and stated the following:

"It follows from article 2 of the text in question that obligations contracted by the Syrian Arab Republic under multilateral agreements and conventions during the period of the Union with Egypt remain in force in Syria. The period of the Union between Syria and Egypt extends from 22 February 1958 to 27 September 1961."

Finally, in a communication dated 2 September 1971, the Permanent Representative of the Arab Republic of Egypt to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that the United Arab Republic had assumed the name of Arab Republic of Egypt (Egypt), and, in a communication dated 13 September 1971, the Permanent Mission of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that the official name of Syria was "Syrian Arab Republic"

Accordingly, in so far as concerns any action taken by Egypt or subsequently by the United Arab Republic in respect of any instrument concluded under the auspices of the United Nations, the date of such action is shown in the list of States opposite the name of Egypt. The dates of actions taken by Syria prior to the formation of the United Arab Republic are shown opposite the name of the Syrian Arab Republic, as also are the dates of receipt of instruments of accession or notification of application to the Syrian Province deposited on behalf of the United Arab Republic during the time when the Syrian Arab Republic formed part of the United Arab Republic

4/ By a communication received on 30 December 1985, the Government of the Netherlands informed the Secretary-General that the island of Aruba which was a part of the Netherlands Antilles would obtain internal autonomy as a separate country within the United Kingdom of the Netherlands as of 1 January 1986. The said change would have no consequence in international law. The Treaties concluded by the Kingdom which applied to the Netherlands Antilles including Aruba would continue, after 1 January 1986 to apply to the Netherlands Antilles (of which Aruba is no longer a part) and to Aruba.

5/ In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 25 May 1961, the Permanent Representative of the Union of South Africa to the United Nations informed him "that as from 31 May 1961, the Union of South Africa will be a Republic under the name of Republic of South Africa".

I.2: U.N Charter--Admission of new Members

2. DECLARATIONS OF ACCEPTANCE OF THE OBLIGATIONS CONTAINED IN THE CHARTER OF THE UNITED NATIONS

(Admission of States to membership in the United Nations in accordance with Article 4 of the Charter)<sup>1</sup>

Participant	Resolution	Date of adoption	Registration		United Nations Treaty Series	
			Date	Number	Volume	Page
Afghanistan <sup>1</sup>	34 (I)	9 Nov 1946	14 Dec 1946	7	1	39
Albania	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	14 Dec 1955	3043	223	23
Algeria	1754(XVII)	8 Oct 1962	11 Oct 1962	6336	442	37
Angola	31/44	1 Dec 1976	1 Sep 1978 <sup>3</sup>	16920	1102	
Antigua and Barbuda	36/26	11 Nov 1981	11 Nov 1981	20564	1256	
Austria	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	14 Dec 1955	3044	223	27
Bahamas	3051(XXVIII)	18 Sep 1973	18 Sep 1973	12760	891	109
Bahrain	2752(XXVI)	21 Sep 1971	21 Sep 1971	11351	797	77
Bangladesh	3203(XXIX)	17 Sep 1974	17 Sep 1974	13543	950	3
Barbados	2175(XXI)	9 Dec 1966	9 Dec 1966	8437	581	131
Belize	36/3	25 Sep 1981	25 Sep 1981	20408	1252	
Benin <sup>4</sup>	1481(XV)	20 Sep 1960	20 Sep 1960	5357	375	91
Bhutan	2751(XXVI)	21 Sep 1971	21 Sep 1971	11340	796	295
Botswana	2136(XXI)	17 Oct 1966	17 Oct 1966	8357	575	151
Brunei Darussalam	39/1	21 Sep 1984	21 Sep 1984	23093		
Bulgaria	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	14 Dec 1955	3045	223	31
Burkina Faso <sup>5</sup>	1483(XV)	20 Sep 1960	20 Sep 1960	5359	375	99
Burma	188(S-II)	19 Apr 1948	19 Apr 1948	225	15	3
Burundi	1749(XVII)	18 Sep 1962	18 Sep 1962	6303	437	149
Cameroon <sup>6</sup>	1476(XV)	20 Sep 1960	20 Sep 1960	5354	375	79
Cape Verde	3363(XXX)	16 Sep 1975	16 Sep 1975	14309	981	345
Central African Republic <sup>7</sup>	1488(XV)	20 Sep 1960	20 Sep 1960	5363	375	115
Chad	1485(XV)	20 Sep 1960	20 Sep 1960	5361	375	107
Comoros	3385(XXX)	12 Nov 1975	12 Nov 1975	14414	986	239
Congo <sup>8</sup>	1486(XV)	20 Sep 1960	20 Sep 1960	5362	375	111
Côte d'Ivoire	1484(XV)	20 Sep 1960	20 Sep 1960	5360	375	103
Cyprus	1489(XV)	20 Sep 1960	9 Jun 1961	5711	397	283
Democratic Kampuchea <sup>9</sup>	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	14 Dec 1955	3046	223	35
Democratic Yemen <sup>10</sup>	2310(XXII)	14 Dec 1967	14 Dec 1967	8861	614	21
Djibouti	32/1	20 Sep 1977	1 Sep 1978	16922	1102	
Dominica	33/107	18 Dec 1978	18 Dec 1978	17409	1102	
Equatorial Guinea	2384(XXIII)	12 Nov 1968	12 Nov 1968	9295	649	197
Fiji	2622(XXV)	13 Oct 1970	13 Oct 1970	10789	752	207
Finland	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	19 Dec 1955	3055	223	69
Gabon	1487(XV)	20 Sep 1960	7 Nov 1960	5436	379	99
Gambia	2008(XX)	21 Sep 1965	21 Sep 1965	7928	545	143
German Democratic Republic	3050(XXVIII)	18 Sep 1973	18 Sep 1973	12758	891	103
Germany, Federal Republic of	3050(XXVIII)	18 Sep 1973	18 Sep 1973	12759	891	105
Ghana	1118(XI)	8 Mar 1957	8 Mar 1957	3727	261	113
Grenada	3204(XXIX)	17 Sep 1974	17 Sep 1974	13544	950	7
Guinea	1325(XIII)	12 Dec 1958	12 Dec 1958	4595	317	77
Guinea-Bissau	3205(XXIX)	17 Sep 1974	17 Sep 1974	13545	950	11
Guyana	2133(XXI)	20 Sep 1966	20 Sep 1966	8316	572	225
Hungary	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	15 Dec 1955	3054	223	65
Iceland <sup>1</sup>	34(I)	9 Nov 1946	14 Dec 1946	8	1	41
Indonesia <sup>11</sup>	491(U)	28 Sep 1950	28 Sep 1950	916	71	153
Ireland	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	29 Nov 1956	3594	254	223
Israel	273(III)	11 May 1949	11 May 1949	448	30	53
Italy	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	9 Apr 1956	3217	231	175
Jamaica	1750(XVII)	18 Sep 1962	18 Sep 1962	6304	437	153
Japan	1113(XI)	18 Dec 1956	18 Dec 1956	3626	256	167
Jordan	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	14 Dec 1955	3048	223	43
Kenya	1976(XVIII)	16 Dec 1963	16 Dec 1963	7015	483	233
Kuwait	1872(S-IV)	14 May 1963	14 May 1963	6705	463	213
Lao People's Democratic Republic <sup>12</sup>	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	14 Dec 1955	3049	223	47

## I.2: U.N. Charter--Admission of new Members

## Decision of the General Assembly

Registration and publication  
of the Declarations<sup>2</sup>

Participant	Resolution	Date of adoption	Registration		United Nations Treaty Series	
			Date	Number	Volume	Page
Lesotho . . . . .	2137(XXI)	17 Oct 1966	17 Oct 1966	8358	575	155
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya <sup>13</sup> . . . . .	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	14 Dec 1955	3050	223	51
Madagascar . . . . .	1478(XV)	20 Sep 1960	20 Sep 1960	5356	375	87
Malawi <sup>14</sup> . . . . .		1 Dec 1964	1 Dec 1964	7496	519	3
Malaysia <sup>15</sup> . . . . .	1134(XII)	17 Sep 1957	17 Sep 1957	3995	277	3
Maldives <sup>16</sup> . . . . .	2009(XX)	21 Sep 1965	21 Sep 1965	7929	545	147
Mali . . . . .	1491(XV)	28 Sep 1960	28 Oct 1960	5412	377	361
Malta <sup>14</sup> . . . . .		1 Dec 1964	1 Dec 1964	7497	519	7
Mauritania . . . . .	1631(XVI)	27 Oct 1961	26 Mar 1963	6576	457	59
Mauritius . . . . .	2371(XXII)	24 Apr 1968	24 Apr 1968	9064	634	217
Mongolia . . . . .	1630(XVI)	27 Oct 1961	17 Jul 1962	6261	434	141
Morocco . . . . .	1111(XI)	12 Nov 1956	12 Nov 1956	3575	253	77
Mozambique . . . . .	3365(XXX)	16 Sep 1975	16 Sep 1975	14310	981	349
Nepal . . . . .	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	14 Dec 1955	3051	223	55
Niger . . . . .	1482(XV)	20 Sep 1960	20 Sep 1960	5358	375	95
Nigeria . . . . .	1492(XV)	7 Oct 1960	8 May 1961	5688	395	237
Oman . . . . .	2754(XXVI)	7 Oct 1971	7 Oct 1971	11359	797	225
Pakistan <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	108(II)	30 Sep 1947	30 Sep 1947	112	8	57
Papua New Guinea . . . . .	3368(XXX)	10 Oct 1975	10 Oct 1975	14377	985	51
Portugal . . . . .	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	21 Feb 1956	3155	229	3
Qatar . . . . .	2753(XXVI)	21 Sep 1971	21 Sep 1971	11352	797	81
Romania . . . . .	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	14 Dec 1955	3052	223	59
Rwanda <sup>17</sup> . . . . .	1748(XVII)	18 Sep 1962	18 Sep 1962	6302	437	145
Saint Christopher and Nevis . . . . .	38/1	23 Sep 1983	23 Sep 1983	22348	15	
Saint Lucia . . . . .	34/1	18 Sep 1979	18 Sep 1979	17969	1145	
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines . . . . .	35/1	16 Sep 1980	16 Sep 1980	19076	1198	
Samoa . . . . .	31/104	15 Dec 1976	15 Dec 1976	15164	1031	3
Sao Tome and Principe . . . . .	3364(XXX)	16 Sep 1975	16 Sep 1975	14311	981	353
Senegal . . . . .	1490(XV)	28 Sep 1960	28 Sep 1960	5374	376	79
Seychelles . . . . .	31/1	21 Sep 1976	21 Sep 1976	15022	1023	107
Sierra Leone . . . . .	1623(XVI)	27 Sep 1961	27 Sep 1961	5876	409	43
Singapore . . . . .	2010(XX)	21 Sep 1965	21 Sep 1965	7930	545	151
Solomon Islands . . . . .	33/1	19 Sep 1978	19 Sep 1978	17087	1106	137
Somalia . . . . .	1479(XV)	20 Sep 1960	23 Feb 1961	5577	388	179
Spain . . . . .	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	14 Dec 1955	3053	223	63
Sri Lanka <sup>17</sup> . . . . .	995(X)	14 Dec 1955	14 Dec 1955	3047	223	39
Sudan . . . . .	1110(XI)	12 Nov 1956	12 Nov 1956	3576	253	81
Suriname <sup>18</sup> . . . . .	3413(XXX)	4 Dec 1975	1 Jun 1976	14784	1007	343
Swaziland . . . . .	2376(XXIII)	24 Sep 1968	24 Sep 1968	9252	646	177
Sweden <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	34(I)	9 Nov 1946	14 Dec 1946	9	1	43
Thailand <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	101(I)	15 Dec 1946	16 Dec 1946	11	1	47
Togo . . . . .	1477(XV)	20 Sep 1960	20 Sep 1960	5355	375	83
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	1751(XVII)	18 Sep 1962	18 Sep 1962	6305	437	157
Tunisia . . . . .	1112(XI)	12 Nov 1956	12 Nov 1956	3577	253	85
Uganda . . . . .	1758(XVII)	25 Oct 1962	25 Oct 1962	6357	443	47
United Arab Emirates . . . . .	2794(XXVI)	9 Dec 1971	9 Dec 1971	11424	802	101
United Republic of Tanzania <sup>19</sup> . . . . .						
Tanganyika . . . . .	1667(XVI)	14 Dec 1961	14 Dec 1961	6000	416	147
Zanzibar . . . . .	1975(XVIII)	16 Dec 1963	16 Dec 1963	7016	483	237
Vanuatu . . . . .	36/1(XXXVI)	15 Sep 1981	15 Sep 1981	20385	12	
Viet Nam <sup>20</sup> . . . . .	32/2	20 Sep 1977	1 Sep 1978	16921	1102	
Yemen <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	108(II)	30 Sep 1947	30 Sep 1947	113	8	59
Zaire <sup>21</sup> . . . . .	1480(XV)	20 Sep 1960	2 Jan 1962	6020	418	157
Zambia <sup>14</sup> . . . . .		1 Dec 1964	1 Dec 1964	7498	519	11
Zimbabwe . . . . .	11/1(S-XI)	25 Aug 1980	25 Aug 1980	19058	1197	

I.2: U.N. Charter--Admission of new Members

NOTES:

1/ The Provisional Rules of Procedure of the General Assembly (rules 113-116), under which the first six new Members were admitted to membership in the United Nations, namely, Afghanistan, Iceland, Pakistan, Sweden, Thailand and Yemen, stipulated that the membership, in case of a favourable decision of the General Assembly, shall become effective on the date on which the applicant State presented to the Secretary-General an instrument of adherence. Accordingly, the membership of Afghanistan, Iceland and Sweden became effective on 19 November 1946, that of Thailand on 16 December 1946 and that of Pakistan and Yemen on 30 September 1947.

By resolution 116(II) of 21 November 1947, the General Assembly adopted new rules governing the admission of new Members. Under these rules (135-139), a declaration, made in a formal instrument accepting the obligations contained in the Charter, shall be submitted to the Secretary-General by an applicant State at the same time as the application for membership. The membership becomes effective, if the application is approved, on the date on which the General Assembly takes its decision on the application. Accordingly, for all Members other than the six mentioned in the preceding paragraph, the membership became effective on the respective dates shown in the first column of this list.

2/ The declarations are registered ex officio by the Secretariat on the effective dates of membership. However, since the registration did not start until 14 December 1946, when the General Assembly, by resolution 97(I), adopted the regulations to give effect to Article 102 of the Charter of the United Nations, the declarations of Afghanistan, Iceland and Sweden were registered on that date. Furthermore, in some instances, where the declaration accepting the obligations contained in the Charter was submitted to the Secretary-General together with the application in cabled form or emanated from a representative other than the Head of State or Government or the Minister for Foreign Affairs, the registration was not effected until the date of receipt by the Secretary-General of the confirmation of the declaration in the formal instrument bearing the signature of one of those authorities. (For the text of the Regulations to give effect to Article 102 of the Charter of the United Nations, adopted by General Assembly resolution 97(I) of 14 December 1946 and modified by resolutions 364 8(IV), 482(U) and 33/141/A of 1 December 1949, 12 December 1950 and 18 December 1978, respectively, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol.859, p. VIII.)

3/ Non registration of this declaration on 1 December 1976, the date of its membership, results from an administrative oversight".

4/ In a communication dated 2 December 1975, the Permanent Mission of the People's Republic of Benin to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that their country (formerly Dahomey), would henceforth be known as "Benin".

5/ Formerly: "Upper Volta" until 4 August 1984.

6/ As from 4 February 1984 Cameroon (from 10 March 1975 to 4 February 1984 known as "the United Republic of Cameroon" and prior to 10 March 1975 known as "Cameroon").

7/ In a communication dated 20 December 1976 the Permanent Mission of the Central African Empire to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that, by a decision of the extraordinary Congress of the Movement for the Social Development of Black Africa (MESAN), held at Bangui from 10 November to 4 December 1976, the Central African Republic had been constituted into the Central African Empire.

In a communication dated 25 September 1979 the Permanent Representative of that country to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that, following a change of régime which took place on 20 September 1979, the former institutions of the Empire had been dissolved and the Central African Republic proclaimed.

8/ In a communication dated 15 November 1971, the Permanent Mission of the People's Republic of the Congo to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that their country would thenceforth be known as "the Congo".

9/ In a communication dated 28 December 1970, the Permanent Representative of the Khmer Republic to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that Cambodia had assumed the name of "Khmer Republic".

In a communication dated 30 April 1975, the Royal Government of National Union of Cambodia informed the Secretary-General that their country had reassumed the name of "Cambodia". In a communication dated 6 April 1976 the Government of Democratic Kampuchea informed the Secretary-General that the name "Democratic Kampuchea" should henceforth be used.

10/ Democratic Yemen was successively listed in the previous editions as "Southern Yemen", "People's Republic of Southern Yemen" and "People's Democratic Republic of Yemen". In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 10 May 1972, the Permanent Representative of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen to the United Nations requested that, for practical reasons, the name of the State be shortened to "Democratic Yemen".

11/ In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General on 20 January 1965, the First Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for Foreign Affairs of Indonesia informed the Secretary-General that "Indonesia has decided at this stage and under the present circumstances to withdraw from the United Nations". In his reply of 26 February 1965, after noting the contents of the letter from Indonesia, the Secretary-General expressed "the earnest hope that in due time [Indonesia] will resume full co-operation with the United Nations". For the text of the letter from Indonesia and the Secretary-General's reply, see document A/5857 and Corr.1 and A/5899.



In a telegram of 19 September 1966, the Government of Indonesia informed the Secretary-General that it "has decided to resume full co-operation with the United Nations and to resume participation in its activities starting with the twenty-first session of the General Assembly". For the text of that telegram, see document A/6419.

At the 1420th plenary meeting of the General Assembly held on 28 September 1966, the President of the General Assembly, referring to the above-mentioned correspondence and to the decision of the Government of Indonesia "to resume full co-operation with the United Nations", stated, *inter alia*, that "it would appear, therefore, that the Government of Indonesia considers that its recent absence from the Organization was based not upon a withdrawal from the United Nations but upon a cessation of co-operation. The action so far taken by the United Nations on this matter would not appear to preclude this view. If this is also the general view of the membership, the Secretary-General would give instructions for the necessary administrative action to be taken for Indonesia to participate again in the proceedings of the Organization. . . . Unless I hear any objection, I would assume that it is the will of the membership that Indonesia should resume full participation in the activities of the United Nations and the Secretary-General may proceed in the manner I have outlined." There having been no objection, the President invited the representatives of Indonesia to take their seats in the General Assembly. (See Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-first Session, Plenary Meetings, 1420th meeting.)

12/ By a note dated 22 December 1975, the Permanent Mission of the Lao People's Democratic Republic to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that their country (formerly "Laos"), had assumed the name of "Lao People's Democratic Republic".

13/ By two communications dated 1 and 18 April 1977, respectively, the Permanent Mission of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya informed the Secretary-General that the official designation "Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahiriya" (short title: "Libyan Arab Jamahiriya") should be substituted for "Libyan Arab Republic". (Before 6 January 1971: "Libya".)

14/ The decision to admit Malawi, Malta and Zambia to membership in the United Nations was taken by the General Assembly during its nineteenth session at the 1286th meeting held on 1 December 1964.

15/ On 16 September 1963, the Permanent Representative of Malaysia to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General the following communication:

"By the Constitutional process of Amendment provided for in Article 159 of the Constitution of the Federation of Malaya carried out recently in both Houses of Parliament with the requisite two-thirds majorities, the name of the State as set out in Article 1 thereof has been changed from 'Federation of Malaya' to 'Malaysia'.

"This Mission has therefore from this date assumed the name of 'Permanent Mission of Malaysia to the United Nations'.

"I shall be grateful for your having this change noted and also for your bringing it to the notice of all Missions accredited to the United Nations."

Subsequently, the Government of Malaysia confirmed to the Secretary-General that all multilateral treaties, in respect of which he acts as depositary and to which the Federation of Malaysia has become a party either by succession or by ratification or accession, continue to be binding on Malaysia, and that henceforth Malaysia should be listed in the relevant United Nations publications as a party to those treaties.

16/ In a letter of 14 April 1969, the Permanent Representative of the Republic of Maldives to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that "after the change from a Sultanate to a Republican Administration, the Maldivian Government has decided that the country be known as 'Maldives' instead of 'Maldivian Islands' and that the full title of the State be called 'Republic of Maldives'".

17/ In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 29 August 1972, the Chargé d'Affaires a.i. of the Permanent Mission of Sri Lanka to the United Nations stated that the official designation should be "Sri Lanka" (formerly: "Ceylon").

18/ In a communication dated 29 June 1978 the Permanent Representative of that State informed the Secretary-General that, on 23 January 1978, his Government had decided that the official designation should be "Suriname" (formerly: "Surinam").

19/ In a note addressed to the Secretary-General on 6 May 1964, the Ministry of External Affairs of the United Republic of Tanzania informed him that, following the signature and ratification of the Articles of Union between the Republic of Tanganyika and the People's Republic of Zanzibar, the two countries had been united on 26 April 1964, as one sovereign State under the name of the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar. The Ministry further asked the Secretary-General "to note that the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar declares that it is now a single Member of the United Nations bound by the provisions of the Charter, and that all international treaties and agreements in force between the Republic of Tanganyika or the People's Republic of Zanzibar and other States or international organizations will, to the extent that their implementation is consistent with the constitutional position established by the Articles of the Union, remain in force within the regional limits prescribed on their conclusion and in accordance with the principles of international law".

In communicating the above-mentioned note, in accordance with the request contained therein, to all States Members of the United Nations, to the

principal organs of the United Nations and to the subsidiary organs of the United Nations to which Tanganyika and Zanzibar had been appointed, and to the specialized agencies of the United Nations and the International Atomic Energy Agency, the Secretary-General stated that he "is taking action, within the limits of his administrative responsibilities, to give effect to the declaration in the attached note that the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar is now a single Member of the United Nations bound by the provision of the Charter. This action is undertaken without prejudice to and pending such action as other organs of the United Nations may take on the basis of the notification of the establishment of the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar." No objection was raised in this regard in any of the organs concerned.

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 2 November 1964, the Permanent Mission of the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar informed him that "the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar shall, with immediate effect, be known as the United Republic of Tanzania".

Subsequently, the Government of the United Republic of Tanzania confirmed to the Secretary-General that the United Republic of Tanzania continues to be bound by multilateral treaties in respect of which the Secretary-General acts as depositary and which had been signed, ratified or acceded to on behalf of Tanganyika.

20/ The Democratic Republic of Viet-Nam and the Republic of South Viet-Nam (the latter of which replaced the Republic of Viet Nam) united on 2 July 1976 to constitute a new State, the Socialist Republic of Viet-Nam).

21/ In a communication dated 27 October 1971, the Permanent Representative of the Republic of Zaire to the United Nations informed the Secretary-General that the Democratic Republic of the Congo would thenceforth be known as the Republic of Zaire.

3. STATUTE OF THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE

(annexed to the Charter of the United Nations)

Parties: All members of the United Nations.<sup>1</sup>  
Switzerland as from 28 July 1948.<sup>2</sup>  
Liechtenstein as from 29 March 1950.<sup>3</sup>  
San Marino as from 18 February 1954.<sup>4</sup>

---

NOTES:

1/ See chapter I.1 and I.2. Before becoming a Member of the United Nations, Japan was a party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice from 2 April 1954 to 18 December 1956; for the text of the declaration by the Government of Japan accepting the conditions determined to that effect upon the recommendation of the Security Council by the General Assembly in resolution 805 (VIII) of 9 December 1953, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 188, p. 137.

2/ Upon the recommendation of the Security Council, adopted on 15 November 1946, the General Assembly by resolution 91(I) adopted on 11 December 1946, and in pursuance of Article 93, paragraph 2, of the Charter, determined the conditions on which Switzerland could become a Party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice. On 28 July 1948, a declaration accepting these conditions was deposited with the Secretary-General on behalf of Switzerland (registered under No. 271; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 17, p. 111) and accordingly, on that date Switzerland became a Party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice.

3/ Upon the recommendation of the Security Council, adopted on 1 September 1949, the

General Assembly by resolution 363 (IV) adopted on 1 December 1949, and in pursuance of Article 93, paragraph 2, of the Charter, determined the conditions on which Liechtenstein could become a Party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice. On 29 March 1950, a declaration accepting these conditions was deposited with the Secretary-General on behalf of Liechtenstein (registered under No. 758; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 51, p. 115) and accordingly on that date Liechtenstein became a party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice.

4/ Upon the recommendation of the Security Council, adopted on 3 December 1953, the General Assembly by resolution 806 (VIII) adopted on 9 December 1953, and in pursuance of Article 93, paragraph 2, of the Charter, determined the conditions on which San Marino could become a Party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice. On 18 February 1954, a declaration accepting these conditions was deposited with the Secretary-General on behalf of San Marino (registered under No. 2495; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 186, p. 295) and accordingly on that date San Marino became a Party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice.

4. DECLARATIONS RECOGNIZING AS COMPULSORY THE JURISDICTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE UNDER ARTICLE 36, PARAGRAPH 2, OF THE STATUTE OF THE COURT

Declarations under Article 35, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Court as implemented by Security Council Resolution 9(1946) of 15 October 1946 are deposited with the Registrar of the Court. For those declarations, see United Nations, Treaty Series, or the Yearbooks of the Court.

Note: The declarations recognizing as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, deposited with the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bolivia, Brazil, Guatemala, Thailand and Turkey were made for specified periods of time which expired. For the texts of those declarations, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1, p. 49 (Guatemala); vol. 15, p. 221 (Brazil); vol. 16, p. 207 (Bolivia); vol. 65, p. 157 (Thailand), and vol. 191, p. 357; vol. 308, p. 301; vol. 491, p. 385, and vol. 604, p. 349 (Turkey).

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 12 April 1967, the Government of South Africa gave notice of withdrawal and termination, with effect from that date, of the declaration of 12 September 1955. For the text of the said declaration, which was deposited with the Secretary-General on 13 September 1955, and for the notice of termination, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 216, p. 115, and vol. 595, p. 363, respectively.

A declaration recognizing as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice had been deposited on 26 October 1946 with the Secretary-General on behalf of the Republic of China (for the text of that declaration, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1, p. 35). In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 5 December 1972, the Government of the People's Republic of China indicated that it does not recognize the statement made by the defunct Chinese government on 26 October 1946 in accordance with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice concerning the acceptance of the compulsory jurisdiction of the Court.

In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 10 January 1974, the Government of France gave notice of the termination of the declaration of 20 May 1966. For the text of that declaration and for the notice of termination, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 562, p. 71 and vol. 907, p. 129, respectively.

In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 7 October 1985, the Government of the United States of America gave notice of the termination of its declaration of 26 August 1946.<sup>1</sup> For the text of the declaration see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1, p. 9.

In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 21 November 1985, the Government of Israel gave notice of the termination of the declaration of 17 October 1956.<sup>2</sup> For the text of the declaration see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 252, p. 301.

States which have made declarations under Article 36, paragraph 2 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice or whose declarations made under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice are deemed to be acceptances of the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice<sup>3</sup>

Australia	El Salvador	Malta	Senegal
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Somalia
Barbados	Gambia	Mexico	Sudan
Belgium	Haiti <sup>4</sup>	Netherlands	Swaziland
Botswana	Honduras	New Zealand <sup>4</sup>	Sweden
Canada	India	Nicaragua <sup>4</sup>	Switzerland
Colombia <sup>4</sup>	Japan	Nigeria	Togo
Costa Rica	Kenya	Norway	Uganda
Democratic Kampuchea	Liberia	Pakistan	United Kingdom
Denmark	Liechtenstein	Panama <sup>4</sup>	Uruguay <sup>4</sup>
Dominican Republic <sup>4</sup>	Luxembourg <sup>4</sup>	Philippines	
Egypt	Malawi	Portugal	

Texts of the declarations

(The date shown after the name of the State indicates the date of deposit of the declaration)

(a) Declarations made under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice

AUSTRALIA

17 March 1975<sup>5</sup>

"Whereas on the first day of November one thousand nine hundred and forty-five Australia ratified the Charter of the United Nations of which the Statute of the International Court of Justice is an integral part; and

"Whereas Australia made a declaration under paragraph 2 of Article 36, of the said Statute on the sixth day of February, one thousand nine hundred and fifty-four; and

"Whereas Australia desires to withdraw the said declaration;

"The Government of Australia hereby withdraws the said declaration and declares for and on

behalf of Australia that it recognises as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court, until such time as notice may be given to withdraw this declaration.

"The Government of Australia further declares that this declaration does not apply to any dispute in regard to which the parties thereto have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement.

"In witness whereof, I, Edward Gough Whitlam, Prime Minister acting for and on behalf of the Minister of State for Foreign Affairs of Australia, have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Minister of State for Foreign Affairs.

"Dated this thirteenth day of March, one thousand nine hundred and seventy-five."

(Signed) Edward Gough Whitlam  
Prime Minister acting for  
and on behalf of the Minister  
of State for Foreign Affairs  
of Australia

AUSTRIA

19 May 1971<sup>6</sup>

I hereby declare that the Republic of Austria recognizes as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State which accepts or has accepted the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes referred to in paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice.

This Declaration does not apply to any dispute in respect of which the parties thereto have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to other means of peaceful settlement for its final and binding decision.

This Declaration shall remain in force for a period of five years and thereafter until it will be terminated or modified by a written declaration.

Done at Vienna on 28 April 1971.

(Signed) Franz Jonas  
The Federal President

BARBADOS

1 August 1980<sup>7</sup>

I have the honour to declare on behalf of the Government of Barbados that -

"The Government of Barbados accepts as compulsory, ipso facto, and without special agreement, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 [of the Statute] of the Court until such time as notice might be given to terminate the acceptance, over all disputes arising after the declaration is made, other than:

(a) disputes in regard to which parties have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

(b) disputes with the Government of any other country which is a member of the Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;

(c) disputes with regard to questions which by international law fall exclusively within the jurisdiction of Barbados;

(d) disputes arising out of or concerning jurisdiction or rights claimed or exercised by Barbados in respect of the conservation, management or exploitation of the living resources of the Sea, or in respect of the prevention or control of pollution or contamination of the marine environment in marine areas adjacent to the coast of Barbados.

"Accept, Sir, the assurance of my highest consideration.

(Signed) H. deB. Forde  
Minister of External Affairs

BELGIUM

17 June 1958<sup>8</sup>

I declare on behalf of the Belgian Government that I recognize as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with Article 36, paragraph 2 of the Statute of the Court, in legal disputes arising after 13 July 1948 concerning situations or facts subsequent to that date, except those in regard to which the parties have agreed or may agree to have recourse to another method of peaceful settlement.

This declaration is made subject to ratification.<sup>9</sup> It shall take effect on the day of deposit of the instrument of ratification for a period of five years. Upon the expiry of that period, it shall continue to have effect until notice of its termination is given.  
Brussels, 3 April 1958

(Signed) V Larock  
Minister of Foreign Affairs

BOTSWANA

16 March 1970<sup>10</sup>

"I, Sir Seretse Khama, President of the Republic of Botswana, have the honour to declare on behalf of the Government of the Republic of Botswana, that it recognises as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in accordance with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court

"This Declaration does not extend.

"(a) to disputes in respect of which the parties have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to another means of peaceful settlement; or

"(b) to disputes relating to matters which, by international law, are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the Republic of Botswana.

"The Government of the Republic of Botswana also reserves the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General

of the United Nations, and with effect as from the moment of such notification, either to add to, amend or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations, or any that may hereafter be added

"Done at Gaborone this 14th day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and seventy."

(Signed) Seretse M. Khama  
President

CANADA

10 September 1985<sup>11</sup>

On behalf of the Government of Canada,

- (1) I give notice that I hereby terminate the acceptance by Canada of the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice hitherto effective by virtue of the declaration made on 7 April 1970 in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of that Court.
- (2) I declare that the Government of Canada accepts as compulsory ipso facto and without special convention, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court, until such time as notice may be given to terminate the acceptance, over all disputes arising after the present declaration, other than:
  - (a) disputes in regard to which parties have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;
  - (b) disputes with the Government of any other country which is a member of the Commonwealth, all of which disputes shall be settled in such manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;
  - (c) disputes with regard to questions which by international law fall exclusively within the jurisdiction of Canada.
- (3) The Government of Canada also reserves the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and with effect as from the moment of such notification, either to add to, amend or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations, or any that may hereafter be added.

New York, September 10, 1985

Stephen Lewis  
Ambassador and  
Permanent Representative

COSTA RICA

20 February 1973<sup>12</sup>

The Government of Costa Rica recognizes as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes of the kinds referred to in Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice. This Declaration shall be valid for a period of five years and shall be understood to be tacitly renewed for like periods, unless

denounced before the expiration of the said period.

(Signed) Gonzalo J. Facio  
Minister for Foreign Affairs

DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA

19 September 1957<sup>13</sup>

On behalf of the Royal Government of Cambodia I have the honour to declare that, in accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, I recognize as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State Member of the United Nations, accepting the same obligation, that is to say on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the said Court in all legal disputes, other than:

1. Disputes in regard to which the Parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;
2. Disputes with regard to questions which by international law fall exclusively within the jurisdiction of the Kingdom of Cambodia;
3. Disputes relating to any matter excluded from judicial settlement or compulsory arbitration by virtue of any treaty, convention or other international agreement or instrument to which the Kingdom of Cambodia is a party.

This declaration is valid for ten years from the date of its deposit. It shall remain in force thereafter until notice to the contrary has been given by the Royal Government of Cambodia.  
Phnom-Penh, 9 September 1957

(Signed) Sim Var

DENMARK

10 December 1956<sup>14</sup>

In conformity with the Royal Decree of 3 December 1956, I have the honour, on behalf of the Danish Government, to make the following declaration:

Pursuant to Article 36, paragraph 2 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, the Kingdom of Denmark recognizes as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement the jurisdiction of the Court in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, that is to say on condition of reciprocity, for a period of five years from 10 December 1956 and thereafter for further periods of five years, if this declaration is not denounced by notice of not less than six months before the expiration of any five-year period.

New York, 10 December 1956

(Signed) Karl I. Eskelund  
Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary,  
Permanent Representative to the United Nations

EGYPT

22 July 1957<sup>15</sup>

"I, Mahmoud Fawzi, Minister for Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Egypt, declare on behalf of the Government of the Republic of Egypt, that, in

accordance with Article 36 (2) of the Statute of the International Court of Justice and in pursuance and for the purposes of paragraph 9(b) of the Declaration of the Government of the Republic of Egypt dated April 24, 1957<sup>16</sup> on the 'Suez Canal and the arrangements for its operation', the Government of the Republic of Egypt accept as compulsory ipso facto, on condition of reciprocity and without special agreement, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes that may arise under the said paragraph 9(b) of the above Declaration dated April 24, 1957, with effect as from that date. "18th July, 1957"

(Signed) Mahmoud Fawzi

EL SALVADOR

26 November 1973<sup>17</sup>

In my capacity as Minister for Foreign Affairs and on behalf of the Government of the Republic of El Salvador,

Considering that Article 36, paragraph 5, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice provides that a declaration made under Article 36 of the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice makes the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice compulsory in accordance with the terms of the original declaration,

Considering that the Government of El Salvador, in accordance with the Agreement of the Executive Authority of 26 May 1930, ratified by the Legislative Authority in accordance with Decree No. 110 of 3 July 1930, made a declaration<sup>18</sup> recognizing the compulsory jurisdiction of the Permanent Court of International Justice, with the reservations set forth in the same document and on the basis of the Political Constitution of the Republic which, at the time, was that promulgated on 24 August 1886,

Considering that, after the notification of that declaration, other Political Constitutions of the Republic have been promulgated, the latest being that currently in effect as from 24 January 1962, and that moreover, after that declaration, the United Nations Charter was adopted on 26 June 1945 and the Charter of the Organization of American States on 30 April 1948, revised by the Protocol of Buenos Aires in 1967;

Considering that consequently, the terms of the declaration must be adapted to accord with those postulated in the Political Constitution currently in effect, and with the present circumstances; bearing in mind, furthermore, the texts of similar declarations made by other States Members of the United Nations,

I therefore:

Make the following declaration:

In accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, El Salvador recognizes as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the Court in all legal disputes concerning:

- (a) The interpretation of a treaty;
- (b) Any question of international law;

- (c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;
- (d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation.

This declaration shall apply solely to situations or facts that may arise after this date; it is made on condition of reciprocity in relation to any other State party to any dispute with El Salvador and is subject to the following exceptions, on which El Salvador does not accept the Court's compulsory jurisdiction:

- (I) Disputes which the parties have agreed or may agree to submit to other means of peaceful settlement;
- (II) Disputes which, under International law, fall exclusively within the domestic jurisdiction of El Salvador;
- (III) Disputes with El Salvador concerning or relating to:
  - (1) The status of its territory or the modification or delimitation of its frontiers or any other matter concerning boundaries;
  - (2) The territorial sea and the corresponding continental slope or continental shelf and the resources thereof, unless El Salvador accepts the jurisdiction in that particular case;
  - (3) The condition of its islands, bays and gulfs and that of the bays and gulfs that for historical reasons belong to it or are under a system of joint ownership, whether or not recognized by rulings of international tribunals;
  - (4) The airspace superjacent to its land and maritime territory;
- (IV) Disputes relating to or connected with facts or situations of hostilities, armed conflicts, individual or collective actions taken in self-defence, resistance to aggression, fulfilment of obligations imposed by international bodies, and other similar or related acts, measures or situations in which El Salvador is, has been or may at some time be involved;
- (V) Pre-existing disputes, it being understood that this includes any dispute the foundations, reasons, facts, causes, origins, definitions, allegations or bases of which existed prior to this date, even if they are submitted or brought to the knowledge of the Court hereafter; and
- (VI) Disputes that may arise over the interpretation or implementation of a multilateral treaty unless (i) all the parties to the treaty are also parties in the case before the Court, or (ii) El Salvador expressly accepts the Court's jurisdiction in that particular case.

This declaration revokes and replaces the previous declaration made before the Permanent Court of International Justice and will remain in effect for a period of five years from this date. The above shall not prejudice the right which El Salvador reserves to be able at any time to modify, add to, clarify or derogate from the exceptions presented in it.

This declaration is made in compliance with Executive Agreement No. 826 of 24 November 1973,

ratified by the Legislative Authority under Decree No. 488 of 26 November 1973.

(Signed) Mauricio A. Borgonovo Pohl  
Minister for Foreign Affairs of El Salvador

FINLAND

25 June 1958<sup>19</sup>

On behalf of the Finnish Government, I hereby declare that I recognize as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, that is to say, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2 of the Statute of the Court, for a period of five years from 25 June 1958. This declaration shall be renewed by tacit agreement for further periods of the same duration, unless it is denounced not later than six months before the expiry of any such period. This declaration shall apply only to disputes arising in regard to situations or facts subsequent to 25 June 1958.

New York, 25 June 1958

(Signed) G. A. Gripenberg  
Permanent Representative of Finland  
to the United Nations

GAMBIA

22 June 1966<sup>20</sup>

"In accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, I declare, on behalf of the Government of Gambia, that the Gambia recognises as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice until such time as notice may be given to terminate the acceptance, over all disputes arising in the future concerning:

- "(a) The interpretation of a treaty;
- "(b) Any question of international law;
- "(c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;
- "(d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation;

"with the reservation, however, that this declaration does not apply to

- "(a) Disputes in regard to which the parties have agreed to a settlement other than by recourse to the International Court of Justice;
- "(b) Disputes with any country in the Commonwealth;
- "(c) Disputes which, by international law, fall exclusively within the jurisdiction of the Gambia."

Bathurst, The Gambia  
14th June, 1966

(Signed) A. B. N'jie  
Minister of State for External Affairs

HONDURAS

6 June 1986<sup>21</sup>

The Government of the Republic of Honduras, duly authorized by the National Congress, under

Decree No. 75-86 of 21 May 1986, to modify the Declaration made on 20 February 1960 concerning Article 36(2) of the Statute of the International Court of Justice,

Hereby declares:

That it modifies the Declaration made by it on 20 February 1960 as follows:

1. That it recognizes as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes concerning:

- (a) The interpretation of a treaty;
- (b) Any question of international law;
- (c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;
- (d) The nature and extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation.

2. This Declaration shall not apply, however, ~~to~~ the following disputes to which the Republic of Honduras may be a party:

- (a) Disputes in respect of which the parties have agreed or may agree to resort to other means for the pacific settlement of disputes;
- (b) Disputes concerning matters subject to the domestic jurisdiction of the Republic of Honduras under international law;
- (c) Disputes relating to facts or situations originating in armed conflicts or acts of a similar nature which may affect the territory of the Republic of Honduras, and in which it may find itself involved directly or indirectly;
- (d) Disputes referring to:
  - (i) Territorial questions with regard to sovereignty over islands, shoals and keys; internal waters, bays, the territorial sea and the legal status and limits thereof;
  - (ii) All rights of sovereignty or jurisdiction concerning the contiguous zone, the exclusive economic zone and the continental shelf and the legal status and limits thereof;
  - (iii) The airspace over the territories waters and zones referred to in this subparagraph.

3. The Government of Honduras also reserves the right at any time to supplement, modify or withdraw this Declaration or the reservations contained therein by giving notice to the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

4. This Declaration replaces the Declaration made by the Government of Honduras on 20 February 1960.

National Palace, Tegucigalpa, D.C., 22 May 1986.

(Signed) José Azcona H.  
President of the Republic  
(Signed) Carlos López Contreras  
Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.



INDIA

18 September 1974<sup>22</sup>

I have the honour to declare, on behalf of the Government of the Republic of India, that they accept, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court, until such time as notice may be given to terminate such acceptance, as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, and on the basis and condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice over all disputes other than:

- (1) disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method or methods of settlement;
- (2) disputes with the Government of any State which is or has been a Member of the Commonwealth of Nations;
- (3) disputes in regard to matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the Republic of India;
- (4) disputes relating to or connected with facts or situations of hostilities, armed conflicts, individual or collective actions taken in self-defence, resistance to aggression, fulfilment of obligations imposed by international bodies, and other similar or related acts, measures or situations in which India is, has been or may in future be involved;
- (5) disputes with regard to which any other party to a dispute has accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice exclusively for or in relation to the purposes of such dispute; or where the acceptance of the Court's compulsory jurisdiction on behalf of a party to the dispute was deposited or ratified less than 12 months prior to the filing of the application bringing the dispute before the Court;
- (6) disputes where the jurisdiction of the Court is or may be founded on the basis of a treaty concluded under the auspices of the League of Nations, unless the Government of India specially agree to jurisdiction in each case;
- (7) disputes concerning the interpretation or application of a multilateral treaty unless all the parties to the treaty are also parties to the case before the Court or Government of India specially agree to jurisdiction;
- (8) disputes with the government of any State with which, on the date of an application to bring a dispute before the Court, the Government of India has no diplomatic relations or which has not been recognized by the Government of India;
- (9) disputes with non-sovereign States or territories;
- (10) disputes with India concerning or relating to:
  - (a) the status of its territory or the modification or delimitation of its frontiers or any other matter concerning boundaries,
  - (b) the territorial sea, the continental shelf and the margins, the exclusive

fishery zone, the exclusive economic zone, and other zones of national maritime jurisdiction including for the regulation and control of marine pollution and the conduct of scientific research by foreign vessels;

- (c) the condition and status of its islands, bays and gulfs and that of the bays and gulfs that for historical reasons belong to it;
  - (d) the airspace superjacent to its land and maritime territory; and
  - (e) the determination and delimitation of its maritime boundaries.
- (11) disputes prior to the date of this declaration, including any dispute the foundations, reasons, facts, causes, origins, definitions, allegations or bases of which existed prior to this date, even if they are submitted or brought to the knowledge of the Court hereafter.

2. This declaration revokes and replaces the previous declaration made by the Government of India on 14th September 1959.

(Signed) Swaran Singh  
Minister of External Affairs

JAPAN

15 September 1958<sup>23</sup>

"I have the honour, by direction of the Minister for Foreign Affairs, to declare on behalf of the Government of Japan, that in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, Japan recognizes as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation and on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, over all disputes which arise on and after the date of the present declaration with regard to situations or facts subsequent to the same date and which are not settled by other means of peaceful settlement.

"This declaration does not apply to disputes which the parties thereto have agreed or shall agree to refer for final and binding decision to arbitration or judicial settlement.

"This declaration shall remain in force for a period of five years and thereafter until it may be terminated by a written notice."

New York, 15 September 1958

(Signed) Koto Matsudaira  
Permanent Representative of Japan  
to the United Nations

KENYA

19 April 1965<sup>24</sup>

"I have the honour to declare, on behalf of the Government of the Republic of Kenya, that it accepts, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice until such time as notice may be given to terminate such acceptance, as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, and on the basis and condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction over all disputes arising after 12th December, 1963, with regard to situations or facts subsequent to that date, other than:

LIECHTENSTEIN

29 March 1950<sup>27</sup>

1. Disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method or methods of settlement;

2. Disputes with the Government of any State which, on the date of this Declaration, is a member of the Commonwealth of Nations or may so become subsequently;

3. Disputes with regard to questions which by general rules of International Law fall exclusively within the jurisdiction of Kenya;

4. Disputes concerning any question relating to or arising out of belligerent or military occupation or the discharge of any functions pursuant to any recommendation or decision of an organ of the United Nations, in accordance with which the Government of the Republic of Kenya have accepted obligations.

The Government of the Republic of Kenya reserves the right at any time by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations to add to, amend, or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations. Such notifications shall be effective on the date of their receipt by the Secretary-General of the United Nations."

12th April, 1965

(Signed) Joseph Murumbi  
Minister for External Affairs

LIBERIA

20 March 1952<sup>25</sup>

"On behalf of the Government of the Republic of Liberia, I, Gabriel L. Dennis, Secretary of State of Liberia, subject to ratification<sup>26</sup> declare that the Republic of Liberia recognizes as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State, also a party to the Statute pursuant to Article 93 of the United Nations Charter, which accepts the same obligation (i.e., subject to reciprocity), the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes arising after ratification concerning:

- "(a) The interpretation of a treaty;
- "(b) Any question of international law;
- "(c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;

"(d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation.

"This declaration does not apply:

- "(a) To any dispute which the Republic of Liberia considers essentially within its domestic jurisdiction;
- "(b) To any dispute in regard to which the parties have agreed or may agree to bring before other tribunals as a result of agreements already existing or which may be made in the future.

"The present declaration has been made for a period of 5 years as from the date of deposit of the ratification and thereafter until notice of termination is given.

"Done at Monrovia this 3rd day of March 1952."

(Signed) Gabriel L. Dennis  
Secretary of State

The Government of the Principality of Liechtenstein, duly authorized by His Serene Highness, the Reigning Prince François Joseph II, in accordance with the Order of the Diet of the Principality of Liechtenstein dated 9 March 1950, which came into force on 10 March 1950,

Declares by these presents that the Principality of Liechtenstein recognizes as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes concerning:

- (a) The interpretation of a treaty;
- (b) Any question of international law;
- (c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;
- (d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation.

The present Declaration, which is made under Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, shall take effect from the date on which the Principality becomes a party to the Statute<sup>28</sup> and shall have effect as long as the Declaration has not been revoked subject to one year's notice.

Done at Vaduz, 10 March 1950.

On behalf of the Government  
of the Principality of Liechtenstein  
(Signed) A. Frick

The Head of the Government

MALAWI

12 December 1966<sup>29</sup>

"On behalf of the Government of Malawi, I declare under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice that I recognize as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes which may arise in respect of facts or situations subsequent to this declaration concerning-

- "(a) The interpretation of a treaty;
- "(b) Any question of international law;
- "(c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;

"(d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of international obligation;

"Provided that this declaration shall not apply to-

- "(i) Disputes with regard to matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the Republic of Malawi as determined by the Government of Malawi;
- "(ii) Disputes in regard to which the parties of the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement; or
- "(iii) Disputes concerning any question relating to or arising out of belligerent or military occupation.

"The Government of Malawi also reserves the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, to add to, amend, or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations or any that may hereafter be added. Such notifications shall be effective on the date of their receipt by the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

"Given under my hand in Zomba this 22nd day of November 1966."

(Signed) H. Kamuzu Banda  
President and Minister for External Affairs

MALTA

6 December 1966

The Government of Malta accepts as compulsory ipso facto and without special convention, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article of the Statute of the Court, until such time as notice may be given to terminate the acceptance, over all disputes other than:

- (i) disputes in regard to which the Parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;
- ii) disputes with the Government of any other country which is a Member of the British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;
- iii) disputes with regard to questions which by international law fall exclusively within the jurisdiction of Malta;
- iv) disputes concerning any question relating to or arising out of belligerent or military occupation or the discharge of any functions pursuant to any recommendation or decision of an organ of the United Nations, in accordance with which the Government of Malta have accepted obligations;
- v) disputes arising under a multilateral treaty, unless (1) all Parties to the treaty affected by the decision are also Parties to the case before the Court, or (2) the Government of Malta specially agrees to jurisdiction;
- (vi) disputes relating to any matter excluded from compulsory adjudication or arbitration under any treaty, convention or other international agreement or instrument to which Malta is a party;
- (vii) disputes in respect of which arbitral or judicial proceedings are taking, or have taken place with any State which, at the date of the commencement of the proceedings, had not itself accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice; and
- (viii) disputes in respect of which any other Party to the dispute has accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice only in relation to or for the purposes of the dispute; or where the acceptance of the Court's compulsory jurisdiction on behalf of any other Party to the dispute was deposited or ratified

less than twelve months prior to the filing of the application bringing the dispute before the Court.

The Government of Malta also reserves the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and with effect as from the moment of such notification either to add to, amend or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations or any that may hereafter be added.

29 November 1966. . . (Signed) G. Felice  
Minister ad intérim

2 September 1983<sup>30</sup>

I have the honour to refer to the Declaration made by the Government of Malta on 29 November 1966, and notified on 6 December 1966, concerning the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice and to give notice that, with effect from the moment this notification is received by Your Excellency, the acceptance of the Government of Malta of the jurisdiction of the Court shall be limited to all disputes with Malta other than -

- (1) the disputes mentioned in paragraphs (i) to (viii), both inclusive, of the Declaration; and
- (2) the following categories of disputes, that is to say:  
"disputes with Malta concerning or relating to:  
(a) its territory, including the territorial sea, and the status thereof;  
(b) the continental shelf or any other zone of maritime jurisdiction, and the resources thereof;  
(c) the determination or delimitation of any of the above;  
(d) the prevention or control of pollution or contamination of the marine environment in marine areas adjacent to the coast of Malta."

The Government of Malta also reserves the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and with effect from the moment of such notification, either to add to, amend or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations or any that may hereafter be added.

(Signed) Alex Sceberras Trigona  
Minister of Foreign Affairs

MAURITIUS

23 September 1968<sup>31</sup>

"I have the honour to declare, on behalf of the Government of Mauritius, that Mauritius accepts as compulsory ipso facto and without special convention, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court, until such time as notice may be given to terminate the acceptance, over all disputes other than:

- "(i) Disputes in regard to which the Parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;
- "(ii) Disputes with the Government of any other country which is a Member of the

British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;

- "(iii) Disputes with regard to questions which by international law fall exclusively within the jurisdiction of Mauritius;
- "(iv) Disputes concerning any question relating to or arising out of belligerent or military occupation or the discharge of any functions pursuant to any recommendation or decision of an organ of the United Nations, in accordance with which the Government of Mauritius has accepted obligations;
- "(v) Disputes relating to any matter excluded from compulsory adjudication or arbitration under any treaty, convention or other international agreement or instrument to which Mauritius is a party;
- "(vi) Disputes in respect of which arbitral or judicial proceedings are taking, or have taken place with any State which, at the date of the commencement of the proceedings, had not itself accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice; and
- "(vii) Disputes in respect of which any other Party to the dispute has accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice only in relation to or for the purposes of the dispute; or where the acceptance of the Court's compulsory jurisdiction on behalf of any other Party to the dispute was deposited or ratified less than twelve months prior to the filing of the application bringing the dispute before the Court.

"The Government of Mauritius also reserves the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and with effect as from the moment of such notification either to add to, amend or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations or any that may hereafter be added."

Port Louis, 4 September 1968

(Signed) S. Ramgoolam  
Prime Minister  
and Minister for External Affairs

MEXICO

28 October 1947<sup>32</sup>

In regard to any legal dispute that may in future arise between the United States of Mexico and any other State out of events subsequent to the date of this Declaration, the Mexican Government recognizes as compulsory ipso facto, and without any special agreement being required therefor, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the said Court, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, that is, on condition of strict reciprocity. This Declaration which does not apply to disputes arising from matters that, in the opinion of the Mexican Government, are within the domestic

jurisdiction of the United States of Mexico, shall be binding for a period of five years as from 1 March 1947 and after that date shall continue in force until six months after the Mexican Government gives notice of denunciation.  
Mexico, D.F., 23 October 1947

(Signed) Jaime Torres Bodet  
Secretary of State for External Relations

NETHERLANDS

1 August 1956<sup>33</sup>

I hereby declare that the Government of the Kingdom of The Netherlands recognizes, in accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, with effect from 6 August 1956, as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, that is on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the said Court in all disputes arising or which may arise after 5 August 1921, with the exception of disputes in respect of which the parties, excluding the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, may have agreed to have recourse to some other method of pacific settlement.

The aforesaid obligation is accepted for a period of five years and will be renewed by tacit agreement for additional periods of five years, unless notice is given, not less than six months before the expiry of any such period, that the Government of the Kingdom of The Netherlands does not wish to renew it.

The acceptance of the jurisdiction of the Court founded on the declaration of 5 August 1946 is terminated with effect from 6 August 1956.<sup>34</sup>  
New York, 1 August 1956

(Signed) E. L. C. Schiff  
Acting Permanent Representative  
of the Kingdom of the Netherlands  
to the United Nations

NEW ZEALAND

22 September 1977<sup>35</sup>

"(I) The acceptance by the Government of New Zealand of the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice by virtue of the Declaration made on 1 April 1940 under Article 36 of the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice, and made applicable to the International Court of Justice by paragraph 5 of Article 36 of the Statute of that Court, is hereby terminated.

"(II) The Government of New Zealand accepts as compulsory, ipso facto, and without special agreement, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Court over all disputes other than:

"(1) Disputes in regard to which the parties have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement:

"(2) Disputes in respect of which any other party to the dispute has accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice only in relation to or for the purpose of the dispute; or where the acceptance of the Court's compulsory jurisdiction on behalf of any other party to the dispute was deposited or ratified less than twelve months prior to the filing of the application bringing the dispute before the Court'

"(3) Disputes arising out of or concerning the jurisdiction or rights claimed or exercised by New Zealand in respect of the exploration, exploitation, conservation or management of the living resources in marine areas beyond and adjacent to the territorial sea of New Zealand but within 200 nautical miles from the baselines from which the breadth of the territorial sea is measured.

"This Declaration shall remain in force for a period of five years from 22 September 1977 and thereafter until the expiration of six months after notice has been given of the termination of this Declaration provided that the Government of New Zealand reserves the right at any time to amend this Declaration in the light of the results of the Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea in respect of the settlement of disputes."

(Signed) M.J.C. Templeton  
Permanent Representative  
of New Zealand to the  
United Nations

NIGERIA

3 September 1965<sup>36</sup>

"Whereas under Article 93 of the United Nations Charter all Member States are ipso facto parties to the Statute of the International Court of Justice.

"And Whereas the Government of the Federal Republic of Nigeria has decided to accept the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice and it is necessary to make a declaration in terms of Article 36(2) of the Statute of the Court.

"Now therefore, I, Nuhu Bamali, Minister of State for External Affairs hereby declare that the Government of the Federal Republic of Nigeria recognizes as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, that is to say, on the sole condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in conformity with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Court

"Done at Lagos, this 14th day of August, one thousand nine hundred and sixty-five."

(Signed) Nuhu Bamali  
Minister of State for External Affairs

NORWAY

2 April 1976<sup>37</sup>

"I hereby declare on behalf of the Royal Norwegian Government that Norway recognizes as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, that is on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in conformity with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Court, for a period of five years as from 3 October 1976. This declaration shall thereafter be tacitly renewed for additional periods of five years, unless notice of termination is given not less than six months before the expiration of the current period; provided, however, that the Royal Norwegian Government, having regard to Article 95 of the Charter of the United Nations, reserves the right at any time to amend the scope of this Declaration in the light of the results of the Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea in respect of the settlement of disputes "

(Signed) Ole Algard  
Permanent Representative of Norway  
to the United Nations

PAKISTAN

13 September 1960<sup>38</sup>

"I have the honour, by direction of the President of Pakistan, to make the following declaration on behalf of the Government of Pakistan under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice:

"The Government of Pakistan recognize as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes after the 24th June, 1948, arising, concerning

- "(a) The interpretation of a treaty;
- "(b) Any question of international law,
- "(c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation,
- "(d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation;

"Provided, that the declaration shall not apply to:

- "(a) Disputes the solution of which the parties shall entrust to other tribunals by virtue of agreements already in existence or which may be concluded in the future, or
- "(b) Disputes relating to questions which by international law fall exclusively within the domestic jurisdiction of Pakistan;
- "(c) Disputes arising under a multilateral treaty unless

"(i) All parties to the treaty affected by the decision are also parties to the case before the Court, or

"(ii) The Government of Pakistan specially agree to jurisdiction, and  
"provided further, that this Declaration shall remain in force till such time as notice may be given to terminate it."

Pakistan Mission to the United Nations  
New York, September 12th, 1960

(Signed) Said Hasan  
Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary  
Permanent Representative of Pakistan  
to the United Nations

PHILIPPINES

18 January 1972<sup>39</sup>

"I, CARLOS P. ROMULO, Secretary of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of the Philippines, hereby declare, under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, that the Republic of the Philippines recognizes as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes arising hereafter concerning:

- "(a) The interpretation of a treaty;
- "(b) Any question of international law;
- "(c) The existence of any fact which, if established would constitute a breach of an international obligation;
- "(d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation;

Provided, that this declaration shall not apply to any dispute:

- "(a) In regard to which the parties thereto have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement; or
- "(b) Which the Republic of the Philippines considers to be essentially within its domestic jurisdiction; or
- "(c) In respect of which the other party has accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice only in relation to or for the purposes of such dispute; or where the acceptance of the compulsory jurisdiction was deposited or ratified less than 12 months prior to the filing of the application bringing the dispute before the Court; or
- "(d) Arising under a multilateral treaty, unless (1) all parties to the treaty are also parties to the case before the Court, or (2) the Republic of the Philippines specially agrees to jurisdiction; or
- "(e) Arising out of or concerning jurisdiction or rights claimed or exercised by the Philippines:
  - "(1) In respect of the natural resources, including living organisms belonging to sedentary species, of the sea-bed and subsoil of the continental shelf of the Philippines, or its analogue in an archipelago, as described in Proclamation No. 370 dated 20 March 1968 of the President of the Republic of the Philippines; or
  - "(ii) In respect of the territory of the Republic of the Philippines, including its territorial seas and inland waters, and

Provided, further, that this declaration shall

remain in force until notice is given to the Secretary-General of the United Nations of its termination.

Done at Manila this 23rd day of December 1971.

(Signed) Carlos P. Romulo  
Secretary of Foreign Affairs

PORTUGAL

19 December 1955<sup>40</sup>

"Under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, I declare on behalf of the Portuguese Government that Portugal recognizes the jurisdiction of this Court as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, as provided for in the said paragraph 2 of Article 36 and under the following conditions:

"1) The present declaration covers disputes arising out of events both prior and subsequent to the declaration of acceptance of the 'optional clause' which Portugal made on December 16, 1920, as a party to the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice.

"2) The present declaration enters into force at the moment it is deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations; it shall be valid for a period of one year, and thereafter until notice of its denunciation is given to the said Secretary-General.

"3) The Portuguese Government reserves the right to exclude from the scope of the present declaration, at any time during its validity, any given category or categories of disputes, by notifying the Secretary-General of the United Nations and with effect from the moment of such notification."

Portuguese Embassy,  
Washington, D.C., 19 December 1955

(Signed) L. Esteves Fernandes

SENEGAL

2 December 1985<sup>41</sup>

I have the honour, on behalf of the Government of the Government of the Republic of Senegal, to declare that, in accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, it accepts on condition of reciprocity as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the Court in all legal disputes born subsequently to the present declaration concerning:

- the interpretation of a treaty;
- any question of international law;
- the existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation,
- the nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation.

This declaration is made on condition reciprocity on the part of all States. However, Senegal may waive the competence of the Court in regard to:

I.4: I.C.J. Statute--Declarations under Article 36(2)

- disputes concerning which the parties have agreed to have recourse to some other method of settlement;
- disputes with regard to questions which by international law fall within the exclusive competence of Senegal.

Lastly, the Government of the Republic of Senegal reserves the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, to add, to amend or to withdraw the foregoing reservations.

Such notification shall be effective on the date of its receipt by the Secretary-General.

(Signed) Ibrahim FALL  
Minister for Foreign Affairs  
of the Republic of Senegal

SOMALIA

11 April 1963<sup>42</sup>

"I have the honour to declare on behalf of the Government of the Somali Republic that the Somali Republic accepts as compulsory ipso facto, and without special agreement, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court, until such times as notice may be given to terminate the acceptance, over all legal disputes arising other than disputes in respect of which any other Party to the dispute has accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice only in relation to or for the purposes of the dispute; or where the acceptance of the Court's compulsory jurisdiction on behalf of any other Party to the dispute was deposited or ratified less than twelve months prior to the filing of the application bringing the dispute before the Court.

"The Somali Republic also reserves the right at any time by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and with effect as from the moment of such notification, either to add to, amend or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations, or any that may hereafter be added."  
Mogadishu  
March 25, 1963.

(Signed) Abdullahi Issa  
Minister for Foreign Affairs

SUDAN

2 January 1958<sup>43</sup>

"I have the honour by direction of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs to declare, on behalf of the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, that in pursuance of paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice the Government of the Republic of the Sudan recognize as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, on condition of reciprocity, until such time as notice may be given to terminate this Declaration, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes arising after the first day of January 1956 with regard to situations or facts subsequent to that date concerning.

"(a) The interpretation of a treaty concluded or ratified by the Republic of the Sudan on or after the first day of January 1956;

"(b) Any question of International Law;

"(c) The existence of any fact, which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation; or

"(d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation;

"but excluding the following:

"(i) Disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

"(ii) Disputes in regard to matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the Republic of the Sudan as determined by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan;

"(iii) Disputes arising out of events occurring during any period in which the Republic of the Sudan is engaged in hostilities as a belligerent."

30 December, 1957

(Signed) Yacoub Osman  
Permanent Representative of the Sudan  
to the United Nations

SWAZILAND

26 May 1969<sup>44</sup>

"I, Prince Makhosini Jameson Dlamini, Prime Minister of the Kingdom of Swaziland to whom His Majesty has delegated responsibility for the conduct of foreign affairs, have the honour to declare on behalf of the Government of the Kingdom of Swaziland, that it recognizes as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in accordance with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court.

"This Declaration does not extend:

"(a) To disputes in respect of which the parties have agreed to have recourse to another means of peaceful settlement; or

"(b) To disputes relating to matters which, by international law, are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the Kingdom of Swaziland.

"The Government of the Kingdom of Swaziland also reserves the right to add to, amend or withdraw this Declaration by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, with effect as from the moment of such notification."

Mbabane, 9th May, 1969

(Signed) Makhosini Jameson Dlamini  
Prime Minister  
and Minister for Foreign Affairs

SWEDEN

6 April 1957<sup>45</sup>

On behalf of the Royal Swedish Government, I declare that it accepts as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any

other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in accordance with Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the said Court for a period of five years as from 6 April 1957. This obligation shall be renewed by tacit agreement for further periods of the same duration unless notice of abrogation is made at least six months before the expiration of any such period. The abovementioned obligation is accepted only in respect of disputes which may arise with regard to situations or facts subsequent to 6 April 1957.  
New York, 6 April 1957

(Signed) Claes Carbonnier  
Permanent Representative a.i. of Sweden  
to the United Nations

SWITZERLAND

28 July 1948<sup>46</sup>

The Swiss Federal Council  
Duly authorized for that purpose by a Federal Order which was adopted on 12 March 1948 by the Federal Assembly of the Swiss Confederation and entered into force on 17 June 1948,

Hereby declares

That the Swiss Confederation recognizes as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes concerning:

- a. The interpretation of a treaty;
- b. Any question of international law;
- c. The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;
- d. The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation.

This declaration which is made under Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice shall take effect from the date on which the Swiss Confederation becomes a party to that Statute<sup>47</sup> and shall have effect as long as it has not been abrogated subject to one year's notice.

Done at Berne, 6 July 1948.

On behalf of the Swiss Federal Council,

(Signed) Celio  
The President of the Confederation  
(Signed) Leimgruber  
The Chancellor of the Confederation

TOGO

25 October 1979<sup>48</sup>

The Togolese Republic,  
Represented by His Excellency Mr. Akanyi-Awunyo Kodjovi, Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary, Permanent Representative of Togo to the United Nations,

Acting pursuant to the provisions of Article 36, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, annexed to the Charter of the United Nations,

Guided by its constant concern to ensure the peaceful and equitable settlement of all international disputes, particularly those in which it

might be involved, and desiring to contribute to the strengthening of the international legal order based on the principles set forth in the Charter of the United Nations,

Declares that it recognizes as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, that is, subject to reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all disputes concerning:

- (a) The interpretation of a treaty;
- (b) Any question of international law;
- (c) The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;
- (d) The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation.

The present declaration has been made for an unlimited period subject to the power of denunciation and modification attached to any obligation assumed by a sovereign State in its international relations. It will enter into force on the day on which it is received by the United Nations Secretariat.

New York, 24 October 1979

(Signed) Akanyi-Awunyo Kodjovi

UGANDA

3 October 1963<sup>49</sup>

"I hereby declare on behalf of the Government of Uganda that Uganda recognises as compulsory ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, and on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court."  
New York, 3rd October 1963

(Signed) Apollo K. Kironde  
Ambassador and Permanent Representative of Uganda  
to the United Nations

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

1 January 1969<sup>50</sup>

"I have the honour, by direction of Her Majesty's Principal Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs, to declare on behalf of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland that they accept as compulsory ipso facto and without special convention, on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Statute of the Court, until such time as notice may be given to terminate the acceptance, over all disputes arising after the 24th of October 1945, with regard to situations or facts subsequent to the same date, other than:

- (i) any dispute which the United Kingdom  
(a) has agreed with the other Party or Parties thereto to settle by some other method of peaceful settlement; or



- "(b) has already submitted to arbitration by agreement with any State which had not at the time of sub-mission accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice.
- "(ii) disputes with the Government of any other country which is a Member of the Commonwealth with regard to situations or facts existing before the 1st of January, 1969.
- "(iii) disputes in respect of which any other Party to the dispute has accepted the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice only in relation to or for the purpose of the dispute; or where the acceptance of the

Court's compulsory jurisdiction on behalf of any other Party to the dispute was deposited or ratified less than twelve months prior to the filing of the application bringing the dispute before the Court.

"2. The Government of the United Kingdom also reserve the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and with effect as from the moment of such notification, either to add to, amend or withdraw any of the foregoing reservations, or any that may hereafter be added." United Kingdom Mission to the United Nations. New York, 1 January 1969

(Signed) L. C. Glass

(b) Declarations made under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice, which are deemed to be acceptances of the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice

(All data and footnotes concerning these declarations are reprinted from the International Court of Justice Yearbook, 1971-1972)

COLOMBIA<sup>51</sup>

30.X.37

(Translation from the French)

The Republic of Colombia recognizes as compulsory, ipso facto and without special agreement, on condition of reciprocity, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the Permanent Court of International Justice, in accordance with Article 36 of the Statute.

The present Declaration applies only to disputes arising out of facts subsequent to January 6th, 1932.  
Geneva, 30 October 1937.

(Signed) J. M. Yepes  
Legal Adviser of the Permanent Delegation  
of Colombia to the League of Nations

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

30.IX.24

(Translation from the French)

On behalf of the Government of the Dominican Republic and subject to ratification, I recognize, in relation to any other Member or State accepting the same obligation, that is to say, on the sole condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the Court as compulsory, ipso facto and without special convention.  
Geneva, 30 September 1924.

(Signed) Jacinto R. de Castro  
The instrument of ratification was deposited on 4 February 1933.

HAITI

4.X.21

(Translation from the French)

On behalf of the Republic of Haiti, I recognize the jurisdiction of the Permanent Court of International Justice as compulsory.

(Signed) F. Addor  
Consul

LUXEMBOURG<sup>52</sup>

15.IX.30

(Translation from the French)

The Government of the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg recognizes as compulsory, ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other State accepting the same obligation, that is to say on condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the Court in conformity with article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute, in any disputes arising after the signature of the present declaration with regard to situations or facts subsequent to this signature, except in cases where the parties have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to another procedure or to another method of pacific settlement. The present declaration is made for a period of five years. Unless it is denounced six months before the expiration of that period, it shall be considered as renewed for a further period of five years and similarly thereafter.  
Geneva, 15 September 1930

(Signed) Bech

NICARAGUA<sup>53</sup>

24.IX.29

(Translation from the French)

On behalf of the Republic of Nicaragua, I recognize as compulsory unconditionally the jurisdiction of the Permanent Court of International Justice.  
Geneva, 24 September 1929

(Signed) T. F. Medina

PANAMA<sup>54</sup>

25.X.21

(Translation from the French)

On behalf of the Government of Panama, I recognize, in relation to any other Member or State which accepts the same obligation, that is to say, on the sole condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the Court as compulsory, ipso facto and without any special convention.  
Paris, 25 October 1921

(Signed) R. A. Amador  
Chargé d'Affaires

URUGUAY<sup>55</sup>

Prior to 28.I.2156

(Translation from the French)

On behalf of the Government of Uruguay, I recognize in relation to any Member or State accepting the same obligation, that is to say, on the sole condition of reciprocity, the jurisdiction of the Court as compulsory, ipso facto and without special convention.

(Signed) B. Fernandez Y Medina

NOTES:

1/ Registered under No. 3; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1, p. 9. A declaration of 6 April 1984 completing the said declaration was registered on that date under No.3. The declaration read as follows:

I have the honour on behalf of the Government of the United States of America to refer to the Declaration of my Government of August 26, 1946, as modified by my note of 6 April 1984, concerning the acceptance by the United States of America of the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, and to state that the aforesaid Declaration shall not apply to disputes with any Central American state or arising out of or related to events in Central America, any of which disputes shall be settled in such manner as the parties to them may agree.

Notwithstanding the terms of the aforesaid Declaration, this proviso shall take effect immediately and shall remain in force for two years, so as to foster the continuing regional dispute settlement process which seeks a negotiated solution to the interrelated political, economic and security problems of Central America.

(Signed) George Shultz  
Secretary of State of the  
United States of America

On 7 October 1985, the Secretary-General received from the Government of the United States of America a notification of termination of the said declarations.

2/ The declaration of 17 February 1956 replaced that of 4 September 1950, which was published in the United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 108, p. 239.

An amending declaration was received on 28 February 1984 and registered on that date under No. 3571. The amending declaration read as follows:

"On behalf of the Government of Israel I have the honour to inform you that the following amendments, with effect as of today, have been made to its Declaration concerning the acceptance of the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice [...]:

- 1) At the end of paragraph (a) delete the semi-colon and add the following:  
"and any dispute or matter which is in any manner related to any such dispute;"
- 2) After paragraph (e) insert new paragraph "(f) which reads as follows:  
"(f) any dispute in respect of which any other party thereto has accepted, or

amended, a previous acceptance of the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, only in relation to or for the purpose of the dispute; or where the acceptance or the amendment of a previous acceptance of the Court's compulsory jurisdiction, on behalf of any other party to the dispute, was deposited or ratified less than 12 months prior to the filing of the application bringing the dispute before the Court."

(Signed) Yehuda Z. Blum  
Ambassador  
Permanent Representative of Israel  
to the United Nations

The notification of termination of the declaration of 17 October 1956 received from the Government of Israel on 21 November 1985 (dated 19 November 1985), reads as follows:

"On behalf of the Government of Israel, I have the honour to inform you that the Government of Israel has decided to terminate, with effect as of today, its declaration of 17 October 1956 as amended, concerning the acceptance of the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice."

3/ See paragraph 5 of Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice.

4/ State having made a declaration under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice.

5/ Registered under No. 13809. This declaration replaces that of 6 February 1954 registered under No. 2484; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 186, p. 77.

6/ Registered under No. 11092; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 778, p. 301.

7/ Registered on 1 August 1981.

8/ Registered under No. 4364; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 302, p. 251. The previous declaration, valid for a period of five years, was deposited by Belgium on 13 July 1948; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 16, p. 203.

9/ The instrument of ratification was deposited on 17 June 1958.

10/ Registered under No. 10359; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 721, p. 121.

11/ This declaration replaces that one made on 7 April 1970, registered under No. 10415; see

United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 724, p. 63. For the original declaration made on 20 September 1919, see Yearbook of the International Court of Justice 1968-1969, p. 46.

12/ Registered under No. 12294; see vol. 857, p. 107.

13/ Registered under No. 3998; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 277, p. 77.

14/ Registered under No. 3646; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 257, p. 35. This declaration replaces that of 10 December 1946; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1, p. 45.

15/ Registered under No. 3940; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 272, p. 225.

16/ Registered under No. 3821; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 265, p. 299.

17/ Registered under No. 12837. With respect to this declaration the Secretary-General received on 3 July and 9 September 1974, respectively, a declaration from the Government of Honduras and a second declaration from the Government of El Salvador (those declarations also registered under No. 12837 on the respective dates of their receipt, and published in volumes 942 and 948 of the United Nations Treaty Series).

In a notification received on 27 November 1978 the Government of El Salvador informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to extend for a period of 10 years as from 26 November 1978 its acceptance of the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice. The said notification contains the following declaration: El Salvador still reserves the right at any time to modify, add to, explain or derogate from the exceptions under which it accepted such jurisdiction. The extension was registered on 27 November 1978 under No. 12837

18/ See Yearbook of the International Court of Justice 1972-1973, p. 39

19/ Registered under No. 4376; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 303, p. 137.

20/ Registered under No. 8232; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 565, p. 21.

21/ Registered on 6 June 1986, this declaration replaces that one made on 20 February 1960 and received by the Secretary-General on 10 March 1960. For the text of that declaration, registered under No. 236, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 353, p. 309. For the declaration of 19 April 1954 and the notice of its termination, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 15, p. 217, and vol. 190, p. 377.

22/ Registered under No. 13546. The declaration of 14 September 1959, deposited with the Secretary-General on the same date and superseded by the declaration reproduced herein, is reproduced in United Nations Treaty Series, vol. 340, p. 289.

23/ Registered under No. 4517; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 312, p. 155.

24/ Registered under No. 7697; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 531, p. 113.

25/ Registered under No. 2145; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 163, p. 117.

26/ The instrument of ratification was deposited on 17 April 1953.

27/ Registered under No. 759; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 51, p. 119.

28/ Liechtenstein became a party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice on 29 March 1950; see note 3 in chapter I.3.

29/ Registered under No. 8438; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 581, p. 135.

30/ This declaration completes that one made on 6 December 1966 (Registered under 8423) and replaces the one communicated on 21 January 1981. The text of which reads as follows:

"1. I have the honour, on behalf of the Government of Malta, to declare that Malta accepts as compulsory ipso facto, without special convention, without the condition of reciprocity and without reservation, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 36 of the Court's Statute - until such time as notice may be given to terminate this acceptance - in respect of any dispute concerning the question of what principles and rules of international law are applicable, or may be applied, and/or how they are to be applied, to the delimitation of areas of the continental shelf in the Mediterranean Sea appertaining respectively to Malta on the one hand, and, conversely, to any other State, as the case may be.

2. The Government of Malta reserves the right at any time, by means of a notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and with effect as from the moment of such notification, either to add to, amend or withdraw the present Declaration, or any of its provisions, or any that may hereafter be added to it."

(Signed) Dom Mintoff  
Prime Minister  
and Minister of Foreign Affairs

31/ Registered under No. 9251; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 646, p. 171.

32/ Registered under No. 127, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 9, p. 97.

33/ Registered under No. 3483; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 248, p. 33.

34/ See United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1, p. 7, and vol. 248, p. 357.

35/ Registered under No. 15931. This declaration replaces the one of 8 April 1940, made under Article 36, paragraph 2, of the

Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice. For the text of that declaration, as well as the text of the notice of termination given on 30 March 1940 in respect of a previous declaration of 19 September 1929, see League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. CC, pp. 490 and 491. For the text of the declaration of 19 September 1929, see ibid., vol. LXXXVIII, p. 277. For the text of a reservation formulated on 7 September 1939 in respect of the declaration of 19 September 1929, see Permanent Court of International Justice, Series E, No. 16, p. 342.

36/ Registered under No. 7913; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 544, p. 113.

37/ Registered under No. 15035; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1024, p. 195. This declaration replaced that of 19 December 1956 registered under No. 3642; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 256, p. 315.

38/ Registered under No. 5332; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 374, p. 127. This declaration replaces that of 23 May 1957, in respect of which the Government of Pakistan gave notice of termination on 13 September 1960; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 269, p. 77, and vol. 374, p. 382. For the declaration of 22 June 1948 and the notice of its termination, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 16, p. 197, and vol. 257, p. 360.

39/ Registered under No. 11523; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 808, p. 3. This declaration replaced that of 21 August 1947, in respect of which a notice of withdrawal was given on 23 December 1971, for the text of that declaration see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 7, p. 229.

40/ Registered under No. 3079; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 224, p. 275.

41/ This declaration replaces a previous declaration which was received on 3 May 1985 and registered on that date, and which was identical in essence to the new declaration received on 2 December 1985, except that this last declaration applies only to disputes born subsequently to the said declaration.

42/ Registered under No. 6597; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 458, p. 43.

43/ Registered under No. 4139, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 284, p. 215

44/ Registered under No. 9589; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 673, p. 155.

45/ Registered under No. 3794; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 264, p. 221 This

declaration replaces that of 5 April 1947, which was made for a period of ten years; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 2, p. 3.

46/ Registered under No. 272; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 17, p. 115.

47/ Switzerland became a party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice on 28 July 1948; see note 2 in chapter I.3.

48/ Registered under No. 18020.

49/ Registered under No. 6946; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 479, p. 35.

50/ Registered under No. 9370; see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 654, p. 335. This declaration replaces that of 27 November 1963, in respect of which notice of withdrawal was given on 1 January 1969; for the text of that declaration, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 482, p. 187. For declarations preceding that of 27 November 1963, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 211, p. 109; vol. 219, p. 179; vol. 265, p. 221, and vol. 316, p. 59.

51/ An instrument of ratification was deposited on 30 October 1937. Ratification was not required under the terms of the Optional Clause, the act of signature itself sufficing to make the undertaking binding except where the declaration had been made expressly subject to ratification. Nevertheless, certain States, which had signed without any such reservation, subsequently ratified their declaration.

52/ The Government of Luxembourg had in 1921 signed the Optional Clause subject to ratification. That declaration was, however, never ratified.

53/ According to a telegram dated 29 November 1939, addressed to the League of Nations, Nicaragua had ratified the Protocol of Signature of the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice (16 December 1920), and the instrument of ratification was to follow. It does not appear, however, that the instrument of ratification was ever received by the League of Nations.

54/ An instrument of ratification was deposited on 14 June 1929 (in this connexion, see remark in note 5/ above).

55/ An instrument of ratification was deposited on 27 September 1921 (in this connexion mutatis mutandis, see remark in note 5/ above).

56/ The date on which this declaration (undated) was first published in a League of Nations document

## 5. AMENDMENTS TO THE CHARTER OF THE UNITED NATIONS

## (a) Amendments to Articles 23, 27 and 61 of the Charter of the United Nations

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in its resolutions 1991 A and B (XVIII) of 17 December 1963<sup>1</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 31 August 1965 for all Members of the United Nations, in accordance with article 108 of the Charter.<sup>2</sup>  
 REGISTRATION: 1 March 1966, No. 8132.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 557, p. 143.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	25 Feb 1965	Kenya . . . . .	28 Oct 1964
Albania . . . . .	7 Dec 1964	Kuwait . . . . .	28 Dec 1964
Algeria . . . . .	26 Mar 1964	Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	20 Apr 1965
Argentina . . . . .	15 Mar 1966	Lebanon . . . . .	27 Sep 1965
Australia . . . . .	9 Jun 1965	Liberia . . . . .	21 Sep 1964
Austria . . . . .	7 Oct 1964	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .	27 Aug 1964
Belgium . . . . .	29 Apr 1965	Luxembourg . . . . .	22 Oct 1965
Benin . . . . .	17 Sep 1965	Madagascar . . . . .	14 Dec 1964
Bolivia . . . . .	19 Jan 1966	Malawi . . . . .	2 Jun 1965
Brazil . . . . .	23 Dec 1964	Malaysia . . . . .	26 May 1965
Burkina Faso . . . . .	11 Aug 1964	Mali . . . . .	23 Sep 1964
Bulgaria . . . . .	13 Jan 1965	Malta . . . . .	23 Jun 1965
Burma . . . . .	3 Jun 1965	Mauritania . . . . .	29 Jan 1965
Burundi . . . . .	23 Aug 1965	Mexico . . . . .	5 May 1965
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	22 Jun 1965	Mongolia . . . . .	10 Mar 1965
Cameroon . . . . .	25 Jun 1964	Morocco . . . . .	9 Nov 1964
Canada . . . . .	9 Sep 1964	Nepal . . . . .	3 Dec 1964
Central African Republic . . . . .	6 Aug 1964	Netherlands . . . . .	14 Dec 1964
Chad . . . . .	2 Nov 1964	New Zealand . . . . .	26 Aug 1964
Chile . . . . .	31 Aug 1965	Niger . . . . .	8 Sep 1964
China <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		Nigeria . . . . .	5 Dec 1964
Colombia . . . . .	10 Oct 1966	Norway . . . . .	17 Dec 1964
Congo . . . . .	7 Jul 1965	Pakistan . . . . .	25 Mar 1965
Costa Rica . . . . .	7 Oct 1964	Panama . . . . .	27 Jul 1965
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	2 Oct 1964	Paraguay . . . . .	17 Aug 1965
Cuba . . . . .	22 Dec 1964	Peru . . . . .	2 Dec 1966
Cyprus . . . . .	1 Sep 1965	Philippines . . . . .	9 Nov 1964
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	19 Jan 1965	Poland . . . . .	8 Jan 1965
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	20 Jan 1966	Romania . . . . .	5 Feb 1965
Denmark . . . . .	12 Jan 1965	Rwanda . . . . .	17 Nov 1964
Dominican Republic . . . . .	4 Nov 1965	Saudi Arabia . . . . .	17 Jun 1965
Ecuador . . . . .	31 Aug 1965	Senegal . . . . .	23 Apr 1965
Egypt . . . . .	16 Dec 1964	Sierra Leone . . . . .	25 Mar 1965
El Salvador . . . . .	1 Dec 1964	Somalia . . . . .	6 Oct 1965
Ethiopia . . . . .	22 Jul 1964	Spain . . . . .	5 Aug 1965
Finland . . . . .	18 Jan 1965	Sri Lanka . . . . .	13 Nov 1964
France . . . . .	24 Aug 1965	Sudan . . . . .	7 May 1965
Gabon . . . . .	11 Aug 1964	Sweden . . . . .	18 Dec 1964
Ghana . . . . .	4 May 1964	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	24 Feb 1965
Greece . . . . .	2 Aug 1965	Thailand . . . . .	23 Mar 1964
Guatemala . . . . .	18 Aug 1965	Togo . . . . .	19 Aug 1964
Guinea . . . . .	19 Aug 1964	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	18 Aug 1964
Honduras . . . . .	9 Oct 1968	Tunisia . . . . .	29 May 1964
Hungary . . . . .	23 Feb 1965	Turkey . . . . .	1 Jul 1965
Iceland . . . . .	6 Nov 1964	Uganda . . . . .	10 Feb 1965
India . . . . .	10 Sep 1964	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	17 May 1965
Indonesia . . . . .	30 Mar 1973	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	10 Feb 1965
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	12 Jan 1965	United Kingdom . . . . .	4 Jun 1965
Iraq . . . . .	25 Nov 1964	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	7 Oct 1964
Ireland . . . . .	27 Oct 1964	United States of America . . . . .	31 Aug 1965
Israel . . . . .	13 May 1965	Venezuela . . . . .	1 Sep 1965
Italy . . . . .	25 Aug 1965	Yemen . . . . .	7 Jul 1965
Jamaica . . . . .	12 Mar 1964	Yugoslavia . . . . .	9 Dec 1964
Japan . . . . .	4 Jun 1965	Zaire . . . . .	20 May 1966
Jordan . . . . .	7 Aug 1964	Zambia . . . . .	28 Apr 1965

## (b) Amendment to Article 109 of the Charter of the United Nations

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in its resolution 2101 (XX) of  
20 December 1965<sup>4</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE. 12 June 1968 for all Members of the United Nations, in accordance with  
Article 108 of the Charter.<sup>2</sup>  
REGISTRATION: 12 June 1968, No. 8132  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 638, p. 308.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	16 Nov 1966	Lao People's Democratic Republic . .	21 Oct 1966
Albania . . . . .	12 Oct 1966	Lebanon . . . . .	20 Mar 1969
Algeria . . . . .	30 Apr 1969	Liberia . . . . .	1 Jul 1969
Argentina . . . . .	12 Apr 1967	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .	3 Aug 1967
Australia . . . . .	27 Sep 1966	Luxembourg . . . . .	12 Dec 1967
Austria . . . . .	29 Sep 1966	Madagascar . . . . .	23 Jan 1968
Belgium . . . . .	29 Jun 1966	Malawi . . . . .	11 Apr 1966
Benin . . . . .	29 Jun 1966	Malaysia . . . . .	28 Apr 1966
Bolivia . . . . .	28 Jul 1966	Maldives . . . . .	5 Sep 1968
Botswana . . . . .	12 Jun 1968	Malta . . . . .	30 Jun 1966
Brazil . . . . .	12 Jul 1966	Mexico . . . . .	18 Apr 1967
Burkina Faso . . . . .	18 Jul 1966	Mongolia . . . . .	17 Apr 1969
Bulgaria . . . . .	2 Jun 1966	Morocco . . . . .	27 Dec 1966
Burma . . . . .	8 Jun 1967	Nepal . . . . .	20 Jul 1966
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	21 Sep 1966	Netherlands . . . . .	5 Jan 1967
Canada . . . . .	11 Jul 1966	New Zealand . . . . .	20 May 1966
Chile . . . . .	22 Aug 1968	Niger . . . . .	28 Apr 1966
China <sup>5</sup>		Nigeria . . . . .	15 Jun 1967
Cuba . . . . .	17 May 1976	Norway . . . . .	29 Apr 1966
Cyprus . . . . .	31 May 1966	Pakistan . . . . .	10 Aug 1966
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	7 Oct 1966	Paraguay . . . . .	7 Aug 1967
Denmark . . . . .	31 May 1967	Philippines . . . . .	2 Oct 1967
Dominican Republic . . . . .	4 May 1966	Poland . . . . .	22 May 1967
Ecuador . . . . .	5 May 1966	Romania . . . . .	12 Jan 1967
Egypt . . . . .	23 Jan 1967	Rwanda . . . . .	9 Sep 1966
Ethiopia . . . . .	28 Jul 1966	Saudi Arabia . . . . .	11 Dec 1968
Finland . . . . .	11 Jan 1967	Sierra Leone . . . . .	24 Jan 1968
France . . . . .	18 Oct 1967	Singapore . . . . .	25 Jul 1966
Gabon . . . . .	24 Dec 1968	Spain . . . . .	28 Oct 1966
Gambia . . . . .	11 Jul 1966	Sri Lanka . . . . .	24 Aug 1966
Ghana . . . . .	8 Sep 1966	Sudan . . . . .	24 Apr 1968
Greece . . . . .	17 Oct 1969	Sweden . . . . .	15 Jul 1966
Guatemala . . . . .	16 Jun 1966	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	8 Dec 1967
Guyana . . . . .	31 Jan 1968	Thailand . . . . .	9 Jun 1966
Hungary . . . . .	4 May 1967	Togo . . . . .	14 May 1968
Iceland . . . . .	21 Jun 1966	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	22 Apr 1966
India . . . . .	11 Jul 1966	Tunisia . . . . .	23 Aug 1966
Indonesia . . . . .	30 Mar 1973	Turkey . . . . .	16 Mar 1967
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	13 Jan 1967	Uganda . . . . .	15 Apr 1969
Iraq . . . . .	12 Jan 1967	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	1 Nov 1966
Ireland . . . . .	20 Sep 1966	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	22 Sep 1966
Israel . . . . .	29 Aug 1966	United Kingdom . . . . .	19 Oct 1966
Italy . . . . .	4 Dec 1967	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	20 Jun 1966
Ivory Coast . . . . .	15 Jan 1968	United States of America . . . . .	31 May 1967
Jamaica . . . . .	12 Jul 1966	Venezuela . . . . .	9 Nov 1967
Jordan . . . . .	25 Mar 1966	Yugoslavia . . . . .	13 Mar 1967
Kenya . . . . .	16 Jun 1966	Zaire . . . . .	9 Jun 1966
Kuwait . . . . .	26 Oct 1967		

## (c) Amendment to Article 61 of the Charter of the United Nations

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in its resolution 2847 (XXVI) of  
20 December 1971<sup>6</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 September 1973 for all Members of the United Nations, in accordance with Article 108 of the Charter.<sup>2</sup>  
REGISTRATION: 24 September 1973, No. 8132.  
TEXT: General Assembly resolution 2847 (XXVI) of 20 December 1971.<sup>6</sup>

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification</u>
Afghanistan	20 Sep 1973	Lesotho	30 May 1973
Albania	22 Mar 1974	Liberia	4 Dec 1972
Algeria	21 Jun 1972	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	12 Apr 1973
Argentina	19 Mar 1973	Luxembourg	5 Jun 1973
Australia	16 Nov 1972	Madagascar	19 Jul 1973
Austria	12 Jan 1973	Malawi	15 Sep 1972
Bahrain	22 Aug 1972	Malaysia	16 Jun 1972
Barbados	12 Jun 1972	Mali	30 Aug 1973
Belgium	26 Mar 1973	Malta	22 Feb 1973
Benin	5 Feb 1973	Mauritius	29 Jun 1973
Bhutan	13 Sep 1972	Mexico	11 Apr 1973
Bolivia	29 Jun 1973	Mongolia	18 May 1973
Botswana	12 Feb 1973	Morocco	26 Sep 1972
Brazil	7 Sep 1972	Nepal	24 Nov 1972
Bulgaria	5 Jun 1973	Netherlands	31 Oct 1972
Byelorussian SSR	15 Jun 1973	New Zealand	19 Jul 1972
Cameroon	12 Dec 1972	Nicaragua	17 Jul 1973
Canada	28 Sep 1972	Niger	22 Aug 1972
Chad	11 May 1973	Nigeria	17 Oct 1973
Chile	23 Jul 1974	Norway	14 Mar 1973
China	15 Sep 1972	Oman	23 Jun 1972
Colombia	20 May 1975	Pakistan	21 Aug 1973
Costa Rica	14 Aug 1973	Panama	26 Sep 1972
Cuba	17 May 1976	Paraguay	28 Dec 1973
Cyprus	26 Jun 1972	Peru	26 Jun 1973
Czechoslovakia	4 Feb 1974	Philippines	14 Nov 1972
Democratic Yemen	15 Jun 1972	Poland	19 Sep 1973
Denmark	23 Jan 1973	Qatar	15 Jun 1972
Dominican Republic	29 Nov 1972	Romania	26 Feb 1973
Ecuador	20 Apr 1973	Rwanda	6 Nov 1973
Egypt	28 Dec 1972	Senegal	25 Jan 1973
Ethiopia	27 Feb 1974	Sierra Leone	15 Oct 1973
Fiji	12 Jun 1972	Singapore	18 Apr 1972
Finland	30 Mar 1972	Spain	26 Jul 1973
France	1 Jun 1973	Sri Lanka	6 Dec 1972
Ghana	8 Jan 1973	Sudan	4 Oct 1972
Greece	15 Jan 1974	Sweden	22 Dec 1972
Guatemala	3 Oct 1972	Syrian Arab Republic	21 Aug 1974
Guinea	27 Jun 1973	Thailand	19 Jul 1972
Guyana	22 May 1973	Togo	29 Oct 1973
Hungary	12 Jul 1973	Trinidad and Tobago	11 Sep 1972
Iceland	6 Mar 1973	Tunisia	8 Nov 1972
India	5 Jan 1973	Uganda	12 Jun 1972
Indonesia	30 Mar 1973	Ukrainian SSR	16 May 1973
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	15 Mar 1973	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	1 Jun 1973
Iraq	9 Aug 1972	United Arab Emirates	29 Sep 1972
Ireland	6 Oct 1972	United Kingdom	19 Jun 1973
Italy	25 Jul 1973	United Republic of Tanzania	4 Apr 1973
Ivory Coast	28 Feb 1973	United States of America	24 Sep 1973
Jamaica	6 Oct 1972	Venezuela	29 Oct 1974
Japan	15 Jun 1973	Yemen	7 Jul 1972
Jordan	2 Jun 1972	Yugoslavia	23 Oct 1972
Kenya	5 Oct 1972	Zaire	16 Aug 1973
Kuwait	20 Jun 1972	Zambia	13 Oct 1972
Lebanon	2 Jul 1973		

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Eighteenth Session, Supplement No. 15 (A/5515), p. 21.

2/ As depositary of the amendments to the Charter, the Secretary-General drew up a protocol of entry into force of these amendments and communicated it to all Member States.

3/ Ratification on behalf of the Republic of China on 2 August 1965. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

In communications addressed to the Secretary-General, the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Czechoslovakia, Hungary and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, pointing out that in the annex to the said protocol, which contains a list of States Members of the United Nations having deposited instruments of ratification of the amendments, there is a reference to an instrument of ratification by China, stated that their Governments did not recognize any authority other than the Government of the People's Republic of China as entitled to represent and act on behalf of China and that, therefore, they considered the said instrument as having no legal force whatsoever. They noted, however, the position in this matter of the Government of the People's Republic of China, which had announced that it would not object to the introduction of the amendments to the relevant Articles of the Charter even before the restoration of the rights of the People's Republic of China in the United Nations.

In a note addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the communication from the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics mentioned above, the Permanent Representative of the Republic of China to the United Nations stated that the Republic of China, a permanent member of the

Security Council, had ratified the amendments and deposited the instrument of ratification with the Secretary-General on 2 August 1965 and that, therefore, there could be no question that the protocol of entry into force of the amendments was valid in its entirety. He further stated that the allegations made by the Soviet Union were untenable both in law and in fact and could in no way affect the validity of the protocol and the entry into force of the amendments.

4/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Twentieth Session, Supplement No. 14 (A/6014), p. 90.

5/ Ratification on behalf of the Republic of China on 8 July 1966. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

In communications addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned ratification, the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Albania, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and Yugoslavia stated that the only Government entitled to represent and to assume international obligations on behalf of China was the Government of the People's Republic of China and that, therefore, they did not recognize as valid the said ratification.

In a note addressed to the Secretary-General, the Permanent Mission of the Republic of China stated that the allegations contained in the above-mentioned communications are untenable both in law and in fact and could not in any way affect the requirements of Article 108 of the Charter or the validity of the amendments to the Charter duly ratified under the said Article.

6/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-sixth Session, Supplement No. 29. (A/8429), p. 67.





CHAPTER II. PACIFIC SETTLEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL DISPUTES

---

1. REVISED GENERAL ACT FOR THE PACIFIC SETTLEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL DISPUTES

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 28 April 1949<sup>1</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 September 1950, in accordance with article 44.  
REGISTRATION: 20 September 1950, No. 912.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 71, p. 101.

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession</u>	<u>Extending to</u>
Belgium . . . .	23 Dec 1949	All the provisions of the Act (chapters I, II, III, and IV).
Burkina Faso . .	27 Mar 1962	All the provisions of the Act (chapters I, II, III, and IV).
Denmark . . . .	25 Mar 1952	All the provisions of the Act (chapters I, II, III, and IV).
Luxembourg . . .	28 Jun 1961	All the provisions of the Act (chapters I, II, III, and IV).
Netherlands <sup>2</sup> . .	9 Jun 1971	The provisions relating to conciliation and judicial settlement (chapters I and II), together with general provisions dealing with these procedures (chapter IV).
Norway . . . . .	16 Jul 1951	All the provisions of the Act (chapters I, II, III, and IV).
Sweden . . . . .	22 Jun 1950	The provisions relating to conciliation and judicial settlement (chapters I and II), together with the general provisions dealing with these procedures (chapter IV) subject to the reservation on disputes arising out of facts prior to this accession.

---

NOTES:

1/ Resolution 268 A(III). Official Records of the General Assembly, Third Session, Part II (A/900), p. 10.

2/ For the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles.



CHAPTER III. PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES, DIPLOMATIC AND CONSULAR RELATIONS, ETC.

1. CONVENTION ON THE PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF THE UNITED NATIONS

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 13 February 1946<sup>1</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE: For each State, on the date of deposit of its instrument of accession, in accordance with section 32.  
 REGISTRATION: 14 December 1946, No. 4.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1, p. 15, and vol. 90, p. 327 (corrigendum to vol. 1).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession. succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession. succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	5 Sep 1947	Honduras . . . . .	16 May 1947
Albania . . . . .	2 Jul 1957	Hungary . . . . .	30 Jul 1956
Algeria . . . . .	31 Oct 1963	Iceland . . . . .	10 Mar 1948
Argentina . . . . .	12 Oct 1956	India . . . . .	13 May 1948
Australia . . . . .	2 Mar 1949	Indonesia . . . . .	8 Mar 1972
Austria . . . . .	10 May 1957	Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	8 May 1947
Bahamas . . . . .	17 Mar 1977 d	Iraq . . . . .	15 Sep 1949
Bangladesh . . . . .	13 Jan 1978 d	Ireland . . . . .	10 May 1967
Barbados . . . . .	10 Jan 1972 d	Israel . . . . .	21 Sep 1949
Belgium . . . . .	25 Sep 1948	Italy . . . . .	3 Feb 1958
Bolivia . . . . .	23 Dec 1949	Jamaica . . . . .	9 Sep 1963
Brazil . . . . .	15 Dec 1949	Japan . . . . .	18 Apr 1963
Bulgaria . . . . .	30 Sep 1960	Jordan . . . . .	3 Jan 1958
Burkina Faso . . . . .	27 Apr 1962	Kenya . . . . .	1 Jul 1965
Burma . . . . .	25 Jan 1955	Kuwait . . . . .	13 Dec 1963
Burundi . . . . .	17 Mar 1971	Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	24 Nov 1956
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	22 Oct 1953	Lebanon . . . . .	10 Mar 1949
Cameroon . . . . .	20 Oct 1961 d	Lesotho . . . . .	26 Nov 1969
Canada . . . . .	22 Jan 1948	Liberia . . . . .	14 Mar 1947
Central African Republic . . . . .	4 Sep 1962 d	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .	28 Nov 1958
Chile . . . . .	15 Oct 1948	Luxembourg . . . . .	14 Feb 1949
China . . . . .	11 Sep 1979	Madagascar . . . . .	23 May 1962 d
Colombia . . . . .	6 Aug 1974	Malawi . . . . .	17 May 1966
Congo . . . . .	15 Oct 1962 d	Malaysia . . . . .	28 Oct 1957 d
Costa Rica . . . . .	26 Oct 1949	Mali . . . . .	28 Mar 1968
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	8 Dec 1961 d	Malta . . . . .	27 Jun 1968 d
Cuba . . . . .	9 Sep 1959	Mauritius . . . . .	18 Jul 1969 d
Cyprus . . . . .	5 Nov 1963 d	Mexico . . . . .	26 Nov 1962
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	7 Sep 1955	Mongolia . . . . .	31 May 1962
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	6 Nov 1963	Morocco . . . . .	18 Mar 1957
Denmark . . . . .	10 Jun 1948	Nepal . . . . .	28 Sep 1965
Djibouti . . . . .	6 Apr 1978 d	Netherlands . . . . .	19 Apr 1948
Dominican Republic . . . . .	7 Mar 1947	New Zealand <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	10 Dec 1947
Ecuador . . . . .	22 Mar 1956	Nicaragua . . . . .	29 Nov 1947
Egypt . . . . .	17 Sep 1948	Niger . . . . .	25 Aug 1961 d
El Salvador . . . . .	9 Jul 1947	Nigeria . . . . .	26 Jun 1961 d
Ethiopia . . . . .	22 Jul 1947	Norway . . . . .	18 Aug 1947
Fiji . . . . .	21 Jun 1971 d	Pakistan . . . . .	22 Sep 1948
Finland . . . . .	31 Jul 1958	Panama . . . . .	27 May 1947
France . . . . .	18 Aug 1947	Papua New Guinea . . . . .	4 Dec 1975 d
Gabon . . . . .	13 Mar 1964	Paraguay . . . . .	2 Oct 1953
Gambia . . . . .	1 Aug 1966 d	Peru . . . . .	24 Jul 1963
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	4 Oct 1974	Philippines . . . . .	28 Oct 1947
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	5 Nov 1980	Poland . . . . .	8 Jan 1948
Ghana . . . . .	5 Aug 1958	Romania . . . . .	5 Jul 1956
Greece . . . . .	29 Dec 1947	Rwanda . . . . .	15 Apr 1964
Guatemala . . . . .	7 Jul 1947	Saint Lucia . . . . .	27 Aug 1986 d
Guinea . . . . .	10 Jan 1968	Senegal . . . . .	27 May 1963 d
Guyana . . . . .	28 Dec 1972	Seychelles . . . . .	26 Aug 1980
Haiti . . . . .	6 Aug 1947	Sierra Leone . . . . .	13 Mar 1962 d

III.1: Privileges and immunities of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession, succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession, succession (d)</u>
Singapore . . . . .	18 Mar 1966 d	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	20 Nov 1953
Somalia . . . . .	9 Jul 1963	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	22 Sep 1953
Spain . . . . .	31 Jul 1974	United Kingdom . . . . .	17 Sep 1946
Sudan . . . . .	21 Mar 1977	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	29 Oct 1962
Sweden . . . . .	28 Aug 1947	United States of America . . . . .	29 Apr 1970
Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	29 Sep 1953	Uruguay . . . . .	16 Feb 1984
Thailand . . . . .	30 Mar 1956	Yemen . . . . .	23 Jul 1963
Togo . . . . .	27 Feb 1962 d	Yugoslavia . . . . .	30 Jun 1950
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	19 Oct 1965	Zaire . . . . .	8 Dec 1964
Tunisia . . . . .	7 May 1957	Zambia . . . . .	16 Jun 1975 d
Turkey . . . . .	22 Aug 1950		

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon accession or succession.)

ALBANIA<sup>4</sup>

The People's Republic of Albania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of section 30, which provide that any difference arising out of the interpretation or application of the present Convention shall be brought before the International Court of Justice, whose opinion shall be accepted as decisive by the parties, with respect to the competence of the Court in disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, the People's Republic of Albania will continue to maintain, as it has heretofore, that in every individual case the agreement of all the parties to the dispute is required in order that the dispute may be laid before the International Court of Justice for a ruling.

ALGERIA<sup>4</sup>

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by section 30 of the said Convention which provides for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in the case of differences arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention. It declares that, for the submission of a particular dispute to the International Court of Justice for settlement, the consent of all parties to the dispute is necessary in each case.

This reservation also applies to the provision of the same section that the advisory opinion given by the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive

BULGARIA<sup>4</sup>

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provision of Section 30 of the Convention which provides for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, and, with respect to the competence of the International Court in the case of differences arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention, the position of the People's Republic of Bulgaria is that, for the submission of a particular dispute to the Inter-

national Court for settlement, the consent of all parties to the dispute is necessary in each case. This reservation also applies to the provision of the same section that the advisory opinion given by the International Court shall be accepted as decisive.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC<sup>4</sup>

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provision of section 30 of the Convention which envisages the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court and, in regard to the competence of the International Court in differences arising out of the interpretation and application of the Convention, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic will, as hitherto, adhere to the position that, for the submission of a particular dispute for settlement by the International Court, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is required in every individual case. This reservation is equally applicable to the provision contained in the same section, whereby the advisory opinion of the International Court shall be accepted as decisive

CANADA

"With the reservation that exemption from taxation imposed by any law in Canada on salaries and emoluments shall not extend to a Canadian citizen residing or ordinarily resident in Canada."

CHINA<sup>4</sup>

The Government of the People's Republic of China has reservations on section 30, article VIII, of the Convention.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA<sup>4</sup>

" . . . The Czechoslovak Republic does not consider itself bound by section 30 of the Convention which envisages the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court in differences arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention, in regard to the competence of the

### III.1: Privileges and immunities of the United Nations

International Court in such differences, the Czechoslovak Republic adheres to the position that, for the submission of a particular dispute for settlement by the International Court, the consent of all parties to the dispute is required in every individual case. This reservation is equally applicable to the further provisions contained in the same section, whereby the advisory opinion of the International Court shall be accepted as decisive."

#### GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC<sup>4</sup>

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provision of section 30 of the Convention, which provides for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, and, with regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice for disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention, takes the view that in every single case the consent of all parties to the dispute shall be necessary to refer a particular dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

This reservation applies equally to the provision contained in this section according to which the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive.

#### HUNGARY<sup>4</sup>

The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic expressly reserves its position with regard to section 30 of the Convention, since, in its opinion, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice can be founded only on the voluntary prior acceptance of such jurisdiction by all the parties concerned.

#### INDONESIA

"Article 1 (b) section 1. The capacity of the United Nations to acquire and dispose of immovable property shall be exercised with due regard to national laws and regulations.

"Article VIII, section 30:<sup>4</sup> With regard to competence of the International Court of Justice in disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Government of Indonesia reserves the right to maintain that in every individual case the agreement of the parties to the dispute is required before the Court for a ruling."

#### LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

1. Laotian nationals domiciled or habitually resident in Laos shall not enjoy exemption from the taxation payable in Laos on salaries and income.

2. Laotian nationals who are officials of the United Nations shall not be immune from National Service obligations.

#### MEXICO

(a) The United Nations and its organs shall not be entitled to acquire immovable property in Mexican territory, in view of the property regu-

lations laid down by the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States.

(b) Officials and experts of the United Nations and its organs who are of Mexican nationality shall enjoy, in the exercise of their functions in Mexican territory, exclusively those privileges which are granted them by section 18, paragraphs (a), (d), (f) and (g), and by section 22, paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d) and (f) respectively, of the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations, on the understanding that the inviolability established in the aforesaid section 22, paragraph (c), shall be granted only for official papers and documents.

#### MONGOLIA<sup>4</sup>

" . . . The Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of section 30 of the said General Convention, which provide that any difference arising out of the interpretation or application of the present Convention shall be referred to the International Court of Justice;

"and in such a case the position of the Mongolian People's Republic is that, for submission of a particular dispute to the International Court for settlement, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is necessary in every case.

"This reservation is equally applicable to the provision that the advisory opinion given by the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive "

#### NEPAL

"Subject to the reservation with regard to section 18 (c) of the Convention, that United Nations officials of Nepalese nationality shall not be exempt from service obligations applicable to them pursuant to Nepalese law; and

"Subject to the reservation<sup>4</sup> with regard to section 30 of the Convention, that any difference arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention to which Nepal is a party, shall be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the specific agreement of His Majesty's Government of Nepal."

#### ROMANIA<sup>4</sup>

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the terms of section 30 of the Convention which provide for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court in differences arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention, with respect to the competence of the International Court in such differences, the Romanian People's Republic takes the view that, for the purpose of the submission of any dispute whatsoever to the Court for a ruling, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is required in every individual case. This reservation is equally applicable to the provisions contained in the said section which stipulate that the advisory opinion of the International Court is to be accepted as decisive

III.1: Privileges and immunities of the United Nations

THAILAND

"... Officials of the United Nations of Thai nationality shall not be immune from national service obligations".

TURKEY<sup>5</sup>

With the following reservations:

- (a) The deferment, during service with the United Nations, of the second period of military service of Turkish nationals who occupy posts with the said Organization, will be arranged in accordance with the procedures provided in Military Law No. 1111, account being taken of their position as reserve officers or private soldiers, provided that they complete their previous military service as required under Article 6 of the above-mentioned Law, as reserve officers or private soldiers.

...

- (e) Turkish nationals entrusted by the United Nations with a mission in Turkey as officials of the Organization are subject to the taxes payable by their fellow citizens. They must make an annual declaration of their salaries in accordance with the provisions set forth in chapter 4, section 2, of Law No. 5421 concerning income tax.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC<sup>4</sup>

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provision of section 30 of the Convention which envisages the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court and, in regard to the competence of the International Court in differences arising out of the interpretation and application of the Convention, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic will, as hitherto, adhere to the position that, for the submission of a particular dispute for settlement by the International Court, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is required in every individual case. This reservation is equally applicable to the provision contained in the same section, whereby the advisory opinion of the International Court shall be accepted as decisive.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS<sup>4,6</sup>

The Soviet Union does not consider itself bound by the provision of section 30 of the Convention which envisages the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court, and in regard to the competence of the International Court in differ-

ences arising out of the interpretation and application of the Convention, the Soviet Union will, as hitherto, adhere to the position that, for the submission of a particular dispute for settlement by the International Court, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is required in every individual case. This reservation is equally applicable to the provision contained in the same section, whereby the advisory opinion of the International Court shall be accepted as decisive.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"(1) Paragraph (b) of section 18 regarding immunity from taxation and paragraph (c) of section 18 regarding immunity from national service obligations shall not apply with respect to United States nationals and aliens admitted for permanent residence.

"(2) Nothing in article IV, regarding the privileges and immunities of representatives of Members, in article VI, regarding the privileges and immunities of United Nations officials, or in article VI, regarding the privileges and immunities of experts on missions for the United Nations, shall be construed to grant any person who has abused his privileges of residence by activities in the United States outside his official capacity exemption from the laws and regulations of the United States regarding the continued residence of aliens, provided that.

"(a) No proceedings shall be instituted under such laws or regulations to require any such person to leave the United States except with the prior approval of the Secretary of State of the United States. Such approval shall be given only after consultation with the appropriate Member in the case of a representative of a Member (or member of his family) or with the Secretary-General in the case of any person referred to in articles V and VI;

"(b) A representative of the Member concerned or the Secretary-General, as the case may be, shall have the right to appear in any such proceedings on behalf of the person against whom they are instituted;

"(c) Persons who are entitled to diplomatic privileges and immunities under the Convention shall not be required to leave the United States otherwise than in accordance with the customary procedure applicable to members of diplomatic missions accredited or notified to the United States."

NOTES.

<sup>1/</sup> Resolution 22 A (I) See Resolutions adopted by the General Assembly during the First Part of its First Session (A/64), p. 25

<sup>2/</sup> In a communication accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the said Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

In this regard, the Secretary-General received, on the dates indicated, the following communications:

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (9 November 1981):

The declaration made by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany when depositing the instrument of accession, to the effect that the said Convention shall extend to Berlin (West), is incompatible with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. That Agreement, as is generally known, does not grant the Federal Republic of Germany the right to extend to West Berlin international agreements which affect matters of security and status. The above-mentioned Convention belongs precisely to that category of agreement.

In particular, the 1946 Convention regulates the granting of privileges and immunities to United Nations organs and officials in the State territory of countries parties to it, including immunity from legal proceedings and immunity from arrest or detention. Thus, the Convention concerns sovereign rights and obligations which cannot be exercised by a State in a territory which does not come under its jurisdiction.

In view of the foregoing, the Soviet Union considers the declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany on extending the application of the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations to Berlin (West) to be illegal and to have no legal force.

German Democratic Republic (23 December 1981):

"Concerning the application of the Convention on Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations on 13 February 1946 to Berlin (West) the German Democratic Republic states in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, that Berlin (West) continues not to be a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and cannot be governed by it.

"The declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany to the effect that the said Convention shall be extended to Berlin (West) is contrary to the Quadripartite Agreement in which it is stipulated that international agreements affecting matters of security and status of Berlin (West) cannot be extended by the Federal Republic of Germany to Berlin (West).

"In view of the foregoing, the declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany will have no validity."

France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America (8 June 1982):

"In a communication to the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, which is an integral part (annex IV A) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States, confirmed that, provided matters of security and status are not affected and provided that the extension is specified in each case international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin in accordance with established procedures. For its part, the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, in a com-

munication to the Governments of the Three Powers, which is similarly an integral part (annex IV B) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such extension

The established procedures referred to above, which were endorsed in the Quadripartite Agreement, are designed *inter alia* to afford the authorities of the Three Powers the opportunity to ensure that international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany which are to be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin are extended in such a way that matters of security and status are not affected.

When authorizing the extension of the above-mentioned Convention to the Western Sectors of Berlin, the authorities of the Three Powers took such steps as were necessary to ensure that the application of the Convention to the Western Sectors of Berlin remained subject to Allied rights and responsibilities in the field of privileges and immunities of international organisations. Accordingly, the validity of the Berlin declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany in accordance with established procedures is unaffected and the application of the Convention to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect, subject to Allied rights and responsibilities

With reference to the said communication for the Government of the German Democratic Republic we wish to state that States which are not party to the Quadripartite Agreement are not competent to comment authoritatively on its provisions. The three Governments do not consider it necessary, nor do they intend to respond to any further communications from States which are not party to the Quadripartite Agreement. We wish to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change in their position on this matter

Federal Republic of Germany (16 August 1982):

"By their note of 28 May 1982, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the communication referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the note of the Three Powers, wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned Convention extended by it under established procedures continues in full force and effect, subject to Allied rights and responsibilities.

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter."

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (29 December 1982):

The Soviet side once again confirms, as was already stated in the Mission's note of 9 November 1981, that the declaration of the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension to West Berlin of the application of the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations of 13 February 1946 is a



III.1: Privileges and immunities of the United Nations

violation of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and therefore has no legal force.

The Quadripartite Agreement, as is well known, clearly determined that by no means all international treaties of the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to West Berlin, but only those which do not affect matters of status and security. The above-mentioned Convention, by reason of its content, directly affects such matters.

The declarations by the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America that in the extension of the Convention to West Berlin by the Federal Republic of Germany the established procedures are being observed do not alter the substance of the problem. Those procedures may be applied only in relation to international treaties which the Federal Republic of Germany is entitled to extend to West Berlin. The Convention of 13 February 1946 is not such a treaty.

At the same time the Soviet side wishes to point out that the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 contains provisions relating to West Berlin which have universal force of international law. The extension of the Convention of 13 February 1946 to West Berlin by the Federal Republic of Germany notwithstanding those provisions naturally affects the interests of other parties to the Convention, which have the right to express their opinions in the matter. That right cannot be disputed by anyone.

Accordingly, the Soviet side rejects as unfounded the assertions made by the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America concerning the declaration by the German Democratic Republic [...]. The view set forth in that declaration by the German Democratic Republic as a party to the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations is fully consistent with the Quadripartite of 3 September 1971.

United States of America, France and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (7 July 1983):

"The three Missions wish to recall the position set forth in their communication to the Secretary-General's Note No. [...] dated 20 July 1982. They wish further to recall that the Quadripartite Agreement is an international agreement concluded between the four contracting parties and not open to participation by any other State. In concluding this agreement, the Four Powers acted on the basis of their quadripartite rights and responsibilities, and the corresponding wartime and post-war agreements and

decisions of the Four Powers which are not affected. The Quadripartite Agreement is part of conventional, not customary international law. States which are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement are not competent to comment authoritatively on its provisions. The absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of their position in this matter."

3/ In a communication received on 25 November 1960, the Government of New Zealand gave notice of the withdrawal of the reservation made upon deposit of its instrument of accession. For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 11, p. 406.

4/ The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland notified the Secretary-General, on the dates indicated, that it was unable to accept certain reservations made by the States listed below because in its view they were not of the kind which intending parties to the Convention have the right to make.

Date of the receipt of the objection, or date on which it was circulated by the Secretary-General\*:

<u>Secretary-General*:</u>	<u>Reserving State:</u>
4 August 1954* . . .	Byelorussian SSR
4 August 1954* . . .	Ukrainian SSR
4 August 1954* . . .	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
1 December 1955* . . .	Czechoslovakia
6 September 1956* . . .	Romania
4 September 1956* . . .	Hungary
3 October 1957* . . .	Albania
20 June 1967 . . .	Algeria
20 June 1967 . . .	Bulgaria
20 June 1967 . . .	Mongolia
20 June 1967 . . .	Nepal
21 September 1972 . . .	Indonesia
29 November 1974 . . .	German Democratic Republic
8 November 1979 . . .	China

5/ By a notification received by the Secretary-General on 20 June 1957, the Government of Turkey withdrew the second, third and fourth reservations contained in its instrument of accession. For the text of those reservations see: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 70, p. 266.

6/ By a communication received on 5 January 1955, the Government of Lebanon notified the Secretary-General that it objected to this reservation.

III.2: Privileges and immunities of specialized agencies

2. CONVENTION ON THE PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF THE SPECIALIZED AGENCIES

Approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 21 November 1947<sup>1</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE: For each State and in respect of each specialized agency indicated in its instrument of accession or in a subsequent notification, as from the date of deposit of the instrument of accession or receipt of the notification.

REGISTRATION. 16 August 1949, No. 521.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 33, p. 261. For the final texts of annexes I to VIII and X, which had been transmitted to the Secretary-General as at the date of registration of the Convention, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 33, p. 290. For the texts of final or revised texts of annexes transmitted to the Secretary-General subsequent to the date of registration of the Convention, see United Nations, Treaty Series, as follows: vol. 71, p. 318, (revised text of annex VII); vol. 79, p. 326 (annex IX); vol. 117, p. 386 (annex XI); vol. 275, p. 298 (second revised text of annex VII); vol. 314, p. 308 (third revised text of annex VII); vol. 323, p. 364 (annex XII); vol. 327, p. 326 (annex XIII); vol. 371, p. 266 (revised text of annex II); vol. 423, p. 284 (annex XIV); vol. 559, p. 348 (second revised text of annex II); vol. 645, p. 340 (revised text of annex XII); vol. 1057, p. 320 (annex XV) and vol. 1060, p. 337 (annexe XVI).

Final texts or revised texts of annexes transmitted to the Secretary-General by the specialized agencies concerned and dates of their receipt by the Secretary-General

1. Annex I--International Labour Organisation (ILO)	14 Sep 1948
2. Annex II--Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)	13 Dec 1948
Revised text of annex II	26 May 1960
Second revised text of annex II	28 Dec 1965
3. Annex III--International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO)	11 Aug 1948
4. Annex IV--United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)	7 Feb 1949
5. Annex V--International Monetary Fund (IMF)	9 May 1949
6. Annex VI--International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD)	29 Apr 1949
7. Annex VII--World Health Organization (WHO)	2 Aug 1948
Revised text of annex VII	1 Jun 1950
Second revised text of annex VII	1 Jul 1957
Third revised text of annex VII	25 Jul 1958
8. Annex VIII--Universal Postal Union (UPU)	11 Jul 1949
9. Annex IX--International Telecommunication Union (ITU)	16 Jan 1951
10. Annex X--International Refugee Organization (IRO) <sup>2</sup>	4 Apr 1949
11. Annex XI--World Meteorological Organization (WMO)	29 Dec 1951
12. Annex XII--International Maritime Organization (IMCO)	12 Feb 1959
Revised text of annex XII	9 Jul 1968
13. Annex XIII--International Finance Corporation (IFC)	22 Apr 1959
14. Annex XIV--International Development Association (IDA)	15 Feb 1962
15. Annex XV--World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)	19 Oct 1977
16. Annex XVI--International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD)	16 Dec 1977

Accessions (a), successions (d), notifications of undertaking to apply the Convention to further specialized agencies, notifications of acceptance of revised texts of annexes

Specialized agencies in respect of which, on accession, on succession or in subsequent notifications, States have undertaken to apply the Convention, and revised texts of annexes in respect of which States have notified their acceptance

Algeria . . . . .	25 Mar 1964 <sup>a</sup>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO
Argentina . . . . .	10 Oct 1963 <sup>a</sup>	ILO, FAO (revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO, IFC
Australia . . . . .	9 May 1986 <sup>a</sup>	ILO, FAO (second revised text of annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of annex XII), IFC, IDA, WIPO, IFAD
Austria . . . . .	21 Jul 1950 <sup>a</sup> 28 Mar 1951 21 Jan 1955	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, IRO ITU WHO (revised text of Annex VII), WMO

III.2: Privileges and immunities of specialized agencies

<u>Accessions (a), successions (d), notifications of undertaking to apply the Convention to further specialized agencies, notifications of acceptance of revised texts of annexes</u>		<u>Specialized agencies in respect of which, on accession, on succession or in subsequent notifications, States have undertaken to apply the Convention, and revised texts of annexes in respect of which States have notified their acceptance</u>
Austria (cont'd)	1 Nov 1957 28 Oct 1958 10 Nov 1959 14 Feb 1962 8 Nov 1962 22 Jul 1966	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII) WHO (third revised text of Annex VII) IFC FAO (revised text of Annex II) IDA FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
Bahamas	17 Mar 1977 d	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (second revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
Barbados	19 Nov 1971 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO
Belgium	14 Mar 1962 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO, IFC, IDA
Botswana	5 Apr 1983 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU
Brazil	22 Mar 1963 a 24 Apr 1963 15 Jul 1966 11 Feb 1969	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO, IFC, IDA IBRD FAO (second revised text of Annex II) IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
Bulgaria	13 Jun 1968 a 2 Dec 1968	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
Burkina Faso	6 Apr 1962 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO, IFC
Byelorussian SSR	18 Mar 1966 a	ILO, UNESCO, UPU, ITU, WMO
Central African Republic	15 Oct 1962 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, WMO
Chile	21 Sep 1951 a 7 Jun 1961	ILO, FAO, ICAO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU UNESCO
China	11 Sep 1979 a 30 Jun 1981 9 Nov 1984	FAO (second revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of Annex XII) IMF, IBRD, IFC, IDA. ILO
Côte d'Ivoire	8 Sep 1961 a 28 Dec 1961 4 Jun 1967 26 Sep 1962	WHO ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, UPU, ITU, IMF, IBRD, IFC, IDA WMO
Jamaica	4 Nov 1963 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
Cuba	13 Sep 1972 a 21 Jul 1981	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO IFAD
Cyprus	6 May 1964 d	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO
Czechoslovakia	29 Dec 1966 a	ILO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO
Democratic Kampuchea	15 Oct 1953 a 26 Sep 1955	UPU FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, ITU, WMO
Denmark	25 Jan 1950 a 5 Apr 1950 22 May 1951 19 Jul 1951 10 Mar 1953 14 Oct 1957 8 Jan 1959 20 May 1960 26 Dec 1960 19 Jul 1961 3 Aug 1962 20 Mar 1969 15 Dec 1983	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU IRO WHO (revised text of Annex VII) ITU WMO WHO (second revised text of annex VII) WHO (third revised text of Annex VII) IMO FAO (revised text of Annex II) IFC IDA IMO (revised text of Annex XII) WIPO
Ecuador	8 Jun 1951 a 7 Jul 1953 14 Jul 1954 12 Dec 1958 2 Aug 1960 26 Jul 1966	ILO FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, ITU WMO UPU FAO (revised text of Annex II)
Egypt	28 Sep 1954 a 1 Jun 1955 3 Feb 1958 24 May 1976	FAO (second revised text of Annex II) ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU WMO WHO (second revised text of Annex VII) IFC

III.2: Privileges and immunities of specialized agencies

Accessions (a), successions (d), notifications of undertaking to apply the Convention to further specialized agencies, notifications of acceptance of revised texts of annexes

Specialized agencies in respect of which, on accession, on succession or in subsequent notifications, States have undertaken to apply the Convention, and revised texts of annexes in respect of which States have notified their acceptance

Fiji . . . . .	21 Jun 1971 <u>d</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (second revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
Finland . . . . .	31 Jul 1958 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
	2 Dec 1958	WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
	8 Jun 1959	IMO
	27 Jul 1959	IFC
	8 Sep 1960	FAO (revised text of Annex II)
	16 Nov 1962	IDA
	24 Nov 1969	IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
Gabon . . . . .	29 Jun 1961 <u>a</u>	ITU
	30 Nov 1982	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, WMO, IMO, IFC, IDA, WIPO
Gambia . . . . .	1 Aug 1966 <u>d</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO
	1 Aug 1966	IMF, IBRD, IFC, IDA
German Democratic Republic . .	4 Oct 1974 <u>a</u>	ILO, UNESCO, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3, 4</sup>	10 Oct 1957 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, ITU, WMO
	10 Oct 1957	ICAO
	19 May 1958	UPU
	5 Sep 1958	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
	11 Feb 1959	WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
	12 Jan 1962	IMO
	12 Apr 1962	IFC
	23 May 1963	FAO (revised text of Annex II)
	20 Aug 1979	WIPO, IFAD
	11 Jun 1985	FAO (second revised text of Annex II), IDA (annex XIU), IMO (revised text of annex XII)
Ghana . . . . .	9 Sep 1958 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (second revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO
	27 Oct 1958	WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
	16 Sep 1960	FAO (revised text of Annex II)
Greece . . . . .	21 Jun 1977 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO (second revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of Annex XII) IFC, IDA
Guatemala . . . . .	30 Jun 1951 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, IRO
	4 Oct 1954	WMO
	18 May 1962	IDA
Guinea . . . . .	1 Jul 1959 <u>a</u>	WMO
	29 Mar 1968	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, IMO, IFC, IDA
Guyana . . . . .	13 Sep 1973 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO, IFC, IDA
Haiti . . . . .	16 Apr 1952 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU
	16 Apr 1952	WMO
	5 Aug 1959	IMO
Hungary . . . . .	2 Aug 1967 <u>a</u>	ILO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
	9 Aug 1973 <sup>5</sup>	FAO, ICAO, IMO
	19 Aug 1982	IMF, IBRD
India . . . . .	10 Feb 1949 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO
	19 Oct 1949	IMF, IBRD, UPU
	9 Mar 1955	WMO
	3 Jun 1955	WHO (revised text of Annex VII), ITU
	3 Jul 1958	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
	3 Aug 1961	IFC
	12 Apr 1963	FAO (revised text of Annex II)
Indonesia . . . . .	8 Mar 1972 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO, IFC, IDA
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . .	16 May 1974 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO (second revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of Annex XII), IFC, IDA

III 2: Privileges and immunities of specialized agencies

<u>Accessions (a), successions (d), notifications of undertaking to apply the Convention to further specialized agencies, notifications of acceptance of revised texts of annexes</u>		<u>Specialized agencies in respect of which, on accession, on succession or in subsequent notifications, States have undertaken to apply the Convention, and revised texts of annexes in respect of which States have notified their acceptance</u>
Iraq . . . . .	9 Jul 1954 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
Ireland . . . . .	10 May 1967 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO, IFC, IDA
Italy . . . . .	27 Dec 1968 30 Aug 1985 <u>a</u>	IMO (revised text of Annex XII) ILO, FAO (second revised text of annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of annex XII), IFC, IDA, WIPO, IFAD and [UNIDO] <sup>6</sup>
Japan . . . . .	18 Apr 1963 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO, IFC, IDA
Jordan . . . . .	12 Dec 1950 <u>a</u> 24 Mar 1951 10 Dec 1957 11 Aug 1960	FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU ITU WMO FAO (revised text of Annex II)
Kenya . . . . .	1 Jul 1965 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO, IFC, IDA
Kuwait . . . . .	3 Mar 1966 13 Nov 1961 <u>a</u> 7 Feb 1963	FAO (second revised text of Annex II) ITU ILO, FAO (revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, WMO, IMO, IFC, IDA,
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	29 Aug 1966 9 Jul 1969	FAO (second revised text of Annex II) IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
Lesotho . . . . .	9 Aug 1960 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO, IFC
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .	26 Nov 1969 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO (second revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IFC, IDA
Luxembourg . . . . .	30 Apr 1958 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (second revised text of Annex VII), ITU, WMO
Madagascar . . . . .	20 Sep 1950 <u>a</u> 27 Mar 1951 22 Aug 1952 3 Jan 1966 <u>a</u>	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, IRO ITU WMO ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO, IFC
Malawi . . . . .	22 Nov 1966 19 Nov 1968 2 Aug 1965 <u>a</u>	FAO (second revised text of Annex II) IMO (revised text of Annex XII) ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO, IFC, IDA
Malaysia . . . . .	16 Sep 1966 29 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>	FAO (second revised text of Annex II) ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO
Maldives . . . . .	23 Nov 1962	WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
Mali . . . . .	26 May 1969 <u>a</u> 24 Jun 1968 <u>a</u>	WHO, UPU, ITU, IMO ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
Malta . . . . .	27 Jun 1968 <u>d</u> 27 Jun 1968 21 Oct 1968	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO IBRD, IDA FAO (second revised text of Annex II), WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
Mauritius . . . . .	13 Feb 1969 18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>	IMF, IFC ILO, FAO (second revised text of Annex II) <sup>7</sup> , ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
Mongolia . . . . .	3 Mar 1970 <u>a</u> 20 Sep 1974	ILO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
Morocco . . . . .	28 Apr 1958 <u>a</u> 10 Jun 1958 13 Aug 1958 30 Nov 1966	ICAO, WMO ILO, FAO, UNESCO, WHO, ITU UPU FAO (second revised text of Annex II)

III.2: Privileges and immunities of specialized agencies

Accessions (a), successions (d), notifications of undertaking to apply the Convention to further specialized agencies, notifications of acceptance of revised texts of annexes

Specialized agencies in respect of which, on accession, on succession or in subsequent notifications, States have undertaken to apply the Convention, and revised texts of annexes in respect of which States have notified their acceptance

Morocco (cont'd)	3 Nov 1976		IMF, IBRD, IFC, IDA
Nepal <sup>8</sup> . . . . .	23 Feb 1954	a	WHO
	28 Sep 1965		FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, UPU, ITU
Netherlands . . . . .	2 Dec 1948	a	ICAO, WHO
	2 Dec 1948		ILO
	21 Jul 1949		FAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, IRO
	15 Feb 1951		WHO (revised text of Annex VII)
	15 Jun 1951		ITU
	14 May 1952		UPU
	5 Jan 1954		WMO
	18 Mar 1965		WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
	28 Jun 1965		FAO (revised text of Annex II), IMO, IFC, IDA
	9 Dec 1966		FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
	29 Oct 1969		IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
New Zealand . . . . .	25 Nov 1960	a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
	17 Oct 1963		IMO
	23 May 1967		FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
Nicaragua . . . . .	6 Jun 1969		IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
	6 Apr 1959	a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
Niger . . . . .	15 May 1968	a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IDA
Nigeria . . . . .	26 Jun 1961	d	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (second revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO
Norway . . . . .	25 Jan 1950	a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, IRO
	14 Sep 1950		WHO (revised text of Annex VII)
	20 Sep 1951		ITU
	22 Nov 1955		WMO
	11 Sep 1957		WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
	10 Nov 1960		FAO (revised text of Annex II), IFC
	30 Jan 1961		IMO
	2 Aug 1966		FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
	1 Oct 1968		IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
Pakistan . . . . .	23 Jul 1951	a	IBRD
	7 Nov 1951		IMF
	15 Sep 1961		ILO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
	13 Mar 1962		FAO, IMO
	17 Jul 1962		IFC, IDA
Philippines . . . . .	20 Mar 1950	a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO
	21 May 1958		WMO
	12 Mar 1959		WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
	13 Jan 1961		IFC
Poland . . . . .	19 Jun 1969	a	ILO, FAO (second revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
Republic of Korea . . . . .	13 May 1977	a	FAO (second revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO
Romania . . . . .	15 Sep 1970	a	ILO, FAO (second revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
	23 Aug 1974		IMF, IBRD
Rwanda . . . . .	15 Apr 1964	a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
	23 Jun 1964		IMF, IBRD, IDA
Saint Lucia . . . . .	2 Sep 1986	a	FAO (second revised text of annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of annex XII), IDA and WIPO
Senegal . . . . .	2 Mar 1966	a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO, IFC, IDA
Seychelles . . . . .	24 Jul 1985	a	ILO, FAO (second revised text of annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of annex XII), IFC, IDA, WIPO and IFAD

III.2. Privileges and immunities of specialized agencies

<u>Accessions (a), successions (d), notifications of undertaking to apply the Convention to further specialized agencies, notifications of acceptance of revised texts of annexes</u>		<u>Specialized agencies in respect of which, on accession, on succession or in subsequent notifications, States have undertaken to apply the Convention, and revised texts of annexes in respect of which States have notified their acceptance</u>
Sierra Leone . . . . .	13 Mar 1962 d	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (second revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO
Singapore . . . . .	18 Mar 1966 d	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
Spain . . . . .	26 Sep 1974 a	ILO, FAO (second revised text of Annex II), UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of Annex XII), IFC, IDA
ICAO, Spain (cont'd) . . . . .		
Sweden . . . . .	12 Sep 1951 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU
	31 Jul 1953	WMO
	22 Aug 1957	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
	1 Feb 1960	IMO
	3 Sep 1960	IFC
	28 Sep 1960	FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
	11 Apr 1962	IDA
	13 Sep 1968	IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
	1 Mar 1979	WIPO, IFAD
Thailand . . . . .	30 Mar 1956 a	FAO, ICAO
	19 Jun 1961	ILO, FAO (revised text of Annex II), UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (second revised text of Annex VII), ITU, WMO, IFC
	28 Apr 1965	UPU
	21 Mar 1966	FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
Fogo . . . . .	15 Jul 1960 a	WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
	16 Sep 1975	UPU
Tonga . . . . .	17 Mar 1976 d	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (second revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	19 Oct 1965 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO
	15 Jul 1966	FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
Tunisia . . . . .	3 Dec 1957 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO
	19 May 1958	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
Uganda . . . . .	11 Aug 1983 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO, IFC, IDA, WIPO IFAD
Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	13 Apr 1966 a	ILO, UNESCO, UPU, ITU, WMO
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	10 Jan 1966 a	ILO, UNESCO, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO
	16 Nov 1972	ICAO
United Kingdom . . . . .	16 Aug 1949 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, [UNESCO] <sup>9</sup> , WHO, IRO
	17 Dec 1954	UPU, ITU, WMO
	22 Sep 1955	WHO (revised text of Annex VII)
	30 Sep 1957	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
	4 Nov 1959	IMO
	28 Nov 1968	IMO (revised text of Annex XII)
	6 Aug 1985	FAO (second revised text of annex II), WHO (third revised text of annex VII)
	3 Sep 1986	WIPO
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	29 Oct 1962 a	ILO, FAO, UNESCO, WHO
	26 Mar 1963	WMO
	10 Apr 1963	ICAO, IMF, IBRD, ITU, IFC
Uruguay . . . . .	29 Dec 1977 a	ILO, FAO (second revised text of Annex II), ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO (third revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU
	24 Jun 1981	WMO
Yugoslavia . . . . .	23 Nov 1951 a	ILO, FAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU
	5 Mar 1952	WMO
	16 Mar 1959	WHO (second revised text of Annex VII)
	14 Apr 1960	WHO (third revised text of Annex VII)
	8 Apr 1964	FAO (revised text of Annex II), IMO, IFC, IDA
	27 Feb 1969	FAO (second revised text of Annex II)
	26 Jan 1979	IFAD
	8 Feb 1979	WIPO
Zaire . . . . .	8 Dec 1964 a	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, IMF, IBRD, WHO, UPU, ITU, WMO, IFC, IDA
Zambia . . . . .	16 Jun 1975 d	ILO, FAO, ICAO, UNESCO, WHO (second revised text of Annex VII), UPU, ITU, WMO, IMO (revised text of Annex XII)

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon accession.  
For objections thereto see hereinafter.)

**BULGARIA<sup>10</sup>**

The People's Republic of Bulgaria will consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention only if, before a dispute arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention is referred to the International Court of Justice, the Parties involved in the dispute have, for each individual case, given their prior consent thereto. This reservation applies also to section 32, which provides that the opinion of the International Court of Justice shall be considered as decisive

**BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST  
REPUBLIC<sup>10</sup>**

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, concerning the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice. Concerning the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in disputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic will maintain the same position as hitherto, namely, that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for settlement, the agreement of all Parties involved in the dispute must be obtained in each individual case. This reservation similarly applies to the provision contained in section 32, stipulating that the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive.

**CHINA<sup>10</sup>**

The Government of the People's Republic of China has reservations on the provisions of section 32, article IX, of the said Convention.

**COTE D'IVOIRE**

28 December 1961

... It is not possible for any Government fully to comply with the requirements of section 11 of that Convention in so far as it requires the specialized agency to enjoy in the territory of a State party to the Convention treatment not less favourable than that accorded by the Government of that State to any other Government in the matter of priorities and rates on telecommunications, unless and until all other Governments collaborate in according this treatment to the agency in question. It is understood that this matter is being discussed in the International Telecommunications Union.

**CUBA<sup>10</sup>**

The Revolutionary Government of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, under which the International Court of Justice has compulsory

jurisdiction in disputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention. Concerning the competence of the International Court of Justice in such disputes, Cuba takes the position that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for settlement, the agreement of all parties involved in the dispute must be obtained in each individual case. This reservation also applies to the provision of section 32 requiring the parties concerned to accept the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice as decisive.

**CZECHOSLOVAKIA<sup>10</sup>**

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, under which the International Court of Justice has compulsory jurisdiction in disputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention; concerning the competence of the International Court of Justice in such disputes, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic takes the position that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for settlement, the agreement of all Parties involved in the dispute must be obtained in each individual case. This reservation also applies to the provision of section 32 requiring the Parties concerned to accept the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice as decisive.

**GABON**

... It is not possible for any Government fully to comply with the requirements of section 11 of that Convention in so far as it requires the specialized agency to enjoy in the territory of a State party to the Convention treatment not less favourable than that accorded by the Government of that State to any other Government in the matter of priorities and rates on telecommunications, unless and until all other Governments collaborate in according this treatment to the agency in question. It is understood that this matter is being discussed in the International Telecommunication Union.

**GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC<sup>10</sup>**

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, which provide for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, and with regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice for disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention, takes the view that in every single case the consent of all parties to the dispute shall be necessary to refer a particular dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

This reservation applies equally to the provi-



### III.2: Privileges and immunities of specialized agencies

sion contained in section 32 according to which the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive.

#### GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany takes the liberty of calling attention to the fact that the provisions of section 11 of article IV of the Convention, to the effect that the specialized agencies shall enjoy, in the territory of each State party to this Convention, for their official communications, treatment not less favourable than that accorded by the Government of such State to any other Government in the matter of priorities, rates and other taxes, cannot be fully complied with by any Government. Reference is made to the provisions of article 37 and of annex 3 of the International Telecommunication Convention concluded at Buenos Aires in 1952, as well as to the resolutions Nos. 27 and 28 appended to that Convention."

#### HUNGARY<sup>10</sup>

The Hungarian People's Republic accepts sections 24 and 32 of the Convention with the reservation that disputes regarding the interpretation and application of the Convention shall be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all parties involved in the given dispute

The Hungarian People's Republic makes a reservation also with regard to the provision in section 32 making the advisory opinion of the Court decisive in certain cases.

#### INDONESIA

"(1) Article II(b) section 3. The capacity of the specialized agencies to acquire and dispose of immovable property shall be exercised with due regard to national laws and regulations.<sup>11</sup>

"(2) Article IX section 32.<sup>10</sup> With regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice in disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Government of Indonesia reserves the right to maintain that in every individual case the agreement of the parties to the dispute is required before the Court for a ruling "

#### ITALY

##### Declaration:

In the event that some of the specialized agencies which are mentioned in the instrument of accession and to which Italy undertakes to apply the Convention should decide to establish their headquarters or their regional offices in Italian territory, the Italian Government will be able to avail itself of the option of concluding with such agencies, in accordance with Section 39 of the Convention supplemental agreements specifying, in particular, the limits within which immunity from jurisdiction may be granted to a given agency or immunity from jurisdiction and exemption from taxation granted to officials of that agency

#### MADAGASCAR

... The Malagasy Government will not be able to comply fully with the provisions of article IV, section 11, of the Convention, which states that the specialized agencies shall enjoy, in the territory of each State party to the Convention, for their official communications, treatment not less favourable than that accorded by the Government of such State to any other Government, in the matter of priorities, rates and taxes on telecommunications, until such time as all Governments decide to co-operate by according such treatment to the agencies in question.

#### MONGOLIA<sup>10,12</sup>

"The Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, which provide for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice. As to the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in disputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention the Mongolian People's Republic maintains that for the submission of a particular dispute to the International Court of Justice for settlement, the consent of all Parties to the dispute must be obtained in each individual case. This reservation is equally applicable to the provision of section 32 whereby the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive."

#### NEW ZEALAND

... The Government of New Zealand, in common with other Governments, cannot give full effect to article IV, section 11, of the Convention, which requires that the specialized agencies shall enjoy, in the territory of each State party to the Convention, for their official communications, treatment not less favourable than the treatment accorded by the Government of such a State to any other Government in the matter of priorities, rates and taxes on telecommunications, as long as all Governments have not decided to co-operate in granting this treatment to the agencies in question.

"It is noted that this matter has been receiving the consideration of the United Nations and of the International Telecommunication Union. It is also noted that the final text of the annex of the Convention approved by the International Telecommunication Union, and transmitted by the Union to the Secretary-General of the United Nations in accordance with section 36 of the Convention, contains a statement that the Union would not claim for itself the enjoyment of privileged treatment with regard to the facilities in respect of communications provided in section 11 of the Convention."

#### NORWAY

20 September 1951  
... The Norwegian Government is of the opinion that it is impossible for any government

### III.2: Privileges and immunities of specialized agencies

to comply fully with Section 11 of the said Convention, which requires that the Specialized Agencies shall enjoy, in the territory of each state party to the Convention, for their official communications, treatment no less favourable than that accorded by the Government of such State to any other Government in the matter of priorities, rates and taxes on telecommunications as long as all governments have not agreed to grant to the agency in question, the treatment specified in this Section."

#### PAKISTAN

(Declaration contained in the notification received on 15 September 1961 and also, with the second paragraph omitted, in the notifications received on 13 March 1962 and 17 July 1962)

"The enjoyment by Specialized Agencies of the communication privileges provided in Article IV, Section 11 of the Convention cannot, in practice, be determined by unilateral action of individual Governments and has in fact been determined by the International Telecommunication Convention, Atlantic City, 1947 and Telegraph and Telephone Regulations annexed thereto, Pakistan would, therefore, not be able to comply with the provisions of Article IV, Section 11 of the Convention in view of Resolution No. 28 (annexure I) passed at the Plenipotentiary Conference of the International Telecommunication Union, held in Buenos Aires in 1952.

"The International Telecommunication Union shall not claim for itself the communication privileges provided in Article IV, Section 11 of the Convention."

#### POLAND<sup>10</sup>

Subject to the reservation, in respect of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, that disputes arising out of the interpretation and application of the Convention shall be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the agreement of all parties to the dispute and that the Polish People's Republic reserves the right not to accept the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice as decisive.

#### ROMANIA<sup>10</sup>

The Socialist Republic of Romania states that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32, whereby the question whether an abuse of a privilege or immunity has occurred, and differences arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention and disputes between specialized agencies and Member States, shall be referred to the International Court of Justice. The position of the Socialist Republic of Romania is that such questions, differences or disputes may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the agreement of the parties in each individual case.

#### UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC<sup>10</sup>

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of

sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, concerning the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice. Concerning the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in disputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic will maintain the same position as hitherto, namely, that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for settlement, the agreement of all Parties involved in the dispute must be obtained in each individual case. This reservation similarly applies to the provision contained in section 32, stipulating that the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive.

#### UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS<sup>10</sup>

Declaration made upon accession and also contained in the notification received on 16 November 1972:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of sections 24 and 32 of the Convention, concerning the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice. Concerning the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in disputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the Convention, the USSR will maintain the same position as hitherto, namely, that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for settlement, the agreement of all Parties involved in the dispute must be obtained in each individual case. This reservation similarly applies to the provision contained in section 32, stipulating that the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice shall be accepted as decisive.

#### UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

". . . It is not possible for any Government fully to comply with the requirements of Section 11 of that Convention in so far as it requires the Specialized Agency to enjoy in the territory of a state party to the Convention treatment not less favourable than that accorded by the Government of that state to any other Government in the matter of priorities and rates on telecommunications, unless and until all other Governments collaborate in according this treatment to the Agency in question. It is understood that this matter is being discussed in the International Telecommunication Union."

17 December 1954

"With regard to the Universal Postal Union and the World Meteorological Organization, . . . no Government can fully comply with Section 11 of this Convention which requires that the specialized agencies shall enjoy, in the territory of each State party to the Convention, for their official communications, treatment not less favourable than that accorded by the Government of such a State to any other Government in the matter of priorities, rates and taxes on telecommunications so long as all the other Governments have not decided to co-operate in granting this

treatment to the agencies in question. This matter is under consideration by the United Nations and the International Telecommunication Union.

"The final text of the annex to the Convention approved by the International Telecommunication Union and transmitted by the Union to the Secretary-General of the United Nations in accordance with Section 36 of the Convention contains a statement that the Union would not claim for itself the enjoyment of privileged treatment with regard to the facilities in respect of communications provided in Section 11 of the Convention."

4 November 1959

"Her Majesty's Government observe that it

would be impracticable for any Government fully to comply with Section 11 of the Convention which requires that the Specialized Agencies shall enjoy, in the territory of each State party to the Convention, for their official communications, treatment not less favourable than that accorded by the Government of such State to any other Government in the matter of priorities, rates and taxes on telecommunications, until such time as all the other Governments have decided to co-operate in granting this treatment to the agencies in question. This matter is under consideration by the United Nations and the International Telecommunication Union."

#### Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon accession.)

#### NETHERLANDS

11 January 1980

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands has noted the reservation made on the accession of China to the Convention on the privileges and immunities of the specialized agencies, and is of the opinion that the reservation

mentioned, and similar reservations other States have made in the past or may make in the future, are incompatible with the objectives and purposes of the Convention.

The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands does, however, not wish to raise a formal objection to these reservations made by States parties to the Convention."<sup>13</sup>

#### NOTES:

1/ Resolution 179 (II); Official Records of the Second Session of the General Assembly, Resolutions (A/519), p. 112.

2/ Resolution No. 108, adopted by the General Council of the International Refugee Organization at its 101st meeting on 15 February 1952, provided for the liquidation of the Organization.

3/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 10 October 1957, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Convention will also apply to the Saar Territory except that Section 7 (b) of the Convention shall not take effect with regard to the Saar Territory until the expiration of the interim period defined in article 3 of the Treaty of 27 October 1956 between France and the Federal Republic of Germany.

4/ In a note accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Convention would also apply to Land Berlin.

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bulgaria, France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America, the Federal Republic of Germany, Mongolia, Poland and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the

corresponding ones reproduced in note 2 of chapter III.3.

Subsequently, upon accession to the Convention, the Government of the German Democratic Republic made on the same subject the following declaration.

As regards the application of the Convention to Berlin (West), the German Democratic Republic notes, in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic of 3 September 1971, that Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and cannot be governed by it. Consequently, the declaration of the Federal Republic of Germany to the effect that the said Convention is valid also for "Land Berlin" is in contradiction with the Quadripartite Agreement, which provides that agreements affecting matters of the status of Berlin (West) may not be extended to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany.

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration the Secretary-General received on 8 July 1975 from the Governments of the United States of America, France and the United Kingdom, the following declaration:

["The communication mentioned in the Note listed refers] to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. This Agreement was concluded in Berlin between the Governments of the French Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of

America. [The Government sending this communication is not a party to the Quadripartite Agreement and is] therefore not competent to make authoritative comments on its provisions.

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to bring the following to the attention of the States Parties to the [Convention]. When authorising the extension of [this instrument] to the Western Sectors of Berlin, the authorities of the Three Powers, acting in the exercise of their supreme authority, ensured in accordance with established procedures that [this instrument is] applied in the Western Sectors of Berlin in such a way as not to affect matters of security and status.

"Accordingly, the application of [this instrument] to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect.

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not consider it necessary to respond to any further communications of a similar nature by States which are not signatories to the Quadripartite Agreement. This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of those Governments in this matter."

Subsequently, on 19 September 1975, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany made on the same subject the following declaration:

"By their Notes of 8 July 1975, . . . The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the [communication] referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the Note of the Three Powers wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned [instrument] extended by it under the established procedures [continues] in full force and effect.

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter "

5/ With the reservations made upon accession.

6/ The Government of Italy in its instrument of accession has (subject to the declaration made upon accession) undertaken to apply the Convention to the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO).

However, the Convention will become applicable to UNIDO, only when it will have transmitted to the Secretary-General the final text of the relevant annex, as provided for by the Convention, and in general upon its compliance with the provisions of article 37 of the Convention.

Until that time, the provision of article 21 (2) (b) of the Constitution of UNIDO, to which Italy is a party, will continue to apply.

7/ Between 12 March 1968, the date of acces-

sion to independence, and 18 July 1969, the date of the notification of succession, Mauritius applied Annex II unrevised.

8/ The instrument of accession by the Government of Nepal was deposited with the Director-General of the World Health Organization, in accordance with section 42 of the Convention.

9/ On 13 December 1985, the Secretary-General received from the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland a notification to the effect that, the United Kingdom having withdrawn from UNESCO, it would withhold from UNESCO the benefits of the said Convention with effect from 13 March 1986.

10/ The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland notified the Secretary-General, on the dates indicated, that it is unable to accept certain reservations made by the States listed below because in its view they are not of the kind which intending parties to the Convention have the right to make.

<u>Date</u> <u>of receipt of</u> <u>the objection:</u>	<u>With respect to</u> <u>reservation by:</u>
20 Jun 1967 . . .	Byelorussian SSR
20 Jun 1967 . . .	Czechoslovakia
20 Jun 1967 . . .	Ukrainian SSR
20 Jun 1967 . . .	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
11 Jan 1968 . . .	Hungary
12 Aug 1968 . . .	Bulgaria
2 Dec 1969 . . .	Poland
17 Aug 1970 . . .	Mongolia
30 Nov 1970 . . .	Romania
21 Sep 1972 . . .	Indonesia
1 Nov 1972 . . .	Cuba
20 Nov 1974 . . .	German Democratic Republic
6 Nov 1979 . . .	China
21 Apr 1983 . . .	Hungary

11/ In a communication received on 10 January 1973, the Government of Indonesia informed the Secretary-General, in reference to that reservation, that it would grant to the Specialized Agencies the same privileges and immunities which it had granted to the International Monetary Fund and the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development.

12/ The reservation was repeated in essence in the notification of application to FAO received from Mongolia on 20 September 1974

13/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 28 January 1980, the Government of the Netherlands indicated that the statement concerning their wish not to raise a formal objection to these reservations". . . is intended to mean that the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands does not oppose the entry into force of the Convention between itself and the reserving states."

III.3: Diplomatic relations

3. VIENNA CONVENTION ON DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS

Done at Vienna on 18 April 1961

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 April 1964, in accordance with article 51.  
 REGISTRATION: 24 June 1964, No. 7310.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 500, p. 95

Note: The Convention was adopted on 14 April 1961 by the United Nations Conference on Diplomatic Intercourse and Immunities held at the Neue Hofburg in Vienna, Austria, from 2 March to 14 April 1961. The Conference also adopted the Optional Protocol concerning the Acquisition of Nationality, the Optional Protocol concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, the Final Act and four resolutions annexed to that Act. The Convention and two Protocols were deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The Final Act, by unanimous decision of the Conference, was deposited in the archives of the Federal Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Austria. The text of the Final Act and of the annexed resolutions is published in the United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 500, p. 212. For the proceedings of the Conference, see United Nations Conference on Diplomatic Intercourse and Immunities, Official Records, vols. I and II (United Nations publication, Sales Nos. 61.X.2 and 62.X.1).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . .		6 Oct 1965 <u>a</u>	Ecuador . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	21 Sep 1964
Albania . . . . .	18 Apr 1961		Egypt . . . . .		9 Jun 1964 <u>a</u>
Algeria . . . . .		14 Apr 1964 <u>a</u>	El Salvador . . . .		9 Dec 1965 <u>a</u>
Argentina . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	10 Oct 1963	Equatorial Guinea . . . . .		30 Aug 1976 <u>a</u>
Australia . . . . .	30 Mar 1962	26 Jan 1968	Ethiopia . . . . .		22 Mar 1979 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	28 Apr 1966	Fiji . . . . .		21 Jun 1971 <u>d</u>
Bahamas . . . . .		17 Mar 1977 <u>d</u>	Finland . . . . .	20 Oct 1961	9 Dec 1969
Bahrain . . . . .		2 Nov 1971 <u>a</u>	France . . . . .	30 Mar 1962	31 Dec 1970
Bangladesh . . . .		13 Jan 1978 <u>d</u>	Gabon . . . . .		2 Apr 1964 <u>a</u>
Barbados . . . . .		6 May 1968 <u>d</u>	German Democratic Republic . . . . .		2 Feb 1973 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .	23 Oct 1961	2 May 1968	Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . .	18 Apr 1961	11 Nov 1964
Benin . . . . .		27 Mar 1967 <u>a</u>	Ghana . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	28 Jun 1962
Bhutan . . . . .		7 Dec 1972 <u>a</u>	Greece . . . . .	29 Mar 1962	16 Jul 1970
Bolivia . . . . .		28 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>	Guatemala . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	1 Oct 1963
Botswana . . . . .		11 Apr 1969 <u>a</u>	Guinea . . . . .		10 Jan 1968 <u>a</u>
Brazil . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	25 Mar 1965	Guyana . . . . .		28 Dec 1972 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	17 Jan 1968	Haiti . . . . .		2 Feb 1978 <u>a</u>
Burma . . . . .		7 Mar 1980 <u>a</u>	Holy See . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	17 Apr 1964
Burundi . . . . .		1 May 1968 <u>a</u>	Honduras . . . . .		13 Feb 1968 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	14 May 1964	Hungary . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	24 Sep 1965
Cameroon . . . . .		4 Mar 1977 <u>a</u>	Iceland . . . . .		18 May 1971 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .	5 Feb 1962	26 May 1966	India . . . . .		15 Oct 1965 <u>a</u>
Cape Verde . . . .		30 Jul 1979 <u>a</u>	Indonesia . . . . .		4 Jun 1982 <u>a</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .	28 Mar 1962	19 Mar 1973	Iran (Islamic Republi of) . . . .	27 May 1961	3 Feb 1965
Chad . . . . .		3 Nov 1977 <u>a</u>	Iraq . . . . .	20 Feb 1962	15 Oct 1963
Chile . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	9 Jan 1968	Ireland . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	10 May 1967
China <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		25 Nov 1975 <u>a</u>	Israel . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	11 Aug 1970
Colombia . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	5 Apr 1973	Italy . . . . .	13 Mar 1962	25 Jun 1969
Congo . . . . .		11 Mar 1963 <u>a</u>	Jamaica . . . . .		5 Jun 1963 <u>a</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .	14 Feb 1962	9 Nov 1964	Japan . . . . .	26 Mar 1962	8 Jun 1964
Côte d'Ivoire . . . .		1 Oct 1962 <u>a</u>	Jordan . . . . .		29 Jul 1971 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .	16 Jan 1962	26 Sep 1963	Kenya . . . . .		1 Jul 1965 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		10 Sep 1968 <u>a</u>	Kiribati . . . . .		2 Apr 1982 <u>d</u>
Czechoslovakia . . .	18 Apr 1961	24 May 1963	Kuwait . . . . .		23 Jul 1969 <u>a</u>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		31 Aug 1965 <u>a</u>	Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		3 Dec 1962 <u>a</u>
Democratic People's Repub- lic of Korea . . . .		29 Oct 1980 <u>a</u>	Lebanon . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	16 Mar 1971
Democratic Yemen . .		24 Nov 1976 <u>a</u>	Lesotho . . . . .		26 Nov 1969 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	2 Oct 1968			
Djibouti . . . . .		2 Nov 1978 <u>a</u>			
Dominican Republic .	30 Mar 1962	14 Jan 1964			

III.3. Diplomatic relations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Liberia . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	15 May 1962	Saudi Arabia . . . . .		10 Feb 1981 <u>a</u>
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .		7 Jun 1977 <u>a</u>	Senegal . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	12 Oct 1972
Liechtenstein . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	8 May 1964	Seychelles . . . . .		29 May 1979 <u>a</u>
Luxembourg . . . . .	2 Feb 1962	17 Aug 1966	Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Aug 1962 <u>a</u>
Madagascar . . . . .		31 Jul 1963 <u>a</u>	Somalia . . . . .		29 Mar 1968 <u>a</u>
Malawi . . . . .		19 May 1965 <u>a</u>	South Africa . . . . .	28 Mar 1962	
Malaysia . . . . .		9 Nov 1965 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		21 Nov 1967 <u>a</u>
Mali . . . . .		28 Mar 1968 <u>a</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	2 Jun 1978
Malta <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		7 Mar 1967 <u>d</u>	Sudan . . . . .		13 Apr 1981 <u>a</u>
Mauritania . . . . .		16 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>	Swaziland . . . . .		25 Apr 1969 <u>a</u>
Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>	Sweden . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	21 Mar 1967
Mexico . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	16 Jun 1965	Switzerland . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	30 Oct 1963
Mongolia . . . . .		5 Jan 1967 <u>a</u>	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .		4 Aug 1978 <u>a</u>
Morocco . . . . .		19 Jun 1968 <u>a</u>	Thailand . . . . .	30 Oct 1961	23 Jan 1985
Mozambique . . . . .		18 Nov 1981 <u>a</u>	Togo . . . . .		27 Nov 1970 <u>a</u>
Nauru . . . . .		5 May 1978 <u>d</u>	Tonga . . . . .		31 Jan 1973 <u>d</u>
Nepal . . . . .		28 Sep 1965 <u>a</u>	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		19 Oct 1965 <u>a</u>
Netherlands <sup>18</sup> . . . . .		7 Sep 1984 <u>a</u>	Tunisia . . . . .		24 Jan 1968 <u>a</u>
New Zealand . . . . .	28 Mar 1962	23 Sep 1970	Turkey . . . . .		6 Mar 1985 <u>a</u>
Nicaragua . . . . .		31 Oct 1975 <u>a</u>	Tuvalu . . . . .		15 Sep 1982 <u>d</u> <sup>b</sup>
Niger . . . . .		5 Dec 1962 <u>a</u>	Uganda . . . . .		15 Apr 1965 <u>a</u>
Nigeria . . . . .	31 Mar 1962	19 Jun 1967	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	12 Jun 1964
Norway . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	24 Oct 1967	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	25 Mar 1964
Oman . . . . .		31 May 1974 <u>a</u>	United Arab Emirates . . . . .		24 Feb 1977 <u>a</u>
Pakistan . . . . .	29 Mar 1962	29 Mar 1962	Turkey Republics . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	1 Sep 1964
Panama . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	4 Dec 1963	United Kingdom . . . . .	11 Dec 1961	
Papua New Guinea . . . . .		4 Dec 1975 <u>d</u>	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	27 Feb 1962	5 Nov 1962
Paraguay . . . . .		23 Dec 1969 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .	29 Jun 1961	13 Nov 1972
Peru . . . . .		18 Dec 1968 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	10 Mar 1970
Philippines . . . . .	20 Oct 1961	15 Nov 1965	Venezuela . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	16 Mar 1965
Poland . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	19 Apr 1965	Viet Nam <sup>6</sup> . . . . .		26 Aug 1980 <u>a</u>
Portugal . . . . .		11 Sep 1968 <u>a</u>	Yemen . . . . .		10 Apr 1986 <u>a</u>
Qatar . . . . .		6 Jun 1986 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	1 Apr 1963
Republic of Korea <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	28 Mar 1962	28 Dec 1970	Zaire . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	19 Jul 1965
Romania . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	15 Nov 1968	Zambia . . . . .		16 Jun 1975 <u>d</u> <sup>7</sup>
Rwanda . . . . .		15 Apr 1964 <u>a</u>			
Saint Lucia . . . . .		27 Aug 1986 <u>d</u>			
San Marino . . . . .	25 Oct 1961	8 Sep 1965			
Sao Tome and Principe . . . . .		3 May 1983 <u>a</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession. For objections thereto see hereinafter )

**BAHRAIN<sup>8</sup>**

"1. With respect to paragraph 3 of article 27, relating to the "Diplomatic Bag", the Government of the State of Bahrain reserves its right to open the diplomatic bag if there are serious grounds for presuming that it contains articles the import or export of which is prohibited by law

"2. The approval of this Convention does not constitute a recognition of Israel, or amount to entering with it into any transaction required by the aforesaid Convention."

**BOTSWANA**

"Subject to the reservation that article 37 of the Convention should be applicable on the basis of reciprocity only."

**BULGARIA**

Reservation concerning article 11, paragraph 1.

In accordance with the principle of the equality of States, the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that any difference of opinion regarding the size of a diplomatic mission should be settled by agreement between the sending State and the receiving State.

Declaration concerning articles 48 and 50.

The People's Republic of Bulgaria considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 48 and 50 of the Convention, under the terms of which a number of States are precluded from acceding to the Convention. The provisions of these articles are inconsistent with the very nature of the Convention, which is universal in character and should be open for

accession by all States. In accordance with the principle of equality, no State has the right to bar other States from accession to a convention of this kind.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation concerning article 11, paragraph 1:

In accordance with the principle of the equality of rights of States, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that any difference of opinion regarding the size of a diplomatic mission should be settled by agreement between the sending State and the receiving State.

Declaration concerning articles 48 and 50:

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 48 and 50 of the Convention, under the terms of which a number of States are precluded from acceding to the Convention. The Convention deals with matters which affect the interests of all States and should therefore be open for accession by all States. In accordance with the principle of sovereign equality no State has the right to bar other States from accession to a Convention of this nature.

CHINA

The Government of the People's Republic of China holds reservations on the provisions about nuncios and the representative of the Holy See in articles 14 and 16 and on the provisions of paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of article 37.<sup>9</sup>

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of Cuba makes an explicit reservation in respect of the provisions of articles 48 and 50 of the Convention, because it considers that, in view of the nature of the contents of the Convention and the subject it concerns, all free and sovereign States have the right to participate in it: for that reason, the Revolutionary Government of Cuba favours facilitating the admission of all countries of the International Community, without any distinction based on the extent of a State's territory, the number of its inhabitants or its social, economic or political system.

DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA

The diplomatic immunities and privileges provided for in article 37, paragraph 2, of the aforementioned Convention, recognized and admitted in customary law and in the practice of States in favour of heads of missions and members of diplomatic staff of the mission, cannot be granted by the Royal Government of Cambodia for the benefit of other categories of mission staff, including administrative and technical staff.

DEMOCRATIC YEMEN<sup>8</sup>

Reservation concerning article 11, paragraph 1:

In conformity with the principle of equality among States, the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen holds that any difference of opinion

regarding the size of the diplomatic mission should be settled by agreement between the sending State and the receiving State.

Declaration:

The People's Democratic Republic of Yemen states that its acceptance of the provisions of the Convention does not, in any way whatsoever, imply recognition of, or entering into contractual relations with, Israel.

ECUADOR<sup>10</sup>

EGYPT<sup>8</sup>, 11

"1. Paragraph 2 of article 37 shall not apply.

FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic considers that article 38, paragraph 1, is to be interpreted as granting to a diplomatic agent who is a national of or permanently resident in the receiving State only immunity from jurisdiction, and inviolability, both being confined to official acts performed by the said diplomatic agent in the exercise of his functions.

The Government of the French Republic declares that the provisions of the bilateral agreements in force between France and foreign States are not affected by the provisions of the Convention.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation concerning article 11, paragraph 1:

"In accordance with the principle of the equality of rights of States, the German Democratic Republic considers that any difference of opinion regarding the number of personnel of a diplomatic mission shall be settled by agreement between the sending State and the receiving State."

Declaration concerning articles 48 and 50.

"The German Democratic Republic considers it necessary to draw attention to the fact that articles 48 and 50 of the Convention preclude a number of States from becoming members of this Convention. The Convention deals with matters which affect the interests of all States and should therefore be open for accession by all States. In accordance with the principle of sovereign equality, no State has the right to bar other States from accession to such a Convention."

GREECE<sup>12</sup>

HUNGARY

"The Hungarian People's Republic considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 48 and 50 of the Convention, under the terms of which a number of States were precluded from signing and are precluded from acceding to the Convention. The Convention deals with matters which affect the interests of all States and therefore, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, no State should be barred from participation in a Convention of this nature."

IRAQ

"With reservation that paragraph 2 of article 37 shall be applied on the basis of reciprocity."

JAPAN

"I have the honour to notify, under the instructions of my Government, that the Government of Japan, upon signing the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, wishes to make the following declaration with regard to article 34(a) of the said Convention:

"It is understood that the taxes referred to in article 34(a) include those collected by special collectors under the laws and regulations of Japan provided that they are normally incorporated in the price of goods or services. For example, in the case of the travelling tax, railway, shipping and airline companies are made special collectors of the tax by the Travelling Tax Law. Passengers of railroad trains, vessels and airplanes who are legally liable to pay the tax for their travels within Japan are required to purchase travel tickets normally at a price incorporating the tax without being specifically informed of its amount. Accordingly, taxes collected by special collectors such as the travelling tax have to be considered as the indirect taxes normally incorporated in the price of goods or services referred to in article 34(a)."

KUWAIT<sup>8</sup>

If the State of Kuwait has reason to believe that the diplomatic pouch contains something which may not be sent by pouch under paragraph 4 of article 27 of the Convention, it considers that it has the right to request that the pouch be opened in the presence of the representative of the diplomatic mission (concerned). If this request is refused by the authorities of the sending State, the diplomatic pouch shall be returned to its place of origin.

The Government of Kuwait declares that its accession to the Convention does not imply recognition of "Israel" or entering with it into relations governed by the Convention thereto acceded.

LIBYAN ARAB JAMAHIRIYA<sup>8</sup>

(1) The accession of the Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahiriya to said Convention cannot be interpreted as signifying in any form whatsoever any recognition of Israel nor does accession to said Convention imply the entertaining of any relations or obligations with Israel.

(2) The Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahiriya will not be bound by paragraph 3 of article 37 of the Convention except on the basis of reciprocity.

(3) In the event that the authorities of the Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahiriya entertain strong doubts that the contents of a diplomatic pouch include items which may not be sent by diplomatic pouch in accordance with paragraph 4 of article 27 of said Convention, the

Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahiriya reserves its right to request the opening of such pouch in the presence of an official representative of the diplomatic mission concerned. If such request is denied by the authorities of the sending state, the diplomatic pouch shall be returned to its place of origin.

MALTA

"The Government of Malta wishes to declare that paragraph 2 of article 37 shall be applied on the basis of reciprocity "

MONGOLIA

In respect of article 11, paragraph 1, the Government of the Mongolian People's Republic maintains that any difference of opinion with regard to the size of a diplomatic mission should be settled by agreement between the sending and receiving States.

Referring to articles 48 and 50, the Government of the Mongolian People's Republic deems it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 48 and 50 of the Vienna Convention and declares that, as the Convention deals with matters affecting the interests of all States, it should be open for accession by all States.

MOROCCO

The Kingdom of Morocco accedes to the Convention subject to the reservation that paragraph 2 of article 37 is not applicable.

MOZAMBIQUE

"The People's Republic of Mozambique takes this opportunity to draw the attention to the discriminatory nature of the articles 48 and 50 of the present Convention which preclude a number of States from acceding to it. In view of its broad scope which affects the interest of all States in the world the present Convention should therefore be open for participation of all States."

"The People's Republic of Mozambique considers that the joint participation of States in a convention does not represent their official recognition."

NEPAL

"Subject to the reservation with regard to article 8, paragraph 3, of the Convention, that the prior consent to His Majesty's Government of Nepal shall be required for the appointment to the diplomatic staff of any mission in Nepal of any national of a third State who is not also a national of the sending State."

OMAN

"... The accession of this Convention does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the Sultanate of Oman and Israel."



PORTUGAL<sup>13</sup>

QATAR<sup>8</sup>

I. On Article 27, para.3:

The Government of the State of Qatar reserves its right to open a diplomatic bag in the following two situations:

1. The abuse, observed in flagrante delicto, of the diplomatic bag for unlawful purposes incompatible with the aims of the relevant rule of immunity, by putting therein items other than the diplomatic documents and articles for official use mentioned in para.4 of the said article, in violation of the obligations prescribed by the Government and by international law and custom.

In such a case both the foreign Ministry and the Mission concerned will be notified. The bag will not be opened except with the approval by the Foreign Ministry.

The contraband articles will be seized in the presence of a representative of the Ministry and the Mission.

2. The existence of strong indications or suspicions that the said violations have been perpetrated.

In such a case the bag will not be opened except with the approval of the Foreign Ministry and in the presence of a member of the Mission concerned. If permission to open the bag is denied it will be returned to its place of origin.

II) On article 37, para 2:

The State of Qatar shall not be bound by para.2 of article 37.

III) Accession to this Convention does not mean in any way recognition of Israel and does not entail entering with it into any transactions regulated by this Convention.

ROMANIA

The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of articles 48 and 50 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, are at variance with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multilateral treaties governing matters of general interest.

SAUDI ARABIA<sup>8</sup>

Reservations :

1 If the authorities of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia suspect that the diplomatic pouch or any parcel therein contains matters which may not be sent through the diplomatic pouch, such authorities may request the opening of the parcel in their presence and in the presence of a representative appointed by the diplomatic mission concerned. If such request is rejected, the pouch or parcel shall be returned back.

2. Accession to this Convention shall not constitute a recognition of Israel or lead to any kind of intercourse with it or the establishment of any relations with Israel under the Convention

SUDAN<sup>8</sup>

Reservations :

"The diplomatic immunities and privileges provided for in article 37 paragraph 2 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 1961, recognized and admitted in customary law and in the practice of States in favour of heads of missions and members of diplomatic staff of the mission, cannot be granted by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Sudan for other categories of mission staff except on the basis of reciprocity.

"The Government of the Democratic Republic of the Sudan reserves the right to interpret article 38 as not granting to a diplomatic agent who is a national of or permanent resident in the Sudan any immunity from jurisdiction, and inviolability, even though the acts complained of are official acts performed by the said diplomatic agent in the exercise of his functions."

Understanding :

"The Government of the Democratic Republic of the Sudan understands that its ratification of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 1961 does not imply whatsoever recognition of Israel or entering with it into relations governed by the said Convention."

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC<sup>8</sup>

15 March 1979<sup>14</sup>

1 The Syrian Arab Republic does not recognize Israel and will not enter into dealings with it.

2. The Optional Protocol Concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes does not enter into force for the Syrian Arab Republic.<sup>15</sup>

3. The exemption provided for in article 36, paragraph 1, shall not apply to the administrative and technical staff of the mission except during the first six months following their arrival in the receiving State.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation concerning article 11, paragraph 1:

In accordance with the principle of the equality of rights of States, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that any difference of opinion regarding the size of a diplomatic mission should be settled by agreement between the sending State and the receiving State

Declaration concerning articles 48 and 50:

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 48 and 50 of the Convention, under the terms of which a number of States are precluded from acceding to the Convention. The Convention deals with matters which affect the interests of all States and should therefore be open for accession by all States. In accordance with the principle of sovereign equality, no State has the right to bar other States from accession to a Convention of this nature.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation concerning article 11, paragraph 1:

In accordance with the principle of the equality of rights of States, the Union of Soviet

### III.3: Diplomatic relations

Socialist Republics considers that any difference of opinion regarding the size of a diplomatic mission should be settled by agreement between the sending State and the receiving State.

#### Declaration concerning articles 48 and 50:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 48 and 50 of the Convention, under the terms of which a number of States are precluded from acceding to the Convention. The Convention deals with matters which affect the interests of all States and should therefore be open for accession by all States. In accordance with the principle of sovereign equality, no State has the right to bar other States from accession to a Convention of this nature.

#### UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

"The accession of the United Arab Emirates to this Convention shall in no way amount to recognition of nor the establishment of any treaty relation with Israel."

#### VENEZUELA<sup>16</sup>

3. Under the Constitution of Venezuela, all Venezuelan nationals are equal before the law and none may enjoy special privileges; for that reason I make a formal reservation to article 38 of the Convention.

#### VIET NAM

1. The degrees of privileges and immunities accorded the administrative and technical staff and the members of their families as stipulated in paragraph 2, article 37 of the Convention should be agreed upon in detail by the concerned States;

2. The provisions of articles 48 and 50 of the

Convention are of a discriminatory character, which is not in accordance with the principle of equality of the sovereignty among States and limits the universality of the Convention. The Government of the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam, therefore, holds the view that all States have the right to adhere to the said Convention.

#### YEMEN

1. The accession of the Yemen Arab Republic to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, in no way implies recognition of Israel and shall not entail the entry of the Yemen Arab Republic with Israel into any of the relations governed by this Convention.

2. The Yemen Arab Republic has the right to inspect foodstuffs imported by diplomatic envoys and diplomatic missions in order to ascertain that they conform in quantity and in kind to the list submitted by them to the customs authorities and to the Office of Protocol at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs for the purpose of obtaining approval for their importation exempt from customs duties in accordance with article 36 of the Convention.

3. Where there are serious and strong grounds for believing that the diplomatic bag contains articles or substances not mentioned in article 27, paragraph 4, of the Convention, the Yemen Arab Republic reserves its right to request that the bag be opened in the presence of a representative of the embassy concerned. If the embassy refuses to comply with this request, the bag shall be returned to its place of origin.

4. Reservation concerning the privileges and immunities provided for in article 37, paragraph 2, of the Convention in respect of members of the administrative and technical staff of the mission: the Yemen Arab Republic shall not be bound to implement this paragraph except on a basis of reciprocity.

#### Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification or accession.)

#### AUSTRALIA

14 March 1968

"The Government of the Commonwealth of Australia does not regard the statements concerning paragraph (1) of Article 11 made by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the Mongolian People's Republic as modifying any rights or obligations under that paragraph.

"The Government of the Commonwealth of Australia declares that it does not recognize as valid the reservations to paragraph 2, Article 37, of the Convention made by the United Arab Republic and by Cambodia."

20 November 1970

"The Government of the Commonwealth of Austria declares that it does not recognize as valid the reservations to article 37, paragraph 2, of the

Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by Morocco and Portugal."

6 September 1973

"The Government of Australia does not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Convention made by the German Democratic Republic, in a letter accompanying the instrument of accession as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph."

25 January 1977

"The Government of Australia does not regard as valid the reservations made by the Government of the People's Republic of China to paragraphs 2, 3, and 4 of article 37 of that Convention."

21 June 1978

"The Government of Australia does not regard the reservation made by the Government of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen to para-

graph (1) of article 11 as modifying any rights or obligations under that paragraph."

22 February 1983

"Australia does not regard as valid the reservations made by the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the State of Bahrain, the State of Kuwait and the Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, in respect of treatment of the diplomatic bag under article 27 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations."

BAHAMAS<sup>17</sup>

BELGIUM

The Belgian Government considers the statement made by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Mongolian People's Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 to be incompatible with the letter and spirit of the Convention and does not regard it as modifying any rights or obligations under that paragraph.

The Belgian Government also considers the reservation made by the United Arab Republic and the Kingdom of Cambodia to paragraph 2 of article 37 to be incompatible with the letter and spirit of the Convention.

28 January 1975

The Government of the Kingdom of Belgium objects to the reservations made with respect to article 27, paragraph 3, by Bahrain and with respect to article 37, paragraph 2, by the United Arab Republic (now the Arab Republic of Egypt), Cambodia (now the Khmer Republic) and Morocco. The Government nevertheless considers that the Convention remains in force as between it and the aforementioned States, respectively, except in respect of the provisions which in each case are the subject of the said reservations.

BULGARIA

22 September 1972

The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria cannot regard the reservation made by the Bahraini Government with respect to article 27, paragraph 3, of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations as valid.

18 August 1977

"The Bulgarian Government does not consider itself to be bound by the reservation made by the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya concerning the application of article 27, paragraph 3, of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations."

23 June 1981

"The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the reservation made by the Government of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia on its accession to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations regarding the immunity of the diplomatic bag and the right of the competent authorities of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia to demand the opening of the diplomatic bag and, in case of refusal on the part of the diplomatic mission concerned, its return

It is the understanding of the Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria that the

reservation thus made is in violation of article 27, para. 4 of the 1961 Convention on Diplomatic Relations."

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

2 November 1977

The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not recognize the validity of the reservation made by the Chinese People's Republic to paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of article 37 of the 1961 Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations.

16 October 1986

[Same reservation, mutatis mutandis, as the one made by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on 6 October 1986.]

11 November 1986

[Same reservation, mutatis mutandis, as the one made by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on 6 November 1986.]

CANADA

"The Government of Canada does not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of Article 11 of the Convention made by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics as modifying any rights or obligations under this paragraph."

16 March 1978

"The Government of Canada does not regard as valid the reservations to paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the People's Republic of China. Similarly the Government of Canada does not regard as valid the reservations to paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Convention which have been made by the Government of the United Arab Republic (now the Arab Republic of Egypt), the Government of Cambodia (now Kampuchea) and the Government of the Kingdom of Morocco.

"The Government of Canada does not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Convention made by the Government of the Mongolian People's Republic, the Government of Bulgaria, the Government of the German Democratic Republic and the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph.

"The Government of Canada also desires to place on record that it does not regard as valid the reservations to paragraph 3 of article 27 of the Convention made by the Government of Bahrain and the reservations to paragraph 4 of article 27 made by the State of Kuwait and the Government of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya."

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

19 January 1972

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic raises objections against the above-mentioned reservation and does not recognize that reservation submitted by the Government of the State of Bahrain

### III.3: Diplomatic relations

"The inviolability of diplomatic mail, mostly transported by diplomatic couriers, is absolute and unexceptional. It is the obligation of all States to ensure its inviolability and to abstain from its opening or detention.

"The reservation is not compatible with the object and purpose of the Convention in the sense of the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice, it cannot be considered admissible since it is contrary to a valid norm of general international law and a fundamental provision of the Convention."

28 October 1977

"The instruments of accession of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya to the Vienna Convention on diplomatic relations contain a reservation, made by the Libyan Government in respect of paragraph 4, article 27, of the said Convention, covering the legal regime of diplomatic mail.

"In this connection the Permanent Mission of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic to the United Nations wishes to inform the Secretary-General that the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not consider itself to be bound by the above-mentioned reservation.

"The principle of the inviolability of diplomatic mail, as stated in paragraphs 3 and 4 of article 27 of the Vienna Convention, is generally recognized in international law and is absolute and without exception in the sphere of its applicability."

12 December 1977

The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not recognize the validity of the reservations expressed by the People's Republic of China concerning paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations

#### DENMARK

"The Government of Denmark does not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of Article 11 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the People's Republic of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Mongolian People's Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph. Further, the Government of Denmark does not regard as valid the reservation to paragraph 2 of Article 37 made by the United Arab Republic, Cambodia and Morocco. This statement shall not be regarded as precluding the entry into force of the Convention between Denmark and the above-mentioned countries."

5 August 1970

"The Government of Denmark does not regard the reservation to article 37, paragraph 2, of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by Portugal on 11th of September 1968 as valid

"This statement shall not be regarded as precluding the entry into force of the said Convention between Denmark and Portugal "

29 March 1977

"The Government of Denmark does not regard as valid the reservations made by the People's Republic of China to article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961. This statement is not to be regarded as preventing the Convention's entry into force as between Denmark and the People's Republic of China.

#### FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic does not regard the statements concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 made by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Mongolian People's Republic, the People's Republic of Bulgaria, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics as modifying any rights or obligations under that paragraph

The Government of the French Republic does not regard as valid the reservation to article 27, paragraph 4, made by the State of Kuwait

The Government of the French Republic does not regard as valid the reservations to article 37, paragraph 2, made by the Government of Cambodia, the Government of the Kingdom of Morocco, the Government of Portugal and the Government of the United Arab Republic.

None of these declarations shall be regarded as an obstacle to the entry into force of the Convention between the French Republic and the States mentioned.

28 December 1976

The Government of the French Republic does not regard as valid the reservations made by the People's Republic of China to article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961. This declaration is not to be regarded as preventing the Convention's entry into force as between the French Republic and the People's Republic of China.

29 August 1986

1. The Government of the French Republic declares that it does not recognize as valid the reservation entered by the Government of the Yemen Arab Republic which would make it permissible to request the opening of the diplomatic bag and to return it to the sender. The Government of the French Republic considers that this or any similar reservation is inconsistent with the object and the purpose of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations done at Vienna on 18 April 1961.

2. This declaration shall not be regarded as an obstacle to the entry into force of the said Convention between the French Republic and the Yemen Arab Republic.

#### GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany considers as incompatible with the letter and spirit of the Convention the reservations made by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic concerning article 11 of the Convention "

III.3: Diplomatic relations

16 March 1967

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservations made by the United Arab Republic and the Kingdom of Cambodia to article 37, paragraph 2, of the Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961, as incompatible with content and spirit of the aforementioned Convention."

10 May 1967

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservation made by the Mongolian People's Republic on 5 January 1967 in respect of article 11 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 as incompatible with the letter and spirit of the Convention."

9 July 1968

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservation made by the People's Republic of Bulgaria on 17 January 1968 in respect of article 11, paragraph 1, of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 as incompatible with the letter and spirit of the Convention."

23 December 1968

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservations made by the Kingdom of Morocco on 19 June 1968 and by Portugal on 11 September 1968 in respect of article 37, paragraph 2, of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 as incompatible with the letter and spirit of the Convention."

25 September 1974

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservation made by the German Democratic Republic on 2 February 1973 upon accession to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 in respect of the latter's article 11, para. 1, as incompatible with the letter and intent of the Convention."

4 February 1975

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservation made by the Government of Bahrain in respect of paragraph 3 of article 27 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 as incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention.

4 March 1977

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservation made by the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen in respect of article 11, paragraph 1 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 as incompatible with the purpose and objective of the Convention.

6 May 1977

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany does not regard as valid the reservations made by the People's Republic of China in respect of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961. This declaration is not to be regarded as preventing the Convention's entry into force as between the Federal Republic of Germany and the People's Republic of China."

19 September 1977

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany does not regard as valid the reservation made by the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya in respect of article 27 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961. This declaration is not to be regarded as preventing the Convention's entry into force as between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya."

11 July 1979

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany does not regard as valid the reservation made by the Syrian Arab Republic in respect of article 36, paragraph 1, of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961. This declaration should not be interpreted as preventing the entry into force of the Convention as between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Syrian Arab Republic

11 December 1980

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany considers the declaration made by the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam concerning paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 to be incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention.

15 May 1981

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany does not regard as valid the reservation made by the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in respect of article 27 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961. This declaration is not to be interpreted as preventing the entry into force of the Convention as between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

30 September 1981

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservations made by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Sudan in respect of article 37, paragraph 2, and of article 38 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 as incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention. This declaration is not to be interpreted as preventing the entry into force of the Convention as between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Democratic Republic of the Sudan.

GREECE

The Government of Greece cannot accept the reservation to paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Convention made by Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Mongolia, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, as well as the reservation to paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Convention made by Cambodia, Morocco, Portugal and the United Arab Republic.

GUATEMALA

23 December 1963

The Government of Guatemala rejects formally the reservations to articles 48 and 50 of the

### III.3: Diplomatic relations

Convention made by the Government of Cuba in its instrument of ratification

HAITI

9 May 1972

The Haitian Government considers that the reservation expressed by the Government of Bahrain with regard to the inviolability of diplomatic correspondence may destroy the effectiveness of the Convention, one of the main aims of which is precisely to put an end to certain practices impeding the performance of the functions assigned to diplomatic agents.

HUNGARY

7 July 1975

"The reservation made by the Government of Bahrain to article 27, paragraph 3, of the 1961 Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations is contrary to the principle of the inviolability of the diplomatic bag which is generally recognized in the international practice, and is incompatible with the objectives of the Convention.

"Therefore, the Hungarian People's Republic does not recognize this reservation as valid."

6 September 1978

"The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic does not recognize the validity of the reservation made by the Chinese People's Republic to paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of article 37 of the 1961 Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations."

IRELAND

17 January 1978

"The Government of Ireland object to the reservations made by the Government of the People's Republic of China concerning the provisions relating to Nuncios and the representative of the Holy See in articles 14 and 16 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations. The Government of Ireland do not regard these reservations as modifying any rights or obligations under those articles.

"The Government of Ireland do not regard as valid the reservations made by the Government of the People's Republic of China to paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of article 37.

"This statement is not to be regarded as preventing the entry into force of the Convention as between Ireland and the People's Republic of China."

LUXEMBOURG

18 January 1965

With reference to the reservation and declaration made by the Governments of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics upon ratification of the Convention, the Government of Luxembourg regrets that it cannot accept that reservation or that declaration which tends to modify the effect of certain provisions of the Convention.

25 October 1965

With reference to the statement made by the Government of Hungary upon ratification of the Convention, the Government of Luxembourg regrets that it cannot accept this declaration.

MALTA

"The Government of Malta does not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 made by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph."

MONGOLIA

18 January 1978

"Reservation made by the Government of Bahrain to paragraph 3, article 27 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations is incompatible with the very object and purpose of the Convention. Therefore the Government of the Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the above-mentioned reservation.

"The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic does not recognize the validity of the reservation made by the Government of the People's Republic of China to paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of article 37 of the 1961 Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations."

NETHERLANDS

"1. The Kingdom of the Netherlands does not accept the declarations by the People's Republic of Bulgaria, the German Democratic Republic, the Mongolian People's Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic and the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen concerning article 11, paragraph 1, of the Convention. The Kingdom of the Netherlands takes the view that this provision remains in force in relations between it and the said States in accordance with international customary law.

"2 The Kingdom of the Netherlands does not accept the declaration by the State of Bahrain concerning article 27, paragraph 3 of the Convention. It takes the view that this provision remains in force in relations between it and the State of Bahrain in accordance with international customary law. The Kingdom of the Netherlands is nevertheless prepared to agree to the following arrangement on a basis of reciprocity. If the authorities of the receiving state have serious grounds for supposing that the diplomatic bag contains something which pursuant to article 27, paragraph 4 of the Convention may not be sent in the diplomatic bag, they may demand that the bag be opened in the presence of the representative of the diplomat mission concerned. If the authorities of the sending state refuse to comply with such a request, the diplomatic bag shall be sent back to the place of origin.

"3. The Kingdom of the Netherlands does not accept the declarations by the Arab Republic of Egypt, the Khmer Republic, the Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahiriyah, the Republic of Malta and

### III.3: Diplomatic relations

the Kingdom of Morocco concerning article 37, paragraph 2 of the Convention. It takes the view that these provisions remain in force in relations between it and the said States in accordance with international customary law."

5 December 1986

The Kingdom of the Netherlands does not accept both reservations made by the State of Qatar concerning article 27, paragraph 3, of the Convention. It takes the view that this provision remains in force in relations between it and the State of Qatar in accordance with international customary law. The Kingdom of the Netherlands is nevertheless prepared to agree to the following arrangement on a basis of reciprocity. If the authorities of the receiving State have serious grounds for believing that the diplomatic bag contains something which, pursuant to article 27, paragraph 4, of the Convention, may not be sent in the diplomatic bag, they may demand that the bag be opened in the presence of the representative of the diplomatic mission concerned. If the authorities of the sending State refuse to comply with such a demand, the diplomatic bag shall be sent back to the place of origin.

Furthermore, the Kingdom of the Netherlands does not accept the reservation made by the State of Qatar concerning article 37, paragraph 2, of the Convention. It takes the view that this provision remains in force in relations between it and the State of Qatar in accordance with international customary law.

Moreover, the Kingdom of the Netherlands does not accept the reservation made by the Yemen Arab Republic concerning article 37, paragraph 2, of the Convention. It takes the view that these provisions remain in force in relations between it and the Yemen Arab Republic in accordance with international customary law.

#### NEW ZEALAND

"The Government of New Zealand does not regard the statements concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the People's Republic of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Mongolian People's Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph. Further, the Government of New Zealand does not accept the reservation to paragraph 2 of Article 37 of the Convention made by Cambodia, Morocco, Portugal and the United Arab Republic."

25 January 1977

"The Government of New Zealand does not regard as valid the reservations to paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 made by the Government of the People's Republic of China and considers that those paragraphs are in force between New Zealand and the People's Republic of China."

#### POLAND

3 November 1975

"The reservation made by the Government of

Bahrain to article 27, paragraph 3 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, is not compatible with the object and purpose of this Convention. It is contrary to fundamental principles of diplomatic international law. Therefore, the Polish People's Republic does not recognize this reservation as valid."

7 March 1978

"The principles of inviolability of diplomatic pouch and freedom of communication are generally recognized in international law and cannot be changed by unilateral reservation.

"This objection does not prevent entry into force of the Convention as between the Polish People's Republic and the Libyan Arab Jamahiriyah."

#### THAILAND

"1. The Government of the Kingdom of Thailand does not regard the statements concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Convention made by the People's Republic of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen, the German Democratic Republic, the Mongolian People's Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph.

2. The Government of the Kingdom of Thailand does not regard as valid the reservation made by the State of Bahrain in respect of paragraph 3 of article 27 of the Convention.

3. The Government of the Kingdom of Thailand does not regard as valid the reservations and declarations with respect to paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Convention made by Democratic Kampuchea, the Arab Republic of Egypt and the Kingdom of Morocco.

The foregoing objections shall not, however, be regarded as preventing the entry into force of the Convention as between Thailand and the above-mentioned countries."

#### TONGA

In its notification of succession, the Government of Tonga has indicated that it adopts the objections made by the United Kingdom respecting the reservations and statements made by Egypt, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, Mongolia, Bulgaria, the Khmer Republic, Morocco and Portugal, when ratifying (or acceding to) the said Convention on Diplomatic Relations.

#### UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

28 July 1972

The reservation made by the Government of Bahrain to the above-mentioned Convention is contrary to the principle of the inviolability of the diplomatic bag, which is generally recognized in international practice, and is therefore unacceptable to the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic.

24 October 1977

"The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not recognize as valid

### III.3: Diplomatic relations

the reservation to article 37, paragraphs 2, 3 and 4, of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the People's Republic of China."

20 October 1986

[Same objection, mutatis mutandis, as the one made by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on 6 October 1986.]

#### UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

6 June 1972

With respect to the reservation made by Bahrain to article 27 (3):

This reservation is contrary to the principle of the inviolability of the diplomatic bag, which is recognized in international practice, and is therefore unacceptable.

11 October 1977

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not recognize the validity of the reservation expressed by the People's Republic of China concerning paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 1961

7 November 1977

"The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the reservation made by the Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahiriya concerning article 27 of the 1961 Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations."

16 February 1982

"The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not recognize the validity of the reservation made by the Government of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia on its accession to the 1961 Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, since that reservation is contrary to one of the most important provisions of the Convention, namely, that the diplomatic bag shall not be opened or detained."

6 October 1986

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not recognize as valid the reservations of the Government of Qatar with respect to article 27, paragraph 3 and article 37, paragraph 2 of the 1961 Convention on Diplomatic Relations. The Government of the USSR considers that the reservations in question are illegal, since they conflict with the purposes of the Convention

6 November 1986

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not recognize as lawful the reservations of the Government of Yemen with respect to articles 27, 36 and 37 of the 1961 Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, since these reservations conflict with the purposes of the Convention.

#### UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

1 September 1964

"The Government of the United Kingdom do not regard as valid the reservation to paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the United Arab Republic.

Further, the Government of the United Kingdom do not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Convention made by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph."

7 June 1967

"The Government of the United Kingdom do not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Convention made by the Government of the Mongolian People's Republic as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph."

29 March 1968

"The Government of the United Kingdom do not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Convention made by the Government of Bulgaria as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph."

19 June 1968

"The Government of the United Kingdom do not regard as valid the reservation to paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the Government of Cambodia."

23 August 1968

"The Government of the United Kingdom do not regard as valid the reservation to paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the Kingdom of Morocco."

10 December 1968

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland do not regard as valid the reservation to paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the Government of Portugal."

13 March 1973

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland wish to put on record that they do not regard as valid the reservation to paragraph 3 of Article 27 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the Government of Bahrain."

16 April 1973

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland wish to place on record that they do not regard the statement concerning paragraph 1 of Article 11 of the Convention made by the German Democratic Republic, in a letter accompanying the instrument of accession, as modifying any rights and obligations under that paragraph."

25 January 1977

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland do not regard as valid the reservations to paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of article 37 of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations made by the People's Republic of China".

4 February 1977

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland wish to place on



record that they do not regard the reservation concerning paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Convention, made by the Government of Democratic Yemen, as modifying any rights or obligations under that paragraph."

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

22 June 1964

"The Government of the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar rejects formally the reservation to article 11, paragraph 1, of the Convention made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in its instrument of ratification."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

2 July 1974

"The Government of the United States of America . . . states its objection to reservations with respect to paragraph 3 of article 27 by Bahrain; with respect to paragraph 4 of article 27 by Kuwait, with respect to paragraph 2 of article 37 by the United Arab Republic (now the Arab Republic of Egypt), by Cambodia (now the Khmer Republic) and by Morocco, respectively. The Government of the United States, however, considers the Convention as continuing in force between it and the respective above-mentioned States except for the provisions to which the reservations are addressed in each case."

NOTES:

1/ Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 18 April 1961 and 19 December 1969 respectively. See Note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

In communications addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned signature and/or ratification, the Permanent Representatives of the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Mongolia, Pakistan, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics stated that their Governments considered the said signature and/or ratification as null and void, since the so-called "Government of China" had no right to speak or assume obligations on behalf of China, there being only one Chinese State, the People's Republic of China, and one Government entitled to represent it, the Government of the People's Republic of China.

In letters addressed to the Secretary-General in regard to the above-mentioned communications, the Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations stated that the Republic of China, a sovereign State and Member of the United Nations, had attended the 1961 Conference on Diplomatic Intercourse and Immunities, contributed to the formulation of the Convention concerned, signed the Convention and duly deposited the instrument of ratification thereof, and that "any statements and reservations relating to the above-mentioned Convention that are incompatible with or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China under this Convention".

The instrument of accession deposited on behalf of the Government of China on 25 November 1975 contained the following declaration. The "signature" on and "ratification" of this Convention by the Chiang Kai-shek clique usurping the name of China are illegal and null and void

2/ The instrument of ratification contains the following statement.

"The Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, the Optional Protocol concerning Acquisition of Nationality and the Optional Protocol concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, shall also apply to Land Berlin as from the date on which the Convention and the Protocols will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

The Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics have informed the Secretary-General, that they consider the above-mentioned statement as having no legal force on the ground that West Berlin is not, and never has been, a State territory of the Federal Republic of Germany and that, consequently, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany is in no way competent to assume any obligations in respect of West Berlin or to extend to it the application of international agreements, including the Convention in question.

The Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America have informed the Secretary-General that, in the Declaration on Berlin of 5 May 1955, which accords with instruments that previously entered into force, the Allied Kommandatura as the supreme authority in Berlin had authorized the Berlin authorities to assure the representation abroad of the interests of Berlin and its inhabitants under suitable arrangements, and that the arrangements made in accordance with the said authorization permitted the Federal Republic of Germany to extend to Berlin the international agreements which the Federal Republic concludes, provided that the final decision in every case of such an extension was left to the Allied Kommandatura and that internal Berlin action was required to make any such agreement applicable as domestic law in Berlin. For these reasons they consider the

### III 3. Diplomatic relations

objections referred to in the preceding paragraph as unfounded.

Subsequently, the Secretary-General received the following communications:

German Democratic Republic (27 December 1973):

"With regard to the application to Berlin (West) of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations and in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement concluded on September 3, 1971 between the governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, of the United States of America and of the French Republic, the German Democratic Republic declares that Berlin (West) is no constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and must not be governed by it. For this reason the statement of the government of the Federal Republic of Germany, according to which this convention also applies to the 'Land Berlin', is in contradiction to the Quadripartite Agreement and cannot produce any validity."

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (17 June 1974--in relation to the declaration by the German Democratic Republic received on 27 December 1973):

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America wish to bring to the attention of the States Parties to the Convention that the extension of the Convention to the Western Sectors of Berlin received the prior authorization, under established procedures, of the authorities of France, the United Kingdom and the United States on the basis of their supreme authority in those Sectors.

"In a communication to the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics which is an integral part (Annex IV A) of the Quadripartite Agreement of the 3rd of September 1971 the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States reaffirmed that, provided matters of security and status are not affected, international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin. For its part, the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, in a communication to the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States which is similarly an integral part (Annex IV B) of the Quadripartite Agreement of the 3rd of September 1971, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such extension.

"Accordingly, the application of the Convention to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect."

Federal Republic of Germany (15 July 1974):

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany shares the position set out in the Note of the Three Powers. The extension of the Convention to Berlin (West) continues in full force and effect."

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (12 September 1974):

The Soviet Union shares the view expressed in the communications from the German Democratic Republic concerning the action by the Federal

Republic of Germany in extending to "Land Berlin" . . . the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations of 18 April 1961 . . . Berlin (West) has never been a "Land of the Federal Republic of Germany", does not form part of the Federal Republic of Germany and is not governed by it. This fact was reaffirmed and given legal effect in the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. The declarations by the Federal Republic of Germany extending international agreements to "Land Berlin" are regarded and will continue to be regarded by the Soviet Union as having no legal effect.

Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (19 September 1974):

The Ukrainian SSR shares the view set forth in the communication from the German Democratic Republic on the question of the extension by the Federal Republic of Germany of the application of . . . the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, of 18 April 1961 to "Land Berlin". Berlin (West) has never been a Land of the Federal Republic of Germany, is not a part of the Federal Republic of Germany and is not governed by it. This was reaffirmed and firmly established in the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. Statements by the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension of international agreements to "Land Berlin" are regarded and will continue to be regarded by the Ukrainian SSR as having no legal force whatsoever.

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (8 July 1975--in relation to the declaration by the Soviet Union received on 12 September 1974):

"In a communication to the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics which is an integral part (Annex IV A) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States confirmed that, provided that matters of security and status are not affected and provided that extension is specified in each case, international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin in accordance with established procedures. For its part, the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, in a communication to the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States which is similarly an integral part (Annex IV B) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such extension.

"The Quadripartite Agreement does not impose any requirement regarding terminology to be used by the Federal Republic of Germany when extending to the Western Sectors of Berlin such international agreements or arrangements nor of course, does the Quadripartite Agreement affect terminology used in the past.

"In any case, the use by the Federal Republic of Germany of the terminology mentioned in the [Note] under reference can in no way affect quadripartite agreements or decisions relating to Berlin

"Consequently, the validity of the Berlin Declaration made by the Federal Republic of

### III.3: Diplomatic relations

Germany is unaffected by the use of this terminology and the application to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the [instrument] mentioned in the above listed [document] continues in full force and effect."

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (8 July 1975--in relation to the declaration by the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic received on 19 September 1974):

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to point out that the [State whose communication is reported in the above-mentioned Note is not a party] to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, which was concluded in Berlin by the Governments of the French Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and the United States of America, and [is] not therefore competent to comment authoritatively on its provisions.

"The Quadripartite Agreement does not impose any requirement regarding terminology to be used by the Federal Republic of Germany when extending to the Western Sectors of Berlin treaties or agreements to which it has become a party nor, of course, does the Agreement affect terminology used in the past.

"In any case the use by the Federal Republic of Germany of the terminology mentioned in the [communication] under reference can in no way affect quadripartite agreements or decisions relating to Berlin.

"Consequently the validity of the Berlin Declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany is unaffected by the use of this terminology.

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not consider it necessary to respond to any further communications of a similar nature by States which are not signatories to the Quadripartite Agreement. This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of those Governments in this matter."

Federal Republic of Germany (19 September 1975):

"By their Notes of 8 July 1975, disseminated by Circular Note . . . C.N.190.1975.TREATIES-4 of 13 August 1975, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the [communication] referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the Notes of the Three Powers wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned [instrument] extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect.

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter."

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (8 December 1975):

The Permanent Mission of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to the United Nations con-

siders it necessary to confirm the position on the question set forth in the Permanent Mission's note no. 491 of 11 September 1974. The declarations by the Federal Republic of Germany extending the above-mentioned [Convention] to "Land Berlin" will continue to be regarded by the Soviet side as having no legal effect.

3/ In its notification of succession, the Government of Malta indicated that it considers itself bound by the Convention as from 1 October 1964 [the date of entry into force of the Convention for the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland].

4/ In communications addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned ratification, the Permanent Mission of Bulgaria and the Permanent Representative of Romania to the United Nations stated that their Governments considered the said ratification as null and void for the South Korean authorities could not speak on behalf of Korea.

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General concerning the above-mentioned communication from the Permanent Representative of Romania, the Permanent Observer of the Republic of Korea to the United Nations stated the following:

"The Republic of Korea took part in the United Nations Conference on Diplomatic Intercourse and Immunities, and contributed to the formulation of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, signed the Convention on the same day and duly deposited the instrument of ratification thereof with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on 28 December 1970.

"As the resolution 195 (III) of the General Assembly of the United Nations dated 12 December 1948 declares unmistakably, the Government of the Republic of Korea is the only lawful government in Korea.

"Therefore, the rights and obligations of the Republic of Korea under the said Convention shall in no way be affected by any statement that has no basis in fact or unjustly distorts the legitimacy of the Government of the Republic of Korea."

5/ In a communication accompanying the notification of succession, the Government of Tuvalu declared that it had decided not to succeed to the Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, and that pursuant to Tuvalu's declaration, dated 19 December 1978, regarding treaties applied before independence, the application of the Optional Protocol to Tuvalu should be regarded as terminated as at 1 September 1982.

6/ The former Republic of Viet-Nam acceded to the Convention on 10 May 1973. See footnote 20 in chapter I.2.

7/ In a communication received on 16 October 1985, the Government of Zambia specified that upon succession, it had not wished to maintain the objections made by the United Kingdom of

### III 3: Diplomatic relations

Great Britain and Northern Ireland with respect to articles 11 (1), 27 (3) and 37 (2)

8/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 5 September 1969, the Government of Israel declared that it "has noted the political character of the declaration made by the Government of Kuwait on acceding to the above Convention. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Kuwait an attitude of complete reciprocity".

Identical communications, in essence, mutatis mutandis, were received by the Secretary-General from the Government of Israel on 15 October 1969 in respect of the declaration made upon accession by Egypte (see also note 3 in chapter I.1 and note 10 below), on 6 January 1972 in respect of the declaration made upon accession by Bahrain, on 12 January 1977 in respect of the declaration made upon accession by Democratic Yemen, on 30 August 1977 in respect of the declaration made upon accession by the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, on 29 October 1979 in respect of the declaration of 15 March 1979 made by the Syrian Arab Republic, on 1 April 1981 in respect of the declaration of 10 February 1981 made by Saudi Arabia, on 14 August 1981 in respect of the declaration of 13 April 1981 by Sudan, (see also note 11), and on 15 October 1986 in regard to the reservations made by Qatar

9/ In a communication received on 15 September 1980, the Government of China notified the Secretary-General that it withdraws its reservations with regard to article 37, paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of the Convention.

10/ Upon ratification of the Convention, the Government of Ecuador withdrew the reservation to paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of article 37 of the Convention formulated at the time of its signature.

11/ In a notification received on 18 January 1980, the Government of Egypt informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw its reservation relating to Israel, made upon accession (see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 500, p. 211) The notification indicates 25 January 1980 as the effective date of the withdrawal. For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 500, p. 211.

12/ In a letter accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of Greece notified the Secretary-General that it did not maintain the reservation made at the time of signature of the Convention, to the effect that the

last sentence of paragraph 2 of article 37 would not apply. (See United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 500, p. 186).

13/ In a communication received on 1 June 1972, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw the reservation to paragraph 2 of article 37 of the Convention, made upon accession. For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 645, p. 372.

14/ These reservations were not included in the instrument of accession deposited on behalf of the Syrian Arab Republic on 4 August 1978. In accordance with the practice followed by the Secretary-General in similar circumstances the text of the reservations was communicated to the States concerned on 2 April 1979, and, since no objections to this procedure were received within 90 days from that date, the Secretary-General received the said notification of reservation in definitive deposit on 1 July 1979. For the objection as to the substance formulated by the Federal Republic of Germany in respect of reservation No. 3, see under "Objections" to declarations and reservations.

15/ It should be noted that, as at the date of receipt of the said declaration the Syrian Arab Republic had become neither a party nor a signatory to the Protocol in question.

16/ In the instrument of ratification, the Government of Venezuela confirmed the reservation set forth in paragraph 3 of its reservations made upon signature. On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Permanent Representative of Venezuela to the United Nations stated that the reservations set forth in paragraphs 1 and 2 had not been maintained by the Government of Venezuela upon ratification and should be considered as withdrawn; for the text of those reservations, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 500, p. 202.

17/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 8 June 1977, the Government of the Bahamas declared that it wishes to maintain the objections made by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland prior to the independence of the Bahamas. (For the text of the objections made by the Government of the United Kingdom prior to 10 July 1973, the date when the Bahamas acceded to independence under "Objections" to declarations and objections.)

18/ For the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles.

### III.4 Diplomatic relations

#### 4 OPTIONAL PROTOCOL TO THE VIENNA CONVENTION ON DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS CONCERNING ACQUISITION OF NATIONALITY

Done at Vienna on 18 April 1961

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 April 1964, in accordance with article VI.  
 REGISTRATION: 24 June 1964, No 7311  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 500, p 223.

See "Note" for chapter III.3.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Argentina . . .	25 Oct 1961	10 Oct 1963	Lebanon . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	
Belgium . . . .		2 May 1968 <u>a</u>	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . .		7 Jun 1977 <u>a</u>
Botswana . . . .		11 Apr 1969 <u>a</u>	Madagascar . . . .		31 Jul 1963 <u>a</u>
Burma . . . . .		7 Mar 1980 <u>a</u>	Malawi . . . . .		29 Apr 1980 <u>a</u>
Central African Republic . . . .	28 Mar 1962	19 Mar 1973	Malaysia . . . . .		9 Nov 1965 <u>a</u>
China <sup>1</sup>			Morocco . . . . .		23 Feb 1977 <u>a</u>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . .		31 Aug 1965 <u>a</u>	Nepal . . . . .		28 Sep 1965 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	2 Oct 1968	Netherlands <sup>3</sup> . . . .		7 Sep 1984 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .	30 Mar 1962	14 Jan 1964	Niger . . . . .		28 Mar 1966 <u>a</u>
Egypt . . . . .		9 Jun 1964 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	24 Oct 1967 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .	20 Oct 1961	9 Dec 1969	Oman . . . . .		31 May 1974 <u>a</u>
Gabon . . . . .		2 Apr 1964 <u>a</u>	Panama . . . . .		4 Dec 1963 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . .	28 Mar 1962	11 Nov 1964	Paraguay . . . . .		23 Dec 1969 <u>a</u>
Ghana . . . . .	18 Apr 1961		Philippines . . . . .	20 Oct 1961	15 Nov 1965 <u>a</u>
Guinea . . . . .		10 Jan 1968 <u>a</u>	Republic of Korea	30 Mar 1962	7 Mar 1977 <u>a</u>
Iceland . . . . .		18 May 1971 <u>a</u>	Senegal . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	
India . . . . .		15 Oct 1965 <u>a</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .		31 Jul 1978 <u>a</u>
Indonesia . . . .		4 Jun 1982 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	21 Mar 1967 <u>a</u>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . .	27 May 1961	3 Feb 1965	Thailand . . . . .	30 Oct 1961	23 Jan 1985 <u>a</u>
Iraq . . . . .	20 Feb 1962	15 Oct 1963	Tunisia . . . . .		24 Jan 1968 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .	13 Mar 1962	25 Jun 1969	United Republic of Tanzania . . . .	27 Feb 1962	5 Nov 1962 <u>a</u>
Kenya . . . . .		1 Jul 1965 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . .	18 Apr 1961	1 Apr 1963 <u>a</u>
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		3 Dec 1962 <u>a</u>	Zaire . . . . .		15 Jul 1976 <u>a</u>

#### Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession)

#### NETHERLANDS

##### Declaration:

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands interprets the words "not, solely by the operation of the law of the receiving State" in article II of the Optional Protocol concerning Acquisition of Nationality as meaning that acquisition of nationality by descent is not regarded as acquisition of nationality solely by the operation of this law."

##### Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were received upon ratification or accession)

#### THAILAND

[See chapter III.3.]

#### III.4. Diplomatic relations

---

NOTES:

- 1/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 18 April 1961 See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).
- 2/ See note 2 in chapter III 3.
- 3/ For the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles

III.5: Diplomatic relations

5 OPTIONAL PROTOCOL TO THE VIENNA CONVENTION ON DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS CONCERNING THE COMPULSORY SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

Done at Vienna on 18 April 1961

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 April 1964, in accordance with article VIII.  
REGISTRATION: 24 June 1964, No. 7312  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 500, p. 241.

See note for chapter III.3

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Australia . . . . .		26 Jan 1968 <u>a</u>	Lebanon . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	
Austria . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	28 Apr 1966	Liechtenstein . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	8 May 1964
Bahamas . . . . .		17 Mar 1977 <u>a</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .	2 Feb 1962	17 Aug 1966
Belgium . . . . .	23 Oct 1961	2 May 1968	Madagascar . . . . .		31 Jul 1963 <u>a</u>
Botswana . . . . .		11 Apr 1969 <u>a</u>	Malawi . . . . .		29 Apr 1980 <u>a</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .	28 Mar 1962	19 Mar 1973	Malaysia . . . . .		9 Nov 1965 <u>a</u>
China <sup>1</sup> . . . . .			Malta <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		7 Mar 1967 <u>d</u>
Colombia . . . . .	18 Apr 1961		Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .		9 Nov 1964 <u>a</u>	Nepal . . . . .		28 Sep 1965 <u>a</u>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		31 Aug 1965 <u>a</u>	Netherlands <sup>5</sup> . . . . .		7 Sep 1984 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	2 Oct 1968	New Zealand . . . . .	28 Mar 1962	23 Sep 1970
Dominican Republic . . . . .	30 Mar 1962	13 Feb 1964	Niger . . . . .		26 Apr 1966 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	21 Sep 1964	Norway . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	24 Oct 1967
Fiji . . . . .		21 Jun 1971 <u>d</u>	Oman . . . . .		31 May 1974 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .	20 Oct 1961	9 Dec 1969	Pakistan . . . . .		29 Mar 1976 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	30 Mar 1962	31 Dec 1970	Panama . . . . .		4 Dec 1963 <u>a</u>
Gabon . . . . .		2 Apr 1964 <u>a</u>	Paraguay . . . . .		23 Dec 1969 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2,3</sup> . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	11 Nov 1964	Philippines . . . . .	20 Oct 1961	15 Nov 1965
Ghana . . . . .	18 Apr 1961		Republic of Korea . . . . .	30 Mar 1962	25 Jan 1977
Guinea . . . . .		10 Jan 1968 <u>a</u>	Seychelles . . . . .		29 May 1979 <u>a</u>
Iceland . . . . .		18 May 1971 <u>a</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .		31 Jul 1978 <u>a</u>
India . . . . .		15 Oct 1965 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	21 Mar 1967
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	27 May 1961	3 Feb 1965	Switzerland . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	22 Nov 1963
Iraq . . . . .	20 Feb 1962	15 Oct 1963	United Kingdom . . . . .	11 Dec 1961	1 Sep 1964
Ireland . . . . .	18 Apr 1961		United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	27 Feb 1962	5 Nov 1962
Israel . . . . .	18 Apr 1961		United States of America . . . . .	29 Jun 1961	13 Nov 1972
Italy . . . . .	13 Mar 1962	25 Jun 1969	Yugoslavia . . . . .	18 Apr 1961	1 Apr 1963
Japan . . . . .	26 Mar 1962	8 Jun 1964	Zaire . . . . .		19 Jul 1965 <u>a</u>
Kenya . . . . .		1 Jul 1965 <u>a</u>			
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		3 Dec 1962 <u>a</u>			

NOTES.

1/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 18 April 1961. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I 1)

2/ See note 2 in chapter III.3.

3/ In a communication received on 22 March 1965, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany informed the Secretary-General of the following

"The Federal Republic of Germany is not a Party to the Statute of the International Court of Justice. In order to meet her obligations under article I of the Optional Protocol on the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, and in accordance with Security Council resolution of 15 October 1946 on the conditions under which the International Court of Justice shall be open to States not Parties to that Statute [resolution 9 (1946) adopted by the Security Council at its 76th meeting], the Federal Republic has issued a declaration accepting the competence of the International Court of

Justice for the disputes named in article I of the Optional Protocol on the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes. This declaration also applies to the disputes named in article IV of the Optional Protocol on the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes which arise from the interpretation or application of the Optional Protocol on the Acquisition of Nationality."

The declaration referred to above was deposited by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany on 29 January 1965 with the Registrar of the International Court of Justice who transmitted certified true copies thereof to all States parties to the Statute of the International Court of Justice, in accordance with paragraph 3 of the Security Council resolution referred to above.

In the same communication, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany has notified the Secretary-General, in accordance with article IV of the Optional Protocol concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, that it will extend the provisions of the said Protocol to disputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the Optional Protocol concerning the Acquisition of Nationality, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961.

4/ See note 3 in chapter III.3 which also applies to this Protocol

5/ For the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles.



III.6: Consular relations

6. VIENNA CONVENTION ON CONSULAR RELATIONS

Done at Vienna on 24 April 1963

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 19 March 1967, in accordance with article 77.  
 REGISTRATION: 8 June 1967, No. 8638.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 596, p. 261.

Note: The Convention was adopted on 22 April 1963 by the United Nations Conference on Consular Relations held at the Neue Hofburg in Vienna, Austria, from 4 March to 22 April 1963. The Conference also adopted the Optional Protocol concerning Acquisition of Nationality, the Optional Protocol concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, the Final Act and three resolutions annexed to that Act. The Convention and the two Protocols were deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The Final Act, by unanimous decision of the Conference, was deposited in the archives of the Federal Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Austria. For the proceedings of the Conference, see United Nations Conference on Consular Relations, Official Records, vols. I and II (United Nations publication, Sales Nos. 63.X.2 and 64.X.1). The text of the Convention, two Protocols, Final Act and resolutions is published in vol. II.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Algeria . . . . .		14 Apr 1964 a	Haiti . . . . .		2 Feb 1978 a
Argentina . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	7 Mar 1967	Holy See . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	8 Oct 1970
Australia . . . . .	31 Mar 1964	12 Feb 1973	Honduras . . . . .		13 Feb 1968 a
Austria . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	12 Jun 1969	Iceland . . . . .		1 Jun 1978 a
Bahamas . . . . .		17 Mar 1977 d	India . . . . .		28 Nov 1977 a
Bangladesh . . . . .		13 Jan 1978 d	Indonesia . . . . .		4 Jun 1982 a
Belgium . . . . .	31 Mar 1964	9 Sep 1970	Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	5 Jun 1975
Benin . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	27 Apr 1979	Iraq . . . . .		14 Jan 1970 a
Bhutan . . . . .		28 Jul 1981 a	Ireland . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	10 May 1967
Bolivia . . . . .	6 Aug 1963	22 Sep 1970	Israel . . . . .	25 Feb 1964	
Brazil . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	11 May 1967	Italy . . . . .	22 Nov 1963	25 Jun 1969
Burkina Faso . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	11 Aug 1964	Jamaica . . . . .		9 Feb 1976 a
Cameroon . . . . .	21 Aug 1963	22 May 1967	Japan . . . . .		3 Oct 1983 a
Canada . . . . .		18 Jul 1974 a	Jordan . . . . .		7 Mar 1973 a
Cape Verde . . . . .		30 Jul 1979 a	Kenya . . . . .		1 Jul 1965 a
Central African Republic . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Kiribati . . . . .		2 Apr 1982 d
Chile . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	9 Jan 1968	Kuwait . . . . .	10 Jan 1964	31 Jul 1975
China <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		2 Jul 1979 a	Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		9 Aug 1973 a
Colombia . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	6 Sep 1972	Lebanon . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	20 Mar 1975
Congo . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Lesotho . . . . .		26 Jul 1972 a
Costa Rica . . . . .	6 Jun 1963	29 Dec 1966	Liberia . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	28 Aug 1984
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Liechtenstein . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	18 May 1966
Cuba . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	15 Oct 1965	Luxembourg . . . . .	24 Mar 1964	8 Mar 1972
Cyprus . . . . .		14 Apr 1976 a	Madagascar . . . . .		17 Feb 1967 a
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	31 Mar 1964	13 Mar 1968	Malawi . . . . .		29 Apr 1980 a
Democratic People's Republic of Korea . . . . .		8 Aug 1984 a	Mali . . . . .		28 Mar 1968 a
Denmark . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	15 Nov 1972	Mauritius . . . . .		13 May 1970 a
Djibouti . . . . .		2 Nov 1978 a	Mexico . . . . .	7 Oct 1963	16 Jun 1965
Dominican Republic . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	4 Mar 1964	Morocco . . . . .		23 Feb 1977 a
Ecuador . . . . .	25 Mar 1964	11 Mar 1965	Mozambique . . . . .		18 Apr 1983 a
Egypt . . . . .		21 Jun 1965 a	Nepal . . . . .		28 Sep 1965 a
El Salvador . . . . .		19 Jan 1973 a	Netherlands . . . . .		17 Dec 1985 a <sup>3</sup>
Equatorial Guinea . . . . .		30 Aug 1976 a	New Zealand . . . . .		10 Sep 1974 a
Fiji . . . . .		28 Apr 1972 a	Nicaragua . . . . .		31 Oct 1975 a
Finland . . . . .	28 Oct 1963	2 Jul 1980	Niger . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	26 Apr 1966
France . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	31 Dec 1970	Nigeria . . . . .		22 Jan 1968 a
Gabon . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	23 Feb 1965	Norway . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	13 Feb 1980
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	31 Oct 1963	7 Sep 1971	Oman . . . . .		31 May 1974 a
Ghana . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	4 Oct 1963	Pakistan . . . . .		14 Apr 1969 a
Greece . . . . .		14 Oct 1975 a	Panama . . . . .	4 Dec 1963	28 Aug 1967
Guatemala . . . . .		9 Feb 1973 a	Papua New Guinea . . . . .		4 Dec 1975 d
Guyana . . . . .		13 Sep 1973 a	Paraguay . . . . .		23 Dec 1969 a
			Peru . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	17 Feb 1978

III.6: Consular relations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession(d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession(d)</u>
Philippines . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	15 Nov 1965	Togo . . . . .		26 Sep 1983 <u>a</u>
Poland . . . . .	20 Mar 1964	13 Oct 1981	Tonga . . . . .		7 Jan 1972 <u>a</u>
Portugal . . . . .		13 Sep 1972 <u>a</u>	Trinidad and Tobago	19 Oct 1965 <u>a</u>	
Republic of Korea . .		7 Mar 1977 <u>a</u>	Tunisia . . . . .		8 Jul 1964 <u>a</u>
[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>4</sup> . . . . .			Turkey . . . . .		19 Feb 1976 <u>a</u>
10 May 1973 <u>a</u>			Tuvalu . . . . .		15 Sep 1982 <u>d</u> <sup>5</sup>
Romania . . . . .		24 Feb 1972 <u>a</u>	United Arab Emirates . . . . .		24 Feb 1977 <u>a</u>
Rwanda . . . . .		31 May 1974 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . .	27 Mar 1964	9 May 1972 <sup>6</sup>
Saint Lucia . . . . .		27 Aug 1986 <u>d</u>	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		18 Apr 1977 <u>a</u>
Sao Tome and Principe		3 May 1983 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	24 Nov 1969
Senegal . . . . .		29 Apr 1966 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	10 Mar 1970
Seychelles . . . . .		29 May 1979 <u>a</u>	Venezuela <sup>7</sup> . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	27 Oct 1965
Somalia . . . . .		29 Mar 1968 <u>a</u>	Yemen . . . . .		10 Apr 1986 <u>a</u>
Spain . . . . .		3 Feb 1970 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	8 Feb 1965
Suriname . . . . .		11 Sep 1980 <u>a</u>	Zaire . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	15 Jul 1976
Sweden . . . . .	8 Oct 1963	19 Mar 1974			
Switzerland . . . . .	23 Oct 1963	3 May 1965			
Syrian Arab Republic		13 Oct 1978 <u>a</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession. For objections thereto, see hereinafter.)

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of Cuba makes an express reservation to the provisions of articles 74 and 76 of the Convention because it considers that, in view of the nature of the content and rules of the Convention, all free and sovereign States have the right to participate in it, and the Revolutionary Government is therefore in favour of facilitating accession by all countries in the international community, without distinction as to the territorial size of States, the number of their inhabitants or their social, economic or political systems.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"Contrary to the principle of sovereign equality of States and to the right of all States to participate in general multilateral treaties, articles 74 and 76 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations deprive certain States of their undeniable right to become parties to a treaty of a general character, concerning matters of legitimate interest of any State, which, according to its preamble, should contribute to the development of friendly relations among nations irrespective of their differing constitutional and social systems."

DENMARK

In respect of article 5 (j), consular posts established in Denmark by foreign States may not, except by virtue of a special agreement, execute letters rogatory or commissions to take evidence

for the courts of the sending State, and may transmit judicial and extra-judicial documents only in civil or commercial matters.

(1) "With reference to Article 22, the Government of Denmark expresses the wish that it may be possible to maintain the practice existing between Denmark and a number of other countries to appoint honorary consular officers from among persons having the nationality of the receiving State or of a third State; the Government of Denmark further expresses the hope that States with which Denmark establishes consular relations will give their consent, pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3 of Article 22, to the appointment of honorary consuls having the nationality of the receiving State or a third State.

(2) "With reference to Article 68, the Government of Denmark expresses its desire, in accordance with Danish practice, to continue appointing honorary consular officers and, on condition of reciprocity, its willingness to continue receiving honorary consular officers in Denmark."

EGYPT<sup>8</sup>

. . . 9

"2--Paragraph 1 of article 46 concerning exemption from registration of aliens and residence permits shall not apply to consular employees.

"3--Article 49 concerning exemption from taxation shall apply only to consular officers, their spouses and minor children. This exemption cannot be extended to consular employees and to members of the service staff.

"4--Article 62 concerning exemption from custom duties and taxes on articles for the official use of a consular post headed by an honorary officer, shall not apply.

### III.6: Consular relations

"5--Article 65 is not accepted. Honorary consular officers cannot be exempted from registration of aliens and residence permits.

"6--It is the understanding of the United Arab Republic that the privileges and immunities specified in this Convention are granted only to consular officers, their spouses and minor children and cannot be extended to other members of their families."

#### FIJI

"Fiji will interpret the exemption accorded to members of a consular post by paragraph 3 of Article 44 from liability to give evidence concerning matters connected with the exercise of their functions as relating only to acts in respect of which consular officers and consular employees enjoy immunity from the jurisdiction of the judicial or administrative authorities of the receiving State in accordance with the provisions of article 43 of the Convention."

#### FINLAND

##### Reservation:

"With regard to article 35, paragraph 1, and article 58, paragraph 1, Finland does not accord to consular posts headed by honorary consular officers the right to employ diplomatic or consular couriers and diplomatic or consular bags, or to governments, diplomatic missions and other consular posts the right to employ these means in communicating with consular posts headed by honorary consular officers, except to the extent that Finland may have consented thereto in particular cases."

##### Declarations:

"With reference to article 22 of the Convention, the Finnish Government expressed the wish that in countries where it has been an established practice to allow nationals of the receiving State or of a third State to be appointed as Finnish honorary consuls, this practice will continue to be allowed as before. The Finnish Government also expresses the hope that countries with which Finland establishes new consular relations will follow a similar practice and will give their consent to such appointments pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 22."

"With reference to article 49, paragraph 1 b, the Finnish Government wishes to add that, according to established practice, exemption cannot be granted in respect of dues or taxes levied on certain private movable property, such as shares or stock or other form of partnership in condominium or housing corporation entitling the holder of such movable property to possess and control immovable property situated in the territory of Finland and owned or otherwise legally possessed by the said condominium or housing corporation."

#### GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

##### Declaration received on 8 April 1974:

"The Federal Republic of Germany interprets the provisions of Chapter II of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, done on 24 April 1963, as

applying to all career consular personnel (consular officers, consular employees and members of the service staff), including those assigned to a consular post headed by an honorary consular officer, and that it will apply the said provisions accordingly."

#### ICELAND

With reference to article 22 of the Convention, the Icelandic Government expresses the wish that in countries where it has been an established practice to allow nationals of the receiving State or of a third State to be appointed as Icelandic honorary consuls, this will continue to be allowed as before. The Icelandic Government also expresses the hope that countries with which Iceland establishes new consular relations will follow a similar practice and will give their consent to such appointments pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 22.

#### IRAQ<sup>8</sup>

The accession of the Republic of Iraq to this Convention shall in no way constitute recognition of the Member of the United Nations called Israel or imply any obligation toward or relation with the said Member.

#### ITALY

With reference to the provision contained in article 36, paragraph 1 (c), of the Convention on Consular Relations, the Italian Government considers that the right of a consular official to visit nationals of his State who are for any reason held in custody and to act on their behalf may not be waived, inasmuch as it is embodied in general law. The Italian Government will therefore act on the basis of reciprocity.

#### KUWAIT

It is understood that the ratification of this Convention does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the Government of the State of Kuwait. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the State of Kuwait and Israel.

#### LESOTHO

"The Kingdom of Lesotho will interpret the exemption accorded to members of a consular post by paragraph 3 of article 44 from liability to give evidence concerning matters connected with the exercise of their functions or to produce official correspondence and documents relating thereto as not extending to matters, correspondence or documents connected with the administration of the estate of a deceased person in respect of which a grant of representation has been made to a member of a consular post."

#### MEXICO

Mexico does not accept that part of article 31, paragraph 4 of the Convention which refers to expropriation of consular premises. The main reason for this reservation is that that para-

### III.6: Consular relations

graph, by contemplating the possibility of expropriation of consular premises by the receiving State, presupposes that the sending State is the owner of the premises. That situation is precluded in the Mexican Republic by article 27 of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States, according to which foreign States cannot acquire private title to immovable property unless it is situated at the permanent seat of Federal Power and necessary for the direct use of their embassies or legations.

#### MOROCCO

Morocco's accession to the Convention on Consular Relations shall not in any way imply tacit recognition of "Israel"; nor shall any conventional relations be established between the Kingdom of Morocco and "Israel".<sup>10</sup>

Article 62, concerning the exemption from customs duties on articles for the use of a consular post headed by an honorary consular officer, shall not apply.

Article 65 shall not apply, since honorary consular officers cannot be exempted from obligations in regard to the registration of aliens and residence permits.

#### MOZAMBIQUE

##### Declaration:

"As regards articles 74 and 76, the People's Republic of Mozambique considers that these provisions are incompatible with the principle that multilateral international instruments whose purpose and subject-matters are of interest to the International Community as a whole should be open for universal participation.

It also considers that the said articles are contrary to the principle of sovereign equality of states and deprive sovereign states from their legitimate right to participate in it."

#### NETHERLANDS

##### Declaration:

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands interprets chapter II of the Convention as applying to all career consular officers and employees, including those assigned to a consular post headed by a honorary consular officer."

#### NORWAY

"With reference to article 22 of the Convention, the Norwegian Government expresses the wish that in countries where it has been an established practice to allow nationals of the receiving State or of a third State to be appointed as Norwegian honorary consuls, this practice will continue to be allowed as before. The Norwegian Government also expresses the hope that countries with which Norway establishes new consular relations will follow a similar practice and will give their consent to such appointments pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 22."

#### OMAN

"The accession of this Convention does

not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the Sultanate of Oman and "Israel".

#### ROMANIA

The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of articles 74 and 76 of the Convention are incompatible with the principle that multilateral international treaties whose subject-matter and purposes are of interest to the international community as a whole should be open for universal accession.

#### SWEDEN

##### Reservation:

With regard to article 35, paragraph 1, and article 58, paragraph 1, Sweden does not accord to consular posts headed by honorary consular officers the right to employ diplomatic or consular couriers and diplomatic or consular bags, or to Governments, diplomatic missions and other consular posts the right to employ these means in communicating with consular posts headed by honorary consular officers, except to the extent that Sweden may have consented thereto in particular cases.

##### Declaration:

"With reference to article 22 of the Convention, the Swedish Government expresses the wish that in countries where it has been an established practice to allow nationals of the receiving State or of a third State to be appointed as Swedish honorary consuls, this will continue to be allowed as before. The Swedish Government also expresses the hope that countries with which Sweden establishes new consular relations will follow a similar practice and will give their consent to such appointments pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 22."

#### SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC<sup>8</sup>

(a) Accession of the Syrian Arab Republic to the said Convention and ratification thereof by its Government does not, in any way, imply recognition of Israel, nor shall they lead to any such dealings with the latter as are governed by the provisions of the Convention;

(b) The Syrian Arab Republic shall be under no obligation to apply article 49 of the Convention to local personnel employed by consulates or to exempt them from dues and taxes.

#### UNITED ARAB EMIRATES<sup>8</sup>

"The accession of the United Arab Emirates to this Convention shall in no way amount to recognition of nor the establishment of any treaty relation with Israel."

#### UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

##### Upon signature:

"The United Kingdom will interpret the exemption accorded to members of a consular post by paragraph 3 of article 44 from liability to give

evidence concerning matters connected with the exercise of their functions as relating only to acts in respect of which consular officers and consular employees enjoy immunity from the jurisdiction of the judicial or administrative authorities of the receiving State in accordance with the provisions of article 43 of the Convention."

Declaration made upon ratification:

"... The United Kingdom hereby confirms its declaration in respect of paragraph 3 of article 44 of the Convention made at the time of signature, and further declares that it will interpret Chapter II of the Convention as applying to all career consular employees, including those employed at a consular post headed by an honorary consular officer."

YEMEN

1. The accession of the Yemen Arab Republic to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, done at Vienna on 24 April 1963, in no way implies recognition of Israel and shall not entail the entry of the Yemen Arab Republic with Israel into

any of the relations governed by this Convention.  
2. The Yemen Arab Republic understands the words "members of their families forming part of their households" in article 46, paragraph 1, and article 49 as being restricted to members of the consular posts and their wives and minor children for the purpose of the privileges and immunities enjoyed by them

3. Where there are serious and strong grounds for believing that the consular bag contains articles or substances not mentioned in article 35, paragraph 4, of the Convention, the Yemen Arab Republic reserves its right to request that the bag be opened in the presence of a representative of the consular mission concerned. If the consulate refuses to comply with this request, the bag shall be returned to its place of origin.

4. The Yemen Arab Republic shall have the right to inspect foodstuffs imported by consular representatives in order to ascertain that they conform in quantity and in kind to the list submitted by them to the customs authorities and the Office of Protocol at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs for the purpose of obtaining approval for their importation exempt from customs duties.

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

DENMARK

"The Government of Denmark objects to the reservations made by the Arab Republic of Egypt to paragraph 1 of article 46 and to articles 49, 62 and 65 of the Convention and to the reservation made by Italy to paragraph 1(c) of article 36 of the Convention."

FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic does not regard as valid the reservations to articles 46, 49, 62 and 65 of the Convention made by the Government of the United Arab Republic. This declaration shall not be regarded as an obstacle to the entry into force of the Convention between the French Republic and the United Arab Republic.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany does not regard as valid the reservations to articles 46, 49, 62 and 65 of the Convention made by the Government of the United Arab Republic

"This declaration shall not be regarded as an obstacle to the entry into force of the Convention between the Federal Republic of Germany and the United Arab Republic."

25 July 1977

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regards the reservations made by the Kingdom of Morocco in respect of articles 62 and 65 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations of 24 April 1963 as incompatible with the purpose and objective of the Convention.

This declaration shall, however, not be regarded

as an obstacle to the entry into force of the Convention between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Kingdom of Morocco.

LUXEMBOURG

The Government of Luxembourg is not in a position to accept the reservations formulated by the Government of Cuba regarding articles 74 and 76 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, done on 24 April 1963.

NETHERLANDS

1. The Kingdom of the Netherlands does not regard as valid the reservations to the articles 46, 49 and 62 of the Convention made by the United Arab Republic. This declaration should not be regarded as an obstacle to the entry into force of the Convention between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the United Arab Republic.

2. The Kingdom of the Netherlands does not regard as valid the reservation to article 62 of the Convention made by the Kingdom of Morocco. This declaration should not be regarded as an obstacle to the entry into force of the Convention between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Kingdom of Morocco.

5 December 1986

The Kingdom of the Netherlands accepts the reservation made by the Yemen Arab Republic concerning the articles 46, paragraph 1, and 49 of the Convention only in so far as it does not purport to exclude the husbands of female members of the consular posts from enjoying the same privileges and immunities under the present Convention.

NOTES:

1/ The Convention was signed on 24 April 1963 on behalf of the Republic of China. Upon accession, the Government of China made the following declaration:

"The Taiwan authorities' signature on this Convention in the name of China is illegal and null and void." [See note in this respect concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1)].

2/ With the following declaration:

"... The Convention and Optional Protocols shall also apply to Land Berlin with effect from the date on which they enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany, subject to the existing rights and responsibilities of the Powers responsible for Berlin including the right to decide on the admission of heads of consular missions in their sectors and to determine the extent of consular privileges and immunities."

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, a communication was received on 30 March 1972 from the Government of Czechoslovakia. The said communication is identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding one referred to in the second paragraph of note 2 in Chapter III.3.

3/ For the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles.

4/ See note 20 in chapter I.2. At the time of preparing this publication no indication had been received from the Government of the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam regarding its position with respect to a possible succession.

5/ In a communication accompanying the notification of succession, the Government of Tuvalu declared that it had decided not to succeed to the Optional Protocol to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, and that pursuant to Tuvalu's declaration, dated 19 December 1978, regarding treaties applied before independence, the application of the Optional Protocol to Tuvalu should be regarded as terminated as at 1 September 1982.

6/ In respect of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Associated States (Antigua, Dominica, Grenada, St Christopher-Nevis-Anguilla, St. Lucia and St Vincent) and territories under the territorial sovereignty of the United Kingdom, as well as the British Solomon Islands Protectorate.

7/ The instrument of ratification does not maintain the reservations made on behalf of the Government of Venezuela upon signature of the Convention. On depositing the said instrument, the Permanent Representative of Venezuela to the United Nations confirmed that those reservations

should be considered as withdrawn. For the text of the reservations in question, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 596, p. 452.

8/ In a communication received on 16 March 1966, the Government of Israel declared that it "has noted the political character of paragraph 1 of the declaration made by the Government of the United Arab Republic (see also note 3 in chapter I.1 and note 8 below). In the view of the Government of Israel, the Convention and Protocol are not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of the United Arab Republic an attitude of complete reciprocity."

Identical communications, in essence, mutatis mutandis, have been received by the Secretary-General from the Government of Israel on 16 March 1970 in respect of the declaration made upon accession by Iraq; on 12 May 1977 in respect of the declaration made upon accession by the United Arab Emirates, and on 11 May 1979 in respect of the declaration made upon accession by the Syrian Arab Republic.

9/ In a notification received on 18 January 1980, the Government of Egypt informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw the reservation relating to Israel. The notification indicates 25 January 1980 as the effective date of the withdrawal. For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 596, p. 456.

10/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 4 April 1977, the Government of Morocco declared that 'the reservation concerning Israel constituted a declaration of general policy which did not affect the legal effects of the provisions of the said Convention as far as their application in respect of the Kingdom of Morocco was concerned'.

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 12 May 1977 the Government of Israel made the following declaration:

"The instrument deposited by the Government of Morocco contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements which are, moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That pronouncement by the Government of Morocco cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Morocco under general international law or under particular treaties.

"The Government of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Morocco an attitude of complete reciprocity."

III.7: Consular relations

7. OPTIONAL PROTOCOL TO THE VIENNA CONVENTION ON CONSULAR RELATIONS CONCERNING ACQUISITION OF NATIONALITY

Done at Vienna on 24 April 1963

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 19 March 1967, in accordance with article VI.  
REGISTRATION: 8 June 1967, No. 8639.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 596, p. 469.

Note: See Note in in chapter III.6.

Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)	Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
Belgium . . . . .		9 Sep 1970 <u>a</u>	Liberia . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	
Brazil . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Madagascar . . . . .		17 Feb 1967 <u>a</u>
Cameroon . . . . .	21 Aug 1963		Malawi . . . . .		23 Feb 1981 <u>a</u>
China <sup>1</sup>			Morocco . . . . .		23 Feb 1977 <u>a</u>
Colombia . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Nepal . . . . .		28 Sep 1965 <u>a</u>
Congo . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Netherlands . . . . .		17 Dec 1985 <u>a</u> <sup>4</sup>
Denmark . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	15 Nov 1972	Niger . . . . .		21 Jun 1978 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	4 Mar 1964	Norway . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	13 Feb 1980
Egypt . . . . .		21 Jun 1965 <u>a</u>	Oman . . . . .		31 May 1974 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .	28 Oct 1963	2 Jul 1980	Panama . . . . .	4 Dec 1963	28 Aug 1967
Gabon . . . . .		23 Feb 1965 <u>a</u>	Paraguay . . . . .		23 Dec 1969 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	31 Oct 1963	7 Sep 1971	Philippines . . . . .		15 Nov 1965 <u>a</u>
Ghana . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	4 Oct 1963	Poland . . . . .		13 Oct 1981
Iceland . . . . .		1 Jun 1978 <u>a</u>	Republic of Korea [Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>5</sup> . . . . .		7 Mar 1977 <u>a</u>
India . . . . .		28 Nov 1977 <u>a</u>	Senegal . . . . .		10 May 1973 <u>a</u>
Indonesia . . . . .		4 Jun 1982 <u>a</u>	Suriname . . . . .		29 Apr 1966 <u>a</u>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .		5 Jun 1975 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	8 Oct 1963	11 Sep 1980 <u>a</u>
Iraq <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		14 Jan 1970 <u>a</u>	Tunisia . . . . .		19 Mar 1974
Italy . . . . .	27 Nov 1963	25 Jun 1969	Tunisia . . . . .		24 Jan 1968 <u>a</u>
Kenya . . . . .		1 Jul 1965 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	
Kuwait . . . . .	10 Jan 1964		Zaire . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		9 Aug 1973 <u>a</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

NETHERLANDS

The Kingdom of the Netherlands interprets the words "not, solely by the operation of the law of the receiving State" in article II of the Option-

al Protocol concerning Acquisition of Nationality as meaning that acquisition of nationality by descent is not regarded as acquisition of nationality solely by the operation of this law.

NOTES:

1/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 24 April 1963. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

2/ See note 2 in chapter III.6.

3/ See chapter III.6 for the text of the reservation contained in the instrument of accession

by the Government of Iraq to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations and to this Protocol and note 8 in the same chapter for the communication received in this regard by the Government of Israel.

4/ For the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles.

5/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

III.8: Consular relations

8. OPTIONAL PROTOCOL TO THE VIENNA CONVENTION ON CONSULAR RELATIONS CONCERNING THE COMPULSORY SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

Done at Vienna on 24 April 1963

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 19 March 1967, in accordance with article VIII.  
REGISTRATION: 8 June 1967, No. 8640.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 596, p. 487.

Note: See note in the same place in chapter III.6.

Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)	Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
Argentina . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Lebanon . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	
Australia . . . . .		12 Feb 1973 <sup>a</sup>	Liberia . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	
Austria . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	12 Jun 1969	Liechtenstein . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	18 May 1966
Belgium . . . . .	31 Mar 1964	9 Sep 1970	Luxembourg . . . . .	24 Mar 1964	8 Mar 1972
Benin . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Madagascar . . . . .		17 Feb 1967 <sup>a</sup>
Burkina Faso . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	11 Aug 1964	Malawi . . . . .		23 Feb 1981 <sup>a</sup>
Cameroon . . . . .	21 Aug 1963		Mauritius . . . . .		13 May 1970 <sup>a</sup>
Central African Republic . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Nepal . . . . .		28 Sep 1965 <sup>a</sup>
Chile . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Netherlands . . . . .		17 Dec 1985 <sup>a3</sup>
China <sup>1</sup> . . . . .			New Zealand . . . . .		10 Sep 1974
Colombia . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Niger . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	21 Jun 1978
Congo . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Norway . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	13 Feb 1980
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Oman . . . . .		31 May 1974 <sup>a</sup>
Denmark . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	15 Nov 1972	Pakistan . . . . .		29 Mar 1976 <sup>a</sup>
Dominican Republic . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	4 Mar 1964	Panama . . . . .	4 Dec 1963	28 Aug 1967
Finland . . . . .	28 Oct 1963	2 Jul 1980	Paraguay . . . . .		23 Dec 1969 <sup>a</sup>
France . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	31 Dec 1970	Peru . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	
Gabon . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	23 Feb 1965	Philippines . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	15 Nov 1965
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	31 Oct 1963	7 Sep 1971	Republic of Korea [Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		7 Mar 1977 <sup>a</sup>
Ghana . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Senegal . . . . .		10 May 1973 <sup>a</sup>
Iceland . . . . .		1 Jun 1978 <sup>a</sup>	Seychelles . . . . .		29 Apr 1966 <sup>a</sup>
India . . . . .		28 Nov 1977 <sup>a</sup>	Suriname . . . . .		29 May 1979 <sup>a</sup>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .		5 Jun 1975 <sup>a</sup>	Sweden . . . . .	8 Oct 1963	11 Sep 1980 <sup>a</sup>
Ireland . . . . .	24 Apr 1963		Switzerland . . . . .	23 Oct 1963	19 Mar 1974
Italy . . . . .	22 Nov 1963	25 Jun 1969	United Kingdom . . . . .	27 Mar 1964	3 May 1965
Japan . . . . .		3 Oct 1983 <sup>a</sup>	United States of America . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	24 Nov 1969
Kenya . . . . .		1 Jul 1965 <sup>a</sup>	Uruguay . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	
Kuwait . . . . .	10 Jan 1964		Yugoslavia . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	9 Aug 1973		Zaire . . . . .	24 Apr 1963	

NOTES:

1/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 24 April 1963. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

2/ See note 2 in chapter III.6. In a communication deposited on 24 January 1972 with the Registrar of the International Court of Justice, who transmitted it to the Secretary-General pursuant to operative paragraph 3 of Security Council resolution 9 (1946) of 15 October 1946, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated as follows:

"On behalf of the Federal Republic of Germany and with reference to the resolution adopted

by the United Nations Security Council on 15 October 1946, I have the honour to make the following declaration:

"In respect of any dispute between the Federal Republic of Germany and any Party to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations of 24 April 1963 and to the Optional Protocol thereto concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes that may arise within the scope of that Protocol, the Federal Republic of Germany accepts the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice. This declaration also applies to such disputes as may arise, within the scope of article IV of the Optional Protocol concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes, in connexion with the Optional Protocol concerning Acquisition of Nationality.



"It is in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations and with the terms and subject to the conditions of the Statute and Rules of the International Court of Justice that the jurisdiction of the Court is hereby recognized.

"The Federal Republic of Germany undertakes to comply in good faith with the decisions of the Court and to accept all the obligations of a Member of the United Nations under Article 94 of the Charter."

3/ For the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles.

4/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

5/ In respect of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Associated States (Antigua, Dominica, Grenada, St. Christopher-Nevis-Anguilla, St. Lucia and St. Vincent) and territories under the territorial sovereignty of the United Kingdom, as well as the British Solomon Islands Protectorate.

III.9: Special missions

9. CONVENTION ON SPECIAL MISSIONS

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 8 December 1969

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1985, in accordance with article 53(1).  
 REGISTRATION: 21 June 1985 <sup>1022421V</sup>  
 TEXT: Annex to General Assembly resolution 2530 (XXIV)<sup>1</sup> of 8 December 1969.

Note: The Convention was opened for signature at New York on 16 December 1969.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	18 Dec 1969	13 Oct 1972	Liechtenstein . . .	15 Dec 1970	3 Aug 1977
Austria . . . . .		22 Aug 1978 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .		31 Jan 1979 <u>a</u>
Chile . . . . .		19 Oct 1979 <u>a</u>	Nicaragua . . . . .	18 Sep 1970	
China <sup>2</sup>			Paraguay . . . . .		19 Sep 1975 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .		9 Jun 1976 <u>a</u>	Philippines . . . .	16 Dec 1969	26 Nov 1976
Cyprus . . . . .	18 Sep 1970	24 Jan 1972	Poland . . . . .		22 Mar 1977 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . .		1 Oct 1976 <u>a</u>	Rwanda . . . . .		29 Nov 1977 <u>a</u>
Democratic People's Republic of Korea		22 May 1985 <u>a</u>	Seychelles . . . . .		28 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>
El Salvador . . . . .	18 Dec 1970		Switzerland . . . .	31 Jul 1970	3 Nov 1977
Fiji . . . . .		18 Oct 1972 <u>a</u>	Tonga . . . . .		18 Jan 1977 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .	28 Dec 1970		Tunisia . . . . .	19 Aug 1970	2 Nov 1971
Indonesia . . . . .		4 Jun 1982 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . .	17 Dec 1970	
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .		5 Jun 1975 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .		17 Dec 1980 <u>a</u>
Israel . . . . .	9 Nov 1970		Yugoslavia . . . . .	18 Dec 1969	5 Mar 1974
Jamaica . . . . .	18 Dec 1969				

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

CUBA

Reservation

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba enters an express reservation with regard to the third sentence of paragraph 1 of article 25 of the Convention, and consequently does not accept the assumption of consent to enter the premises of the special mission for any of the reasons mentioned in that paragraph or for any other reasons.

Declaration

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba considers the provisions of articles 50 and 52 of the Convention to be discriminatory in

nature because, whereas the Convention deals with matters affecting the interests of all States, the said provisions deny a number of States the right to sign and accede to the Convention, a situation which is contrary to the principle of the sovereign equality of States.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic considers the articles 50 and 52 of the Convention to be in contradiction to the principle of international law of sovereign equality of States and to the right of all States to become Parties of the international multilateral treaties dealing with matters of general interest."

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 30 (A/7630), p. 99.

2/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 28 December 1970. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

III.10: Special Missions

10. OPTIONAL PROTOCOL TO THE CONVENTION ON SPECIAL MISSIONS CONCERNING THE  
COMPULSORY SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 8 December 1969

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1985, in accordance with article VII, (1).  
REGISTRATION: 21 June 1985/NG 2:4  
TEXT: Annex to General Assembly resolution 2530 (XXIV)<sup>1</sup> of 8 December 1969.

Note: The Protocol was opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, on 16 December 1969.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .		22 Aug 1978 a	Liechtenstein . .	15 Dec 1970	3 Aug 1977
China <sup>2</sup>			Paraguay . . . . .		19 Sep 1975 a
Cyprus . . . . .	31 Dec 1970	24 Jan 1972	Philippines . . .	16 Dec 1969	26 Nov 1976
El Salvador . . . .	18 Dec 1970		Seychelles . . . .		28 Dec 1977 a
Finland . . . . .	28 Dec 1970		Switzerland . . .	31 Jul 1970	3 Nov 1977
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . .		5 Jun 1975 a	United Kingdom . .	17 Dec 1970	
Jamaica . . . . .	1 Jul 1970		Uruguay . . . . .		17 Dec 1980 a
			Yugoslavia . . . .	18 Dec 1969	5 Mar 1974

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 30 (A/7630), p. 99.

2/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 28 December 1970. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

11. CONVENTION ON THE PREVENTION AND PUNISHMENT OF CRIMES AGAINST INTERNATIONALLY PROTECTED PERSONS, INCLUDING DIPLOMATIC AGENTS

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 14 December 1973

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 February 1977, in accordance with article 17 (1).  
REGISTRATION: 20 February 1977, No. 15410.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1035, p. 167.

Note: The Convention was opened for signature at New York on 14 December 1973.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Argentina . . . . .		18 Mar 1982 <u>a</u>	Jamaica . . . . .		21 Sep 1978 <u>a</u>
Australia . . . . .	30 Dec 1974	20 Jun 1977	Jordan . . . . .		18 Dec 1984 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .		3 Aug 1977 <u>a</u>	Liberia . . . . .		30 Sep 1975 <u>a</u>
Bahamas . . . . .		22 Jul 1986 <u>a</u>	Malawi . . . . .		14 Mar 1977 <u>a</u>
Barbados . . . . .		26 Oct 1979 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .		22 Apr 1980 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .	27 Jun 1974	18 Jul 1974	Mongolia . . . . .	23 Aug 1974	8 Aug 1975
Burundi . . . . .		17 Dec 1980 <u>a</u>	New Zealand . . . . .		12 Nov 1985 <u>a</u> <sup>3</sup>
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	11 Jun 1974	5 Feb 1976	Nicaragua . . . . .	29 Oct 1974	10 Mar 1975
Canada . . . . .	26 Jun 1974	4 Aug 1976	Niger . . . . .		17 Jun 1985 <u>a</u>
Chile . . . . .		21 Jan 1977 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .	10 May 1974	28 Apr 1980
Costa Rica . . . . .		2 Nov 1977 <u>a</u>	Pakistan . . . . .		29 Mar 1976 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		24 Dec 1975 <u>a</u>	Panama . . . . .		17 Jun 1980 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	11 Oct 1974	30 Jun 1975	Paraguay . . . . .	25 Oct 1974	24 Nov 1975
Denmark . . . . .	10 May 1974	1 Jul 1975 <sup>1</sup>	Peru . . . . .		25 Apr 1978 <u>a</u>
Democratic People's Republic of Korea		1 Dec 1982 <u>a</u>	Philippines . . . . .		26 Nov 1976 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic		8 Jul 1977 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .	7 Jun 1974	14 Dec 1982
Ecuador . . . . .	27 Aug 1974	12 Mar 1975	Republic of Korea . . . . .		25 May 1983 <u>a</u>
Egypt . . . . .		25 Jun 1986 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .	27 Dec 1974	15 Aug 1978
El Salvador . . . . .		8 Aug 1980 <u>a</u>	Rwanda . . . . .	15 Oct 1974	29 Nov 1977
Finland . . . . .	10 May 1974	31 Oct 1978	Seychelles . . . . .		29 May 1980 <u>a</u>
Gabon . . . . .		14 Oct 1981 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		8 Aug 1985 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	23 May 1974	30 Nov 1976	Sweden . . . . .	10 May 1974	1 Jul 1975
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	15 Aug 1974	25 Jan 1977	Switzerland . . . . .		5 Mar 1985 <u>a</u>
Ghana . . . . .		25 Apr 1975 <u>a</u>	Togo . . . . .		30 Dec 1980 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .		3 Jul 1984 <u>a</u>	Trinidad and Tobago		15 Jun 1979 <u>a</u>
Guatemala . . . . .	12 Dec 1974	18 Jan 1983	Tunisia . . . . .	15 May 1974	21 Jan 1977
Haiti . . . . .		25 Aug 1980 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .		11 Jun 1981 <u>a</u>
Hungary . . . . .	6 Nov 1974	26 Mar 1975	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	18 Jun 1974	20 Jan 1976
Iceland . . . . .	10 May 1974	2 Aug 1977	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	7 Jun 1974	15 Jan 1976
India . . . . .		11 Apr 1978 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	13 Dec 1974	2 May 1979
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .		12 Jul 1978 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .	28 Dec 1973	26 Oct 1976
Iraq . . . . .		28 Feb 1978 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .		13 Jun 1978 <u>a</u>
Israel . . . . .		31 Jul 1980 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	17 Dec 1974	29 Dec 1976
Italy . . . . .	30 Dec 1974	30 Aug 1985	Zaire . . . . .		25 Jul 1977 <u>a</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession. For objections thereto, see hereinafter.)

ARGENTINA

In accordance with article 13, paragraph 2, of the Convention, the Argentine Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention.

BULGARIA

Declaration made upon signature and renewed upon ratification:  
Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention, under which any dispute between two

or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for submission of the dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice

BURUNDI

In respect of cases where the alleged offenders belong to a national liberation movement recognized by Burundi or by an international organization of which Burundi is a member, and their actions are part of their struggle for liberation, the Government of the Republic of Burundi reserves the right not to apply to them the provisions of article 2, paragraph 2, and article 6, paragraph 1.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for submission of the dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Upon signature:

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention and declares that, in conformity with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for submission of the dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice."

Upon ratification:

"[Czechoslovakia] does not feel itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention."

DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Reservation:

The Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention, recognizing that any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention should not, without consent of both parties, be submitted to international arbitration and to the International Court of Justice.

ECUADOR

Upon signature:

Ecuador wishes to avail itself of the provisions of article 13, paragraph 2, of the Convention, declaring that it does not consider itself bound to refer disputes concerning the application of the Convention to the International Court of Justice.

EL SALVADOR

The State of El Salvador does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of article 13 of the Convention.

FINLAND

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"Finland reserves the right to apply the provision of article 8, paragraph 3, in such a way that extradition shall be restricted to offences which, under Finnish Law, are punishable by a penalty more severe than imprisonment for one year and, provided also that other conditions in the Finnish Legislation for extradition are fulfilled.

Declaration made upon signature:

"Finland also reserves the right to make such other reservations as it may deem appropriate if and when ratifying this Convention."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Declaration made upon signature and renewed upon ratification:

The German Democratic Republic does not regard itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, and reaffirms its view that in conformity with the principle of the sovereign equality of States the approval of all parties to any dispute is required in order to subject a certain dispute to arbitration or to submit it for decision to the International Court of Justice.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Upon signature:

" . . . The Federal Republic of Germany reserves the right, upon ratifying this Convention, to state its views on the explanations of vote and declarations made by other States upon signing or ratifying or acceding to that Convention and to make reservations regarding certain provisions of the said Convention."

GHANA

(i)<sup>4</sup>

(ii) Paragraph 1. of article 13 of the Convention provides that disputes may be submitted to arbitration, failing which any of the parties to the dispute may refer it to the International Court of Justice by request. Since Ghana is opposed to any form of compulsory arbitration, she wishes to exercise her option under article 13 (2) to make a reservation on article 13 (1). It

is noted that such a reservation can be withdrawn later under article 13 (3)."

HUNGARY

Declaration made upon signature and renewed upon ratification

"The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention. These provisions are at variance with the position of the Hungarian People's Republic according to which for the submission of disputes between States to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice the consent of all of the interested parties is required."

INDIA

"The Government of the Republic of India does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of article 13 which establishes compulsory arbitration or adjudication by the International Court of Justice concerning disputes between two or more States Parties relating to the interpretation or application of this Convention."

IRAQ<sup>5</sup>

(1) The resolution of the United Nations General Assembly with which the above-mentioned Convention is enclosed shall be considered to be an integral part of the above-mentioned Convention.

(2) Sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph (1) of article 1 of the Convention shall cover the representatives of the national liberation movements recognized by the League of Arab States or the Organization of African Unity.

(3) The Republic of Iraq shall not bind itself by paragraph (1) of article 13 of the Convention.

(4) The accession of the Government of the Republic of Iraq to the Convention shall in no way constitute a recognition of Israel or a cause for the establishment of any relations of any kind therewith.

ISRAEL

Declarations:

"The Government of the State of Israel declares that its accession to the Convention does not constitute acceptance by it as binding of the provisions of any other international instrument, or acceptance by it of any other international instrument as being an instrument related to the Convention

The Government of Israel reaffirms the contents of its communication of 11 May 1979 to the Secretary-General of the United Nations."<sup>6</sup>

Reservation

"The State of Israel does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of article 13 of the Convention."

JAMAICA

"Jamaica avails itself of the provisions of article 13, paragraph 2, and declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraph 1 of this article under which any

dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or referred to the International Court of Justice, and states that in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for the submission of the dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice."

JORDAN<sup>7</sup>

Reservation:

The Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan declares that its accession [ . ] cannot give rise to relations with "Israel".

MALAWI

"The Government of the Republic of Malawi [declares], in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 2 of article 13, that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 13 of the Convention."

MONGOLIA

Declaration made upon signature and renewed upon ratification

"The Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for submission of the dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice "

NEW ZEALAND

Reservation:

The Government of New Zealand reserves the right not to apply the provisions of the Convention to Tokelau pending the enactment of the necessary implementing legislation in Tokelau law.

PAKISTAN

"Pakistan shall not be bound by paragraph 1 of article 13 of the Convention"

PERU

With reservation as to article 13(1).

POLAND

Reservation:

The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention

ROMANIA

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Socialist Republic of Romania declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention which is not settled by negotiation shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or referred to the International Court of Justice.

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that such disputes may be submitted to arbitration or referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

SWITZERLAND

Declaration:

The Swiss Federal Council interprets article 4 and article 5, paragraph 1, of the Convention to mean that Switzerland undertakes to fulfill the obligations contained therein in the conditions specified by its domestic legislation.

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

"The Republic of Trinidad and Tobago avails itself of the provisions of article 13, paragraph 2, and declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraph 1 of that article under which any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or referred to the International Court of Justice, and states that in each individual case, the consent of all Parties to such a dispute is necessary for the submission of the dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice."

TUNISIA

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

No dispute may be brought before the International Court of Justice unless by agreement between all parties to the dispute.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for submission of the dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for submission of the dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice.

ZAIRE

The Republic of Zaire does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraph 1, of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention which is not settled by negotiation shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or referred to the International Court of Justice. In the light of its policy based on respect for the sovereignty of States, the Republic of Zaire is opposed to any form of compulsory arbitration and hopes that such disputes may be submitted to arbitration or referred to the International Court of Justice not at the request of one of the parties but with the consent of all the interested parties.

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification or accession.)

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

30 November 1979

The statement by the Republic of Iraq on subparagraph (b) of paragraph (1) of article 1 of the Convention does not have any legal effects for the Federal Republic of Germany.

25 March 1981

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany considers the reservation made by the Government of the Republic of Burundi concerning

article 2, paragraph 2, and article 6, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crimes against Internationally Protected Persons, including Diplomatic Agents, to be incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention

ISRAEL

"The Government of the State of Israel does not regard as valid the reservation made by Iraq in

III.11: Protection of diplomatic agents

respect of paragraph (1) (b) of article 1 of the said Convention.

28 June 1982

"The Government of the State of Israel regards the reservation entered by the Government of Burundi as incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention and is unable to consider Burundi as having validly acceded to the Convention until such time as the reservation is withdrawn.

In the view of the Government of Israel, the purpose of this Convention was to secure the world-wide repression of crimes against internationally protected persons, including diplomatic agents, and to deny the perpetrators of such crimes a safe haven."

ITALY

(a) The Italian Government does not consider as valid the reservation made by Iraq on 28 February 1978 with regard to article 1, paragraph 1(b), of the said Convention;

(b) With regard to the reservation expressed by Burundi on 17 December 1980, [the Italian Government considers that] the purpose of the Convention is to ensure the punishment, world-wide, of crimes against internationally protected persons, including diplomatic agents, and to deny a safe haven to the perpetrators of such crimes. Consi-

dering therefore that the reservation expressed by the Government of Burundi is incompatible with the aim and purpose of the Convention, the Italian Government cannot consider Burundi's accession to the Convention as valid as long as it does not withdraw that reservation.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND  
NORTHERN IRELAND

2 May 1979

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland do not regard as valid the reservation made by Iraq in respect of paragraph (1) (b) of article 1 of the said Convention."

15 January 1982

"The purpose of this Convention was to secure the world-wide repression of crimes against internationally protected persons, including diplomatic agents, and to deny the perpetrators of such crimes a safe haven. Accordingly the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland regard the reservation entered by the Government of Burundi as incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention, and are unable to consider Burundi as having validly acceded to the Convention until such time as the reservation is withdrawn."

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	2 May 1979	Bailiwick of Jersey, Bailiwick of Guernsey, Isle of Man, Belize <sup>8</sup> , Bermuda, British Antarctic Territory, British Indian Ocean Territory, British Virgin Islands, Cayman Islands, Falkland Islands <sup>9</sup> and Dependencies, Gibraltar, Gilbert Islands, Hong Kong, Montserrat, the Pitcairn, Henderson, Ducie and Oeno Islands, Saint Helena and Dependencies, Turks and Caicos Islands, United Kingdom Sovereign Base Areas of Akrotiri and Dhekelia in the Island of Cyprus, Islands and Dependencies, Gibraltar, Gilbert Islands, Hong Kong, Montserrat, the Pitcairn, Henderson, Ducie and Oeno Islands, Saint Helena and Dependencies, Turks and Caicos Islands, United Kingdom Sovereign Base Areas of Akrotiri and Dhekelia in the Island of Cyprus

NOTES:

1/ In a notification received on 12 March 1980, the Government of Denmark informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw the reservation made upon ratification of the Convention, which specified that until further decision, the Convention would not apply to the Faeroe Islands or to Greenland.

The notification indicates 1 April 1980 as the effective date of withdrawal.

2/ In a communication accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared as follows.

With effect from the day on which the Convention enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany it will also apply to Berlin (West) subject to the rights and responsibilities of the Allied authorities.

With respect to the above declaration, the Secretary-General received the following communications:



Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (21 July 1977):

The declaration made by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany when it deposited the instrument of ratification concerning the application of the Convention to Berlin (West) is incompatible with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and can therefore have no legal force. The Quadripartite Agreement, as is well known, does not allow the Federal Republic of Germany to represent the interests of Berlin in matters of status and security in the international arena. The above-mentioned Convention directly affects matters of status and security. It therefore follows that the Federal Republic of Germany cannot assume the rights and obligations of ensuring the observance of the provisions of this Convention in Berlin (West).

Since under the Quadripartite Agreement the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States retain their rights and responsibility with respect to the representation abroad of interests of Berlin (West) and its permanent residents, including rights and responsibility concerning matters of security and status, both in international organizations and in relations with other countries, the Soviet Union will, in any matters which may arise in connexion with the application and implementation of the Convention in Berlin (West), address itself to the authorities of France, the United Kingdom and the United States.

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (7 December 1977--in relation to the declaration made by the Soviet Union received on 21 July 1977):

"We have the honour to refer to the Note from the Director of the General Legal Division in charge of the Office of Legal Affairs No. C.N.-228.1977.TREATIES-6, dated 10 August 1977 concerning the ratification by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany with declaration, of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crimes Against Internationally Protected Persons, Including Diplomatic Agents, and in particular to refer to paragraph 2 of that note which reported a communication made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics relating to the application of that Convention to the Western Sectors of Berlin.

"In a communication to the Government of the USSR which is an integral part (Annex IV A) of the Quadripartite Agreement of September 3, 1971, the Governments of France the US and the UK confirmed that, provided matters of security and status are not affected and provided that extension is specified in each case, international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin in accordance with established procedures. For its part, the Government of the USSR, in a communication to the Government of France, the UK and the US, which is similarly an integral part (Annex IV B) of the Quadripartite Agreement of September 3, 1971, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such an extension.

"The established procedures referred to above, which were endorsed in the Quadripartite Agreement, are designed inter alia to afford the authorities of France, the UK and the US the opportunity to ensure that international agreements concluded by the FRG which are to be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin are extended in such a way that matters of security and status remain unaffected. The extension of the aforesaid Convention to the Western Sectors of Berlin received the authorization, under these established procedures, of the authorities of France, the United Kingdom and the United States who took the necessary steps to ensure that matters of security and status would not be affected thereby. Consequently, pursuant to the declaration on Berlin made by the FRG, this Convention has been validly extended to the WSB. Accordingly, the application of this Convention to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect."

Federal Republic of Germany (13 February 1978):

"By their Note of 3 December 1977, disseminated by Circular Note C.N.393.1977.TREATIES-11 of 19 January 1978, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the communication [of 21 July 1977] referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the Note of the Three Powers, wishes to confirm that, subject to the rights and responsibilities of the Three Powers, the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned instrument extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect.

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter."

German Democratic Republic (22 December 1978):

Concerning the application of the Convention to Berlin (West), the German Democratic Republic states, in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, that Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and is not to be governed by it. The statement of the Federal Republic of Germany, according to which this Convention is to be extended to Berlin (West), is inconsistent with the Quadripartite Agreement which stipulates that agreements concerning matters of security and the status of Berlin (West) must not be extended by the Federal Republic of Germany to Berlin (West). Accordingly, the statement made by the Federal Republic of Germany can have no legal effects

Czechoslovakia (25 April 1979):

"According to the Quadripartite Agreement of September 3, 1971, the Federal Republic of Germany cannot extend international conventions to Berlin (West) if the conventions in question relate to matters of security and the status of Berlin (West). Since the above-mentioned multi-lateral international Convention leaves no doubt as to its direct relation to the matters of security and the status of Berlin (West) there is no legal ground for its extension to

Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany.

"In view of all these facts the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic cannot accept the extension of the said Convention to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany, is not in a position to regard the extension as legally valid and cannot attach to it any legal effects."

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (21 August 1979--relating to the communications from the German Democratic Republic and Czechoslovakia received on 22 December 1978 and 25 April 1979, respectively):

"With regard to the communications referred to above, our Governments reaffirm that States which are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement are not competent to comment authoritatively on its provisions.

"The three Governments do not consider it necessary, nor do they intend to respond to any further communications on this subject from States which are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement. This should not be taken to imply any change of the position of the three Governments in this matter."

Federal Republic of Germany (18 October 1979--relating to the communications from the German Democratic Republic and Czechoslovakia received on 22 December 1978 and 25 April 1979, respectively):

"By their Note of 20 August 1979, disseminated by Circular Note C.N.181.1979, TREATIES-6 of 21 August 1979, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States rejected the assertions made in the communications referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation, wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned Convention extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect.

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter."

Hungary (27 November 1979):

Communication identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the one of 25 April 1979 by Czechoslovakia.

Czechoslovakia (25 January 1980):

"The Czechoslovak side continues to hold the view that also States that are not signatories of the Four-Power Agreement of 3 September 1971 must proceed from the criteria set forth by the Four-Power Agreement, since no other criteria exist. We furthermore believe that it is the inalienable right of every State to adjudge its treaty relations from its own will. The exercise of such a right even by a non-signatory State cannot be hindered by third State parties."

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (18 February 1982--relating to the declaration made by Czechoslovakia on 25 January 1980):

"With regard to the communication of the Government of Czechoslovakia referred to above,

our Governments reaffirm their position as stated in their note of 21 August 1979 to the Secretary-General in connexion with this Convention. The Quadripartite Agreement is an international treaty concluded between the four contracting parties and not open to participation by any other State. In concluding this Agreement, the four powers acted on the basis of their quadripartite rights and responsibilities, and of the corresponding war-time and post-war agreements and decisions of the four powers, which are not affected. The Quadripartite Agreement is a part of conventional, not customary international law. Accordingly, Czechoslovakia, as a third State not a party to the Quadripartite Agreement, has no right whatsoever to comment authoritatively on it."

Federal Republic of Germany (2 April 1982--relating to the declaration made by Czechoslovakia on 25 January 1980):

"By their note of 18 February 1982, disseminated as Depository Notification C.N.56.1982.-TREATIES-2 of 12 March 1982, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertion made in the communication referred to in depository notification [. . .] of 27 February 1980. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the note of 18 February 1982, wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned Convention extended by it under the established procedure continues in full force and effect.

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter."

3/ The instrument of accession specifies that the Convention will also apply to the Cook Islands and Niue.

4/ In a notification received on 18 November 1976, the Government of Ghana informed the Secretary-General that it has decided to withdraw the reservation as contained in its instrument of accession, concerning article 3 (1)(c) of the said Convention. For the text of the reservation see United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 1035, p. 235.

5/ The Secretary-General received on 11 May 1979 from the Government of Israel the following communication.

"The instrument deposited by the Government of Iraq contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are, moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That pronouncement by the Government of Iraq cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon it under general international law or under particular treaties.

"The Government of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt

towards the Government of Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity."

6/ The communication concerns the reservation made by Iraq upon accession to the Convention, and was circulated by letter C.N.105.1979.-TREATIES-3 of 24 May 1979 (see note 5).

7/ The Secretary-General received on 11 March 1985 from the Government of Israel the following communication:

"The Government of the State of Israel has noted that the Instrument of Accession deposited by the Government of Jordan contains a declaration of a political character in respect of Israel. In the view of the Government of the State of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are in flagrant contradiction to the principles and purposes of the Convention. Moreover, this declaration by the Government of Jordan cannot, in any way, affect whatever obligations are binding upon Jordan under general international law or under particular conventions. The Government of the State of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Jordan an attitude of complete reciprocity."

8/ The Secretary-General received on 25 May 1979 from the Government of Guatemala the following communication:

The Government of Guatemala [does] not accept this provision, in view of the fact that the Territory of Belize is a territory concerning which a dispute exists and to which [Guatemala] maintains a claim that is the subject, by mutual agreement, of procedures for the peaceful settlement of disputes between the two Governments concerned.

In this respect, the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland in a communication received by the Secretary-General on 12 November 1979, stated the following:

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland have no doubt as to their sovereignty over Belize and do not accept the reservation submitted by the Government of Guatemala."

9/ On 3 October 1983, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Argentina the following objection:

[The Government of Argentina makes a] formal objection to the [declaration] of territorial extension issued by the United Kingdom with regard to the Malvinas Islands (and dependencies), which that country is illegally occupying and refers to as the "Falkland Islands".

The Argentine Republic rejects and considers null and void the [said declaration] of territorial extension.

In this regard, the Secretary-General received on 28 February 1985, from the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland the following declaration:

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland have no doubt as to their right, by notification to the Depositary under the relevant provisions of the above-mentioned Convention, to extend the application of the Convention in question to the Falkland Islands or to the Falkland Islands Dependencies, as the case may be.

For this reason alone, the Government of the United Kingdom are unable to regard the Argentine as having any legal effect."

12. VIENNA CONVENTION ON THE REPRESENTATION OF STATES IN THEIR RELATIONS WITH INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS OF A UNIVERSAL CHARACTER

Concluded at Vienna on 14 March 1975

Not yet in force (see article 89).  
TEXT: A/CONF.67/16.

Note: The Convention was adopted on 13 March 1975 by the United Nations Conference on the Representation of States in their Relations with International Organizations held at the Neue Hofburg in Vienna, Austria, from 4 February to 14 March 1975. The Convention was opened for signature at Vienna on 14 March 1975 at the Federal Ministry for Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Austria. After 30 September 1975 it remained open for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, the closing date for signature being 30 March 1976.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification. accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification. accession (a)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	7 Apr 1975	6 Mar 1981	Hungary . . . . .	12 Feb 1976	28 Jul 1978
Barbados . . . . .	29 Mar 1976	26 Nov 1979	Mongolia . . . . .	30 Oct 1975	14 Dec 1976
Brazil . . . . .	14 Mar 1975		Nigeria . . . . .	17 Dec 1975	
Bulgaria . . . . .	26 Nov 1975	23 Feb 1976	Panama . . . . .	12 Mar 1976	16 Mar 1977
Byelorussian SSR	13 Oct 1975	24 Aug 1978	Peru . . . . .	14 Mar 1975	
Cameroon . . . . .		23 Mar 1984 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .	10 Nov 1975	1 Nov 1979
Chile . . . . .	28 Nov 1975	22 Jul 1976	Rwanda . . . . .		29 Nov 1977 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .	30 Mar 1976	30 Apr 1981	Tunisia . . . . .		13 Oct 1977 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		14 Mar 1978 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .	30 Mar 1976	
Czechoslovakia . .	24 Feb 1976	30 Aug 1976	Ukrainian SSR . .	17 Oct 1975	25 Aug 1978
Democratic People's Republic of Korea . . . . .		14 Dec 1982 <u>a</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . .	10 Oct 1975	8 Aug 1978
Ecuador . . . . .	25 Aug 1975	6 Jan 1976	United Republic of Tanzania . . .	29 Mar 1976	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	15 Mar 1976	28 Jun 1977	Viet Nam . . . . .		26 Aug 1980 <u>a</u>
Guatemala . . . . .		14 Sep 1981 <u>a</u>	Yemen . . . . .	30 Mar 1976	
Holy See . . . . .	14 Mar 1975		Yugoslavia . . . .	14 Mar 1975	20 Sep 1977

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

**BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC**

In ratifying the 1975 Vienna Convention on the representation of States in their relations with international organizations of a universal character, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers it necessary to state that the principle of the full inviolability of the official premises of delegations to international conferences is a norm of customary international law which should be observed by all States.

**GUATEMALA**

Reservation:

The Republic of Guatemala, upon acceding to the Vienna Convention on the Representation of States in their Character, makes an express reservation with respect to article 84 and 85, which it does not accept as applying to article 77, paragraph 4, when, in its capacity as the host State, it disapproves of the conduct of one or more persons enjoying privileges and immunity under the Convention, in which case it shall

retain the right to take unilaterally, as a necessary measure for its own protection, the action of notifying the sending State at any time and without having to explain its decision that such person or persons are persona non grata in the country. The reservation concerning the non-applicability of articles 84 and 85 also refers to the right of the Republic of Guatemala to declare any person who, by virtue of the Convention, would enjoy privileges and immunity unacceptable before his arrival in its territory, without stating any reason.

**UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC**

In ratifying the Vienna Convention on the representation of States in their relations with international organizations of a universal character of 1975, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic is constrained to declare that the principle of total inviolability of working premises of delegations at international conferences is a rule of customary international law to which all States must adhere.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

In ratifying the 1975 Vienna Convention on the Representation of States in Their Relations with International Organizations of a Universal Character, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics deems it necessary to state that the principle of the absolute inviolability of the offices of delegations to international conferences is a rule of customary international law which must be observed by all States.

VIET NAM

Adhering to this Convention, the Government of the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam deems it necessary to stress that the absolute inviolability privilege accorded the offices and residences of the representations of member States at International Organizations has been established as a principle in the practice of international law and therefore must be strictly observed by all States.

13. VIENNA CONVENTION ON SUCCESSION OF STATES IN RESPECT  
OF STATE PROPERTY, ARCHIVES AND DEBTS

Concluded at Vienna on 8 April 1983

Not yet in force (see article 50 of the Convention).  
TEXTE: A/CONF.117/14, 7 April 1983.

Note: The Convention was adopted on 7 April 1983 and opened for signature on 8 April 1983 by the United Nations Conference on Succession of States in respect of State Property, Archives and Debts. The Conference was convened pursuant to General Assembly resolution 36/113 of 10 December 1981 [Official Records of the General Assembly, Thirty-sixth Session, Supplement No. 51 (A/36/51), p. 243] and 37/11 of 15 November 1982 [Official Records of the General Assembly, Thirty-seventh Session, Supplement No. 51 (A/37/51), p. 263]. The Conference met at the Neue Hofburg in Vienna from 1 March to 8 April 1983. In addition to the Convention, the Conference adopted the Final Act and certain resolutions, which are annexed to that Act. By unanimous decision of the Conference, the original of the Final Act was deposited in the archives of the Federal Ministry for Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Austria. For the text of the Final Act, see Conference document A/CONF./117/15 of 7 April 1983.

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Algeria . . . . .	16 May 1983		Niger . . . . .	23 May 1984	
Argentina . . . . .	30 Dec 1983		Peru . . . . .	10 Nov 1983	
Egypt . . . . .	30 Jun 1984		Yugoslavia . . . . .	24 Oct 1983	



CHAPTER IV. HUMAN RIGHTS<sup>1</sup>

1. CONVENTION ON THE PREVENTION AND PUNISHMENT OF THE CRIME OF GENOCIDE

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 9 December 1948<sup>2</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 12 January 1951, in accordance with article XIII.  
 REGISTRATION: 12 January 1951, No. 1021.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 78, p. 277.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .		22 Mar 1956 <u>a</u>	Ireland . . . . .		22 Jun 1976 <u>a</u>
Albania . . . . .		12 May 1955 <u>a</u>	Israel . . . . .	17 Aug 1949	9 Mar 1950
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963 <u>a</u>	Italy . . . . .		4 Jun 1952 <u>a</u>
Argentina . . . . .		5 Jun 1956 <u>a</u>	Jamaica . . . . .		23 Sep 1968 <u>a</u>
Australia . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	8 Jul 1949	Jordan . . . . .		3 Apr 1950 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .		19 Mar 1958 <u>a</u>	Lao People's Democratic Republic		8 Dec 1950 <u>a</u>
Bahamas . . . . .		5 Aug 1975 <u>d</u>	Lebanon . . . . .	30 Dec 1949	17 Dec 1953
Barbados . . . . .		14 Jan 1980 <u>a</u>	Lesotho . . . . .		29 Nov 1974 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .	12 Dec 1949	5 Sep 1951	Liberia . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	9 Jun 1950
Bolivia . . . . .	11 Dec 1948		Luxembourg . . . . .		7 Oct 1981 <u>a</u>
Brazil . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	15 Apr 1952	Maldives . . . . .		24 Apr 1984 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .		21 Jul 1950 <u>a</u>	Mali . . . . .		16 Jul 1974 <u>a</u>
Burkina Faso . . . . .		14 Sep 1965 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .	14 Dec 1948	22 Jul 1952
Burma . . . . .	30 Dec 1949	14 Mar 1956	Monaco . . . . .		30 Mar 1950 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	16 Dec 1949	11 Aug 1954	Mongolia . . . . .		5 Jan 1967 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .	28 Nov 1949	3 Sep 1952	Morocco . . . . .		24 Jan 1958 <u>a</u>
Chile . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	3 Jun 1953	Mozambique . . . . .		18 Apr 1983 <u>a</u>
China <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	20 Jul 1949	18 Apr 1983	Nepal . . . . .		17 Jan 1969 <u>a</u>
Colombia . . . . .	12 Aug 1949	27 Oct 1959	Netherlands . . . . .		20 Jun 1966 <u>a</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .		14 Oct 1950 <u>a</u>	New Zealand . . . . .	25 Nov 1949	28 Dec 1978
Cuba . . . . .	28 Dec 1949	4 Mar 1953	Nicaragua . . . . .		29 Jan 1952 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		29 Mar 1982 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	22 Jul 1949
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	28 Dec 1949	21 Dec 1950	Pakistan . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	12 Oct 1957
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		14 Oct 1950 <u>a</u>	Panama . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	11 Jan 1950
Denmark . . . . .	28 Sep 1949	15 Jun 1951	Papua New Guinea . . . . .		27 Jan 1982 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .	11 Dec 1948		Paraguay . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	
Ecuador . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	21 Dec 1949	Peru . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	24 Feb 1960
Egypt . . . . .	12 Dec 1948	8 Feb 1952	Philippines . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	7 Jul 1950
El Salvador . . . . .	27 Apr 1949	28 Sep 1950	Poland . . . . .		14 Nov 1950 <u>a</u>
Ethiopia . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	1 Jul 1949	Republic of Korea . . . . .		14 Oct 1950 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		11 Jan 1973 <u>d</u>	Romania . . . . .		2 Nov 1950 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		18 Dec 1959 <u>a</u>	Rwanda . . . . .		16 Apr 1975 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	14 Oct 1950	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines . . . . .		9 Nov 1981 <u>a</u>
Gabon . . . . .		21 Jan 1983 <u>a</u>	Saudi Arabia . . . . .		13 Jul 1950 <u>a</u>
Gambia . . . . .		29 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>	Senegal . . . . .		4 Aug 1983 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		27 Mar 1973 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		13 Sep 1968 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		24 Nov 1954 <u>a</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .		12 Oct 1950 <u>a</u>
Ghana . . . . .		24 Dec 1958 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	30 Dec 1949	27 May 1952
Greece . . . . .	29 Dec 1949	8 Dec 1954	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .		25 Jun 1955 <u>a</u>
Guatemala . . . . .	22 Jun 1949	13 Jan 1950	Togo . . . . .		24 May 1984 <u>a</u>
Haiti . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	14 Oct 1950	Tonga . . . . .		16 Feb 1972 <u>a</u>
Honduras . . . . .	22 Apr 1949	5 Mar 1952	Tunisia . . . . .		29 Nov 1956 <u>a</u>
Hungary . . . . .		7 Jan 1952 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .		31 Jul 1950 <u>a</u>
Iceland . . . . .	14 May 1949	29 Aug 1949	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	16 Dec 1949	15 Nov 1954
India . . . . .	29 Nov 1949	27 Aug 1959	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	16 Dec 1949	3 May 1954
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	8 Dec 1949	14 Aug 1956	United Kingdom . . . . .		30 Jan 1970 <u>a</u>
Iraq . . . . .		20 Jan 1959 <u>a</u>			



IV 1: Genocide

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		5 Apr 1984 <sup>a</sup>	Venezuela . . . . .		12 Jul 1960 <sup>a</sup>
United States of America . . . . .	11 Dec 1948		Viet Nam <sup>5</sup> . . . . .		9 Jun 1981 <sup>b</sup>
Uruguay . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	11 Jul 1967	Yugoslavia . . . . .	11 Dec 1948	29 Aug 1950
			Zaire . . . . .		31 May 1962 <sup>d</sup>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession. For objections thereto and territorial applications see hereinafter.)

ALBANIA

As regards article IX: The People's Republic of Albania does not consider as binding upon itself the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties with regard to the interpretation, application and implementation of the Convention shall be referred for examination to the International Court at the request of any party to the dispute. The People's Republic of Albania declares that, as regards the International Court's jurisdiction in respect of disputes concerning the interpretation, application and implementation of the Convention, the People's Republic of Albania will, as hitherto, maintain the position that in each particular case the agreement of all parties to the dispute is essential for the submission of any particular dispute to the International Court for decision.

As regards article XII: The People's Republic of Albania declares that it is not in agreement with article XII of the Convention and considers that all the provisions of the Convention should extend to Non-Self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories.

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by article IX of the Convention, which confers on the International Court of Justice jurisdiction in all disputes relating to the said Convention.

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria declares that no provision of article VI of the said Convention shall be interpreted as depriving its tribunals of jurisdiction in cases of genocide or other acts enumerated in article III which have been committed in its territory or as conferring such jurisdiction on foreign tribunals.

International tribunals may, as an exceptional measure, be recognized as having jurisdiction, in cases in which the Algerian Government has given its express approval

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria declares that it does not accept the terms of article XII of the Convention and considers that all the provisions of the said Convention should apply to Non-Self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories

ARGENTINA

Ad article IX: The Argentine Government reserves the right not to submit to the procedure laid down in this article any dispute relating

directly or indirectly to the territories referred to in its reservation to article XII.

Ad article XII: If any other Contracting Party extends the application of the Convention to territories under the sovereignty of the Argentine Republic, this extension shall in no way affect the rights of the Republic.

BULGARIA

As regards article IX: The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider as binding upon itself the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties with regard to the interpretation, application and implementation of the present Convention shall be referred for examination to the International Court at the request of any party to the dispute, and declares that, as regards the International Court's jurisdiction in respect of disputes concerning the interpretation, application and implementation of the Convention, the People's Republic of Bulgaria will, as hitherto, maintain the position that in each particular case the agreement of all parties to the dispute is essential for the submission of any particular dispute to the International Court for decision.

As regards article XII: The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that it is not in agreement with article XII of the Convention and considers that all the provisions of the Convention should extend to Non-Self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories.

BURMA

"(1) With reference to article VI, the Union of Burma makes the reservation that nothing contained in the said Article shall be construed as depriving the Courts and Tribunals of the Union of jurisdiction or as giving foreign Courts and tribunals jurisdiction over any cases of genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in article III committed within the Union territory.

"(2) With reference to article VIII, the Union of Burma makes the reservation that the said article shall not apply to the Union."

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

As regards Article IX: The Byelorussian SSR does not consider as binding upon itself the provisions of Article IX which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties with regard to the interpretation, application and implemen-

tation of the present Convention shall be referred for examination to the International Court at the request of any party to the dispute, and declares that, as regards the International Court's jurisdiction in respect of disputes concerning the interpretation, application and implementation of the Convention, the Byelorussian SSR will, as hitherto, maintain the position that in each particular case the agreement of all parties to the dispute is essential for the submission of any particular dispute to the International Court for decision.

As regards Article XII: The Byelorussian SSR declares that it is not in agreement with Article XII of the Convention and considers that all the provisions of the Convention should extend to non-self-governing territories, including trust territories.

CHINA

Declaration:

1. The ratification to the said Convention by the Taiwan local authorities on 19 July 1951 in the name of China is illegal and therefore null and void.

Reservation:

2. The People's Republic of China does not consider itself bound by article IX of the said Convention.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"As regards Article IX: Czechoslovakia does not consider as binding upon itself the provisions of Article IX which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties with regard to the interpretation, application and implementation of the present Convention shall be referred for examination to the International Court at the request of any party to the dispute, and declares that, as regards the International Court's jurisdiction in respect of disputes concerning the interpretation, application and implementation of the Convention, Czechoslovakia will, as hitherto maintain the position that in each particular case the agreement of all parties to the dispute is essential for the submission of any particular dispute to the International Court for decision.

"As regards Article XII: Czechoslovakia declares that it is not in agreement with Article XII of the Convention and considers that all the provisions of the Convention should extend to non-self-governing territories, including trust territories."

FINLAND

". . . Subject to the provisions of Article 47, paragraph 2, of the Constitution Act, 1919, concerning the impeachment of the President of the Republic of Finland."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

As regards article IX: The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX of the Convention, which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation, application or fulfilment of the Convention are, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to

be submitted to the International Court of Justice, and declares that, as regards the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in respect of disputes relating to the interpretation, application or fulfilment of the Convention, the German Democratic Republic takes the position that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to the dispute is necessary for the submission of a given dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

As regards article XII: The German Democratic Republic declares that it cannot accept the provisions of article XII of the Convention and considers that the Convention should also extend to Non-Self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories.

The German Democratic Republic deems it necessary to state that article XI of the Convention deprives a number of States of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention. As the Convention regulates matters affecting the interests of all States, it should be open to participation by all States whose policies are guided by the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations.

HUNGARY

The Hungarian People's Republic reserves its rights with regard to the provisions of article IX of the Convention which grant wide jurisdiction to the International Court at The Hague, and with regard to the provisions of article XII which do not define the obligations of countries having colonies with regard to questions of colonial exploitation and to acts which might be described as genocide.

INDIA

"With reference to article IX of the Convention, the Government of India declares that, for the submission of any dispute in terms of this article to the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is required in each case."

MONGOLIA

The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic deems it necessary to state that the Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX which stipulates that disputes between the Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation, application or implementation of the present Convention shall be submitted to the International Court of Justice at the request of any of the parties to the dispute and declares that the Mongolian People's Republic will maintain the position that in each particular case the consent of all contending parties is essential for the submission of any particular dispute to the International Court of Justice.

The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic declares that it is not in a position to agree with article XII of the Convention and considers that the provisions of the said article should be extended to non-self-governing territories, including trust territories.

The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic deems it appropriate to draw attention to the discriminatory character of article XI of the Convention, under the terms of which a number of States are precluded from acceding to the Convention and declares that the Convention deals with matters which affect the interests of all States and it should, therefore, be open for accession by all States.

## MOROCCO

With reference to article VI, the Government of His Majesty the King considers that Moroccan courts and tribunals alone have jurisdiction with respect to acts of genocide committed within the territory of the Kingdom of Morocco.

The competence of international courts may be admitted exceptionally in cases with respect to which the Moroccan Government has given its specific agreement.

With reference to article IX, the Moroccan Government states that no dispute relating to the interpretation, application or fulfilment of the present Convention can be brought before the International Court of Justice, without the prior agreement of the parties to the dispute.

## PHILIPPINES

"1. With reference to article IV of the Convention, the Philippine Government cannot sanction any situation which would subject its Head of State, who is not a ruler, to conditions less favorable than those accorded other Heads of State, whether constitutionally responsible rules or not. The Philippine Government does not consider said article, therefore, as overriding the existing immunities from judicial processes guaranteed certain public officials by the Constitution of the Philippines.

"2. With reference to article VII of the Convention, the Philippine Government does not undertake to give effect to said article until the Congress of the Philippines has enacted the necessary legislation defining and punishing the crime of genocide, which legislation, under the Constitution of the Philippines, cannot have any retroactive effect.

"3. With reference to articles VI and IX of the Convention, the Philippine Government takes the position that nothing contained in said articles shall be construed as depriving Philippine courts of jurisdiction over all cases of genocide committed within Philippine territory save only in those cases where the Philippine Government consents to have the decision of the Philippine courts reviewed by either of the international tribunals referred to in said articles. With further reference to article IX of the Convention, the Philippine Government does not consider said article to extend the concept of State responsibility beyond that recognized by the generally accepted principles of international law."

## POLAND

As regards article IX: Poland does not regard itself as bound by the provisions of this article since the agreement of all the parties to a

dispute is a necessary condition in each specific case for submission to the International Court of Justice.

As regards article XII: Poland does not accept the provisions of this article, considering that the Convention should apply to Non-Self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories.

## ROMANIA

As regards article IX: The People's Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX, which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation, application or fulfilment of the Convention shall be submitted to the International Court of Justice at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, and declares that as regards the jurisdiction of the Court in disputes relating to the interpretation, application or fulfilment of the Convention, the People's Republic of Romania will adhere to the view which it has held up to the present, that in each particular case, the agreement of all the parties to a dispute is required before it can be referred to the International Court of Justice for settlement.

As regards article XII: The People's Republic of Romania declares that it is not in agreement with article XII of the Convention, and considers that all the provisions of the Convention should apply to the Non-Self-Governing Territories, including the Trust Territories.

## RWANDA

The Rwandese Republic does not consider itself as bound by article IX of the Convention.

## SPAIN

With a reservation in respect of the whole of article IX (jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice).

## UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

As regards article IX: The Ukrainian SSR does not consider as binding upon itself the provisions of Article IX which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties with regard to the interpretation, application and implementation of the present Convention shall be referred for examination to the International Court at the request of any party to the dispute, and declares that, as regards the International Court's jurisdiction in respect of disputes concerning the interpretation, application and implementation of the Convention, the Ukrainian SSR will, as hitherto, maintain the position that in each particular case the agreement of all parties to the dispute is essential for the submission of any particular dispute to the International Court for decision.

As regards article XII: The Ukrainian SSR declares that it is not in agreement with Article XII of the Convention and considers that all the provisions of the Convention should extend to Non-Self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

As regards article IX: The Soviet Union does not consider as binding upon itself the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between the Contracting Parties with regard to the interpretation, application and implementation of the present Convention shall be referred for examination to the International Court at the request of any party to the dispute, and declares that, as regards the International Court's jurisdiction in respect of disputes concerning the interpretation, application and implementation of the Convention, the Soviet Union will, as hitherto, maintain the position that in each particular case the agreement of all parties to the dispute is essential for the submission of any particular dispute to the International Court for decision.

As regards article XII: The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that it is not in agreement with article XII of the Convention and considers that all the provisions of the Convention should extend to Non-Self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories.

VENEZUELA

With reference to article VI, notice is given that any proceedings to which Venezuela may be a party before an international penal tribunal would be invalid without Venezuela's prior express acceptance of the jurisdiction of such international tribunal.

With reference to article VII, notice is given that the laws in force in Venezuela do not permit the extradition of Venezuelan nationals.

AUSTRALIA

"The Australian Government does not accept any of the reservations contained in the instrument of accession of the People's Republic of Bulgaria, or in the instrument of ratification of the Republic of the Philippines.

15 November 1950

"The Australian Government does not accept any of the reservations made at the time of signature of the Convention by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

19 January 1951

"The Australian Government does not accept the reservations contained in the instruments of accession of the Governments of Poland and Romania.

BELGIUM

The Government of Belgium does not accept the reservations made by Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

With reference to article IX, the reservation is made that the submission of a dispute to the International Court of Justice shall be regarded as valid only when it takes place with Venezuela's approval, signified by the express conclusion of a prior agreement in each case.

VIET NAM

1. The Socialist Republic of Viet Nam does not consider itself bound by article IX of the Convention which provides the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in solving disputes between the Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation, application or fulfilment of the Convention at the request of any of the parties to disputes. The Socialist Republic of Viet Nam is of the view that, regarding the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in solving disputes referred to in article IX of the Convention, the consent of the parties to the disputes except the criminals is diametrically necessary for the submission of a given dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

2. The Socialist Republic of Viet Nam does not accept article XII of the Convention and considers that all provisions of the Convention should also extend to non-self-Governing Territories, including Trust Territories.

3. The Socialist Republic of Viet Nam considers that article XI is of a discriminatory nature, depriving a number of States of the opportunity to become parties to the Convention, and holds that the Convention should be open for accession by all States.

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

BRAZIL

The Government of Brazil objects to the reservations made to the Convention by Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, the Philippines, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The Brazilian Government considers the said reservations as incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention.

The position taken by the Government of Brazil is founded on the Advisory Opinion of the International Court of Justice of 28 May 1951<sup>7</sup> and on the resolution adopted by the sixth session of the General Assembly on 12 January 1952, on reservations to multilateral conventions.<sup>8</sup>

The Brazilian Government reserves the right to draw any such legal consequences as it may deem fit from its formal objection to the above-mentioned reservations.

IV.1. Genocide

CHINA

15 November 1954

"The Government of China . . . objects to all the identical reservations made at the time of signature or ratification or accession to the Convention by Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The Chinese Government considers the above-mentioned reservations as incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention and, therefore, by virtue of the Advisory Opinion of the International Court of Justice of 28 May 1951,<sup>7</sup> would not regard the above-mentioned States as being Parties to the Convention."

13 September 1955

[Same communication, mutatis mutandis, in respect of the reservations made by Albania ]

25 July 1956

[Same communication, mutatis mutandis, in respect of the reservations made by Burma.]

CUBA<sup>9</sup>

ECUADOR

31 March 1950

The Government of Ecuador is not in agreement with the reservations made to article IX and XII of the Convention by the Governments of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and, therefore, they do not apply to Ecuador which accepted without any modifications the integral text of the Convention.

21 August 1950

[Same communication, mutatis mutandis, in respect of the reservations made by Bulgaria.]

9 January 1951

The Government of Ecuador does not accept the reservations made by the Governments of Poland and Romania to articles IX and XII of the Convention

GREECE

We further declare that we have not accepted and do not accept any reservation which has already been made or which may hereafter be made by the countries signatory to this instrument or by countries which have acceded or may hereafter accede thereto

NETHERLANDS

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands declares that it considers the reservations

made by Albania, Algeria, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, India, Morocco, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in respect of Article IX of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, opened for signature at Paris on 9 December 1948, to be incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention [The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands therefore does not deem any State which has made or which will make such reservation a party to the Convention."

NORWAY

10 April 1952

"The Norwegian Government does not accept the reservations made to the Convention by the Government of the Philippines at the time of ratification."

SRI LANKA

6 February 1951

"The Government of Ceylon does not accept the reservations made by Romania to the Convention "

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND  
NORTHERN IRELAND

"The Government of the United Kingdom do not accept the reservations to articles IV, VII, VIII, IX or XII of the Convention made by Albania, Algeria, Argentina, Bulgaria, Burma, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, India, Mongolia, Morocco, the Philippines, Poland, Romania, Spain, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics or Venezuela."

21 November 1975

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland have consistently stated that they are unable to accept reservations in respect of article IX of the said Convention; in their view this is not the kind of reservation which intending parties to the Convention have the right to make

"Accordingly, the Government of the United Kingdom do not accept the reservation entered by the Republic of Rwanda against article IX of the Convention. They also wish to place on record that they take the same view of the similar reservation made by the German Democratic Republic as notified by the circular letter [..] of 25 April 1973 "

26 August 1983

With regard to a declaration and reservation made by Viet Nam and China concerning article IX.

The Government of the United Kingdom have consistently stated that they are unable to accept reservations to this article. Likewise, in conformity with the attitude adopted by them in previous cases, the Government of the United Kingdom do not accept the reservation entered by Viet Nam relating to article XII "

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of Notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . . .	8 Jul 1949	All territories for the conduct of whose foreign relations Australia is responsible
Belgium . . . . .	13 Mar 1957	Belgian Congo, Trust Territory of Rwanda-Urundi
United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Jan 1970	Channel Islands, Isle of Man, Dominica, Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Bahamas, Bermuda, British Virgin Islands, Falkland Islands and Dependencies <sup>10</sup> , Fiji, Gibraltar, Hong Kong, Pitcairn, St. Helena and Dependencies, Seychelles, Turks and Caicos Islands
	2 Jun 1970	In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 2 June 1970, the Government of the United Kingdom extended the application of the Convention to the Kingdom of Tonga for whose international relations the United Kingdom is or was then responsible Kingdom of Tonga

NOTES:

1/ For other multilateral treaties concluded in the field of human rights, see chapters V, VII, XVI, XVII and XVIII.

2/ Resolution 260 (III), Official Records of the General Assembly, Third Session, Part I (A/810), p. 174.

3/ Ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 19 July 1951. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

4/ In a note accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention would also apply to Land Berlin.

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, a communication from the German Democratic Republic was received by the Secretary-General on 27 December 1973. The text of the communication is identical, mutatis mutandis, to that published in note 2 of chapter III.3, paragraph 4.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America (17 June 1974 and 8 July 1975), the Federal Republic of Germany (15 July 1974 and 19 September 1975), the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (12 September 1974 and 8 December 1975), and the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (19 September 1974), communications identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones reproduced in note 2, chapter III 3.

5/ Accession on behalf of the Republic of South Viet Nam on 11 August 1950. (For the text of objections to some of the reservations made upon the said accession, see publication, Multilateral Treaties for which the Secretary-General acts as Depositary (ST/LEG/SER.D/13, p.91); also see note 20 in chapter I.2.

6/ The Secretary-General received on 9 November 1981 from the Government of the Democratic Republic of Kampuchea the following objection with regard to the accession by Viet Nam:

The Government of Democratic Kampuchea, as a party to the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, considers that the signing of that Convention by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam has no legal force, because it is no more than a cynical, macabre charade intended to camouflage the foul crimes of genocide committed by the 250,000 soldiers of the Vietnamese invasion army in Kampuchea. It is an odious insult to the memory of the more than 2,500,000 Kampucheans who have been massacred by these same Vietnamese armed forces using conventional weapons, chemical weapons and the weapon of famine, created deliberately by them for the purpose of eliminating all national resistance at its source

It is also a gross insult to hundreds of thousands of Laotians who have been massacred or compelled to take refuge abroad since the occupation of Laos by the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam, to the Hmong national minority in Laos, exterminated by Vietnamese conventional and chemical weapons and, finally, to over a million Vietnamese "boat people" who died at sea or sought refuge abroad in their flight to escape the repression carried out in Viet Nam by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam

This shameful accession by the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam violates and discredits the noble principles and ideals of the United Nations and jeopardizes the prestige and moral authority of our world Organization. It represents an arrogant challenge to the international community, which is well aware of these crimes of genocide committed by the Vietnamese army in Kampuchea, has constantly denounced and condemned them since 25 December 1978, the date on which the Vietnamese invasion of Kampuchea began, and demands that these Vietnamese crimes

of genocide be brought to an end by the total withdrawal of the Vietnamese forces from Kampuchea and the restoration of the inalienable right of the people of Kampuchea to decide its own destiny without any foreign interference, as provided in United Nations resolutions 34/22, 35/6 and 36/5.

7/ International Court of Justice, Report 1951, p. 15.

8/ Resolution 598 (VI); Official Records of the General Assembly, Sixth Session, Supplement No. 20 (A/2119), p. 84.

9/ By a notification received by the Secretary-General on 29 January 1982, the Government of Cuba withdrew the declaration made on its behalf upon ratification of the said Convention

with respect to the reservations to articles IX and XII by Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

10/ On 3 October 1983, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Argentina the following objection:

[The Government of Argentina makes a] formal objection to the [declaration] of territorial extension issued by the United Kingdom with regard to the Malvinas Islands (and dependencies), which that country is illegally occupying and refers to as the "Falkland Islands".

The Argentine Republic rejects and considers null and void the [said declaration] of territorial extension. See also note 9 in chapter III.11.

IV.2: Racial discrimination

2. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

Opened for signature at New York on 7 March 1966

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 4 January 1969, in accordance with article 19.1  
 REGISTRATION: 12 March 1969, No. 9464.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 660, p. 195.

Note: The Convention was adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 2106 (XX)<sup>2</sup> of 21 December 1965.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Algeria . . . . .	9 Dec 1966	14 Feb 1972	Guatemala . . . . .	8 Sep 1967	18 Jan 1983
Afghanistan . . . . .		6 Jul 1983 <u>a</u>	Guinea . . . . .	24 Mar 1966	14 Mar 1977
Argentina . . . . .	13 Jul 1967	2 Oct 1968	Guyana . . . . .	11 Dec 1968	15 Feb 1977
Australia . . . . .	13 Oct 1966	30 Sep 1975	Haiti . . . . .	30 Oct 1972	19 Dec 1972
Austria . . . . .	22 Jul 1969	9 May 1972	Holy See . . . . .	21 Nov 1966	1 May 1969
Bahamas . . . . .		5 Aug 1975 <u>d</u>	Hungary . . . . .	15 Sep 1966	4 May 1967
Bangladesh . . . . .		11 Jun 1979 <u>a</u>	Iceland . . . . .	14 Nov 1966	13 Mar 1967
Barbados . . . . .		8 Nov 1972 <u>a</u>	India . . . . .	2 Mar 1967	3 Dec 1968
Belgium . . . . .	17 Aug 1967	7 Aug 1975	Iran (Islamic Republic of . . . . .	8 Mar 1967	29 Aug 1968
Benin . . . . .	2 Feb 1967		Iraq . . . . .	18 Feb 1969	14 Jan 1970
Bhutan . . . . .	26 Mar 1973		Ireland . . . . .	21 Mar 1968	
Bolivia . . . . .	7 Jun 1966	22 Sep 1970	Israel . . . . .	7 Mar 1966	3 Jan 1979
Botswana . . . . .		20 Feb 1974 <u>a</u>	Italy . . . . .	13 Mar 1968	5 Jan 1976
Brazil . . . . .	7 Mar 1966	27 Mar 1968	Jamaica . . . . .	14 Aug 1966	4 Jun 1971
Bulgaria . . . . .	1 Jun 1966	8 Aug 1966	Jordan . . . . .		30 May 1974 <u>a</u>
Burkina Faso . . . . .		18 Jul 1974 <u>a</u>	Kuwait . . . . .		15 Oct 1968 <u>a</u>
Burundi . . . . .	1 Feb 1967	27 Oct 1977	Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		22 Feb 1974 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	7 Mar 1966	8 Apr 1969	Lebanon . . . . .		12 Nov 1971 <u>a</u>
Cameroon . . . . .	12 Dec 1966	24 Jun 1971	Lesotho . . . . .		4 Nov 1971 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .	24 Aug 1966	14 Oct 1970	Liberia . . . . .		5 Nov 1976 <u>a</u>
Cape Verde . . . . .		3 Oct 1979 <u>a</u>	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .		3 Jul 1968 <u>a</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .	7 Mar 1966	16 Mar 1971	Luxembourg . . . . .	12 Dec 1967	1 May 1978
Chad . . . . .		17 Aug 1977 <u>a</u>	Madagascar . . . . .	18 Dec 1967	7 Feb 1969
Chile . . . . .	3 Oct 1966	20 Oct 1971	Maldives . . . . .		24 Apr 1984 <u>a</u>
China <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		29 Dec 1981 <u>a</u>	Mali . . . . .		16 Jul 1974 <u>a</u>
Colombia . . . . .	23 Mar 1967	2 Sep 1981	Malta . . . . .	5 Sep 1968	27 May 1971
Costa Rica . . . . .	14 Mar 1966	16 Jan 1967	Mauritania . . . . .	21 Dec 1966	
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		4 Jan 1973 <u>a</u>	Mauritius . . . . .		30 May 1972 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .	7 Jun 1966	15 Feb 1972	Mexico . . . . .	1 Nov 1966	20 Feb 1975
Cyprus . . . . .	12 Dec 1966	21 Apr 1967	Mongolia . . . . .	3 May 1966	6 Aug 1969
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	7 Oct 1966	29 Dec 1966	Morocco . . . . .	18 Sep 1967	18 Dec 1970
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	12 Apr 1966	28 Nov 1983	Mozambique . . . . .		18 Apr 1983 <u>a</u>
Democratic Yemen . . . . .		18 Oct 1972 <u>a</u>	Namibia (United Nations Council for Namibia) . . . . .		11 Nov 1982 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	21 Jun 1966	9 Dec 1971	Nepal . . . . .		30 Jan 1971 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .		25 May 1983 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	24 Oct 1966	10 Dec 1971
Ecuador . . . . .		22 Sep 1966 <u>a</u>	New Zealand . . . . .	25 Oct 1966	22 Nov 1972
Egypt . . . . .	28 Sep 1966	1 May 1967	Nicaragua . . . . .		15 Feb 1978 <u>a</u>
El Salvador . . . . .		30 Nov 1979 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .	14 Mar 1966	27 Apr 1967
Ethiopia . . . . .		23 Jun 1976 <u>a</u>	Nigeria . . . . .		16 Oct 1967 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		11 Jan 1973 <u>d</u>	Norway . . . . .	21 Nov 1966	6 Aug 1970
Finland . . . . .	6 Oct 1966	14 Jul 1970	Pakistan . . . . .	19 Sep 1966	21 Sep 1966
France . . . . .		28 Jul 1971 <u>a</u>	Panama . . . . .	8 Dec 1966	16 Aug 1967
Gabon . . . . .	20 Sep 1966	29 Feb 1980	Papua New Guinea . . . . .		27 Jan 1982 <u>a</u>
Gambia . . . . .		29 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>	Peru . . . . .	22 Jul 1966	29 Sep 1971
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		27 Mar 1973 <u>a</u>	Philippines . . . . .	7 Mar 1966	15 Sep 1967
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	10 Feb 1967	16 May 1969	Poland . . . . .	7 Mar 1966	5 Dec 1968
Ghana . . . . .	8 Sep 1966	8 Sep 1966	Portugal . . . . .		24 Aug 1982 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .	7 Mar 1966	18 Jun 1970			
Grenada . . . . .	17 Dec 1981				



IV.2: Racial discrimination

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Qatar . . . . .		22 Jul 1976 <u>a</u>	Tunisia . . . . .	12 Apr 1966	13 Jan 1967
Republic of Korea . . . . .	8 Aug 1978	5 Dec 1978	Turkey . . . . .	13 Oct 1972	
Romania . . . . .		15 Sep 1970 <u>a</u>	Uganda . . . . .		21 Nov 1980 <u>a</u>
Rwanda . . . . .		16 Apr 1975 <u>a</u>	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	7 Mar 1966	7 Mar 1969
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines . . . . .		9 Nov 1981 <u>a</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	7 Mar 1966	4 Feb 1969
Senegal . . . . .	22 Jul 1968	19 Apr 1972	United Arab Emirates . . . . .		20 Jun 1974 <u>a</u>
Seychelles . . . . .		7 Mar 1978 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	11 Oct 1966	7 Mar 1969 <sup>5</sup>
Sierra Leone . . . . .	17 Nov 1966	2 Aug 1967	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		27 Oct 1972 <u>a</u>
Solomon Islands . . . . .		17 Mar 1982 <u>d</u>	United States of America . . . . .	28 Sep 1966	
Somalia . . . . .	26 Jan 1967	26 Aug 1975	Uruguay . . . . .	21 Feb 1967	30 Aug 1968
Spain . . . . .		13 Sep 1968 <u>a</u>	Venezuela . . . . .	21 Apr 1967	10 Oct 1967
Sri Lanka . . . . .		18 Feb 1982 <u>a</u>	Viet Nam . . . . .		9 Jun 1982 <u>a</u>
Sudan . . . . .		21 Mar 1977 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	15 Apr 1966	2 Oct 1967
Suriname . . . . .		15 Mar 1984 <u>d</u>	Zaire . . . . .		21 Apr 1976 <u>a</u>
Swaziland . . . . .		7 Apr 1969 <u>a</u>	Zambia . . . . .	11 Oct 1968	4 Feb 1972
Sweden . . . . .	5 May 1966	6 Dec 1971 <u>a</u>			
Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	21 Apr 1969	1 Sep 1972 <u>a</u>			
Togo . . . . .		16 Feb 1972 <u>a</u>			
Tonga . . . . .		4 Oct 1973			
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	9 Jun 1967				

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession. For objections thereto and declarations recognizing the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, see hereinafter.)

**AFGHANISTAN**

Reservation:

While acceding to the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, the Democratic Republic of Afghanistan does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention since according to this article, in the event of disagreement between two or several States Parties to the Convention on the interpretation and implementation of provisions of the Convention, the matters could be referred to the International Court of Justice upon the request of only one side.

The Democratic Republic of Afghanistan, therefore, states that should any disagreement emerge on the interpretation and implementation of the Convention, the matter will be referred to the International Court of Justice only if all concerned parties agree with that procedure.

Declaration:

Furthermore, the Democratic Republic of Afghanistan states that the provisions of articles 17 and 18 of the International Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Racial Discrimination have a discriminatory nature against some states and therefore are not in conformity with the principle of universality of international treaties.

**AUSTRALIA**

"The Government of Australia . . . declares that Australia is not at present in a position specifically to treat as offences all the matters covered by article 4 (a) of the Convention. Acts of the kind there mentioned are punishable only to the extent provided by the existing criminal law dealing with such matters as the maintenance

of public order, public mischief, assault, riot, criminal libel, conspiracy and attempts. It is the intention of the Australian Government, at the first suitable moment, to seek from Parliament legislation specifically implementing the terms of article 4 (a)."

**AUSTRIA**

"Article 4 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination provides that the measures specifically described in sub-paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) shall be undertaken with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the rights expressly set forth in article 5 of the Convention. The Republic of Austria therefore considers that through such measures the right to freedom of opinion and expression and the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association may not be jeopardized. These rights are laid down in articles 19 and 20 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights; they were reaffirmed by the General Assembly of the United Nations when it adopted articles 19 and 21 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and are referred to in article 5 (d) (viii) and (ix) of the present Convention."

**BAHAMAS**

"Firstly the Government of the Commonwealth of the Bahamas wishes to state its understanding of article 4 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination. It interprets article 4 as requiring a party to the Convention to adopt further legislative measures in the fields covered by subpara-

## IV.2: Racial discrimination

graphs (a), (b) and (c) of that article only in so far as it may consider with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration set out in article 5 of the Convention (in particular to freedom of opinion and expression and the right of freedom of peaceful assembly and association) that some legislative addition to, or variation of existing law and practice in these fields is necessary for the attainment of the ends specified in article 4. Lastly, the Constitution of the Commonwealth of the Bahamas entrenches and guarantees to every person in the Commonwealth of the Bahamas the fundamental rights and freedoms of the individual irrespective of his race or place of origin. The Constitution prescribes judicial process to be observed in the event of the violation of any of these rights whether by the State or by a private individual. Acceptance of this Convention by the Commonwealth of the Bahamas does not imply the acceptance of obligations going beyond the constitutional limits nor the acceptance of any obligations to introduce judicial process beyond these prescribed under the Constitution."

### BARBADOS

"The Constitution of Barbados entrenches and guarantees to every person in Barbados the fundamental rights and freedoms of the individual irrespective of his race or place of origin. The Constitution prescribes judicial processes to be observed in the event of the violation of any of these rights whether by the State or by a private individual. Accession to the Convention does not imply the acceptance of obligations going beyond the constitutional limits nor the acceptance of any obligations to introduce judicial processes beyond those provided in the Constitution.

"The Government of Barbados interprets article 4 of the said Convention as requiring a Party to the Convention to enact measures in the fields covered by sub-paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of that article only where it is considered that the need arises to enact such legislation."

### BELGIUM

In order to meet the requirements of article 4 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, the Kingdom of Belgium will take care to adapt its legislation to the obligations it has assumed in becoming a party to the said Convention.

The Kingdom of Belgium nevertheless wishes to emphasize the importance which it attaches to the fact that article 4 of the Convention provides that the measures laid down in subparagraphs (a), (b), and (c) should be adopted with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the rights expressly set forth in article 5 of the Convention. The Kingdom of Belgium therefore considers that the obligations imposed by article 4 must be reconciled with the right to freedom of opinion and expression and the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association. Those rights are proclaimed in articles 19 and 20 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and have been reaffirmed in articles 19 and 21 of the International

Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. They have also been stated in article 5, subparagraph (d) (viii) and (ix) of the said Convention.

The Kingdom of Belgium also wishes to emphasize the importance which it attaches to respect for the rights set forth in the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, especially in articles 10 and 11 dealing respectively with freedom of opinion and expression and freedom of peaceful assembly and association.

### BULGARIA

The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that the provisions of article 17, paragraph 1, and article 18, paragraph 1, of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, the effect of which is to prevent sovereign States from becoming Parties to the Convention, are of a discriminatory nature. The Convention, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, should be open for accession by all States without any discrimination whatsoever.

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, which provides for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in the settlement of disputes with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention. The People's Republic of Bulgaria maintains its position that no dispute between two or more States can be referred to the International Court of Justice without the consent in each particular case of all the States parties to the dispute.

### BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic states that the provision in article 17, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination whereby a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention is of a discriminatory nature, and holds that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, the Convention should be open to participation by all interested States without discrimination or restriction of any kind.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referral of the dispute to the International Court.

### CHINA

#### Reservation:

The People's Republic of China has reservations on the provisions of article 22 of the Convention and will not be bound by it. (The reservation was circulated by the Secretary-General on 13 January 1982.)<sup>6</sup>

## IV.2: Racial discrimination

### Declaration:

The signing and ratification of the said Convention by the Taiwan authorities in the name of China are illegal and null and void.

CUBA

### Upon signature:

The Government of the Republic of Cuba will make such reservations as it may deem appropriate if and when the Convention is ratified.

### Upon ratification:

#### Reservation:

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba does not accept the provision in article 22 of the Convention to the effect that disputes between two or more States Parties shall be referred to the International Court of Justice, since it considers that such disputes should be settled exclusively by the procedures expressly provided for in the Convention or by negotiation through the diplomatic channel between the disputants.

#### Statement:

This Convention, intended to eliminate all forms of racial discrimination, should not, as it expressly does in articles 17 and 18, exclude States not Members of the United Nations, members of the specialized agencies or Parties to the Statute of the International Court of Justice from making an effective contribution under the Convention, since these articles constitute in themselves a form of discrimination that is at variance with the principles set out in the Convention; the Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba accordingly ratifies the Convention, but with the qualification just indicated.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic considers that the provision of article 17, paragraph 1, is not in keeping with the aims and objectives of the Convention since it fails to ensure that all States without any distinction and discrimination be given opportunity to become Parties to the Convention.

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provision of article 22 and maintains that any dispute between two or more Parties over the interpretation or application of the Convention, which is not settled by negotiation or by procedures expressly provided for in the Convention, can be referred to the International Court of Justice only at the request of all the parties to the dispute, if they did not agree to another means of settlement."

DEMOCRATIC YEMEN<sup>7</sup>

"The accession of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen to this Convention shall in no way signify recognition of Israel or entry into a relationship with it regarding any matter regulated by the said Convention.

"The People's Democratic Republic of Yemen does not consider itself bound by the provisions of Article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of

the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referral of the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

"The People's Democratic Republic of Yemen states that the provisions of Article 17, paragraph 1, and Article 18, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination whereby a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention is of a discriminatory nature, and holds that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, the Convention should be opened to participation by all interested States without discrimination or restriction of any kind."

DENMARK<sup>8</sup>

EGYPT

"The United Arab Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and it states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referring the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

. . . 9, 10

FIJI

The reservation and declarations formulated by the Government of the United Kingdom on behalf of Fiji are affirmed but have been redrafted in the following terms:

"To the extent, if any, that any law relating to elections in Fiji may not fulfil the obligations referred to in article 5 (c), that any law relating to land in Fiji which prohibits or restricts the alienation of land by the indigenous inhabitants may not fulfil the obligations referred to in article 5 (d) (v), or that the school system of Fiji may not fulfil the obligations referred to in articles 2, 3, or 5 (e) (v), the Government of Fiji reserves the right not to implement the aforementioned provisions of the Convention.

"The Government of Fiji wishes to state its understanding of certain articles in the Convention. It interprets article 4 as requiring a party to the Convention to adopt further legislative measures in the fields covered by subparagraphs (a), (b) and (c) of that article only in so far as it may consider with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the rights expressly set forth in article 5 of the Convention (in particular the right to freedom of opinion and expression and the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association) that some legislative addition to or variation of existing law and practice in those fields is necessary for the

## IV.2: Racial discrimination

attainment of the end specified in the earlier part of Article 4. Further, the Government of Fiji interprets the requirement in article 6 concerning 'reparation or satisfaction' as being fulfilled if one or other of these forms of redress is made available and interprets 'satisfaction' as including any form of redress effective to bring the discriminatory conduct to an end. In addition it interprets article 20 and the other related provisions of Part III of the Convention as meaning that if a reservation is not accepted the State making the reservation does not become a Party to the Convention.

"The Government of Fiji maintains the view that Article 15 is discriminatory in that it establishes a procedure for the receipt of petitions relating to dependent territories whilst making no comparable provision for States without such territories."

### FRANCE<sup>11</sup>

With regard to article 4, France wishes to make it clear that it interprets the reference made therein to the principles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and to the rights set forth in article 5 of the Convention as releasing the States Parties from the obligation to enact anti-discrimination legislation which is incompatible with the freedoms of opinion and expression and of peaceful assembly and association guaranteed by those texts.

With regard to article 6, France declares that the question of remedy through tribunals is, as far as France is concerned, governed by the rules of ordinary law.

With regard to article 15, France's accession to the Convention may not be interpreted as implying any change in its position regarding the resolution mentioned in that provision.

### GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referral of the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

The German Democratic Republic deems it necessary to state that article 17, paragraph 1, of the Convention deprives a number of States of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention. As the Convention regulates matters affecting the interests of all States, it should be open to participation by all States whose policies are guided by the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations.

### GUYANA

"The Government of the Republic of Guyana do not interpret the provisions of this Convention as imposing upon them any obligation going beyond the limits set by the Constitution of Guyana or

imposing upon them any obligation requiring the introduction of judicial processes going beyond those provided under the same Constitution."

### HUNGARY

"The Hungarian People's Republic considers that the provisions of article 17, paragraph 1, and of article 18, paragraph 1, of the Convention, barring accession to the Convention by all States, are of a discriminating nature and contrary to international law. The Hungarian People's Republic maintains its general position that multilateral treaties of a universal character should, in conformity with the principles of sovereign equality of States, be open for accession by all States without any discrimination whatever."

"The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by article 22 of the Convention providing that any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention shall, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision. The Hungarian People's Republic takes the view that such disputes shall be referred to the International Court of Justice only by agreement of all parties concerned."

### INDIA<sup>12</sup>

"The Government of India declare that for reference of any dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision in terms of Article 22 of the International Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Racial Discrimination, the consent of all parties to the dispute is necessary in each individual case."

### IRAQ<sup>10</sup>

#### Upon signature:

"The Ministry for Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Iraq hereby declares that signature for and on behalf of the Republic of Iraq of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, which was adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 21 December 1965, as well as approval by the Arab States of the said Convention and entry into it by their respective governments, shall in no way signify recognition of Israel or lead to entry by the Arab States into such dealings with Israel as may be regulated by the said Convention.

"Furthermore, the Government of the Republic of Iraq does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article twenty-two of the Convention aforementioned and affirms its reservation that it does not accept the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice provided for in the said article."

#### Upon ratification:

1. The acceptance and ratification of the Convention by Iraq shall in no way signify recognition of Israel or be conducive to entry by Iraq into such dealings with Israel as are regulated by the Convention;<sup>13</sup>
2. Iraq does not accept the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, concerning the compulsory jurisdiction of the International

Court of Justice. The Republic of Iraq does not consider itself to be bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention and deems it necessary that in all cases the approval of all parties to the dispute be secured before the case is referred to the International Court of Justice.

ISRAEL

"The State of Israel does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the said Convention."

ITALY

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

(a) The positive measures, provided for in article 4 of the Convention and specifically described in sub-paragraphs (a) and (b) of that article, designed to eradicate all incitement to, or acts of, discrimination, are to be interpreted, as that article provides, "with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the rights expressly set forth in article 5" of the Convention. Consequently, the obligations deriving from the aforementioned article 4 are not to jeopardize the right to freedom of opinion and expression and the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association which are laid down in articles 19 and 20 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, were reaffirmed by the General Assembly of the United Nations when it adopted articles 19 and 21 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and are referred to in articles 5 (d) (viii) and (ix) of the Convention. In fact, the Italian Government, in conformity with the obligations resulting from Articles 55 (c) and 56 of the Charter of the United Nations, remains faithful to the principle laid down in article 29 (2) of the Universal Declaration, which provides that "in the exercise of his rights and freedoms, everyone shall be subject only to such limitations as are determined by law solely for the purpose of securing due recognition and respect for the rights and freedoms of others and of meeting the just requirements of morality, public order and the general welfare in a democratic society "

(b) Effective remedies against acts of racial discrimination which violate his individual rights and fundamental freedoms will be assured to everyone, in conformity with article 6 of the Convention, by the ordinary courts within the framework of their respective jurisdiction. Claims for reparation for any damage suffered as a result of acts of racial discrimination must be brought against the persons responsible for the malicious or criminal acts which caused such damage

JAMAICA

"The Constitution of Jamaica entrenches and guarantees to every person in Jamaica the fundamental rights and freedoms of the individual irrespective of his race or place of origin. The Constitution prescribes judicial processes to be observed in the event of the violation of any of these rights whether by the State or by a private

individual. Ratification of the Convention by Jamaica does not imply the acceptance of obligations going beyond the constitutional limits nor the acceptance of any obligation to introduce judicial processes beyond those prescribed under the Constitution."

KUWAIT<sup>10</sup>

"In acceding to the said Convention, the Government of the State of Kuwait takes the view that its accession does not in any way imply recognition of Israel, nor does it oblige it to apply the provisions of the Convention in respect of the said country.

"The Government of the State of Kuwait does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any party to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and it states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referring the dispute to the International Court of Justice."

LEBANON

The Republic of Lebanon does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any party to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and it states that, in each individual case, the consent of all States parties to such a dispute is necessary for referring the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

LYBIAN ARAB JAMAHIRIYA<sup>10</sup>

"(a) The Kingdom of Libya does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and it states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referring the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

"(b) It is understood that the accession to this Convention does not mean in any way a recognition of Israel by the Government of the Kingdom of Libya. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the Kingdom of Libya and Israel."

MADAGASCAR

The Government of the Malagasy Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the In-

## IV.2: Racial discrimination

ternational Court of Justice for decision, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referral of the dispute to the International Court.

### MALTA

#### Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The Government of Malta wishes to state its understanding of certain articles in the Convention

"It interprets article 4 as requiring a party to the Convention to adopt further measures in the fields covered by sub-paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of that article should it consider, with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the rights set forth in article 5 of the Convention, that the need arises to enact 'ad hoc' legislation, in addition to or variation of existing law and practice to bring to an end any act of racial discrimination.

"Further, the Government of Malta interprets the requirements in article 6 concerning 'reparation or satisfaction' as being fulfilled if one or other of these forms of redress is made available and interprets 'satisfaction' as including any form of redress effective to bring the discriminatory conduct to an end."

### MONGOLIA

The Mongolian People's Republic states that the provision in article 17, paragraph 1, of the Convention whereby a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention is of a discriminatory nature, and it holds that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination should be open to participation by all interested States without discrimination or restriction of any kind.

The Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and it states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referral of the dispute to the International Court.

### MOROCCO

The Kingdom of Morocco does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision. The Kingdom of Morocco states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referring the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

### MOZAMBIQUE

#### Reservation:

The People's Republic of Mozambique does not consider to be bound by the provision of article 22 and wishes to re-state that for the submission of any dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision in terms of the said article, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary in each individual case.

### NEPAL

"The Constitution of Nepal contains provisions for the protection of individual rights, including the right to freedom of speech and expression, the right to form unions and associations not motivated by party politics and the right to freedom of professing his/her own religion; and nothing in the Convention shall be deemed to require or to authorize legislation or other action by Nepal incompatible with the provisions of the Constitution of Nepal.

"His Majesty's Government interprets article 4 of the said Convention as requiring a Party to the Convention to adopt further legislative measures in the fields covered by sub-paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of that article only insofar as His Majesty's Government may consider, with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, that some legislative addition to, or variation of, existing law and practice in those fields is necessary for the attainment of the end specified in the earlier part of article 4. His Majesty's Government interprets the requirement in article 6 concerning 'reparation or satisfaction' as being fulfilled if one or other of these forms of redress is made available; and further interprets 'satisfaction' as including any form of redress effective to bring the discriminatory conduct to an end.

"His Majesty's Government does not consider itself bound by the provision of article 22 of the Convention under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision."

### PAPUA NEW GUINEA

#### Reservation

"The Government of Papua New Guinea interprets Article 4 of the Convention as requiring a party to the Convention to adopt further legislative measures in the areas covered by subparagraphs (a), (b) and (c) of that Article only in so far as it may consider with due regard to the principles contained in the Universal Declaration set out in Article 5 of the Convention that some legislative addition to, or variation of existing law and practice, is necessary to give effect to the provisions of Article 4. In addition, the Constitution of Papua New Guinea guarantees certain fundamental rights and freedoms to all persons irrespective of their race or place of origin. The Constitution also provides for judicial protection of these rights and freedoms. Acceptance of this Convention does not therefore

indicate the acceptance of obligations by the Government of Papua New Guinea which go beyond those provided by the Constitution, nor does it indicate the acceptance of any obligation to introduce judicial process beyond that provided by the Constitution". (The reservation was circulated by the Secretary-General on 22 February 1982)<sup>6</sup>

POLAND

The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention.

The Polish People's Republic considers that the provisions of article 17, paragraph 1, and article 18, paragraph 1, of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, which make it impossible for many States to become parties to the said Convention, are of a discriminatory nature and are incompatible with the object and purpose of that Convention.

The Polish People's Republic considers that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, the said Convention should be open for participation by all States without any discrimination or restrictions whatsoever

ROMANIA

The Socialist Republic of Romania declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, whereby any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention which is not settled by negotiation or by the procedures expressly provided for in the Convention shall, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, be referred to the International Court of Justice.

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that such disputes may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania declares that the provisions of articles 17 and 18 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination are not in accordance with the principle that multilateral treaties, the aims and objectives of which concern the world community as a whole, should be open to participation by all States.

RWANDA

The Rwandese Republic does not consider itself as bound by article 22 of the Convention.

SPAIN

With a reservation in respect of the whole of article 22 (jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice).

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC<sup>10</sup>

1. The accession of the Syrian Arab Republic to this Convention shall in no way signify recogni-

tion of Israel or entry into a relationship with it regarding any matter regulated by the said Convention.

2. The Syrian Arab Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the Parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision. The Syrian Arab Republic states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referring the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

TONGA<sup>14</sup>

Reservation:

"To the extent, [...], that any law relating to land in Tonga which prohibits or restricts the alienation of land by the indigenous inhabitants may not fulfil the obligations referred to in article 5 (d) (v), [...], the Kingdom of Tonga reserves the right not to apply the Convention to Tonga.

Declaration:

"Secondly, the Kingdom of Tonga wishes to state its understanding of certain articles in the Convention. It interprets article 4 as requiring a party to the Convention to adopt further legislative measures in the fields covered by subparagraphs (a), (b) and (c) of that article only in so far as it may consider with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the rights expressly set forth in article 5 of the Convention (in particular the right to freedom of opinion and expression and the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association) that some legislative addition to or variation of existing law and practice in those fields is necessary for the attainment of the end specified in the earlier part of article 4. Further, the Kingdom of Tonga interprets the requirement in article 6 concerning 'reparation or satisfaction' as being fulfilled if one or other of these forms of redress is made available and interprets 'satisfaction' as including any form of redress effective to bring the discriminatory conduct to an end. In addition it interprets article 20 and the other related provisions of Part III of the Convention as meaning that if a reservation is not accepted the State making the reservation does not become a Party to the Convention.

"Lastly, the Kingdom of Tonga maintains its position in regard to article 15. In its view this article is discriminatory in that it establishes a procedure for the receipt of petitions relating to dependent territories while making no comparable provision for States without such territories. Moreover, the article purports to establish a procedure applicable to the dependent territories of States whether or not those States have become parties to the Convention. His Majesty's Government have decided that the King-

## IV.2: Racial discrimination

dom of Tonga should accede to the Convention, these objections notwithstanding because of the importance they attach to the Convention as a whole."

### UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic states that the provision in article 17, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination whereby a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention is of a discriminatory nature, and hold that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, the Convention should be open to participation by all interested States without discrimination or restriction of any kind.

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referral of the dispute to the International Court.

### UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics states that the provision in article 17, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination whereby a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention is of a discriminatory nature, and hold that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, the Convention should be open to participation by all interested States without discrimination or restriction of any kind.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and states that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary for referral of the dispute to the International Court.

### UNITED ARAB EMIRATES<sup>10</sup>

"The accession of the United Arab Emirates to this Convention shall in no way amount to recognition of nor the establishment of any treaty relations with Israel."

### UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

#### Upon signature:

Subject to the following reservation and interpretative statements:

"First, in the present circumstances deriving from the usurpation of power in Rhodesia by the illegal régime, the United Kingdom must sign subject to a reservation of the right not to apply the Convention to Rhodesia unless and until the United Kingdom informs the Secretary-General of the United Nations that it is in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Convention in respect of that territory can be fully implemented.

"Secondly, the United Kingdom wishes to state its understanding of certain articles in the Convention. It interprets article 4 as requiring a party to the Convention to adopt further legislative measures in the fields covered by sub-paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of that article only in so far as it may consider with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the rights expressly set forth in article 5 of the Convention (in particular the right to freedom of opinion and expression and the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association) that some legislative addition to or variation of existing law and practice in those fields is necessary for the attainment of the end specified in the earlier part of article 4. Further, the United Kingdom interprets the requirement in article 6 concerning "reparation or satisfaction" as being fulfilled if one or other of these forms of redress is made available and interprets "satisfaction" as including any form of redress effective to bring the discriminatory conduct to an end. In addition it interprets article 20 and the other related provisions of Part III of the Convention as meaning that if a reservation is not accepted the State making the reservation does not become a Party to the Convention.

"Lastly, the United Kingdom maintains its position in regard to article 15. In its view this article is discriminatory in that it establishes a procedure for the receipt of petitions relating to dependent territories while making no comparable provision for States without such territories. Moreover, the article purports to establish a procedure applicable to the dependent territories of States whether or not those States have become parties to the Convention. Her Majesty's Government have decided that the United Kingdom should not sign the Convention, these objections notwithstanding, because of the importance they attach to the Convention as a whole."

#### Upon ratification:

"First, the reservation and interpretative statements made by the United Kingdom at the time of signature of the Convention are maintained.

"Secondly, the United Kingdom does not regard the Commonwealth Immigrants Acts, 1962 and 1968, or their application, as involving any racial discrimination within the meaning of paragraph 1 of article 1, or any other provision of the Convention, and fully reserves its right to continue to apply those Acts.

"Lastly, to the extent if any, that any law relating to election in Fiji may not fulfil the obligations referred to in article 5(c), that any law relating to land in Fiji which prohibits or restricts the alienation of land by the indigenous inhabitants may not fulfil the obligations referred to in article 5(d)(v), or that the



## IV.2: Racial discrimination

school system of Fiji may not fulfil the obligations referred to in articles 2, 3 or 5(e)(v), the United Kingdom reserves the right not to apply the Convention to Fiji."

### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"The Constitution of the United States contains provisions for the protection of individual rights, such as the right of free speech, and nothing in the Convention shall be deemed to require or to authorize legislation or other action by the United States of America incompatible with the provisions of the Constitution of the United States of America."

### VIET NAM

#### Declaration:

(1) The Government of the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam declares that the provisions of article

17(1) and of article 18(1) of the Convention whereby a number of States are deprived of the opportunity of becoming Parties to the said Convention are of a discriminatory nature and it considers that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, the Convention should be open to participation by all States without discrimination or restriction of any kind. (The reservation was circulated by the Secretary-General on 10 August 1982.)<sup>6</sup>

#### Reservation.

(2) The Government of the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention and holds that, for any dispute with regard to the interpretation or application of the Convention to be brought before the International Court of Justice, the consent of all parties to the dispute is necessary.

### Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

### BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

29 December 1983

The ratification of the above-mentioned International Convention by the so-called "Government of Democratic Kampuchea" - the Pol Pot clique of hangmen overthrown by the Kampuchean people - is completely unlawful and has no legal force. Only the representatives authorized by the State Council of the People's Republic of Kampuchea can act in the name of Kampuchea. There is only one State of Kampuchea in the world - the People's Republic of Kampuchea, which has been recognized by a large number of countries. All power in this State is entirely in the hands of its only lawful Government, the Government of the People's Republic of Kampuchea, which has the exclusive right to act in the name of Kampuchea in the international arena, including the right to ratify international agreements prepared within the United Nations.

Nor should one fail to observe that the farce involving the ratification of the above-mentioned international convention by a clique representing no one mocks the norms of law and morality and is a direct insult to the memory of millions of Kampuchean victims of the genocide committed against the Kampuchean people by the Pol Pot Sary régime. The entire international community is familiar with the bloody crimes of that puppet clique.

### CZECHOSLOVAKIA

12 March 1984

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic as a party to the International Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Racial Discrimination, opened for signature in New York on 7 March 1966, does not recognize any legal effects of the instrument of ratification of the Convention deposited with the Secretary-General

of the United Nations on 28 November 1983 by the so-called government of 'Democratic Kampuchea'.

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic recognizes the Government of the People's Republic of Kampuchea as the sole representative of the Kampuchean people which alone is entitled to represent and defend the interests of the People's Republic of Kampuchea in bilateral or multilateral international relations and to act as a party to international treaties and agreements.

For the above-stated reasons, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not recognize any right of the government of the so-called 'Democratic Kampuchea' to act and to assume international obligations in the name of the Kampuchean people."

### ETHIOPIA

25 January 1984

"The Provisional Military Government of Socialist Ethiopia should like to reiterate that the Government of the People's Republic of Kampuchea is the sole legitimate representative of the People of Kampuchea and as such it alone has the authority to act on behalf of Kampuchea.

The Provisional Military Government of Socialist Ethiopia, therefore, considers the ratification of the so-called "Government of Democratic Kampuchea" to be null and void."

### FRANCE

15 May 1984

The Government of the French Republic, which does not recognize the coalition government of the Democratic Cambodia, declares that the instrument of ratification by the coalition government of Democratic Cambodia of the [International] Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, opened for signature at New York on 7 March 1966, is without effect.

IV.2: Racial discrimination

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

26 April 1984

"The German Democratic Republic does not recognize the so-called Coalition Government of Democratic Kampuchea and therefore regards its instrument of ratification concerning the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination of [7 March 1966] as being without legal force. The only legitimate representative of the people of Kampuchea is the Government of the People's Republic of Kampuchea. It has the exclusive right to act in the name of Kampuchea in the international arena, including the right to sign and to ratify international agreements."

MONGOLIA

7 June 1984

"The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic considers that only the People's Revolutionary Council of Kampuchea as the sole authentic and lawful representative of the Kampuchean people has the right to assume international obligations on behalf of the Kampuchean people. Therefore the Government of the Mongolian People's Republic considers that the ratification of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination by the so-called Democratic Kampuchea, a regime that ceased to exist as a result of the people's revolution in Kampuchea, is null and void."

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

17 January 1984

The ratification of the above-mentioned international convention by the Pol Pot-Ieng Sary clique, which is guilty of the annihilation of millions of Kampuchean people and which was overthrown in 1979 by the Kampuchean people, is thoroughly illegal and has no juridical force. There is only one Kampuchean State in the world, namely, the People's Republic of Kampuchea. All authority in this State is vested wholly in its sole legitimate government, the Government of the People's Republic of Kampuchea. This Government alone has the exclusive right to speak on behalf of Kampuchea at the international level, while the supreme organ of State power, the State Council of the People's Republic of Kampuchea has the exclusive right to ratify international agreements drawn up within the framework of the United Nations.

Declarations recognizing the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination in accordance with article 14 of the Convention<sup>15</sup>

(Unless otherwise indicated the declaration was made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

COSTA RICA

8 January 1974

Costa Rica recognizes the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination established under article 8 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

28 December 1983

The ratification of the above-mentioned international convention by the so-called "Government of Democratic Kampuchea" - the Pol Pot-Ieng Sary clique of hangmen overthrown by the Kampuchean people - is completely unlawful and has no legal force. Only the representatives authorized by the State Council of the People's Republic of Kampuchea can act in the name of Kampuchea. There is only one State of Kampuchea in the world - the People's Republic of Kampuchea - , which has been recognized by a large number of countries. All power in this State is entirely in the hands of its only lawful Government, the Government of the People's Republic of Kampuchea, which has the exclusive right to ratify international agreements prepared within the United Nations.

Nor should one fail to observe that the farce involving the ratification of the above-mentioned international convention by a clique representing no one mocks the norms of law and morality and is direct insult to the memory of millions of Kampuchean victims of the genocide committed against the Kampuchean People by the Pol Pot-Ieng Sary régime.

VIET NAM

29 February 1984

"The Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam considers that only the Government of the People's Republic of Kampuchea, which is the sole genuine and legitimate representative of the Kampuchean People, is empowered to act in their behalf to sign, ratify or accede to international conventions.

The Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam rejects as null and void the ratification of the above-mentioned international Convention by the so-called "Democratic Kampuchea" - a genocidal regime overthrown by the Kampuchean people since January 7, 1979.

Furthermore, the ratification of the Convention by a genocidal regime, which massacred more than 3 million Kampuchean people in gross violation of fundamental standards of morality and international laws on human rights, simply plays down the significance of the Convention and jeopardises the prestige of the United Nations "

Discrimination, in accordance with article 14 of the Convention, to receive and consider communications from individuals or groups of individuals within its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation by the State of any of the rights set forth in the Convention.

DENMARK

11 October 1985

Denmark recognizes the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination to receive and consider communications from individuals or groups of individuals within Danish jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation by Denmark of any of the rights set forth in the Convention, with the reservation that the Committee shall not consider any communications unless it has ascertained that the same matter has not been, and is not being, examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement.

FRANCE

16 August 1982

[The Government of the French Republic declares], in accordance with article 14 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination opened for signature on 7 March 1966, [that it] recognizes the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination to receive and consider communications from individuals or groups of individuals within French jurisdiction that either by reason of acts or omissions, events or deeds occurring after 15 August 1982, or by reason of a decision concerning the acts or omissions, events or deeds after the said date, would complain of being victims of a violation, by the French Republic, of one of the rights mentioned in the Convention.

ECUADOR

18 March 1977

The State of Ecuador, by virtue of Article of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, recognizes the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination to receive and consider communications from individuals or groups of individuals within its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation of the rights set forth in the above-mentioned Convention.

ICELAND

10 August 1981

"In accordance with article 14 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination which was opened for signature in New York on 7 March 1966 that Iceland recognizes the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination to receive and consider communication from individuals or groups of individuals within the jurisdiction of Iceland claiming to be victims of a violation by Iceland of any of the rights set forth in the Convention, with the reservation that the Committee shall not consider any communication from an individual or group of individuals unless the Committee has ascertained that the same matter is not being examined or has not been examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement."

ITALY

5 May 1978

With reference to article 14, paragraph 1, of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, opened for signature at New York on 7 March 1966, the Government of the Italian Republic recognizes the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, established by the aforementioned Convention, to receive and consider communications from individuals or groups of individuals within Italian jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation by Italy of any of the rights set forth in the Convention.

The Government of the Italian Republic recognizes that competence on the understanding that the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination shall not consider any communication without ascertaining that the same matter is not being considered or has not already been considered by another international body of investigation or settlement.

NETHERLANDS

In accordance with article 14, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination concluded at New York on 7 March 1966, the Kingdom of the Netherlands recognizes, for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles, the competence of the Committee for the Elimination of Racial Discrimination to receive and consider communications from individuals or groups of individuals within its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation, by the Kingdom of the Netherlands, of any of the rights set forth in the above-mentioned Convention.

NORWAY

23 January 1976

"The Norwegian Government recognizes the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination to receive and consider communications from individuals or groups of individuals within the jurisdiction of Norway claiming to be victims of a violation of any of the rights set forth in the International Convention of 21 December 1965 on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination according to article 14 of the said Convention, with the reservation that the Committee shall not consider any communication from an individual or group of individuals unless the Committee has ascertained that the same matter is not being examined or has not been examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement."

PERU

27 November 1984

[The Government of the Republic of Peru declares] that, in accordance with its policy of full respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, without distinctions as to race, sex, language or religion, and with the aim of strengthening the international instruments on the subject, Peru

recognizes the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination to receive and consider communications from individuals or groups of individuals within its jurisdiction, who claim to be victims of violations of any of the rights set forth in the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, in conformity with the provisions of article 14 of the Convention.

SENEGAL

3 December 1982

... In accordance with [article 14], the Government of Senegal declares that it recognizes the competence of the Committee (on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination) to receive and consider communications from individuals within its jurisdiction claiming to be victims of a violation by Senegal of any of the rights set forth in the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination.

SWEDEN

"... Sweden recognizes the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination to receive and consider communications from individuals or groups of individuals within the jurisdiction of Sweden claiming to be victims of a violation by Sweden of any of the rights set forth in the Convention, with the reservation that the Committee shall not consider any communication from an individual or a group of individuals unless the Committee has ascertained that the same matter is not being examined or has not been examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement."

URUGUAY

11 September 1972

The Government of Uruguay recognizes the competence of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, under article 14 of the Convention.

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Twentieth Session, Supplement No. 14 (A/6014), p. 47.

2/ Article 19 of the Convention provides that the Convention shall enter into force on the thirtieth day after the date of deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations of the twenty-seventh instrument of ratification or instrument of accession. On 5 December 1968, the Government of Poland deposited the twenty-seventh instrument. However, among those instruments there were some which contained a reservation and therefore were subject to the provisions of article 20 of the Convention allowing States to notify objections within ninety days from the date of circulation by the Secretary-General of the reservations. In respect of two such instruments, namely those of Kuwait and Spain, the ninety-day period had not yet expired on the date of deposit of the twenty-seventh instrument. The reservation contained in one further instrument, that of India, had not yet been circulated on that date, and the twenty-seventh instrument itself, that of Poland, contained a reservation; in respect of these two instruments the ninety-day period would only begin to run on the date of the Secretary-General's notification of their deposit. Therefore, in that notification, which was dated 13 December 1968, the Secretary-General called the attention of the interested States to the situation and stated the following:

"It appears from the provisions of article 20 of the Convention that it would not be possible to determine the legal effect of the four instruments in question pending the expiry of the respective periods of time mentioned in the preceding paragraph.

"Having regard to the above-mentioned consideration, the Secretary-General is not at the present time in a position to ascertain the date of entry into force of the Convention."

Subsequently, in a notification dated 17 March 1969, the Secretary-General informed the interested States; (a) that within the period of ninety days from the date of his previous notification he had received an objection from one State to the reservation contained in the instrument of ratification by the Government of India; and (b) that the Convention, in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 19, had entered into force on 4 January 1969, i.e., on the thirtieth day after the date of deposit of the instrument of ratification of the Convention by the Government of Poland, which was the twenty-seventh instrument of ratification or instrument of accession deposited with the Secretary-General.

3/ The Convention had previously been signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 31 March 1966 and 10 December 1970 respectively. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

With reference to the above-mentioned signature and/or ratification, communications have been received by the Secretary-General from the Governments of Bulgaria (12 March 1971), Mongolia (11 January 1971), the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic (9 June 1971), the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (21 April 1971) and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (18 January 1971) stating that they considered the said signature and/or ratification as null and void, since the so-called "Government of China" had no right to speak or assume obligations on behalf of China, there being only one Chinese State, the People's Republic of China, and one Government entitled to represent it, the Government of the People's Republic of China.

In letters addressed to the Secretary-General in regard to the above-mentioned communications, the Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations stated that the Republic of China, a sovereign State and Member of the United Nations, had attended the twentieth regular session of the

United Nations General Assembly, contributed to the formulation of the Convention concerned, signed the Convention and duly deposited the instrument of ratification thereof, and that "any statements and reservations relating to the above-mentioned Convention that are incompatible with or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China under this Convention".

Finally, upon depositary its instrument of accession, the Government of the People's Republic of China made the following declaration: The signing and ratification of the said Convention by the Taiwan authorities in the name of China are illegal and null and void.

4/ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Convention "shall also apply to Land Berlin with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, the Secretary-General received communications from the Governments of Bulgaria (16 September 1969), Czechoslovakia (3 November 1969), Mongolia (7 January 1970), Poland (20 June 1969), the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (10 November 1969) and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (4 August 1969). The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to those referred in the second paragraph of note 2 in chapter III 3.

On 27 December 1973, the Government of the German Democratic Republic made in respect of the above-mentioned declaration a declaration which is identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the one reproduced in the fourth paragraph of note 2 in chapter III.3. Subsequently, the Secretary-General received from the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany (15 July 1974 and 19 September 1975), France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America (17 June 1974 and 8 July 1975), the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (19 September 1974) and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (12 September 1974 and 8 December 1975), declarations identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones reproduced in note 2 in chapter III.3.

5/ With respect to the Associated States (Antigua, Dominica, Grenada, Saint Christopher-Nevis-Anguilla and Saint Lucia) and Territories under the territorial sovereignty of the United Kingdom, as well as the State of Brunei, the Kingdom of Tonga and the British Solomon Islands Protectorate

6/ None of the States concerned having objected to the reservation by the end of a period of ninety days after the date when it was circulated by the Secretary-General, the said reservation is deemed to have been permitted in accordance with the provisions of article 20(1).

7/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 12 February 1973 the Permanent Representative of Israel to the United Nations stated "The Government of Israel has

noted the political character of a reservation made by the Government of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen on that occasion. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, that declaration cannot in any way affect the obligations of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen already existing under general international law or under particular treaties. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen, an attitude of complete reciprocity."

8/ In a communication received on 4 October 1972, the Government of Denmark notified the Secretary-General that it withdrew the reservation made with regard to the implementation on the Faroe Islands of the Convention for the text of the reservation see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 820, p. 457.

The legislation by which the Convention has been implemented on the Faroe Islands entered into force by 1 November 1972, from which date the withdrawal of the above reservation became effective.

9/ In a notification received on 18 January 1980, the Government of Egypt informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw the declaration relating to Israel. For the text of the declaration see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 660, p. 318. The notification indicates 25 January 1980 as the effective date of the withdrawal.

10/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 10 July 1969, the Government of Israel declared that it "has noted the political character of the declaration made by the Government of Iraq on signing the above Convention

In the view of the Government of Israel, the Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity. Moreover, it is the view of the Government of Israel that no legal relevance can be attached to those Iraqi statements which purport to represent the views of the other States".

Except for the omission of the last sentence, identical communications in essence, mutatis mutandis, were received by the Secretary-General from the Government of Israel as follows. on 29 December 1966 in respect of the declaration made on signature of the Convention by the Government of the United Arab Republic; on 16 August 1968 in respect of the declaration made on accession by the Government of Libya; on 12 December 1968 in respect of the declaration made on accession by the Government of Kuwait; on 9 July 1969 in respect of the declaration made on accession by the Government of Syria, and on 25 September 1974 in respect of the declaration made upon accession by the United Arab Emirates

11/ In a communication received subsequently, the Government of France indicated that the first

paragraph of the declaration did not purport to limit the obligations under the Convention in respect of the French Government, but only to record the latter's interpretation of article 4 of the Convention.

12/ In a communication received on 24 February 1969, the Government of Pakistan notified the Secretary-General that it "has decided not to accept the reservation made by the Government of India in her instrument of ratification".

13/ On 21 April 1970, the Secretary-General received the following notification from the Government of Israel: "With regard to the political declaration in the guise of a reservation made on the occasion of the ratification of the above treaty, the Government of Israel wishes to refer to its objection circulated by the Secretary-General in his letter [...] [see note 10] and to maintain that objection".

14/ By a notification received on 28 October 1977, the Government of Tonga informed the Secretary-General that it has decided to withdraw only those reservations made upon accession relating to article 5 (c) in so far as it relates to elections, and reservations relating to articles 2, 3 and 5 (e) (v), in so far as these articles relate to education and training. For the text of the original reservation see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 829, p. 371.

15/ The first ten declarations recognizing the competence of the Committee on the elimination of racial discrimination took effect on 3 December 1982, date of the deposit of the tenth declaration, according to article 14, paragraph 1 of the Convention.

IV.3: Economic, social and cultural rights

3. INTERNATIONAL CONVENANT ON ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RIGHTS

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 16 December 1966

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 3 January 1976, in accordance with article 27.<sup>1</sup>  
 REGISTRATION: 3 January 1976, No. 14531.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 993, p. 3.

Note: The Covenant was opened for signature at New York on 19 December 1966.

Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a) succession (d)	Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a) succession (d)
Afghanistan . . . .		24 Jan 1983 <sup>a</sup>	Lebanon . . . . .		3 Nov 1972 <sup>a</sup>
Algeria . . . . .	10 Dec 1968		Liberia . . . . .	18 Apr 1967	
Argentina . . . . .	19 Feb 1968	8 Aug 1986	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . .		15 May 1970 <sup>a</sup>
Australia . . . . .	18 Dec 1972	10 Dec 1975	Luxembourg . . . .	26 Nov 1974	18 Aug 1983
Austria . . . . .	10 Dec 1973	10 Sep 1978	Madagascar . . . .	14 Apr 1970	22 Sep 1971
Barbados . . . . .		5 Jan 1973 <sup>a</sup>	Mali . . . . .		16 Jul 1974 <sup>a</sup>
Belgium . . . . .	10 Dec 1968	21 Apr 1983	Malta . . . . .	22 Oct 1968	
Bolivia . . . . .		12 Aug 1982 <sup>a</sup>	Mauritius . . . . .		12 Dec 1973 <sup>a</sup>
Bulgaria . . . . .	8 Oct 1968	21 Sep 1970	Mexico . . . . .		23 Mar 1981 <sup>a</sup>
Byelorussian SSR	19 Mar 1968	12 Nov 1973	Mongolia . . . . .	5 Jun 1968	18 Nov 1974
Cameroon . . . . .		27 Jun 1984 <sup>a</sup>	Morocco . . . . .	19 Jan 1977	3 May 1979
Canada . . . . .		19 May 1976 <sup>a</sup>	Netherlands . . . .	25 Jun 1969	11 Dec 1978
Central African Republic . . . . .		8 May 1981 <sup>a</sup>	New Zealand . . . .	12 Nov 1968	28 Dec 1978
Chile . . . . .	16 Sep 1969	10 Feb 1972	Nicaragua . . . . .		12 Mar 1980 <sup>a</sup>
China <sup>2</sup>			Niger . . . . .		7 Mar 1986 <sup>a</sup>
Colombia . . . . .	21 Dec 1966	29 Oct 1969	Norway . . . . .	20 Mar 1968	13 Sep 1972
Congo . . . . .		5 Oct 1983 <sup>a</sup>	Panama . . . . .	27 Jul 1976	8 Mar 1977
Costa Rica . . . . .	19 Dec 1966	29 Nov 1968	Peru . . . . .	11 Aug 1977	28 Apr 1978
Cyprus . . . . .	9 Jan 1967	2 Apr 1969	Philippines . . . .	19 Dec 1966	7 Jun 1974
Czechoslovakia . .	7 Oct 1968	23 Dec 1975	Poland . . . . .	2 Mar 1967	18 Mar 1977
Democratic Kampuchea <sup>3</sup> . . . .	17 Oct 1980		Portugal . . . . .	7 Oct 1976	31 Jul 1978
Democratic People's Republic of Korea		14 Sep 1981 <sup>a</sup>	Romania . . . . .	27 Jun 1968	9 Dec 1974
Denmark . . . . .	20 Mar 1968	6 Jan 1972	Rwanda . . . . .		16 Apr 1975 <sup>a</sup>
Dominican Republic		4 Jan 1978 <sup>a</sup>	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines		9 Nov 1981 <sup>a</sup>
Ecuador . . . . .	29 Sep 1967	6 Mar 1969	San Marino . . . . .		18 Oct 1985 <sup>a</sup>
Egypt . . . . .	4 Aug 1967	14 Jan 1982	Senegal . . . . .	6 Jul 1970	13 Feb 1978
El Salvador . . . .	21 Sep 1967	30 Nov 1979	Solomon Islands . .		17 Mar 1982 <sup>d5</sup>
Finland . . . . .	11 Oct 1967	19 Aug 1975	Spain . . . . .	28 Sep 1976	27 Apr 1977
France . . . . .		4 Nov 1980 <sup>a</sup>	Sri Lanka . . . . .		11 Jun 1980 <sup>a</sup>
Gabon . . . . .		21 Jan 1983 <sup>a</sup>	Sudan . . . . .		18 Mar 1986 <sup>a</sup>
Gambia . . . . .		29 Dec 1978 <sup>a</sup>	Suriname . . . . .		28 Dec 1976 <sup>a</sup>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	27 Mar 1973	8 Nov 1973	Sweden . . . . .	29 Sep 1967	6 Dec 1971
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>4</sup> . . . .	9 Oct 1968	17 Dec 1973	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .		21 Apr 1969 <sup>a</sup>
Greece . . . . .		16 May 1985 <sup>a</sup>	Togo . . . . .		24 May 1984 <sup>a</sup>
Guinea . . . . .	28 Feb 1967	24 Jan 1978	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		8 Dec 1978 <sup>a</sup>
Guyana . . . . .	22 Aug 1968	15 Feb 1977	Tunisia . . . . .	30 Apr 1968	18 Mar 1969
Honduras . . . . .	19 Dec 1966	17 Feb 1981	Ukrainian SSR . . .	20 Mar 1968	12 Nov 1973
Hungary . . . . .	25 Mar 1969	17 Jan 1974	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	18 Mar 1968	16 Oct 1973
Iceland . . . . .	30 Dec 1968	22 Aug 1979	United Kingdom	16 Sep 1968	20 May 1976
India . . . . .		10 Apr 1979 <sup>a</sup>	United Republic of Tanzania . . . .		11 Jun 1976 <sup>a</sup>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . .	4 Apr 1968	24 Jun 1975	United States of America . . . . .	5 Oct 1977	
Iraq . . . . .	18 Feb 1969	25 Jan 1971	Uruguay . . . . .	21 Feb 1967	1 Apr 1970
Ireland . . . . .	1 Oct 1973		Venezuela . . . . .	24 Jun 1969	10 May 1978
Israel . . . . .	19 Dec 1966		Viet Nam . . . . .		24 Sep 1982 <sup>a</sup>
Italy . . . . .	18 Jan 1967	15 Sep 1978	Yugoslavia . . . . .	8 Aug 1967	2 Jun 1971
Jamaica . . . . .	19 Dec 1966	3 Oct 1975	Zaire . . . . .		1 Nov 1976 <sup>a</sup>
Japan . . . . .	30 May 1978	21 Jun 1979	Zambia . . . . .		10 Apr 1984 <sup>a</sup>
Jordan . . . . .	30 Jun 1972	28 May 1975			
Kenya . . . . .		1 May 1972 <sup>a</sup>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession. For objections thereto and territorial applications see hereinafter.)

AFGHANISTAN

Declaration:

The presiding body of the Revolutionary council of the Democratic Republic of Afghanistan declares that the provisions of paragraphs 1 and 3 of article 48 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and provisions of paragraphs 1 and 3 of article 26 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, according to which some countries cannot join the aforesaid Covenants, contradicts the International character of the aforesaid treaties. Therefore, according to the equal rights to all States to sovereignty, both Covenants should be left open for the purpose of the participation of all States.

BARBADOS

"The Government of Barbados states that it reserves the right to postpone-

"(a) The application of sub-paragraph (a) (1) of article 7 of the Covenant in so far as it concerns the provision of equal pay to men and women for equal work;

"(b) The application of article 10(2) in so far as it relates to the special protection to be accorded mothers during a reasonable period during and after childbirth; and

"(c) The application of article 13(2) (a) of the Covenant, in so far as it relates to primary education; since, while the Barbados Government fully accepts the principles embodied in the same articles and undertakes to take the necessary steps to apply them in their entirety, the problems of implementation are such that full application of the principles in question cannot be guaranteed at this stage."

BELGIUM

Interpretative declaration:

1. With respect to article 2, paragraph 2, the Belgian Government interprets non-discrimination as to national origin as not necessarily implying an obligation on States automatically to guarantee to foreigners the same rights as to their nationals. The term should be understood to refer to the elimination of any arbitrary behaviour but not of differences in treatment based on objective and reasonable considerations, in conformity with the principles prevailing in democratic societies.

2. With respect to article 2, paragraph 3, the Belgian Government understands that this provision cannot infringe the principle of fair compensation in the event of expropriation or nationalization.

BULGARIA

"The People's Republic of Bulgaria deems it necessary to underline that the provisions of article 48, paragraphs 1 and 3, of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and

article 26, paragraphs 1 and 3, of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, under which a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become parties to the Covenants, are of a discriminatory nature. These provisions are inconsistent with the very nature of the Covenants, which are universal in character and should be open for accession by all States. In accordance with the principle of sovereign equality, no State has the right to bar other States from becoming parties to a covenant of this kind."

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 26 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and of paragraph 1 of article 48 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, under which a number of States cannot become parties to these Covenants, are of a discriminatory nature and considers that the Covenants, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, should be open for participation by all States concerned without any discrimination or limitation.

CONGO

Reservation:

The Government of the People's Republic of the Congo declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, paragraphs 3 and 4 . . .

Paragraphs 3 and 4 of article 13 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights embody the principle of freedom of education by allowing parents the liberty to choose for their children schools other than those established by the public authorities. Those provisions also authorize individuals to establish and direct educational institutions.

In our country, such provisions are inconsistent with the principle of nationalization of education and with the monopoly granted to the State in that area.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Upon signature:

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of article 26, paragraph 1, of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights are in contradiction with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multilateral treaties governing matters of general interest.

Upon ratification:

The provision of a article 26, paragraph 1, of the Covenant is in contradiction with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multilateral treaties regulating matters of general interest.



DENMARK<sup>6</sup>

"The Government of Denmark cannot, for the time being, undertake to comply entirely with the provisions of Article 7 (d) on remuneration for public holidays."

FRANCE

Declarations

(1) The Government of the Republic considers that, in accordance with Article 103 of the Charter of the United Nations, in case of conflict between its obligations under the Covenant and its obligations under the Charter (especially Articles 1 and 2 thereof), its obligations under the Charter will prevail.

(2) The Government of the Republic declares that articles 6, 9, 11 and 13 are not to be interpreted as derogating from provisions governing the access of aliens to employment or as establishing residence requirements for the allocation of certain social benefits.

(3) The Government of the Republic declares that it will implement the provisions of article 8 in respect of the right to strike in conformity with article 6, paragraph 4, of the European Social Charter according to the interpretation thereof given in the annex to that Charter.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic considers that article 26, paragraph 1, of the Covenant runs counter to the principle that all States which are guided in their policies by the purposes and principles of the United Nations Charter have the right to become parties to conventions which affect the interests of all States.

The German Democratic Republic has ratified the two Covenants in accordance with the policy it has so far pursued with the view to safeguarding human rights. It is convinced that these Covenants promote the world-wide struggle for the enforcement of human rights, which is an integral part of the struggle for the maintenance and strengthening of peace. On the occasion of the 25th anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights it thus contributes to the peaceful international cooperation of states, to the promotion of human rights and to the joint struggle against their violation by aggressive policies, colonialism and apartheid, racism and other forms of assaults on the right of the peoples to self-determination.

The Constitution of the German Democratic Republic guarantees the political, economic, social and cultural rights to every citizen independent of race, sex and religion. Socialist democracy has created the conditions for every citizen not only to enjoy these rights but also take an active part in their implementation and enforcement.

Such fundamental human rights as the right to peace, the right to work and social security, the equality of women, and the right to education have been fully implemented in the German Democratic Republic. The Government of the German Democratic Republic has always paid great attention to the material prerequisites for guaranteeing above all the social and economic rights. The

welfare of the working people and its continuous improvement are the leitmotif of the entire policy of the Government of the German Democratic Republic.

The Government of the German Democratic Republic holds that the signing and ratification of the two human rights Covenants by further member states of the United Nations would be an important step to implement the aims for respecting and promoting the human rights, the aims proclaimed in the United Nations Charter.

GUINEA

In accordance with the principle whereby all States whose policies are guided by the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations are entitled to become parties to covenants affecting the interests of the international community, the Government of the Republic of Guinea considers that the provisions of article 26, paragraph 1, of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights are contrary to the principle of the universality of international treaties and the democratization of international relations.

The Government of the Republic of Guinea likewise considers that article 1, paragraph 3, and the provisions of article 14 of that instrument are contrary to the provisions of the Charter of the United Nations, in general, and United Nations resolutions on the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, in particular.

The above provisions are contrary to the Declaration on Principles of International Law Concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States contained in General Assembly resolution 2625 (XXV), pursuant to which every State has the duty to promote realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to put an end to colonialism.

HUNGARY

Upon signature.

"The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that paragraph 1 of article 26 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and paragraph 1 of article 48 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights according to which certain States may not become signatories to the said Covenants are of a discriminatory nature and are contrary to the basic principle of international law that all States are entitled to become signatories to general multilateral treaties. These discriminatory provisions are incompatible with the objectives and purposes of the Covenants."

Upon ratification:

"The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that the provisions of article 48, paragraphs 1 and 3, of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and article 26, paragraphs 1 and 3, of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights are inconsistent with the universal character of the Covenants. It follows from the principle of sovereign equality of States that the Covenants should be open for participation by all States without any discrimination or limitation."

INDIA

Declarations

"I. With reference to article 1 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, . . . the Government of the Republic of India declares that the words 'the right of self-determination' appearing in [this article] apply only to the peoples under foreign domination and that these words do not apply to sovereign independent States or to a section of a people or nation--which is the essence of national integrity.

"II. With reference to article 9 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the Government of the Republic of India takes the position that the provisions of the article shall be so applied as to be in consonance with the provisions of clauses (3) to (7) of article 22 of the Constitution of India. Further under the Indian Legal System, there is no enforceable right to compensation for persons claiming to be victims of unlawful arrest or detention against the State.

"III. With respect to article 13 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the Government of the Republic of India reserves its right to apply its law relating to foreigners.

"IV. With reference to articles 4 and 8 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, the Government of the Republic of India declares that the provisions of the said [article] shall be so applied as to be in conformity with the provisions of article 19 of the Constitution of India.

"V. With reference to article 7 (c) of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, the Government of the Republic of India declares that the provisions of the said article shall be so applied as to be in conformity with the provisions of article 16(4) of the Constitution of India "

IRAQ<sup>7</sup>

Upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The entry of the Republic of Iraq as a party to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights shall in no way signify recognition of Israel nor shall it entail any obligation towards Israel under the said two Covenants."

"The entry of the Republic of Iraq as a party to the above two Covenants shall not constitute entry by it as a party to the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights."

Upon ratification:

"Ratification by Iraq . . . shall in no way signify recognition of Israel nor shall it be conducive to entry with her into such dealings as are regulated by the said [Covenant]."

JAPAN

Reservations and declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"1. In applying the provisions of paragraph (d) of article 7 of the International Covenant on Eco-

nomie, Social and Cultural Rights, Japan reserves the right not to be bound by "remuneration for public holidays" referred to in the said provisions.

"2. Japan reserves the right not to be bound by the provisions of sub-paragraph (d) of paragraph 1 of article 8 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, except in relation to the sectors in which the right referred to in the said provisions is accorded in accordance with the laws and regulations of Japan at the time of ratification of the Covenant by the Government of Japan.

"3. In applying the provisions of sub-paragraphs (b) and (c) of paragraph 2 of article 13 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, Japan reserves the right not to be bound by 'in particular by the progressive introduction of free education' referred to in the said provisions.

"4. Recalling the position taken by the Government of Japan, when ratifying the Convention (No. 87) concerning Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organise, that 'the police' referred to in article 9 of the said Convention be interpreted to include the fire service of Japan, the Government of Japan declares that 'members----- of the police' referred to in paragraph 2 of article 8 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights as well as in paragraph 2 of article 22 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights be interpreted to include fire service personnel of Japan "

KENYA

"While the Kenya Government recognizes and endorses the principles laid down in paragraph 2 of article 10 of the Covenant, the present circumstances obtaining in Kenya do not render necessary or expedient the imposition of those principles by legislation."

LIBYAN ARAB JAMAHIRIYA<sup>6</sup>

"The acceptance and the accession to this Covenant by the Libyan Arab Republic shall in no way signify a recognition of Israel or be conducive to entry by the Libyan Arab Republic into such dealings with Israel as are regulated by the Covenant."

MADAGASCAR

The Government of Madagascar states that it reserves the right to postpone the application of article 13, paragraph 2, of the Covenant, more particularly in so far as relates to primary education, since, while the Malagasy Government fully accepts the principles embodied in the said paragraph and undertakes to take the necessary steps to apply them in their entirety at the earliest possible date, the problems of implementation, and particularly the financial implications, are such that full application of the principles in question cannot be guaranteed at this stage.

MALTA

"The Government of Malta recognises and endorses the principles laid down in paragraph 2 of article 10 of the Covenant. However, the present circumstances obtaining in Malta do not render necessary and do not render expedient the imposition of those principles by legislation."

MEXICO

Interpretative statement:

The Government of Mexico accedes to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights with the understanding that article 8 of the Covenant shall be applied in the Mexican Republic under the conditions and in conformity with the procedure established in the applicable provisions of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States and the relevant implementing legislation.

MONGOLIA

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Mongolian People's Republic declares that the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 26 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and of paragraph 1 of article 48 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, under which a number of States cannot become parties to these Covenants, are of a discriminatory nature and considers that the Covenants, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, should be open for participation by all States concerned without any discrimination or limitation.

NETHERLANDS

Reservation with respect to Article 8, paragraph 1 (d)

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands does not accept this provision in the case of the Netherlands Antilles with regard to the latter's central and local government bodies."

Explanation

"[The Kingdom of the Netherlands] clarify that although it is not certain whether the reservation [...] is necessary, [it] has preferred the form of a reservation to that of a declaration. In this way the Kingdom of the Netherlands wishes to ensure that the relevant obligation under the Covenant does not apply to the Kingdom as far as the Netherlands Antilles is concerned."

NEW ZEALAND

"The Government of New Zealand reserves the right not apply article 8 to the extent that existing legislative measures, enacted to ensure effective trade union representation and encourage orderly industrial relations, may not be fully compatible with that article."

"The Government of New Zealand reserves the right to postpone, in the economic circumstances foreseeable at the present time, the implementation of article 10(2) as it relates to paid ma-

ternity leave or leave with adequate social security benefits."

NORWAY

Subject to reservations to article 8, paragraph 1 (d) "to the effect that the current Norwegian practice of referring labour conflicts to the State Wages Board (a permanent tripartite arbitral commission in matters of wages) by Act of Parliament for the particular conflict, shall not be considered incompatible with the right to strike, this right being fully recognised in Norway."

ROMANIA

Upon signature:

The Government of the Socialist Republic of Romania declares that the provisions of article 26, paragraph 1, of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights are at variance with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multilateral treaties governing matters of general interest.

Upon ratification:

(a) The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of article 26 (1) of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights are inconsistent with the principle that multilateral international treaties whose purposes concern the international community as a whole must be open to universal participation.

(b) The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance in a state of dependence of certain territories referred to in articles 1 (3) and 14 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights is inconsistent with the Charter of the United Nations and the instruments adopted by the Organization on the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, adopted unanimously by the United Nations General Assembly in its resolution 2625 (XXV) of 1970, which solemnly proclaims the duty of States to promote the realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring a speedy end to colonialism.

RWANDA

The Rwandese Republic [is] bound, however, in respect of education, only by the provisions of its Constitution.

SWEDEN

Sweden enters a reservation in connexion with article 7 (d) of the Covenant in the matter of the right to remuneration for public holidays.

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC<sup>7</sup>

1. The accession of the Syrian Arab Republic to these two Covenants shall in no way signify recognition of Israel or entry into a relationship with it regarding any matter regulated by the said two Covenants.

2. The Syrian Arab Republic considers that paragraph 1 of article 26 of the Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and paragraph 1 of article 48 of the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights are incompatible with the purposes and objectives of the said Covenants, inasmuch as they do not allow all States, without distinction or discrimination, the opportunity to become parties to the said Covenants.

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

In respect to article 8(1)(d) and 8(2):

"The Government of Trinidad and Tobago reserves the right to impose lawful and or reasonable restrictions on the exercise of the aforementioned rights by personnel engaged in essential services under the Industrial Relations Act or under any Statute replacing same which has been passed in accordance with the provisions of the Trinidad and Tobago Constitution.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 26 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and of paragraph 1 of article 48 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, under which a number of States cannot become parties to these Covenants, are of a discriminatory nature and considers that the Covenants, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, should be open for participation by all States concerned without any discrimination or limitation.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 26 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and of paragraph 1 of article 48 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, under which a number of States cannot become parties to these Covenants, are of a discriminatory nature and considers that the Covenants, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, should be open for participation by all States concerned without any discrimination or limitation.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Upon signature:

"First, the Government of the United Kingdom

declare their understanding that, by virtue of Article 103 of the Charter of the United Nations, in the event of any conflict between their obligations under Article 1 of the Covenant and their obligations under the Charter (in particular, under Articles 1, 2 and 73 thereof) their obligations under the Charter shall prevail.

"Secondly, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that they must reserve the right to postpone the application of sub-paragraph (a) (i) of Article 7 of the Covenant in so far as it concerns the provision of equal pay to men and women for equal work, since, while they fully accept this principle and are pledged to work towards its complete application at the earliest possible time, the problems of implementation are such that complete application cannot be guaranteed at present.

"Thirdly, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that, in relation to Article 8 of the Covenant, they must reserve the right not to apply sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 in Hong Kong, in so far as it may involve the right of trade unions not engaged in the same trade or industry to establish federations or confederations.

"Lastly, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that the provisions of the Covenant shall not apply to Southern Rhodesia unless and until they inform the Secretary-General of the United Nations that they are in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Covenant in respect of that territory can be fully implemented."

Upon ratification:

"Firstly, the Government of the United Kingdom maintain their declaration in respect of article 1 made at the time of signature of the Covenant.

"The Government of the United Kingdom declare that for the purposes of article 2(3) the British Virgin Islands, the Cayman Islands, the Gilbert Islands, the Pitcairn Islands Group, St. Helena and Dependencies, the Turks and Caicos Islands and Tuvalu are developing countries.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to interpret article 6 as not precluding the imposition of restrictions, based on place of birth or residence qualifications, on the taking of employment in any particular region or territory for the purpose of safeguarding the employment opportunities of workers in that region or territory.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to postpone the application of sub-paragraph (i) of paragraph (a) of Article 7, in so far as it concerns the provision of equal pay to men and women for equal work in the private sector in Jersey, Guernsey, the Isle of Man, Bermuda, Hong Kong and the Solomon Islands.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right not to apply sub-paragraph 1(b) of article 8 in Hong Kong.

"The Government of the United Kingdom while recognising the right of everyone to social security in accordance with article 9 reserve the right to postpone implementation of the right in the Cayman Islands and the Falkland Islands because of shortage of resources in these territories.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to postpone the application of paragraph 1 of article 10 in regard to a small number of customary marriages in the Solomon Islands and the application of paragraph 2 of article 10 in so far as it concerns paid maternity leave in Bermuda and the Falkland Islands

"The Government of the United Kingdom maintain the right to postpone the application of sub-paragraph (a) of paragraph 2 of article 13, and article 14, in so far as they require compulsory primary education, in the Gilbert Islands, the Solomon Islands and Tuvalu

"Lastly the Government of the United Kingdom declare that the provisions of the Covenant shall not apply to Southern Rhodesia unless and until they inform the Secretary-General of the United Nations that they are in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Covenant in respect of that territory can be fully implemented "

VIET NAM

Declaration.

That the provisions of article 48, paragraph 1, of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and article 26, paragraph 1, of

the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, under which a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become parties to the Covenants, are of a discriminatory nature. The Government of the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam considers that the Covenants, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, should be open for participation by all States without any discrimination or limitation.

ZAMBIA

Reservation:

The Government of the Republic of Zambia states that it reserves the right to postpone the application of article 13 (2)(a) of the Covenant, in so far as it relates to primary education; since, while the Government of the Republic of Zambia fully accepts the principles embodied in the same article and undertakes to take the necessary steps to apply them in their entirety, the problems of implementation, and particularly the financial implications, are such that full application of the principles in question cannot be guaranteed at this stage.

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification, accession or succession )

FRANCE

The Government of the Republic takes objection to the reservation entered by the Government of India to article 1 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, as this reservation attaches conditions not provided for by the Charter of the United Nations to the exercise of the right of self-determination. The present declaration will not be deemed to be an obstacle to the entry into force of the Covenant between the French Republic and the Republic of India

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

15 August 1980

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany strongly objects, . . . to the declaration made by the Republic of India in respect of article 1 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and of article 1 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

"The right of self-determination as enshrined in the Charter of the United Nations and as embodied in the Covenants applies to all peoples and not only to those under foreign domination. All peoples, therefore, have the inalienable right freely to determine their political status and freely to pursue their economic, social and cultural development. The Federal Government cannot

consider as valid any interpretation of the right of self-determination which is contrary to the clear language of the provisions in question. It moreover considers that any limitation of their applicability to all nations is incompatible with the object and purpose of the Covenants "

NETHERLANDS

12 January 1981

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands objects to the declaration made by the Government of the Republic of India in relation to article 1 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and article 1 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, since the right of self-determination as embodied in the Covenants is conferred upon all peoples. This follows not only from the very language of article 1 common to the two Covenants but as well from the most authoritative statement of the law concerned, i.e. the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations. Any attempt to limit the scope of this right or to attach conditions not provided for in the relevant instruments would undermine the concept of self-determination itself and would thereby seriously weaken its universally acceptable character "

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	20 May 1976	Bailiwick of Guernsey, the Bailiwick of Jersey, the Isle of Man, Belize, Bermuda, the British Virgin Islands, the Cayman Islands, the Falkland Islands and Dependencies <sup>8</sup> , Gibraltar, the Gilbert Islands, Hong Kong, Montserrat, the Pitcairn Group, St. Helena and Dependencies, the Solomon Islands, the Turks and Caicos Islands and Tuvalu.
Netherlands . . . . .	11 Dec 1978	Netherlands Antilles

NOTES

1/ The thirty-fifth instrument of ratification or accession was deposited with the Secretary-General on 3 October 1975. The Contracting States did not object to having those instruments accompanied with reservations taken into account under article 27 (1) for the purpose of determining the date of general entry into force of the Covenant.

2/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 5 October 1967. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1)

With reference to the above-mentioned signature, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Representatives or Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Bulgaria, Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Mongolia, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and Yugoslavia, stating that their Governments did not recognize the said signature as valid since the only Government authorized to represent China and to assume obligations on its behalf was the Government of the People's Republic of China.

In letters addressed to the Secretary-General in regard to the above-mentioned communications, the Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations stated that the Republic of China, a sovereign State and Member of the United Nations, had attended the twenty-first regular session of the General Assembly of the United Nations and contributed to the formulation of, and signed the Covenants and the Optional Protocol concerned, and that "any statements or reservations relating to the above-mentioned Covenants and Optional Protocol that are incompatible with or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China under these Covenants and Optional Protocol".

3/ With respect to the signature by Democratic Kampuchea the Secretary-General received, on 5 November 1980, the following communication from the Government of Mongolia.

"The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic considers that only the People's Revolutionary Council of Kampuchea as the sole authentic and lawful representative of the Kampuchean people has the right to assume

international obligations on behalf of the Kampuchean people. Therefore the Government of the Mongolian People's Republic considers that the signature of the Human Rights Covenants by the representative of the so-called Democratic Kampuchea, a régime that ceased to exist as a result of the people's revolution in Kampuchea, is null and void.

"The signing of the Human Rights Covenants by an individual, whose régime during its short period of reign in Kampuchea had exterminated about 3 million people and had thus grossly violated the elementary norms of human rights, each and every provision of the Human Rights Covenants is a regrettable precedence, which discredits the noble aims and lofty principles of the United Nations Charter, the very spirit of the above-mentioned Covenants, gravely impairs the prestige of the United Nations."

Thereafter, similar communications were received from the Government of the following States on the dates indicated

<u>State</u>	<u>Date of receipt:</u>
German Democratic Republic	11 Dec 1980
Poland . . . . .	12 Dec 1980
Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	16 Dec 1980
Hungary . . . . .	19 Jan 1981
Bulgaria . . . . .	29 Jan 1981
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	18 Feb 1981
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	18 Feb 1981
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	10 Mar 1981

The text of the said objections were circulated as depositary notifications or, at the request, of the States concerned, as official documents of the General Assembly (A/33/781 and A/35/784)

4/ With the following declaration. ". . . The said Covenant shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany except as far as Allied rights and responsibilities are affected"

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 5 July 1974 a communication from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics which states in part as follows:

By reason of their material content, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights of 19 December 1966 directly affect matters of secu-

rity and status. With this in mind the Soviet Union considers the statement made by the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension of the operation of these Covenants to Berlin (West) to be illegal and to have no force in law, since, under the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, the treaty obligations of the Federal Republic of Germany affecting matters of security and status may not be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin

Communications identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, were received from the Governments of the German Democratic Republic (12 August 1974) and of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (16 August 1974).

In this regard, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America, in a communication received on 5 November 1974, made the following declaration:

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America wish to bring to the attention of the States Parties to the Covenants that the extension of the Covenants to the Western Sectors of Berlin received the prior authorization, under established procedures, of the authorities of France, the United Kingdom and the United States on the basis of their supreme authority in those Sectors.

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to point out that the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the primary purpose of both of which is the protection of the rights of the individual, are not treaties which 'by reason of their material content, directly affect matters of security and status'.

"As for the references to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 which are contained in the communication made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics referred to in the Legal Counsel's Note, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to point out that, in a communication to the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics which is an integral part (Annex IV A) of the Quadripartite Agreement, they reaffirmed that, provided that matters of security and status are not affected, international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin. For its part the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, in a communication to the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States which is similarly an integral part (Annex IV B) of the Quadripartite Agreement, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such extension.

"In authorizing the extension of the Covenants to the Western Sectors of Berlin, as mentioned above, the authorities of France, the United Kingdom and the United States took all necessary measures to ensure that the Covenants cannot be applied in the Western Sectors of Berlin in such a way as to affect matters of security and status. Accordingly, the

application of the Covenants to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect."

In a communication received on 6 December 1974, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated in part:

"By their note of 4 November 1974, circulated to all States Parties to either of the Covenants by C.N.306.1974.TREATIES-7 of 19 November 1974, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the communication of the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany shares the position set out in the note of the Three Powers. The extension of the Covenants to Berlin (West) continues in full force and effect."

On the same subject, the Secretary-General received the following communications:

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (13 February 1975):

The Soviet Union deems it essential to reassert its view that the extension by the Federal Republic of Germany of the operation of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights of 19 December 1966 to Berlin (West) is illegal as stated in the note dated 4 July 1974 addressed to the Secretary-General (C.N.145.1974.TREATIES-3) of 5 August 1974.

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (8 July 1975--in relation to the declarations by the German Democratic Republic and by the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic received on 12 and 16 August 1974, respectively):

"The communications mentioned in the Notes listed above refer to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. This Agreement was concluded in Berlin between the Governments of the French Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America. The Governments sending these communications are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement and are therefore not competent to make authoritative comments on its provisions.

The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to bring the following to the attention of the States Parties to the instruments referred to in the above-mentioned communications. When authorising the extension of these instruments to the Western Sectors of Berlin, the authorities of the Three Powers, acting in the exercise of their supreme authority, ensured in accordance with established procedures that those instruments are applied in the Western Sectors of Berlin in such a way as not to affect matters of security and status.

Accordingly, the application of these instruments to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect.

The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not consider it necessary to respond to any further communi-

cations of a similar nature by States which are not signatories to the Quadripartite Agreement. This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of those Governments in this matter."

Federal Republic of Germany (19 September 1975--in relation to the declarations by the German Democratic Republic and the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic received on 12 and 16 August 1974, respectively):

"By their Note of 8 July 1975, disseminated by Circular Note . . . C.N.198.1975.TREATIES-6 of 13 August 1975, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the communications referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the Note of the Three Powers, wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned instruments extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect.

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter."

5/ In a communication received on 10 May 1982, the Government of Solomon Islands declared that Solomon Islands maintains the reservations entered by the United Kingdom save in so far as the same cannot apply to Solomon Islands

6/ In a communication received on 14 January 1976, the Government of Denmark notified the Secretary-General that it withdraws its reservation made prior with regard to article 7 (a) (1) on equal pay for equal work.

7/ In two communications received by the Secretary-General on 10 July 1969 and 23 March 1971 respectively, the Government of Israel declared that it "has noted the political character of the declaration made by the Government of Iraq on signing and ratifying the above Covenants. In the view of the Government of Israel, these two Covenants are not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity.

Identical communications, mutatis mutandis, were received by the Secretary-General from the Government of Israel on 9 July 1969 in respect of the declaration made on accession by the Government of Syria, and on 29 June 1970 in respect of the declaration made on accession by the Government of Libya. In the latter communication, the Government of Israel moreover stated that the declaration concerned "cannot in any way affect the obligations of the Libyan Arab Republic already existing under general international law".

8/ On 3 October 1983 the Secretary-General received from the Government of Argentina the following objection:

[The Government of Argentina makes a] formal objection to the [declaration] of territorial extension issued by the United Kingdom with regard to the Malvinas Islands (and dependencies), which that country is illegally occupying and refers to as the "Falkland Islands"

The Argentine Republic rejects and considers null and void the [said declaration] of territorial extension. See also note 9 in chapter III.11

Subsequently, upon ratification, the Government of Argentina made the following declaration .

"The Argentine Republic rejects the extension, notified to the Secretary-General of the United Nations on 20 May 1976 by the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, of the application of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 16 December 1966, to the Malvinas, South Georgia and South Sandwich Islands, and reaffirms its sovereign rights to those archipelagos, which form an integral part of its national territory.

The General Assembly of the United Nations had adopted resolutions 2065 (XX), 3160 (XXVIII), 31/49, 37/9, 38/12, 39/6 and 40/21 in which it recognizes the existence of a sovereignty dispute regarding the question of the Falkland Islands (Malvinas) and urges the Argentine Republic and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to pursue negotiations in order to find as soon as possible a peaceful and definitive solution to the dispute, through the good offices of the Secretary-General of the United Nations, who shall inform the General Assembly of the progress made."



IV.4: Civil and political rights

4. INTERNATIONAL COVENANT ON CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 16 December 1966

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 23 March 1976, in accordance with article 49, for all provisions except those of article 41; 28 March 1979 for the provisions of article 41 (Human Rights Committee), in accordance with paragraph 2 of the said article 41.

REGISTRATION: 23 March 1976, No. 14668.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 999, p. 171 and vol. 1057, p. 407 (procès-verbal of rectification of Spanish authentic text).

Note: The Covenant was opened for signature at New York on 19 December 1966.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .		24 Jan 1983 <u>a</u>	Kenya . . . . .		1 May 1972 <u>a</u>
Algeria . . . . .	10 Dec 1968		Lebanon . . . . .		3 Nov 1972 <u>a</u>
Argentina . . . . .	19 Feb 1968	8 Aug 1986	Liberia . . . . .	18 Apr 1967	
Australia . . . . .	18 Dec 1972	13 Aug 1980	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .		15 May 1970 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .	10 Dec 1973	10 Sep 1978	Luxembourg . . . . .	26 Nov 1974	18 Aug 1983
Barbados . . . . .		5 Jan 1973 <u>a</u>	Madagascar . . . . .	17 Sep 1969	21 Jun 1971
Belgium . . . . .	10 Dec 1968	21 Apr 1983	Mali . . . . .		16 Jul 1974 <u>a</u>
Bolivia . . . . .		17 Aug 1982 <u>a</u>	Mauritius . . . . .		12 Dec 1973 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .	8 Oct 1968	21 Sep 1970	Mexico . . . . .		23 Mar 1981 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	19 Mar 1968	12 Nov 1973	Mongolia . . . . .	5 Jun 1968	18 Nov 1974
Cameroon . . . . .		27 Jun 1984 <u>a</u>	Morocco . . . . .	19 Jan 1977	3 May 1979
Canada . . . . .		19 May 1976 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	25 Jun 1969	11 Dec 1978
Central African Republic . . . . .		8 May 1981 <u>a</u>	New Zealand . . . . .	12 Nov 1968	28 Dec 1978
Chile . . . . .	16 Sep 1969	10 Feb 1972	Nicaragua . . . . .		12 Mar 1980 <u>a</u>
China <sup>1</sup>			Niger . . . . .		7 Mar 1986 <u>a</u>
Colombia . . . . .	21 Dec 1966	29 Oct 1969	Norway . . . . .	20 Mar 1968	13 Sep 1972
Congo . . . . .		5 Oct 1983 <u>a</u>	Panama . . . . .	27 Jul 1976	8 Mar 1977
Costa Rica . . . . .	19 Dec 1966	29 Nov 1968	Peru . . . . .	11 Aug 1977	28 Apr 1978
Cyprus . . . . .	19 Dec 1966	2 Apr 1969	Philippines . . . . .	19 Dec 1966	23 Oct 1986
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	7 Oct 1968	23 Dec 1975	Poland . . . . .	2 Mar 1967	18 Mar 1977
Democratic Kampuchea <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	17 Oct 1980		Portugal . . . . .	7 Oct 1976	15 Jun 1978
Democratic People's Republic of Korea . . . . .		14 Sep 1981 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .	27 Jun 1968	9 Dec 1974
Denmark . . . . .	20 Mar 1968	6 Jan 1972	Rwanda . . . . .		16 Apr 1975 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .		4 Jan 1978 <u>a</u>	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines . . . . .		9 Nov 1981 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	4 Apr 1968	6 Mar 1969	San Marino . . . . .		18 Oct 1985 <u>a</u>
Egypt . . . . .	4 Aug 1967	14 Jan 1982	Senegal . . . . .	6 Jul 1970	13 Feb 1978
El Salvador . . . . .	21 Sep 1967	30 Nov 1979	Spain . . . . .	28 Sep 1976	27 Apr 1977
Finland . . . . .	11 Oct 1967	19 Aug 1975	Sri Lanka . . . . .		11 Jun 1980 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .		4 Nov 1980 <u>a</u>	Sudan . . . . .		18 Mar 1986 <u>a</u>
Gabon . . . . .		21 Jan 1983 <u>a</u>	Suriname . . . . .		28 Dec 1976 <u>a</u>
Gambia . . . . .		22 Mar 1979 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	29 Sep 1967	6 Dec 1971
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	27 Mar 1973	8 Nov 1973	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .		21 Apr 1969 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	9 Oct 1968	17 Dec 1973	Togo . . . . .		24 May 1984 <u>a</u>
Guinea . . . . .	28 Feb 1967	24 Jan 1978	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		21 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>
Guyana . . . . .	22 Aug 1968	15 Feb 1977	Tunisia . . . . .	30 Apr 1968	18 Mar 1969
Honduras . . . . .	19 Dec 1966		Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	20 Mar 1968	12 Nov 1973
Hungary . . . . .	25 Mar 1969	17 Jan 1974	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	18 Mar 1968	16 Oct 1973
Iceland . . . . .	30 Dec 1968	22 Aug 1979	United Kingdom . . . . .	16 Sep 1968	20 May 1976
India . . . . .		10 Apr 1979 <u>a</u>	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		11 Jun 1976 <u>a</u>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	4 Apr 1968	24 Jun 1975	United States of America . . . . .	5 Oct 1977	
Iraq . . . . .	18 Feb 1969	25 Jan 1971	Uruguay . . . . .	21 Feb 1967	1 Apr 1970
Ireland . . . . .	1 Oct 1973		Venezuela . . . . .	24 Jun 1969	10 May 1978
Israel . . . . .	19 Dec 1966		Viet Nam . . . . .		24 Sep 1982 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .	18 Jan 1967	15 Sep 1978	Yugoslavia . . . . .	8 Aug 1967	2 Jun 1971
Jamaica . . . . .	19 Dec 1966	3 Oct 1975	Zaire . . . . .		1 Nov 1976 <u>a</u>
Japan . . . . .	30 May 1978	21 Jun 1979	Zambia . . . . .		10 Apr 1984 <u>a</u>
Jordan . . . . .	30 Jun 1972	28 May 1975			

#### IV.4: Civil and political rights

##### Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession. For objections thereto, see hereinafter.)

##### AFGHANISTAN

[See chapter IV.3.]

##### ARGENTINA

###### Understanding:

The Argentine Government states that the application of the second part of article 15 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights shall be subject to the principle laid down in article 18 of the Argentine National Constitution.

##### AUSTRALIA<sup>4</sup>

###### Article 10

"In relation to paragraph 2 (a) the principle of segregation is accepted as an objective to be achieved progressively. In relation to paragraph 2 (b) and 3 (second sentence) the obligation to segregate is accepted only to the extent that such segregation is considered by the responsible authorities to be beneficial to the juveniles or adults concerned".

###### Article 14

"Australia makes the reservation that the provision of compensation for miscarriage of justice in the circumstances contemplated in paragraph 6 of article 14 may be by administrative procedures rather than pursuant to specific legal provision."

###### Article 20

"Australia interprets the rights provided for by article 19, 21 and 22 as consistent with article 20; accordingly, the Commonwealth and the constituent States, having legislated with respect to the subject matter of the article in matters of practical concern in the interest of public order (ordre public), the right is reserved not to introduce any further legislative provision on these matters."

###### Declaration:

"Australia has a federal constitutional system in which legislative, executive and judicial powers are shared or distributed between the Commonwealth and the constituent States. The implementation of the treaty throughout Australia will be effected by the Commonwealth, State and Territory authorities having regard to their respective constitutional powers and arrangements concerning their exercise."

##### AUSTRIA

1. Article 12, paragraph 4, of the Covenant will be applied provided that it will not affect the Act of April 3, 1919, State Law Gazette No. 209, concerning the Expulsion and the Transfer of Property of the House of Habsburg-Lorraine as amended by the Act of October 30, 1919, State Law Gazette No. 501, the Federal Constitutional Act of July 30, 1925, Federal Law Gazette No. 292, and the Federal Constitutional Act of January 26, 1928, Federal Law Gazette No. 30, read in conjunction with the Federal Constitutional Act of July 4, 1963, Federal Law Gazette No. 172.

2. Article 9 and article 14 of the Covenant

will be applied provided that legal regulations governing the proceedings and measures of deprivation of liberty as provided for in the Administrative Procedure Acts and in the Financial Penal Act remain permissible within the framework of the judicial review by the Federal Administrative Court or the Federal Constitutional Court as provided by the Austrian Federal Constitution.

3. Article 10, paragraph 3, of the Covenant will be applied provided that legal regulations allowing for juvenile prisoners to be detained together with adults under 25 years of age who give no reason for concern as to their possible detrimental influence on the juvenile prisoner remain permissible.

4. Article 14 of the Covenant will be applied provided that the principles governing the publicity of trials as set forth in article 90 of the Federal Constitutional Law as amended in 1929 are in no way prejudiced and that

(a) paragraph 3, sub-paragraph (d) is not in conflict with legal regulations which stipulate that an accused person who disturbs the orderly conduct of the trial or whose presence would impede the questioning of another accused person, of a witness or of an expert can be excluded from participation in the trial;

(b) paragraph 5 is not in conflict with legal regulations which stipulate that after an acquittal or a lighter sentence passed by a court of the first instance, a higher tribunal may pronounce conviction or a heavier sentence for the same offense, while they exclude the convicted person's right to have such conviction or heavier sentence reviewed by a still higher tribunal;

(c) paragraph 7 is not in conflict with legal regulations which allow proceedings that led up to a person's final conviction or acquittal to be reopened.

5. Articles 19, 21 and 22 in connection with article 2 (1) of the Covenant will be applied provided that they are not in conflict with legal restrictions as provided for in article 16 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.

6. Article 26 is understood to mean that it does not exclude different treatment of Austrian nationals and aliens, as is also permissible under article 1, paragraph 2, of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination.

##### BARBADOS

"The Government of Barbados states that it reserves the right not to apply in full, the guarantee of free legal assistance in accordance with paragraph 3(d) of Article 14 of the Covenant, since, while accepting the principles contained in the same paragraph, the problems of implementation are such that full application cannot be guaranteed at present."

##### BELGIUM

###### Reservations

1. With respect to articles 2, 3 and 25, the Belgian Government makes a reservation, in that

#### IV.4. Civil and political rights

under the Belgian Constitution the royal powers may be exercised only by males. With respect to the exercise of the functions of the regency, the said articles shall not preclude the application of the constitutional rules as interpreted by the Belgian State.

2. The Belgian Government considers that the provision of article 10, paragraph 2(a), under which accused persons shall, save in exceptional circumstances, be segregated from convicted persons is to be interpreted in conformity with the principle, already embodied in the standard minimum rules for the treatment of prisoners [resolution (73)5 of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe of 19 January 1973], that untried prisoners shall not be put in contact with convicted prisoners against their will [rules 7(b) and 85(1)]. If they so request, accused persons may be allowed to take part with convicted persons in certain communal activities.

3. The Belgian Government considers that the provisions of article 10, paragraph 3, under which juvenile offenders shall be segregated from adults and be accorded treatment appropriate to their age and legal status refers exclusively to the judicial measures provided for under the régime for the protection of minors established by the Belgian Act relating to the protection of young persons. As regards other juvenile ordinary-law offenders, the Belgian Government intends to reserve the option to adopt measures that may be more flexible and be designed precisely in the interest of the persons concerned.

4. With respect to article 14, the Belgian Government considers that the last part of paragraph 1 of the article appears to give States the option of providing or not providing for certain derogations from the principle that judgements shall be made public. Accordingly, the Belgian constitutional principle that there shall be no exceptions to the public pronouncements of judgements is in conformity with that provision. Paragraph 5 of the article shall not apply to persons who, under Belgian law, are convicted and sentenced at second instance following an appeal against their acquittal of first instance or who, under Belgian law, are brought directly before a higher tribunal such as the Court of Cassation, the Appeals Court or the Assize Court.

5. Articles 19, 21 and 22 shall be applied by the Belgian Government in the context of the provisions and restrictions set forth or authorized in articles 10 and 11 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms of 4 November 1950, by the said Convention.

##### Declarations

6. The Belgian Government declares that it does not consider itself obligated to enact legislation in the field covered by article 20, paragraph 1, and that article 20 as whole shall be applied taking into account the rights to freedom of thought and religion, freedom of opinion and freedom of assembly and association proclaimed in articles 18, 19 and 20 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and reaffirmed in articles 18, 19, 21 and 22 of the Covenant.

7. The Belgian Government declares that it interprets article 23, paragraph 2, as meaning

that the right of persons of marriageable age to marry and to found a family presupposes not only that national law shall prescribe the marriageable age but that it may also regulate the exercise of that right.

##### BULGARIA

[See chapter IV.3.]

##### BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

[For the text of the declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification, see chapter IV 3.]

##### CONGO

##### Reservation

The Government of the People's Republic of Congo declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 11 . . .

Article 11 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights is quite incompatible with articles 386 et seq. of the Congolese Code of Civil, Commercial, Administrative and Financial Procedure, derived from Act 51/83 of 21 April 1983. Under those provisions, in matters of private law, decisions or orders emanating from conciliation proceedings may be enforced through imprisonment for debt when other means of enforcement have failed, when the amount due exceeds 20,000 CFA francs and when the debtor, between 18 and 60 years of age, makes himself insolvent in bad faith.

##### CZECHOSLOVAKIA

##### Upon signature:

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of article 48, paragraph 1, of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights are in contradiction with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multilateral treaties governing matters of general interest.

##### Upon ratification:

The provision of article 48, paragraph 1, is in contradiction with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multilateral treaties regulating matters of general interest.

##### DENMARK

"1. The Government of Denmark makes a reservation in respect of Article 10, paragraph 3, second sentence. In Danish practice, considerable efforts are made to ensure appropriate age distribution of convicts serving sentences of imprisonment, but it is considered valuable to maintain possibilities of flexible arrangements.

"2. (a). Article 14, paragraph 1, shall not be binding on Denmark in respect of public hearings. In Danish law, the right to exclude the press and the public from trials may go beyond what is permissible under this Covenant, and the Government of Denmark finds that this right should not be restricted.

(b). Article 14, paragraphs 5 and 7, shall not be binding on Denmark.

The Danish Administration of Justice Act contains detailed provisions regulating the matters dealt with in these two paragraphs. In some cases, Danish legislation is less restrictive than the Covenant (e.g. a verdict returned by a jury on the question of guilt cannot be reviewed by a higher tribunal, cf. paragraph 5); in other cases, Danish legislation is more restrictive than the Covenant (e.g. with respect to resumption of a criminal case in which the accused party was acquitted, cf. paragraph 7).

"3. Reservation is further made to Article 20, paragraph 1. This reservation is in accordance with the vote cast by Denmark in the XVI General Assembly of the United Nations in 1961 when the Danish Delegation, referring to the preceding article concerning freedom of expression, voted against the prohibition against propaganda for war."

FINLAND

Reservations

"1. With respect to article 9, paragraph 3, of the Covenant Finland declares that according to the present Finnish legislation the administrative authorities may take decisions concerning arrest or imprisonment, in which event the case is taken up for decision in court only after a certain time lapse;

"2. With respect to article 10, paragraph 2(b) and 3, of the Covenant, Finland declares that although juvenile offenders are, as a rule, segregated from adults, it does not deem appropriate to adopt an absolute prohibition not allowing for more flexible arrangements;

"5. With respect to article 14, paragraph 3 (d), of the Covenant, Finland declares that the contents of this paragraph do not correspond to the present legislation in Finland inasmuch as it is a question of the defendant's absolute right to have legal assistance already at the stage of preliminary investigations;

"6. With respect to article 14, paragraph 7, of the Covenant, Finland declares that it is going to pursue its present practice, according to which a sentence can be changed to the detriment of the convicted person, if it is established that a member or an official of the court, the prosecutor or the legal counsel have through criminal or fraudulent activities obtained the acquittal of the defendant or a substantially more lenient penalty, or if false evidence has been presented with the same effect, and according to which an aggravated criminal case may be taken up for reconsideration if within a year until then unknown evidence is presented, which would have led to conviction or a substantially more severe penalty;

"7. With respect to article 20, paragraph 1, of the Covenant, Finland declares that it will not apply the provisions of this paragraph, this being compatible with the standpoint Finland already expressed at the 16th United Nations General Assembly by voting against the prohibition of propaganda for war, on the grounds that this might endanger the freedom of expression referred in article 19 of the Covenant "

FRANCE

Declarations and reservations

(1) The Government of the Republic considers that, in accordance with Article 103 of the Charter of the United Nations, in case of conflict between its obligations under the Covenant and its obligations under the Charter (especially Articles 1 and 2 thereof), its obligations under the Charter will prevail.

(2) The Government of the Republic enters the following reservation concerning article 4, paragraph 1: firstly, the circumstances enumerated in article 16 of the Constitution in respect of its implementation, in article 1 of the Act of 3 April 1978 and in the Act of 9 August 1849 in respect of the declaration of a state of siege, in article 1 of Act No. 55-385 of 3 April 1955 in respect of the declaration of a state of emergency and which enable these instruments to be implemented, are to be understood as meeting the purpose of article 4 of the Covenant; and, secondly, for the purpose of interpreting and implementing article 16 of the Constitution of the French Republic, the terms "to the extent strictly required by the exigencies of the situation" cannot limit the power of the President of the Republic to take "the measures required by circumstances".

(3) The Government of the Republic enters a reservation concerning articles 9 and 14 to the effect that these articles cannot impede enforcement of the rules pertaining to the disciplinary régime in the armies.

(4) The Government of the Republic declares that article 13 cannot derogate from chapter IU of Order No. 45-2658 of 2 November 1945 concerning the entry into, and sojourn in, France of aliens, nor from the other instruments concerning the expulsion of aliens in force in those parts of the territory of the Republic in which the Order of 2 November 1945 does not apply.

(5) The Government of the Republic interprets article 14, paragraph 5, as stating a general principle to which the law may make limited exceptions, for example, in the case of certain offences subject to the initial and final adjudication of a police court and of criminal offences. However, an appeal against a final decision may be made to the Court of Cassation which rules on the legality of the decision concerned.

(6) The Government of the Republic declares that articles 19, 21 and 22 of the Covenant will be implemented in accordance with articles 10, 11 and 16 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms of 4 November 1950.

However, the Government of the Republic enters a reservation concerning article 19 which cannot derogate from the monopoly of the French radio and television broadcasting system

(7) The Government of the Republic declares that the term "war", appearing in article 20, paragraph 1, is to be understood to mean war in contravention of international law and considers, in any case, that French legislation in this matter is adequate.

(8) In the light of article 2 of the Constitution of the French Republic, the French Govern-

IV.4: Civil and political rights

ment declares that article 27 is not applicable so far as the Republic is concerned.<sup>6</sup>

GAMBIA

"For financial reasons free legal assistance for accused persons is limited in our constitution to persons charged with capital offences only. The Government of the Gambia therefore wishes to enter a reservation in respect of article 14(3)d of the Covenant in question."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic considers that article 48, paragraph 1, of the Covenant runs counter to the principle that all States which are guided in their policies by the purposes and principles of the United Nations Charter have the right to become parties to conventions which affect the interests of all States.

[Also see declaration under chapter IV.3.]

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"1. Articles 19, 21 and 22 in conjunction with Article 2 (1) of the Covenant shall be applied within the scope of Article 16 of the Convention of 4 November 1950 for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.

"2. Article 14(3)(d) of the Covenant shall be applied in such manner that it is for the court to decide whether an accused person held in custody has to appear in person at the hearing before the court of review (*Revisionsgericht*).

"3. Article 14(5) of the Covenant shall be applied in such manner that:

(a) A further appeal does not have to be instituted in all cases solely on the grounds the accused person--having been acquitted by the lower court--was convicted for the first time in the proceedings concerned by the appellate court.

(b) In the case of criminal offences of minor gravity the review by a higher tribunal of a decision not imposing imprisonment does not have to be admitted in all cases.

"4. Article 15(1) of the Covenant shall be applied in such manner that when provision is made by law for the imposition of a lighter penalty the hitherto applicable law may for certain exceptional categories of cases remain applicable to criminal offences committed before the law was amended."

GUYANA

In respect of sub-paragraph (d) of paragraph 3 of article 14

"While the Government of the Republic of Guyana accept the principle of Legal Aid in all appropriate criminal proceedings, it is working towards that end and at present apply it in certain defined cases, the problems of implementation of a comprehensive Legal Aid Scheme are such that full application cannot be guaranteed at this time."

In respect of paragraph 6 of article 14

"While the Government of the Republic of Guyana accept the principle of compensation for wrongful

imprisonment, it is not possible at this time to implement such a principle."

HUNGARY

[See chapter IV.3.]

ICELAND

The ratification is accompanied by reservations with respect to the following provisions:

1. Article 8, paragraph 3(a), in so far as it affects the provisions of Icelandic law which provide that a person who is not the main provider of his family may be sentenced to a term at a labour facility in satisfaction of arrears in support payments for his child or children.

2. Article 10, paragraph 2(b), and paragraph 3, second sentence, with respect to the separation of juvenile prisoners from adults. Icelandic law in principle provides for such separation but it is not considered appropriate to accept an obligation in the absolute form called for in the provisions of the Covenant.

3. Article 13, to the extent that it is inconsistent with the Icelandic legal provisions in force relating to the right of aliens to object to a decision on their expulsion.

4. Article 14, paragraph 7, with respect to the resumption of cases which have already been tried. The Icelandic law of procedure has detailed provisions on this matter which it is not considered appropriate to revise.

5. Article 20, paragraph 1, with reference to the fact that a prohibition against propaganda for war could limit the freedom of expression. This reservation is consistent with the position of Iceland at the General Assembly at its 16th session.

Other provisions of the Covenant shall be inviolably observed.

INDIA

[See chapter IV.3.]

IRAQ

[See chapter IV.3.]

ITALY

Article 9, paragraph 5

The Italian Republic, considering that the expression "unlawful arrest or detention" contained in article 9, paragraph 5, could give rise to differences of interpretation, declares that it interprets the aforementioned expression as referring exclusively to cases of arrest or detention contrary to the provisions of article 9, paragraph 1.

Article 12, paragraph 4

Article 12, paragraph 4, shall be without prejudice to the application of transitional provision XIII of the Italian Constitution, respecting prohibition of the entry into and sojourn in the national territory of certain members of the House of Savoy.

Article 14, paragraph 3

The provisions of article 14, paragraph 3 (d), are deemed to be compatible with existing Italian provisions governing trial of the accused in his presence and determining the cases in which the accused may present his own defence and those in which legal assistance is required.

Article 14, paragraph 5

Article 14, paragraph 5, shall be without prejudice to the application of existing Italian provisions which, in accordance with the Constitution of the Italian Republic, govern the conduct, at one level only, of proceedings instituted before the Constitutional Court in respect of charges brought against the President of the Republic and its Ministers.

Article 15, paragraph 1

With reference to article 15, paragraph 1, last sentence: "If, subsequent to the commission of the offence, provisions is made by law for the imposition of a lighter penalty, the offender shall benefit thereby", the Italian Republic deems this provision to apply exclusively to cases in progress.

Consequently, a person who has already been convicted by a final decision shall not benefit from any provision made by law, subsequent to that decision, for the imposition of a lighter penalty.

Article 19, paragraph 3

The provisions of article 19, paragraph 3, are interpreted as being compatible with the existing licensing system for national radio and television and with the restrictions laid down by law for local radio and television companies and for stations relaying foreign programmes

JAPAN

[See chapter IV 3.]

LIBYAN ARAB JAMAHIRIYA

[See chapter IV.3.]

LUXEMBOURG

- (a) "The Government of Luxembourg considers that article 10, paragraph 3, which provides that juvenile offenders shall be segregated from adults and accorded treatment appropriate to their age and legal status, refers solely to the legal measures incorporated in the system for the protection of minors, which is the subject of the Luxembourg youth welfare act. With regard to other juvenile offenders falling within the sphere of ordinary law, the Government of Luxembourg wishes to retain the option of adopting measures that might be more flexible and be designed to serve the interests of the persons concerned "
- (b) "The Government of Luxembourg declares that it is implementing article 14, paragraph 5, since that paragraph does not conflict with the relevant Luxembourg legal statutes, which provide that, following an acquittal or a conviction by a court of first ins-

tance, a higher tribunal may deliver a sentence, confirm the sentence passed or impose a harsher penalty for the same crime. However, the tribunal's decision does not give the person declared guilty on appeal the right to appeal that conviction to a higher appellate jurisdiction."

"The Government of Luxembourg further declares that article 14, paragraph 3, shall not apply to persons who, under Luxembourg law, are remanded directly to a higher court or brought before the Assize Court."

- (c) "The Government of Luxembourg accepts the provision in article 19, paragraph 2, provided that it does not preclude it from requiring broadcasting, television and film companies to be licensed "
- (d) "The Government of Luxembourg declares that it does not consider itself obligated to adopt legislation in the field covered by article 20, paragraph 1, and that article 20 as a whole will be implemented taking into account the rights to freedom of thought, religion, opinion, assembly and association laid down in articles 18, 19 and 20 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and reaffirmed in articles 18, 19, 21 and 22 of the Covenant."

MEXICO

Interpretative statements:

Article 9, paragraph 5

Under the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States and the relevant implementing legislation, every individual enjoys the guarantees relating to penal matters embodied therein, and consequently no person may be unlawfully arrested or detained. However, if by reason of false accusation or complaint any individual suffers an infringement of this basic right, he has, inter alia, under the provisions of the appropriate laws, an enforceable right to just compensation

Article 18

Under the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States, every person is free to profess his preferred religious belief and to practice its ceremonies, rites and religious acts, with the limitation, with regard to public religious acts, that they must be performed in places of worship and, with regard to education, that studies carried out in establishments designed for the professional education of ministers of religion are not officially recognized. The Government of Mexico believes that these limitations are included among those established in paragraph 3 of this article.

Reservations:

Article 13

The Government of Mexico makes a reservation to this article, in view of the present text of article 33 of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States.

Article 25, subparagraph (b)

The Government of Mexico also makes a reservation to this provision, since article 130 of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States provides that ministers of religion shall

have neither an active nor a passive vote, nor the right to form associations for political purposes.

MONGOLIA

[See chapter IV.3.]

NETHERLANDS

Reservations

"Article 10

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands subscribes to the principle set out in paragraph 1 of this article, but it takes the view that ideas about the treatment of prisoners are so liable to change that it does not wish to be bound by the obligations set out in paragraph 2 and paragraph 3 (second sentence) of this article.

"Article 12, paragraph 1

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands regards the Netherlands and the Netherlands Antilles as separate territories of a State for the purpose of this provision.

"Article 12, paragraphs 2 and 4

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands regards the Netherlands and the Netherlands Antilles as separate countries for the purpose of these provisions.

"Article 14, paragraph 3(d)

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands reserves the statutory option of removing a person charged with a criminal offence from the courtroom in the interests of the proper conduct of the proceedings.

"Article 14, paragraph 5

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands reserves the statutory power of the Supreme Court of the Netherlands to have sole jurisdiction to try certain categories of persons charged with serious offences committed in the discharge of a public office.

"Article 14, paragraph 7

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands accepts this provision only insofar as no obligations arise from it further to those set out in article 68 of the Criminal Code of the Netherlands and article 70 of the Criminal Code of the Netherlands Antilles as they now apply. They read:

"1. Except in cases where court decisions are eligible for review, no person may be prosecuted again for an offence in respect of which a court in the Netherlands or the Netherlands Antilles has delivered an irrevocable judgement.

"2. If the judgement has been delivered by some other court, the same person may not be prosecuted for the same offence in the case of (I) acquittal or withdrawal of proceedings or (II) conviction followed by complete execution, remission or lapse of the sentence.

"Article 19, paragraph 2

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands accepts the provision with the proviso that it shall not prevent the Kingdom from requiring the licensing of broadcasting, television or cinema enterprises

"Article 20, paragraph 1

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands does not accept the obligation set out in this provision in the case of the Netherlands.

7

Explanation

"[The Kingdom of the Netherlands] clarify that although the reservations [. . .] are partly of an interpretational nature, [it] has preferred reservations to interpretational declarations in all cases, since if the latter form were used doubt might arise concerning whether the text of the Covenant allows for the interpretation put upon it. By using the reservation form the Kingdom of the Netherlands wishes to ensure in all cases that the relevant obligations arising out of the Covenant will not apply to the Kingdom, or will apply only in the way indicated.

NEW ZEALAND

Reservations

"The Government of New Zealand reserves the right not to apply article 10(2)(b) or article 10(3) in circumstances where the shortage of suitable facilities makes the mixing of juveniles and adults unavoidable; and further reserves the right not to apply article 10(3) where the interests of other juveniles in an establishment require the removal of a particular juvenile offender or where mixing is considered to be of benefit to the persons concerned.

"The Government of New Zealand reserves the right not to apply article 14(6) to the extent that it is not satisfied by the existing system for *ex gratia* payments to persons who suffer as a result of a miscarriage of justice.

"The Government of New Zealand having legislated in the areas of the advocacy of national and racial hatred and the exciting of hostility or ill will against any group of persons, and having regard to the right of freedom of speech, reserves the right not to introduce further legislation with regard to article 20.

"The Government of New Zealand reserves the right not to apply article 22 as it relates to trade unions to the extent that existing legislative measures, enacted to ensure effective trade union representation and encourage orderly industrial relations, may not be fully compatible with that article."

NORWAY

Subject to reservations to . . . 8 article 10, paragraph 2 (b) and paragraph 3 "with regard to the obligation to keep accused juvenile persons and juvenile offenders segregated from adults" and to article 14, paragraphs 5 and 7 and to article 20, paragraph 1

REPUBLIC OF GUINEA

In accordance with the principle whereby all States whose policies are guided by the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations are entitled to become parties to covenants affecting the interests of the international community, the Government of the Republic of Guinea considers that the provisions of article 48, paragraph 1, of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights are contrary to the principle of the universality of international treaties and the democratization of international relations

ROMANIA

Upon signature:

The Government of the Socialist Republic of Romania declares that the provisions of article 48, paragraph 1, of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights are at variance with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multilateral treaties governing matters of general interest.

Upon ratification:

(a) The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of article 48(1) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights are inconsistent with the principle that multilateral international treaties whose purposes concern the international community as a whole must be open to universal participation.

(b) The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance in a state of dependence of certain territories referred to in article 1 (3) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights is inconsistent with the Charter of the United Nations and the instruments adopted by the Organization on the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, adopted unanimously by the United Nations General Assembly in its resolution 2625 (XXV) of 1970, which solemnly proclaims the duty of States to promote the realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring a speedy end to colonialism.

SWEDEN

Sweden reserves the right not to apply the provisions of article 10, paragraph 3, with regard to the obligation to segregate juvenile offenders from adults, the provisions of article 14, paragraph 7, and the provisions of article 20, paragraph 1, of the Covenant.

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC

[See chapter IV.3.]

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

- (i) The Government of the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago reserves the right not to apply in full the provision of paragraph 2 of article 4 of the Covenant since section 7(3) of its Constitution enables Parliament to enact legislation even though it is inconsistent with sections (4) and (5) of the said Constitution;
- (ii) Where at any time there is a lack of suitable prison facilities, the Government of the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago reserves the right not to apply article 10(2)(b) and 10(3) so far as those provisions require juveniles who are detained to be accommodated separately from adults;
- (iii) The Government of the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago reserves the right not to

apply paragraph 2 of article 12 in view of the statutory provisions requiring persons intending to travel abroad to furnish tax clearance certificates;

- (iv) The Government of the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago reserves the right not to apply paragraph 5 of article 14 in view of the fact that section 43 of its Supreme Court of Judicature Act No. 12 of 1962 does not confer on a person convicted on indictment an unqualified right of appeal and that in particular cases, appeal to the Court of Appeal can only be done with the leave of the Court of Appeal itself or of the Privy Council;
- (v) While the Government of the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago accepts the principle of compensation for wrongful imprisonment, it is not possible at this time to implement such a principle in accordance with paragraph 6 of article 14 of the Covenant;
- (vi) With reference to the last sentence of paragraph 1 of article 15--"If, subsequent to the commission of the offence, provision is made by law for the imposition of a lighter penalty, the offender shall benefit thereby", the Government of the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago deems this provision to apply exclusively to cases in progress. Consequently, a person who has already been convicted by a final decision shall not benefit from any provision made by law, subsequent to that decision, for the imposition of a lighter penalty.<sup>9</sup>
- (vii) The Government of the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago reserves the right to impose lawful and or reasonable restrictions with respect to the right of assembly under article 21 of the Covenant;
- (viii) The Government of the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago reserves the right not to apply the provision of article 26 of the Covenant in so far as it applies to the holding of property in Trinidad and Tobago, in view of the fact that licences may be granted to or withheld from aliens under the Aliens Landholding Act of Trinidad and Tobago.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 26 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and of paragraph 1 of article 48 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, under which a number of States cannot become parties to these Covenants, are of a discriminatory nature and considers that the Covenants, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, should be open for participation by all States concerned without any discrimination or limitation.



UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 26 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and of paragraph 1 of article 48 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, under which a number of States cannot become parties to these Covenants, are of a discriminatory nature and considers that the Covenants, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, should be open for participation by all States concerned without any discrimination or limitation.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Upon signature:

"First, the Government of the United Kingdom declare their understanding that, by virtue of Article 103 of the Charter of the United Nations, in the event of any conflict between their obligations under Article 1 of the Covenant and their obligations under the Charter (in particular, under Articles 1, 2 and 73 thereof) their obligations under the Charter shall prevail.

"Secondly, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that:

"(a) In relation to Article 14 of the Covenant, they must reserve the right not to apply, or not to apply in full, the guarantee of free legal assistance contained in sub-paragraph (d) of paragraph 3 in so far as the shortage of legal practitioners and other considerations render the application of this guarantee in British Honduras, Fiji and St. Helena impossible;

"(b) In relation to Article 23 of the Covenant, they must reserve the right not to apply the first sentence of paragraph 4 in so far as it concerns any inequality which may arise from the operation of the law of domicile;

"(c) In relation to Article 25 of the Covenant, they must reserve the right not to apply:

"(i) Sub-paragraph (b) in so far as it may require the establishment of an elected legislature in Hong Kong and the introduction of equal suffrage, as between different electoral rolls, for elections in Fiji; and

"(ii) Sub-paragraph (c) in so far as it applies to jury service in the Isle of Man and to the employment of married women in the Civil Service of Northern Ireland, Fiji, and Hong Kong.

"Lastly, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that the provisions of the Covenant shall not apply to Southern Rhodesia unless and until they inform the Secretary-General of the United Nations that they are in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Covenant in respect of that territory can be fully implemented."

Upon ratification:

"Firstly the Government of the United Kingdom maintain their declaration in respect of arti-

cle 1 made at the time of signature of the Covenant.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to apply to members of and persons serving with the armed forces of the Crown and to persons lawfully detained in penal establishments of whatever character such laws and procedures as they may from time to time deem to be necessary for the preservation of service and custodial discipline and their acceptance of the provisions of the Covenant is subject to such restrictions as may for these purposes from time to time be authorised by law

"Where at any time there is a lack of suitable prison facilities or where the mixing of adults and juveniles is deemed to be mutually beneficial, the Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right not to apply article 10(2)(b) and 10(3), so far as those provisions require juveniles who are detained to be accommodated separately from adults, and not to apply article 10(2)(a) in Gibraltar, Montserrat and the Turks and Caicos Islands in so far as it requires segregation of accused and convicted persons.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right not to apply article 11 in Jersey.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to interpret the provisions of article 12(1) relating to the territory of a State as applying separately to each of the territories comprising the United Kingdom and its dependencies.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to continue to apply such immigration legislation governing entry into, stay in and departure from the United Kingdom as they may deem necessary from time to time and, accordingly, their acceptance of article 12(4) and of the other provisions of the Covenant is subject to the provisions of any such legislation as regards persons not at the time having the right under the law of the United Kingdom to enter and remain in the United Kingdom. The United Kingdom also reserves a similar right in regard to each of its dependent territories.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right not to apply article 13 in Hong Kong in so far as it confers a right of review of a decision to deport an alien and a right to be represented for this purpose before the competent authority.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right not to apply or not to apply in full the guarantee of free legal assistance in sub-paragraph (d) of paragraph 3 of article 14 in so far as the shortage of legal practitioners renders the application of this guarantee impossible in the British Virgin Islands, the Cayman Islands, the Falkland Islands, the Gilbert Islands, the Pitcairn Islands Group, St. Helena and Dependencies and Tuvalu.

"The Government of the United Kingdom interpret article 20 consistently with the rights conferred by articles 19 and 21 of the Covenant and having legislated in matters of practical concern in the interests of public order (ordre public) reserve the right not to introduce any further legislation. The United Kingdom also reserve a similar right in regard to each of its dependent territories.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve

#### IV.4: Civil and political rights

the right to postpone the application of paragraph 3 of article 23 in regard to a small number of customary marriages in the Solomon Islands.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right to enact such nationality legislation as they may deem necessary from time to time to reserve the acquisition and possession of citizenship under such legislation to those having sufficient connection with the United Kingdom or any of its dependent territories and accordingly their acceptance of article 24(3) and of the other provisions of the Covenant is subject to the provisions of any such legislation.

"The Government of the United Kingdom reserve the right not to apply sub-paragraph (b) of article 25 in so far as it may require the establishment of an elected Executive or Legislative Council in Hong Kong and sub-paragraph (c) of article 25 in so far as it relates to jury service in the Isle of Man.

"Lastly, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that the provisions of the Covenant shall not apply to Southern Rhodesia unless and until they inform the Secretary-General of the United

Nations that they are in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Covenant in respect of that territory can be fully implemented."

VIET NAM

[See chapter IV.3.]

VENEZUELA

Article 60, paragraph 5, of the Constitution of the Republic of Venezuela establishes that: "No person shall be convicted in a criminal trial unless he has first been personally notified of the charges and heard in the manner prescribed by law. Persons accused of an offence against the res publica may be tried in absentia, with the guarantees and in the manner prescribed by law". Venezuela is making this reservation because article 14, paragraph 3(d), of the Covenant makes no provision for persons accused of an offence against the res publica to be tried in absentia.

#### Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification or accession.)

BEIGIUM

6 November 1984

[The Belgian Government] wishes to observe that the sphere of application of article 11 is particularly restricted. In fact, article 11 prohibits imprisonment only when there is no reason for resorting to it other than the fact that the debtor is unable to fulfil a contractual obligation. Imprisonment is not incompatible with article 11 when there are other reasons for imposing this penalty, for example when the debtor, by acting in bad faith or through fraudulent manoeuvres, has placed himself in the position of being unable to fulfil his obligations. This interpretation of article 11 can be confirmed by reference to the travaux préparatoires (see document A/2929 of 1 July 1955).

After studying the explanations provided by the Congo concerning its reservation, [the Belgian Government] has provisionally concluded that this reservation is unnecessary. It is its understanding that the Congolese legislation authorizes imprisonment for debt when other means of enforcement have failed when the amount due exceeds 20,000 CFA francs and when the debtor, between 18 and 60 years of age, makes himself insolvent in bad faith. The latter condition is sufficient to show that there is no contradiction between the Congolese legislation and the letter and the spirit of article 11 of the Covenant.

By virtue of article 4, paragraph 2, of the aforementioned Covenant, article 11 is excluded from the sphere of application of the rule which states that in the event of an exceptional public emergency, the States Parties to the Covenant may, in certain conditions, take measures derogating from their obligations under the Covenant. Article 11 is one of the articles containing a

provision from which no derogation is permitted in any circumstances. Any reservation concerning that article would destroy its effects and would therefore be in contradiction with the letter and the spirit of the Covenant.

Consequently, and without prejudice to its firm belief that Congolese law is in complete conformity with the provisions of article 11 of the Covenant, [the Belgian Government] fears that the reservation made by the Congo may, by reason of its very principle, constitute a precedent which might have considerable effects at the international level.

[The Belgian Government] therefore hopes that this reservation will be withdrawn and, as a precautionary measure, wishes to raise an objection to that reservation.

FRANCE

The Government of the Republic takes objection to the reservation entered by the Government of the Republic of India to article 1 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, as this reservation attaches conditions not provided for by the Charter of the United Nations to the exercise of the right of self-determination. The present declaration will not be deemed to be an obstacle to the entry into force of the Covenant between the French Republic and the Republic of India.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

[See chapter IV.3.]

21 April 1982  
"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany objects to the [reservation (i)] by the

#### IV.4: Civil and political rights

Government of Trinidad and Tobago]. In the opinion of the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany it follows from the text and the history of the Covenant that the said reservation is incompatible with the object and purpose of the Covenant."

#### NETHERLANDS

12 June 1980

"In the opinion of the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands it follows from the text and the history of the Covenant that [reservation (i) by the Government of Trinidad and Tobago] is incompatible with the object and purpose of the Covenant. The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands therefore considers the reservation unacceptable and formally raises an objection to it."

12 January 1981

[See chapter IV 3.]

17 September 1981

#### I. Reservation by Australia regarding articles 2 and 50

The reservation that article 2, paragraphs 2 and 3, and article 50 shall be given effect consistently with and subject to the provisions in article 2, paragraph 2, is acceptable to the Kingdom on the understanding that it will in no way impair Australia's basic obligation under international law, as laid down in article 2, paragraph 1, to respect and to ensure to all individuals within its territory and subject to

its jurisdiction the rights recognized in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

#### II. Reservation by Australia regarding article 10

The Kingdom is not able to evaluate the implications of the first part of the reservation regarding article 10 on its merits, since Australia has given no further explanation on the laws and lawful arrangements, as referred to in the text of the reservation. In expectation of further clarification by Australia, the Kingdom for the present reserves the right to raise objection to the reservation at a later stage.

#### III. Reservation by Australia regarding "Convicted Persons"

The Kingdom finds it difficult, for the same reasons as mentioned in its commentary on the reservation regarding article 10, to accept the declaration by Australia that it reserves the right not to seek amendment of laws now in force in Australia relating to the rights of persons who have been convicted of serious criminal offences. The Kingdom expresses the hope it will be possible to gain a more detailed insight in the laws now in force in Australia, in order to facilitate a definitive opinion on the extent of this reservation."

6 November 1984

[Same objection as the one made by Belgium]

#### Declarations recognizing the competence of the Human Rights Committee under article 41<sup>10</sup>

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations were made upon ratification or accession).

#### ARGENTINA

The instrument contains a declaration under article 41 of the Covenant by which the Government of Argentina recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee established by virtue of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

#### AUSTRIA

10 September 1978

[The Government of the Republic of Austria] declares under article 41 of the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights that Austria recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

#### CANADA

29 October 1979

"The Government of Canada declares, under article 41 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, that it recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee referred

to in article 28 of the said Covenant to receive and consider communications submitted by another State Party, provided that such State Party has, not less than twelve months prior to the submission by it of a communication relating to Canada, made a declaration under article 41 recognizing the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications relating to itself."

#### DENMARK

19 April 1983<sup>11</sup>

"[The Government of Denmark] recognizes, in accordance with Article 41 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, opened for signature in New York on December 19, 1966, the competence of the Committee referred to in article 41 to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant."

#### ECUADOR

6 August 1984

...The Government of Ecuador recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee to

#### IV.4: Civil and political rights

received and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the aforementioned Covenant, as provided for in paragraph 1(a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g) and (h) of that article.

This recognition of competence is effective for an indefinite period and is subject to the provisions of article 41, paragraph 2, of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

##### FINLAND

19 August 1975

"Finland declares, under article 41 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights that it recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee referred to in article 28 of the said Covenant, to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligation under this Covenant."

##### GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF<sup>12</sup>

24 March 1986<sup>13</sup>

The Federal Republic of Germany, in accordance with article 41 of the said Covenant, recognizes for a further five years from the date of expiry of the declaration of 28 March 1981 the competence of the Human Rights Committee to receive and consider communications from the State Party insofar as that State Party has recognized in regard to itself the competence of the Committee and as corresponding obligations have been assumed under the Covenant by the Federal Republic of Germany and by the State Party concerned.

##### LUXEMBOURG

18 August 1983

"The Government of Luxembourg recognizes, in accordance with article 41, the competence of the Human Rights Committee referred to in article 28 of the Covenant to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State party claims that another State party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant."

##### ICELAND

22 August 1979

"The Government of Iceland . . . recognizes in accordance with article 41 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights the competence of the Human Rights Committee referred to in article 28 of the Covenant to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant."

##### ITALY

15 September 1978

The Italian Republic recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee, elected in accordance with article 28 of the Covenant, to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State party claims that another State party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant.

##### NETHERLANDS

11 December 1978

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands declares under article 41 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights that it recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee referred to in article 28 of the Covenant to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant."

##### NEW ZEALAND

28 December 1978

"The Government of New Zealand declares under article 41 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights that it recognises the competence of the Human Rights Committee to receive and consider communications from another State Party which has similarly declared under article 41 its recognition of the Committee's competence in respect to itself except where the declaration by such a state party was made less than twelve months prior to the submission by it of a complaint relating to New Zealand."

##### NORWAY

31 August 1972

"Norway recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee referred to in article 28 of the Covenant, to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant."

##### PERU

9 April 1984

Peru recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, in accordance with article 41 of the said Covenant.

##### PHILIPPINES

"The Philippine Government, in accordance with article 41 of the said Covenant recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee set up in the aforesaid Covenant, to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant."

##### SENEGAL

5 January 1981

The Government of Senegal declares, under article 41 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, that it recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee referred to in article 28 of the said Covenant to receive and consider communications submitted by another State Party, provided that such State Party has, not less than twelve months prior to the submission by it of a communication relating to Senegal, made a declaration under article 41 recognizing the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications relating to itself.

IV.4: Civil and political rights

SPAIN

25 January 1985

The Spanish Government declares, with reference to the provisions of article 41 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, that it recognizes, for a period of three years starting on the date of the deposit of this Declaration, the competence of the Human Rights Committee to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant.

SRI LANKA

11 June 1980

"The Government of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka declares under article 41 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights that it recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant, from another State Party which has similarly declared under article 41 its recognition of the Committee's competence in respect to itself."

Notifications under article 4(3) of the Covenant (derogations)

BOLIVIA

1 October 1985

(Dated 27 September 1985)

By Supreme Decree No. 21069, the Government of Bolivia declared a temporary state of siege throughout the country, with effect from 18 September 1985

The notification specifies that the Government of Bolivia has been compelled to declare a temporary state of siege in order to discharge its obligation to ensure the maintenance of the rule of law, the constitutional system, democratic continuity and the safeguarding of the country's institutions and public order, these being essential to the life of the Republic and to the process of economic recovery initiated by the Government so as to save Bolivia from the scourge of hyperinflation, which had come to threaten the very life of the country

The notification further specifies that the measure was adopted to counter the social unrest which sought to supplant the legitimately constituted authorities by establishing itself as an authority which publicly proclaimed the repudiation of the law and openly called for subversion, and to counter the occupation of State facilities and buildings and the interruption of services which are essential to the normal pursuit of all public activities.

In a complementary notification dated 28 October 1985, received on 29 October 1985, the Government of Bolivia indicated that the provisions of the Covenant from which it is derogated from concern articles 9, 12 and 21

9 January 1986

(6 January 1986)

Notification to the effect that at the end of the constitutional period of 90 days the Supreme Government has not found it necessary to prolong the emergency situation and that the guarantees

SWEDEN

26 November 1971

"Sweden recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee referred to in article 28 of the Covenant to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Covenant."

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"The Government of the United Kingdom declare under article 41 of this Covenant that it recognizes the competence of the Human Rights Committee to receive and consider communications submitted by another State Party, provided that such other State Party has, not less than twelve months prior to the submission by it of a communication relating to the United Kingdom made a declaration under article 41 recognizing the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications relating to itself "

and rights of citizens had been fully restored throughout the national territory, with effect from 19 December 1985 and advising that, accordingly, the provisions of the Covenant were again being implemented in accordance with the stipulations of its relevant articles

29 August 1986

(28 August 1986)

The notification indicates that the state of emergency was proclaimed because of serious political and social disturbances, *inter alia*: a general strike in Potosi and Druo which paralyzed illegally those cities; the hyperinflationary crisis suffered by the country, the need for rehabilitation of the bolivian mining structures; the subversive activities of the extreme left; the desperate reaction of the drug trafficking mafia in response to the government successful campaign of eradication; and in general plans aiming to overthrow the Constitutional Government.

28 November 1986

(Dated 28 November 1986)

Notification, identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, as that of 9 January 1986 With effect from 29 November 1986.

CHILE

7 September 1976

[Chile] has been under a state of siege for reasons of internal defence since 11 March 1976; the state of siege was legally proclaimed by Legislative Decree No. 1.369.

The proclamation was made in accordance with the constitutional provisions concerning state of siege, which have been in force since 1925, in view of the inescapable duty of the government authorities to preserve public order and the fact that there continue to exist in Chile extremist seditious groups whose aim is to overthrow the established Government.

As a consequence of the proclamation of the

#### IU.4. Civil and political rights

state of siege, the rights referred to in articles 9, 12, 13, 19 and 25 (b) of the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights have been restricted in Chile.

23 September 1986

(Dated 16 September 1986)

By Decree No. 1.037, the Government of Chile declared a state of siege throughout the national territory from 8 September to 6 December 1986, for as long as circumstances warrant. The notification specifies that Chile has been subjected to a wave of terrorist aggression of alarming proportions, that an alarming number of attacks have taken the lives of a significant number of citizens and armed forces personnel, massive stockpiles of weapons were discovered in terrorists hands, and that for the first time in the history of the Republic, a terrorist attack was launched on H.E. the President of the Republic.

The notification specifies that the rights set forth in articles 9, 12, 13 and 19 of the Covenant would be derogated from.

29 October 1986

(Dated 28 October 1986)

Termination of State of siege by Decree No. 1074 of 26 September 1986 in the Eleventh Region and by Decree No. 1155 of 16 October 1986 in the 12th Region (with the exception of the Commune of Punta Arenas), in the Province of Chiloé in the Tenth Region, and in the Province of Parícuta in the First Region.

20 November 1986

(Dated 20 November 1986)

Termination of the state of siege in the Provinces of Cardenal Caro in the 6th Region, Arauco in the 8th Region and Palena in the 10th Region

#### COLOMBIA

18 July 1980

The Government, by Decree 2131 of 1976, declared that public order had been disturbed and that all of the national territory was in a state of siege, the requirements of the Constitution having been fulfilled, and that in the face of serious events that disturbed the public peace, it had become necessary to adopt extraordinary measures within the framework of the legal régime provided for in the National Constitution for such situations (art. 121 of the National Constitution)

The events disturbing the public peace that led the President of the Republic to take that decision are a matter of public knowledge. Under the state of siege (art. 121 of the National Constitution) the Government is empowered to suspend, for the duration of the state of siege, those provisions that are incompatible with the maintenance and restoration of public order.

On many occasions the President of the Republic has informed the country of his desire to terminate the state of siege when the necessary circumstances prevail.

It should be observed that, during the state of siege in Colombia, the institutional order has remained unchanged, with the Congress and all public bodies functioning normally. Public freedoms were fully respected during the most recent elections, both the election of the President of

the Republic and the election of members of elective bodies.

11 October 1982

By Decree No. 1674 of 9 June 1982, the state of siege was terminated on 20 June of 1982.

11 April 1984

(Dated 30 March 1984)

The Government of Colombia had declared a breach of the peace and a state of siege in the territory of the Departments of Caquetá, Huila, Meta and Cauca in response to the activities in those Departments of armed groups which were seeking to undermine the constitutional system by means of repeated public disturbances

Further to Decree No. 615, Decree Nos. 666, 667, 668, 669 and 670 had been enacted on 21 March 1984 to restrict certain freedoms and to take other measures aimed at restoring public order. (For the provisions which were derogated from, see in fine notification of 8 June 1984 hereinafter.)

8 June 1984

(Dated 7 May 1984)

The Government of Colombia indicated that it had, through Decree No. 1038 of 1 May 1984, declared a state of siege in the territory of the Republic of Colombia owing to the assassination in April of the Minister of Justice and to recent disturbances of the public order that occurred in the cities of Bogotá, Cali, Barranquilla, Medellín, Acevedo (Department of Santander), Giraldo (Department of Antioquia) and Miraflores (Comisaría of Guaviare).

Pursuant to the above-mentioned Decree No. 1038, the Government had issued Decrees Nos. 1039 and 1040 of 1 May 1984 and Decree No. 1042 of 2 May 1984, restricting certain freedoms and enacting other measures to restore public order. (Following inquiries made by the Secretary-General, in keeping with the purpose of article 4(3) of the Covenant, as to which articles of the Covenant were being derogated from, the Government of Colombia, in a communication dated 23 November 1984, which was received by the Secretary-General on that date, indicated that the decrees affected the rights referred to in articles 12 and 21 of the Covenant.)

12 December 1984

(Dated 11 December 1984)

Termination of derogation from article 21.

#### ECUADOR

12 May 1983

The Government declared the extension of the state of emergency as from 20 to 25 October 1982 by Executive Decree No. 1252 of 20 October 1982 and derogation from article 12(1) owing to serious disorders brought about by the suppression of subsidies, and termination of the state of emergency by Executive Decree No. 1274 of 27 October 1982

20 March 1984

Derogation from articles 9 (1) and (2); 12(1) and (3); 17; 19(2) and 21 in the provinces of Napo and Esmeraldas by Executive Decree No. 2511 of 16 March 1984 owing to destruction and sabotage in these areas.

29 March 1984

Termination of the state of emergency by Executive Decree No. 2537 of 27 March 1984

#### IV.4: Civil and political rights

17 March 1986  
(Dated 14 March 1986)  
Declaration of the State of emergency in the provinces of Pichincha and Manabi due to the acts of subversion and armed uprising by a high-ranking officer no longer on active service, backed by extremist groups; thereby derogations from articles 12, 21 and 22, it being understood that no Ecuadorian may be exiled or deported outside the capitals of the provinces or to a region other than the one in which he lives.

19 March 1986  
(Dated 18 March 1986)  
End of State of emergency as from 17 March 1987.

#### EL SALVADOR

14 November 1983  
(Dated 3 November 1983)  
The Government has declared an extension for a period of 30 days of the suspension of constitutional guarantees by Legislative Decree No. 329 dated 28 October 1983. The constitutional guarantees have been suspended in accordance with article 175 of the Political Constitution because of disruption of public order. In a complimentary notification dated 23 January 1984 and received on 24 January 1984, the Government of El Salvador specified the following:

1) The provisions of the Covenant from which it is derogated are articles 12 and 19 by Decree No. 329 of 28 August 1983, and article 17 (in respect of interference with correspondence);

2) The constitutional guarantees were first suspended by Decree No. 155 dated 6 March 1980, with further extensions of the suspension for a total of 24 months. Decree No. 155 was modified by Decree No. 999 dated 24 February 1982, which expired on 24 March 1982. By Decree No. 1089 dated 20 April 1982, the Revolutionary Government Junta again suspended the constitutional guarantees. By Legislative Decree No. 7 dated 20 May 1982, the Constituent Assembly extended the suspension for an additional period of 30 days. The said Legislative Decree No. 7 was itself extended several times until the adoption of the above-mentioned Decree No. 329 dated 28 October 1983, which took effect on that date.

3) The reasons for the adoption of the initial suspension decree (No. 155 of 6 March 1980) were the same as for the adoption of the subsequent decrees.

18 June 1984  
(Dated 14 June 1984)  
By Legislative Decree No. 28 of 27 January 1984, previous measures were amended to the effect that political parties would be permitted to conduct electoral campaigns, and were thus authorized to engage in partisan campaigning and electoral propaganda activities. The said Decree was extended for successive 30-day periods until the promulgation of Decree No. 97 of 17 May 1984, which rescinded the afore-mentioned change which had allowed political parties to conduct electoral campaigns.

The provisions of the Covenant from which it is derogated are articles 12, 19, 17 (in respect of interference with correspondence) and 21 and 22. As regards article 22, the suspension refers to the right of association in general, but does

not affect the right to join professional associations (the right to form and join trade unions).

2 August 1985  
(Dated 31 July 1985)

[ . . . ] the Government of El Salvador has for successive periods extended martial law by the following legislative decrees:

Decrees No. 127 of 21 June 1984, No. 146 of 19 July 1984, No. 175 of 24 August 1984, No. 210 of 18 September 1984, No. 234 of 21 October 1984, No. 261 of 20 November 1984, No. 277 of 14 December 1984, No. 322 of 18 January 1985, No. 335 of 21 February 1985, No. 351 of 14 March 1985, No. 386 of 18 April 1985, No. 10 of 21 May 1985, No. 38 of 13 June 1985, and the most recent, Decree No. 96 of 11 July 1985 which extended the martial law for an additional period of 30 days beyond that date.

The provisions of the Covenant that are thus suspended are those of articles 12, 17 (in respect of interference with correspondence) and 19 (2).

The notification specifies that the reasons for the suspension of constitutional guarantees continue to be those originally indicated, namely: the need to maintain a climate of peace and tranquility, which had been disturbed through the commission of acts designed to create a state of instability and social unrest which affected the economy and the public peace by persons seeking to obstruct the process of structural change, thus seriously disrupting public order.

#### NICARAGUA

4 June 1980  
The Governing Junta for National Reconstruction of the Republic of Nicaragua, by Decree No. 383 of 29 April 1980, rescinded the National Emergency Act promulgated on 22 July 1979 and revoked the state of emergency extended by Decree No. 365 of 11 April 1980

14 April 1982  
Suspension of articles 1-5, 8(3), 10, 12-14, 17, 19-22, 26 and 27 in accordance with Decree No. 996 of 15 March 1982 (national emergency) from 15 March to 14 April 1982. Extension of the suspension to 14 May 1982.

8 June 1982  
Extension of the suspension to 14 June 1982.

26 August 1982  
Suspension of the above-mentioned articles of the Covenant in accordance with Decree No. 1082 of 26 July 1982 from 26 July 1982 to 26 January 1983.

14 December 1982  
Extension of the suspension to 30 May 1983.

8 June 1984  
Extension of the state of emergency for fifty days beginning on 31 May 1984 and derogation from article 2, paragraph 3; articles 9, 12 and 14; article 19, paragraphs 2 and 3; and article 21 of the Covenant.

1 August 1984  
(Dated 10 June 1984)  
Extension of the state of emergency until 30 May 1984 by Decree 1255 of 26 May 1984 and

#### IV.4: Civil and political rights

derogations from articles 1 to 5, article 8, paragraph 3; articles 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 19 to 22; and articles 26 and 27.

22 August 1984

(Dated 2 August 1984)

Extension of the state of emergency until 20 October 1984 and derogation from articles 2(3), 9 and 14 of the Covenant by Legislative Decree No. 1477 of 19 July 1984.

(Dated 9 August 1984)

Derogation from the implementation of articles 2(3), 9 and 14 of the Covenant from 6 August to 20 October 1984, in respect of persons committing or suspected of committing the offences referred to in articles 1 and 2 of the Act concerning the Maintenance of Order and Public Security.

13 November 1985

(Dated 11 November 1985)

... In accordance with article 4 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, [the] Government [of Nicaragua] has been obliged, as a result of the foreign aggression to which it is being subjected, to suspend the application of certain of the provisions of the Covenant throughout the national territory, for a period of one year starting on 30 October 1985.

The reasons for this suspension are [the following]: the Government of the United States of America, against the express will of the majority of the world's governments and peoples and in violation of the norms of international law, has continued its unjust, unlawful and immoral aggression against the Nicaraguan people and their revolutionary government.

The political and diplomatic efforts exerted by [the] Government [of Nicaragua], by the nations of the Contadora Group and by other peace-loving countries to change this criminal and aggressive policy have all proved fruitless.

The Government of the United States, instead of scaling down its aggression, has in the past few months intensified it, supplying the bands of mercenaries with more and improved weapons so that they can go on committing murder, destroying productive infrastructure through terrorist attacks, in short, bringing more pain, grief, death and economic difficulties to the Nicaraguan people. This intensification of terrorist acts is due in part to the fact that the United States Government has started to distribute to the counter-revolutionary bands the \$27 million that was authorized by the United States Congress in June 1985 as 'humanitarian aid'.

... The following provisions of the Covenant [are suspended] throughout the national territory for the period of one year, starting on 29 October 1985:

Article 8 (3); article 9; article 10, except paragraph 1; article 12 (2) and (4); article 14, except paragraphs 2 and 5 and subparagraphs (a), (b), (d) and (g) of paragraph 3; article 17; article 19; article 21 and article 22.

Article 2 (2) remains in force for those rights that have not been suspended, and paragraph 3 of the same remains in force for all those offences which do not affect national security and public order.

PERU

22 March 1983

(Dated 18 March 1983)

First notification:

The Government has declared the extension of the state of emergency in the provinces of Huanta, La Mar, Cangallo, Victor Fajardo y Huamanga, in the Department of Ayacucho, Andahuaylas in the Department of Apurimac, and Angaraes, Tayacaja and Acobamba in the Department of Huancavelica and for a period of 60 days from the date of the issue of the Supreme Decree No. 003-83-IN of 25 February 1983.

Suspension of the constitutional guarantees provided for in paragraphs 7, 9, 10 and 20(g) of article 2 of the Political Constitution of Peru, which relate to the inviolability of the home, liberty of movement in the national territory, the right of peaceful assembly and the right to liberty and security of person.

Second notification:

Extension of a state of emergency in the Department of Lima by Supreme Decree No. 005-83-IN of 9 March [1983], and suspension for a period of five days of the constitutional guarantees provided for in paragraphs 9, 10 and 20(g) of article 2 of the Political Constitution of Peru relating to liberty of movement in the national territory, the right of peaceful assembly and the right to liberty and security of persons.

Suspension of the state of emergency as from 14 March 1983. In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 4 April 1983, the Government of Peru specified that the state of emergency extended by Supreme Decree No. 003-83-IN of 25 February 1983 was originally proclaimed by Supreme Decree No. 026-81-IN of 12 October 1981. It further specified that the provisions of the Covenant from which it was derogated by reason of the proclamation of the state of emergency were articles 9, 12, 17 and 21.

3 May 1983

(Dated 27 April 1983)

Extension of derogations (articles 9, 12, 17 and 21) for a further 60 days by Supreme Decree 014-83-IN of 22 April 1983 and extension of the suspension of constitutional guarantees provided for in paragraphs 7, 9, 10 and 20(g) of article 2 of the Political Constitution of Peru, which correspond to articles 17, 12, 21, and 9 of the Covenant.

2 June 1983

(Dated 28 May 1983)

Extension of the state of emergency for a period of three days in Lima and in the province of Callao by Supreme Decree No. 020-83 of 25 May 1983, and derogations from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant.

(Dated 31 May 1983)

Extension of the state of emergency for a period of 60 days throughout the Republic by Supreme Decree No. 022-83 of 30 May 1984 and derogations from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant.

9 August 1983

(Dated 8 August 1983)

Further extension of the state of emergency in its national territory for 60 days by Supreme



#### IV.4: Civil and political rights

Decree No 036-83 of 2 August 1983, and derogations from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant

29 September 1983

Termination as from 9 September 1983 of the state of emergency and of the derogations with the exceptions of the Departments of Huancavelica, Ayacucho and Apurímac.

9 November 1983

(Dated 3 November 1983)

Extension of the state of emergency in the provinces of Huanta, La Mar, Cangallo, Víctor Fajardo y Huamanga (Department of Ayacucho), Andahuaylas (Department of Apurímac), Angaraes, Tayacaja and Acobamba (Department of Huancavelica) by Supreme Decree No. 054-83 of 22 October 1983 and continuation of the derogations from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant.

20 December 1983

(Dated 19 December 1983)

Extension of the state of emergency in the provinces of Lucanas and Ayacucho (Department of Ayacucho) and the province of Huancavelica (Department of Huancavelica) by Supreme Decree No. 061-83-IN of 6 December 1983, and derogations from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant.

13 February 1984

(Dated 31 January 1984)

Extension of the state of emergency for 60 days in the provinces of Huanta, La Mar, Cangallo, Víctor Fajardo y Huamanga (Department of Ayacucho), Andahuaylas (Department of Apurímac), Angaraes, Tayacaja and Acobamba (Department of Huancavelica), and in the districts of Querobamba and Cabana (Department of Ayacucho), and throughout the provinces of Lucanas (Department of Ayacucho) and Huancavelica (Department of Huancavelica) by Supreme Decree No. 061-83-IN of 6 December 1983, and derogations from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant in the above-mentioned provinces and districts.

28 March 1984

(Dated 26 March 1984)

Extension of state of emergency throughout Peru from 21 to 23 March 1984, and derogations from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant.

14 May 1984

(Dated 19 April 1984)

Continuation of state of emergency for a period of 60 days in the provinces of Huanta, La Mar, Cangallo, Víctor Fajardo y Huamanga and Lucanas (Department of Ayacucho); Andahuaylas and Chincheros (Department of Apurímac); Angaraes, Tayacaja, Acobamba, Huancavelica and Castrovirreyna (Department of Huancavelica) by Decree No. 031-84-IN of 17 April 1984 and derogations from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant

18 June 1984

(Dated 15 June 1984)

Declaration of the state of emergency for a period of 30 days, starting from 8 June 1984, in the whole of the territory of the Republic of Peru and derogations from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant.

9 August 1984

(Dated 12 July 1984)

Extension of the state of emergency as at 8 July 1984, for a period of 30 days, throughout the territory of the Republic of Peru and derogations from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21.

14 August 1984

Extension of the state of emergency throughout Peru for a period of 60 days, starting from 7 August 1984 and extension of the said derogations.

25 October 1984

(Dated 22 October 1984)

By Supreme Decree No. 052-84-IN of 5 October 1984 termination of the state of emergency in the territory of the Republic excepting the following provinces and departments, where the state of emergency has been extended for 60 days as of 5 October 1984:

- the Department of Huánuco; the province of Mariscal Cáceres (Department of San Martín); the provinces of Huanta, La Mar, Cangallo, Víctor Fajardo, Huamanga and Lucanas (Department of Ayacucho); the provinces of Andahuaylas and Chincheros (Department of Apurímac); the provinces of Angaraes, Tayacaja, Acobamba, Huancavelica and Castrovirreyna (Department of Huancavelica), and
- derogations from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant in the above-mentioned departments and provinces.

21 December 1984

(Dated 19 December 1984)

By Supreme Decree No. 063-84-IN, the Government of Peru had extended the state of emergency as at 3 December 1984, for a period of 60 days, in the Departments of Huánuco and San Martín and the Province of Mariscal Cáceres. The said extension had been declared owing to the continued terrorist acts of violence and sabotage in those regions and, as a result, the Government of Peru continued to derogate from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant.

(Dated 21 December 1984)

By Supreme Decree No. 065-84-IN, the Government of Peru had found it necessary to extend the state of emergency for a period of 60 days, starting from 7 December 1984, in the following provinces:

Ayacucho Department

- Cangallo, Huamanga, Huanta, La Mar, Lucanas, Víctor Fajardo, Huancasancos and Vilcashuamán;

Huancavelica Department

- Ancobamba, Angaraes, Castrovirreyna, Huancavelica, Tayacaja and Huaytará;

Apurímac Department

- Andahuaylas and Chincheros.

The notification specifies that the extension of the state of emergency was decided because of the continued terrorist acts of violence and sabotage in the said provinces and that it was necessary to continue to derogate from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant.

IV.4: Civil and political rights

8 February 1985

(Dated 7 February 1985)

By Supreme Decree No. 001/85-IN, extension of the state of emergency as of 3 February 1985 in the Departments of San Martín, including the province of Tocache and excluding the Province of Mariscal Cáceres, and Huáncó, excluding the Provinces of Puerto Inca and Pachitea.

By Supreme Decree No. 001/85-IN, exclusion of the state of emergency as of 3 February 1985 in the Department of San Martín, including the Province of Tocache and excluding the Province of Mariscal Cáceres, and Huáncó, excluding the Provinces of Puerto Inca and Pachitea. The said extension had been declared owing to the continued terrorist acts of violence and sabotage in those regions and, as a result, the Government of Peru continued to derogate from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant.

12 April 1985

(Dated 9 April 1985)

By Supreme Decree No. 012-85-IN, extension of the state of emergency as of 1 April 1985 in the Department of San Martín including the Province of Tocache, and in the Department of Huáncó, except in the provinces of Puerto Inca and Pachitea.

The said extension has been declared owing to the continued terrorist acts of violence and sabotage in those regions and, as a result, the Government of Peru continued to derogate from articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant.

18 June 1985

(14 June 1985)

By Supreme Decree No. 020-85-IN, the state of emergency in the Province of Pasco (Department of Pasco) has been declared for a period of 60 days, starting from 10 May 1985.

By Supreme Decree No. 021-85-IN the state of emergency in the Department of San Martín, including the Province of Tocache and in the Department of Huánuco, except in the provinces of Puerto Inca and Pachitea, has been extended for a period of 60 days, starting from 1 June 1985.

By Supreme Decree No. 022-85-IN the state of emergency in the Province of Daniel Alcides Carrión (Department of Pasco) has been extended for a period of 60 days, starting from 4 June 1985.

By Supreme Decree No. 023-85-IN, the state of emergency has been extended for a period of 60 days starting from 5 June 1985 in the following provinces:

Ayacucho Department

- Cangallo, Huamanga, Huanta, La Mar, Lucanas, Víctor Fajardo, Huancasancos and Vilcashuamán;

Huancavelica Department

- Acobamba, Angaraes, Castrovirreyna, Huancavelica, Tayacaja, Huaytará and Churcampa,

Apurímac Department

- Andahuaylas and Chincheros

The above-mentioned notifications specify that the state of emergency had been declared or extended as indicated above owing to the continued terrorist acts of violence and sabotage.

As a result, articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant are being or still being derogated from

in the regions in question for the said periods of time.

24 July 1985

(Dated 23 July 1985)

By supreme Decree No. 031-85, the state of emergency in the Province of Pasco (Department of Pasco) has been extended for a period of 60 days, starting from 10 July 1985.

6 August 1985

(Dated 31 July 1985)

By Supreme Decree No. 033-85-IN, the state of emergency in the Province of Yauli (Department of Junín) has been declared for a period of 12 days, starting from 19 July 1985.

12 August 1985

(Dated 12 August 1985)

By Supreme Decree No. 042-85-IN, the State of emergency has been extended for a period of 60 days starting from 6 August 1985 in the following provinces and departments:

- (i) the province of Tocache (Department of San Martín);
- (ii) the Department of Huáncó, except the provinces of Puerto Inca and Pachitea;
- (iii) the province of Daniel Alcides Carrión (Department of Pasco);
- (iv) the provinces of Cangallo, Huamanga, Huanta, La Mar, Lucanas, Víctor Fajardo, Huancasancos and Vilcashuamán (Department of Ayacucho);
- v) the provinces of Acobamba, Angaraes, Castrovirreyna, Huancavelica, Andahuaylas and Chincheros (Department of Apurímac).

As a result, articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Convention are being or still being derogated from in the regions in question for the said periods

13 December 1985

(Dated 11 December 1985)

Extension of the state of emergency for a period of 60 days in the following provinces, in accordance with Decree No. 052-85-IN as of 5 December 1985 (derogation to articles 9, 12, 17, and 21 of the Covenant), owing to continued terrorist actions in the said regions:

- Provinces of Cangallo, Huamanga, Huanta, La Mar, Víctor Fajardo, Huancasancos and Vilcashuamán (Department of Ayacucho),
- Provinces of Acobamba, Angaraes, Castrovirreyna, Huancavelica, Tayacaja, Huaytará and Churcampe (Department of Huancavelica);
- Provinces of Huaycabamba, Huamalíes, Dos de Mayo y Ambo (Department of Huánuco);
- Province of Chincheros (Department of Apurímac).

21 February 1986

(Dated 14 February 1986)

First notification

Extension as of 5 February 1986 by Decree No. 001-86 of the state of emergency for a period of 60 days in the same provinces as declared by Decree No. 052-85 IN (see notification of 13 December 1985)

Second notification

Extension of the state of emergency for a period of 60 days in the city of Lima and the Constitutional Province of Callao for a period of

#### 10.4: Civil and political rights

60 days starting from 7 February 1986, in accordance with Decree No. 002-86.

The notifications specify that the extension was decided owing to continued terrorist actions and that articles 9, 12, 17, and 21 of the Covenant continue to be derogated from).

(Dated 14 April 1986) 24 April 1986

Extension of the state of emergency for a period of 60 days in the same provinces and city as declared by Decrees No. 001-86 and 002-86 (see notifications of 21 February 1986), in accordance with Decree No. 004-86 and 005-86 -IN as of 3 April 1986.

(Dated 4 juin 1986) 5 juin 1986

By Supreme Decree No. 012-86-IN, extension of the state of emergency in the city of Lima and the Constitutional Province of Callao for a period of 60 days, starting from 2 June 1986

(Dated 6 June 1986) 9 June 1986

By Supreme Decree No. 013-86-IN, extension of the state of emergency for a period of 60 days, starting from 4 June 1986, in the provinces stated in the notification received on 21 February 1986.

(Dated 20 juin 1986) 23 June 1986

By Supreme Decree No. 015-86-IN, declaration of the state of emergency in the Provinces of Daniel Alcides Carrión and Pasco (Department of Pasco) for a period of 60 days, starting from 18 June 1986.

The Government of Peru specified that the said extensions and declaration of a state of emergency had been declared owing to the continuation or occurrence of terrorist acts and sabotage. As a result, articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant are being or still being derogated from in the regions in question for the said periods of time.

(Dated 5 August 1986) 6 August 1986

By Supreme Decree No. 019-86-IN, extension of the state of emergency in the Province of Lima and the Constitutional Province of Callao for a period of 30 days, starting from 2 August 1986.

(Dated 7 August 1986) 8 August 1986

By Supreme Decree No. 020-86-IN, for a period of 60 days starting from 3 August 1986, extension of the state of emergency in the same provinces as under notification of 18 June 1985 and the Department of Huánuco (Province of Huaycabamba, Huamalíes, Dos de Mayo and Ambo).

(Dated 19 August 1986) 25 August 1986

By Supreme Decree No. 023-86-IN, in the Provinces of Daniel Alcides Carrión and Pasco (Department of Pasco) for a period of 60 days, starting from 19 August 1986.

The notifications specify that the said extensions had been declared as indicated above owing to the continued terrorist acts of violence and sabotage.

As a result, articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant have continued to be derogated from in the regions in question for the said periods of time.

(Dated 4 September 1986) 5 September 1986

By Supreme Decree No. 026-86-IN, extension of the state of emergency for a period of 60 days starting 1 September 1986 in the Province of Lima and the Constitutional Province of Callao.

The notification specifies that the said extension had been declared owing persistent acts of violence in the above-mentioned provinces.

The notification specifies that inasmuch as the municipal election process has begun, and in order to facilitate campaigning by political parties and independent candidates, without adversely affecting the security measures necessitated by the state of emergency, the prefectural authority, during the state of emergency, shall issue the appropriate regulations for governing the exercise of the right of assembly and the liberty of movement is partially reestablished. In accordance with the said Decree, article 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant continue to be derogated from, within the limits indicated above.

(Dated 3 October 1986) 8 October 1986

By Supreme Decree No. 029-86-IN, extension of the state of emergency for a period of 60 days, starting on 1 October 1986, in the same provinces as those indicated under the notification of 8 August 1986 (see above).

The notification specifies that the said extension of the state of emergency had been declared owing to the continued terrorist acts of violence and sabotage.

As a result, articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant will continue to be derogated from in the regions in question for the said period of time.

(Dated 17 October 1986) 22 October 1986

By Supreme Decree No. 03-86-IN, extension of the state of emergency for a period of 60 days, starting from 16 October 1986, in the Provinces of Daniel Alcides Carrión and Pasco (Department of Pasco) The reasons of the extension and the articles of the Covenant from which it is derogated are identical to those indicated in the notification of 8 October 1986 above. The notification further specifies that, during the state of emergency, the prefectural authority shall issue the appropriate regulations for governing the exercise of the right of assembly.

(Dated 3 November 1986) 5 November 1986

By Supreme Decree No. 03-86-IN, extension of the state of emergency for a period of 60 days, starting from 16 October 1986, and starting from 29 October 1986, in the provinces of Lima and Callao. the reasons for the extension, the articles of the Covenant which are derogated from and the intervention of the prefectural authority are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to those indicated in the notification of 22 October 1986 (see above). the notification further specifies that, the armed forces shall continue

#### IV.4: Civil and political rights

to maintain responsibility for public order in the provinces concerned.

18 December 1986

(Dated 16 December 1986)

By Supreme Decree No. 036-86-IN, extension of the state of emergency in the Provinces of Daniel Alcides Carrión and Pasco (Department of Pasco) for a period of 60 days, starting from 19 August 1986.

The notifications specify that the said extensions had been declared as indicated above owing to the continued terrorist acts of violence and sabotage.

As a result, articles 9, 12, 17 and 21 of the Covenant have continued to be derogated from in the regions in question for the said periods of time.

#### POLAND

29 January 1982

". . . in connection with the proclamation of martial law by the Council of State of the Polish People's Republic, as based on article 33, paragraph 2, of Poland's Constitution, there has been temporary derogation from or limitation of application of provisions of articles 9, 12 (paragraphs 1 and 2), 14 (paragraph 5), 19 (paragraphs 2, 21 and 22) of the Covenant, to the extent strictly required by the exigencies of the situation . . .

Temporary limitation of certain rights of citizens has been prompted by the supreme national interest. It was caused by the exigencies of averting a civil war, economic anarchy as well as destabilization of state and social structures . . .

The restrictive measures in question are of a temporary nature. They have already been considerably cut back and along with the stabilizing of the situation, will be successively terminated.

22 December 1982

Basing on the law by the Diet (Sejm) of the Polish People's Republic of 18 December 1982 concerning special legal regulation in the time of suspension of marital law, derogation from Covenant's articles 9, 12 paragraphs 1 and 2, articles 21 and 22, has been terminated as of 31 December 1982.

By terms of the same law as well as a result of earlier successive measures, restrictions in the application of Covenant provisions which are still derogated from, namely article 14 paragraph 5 and article 19 paragraph 2, have also been considerable reduced.

For instance, with reference to Covenant's article 14 paragraph 5, emergency procedures have been lifted in relation to crimes and offenses committed in social conflicts out of political motivations, they have only been retained with regard to crimes most dangerous to State's basic economic interests as well as to life, health and property of its citizens.

25 July 1983

Termination as from 22 July 1983 of derogations.

#### SRI LANKA

21 May 1984

Proclamation of state of emergency throughout Sri Lanka, and derogation as a consequence from articles 9(3) and 14(3)(b) of the Covenant as from 18 May 1983.

23 May 1984

The Government of Sri Lanka specified that the Emergency regulations and Special Laws were temporary measures necessitated by the existence of an extraordinary security situation and that it was not intended to continue with them longer that it was absolutely necessary.

#### UNITED KINGDOM

17 May 1976

"The Government of the United Kingdom notify other States Parties to the present Covenant, in accordance with article 4, of their intention to take and continue measures derogating from their obligations under the Covenant.

"There have been in the United Kingdom in recent years campaigns of organised terrorism related to Northern Irish affairs which have manifested themselves in activities which have included murder, attempted murder, maiming, intimidation and violent civil disturbances and in bombing and fire-raising which have resulted in death, injury and widespread destruction of property. This situation constitutes a public emergency within the meaning of article 4(1) of the Covenant. The emergency commenced prior to the ratification by United Kingdom of the Covenant and legislation has, from time to time, been promulgated with regard to it.

"The Government of the United Kingdom have found it necessary (and in some cases continue to find it necessary) to take powers, to the extent strictly required by the exigencies of the situation, for the protection of life, for the protection of property and the prevention of outbreaks of public disorder, and including the exercise of powers of arrest and detention and exclusion. In so far as any of these measures is inconsistent with the provisions of articles 9, 10(2), 10(3), 12(1), 14, 17, 19(2), 21 or 22 of the Covenant, the United Kingdom hereby derogates from its obligations under those provisions."

22 August 1984

Termination forthwith of derogations from articles 9, 10(2), 10(3), 12(1), 14, 17, 19(2), 21 and 22 of the Covenant.

#### URUGUAY

30 July 1979

[The Government of Uruguay] has the honour to request that the requirement laid down in article 4(3) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights should be deemed to have been formally fulfilled with regard to the existence and maintenance in Uruguay of a public emergency as referred to in article 4(1).

This emergency situation, the nature and consequences of which match the description given in article 4, namely that they threaten the life of the nation, is a matter of universal knowledge, and the present communication might thus appear superfluous in so far as the provision of substantive information is concerned.

This issue has been the subject of countless official statements at both the regional and the international level.

Nonetheless, my Government wishes both to comply formally with the above-mentioned requirement and to reiterate that the emergency measures which it

IV.4: Civil and political rights

has taken, and which comply strictly with the requirements of article 4(2), are designed precisely to achieve genuine, effective and lasting protection of human rights, the observance and promotion of which are the essence of our existence as an independent and sovereign nation.

Notwithstanding what has been stated above, the information referred to in article 4(3) concerning the nature and duration of the emergency measures will be provided in more detailed form when the report referred to in article 40 of the Covenant is submitted, so that the scope and evolution of these measures can be fully understood.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Netherlands . . . . .	11 Dec 1978	Netherlands Antilles
United Kingdom . . . . .	20 May 1976	The Bailiwick of Guernsey, the Bailiwick of Jersey, the Isle of Man, Belize, Bermuda, the British Virgin Islands, the Cayman Islands, the Falkland Islands and Dependencies <sup>14</sup> , Gibraltar, the Gilbert Islands, Hong Kong, Montserrat, the Pitcairn Group, St Helena and Dependencies, the Solomon Islands, the Turks and Caicos Islands and Tuvalu

NOTES:

1/ See note 2 in chapter IV.3.

2/ See note 3 in chapter IV.3 for the texts of communications received by the Secretary-General in respect of the signature by Democratic Kampuchea.

3/ With the following declaration: "The said Covenant shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany except as far as Allied rights and responsibilities are affected."

For communications on this subject addressed to the Secretary-General by various governments, see note 4 in chapter IV 3

4/ By a communication received on 6 ~~November~~ <sup>November</sup> 1984, the Government of Australia notified the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw the following reservations and declarations made upon ratification:

"Articles 2 and 50

"Australia advises that, the people having united as one people in a Federal Commonwealth under the Crown, it has a federal constitutional system. It accepts that the provisions of the Covenant extend to all parts of Australia as a federal State without any limitations or exceptions. It enters a general reservation that article 2, paragraphs 2 and 3, and article 50 shall be given effect consistently with and subject to the provisions in article 2, paragraph 2.

Under article 2, paragraph 2, steps to adopt measures necessary to give effect to the rights recognised in the Covenant are to be taken in accordance with each State Party's Constitutional processes which, in the case of Australia, are the processes of a federation in which legislative, executive and judicial powers to give effect to the rights recognised in the Covenant are distributed among the federal (Commonwealth) authorities and the authorities of the constituent States.

"In particular, in relation to the Australian States the implementation of those provisions of

the Covenant over whose subject matter the federal authorities exercise legislative, executive and judicial jurisdiction will be a matter for those authorities; and the implementation of those provisions of the Covenant over whose subject matter the authorities of the constituent States exercise legislative, executive and judicial jurisdiction will be a matter for those authorities; and where a provision has both federal and State aspects, its implementation will accordingly be a matter for the respective constitutionally appropriate authorities (for the purpose of implementation, the Northern Territory will be regarded as a constituent State).

To this end, the Australian Government has been in consultation with the responsible State and Territory Ministers with the object of developing co-operative arrangements to coordinate and facilitate the implementation of the Covenant

"Article 10

"Australia accepts the principle stated in paragraph 1 of article 10 and the general principles of the other paragraphs of that article, but makes the reservation that these and other provisions of the Covenant are without prejudice to laws and lawful arrangements, of the type now in force in Australia, for the preservation of custodial discipline in penal establishments. In relation to paragraph 2(a) the principle of segregation is accepted as an objective to be achieved progressively. In relation to paragraphs 2(b) and 3 (second sentence) the obligation to segregate is accepted only to the extent that such segregation is considered by the responsible authorities to be beneficial to the juveniles or adults concerned.

"Article 14

"Australia accepts paragraph 3(b) on the understanding that the reference to adequate facilities does not require provision to prisoners of all the facilities available to a prisoner's legal representative

"Australia accepts the requirement in paragraph 3(d) that everyone is entitled to be tried in his presence, but reserves the right

to exclude an accused person where his conduct makes it impossible for the trial to proceed.

"Australia interprets paragraphs 3(d) of article 14 as consistent with the operation of schemes of legal assistance in which the person assisted is required to make a contribution towards the cost of the defence related to his capacity to pay and determined according to law, or in which assistance is granted in respect of other than indictable offences only after having regard to all relevant matters "

"Australia makes the reservation that the provision of compensation for miscarriage of justice in the circumstances contemplated in paragraph 6 of article 14 may be by administrative procedures rather than pursuant to specific legal provision."

"Article 17

"Australia accepts the principles stated in article 17 without prejudice to the right to enact and administer laws which, insofar as they authorise action which impinges on a person's privacy, family, home or correspondence, are necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security, public safety, the economic well-being of the country, the protection of public health or morals or the protection of the rights and freedoms of others."

"Article 19

"Australia interprets paragraph 2 of article 19 as being compatible with the regulation of radio and television broadcasting in the public interest with the object of providing the best possible broadcasting services to the Australian people."

"Article 20

"Australia interprets the rights provided for by articles 19, 21 and 22 as consistent with article 20, accordingly, the Commonwealth and the constituent States, having legislated with respect to the subject matter of the article in matters of practical concern in the interests of public order (ordre public), the right is reserved not to introduce any further legislative provision on these matters."

Article 25

"The reference in paragraph (b) of article 25 to "universal and equal suffrage", is accepted without prejudice to law which provide that factors such as regional interest may be taken into account in defining electoral divisions, or which establish franchises for municipal and other local government elections related to the sources of revenue and the functions of such government."

"Convicted Persons

"Australia declares that laws now in force in Australia relating to the rights of persons who have been convicted of serious criminal offences are generally consistent with the requirements of articles 14, 18, 19, 25 and 26 and reserves the right not to seek amendment of such laws "

"Discrimination and Distinction

"The provisions of articles 2(1) and 24(1), 25 and 26 relating to discrimination and distinction between persons shall be without prejudice to laws designed to achieve for the members of some class or classes of persons equal enjoyment of the rights defined in the Covenant. Australia accepts article 26 on the basis that the object of the provision is to confirm the right of each

person to equal treatment in the application of the law."

5/ In a communication received on 29 March 1985, the Government of Finland notified the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw the following reservations made upon ratification:

"3. With respect to article 13 of the Covenant, Finland declares that the article does not correspond to the present Finnish legislation regarding an alien's right to be heard or lodge a complaint in respect of a decision concerning his expulsion;

"4. With respect to article 14, paragraph 1, of the Covenant, Finland declares that under Finnish law a sentence can be declared secret if its publication could be an affront to morals or endanger national security; The notification indicates that the withdrawal was effected because the relevant provisions of the Finnish legislation have been amended as to correspond fully to articles 13 and 14 (1) of the Covenant.

6/ In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 23 April 1982 from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany the following declaration with regard to that declaration made by France concerning article 27 of the said Covenant:

The Federal Government refers to the declaration on article 27 made by the French Government and stresses in this context the great importance attaching to the rights guaranteed by article 27. It interprets the French declaration as meaning that the Constitution of the French Republic already fully guarantees the individual rights protected by article 27

7/ In a communication received on 20 December 1983, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General that it was withdrawing its reservation with regard to article 25(c) The text of the reservation read as follows:

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands does not accept this provision in the case of the Netherlands Antilles "

8/ In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 12 December 1979, the Government of Norway withdrew the reservation formulated simultaneously in respect of article 6 (4).

9/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 31 January 1979, the Government of Trinidad and Tobago confirmed that paragraph (vi) constituted an interpretative declaration which did not aim to exclude nor modify the legal effect of the provisions of the Covenant

10/ See "ENTRY INTO FORCE." at the beginning of this chapter.

11/ A previous declaration received on 6 April 1978 expired on 23 March 1983

12/ In a communication accompanying the declaration, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany indicated that it wishes to draw

#### IV.4: Civil and political rights

---

attention to the reservations made upon ratification with respect to articles 19, 21 and 22 in conjunction with articles 2 (1), 14 (3), 14 (5) and 15 (1) of the said Covenant and to the reservation in favour of Allied rights and responsibilities contained in the declaration, also made upon ratification, on the application of the Covenant to Berlin (West).

13/ A previous declaration, received 2 April 1976, expired on 28 March 1981.

14/ On 3 October 1983, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Argentina the following objection to the said territorial application:

[The Government of Argentina makes a] formal

objection to the [declaration] of territorial extension issued by the United Kingdom with regard to the Malvinas Islands (and dependencies), which that country is illegally occupying and refers to as the "Falkland Islands".

The Argentine Republic rejects and considers null and void the [said declaration] of territorial extension.

In this regard, the Secretary-General received on 28 February 1985 from the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the following a declaration (for the text of the declaration see note 9 in chapter III.11).

Subsequently, upon its ratification, the Government of Argentina made the following declaration (for the text of the declaration see note 8 in chapter IV.3).

IV.5: Civil and political rights

5. OPTIONAL PROTOCOL TO THE INTERNATIONAL COVENANT ON CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 16 December 1966

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 23 March 1976, in accordance with article 9.  
 REGISTRATION: 23 March 1976, No. 14668.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 999, p. 171.

Note: The Protocol was opened for signature at New York on 19 December 1966.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, Signature</u>	<u>accession (a)</u>
Argentina . . . . .		8 Aug 1986 <u>a</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .		18 Aug 1983 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .	10 Dec 1973		Madagascar . . . . .	17 Sep 1969	21 Jun 1971
Barbados . . . . .		5 Jan 1973 <u>a</u>	Mauritius . . . . .		12 Dec 1973 <u>a</u>
Bolivia . . . . .		12 Aug 1982 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	25 Jun 1969	11 Dec 1978
Cameroon . . . . .		27 Jun 1984 <u>a</u>	Nicaragua . . . . .		12 Mar 1980 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .		19 May 1976 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .		7 Mar 1986 <u>a</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .		8 May 1981 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .	20 Mar 1968	13 Sep 1972
China <sup>1</sup>			Panama . . . . .	27 Jul 1976	8 Mar 1977
Colombia . . . . .	21 Dec 1966	29 Oct 1969	Peru . . . . .	11 Aug 1977	3 Oct 1980
Congo . . . . .		5 Oct 1983 <u>a</u>	Philippines . . . . .	19 Dec 1966	
Costa Rica . . . . .	19 Dec 1966	29 Nov 1968	Portugal . . . . .	1 Aug 1978	3 May 1983
Cyprus . . . . .	19 Dec 1966		Saint Vincent and the Grenadines . . . . .		9 Nov 1981 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	20 Mar 1968	6 Jan 1972	San Marino . . . . .		18 Oct 1985 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic		4 Jan 1978 <u>a</u>	Senegal . . . . .	6 Jul 1970	13 Feb 1978
Ecuador . . . . .	4 Apr 1968	6 Mar 1969	Spain . . . . .		25 Jan 1985 <u>a</u>
El Salvador . . . . .	21 Sep 1967		Suriname . . . . .		28 Dec 1976 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .	11 Dec 1967	19 Aug 1975	Sweden . . . . .	29 Sep 1967	6 Dec 1971
France . . . . .		17 Feb 1984 <u>a</u>	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		14 Nov 1980 <u>a</u>
Guinea . . . . .	19 Mar 1975		Uruguay . . . . .	21 Feb 1967	1 Apr 1970
Honduras . . . . .	19 Dec 1966		Venezuela . . . . .	15 Nov 1976	10 May 1978
Iceland . . . . .		22 Aug 1979 <u>a</u>	Zaire . . . . .		1 Nov 1976 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .	30 Apr 1976	15 Sep 1978	Zambia . . . . .		10 Apr 1984 <u>a</u>
Jamaica . . . . .	19 Dec 1966	3 Oct 1975			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

DENMARK<sup>2</sup>

"With reference to Article 5, paragraph 2 (a), the Government of Denmark makes a reservation with respect to the Competence of the Committee to consider a communication from an individual if the matter has already been considered under other procedures of international investigation."

FRANCE

Declaration:

France interprets article 1 of the Protocol as giving the Committee the competence to receive and consider communications from individuals subject to the jurisdiction of the French Republic who claim to be victims of a violation by the Republic of any of the rights set forth in the Covenant which results either from acts, omissions, developments or events occurring after the date on which the Protocol entered into force for the Republic, or from a decision relating to acts, omissions, developments or events after that date.

With regard to article 7, France's accession to the Optional Protocol should not be interpreted as implying any change in its position concerning the resolution referred to in that article.

Reservation:

France makes a reservation to article 5, paragraph 2(a), specifying that the Human Rights Committee shall not have competence to consider a communication from an individual if the same matter is being examined or has already been considered under another procedure of international investigation or settlement.

ICELAND<sup>2</sup>

Iceland . . . . . accedes to the said Protocol subject to a reservation, with reference to article 5, paragraph 2, with respect to the competence of the Human Rights Committee to consider a communication from an individual if the matter is being examined or has been examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement. Other provisions of the Covenant shall be inviolably observed.



IV.5: Civil and political rights

ITALY<sup>2</sup>

The Italian Republic ratifies the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, it being understood that the provisions of article 5, paragraph 2, of the Protocol mean that the Committee provided for in article 28 of the Covenant shall not consider any communication from an individual unless it has ascertained that the same matter is not being and has not been examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement.

LUXEMBOURG

Declaration:

"The Grand Duchy of Luxembourg accedes to the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, on the understanding that the provisions of article 5, paragraph 2, of the Protocol mean that the Committee established by article 28 of the Covenant shall not consider any communications from an individual unless it has ascertained that the same matter is not being examined or has not already been examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement."

NORWAY<sup>2</sup>

Subject to the following reservation to article 5, paragraph 2:

"... The Committee shall not have competence to consider a communication from an individual if the same matter has already been examined under

other procedures of international investigation or settlement."

SPAIN

The Spanish Government accedes to the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, on the understanding that the provisions of article 5, paragraph 2, of that Protocol mean that the Human Rights Committee shall not consider any communication from an individual unless it has ascertained that the same matter has not been or is not being examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement.

SWEDEN<sup>2</sup>

On the understanding that the provisions of article 5, paragraph 2, of the Protocol signify that the Human Rights Committee provided for in article 28 of the said Covenant shall not consider any communication from an individual unless it has ascertained that the same matter is not being examined or has not been examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement.

VENEZUELA

[Same reservation as the one made by Venezuela in respect of article 14(3)(d) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights: see chapter IV.4.]

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Netherlands . . . . .	11 Dec 1978	Netherlands Antilles

NOTES:

1/ See note 2 in chapter IV.3.

2/ See under chapter IV.4 for the text of the declarations by which these States recognized the competence of the Human Rights Committee established under article 41 of the Covenant.

6. CONVENTION ON THE NON-APPLICABILITY OF STATUTORY LIMITATIONS TO WAR CRIMES AND CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 26 November 1968<sup>1</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 11 November 1970, in accordance with article VIII.  
 REGISTRATION: 11 November 1970, No. 10823.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 754, p. 73.

Note: The Convention was opened for signature at New York on 16 December 1968.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .		22 Jul 1983 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .	3 Jul 1969	
Albania . . . . .		19 May 1971 <u>a</u>	Mongolia . . . . .	31 Jan 1969	21 May 1969
Bolivia . . . . .		6 Oct 1983 <u>a</u>	Nicaragua . . . . .		3 Sep 1986 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .	21 Jan 1969	21 May 1969	Nigeria . . . . .		1 Dec 1970 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	7 Jan 1969	8 May 1969	Philippines . . . . .		15 May 1973 <u>a</u>
Cameroon . . . . .		6 Oct 1972 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .	16 Dec 1968	14 Feb 1969
Cuba . . . . .		13 Sep 1972 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .	17 Apr 1969	15 Sep 1969
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	21 May 1969	13 Aug 1970	Rwanda . . . . .		16 Apr 1975 <u>a</u>
Democratic People's Republic of Korea		8 Nov 1984 <u>a</u>	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines . . . . .		9 Nov 1981 <u>a</u>
Gambia . . . . .		29 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>	Tunisia . . . . .		15 Jun 1972 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		27 Mar 1973 <u>a</u>	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	14 Jan 1969	19 Jun 1969
Guinea . . . . .		7 Jun 1971 <u>a</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	6 Jan 1969	22 Apr 1969
Hungary . . . . .	25 Mar 1969	24 Jun 1969	Viet Nam . . . . .		6 May 1983 <u>a</u>
India . . . . .		12 Jan 1971 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	16 Dec 1968	9 Jun 1970
Kenya . . . . .		1 May 1972 <u>a</u>			
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		28 Dec 1984 <u>a</u>			

Declarations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations were made upon ratification or accession.)

AFGHANISTAN

Since the provisions of articles V and VII of the said Convention, according to which some States cannot become a party to the Convention, are not in conformity with the universal character of the Convention, the Presidium of the Revolutionary Council of the Democratic Republic of Afghanistan states that, on the basis of the principle of the sovereign equality of States, the Convention should remain open to all States.

ALBANIA

The Government of the People's Republic of Albania states that the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity are unacceptable because, in preventing a number of States from becoming parties to the Convention, they are discriminatory in nature and thus violate the principle of the sovereign equality of States and are incompatible with the spirit and purposes of the Convention.

BULGARIA

The People's Republic of Bulgaria deems it necessary at the same time to declare that the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, which prevent a number of States from signing the Convention or acceding to it, are contrary to the principle of the sovereign equality of States.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, which prevent certain States from signing the Convention or acceding to it, are contrary to the principle of the sovereign equality of States.

CUBA

The Government of the Republic of Cuba declares

that it regards the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity as discriminatory and contrary to the principle of the equality of States.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 26 November 1968, are in contradiction with the principle that all States have the right to become parties to multilateral treaties governing matters of general interest."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic deems it necessary to state that articles V and VII of the Convention deprive a number of States of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention. As the Convention regulates matters affecting the interests of all States, it should be open to participation by all States whose policies are guided by the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations

GUINEA

The Government of the Republic of Guinea considers that the dispositions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, adopted by the General Assembly on 26 November 1968, make it impossible for a number of States to become parties to the Convention and are therefore of a discriminatory character which is contradictory to the object and aims of this Convention.

The Government of the Republic of Guinea is of the opinion that, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, the Convention should be open to all States without any discrimination and limitation

HUNGARY

"The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that the provisions contained in articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on November 26, 1968, which deny the possibility to certain States to become signatories to the Convention are of discriminatory nature, violate the principles of sovereign equality of States and are more particularly incompatible with the objectives and purposes of the said Convention "

LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The Lao People's Democratic Republic accedes to the above-mentioned Convention and undertakes to implement faithfully all its clauses, except for the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory

Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 26 November 1968, which contravene the principle of the sovereign equality of States. The Convention should be open to universal participation in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations.

MONGOLIA

"The Mongolian People's Republic deems it necessary to state that the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity have discriminatory nature and seek to preclude certain States from participation in the Convention and declares that as the Convention deals with matters affecting the interests of all States it should be open to participation by all States without any discrimination or restriction "

POLAND

"The Polish People's Republic considers that the dispositions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, adopted by the General Assembly on the 26th of November 1968, make it impossible for a number of States to become parties to the Convention and are therefore of a discriminatory character which is contradictory to the object and aims of this Convention.

The Polish People's Republic is of the opinion that, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, the Convention should be open to all States without any discrimination and limitation "

ROMANIA

The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania states that the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity are not compatible with the principle that multilateral international treaties, the subject and purpose of which concern the international community as a whole, should be open for universal participation.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, which prevent certain States from signing the Convention or acceding to it, are contrary to the principle of the sovereign equality of States.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that the provisions of articles V and VII of the Convention on the Non-Applicability of

IV.6: War crimes and crimes against humanity

---

Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, which prevent certain States from signing the Convention or acceding to it, are contrary to the principle of the sovereign equality of States.

VIET NAM

The Government of the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam deems it necessary to state in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States that the Convention should be open to all States without any discrimination and limitation.

---

NOTES:

1/ Resolution 2391 (XXIII), Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-third Session, Supplement No. 18 (A/7218), p. 40.

7. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE SUPPRESSION AND PUNISHMENT OF THE CRIME OF APARTHEID

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 30 November 1973

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 18 July 1976, in accordance with article XV, paragraph 1  
 REGISTRATION: 18 July 1976, No. 14861.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1015, p 244

Note. The Convention was opened for signature at New York on 30 November 1973.

Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)	Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
Afghanistan . . . . .		6 Jul 1983 a	Madagascar . . . . .		26 May 1977 a
Algeria . . . . .	23 Jan 1974	26 May 1982	Maldives . . . . .		24 Apr 1984 a
Antigua and Barbuda		7 Oct 1982 a	Mali . . . . .		19 Aug 1977 a
Argentina . . . . .	6 Jun 1975	7 Nov 1985	Mexico . . . . .		4 Mar 1980 a
Bahamas . . . . .		31 Mar 1981 a	Mongolia . . . . .	17 May 1974	8 Aug 1975
Bangladesh . . . . .		5 Feb 1985 a	Mozambique (United		18 Apr 1983 a
Barbados . . . . .		7 Feb 1979 a	Namibia (United		
Benin . . . . .	7 Oct 1974	30 Dec 1974	Nations Council		
Bolivia . . . . .		6 Oct 1983 a	for Namibia) . . . . .		11 Nov 1982 a
Bulgaria . . . . .	27 Jun 1974	18 Jul 1974	Nepal . . . . .		12 Jul 1977 a
Burkina Faso . . . . .	3 Feb 1976	24 Oct 1978	Nicaragua . . . . .		28 Mar 1980 a
Burundi . . . . .		12 Jul 1978 a	Niger . . . . .		28 Jun 1978 a
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	4 Mar 1974	2 Dec 1975	Nigeria . . . . .	26 Jun 1974	31 Mar 1977
Cameroon . . . . .		1 Nov 1976 a	Oman . . . . .	3 Apr 1974	
Cape Verde . . . . .		12 Jun 1979 a	Pakistan . . . . .		27 Feb 1986 a
Central African			Panama . . . . .	7 May 1976	16 Mar 1977
Republic . . . . .		8 May 1981 a	Peru . . . . .		1 Nov 1978 a
Chad . . . . .	23 Oct 1974	23 Oct 1974	Philippines . . . . .	2 May 1974	26 Jan 1978
China . . . . .		18 Apr 1983 a	Poland . . . . .	7 Jun 1974	15 Mar 1976
Congo . . . . .		5 Oct 1983 a	Qatar . . . . .	18 Mar 1975	19 Mar 1975
Costa Rica . . . . .		15 Oct 1986 a	Romania . . . . .	6 Sep 1974	15 Aug 1978
Cuba . . . . .		1 Feb 1977 a	Rwanda . . . . .	15 Oct 1974	23 Jan 1981
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	29 Aug 1975	25 Mar 1976	Saint Vincent and		
Democratic			the Grenadines . . . . .		9 Nov 1981 a
Kampuchea . . . . .		28 Jul 1981 a	Sao Tome and		
Democratic Yemen . . . . .	31 Jul 1974		Principe . . . . .		5 Oct 1979 a
Ecuador . . . . .	12 Mar 1975	12 May 1975	Senegal . . . . .		18 Feb 1977 a
Egypt . . . . .		13 Jun 1977 a	Seychelles . . . . .		13 Feb 1978 a
El Salvador . . . . .		30 Nov 1979 a	Somalia . . . . .	2 Aug 1974	28 Jan 1975
Ethiopia . . . . .		19 Sep 1978 a	Sri Lanka . . . . .		18 Feb 1982 a
Gabon . . . . .		29 Feb 1980 a	Sudan . . . . .	10 Oct 1974	21 Mar 1977
Gambia . . . . .		29 Dec 1978 a	Suriname . . . . .		3 Jun 1980 a
German Democratic			Syrian Arab		
Republic . . . . .	2 May 1974	12 Aug 1974	Republic . . . . .	17 Jan 1974	18 Jun 1976
Ghana . . . . .		1 Aug 1978 a	Togo . . . . .		24 May 1984 a
Guinea . . . . .	1 Mar 1974	3 Mar 1975	Trinidad and		
Guyana . . . . .		30 Sep 1977 a	Tobago . . . . .	7 Apr 1975	26 Oct 1979
Haiti . . . . .		19 Dec 1977 a	Tunisia . . . . .		21 Jan 1977 a
Hungary . . . . .	26 Apr 1974	20 Jun 1974	Uganda . . . . .	11 Mar 1975	10 Jun 1986
India . . . . .		22 Sep 1977 a	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	20 Feb 1974	10 Nov 1975
Iran (Islamic			Union of Soviet		
Republic of) . . . . .		17 Apr 1985 a	Socialist		
Iraq . . . . .	1 Jul 1975	9 Jul 1975	Republics . . . . .	12 Feb 1974	26 Nov 1975
Jamaica . . . . .	30 Mar 1976	18 Feb 1977	United Arab		
Jordan . . . . .	5 Jun 1974		Emirates . . . . .	9 Sep 1975	15 Oct 1975
Kenya . . . . .	2 Oct 1974		United Republic		
Kuwait . . . . .		23 Feb 1977 a	of Tanzania . . . . .		11 Jun 1976 a
Lao People's			Venezuela . . . . .		28 Jan 1983 a
Democratic			Viet Nam . . . . .		9 Jun 1981 a
Republic . . . . .		5 Oct 1981 a	Yugoslavia . . . . .	17 Dec 1974	1 Jul 1975
Lesotho . . . . .		4 Nov 1983 a	Zaire . . . . .		11 Jul 1978 a
Liberia . . . . .		5 Nov 1976 a	Zambia . . . . .		14 Feb 1983 a
Libyan Arab					
Jamahiriyah		8 Jul 1976 a			

IV.7: Apartheid

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession. For objections thereto, see hereinafter.)

ARGENTINA

Declaration:

It is the understanding of the Argentine Republic that article XII of the Convention should be interpreted to mean that its express consent shall be required in order for any dispute to which it is a party and which has not been settled by negotiation to be brought before the International Court of Justice

EGYPT<sup>2</sup>

INDIA

"The Government of the Republic of India accede to the said Convention with effect from 17 August 1977."

IRAQ

Ratification by the Republic of Iraq of the above Convention shall in no way imply recognition of Israel, or be conducive to the establishment of such relations therewith as may be provided for in the Convention.

KUWAIT

"It is understood that the Accession of the State of Kuwait [. . .] does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the State of Kuwait "

MOZAMBIQUE

The People's Republic of Mozambique interprets article 12 of the Convention as to mean that the submission of any dispute concerning the interpretation and application of the Convention to the International Court of Justice shall be at the previous consent and request of all the parties to the dispute.

NEPAL

"The Constitution of Nepal contains provisions for the protection of individual rights, including the right to freedom of speech and expression, the right to form unions and associations not motivated by party politics and the right to freedom of professing his/her own religion, and nothing in the Convention shall be deemed to require or to authorize legislation or other action by Nepal incompatible with the provisions of the Constitution of Nepal

"His Majesty's Government interprets article 4 of the said Convention as requiring a Party to the Convention to adopt further legislative measures in the fields covered by sub-paragraphs (a) and (b) of that article only insofar as His Majesty's Government may consider, with due regard to the principles embodied in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, that some legislative addition to, or variation of, existing law and practice in those fields is necessary for the attainment of the end specified in the earlier part of article 4.

"His Majesty's Government does not consider itself bound by the provision of article 12 of the Convention under which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention is, at the request of any of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision."

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

"The ratification of the United Arab Emirates to this Convention shall in no way amount to recognition of nor the establishment of any treaty relations with Israel "

VENEZUELA

With a reservation excluding the provisions of article XII of the Convention

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objection was made upon ratification or accession )

ISRAEL

12 May 1977

"The instrument deposited by the Government of Kuwait contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are, moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That pronouncement by the Government of Kuwait cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Kuwait under general international law or under particular treaties

"The Government of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Kuwait an attitude of complete reciprocity "

NOTES:

1/ The Secretary-General received on 10 September 1981 from the Government of Viet Nam the following objection with regard to the accession of Democratic Kampuchea:

"The accession to the above-mentioned international Convention on behalf of the so-called 'Government of Kampuchea' by the genocidal clique of Pol Pot-Ieng Sary-Khieu Samphan, which was overthrown on 7 January 1979 by the Kampuchean people, is completely illegal and has no legal value. Only the Government of the People's Republic of Kampuchea, which is actually in power in Kampuchea, is empowered to represent the Kampuchea people and to sign and accede to international agreements and conventions.

As a party to that Convention, the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam is of the opinion that the accession of the so-called 'Government of Democratic Kampuchea' constitutes not only a gross violation of the standards of law and international morality, but also one of the most cynical affronts to the three million Kampuchean who are the victims of the most despicable crime of contemporary history, committed by the Pol Pot régime which is spurned by the whole of mankind."

Thereafter, similar communications objecting to the signature by Democratic Kampuchea were received by the Secretary-General on 14 September 1981 from the Government of the German Democratic Republic, on 12 November 1981 from the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on 19 November 1981

from the Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, on 3 December 1981 from the Government of Hungary, on 5 January 1982 from the Government of Bulgaria, on 13 January 1982 from the Government of Mongolia, and on 17 May 1982 from the Government of Czechoslovakia.

2/ In a notification received on 18 January 1980, the Government of Egypt informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw the declaration relating to Israel. For the text of the declaration, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1045, p. 397.

The notification indicates 25 January 1980 as the effective date of the withdrawal.

With respect to the above declaration, the Secretary-General had received, on 30 August 1977, the following declaration from the Government of Israel:

"The instrument deposited by the Government of Egypt contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are, moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That pronouncement by the Government of Egypt cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Egypt under general international law or under particular treaties.

"The Government of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Egypt an attitude of complete reciprocity."

IV 8. Discrimination against women

8. CONVENTION ON THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 18 December 1979

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 3 Septembre 1981, in accordance with article 27(1).  
REGISTRATION: 3 September 1981, No. 20378.  
TEXT: A/RES/34/180.1

Note: The Convention was opened for signature at the United Nations Headquarters on 1 March 1980.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Afghanistan . . . .	14 Aug 1980		Hungary . . . . .	6 Jun 1980	22 Dec 1980
Angola . . . . .		17 Sep 1986 a	Iceland . . . . .	24 Jul 1980	18 Jun 1985
Argentina . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	15 Jul 1985	India . . . . .	30 Jul 1980	
Australia . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	28 Jul 1983	Indonesia . . . . .	29 Jul 1980	13 Sep 1984
Austria . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	31 Mar 1982	Iraq . . . . .		13 Aug 1986 a
Bangladesh . . . . .		6 Nov 1984 a	Ireland . . . . .		23 Dec 1985 a
Barbados . . . . .	24 Jul 1980	16 Oct 1980	Israel . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	
Belgium . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	10 Jul 1985	Italy . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	10 Jun 1985
Benin . . . . .	11 Nov 1981		Jamaica . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	19 Oct 1984
Bhutan . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	31 Aug 1981	Japan . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	25 Jun 1985
Bolivia . . . . .	30 May 1980		Jordan . . . . .	3 Dec 1980	
Brazil . . . . .	31 Mar 1981	1 Feb 1984	Kenya . . . . .		9 Mar 1984 a
Bulgaria . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	8 Feb 1982	Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	14 Aug 1981
Burundi . . . . .	17 Jul 1980		Lesotho . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	
Byelorussian SSR	17 Jul 1980	4 Feb 1981	Liberia . . . . .		17 Jul 1984 a
Cameroon . . . . .	6 Jun 1983		Luxembourg . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	
Canada . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	10 Dec 1981	Madagascar . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	
Cape Verde . . . . .		5 Dec 1980 a	Mali . . . . .	5 Feb 1985	10 Sep 1985
Chile . . . . .	17 Jul 1980		Mauritius . . . . .		9 Jul 1984 a
China . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	4 Nov 1980	Mexico . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	23 Mar 1981
Colombia . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	19 Jan 1982	Mongolia . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	20 Jul 1981
Congo . . . . .	29 Jul 1980	26 Jul 1982	Netherlands . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	
Costa Rica . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	4 Apr 1986	New Zealand . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	10 Jan 1985 <sup>5</sup>
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	17 Jul 1980		Nicaragua . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	27 Oct 1981
Cuba . . . . .	6 Mar 1980	17 Jul 1980	Nigeria . . . . .	23 Apr 1984	13 Jun 1985
Cyprus . . . . .		23 Jul 1985 a	Norway . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	21 May 1981
Czechoslovakia Democratic	17 Jul 1980	16 Feb 1982	Panama . . . . .	26 Jun 1980	29 Oct 1981
Kampuchea <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	17 Oct 1980		Peru . . . . .	23 Jul 1981	13 Sep 1982
Democratic Yemen		30 May 1984 a	Philippines . . . . .	15 Jul 1980	5 Aug 1981
Denmark . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	21 Apr 1983	Poland . . . . .	29 May 1980	30 Jul 1980
Dominica . . . . .	15 Sep 1980	15 Sep 1980	Portugal . . . . .	24 Apr 1980	30 Jul 1980
Dominican Republic . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	2 Sep 1982	Republic of Korea	25 May 1983	27 Dec 1984
Ecuador . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	9 Nov 1981	Romania . . . . .	4 Sep 1980	7 Jan 1982
Egypt . . . . .	16 Jul 1980	18 Sep 1981	Rwanda . . . . .	1 May 1980	2 Mar 1981
El Salvador . . . . .	14 Nov 1980	19 Aug 1981	Saint Christopher and Nevis . . . . .		25 Apr 1985 a
Equatorial Guinea		23 Oct 1984 a	Saint-Lucia . . . . .		8 Oct 1982 a
Ethiopia . . . . .	8 Jul 1980	10 Sep 1981	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines		4 Aug 1981 a
Finland . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	4 Sep 1986	Senegal . . . . .	29 Jul 1980	5 Feb 1985
France . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	14 Dec 1983	Spain . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	5 Jan 1984
Gabon . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	21 Jan 1983	Sri Lanka . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	5 Oct 1981
Gambia . . . . .	29 Jul 1980		Sweden . . . . .	7 Mar 1980	2 Jul 1980
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	25 Jun 1980	9 Jul 1980	Thailand . . . . .		9 Aug 1985 a
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	10 Jul 1985 <sup>3</sup>	Togo . . . . .		26 Sep 1983 a
Ghana . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	2 Jan 1986	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	27 Jun 1985	
Greece . . . . .	2 Mar 1982	7 Jun 1983	Tunisia . . . . .	24 Jul 1980	20 Sep 1985
Grenada . . . . .	17 Jul 1980		Turkey . . . . .		20 Dec 1985 a
Guatemala . . . . .	8 Jun 1981	12 Aug 1982	Uganda . . . . .	30 Jul 1980	22 Jul 1985
Guinea . . . . .	17 Jul 1980 <sup>4</sup>	9 Aug 1982	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	12 Mar 1981
Guinea-Bissau . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	23 Aug 1985	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	23 Jan 1981
Guyana . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	17 Jul 1980			
Haiti . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	20 Jul 1981			
Honduras . . . . .	11 Jun 1980	3 Mar 1983			



IV.8: Discrimination against women

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
United Kingdom	22 Jul 1981	7 Apr 1986 <sup>6</sup>	Venezuela . . . .	17 Jul 1980	2 May 1983
United Republic of Tanzania . . .	17 Jul 1980	20 Aug 1985	Viet Nam . . . .	29 Jul 1980	17 Feb 1982
United States of America . . .	17 Jul 1980		Yugoslavia . . . .	17 Jul 1980	26 Feb 1982
Uruguay . . . . .	30 Mar 1981	9 Oct 1981	Zaire . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	17 Oct 1986
			Zambia . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	21 Jun 1985

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

ARGENTINA

Reservation:

The Government of Argentina declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 29, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women.

AUSTRALIA

Reservations

"The Government of Australia states that maternity leave with pay is provided in respect of most women employed by the Commonwealth Government and the Governments of New South Wales and Victoria. Unpaid maternity leave is provided in respect of all other women employed in the State of New South Wales and elsewhere to women employed under Federal and some State industrial awards. Social Security benefits subject to income tests are available to women who are sole parents.

"The Government of Australia advises that it is not at present in a position to take the measures required by article 11(2) to introduce maternity leave with pay or with comparable social benefits throughout Australia.

"The Government of Australia advises that it does not accept the application of the Convention in so far as it would require alteration of Defence Force policy which excludes women from combat and combat-related duties. The Government of Australia is reviewing this policy so as to more closely define "combat" and "combat-related duties."

Declaration

"Australia has a Federal Constitutional System in which Legislative, Executive and Judicial Powers are shared or distributed between the Commonwealth and the Constituent States. The implementation of the Treaty throughout Australia will be effected by the Commonwealth State and Territory Authorities having regard to their respective constitutional powers and arrangements concerning their exercise."

AUSTRIA

Reservation

"Austria reserves its right to apply the provision of article 7 (b), as far as service in the armed forces is concerned, and the provision of article 11, as far as night work of women and

special protection of working women is concerned, within the limits established by national legislation."

BANGLADESH

"The Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh does not consider as binding upon itself the provisions of articles 2,13(a) and 16.1(c) and (f) as they conflict with Sharia law based on Holy Quran and Sunna."

BELGIUM

Reservations:

Article 7

The application of article 7 shall not affect the validity of the provisions of the Constitution as laid down in article 60, which reserves for men the exercise of royal powers, and in article 58, which reserves for the sons of the King or, where there are none, for Belgian princes of the branch of the royal family in line to the throne, the function of ex officio senators as from the age of 18 years, with entitlement to vote as from the age of 25 years.

Article 15, paragraphs 2 and 3

The application of article 15, paragraphs 2 and 3, shall not affect the validity of the interim provisions enacted for couples married before the entry into force of the Act of 14 July 1976 concerning the reciprocal rights and duties of husbands and wives and their marriage contracts, in cases where, in accordance with the option available to them under the Act, they have declared that they are maintaining in toto their prior marriage contracts.

BRAZIL

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The Government of the Federative Republic of Brazil hereby expresses its reservations to article 15, paragraph 4 and to article 16, paragraphs 1 (a), (c), (g) and (h) of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women.

Furthermore, Brazil does not consider itself bound by article 29, paragraph 1, of the above-mentioned Convention."

BULGARIA

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 29, paragraph 1, of the Convention.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

Pursuant to article 29, paragraph 2 of the Convention, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 29, paragraph 1, of the Convention, to the effect that any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention which is not settled by negotiation shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or referred to the International Court of Justice, and declares that for the submission of such a dispute to arbitration or its referral to the International Court of Justice the consent of all parties to the dispute must be obtained in each individual case.

CANADA

Statement

"The Government of Canada states that the competent legislative authorities within Canada have addressed the concept of equal pay referred to in article 11 (1) (d) by legislation which requires the establishment of rates of remuneration without discrimination on the basis of sex. The competent legislative authorities within Canada will continue to implement the object and purpose of article 11 (1) (d) and to that end have developed, and where appropriate will continue to develop, additional legislative and other measures."

CHILE

Upon signature:

Declaration

The Government of Chile has signed this Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, mindful of the important step which this document represents, not only in terms of the elimination of all forms of discrimination against women, but also in terms of their full and permanent integration into society in conditions of equality.

The Government is obliged to state, however, that some of the provisions of the Convention are not entirely compatible with current Chilean legislation.

At the same time, it reports the establishment of a Commission for the Study and Reform of the Civil Code, which now has before it various proposals to amend, inter alia, those provisions which are not fully consistent with the terms of the Convention.

CHINA

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The People's Republic of China does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of article 29 of the Convention.

CUBA

Reservation

The Government of the Republic of Cuba makes a specific reservation concerning the provisions of article 29 of the Convention inasmuch as it holds that any disputes that may arise between States Parties should be resolved through direct negotiations through the diplomatic channel

CYPRUS

Reservation:

". . . The Government of the Republic of Cyprus wishes to enter a reservation concerning the granting to women of equal rights with men with respect to the nationality of their children, mentioned in article 9 paragraph 2 of the Convention. This reservation is to be withdrawn upon amendment of the relevant law."

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 29, of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, does not consider itself to be bound under paragraph 1 of its article 29. In the opinion of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic any disputes concerning the interpretation or implementation of this Convention, should be solved by direct negotiations between the parties to the dispute or in another manner to be agreed upon by the parties to the dispute.

DEMOCRATIC YEMEN

The Government of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 29, paragraph 1, of the said Convention, relating to the settlement of disputes which may arise concerning the application or interpretation of the Convention.

EGYPT

Reservations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

In respect of article 9

Reservation to the text of article 9, paragraph 2, concerning the granting to women of equal rights with men with respect to the nationality of their children, without prejudice to the acquisition by a child born of a marriage of the nationality of his father. This is in order to prevent a child's acquisition of two nationalities

ties where his parents are of different nationalities, since this may be prejudicial to his future. It is clear that the child's acquisition of his father's nationality is the procedure most suitable for the child and that this does not infringe upon the principle of equality between men and women, since it is customary for a woman to agree, upon marrying an alien, that her children shall be of the father's nationality.

In respect of article 16

Reservation to the text of article 16 concerning the equality of men and women in all matters relating to marriage and family relations during the marriage and upon its dissolution, without prejudice to the Islamic Sharia's provisions whereby women are accorded rights equivalent to those of their spouses so as to ensure a just balance between them. This is out of respect for the sacrosanct nature of the firm religious beliefs which govern marital relations in Egypt and which may not be called in question and in view of the fact that one of the most important bases of these relations is an equivalency of rights and duties so as to ensure complementarity which guarantees true equality between the spouses. The provisions of the Sharia lay down that the husband shall pay bridal money to the wife and maintain her fully and shall also make a payment to her upon divorce, whereas the wife retains full rights over her property and is not obliged to spend anything on her keep. The Sharia therefore restricts the wife's rights to divorce by making it contingent on a judge's ruling, whereas no such restriction is laid down in the case of the husband.

In respect of article 29:

The Egyptian delegation also maintains the reservation contained in article 29, paragraph 2, concerning the right of a State signatory to the Convention to declare that it does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of that article concerning the submission to an arbitral body of any dispute which may arise between States concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention. This is in order to avoid being bound by the system of arbitration in this field.

Reservation made upon ratification:

General reservation on article 2

The Arab Republic of Egypt is willing to comply with the content of this article, provided that such compliance does not run counter to the Islamic Sharia.

EL SALVADOR

Upon signature:

Upon ratification of the Convention, the Government of El Salvador will make the reservation provided for in article 29.

Upon ratification:

Reservation

With reservation as to the application of the provision of article 29, paragraph 1.

ETHIOPIA

Reservation

In ratifying the said Convention, Socialist Ethiopia does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of article 29 of the Convention.

FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

Declaration:

The right of peoples to self-determination, as enshrined in the Charter of the United Nations and in the International Covenants of 19 December 1966, applies to all peoples and not only to those living 'under alien and colonial domination and foreign occupation'. All peoples thus have the inalienable right freely to determine their political status and freely to pursue their economic, social and cultural development. The Federal Republic of Germany would be unable to recognize as legally valid an interpretation of the right to self-determination which contradicts the unequivocal wording of the Charter of the United Nations and of the two International Covenants of 19 December 1966 on Civil and Political Rights and on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. It will interpret the 11th paragraph of the Preamble accordingly.

Reservation:

Article 7 (b) will not be applied to the extent that it contradicts the second sentence of Article 12 a (4) of the Basic Law of the Federal Republic of Germany. Pursuant to this provision of the Constitution, women may on no account render service involving the use of arms.

FRANCE

Upon signature:

Declarations and reservation

5. The Government of the French Republic declares that article 9 of the Convention must not be interpreted as precluding the application of the second paragraph of article 96 of the code of French nationality.

[The remaining declarations and the reservations were all confirmed in substance upon ratification.]

Upon ratification:

Declarations

The Government of the French Republic declares that the preamble to the Convention - in particular the eleventh preambular paragraph - contains debatable elements which are definitely out of place in this text.

The Government of the French Republic declares that the term "family education" in article 5 (b) of the Convention must be interpreted as meaning public education concerning the family and that, in any event, article 5 will be applied subject to respect for article 17 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and article 8 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms

The Government of the French Republic declares that no provision of the Convention must be interpreted as prevailing over provisions of French legislation which are more favourable to women than to men.

Reservations

Article 5(b) and 16, 1(d)

1) The Government of the French Republic declares that article 5(b) and article 16, paragraph 1(d), must not be interpreted as implying joint

exercise of parental authority in situations in which French legislation allows of such exercise by only one parent.

2) The Government of the French Republic declares that article 16, paragraph 1(d), of the Convention must not preclude the application of article 383 of the Civil Code.

Article 7

Article 14

1. The Government of the French Republic declares that article 14, paragraph 2(c), should be interpreted as guaranteeing that women who fulfill the conditions relating to family or employment required by French legislation for personal participation shall acquire their own rights within the framework of social security.

2. The Government of the French Republic declares that article 14, paragraph 2(h), of the Convention should not be interpreted as implying the actual provision, free of charge, of the services mentioned in that paragraph.

Articles 15, 2 and 3, and 16 1(c) and (h)<sup>7</sup>

Article 16 1(g)

The Government of the French Republic enters a reservation concerning the right to choose a family name mentioned in article 16, paragraph 1(g), of the Convention.

Article 29

The Government of the French Republic declares, in pursuance of article 29, paragraph 2, of the Convention, that it will not be bound by the provisions of article 29, paragraph 1.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Declaration made upon signature and renewed upon ratification.

Pursuant to article 29, paragraph 2 of the Convention, the German Democratic Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 29, paragraph 1.

HUNGARY

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The Hungarian People's Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by the terms of article 29, paragraph 1, of the Convention."

INDIA

Upon signature:

Declarations

"i) With regard to articles 5 (a) and 16 (1) of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, the Government of the Republic of India declares that it shall abide by and ensure these provisions in conformity with its policy of non-interference in the personal affairs of any Community without its initiative and consent.

"ii) With regard to article 16 (2) of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, the Government of the Republic of India declares that though in principle it fully supports the principle of compulsory registration of marriages, it is not practical in a vast country like India with its

variety of customs, religions and level of literacy."

Reservation

"With regard to article 29 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, the Government of the Republic of India declares that it does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of this article."

INDONESIA

The Government of the Republic of Indonesia does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 29 paragraph 1 of this Convention and takes the position that any dispute relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention may only be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice with the agreement of all the parties to the dispute".

IRAQ<sup>8</sup>

Reservations:

1. Approval of and accession to this Convention shall not mean that the Republic of Iraq is bound by the provisions of article 2, paragraphs (f) and (g), of article 9, paragraphs 1 and 2, nor of article 16 of the Convention. the reservation to this last-mentioned article shall be without prejudice to the provisions of the Islamic Shariah according women rights equivalent to the rights of their spouses so as to ensure a just balance between them. Iraq also enters a reservation to article 29, paragraph 1, of this Convention with regard to the principle of international arbitration in connection with the interpretation or application of this Convention.

2. This approval in no way implies recognition of or entry into any relations with Israel.

IRELAND

Reservations:

Articles 13 (b) and (c)

The question of supplementing the guarantee of equality contained in the Irish Constitution which special legislation governing access to financial credit and other services and recreational activities, where these are provided by private persons, organisations or enterprises is under consideration. For the time being Ireland reserves the right to regard its existing law and measures in this area as appropriate for the attainment in Ireland of the objectives of the Convention.

Article 15

With regard to paragraph 3 of this article, Ireland reserves the right not to supplement the existing provisions in Irish law which accord women a legal capacity identical to that of men with further legislation governing the validity of any contract or other private instrument freely entered into by a woman.

Articles 16, 1(d) and (f)

Ireland is of the view that the attainment in Ireland of the objectives of the Convention does not necessitate the extension to men of rights identical to those accorded by law to women in

respect of the guardianship, adoption and custody of children born out of wedlock and reserves the right to implement the Convention subject to that understanding

Articles 11(1) and 13 (a)

Ireland reserves the right to regard the Anti-Discrimination (Pay) Act, 1974 and the Employment Equality Act 1977 and other measures taken in implementation of the European Economic Community standards concerning employment opportunities and pay as sufficient implementation of articles 11,1(b), (c) and (d).

Ireland reserves the right for the time being to maintain provisions of Irish legislation in the area of social security which are more favourable to women than men.

...<sup>9</sup>

ITALY

Upon signature:

Reservation

Italy reserves the right to exercise, when depositing the instrument of ratification, the option provided for in article 19 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties of 23 May 1969

JAMAICA

"The Government of Jamaica does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 9, paragraph 2, of the Convention."

The Government of Jamaica declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of Article 29, paragraph 1, of the Convention."

JORDAN

Upon signature:

1. Reservation with respect to article 9, paragraph 2;
2. Reservation with respect to article 15, paragraph 4 (a wife's residence with her husband);
3. A reservation to the wording of article 16, paragraph (1) (c), relating to the rights arising upon the dissolution of marriage with regard to maintenance and compensation;
4. A reservation to the wording of article 16, paragraph (1) (d) and (g)

MAURITIUS

"The Government of Mauritius does not consider itself bound by sub-paragraph (b) and (d) of paragraph 1 of article 11 and sub-paragraph (g) of paragraph 1 of article 16

The Government of Mauritius does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of article 29 of the Convention, in pursuance of paragraph 2 of article 29."

MEXICO

Upon signature:

Declaration

In signing ad referendum the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, which the General Assembly opened for signature by States on 18 December 1979, the Government of the United Mexican States wishes to

place on record that it is doing so on the understanding that the provisions of the said Convention, which agree in all essentials with the provisions of Mexican legislation, will be applied in Mexico in accordance with the modalities and procedures prescribed by Mexican legislation and that the granting of material benefits in pursuance of the Convention will be as generous as the resources available to the Mexican State permit.

MONGOLIA

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 29 of this Convention and states that for submission of any dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice, the consent of the all parties involved in the given dispute is necessary.

NEW ZEALAND

Reservations:

"The Government of New Zealand, the Government of the Cook Islands and the Government of Niue reserve the right not to apply the provisions of Article 11 (2) (b)

The Government of New Zealand, the Government of the Cook Islands and the Government of Niue reserve the right not to apply the provisions of the Convention in so far as they are inconsistent with policies relating to recruitment into or service in

(a) the Armed Forces which reflect either directly or indirectly the fact that members of such forces are required to serve on armed forces aircraft or vessels and in situations involving armed combat

or

(b) the law enforcement forces which reflect either directly or indirectly the fact that members of such forces are required to serve in situations involving violence or threat of violence

The Government of New Zealand, the Government of the Cook Islands and the Government of Niue reserve the right, to the extent the Convention is inconsistent with the provisions of the Convention concerning the Employment of Women on Underground Work in Mines of all Kinds (ILO Convention No 45) which was ratified by the Government of New Zealand on 29 March 1938, to apply the provisions of the latter.

The Government of the Cook Islands reserves the right not to apply Article 2(F) and Article 5(A) to the extent that the customs governing the inheritance of certain Cook Islands chiefly titles may be inconsistent with those provisions."

POLAND

Reservation

The People's Republic of Poland does not consider itself bound by article 29, paragraph 1, of the Convention.

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Upon signature:

Reservation

"1. The Government of the Republic of Korea does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 9 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women of 1979.

"2. Bearing in mind the fundamental principles as embodied in the said Convention, the Government of the Republic of Korea has recently established the Korea Women's welfare and social activities. A committee under the chairmanship of the prime minister will shortly be set up to consider and coordinate overall policies on women.

3. The Government of the Republic of Korea will make continued efforts to take further measures in line with the provisions stipulated in the Convention."

Upon ratification:

The Government of the Republic of Korea, having examined the said Convention, hereby ratifies the Convention considering itself not bound by the provisions of Article 9 and sub-paragraphs (c), (d), (f) and (g) of paragraph 1 of Article 16 of the Convention.

ROMANIA

Reservation made upon signature and upon ratification:

The Socialist Republic of Romania states that it does not consider itself to be bound by the provisions of article 29, paragraph 1, of the Convention, whereby any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention which is not settled by negotiation shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration.

The Socialist Republic of Romania believes that such disputes shall be submitted to arbitration only with the consent of all States parties to the dispute, for specific case.

SPAIN

Declaration

The ratification of the Convention by Spain shall not affect the constitutional provisions concerning succession to the Spanish crown

THAILAND

Declaration:

The Royal Thai Government wishes to express its understanding that the purposes of the Convention are to eliminate discrimination against women and to accord to every person, men and women alike, equality before the law, and are in accordance with the principles prescribed by the Constitution of the Kingdom of Thailand.

Reservations:

1. In all matters which concern national security, maintenance of public order and service or employment in the military or paramilitary forces, the Royal Thai Government reserves its right to apply the provisions of the Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination

against Women, in particular articles 7 and 10, only within the limits established by national laws, regulations and practices.

2. With regard to article 9, paragraph 2, and article 11, paragraph 1(b), as far as night work of women and special protection of working women are concerned, the Royal Thai Government considers that the application of the said provisions shall be subject to the limits and criteria established by national law, regulations and practices.

3. The Royal Thai Government does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 15, paragraph 3, article 16 and article 29, paragraph 1, of the Convention.

TRINIDAD AND TABAGO

Reservation made upon signature:

"The Republic of Trinidad and Tobago declares that it does not consider itself bound by Article 29 of the said Convention, relating to the settlement of disputes which may arise concerning the application or interpretation of the Convention

TUNISIA

Reservations:

1. General declaration:

The Tunisian Government declares that it shall not take any organization or legislative decision in conformity with the requirements of this Convention where such a decision would conflict with the provisions of chapter I of the Tunisian Constitution.

2. Reservation concerning article 9, paragraph 2:

The Tunisian Government expresses its reservation with regard to the provisions in article 9, paragraph 2 of the Convention, which must not conflict with the provisions of chapter VI of the Tunisian Nationality Code.

3. Reservation concerning article 16, paragraphs (c), (d), (f), (g) and (h).

The Tunisian Government considers itself not bound by article 16, paragraphs (c), (d) and (f) of the Convention and declares that paragraphs (g) and (h) of that article must not conflict with the provisions of the Personal Status Code concerning the granting of family names to children and the acquisition of property through inheritance.

4. Reservation concerning article 29, paragraph 1:

The Tunisian Government declares, in conformity with the requirements of article 29, paragraph 2 of the Convention, that it shall not be bound by the provisions of paragraph 1 of that article which specify that any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the present Convention which is not settled by negotiation shall be referred to the International Court of Justice at the request of any one of those parties.

The Tunisian Government considers that such disputes should be submitted for arbitration or consideration by the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all parties to the dispute.

5. Declaration concerning article 15, paragraph 4.

In accordance with the provisions of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, dated 23 May 1969, the Tunisian Government emphasizes that the requirements of article 15, paragraph 4, of the Convention on the Elimination of All forms of Discrimination against Women, and particularly that part relating to the right of women to choose their residence and domicile, must not be interpreted in a manner which conflicts with the provisions of the Personal Status Code on this subject, as set forth in chapters 23 and 61 of the Code.

TURKEY

Reservation

Reservations of the Government of the Republic of Turkey with regard to the articles of the Convention dealing with family relations which are not completely compatible with the provisions of the Turkish Civil Code, in particular, article 15, paragraphs 2 and 4, and article 16, paragraphs 1(c), (d), (f) and (g), as well as with respect to article 29, paragraph 1. In pursuance of article 29, paragraph 2 of the Convention, the Government of the Republic of Turkey declares that it does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of this article.

Declaration

"Article 9, paragraph 1 of the Convention is not in conflict with the provisions of article 5, paragraph 1, and article 15 and 17 of the Turkish Law on Nationality, relating to the acquisition of citizenship, since the intent of those provisions regulating acquisition of citizenship through marriage is to prevent statelessness."

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

Pursuant to article 29, paragraph 2, of the Convention the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 29, paragraph 1, of the Convention, according to which any dispute between two or more States Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of this Convention, which is not settled by negotiations, shall, upon the request of any one of the Parties, be referred to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice, and declares that the referral of any such dispute to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice shall in each case require the consent of all Parties to the dispute.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

In accordance with article 29, paragraph 2, of the Convention, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 29, paragraph 1 of the Convention, which provides that any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the present Convention which is not settled by

negotiation shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice, and that for such dispute to be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice in every case there must be agreement between all the parties involved in the dispute.

UNITED KINGDOM

Upon signature:

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland declare that it is their intention to make certain reservations and declarations upon ratification of the Convention."

Upon ratification:

A On behalf of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland:

(a) The United Kingdom understands the main purpose of the Convention, in the light of the definition contained in Article 1, to be the reduction, in accordance with its terms, of discrimination against women, and does not therefore regard the Convention as imposing any requirement to repeal or modify any existing laws, regulations, customs or practices which provide for women to be treated more favourably than men, whether temporarily or in the longer term; the United Kingdom's undertakings under Article 4, paragraph 1, and other provisions of the Convention are to be construed accordingly.

(b) The United Kingdom reserves the right to regard the provisions of the Sex Discrimination Act 1975, the Employment Protection (Consolidation) Act 1978, the Employment Act 1980, the Sex Discrimination (Northern Ireland) Order 1976, the Industrial Relations (No. 2) (Northern Ireland) Order 1976, the Industrial Relations (Northern Ireland) Order 1982, the Equal Pay Act 1970 (as amended) and the Equal Pay Act (Northern Ireland) 1970 (as amended), including the exceptions and exemptions contained in any of these Acts and Orders, as constituting appropriate measures for the practical realisation of the objectives of the Convention in the social and economic circumstances of the United Kingdom, and to continue to apply these provisions accordingly; this reservation will apply equally to any future legislation which may modify or replace the above Acts and Orders on the understanding that the terms of such legislation will be compatible with the United Kingdom's obligations under the Convention.

(c) In the light of the definition contained in Article 1, the United Kingdom's ratification is subject to the understanding that none of its obligations under the Convention shall be treated as extending to the succession to, or possession and enjoyment of, the Throne, the peerage, titles of honour, social precedence or armorial bearings, or as extending to the affairs of religious denominations or orders or to the admission into or service in the Armed Forces of the Crown.

(d) The United Kingdom reserves the right to continue to apply such immigration legislation governing entry into, stay in, and departure from, the United Kingdom as it may deem necessary from time to time and, accordingly, its

acceptance of Article 15 (4) and of the other provisions of the Convention is subject to the provisions of any such legislation as regards persons not at the time having the right under the law of the United Kingdom to enter and remain in the United Kingdom

Article 1

With reference to the provisions of the Sex Discrimination Act 1975 and other applicable legislation, the United Kingdom's acceptance of Article 1 is subject to the reservation that the phrase "irrespective of their marital status" shall not be taken to render discriminatory any difference of treatment accorded to single persons as against married persons, so long as there is equality of treatment as between married men and married women and as between single men and single women.

Article 2

In the light of the substantial progress already achieved in the United Kingdom in promoting the progressive elimination of discrimination against women, the United Kingdom reserves the right, without prejudice to the other reservations made by the United Kingdom, to give effect to paragraphs (f) and (g) by keeping under review such of its laws and regulations as may still embody significant differences in treatment between men and women with a view to making changes to those laws and regulations when to do so would be compatible with essential and overriding considerations of economic policy. In relation to forms of discrimination more precisely prohibited by other provisions of the Convention, the obligations under this Article must (in the case of the United Kingdom) be read in conjunction with the other reservations and declarations made in respect of those provisions including the declarations and reservations of the United Kingdom contained in paragraphs (a) - (d) above

With regard to paragraphs (f) and (g) of this Article the United Kingdom reserves the right to continue to apply its law relating to sexual offences and prostitution, this reservation will apply equally to any future law which may modify or replace it.

Article 9

The British Nationality Act 1981, which was brought into force with effect from January 1983, is based on principles which do not allow of any discrimination against women within the meaning of Article 1 as regards acquisition, change or retention of their nationality or as regards the nationality of their children. The United Kingdom's acceptance of Article 9 shall not, however, be taken to invalidate the continuation of certain temporary or transitional provisions which will continue in force beyond that date.

The United Kingdom reserves the right to take such steps as may be necessary to comply with its obligations under Article 2 of the First Protocol to the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms signed at Paris on 20 March 1952 and its obligations under paragraph 3 of Article 13 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights opened for signature at New York on 19 December 1966, to the extent that the said provisions preserve the freedom of parental choice in

respect of the education of children; and reserves also the right not to take any measures which may conflict with its obligation under paragraph 4 of Article 13 of the said Covenant not to interfere with the liberty of individuals and bodies to establish and direct educational institutions, subject to the observation of certain principles and standards

Moreover, the United Kingdom can only accept the obligations under paragraph (c) of Article 10 within the limits of the statutory powers of central Government, in the light of the fact that the teaching curriculum, the provision of textbooks and teaching methods are reserved for local control and are not subject to central Government direction, moreover, the acceptance of the objective of encouraging co-education is without prejudice to the right of the United Kingdom also to encourage other types of education.

Article 11

The United Kingdom interprets the "right to work" referred to in paragraph 1(a) as a reference to the "right to work" as defined in other human rights instruments to which the United Kingdom is a party, notably Article 6 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights of 19 December 1966

The United Kingdom interprets paragraph 1 of Article 11, in the light of the provisions of paragraph 2 of Article 4, as not precluding prohibitions, restrictions or conditions on the employment of women in certain areas, or on the work done by them, where this is considered necessary or desirable to protect the health and safety of women or the human foetus, including such prohibitions, restrictions or conditions imposed in consequence of other international obligations of the United Kingdom; the United Kingdom declares that, in the event of a conflict between obligations under the present Convention and its obligations under the Convention concerning the employment of women on underground work in mines of all kinds (ILO Convention No. 45), the provisions of the last mentioned Convention shall prevail.

The United Kingdom reserves the right to apply all United Kingdom legislation and the rules of pension schemes affecting retirement pensions, survivors' benefits and other benefits in relation to death or retirement (including retirement on grounds of redundancy), whether or not derived from a Social Security scheme.

This reservation will apply equally to any future legislation which may modify or replace such legislation, or the rules of pension schemes, on the understanding that the terms of such legislation will be compatible with the United Kingdom's obligations under the Convention.

The United Kingdom reserves the right to apply the following provisions of United Kingdom legislation concerning the benefits specified:

a) social security benefits for persons engaged in caring for a severely disabled person under section 37 of the Social Security Act 1975 and section 37 of the Social Security (Northern Ireland) Act 1975;

b) increases of benefits for adult dependants under section 44 to 47, 49 and 66 of the Social Security Act 1975 and under sections 44 to 47,



49 and 66 of the Social Security (Northern Ireland) Act 1975;

c) retirement pensions and survivors' benefits under the Social Security Acts 1975 to 1982 and the Social Security (Northern Ireland) Acts 1975 to 1982;

d) family income supplements under the Family Income Supplements Act 1970 and the Family Income Supplements Act (Northern Ireland) 1971.

This reservation will apply equally to any future legislation which may modify or replace any of the provisions specified in sub-paragraphs (a) to (d) above, on the understanding that the terms of such legislation will be compatible with the United Kingdom's obligations under the Convention.

The United Kingdom reserves the right to apply any non-discriminatory requirement for a qualifying period of employment or insurance for the application of the provisions contained in Article 11(2).

Article 13

The United Kingdom reserves the right, notwithstanding the obligations undertaken in Article 13, or any other relevant article of the Convention, to continue to apply the income tax and capital gains tax legislation which:

i) deems for income tax purposes the income of a married woman living with her husband in a year, or part of a year, of assessment to be her husband's income and not to be her income (subject to the right of the husband and the wife to elect jointly that the wife's earned income shall be charged to income tax as if she were a single woman with no other income); and

ii) requires tax in respect of such income and of chargeable gains accruing to such a married woman to be assessed on her husband (subject to the right of either of them to apply for separate assessment) and consequently (if no such application is made) restricts to her husband the right to appeal against any such assessment and to be heard or to be represented at the hearing of any such appeal; and

iii) entitles a man who has his wife living with him, or whose wife is wholly maintained by him, during the year of assessment to a deduction from his total income of an amount larger than that to which an individual in any other case is entitled and entitles an individual whose total income includes any earned income of his wife to have that deduction increased by the amount of that earned income or by an amount specified in the legislation whichever is the less.

Article 15

In relation to Article 15, paragraph 2, the United Kingdom understands the term "legal capacity" as referring merely to the existence of a separate and distinct legal personality.

In relation to Article 15, paragraph 3, the United Kingdom understands the intention of this provision to be that only those terms or elements of a contract or other private instrument which are discriminatory in the sense described are to be deemed null and void, but not necessarily the contract or instrument as a whole.

Article 16

As regards sub-paragraph 1(f) of Article 16,

the United Kingdom does not regard the reference to the paramountcy of the interests of the children as being directly relevant to the elimination of discrimination against women, and declares in this connection that the legislation of the United Kingdom regulating adoption, while giving a principal position to the promotion of the children's welfare, does not give to the child's interests the same paramount place as in issues concerning custody over children.

The United Kingdom's acceptance of paragraph 1 of Article 16 shall not be treated as either limiting the freedom of a person to dispose of his property as he wishes or as giving a person a right to property the subject of such a limitation."

B. On behalf of the Isle of Man, the British Virgin Islands, the Falkland Islands, South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands, and the Turks and Caicos Islands:

[Same reservations as the one made on behalf of the United Kingdom under paragraphs A(a), (c), and (d) except that in the of case d) it applies to the territories and their laws.]

Article 1

[Same reservation as the one made in respect of the United Kingdom except with regard to the absence of a reference to United Kingdom legislation.]

Article 2

[Same reservation as the one made in respect of the United Kingdom except that reference is made to the laws of the territories, and not the laws of the United Kingdom.]

Article 9

[Same reservation as the one made in respect of the United Kingdom.]

Article 11

[Same reservation as those made in respect of the United Kingdom except that a reference is made to the laws of the territories, and not to the laws of the United Kingdom.]

Also, as far as the territories are concerned, the specific benefits listed and which may be applied under the provisions of these territories' legislation are as follows:

- a) social security benefits for persons engaged in caring for a severely disabled person;
- b) increases of benefit for adult dependants;
- c) retirement pensions and survivors' benefits;
- d) family income supplements.

This reservation will apply equally to any future legislation which may modify or replace any of the provisions specified in sub-paragraphs (a) to (d) above, on the understanding that the terms of such legislation will be compatible with the United Kingdom's obligations under the Convention.

The United Kingdom reserves the right to apply any non-discriminatory requirement for a qualifying period of employment or insurance for the application of the provisions contained in Article 11(2).

Article 13, 15 and 16

[Same reservations as those made on behalf of the United Kingdom.]

IV.8: Discrimination against women

VENEZUELA

Made upon ratification confirming in substance  
the reservation made upon signature:

Reservation

Venezuela makes a formal reservation with regard to article 29, paragraph 1, of the Convention, since it does not accept arbitration or the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice for the settlement of disputes concerning

the interpretation or application of this Convention.

VIET NAM

Reservation

In implementing this Convention, the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam will not be bound by the provisions of paragraph 1 article 29.

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were received upon ratification, accession, acceptance, approval or definitive signature.)

FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

10 July 1985

The Federal Republic of Germany considers that the reservations made by Egypt regarding article 2, article 9, paragraph 2, and article 16, by Bangladesh regarding article 2, article 13 (a) and article 16, paragraph 1 (c), and (f), by Brazil regarding article 15, paragraph 4, and article 16, paragraph 1 (a), (c), (g) and (h), by Jamaica regarding article 9, paragraph 2, by the Republic of Korea regarding article 9 and article 16, paragraph 1 (c), (d), (f) and (g), and by Mauritius regarding article 11, paragraph 1 (b) and (d), and article 16, paragraph 1 (g), are incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention (article 28, paragraph 2) and therefore objects to them. In relation to the Federal Republic of Germany, they may not be invoked in support of a legal practice which does not pay due regard to the legal status afforded to women and children in the Federal Republic of Germany in conformity with the above-mentioned articles of the Convention.

This objection shall not preclude the entry into force of the Convention as between Egypt, Bangladesh, Brazil, Jamaica, the Republic of Korea, Mauritius and the Federal Republic of Germany.

Objections, identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, were also formulated by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany in regard to reservations made by various states, as follows:

- i) 15 October 1986: In respect of reservations formulated by the Government of Thailand concerning article 9, paragraph 2, article 10, article 11, paragraph 1 (b), article 15, paragraph 3 and article 16; (The Federal Republic of Germany also holds the view that the reservation made by Thailand regarding article 7 of the Convention is likewise incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention because for all matters which concern national security it reserves in a general and thus unspecific manner the right of the Royal Thai Government to apply the provisions only within the limits established by national laws, regulations and practices).
- ii) 15 October 1986: In respect of reservations and some declarations formulated by the Government of Tunisia concerning article 9,

paragraph 2 and article 16, as well as the declaration concerning article 15, paragraph 4.

MEXICO

11 January 1985

The Government of the United Mexican States has studied the content of the reservations made by Mauritius to article 11, paragraph 1 (b) and (d), and article 16, paragraph 1 (g), of the Convention and has concluded that they should be considered invalid in the light of article 28, paragraph 2, of the Convention, because they are incompatible with its object and purpose.

Indeed, these reservations, if implemented, would inevitably result in discrimination against women on the basis of sex, which is contrary to all the articles of the Convention. The principles of equal rights of men and women and non-discrimination on the basis of sex, which are embodied in the second preambular paragraph and Article 1, paragraph 3, of the Charter of the United Nations, to which Mauritius is a signatory, and in articles 2 and 16 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights of 1948, were previously accepted by the Government of Mauritius when it acceded, on 12 December 1973, to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. The above principles were stated in article 2, paragraph 1, and article 3 of the former Covenant and in article 2, paragraph 2, and article 3 of the latter. Consequently, it is inconsistent with these contractual obligations previously assumed by Mauritius for its Government now to claim that it has reservations, on the same subject, about the 1979 Convention.

The objection of the Government of the United Mexican States to the reservations in question should not be interpreted as an impediment to the entry into force of the 1979 Convention between the United Mexican States and Mauritius.

Objections, identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, were also formulated by the Government of Mexico in regard to reservations made by various states, as follows:

- i) 21 February 1985: In respect of reservations by Bangladesh concerning article 2, article 13 (a) and article 16 paragraph 1 (c) and (f). (Bangladesh not being a party

IV.8: Discrimination against women

to the Convention, its participation in the said Convention was not invoked by Mexico in its objection.)

- ii) 21 February 1985: In respect of the reservation by Jamaica concerning article 9 (2).
  - iii) 22 May 1985: In respect of reservations by New Zealand (those which are also applicable to the Cook Islands) concerning article 2 (f) and article 5 (a).
  - iv) 6 June 1985: In respect of reservations by the Republic of Korea concerning article 9 and article 16, paragraph 1 (c), (d), (e), (f) and (g).
  - v) 29 January 1986: In respect of the reservation made by Cyprus to article 9, paragraph 2.
  - vi) 7 May 1986: In respect of the reservations made by Turkey to paragraphs 2 and 4 of article 15 and paragraphs 1(c), 1(d), 1(f) and 1 (g) of article 16.
  - vii) 16 July 1986: In respect of reservations made by Egypt to articles 9 and 16.
- In the case of the last two objections (paras iv) and v), Mexico stated the principles of the equal rights of men and women and of non-discrimination on the basis of sex are embodied in the second preambular paragraph and Article 1, paragraph 3, of the Charter of the United Nations, and in articles 2 and 16 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights of 1948, and were previously accepted by the Government of New Zealand and Cyprus respectively when they ratified the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights of 1966.
- viii) 16 October 1986: In respect of reservations by Thailand concerning article 9, paragraph 2, article 15, paragraph 3 and article 16.
  - ix) 4 December 1986. In respect of reservations by Iraq concerning article 2, paragraphs (f) and (g), article 9, paragraphs 1 and 2 and article 16.

SWEDEN

17 March 1986

[Same objection, mutatis mutandis, as the one

made by Mexico with regard to reservations made by the following States:

- Thailand: on 9 August 1985 regarding article 9, paragraph 2, article 15, paragraph 3 and article 16,
  - Tunisia: on 20 September 1985 regarding article 9, paragraph 2, article 15, paragraph 4, and article 16, paragraph 1(c), (d), (f), (g) and (h),
  - Bangladesh: 6 November 1984 regarding article 2, article 13(a) and article 16, paragraph 1(c) and (f)
  - Brazil: 1 February 1984 regarding article 15, paragraph 4 and article 16, paragraph 1(a), (c), (g) and (h),
  - Egypt: on 18 September 1981 regarding article 2, article 9, paragraph 2, and article 16,
  - Mauritius: on 9 July 1984 regarding article 11, paragraph 1(b) and (d), and article 16, paragraph 1(g),
  - Jamaica: on 19 October 1984 regarding article 9, paragraph 2
  - Republic of Korea: on 27 December 1984 regarding article 9 and article 16, paragraph 1(c), (d), (f) and (g)
  - New Zealand: on 10 January 1985, in respect of the Cook Islands regarding article 2, paragraph (f) and article 5, paragraph (a).
- The Government of Sweden added the following comments:

"In this context the Government of Sweden wishes to take this opportunity to make the observation that the reason why reservations incompatible with the object and purpose of a treaty are not acceptable is precisely that otherwise they would render a basic international obligation of a contractual nature meaningless. Incompatible reservations, made in respect of the Convention on the elimination of all forms of discrimination against women, do not only cast doubts on the commitments of the reserving states to the objects and purpose of this Convention, but moreover, contribute to undermine the basis of international contractual law. It is in the common interest of states that treaties to which they have chosen to become parties also are respected, as to object and purpose, by other parties."

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly of the United Nations, Thirty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 46 (A/34/46), p. 193.

2/ The Secretary-General received several objections to the signature of the above Convention by Democratic Kampuchea. These objections are identical in matter, mutatis mutandis, as those reproduced in note 3 in chapter IV.3. Following is the list of States who have notified their objection with the date of receipt of the notifications:

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt</u>
German Democratic Republic	11 Dec 1980
Hungary . . . . .	19 Jan 1981
Bulgaria . . . . .	29 Jan 1981
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	13 Feb 1981
<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt</u>
Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic . . . . .	18 Feb 1981
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	10 Mar 1981

3/ In a note accompanying the instrument, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Convention shall also apply to

Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

In this regard, the Secretary-General received on 15 April 1986 from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the following objection:

The declaration made by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany upon ratification of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, adopted on 18 December 1979, regarding the extension of the said Convention to West Berlin directly contradicts the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. This Agreement, as is known, clearly established that international agreements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to West Berlin only provided that such agreements do not affect matters of security and status. The said Convention, by virtue of its content, directly affects such matters.

In particular, it governs matters relating to the adoption of legislation, including amendments to national constitutions, by States parties, to their use of sanctions or other coercive measures, and to the provision by means of the competent national courts or other State institutions of effective legal protection for citizens.

The rights and duties referred to in the Convention are a manifestation of State sovereignty. Such rights and duties cannot be exercised by a State in a territory which does not fall within its jurisdiction.

In view of the foregoing, the Soviet Union considers the declaration made by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regarding the extension of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women to West Berlin to be unlawful and not legally valid.

Accordingly, the declaration and reservation made by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany upon ratification are unlawful and not legally valid with respect to West Berlin.

4/ An instrument of accession had been deposited on 14 March 1980 with the Secretary-General. The signature was affixed on 17 July 1980 and was accompanied by the following declaration:

The People's Revolutionary Republic of Guinea wishes to sign the Convention . . . with the understanding that this procedure annuls the procedure of accession previously followed by Guinea with respect to the Convention.

5/ The instrument of ratification indicates that in accordance with the special relationships which exist between New Zealand and the Cook Islands and between New Zealand and Niue, there have been consultations regarding the Convention between the Government of New Zealand and the Government of the Cook Islands and between the Government of New Zealand and the Government of Niue; that the Government of the Cook Islands, which has exclusive competence to implement treaties in the Cook Islands, has requested that the Convention should extend to the Cook Islands;

that the Government of Niue which has exclusive competence to implement treaties in Niue, has requested that the Convention should extend to Niue. The said instrument specifies that accordingly the Convention shall apply also to the Cook Islands and Niue.

6/ The instrument of ratification specifies that the said Convention is ratified in respect of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Isle of Man, British Virgin Islands, Falkland Islands, South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands, and Turks and Caicos Islands.

7/ In a notification received on 26 March 1984, the Government of France informed the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw the reservation to article 7 of the Convention made upon ratification. The reservation had read as follows:

The Government of the French Republic declares that article 7 must not preclude the application of the second paragraph of article LO 128 of the electoral code. The notification specifies that the withdrawal was effected because Organic Law No. 83-1096 of 20 December 1983 has abrogated article LO 128 of the electoral code relating to temporary disqualifications of persons who have obtained French nationality.

Subsequently, in a notification received on 21 July 1986, the Government of France informed the Secretary-General that it decided to withdraw its reservation relating to article 15, paragraphs 2 and 3, and article 16, paragraphs 1 (c), (d) and (h) of the Convention, made upon ratification. The text of the reservation had read as follows:

The Government of the French Republic declares that article 15, paragraphs 2 and 3, and article 16, paragraphs 1(c) and 1(h), of the Convention must not preclude the application of the provisions of Book Three, Part V, chapter II of the Civil Code.

The notification specified that the withdrawal was effected because the existing discriminatory provisions, against women, in the rules governing property rights arising out of matrimonial relationship and in those concerning the legal administration of the property of children were abrogated by Act No. 85-1372 of 23 December 1985 concerning equality of spouses in respect of property rights arising out of a matrimonial relationship and equality of parents in respect of the property of minor children, which entered into force on 1 July 1986.

8/ On 12 December 1986, the Secretary General received from the Government of Israel the following objection:

. . . In the view of the Government of the State of Israel, such declaration which is explicitly of a political character is incompatible with the purposes and objectives of the Convention and cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Iraq under general international law or under particular conventions.

The Government of the State of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the

matter, adopt towards Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity. -

9/ On 19 December 1986, the Government of Ireland notified the Secretary General of its withdrawal of the following reservations made upon accession.

Article 9(1)

Pending the proposed amendment to the law relating to citizenship, which is at an advanced stage, Ireland reserves the right to retain the provisions in its existing law concerning the acquisition of citizenship on marriage

Article 15

With regard to paragraph 4 of this article, Ireland observes the equal rights of women relating to the movement of persons and the freedom to choose their residence; pending the proposed amendment of the law of domicile, which is at an advanced stage, it reserves the right to retain its existing law.

Article 11(1) and 13 (a)

.. and pending the coming into force of the Social Welfare (Amendment) (No. 2) Act, 1985, to apply special conditions to the entitlement of married women to certain social security schemes.

9 CONVENTION AGAINST TORTURE AND OTHER CRUEL, INHUMAN OR DEGRADING  
TREATMENT OR PUNISHMENT

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 10 December 1984

Not yet in force (see article 27).  
TEXT. A/RES/39/46

Note. The Convention, of which the Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish texts are equally authentic, was adopted by resolution 39/46<sup>1</sup> of 10 December 1984 at the thirty-ninth session of the General Assembly of the United Nations, the Convention is open for signature by all States, in accordance with its article 25.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	4 Feb 1985		Iceland . . . . .	4 Feb 1985	
Algeria . . . . .	26 Nov 1985		Indonesia . . . . .	23 Oct 1985	
Argentina . . . . .	4 Feb 1985	24 Sep 1986	Israel . . . . .	22 Oct 1986	
Australia . . . . .	10 Dec 1985		Italy . . . . .	4 Feb 1985	
Austria . . . . .	14 Mar 1985		Liechtenstein . . . . .	27 Jun 1985	
Belgium . . . . .	4 Feb 1985		Luxembourg . . . . .	22 Feb 1985	
Belize . . . . .		17 Mar 1986 <u>a</u>	Marocco . . . . .	8 Jan 1986	
Bolivia . . . . .	4 Feb 1985		Mexico . . . . .	18 Mar 1985	23 Jan 1986
Brazil . . . . .	23 Sep 1985		Netherlands . . . . .	4 Feb 1985	
Bulgaria . . . . .	10 Jun 1986	16 Dec 1986	New Zealand . . . . .	14 Jan 1986	
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	19 Dec 1985		Nicaragua . . . . .	15 Apr 1985	
Cameroon . . . . .		19 Dec 1986 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .	4 Feb 1985	9 Jul 1986
Canada . . . . .	23 Aug 1985		Panama . . . . .	22 Feb 1985	
China . . . . .	12 Dec 1986		Peru . . . . .	29 May 1985	
Colombia . . . . .	10 Apr 1985		Philippines . . . . .		18 Jun 1986 <u>a</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .	4 Feb 1985		Poland . . . . .	13 Jan 1986	
Cuba . . . . .	27 Jan 1986		Portugal . . . . .	4 Feb 1985	
Cyprus . . . . .	9 Oct 1985		Senegal . . . . .	4 Feb 1985	21 Aug 1986
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	8 Sep 1986		Sierra Leone . . . . .	18 Mar 1985	
Denmark . . . . .	4 Feb 1985		Spain . . . . .	4 Feb 1985	
Dominican Republic . . . . .	4 Feb 1985		Sudan . . . . .	4 Jun 1986	
Ecuador . . . . .	4 Feb 1985		Sweden . . . . .	4 Feb 1985	8 Jan 1986
Egypt . . . . .		25 Jun 1986 <u>a</u>	Switzerland . . . . .	4 Feb 1985	2 Dec 1986
Finland . . . . .	4 Feb 1985		Uganda . . . . .		3 Nov 1986 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	4 Feb 1985	18 Feb 1986	Ukrainian		
Gabon . . . . .	21 Jan 1986		Soviet Socialist		
Gambia . . . . .	23 Oct 1985		Republic . . . . .	27 Feb 1986	
German Democratic			Union of Soviet		
Republic . . . . .	7 Apr 1986		Socialist		
Germany, Federal			Republics . . . . .	10 Dec 1985	
Republic of . . . . .	13 Oct 1986		United Kingdom . . . . .	15 Mar 1985	
Greece . . . . .	4 Feb 1985		Uruguay . . . . .	4 Feb 1985	24 Oct 1986
Guinea . . . . .	30 May 1986		Venezuela . . . . .	15 Feb 1985	
Hungary . . . . .	28 Nov 1986				

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession)

BIELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

1. The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not recognize the competence of the Committee against Torture as defined by article 20 of the Convention.
2. The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 30 of the Convention.

BULGARIA

Upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

1. Pursuant to article 28 of the Convention, the People's Republic of Bulgaria states that it does not recognize the competence of the Committee against Torture provided for in article 20 of the Convention, as it considers that the provisions of article 20 are not consistent with the principle of respect for sovereignty of the States - parties to the Convention.

2. Pursuant to article 30, paragraph 2 of the Convention, the People's Republic of Bulgaria states that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 30, paragraph 1 of the Convention, establishing compulsory jurisdiction of international arbitration or the International Court of Justice in the settlement of disputes between States - parties to the Convention. The People's Republic of Bulgaria maintains its position that disputes between two or more States can be submitted for consideration and settlement by international arbitration or the International Court of Justice only provided all parties to the dispute, in each individual case, have explicitly agreed to that.

CHINA

Upon signature:

"(1) The Chinese Government does not recognize the competence of the Committee against Torture as provided for in article 20 of the Convention.

(2) The Chinese Government does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of article 30 of the Convention.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Upon signature:

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not recognize the competence of the Committee against Torture as defined by article 20 of the Convention and it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 30 of the Convention.

FRANCE

Reservation:

The Government of France declares [...] that it shall not be bound by the provisions of paragraph 2 of [article 30].

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Upon signature:

The German Democratic Republic declares in accordance with article 28, paragraph 1 of the Convention that it does not recognize the competence of the Committee provided for in article 20.

The German Democratic Republic declares in accordance with article 30, paragraph 2 of the Convention that it does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of this article

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Upon signature:

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany reserves the right to communicate, upon ratification, such reservations or declarations

Declarations recognizing the competence of the Committee against torture under articles 21 and 22

ARGENTINA

...The Argentine Republic recognizes the competence of the Committee against torture to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations

of interpretation as are deemed necessary especially with respect to the applicability of article 3.

HUNGARY

Upon signature:

The Hungarian People's Republic does not recognize the competence of the Committee against Torture as defined by article 20 of the Convention.

The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraph 1 of the article 30 of the Convention.

MOROCCO

Upon signature:

In accordance with article 28, paragraph 1, the Government of the Kingdom of Morocco declares that it does not recognize the competence of the Committee provided for in article 20.

In accordance with article 30, paragraph 2, the Government of the Kingdom of Morocco declares further that it does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of the same article.

POLAND

Upon signature:

Under article 28, the Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by article 20 of the Convention.

Furthermore, the Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by article 30, paragraph 1, of the Convention.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Upon signature:

1. The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not recognize the competence of the Committee against torture as defined by article 20 of the Convention.

2. The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraph 1 article 30 of the Convention.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Upon signature:

"The United Kingdom reserves the right to formulate, upon ratifying the Convention, any reservations or interpretative declarations which it might consider necessary."

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Upon signature:

[Same reservations, mutatis mutandis, as those made by the Bvelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic.]

under this Convention. It also recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction who claim to be victims of a violation by a State Party of the provisions of the Convention.

FRANCE

The Government of France declares [...] that it recognizes the competence of the Committee against Torture to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under the Convention.

The Government of France declares [...] that it recognizes the competence of the Committee against Torture to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction who claim to be victims of a violation by a State Party of the provisions of the Convention.

NORWAY

"...Norway recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

...Norway recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction who claim to be victims of a violation by a State Party of the provisions of the Convention."

SWEDEN

"Sweden recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications

to the effect that a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

Sweden recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction who claim to be victims of a violation by a State Party of the provisions of the Convention."

SWITZERLAND

(a) Pursuant to the Federal Decree of 6 October 1986 on the approval of the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, the Federal Council declares, in accordance with article 21, paragraph 1, of the Convention, that Switzerland recognizes the competence of the Committee against Torture to receive and consider communications to the effect that a State Party claims that Switzerland is not fulfilling its obligations under this Convention.

(b) Pursuant to the above-mentioned Federal Decree, the Federal Council declares, in accordance with article 22, paragraph 1, of the Convention, that Switzerland recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications from or on behalf of individuals subject to its jurisdiction who claim to be victims of a violation by Switzerland of the provisions of the Convention.

---

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly of the United Nations, Thirty-ninth session, Supplement No. 51 (A/39/51), p. 197.



10. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION AGAINST APARTHEID IN SPORTS

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 10 December 1985

Not yet in force (see article 18).  
TEXT: A/RES/40/64 G

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA).</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA).</u>
✓ Algeria . . . . .	16 May 1986		✓ Madagascar . . . . .	16 May 1986	
✓ Antigua and Barbuda . . . . .	28 May 1986		✓ Malaysia . . . . .	16 May 1986	
Bahamas . . . . .	20 May 1986	13 Nov 1986	Maldives . . . . .	3 Oct 1986	
✓ Barbados . . . . .	16 May 1986	2 Oct 1986	✓ Mexico . . . . .	16 May 1986	
✓ Benin . . . . .	16 May 1986		✓ Mongolia . . . . .	16 May 1986	
Bolivia . . . . .	16 May 1986		✓ Morocco . . . . .	16 May 1986	
✓ Bulgaria . . . . .	10 Jun 1986		✓ Nepal . . . . .	24 Jun 1986	
✓ Burkina Faso . . . . .	16 May 1986		✓ Nicaragua . . . . .	16 May 1986	
Burundi . . . . .	16 May 1986		✓ Niger . . . . .	27 May 1986	2 Sep 1986
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	16 May 1986		✓ Nigeria . . . . .	16 May 1986	
Cape Verde . . . . .	16 May 1986		✓ Panama . . . . .	16 May 1986	
✓ Central African Republic . . . . .	16 May 1986		✓ Peru . . . . .	30 May 1986	
Colombia . . . . .	31 Jul 1986		Philippines . . . . .	16 May 1986	
Cuba . . . . .	16 May 1986		Poland . . . . .	16 May 1986	
Democratic Yemen . . . . .	16 May 1986		Rwanda . . . . .	16 May 1986	
Ecuador . . . . .	16 May 1986		✓ Saint Christopher and Nevis . . . . .	16 May 1986	
Egypt . . . . .	16 May 1986		✓ Senegal . . . . .	16 May 1986	15 oct 1986
✓ Ethiopia . . . . .	16 May 1986		✓ Sierra Leone . . . . .	16 May 1986	
Gabon . . . . .	16 May 1986		✓ Somalia . . . . .	4 Jun 1986	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	16 May 1986	15 Sep 1986	Sudan . . . . .	16 May 1986	
✓ Ghana . . . . .	16 May 1986		Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	16 May 1986	
✓ Guinea . . . . .	16 May 1986		✓ Togo . . . . .	29 May 1986	
✓ Guinea-Bissau . . . . .	16 May 1986		✓ Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	21 May 1986	
Guyana . . . . .	1 Oct 1986	1 Oct 1986	Tunisia . . . . .	16 May 1986	
✓ Haiti . . . . .	16 May 1986		Uganda . . . . .	16 May 1986	29 Aug 1986
✓ Hungary . . . . .	25 Jun 1986		Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	16 May 1986	
✓ Indonesia . . . . .	16 May 1986		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	16 May 1986	
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	16 May 1986		United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	16 May 1986	
✓ Jamaica . . . . .	16 May 1986	2 Oct 1986	✓ Uruguay . . . . .	28 May 1986	
Jordan . . . . .	16 May 1986		Venezuela . . . . .	16 May 1986	
✓ Kenya . . . . .	16 May 1986		Yugoslavia . . . . .	16 May 1986	
<del>Kiribati . . . . .</del>	<del>16 May 1986</del>		Zaire . . . . .	16 May 1986	
✓ Lebanon . . . . .	7 Nov 1986		Zimbabwe . . . . .	16 May 1986	
✓ Liberia . . . . .	22 May 1986				
✓ Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .	16 May 1986				

CHAPTER V. REFUGEES AND STATELESS PERSONS

1. CONSTITUTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL REFUGEE ORGANIZATION

Opened for signature at Flushing Meadow, New York, on 15 December 1946

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 August 1948, in accordance with article 18.  
 REGISTRATION: 20 August 1948, No. 283.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 18, p. 3.

Note The Constitution was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 62 (I)<sup>1</sup> of 15 December 1946.

Note: Resolution No. 108, adopted by the General Council of the International Refugee Organization at its 101st meeting on 15 February 1952, provided for the liquidation of the Organization.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</u>
Argentina . . . .	10 Jun 1947		Italy . . . . .		24 Mar 1949 <u>s</u>
Australia . . . .		13 May 1947 <u>s</u>	Liberia . . . . .	31 Dec 1946	
Belgium . . . . .	1 May 1947	30 Mar 1948	Luxembourg . . . .		5 Aug 1948
Bolivia . . . . .	5 Jun 1947		Netherlands . . . .	28 Jan 1947	11 Aug 1947
Brazil . . . . .	1 Jul 1947		New Zealand . . . .		17 Mar 1947 <u>s</u>
Canada . . . . .	16 Dec 1946	7 Aug 1947	Norway . . . . .	4 Feb 1947	18 Aug 1947
China <sup>2</sup>		29 Apr 1947 <u>s</u>	Panama <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	23 Jun 1947	
Denmark . . . . .		20 Aug 1948 <u>s</u>	Peru . . . . .	25 Jul 1947	
Dominican Republic . . . . .	17 Dec 1946	22 Oct 1947	Philippines . . . .	18 Dec 1946	
France . . . . .	17 Dec 1946	3 Mar 1948	Switzerland . . . .		28 Mar 1949
Guatemala . . . .	16 Dec 1946	28 Jul 1947	United Kingdom . .		5 Feb 1947 <u>s</u>
Honduras . . . . .	18 Dec 1946		United States of America . . . .	16 Dec 1946	3 Jul 1947
Iceland . . . . .		12 May 1947 <u>s</u>	Venezuela . . . . .	4 Jun 1948	13 Sep 1948

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature or acceptance.)

FRANCE

The said Constitution is ratified subject to the proviso that the French Government reserves the right to pay all or part of its contribution in francs or in kind.

Furthermore, in pursuance of the tenth paragraph of the preamble of the said Constitution to the effect that the International Refugee Organization is of a non-permanent nature, the budgetary payments assigned to France may be made only for a maximum of three twelve-month periods.

GUATEMALA

Subject to the provision that, in conformity with article 10, paragraph 2, of the Constitution of the International Refugee Organization, the Republic of Guatemala would pay its due contribu-

tion in kind according to the needs and ability of the country.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"Upon condition and with the reservation that no agreement shall be concluded on behalf of the United States and no action shall be taken by any officer, agency, or any other person and acceptance of the Constitution of the Organization by or on behalf of the Government of the United States shall not constitute or authorize action (1) whereby any person shall be admitted to or settled or resettled in the United States or any of its Territories or possessions without prior approval thereof by the Congress, . . .02 (2) which will have the effect of abrogating, suspending, modifying, adding to, or superseding any of the immigration laws or any other laws of the United States."

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Second Part of the First Session. Resolutions (A/64/Add.1), p. 97.

2/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

3/ In a letter of 2 September 1947 addressed to the Secretary-General, the Permanent Representative of Panama stated that, when signing the Constitution, he omitted to indicate that his signature was subject to ratification as specified in the full powers presented for this purpose, and requested that his signature be regarded as having been affixed subject to ratification.

U.2: Refugees--1951 Convention

2. CONVENTION RELATING TO THE STATUS OF REFUGEES

Signed at Geneva on 28 July 1951

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 22 April 1954, in accordance with article 43.  
 REGISTRATION: 22 April 1954, No. 2545.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 189, p. 137.

Note: The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Status of Refugees and Stateless Persons, held at Geneva from 2 to 25 July 1951. The Conference was convened pursuant to resolution 429 (U)<sup>1</sup>, adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 14 December 1950.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Algeria . . . . .		21 Feb 1963 <u>d</u>	Italy . . . . .	23 Jul 1952	15 Nov 1954
Angola . . . . .		23 Jun 1981 <u>a</u>	Jamaica . . . . .		30 Jul 1964 <u>d</u>
Argentina . . . . .		15 Nov 1961 <u>a</u>	Japan . . . . .		3 Oct 1981 <u>a</u>
Australia . . . . .		22 Jan 1954 <u>a</u>	Kenya . . . . .		16 May 1966 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .	28 Jul 1951	1 Nov 1954	Lesotho . . . . .		14 May 1981 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .	28 Jul 1951	22 Jul 1953	Liberia . . . . .		15 Oct 1964 <u>a</u>
Benin . . . . .		4 Apr 1962 <u>d</u>	Liechtenstein . . . . .	28 Jul 1951	8 Mar 1957
Bolivia . . . . .		9 Feb 1982 <u>a</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .	28 Jul 1951	23 Jul 1953
Botswana . . . . .		6 Jan 1969 <u>a</u>	Madagascar . . . . .		18 Dec 1967 <u>a</u>
Brazil . . . . .	15 Jul 1952	16 Nov 1960	Mali . . . . .		2 Feb 1973 <u>d</u>
Burkina Faso . . . . .		18 Jun 1980 <u>a</u>	Malta . . . . .		17 Jun 1971 <u>a</u>
Burundi . . . . .		19 Jul 1963 <u>a</u>	Monaco . . . . .		18 May 1954 <u>a</u>
Cameroon . . . . .		23 Oct 1961 <u>d</u>	Morocco . . . . .		7 Nov 1956 <u>d</u>
Canada . . . . .		4 Jun 1969 <u>a</u>	Mozambique . . . . .		16 Dec 1983 <u>a</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .		4 Sep 1962 <u>d</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	28 Jul 1951	3 May 1956
Chad . . . . .		19 Aug 1981 <u>a</u>	New Zealand . . . . .		30 Jun 1960 <u>a</u>
Chile . . . . .		28 Jan 1972 <u>a</u>	Nicaragua . . . . .		28 Mar 1980 <u>a</u>
China . . . . .		24 Sep 1982 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .		25 Aug 1961 <u>d</u>
Colombia . . . . .	28 Jul 1951	10 Oct 1961	Nigeria . . . . .		23 Oct 1967 <u>a</u>
Congo . . . . .		15 Oct 1962 <u>d</u>	Norway . . . . .	28 Jul 1951	23 Mar 1953
Costa Rica . . . . .		28 Mar 1978 <u>a</u>	Panama . . . . .		2 Aug 1978 <u>a</u>
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		8 Dec 1961 <u>d</u>	Papua New Guinea . . . . .		17 Jul 1986 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		16 May 1963 <u>d</u>	Paraguay . . . . .		1 Apr 1970 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	28 Jul 1951	4 Dec 1952	Peru . . . . .		21 Dec 1964 <u>a</u>
Djibouti . . . . .		9 Aug 1977 <u>d</u>	Philippines . . . . .		22 Jul 1981 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .		4 Jan 1978 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .		22 Dec 1960 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .		17 Aug 1955 <u>a</u>	Rwanda . . . . .		3 Jan 1980 <u>a</u>
Egypt . . . . .		22 May 1981 <u>a</u>	Sao Tome and Principe . . . . .		1 Feb 1978 <u>a</u>
El Salvador . . . . .		28 Apr 1983 <u>a</u>	Senegal . . . . .		2 May 1963 <u>d</u>
Equatorial Guinea . . . . .		7 Feb 1986 <u>a</u>	Seychelles . . . . .		23 Apr 1980 <u>a</u>
Ethiopia . . . . .		10 Nov 1969 <u>a</u>	Sierra Leone . . . . .		22 May 1981 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		12 Jun 1972 <u>d</u>	Somalia . . . . .		10 Oct 1978 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		10 Oct 1968 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		14 Aug 1978 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	11 Sep 1952	23 Jun 1954	Sudan . . . . .		22 Feb 1974 <u>a</u>
Gabon . . . . .		27 Apr 1964 <u>a</u>	Suriname . . . . .		29 Nov 1978 <u>d</u>
Gambia . . . . .		7 Sep 1966 <u>d</u>	Sweden . . . . .	28 Jul 1951	26 Oct 1954
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	19 Nov 1951	1 Dec 1953	Switzerland . . . . .	28 Jul 1951	21 Jan 1955
Ghana . . . . .		18 Mar 1963 <u>a</u>	Togo . . . . .		27 Feb 1962 <u>d</u>
Greece . . . . .	10 Apr 1952	5 Apr 1960	Tunisia . . . . .		24 Oct 1957 <u>d</u>
Guatemala . . . . .		22 Sep 1983 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .	24 Aug 1951	30 Mar 1962
Guinea . . . . .		28 Dec 1965 <u>d</u>	Tuvalu . . . . .		7 Mar 1986 <u>a</u> <sup>d3</sup>
Guinea-Bissau . . . . .		11 Feb 1976 <u>a</u>	Uganda . . . . .		27 Sep 1976 <u>a</u>
Haiti . . . . .		25 Sep 1984 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	28 Jul 1951	11 Mar 1954
Holy See . . . . .	21 May 1952	15 Mar 1956	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		12 May 1964 <u>a</u>
Iceland . . . . .		30 Nov 1955 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .		22 Sep 1970 <u>a</u>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .		28 Jul 1976 <u>a</u>	Yemen . . . . .		18 Jan 1980 <u>a</u>
Ireland . . . . .		29 Nov 1956 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	28 Jul 1951	15 Dec 1959
Israel . . . . .	1 Aug 1951	1 Oct 1954	Zaire . . . . .		19 Jul 1965 <u>a</u>
			Zambia . . . . .		24 Sep 1969 <u>d</u>
			Zimbabwe . . . . .		25 Aug 1981 <u>a</u>

Declarations under section B of article 1 of the Convention

(Unless otherwise indicated in a footnote, the declarations were received upon ratification, accession of succession.)

(a) "Events occurring in Europe before 1 January 1951"

Brazil	Madagascar	Paraguay
Congo	Malta	Turkey
Italy	Monaco	

(b) "Events occurring in Europe or elsewhere before 1 January 1951"

Algeria	Gabon	Papua New Guinea
Angola	Gambia	Peru <sup>5</sup>
Argentina <sup>4</sup>	Germany, Federal Republic of	Philippines
Australia <sup>5</sup>	Ghana	Portugal
Austria	Greece	Rwanda
Belgium	Guatemala	Sao Tome and Principe
Benin <sup>5</sup>	Guinea	Senegal <sup>5</sup>
Bolivia	Guinea-Bissau	Seychelles
Botswana <sup>6</sup>	Haiti	Sierra Leone
Burundi	Holy See <sup>5</sup>	Somalia
Cameroon <sup>5</sup>	Iceland	Spain
Canada	Iran (Islamic Republic of) <sup>5</sup>	Sudan <sup>5</sup>
Central African Republic <sup>5</sup>	Ireland	Suriname
Chad	Israel	Sweden
Chile <sup>5</sup>	Jamaica	Switzerland
China	Japan	Togo <sup>5</sup>
Colombia <sup>4</sup>	Kenya	Tunisia
Costa Rica	Lesotho	Tuvalu
Cote d'Ivoire <sup>5</sup>	Liberia	Uganda
Cyprus	Liechtenstein	United Kingdom
Denmark	Luxembourg <sup>5</sup>	United Republic of
Djibouti	Mali	Tanzania
Dominican Republic	Morocco	Upper Volta
Ecuador <sup>5</sup>	Mozambique	Uruguay
Egypt	Netherlands	Yemen
El Salvador	New Zealand	Yugoslavia
Equatorial Guinea	Nicaragua	Zaire
Ethiopia	Niger <sup>5</sup>	Zambia
Fiji	Nigeria	Zimbabwe
Finland	Norway	
France <sup>5</sup>	Panama	

Declarations other than those made under section B of article 1 and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession. For objections thereto and territorial applications, see hereinafter.)

Declarations

**ANGOLA**

The Government of the People's Republic of Angola also declares that the provisions of the Convention shall be applicable in Angola provided that they are not contrary to or incompatible with the constitutional and legal provisions in force in the People's Republic of Angola, especially as regards articles 7, 13, 15, 18 and 24 of the Convention. Those provisions shall not be construed so as to accord to any category of aliens resident in Angola more extensive rights than are enjoyed by Angolan citizens.

The Government of the People's Republic of Angola also considers that the provisions of articles 8 and 9 of the Convention cannot be construed so as to limit its right to adopt in respect of a refugee or group of refugees such measures as it deems necessary to safeguard national interests and to ensure respect for its

sovereignty, whenever circumstances so require.

Reservations

Ad article 17: The Government of the People's Republic of Angola accepts the obligations set forth in article 17, provided that

(a) Paragraph 1 of this article shall not be interpreted to mean that refugees must enjoy the same privileges as may be accorded to nationals of countries with which the People's Republic of Angola has signed special co-operation agreements,

(b) Paragraph 2 of this article shall be construed as a recommendation and not as an obligation

Ad article 26:

The Government of the People's Republic of Angola reserves the right to prescribe, transfer or circumscribe the place of residence of certain refugees or groups of refugees, and to restrict their freedom of movement, whenever considerations of national or international order make it advisable to do so

AUSTRALIA<sup>7</sup>

AUSTRIA<sup>8</sup>

The Convention is ratified:

(a) Subject to the reservation that the Republic of Austria regards the provisions of article 17, paragraphs 1 and 2 (excepting, however, the phrase "who was already exempt from them at the date of entry into force of this Convention for the Contracting State concerned, or . . ." in the latter paragraph) not as a binding obligation, but merely as a recommendation.

(b) Subject to the reservation that the provisions of article 22, paragraph 1, shall not be applicable to the establishment and maintenance of private elementary schools, that the "public relief and assistance" referred to in article 23 shall be interpreted solely in the sense of allocations from public welfare funds (Armenversorgung), and that the "documents or certifications" referred to in article 25, paragraphs 2 and 3 shall be construed to mean the identity certificates provided for in the Convention of 30 June 1928 relating to refugees

BELGIUM

1. In all cases where the Convention grants to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country, this provision shall not be interpreted by the Belgian Government as necessarily involving the régime accorded to nationals of countries with which Belgium has concluded regional customs, economic or political agreements.

2. Article 15 of the Convention shall not be applicable in Belgium; refugees lawfully staying in Belgian territory will enjoy the same treatment, as regards the right of association, as that accorded to aliens in general.

BOTSWANA

"Subject to the reservation of articles 7, 17, 26, 31, 32 and 34 and paragraph 1 of article 12 of the Convention."

BRAZIL<sup>9</sup>

"Refugees will be granted the same treatment accorded to nationals of foreign countries in general, with the exception of the preferential treatment extended to nationals of Portugal through the Friendship and Consultation Treaty of 1953 and Article 199 of the Brazilian Constitutional Amendment No. 1, of 1969".

CANADA

Reservations to articles 23 and 24:

"Canada interprets the phrase 'lawfully staying' as referring only to refugees admitted for permanent residence. Refugees admitted for temporary residence will be accorded the same treatment with respect to the matters dealt with in articles 23 and 24 as is accorded visitors generally."

CHILE

(1) With the reservation that, with reference to the provisions of article 34, the Government of Chile will be unable to grant to refugees facilities greater than those granted to aliens in general, in view of the liberal nature of Chilean naturalization laws;

(2) With the reservation that the period specified in article 17, paragraph 2 (a) shall, in the case of Chile, be extended from three to ten years;

(3) With the reservation that article 17, paragraph 2 (c) shall apply only if the refugee is the widow or the widower of a Chilean spouse;

(4) With the reservation that the Government of Chile cannot grant a longer period for compliance with an expulsion order than that granted to other aliens in general under Chilean law.

CHINA

Reservations

Article 14

"In the territory of any other Contracting State, he shall be accorded the same protection as is accorded in that territory to nationals of the country in which he has his habitual residence."

Article 16

Application excluded.

CYPRUS<sup>10</sup>

With confirmation of the reservations made by the Government of the United Kingdom upon application of the Convention to the territory of Cyprus.

DENMARK<sup>11</sup>

25 March 1968

Rewording of the reservation.

"The obligation in article 17, paragraph 1, to accord to refugees lawfully staying in Denmark the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country as regards the right to engage in wage-earning employment shall not be construed to mean that refugees shall be entitled to the privileges which in this respect are accorded to nationals of Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden."

ECUADOR

With respect to article 1, relating to the definition of the term "refugee", the Government of Ecuador declares that its accession to the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees does not imply its acceptance of the Conventions which have not been expressly signed and ratified by Ecuador.

With respect to article 15, Ecuador further declares that its acceptance of the provisions contained therein shall be limited in so far as those provisions are in conflict with the constitutional and statutory provisions in force prohibiting aliens, and consequently refugees, from being members of political bodies.

## EGYPT

With reservations in respect of article 12 (1), articles 20 and 22 (1), and articles 23 and 24.

The Government of Egypt accedes to the Convention with reservations in respect of article 12 (1), articles 20 and 22 (1), and articles 23 and 24.

Clarifications (received on 24 September 1981):

1. Egypt formulated a reservation to article 12 (1) because it is in contradiction with the internal laws of Egypt. This article provides that the personal status of a refugee shall be governed by the law of the country of his domicile or, failing this, of his residence. This formula contradicts article 25 of the Egyptian civil code, which reads as follows:

"The judge declares the applicable law in the case of persons without nationality or with more than one nationality at the same time. In the case of persons where there is proof, in accordance with Egypt, of Egyptian nationality, and at the same time in accordance with one or more foreign countries, of nationality of that country, the Egyptian law must be applied."

The competent Egyptian authorities are not in a position to amend this article (25) of the civil code.

2. Concerning articles 20, 22 (paragraph 1), 23 and 24 of the Convention of 1951, the competent Egyptian authorities had reservations because these articles consider the refugee as equal to the national.

We made this general reservation to avoid any obstacle which might affect the discretionary authority of Egypt in granting privileges to refugees on a case-by-case basis.

## ETHIOPIA

"The provisions of articles 8, 9, 17 (2) and 22 (1) of the Convention are recognized only as recommendations and not as legally binding obligations."

## FIJI

The Government of Fiji stated that the first and fourth reservations made by the United Kingdom are affirmed but have been redrafted as more suitable to the application of Fiji in the following terms:

"1. The Government of Fiji understands articles 8 and 9 as not preventing them from taking in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the ground of his nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of Fiji from exercising any rights over property and interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which at the date of entry into force of this Convention on behalf of Fiji were under the control of the Government of the United

Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland or of the Government of Fiji respectively by reason of a state of war which existed between them and any other State.

"2. The Government of Fiji cannot undertake to give effect to the obligations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 3 so far as the law allows.

"Commentary: No arrangements exist in Fiji for the administrative assistance for which provision is made in article 25 nor have any such arrangements been found necessary in the case of refugees. Any need for the documents or certifications mentioned in paragraph 2 of that article would be met by affidavits.

"All other reservation made by the United Kingdom to the above-mentioned Convention is withdrawn."

## FINLAND

Reservations

"(1) A general reservation to the effect that the application of those provisions of the Convention which grant to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country shall not be affected by the fact that special rights and privileges are now or may in future be accorded by Finland to the nationals of Denmark, Iceland, Norway and Sweden or to the nationals of any one of those Countries,

"(2) A reservation to article 7, paragraph 2, to the effect that Finland is not prepared, as a general measure, to grant refugees who fulfil the conditions of three years residence in Finland an exemption from any legislative reciprocity which Finnish law may have stipulated as a condition governing an alien's eligibility for same right or privilege;

"(3) A reservation to article 8 to the effect that that article shall not be binding on Finland;

"(4) A reservation to article 12, paragraph 1, to the effect that the Convention shall not modify the rule of Finnish private international law, as now in force, under which the personal status of a refugee is governed by the law of his country of nationality;

"(5) A reservation to article 24, paragraph 1 (b) and paragraph 3 to the effect that they shall not be binding on Finland,

"(6) A reservation to article 25, to the effect that Finland does not consider itself bound to cause a certificate to be delivered by a Finnish authority, in the place of the authorities of a foreign country, if the documentary records necessary for the delivery of such certificate do not exist in Finland;

"(7) A reservation with respect to the provisions contained in paragraph 1 of article 28. Finland does not accept the obligations stipulated in the said paragraph, but is prepared to recognize travel documents issued by other Contracting States pursuant to this article."

## FRANCE

In depositing its instrument of ratification, the Government of the French Republic, acting in accordance with article 42 of the Convention, makes the following statements.

(a) It considers that article 29, paragraph 2, does not prevent the application in French territory of the provisions of the Act of 7 May 1934 authorizing the levying of the Nansen tax for the support of refugee welfare, resettlement and relief work.

(b) Article 17 in no way prevents the application of the laws and regulations establishing the proportion of alien workers that employers are authorized to employ in France or affects the obligations of such employers in connexion with the employment of alien workers.

GAMBIA<sup>12</sup>

GREECE<sup>13</sup>

In cases or circumstances which, in its opinion, would justify exceptional procedure for reasons of national security or public order, the Hellenic Government reserves the right to derogate from the obligations imposed by the provisions of article 26.

As far as wage-earning employment under article 17 is concerned, the Hellenic Government shall not accord to the refugees less rights than those accorded generally to nationals of foreign countries.

GUATEMALA

Reservation

The Republic of Guatemala accedes to the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees and its Protocol, with the reservation that it will not apply provisions of those instruments in respect of which the Convention allows reservations if those provisions contravene constitutional precepts in Guatemala or norms of public order under domestic law.

Declaration

The expression "treatment as favourable as possible" in all articles of the Convention and of the Protocol in which the expression is used should be interpreted as not including rights which, under law or treaty, the Republic of Guatemala has accorded or is according to nationals of the Central American countries or of other countries with which it has concluded or is entering into agreements of a regional nature.

HOLY SEE

The Holy See, in conformity with the terms of article 42, paragraph 1, of the Convention, makes the reservation that the application of the Convention must be compatible in practice with the special nature of the Vatican City State and without prejudice to the norms governing access to and sojourn therein.

IRAN (ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF)

1. In all cases where, under the provisions of this Convention, refugees enjoy the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign State, the Government of Iran reserves the right not to accord refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of States with which Iran has concluded regional establishment, customs, economic or political agreements.

2. The Government of Iran considers the stipulations contained in articles 17, 23, 24 and 26 as being recommendations only.

IRELAND<sup>14</sup>

"2. The Government of Ireland understands the words 'public order' in article 32 (1) and the words 'in accordance with due process of law' in article 32 (2) to mean, respectively, 'public policy' and 'in accordance with a procedure provided by law'.

"3. With regard to article 17 the Government of Ireland do not undertake to grant to refugees rights of wage-earning employment more favourable than those granted to aliens generally.

"4. The Government of Ireland undertake to give effect to article 25 only insofar as may be practicable and permissible under the laws of Ireland.

"5. With regard to article 29 (1) the Government of Ireland do not undertake to accord to refugees treatment more favourable than that accorded to aliens generally with respect to

"(c) Income Tax (including Sur-tax)."

ISRAEL

"2. Articles 8 and 12 shall not apply to Israel.

"3. Article 28 shall apply to Israel with the limitations which result from Section 6 of the Passport Law of 5712-1952, according to which the Minister may, at his discretion:

"(a) Refuse to grant, or to extend the validity of a passport or laissez-passer;

"(b) Attach conditions to the grant or the extension of the validity of a passport or laissez-passer;

"(c) Cancel, or shorten the period of validity of a passport or laissez-passer issued, and order the surrender thereof;

"(d) Limit, either at or after the issue of a passport or laissez-passer, the range of countries for which it is to be valid.

"4. Permits provided for by Article 30 shall be issued by the Minister of Finance at his discretion."

ITALY<sup>15</sup>

In signing this Convention, the Government of the Republic of Italy declares that the provisions of articles 17 and 18 are recognized by it as recommendations only.

JAMAICA

"The Government of Jamaica confirms and maintains the following reservations, which were made when the Convention was extended to Jamaica by the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland:

"(1) The Government of the United Kingdom understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking by the above-mentioned territory, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the ground



of his nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which, at the date of entry into force of the Convention for the above-mentioned territory, are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State.

"(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom accept paragraph 2 of article 17 in its application to the above-mentioned territory with the substitution of 'four years' for 'three years' in subparagraph (a) and with the omission of subparagraph (c).

"(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom can only undertake that the provisions of subparagraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 and of paragraph 2 of that article will be applied to the above-mentioned territory so far as the law allows.

"(iv) The Government of the United Kingdom cannot undertake that effect will be given in the above-mentioned territory to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 will be applied in the above-mentioned territory so far as the law allows."

## LIECHTENSTEIN

Ad article 17: With respect to the right to engage in wage-earning employment, refugees are treated in law on the same footing as aliens in general, on the understanding, however, that the competent authorities shall make every effort insofar as possible, to apply to them the provisions of this article.

Ad article 24, paragraphs 1 (a) and (b), and paragraph 3: Provisions relating to aliens in general on training, apprenticeship, unemployment insurance, old-age and survivors insurance shall be applicable to refugees. Nevertheless, in the case of old-age and survivors insurance, refugees residing in Liechtenstein (including their survivors if the latter are considered as refugees) are already entitled to normal old-age or survivors' benefits after paying their contributions for at least one full year, provided that they have resided in Liechtenstein for ten years--of which five years without interruption have immediately preceded the occurrence of the event insured against. Moreover, the one-third reduction in benefits provided in the case of aliens and stateless persons under article 74 of the Act on Old-Age and Survivors Insurance, is not applicable to refugees. Refugees residing in Liechtenstein who, on the occurrence of the event insured against, are not entitled to old-age or survivors' benefits, are paid not only their own contributions but any contributions which may have been made by the employers.

## LUXEMBOURG

Upon signature:

Subject to the following reservation: in all cases where this Convention grants to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country, this provision shall not be interpreted as necessarily involving the régime accorded to nationals of countries with which the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg has concluded regional, customs, economic or political agreements.

15 November 1984

Interpretative statement

The Grand Duchy of Luxembourg considers that the reservation made by the Republic of Guatemala concerning the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees of 28 July 1951 and the Protocol relating to the Status of Refugee of 31 January 1967 does not affect the obligations of Guatemala deriving from those instruments.

## MADAGASCAR

The provisions of article 7 (1) shall not be interpreted as requiring the same treatment as is accorded to nationals of countries with which the Malagasy Republic has concluded conventions of establishment or agreements on co-operation;

The provisions of articles 8 and 9 shall not be interpreted as forbidding the Malagasy Government to take, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, measures with regard to a refugee because of his nationality in the interests of national security.

The provisions of article 17 cannot be interpreted as preventing the application of the laws and regulations establishing the proportion of alien workers that employers are authorized to employ in Madagascar or affecting the obligations of such employers in connexion with the employment of alien workers.

## MALTA

"Article 7, paragraph 2, articles 14, 23, 27 and 28 shall not apply to Malta, and article 7, paragraphs 3, 4 and 5, articles 8, 9, 11, 17, 18, 31, 32 and 34 shall apply to Malta compatibly with its own special problems, its peculiar position and characteristics."

## MONACO

Subject to the reservation that the stipulations contained in articles 7 (paragraph 2), 15, 22 (paragraph 1), 23 and 24 shall be provisionally considered as being recommendations and not legal obligations.

## MOZAMBIQUE

ReservationsIn respect of articles 13 and 22:

The Government of Mozambique will take these provisions as simple recommendation not binding it to accord to refugees the same treatment as is accorded to Mozambicans with respect to elementary education and property.

In respect of articles 17 and 19:

The Government of Mozambique will interpret [these provisions] to the effect that it is not required to grant privileges from obligation to obtain a work permit.

As regards article 15:

The Government of Mozambique will not be bound to accord to refugees or group of refugees resident in its territory more extensive rights than those enjoyed by nationals with respect to the right of association and it reserves the right to restrict them in the interest of national security.

As regards article 26:

The Government of Mozambique reserves its right to designate place or places for principal residence for refugees or to restrict their freedom of movement whenever considerations of national security make it advisable.

As regards article 34:

The Government of Mozambique does not consider itself bound to grant to refugees facilities greater than those granted to other categories of aliens in general, with respect to naturalization laws."

NETHERLANDS

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

This signature is appended subject to the reservation that in all cases where this Convention grants to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country this provision shall not be interpreted as involving the régime accorded to nationals of countries with which the Netherlands has concluded regional, customs, economic or political agreements.

Declarations

(1) With reference to article 26 of this Convention, the Netherlands Government reserves the right to designate a place of principal residence for certain refugees or groups of refugees in the public interest.

(2) In the notifications concerning overseas territories referred to in article 40, paragraph 2, of this Convention, the Netherlands Government reserves the right to make a declaration in accordance with section 8 of article 1 with respect to such territories and to make reservations in accordance with article 42 of the Convention.

Interpretative declaration:

In depositing the instrument of ratification by the Netherlands, . . . I declare on behalf of the Netherlands Government that it does not regard the Amboinese who were transported to the Netherlands after 27 December 1949, the date of the transfer of sovereignty by the Kingdom of the Netherlands to the Republic of the United States of Indonesia, as eligible for the status of refugees as defined in article 1 of the said Convention.

NEW ZEALAND

" . . . The Government of New Zealand can only undertake to give effect to the provisions contained in paragraph 2 of article 24 of the Convention so far as the law of New Zealand allows . . . ."

NORWAY<sup>16</sup>

"The obligation stipulated in article 17 (1) to accord to refugees lawfully staying in the country the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country in the same circumstances as regards the right to engage in wage-earning employment, shall not be construed as extending to refugees the benefits of agreements which may in the future be concluded between Norway, Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Sweden, or between Norway and any one of these countries, for the purpose of establishing special conditions for the transfer of labour between these countries."

PAPUA NEW GUINEA

"The Government of Papua New Guinea in accordance with article 42 paragraph 1 of the Convention makes a reservation with respect to the provisions contained in articles 17(1), 21, 22 (1), 26, 31, 32 and 34 of the Convention and does not accept the obligations stipulated in these articles."

PORTUGAL

13 July 1976<sup>17</sup>

"In all cases in which the Convention confers upon the refugees the most favoured person status granted to nationals of a foreign country, this clause will not be interpreted in such a way as to mean the status granted by Portugal to the nationals of Brazil."

RWANDA

Reservation to article 26:

For reasons of public policy (ordre public), the Rwandese Republic reserves the right to determine the place of residence of refugees and to establish limits to their freedom of movement.

SIERRA LEONE

"The Government of Sierra Leone wishes to state with regard to article 17 (2) that Sierra Leone does not consider itself bound to grant to refugees the rights stipulated therein."

"Further, with regard to article 17 as a whole, the Government of Sierra Leone wishes to state that it considers the article to be a recommendation only and not a binding obligation."

"The Government of Sierra Leone wishes to state that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 29, and it reserves the right to impose special taxes on aliens as provided for in the Constitution."

SOMALIA

"The Government of the Somali Democratic Republic acceded to the Convention and Protocol on the understanding that nothing in the said Convention or Protocol will be construed to prejudice or adversely affect the national status, or political

aspiration of displaced people from Somali Territories under alien domination.

"It is in this spirit, that the Somali Democratic Republic will commit itself to respect the terms and provisions of the said Convention and Protocol."

SPAIN

(a) The expression "the most favourable treatment" shall, in all the articles in which it is used, be interpreted as not including rights which, by law or by treaty, are granted to nationals of Portugal, Andorra, the Philippines or the Latin American countries or to nationals of countries with which international agreements of a regional nature are concluded.

(b) The Government of Spain considers that article 8 is not a binding rule but a recommendation.

(c) The Government of Spain reserves its position on the application of article 12, paragraph 1. Article 12, paragraph 2, shall be interpreted as referring exclusively to rights acquired by a refugee before he obtained, in any country, the status of refugee.

(d) Article 26 of the Convention shall be interpreted as not precluding the adoption of special measures concerning the place of residence of particular refugees, in accordance with Spanish law.

SUDAN

With reservation as to article 26.

SWEDEN<sup>18</sup>

With the following reservations:

First, a general reservation to the effect that the application of those provisions of the Convention which grant to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country shall not be affected by the fact that special rights and privileges are now or may in future be accorded by Sweden to the nationals of Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Norway or to the nationals of any one of those countries; and, secondly, the following reservations: a reservation to article 8 to the effect that that article shall not be binding on Sweden; a reservation to article 12, paragraph 1, to the effect that the Convention shall not modify the rule of Swedish private international law, as now in force, under which the personal status of a refugee is governed by the law of his country of nationality . . . ; a reservation to article 17, paragraph 2, to the effect that Sweden does not consider itself bound to grant a refugee who fulfils any one of the conditions set out in subparagraphs (a)-(c) an automatic exemption from the obligation to obtain a work permit; a reservation to article 24, paragraph 1 (b), to the effect that notwithstanding the principle of national treatment for refugees, Sweden shall not be bound to accord to refugees the same treatment as is accorded to nationals in respect of the possibility of entitlement to a national pension under the provisions of the National Insurance Act; and like-

wise to the effect that, in so far as the right to a supplementary pension under the said Act and the computation of such pension in certain respects are concerned, the rules applicable to Swedish nationals shall be more favourable than those applied to other insured persons; a reservation to article 24, paragraph 3, to the effect that the provisions of this paragraph shall not be binding on Sweden; and a reservation to article 25, to the effect that Sweden does not consider itself bound to cause a certificate to be delivered by a Swedish authority, in the place of the authorities of a foreign country, if the documentary records necessary for the delivery of such a certificate do not exist in Sweden.

SWITZERLAND<sup>19</sup>

TURKEY

Upon signature:

The Turkish Government considers moreover, that the term "events occurring before 1 January 1951" refers to the beginning of the events. Consequently, since the pressure exerted upon the Turkish minority in Bulgaria, which began before 1 January 1951, is still continuing, the provision of this Convention must also apply to the Bulgarian refugees of Turkish extraction compelled to leave that country as a result of this pressure and who, being unable to enter Turkey, might seek refuge on the territory of another contracting party after 1 January 1951.

The Turkish Government will, at the time of ratification, enter reservations which it could make under article 42 of the Convention.

Reservation and declaration made upon ratification:

No provision of this Convention may be interpreted as granting to refugees greater rights than those accorded to Turkish citizens in Turkey;

The Government of the Republic of Turkey is not a party to the Arrangements of 12 May 1926 and of 30 June 1928 mentioned in article 1, paragraph A, of this Convention. Furthermore, the 150 persons affected by the Arrangement of 30 June 1928 having been amnestied under Act No 3527, the provisions laid down in this Arrangement are no longer valid in the case of Turkey. Consequently, the Government of the Republic of Turkey considers the Convention of 28 July 1951 independently of the aforementioned Arrangements . . .

The Government of the Republic understands that the action of "re-availment" or "reacquisition" as referred to in article 1, paragraph C, of the Convention--that is to say: "If (1) He has voluntarily re-availed himself of the protection of the country of his nationality; or (2) Having lost his nationality, he has voluntarily reacquired it"--does not depend only on the request of the person concerned but also on the consent of the State in question

UGANDA

(1) In respect of article 7: "The Government of the Republic of Uganda understands this provision as not conferring any legal, political or other enforceable right upon refugees who, at any given

time may be in Uganda. On the basis of this understanding the Government of the Republic of Uganda shall accord refugees such facilities and treatment as the Government of the Republic of Uganda shall in her absolute discretion, deem fit having regard to her own security, economic and social needs."

(2) In respect of articles 8 and 9: "The Government of the Republic of Uganda declares that the provisions of articles 8 and 9 are recognized by it as recommendations only."

(3) In respect of article 13: "The Government of the Republic of Uganda reserves to itself the right to abridge this provision without recourse to courts of law or arbitral tribunals, national or international, if the Government of the Republic of Uganda deems such abridgement to be in the public interest."

(4) In respect of article 15: "The Government of the Republic of Uganda shall in the public interest have the full freedom to withhold any or all rights conferred by this article from any refugees as a class of residents within her territory."

(5) In respect of article 16: "The Government of the Republic of Uganda understands article 16 paragraphs 2 and 3 thereof as not requiring the Government of the Republic of Uganda to accord to a refugee in need of legal assistance, treatment more favourable than that extended to aliens generally in similar circumstances."

(6) In respect of article 17: "The obligation specified in article 17 to accord to refugees lawfully staying in the country in the same circumstances shall not be construed as extending to refugees the benefit of preferential treatment granted to nationals of the states who enjoy special privileges on account of existing or future treaties between Uganda and those countries, particularly states of the East African Community and the Organization of African Unity, in accordance with the provisions which govern such charters in this respect."

(7) In respect of article 25: "The Government of the Republic of Uganda understands that this article shall not require the Government of the Republic of Uganda to incur expenses on behalf of the refugees in connection with the granting of such assistance except in so far as such assistance is requested by and the resulting expense is reimbursed to the Government of the Republic of Uganda by the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees or any other agency of the United Nations which may succeed it."

(8) In respect of article 32: "Without recourse to legal process the Government of the Republic of Uganda shall, in the public interest, have the unfettered right to expel any refugee in her territory and may at any time apply such internal measures as the Government may deem necessary in the circumstances, so however that, any action taken by the Government of the Republic of Uganda in this regard shall not operate to the prejudice of the provisions of article 33 of this Convention"

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND  
NORTHERN IRELAND

(1) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing them from taking in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the ground of his nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which at the date of entry into force of this Convention for the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State.

(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland accept paragraph 2 of article 17 with the substitution of "four years" for "three years" in sub-paragraph (a) and with the omission of sub-paragraph (c).

(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, in respect of such of the matters referred to in sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 as fall within the scope of the National Health Service, can only undertake to apply the provisions of that paragraph so far as the law allows; and it can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 2 of that Article so far as the law allows.

(iv) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake to give effect to the obligations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 3 so far as the law allows."

Commentary

"In connexion with sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 relating to certain matters within the scope of the National Health Service, the National Health Service (Amendment) Act, 1949, contains powers for charges to be made to persons not ordinarily resident in Great Britain (which category would include refugees) who receive treatment under the Service. While these powers have not yet been exercised it is possible that this might have to be done at some future date. In Northern Ireland the health services are restricted to persons ordinarily resident in the country except where regulations are made to extend the Service to others. It is for these reasons that the Government of the United Kingdom while they are prepared in the future, as in the past, to give the most sympathetic consideration to the situation of refugees, find it necessary to make a reservation to sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 of the Convention

The scheme of Industrial Injuries Insurance in Great Britain does not meet the requirements of paragraph 2 of article 24 of the Convention. Where an insured person has died as the result of an industrial accident or a disease due to the nature of his employment, benefit cannot generally be paid to his dependants who are abroad unless they are in any part of the British Commonwealth, in the Irish Republic or in a country with which the United Kingdom has made a reciprocal agreement concerning the payment of industrial injury benefits. There is an exception to this rule in favour of the dependants of certain seamen who die as a result of industrial accidents happening to them while they are in the service of British ships. In this matter refugees are treated in the same way as citizens of the United Kingdom and Colonies and by reason of paragraphs 3 and 4 of article 24 of the Convention, the dependants of refugees will be able to take advantage of reciprocal agreements which provide for the payment of United Kingdom industrial injury benefits in other countries. By reason of paragraphs (3) and (4) of article 24 refugees will enjoy under the scheme of National Insurance and Industrial Injuries Insurance certain rights which are withheld from British subjects who are not citizens of the United Kingdom and Colonies.

No arrangements exist in the United Kingdom for the administrative assistance for which provision is made in article 25 nor have any such arrangements been found necessary in the case of refugees. Any need for the documents or certifications mentioned in paragraph 2 of that article would be met by affidavits."

ZAMBIA

"Subject to the following reservations made pursuant to article 42 1) of the Convention' Article 17 2)

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia wishes to state with regard to article 17, paragraph 2, that Zambia does not consider itself bound to grant to a refugee who fulfils any one of the conditions set out in sub-paragraphs (a) to (c) automatic exemption from the obligation to obtain a work permit

"Further, with regard to article 17 as a whole, Zambia does not wish to undertake to grant to refugees rights of wage-earning employment more favourable than those granted to aliens generally."

Article 22 (1)

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia wishes to state that it considers article 22 (1) to be a recommendation only and not a binding obligation to accord to refugees the same treatment as is accorded to nationals with respect to elementary education."

Article 26

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia wishes to state with regard to article 26 that it reserves the right to designate a place or places of residence for refugees "

Article 28

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia wishes to state with regard to article 28 that Zambia considers itself not bound to issue a travel document with a return clause in cases where a country of second asylum has accepted or indicated its willingness to accept a refugee from Zambia."

ZIMBABWE

"1. The Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe declares that it is not bound by any of the reservations to the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, the application of which had been extended by the Government of the United Kingdom to its territory before the attainment of independence.

"2. the Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe wishes to state with regard to article 17, paragraph 2, that it does not consider itself bound to grant a refugee who fulfils any of the conditions set out in subparagraphs (a) to (c) automatic exemption from the obligation to obtain a work permit. In addition, with regard to article 17 as a whole, the Republic of Zimbabwe does not undertake to grant to refugees rights of wage-earning employment more favourable than those granted to aliens generally.

3. The Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe wishes to state that it considers article 22 (1) as being a recommendation only and not an obligation to accord to refugees the same treatment as it accords to nationals with respect to elementary education.

4 The Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe considers articles 23 and 24 as being recommendations only.

5 The Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe wishes to state with regard to article 26 that it reserves the right to designate a place or places of residence for refugees."

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

RELGIUM

5 November 1984

[Regarding the reservation made by Guatemala upon accession] [the Belgian Government] considers that it is impossible for the other States parties to determine the scope of a reservation which is expressed in such broad terms and which refers for the most part to domestic law, and that the reservation is thus not acceptable. It therefore voices an objection to the said reservation

LUXEMBOURG

[for an interpretative statement by Luxembourg concerning the reservation by Guatemala, see under "Declarations and Reservations other than those made under section B of article 1 and Reservations" in this chapter ]

ETHIOPIA

10 January 1979

"The Provisional Military Government of Socialist Ethiopia wishes to place on record its objection to the declaration [made by Somalia upon accession] and that it does not recognize it as valid on the ground that there are no Somali territories under alien domination."

FRANCE

23 October 1984

[Same declaration, mutatis mutandis, as the one made by Belgium]

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

5 December 1984

"The Federal Government views [the reservation made by Guatemala] as being worded in such general terms that its application could conceivably nullify the provisions of the Convention and the Protocol. Consequently, this reservation cannot be accepted "

GREECE<sup>13</sup>

ITALY

26 November 1984

[The Government of Italy] considers [the reservation made by Guatemala] to be unacceptable since the very general terms in which it is couched and the fact that it refers for the most part to domestic law and leaves it to the Guatemalan Government to decide whether to apply numerous aspects of the Convention make it impossible for other States parties to determine the scope of the reservation.

NETHERLANDS

11 December 1984

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands is of the opinion that a [the reservation by Guatemala] phrased in such general terms and referring to the domestic law only is undesirable, since its scope is not entirely clear."

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . . .	22 Jan 1954	Norfolk Island, Papua New Guinea and Nauru
Denmark . . . . .	4 Dec 1952	Greenland
France . . . . .	23 Jun 1954	All territories for the international relations of which France is responsible
Netherlands . . . . .	29 Jul 1971	Surinam
United Kingdom . . . . .	11 Mar 1954	The Channel Islands and the Isle of Man
	25 Oct 1956	The following territories with reservations:
		British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Cyprus, Dominica, Falkland Islands <sup>20</sup> , Fiji, Gambia, Gilbert and Ellice Islands <sup>3</sup> , Grenada, Jamaica, Kenya, Mauritius, St Vincent, Seychelles, Somaliland Protectorate, Zanzibar and St. Helena
	19 Jun 1957	British Honduras
	11 Jul 1960	Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland <sup>21</sup> , 22
	11 Nov 1960	Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate <sup>23</sup> and Swaziland
	4 Sep 1968	St Lucia, Montserrat
	20 Apr 1970	The Bahama Islands

Declarations and reservations made on notification of territorial application

DENMARK

Greenland

Subject to the reservations made on ratification by the Government of Denmark.

NETHERLANDS

Surinam<sup>24</sup>

The extension is subject to the following reservations, which had been made in substance by the Government of the Netherlands upon ratification:

"1 that in all cases where the Convention, in conjunction with the Protocol, grants to refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country, this provision shall not be interpreted as involving the régime accorded to nationals of countries with which the Kingdom of the Netherlands has concluded regional, customs, economic or political agreements which apply to Surinam,

"2 that the Government of Surinam as regards article 26 of the Convention, in conjunction with article 1, paragraph 1, of the Protocol, reserves the right for reasons of public order to appoint for certain refugees or groups of refugees a principal place of residence."

UNITED KINGDOM

The Channel Islands and the Isle of Man

"(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking in the Isle of Man and in the Channel Islands, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a refugee on the ground of his nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which at the date of the entry into force of this Convention for the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other state.

(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland accept paragraph 2 of article 17 in its application to the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands with the substitution of "four years" for "three years" in sub-paragraph (a) and with the omission of sub-paragraph (c).

(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland can only undertake that the provisions of sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 and of paragraph 2 of that article will be applied in the Channel Islands so far as the law allows, and that the provisions of that sub-paragraph, in respect of such matters referred to therein as fall within the scope of the Isle of Man Health Service, and of paragraph 2 of that article will be applied in the Isle of Man so far as the law allows.

(iv) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 will be applied in the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands so far as the law allows.

The considerations upon which certain of these reservations are based are similar to those set out in the memorandum relating to the corresponding reservations made in respect of the United Kingdom, which was enclosed in my note under reference."

British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Cyprus,<sup>10</sup> Dominica, Falkland Islands, Fiji,<sup>25</sup> Gambia,<sup>12</sup> Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Grenada, Jamaica,<sup>26</sup> Kenya,<sup>27</sup> Mauritius, St. Vincent, Seychelles and Somaliland Protectorate

[Same reservations, in essence, as those made for the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man.]

Zanzibar and St. Helena

[Same reservations, in essence, as those made for the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man under Nos. i), iii) and iv).]

British Honduras

[Same reservations, in essence, as those made for the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man under Nos. i).]

Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland<sup>21, 22</sup>

[Same reservations, in essence, as those made for the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man]

Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate<sup>23</sup> and Swaziland

[Same reservations, in essence, as those made for the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man under Nos. i), iii) and iv).]

The Bahama Islands

"Subject to the following reservation in respect of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 17 of the Convention:

"Refugees and their dependants would normally be subject to the same laws and regulations relating generally to the employment of non-Bahamians within the Commonwealth of the Bahama Islands, so long as they have not acquired Bahamian status."

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifth Session, Supplement No. 20 (A/1775), p. 48.

2/ On 15 December 1955, a communication was received by the Secretary-General from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stating that the Convention also applies to Land Berlin as from the date of its entry into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

3/ In a declaration contained in the notification of succession to the Convention, the Government of Tuvalu confirmed that it regards the Convention [...] as continuing in force subject to reservations previously made by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland in relation to the Colony of the Gilbert and Ellice Islands

4/ Colombia, upon signature and Argentina upon accession had specified the article a). The

declarations specifying alternative b) were made respectively upon ratification (10 October 1961) and upon subsequent ratification (15 November 1984).

5/ Notifications of the extension of their obligations under the Convention by adopting alternative (b) of section B (1) of the Convention were received by the Secretary-General on the dates indicated:

Australia . . . . .	6 Jul 1970
Benin . . . . .	1 Dec 1967
Cameroon . . . . .	29 Dec 1961
Central African Republic . . . . .	15 Oct 1962
Chile . . . . .	28 Jan 1972
Ecuador . . . . .	1 Feb 1972
France . . . . .	3 Feb 1971
Holy See . . . . .	17 Nov 1961
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	27 Sep 1976
Ivory Coast . . . . .	20 Dec 1966
Luxembourg . . . . .	22 Aug 1972
Niger . . . . .	7 Dec 1964
Peru . . . . .	8 Dec 1980
Portugal . . . . .	13 Jul 1976
Senegal . . . . .	12 Oct 1964
Sudan . . . . .	7 Mar 1974
Togo . . . . .	23 Oct 1962

6/ On 21 January 1983, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Botswana the following communication:

"Having simultaneously acceded to the Convention and Protocol [relating to the status of refugees done at New York on 31 January 1967] on the 6th January 1969 and in view of the fact that the Protocol provides in article I(2) that 'the term 'refugee' shall . . . mean any person within the definition of article 1 of the Convention' as if the words 'As a result of events occurring before 1 January 1951 and . . . and the words '... as a result of such events', in article [I(A)(2)] were omitted and thus modifies in effect the provisions of article 1 of the Convention, it is the position of the Government of Botswana that no separate declaration under article 1.B(1) of the Convention is required in the circumstances.

On the basis of the afore-mentioned communication, the Secretary-General has included Botswana in the list of States having chosen formula b) under section B of article 1.

Subsequently, in a communication, received by the Secretary-General on 29 April 1986, and with reference to article 1 B (1) of the above-mentioned Convention, the Government of Botswana confirmed that it has no objection to be listed among the States applying the Convention without any geographical limitation.

7/ In a communication received on 1 December 1967, the Government of Australia notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservations to articles 17, 18, 19, 26 and 32, and, in a communication received by the Secretary-General on 11 March 1971, of the withdrawal of the reservation to paragraph 1 of article 28 of the Convention. For the text of those reservations, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 189, p. 202.

8/ These reservations replace those made at the time of signature. For the text of reservations made on signature, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 189, p. 186.

9/ On 7 April 1972, upon its accession to the Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees done at New York on 31 January 1967, the Government of Brazil withdraws its reservations excluding articles 15 and 17, paragraphs 1 and 3, from its application to the Convention. For the text of the said reservations, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 380, p. 430.

10/ On notifying its succession to the Convention, the Government of Cyprus confirmed the reservations made at the time of the extension of the Convention to its territory by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. For the text of these reservations, see under "Declarations and reservations made on notification of territorial application" of this chapter.

11/ In a communication received on 23 August 1962, the Government of Denmark informed the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw as from 1 October 1961 the reservation to article 14 of the Convention.

In a communication received on 25 March 1968, the Government of Denmark informed the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw as from that date the reservations made on ratification to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of article 24 and partially the reservation made on ratification to article 17 by rewording the said reservation. For the text of the reservations originally formulated by the Government of Denmark on ratification, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 189, p. 198.

12/ On notifying its succession to the Convention, the Government of Gambia confirmed the reservations made at the time of the extension of the Convention to its territory by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

13/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 19 April 1978, the Government of Greece declared that it withdrew the reservations that it had made upon ratification pertaining to articles 8, 11, 13, 24 3), 26, 28, 31, 32 and 34, and also the objection contained in paragraph 6 of the relevant declaration of reservations by Greece is also withdrawn. For the text of the reservations and objection so withdrawn, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 354, p. 402.

14/ In a communication received on 23 October 1968, the Government of Ireland notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of two of its reservations in respect of article 29 (1), namely those indicated at (a) and (b) of paragraph 5 of declarations and reservations contained in the instrument of accession by the Government of Ireland to the Convention; for the text of the withdrawn reservations, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 254, p. 412.



15/ In a communication received on 20 October 1964, the Government of Italy has notified the Secretary-General that "it withdraws the reservations made at the time of signature, and confirmed at the time of ratification, to articles 6, 7, 8, 19, 22, 23, 25 and 34 of the Convention [see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 189, p. 192]. The above-mentioned reservations are inconsistent with the internal provisions issued by the Italian Government since the ratification of the Convention. The Italian Government also adopted in December 1963 provisions which implement the contents of paragraph 2 of article 17".

Furthermore, the Italian Government confirms that "it maintains its declaration made in accordance with section 8 (1) of article 1, and that it recognizes the provisions of articles 17 and 18 as recommendations only".

16/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 21 January 1954, the Government of Norway gave notice of the withdrawal, with immediate effect, of the reservation to article 24 of the Convention, "as the Acts mentioned in the said reservation have been amended to accord to refugees lawfully staying in the country the same treatment as is accorded to Norwegian nationals". For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 189, p. 198.

17/ That text, which was communicated in a notification received on 13 July 1976, replaces the reservations originally made by Portugal upon accession. For the text of the reservations withdrawn, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 383, p. 314.

18/ In a communication received on 20 April 1961, the Government of Sweden gave notice of the withdrawal, as from 1 July 1961, of the reservation to article 14 of the Convention.

In a communication received on 25 November 1966, the Government of Sweden has notified the Secretary-General that it has decided, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 42 of the Convention, to withdraw some of its reservations to article 24, paragraph 1 (b), by rewording them and to withdraw the reservation to article 24, paragraph 2.

In a communication received on 5 March 1970, the Government of Sweden notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of its reservation to article 7, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

For the text of the reservations as originally formulated by the Government of Sweden upon ratification, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 200, p. 336.

19/ In a communication received on 18 February 1963, the Government of Switzerland gave notice to the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservation made at the time of ratification to article 24, paragraph 1 (a) and (b) and paragraph 3, of the Convention, in so far as that reservation concerns old-age and survivors' insurance.

In a communication received on 3 July 1972, the Government of Switzerland gave notice of its withdrawal of the reservation to article 17

formulated in its instrument of ratification of the Convention.

In a communication received on 17 December 1980, the Government of Switzerland gave notice of its withdrawal, in its entirety, of the substituting reservation formulated in respect of article 24, number 1, letters a and b, which encompasses training, apprenticeship and unemployment insurance with effect from 1 January 1981, date of entry into force of the Swiss Law on Asylum of 5 October 1979.

For the text of the reservations made initially, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 202, p. 368.

20/ On 30 October 1983, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Argentina the following objection:

[The Government of Argentina makes a] formal objection to the declaration of territorial extension issued by the United Kingdom with regard to the Malvinas Islands (and dependencies), which that country is illegally occupying and refers to as the "Falkland Islands".

The Argentine Republic rejects and considers null and void the [declaration] of territorial extension.

See also note 9 in chapter III.11.

21/ The Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland was dissolved immediately before 1 January 1964. In reply to the Secretariat's inquiry as to the legal effect of that dissolution, in so far as concerns the application in the territories formerly constituting the Federation, i.e., Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland and Southern Rhodesia, of certain multilateral treaties deposited with the Secretary-General which had been extended by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to the Federation or to any of the territories concerned prior to the formation of the Federation, and of the International Convention to Facilitate the Importation of Commercial Samples and Advertising Material done at Geneva on 7 November 1952 (see chapter XI.A.5), to which the Federation acceded in its capacity of a Contracting Party to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (see chapter X.1), the Government of the United Kingdom in a communication received on 16 April 1964, provided the following clarification:

"Her Majesty's Government consider that in general, multilateral treaties applicable to the Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland continued to apply to the constituent territories of the former Federation on its dissolution. Multilateral treaties under which the Federation enjoyed membership of international organisations fall in a special category; their continued application to the constituent territories of the former Federation depends in each case on the terms of the treaty. Her Majesty's Government regard all the conventions listed in the Secretariat's letter of February 26 as applying to the constituent territories of the former Federation since its dissolution, but the accession by the Federation to the International Convention to Facilitate the

Importation of Commercial Samples and Advertising Material has not led to this result as Article XIII of the Convention allows Her Majesty's Government to extend provisions of the Convention to the three constituent territories of the former Federation if considered desirable.

"With regard to the final query by the Secretariat, I am to reply that extensions prior to the inauguration of the Federation do, of course, continue to apply to the constituent territories."

Northern Rhodesia and Nyasaland have since become independent States under the names of Zambia and Malawi, respectively.

22/ In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General on 22 March 1968, the President of the Republic of Malawi, referring to the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, done at Geneva on 28 July 1951, stated the following:

"In my letter to you of the 24th November 1964, concerning the disposition of Malawi's inherited treaty obligations, my Government declared that with respect to multilateral treaties which had been applied or extended to the former Nyasaland Protectorate, any Party to such a treaty could on the basis of reciprocity rely as against Malawi on the terms of such treaty until Malawi notified its depositary of what action it wished to take

by way of confirmation of termination, confirmation of succession, or accession.

"I am now to inform you as depositary of this Convention that the Government of Malawi wishes to terminate any connection with this Convention which it might have inherited. The Government of Malawi considers that any legal relationship with the aforementioned Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, Geneva, 1951 which might have devolved upon it by way of succession from the ratification of the United Kingdom, is terminated as of this date."

See succession by Zambia.

23/ See succession by Botswana (formerly Bechuanaland Protectorate).

24/ Upon notifying its succession (29 November 1978) the Government of Suriname informed the Secretary-General that the Republic of Suriname did not succeed to the reservations formulated on 29 July 1951 by the Netherlands when the Convention and Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees were extended to Surinam.

25/ See succession by Fiji.

26/ See succession by Jamaica.

27/ See succession by Kenya.

## 3. CONVENTION RELATING TO THE STATUS OF STATELESS PERSONS

Done at New York on 28 September 1954

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 6 June 1969, in accordance with article 39  
 REGISTRATION: 6 June 1960, No. 5158.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 360, p 117.

Note: The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference on the Status of Stateless Persons, held at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York from 13 to 23 September 1954. The Conference was convened pursuant to resolution 526A(XVII)<sup>1</sup> of 26 April 1954 of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations. For the Final Act, recommendation and resolution adopted by the Conference, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 360, p. 117.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Algeria . . . . .		15 Jul 1964 <u>a</u>	Ireland . . . . .		17 Dec 1962 <u>a</u>
Argentina . . . . .		1 Jun 1972 <u>a</u>	Israel . . . . .	1 Oct 1954	23 Dec 1958
Australia . . . . .		13 Dec 1973 <u>a</u>	Italy . . . . .	20 Oct 1954	3 Dec 1962
Barbados . . . . .		6 Mar 1972 <u>d</u>	Kiribati . . . . .		29 Nov 1983 <u>d</u>
Belgium . . . . .	28 Sep 1954	27 May 1960	Lesotho . . . . .		4 Nov 1974 <u>d</u>
Bolivia . . . . .		6 Oct 1983 <u>a</u>	Liberia . . . . .		11 Sep 1964 <u>a</u>
Botswana . . . . .		25 Feb 1969 <u>d</u>	Liechtenstein . . . . .	28 Sep 1954	
Brazil . . . . .	28 Sep 1954		Luxembourg . . . . .	28 Oct 1955	27 Jun 1960
Colombia . . . . .	30 Dec 1954		Madagascar . . . . .		[20 Feb 1962 <u>a</u> <sup>3</sup> ]
Costa Rica . . . . .	28 Sep 1954	2 Nov 1977	Netherlands . . . . .	28 Sep 1954	12 Apr 1962
Denmark . . . . .	28 Sep 1954	17 Jan 1956	Norway . . . . .	28 Sep 1954	19 Nov 1956
Ecuador . . . . .	28 Sep 1954	2 Oct 1970	Philippines . . . . .	22 Jun 1955	
El Salvador . . . . .	28 Sep 1954		Republic of Korea . . . . .		22 Aug 1962 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		12 Jun 1972 <u>d</u>	Sweden . . . . .	28 Sep 1954	2 Apr 1965
Finland . . . . .		10 Oct 1968 <u>a</u>	Switzerland . . . . .	28 Sep 1954	3 Jul 1972
France . . . . .	12 Jan 1955	8 Mar 1960	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	28 Sep 1954	26 Oct 1976 <sup>2</sup>	Tunisia . . . . .		29 Jul 1969 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .		4 Nov 1975 <u>a</u>	Uganda . . . . .		15 Apr 1965 <u>a</u>
Guatemala . . . . .	28 Sep 1954		United Kingdom . . . . .	28 Sep 1954	16 Apr 1959
Guinea . . . . .		21 Mar 1962 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .		9 Apr 1959 <u>a</u>
Holy See . . . . .	28 Sep 1954		Zambia . . . . .		1 Nov 1974 <u>d</u>
Honduras . . . . .	28 Sep 1954				

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

## ARGENTINA

The application of this Convention in territories whose sovereignty is the subject of discussion between two or more States, irrespective of whether they are parties to the Convention, cannot be construed as an alteration, renunciation or relinquishment of the position previously maintained by each of them.

## BARBADOS

"The Government of Barbados . . . declares with regard to the reservations made by the United Kingdom on notification of the territorial application of the Convention to the West Indies (including Barbados) on the 19th March, 1962 that it can only undertake that the provisions of Arti-

cles 23, 24, 25 and 31 will be applied in Barbados so far as the law allows.

The application of the Convention to Barbados was also made subject to reservations to Articles 8, 9 and 26 which are hereby withdrawn."

BOTSWANA<sup>4</sup>

"(a) Article 31 of the said Convention shall not oblige Botswana to grant to a stateless person a status more favourable than that accorded to aliens in general;

"(b) Articles 12 1) and 7 2) of the Convention shall be recognized as recommendations only."

## COSTA RICA

Reservation made upon signature<sup>5</sup>

### U.3: Stateless persons

#### DENMARK<sup>6</sup>

Denmark is not bound by article 24, paragraph 3:

The provisions of article 24, paragraph 1, under which stateless persons are in certain cases placed on the same footing as nationals, shall not oblige Denmark to grant stateless persons in every case exactly the same remuneration as that provided by law for nationals, but only to grant them what is required for their support.

Article 31 shall not oblige Denmark to grant to stateless persons a status more favourable than that accorded to aliens in general.

#### EL SALVADOR

##### Upon signature :

El Salvador signs the present Convention with the reservation that the expression "treatment as favourable as possible", referred to in those of its provisions to which reservations may be made, must not be understood to include the special treatment which has been or may be granted to the nationals of Spain, the Latin American countries in general, and in particular to the countries which constituted the United Provinces of Central America and now form the Organization of Central American States.

#### FIJI

The Government of Fiji stated that the first and third reservations made by the United Kingdom are affirmed but have been redrafted as more suitable to the application of Fiji in the following terms:

"1. The Government of Fiji understands articles 8 and 9 as not preventing them from taking in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances measures in the interests of national security in the case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of Fiji from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which at the date of entry into force of this Convention in respect of Fiji were under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland or of the Government of Fiji respectively by reason of a state of war which existed between them and any other State.

"2. The Government of Fiji cannot undertake to give effect to the obligations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 3 so far as the law allows.

Commentary: No arrangements exist in Fiji for the administrative assistance for which provision is made in article 25 nor have any such arrangements been found necessary in the case of stateless persons. Any need for the documents or cer-

tificates mentioned in paragraph 2 of that article would be met by affidavit.

"All other reservation made by the United Kingdom to the above-mentioned Convention is withdrawn."

#### FINLAND<sup>7</sup>

"(1) A general reservation to the effect that the application of those provisions of the Convention which grant to stateless persons the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country shall not be affected by the fact that special rights and privileges are now or may in future be accorded by Finland to the nationals of Denmark, Iceland, Norway and Sweden or to the nationals of any one of those Countries;

"(2) A reservation to article 7, paragraph 2, to the effect that Finland is not prepared, as a general measure, to grant stateless persons who fulfil the conditions of three years residence in Finland an exemption from any legislative reciprocity which Finnish law may have stipulated as a condition governing an alien's eligibility for same right or privilege;

"(3) A reservation to article 8 to the effect that that article shall not be binding on Finland;

"(4) . . .

"(5) A reservation to article 24, paragraph 1 (b) and paragraph 3 to the effect that they shall not be binding on Finland;

"(6) A reservation to article 25, to the effect that Finland does not consider itself bound to cause a certificate to be delivered by a Finnish authority, in the place of the authorities of a foreign country, if the documentary records necessary for the delivery of such certificate do not exist in Finland;

"(7) A reservation with respect to the provisions contained in article 28. Finland does not accept the obligations stipulated in the said article, but is prepared to recognize travel documents issued by other Contracting States pursuant to this article."

#### FRANCE

The provisions of article 10, paragraph 2, are regarded by the French Government as applying only to stateless persons who were forcibly displaced from French territory, and who have, prior to the date of entry into force of this Convention, returned there direct from the country to which they were forced to proceed, without in the meantime having received authorization to reside in the territory of any other State.

#### GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

1. Article 23 will be applied without restriction only to stateless persons who are also refugees within the meaning of the Convention of 28 July 1951 relating to the Status of Refugees and the Protocol of 31 January 1967 relating to the Status of Refugees, but otherwise only to the extent provided for under national legislation;

2. Article 27 will not be applied.

GUATEMALA

Upon signature.

Guatemala signs the present Convention with the reservation that the expression "treatment as favourable as possible", referred to in those of its provisions to which reservations may be made, must not be understood to include the special treatment which has been or may be granted to the nationals of Spain, the Latin American countries in general, and in particular to the countries which constituted the United Provinces of Central America and now form the Organization of Central American States

HOLY SEE

"The Convention will be applied in the form compatible with the special nature of the State of the Vatican City and without prejudice to the norms that grant access thereunto and sojourn therein."

HONDURAS

Upon signature:

Honduras signs the present Convention with the reservation that the expression "treatment as favourable as possible", referred to in those of its provisions to which reservations may be made, must not be understood to include the special treatment which has been or may be granted to the nationals of Spain, the Latin American countries in general, and in particular to the countries which constituted the United Provinces of Central America and now form the Organization of Central American States.

IRELAND

Declaration

"The Government of Ireland understand the words 'public order' and 'in accordance with due process of law', as they appear in article 31 of the Convention, to mean respectively, 'public policy' and 'in accordance with the procedure provided by law'."

Reservation

"With regard to article 29 (1), the Government of Ireland do not undertake to accord to stateless persons treatment more favourable than that accorded to aliens generally with respect to

(a) The stamp duty chargeable in Ireland in connection with conveyances, transfers and leases of lands, tenements and hereditaments, and

(b) Income tax (including sur-tax)."

ITALY<sup>8</sup>

The provisions of articles 17 and 18 are recognized as recommendations only.

KIRIBATI

Reservations

[The following reservations originally made by the United Kingdom were reformulated as follows in terms suited to their direct application to Kiribati]

"1. The Government of Kiribati understands articles 8 and 9 as not preventing them from taking in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances measures in the interests of national security in the case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of Kiribati from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interest which at the date of entry into force of this Convention in respect of the Gilbert Islands were under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State

2 The Government of Kiribati can only undertake to apply the provisions of subparagraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24 so far as the law allows.

3. The Government of Kiribati cannot undertake to give effect to the obligations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 3 so far as the law allows."

LESOTHO<sup>9</sup>

"1 In accordance with article 38 of the Convention, the Government of the Kingdom of Lesotho declares that it understands articles 8 and 9 as not preventing it from taking in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances measures in the interest of national security in the case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality. The provisions of article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the Kingdom of Lesotho from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore the provisions of article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which at the date of entry into force of this Convention in respect of Lesotho were under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland or of the Government of Lesotho by reason of a state of war which existed between them and any other State

"2. The Government of the Kingdom of Lesotho cannot undertake to give effect to the obligations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 3 so far as the laws of Lesotho allow

"3. The Government of the Kingdom of Lesotho shall not be bound under article 31 to grant to a stateless person a status more favourable than that accorded to aliens generally "

NETHERLANDS

The Government of the Kingdom reserves the right not to apply the provisions of article 8 of the Convention to stateless persons who previously possessed enemy nationality or the equivalent thereof with respect to the Kingdom of Netherlands;

With reference to article 26 of the Convention, the Government of the Kingdom reserves the right to designate a place of principal residence for certain stateless persons or groups of stateless persons in the public interest.

PHILIPPINES

Upon signature.

"(a) As regards Article 17, paragraph 1, granting stateless persons the right to engage in wage-earning employment, my Government finds that this provision conflicts with the Philippine Immigration Act of 1940, as amended, which classifies as excludable aliens under Section 29 those coming to the Philippines to perform unskilled labor, and permits the admission of pre-arranged employees under Section 9 (g) only when there are no persons in the Philippines willing and competent to perform the labor or service for which the admission of aliens is desired.

"(b) As regards Article 31, paragraph 1, to the effect that 'the Contracting States shall not expel a stateless person lawfully in their territory, save on grounds of national security or public order', this provision would unduly restrict the power of the Philippine Government to deport undesirable aliens under Section 37 of the same Immigration Act which states the various grounds upon which aliens may be deported.

"Upon signing the Convention on behalf of the Philippine Government, I am therefore hereby registering its non-conformity to the provisions of Article 17, paragraph 1, and Article 31, paragraph 1, thereof, for the reasons stated in (a) and (b) above "

SWEDEN<sup>10</sup>

- (1) . . .
- (2) To article 8. This article will not be binding on Sweden
- (3) To article 12, paragraph 1 This paragraph will not be binding on Sweden
- (4) To article 24, paragraph 1 (b). Notwithstanding the rule concerning the treatment of stateless persons as nationals, Sweden will not be bound to accord to stateless persons the same treatment as is accorded to nationals in respect of the possibility of entitlement to a national pension under the provisions of the National Insurance Act, and likewise to the effect that, in so far as the right to a supplementary pension under the said Act and the computation of such pension in certain respects are concerned, the rules applicable to Swedish nationals shall be more favourable than those applied to other insured persons
- (5) To article 24, paragraph 3 The provisions of this paragraph will not be binding on Sweden.
- (6) To article 25, paragraph 2 Sweden does not consider itself obliged to cause a Swedish

authority, in lieu of a foreign authority, to deliver certificates for the issuance of which there is insufficient documentation in Sweden.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Declaration: "I have the honour further to state that the Government of the United Kingdom deposit the present instrument of ratification on the understanding that the combined effects of articles 36 and 38 permit them to include in any declaration or notification made under paragraph 1 of article 36 or paragraph 2 of article 36 respectively any reservation consistent with article 38 which the Government of the territory concerned might desire to make."

Reservations: "When ratifying the Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons which was opened for signature at New York on September 28, 1954, the Government of the United Kingdom have deemed it necessary to make certain reservations in accordance with paragraph 1 of Article 38 thereof the text of which is reproduced below:

- (1) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand Articles 8 and 9 as not preventing them from taking in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances measures in the interests of national security in the case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality. The provisions of Article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of Article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which at the date of entry into force of this Convention for the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State
  - (2) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, in respect of such of the matters referred to in sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of Article 24 as fall within the scope of the National Health Service, can only undertake to apply the provisions of that paragraph so far as the law allows.
  - (3) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake to give effect to the obligations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Article 25 and can only undertake to apply the provisions of paragraph 3 so far as the law allows."
- Commentary. "In connexion with sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of Article 24 which relates to certain matters within the scope of the National Health Service, the National Health Service

U.3: Stateless persons

(Amendment) Act 1949 contains powers for charges to be made to persons not ordinarily resident in Great Britain (which category would include some stateless persons) who receive treatment under the Service. These powers have not yet been exercised but it may be necessary to exercise them at some future date. In Northern Ireland the Health Services are restricted to persons ordinarily resident in the country except where regulations are made to extend the Services to others. For these reasons, the Government of the United Kingdom, while prepared in the future, as in the past, to give the most sympathetic consideration to the situation of stateless persons, find it necessary to make reservation to sub-paragraph (b) of Article 24.

"No arrangements exist in the United Kingdom for the administrative assistance for which provision is made in Article 25 nor have any such arrangements been found necessary in the case of stateless persons. Any need for the documents or certifications mentioned in paragraph 2 of that Article would be met by affidavit."

ZAMBIA<sup>11</sup>

"Article 22 (1):

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia considers paragraph 1 of article 22 to be a recommendation only, and not a binding obligation to accord to stateless persons national treatment with respect to elementary education;

"Article 26:

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia reserves the right under article 26 to designate a place or places of residence for stateless persons,

"Article 28:

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia does not consider itself bound under article 28 to issue a travel document with a return clause in cases where a country of second asylum has accepted or indicated its willingness to accept a stateless person from Zambia;

"Article 31:

"The Government of the Republic of Zambia shall not undertake under article 31 to grant treatment more favourable than that accorded to aliens generally with respect to expulsion "

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
France . . . . .	8 Mar 1960	Departments of Algeria, of the Oases and of Saoura, Guadeloupe, Martinique and Guiana and the five Overseas Territories (New Caldeonia and Dependencies, French Polynesia, French Somaliland, the Comoro Archipelago and the Islands of St. Pierre and Miquelon)
Netherlands <sup>12</sup> . . . . .	12 Apr 1962	Surinam and Netherlands New Guinea
United Kingdom . . . . .	16 Apr 1959	The Channel Islands and the Isle of Man
	7 Dec 1959	High Commission Territories of Basutoland <sup>13</sup> , Bechuanaland Protectorate <sup>4</sup> and Swaziland
	9 Dec 1959	Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland <sup>15, 16</sup>
	19 Mar 1962	Aden Colony, Bermuda, Malta, Sarawak, Seychelles, St. Helena, Uganda <sup>17</sup> , Virgin Islands and Zanzibar
		British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Falkland Islands, Fiji <sup>18</sup> , Gambia, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Hong Kong, Kenya, Mauritius, North Borneo, State of Singapore and the West Indies

Declarations and reservations made on notifications of territorial application

UNITED KINGDOM

Channel Islands and Isle of Man

"(1) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand Articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking in the Isle of Man and in the Channel Islands, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality. The provisions of Article 8 shall not prevent the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from exercising any rights over property or interests which they may acquire

or have acquired as an Allied or Associated Power under a Treaty of Peace or other agreement or arrangement for the restoration of peace which has been or may be completed as a result of the Second World War. Furthermore, the provisions of Article 8 shall not affect the treatment to be accorded to any property or interests which, at the date of entry into force of this Convention for the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands, are under the control of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland by reason of a state of war which exists or existed between them and any other State

"(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland can only undertake that the provisions of sub-paragraph (b)

### U.3: Stateless persons

of paragraph 1 of Article 24 and of paragraph 2 of that Article will be applied in the Channel Islands so far as the law allows, and that the provisions of that sub-paragraph, in respect of such matters referred to therein as fall within the scope of the Isle of Man Health Service, will be applied in the Isle of Man so far as the law allows.

"(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands to paragraphs 1 and 2 of Article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 will be applied in the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands so far as the law allows."

#### High Commission Territories of Basutoland,<sup>13</sup> Bechuanaland Protectorate<sup>4</sup> et Souaziland

[Same reservations, in essence, as those made for the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man, under Nos. i) and iii).]

#### Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland<sup>15</sup>

Same reservations, in essence, as those made for the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man, under Nos. iii).]

#### British Guiana, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Falkland Islands, Gambia, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Kenya, Mauritius

[Same reservations, in essence, as those made for the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man, under Nos. i) and iii).]

#### British Honduras, Hong Kong

[Same reservations, in essence, as those made for the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man, under Nos. i) and iii).]

#### North Borneo

[Same reservations, in essence, as those made for the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man.]

#### Fiji<sup>18</sup>

(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland understand articles 8 and 9 as not preventing the taking in Fiji, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, of measures in the interests of national security in the case of a stateless person on the ground of his former nationality.

(ii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, in respect of the provisions of sub-paragraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 24, can only undertake that effect will be given in Fiji to the provisions of that paragraph so far as the law allows.

(iii) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in Fiji to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 25 and can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 3 will be applied in Fiji so far as the law allows.

#### The State of Singapore

(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in the State of Singapore to article 23.

#### The West Indies

(i) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland cannot undertake that effect will be given in the West Indies to articles 8, 9, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 31.

#### NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Seventeenth Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/2596), p. 12.

2/ Instrument received by the Secretary-General on 2 August 1976 and supplemented by notification of reservation received on 26 October 1976, the date on which the instrument is deemed to have been deposited.

In a letter accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the said Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, the Secretary-General received on 13 October 1976 from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics the following communication:

The Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons of 28 September 1954 affects, in its substance, matters relating to the status of West Berlin. The USSR therefore re-

gards the declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the application of the said Convention to West Berlin as illegal and as having no legal force, since, under the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, the treaty obligations of the Federal Republic of Germany affecting matters of security and status cannot be applied to West Berlin.

3/ By a notification received by the Secretary-General on 2 April 1965, the Government of Madagascar denounced the Convention; the denunciation took effect on 2 April 1966.

4/ In the notification of succession, the Government of Botswana also maintained the reservations made by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland on extension of the Convention to the Bechuanaland Protectorate.

5/ The reservation was not maintained upon ratification. For the text of the reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 360, p. 196.



U.3: Stateless persons

6/ In a communication received on 23 August 1962, the Government of Denmark informed the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw as from 1 October 1961 the reservation to article 14 of the Convention.

In a communication received on 25 March 1968, the Government of Denmark informed the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw as from that date, the reservation to article 24, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

For the text of the reservations withdrawn by the above communications, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 360, p. 132.

7/ In a communication received on 30 September 1970, the Government of Finland notified the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw the reservation formulated in its instrument of accession to article 12, paragraph 1, of the Convention. For the text of the said reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 648, p. 368.

8/ In a communication received on 25 January 1968, the Government of Italy notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservations made at the time of signature to articles 6, 7(2), 8, 19, 22 (2), 23, 25 and 32 (see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 189, p. 192).

9/ Reservations 1 and 2 had been formulated by the Government of the United Kingdom in respect of the territory of Basutoland. Reservation 3 constitutes a new reservation, which was made subject to the provisions of article 39(2) of the Convention.

10/ In a communication received on 25 November 1966, the Government of Sweden has notified the Secretary-General that it has decided, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 38 of the Convention, to withdraw some of its reservations to article 24, paragraph 1 (b), and the reservation to article 24, paragraph 2 of the Convention. In a communication received on 5 March 1970, the Government of Sweden notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of its reservation to article 7, paragraph 2, of the Convention. For the text of the reservations to article 24, paragraph 1 (b), as originally formulated by the Government of Sweden in its instrument of ratification, and of the reservation to article 7, paragraph 2, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 529, p. 362.

11/ In its notification of succession, the Government of Zambia declared that it withdrew

the reservations made by the Government of the United Kingdom upon extension of the Convention by the latter to the former Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland. The reservations reproduced herein are new reservations, which were made subject to the provisions of article 39(2) of the Convention.

12/ In the note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Netherlands stated, with reference to article 36, paragraph 3 of the Convention, that "if at any time the Government of the Netherlands Antilles agrees to the extension of the Convention to its territory, the Secretary-General shall be notified thereof without delay. Such notification will contain the reservations, if any, which the Government of the Netherlands Antilles might wish to make with respect to local requirements in accordance with article 38 of the Convention.

13/ See succession by Lesotho.

15/ See note 21 in chapter U.2.

16/ In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General on 22 March 1968, the President of the Republic of Malawi, referring to the Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, done at New York on 28 September 1954, stated the following:

"In my letter to you of the 24th November 1964, concerning the disposition of Malawi's inherited treaty obligations, my Government declared that with respect to multilateral treaties which had been applied or extended to the former Nyasaland Protectorate, any Party to such a treaty could on the basis of reciprocity rely as against Malawi on the terms of that treaty until Malawi notified its depositary of what action it wished to take by way of confirmation of termination, confirmation of succession, or accession.

"I am to inform you as depositary of this Convention that the Government of Malawi now wishes to terminate any connection with this Convention which it might have inherited. The Government of Malawi considers that any legal relationship with the aforementioned Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, New York, 1954 which might have devolved upon it by way of succession from the ratification of the United Kingdom, is terminated as of this date."

17/ See accession by Uganda.

18/ See succession by Fiji.

## 4. CONVENTION ON THE REDUCTION OF STATELESSNESS

Concluded at New York on 30 August 1961

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 13 December 1975, in accordance with article 18.  
 REGISTRATION: 13 December 1975, No. 14458.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 989.

Note: The Convention was adopted and opened for signature by the United Nations Conference on the Elimination or Reduction of Future Statelessness, convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations pursuant to General Assembly resolution 896 (IX)<sup>1</sup> of 4 December 1954. The Conference met at the European Office of the United Nations at Geneva from 24 March to 18 April 1959 and reconvened at the Headquarters of the United Nations at New York from 15 to 28 August 1961.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Australia . . . . .		13 Dec 1973 <u>a</u>	Ireland . . . . .		18 Jan 1973 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .		22 Sep 1972 <u>a</u>	Israel . . . . .	30 Aug 1961	
Bolivie . . . . .		6 Oct 1983 <u>a</u>	Kiribati . . . . .		29 Nov 1983 <u>d</u>
Canada . . . . .		17 Jul 1978 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	30 Aug 1961	13 May 1985 <sup>3</sup>
Costa Rica . . . . .		2 Nov 1977 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .		17 Jun 1985 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .		11 Jul 1977 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		11 Aug 1971 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic	5 Dec 1961		Sweden . . . . .		19 Feb 1969 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	31 May 1962		United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Aug 1961	29 Mar 1966
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		31 Aug 1977 <u>a</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

## AUSTRIA

Declarations concerning article 8, paragraph 3 (a), (i) and (ii):

"Austria declares to retain the right to deprive a person of his nationality, if such person enters, on his own free will, the military service of a foreign State.

"Austria declares to retain the right to deprive a person of his nationality, if such person being in the service of a foreign State, conducts himself in a manner seriously prejudicial to the interests or to the prestige of the Republic of Austria."

## FRANCE

At the time of signature of this Convention, the Government of the French Republic declares that it reserves the right to exercise the power available to it under article 8 (3) on the terms laid down in that paragraph, when it deposits the instrument of ratification of the Convention.

The Government of the French Republic also declares, in accordance with article 17 of the Convention, that it makes a reservation in respect of article 11, and that article 11 will not apply so far as the French Republic is concerned.

The Government of the French Republic further declares, with respect to article 14 of the Convention, that in accordance with article 17 it

accepts the jurisdiction of the Court only in relation to States Parties to this Convention which shall also have accepted its jurisdiction subject to the same reservations; it also declares that article 14 will not apply when there exists between the French Republic and another party to this Convention an earlier treaty providing another method for the settlement of disputes between the two States.

## GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

The Federal Republic of Germany will apply the said Convention:

(a) in respect of elimination of statelessness, to persons who are stateless under the terms of article 1, paragraph 1, of the Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons of 28 September 1954;

(b) in respect of prevention of statelessness and retention of nationality, to German nationals within the meaning of the Basic Law (Constitution) for the Federal Republic of Germany.

## IRELAND

"In accordance with paragraph 3 of article 8 of the Convention Ireland retains the right to deprive a naturalised Irish citizen of his citizenship pursuant to section 19 (1) (b) of the Irish Nationality and Citizenship Act, 1956, on grounds specified in the aforesaid paragraph."

U.4: Statelessness

NIGER

Reservations:

With reservations in respect of articles 11, 14 and 15.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"On depositing this instrument I have the honour, on instructions from Her Majesty's Principal Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, to declare on behalf of the United Kingdom and in accordance with paragraph 3 (a) of Article 8 of the Convention that, notwithstanding the

provisions of paragraph 1 of Article 8, the United Kingdom retains the right to deprive a naturalised person of his nationality on the following grounds, being grounds existing in United Kingdom law at the present time: that, inconsistently with his duty of loyalty to Her Britannic Majesty, the person

(i) has, in disregard of an express prohibition of Her Britannic Majesty, rendered or continued to render services to, or received or continued to receive emoluments from, another State, or

(ii) has conducted himself in a manner seriously prejudicial to the vital interests of Her Britannic Majesty."

Territorial Application

Declarations made upon signature (s) or ratification under article 15 of the Convention

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
France . . . . .	31 May 1962 s	The Convention will apply to the Overseas Departments and the Overseas Territories of the French Republic
United Kingdom . . . . .	29 Mar 1966	(a) The Convention shall apply to the following non-metropolitan territories for the international relations of which the United Kingdom is responsible: Antigua, Bahamas, Barbados, Basutoland, Bechuanaland, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Cayman Islands, Channel Islands, Dominica, Falkland Islands, Fiji, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Grenada, Hong Kong, Isle of Man, Mauritius, Montserrat, St. Helena, St. Kitts, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Seychelles, Swaziland, Turks and Caicos Islands, Virgin Islands. (b) The Convention shall not apply to Aden and the Protectorate of South Arabia; Brunei; Southern Rhodesia; and Tonga, whose consent to the application of the Convention has been withheld

NOTES:

- 1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Ninth Session, Supplement No. 21 (A/2890), p. 49.
- 2/ In a communication accompanying the instrument of accession the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the said Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the day on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.
- 3/ For the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles.

## 5. PROTOCOL RELATING TO THE STATUS OF REFUGEES

Done at New York on 31 January 1967

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 4 October 1967, in accordance with article VIII.  
 REGISTRATION: 4 October 1967, No. 8791.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 606, p. 267.

Note: On the recommendation of the Executive Committee of the Programme of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, the High Commissioner submitted the draft of the above-mentioned Protocol to the General Assembly of the United Nations, through the Economic and Social Council, in the addendum to his report concerning measures to extend the personal scope of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees. The Economic and Social Council, in resolution 1186 (XLI)<sup>1</sup> of 18 November 1966, took note with approval of the draft Protocol and transmitted the said addendum to the General Assembly. The General Assembly, in resolution 2198 (XXI)<sup>2</sup> of 16 December 1966, took note of the Protocol and requested the Secretary-General "to transmit the text of the Protocol to the States mentioned in article V thereof, with a view to enabling them to accede to the Protocol."

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession, succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession, succession (d)</u>
Algeria . . . . .	8 Nov 1967	Jamaica . . . . .	30 Oct 1980
Angola . . . . .	23 Jun 1981	Japan . . . . .	1 Jan 1982
Argentina . . . . .	6 Dec 1967	Kenya . . . . .	13 Nov 1981
Australia <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	13 Dec 1973	Lesotho . . . . .	14 May 1981
Austria . . . . .	5 Sep 1973	Liberia . . . . .	27 Feb 1980
Belgium . . . . .	8 Apr 1969	Liechtenstein . . . . .	20 May 1968
Benin . . . . .	6 Jul 1970	Luxembourg . . . . .	22 Apr 1971
Bolivia . . . . .	9 Feb 1982	Mali . . . . .	2 Feb 1973
Botswana . . . . .	6 Jan 1969	Malta . . . . .	15 Sep 1971
Brazil . . . . .	7 Apr 1972	Morocco . . . . .	20 Apr 1971
Burkina Faso . . . . .	18 Jun 1980	Netherlands <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	29 Nov 1968
Burundi . . . . .	15 Mar 1971	New Zealand . . . . .	6 Aug 1973
Cameroon . . . . .	19 Sep 1967	Nicaragua . . . . .	28 Mar 1980
Canada . . . . .	4 Jun 1969	Niger . . . . .	2 Feb 1970
Central African Republic . . . . .	30 Aug 1967	Nigeria . . . . .	2 May 1968
Chad . . . . .	19 Aug 1981	Norway . . . . .	28 Nov 1967
Chile . . . . .	27 Apr 1972	Panama . . . . .	2 Aug 1978
China . . . . .	24 Sep 1982	Papua New Guinea . . . . .	17 Jul 1986
Colombia . . . . .	4 Mar 1980	Paraguay . . . . .	1 Apr 1970
Congo . . . . .	10 Jul 1970	Peru . . . . .	15 Sep 1983
Costa Rica . . . . .	28 Mar 1978	Philippines . . . . .	22 Jul 1981
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	16 Feb 1970	Portugal . . . . .	13 Jul 1976
Cyprus . . . . .	9 Jul 1968	Rwanda . . . . .	3 Jan 1980
Denmark . . . . .	29 Jan 1968	Sao Tome and Principe . . . . .	1 Feb 1978
Djibouti . . . . .	9 Aug 1977 d	Senegal . . . . .	3 Oct 1967
Dominican Republic . . . . .	4 Jan 1978	Seychelles . . . . .	23 Apr 1980
Ecuador . . . . .	6 Mar 1969	Sierra Leone . . . . .	22 May 1981
Egypt . . . . .	22 May 1981	Somalia . . . . .	10 Oct 1978
El Salvador . . . . .	28 Apr 1983	Spain . . . . .	14 Aug 1978
Equatorial Guinea . . . . .	7 Feb 1986	Sudan . . . . .	23 May 1974
Ethiopia . . . . .	10 Nov 1969	Suriname . . . . .	29 Nov 1978 d <sup>6</sup>
Fiji . . . . .	12 Jun 1972 d	Swaziland . . . . .	28 Jan 1969
Finland . . . . .	10 Oct 1968	Sweden . . . . .	4 Oct 1967
France . . . . .	3 Feb 1971	Switzerland . . . . .	20 May 1968
Gabon . . . . .	28 Aug 1973	Togo . . . . .	1 Dec 1969
Gambia . . . . .	29 Sep 1967	Tunisia . . . . .	16 Oct 1968
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	5 Nov 1969	Turkey . . . . .	31 Jul 1968
Ghana . . . . .	30 Oct 1968	Tuvalu . . . . .	7 Mar 1986 d
Greece . . . . .	7 Aug 1968	Uganda . . . . .	27 Sep 1976
Guatemala . . . . .	22 Sep 1983	United Kingdom . . . . .	4 Sep 1968
Guinea . . . . .	16 May 1968	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	4 Sep 1968
Guinea-Bissau . . . . .	11 Feb 1976	United States of America . . . . .	1 Nov 1968
Haiti . . . . .	25 Sep 1984	Uruguay . . . . .	22 Sep 1970
Holy See . . . . .	8 Jun 1967	Venezuela . . . . .	19 Sep 1986
Iceland . . . . .	26 Apr 1968	Yemen . . . . .	18 Jan 1980
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	28 Jul 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	15 Jan 1968
Ireland . . . . .	6 Nov 1968	Zaire . . . . .	13 Jan 1975
Israel . . . . .	14 Jun 1968	Zambia . . . . .	24 Sep 1969
Italy . . . . .	26 Jan 1972	Zimbabwe . . . . .	25 Aug 1981

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon accession or succession. For objections thereto and territorial applications, see hereinafter.)

ANGOLA

The Government of Angola, in accordance with article VII, paragraph 1, declares that it does not consider itself bound by article IV of the Protocol, concerning settlement of disputes relating to the interpretation of the Protocol.

BOTSWANA

"Subject to the reservation in respect of article IV of the said Protocol and in respect of the application in accordance with article I thereof of the provisions of articles 7, 17, 26, 31, 32 and 34 and paragraph 1 of article 12 of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, done at Geneva on 28 July 1951."

BURUNDI

In acceding to this Protocol, the Government of the Republic of Burundi enters the following reservations:

1. The provisions of article 22 are accepted, in respect of elementary education, only
  - (a) In so far as they apply to public education, and not to private education;
  - (b) On the understanding that the treatment applicable to refugees shall be the most favourable accorded to nationals of other States.
2. The provisions of article 17 (1) and (2) are accepted as mere recommendations and, in any event, shall not be interpreted as necessarily involving the régime accorded to nationals of countries with which the Republic of Burundi may have concluded regional, customs, economic or political agreements.
3. The provisions of article 26 are accepted only subject to the reservation that refugees:
  - (a) Do not choose their place of residence in a region bordering on their country of origin;
  - (b) Refrain, in any event, when exercising their right to move freely, from any activity or incursion of a subversive nature with respect to the country of which they are nationals.

CHILE

(1) With the reservation that, with reference to the provisions of article 34, the Government of Chile will be unable to grant to refugees facilities greater than those granted to aliens in general, in view of the liberal nature of Chilean naturalization laws;

(2) With the reservation that the period specified in article 17, paragraph 2(a) shall, in the case of Chile, be extended from three to ten years;

(3) With the reservation that article 17, paragraph 2 (c) shall apply only if the refugee is the widow or the widower of a Chilean spouse;

(4) With the reservation that the Government of Chile cannot grant a longer period for compli-

ance with an expulsion order than that granted to other aliens in general under Chilean law.

CHINA

With a reservation in respect of article 4.

CONGO

The Protocol is accepted with the exception of article IV

EL SALVADOR

With the reservation that the Government of El Salvador will not apply article 4 of the Protocol.

ETHIOPIA

Subject to the following reservation in respect of the application, under article I of the Protocol, of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, done at Geneva on 28 July 1951:

"The provisions of articles 8, 9, 17 (2) and 22 (1) of the Convention are recognized only as recommendations and not as legally binding obligations."

FINLAND

Subject to the reservations made in relation to the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, in accordance with article I of the Protocol

GHANA

"The Government of Ghana does not consider itself bound by article IV of the Protocol regarding the settlement of disputes."

GUATEMALA

[See chapter U.2]

ISRAEL

"The Government of Israel accedes to the Protocol subject to the same statements and reservations made at the time of ratifying the Convention [relating to the Status of Refugees, done at Geneva on 28 July 1951], in accordance with the provisions of article VII (2) of the Protocol "

JAMAICA

1. "The Government of Jamaica understands articles 8 and 9 of the Convention as not preventing it from taking, in time of war or other grave and exceptional circumstances, measures in the interest of national security in the case of a refugee on the ground of his nationality."

2. "The Government of Jamaica can only undertake that the provisions of paragraph 2 of article 17 of the Convention will be applied so far as the law of Jamaica allows."

3. "The Government of Jamaica can only undertake that the provisions of article 24 of the Convention will be applied so far as the law of Jamaica allows."

4. "The Government of Jamaica can only undertake that the provisions of paragraphs 1, 2, and 3 of article 25 of the Convention will be applied so far as the law of Jamaica allows."

5. "The Government of Jamaica does not accept the obligation imposed by article IV of the Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees with regard to the settlement of disputes."

LUXEMBOURG

[See under chapter U.2]

MALTA

In accordance with article VII (2), the reservations to the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees of 28 July 1951 by the Government of Malta on deposit of its instrument of accession on 17 June 1971, pursuant to article 42 of the said Convention, are applicable in relation to its obligations under the present Protocol.

NETHERLANDS<sup>6</sup>

"In accordance with article VII of the Protocol, all reservations made by the Kingdom of the Netherlands upon signature and ratification of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, which was signed in Geneva on 28 July 1951, are regarded to apply to the obligations resulting from the Protocol."

PERU

Declaration:

[The Government of Peru] hereby expressly declares, with reference to the provisions of article I, paragraph 1, and article II of the aforementioned Protocol, that compliance with the obligations undertaken by virtue of the act of accession to that instrument shall be ensured by the Peruvian State using all the means at its disposal, and the Government of Peru shall endeavour in all cases to co-operate as far as possible with the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees.

PORTUGAL

"1. The Protocol will be applied without any geographical limitation.

"2. In all cases in which the Protocol confers upon the refugees the most favoured person status granted to nationals of a foreign country, this clause will not be interpreted in such a way as to mean the status granted by Portugal to the

nationals of Brazil or to the nationals of other countries with whom Portugal may establish commonwealth type relations."

RWANDA

Reservation to article IV:

For the settlement of any dispute between States Parties, recourse may be had to the International Court of Justice only with the prior agreement of the Rwandese Republic.

SOMALIA

[See chapter U.2.]

SWAZILAND

Reservations:

Subject to the following reservations in respect of the application of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, done at Geneva on 28 July 1951, under article I of the Protocol:

"(1) The Government of the Kingdom of Swaziland is not in a position to assume obligations as contained in article 22 of the said Convention, and therefore will not consider itself bound by the provisions therein;

"(2) Similarly, the Government of the Kingdom of Swaziland is not in a position to assume the obligations of article 34 of the said Convention, and must expressly reserve the right not to apply the provisions therein."

Declaration:

"The Government of the Kingdom of Swaziland deems it essential to draw attention to the accession as a Member of the United Nations, and not as a Party to the [Convention relating to the Status of Refugees] by reason of succession or otherwise."

TURKEY

The instrument of accession stipulates that the Government of Turkey maintains the provisions of the declaration made under section B of article 1 of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, done at Geneva on 28 July 1951, according to which it applies the Convention only to persons who have become refugees as a result of events occurring in Europe, and also the reservation clause made upon ratification of the Convention to the effect that no provision of this Convention may be interpreted as granting to refugees greater rights than those accorded to Turkish citizens in Turkey.

UGANDA

[See chapter U 2]

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"(a) In accordance with the provisions of the first sentence of Article VII.4 of the Protocol, the United Kingdom hereby excludes from the application of the Protocol the following territories for the international relations of which

it is responsible: Jersey, Southern Rhodesia, Swaziland.

"(b) In accordance with the provisions of the second sentence of Article VII.4 of the said Protocol, the United Kingdom hereby extends the application of the Protocol to the following territories for the international relations of which it is responsible: St. Lucia, Montserrat."

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

"... Subject to the reservation, hereby made, that the provisions of Article IV of the Protocol shall not be applicable to the United Republic of Tanzania except within the explicit consent of the Government of the United Republic of Tanzania."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

With the following reservations in respect of the application, in accordance with article I of the Protocol, of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, done at New York on 28 July 1951:

"The United States of America construes Article 29 of the Convention as applying only to refugees who are resident in the United States and reserves the right to tax refugees who are not residents of the United States in accordance with its general rules relating to non-resident aliens.

"The United States of America accepts the obligation of paragraph 1 (b) of Article 24 of the Convention except insofar as that paragraph may conflict in certain instances with any provisions of title II (old age, survivors' and disability insurance) or title XVIII (hospital and medical insurance for the aged) of the Social Security Act. As to any such provision, the United States will accord to refugees lawfully staying in its territory treatment no less favorable than is accorded aliens generally in the same circumstances."

VENEZUELA

In implementing the provisions of the Protocol which confer on refugees the most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country, it shall be understood that such treatment does not include any rights and benefits which Venezuela has granted or may grant regarding entry into or sojourn in Venezuela territory to nationals of countries with which Venezuela has concluded regional or subregional integration, customs, economic or political agreements.

The instrument of accession also contains a reservation in respect of article IV.

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon accession or succession.)

BELGIUM

[See chapter V.2]

ETHIOPIA

[See chapter V.2.1]

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

[See chapter V.2]

FRANCE

[See chapter V.2]

ITALY

[See chapter V.2]

LUXEMBOURG

[See chapter V.2]

NETHERLANDS

[See chapter V.2]

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Netherlands . . . . .	29 Jul 1971	Surinam
United Kingdom . . . . .	20 Apr 1970	Bahama Islands <sup>7</sup>

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Forty-first Session, Supplement No. 1A (E/4264/Add.1), p. 1.

2/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-first Session, Supplement No. 16 (A/6316), p. 48.

3/ With the following declaration: "The Government of Australia will not extend the provisions of the Protocol to Papua/New Guinea."

4/ In a note accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Protocol "shall also apply to Land Berlin with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bulgaria and Mongolia. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to

the corresponding ones referred to in note 2 in chapter III.3.

5/ The Kingdom of the Netherlands accedes to the said Protocol so far as the territory of the Kingdom situated in Europe is concerned; ~~and, as from 1 January 1986, to Aruba.~~

6/ See note 24 in chapter U.2.

7/ Subject to the reservation which was formulated on behalf of the Bahama Islands in respect of the Convention relating to the Status of Refugees.





CHAPTER VI. NARCOTIC DRUGS AND PSYCHOTROPIC SUBSTANCES

1. PROTOCOL AMENDING THE AGREEMENTS, CONVENTIONS AND PROTOCOLS ON NARCOTIC DRUGS, CONCLUDED AT THE HAGUE ON 23 JANUARY 1912, AT GENEVA ON 11 FEBRUARY 1925 AND 19 FEBRUARY 1925 AND 13 JULY 1931, AT BANGKOK ON 27 NOVEMBER 1931 AND AT GENEVA ON 26 JUNE 1936

Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 11 December 1946, in accordance with paragraph 1 of article VII.  
 REGISTRATION: 3 February 1948, No. 186.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 12, p. 179.

Note: The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 54 (I) of 19 November 1946.

The amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol came into force on the dates indicated in respect of the Agreements and Conventions listed below as follows in accordance with paragraph 2 of article VII of the Protocol:

Agreement concerning the Suppression of the Manufacture of, Internal Trade in, and Use of, Prepared Opium (with Protocol), signed at Geneva on 11 February 1925 . . . . . 27 Oct 1947  
 International Opium Convention (with Protocol) signed at Geneva on 19 February 1925 . . . . . 3 Feb 1948  
 Convention for Limiting the Manufacture and Regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs (with Protocol of Signature) signed at Geneva on 13 July 1931 . . . . . 21 Nov 1947  
 Agreement concerning the Suppression of Opium Smoking, signed at Bangkok on 27 November 1931 . . . . . 27 Oct 1947  
 Convention for the Suppression of the Illicit Traffic in Dangerous Drugs, signed at Geneva on 26 June 1936 . . . . . 10 Oct 1947

Signatures and acceptances of the Protocol of 11 December 1946

Participant	Signature	Definitive signature(s), acceptance, succession (d)	Participant	Signature	Definitive signature(s), acceptance, succession (d)
Afghanistan . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>	Greece <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	21 Feb 1949
Albania . . . . .		23 Jun 1947	Guatemala <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	13 Dec 1946	
Argentina . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>	Haiti . . . . .	14 Dec 1946	31 May 1951
Australia . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	28 Aug 1947	Honduras . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>
Austria . . . . .		17 May 1950	Hungary . . . . .		16 Dec 1955
Bahamas . . . . .		13 Aug 1975 <u>d</u>	India . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>
Belgium . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>	Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>
Bolivia . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>	Iraq <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	12 Dec 1946	14 Sep 1950
Brazil . . . . .		17 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>	Ireland . . . . .		18 Feb 1948
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>	Italy . . . . .		25 Mar 1948 <u>s</u>
Canada . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>	Japan . . . . .		27 Mar 1952
Chile . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>	Lebanon . . . . .		13 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>
China <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>	Liberia . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>
Colombia . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>	Liechtenstein <sup>6</sup> . . . . .		25 Sep 1947
Costa Rica <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Luxembourg <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	13 Oct 1949
Cuba . . . . .	12 Dec 1946		Mexico . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>	Monaco . . . . .		21 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>
Denmark <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	15 Jun 1949	Netherlands <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	10 Mar 1948
Dominican Republic . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>	New Zealand . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	14 Dec 1946	8 Jun 1951	Nicaragua . . . . .	13 Dec 1946	24 Apr 1950
Egypt <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	13 Sep 1948	Norway <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	2 Jul 1947
Fiji . . . . .		1 Nov 1971 <u>d</u>	Panama . . . . .		15 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>
Finland . . . . .		3 Feb 1948	Papua New Guinea . . . . .		28 Oct 1980 <u>d</u>
France <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	10 Oct 1947	Paraguay . . . . .	14 Dec 1946	
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>5</sup> . . . . .		12 Aug 1959	Peru . . . . .	26 Nov 1948	
			Philippines <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	25 May 1950

VI.1: Narcotic drugs--1946 Protocol

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature subject to approval</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), acceptance, succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature subject to approval</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), acceptance, succession (d)</u>
Poland . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>	Ukrainian SSR . .	11 Dec 1946	8 Jan 1948
Romania . . . . .		11 Oct 1961	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . .	11 Dec 1946	25 Oct 1947
Saudi Arabia . . .	15 Dec 1946	11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>	United Kingdom . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>
South Africa <sup>4</sup> . . .		24 Feb 1948	United States of America . .	11 Dec 1946	12 Aug 1947
Spain . . . . .		26 Sep 1955 <u>s</u>	Uruguay . . . . .	14 Dec 1946	
Sweden . . . . .		17 Oct 1947 <u>s</u>	Venezuela . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	
Switzerland <sup>6</sup> . . .		25 Sep 1947	Yugoslavia <sup>4</sup> . . .	11 Dec 1946	19 May 1948
Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>			
Thailand . . . . .		27 Oct 1947 <u>s</u>			
Turkey . . . . .		11 Dec 1946 <u>s</u>			

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Second Part of the First Session, Resolutions (A/64/Add.1), p. 81.

2/ The Protocol does not contain any formal amendment in respect of the Convention of 23 January 1912. However, its article III provides as follows:

"The functions conferred upon the Netherlands Government under articles 21 and 25 of the International Opium Convention signed at The Hague on 23 January 1912, and entrusted to the Secretary-General of the League of Nations with the consent of the Netherlands Government, by a resolution of the League of Nations Assembly dated 15 December 1920, shall henceforward be exercised by the Secretary-General of the United Nations."

The Convention of 23 January 1912 (which, consequently, was amended in effect by the Protocol of 11 December 1946) has been included in the present chapter.

3/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

4/ The signature was affixed without reserva-

tion as to approval, but the full powers provided for signature subject to this reservation.

5/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 22 January 1960, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Protocol "also applies to Land Berlin as from 12 August 1959, i.e., the day on which the Protocol entered into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones reproduced in note 2, chapter III.3.

6/ The instrument of acceptance of the Protocol by the Government of the Swiss Confederation stipulates that the declaration of acceptance is also valid for the Principality of Liechtenstein.

2. INTERNATIONAL OPIUM CONVENTION

The Hague, January 23rd, 1912<sup>1</sup>

Observation:<sup>2</sup> This Convention, although not concluded under the auspices of the League of Nations, served as a starting-point for the system devised by the League of Nations and has, in a sense, been incorporated in that system.

Schedule<sup>3</sup> containing the signatures of the Convention, the signatures of the Protocol of Signature of the Powers not represented at the First Opium Conference, provided for in the penultimate paragraph of Article 22 of the Convention, the ratifications of the Convention, and the signatures of the Protocol respecting the putting into force<sup>4</sup> of the Convention provided under "B" of the Final Protocol of the Third International Opium Conference.

(The ratifications and signatures in accordance with Article 295 of the Peace Treaty of Versailles or in accordance with a similar article of other treaties of peace are marked \*.)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signatures of the Convention</u>	<u>Signatures of the Protocol of the Powers not represented at the Opium Conference</u>	<u>Ratifications of the Convention and accessions</u>	<u>Signatures of the Protocol relative to the bringing into force of the Convention (dates of the entry into force)</u>
Afghanistan . . . .			May 5, 1944	
Albania . . . . .		Feb 3, 1925	Feb 3, 1925	Feb 3, 1925
United States of America . . . .	Jan 23, 1912		Dec 15, 1913	Feb 11, 1915
Saudi Arabia (a).			Feb 19, 1943	
Argentine Republic		Oct 17, 1912	Apr 23, 1946	
Austria . . . . .			Jul 16, 1920*	Jul 16, 1920*
Belgium <sup>5</sup>		Jun 18, 1912	Jun 16, 1914	May 14, 1919
<u>Belgian Congo and Mandated Territory of Ruanda-Urundi (a)</u>			Jul 29, 1942	
Bolivia . . . . .		Jun 4, 1913	Jan 10, 1920*	Jan 10, 1920*
Brazil . . . . .		Oct 16, 1912	Dec 23, 1914	Jan 10, 1920*
Great Britain <sup>6</sup> . . . .	Jan 23, 1912		Jul 15, 1914	Jan 10, 1920*
<u>Burma</u> <sup>7</sup>				
Bulgaria . . . . .		Mar 2, 1914	Aug 9, 1920*	Aug 9, 1920*
Chile . . . . .		Jul 2, 1913	Jan 16, 1923	May 18, 1923
China <sup>8</sup> . . . . .	Jan 23, 1912		Feb 9, 1914	Feb 11, 1915
Colombia <sup>9</sup> . . . . .		Jan 15, 1913	Jun 26, 1924	Jun 30, 1924
Costa Rica . . . . .		Apr 25, 1912	Aug 1, 1924	Jul 29, 1925
Cuba . . . . .		May 8, 1913	Mar 8, 1920*	Mar 8, 1920*
Czechoslovakia . . . .			Jan 10, 1920*	Jan 10, 1920*
Denmark <sup>10</sup> . . . . .		Dec 17, 1912	Jul 10, 1913	Oct 21, 1921
Dominican Republic		Nov 12, 1912	Jun 7, 1923	Apr 14, 1931
Ecuador . . . . .		Jul 2, 1912	Feb 25, 1915	Aug 23, 1923
Egypt(a) . . . . .			Jun 5, 1942	
Estonia . . . . .		Jan 9, 1923	Apr 20, 1923	Jan 21, 1931
Finland . . . . .		Apr 24, 1922	May 16, 1922	Dec 1, 1922
France <sup>11</sup> . . . . .	Jan 23, 1912		Jan 10, 1920*	Jan 10, 1920*
Germany . . . . .	Jan 23, 1912		Jan 10, 1920*	Jan 10, 1920*
Greece . . . . .			Mar 30, 1920*	Mar 30, 1920*
Guatemala . . . . .		Jun 17, 1912	Aug 27, 1913	Jan 10, 1920*
Haiti . . . . .		Aug 21, 1912	Jun 30, 1920*	Jun 30, 1920*
Honduras . . . . .		Jul 5, 1912	Aug 29, 1913	Apr 3, 1915
Hungary . . . . .			Jul 26, 1921*	Jul 26, 1921*
Iran (Islamic Republic of) <sup>12</sup>	Jan 23, 1912			
Italy . . . . .	Jan 23, 1912		Jun 28, 1914	Jan 10, 1920*
Japan . . . . .	Jan 23, 1912		Jan 10, 1920*	Jan 10, 1920*
Latvia . . . . .		Feb 6, 1922	Mar 25, 1924	Jan 18, 1932
Liberia . . . . .			Jun 30, 1920*	Jun 30, 1920*
Liechtenstein <sup>13</sup> . . . .				
Lithuania . . . . .		Apr 7, 1922		
Luxembourg . . . . .		Jun 18, 1912	Aug 21, 1922	Aug 21, 1922
Mexico . . . . .		May 15, 1912	Apr 2, 1925	May 8, 1925

VI.2: Narcotic drugs--1912 Convention

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signatures of the Convention</u>	<u>Signatures of the Protocol of the Powers not represented at the Opium Conference</u>	<u>Ratifications of the Convention and accessions</u>	<u>Signatures of the Protocol relative to the bringing into force of the Convention (dates of the entry into force)</u>
Monaco . . . . .		May 1, 1923	Feb 20, 1925	May 26, 1925
The Netherlands . . . . .	Jan 23, 1912		Jul 28, 1914	Feb 11, 1915
Nicaragua . . . . .		Jul 18, 1913	Nov 10, 1914	Nov 3, 1920
Norway . . . . .		Sep 2, 1913	Nov 12, 1914	Sep 20, 1915
Panama . . . . .		Jun 19, 1912	Nov 25, 1920*	Nov 25, 1920*
Paraguay(a) . . . . .		Dec 14, 1912	Mar 17, 1943	
Peru . . . . .		Jul 24, 1913	Jan 10, 1920*	Jan 10, 1920*
Poland . . . . .			Jan 10, 1920*	Jan 10, 1920*
Portugal . . . . .	Jan 23, 1912		Dec 15, 1913	Apr 8, 1920*
Romania . . . . .		Dec 27, 1913	Sep 14, 1920*	Sep 14, 1920*
Russia . . . . .	Jan 23, 1912			
Salvador . . . . .		Jul 30, 1912	Sep 19, 1922	May 29, 1931
Spain . . . . .		Oct 23, 1912	Jan 25, 1919	Feb 11, 1921
Sweden <sup>14</sup> . . . . .		Aug 27, 1913	Apr 17, 1914	Jan 13, 1921
Switzerland <sup>15</sup> . . . . .		Dec 29, 1913	Jan 15, 1925	Jan 15, 1925
Thailand <sup>16</sup> . . . . .	Jan 23, 1912		Jul 10, 1913	Jan 10, 1920*
Turkey . . . . .	Sep 15, 1933		Sep 15, 1933	Sep 15, 1933
Uruguay . . . . .		Mar 9, 1914	Apr 3, 1916	Jan 10, 1920*
Venezuela . . . . .		Sep 10, 1912	Oct 28, 1913	Jul 12, 1927
Yugoslavia . . . . .			Feb 10, 1920*	Feb 10, 1920*

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession(a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession(a), succession (d)</u>
Bahamas . . . . .	13 Aug 1975 <u>d</u>	Malawi . . . . .	22 Jul 1965 <u>d</u>
Cameroon . . . . .	20 Nov 1961 <u>d</u>	Malaysia . . . . .	21 Aug 1958 <u>d</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .	4 Sep 1962 <u>d</u>	Malta . . . . .	3 Jan 1966 <u>d</u>
Congo . . . . .	15 Oct 1962 <u>d</u>	Mauritius . . . . .	18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	8 Dec 1961 <u>d</u>	Niger . . . . .	25 Aug 1961 <u>d</u>
Cyprus . . . . .	16 May 1963 <u>d</u>	Nigeria . . . . .	26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	3 Oct 1951 <u>d</u> <sup>17</sup>	Papua New Guinea . . . . .	28 Oct 1980 <u>d</u>
Ethiopia . . . . .	28 Dec 1948 <u>a</u>	Philippines . . . . .	30 Sep 1959 <u>d</u>
Fiji . . . . .	1 Nov 1971 <u>d</u>	[Republic of South Viet-Nam] . . . . .	11 Aug 1950 <u>d</u> <sup>17</sup>
German Democratic Republic <sup>18</sup> . . . . .		Rwanda . . . . .	5 May 1964 <u>d</u>
Ghana . . . . .	3 Apr 1958 <u>d</u>	Senegal . . . . .	2 May 1963 <u>d</u>
Indonesia . . . . .	29 May 1958 <u>a</u>	Sierra Leone . . . . .	13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Israel . . . . .	12 May 1952 <u>a</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .	4 Dec 1957 <u>d</u>
Jamaica . . . . .	26 Dec 1963 <u>d</u>	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	20 Jan 1954 <u>d</u>
Jordan . . . . .	12 May 1958 <u>a</u>	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	7 Oct 1950 <u>d</u> <sup>17</sup>	Zaire . . . . .	31 May 1962 <u>d</u>
Lebanon . . . . .	24 May 1954 <u>d</u>	Zambia . . . . .	9 Apr 1973 <u>d</u>
Lesotho . . . . .	4 Nov 1974 <u>d</u>		

NOTES:

1/ Registered No 222 See League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 8, p. 187.

2/ See note 2 in chapter VI.1.

3/ This Schedule which appeared in the Annexes to the Supplementary Report on the Work of the League is reproduced here for purposes of information.

4/ The Convention came into force initially on 11 February 1915, in accordance with the pro-

visions of the Protocol respecting the putting into force of the Convention.

5/ Subject to adherence or denunciation as regards the Belgian Congo

6/ Subject to the following declaration:  
The articles of the present Convention, if ratified by His Britannic Majesty's Government, shall apply to the Government of British India, Ceylon, the Straits Settlements, Hong-Kong, and Wei-Hai-Wei in every respect in the same way as

they shall apply to the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland: but His Britannic Majesty's Government reserve the right of signing or denouncing separately the said Convention in the name of any Dominion, Colony, Dependency, or Protectorate of His Majesty other than those which have been specified.

In virtue of the above-mentioned reservation, Great Britain signed the Convention for the following Dominions, Colonies, Dependencies, and Protectorates:

On December 17th, 1912, for Canada, Newfoundland, New Zealand, Brunei, Cyprus, the East Africa Protectorate, Falkland Islands, Malay Protectorates, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gold Coast, Jamaica, Johore, Kedah, Kelantan Perlis, Trengganu, Malta, Northern Nigeria, Northern Borneo, Nyasaland, St. Helena, Sarawak, Seychelles, Somaliland, Southern Nigeria, Trinidad, Uganda; on February 27th, 1913, for the Colony of Fiji; on April 22nd, 1913, for the Colony of Sierra Leone, the Gilbert and Ellice Islands Protectorate and the Solomon Islands Protectorate; on June 25th, 1913, for the Government of the Commonwealth of Australia; on November 14th, 1913, for the Commonwealth of Australia; on November 14th, 1913, for the Bahama Islands and for the three Colonies of the Windward Islands, that is to say, Grenada, St. Lucia and St. Vincent; on January 30th, 1914, for the Leeward Islands; on February 11th, 1914, for British Guiana as well as for British Honduras; on March 28th, 1914, for the Government of the Union of South Africa; on March 28th, 1914, for Zanzibar, Southern and Northern Rhodesia, Basutoland, the Bechuanaland Protectorate and Swaziland; on April 4th, 1914, for the Colony of Barbados; on April 8th, 1914, for Mauritius and its dependencies; on July 11th, 1914, for the Bermuda Islands; on August 21st, 1924, for Palestine and together with France for the New Hebrides; on October 20th, 1914, for Iraq.

7/ See note 3 in part II. 2 in the League of Nations Treaties.

8/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1)

9/ Subject to approval of the Colombian Parliament.

10/ The signature of the Protocol of Signature of the Powers not represented at the Conference as well as its ratification were given by Denmark for Iceland and the Danish Antilles: the signature of the Protocol respecting the putting into force of the Convention was given by Denmark and Iceland

11/ With the reservation that a separate and special ratification or denunciation may subsequently be obtained for the French Protectorates. France and Great Britain signed the Convention for the New Hebrides, August 21st, 1924.

12/ With the reservation of articles 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 (Iran having no treaty with China) and paragraph (a) of article 3.

13/ The Netherlands Minister for Foreign Affairs, by a letter dated October 14th, 1936, transmitted to the Secretariat, at the request of the Swiss Legation at The Hague, the following declaration:

"Under the terms of the arrangements concluded between the Government of the Principality of Liechtenstein and the Swiss Government in 1929 and 1935, in application of the Customs Union Treaty concluded between these two countries on March 29th, 1923, the Swiss legislation on narcotic drugs, including all the measures taken by the Federal authorities to give effect to the different international Conventions on dangerous drugs, will be applicable to the territory of the Principality in the same way as to the territory of the Confederation, as long as the said Treaty remains in force. The Principality of Liechtenstein will accordingly participate, so long as the said Treaty remains in force, in the international Conventions which have been or may hereafter be concluded in the matter of narcotic drugs, it being neither necessary nor advisable for that country to accede to them separately "

14/ Subject to the following declaration:

"Opium not being manufactured in Sweden, the Swedish Government will for the moment confine themselves to prohibiting the importation of prepared opium, but they declare at the same time that they are ready to take the measures indicated in Article 8 of the Convention if experience proves their expediency."

15/ Subject to ratification and with the declaration that the Swiss Government will be unable to issue the necessary legal enactments within the terms fixed by the Convention.

16/ With the reservation of articles 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 (Thailand having no treaty with China)

17/ Procedure effected in the form of a joint notification by the State of Viet-Nam and the Government of France, whereby notice was given of the transfer of duties and obligations arising from the application of the Convention. See also note 4 in chapter III.6.

18/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as from 16 December 1957.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 16 March 1976 the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 7 February 1974 concerning the application, as from 16 December 1957, of the International Opium Convention of 23 January 1912, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relations between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic this declaration has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

## VI.2: Narcotic drugs--1912 Convention

---

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under

international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the International Opium Convention, January 23rd 1912 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

3. AGREEMENT CONCERNING THE SUPPRESSION OF THE MANUFACTURE OF, INTERNAL TRADE IN, AND USE OF, PREPARED OPIUM

Signed at Geneva on 11 February 1925, and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 27 October 1947, the date on which the amendments to the Agreement, as set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 11 December 1946 entered into force, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article VII of the Protocol.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, notification (d) in respect of the Agreement as amended</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, notification (d) in respect of the Agreement as amended</u>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	3 Oct 1951 <u>d</u> <sup>1</sup>	Netherlands . . . . .	10 Mar 1948
India . . . . .	11 Oct 1946	[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	11 Aug 1950 <u>d</u> <sup>1</sup>
Japan . . . . .	27 Mar 1952	Thailand . . . . .	27 Oct 1947
France . . . . .	10 Oct 1947	United Kingdom . . . . .	11 Dec 1946
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	7 Oct 1950 <u>d</u> <sup>1</sup>		

NOTES:

- 1/ Under the procedure described in note 17 in chapter VI.2.
- 2/ See note 4 in Chapter III.6.



4. AGREEMENT CONCERNING THE SUPPRESSION OF THE MANUFACTURE OF, INTERNAL TRADE IN, AND USE OF, PREPARED OPIUM

Geneva, February 11th, 1925<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since July 28th, 1926 (article 14).

---

Ratifications

BRITISH EMPIRE (February 17th, 1926)  
The signature of this Protocol is subject, in respect of British Protectorates, to the conditions contained in Article XIII of the Agreement.  
Burma<sup>2</sup>

INDIA (February 17th, 1926)  
FRANCE (April 29th, 1926)  
JAPAN (October 10th, 1928)  
THE NETHERLANDS (including the Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao) (March 1st, 1927)  
PORTUGAL (September 13th, 1926)  
While accepting the principle of a monopoly as formulated in Article I, does so, as regards the moment at which the measures provided for in the first paragraph thereof shall come into force, subject to the limitation contained in the second paragraph of the article.  
The Portuguese Government, being bound by a contract consistent with the provisions of The Hague Convention of 1912, will not be able to put into operation the provisions of paragraph I of Article VI of the present Agreement so long as its obligations under this contract are in force.

THAILAND (May 6th, 1927)  
Under reservation of Article I, paragraph 3 (a), with regard to the time when this provision shall come into force, and of Article V. The reason for these reservations had been stated by the First Delegate of Thailand on November 14th, 1924. The Thai Government is hoping to put into force the system of registration and rationing within the period of three years. After that date, the reservation in regard to Article I, paragraph 3 (a), will fall to the ground.

---

NOTES:

- 1/ Registered under No. 1239. See League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 51, p. 337.
- 2/ See note 3 in part II.2 in the League of Nations Treaties.

5. INTERNATIONAL OPIUM CONVENTION

Signed at Geneva on 19 February 1925 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 3 February 1948, the date on which the amendments to the Convention, as set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 11 December 1946, entered into force, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article VII of the Protocol.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, or succession to the Convention and the said Protocol</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d) to the Convention as amended</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, or succession to the Convention and the said Protocol</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d) to the Convention as amended</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .		29 Jan 1957 <u>a</u>	Jordan . . . . .		7 May 1958 <u>a</u>
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963 <u>a</u>	Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		7 Oct 1950 <u>d</u> <sup>1</sup>
Argentina . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Lebanon . . . . .	13 Dec 1946	
Australia . . . . .	28 Aug 1947		Lesotho . . . . .		4 Nov 1974 <u>d</u>
Austria . . . . .	17 May 1950		Liechtenstein <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	25 Sep 1947	
Bahamas . . . . .	13 Aug 1975		Luxembourg . . . . .	13 Oct 1949	
Belgium . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Malawi . . . . .		22 Jul 1965 <u>d</u>
Benin . . . . .		5 Dec 1961 <u>d</u>	Malaysia . . . . .		21 Aug 1958 <u>d</u>
Bolivia . . . . .	14 Dec 1946		Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Brazil . . . . .	17 Dec 1946		Monaco . . . . .	21 Nov 1947	
Burkina Faso . . . . .		26 Apr 1963 <u>a</u>	Morocco . . . . .		7 Nov 1956 <u>d</u>
Cameroon . . . . .		20 Nov 1961 <u>d</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	10 Mar 1948	
Canada . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		New Zealand . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	
Central African Republic . . . . .		4 Sep 1962 <u>d</u>	Niger . . . . .		25 Aug 1961 <u>d</u>
Chile . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Colombia . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Norway . . . . .	2 Jul 1947	
Congo . . . . .		15 Oct 1962 <u>d</u>	Papua New Guinea . . . . .		28 Oct 1980 <u>d</u>
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		8 Dec 1961 <u>d</u>	Poland . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		11 Aug 1950 <u>d</u> <sup>1</sup>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		3 Oct 1951 <u>d</u> <sup>1</sup>	Romania . . . . .	11 Oct 1961	
Denmark . . . . .	15 Jun 1949		Rwanda . . . . .		5 Aug 1964 <u>d</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Senegal . . . . .		2 May 1963 <u>d</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	8 Jun 1951		Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Egypt . . . . .	13 Sep 1948		South Africa . . . . .	24 Feb 1948	
Ethiopia . . . . .		9 Sep 1947 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .	26 Sep 1955	
Fiji . . . . .	1 Nov 1971		Sri Lanka . . . . .		4 Dec 1957 <u>d</u>
Finland . . . . .	3 Feb 1948		Sweden . . . . .	17 Oct 1947	
France . . . . .	10 Oct 1947		Switzerland <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	25 Sep 1947	
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	12 Aug 1959		Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	
Ghana . . . . .		7 Apr 1958 <u>d</u>	Thailand . . . . .	27 Oct 1947	
Greece . . . . .	21 Feb 1949		Togo . . . . .		27 Feb 1962 <u>d</u>
Haiti . . . . .	31 May 1951		Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Honduras . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Turkey . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	
Hungary . . . . .	16 Dec 1955		Uganda . . . . .		20 Oct 1965 <u>a</u>
India . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	25 Oct 1947	
Indonesia . . . . .		3 Apr 1958 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	
Iraq . . . . .	14 Sep 1950		Yugoslavia . . . . .	19 May 1948	
Ireland . . . . .	18 Feb 1948		Zaire . . . . .		31 May 1962 <u>d</u>
Israel . . . . .		16 May 1952 <u>a</u>	Zambia . . . . .		9 Apr 1973 <u>d</u>
Italy . . . . .	25 Mar 1948				
Jamaica . . . . .		26 Dec 1963 <u>d</u>			
Japan . . . . .	27 Mar 1952				

NOTES:

- 1/ Under the procedure described in note 17 in chapter VI.2.
- 2/ With a declaration of application to the Principality of Liechtenstein.
- 3/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

6. (a) INTERNATIONAL OPIUM CONVENTION

Geneva, February 19th, 1925<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since September 25th, 1928 (article 36).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Argentina (Apr 18th, 1946)  
 Austria (Nov 25th, 1927)  
 Belgium (Aug 24th, 1927)  
 Does not apply to the Belgian Congo or to the territory of Ruanda-Urundi under Belgian mandate.  
Belgian Congo and Mandated Territory of Ruanda-Urundi (Dec 17th, 1941 a)  
 Bolivia (Apr 15th, 1932 a)  
 1. Bolivia does not undertake to restrict the home cultivation or production of coca, or to prohibit the use of coca leaves by the native population.  
 2. The exportation of coca leaves shall be subject to control by the Bolivian Government, by means of export certificates.  
 3. The Bolivian Government designates the following as places from which coca may be exported: Villazon, Yacuiba, Antofagasta, Arica and Mollendo.  
 Brazil (Jun 10th, 1932)  
 British Empire (Feb 17th, 1926)  
 His Britannic Majesty's ratification shall not be deemed to apply in the case of the Dominion of Canada or the Irish Free State and, in pursuance of the power reserved in Article 39 of the Convention, the instrument shall not be deemed to apply in the case of the Colony of the Bahamas or the State of Sarawak under His Britannic Majesty's protection.  
State of Sarawak (Mar 11th, 1926 a)  
Bahamas (Oct 22nd, 1926 a)  
Burma<sup>2</sup>  
 Canada (Jun 27th, 1928)  
 Australia (Feb 17th, 1926)  
 New Zealand (Feb 17th, 1926)  
 Including the mandated territory of Western Samoa.  
 Union of South Africa (Feb 17th, 1926)  
 Ireland (Sep 1st, 1931)  
 India (Feb 17th, 1926)  
 Iraq (Aug 8th, 1931 a)  
 Bulgaria (Mar 9th, 1927)  
 Chile (Apr 11th, 1933)  
 Colombia (Dec 3rd, 1930 a)  
 Costa Rica (Jan 8th, 1935 a)  
 Cuba (Jul 6th, 1931)  
 Czechoslovakia (Apr 11th, 1927)  
 Denmark (Apr 23rd, 1930)  
 Dominican Republic (Jul 19th, 1928 a)  
 Ecuador (Oct 23rd, 1934 a)  
 Egypt (Mar 16th, 1926 a)  
 Estonia (Aug 30th, 1930 a)  
 Finland (Dec 5th, 1927 a)  
 France (Jul 2nd, 1927)  
 The French Government is compelled to make all

Ratifications or definitive accessions

reservation, as regards the Colonies, Protectorates and mandated territories under its authority, as to the possibility of regularly producing, within the strictly prescribed time-limit, the quarterly statistics provided for in paragraph 2 of Article 22.  
 Germany (Aug 15th, 1929)  
 Subject to the reservation annexed to the Procès-verbal of the plenary meeting of February 16th, 1925. (The validity of the signature and ratification of this Convention are subject to the condition that a German expert will be appointed as a member of the Central Board.)  
 Greece (Dec 10th, 1929)  
 Haiti (Nov 30th, 1938 a)  
 Hungary (Aug 27th, 1930)  
 Honduras (Sep 21st, 1934 a)  
 Italy (for the Kingdom and Colonies) (Dec 11th, 1929 a)  
 Japan (Oct 10th, 1928)  
 Latvia (Oct 31st, 1928)  
 Liechtenstein<sup>3</sup>  
 Lithuania (Feb 13th, 1931 a)  
 Luxembourg (Mar 27th, 1928)  
 Monaco (Feb 9th, 1927 a)  
 The Netherlands (including Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curacao) (Jun 4th, 1928)  
New Hebrides (Dec 27th, 1927 a)  
 Norway (Mar 16th, 1931 a)  
 Paraguay (Jun 25th, 1941 a)  
 Poland (Jun 16th, 1927)  
 Portugal (Sep 13th, 1926)  
 Romania (May 18th, 1928 a)  
 Salvador (Dec 2nd, 1926 a)  
 San Marino (Apr 21st, 1926 a)  
 Spain (Jun 22nd, 1928)  
 Includes also the Spanish Colonies and the Spanish Protectorate of Morocco  
Sudan (Feb 20th, 1926)  
 Sweden (Dec 6th, 1930 a)  
 Switzerland<sup>3</sup> (Apr 3rd, 1929)  
 With reference to the declaration made by the Swiss delegation at the 36th plenary meeting of the Conference concerning the forwarding of the quarterly statistics provided for in Article 22, paragraph 2.  
 Thailand (Oct 11th, 1929)  
 Turkey (Apr 3rd, 1933 a)  
 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (Oct 31st, 1935 a)  
 Uruguay (Sep 11th, 1930)  
 Venezuela (Jun 19th, 1929 a)  
 Yugoslavia (Sep 4th, 1929)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

Albania

Iran

Ad referendum and subject to the League of Nations complying with the request made by Iran in the Memorandum O. D. C. 24.

Nicaragua

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Succession</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Succession</u>
Bahamas . . . . .	13 Aug 1975	Papua New Guinea . . . . .	28 Oct 1980
Fiji . . . . .	1 Nov 1971	Tonga . . . . .	5 Sep 1973
German Democratic Republic <sup>4</sup>			

(b) PROTOCOL

Geneva, February 19th, 1925

IN FORCE since September 25th, 1928.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Argentina	(Apr 18th, 1946)
British Empire	(Feb 17th, 1926)
(Same reservation as for the Convention.)	
<u>State of Sarawak</u>	(Mar 11th, 1926 a)
<u>Bahamas</u>	(Oct 22nd, 1926 a)
<u>Burma</u> <sup>2</sup>	
Canada	(Jun 27th, 1928)
Australia	(Feb 17th, 1926)
New Zealand	(Feb 17th, 1926)
Union of South Africa	(Feb 17th, 1926)
India	(Feb 17th, 1926)
Iraq	(Aug 8th, 1931 a)
Bolivia	(Apr 15th, 1932 a)
Bulgaria	(Mar 9th, 1927)
Chile	(Apr 11th, 1933)
Colombia	(Dec 3rd, 1930 a)
Costa Rica	(Jan 8th, 1935 a)
Cuba	(Jul 6th, 1931)
Czechoslovakia	(Apr 11th, 1927)
Ecuador	(Oct 23rd, 1934 a)
Egypt	(Mar 16th, 1926 a)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Estonia	(Aug 30th, 1930 a)
Finland	(Dec 5th, 1927 a)
Germany	(Aug 15th, 1929)
Greece	(Dec 10th, 1929)
Haiti	(Nov 30th, 1938 a)
Honduras	(Sep 21st, 1934 a)
Japan	(Oct 10th, 1928)
Latvia	(Oct 31st, 1928)
Luxembourg	(Mar 27th, 1928)
The Netherlands	(including Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curacao)
Portugal	(Jun 4th, 1928)
Romania	(May 18th, 1928 a)
Salvador	(Dec 2nd, 1926 a)
Spain	(Apr 19th, 1930 a)
<u>Sudan</u>	(Feb 20th, 1926)
Thailand	(Oct 11th, 1929)
Turkey	(Apr 3rd, 1933 a)
Venezuela	(Jun 19th, 1929 a)
Yugoslavia	(Sep 4th, 1929)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

Albania	Iran	Nicaragua
---------	------	-----------

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Succession</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Succession</u>
Bahamas . . . . .	13 Aug 1975	Papua New Guinea . . . . .	28 Oct 1980
Fiji . . . . .	1 Nov 1971	Tonga . . . . .	5 Sep 1973

NOTES:

1/ Registered under No 1845. See League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 81, p. 317.

2/ See note 3 in part II, chapter 2.

3/ The Swiss Federal Political Department, by a letter dated July 15th, 1936, informed the Secretary-General of the following:

"Under the terms of the arrangements concluded between the Government of the Principality of Liechtenstein and the Swiss Government in 1929 and 1935, in application of the Customs Union Treaty concluded between these two countries on March 29th, 1923, the Swiss legislation on narcotic drugs, including all the measures taken by the Federal authorities

to give effect to the different international Conventions on dangerous drugs, will be applicable to the territory of the Principality in the same way as to the territory of the Confederation, as long as the said Treaty remains in force. The Principality of Liechtenstein will accordingly participate, so long as the said Treaty remains in force, in the international Conventions which have been or may hereafter be concluded in the matter of narcotic drugs, it being neither necessary nor advisable for that country to accede to them separately."

4/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as from 7 April 1958

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 16 March 1976 the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974, concerning the application as from 7 April 1958, of the International Opium Convention of 19 February 1925, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relations between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic this declaration has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17

June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared: "The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the International Opium Convention, February 19th 1925 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

7. CONVENTION FOR LIMITING THE MANUFACTURE AND REGULATING THE DISTRIBUTION OF  
NARCOTIC DRUGS

Signed at Geneva on 13 July 1931 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York,  
on 11 December 1946

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 November 1947, the date on which the amendments to the Convention, as set forth  
in the annex to the Protocol of 11 December 1946, entered into force, in  
accordance with paragraph 2 of article VII of the Protocol.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, or succession or ratification in respect of the Convention and the Protocol</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d) in respect of the Convention as amended</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, or succession or ratification in respect of the Convention and the Protocol</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d) in respect of the Convention as amended</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Italy . . . . .	25 Mar 1948	
Albania . . . . .	23 Jun 1947		Jamaica . . . . .		26 Dec 1963 <u>d</u>
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963 <u>a</u>	Japan . . . . .	27 Mar 1952	
Argentina . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Jordan . . . . .		12 Apr 1954 <u>a</u>
Australia . . . . .	28 Aug 1947		Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		7 Oct 1950 <u>d</u> <sup>2</sup>
Austria . . . . .	17 May 1950		Lebanon . . . . .	13 Dec 1946	
Bahamas . . . . .	13 Aug 1975		Lesotho . . . . .		4 Nov 1974 <u>d</u>
Belgium . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Liechtenstein <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	25 Sep 1947	
Benin . . . . .		5 Dec 1961 <u>d</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .	13 Oct 1949	
Brazil . . . . .	17 Dec 1946		Malawi . . . . .		22 Jul 1965 <u>d</u>
Burkina Faso . . . . .		26 Apr 1963 <u>a</u>	Malaysia . . . . .		21 Aug 1958 <u>d</u>
Cameroon . . . . .		20 Nov 1961 <u>d</u>	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Canada . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Mexico . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	
Central African Republic . . . . .		4 Sep 1962 <u>d</u>	Monaco . . . . .	21 Nov 1947	
Chile . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Morocco . . . . .		7 Nov 1956 <u>d</u>
China <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Netherlands . . . . .	10 Mar 1948	
Colombia . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		New Zealand . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	
Congo . . . . .		15 Oct 1962 <u>d</u>	Nicaragua . . . . .	24 Apr 1950	
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		8 Dec 1961 <u>d</u>	Niger . . . . .		25 Aug 1961 <u>d</u>
Czechoslovakia Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Denmark . . . . .	15 Jun 1949		Norway . . . . .	2 Jul 1947	
Dominican Republic . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Panama . . . . .	15 Dec 1946	
Ecuador . . . . .	8 Jun 1951		Papua New Guinea . . . . .	28 Oct 1980	
Egypt . . . . .	13 Sep 1948		Philippines . . . . .	25 May 1950	
Ethiopia . . . . .			Poland . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	
Fiji . . . . .	1 Nov 1971		[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		11 Aug 1950 <u>d</u> <sup>2</sup>
Finland . . . . .	3 Feb 1948		Romania . . . . .	11 Oct 1961	
France . . . . .	10 Oct 1947		Rwanda . . . . .		5 Aug 1964 <u>d</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	12 Aug 1959		Saudi Arabia . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	
Ghana . . . . .		7 Apr 1958 <u>d</u>	Senegal . . . . .		2 May 1963 <u>d</u>
Greece . . . . .	21 Feb 1949		Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Guinea . . . . .		26 Apr 1962 <u>d</u>	South Africa . . . . .	24 Feb 1948	
Haiti . . . . .	31 May 1951		Spain . . . . .	26 Sep 1955	
Honduras . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Sri Lanka . . . . .		4 Dec 1957 <u>d</u>
Hungary . . . . .	16 Dec 1955		Sweden . . . . .	17 Oct 1947	
India . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Switzerland <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	25 Sep 1947	
Indonesia . . . . .		3 Apr 1958 <u>a</u>	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Thailand . . . . .	27 Oct 1947	
Iraq . . . . .	14 Sep 1950		Togo . . . . .		27 Feb 1962 <u>d</u>
Ireland . . . . .	18 Feb 1948		Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Israel . . . . .		16 May 1952 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	

VI.7: Narcotic drugs--1931 Convention, as amended

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, or succession or ratification in respect of the Convention and the Protocol as amended</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d) in respect of the Convention as amended</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, or succession or ratification in respect of the Convention and the Protocol as amended</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d) in respect of the Convention as amended</u>
Uganda . . . . .		20 Oct 1965 <sup>a</sup>	United States of America . . . . .	12 Aug 1947	
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	25 Oct 1947		Yugoslavia . . . . .		10 Jun 1949 <sup>a</sup>
United Kingdom . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Zaire . . . . .		31 May 1962 <sup>d</sup>
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		3 Jul 1964 <sup>a</sup>	Zambia . . . . .		9 Apr 1973 <sup>d</sup>

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
France, United Kingdom . . . . .	17 Mar 1950	Archipelago of the New Hebrides under French and British Condominium
United Kingdom . . . . .	7 Mar 1949	Aden, Malta, Bahamas, Jamaica, St. Lucia
	5 Apr 1949	Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony
	13 Feb 1952	Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate and Swaziland

NOTES:

1/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

2/ Under the procedure described in note 17 in chapter VI.2.

3/ See note 6 in chapter VI.1.

4/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.



## 8. (a) CONVENTION FOR LIMITING THE MANUFACTURE AND REGULATING THE DISTRIBUTION OF NARCOTIC DRUGS

Geneva, July 13th, 1931<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since July 9th, 1933 (Article 30).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Afghanistan (June 21st, 1935 a)  
 Albania (October 9th, 1937 a)  
 United States of America (April 28th, 1932)

1. The Government of the United States of America reserves the right to impose, for purpose of internal control and control of import into, and export from, territory under its jurisdiction, of opium, coca leaves, all of their derivatives and similar substances produced by synthetic process, measures stricter than the provisions of the Convention.
2. The Government of the United States of America reserves the right to impose, for purposes of controlling transit through its territories of raw opium, coca leaves, all of their derivatives and similar substances produced by synthetic process, measures by which the production of an import permit issued by the country of destination may be made a condition precedent to the granting of permission for transit through its territory.
3. The Government of the United States of America finds it impracticable to undertake to send statistics of import and export to the Permanent Central Opium Board short of 60 days after the close of the three-months period to which such statistics refer.
4. The Government of the United States of America finds it impracticable to undertake to state separately amounts of drugs purchased or imported for Government purposes.
5. Plenipotentiaries of the United States of America formally declare that the signing of the Convention for limiting the Manufacture and regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs by them on the part of the United States of America on this date is not to be construed to mean that the Government of the United States of America recognises a régime or entity which signs or accedes to the Convention as the Government of a country when that régime or entity is not recognised by the Government of the United States of America as the Government of that country.
6. The plenipotentiaries of the United States of America further declare that the participation of the United States of America in the Convention for limiting the Manufacture and regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs, signed on this date, does not involve any contractual obligation on the part of the United States of America to a country represented by a régime or entity which the Government of the United States of America does not recognise as the government of that country until such country has a government

Ratifications or definitive accessions

recognised by the Government of the United States of America.

Saudi Arabia (August 15th, 1936)  
 Argentina (April 18th, 1946)  
 Austria (July 3rd, 1934)  
 Belgium (April 10th, 1933)

This ratification does not include the Belgian Congo, nor the Territory of Ruanda-Urundi under Belgian mandate.

Belgian Congo and Mandated Territory of Ruanda-Urundi (December 17th, 1941 a)  
 Brazil (April 5th, 1933)  
 Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(April 1st, 1933)

His majesty does not assume any obligation in respect of any of his Colonies, Protectorates and Overseas Territories or territories under suzerainty or under mandate exercised by his Government in the United Kingdom.

British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Ceylon, Cyprus, Falkland Islands and Dependencies<sup>2</sup>, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar, Gold Coast [(a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate], Hong-Kong, Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Leeward Islands (Antigua, Dominica, Montserrat, St. Christopher and Nevis, Virgin Islands), Mauritius, Nigeria [(a) Colony, (b) Protectorate, (c) Cameroons under British Mandate], North Borneo (State of), Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland Protectorate, Sarawak, Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Somaliland Protectorate, Straits Settlements, Tanganyika Territory, Tonga, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda Protectorate, Zanzibar Protectorate (May 18th, 1936 a)  
Southern Rhodesia (July 14th, 1937 a)  
Barbados, Bermuda, British Guiana, Fiji, Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States: Negri Sembilan, Pahang, Perak, Selangor; (b) Unfederated Malay States: Kedah, Perlis and Brunei], Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), St. Helena and Ascension, Trans-Jordan, Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Vincent),

Burma (August 24th, 1938 a)

Newfoundland (June 28th, 1937 a)

Canada (October 17th, 1932)

Australia (January 24th, 1934 a)

This accession applies to Papua, Norfolk Island and the mandated territories of New Guinea and Nauru.

New Zealand (June 17th, 1935 a)

Union of South Africa (January 4th, 1938 a)

Ireland (April 11th, 1933 a)

India (November 14th, 1932)

Bulgaria (March 20th, 1933 a)

Chile (March 31st, 1933)

VI.8: Narcotic drugs--1931 Convention

Ratifications or definitive accessions

China<sup>3</sup> (January 10th, 1934 a)  
 Colombia (January 29th, 1934 a)  
 Costa Rica (April 5th, 1933)  
 Cuba (April 4th, 1933)  
 Czechoslovakia (April 12th, 1933)  
 Denmark (June 5th, 1936)  
 Dominican Republic (April 8th, 1933)  
 Ecuador (April 13th, 1935 a)  
 Egypt (April 10th, 1933)  
 Estonia (July 5th, 1935 a)  
 Finland (September 25th, 1936 a)  
 France (April 10th, 1933)

The French Government makes every reservation, with regard to the Colonies, Protectorates and mandated Territories under its authority, as to the possibility of regularly producing the quarterly statistics referred to in Article 13 within the strict time-limit laid down.

Germany (April 10th, 1933)  
 Greece (December 27th, 1934)  
 Guatemala (May 1st, 1933)  
 Haiti (May 4th, 1933 a)  
 Honduras (September 21st, 1934 a)  
 Hungary (April 10th, 1933 a)  
 Iran (September 28th, 1932)  
 Iraq (May 30th, 1934 a)  
 Italy (March 21st, 1933)  
 Japan (June 3rd, 1935)

The Japanese Government declare that, in view of the necessity of close co-operation between the High Contracting Parties in order to carry out most effectively the provisions of the Convention for limiting the Manufacture and regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs, signed at Geneva on July 13th, 1931, they understand that the present position of Japan, regardless of whether she be a Member of the League of Nations or not, is to be maintained in the matter of the composition of the organs and the appointment of the members thereof mentioned in the said Convention.<sup>4</sup>

Latvia (August 3rd, 1937 a)  
 Liechtenstein<sup>5</sup>  
 Lithuania (April 10th, 1933)  
 Luxembourg (May 30th, 1936)  
 Mexico (March 13th, 1933)

The Government of the United States of Mexico reserves the right to impose in its territory--as it had already done--measures more severe than those laid down by the Convention itself, for the restriction of the cultivation or the preparation, use, possession, importation, exportation and consumption of the drugs to which the present Convention refers.

Monaco (February 16th, 1933)  
 The Netherlands (including the Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curacao) (May 22nd, 1933)  
 Nicaragua (March 16th, 1932 a)  
 Norway (September 12th, 1934 a)  
 Panama (April 15th, 1935)  
 Paraguay (June 25th, 1941)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Peru (May 20th, 1932 a)  
 Poland (April 11th, 1933)  
 Portugal (June 17th, 1932)

The Portuguese Government makes every reservation with regard to its colonies as to the possibility of regularly producing the quarterly statistics referred to in Article 13 within the strict time-limit laid down.

Romania (April 11th, 1933)  
 Salvador (April 7th, 1933 a)

(a) The Republic of Salvador does not agree to the provisions of Article 26, on the ground that there is no reason why the High Contracting Parties should be given the option of not applying the Convention to their colonies, protectorates, and overseas mandated territories.

(b) The Republic of Salvador states that it disagrees with the reservations embodied in Nos. 5 and 6 of the Declarations made by the plenipotentiaries of the United States of America regarding Governments not recognised by the Government of that country; in its opinion, those reservations constitute an infringement of the national sovereignty of Salvador, whose present Government, though not as yet recognised by the United States Government, has been recognised by the majority of the civilised countries of the world. Their recognition is due to their conviction that that Government is a perfectly constitutional one and affords a full and complete guarantee of the performance of its international duties, inasmuch as it enjoys the unanimous, decided and effective support of all the inhabitants of the Republic, whether citizens of the country or foreigners resident therein.

As it respects the internal régimes of other nations, the Republic of Salvador considers that the Convention in question, being of a strictly hygienic and humanitarian character, does not offer a suitable occasion to formulate such political reservations as have called forth this comment.

San Marino (June 12th, 1933)  
 Spain (April 7th, 1933)  
 Sudan (August 25th, 1932 a)  
 Sweden (August 12th, 1932)  
 Switzerland<sup>5</sup> (April 10th, 1933)  
 Thailand (February 22nd, 1934)

As its harmful-habit-forming drugs law goes beyond the provisions of the Geneva Convention and the present Convention on certain points, the Thai Government reserves the right to apply its existing law.

Turkey (April 3rd, 1933 a)  
 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (October 31st, 1935 a)  
 Uruguay (April 7th, 1933)  
 Venezuela (November 15th, 1933)

VI.8: Narcotic drugs--1931 Convention

---

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Bolivia

Liberia

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Bahamas . . . . .	13 Aug 1975	Papua New Guinea . . . . .	28 Oct 1980 <u>d</u>
Fiji . . . . .	1 Nov 1971 <u>d</u>		
German Democratic Republic <sup>6</sup>			

(b) PROTOCOL OF SIGNATURE

Geneva, July 13th, 1931

IN FORCE since July 9th, 1933.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Albania (October 9th, 1937 a)  
 Austria (July 3rd, 1934)  
 United States of America (April 28th, 1932)  
 Saudi Arabia (August 15th, 1936)  
 Belgium (April 10th, 1933)  
 Brazil (April 5th, 1933)  
 Great Britain and Northern Ireland (April 1st, 1933)  
 Same reservation as for the Convention.  
British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Ceylon, Cyprus, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate) Gibraltar, Gold Coast [(a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate], Hong-Kong, Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Leeward Islands (Antigua, Dominica, Montserrat, St. Christopher and Nevis, Virgin Islands), Mauritius, Nigeria [(a) Colony, (b) Protectorate, (c) Cameroons under British Mandate], North Borneo (State of), Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland Protectorate, Sarawak, Sevmelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Somaliland Protectorate, Straits Settlements, Tanganyika Territory, Tonga, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda Protectorate, Zanzibar Protectorate (May 18th, 1936 a)  
Southern Rhodesia (July 14th, 1937 a)  
Barbados, Bermuda, British Guiana, Fiji, Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States: Negri Sembilan, Pahang, Perak, Selangor; (b) Unfederated Malay States: Kedah, Perlis and Brunei], Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), St. Helena and Ascension, Trans-Jordan, Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Vincent), Burma (August 24th, 1938 a)  
 Newfoundland (June 28th, 1937 a)  
 Canada (October 17th, 1932)  
 Australia (January 24th, 1934 a)  
 New Zealand (June 17th, 1935 a)  
 Union of South Africa (January 4th, 1938 a)  
 Ireland (April 11th, 1933 a)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

India (November 14th, 1932)  
 Chile (November 20th, 1933)  
 Colombia (January 29th, 1934 a)  
 Costa Rica (April 5th, 1933)  
 Cuba (April 4th, 1933)  
 Czechoslovakia (April 12th, 1933 a)  
 Denmark (June 5th, 1936)  
 Dominican Republic (April 8th, 1933)  
 Ecuador (April 13th, 1935 a)  
 Egypt (April 10th, 1933)  
 Estonia (July 5th, 1935 a)  
 Finland (September 25th, 1936 a)  
 France (April 10th, 1933)  
 Germany (April 10th, 1933)  
 Greece (December 27th, 1934)  
 Honduras (September 21st, 1934 a)  
 Hungary (April 10th, 1933 a)  
 Iran (September 28th, 1932)  
 Italy (March 21st, 1933)  
 Japan (June 3rd, 1935)  
 Liechtenstein<sup>7</sup>  
 Lithuania (April 10th, 1933)  
 Luxembourg (May 30th, 1936)  
 Mexico (March 13th, 1933)  
 Monaco (March 20th, 1933)  
 The Netherlands<sup>8</sup> (including the Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curacao) (May 22nd, 1933)  
 Nicaragua (March 16th, 1932 a)  
 Norway (September 12th, 1934 a)  
 Peru (May 20th, 1932 a)  
 Poland (April 11th, 1933)  
 Portugal (June 17th, 1932)  
 Romania (April 11th, 1933)  
 San Marino (June 12th, 1933)  
 Spain (April 7th, 1933)  
 Sudan (January 18th, 1933 a)  
 Sweden (August 12th, 1932)  
 Switzerland (April 10th, 1933)  
 Thailand (February 22nd, 1934)  
 Turkey (April 3rd, 1933 a)  
 Uruguay (April 7th, 1933)  
 Venezuela (September 11th, 1934)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Bolivia

Guatemala  
 Panama

Paraguay

Action subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Bahamas . . . . .	13 Aug 1975	Papua New Guinea . . . . .	28 Oct 1980 d
Fiji . . . . .	1 Nov 1971 d		

NOTES:

1/ Registered under No. 3219. See League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 139, p. 301.

2/ On 3 October 1983, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Argentina the following objection:

[The Government of Argentina makes a] formal objection to the [declaration] of territorial extension made by the United Kingdom with regard to the Malvinas Islands and (dependencies), which that country is illegally occupying and refers to as the "Falkland Islands".

The Argentine Republic rejects and considers null and void the [said declaration] of territorial extension.

See also note 9 chapter III.11.

3/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

4/ Before ratifying the Convention with the declaration here set out, the Japanese Government consulted the Contracting Parties, through the intermediary of the Secretary-General. A summary of the correspondence which took place was published in the League of Nations Official Journal for September 1935 (16th Year, No. 9).

5/ The Swiss Federal Political Department, by a letter dated July 15th, 1936, informed the Secretariat of the following:

"Under the terms of the arrangements concluded between the Government of the Principality of Liechtenstein and the Swiss Government in 1929 and 1935, in application of the Customs Union Treaty concluded between these two countries on March 29th, 1923, the Swiss legislation on narcotic drugs, including all the measures taken by the Federal authorities to give effect to the different international Conventions on dangerous drugs, will be applicable to the territory of the Principality in the same way as to the territory of the Confederation, as long as the said Treaty remains in force. The Principality of Liechtenstein will accordingly participate, so long as the said Treaty remains in force, in the

international Conventions which have been or may hereafter be concluded in the matter of narcotic drugs, it being neither necessary nor advisable for that country to accede to them separately."

6/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Conventions as from 7 April 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 16 March 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 7 April 1958, of the Convention for Limiting the Manufacture and Regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs of 13 July 1931, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relations between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic this declaration has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention for Limiting the Manufacture and Regulating the Distribution of Narcotic Drugs, July 13th, 1931 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

7/ See note 3 in chapter VI.6(a).

8/ The instrument of ratification specifies that the reservation relating to paragraph 2 of article 22, as formulated by the Netherlands representative at the time of signature of the Protocol, should be considered as withdrawn.

9. AGREEMENT CONCERNING THE SUPPRESSION OF OPIUM SMOKING

Signed at Bangkok on 27 November 1931 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 27 October 1947, the date on which the amendments to the Agreement, as set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 11 December 1946, entered into force, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article VII of the Protocol.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, notification (d) in respect of the Agreement as amended</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946, notification (d) in respect of the Agreement as amended</u>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	3 Oct 1951 <sup>d1</sup>	Netherlands . . . . .	10 Mar 1948
France . . . . .	10 Oct 1947	[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	11 Aug 1950 <sup>d1</sup>
India . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	Thailand . . . . .	27 Oct 1947
Japan . . . . .	27 Mar 1952	United Kingdom . . . . .	11 Dec 1946
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	7 Oct 1950 <sup>d1</sup>		

NOTES:

- 1/ Same procedure as the one described in note 17 in chapter VI 2
- 2/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

10. AGREEMENT CONCERNING THE SUPPRESSION OF OPIUM SMOKING

Bangkok, November 27th, 1931<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since April 22nd, 1937 (Article VI).

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratifications</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratifications</u>
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland . . . . .	(Apr 3rd, 1933)	Netherlands . . . . .	(May 22nd, 1933)
India . . . . .	(Dec 4th, 1935)	Portugal . . . . .	(Jan 27th, 1934)
France . . . . .	(May 10th, 1933)	Thailand . . . . .	(Nov 19th, 1934)
Japan . . . . .	(Jan 22nd, 1937)	With reservation to Article I.	

---

NOTES:

1/ Registration No. 4100. See League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 177, p. 373.

VI.11: Narcotic Drugs--1936 Convention, as amended

11. CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE ILLICIT TRAFFIC IN DANGEROUS DRUGS

Signed at Geneva on 26 June 1936 and amended by the Protocol signed at Lake Success, New York, on 11 December 1946

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 10 October 1947, the date on which the amendments to the Convention, as set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 11 December 1946, entered into force, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article VII of the Protocol.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a) in respect of the Convention as amended</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 11 December 1946</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a) in respect of the Convention as amended</u>
Austria . . . . .		17 May 1950	Indonesia . . . . .		3 Apr 1958 <sup>a</sup>
Belgium . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Israel . . . . .		16 May 1952 <sup>a</sup>
Brazil . . . . .	17 Dec 1946		Italy . . . . .		3 Apr 1961 <sup>a</sup>
Cameroon . . . . .		15 Jan 1962 <sup>a</sup>	Japan . . . . .		7 Sep 1955
Canada . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Jordan . . . . .		7 May 1958 <sup>a</sup>
Chile . . . . .		21 Nov 1972 <sup>a</sup>	Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		13 Jul 1951 <sup>a</sup>
China <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Liechtenstein . . . . .		24 May 1961 <sup>a</sup>
Colombia . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Luxembourg . . . . .		28 Jun 1955 <sup>a</sup>
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		20 Dec 1961 <sup>a</sup>	Madagascar . . . . .		11 Dec 1974 <sup>a</sup>
Cuba . . . . .		9 Aug 1967	Malawi . . . . .		8 Jun 1965 <sup>a</sup>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		3 Oct 1951 <sup>a</sup>	Mexico . . . . .		6 May 1955
Dominican Republic . . . . .		9 Jun 1958 <sup>a</sup>	Netherlands <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		[19 Mar 1959] <sup>3</sup>
Egypt . . . . .	13 Sep 1948		Romania . . . . .	11 Oct 1961	
Ethiopia . . . . .		9 Sep 1947 <sup>a</sup>	Rwanda . . . . .		15 Jul 1981 <sup>a</sup>
France . . . . .	10 Oct 1947		Spain . . . . .		5 Jun 1970 <sup>4</sup>
Greece . . . . .	21 Feb 1949		Sri Lanka . . . . .		4 Dec 1957 <sup>a</sup>
Haiti . . . . .	31 May 1951		Switzerland . . . . .		31 Dec 1952
India . . . . .	11 Dec 1946		Turkey . . . . .	11 Dec 1946	

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba expressly reserves its position on the provisions of article 17 of the Convention, being ready to settle any dispute which may arise on the interpretation or application of the Convention bilaterally, by means of diplomatic consultations.

ITALY

In exercise of the right accorded to it by article 13, paragraph 2, of the said Convention, the Government of Italy desires that, in the case of letters of request concerning narcotic drugs, the procedure hitherto followed in previous relations with the other Contracting States should continue to be used and, failing that, the diplomatic channel, provided, however, that the method specified in article 13, paragraph 1, sub-paragraph (c), should be adopted in cases of emergency.

MEXICO

In accepting the provisions of articles 11 and 12 of this Convention, the Government of the United States of Mexico wishes to state explicitly that its Central Office will exercise the powers granted to it by the said Convention unless such powers have been expressly conferred by the General Constitution of the Republic on an agency of a constituent State, being an agency established before the date of the entry into force of this Convention, and that the Government of the United States of Mexico reserves the right to impose in its territory--as it has already done--measures more severe than those laid down by the Convention itself, for the restriction of the cultivation or the manufacture, extraction, possession, offering for sale, importation or exportation of or traffic in the drugs to which the present Convention refers.



NOTES:

1/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

2/ The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Convention and the Protocol of signature will be applicable to the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands New Guinea. In a communication received on 4 August 1960, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General that the Convention will be applicable to the Netherlands Antilles. The ratification was made subject to the reservation recorded in the Protocol of Signature annexed to the Convention; for the text of that reservation see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 327, p. 322.

3/ In a communication received on 14 December 1965, the Government of the Kingdom of the

Netherlands notified the Secretary-General of the denunciation of the Convention for the territory of the Kingdom in Europe and the Territories of Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles. The denunciation took effect on 14 December 1966.

4/ Instrument of ratification of the unamended 1936 Convention. Spain, on behalf of which the Protocol of 11 December 1946 amending the Agreements, Conventions and Protocols on narcotic drugs concluded at the Hague on 23 January 1912, at Geneva on 11 February 1925, 19 February 1925 and 13 July 1931, at Bangkok on 27 November 1931 and at Geneva on 26 June 1936 was signed definitively on 26 September 1955 (see chapter VI.1), has, as a result of the said definitive signature and of its ratification of the unamended 1936 Convention, become a party to the said Convention of 1936 as amended by the said Protocol of 1946.

12. (a) CONVENTION OF 1936 FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE ILLICIT TRAFFIC IN DANGEROUS DRUGS

Geneva, June 26th, 1936<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since October 26th, 1939 (Article 22).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Belgium	(Nov 27th, 1937)
Belgium does not assume any obligation as regards the Belgian Congo and the Territories of Ruanda-Urundi in respect of which a mandate is being exercised by her on behalf of the League of Nations	
Brazil	(Jul 2nd, 1938)
Canada	(Sep 27th, 1938)
China <sup>2</sup>	(Oct 21st, 1937)
Colombia	(Apr 11th, 1944)
Egypt	(Jan 29th, 1940)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

France	(Jan 16th, 1940)
The French Government does not assume any obligations as regards its Colonies or Protectorates or the territories placed under its mandate.	
Greece	(Feb 16th, 1938)
Guatemala	(Aug 2nd, 1938 <u>a</u> )
Haiti	(Nov 30th, 1938 <u>a</u> )
India	(Aug 4th, 1937)
Romania	(Jun 28th, 1938)
Turkey	(Jul 28th, 1939 <u>a</u> )

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Estonia	Spain
Bulgaria	Honduras	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
Cuba	Hungary	Uruguay
Czechoslovakia	Monaco	Venezuela
Denmark	Panama	
Ecuador	Poland	
	Portugal	

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a) succession (s)</u>
Spain . . . . .	5 Jun 1970 <sup>3</sup>
Pakistan <sup>4</sup>	

(b) PROTOCOL OF SIGNATURE

Geneva, June 26th, 1936

IN FORCE since October 26th, 1939.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Belgium (Nov 27th, 1937)  
 Brazil (Jul 2nd, 1938)  
 Canada (Sep 27th, 1938)  
 China<sup>2</sup> (Oct 21st, 1937)  
 Colombia (Apr 11th, 1944)  
 Egypt (Jan 29th, 1940)  
 France (Jan 16th, 1940)

Same reservation as for the Convention

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Greece (Feb 16th, 1938)  
 Guatemala (Aug 2nd, 1938 a)  
 Haiti (Nov 30th, 1938 a)  
 India (Aug 4th, 1937)  
 Romania (Jun 28th, 1938)  
 Turkey (Jul 28th, 1939 a)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Estonia	Spain
Bulgaria	Honduras	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
Cuba	Hungary	Uruguay
Czechoslovakia	Monaco	Venezuela
Denmark	Panama	
Ecuador	Poland	
	Portugal	

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the  
Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (s)</u>
Spain . . . . .	5 Jun 1970 <sup>3</sup>
Pakistan <sup>4</sup>	

NOTES:

1/ Registration No. 4648. See League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 198, p. 299.

2/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

3/ See note 4 in chapter UI.11.

4/ A notification of denunciation by the Government of Pakistan was received by the Secretary-General on 9 July 1965. It should be noted, however, that the Government of Pakistan, not having previously notified its succession to the Convention, was not, under the international practice to which the Secretary-General adheres to as the depositary of multilateral treaties, considered at that time as a party to the Convention.

VI 13: Narcotic Drugs- 1948 Protocol

13. PROTOCOL BRINGING UNDER INTERNATIONAL CONTROL DRUGS OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THE CONVENTION OF 13 JULY 1931 FOR LIMITING THE MANUFACTURE AND REGULATING THE DISTRIBUTION OF NARCOTIC DRUGS, AS AMENDED BY THE PROTOCOL SIGNED AT LAKE SUCCESS, NEW YORK, ON 11 DECEMBER 1946

Signed at Paris on 19 November 1948<sup>1</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 December 1949, in accordance with article 6.  
REGISTRATION: 1 December 1949, No. 688.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 44, p. 277.

Note: The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 211 (III)<sup>1</sup> of 8 October 1948.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .		19 Nov 1948 <u>s</u>	Japan . . . . .		5 May 1952
Albania . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	25 Jul 1949	Jordan . . . . .		7 May 1958
Argentina . . . . .	19 Nov 1948		Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		7 Oct 1950 <u>d</u> <sup>4</sup>
Australia . . . . .		19 Nov 1948 <u>s</u>	Lebanon . . . . .		19 Nov 1948 <u>s</u>
Austria . . . . .		17 May 1950	Lesotho . . . . .		4 Nov 1974 <u>d</u>
Bahamas . . . . .		13 Aug 1975 <u>d</u>	Liberia . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	
Belgium . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	21 Nov 1951	Liechtenstein . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	24 May 1961
Benin . . . . .		5 Dec 1961 <u>d</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	17 Oct 1952
Bolivia . . . . .	19 Nov 1948		Malawi . . . . .		22 Jul 1965 <u>d</u>
Brazil . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	9 Dec 1959	Malaysia . . . . .		21 Aug 1958 <u>d</u>
Burma . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	2 Mar 1950	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Burkina Faso . . . . .		26 Apr 1963	Mexico . . . . .		19 Nov 1948 <u>s</u>
Byelorussian SSR		19 Nov 1948 <u>s</u>	Monaco . . . . .		19 Nov 1948 <u>s</u>
Cameroon . . . . .		20 Nov 1961 <u>d</u>	Morocco . . . . .		7 Nov 1956 <u>d</u>
Canada . . . . .		19 Nov 1948 <u>s</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	26 Sep 1950
Central African Republic . . . . .		4 Sep 1962 <u>d</u>	New Zealand . . . . .		19 Nov 1948 <u>s</u>
Chile . . . . .	19 Nov 1948		Nicaragua . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	13 Jan 1961
China <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		19 Nov 1948 <u>s</u>	Niger . . . . .		25 Aug 1961 <u>d</u>
Colombia . . . . .	19 Nov 1948		Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Congo . . . . .		15 Oct 1962 <u>d</u>	Norway . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	24 May 1949
Costa Rica . . . . .	19 Nov 1948		Pakistan . . . . .	21 Nov 1948	27 Aug 1952
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		8 Dec 1961 <u>d</u>	Panama . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	
Cuba . . . . .		30 Jun 1961	Papua New Guinea		28 Oct 1980 <u>d</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	17 Jan 1950	Paraguay . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	
Denmark . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	19 Oct 1949	Peru . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	
Dominican Republic . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	9 Jun 1958	Philippines . . . . .	10 Mar 1949	7 Dec 1953
Ecuador . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	30 Aug 1962	Poland . . . . .		26 Jan 1949 <u>s</u>
Egypt . . . . .	6 Dec 1948	16 Sep 1949	[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>4, 5</sup> . . . . .		11 Aug 1950 <u>d</u>
El Salvador . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	31 Dec 1959	Romania . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	11 Oct 1961
Ethiopia . . . . .		5 May 1949 <u>s</u>	Rwanda . . . . .		30 Apr 1964 <u>d</u>
Fiji . . . . .		1 Nov 1971 <u>d/s</u>	San Marino . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	
Finland . . . . .		31 Oct 1949	Saudi Arabia . . . . .		19 Nov 1948 <u>s</u>
France . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	11 Jan 1949	Senegal . . . . .		2 May 1963 <u>d</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		12 Aug 1959	Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Ghana . . . . .		7 Apr 1958 <u>d</u>	South Africa . . . . .		8 Dec 1948 <u>s</u>
Greece . . . . .	7 Dec 1948	29 Jul 1952	Spain . . . . .		26 Sep 1955 <u>s</u>
Guatemala . . . . .	19 Nov 1948		Sri Lanka . . . . .		17 Jan 1949
Honduras . . . . .	19 Nov 1948		Sweden . . . . .		3 Mar 1949 <u>s</u>
Hungary . . . . .		2 Jul 1957	Switzerland . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	18 Mar 1953
India . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	10 Nov 1950	Togo . . . . .		27 Feb 1962 <u>d</u>
Indonesia . . . . .		21 Feb 1951	Tonga . . . . .		5 Sep 1973 <u>d</u>
Iraq . . . . .	12 Jul 1949	27 Jul 1954	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Ireland . . . . .		11 Aug 1952	Turkey . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	14 Jul 1950
Israel . . . . .		16 May 1952	Uganda . . . . .		15 Apr 1965
Italy . . . . .		14 Mar 1949 <u>s</u>			
Jamaica . . . . .		26 Dec 1963 <u>d</u>			

VI.13: Narcotic Drugs- 1948 Protocol

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature subject to acceptance</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature subject to acceptance</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, succession (d)</u>
Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	7 May 1959	United States of America . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	11 Aug 1950
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		19 Nov 1948 s	Uruguay . . . . .	22 Nov 1948	
United Kingdom . . . . .		19 Nov 1948 s	Venezuela . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		7 Oct 1964	Yemen . . . . .		12 Dec 1949 s
			Yugoslavia . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	10 Jun 1949
			Zaire . . . . .		13 Aug 1962 d
			Zambia . . . . .		9 Apr 1973 d

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	All territories including the Trust Territories of New Guinea and Nauru
Belgium . . . . .	27 Jan 1953	Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi
Denmark . . . . .	19 Oct 1949	Greenland
France . . . . .	15 Sep 1949	Departments of Algeria, Overseas Departments (Guadeloupe, Guiana, Martinique, Réunion), Overseas Territories (French West Africa, French Equatorial Africa, French Somaliland, Madagascar and Dependencies, Comoro Islands, French Establishments in India, New Caledonia and Dependencies, French Establishments in Oceania, Saint-Pierre and Miquelon); Tunisia and Morocco (French zone of the Sherifian Empire); Trust Territories of Togoland and the Cameroons under French Administration
	25 Nov 1949	Viet-Nam
	28 Dec 1949	Laos
France/United Kingdom . . . . .	15 Sep 1949/ 27 Feb 1950	The New Hebrides Archipelago under Anglo-French Condominium
Italy . . . . .	12 Mar 1954	Somaliland
Netherlands . . . . .	14 Aug 1952	Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea
New Zealand . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	All the territories, including the Trust Territory of Western Samoa
South Africa . . . . .	5 Oct 1954	South West Africa
United Kingdom . . . . .	19 Nov 1948	Aden, Bahamas, Barbados, Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, Brunei, Cyprus, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Gold Coast, Hong Kong, Jamaica, Kenya, Leeward Islands (Antigua, Montserrat, St. Christopher and Nevis, Virgin Islands), Malayan Federation, Malta, Mauritius, Newfoundland, Nigeria, North Borneo, Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland Protectorate, Sarawak, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Solomon Islands Protectorate, Somaliland Protectorate, Southern Rhodesia, St. Helena, Tanganyika, Tonga, Trinidad, Uganda Protectorate, Windward Islands (Dominica, Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent), Zanzibar Protectorate
United States of America . . . . .	11 Aug 1950	All territories for the foreign relations of which it is responsible

NOTES:

1/ Resolution 211(III) Official Records of the General Assembly, Third Session, Part I, Resolutions (A/810), p 62.

2/ See note concerning signature, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

3/ In a communication received on 22 January 1960, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Protocol "also applies to Land Berlin as from 12 September 1959, i.e., the day on which the Protocol entered into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones referred to in note 2 in chapter III.3.

4/ Same procedure as the one described in note 17 in chapter VI.2

5/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

UI.14: Narcotic Drugs--1953 Protocol

14. PROTOCOL FOR LIMITING AND REGULATING THE CULTIVATION OF THE POPPY PLANT, THE PRODUCTION OF, INTERNATIONAL AND WHOLESALE TRADE IN, AND USE OF OPIUM

Done at New York on 23 June 1953

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 8 March 1963, in accordance with article 21.  
REGISTRATION: 8 March 1963, No. 6555.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 456, p. 3.

Note: The Protocol was adopted and opened for signature by the United Nations Opium Conference, held at United Nations Headquarters, New York, from 11 May to 18 June 1953. The Conference was convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations pursuant to resolution 436 A (XIV)<sup>1</sup> of 27 May 1952 of the United Nations Economic and Social Council. The Conference also adopted the Final Act and seventeen resolutions, for the text of which see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 456, p. 3.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Argentina . . . . .		24 Mar 1958 <u>a</u>	Japan . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	21 Jul 1954
Australia . . . . .		13 Jan 1955 <u>a</u>	Jordan . . . . .		7 May 1958 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .		30 Jun 1958 <u>a</u>	Lebanon . . . . .	11 Nov 1953	
Brazil . . . . .		3 Nov 1959 <u>a</u>	Liechtenstein . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	24 May 1961
Cameroon . . . . .		15 Jan 1962 <u>d</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .		28 Jun 1955 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .	23 Dec 1953	7 May 1954	Madagascar . . . . .		31 Jul 1963 <u>d</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .		4 Sep 1962 <u>d</u>	Monaco . . . . .	26 Jun 1953	12 Apr 1956
Chile . . . . .	9 Jul 1953	9 May 1957	Netherlands . . . . .	30 Dec 1953	
China <sup>2</sup> . . . . .			New Zealand . . . . .	28 Dec 1953	[2 Nov 1956] <sup>4</sup>
Congo . . . . .		15 Oct 1962 <u>d</u>	Nicaragua . . . . .		11 Dec 1959 <u>a</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .	16 Oct 1953		Niger . . . . .		7 Dec 1964 <u>d</u>
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		8 Dec 1961 <u>d</u>	Pakistan . . . . .	3 Dec 1953	10 Mar 1955
Cuba . . . . .		8 Sep 1954 <u>a</u>	Panama . . . . .	28 Dec 1953	13 Apr 1954
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	29 Dec 1953	22 Mar 1957	Papua New Guinea . . . . .		28 Oct 1980 <u>d</u>
Denmark . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	20 Jul 1954	Philippines . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	1 Jun 1955
Dominican Republic . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	9 Jun 1958	Republic of Korea . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	29 Apr 1958
Ecuador . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	17 Aug 1955	[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	
Egypt . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	8 Mar 1954	Rwanda . . . . .		30 Apr 1964 <u>d</u>
El Salvador . . . . .		31 Dec 1959 <u>a</u>	Senegal . . . . .		2 May 1963 <u>d</u>
France . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	21 Apr 1954	South Africa . . . . .	29 Dec 1953	9 Mar 1960
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	12 Aug 1959	Spain . . . . .	22 Oct 1953	15 Jun 1956
Greece . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	6 Feb 1963	Sri Lanka . . . . .		4 Dec 1957 <u>a</u>
Guatemala . . . . .		29 May 1956 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .		16 Jan 1958 <u>a</u>
India . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	30 Apr 1954	Switzerland . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	27 Nov 1956
Indonesia . . . . .		11 Jul 1957 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .	28 Dec 1953	15 Jul 1963
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	15 Dec 1953	30 Dec 1959	United Kingdom . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	
Iraq . . . . .	29 Dec 1953		United States of America . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	18 Feb 1955
Israel . . . . .	30 Dec 1953	8 Oct 1957	Venezuela . . . . .	30 Dec 1953	
Italy . . . . .	23 Jun 1953	13 Nov 1957	Yugoslavia . . . . .	24 Jun 1953	
			Zaire . . . . .		31 May 1962 <u>d</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA

The Royal Government of Cambodia expresses its intention of availing itself of the provisions of article 19 of the Protocol.

FRANCE

It is expressly declared that the French Government reserves the right, in respect of French establishments in India, to apply the transitional measures of article 19 of this Protocol, it being understood that the period mentioned in paragraph 1, sub-paragraph (b) (iii) of that ar-

ticle shall be fifteen years after the coming into effect of this Protocol.

The French Government likewise reserves the right in accordance with the transitional measures of article 19 to authorize the export of opium to French establishments in India for the same period of time.

INDIA

"1. It is hereby expressly declared that the Government of India, in accordance with the provisions of article 19 of this Protocol, will permit

"(i) The use of opium for quasi-medical purposes until 31 December 1959;

"(ii) The production of opium and the export thereof, for quasi-medical purposes, to Pakistan, Ceylon, Aden and the French and Portuguese possessions on the subcontinent of India for a period of fifteen years from the date of the coming into force of this Protocol; and

"(iii) The smoking of opium, for their lifetime, by addicts not under 21 years of age, registered by the appropriate authorities for that purpose on or before 30 September 1953.

"2. The Government of India expressly reserve to themselves the right to modify this declaration or to make any other declaration under

article 19 of this Protocol, at the time of the deposit by them of their instrument of ratification."

IRAN (ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF)

"The Imperial Government of Iran, in accordance with article 25 of the Protocol for Limiting and Regulating the Cultivation of the Poppy Plant, the Production of, International and Wholesale Trade in, and Use of Opium, done at New York on 23 June 1953, and in accordance with article 16 of the Bill approved by the Iranian Parliament on 16 Bahman 1337 (7 February 1959), declares its ratification of the Protocol, and hereby further specifies that its ratification of the Protocol will in no way affect the status of the Law providing for the Prohibition of the Poppy Cultivation, as approved by Parliament on 7 Aban 1334 (30 October 1955)."

PAKISTAN

"The Government of Pakistan will permit for a period of fifteen years after the coming into effect of the said Protocol: (i) the use of opium for quasi-medical purposes; and (ii) the production of opium and/or import thereof from India or Iran for such purposes."

Territorial Application

(Article 20 of the Protocol)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . . .	13 Jan 1955	Papua and Norfolk Island and the Trust Territories of New Guinea and Nauru
Belgium . . . . .	30 Jun 1958	Belgian Congo and Ruanda-Urundi
France . . . . .	21 Apr 1954	Territories of the French Union
New Zealand . . . . .	2 Nov 1956	[The Cook Islands (including Niue), the Tokelau Island] <sup>4</sup> and the Trust Territory of Western Samoa
South Africa . . . . .	29 Dec 1953	South West Africa
United States of America . . . . .	18 Feb 1955	All areas for the international relations of which the United States is responsible

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Fourteenth Session, Supplement No 1 (E/2332), p. 28.

2/ Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 18 September 1953 and 25 May 1954 respectively. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

In communications addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned signature and/or ratification, the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of

Czechoslovakia, Denmark, India, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and Yugoslavia stated that, since their Governments did not recognize the Nationalist Chinese authorities as the Government of China, they could not regard the said signature or ratification as valid. The Permanent Missions of Czechoslovakia and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics further stated that the sole authorities entitled to act for China and the Chinese people in the United Nations and in international relations, and to sign, ratify, accede or denounce treaties, conventions and agreements on behalf of China, were the Government of the People's Republic of China and its duly appointed representatives.



In a note addressed to the Secretary-General, the Permanent Mission of China to the United Nations stated that the Government of the Republic of China was the only legal Government which represented China and the Chinese people in international relations and that, therefore, the allegations made in the above-mentioned communications as to the lack of validity of the signature or ratification in question had no legal foundation whatever.

3/ In a communication received on 27 April 1960, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that "the Protocol . . . will also apply to Land Berlin as from the day on which the Protocol will enter into force".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bulgaria,

Czechoslovakia, Poland, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones referred to in note 2, in chapter III.3.

4/ The instrument of denunciation of the Protocol was deposited by the Government of New Zealand on 17 December 1968 in respect of the metropolitan territory of New Zealand and in respect of the Cook Islands, Niue and Tokelau Islands, the denunciation to take effect on 1 January 1969.

5/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

15. SINGLE CONVENTION ON NARCOTIC DRUGS, 1961

Done at New York on 30 March 1961

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 13 December 1964, in accordance with article 41.  
 REGISTRATION: 13 December 1964, No. 7515.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 520, p. 151, vol. 557, p. 280 (corrigendum to the Russian text), vol. 570, p. 346 (procès-verbal of rectification of the authentic Russian text), and vol. 590, p. 325 (procès-verbal of rectification of the authentic Spanish text).

Note: The Convention was adopted and opened for signature by the United Nations Conference for the Adoption of a Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, held at United Nations Headquarters, New York, from 24 January to 25 March 1961. The Conference was convened pursuant to resolution 689 J (XXVI)<sup>1</sup> of 28 July 1958 of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations. The Conference also adopted the Final Act and five resolutions for the text of which, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 520, p. 151. For the proceedings of the Conference, see Official Records of the United Nations Conference for the Adoption of a Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs volumes I and II, United Nations publications, Sales Nos. 63.XI.4 and 63.XI.5.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	19 Mar 1963	Ghana . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	15 Jan 1964
Algeria . . . . .		7 Apr 1965 <u>a</u>	Greece . . . . .		6 Jun 1972 <u>a</u>
Argentina . . . . .	31 Jul 1961	10 Oct 1963	Guatemala . . . . .	26 Jul 1961	1 Dec 1967
Australia . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	1 Dec 1967	Guinea . . . . .		7 Oct 1968 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .		1 Feb 1978 <u>a</u>	Haiti . . . . .	3 Apr 1961	29 Jan 1973
Bahamas . . . . .		13 Aug 1975 <u>d</u>	Holy See . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	1 Sep 1970
Bangladesh . . . . .		25 Apr 1975 <u>a</u>	Honduras . . . . .		16 Apr 1973 <u>a</u>
Barbados . . . . .		21 Jun 1976 <u>d</u>	Hungary . . . . .	31 Jul 1961	24 Apr 1964
Belgium . . . . .	28 Jul 1961	17 Oct 1969	Iceland . . . . .		18 Dec 1974 <u>a</u>
Benin . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	27 Apr 1962	India . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	13 Dec 1964
Botswana . . . . .		27 Dec 1984 <u>a</u>	Indonesia . . . . .	28 Jul 1961	3 Sep 1976
Brazil . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	18 Jun 1964	Iran (Islamic Republic of . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	30 Aug 1972
Bulgaria . . . . .	31 Jul 1961	25 Oct 1968	Iraq . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	29 Aug 1962
Burkina Faso . . . . .		16 Sep 1969 <u>a</u>	Ireland . . . . .		16 Dec 1980 <u>a</u>
Burma . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	29 Jul 1963	Israel . . . . .		23 Nov 1962 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	31 Jul 1961	20 Feb 1964	Italy . . . . .	4 Apr 1961	14 Apr 1975
Cameroon . . . . .		15 Jan 1962 <u>a</u>	Jamaica . . . . .		29 Apr 1964 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	11 Oct 1961	Japan . . . . .	26 Jul 1961	13 Jul 1964
Chad . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	29 Jan 1963	Jordan . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	15 Nov 1962
Chile . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	7 Feb 1968	Kenya . . . . .		13 Nov 1964 <u>a</u>
China <sup>2</sup> . . . . .			Kuwait . . . . .		16 Apr 1962 <u>a</u>
Colombia . . . . .		3 Mar 1975 <u>a</u>	Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		22 Jun 1973 <u>a</u>
Congo . . . . .	30 Mar 1961		Lebanon . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	23 Apr 1965
Costa Rica . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	7 May 1970	Lesotho . . . . .		4 Nov 1974 <u>d</u>
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		10 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>	Liberia . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	
Cuba . . . . .		30 Aug 1962 <u>a</u>	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .		27 Sep 1978 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		30 Jan 1969 <u>a</u>	Liechtenstein . . . . .	14 Jul 1961	31 Oct 1979 <sup>5</sup> <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	31 Jul 1961	20 Mar 1964	Luxembourg . . . . .	28 Jul 1961	27 Oct 1972
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	30 Mar 1961		Madagascar . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	20 Jun 1974
Denmark . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	15 Sep 1964	Malawi . . . . .		8 Jun 1965 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .		26 Sep 1972 <u>a</u>	Malaysia . . . . .		11 Jul 1967 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .		14 Jan 1964 <u>a</u>	Mali . . . . .		15 Dec 1964 <u>a</u>
Egypt . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	20 Jul 1966	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
El Salvador . . . . .	30 Mar 1961		Mexico . . . . .	24 Jul 1961	18 Apr 1967
Ethiopia . . . . .		29 Apr 1965 <u>a</u>	Monaco . . . . .		14 Aug 1969 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		1 Nov 1971 <u>d</u>	Morocco . . . . .		4 Dec 1961 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	6 Jul 1965	Netherlands . . . . .	31 Jul 1961	16 Jul 1965 <sup>6</sup> <u>a</u>
France . . . . .		19 Feb 1969 <u>a</u>	New Zealand . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	26 Mar 1963
Gabon . . . . .		29 Feb 1968 <u>a</u>	Nicaragua . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	21 Jun 1973
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		2 Dec 1975 <u>a</u> <sup>3</sup>	Niger . . . . .		18 Apr 1963 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	31 Jul 1961	3 Dec 1973			

VI.15: Narcotic Drugs--Single Convention, 1961

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Nigeria . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	6 Jun 1969	Sweden . . . . .	3 Apr 1961	18 Dec 1964
Norway . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	1 Sep 1967	Switzerland . . . . .	20 Apr 1961	23 Jan 1970
Pakistan . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	9 Jul 1965	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .		22 Aug 1962 <u>a</u>
Panama . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	4 Dec 1963	Thailand . . . . .	24 Jul 1961	31 Oct 1961
Papua New Guinea		28 Oct 1980 <u>d</u>	Togo . . . . .		6 May 1963 <u>a</u>
Paraguay . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	3 Feb 1972	Tonga . . . . .		5 Sep 1973 <u>d</u>
Peru <sup>7</sup> . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	22 Jul 1964	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		22 Jun 1964 <u>a</u>
Philippines . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	2 Oct 1967	Tunisia . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	8 Sep 1964
Poland . . . . .	31 Jul 1961	16 Mar 1966	Turkey . . . . .		23 May 1967 <u>a</u>
Portugal . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	30 Dec 1971 <sup>8</sup>	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	31 Jul 1961	15 Apr 1964
Republic of Korea [Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>9</sup> . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	13 Feb 1962	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	31 Jul 1961	20 Feb 1964
Romania . . . . .		14 Sep 1970 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	2 Sep 1964
Saudi Arabia . . . . .		14 Jan 1974 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .		25 May 1967 <u>a</u>
Saudi Arabia . . . . .		21 Apr 1973 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .		31 Oct 1975 <u>a</u>
Senegal . . . . .		24 Jan 1964 <u>a</u>	Venezuela . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	14 Feb 1969
Singapore . . . . .		15 Mar 1973 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	30 Mar 1961	27 Aug 1963
Solomon Islands . . . . .		17 Mar 1982 <u>d</u>	Zaire . . . . .	28 Apr 1961	19 Nov 1973
South Africa . . . . .		16 Nov 1971 <u>a</u>	Zambia . . . . .		12 Aug 1965 <u>a</u>
Spain . . . . .	27 Jul 1961	1 Mar 1966			
Sri Lanka . . . . .		11 Jul 1963 <u>a</u>			
Sudan . . . . .		24 Apr 1974 <u>a</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession. For territorial applications, see hereinafter.)

**ALGERIA**

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not approve the present wording of article 42 which might prevent the application of the Convention to "non-metropolitan" territories

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 48, paragraph 2, which prescribe the compulsory referral of any dispute to the International Court of Justice.

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria declares that the agreement of all parties to a dispute shall in every case be necessary for the referral thereof to the International Court of Justice.

**ARGENTINA<sup>10</sup>**

Reservation to article 48, paragraph 2: The Argentine Republic does not recognize the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice.

**AUSTRIA**

"The Republic of Austria interprets article 36, paragraph 1, as follows: The obligation of the Party contained therein may also be implemented by administrative regulations providing adequate sanction for the offences enumerated therein."

**BANGLADESH**

"[Subject to the reservations] referred to in article 49 (1) (a), (d) and (e) of the Convention, namely, subject to the right of the Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh to permit temporarily in its territory.

- (a) The quasi-medical use of opium,
- (d) The use of cannabis, cannabis resin, extracts and tinctures of cannabis for non-medical purposes, and
- (e) The production and manufacture of and trade in the drugs referred to under (a) and (d) above for the purposes mentioned therein."

**BULGARIA<sup>11</sup>**

Reservation under article 48, paragraph 2

"The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider herself bound to implement the provisions of article 48, paragraph 2, concerning the obligatory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice

"Every kind of dispute between two or more Parties on the Convention relating to its interpretation and application, which cannot be settled by negotiations, is to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision only after the arguing Parties have given previous consent for every separate case explicitly."

Declaration

"The People's Republic of Bulgaria considers it necessary to stress that the wording of article

40, paragraph 1; article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3; article 13, paragraph 2; article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2; and article 31, paragraph 1 "b" has a discriminatory character as it excludes the participation of a certain number of States. These texts are obviously inconsistent with the character of the Convention, aiming at unifying the efforts of all Parties with a view to achieving regulation of the questions, affecting the interests of all countries in this field."

BURMA

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"Subject to the understanding that the Shan State is being allowed to have reservation of the right:

"(1) To allow addicts in the Shan State to smoke opium for a transitory period of 20 years with effect from the date of coming into force of this Single Convention;

"(2) To produce and manufacture opium for the above purpose;

"(3) To furnish a list of opium consumers in the Shan State after the Shan State Government has completed the taking of such list on the 31st December, 1963."

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic will not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, article 13, paragraph 2, article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2 and article 31, paragraph 1 (b) of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs as applied to States not entitled to become Parties to the Single Convention on the basis of the procedure provided for in article 40 of that Convention.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic deems it essential to draw attention to the discriminatory character of article 40, paragraph 1, of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, under the terms of which certain States are not entitled to become Parties to the said Convention. The Single Convention concerns matters which are of interest to all States and has as its objective the enlistment of the efforts of all countries in the struggle against the social evil of the abuse of narcotics. The Convention should therefore be open to all countries. According to the principle of the sovereign equality of States, no States have the right to deny to other countries the possibility of participating in a Convention of this type.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic is not bound by the provisions of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, article 13, paragraph 2, article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2 and article 31, paragraph 1 (b) of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, concerning those States, which have been deprived of the possibility to become

Parties of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, according to the procedure embodied in the article 40 of the aforesaid Convention.

"The Single Convention regulates questions concerning interests of all states and has as its aim to unify their endeavour in the struggle against such great evil as is the misuse of narcotics. Therefore, in accordance with the international legal principle of equality of states, neither state has the right to prevent other states in participating in the Convention of this kind in particular, and the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs must, therefore, be open to all states."

EGYPT<sup>12</sup>

FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic declares that it accedes to this Convention while reserving the possibility provided for in article 44, paragraph 2 in fine of continuing in force article 9 of the Convention for the Suppression of the Illicit Traffic in Dangerous Drugs, signed at Geneva on 26 June 1936.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservations

In respect of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, article 13, paragraph 2, article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2, and article 31, paragraph 1 (b):

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, of article 13, paragraph 2, of article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2, and of article 31, paragraph 1 (b) of the Convention, insofar as they concern States which have no opportunity to become parties to the Convention in accordance with article 40.

In respect of article 48, paragraph 2:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provision of article 48, paragraph 2, of the Convention, which provides for compulsory jurisdiction by the International Court of Justice, and, with regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice for disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, holds the view that in any such case the consent of all parties to the dispute shall be required to refer it for decision to the International Court of Justice.

Declarations:

In respect of article 40:

The German Democratic Republic considers that the provisions of article 40 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

In respect of article 42:

The position of the German Democratic Republic on Article 42 of the Convention, as far as the application of the Convention to colonial and

other dependent territories is concerned, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XU) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing colonialism in all its forms and manifestations to a speedy and unconditional end.

HUNGARY

"(1) The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic accepts the provision of paragraph 2 of article 48 with the reservation that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, the agreement of all parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

"(2) As regards countries which have been deprived of the possibility of becoming parties, on the basis of the provisions of article 40 of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, to the Convention, the Government of the Hungarian People's Republic does not consider as obligatory upon herself points 2 and 3 of article 12, point 2 of article 13, points 1 and 2 of article 14 and sub-point 1 (b) of article 31.

"The Hungarian People's Republic deems it necessary to state that the provisions in article 40 of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs by which certain States are barred from becoming Parties to the Convention are at variance with the principle of sovereign equality of States and are detrimental to the interests attached to the universality of the Convention "

INDIA

Reservations:

"Subject to the reservations referred to in Article 49 (1) (a), (b), (d) and (e) of the Convention, namely, subject to the right of the Government of India to permit temporarily in any of its territories:

- "(a) The quasi-medical use of opium,
- "(b) Opium smoking,
- "(d) The use of cannabis, cannabis resin, extracts and tinctures of cannabis for non-medical purposes, and
- "(e) The production and manufacture of and trade in the drugs referred to under (a), (b) and (d) above for the purposes mentioned therein

Declarations:

"Since the Government of India do not recognise the Nationalist Chinese authorities as the competent Government of China, they cannot regard signature of the said Convention by a Nationalist Chinese Representative as a valid signature on behalf of China."

INDONESIA<sup>13</sup>

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

- (1) . .
- (2) . .

"(3) With respect to article 48, paragraph 2, the Indonesian Government does not consider itself bound by the provisions of this paragraph which provide for a mandatory reference to the International Court of Justice of any dispute which cannot be resolved according to the terms of paragraph 1. The Indonesian Government takes the position that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case."

LIECHTENSTEIN

The Principality of Liechtenstein maintains in force article 9 of the Convention for the Suppression of the Illicit Traffic in Dangerous Drugs, signed at Geneva on 26 June 1936.

NETHERLANDS

In view of the equality from the point of view of public law between the Netherlands, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles, the term "non-metropolitan" mentioned in article 42 of this Convention no longer has its original meaning so far as Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles are concerned, and will consequently be deemed to mean "non-European".

PAKISTAN

"The Government of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan will permit temporarily in any of its territories:

- "(i) The quasi-medical use of opium;
- "(ii) The use of cannabis, cannabis resin, extracts and tinctures of cannabis for non-medical purposes, and
- "(iii) The production and manufacture of and trade in the drugs referred to under (i) and (ii) above "

PAPUA NEW GUINEA<sup>14</sup>

"In accordance with article 50, paragraph 2, the Government of Papua New Guinea hereby lodges a reservation in relation to article 48, paragraph 2, which provides for reference of a dispute to the International Court of Justice "

POLAND

"The Government of the Polish People's Republic does not consider itself being bound by the provisions of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, article 13, paragraph 2, article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2 and article 31, paragraph 1 (b) of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, and con-

cerning States deprived of the opportunity to participate in the above Convention.

"In the opinion of the Government of the Polish People's Republic it is inadmissible to impose obligations contained in the mentioned provisions, upon States which in result of other provisions of the same Convention may be deprived of the opportunity to adhere to it.

"The Polish People's Republic deems it appropriate to draw the attention to the discriminatory character of article 40, paragraph 1, of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, on the basis of which certain States have been deprived of the opportunity of becoming Parties to this Convention. The Single Convention deals with the question of interest to all States and is meant to mobilize efforts of all countries in the struggle against the social danger which is the abuse of narcotic drugs. This Convention therefore should be open to all States. In accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of States, no State has the right to deprive any other State of the opportunity to participate in a Convention of such type "

ROMANIA

Reservations:

(a) The Socialist Republic of Romania declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 48, paragraph 2, whereby any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties with respect to the interpretation or application of the Convention which is not settled by negotiation or by any other means shall, at the request of one of the Contracting Parties concerned, be referred to the International Court of Justice.

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that such disputes may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

(b) The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, article 13, paragraph 2, article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2, article 31, paragraph 1 (b), in so far as those provisions refer to States which are not Parties to the Single Convention.

Declarations.

(a) The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance of the state of dependence of certain territories to which the provisions of article 42 and article 46, paragraph 1, of the Convention apply is not in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations and the documents adopted by the United Nations concerning the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, unanimously adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in its resolution 2625 (XXV) of 1970, which solemnly proclaims the obligation of States to promote realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring an end to colonialism without delay.

(b) The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of article 40 of the Convention are not in accordance with the principle that international multilateral treaties, the aims and objectives of which concern the international community as a whole, should be open to participation by all States.

SAUDI ARABIA<sup>15</sup>

"The accession of the Government of Saudi Arabia to the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs shall not be construed as implying recognition of the so-called State of Israel nor does the accession, in any way, imply the intention of the Government of Saudi Arabia to enter into any inter-course whatsoever with the latter in matters bearing on this Convention "

SOUTH AFRICA

"Subject to a reservation in respect of article 48 of the Convention, as provided for in article 50, paragraph 2."

SRI LANKA

The Government of Ceylon notified the Secretary-General that in respect of article 17 of the Convention, "the existing administration will be maintained for the purpose of applying the provisions of the Convention without setting up a 'special administration' for the purpose."

The Government added that this was to be considered a statement and not a reservation.

SWITZERLAND

Switzerland maintains in force article 9 of the Convention for the Suppression of the Illicit Traffic in Dangerous Drugs, signed at Geneva on 26 June 1936.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic will not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, article 13, paragraph 2, article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2 and article 31, paragraph 1 (b) of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs as applied to States not entitled to become Parties to the Single Convention on the basis of the procedure provided for in article 40 of that Convention.

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic deems it essential to draw attention to the discriminatory character of article 40, paragraph 1, of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, under the terms of which certain States are not entitled to become Parties to the said Convention. The Single Convention concerns matters which are of interest to all States and has as its objective the enlistment of the efforts of all countries in the struggle against the social evil of the abuse of narcotics. The Convention should therefore be open to all countries. According to the principle of the sovereign equality of States, no States have the right to deny to other countries the possibility of participating in a Convention of this type.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics will not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, article 13, paragraph 2, article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2 and article 31, paragraph 1 (b) of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs as applied to States not entitled to become Parties to the Single Convention on the basis of the procedure provided for in article 40 of that Convention.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics deems it essential to draw attention to the discriminatory character of article 40, paragraph 1, of the

Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, under the terms of which certain States are not entitled to become Parties to the said Convention. The Single Convention concerns matters which are of interest to all States and has as its objective the enlistment of the efforts of all countries in the struggle against the social evil of the abuse of narcotics. The Convention should therefore be open to all countries. According to the principle of the sovereign equality of States, no States have the right to deny to other countries the possibility of participating in a Convention of this type.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . . .	1 Dec 1967	All non-metropolitan territories for the international relations of which Australia is responsible, namely, the territories of Papua, Norfolk Island, Christmas Island, Cocos (Keeling) Islands, Heard and MacDonalld Islands, Ashmore and Cartier Islands, the Australian Antarctic Territory and the Trust Territories of New Guinea and Nauru
France . . . . .	19 Feb 1969	The whole of the territory of the French Republic
India . . . . .	13 Dec 1964	Sikkim
Netherlands . . . . .	16 Jul 1965	For the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles
New Zealand . . . . .	26 Mar 1963	Cook Islands (including Niue) and the Tokelau Islands, being non-metropolitan territories for the international relations of which the Government of New Zealand is responsible
United Kingdom . . . . .	26 Jan 1965	Antigua, Bahamas, Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands, Brunei, Cayman Islands, Dominica, Falkland Islands <sup>10</sup> , Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Grenada, Hong Kong, Mauritius, Montserrat, St Helena, St. Lucia, St. Christopher-Nevis-Anguilla, St. Vincent, Seychelles, Southern Rhodesia, Swaziland, Tonga, Turks and Caicos Islands, Virgin Islands
	27 May 1965	Aden and Protectorate of South Arabia
	3 May 1966	Barbados
	24 Jun 1977	Channel Islands and Isle of Man
United States of America . . . . .	25 May 1967	All areas for the international relations of which the United States is responsible

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Twenty-sixth Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/3169), p. 17.

2/ Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 30 March 1961 and 12 May 1969 respectively. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China

(note 2 in chapter I.1). See also the declaration made by the Government of India upon ratification.

3/ The Secretary-General received on 15 March 1976 a communication from the Government of the German Democratic Republic stating in part as follows:

In acceding to the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs of 30 March 1961 the German Democratic Republic started solely from the provisions on

accession to this Convention as set forth in its article 40. There was no intention of acceding to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 25 March 1972.

4/ In a letter accompanying the instrument of ratification the Permanent Representative of the Federal Republic of Germany to the United Nations made the following declaration on behalf of his Government:

"... The said Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 3 May 1974 a communication from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics stating as follows:

The Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, contains as is well known, provisions relating to both the territories of the States parties and the exercise by them of their jurisdiction. As a result of the unconditional extension by the Federal Republic of Germany of the operation of that Convention to Berlin (West), matters concerning the status of the western sectors of Berlin would be affected, which would be contrary to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, in accordance with which the western sectors of Berlin are not a part of the Federal Republic of Germany and will not be governed by it in the future.

In the light of the foregoing, the Soviet Union can take note of the statement of the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension of the operation of the aforesaid Convention to Berlin (West) only on the understanding that it will be so extended subject to conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and to observance of the established procedure and that the application of the provisions of that Convention to the western sectors of Berlin will not affect matters of status.

An identical communication in essence, mutatis mutandis, was received on 6 August 1974 from the Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic.

Upon accession, the Government of the German Democratic Republic made the following declaration:

Concerning the application of the Convention to Berlin (West), the German Democratic Republic states, in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic, that Berlin (West) is no constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and must not be governed by it.

In the light of the foregoing, the German Democratic Republic takes note of the declaration of the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension of the operation of the Convention to Berlin (West) only on the understanding that it will be so extended in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement and that the application of the provisions of the Con-

vention to Berlin (West) will not affect matters of the status of Berlin (West).

5/ By a communication received by the Secretary-General on 11 March 1980, the Government of Liechtenstein confirmed that it was not its intention to become a Party to the Convention as modified by the Protocol of 23 March 1972.

6/ For the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles.

7/ In the instrument of ratification, the Government of Peru withdrew the reservation made on its behalf at the time of signing the Convention; for the text of that reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 520, p. 376.

8/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 15 February 1972, the Chargé d'Affaires a.i. of the Republic of Uganda to the United Nations informed him of the following.

"It is the understanding of the Government of the Republic of Uganda that in ratifying the said Convention, the Government of Portugal did not purport to act on behalf of Angola, Mozambique and Guinea-Bissau which are distinct and separate political entities for which Portugal lacks any legal, moral or political capacity to represent."

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 25 April 1972, the Permanent Representative of Portugal to the United Nations informed him as follows with respect to the above-mentioned communication:

"The Government of Portugal is surprised that communications containing meaningless statements such as that from the Chargé d'Affaires of Uganda should be circulated, since they show clear ignorance of the fact that Portugal was admitted to the membership of the United Nations with the territorial composition that it has today, and including Angola, Mozambique and Portuguese Guinea."

9/ The accession was initially effected in the name of the Government of the Republic of Viet-Nam. In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 23 November 1970, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Albania had stated that the Albanian Government considered the above-mentioned accession to be without any legal validity, since the only representative of the people of South Viet-Nam qualified to speak on its behalf and to enter into international commitments were the Provisional Revolutionary Government of the Republic of South Viet-Nam.

A similar communication was received by the Secretary-General on 11 January 1971 from the Permanent Representative of the Mongolian People's Republic to the United Nations. See also note 4 in chapter III.6 on this subject

10/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 24 October 1979, the Government of Argentina declared that it withdrew the reservation relating to article 49 of the Convention. (For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 520, p. 353.)



11/ For the text of reservations as formulated by the Government of Bulgaria in respect of the same articles of the Convention at the time of its signature, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 520, p. 355.

12/ In a notification received on 18 January 1980, the Government of Egypt informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw the declaration relating to Israël. For the text of the said declaration, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 568 p. 364. The notification indicates 25 January 1980 as the effective date of the withdrawal.

A communication was received by the Secretary-General on 21 September 1966 from the Government of Israel with reference to the above-mentioned declaration. For the text of the communication see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 573, p. 374.

13/ In its instrument of ratification the Government of Indonesia withdraws the declarations made upon signature regarding its intention to make reservations with respect to article 40(1) and article 42 of the said Convention. For the text of these declarations, corresponding to paragraphs 1 and 2, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 520, p. 368.

14/ Inasmuch as the reservation in question was not formulated by Australia at the time the Convention was originally extended to Papua and New Guinea, it will become effective on the date when it would have done so, pursuant to article 41(2) and 50(2) of the Convention, had it been formulated on accession, that is to say the thirtieth day after the deposit of the notifica-

tion of succession by the Government of Papua New Guinea, i.e., on 27 November 1980.

15/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 23 May 1972 the Permanent Representative of Israel to the United Nations made the following declaration:

"The Government of Israel has noted the political character of the reservation made by the Government of Saudi Arabia on that occasion. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, the said pronouncement by the Government of Saudi Arabia cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Saudi Arabia, under general international law or under particular treaties. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Saudi Arabia an attitude of complete reciprocity."

16/ On 3 October 1983, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Argentina the following objection:

[The Government of Argentina makes a] formal objection to the declaration of territorial extension issued by the United Kingdom with regard to the Malvinas Islands (and dependencies), which that country is illegally occupying and refers to as the "Falkland Islands".

The Argentine Republic rejects and considers null and void the [said declaration] of territorial extension.

See also note 9 in chapter III.11.

16. CONVENTION ON PSYCHOTROPIC SUBSTANCES

Concluded at Vienna on 21 February 1971

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 16 August 1976, in accordance with article 26(1).  
 REGISTRATION: 16 August 1976, No. 14956.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1019, p. 175 including (procès-verbal of rectification of the English and Russian texts).

Note: The Convention was adopted and opened for signature by the United Nations Conference for the Adoption of a Protocol on Psychotropic Substances, held at Vienna from 11 January to 21 February 1971. The Conference was convened pursuant to resolution 1474 (XLVIII)<sup>1</sup> of 24 March 1970 of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .		21 May 1985 <u>a</u>	Liberia . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	
Algeria . . . . .		14 Jul 1978 <u>a</u>	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .		24 Apr 1979 <u>a</u>
Argentina . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	16 Feb 1978	Madagascar . . . . .		20 Jun 1974 <u>a</u>
Australia . . . . .	23 Dec 1971	19 May 1982	Malawi . . . . .		9 Apr 1980 <u>a</u>
Barbados . . . . .		28 Jan 1975 <u>a</u>	Malaysia . . . . .		22 Jul 1986 <u>a</u>
Benin . . . . .		6 Nov 1973 <u>a</u>	Mauritius . . . . .		8 May 1973 <u>a</u>
Bolivia . . . . .		20 Mar 1985 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .		20 Feb 1975 <u>a</u>
Botswana . . . . .		27 Dec 1984 <u>a</u>	Monaco . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	6 Jul 1977
Brazil . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	14 Feb 1973	Morocco . . . . .		11 Feb 1980 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .		18 May 1972 <u>a</u>	New Zealand . . . . .	13 Sep 1971	
Byelorussian SSR	30 Dec 1971	15 Dec 1978	Nicaragua . . . . .		24 Oct 1973 <u>a</u>
Cameroon . . . . .		5 Jun 1981 <u>a</u>	Nigeria . . . . .		23 Jun 1981 <u>a</u>
Chile . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	18 May 1972	Norway . . . . .		18 Jul 1975 <u>a</u>
China <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		23 Aug 1985 <u>a</u>	Pakistan . . . . .		9 Jun 1977 <u>a</u>
Colombia . . . . .		12 May 1981 <u>a</u>	Panama . . . . .		18 Feb 1972 <u>a</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .	2 Sep 1971	16 Feb 1977	Papua New Guinea		20 Nov 1981 <u>a</u>
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		11 Apr 1984 <u>a</u>	Paraguay <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	28 Jul 1971	3 Feb 1972
Cuba . . . . .		26 Apr 1976 <u>a</u>	Peru . . . . .		28 Jan 1980 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		26 Nov 1973 <u>a</u>	Philippines . . . . .		7 Jun 1974 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	18 Apr 1975	Poland . . . . .	30 Dec 1971	3 Jan 1975
Dominican Republic		19 Nov 1975 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .		20 Apr 1979 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .		7 Sep 1973 <u>a</u>	Qatar . . . . .		18 Dec 1986 <u>a</u>
Egypt . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	14 Jun 1972	Republic of Korea		12 Jan 1978 <u>a</u>
Ethiopia . . . . .		23 Jun 1980 <u>a</u>	Ruanda . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	15 Jul 1981
Finland . . . . .	15 Oct 1971	20 Nov 1972	Saudi Arabia . . . . .		29 Jan 1975 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	17 Dec 1971	28 Jan 1975 <sup>3</sup>	Senegal . . . . .		10 Jun 1977 <u>a</u>
Gabon . . . . .		14 Oct 1981 <u>a</u>	Somalia . . . . .		2 Sep 1986 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		2 Dec 1975 <u>a</u>	South Africa . . . . .		27 Jan 1972 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	23 Dec 1971	2 Dec 1977	Spain <sup>6</sup> . . . . .		20 Jul 1973 <u>a</u>
Ghana . . . . .	21 Feb 1971		Sweden . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	5 Dec 1972
Greece . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	10 Feb 1977	Syrian Arab Republic		8 Mar 1976 <u>a</u>
Grenada . . . . .		25 Apr 1980 <u>a</u>	Thailand . . . . .		21 Nov 1975 <u>a</u>
Guatemala . . . . .		13 Aug 1979 <u>a</u>	Togo . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	18 May 1976
Guyana . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	4 May 1977	Tonga . . . . .		24 Oct 1975 <u>a</u>
Holy See . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	7 Jan 1976	Trinidad and Tobago	21 Feb 1971	14 Mar 1979
Hungary . . . . .	30 Dec 1971	19 Jul 1979	Tunisia . . . . .		23 Jul 1979 <u>a</u>
Iceland . . . . .		18 Dec 1974 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	1 Apr 1981
India . . . . .		23 Apr 1975 <u>a</u>	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	30 Dec 1971	20 Nov 1978
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	21 Feb 1971		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	30 Dec 1971	3 Nov 1978
Iraq . . . . .		17 May 1976 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	24 Mar 1986
Italy . . . . .		27 Nov 1981 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	16 Apr 1980
Japan . . . . .	21 Dec 1971		Uruguay . . . . .		16 Mar 1976 <u>a</u>
Jordan . . . . .		8 Aug 1975 <u>a</u>	Venezuela . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	23 May 1972
Kuwait . . . . .		13 Jul 1979 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	21 Feb 1971	15 Oct 1973
Lebanon . . . . .	21 Feb 1971		Zaire . . . . .		12 Oct 1977 <u>a</u>
Lesotho . . . . .		23 Apr 1975 <u>a</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and ratifications were made upon definitive signature, ratification or accession.)

AFGHANISTAN

Reservation:

The Democratic Republic of Afghanistan, while acceding to the Convention on Psychotropic Substances, declares that it does not consider itself bound to the provision of the second paragraph of article 31, since this paragraph calls for the submission to the International Court of Justice upon the request of one of the Parties, of differences of opinion that may arise between two or several Parties to the Convention on its interpretation and implementation.

The Democratic Republic of Afghanistan, therefore, declares in this connection that in the event of a conflict of opinion on such cases, the issue at conflict shall be submitted to the International Court of Justice not at the request of one of the sides, but upon the agreement of all Parties concerned.

ARGENTINA

"With a reservation concerning the effects of the application of the Convention to non-metropolitan Territories whose sovereignty is in dispute, as indicated in our vote on article 27."

AUSTRALIA

"The Convention shall not apply to the non-metropolitan territories for the international relations of which Australia is responsible."

BRAZIL

Upon signature (confirmed upon ratification except as far as concerns the reservation to article 27):

"With a reservation to article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2, articles 27 and 31."

BULGARIA

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the decisions of the International Court on cases that have been brought before it, pursuant to article 31 of the Convention, without the consent of the People's Republic of Bulgaria.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST  
REPUBLIC

Reservations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic will not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 1971 as applied to States not entitled to become Parties to the Convention on the basis of the procedure provided for in article 25 of that Convention.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does

not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 31 of the Convention concerning the referral to the International Court of Justice of a dispute relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention at the request of any one of the Parties to the dispute and declares that the referral of any such dispute to the International Court of Justice shall in each case require the consent of all the Parties to the dispute.

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Byelorussian SSR states that the provisions of article 25 of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances, under the terms of which a number of States are not entitled to become Parties to the said Convention, are of a discriminatory nature and considers that in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States the Convention should be open for participation by all interested States without any discrimination or restriction.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic deems it essential to state that the provisions of article 27 of the Convention are at variance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples of the United Nations General Assembly (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of "bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations".

CHINA

Reservation:

"1. The Chinese Government has reservation on paragraph 2, article 48 of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs of 1961 [as amended] and on paragraph 2, article 31 of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 1971."

Declaration:

2. The signature and ratification by the Taiwan authorities in the name of China respectively on 30 March 1961 and 12 May 1969 of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs of 1961 and their signature of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 1971 on 21 February 1971 are all illegal and therefore null and void."

CUBA

Reservation:

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 31 of the Convention, since, in its view, disputes between Parties should be settled only by direct negotiation through the diplomatic channel.

Declaration:

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba considers that, despite the fact that the Convention deals with matters affecting the interests of all States, the provisions of article

25, paragraph 1, and article 26 of the Convention are discriminatory in character in that they deny a number of States the right of signature and accession, thus violating the principle of the sovereign equality of States.

EGYPT

Upon signature:

"Subject to reservation as to:

"(a) Article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2

"(b) Article 27, and

"(c) Article 31."

Upon ratification:

The United Arab Republic [Arab Republic of Egypt] reserves its position on article 19, paras. 1, 2 (concerning measures by the Board to ensure the execution of the provision of the Convention and its right of contestation).

The UAR [Arab Republic of Egypt] reserves its position on article 27 (concerning the existence of territories or colonies pertaining to certain states).

The UAR [Arab Republic of Egypt] reserves its position on article 31 (concerning the method of settlement of disputes between members).

FRANCE

With regard to article 31, France does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraph 2 and declares that disputes relating to the interpretation and application of the Convention which have not been settled through the channels provided for in paragraph 1 of the said article may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all the parties to the dispute.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservations

In respect of article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of Article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention, insofar as they concern States which have no opportunity to become parties to the Convention in accordance with Article 25.

In respect of article 31, paragraph 2:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of Article 31, paragraph 2, of the Convention, which provides for compulsory jurisdiction by the International Court of Justice, and, with regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice for disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, holds the view that in any such case the consent of all parties to the dispute shall be required to refer it for decision to the International Court of Justice.

Declarations

In respect of article 25:

The German Democratic Republic considers that the provisions of Article 25 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become

parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

In respect of article 27:

The position of the German Democratic Republic or the provisions of Article 27 of the Convention, insofar as they concern the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing colonialism in all its forms and manifestations to a speedy and unconditional end.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Reservations:<sup>7</sup>

1. In respect of article II, paragraph 2 (only regarding schedule III):

In the Federal Republic of Germany, manufacturers, wholesale distributors, importers and exporters are not required to keep records of the type described but instead to mark specifically those items in their invoices which contain substances and preparations in Schedule III. Invoices and packaging slips showing such items are to be preserved by these persons for a minimum period of five years.

2. In respect of article 11, paragraph 4:

In the Federal Republic of Germany, the persons and institutions named in this provision will keep separate files, for at least five years, of invoices showing items that contain substances and preparations in Schedule III which they have received from the persons named in article 11, paragraph 2, and will once a year determine their stock of substances and preparations in Schedule III. Any other acquisition and any disposal or removal without prescription of substances and preparations in Schedule III will be recorded separately. These records will likewise be preserved for five years.

HUNGARY

Upon signature:

"The Hungarian Government avails itself of the possibility accorded to it in paragraph 2 of article 32 and makes reservations in respect of article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2, article 27 and article 31 of the present Convention."

Upon ratification:

Reservations in respect of article 19(1) and (2) and article 31(2):

(a) The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 19 concerning the States which, under article 25 of the Convention, are deprived of the opportunity to become parties to the Convention.

(b) The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by paragraph 2 of article 31, which provides for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice and it declares that disputes arising in connection with the application and interpretation of the Convention and not settled in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 31 can be submitted to the Interna-

tional Court of Justice only with the consent of all the Parties to the dispute.

Declarations:

(a) The Hungarian People's Republic calls attention to the fact that article 25 of the Convention is of a discriminative nature and is at variance with the principle of sovereign equality of States and it considers that the Convention should be open to all interested States.

(b) The Hungarian People's Republic deems it necessary to declare further that article 27 of the Convention is inconsistent with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations (resolution 1514(XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

INDIA

"The Government of India reserve their position with regard to paragraph 2 of article 31 of the aforesaid Convention and do not consider themselves bound by the provisions of that paragraph."

IRAQ

Reservations:

The Government of the Republic of Iraq hereby declare that they do not consider themselves bound by the provisions of paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 19 of the Convention inasmuch as those two paragraphs are considered to be an interference in the internal affairs of the Republic of Iraq.

2. The Government of the Republic of Iraq declare that they do not consider themselves to be bound by the provisions of paragraph (2) of article 31 of the said Convention. The Government of the Republic of Iraq consider that recourse to the International Court of Justice in a dispute to which they are party shall not be had except with their approval

Declaration:

Entry into the above Convention by the Republic of Iraq shall, however, in no way signify recognition of Israel or be conducive to entry into any relations therewith.

KUWAIT<sup>8</sup>

"It is understood that the accession of the State of Kuwait to the Convention on psychotropic substances done at Vienna on the 21st of February, 1971, does not in any way mean recognition of Israel by the State of Kuwait. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the State of Kuwait and Israel."

LIBYAN ARAB JAMAHIRIYA

The Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahiriya does not consider itself bound by its provisions concerning the compulsory reference to the International Court of Justice [of] disputes resulting from this Convention.

MEXICO

The Government of Mexico, in acceding to the Convention on Psychotropic Substances adopted on 21 February 1971, makes, pursuant to the provisions of article 32, paragraph 4, of the Convention, an express reservation with regard to the application of the said international instrument, since there still exist in its territory certain indigenous ethnic groups which, in magical or religious rites, traditionally make use of wild plants which contain psychotropic substances from among those in schedule I.

PAPUA NEW GUINEA

28 October 1980

Reservations

"The Government of Papua New Guinea in accordance with article 32, paragraph 2 of the Convention hereby lodges a reservation in relation to article 31, paragraph 2, of the Convention which provides for reference of a dispute to the International Court of Justice.

The Government of Papua New Guinea in accordance with article 32, paragraph 3 of the Convention hereby lodges a reservation in relation to article 10, paragraph 1 which provides for warnings on packages and advertising."

PERU<sup>10</sup>

Reservations are made with respect to articles 7 and 19 (1) and (2) of the Convention. The reservation to article 7 does not extend to the provisions relating to international trade, in accordance with the provisions of article 32 (4) of the Convention

POLAND

Reservations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The Government of the Polish People's Republic wishes to make reservations concerning the following provisions:

"(1) Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Article 19 of the above-said Convention as applicable to states deprived of the opportunities of becoming Parties to the Convention in view of the procedure provided for in Article 25 of the Convention.

"In the considered opinion of the Government of the Polish People's Republic the provisions of Article 25 of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 1971 are of discriminatory character. In this connection the Government of the Polish People's Republic reiterates its firm position that the above-said Convention, in accordance with the principle of sovereign equality of states, should be open to all interested states without any discrimination.

"(2) Paragraph 2 of Article 31 of the Convention which provides that disputes which cannot be settled by negotiation, investigation, mediation, conciliation, arbitration, recourse to regional bodies, judicial process or other peaceful means of their own choice, shall be referred, at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute, to the International Court of Justice for decision. In this connection the Government of the

Polish People's Republic wishes to state that a submission of a dispute to the International Court of Justice, for its decision can be made only with full consent to such a procedure by all parties to the dispute and not at the request of one or some of them."

SOUTH AFRICA

"The Government of the Republic of South Africa deem it advisable to accede to the Convention on Psychotropic Substances, subject to reservations in respect of Article 19 paragraphs 1 and 2, Article 27 and Article 31 as provided for in article 32 paragraph 2 of the Convention."

TUNISIA

Reservation in respect of article 31 (2):

Any such disputes which cannot be settled in the manner prescribed shall be referred, with the agreement of all the parties to the dispute, to the International Court of Justice for decision

TURKEY

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

Reservation with respect to article 31 (2) of the Convention, made in accordance with its article 32 (2).

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic will not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 1971 as applied to States not entitled to become Parties to the Convention on the basis of the procedure provided for in article 25 of that Convention

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 31 of the Convention concerning the referral to the International Court of Justice of a dispute relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention at the request of any one of the Parties to the dispute and declares that the referral of any such dispute to the International Court of Justice shall in each case require the consent of all Parties to the dispute.

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic states that the provisions of article 25 of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances, under the terms of which a number of States are not entitled to become Parties to the said Convention, are of a discriminatory nature and considers that in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States the Convention should be open for participation by all interested States without any discrimination or restriction

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic deems

it essential to state that the provisions of article 27 of the Convention are at variance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples of the United Nations General Assembly (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of "bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations"

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics will not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 1971 as applied to States not entitled to become Parties to the Convention on the basis of the procedure provided for in article 25 of that Convention.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 31 of the Convention concerning the referral to the International Court of Justice of a dispute relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention at the request of any one of the Parties to the dispute and declares that the referral of any such dispute to the International Court of Justice shall in each case require the consent of all Parties to the dispute.

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics states that the provisions of article 25 of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances, under the terms of which a number of States are not entitled to become Parties to the said Convention, are of a discriminatory nature and considers that in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States the Convention should be open for participation by all interested States without any discrimination or restriction.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics deems it essential to state that the provisions of article 27 of the Convention are at variance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples of the United Nations General Assembly (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of "bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations".

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"In accord with paragraph 4 of article 32 of the Convention, peyote harvested and distributed for use by the Native American Church in its religious rites is excepted from the provisions of article 7 of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances".

YUGOSLAVIA

Subject to a reservation to article 27 of the Convention

VI.16: Narcotic Drugs--Psychotropic Substances, 1971

Amendments to tables I, II, III and IV annexed to the Convention  
(Article 2 of the Convention)

<u>Table</u>	<u>Decision by the Narcotics Commission</u>		<u>Date of the notification of the decision by the Narcotics Division of the Secretariat</u>
	<u>No.</u>	<u>Date</u>	
I-IV	6(XXVII)	24 Feb 1977	10 Jun 1977 (NAR/CL.1/1977)
I	3(S-U)	16 Feb 1978	20 Jun 1978 (NAR/CL.4/1978)
II,IV	4(XXVIII)	22 Feb 1979	28 Mar 1979 (NAR/CL.3/1979)
II	4(S-VI)	14 Feb 1980	31 Mar 1980 (NAR/CL.6/1980)
I	5(S-VI)	14 Feb 1980	31 Mar 1980 (NAR/CL.7/1980)
IV	2(XXIX)	4 Feb 1981	3 Apr 1981 (NAR/CL.2/1981)
IV	3(XXIX)	4 Feb 1981	3 Apr 1981 (NAR/CL.8/1981)
IV	5(XXIX)	4 Feb 1981	3 Apr 1981 (NAR/CL.10/1981)

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Forty-eighth Session, Resolutions (E/4832)

2/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 21 February 1971. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China, preface (note 2 in chapter I.1).

3/ With a declaration that the provisions of the Convention will apply throughout the territory of the French Republic (European and overseas departments and overseas territories).

4/ With the following declaration:

The Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

The Secretary-General received on 18 April 1977 from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics the following communication concerning the above declaration:

In connexion with the declaration of 8 November 1976 by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 21 February 1971 to Berlin (West), the Soviet side declares that it does not object to the application of the Convention to Berlin (West) in such measure and to such an extent as is permissible from the standpoint of the Four-Power Agreement of 3 September 1971, according to which West Berlin is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and is not governed by it.

Subsequently, in a communication received by the Secretary-General on 8 July 1977, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared as follows:

"The German Democratic Republic takes notice of the statement made by the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the application of the provisions of the Convention on Psychotropic

Substances of 21 February 1971 to Berlin (West) and understands that the application of these provisions to Berlin (West) is only possible to the extent that it is in keeping with the Quadripartite Agreement of September 3, 1971, under which Berlin (West) is no constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and must not be governed by it."

5/ The signature on behalf of the Government of Paraguay was affixed "Ad Referendum" in accordance with the instructions contained in the full powers. In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 12 October 1971, the Permanent Representative of Paraguay to the United Nations indicated that the words "Ad Referendum" should be taken as meaning that the Convention concerned was subject to ratification by the Republic of Paraguay in accordance with its constitutional requirements and to the deposit of an instrument of ratification under article 25 of the said Convention.

6/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 20 December 1973, the Permanent Representative of Spain to the United Nations made the following statement:

Spain considers itself to be internationally responsible for the territory of the Sahara; consequently, the provisions of the 1971 Vienna Convention on Psychotropic Substances shall also apply to that territory.

7/ None of the States Parties having objected to these reservations by the end of 12 months after the date (1 December 1976) when they were circulated by the Secretary-General, the said reservations are deemed to have been permitted in accordance with the provisions of article 32.

8/ With respect to the above declaration, the Secretary-General received on 29 October 1979 from the Government of Israel the following communications:

"The Government of the State of Israel has noted the political character of the statement

made by the Government of Kuwait. In the view of the Government of the State of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, the said declaration cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Kuwait under general international law or under particular conventions. The Government of the State of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Kuwait an attitude of complete reciprocity."

9/ None of the States Parties having objected to ~~this reservation~~ by the end of twelve months after the date (19 November 1980) when they were circulated by the Secretary-General, the said reservation is deemed to have been permitted in accordance with the provisions of article 2.

10/ The Secretary-General received, on 29

January 1981, from the Government of Peru the following clarification in respect of the reservation made to article 7.

"The reservation referred to was motivated by the following two wild plant species: Ayahuasca, a liana which grows in the Amazon region and which contains the active element N, N-dimethyltryptamine, and a columnar cactus known as San Pedro, which grows in the desert coastal regions and in the Andean region and contains mescaline. Ayahuasca is used by certain Amazon ethnic groups in magical and religious rites and in rites of initiation into adulthood; San Pedro is used in magical rites by indigenous medicine men or shamans. Because of their psychotropic content, both plant species are included in the reservation option made possible by article 32, paragraph 4, of the Convention.



17. PROTOCOL AMENDING THE SINGLE CONVENTION ON NARCOTIC DRUGS, 1961

Concluded at Geneva on 25 March 1972

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 8 August 1975, in accordance with article 18.  
 REGISTRATION: 8 August 1975, No. 14151.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 976, p. 3.

Note: The Protocol was adopted on 24 March 1972 by the United Nations Conference to consider amendments to the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, held at Geneva from 6 to 25 March 1972. The Conference was convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations pursuant to resolution 1577 (1)<sup>1</sup> of 20 May 1971 of the United Nations Economic and Social Council.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	16 Nov 1973	Liberia . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	
Australia . . . . .	22 Nov 1972	22 Nov 1972	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .		27 Sep 1978 <sup>a</sup>
Austria . . . . .		1 Feb 1978 <sup>a</sup>	Liechtenstein . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	
Bahamas . . . . .		23 Nov 1976 <sup>a</sup>	Luxembourg . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	13 Oct 1976
Bangladesh . . . . .		9 May 1980 <sup>a</sup>	Madagascar . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	20 Jun 1974
Barbados . . . . .		21 Jun 1976 <sup>a</sup>	Malawi . . . . .		4 Oct 1973 <sup>a</sup>
Belgium . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	13 Jun 1984	Malaysia . . . . .		20 Apr 1978 <sup>a</sup>
Benin . . . . .		6 Nov 1973 <sup>a</sup>	Mexico . . . . .		27 Apr 1977 <sup>a</sup>
Botswana . . . . .		27 Dec 1984 <sup>a</sup>	Monaco . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	30 Dec 1975
Brazil . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	16 May 1973	Morocco . . . . .	28 Dec 1972	
Cameroon . . . . .		30 May 1974 <sup>a</sup>	New Zealand . . . . .	15 Dec 1972	
Canada . . . . .		5 Aug 1976 <sup>a</sup>	Nicaragua . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	
Chile . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	19 Dec 1975	Niger . . . . .	28 Nov 1972	28 Dec 1973
Colombia . . . . .		3 Mar 1975 <sup>a</sup>	Norway . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	12 Nov 1973
Costa Rica . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	14 Feb 1973	Pakistan . . . . .	29 Dec 1972	
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	28 Feb 1973	Panama . . . . .	18 May 1972	
Cyprus . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	30 Nov 1973	Papua New Guinea		
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	25 Mar 1972		Paraguay <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	18 Oct 1972	28 Oct 1980 <sup>a</sup>
Denmark . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	18 Apr 1975	Peru . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	20 Jun 1973
Ecuador . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	25 Jul 1973	Philippines . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	12 Sep 1977
Egypt . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	14 Jan 1974	Portugal . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	7 Jun 1974
Fiji . . . . .		21 Nov 1973 <sup>a</sup>	Republic of Korea	29 Dec 1972	20 Apr 1979 <sup>a</sup>
Finland . . . . .	16 May 1972	12 Jan 1973	[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	25 Jan 1973
France . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	4 Sep 1975 <sup>2</sup>	Romania . . . . .		14 Jan 1974 <sup>a</sup>
Gabon . . . . .	25 Mar 1972		Senegal . . . . .	16 Aug 1972	25 Mar 1974
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	20 Feb 1975 <sup>3</sup>	Singapore . . . . .		9 Jul 1975 <sup>a</sup>
Ghana . . . . .	25 Mar 1972		South Africa . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	16 Dec 1975
Greece . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	12 Jul 1985	Spain . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	4 Jan 1977
Guatemala . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	9 Dec 1975	Sri Lanka . . . . .		29 Jun 1981 <sup>a</sup>
Haiti . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	29 Jan 1973	Sweden . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	5 Dec 1972
Holy See . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	7 Jan 1976	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .		1 Feb 1974 <sup>a</sup>
Honduras . . . . .		8 Aug 1979 <sup>a</sup>	Thailand . . . . .		9 Jan 1975 <sup>a</sup>
Iceland . . . . .		18 Dec 1974 <sup>a</sup>	Togo . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	10 Nov 1976
India . . . . .		14 Dec 1978 <sup>a</sup>	Tonga . . . . .		5 Sep 1973 <sup>a</sup>
Indonesia . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	3 Sep 1976	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		23 Jul 1979 <sup>a</sup>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	25 Mar 1972		Tunisia . . . . .	22 Dec 1972	29 Jun 1976
Iraq . . . . .		25 Sep 1978 <sup>a</sup>	Turkey . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	
Ireland . . . . .		16 Dec 1980 <sup>a</sup>	United Kingdom . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	20 Jun 1978
Israel . . . . .	27 Mar 1972	1 Feb 1974	United States of America . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	1 Nov 1972
Italy . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	14 Apr 1975	Uruguay . . . . .		31 Oct 1975 <sup>a</sup>
Japan . . . . .	15 Dec 1972	27 Sep 1973	Venezuela . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	4 déc 1985
Jordan . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	28 Feb 1973	Yugoslavia . . . . .	25 Mar 1972	23 Jun 1978
Kenya . . . . .		9 Feb 1973 <sup>a</sup>	Zaire . . . . .		15 Jul 1976 <sup>a</sup>
Kuwait . . . . .		7 Nov 1973 <sup>a</sup>			
Lebanon . . . . .	25 Mar 1972				
Lesotho . . . . .		4 Nov 1974 <sup>a</sup>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

BELGIUM

With a reservation concerning the following articles:

1. Article 5 amending article 12 (5) of the Single Convention;
2. Article 9 amending article 29 (1), (2) and (5) of the Single Convention.

BRAZIL

"Brazil wishes to take this opportunity to repeat the declaration that was made at the appropriate occasion during the plenary session of the Protocol's Negotiating Conference which took place in Geneva from March 6th to March 24th, 1972, to the effect that the amendments to article 36 of the Convention do not oblige States with laws against extradition of nationals to extradite them."

"Under the terms of article 21 of the Protocol, Brazil wishes to make it clear that it does not accept the amendment introduced by article 1 of the Protocol to article 2, para. 4, of the 1961 Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs."

CANADA

"Subject to a reservation with respect to subparagraphs (i), (ii) and (iii) of paragraph 2 (b) of the amending article 14."

EGYPT<sup>6</sup>

GREECE

"With a reservation to article 1(4) amending the article 2 of the Single Convention."

INDIA

"The Government of India reserve their position with regard to articles 5, 6, 9, 11 and 14 of the aforesaid Protocol and do not consider themselves bound by the provisions of these articles."<sup>7</sup>

IRAQ<sup>8</sup>

This accession shall, however, in no way signify recognition of Israel or entry into any relations therewith.

ISRAEL

Upon signature:

"... The Government of Israel will not proceed to the ratification of the Protocol until it has received assurances that all the neighbouring States who intend to become parties to it will do so without reservation or declaration, and that the so-called reservation or declaration referring to Israel and made by one of Israel's neighbours in connection with its participation in the

1961 Single Convention, and which was quoted at the meeting of the Second Committee on 18 March 1972, is withdrawn."

Upon ratification:

"... The Government of the State of Israel, in accordance with the powers vested in it by the law, decided to ratify the Protocol while maintaining all its rights to adopt toward all other parties an attitude of complete reciprocity."

KUWAIT<sup>8</sup>

The Protocol the Government of the State of Kuwait takes the view that its accession to the said Protocol does not in any way imply its recognition of Israel, nor does it oblige it to apply the provisions of the aforementioned Protocol in respect of the said country.

MEXICO

In accordance with the provisions of article 21 'Reservations' of the Protocol amending the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, adopted in Geneva on 25 March 1972, the Government of Mexico, in acceding to that international instrument, makes an explicit reservation in respect of the application of articles 5 (amendment to article 12, paragraph 5, of the Single Convention); 6 (amendment to article 14, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Single Convention); and 11 (new article 21 bis, Limitation of Production of Opium). Accordingly, as regards the articles in respect of which this reservation is made, Mexico will be bound by the corresponding texts of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, in their original form.

PANAMA

"With a reservation regarding article 36, paragraph 2 that appears on document of May 3, 1972 signed by the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Panama."

[The reservation reads as follows:

... With the express reservation that the amendment which article 14 of the Protocol makes to article 36, paragraph 2, of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961 (a) does not modify the extradition treaties to which the Republic of Panama is a party in any manner which may compel it to extradite its own nationals; (b) does not require the Republic of Panama to include, in such extradition treaties as it may conclude in the future, any provision requiring it to extradite its own nationals; and (c) may not be interpreted or applied in any manner which gives rise to an obligation on the part of the Republic of Panama to extradite any of its own nationals ]

PERU

[The Government of Peru] entertains reservations concerning the last part of the second paragraph of article 5 of the Protocol, amending

article 12, paragraph 5, of the 1961 Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, as it considers that the powers conferred therein on the International Narcotics Control Board (INCB) are incompatible with its role as a co-ordinating body for national control systems and give it supranational supervisory functions.

ROMANIA

Reservation:

The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions contained in article 6, insofar as those provisions relate to States which are not parties to the Single Convention.

Declaration:

The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of article 17 of the Protocol are not in accordance with the principle that international multilateral treaties, the aims and objectives of which concern the world community as a whole, should be open to participation by all States.

YUGOSLAVIA

With the reservations that articles 9 and 11 of the Protocol shall not apply in the territory of the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . .	20 Jun 1978	Bailiwick of Guernsey, the Bailiwick of Jersey, the Isle of Man, the Associated States (Antigua, Dominica, Saint Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent), Belize, Bermuda, British Virgin Islands, Brunei, Cayman Islands, Falkland Islands and Dependencies <sup>3</sup> , Gibraltar, Gilbert Islands, Hong Kong, Montserrat, Saint Helena and Dependencies, Solomon Islands, Turks and Caicos Islands and Tuvalu

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Fiftieth Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/5044), p. 8.

2/ With a declaration that the provisions of the Protocol shall apply to the entire territory of the French Republic (European and overseas departments and overseas territories).

3/ With a declaration that the said Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

In this regard, the Secretary-General received on 9 June 1975 a communication from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics stating in part: the Soviet Union can take note of the declaration by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension to Berlin (West) of the sphere of application of the Protocol amending the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, signed in Geneva on 25 March 1972 only on the understanding that this extension is carried out in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, that the established procedures are respected, and that in the application of the provisions of the Protocol questions concerning status will not be raised

4/ The signature on behalf of the Government of Paraguay was affixed "Ad Referendum" in accordance with the instructions contained in the full powers. In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 18 October 1972, the Permanent Representative of Paraguay to the United Nations confirmed that the words "Ad Referendum" which preceded his signature should be considered to mean that the Protocol concerned is subject to ratification by the Republic of Paraguay, in accordance with the procedure established by the National Constitution, and to deposit of the instrument of ratification, as provided in the Protocol.

5/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

6/ In a notification received on 18 January 1980, the Government of Egypt informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw the reservation relating to Israel. For the text of the reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 976, p. 101. The notification indicates 25 January 1980 as the effective date of the withdrawal.

7/ In a note received by the Secretary-General on 14 December 1978, the Government of India clarified that the reservation made with regard to article 14 of the Protocol relates only to paragraph 2(b) of article 36 of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961.

8/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 26 December 1973, the Acting Permanent Representative of Israel to the United Nations made the following statement:

"The instrument of acceptance by the Government of Kuwait of the Protocol contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are, moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Protocol. That statement, therefore, possesses no legal validity whatsoever.

"The Government of Israel utterly rejects that statement and will proceed on the assumption that it has no validity as to the rights and duties of any State Party to the said treaties.

"The declaration of the Government of Kuwait cannot in any way affect Kuwait's obligations under whatever other obligations are binding upon that State by virtue of general international law.

"The Government of Israel, will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt toward the Government of Kuwait an attitude of complete reciprocity."

A communication, identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, was received by the Secretary-General from the Government of Israel on 11 May 1979 in respect of the declaration made upon accession by Iraq.

9/ On 3 October 1983 the Secretary-General received from the Government of Argentina the following objection:

[The Government of Argentina makes a] formal objection to the declaration of territorial extension issued by the United Kingdom with regard to the Malvinas Islands (and dependencies), which that country is illegally occupying and refers to as the "Falkland Islands".

The Argentine Republic rejects and considers null and void the [said declaration] of territorial extension.

See also note 9 in chapter III.11

VI.18. Narcotic Drugs--Single Convention as amended

18. SINGLE CONVENTION ON NARCOTIC DRUGS, 1961, AS AMENDED BY THE PROTOCOL OF 25 MARCH 1972 AMENDING THE SINGLE CONVENTION ON NARCOTIC DRUGS, 1961

Done at New York on 8 August 1975

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 8 August 1975, in accordance with article 18 of the Protocol of 25 March 1972.  
 REGISTRATION: 8 August 1975, No. 14152.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 976, p. 105.

Note: The text of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs as amended by the Protocol of 25 March 1972 was established by the Secretary-General in accordance with article 22 of the Protocol.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification or accession in respect of the Protocol of 25 March 1972 or participation in the Convention after entry into force of the amending Protocol of 25 March 1972</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a) in respect of the Convention as amended</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification or accession in respect of the Protocol of 25 March 1972 or participation in the Convention after entry into force of the amending Protocol of 25 March 1972</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a) in respect of the Convention as amended</u>
Argentina . . . . .	16 Nov 1973		Kuwait . . . . .	7 Nov 1973	
Australia . . . . .	22 Nov 1972		Lesotho . . . . .	4 Nov 1974	
Austria . . . . .	1 Feb 1978		Libyan Arab		
Bahamas . . . . .	23 Nov 1976		Jamahiriya . . . . .	27 Sep 1978	
Bangladesh . . . . .	9 May 1980		Luxembourg . . . . .	13 Oct 1976	
Barbados . . . . .	21 Jun 1976		Madagascar . . . . .	20 Jun 1974	
Belgium . . . . .	13 Jun 1984		Malawi . . . . .	4 Oct 1973	
Benin . . . . .	6 Nov 1973		Malaysia . . . . .	20 Apr 1978	
Bolivia . . . . .		23 Sep 1976 a	Mexico . . . . .	27 Apr 1977	
Botswana . . . . .	27 Dec 1984		Monaco . . . . .	30 Dec 1975	
Brazil . . . . .	16 May 1973		Niger . . . . .	28 Dec 1973	
Cameroon . . . . .	30 May 1974		Nigeria . . . . .		24 Jun 1981 a
Canada . . . . .	5 Aug 1976		Norway . . . . .	12 Nov 1973	
Chile . . . . .	19 Dec 1975		Panama . . . . .	19 Oct 1972	
China . . . . .		23 Aug 1985 a	Papua New Guinea . . . . .	28 Oct 1980	
Colombia . . . . .	3 Mar 1975		Paraguay . . . . .	20 Jun 1973	
Costa Rica . . . . .	14 Feb 1973		Peru . . . . .	12 Sep 1977	
Cote d'Ivoire . . . . .	28 Feb 1973		Philippines . . . . .	7 Jun 1974	
Cyprus . . . . .	30 Nov 1973		Portugal . . . . .	20 Apr 1979	
Denmark . . . . .	18 Apr 1975		Qatar . . . . .		3 Oct 1986 a
Ecuador . . . . .	25 Jul 1973		Republic of Korea . . . . .	25 Jan 1973	
Egypt . . . . .	14 Jan 1974		Romania . . . . .	14 Jan 1974	
Fiji . . . . .	21 Nov 1973		Rwanda . . . . .		15 Jul 1981 a
Finland . . . . .	12 Jan 1973		Senegal . . . . .	25 Mar 1974	
France . . . . .	4 Sep 1975		Singapore . . . . .	9 Jul 1975	
Gabon . . . . .		14 Oct 1981 a	South Africa . . . . .	16 Dec 1975	
Germany, Federal			Spain . . . . .	4 Jan 1977	
Republic of . . . . .	20 Feb 1975		Sri Lanka . . . . .	29 Jun 1981	
Greece . . . . .	11 Aug 1985		Sweden . . . . .	5 Dec 1972	
Guatemala . . . . .	9 Dec 1975		Syrian Arab		
Haiti . . . . .	29 Jan 1973		Republic . . . . .	1 Feb 1974	
Holy See . . . . .	7 Jan 1976		Thailand . . . . .	9 Jan 1975	
Honduras . . . . .	8 Aug 1979		Togo . . . . .	10 Nov 1976	
Iceland . . . . .	18 Dec 1974		Tonga . . . . .	5 Sep 1973	
India . . . . .	14 Dec 1978		Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	23 Jul 1979	
Indonesia . . . . .	3 Sep 1976		Tunisia . . . . .	29 Jun 1976	
Iraq . . . . .	25 Sep 1978		United Kingdom . . . . .	20 Jun 1978	
Ireland . . . . .	16 Dec 1980		United States		
Israel . . . . .	1 Feb 1974		of America . . . . .	1 Nov 1972	
Italy . . . . .	14 Apr 1975		Uruguay . . . . .	31 Oct 1975	
Japan . . . . .	27 Sep 1973		Venezuela . . . . .	4 Dec 1985	
Jordan . . . . .	28 Feb 1973		Yugoslavia . . . . .	23 Jun 1978	
Kenya . . . . .	9 Feb 1973		Zaire . . . . .	15 Jul 1976	

Declarations and Reservations

CHINA

[See chapter VI.16]

[See also text of the declarations and reservations made in respect of the unamended Convention (chapter VI.15) and of the amending Protocol of 25 March 1972 (chapter VI 17)]



CHAPTER VII. TRAFFIC IN PERSONS

I. PROTOCOL TO AMEND THE CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE TRAFFIC IN WOMEN AND CHILDREN, CONCLUDED AT GENEVA ON 30 SEPTEMBER 1921, AND THE CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE TRAFFIC IN WOMEN OF FULL AGE, CONCLUDED AT GENEVA ON 11 OCTOBER 1933

Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 12 November 1947, in accordance with article V.<sup>1</sup>  
 REGISTRATION: 24 April 1950, No. 770.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 53, p. 13.

Note: The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 126 (II)<sup>2</sup> of 20 October 1947.

Participant	Signature	Definitive signature(s), acceptance	Participant	Signature	Definitive signature(s), acceptance
Afghanistan . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	Italy . . . . .		5 Jan 1949
Albania . . . . .		25 Jul 1949	Jamaica . . . . .		16 Mar 1965
Australia . . . . .		13 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	Lebanon . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>
Austria . . . . .		7 Jun 1950 <u>s</u>	Luxembourg . . . .	12 Nov 1947	14 Mar 1955
Belgium . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	Malta . . . . .		27 Feb 1975
Brazil . . . . .	17 Mar 1948	6 Apr 1950	Mexico . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>
Burma . . . . .		13 May 1949 <u>s</u>	Netherlands . . . .	12 Nov 1947	7 Mar 1949
Canada . . . . .		24 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	Nicaragua . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	24 Apr 1950
China <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	Niger . . . . .		7 Dec 1964
Côte d'Ivoire . . . .		5 Nov 1962 <u>s</u>	Norway . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	28 Nov 1947
Cuba . . . . .		16 Mar 1981	Pakistan . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	Poland . . . . .		21 Dec 1950
Denmark . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	21 Nov 1949	Romania . . . . .		2 Nov 1950 <u>s</u>
Egypt . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	Sierra Leone . . . .		13 Aug 1962 <u>s</u>
Finland . . . . .		6 Jan 1949	Singapore . . . . .		26 Oct 1966
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		16 Jul 1974	South Africa . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		29 May 1973	Sweden . . . . .		9 Jun 1948 <u>s</u>
Greece . . . . .	9 Mar 1951	5 Apr 1960	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .		17 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>
Hungary . . . . .		2 Feb 1950 <u>s</u>	Turkey . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>
India . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		18 Dec 1947 <u>s</u>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	16 Jul 1953		Yugoslavia . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>
Ireland . . . . .		19 Jul 1961			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature or acceptance.)

CUBA

The Government of the Republic of Cuba declares that article 10 of the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children, concluded at Geneva on 30 September 1921, and article 7 of the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age, concluded at Geneva on 11 October 1933, as amended in the annex to the Protocol done at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947, are discriminatory in that they deny States which are not Members of the United Nations and to which the Economic and Social Council does not officially communicate

the Conventions as amended by the Protocol the right to accede to the Conventions as so amended, this being contrary to the principle of sovereign equality of States.

MALTA

"In accepting the above-mentioned Protocol, Malta considers itself bound only in so far as the Protocol applies to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children concluded at Geneva on 30 September 1921 to which Malta is a party."



## PAKISTAN

"In accordance with paragraph 4 of the Schedule to the Indian Independence Order, 1947, Pakistan considers herself a party to the International

Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic of Women and Children concluded at Geneva on 30 September 1921 by the fact that India became a party to the above-mentioned Convention before 15 August 1947."

## NOTES:

1/ The amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol entered into force in respect of both Conventions on 24 April 1950, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article V of the Protocol.

2/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Second Session, Resolutions (A/519), p. 32.

3/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1)..

4/ The instrument of acceptance by the Federal Republic of Germany was accompanied by the following declaration:

"... The said Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany "

With reference to the above declaration, the Secretary-General received the following communications:

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (communication received on 4 December 1973):

The 1921 Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children and the 1933 Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age, as amended by the 1947 Protocol, and also the 1904 International Agreement for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic and the 1910 International Convention for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, as amended by the 1949 Protocol, deal with matters related to the territory of the countries Parties to the Conventions and to the exercise of authority by the Parties. As is well known, the western sector of Berlin is not an integral part of the Federal Republic of Germany and cannot be governed by it. In that connexion, the Soviet Union regards the above-mentioned statement by the Federal Republic of Germany as unlawful and as having no legal force, with all the consequences that flow therefrom, since the extension of the force of the said treaty instruments to the western sector of Berlin raises questions relating to its status, thus conflicting with the relevant provisions of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971.

Czechoslovakia (communication received on 6 December 1973):

"The Czechoslovak party is willing to take due notice of the above declaration of the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany on the extension of force of the Protocol to amend the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children concluded at Geneva on 30 September 1921 and of the Conven-

tion for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age concluded at Geneva on 11 October 1933 and of the Protocol amending the International Agreement for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic signed at Paris on 18 May 1904, and the International Convention for the Suppression of White Slave Traffic signed at Paris on 4 May 1910 to apply also to Berlin (West) only on the understanding that this extension of force is carried out in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and in accordance with the established procedures."

German Democratic Republic (communication accompanying the instrument of acceptance):

With regard to the application to Berlin (West) of the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children of 30 September 1921 as amended by the Protocol of 12 November 1947 the German Democratic Republic states in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic that Berlin (West) is no constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and must not be governed by it. The statement of the Federal Republic of Germany that this Convention as amended by the said Protocol was also to be extended to Berlin (West) is contrary to the Quadripartite Agreement which stipulates that agreements concerning the status of Berlin (West) must not be extended to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany. Consequently, the statement of the Federal Republic of Germany can have no legal effects.

France, United Kingdom, United States of America (communication received on 17 July 1974):

"In a communication to the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics which is an integral part (Annex IV A) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America reaffirmed that, provided that matters of security and status are not affected, international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin in accordance with established procedures. For its part, the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, in a communication to the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States which is similarly an integral part (Annex IV B) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such extension.

"The purpose and effect of the established procedures referred to above, which were speci-

fically endorsed in Annex IV A and B to the Quadripartite Agreement, are precisely to ensure that agreements and arrangements to be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin are extended in such a way that questions of security and status remain unaffected and to take account of the fact that these Sectors continue not to be a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it. The extension to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Conventions of 1921 and 1933, as amended by the Protocol of 1947, and of the Agreement of 1904 and the Convention of 1910, as amended by the Protocol of 1949, received the prior authorization, under these established procedures, of the authorities of France, the United Kingdom and the United States. The rights and responsibilities of the Governments of those three countries remain unaffected thereby. There is thus no question that the extension to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Conventions of 1921 and 1933, as amended by the Protocol of 1947, and the Agreement of 1904 and the Convention of 1910, as amended by the Protocol of 1949, is in any way inconsistent with the Quadripartite Agreement.

"Accordingly, the application to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Conventions of 1921 and 1933, as amended by the Protocol of 1947, and the Agreement of 1904 and the Convention of 1910, as amended by the Protocol of 1949, continues in full force and effect."

Federal Republic of Germany (communication received on 27 August 1974):

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany shares the position set out in the Note of the Three Powers. The extension of the Protocols to Berlin (West) continues in full force and effect."

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (8 July 1975--in relation to the declaration by the German Democratic Republic received on 27 August 1974):

"The communication mentioned in above-mentioned [the note] refers to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. This Agreement was concluded in Berlin between the Governments of the French Republic, the Union of Soviet

Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America. [The Government sending these communications is not a party to the Quadripartite Agreement and is] therefore not competent to make authoritative comments on its provisions.

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to bring the following to the attention of the States Parties to the instruments referred to in the above-mentioned communications. When authorising the extension of these instruments to the Western Sectors of Berlin the authorities of the Three Powers, acting in the exercise of their supreme authority, ensured in accordance with established procedures that those instruments are applied in the Western Sectors of Berlin in such a way as not to affect matters of security and status.

"Accordingly, the application of these instruments to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect.

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not consider it necessary to respond to any further communications of similar nature by States which are not signatories to the Quadripartite Agreement. This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of those Governments in this matter."

Federal Republic of Germany (19 September 1975):

"By their note of 8 July 1975, [...] the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the communications referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the note of the Three Powers, wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned instruments extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect.

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter."

VII.2: Traffic in Persons--Convention of 1921, as amended

2. CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE TRAFFIC IN WOMEN AND CHILDREN, CONCLUDED AT GENEVA ON 30 SEPTEMBER 1921 AND AMENDED BY THE PROTOCOL SIGNED AT LAKE SUCCESS, NEW YORK, ON 12 NOVEMBER 1947

ENTRY INTO FORCE. 24 April 1950, the date on which the amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 12 November 1947 entered into force, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article V of the Protocol.

REGISTRATION: 24 April 1950, No. 771.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 53, p. 39.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 12 November 1947</u>	<u>Accession to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 12 November 1947</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 12 November 1947</u>	<u>Accession to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 12 November 1947</u>
Afghanistan . . . .	12 Nov 1947		Libyan Arab		
Albania . . . . .	25 Jul 1949		Jamahiriya . . .		17 Feb 1959
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963	Luxembourg . . . .	14 Mar 1955	
Australia . . . . .	13 Nov 1947		Madagascar . . . .		18 Feb 1963
Austria . . . . .	7 Jun 1950		Malawi . . . . .		25 Feb 1966
Belgium . . . . .	12 Nov 1947		Malta . . . . .	27 Feb 1975	
Brazil . . . . .	6 Apr 1950		Mexico . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	
Burma . . . . .	13 May 1949		Netherlands . . . .	7 Mar 1949	
Canada . . . . .	24 Nov 1947		Nicaragua . . . . .	24 Apr 1950	
China <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	12 Nov 1947		Norway . . . . .	28 Nov 1947	
Cuba . . . . .	16 May 1981		Pakistan . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	
Czechoslovakia . . .	12 Nov 1947		Philippines . . . .		30 Sep 1954
Denmark . . . . .	21 Nov 1949		Poland . . . . .	21 Dec 1950	
Egypt . . . . .	12 Nov 1947		Romania . . . . .	2 Nov 1950	
Finland . . . . .	6 Jan 1949		Sierra Leone . . . .	13 Aug 1962	
German Democratic			Singapore . . . . .	26 Oct 1966	
Republic . . . . .	16 Jul 1974 <sup>2</sup>		South Africa . . . .	12 Nov 1947	
Germany, Federal			Sweden . . . . .	9 Jun 1948	
Republic of . . . .	29 May 1973		Syrian Arab		
Greece . . . . .	5 Apr 1960		Republic . . . . .	17 Nov 1947	
Hungary . . . . .	2 Feb 1950		Turkey . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	
India . . . . .	12 Nov 1947		Union of Soviet		
Ireland . . . . .	19 Jul 1961		Socialist		
Italy . . . . .	5 Jan 1949		Republics . . . . .	18 Dec 1947	
Jamaica . . . . .	16 Mar 1965		Yugoslavia . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	
Lebanon . . . . .	12 Nov 1947				

Declarations and Reservations

[See the text of the declarations and reservations in respect of the unamended Convention (chapter VII.5) and the amending Protocol of 12 November 1947 (chapter VII.1).]

NOTES:

1/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

2/ A notification of reapplication of the Convention of 30 September 1921 was received on

21 February 1974 from the Government of the German Democratic Republic. An instrument of acceptance of the Protocol of 12 November 1947 amending the Agreement having been deposited with the Secretary-General on 16 July 1974 on behalf of the German Democratic Republic, the latter applies the Convention as amended since 16 July 1974.

## 3. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE TRAFFIC IN WOMEN AND CHILDREN

Geneva, September 30th, 1921<sup>1</sup>IN FORCE (Article 11).<sup>2</sup>Ratifications or definitive accessions

Afghanistan (April 10th, 1935 a)  
 Albania (October 13th, 1924)  
 Austria (August 9th, 1922)  
 Belgium (June 15th, 1922)  
 Brazil (August 18th, 1933)  
 British Empire (June 28th, 1922)  
 Does not include the Island of Newfoundland, the British Colonies and Protectorates, the Island of Nauru, or any territories administered under mandates by Great Britain.  
Bahamas, Barbados, British Honduras, Ceylon, Cyprus, Gibraltar, Grenada, Hong-Kong, Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Malta, Northern Rhodesia, Nvasaland, Seychelles, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Southern Rhodesia, Straits Settlements, Trinidad and Tobago (September 18th, 1922 a)  
British Guiana and Fiji (October 24th, 1922 a)  
Jamaica and Mauritius (March 7th, 1924 a)  
Leeward Islands (March 7th, 1924 a)  
Falkland Islands and Dependencies (May 8th, 1924 a)  
Gold Coast Colony (July 3rd, 1924 a)  
Sierra Leone (Colony) (November 16th, 1927 a)  
Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Tanqanyika (Territory), Uganda (Protectorate) (April 10th, 1931 a)  
British Solomon Islands (Protectorate), Gilbert and Ellice Islands (Colony), Palestine (including Trans-Jordan), Sarawak (Protected State) (November 2nd, 1931 a)  
Zanzibar (Protectorate) (January 14th, 1932 a)  
Burma<sup>3</sup>  
 Burma reserves the right at her discretion to substitute the age of 16 years or any greater age that may be subsequently decided upon for the age-limit prescribed in paragraph B of the Final Protocol of the Convention of May 4th, 1910, and under Article 5 of the 1921 Convention.  
 Canada (June 28th, 1922)  
 Australia (June 28th, 1922)  
 Does not include Papua, Norfolk Island and the mandated territory of New Guinea.  
Papua, Norfolk Island, New Guinea, Nauru (September 2nd, 1936)  
 New Zealand (June 28th, 1922)  
 Does not include the mandated territory of Western Samoa.  
 Union of South Africa (June 28th, 1922)  
 Ireland (May 18th, 1934 a)  
 India (June 28th, 1922)  
 Reserves the right at its discretion to substitute the age of 16 years or any greater age that may be subsequently decided upon for the age-limit prescribed in paragraph (b) of the Final Protocol of the Convention of May 4th, 1910, and in Article 5 of the present Convention.  
 Bulgaria (April 29th, 1925 a)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Chile (January 15th, 1929)  
 China<sup>4</sup> (February 24th, 1926)  
 Colombia (November 8th, 1934)  
 Cuba (May 7th, 1923)  
 Czechoslovakia (September 29th, 1923)  
 Denmark (April 23rd, 1931 a)<sup>5</sup>  
 This ratification does not include Greenland, the Convention, in view of the special circumstances, being of no interest for that possession.  
 Egypt (April 13th, 1932 a)  
 Estonia (February 28th, 1930)  
 Finland (August 16th, 1926 a)  
 France (March 1st, 1926 a)  
 Does not include the French Colonies, the countries in the French Protectorate or the territories under French mandate.  
Syria and Lebanon (June 2nd, 1930 a)  
 Germany (July 8th, 1924)  
 Greece (April 9th, 1923)  
 Hungary (April 25th, 1925)  
 Iran (March 28th, 1933)  
 Iraq (May 15th, 1925 a)  
 The Government of Iraq desire to reserve to themselves the right to fix an age-limit lower than that specified in Article 5 of the Convention.  
 Italy (June 30th, 1924)  
Italian Colonies (July 27th, 1922 a)  
 Subject to the age-limit for native women and children, referred to in Article 5, being reduced from twenty-one to sixteen years.  
 Japan (December 15th, 1925)  
 Does not include Chosen, Taiwan, the leased Territory of Kwantung, the Japanese portion of Saghalien Island and Japan's mandated territory in the South Seas.  
 Latvia (February 12th, 1924)  
 Lithuania (September 14th, 1931)  
 Luxembourg (December 31st, 1929 a)  
 Mexico (May 10th, 1932 a)  
 Monaco (July 18th, 1931 a)  
 The Netherlands (including Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao) (September 19th, 1923)  
 Nicaragua (December 12th, 1935 a)  
 Norway (August 16th, 1922)  
 Poland (October 8th, 1924)  
 Portugal (December 1st, 1923)  
 Rumania (September 5th, 1923)  
 Spain (May 12th, 1924 a)  
 Does not include the Spanish Possessions in Africa or the territories of the Spanish Protectorate in Morocco.  
Sudan (June 1st, 1932 a)  
 Sweden (June 9th, 1925)  
 Switzerland (January 20th, 1926)  
 Thailand (July 13th, 1922)  
 With reservation as to the age-limit prescribed in paragraph (b) of the Final Protocol of the Convention of 1910 and Article 5 of this

VII 3: Traffic in Persons--1921 Convention

Ratifications or definitive accessions (cont'd)

Convention, in so far as concerns the nationals of Thailand.

Ratifications or definitive accessions (cont'd)

Turkey (April 15th, 1937 a)  
Uruguay (October 21st, 1924 a)  
Yugoslavia (May 2nd, 1929 a)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

Argentine Republic (a)  
Costa Rica  
Panama (a)  
Peru (a)

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Bahamas . . . . .	10 Jun 1976 d	Pakistan . . . . .	12 Nov 1947 d
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	21 May 1948 a	Sierra Leone . . . . .	13 Mar 1962 d
Cyprus . . . . .	16 May 1963 d	Singapore . . . . .	7 Jun 1966 d
Fiji . . . . .	17 Jun 1972 d	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	11 Apr 1966 d
German Democratic Republic <sup>6</sup>		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	18 Dec 1947 a
Ghana . . . . .	7 Apr 1958 d	Zambia . . . . .	26 Mar 1973 d
Jamaica . . . . .	30 Jul 1964 d		
Malta . . . . .	24 Mar 1967 d		
Mauritius . . . . .	18 Jul 1969 d		

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 269 See League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 9, p. 415.

2/ Article 11.--"The present Convention shall come into force in respect of each Party on the date of the deposit of its ratification or act of accession"

3/ See note 3 in part II.2 in the League of Nations Treaties.

4/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1)

5/ According to a reservation made by the Danish Government when ratifying the Convention, the latter was to take effect, in respect of Denmark, only upon the coming into force of the Danish Penal Code of April 15th, 1930. This Code having entered into force on January 1st, 1933, the Convention has become effective for Denmark from the same date

6/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as from 8 March 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 2 March 1976 the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany.

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974, concerning the application, as from 8 March 1958, of the International Convention of 30 September 1921 for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared.

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic is entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the International Convention when it established its status as a party by way of succession."

VII.4. Traffic in Persons--1933 Convention as amended

4 CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE TRAFFIC IN WOMEN OF FULL AGE, CONCLUDED AT GENEVA ON 11 OCTOBER 1933 AND AMENDED BY THE PROTOCOL SIGNED AT LAKE SUCCESS, NEW YORK, ON 12 NOVEMBER 1947

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 April 1950, the date on which the amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 12 November 1947 entered into force, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article V of the Protocol

REGISTRATION: 24 April 1950, No. 772.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 53, p. 49.

Participant	Definitive signature of acceptance of the Protocol of 12 November 1947	Accession to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 12 November 1947	Participant	Definitive signature of acceptance of the Protocol of 12 November 1947	Accession to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 12 November 1947
Afghanistan . . .	12 Nov 1947		Madagascar . . .		12 Feb 1964
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963	Mali . . . . .		2 Feb 1973
Australia . . . . .	13 Nov 1947		Mexico . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	
Austria . . . . .	7 Jun 1950		Netherlands . . . . .	7 Mar 1949	
Belgium . . . . .	12 Nov 1947		Nicaragua . . . . .	24 Apr 1950	
Brazil . . . . .	6 Apr 1950		Niger . . . . .	7 Dec 1964	
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	5 Nov 1962		Norway . . . . .	28 Nov 1947	
Cuba . . . . .	16 May 1981		Philippines . . . . .		30 Sep 1954
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	12 Nov 1947		Poland . . . . .	21 Dec 1950	
Finland . . . . .	6 Jan 1949		Romania . . . . .	2 Nov 1950	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		16 Jul 1974	Singapore . . . . .		26 Oct 1966
Greece . . . . .	5 Apr 1960		South Africa . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	
Hungary . . . . .	2 Feb 1950		Sweden . . . . .	9 Jun 1948	
Ireland . . . . .	19 Jul 1961		Turkey . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .		17 Feb 1959	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	18 Dec 1947	
Luxembourg . . . . .		14 Mar 1955			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon accession.)

[See also the text of the declarations and reservations in respect of the unamended Convention (chapter VII.5) and the amending Protocol of 12 November 1947 (chapter VII 1) ]

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 4 of the Convention as amended by the Protocol, according to which disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention which have not been settled through negotiation shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, unless the parties have not agreed on another way of adjustment. With regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice the German Democratic Republic takes the

view that in every single case the consent of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary to submit a particular dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

Declaration:

The German Democratic Republic, in its attitude towards article 1 of the Convention, in so far as it concerns the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories, is guided by the stipulations of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which sets forth the need for an early and unconditional elimination of colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

## 5. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE TRAFFIC IN WOMEN OF FULL AGE

Geneva, October 11th, 1933<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since August 24th, 1934 (Article 8).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Afghanistan (April 10th, 1935 a)  
 Australia (September 2nd, 1936)  
 (Including Papua and Norfolk Island and the man-  
 dated territories of New Guinea and Nauru.)  
 Austria (August 7th, 1936)  
 Union of South Africa (November 20th, 1935)  
 Belgium (June 11th, 1936)  
 With reservation as regards Article 10.  
 Brazil (June 24th, 1938 a)  
 Bulgaria (December 19th, 1934)  
 Chile (March 20th, 1935)  
 Cuba (June 25th, 1936 a)  
 Czechoslovakia (July 27th, 1935)  
 Finland (December 21st, 1936 a)  
 Greece (August 20th, 1937)  
 Hungary (August 12th, 1935)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Iran (April 12th, 1935 a)  
 Ireland (May 25th, 1938 a)  
 Latvia (September 17th, 1935)  
 Mexico (May 3rd, 1938 a)  
 The Netherlands (including the Netherlands Indies,  
Surinam and Curacao)  
 (September 20th, 1935)  
 Nicaragua (December 12th, 1935 a)  
 Norway (June 26th, 1935 a)  
 Poland (December 8th, 1937)  
 Portugal (January 7th, 1937)  
 Romania (June 6th, 1935 a)  
 Sudan (June 13th, 1934 a)  
 Sweden (June 25th, 1934)  
 Switzerland (July 17th, 1934)  
 Turkey (March 19th, 1941 a)

VII.5: Traffic in Persons--1933 Convention

---

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Albania	Lithuania
Great Britain and Northern Ireland and <u>all parts of the British Empire which are not separate members of the League of Nations.</u>	Monaco
China	Panama
Germany	Spain
	Yugoslavia

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Benin . . . . .	4 Apr 1962 <u>d</u>	France . . . . .	8 Jan 1947
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	21 May 1948 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .	25 Aug 1961 <u>d</u>
Cameroon . . . . .	27 Oct 1961 <u>d</u>	Senegal . . . . .	2 May 1963 <u>d</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .	4 Sep 1962 <u>d</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	18 Dec 1947 <u>a</u>
Congo . . . . .	15 Oct 1962 <u>d</u>		
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	8 Dec 1961 <u>d</u>		

---

NOTES.

1/ Registered under No. 3476. See League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 150, p. 431.



6. PROTOCOL AMENDING THE INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE WHITE SLAVE TRAFFIC, SIGNED AT PARIS ON 18 MAY 1904, AND THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF WHITE SLAVE TRAFFIC, SIGNED AT PARIS ON 4 MAY 1910

Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 4 May 1949, in accordance with article 5.<sup>1</sup>  
 REGISTRATION: 4 May 1949, No. 446.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 30, p. 23.

Note: The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 256 (III)<sup>2</sup> of 3 December 1948

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, succession (d)</u>
Australia <sup>3</sup>		8 Dec 1949 <u>s</u>	India	12 May 1949	28 Dec 1949
Austria		7 Jun 1950 <u>s</u>	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	28 Dec 1949	30 Dec 1959
Bahamas		10 Jun 1976 <u>d</u>	Iraq		1 Jun 1949 <u>s</u>
Belgium	20 May 1949	13 Oct 1952	Ireland		19 Jul 1961
Brazil	4 May 1949		Italy		13 Nov 1952
Canada		4 May 1949 <u>s</u>	Luxembourg	4 May 1949	14 Mar 1955
Chile		20 Jun 1949 <u>s</u>	Netherlands	2 Jun 1949	26 Sep 1950
China <sup>4</sup>		4 May 1949 <u>s</u>	Norway		4 May 1949 <u>s</u>
Cuba	4 May 1949	4 Aug 1965	Pakistan	13 May 1949	16 Jun 1952
Czechoslovakia	9 May 1949	21 Jun 1951	South Africa	22 Aug 1950	14 Aug 1951
Denmark	21 Nov 1949	1 Mar 1950	Sri Lanka		14 Jul 1949 <u>s</u>
Egypt	9 May 1949	16 Sep 1949	Sweden		25 Feb 1952 <u>s</u>
Fiji		12 Jun 1972 <u>d</u>	Switzerland		23 Sep 1949
Finland		31 Oct 1949	Turkey	4 May 1949	13 Sep 1950
France		5 May 1949 <u>s</u>	United Kingdom		4 May 1949 <u>s</u>
German Democratic Republic		16 Jul 1974	United States of America	4 May 1949	14 Aug 1950
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>5</sup>		29 May 1973	Yugoslavia	4 May 1949	26 Apr 1951

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature, acceptance or succession.)

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of Cuba ratifies the present Protocol in order to co-operate in the supervision by the United Nations, as depositary, of all treaties drawn up prior to its establishment by international organizations which have ceased to exist, since, owing to the social and economic measures taken in Cuba under the revolutionary laws to increase employment opportunities for the mass of the people, the white slave traffic has been stamped out, the social evils inherited from former periods which were its main cause, unemployment and idleness, having been eliminated; and moreover, the fact that this Protocol shall likewise apply to colonial countries on a basis of equality shall not be taken to mean any acceptance of the position of subject of these countries, since not only is it a

fundamental principle of Cuba's present policy strongly to condemn colonialism and to proclaim the right of peoples under colonial rule to achieve national liberation, but colonialism has been denounced by the United Nations."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic, in its attitude towards the new wording of article 11, paragraphs 1 and 5, of the Convention, in so far as it concerns the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories, is guided by the stipulations of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which sets forth the need for an early and unconditional elimination of colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

NOTES:

1/ The amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol entered into force on 21 June 1951 in respect of the Agreement of 18 May 1904, and on 14 August 1951 in respect of the Convention of 4 May 1910, in accordance with the second paragraph of article 5 of the Protocol.

2/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Third Session, Part I, Resolutions (A/810), p. 164.

3/ In a notification made on signature, the Government of Australia declared that it extends the application of the Protocol to all territories for the conduct of whose foreign relations Australia is responsible.

4/ See note concerning signatures,

ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I 1).

5/ With the following declaration:

". . . The said Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications were received from the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (on 4 December 1973), Czechoslovakia (6 December 1973), the German Democratic Republic (16 July 1974), France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America (17 July 1974 and 8 July 1975) and the Federal Republic of Germany (27 August 1974 and 19 September 1975). The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones reproduced in note 4 in chapter VII.1.

VII.7: Traffic in Persons--1904 Agreement as amended

7. INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE WHITE SLAVE TRAFFIC, SIGNED AT PARIS ON 18 MAY 1904 AND AMENDED BY THE PROTOCOL SIGNED AT LAKE SUCCESS, NEW YORK, ON 4 MAY 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1951, the date on which the amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 4 May 1949 entered into force, in accordance with the second paragraph of article 5 of the Protocol.

REGISTRATION: 21 June 1951, No. 1257.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 92, p. 19.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 4 May 1949 or succession to the Agreement and the said Protocol</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d) to the Agreement as amended by the Protocol of 4 May 1949</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 4 May 1949 or succession to the Agreement and the said Protocol</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d) to the Agreement as amended by the Protocol of 4 May 1949</u>
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963 a	Italy . . . . .	13 Nov 1952	
Australia . . . . .	8 Dec 1949		Jamaica . . . . .		30 Jul 1964 d
Austria . . . . .	7 Jun 1950		Luxembourg . . . . .	14 Mar 1955	
Bahamas . . . . .	10 Jun 1976		Madagascar . . . . .		9 Oct 1963 d
Belgium . . . . .	13 Oct 1952		Malawi . . . . .		10 Jun 1965 a
Benin . . . . .		4 Apr 1962 d	Mali . . . . .		2 Feb 1973 d
Cameroon . . . . .		3 Nov 1961 d	Malta . . . . .		24 Mar 1967 d
Canada . . . . .	4 May 1949		Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 d
Central African Republic . . . . .		4 Sep 1962 d	Mexico . . . . .		21 Feb 1956 a
Chile . . . . .	20 Jun 1949		Morocco . . . . .		7 Nov 1956 d
China <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		4 May 1949	Netherlands . . . . .	26 Sep 1950	
Congo . . . . .		15 Oct 1962 d	Niger . . . . .		25 Aug 1961 d
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		8 Dec 1961 d	Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 d
Cuba . . . . .	4 Aug 1965		Norway . . . . .	4 May 1949	
Cyprus . . . . .		16 May 1963 d	Pakistan . . . . .	16 Jun 1952	
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	21 Jun 1951		Senegal . . . . .		2 May 1963 d
Denmark . . . . .	1 Mar 1950		Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 d
Egypt . . . . .	16 Sep 1949		Singapore . . . . .		7 Jun 1966 d
Fiji . . . . .	12 Jun 1972		South Africa . . . . .	14 Aug 1951	
Finland . . . . .	31 Oct 1949		Sri Lanka . . . . .	14 Jul 1949	
France . . . . .	5 May 1949		Sweden . . . . .	25 Feb 1952	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	16 Jul 1974 <sup>2</sup>		Switzerland . . . . .	23 Sep 1949	
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	29 May 1973		Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 d
Ghana . . . . .		7 Apr 1958 d	Turkey . . . . .	13 Sep 1950	
India . . . . .	28 Dec 1949		United Kingdom . . . . .	4 May 1949	
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	30 Dec 1959		United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		18 Mar 1963 a
Iraq . . . . .	1 Jun 1949		United States of America . . . . .	14 Aug 1950	
Ireland . . . . .	19 Jul 1961		Yugoslavia . . . . .	26 Apr 1951	
			Zambia . . . . .		26 Mar 1973 d

Declarations and Reservations

[See the text of the declarations and reservations in respect of the unamended Agreement (chapter VII.8) and the amending Protocol of 4 May 1949 (chapter VII.6).]

---

NOTES:

1/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

2/ A notification of reapplication of the Agreement of 18 May 1904 was received on 16 July

1974 from the Government of the German Democratic Republic. As an instrument of acceptance of the amending Protocol of 4 May 1949 was deposited with the Secretary-General on the same date on behalf of the Government of the German Democratic Republic, the latter has been applying the Agreement as amended since 16 July 1974.

8. INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE "WHITE SLAVE TRAFFIC"

Signed at Paris on 18 May 1904<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since 18 July 1905 (article 8).

The following list was provided by the Government of France at the time of the transfer to the Secretary-General of the depositary functions in respect of the Agreement.

(1) States which ratified the Agreement

Belgium	Italy	Spain
Denmark	Netherlands	Sweden and Norway
France	Portugal	Switzerland
Germany	Russia	United Kingdom

(2) States which acceded to the Agreement

Austria-Hungary	Colombia	Luxembourg
Brazil	Czechoslovakia	Poland
Bulgaria	Lebanon <sup>2</sup>	United States of America

(3) The Agreement was declared applicable to the following colonies, dominions and protectorates

German colonies	Gilbert and Ellice Islands	Sierra Leone
Iceland and Danish West Indies	Gold Coast	Somaliland
Australia	Hong Kong	Southern Rhodesia
Bahamas	India	Ceylon
Barbados	Jamaica	Trinidad
British Central Africa	Leeward Islands	Uganda
British Guinea and Guiana	Malta	Wei-hai-wei
British Solomon Islands	New Zealand	Windward Islands
Burma	Northern Nigeria	Zanzibar
Canada	Palestine and Transjordan	French colonies
Fiji Islands	St. Helena	Eritrea
Gambia	Sarawak	Netherlands colonies
Gibraltar	Seychelles	

(4) The following colonies, dominions and protectorates consented to concur in article I of the Agreement

Basutoland	British Honduras	Orange River Colony
Bechuanaland	Cape Town	Southern Nigeria
Bermuda	Cyprus	Straits Settlements
British East Africa	Natal	Transvaal

(5) States and territories on behalf of which accession to the Convention of 4 May 1910 on the White Slave Traffic entailed ipso facto accession to the Agreement of 18 May 1904 by virtue of article 8 of the Convention of 1910

Chile	Union of South Africa	Nauru
Cuba	Kenya	Jersey
Egypt	Nyasaland	Guernsey
Finland	Papua and Norfolk	Falkland Islands
Irish Free State	Grenada	Iraq
Lithuania	St. Lucia	Sudan
Norway	St. Vincent	Turkey
Persia	Isle of Man	Uruguay
Siam	Japan	Monaco
Estonia	China	Morocco
Newfoundland	Yugoslavia	Tunisia
Tanganyika	New Guinea	Mauritius

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Succession</u>
Bahamas . . . . .	10 Jun 1976
Fiji . . . . .	12 Jun 1972
German Democratic Republic <sup>3</sup>	

NOTES:

1/ Registered under No. 11: see League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. I, p. 83

2/ The instrument of accession by the Government of Lebanon was deposited with the Secretary-General on 20 June 1949.

3/ In a notification received on 16 July 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Agreement as from 10 August 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 2 March 1976 the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany.

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 17 June 1974, concerning the application, as from 10 August 1958, of the International Agreement of 18 May

1904 for the Suppression of the "White Slave Traffic", the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared.

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the International Agreement for the Suppression of the 'White Slave Traffic', May 18th, 1904 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

VII.9: Traffic in Persons--1910 Convention as amended

9. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE WHITE SLAVE TRAFFIC, SIGNED AT PARIS ON 4 MAY 1910 AND AMENDED BY THE PROTOCOL SIGNED AT LAKE SUCCESS, NEW YORK, ON 4 MAY 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 14 August 1951, the date on which the amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 4 May 1949 entered into force, in accordance with the second paragraph of article 5 of the Protocol.

REGISTRATION: 14 August 1951, No. 1358.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 98, p. 101.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 4 May 1949, or succession to the Convention and the said Protocol</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d) to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 4 May 1949</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 4 May 1949, or succession to the Convention and the said Protocol</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d) to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 4 May 1949</u>
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963 a	Ireland . . . . .	19 Jul 1961	
Australia . . . . .	8 Dec 1949		Italy . . . . .	13 Nov 1952	
Austria . . . . .	7 Jun 1950		Jamaica . . . . .		17 Mar 1965 d
Bahamas . . . . .	10 Jun 1976		Luxembourg . . . . .	14 Mar 1955	
Belgium . . . . .	13 Oct 1952		Madagascar . . . . .		9 Oct 1963 d
Benin . . . . .		4 Apr 1962 d	Malawi . . . . .		10 Jun 1965 a
Cameroon . . . . .		3 Nov 1961 d	Mali . . . . .		2 Feb 1973 d
Canada . . . . .	4 May 1949		Malta . . . . .		24 Mar 1967 d
Central African Republic . . . . .		4 Sep 1962 d	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 d
Chile . . . . .	20 Jun 1949		Mexico . . . . .		21 Feb 1956 a
China <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	4 May 1949		Morocco . . . . .		7 Nov 1956 d
Congo . . . . .		15 Oct 1962 d	Netherlands . . . . .	26 Sep 1950	
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		8 Dec 1961 d	Niger . . . . .		25 Aug 1961 d
Cuba . . . . .	4 Aug 1965		Norway . . . . .	4 May 1949	
Cyprus . . . . .		16 May 1963 d	Pakistan . . . . .	16 Jun 1952	
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	21 Jun 1951		Senegal . . . . .		2 May 1963 d
Denmark . . . . .	1 Mar 1950		Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 d
Egypt . . . . .	16 Sep 1949		Singapore . . . . .		7 Jun 1966 d
Fiji . . . . .	12 Jun 1972		South Africa . . . . .	14 Aug 1951	
Finland . . . . .	31 Oct 1949		Sri Lanka . . . . .	14 Jul 1949	
France . . . . .	5 May 1949		Sweden . . . . .	25 Feb 1952	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	16 Jul 1974 <sup>2</sup>		Switzerland . . . . .	23 Sep 1949	
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	29 May 1973		Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 d
Ghana . . . . .		7 Apr 1958 d	Turkey . . . . .	13 Sep 1950	
India . . . . .	28 Dec 1949		United Kingdom . . . . .	4 May 1949	
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	30 Dec 1959		United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		18 Mar 1963 a
Iraq . . . . .	1 Jun 1949		Yugoslavia . . . . .	26 Apr 1951	
			Zambia . . . . .		26 Mar 1973 d

Declarations and Reservations

[See the text of the declarations and reservations in respect of the unamended Convention (chapter VII.10) and the amending Protocol of 4 May 1949 (chapter VII.6).]

NOTES.

1/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

2/ A notification of reapplication of the Convention of 4 May 1910 was received on 16 July

1974 from the Government of the German Democratic Republic. As an instrument of acceptance of the amending Protocol of 4 May 1949 was deposited with the Secretary-General on the same date on behalf of the Government of the German Democratic Republic, the latter has been applying the Convention as amended since 16 July 1974.



10. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE WHITE SLAVE TRAFFIC

Signed at Paris on 4 May 1910<sup>1</sup>

The following list was provided by the Government of France at the time of the transfer to the Secretary-General of the depositary functions in respect of the Convention.

(1) States which ratified the Convention

Austria-Hungary	Germany	Portugal
Belgium	Great Britain and Northern	Russia
Brazil	Ireland	Spain
Denmark	Italy	Sweden
France	Netherlands	

(2) States which acceded to the Convention

Bulgaria	Estonia	Persia
Chile	Finland	Poland
China <sup>2</sup>	Irish Free State	Siam
Colombia	Japan	Switzerland
Cuba	Lithuania	Turkey
Czechoslovakia	Luxembourg	Uruguay
Egypt	Monaco	Yugoslavia
	Norway	

(3) The Convention was declared applicable to the following colonies, dominions and protectorates

French colonies, Morocco, Tunisia	Southern Rhodesia	Leeward Islands
Netherlands East and West	Straits Settlements	Falkland Islands
Indies, Surinam and Curaçao	Trinidad	Gold Coast
Canada	Australia	Iraq
Union of South Africa	Papua and Norfolk	Gambia
Newfoundland	India	Uganda
New Zealand	Barbados	Tanganyika
Bahamas	British Honduras	Burma
Sri Lanka	Grenada	New Guinea
Cyprus	St. Lucia	Nauru
Kenya	St. Vincent	Sudan
Fiji Islands	Seychelles	Sierra Leone
Gibraltar	British Guiana	Palestine and Transjordan
Hong Kong	Isle of Man	Sarawak
Jamaica	Jersey	Gilbert and Ellice Islands
Malta	Guernsey	British Solomon Islands
Nyasaland	Mauritius	Zanzibar

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Bahamas . . . . .	10 Jun 1976 <u>d</u>
German Democratic Republic <sup>3</sup>	
Lebanon . . . . .	22 Sep 1949 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .	12 Jun 1972 <u>d</u>

NOTES:

1/ Great Britain, Treaty Series No. 20 (1912). This Convention is listed under No. 8 a) in the League of Nations Treaty Series and in the United Nations Treaty Series.

2/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

3/ In a notification received on 16 July 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as from 10 August 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 2 March 1976 the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 17 June 1974, concerning the application, as from 10 August

1958, of the International Convention of 4 May 1910 for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the International Convention for the Suppression of the White Slave Traffic, May 4th 1910 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

## 11. (a) CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE TRAFFIC IN PERSONS AND OF THE EXPLOITATION OF THE PROSTITUTION OF OTHERS

Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 21 March 1950

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 25 July 1951, in accordance with article 24.  
REGISTRATION: 25 July 1951, No. 1342.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 96, p. 271.

Note: The Convention was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 317 (IV)<sup>1</sup> of 2 December 1949.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .		21 May 1985 <u>a</u>	Italy . . . . .		18 Jan 1980 <u>a</u>
Albania . . . . .		6 Nov 1958 <u>a</u>	Japan . . . . .		1 May 1958 <u>a</u>
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963 <u>a</u>	Jordan . . . . .		13 Apr 1976 <u>a</u>
Argentina . . . . .		15 Nov 1957 <u>a</u>	Kuwait . . . . .		20 Nov 1968 <u>a</u>
Bangladesh . . . . .		11 Jan 1985 <u>a</u>	Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		14 Apr 1978 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .		22 Jun 1965 <u>a</u>	Liberia . . . . .	21 Mar 1950	
Bolivia . . . . .		6 Oct 1983 <u>a</u>	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .		3 Dec 1956 <u>a</u>
Brazil . . . . .	5 Oct 1951	12 Sep 1958	Luxembourg . . . . .	9 Oct 1950	5 Oct 1983
Bulgaria . . . . .		18 Jan 1955 <u>a</u>	Malawi . . . . .		13 Oct 1965 <u>a</u>
Burkina Faso . . . . .		27 Aug 1962 <u>a</u>	Mali . . . . .		23 Dec 1964 <u>a</u>
Burma . . . . .	14 Mar 1956		Mauritania . . . . .		6 Jun 1986 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian SSR		24 Aug 1956 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .		21 Feb 1956 <u>a</u>
Cameroon . . . . .		19 Feb 1982 <u>a</u>	Morocco . . . . .		17 Aug 1973 <u>a</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .		29 Sep 1981 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .		10 Jun 1977 <u>a</u>
Congo . . . . .		25 Aug 1977 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		23 Jan 1952 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .		4 Sep 1952 <u>a</u>	Pakistan . . . . .	21 Mar 1950	11 Jul 1952
Cyprus . . . . .		5 Oct 1983 <u>a</u>	Philippines . . . . .	20 Dec 1950	19 Sep 1952
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		14 Mar 1958 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .		2 Jun 1952 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	12 Feb 1951		Republic of Korea		13 Feb 1962 <u>a</u>
Djibouti . . . . .		21 Mar 1979 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .		15 Feb 1955 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	24 Mar 1950	3 Apr 1979	Senegal . . . . .		19 Jul 1979 <u>a</u>
Egypt . . . . .		12 Jun 1959 <u>a</u>	Singapore . . . . .		26 Oct 1966 <u>a</u>
Ethiopia . . . . .		10 Sep 1981 <u>a</u>	South Africa . . . . .	16 Oct 1950	10 Oct 1951
Finland . . . . .	27 Feb 1953	8 Jun 1972	Spain . . . . .		18 Jun 1962 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .		19 Nov 1960 <u>a</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .		15 Apr 1958 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		16 Jul 1974 <u>a</u>	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .		12 Jun 1959 <u>a</u> <sup>2</sup>
Guinea . . . . .		26 Apr 1962 <u>a</u>	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .		15 Nov 1954 <u>a</u>
Haiti . . . . .		26 Aug 1953 <u>a</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		11 Aug 1954 <u>a</u>
Honduras . . . . .	13 Apr 1954	29 Sep 1955 <u>a</u>	Venezuela . . . . .		18 Dec 1968 <u>a</u>
Hungary . . . . .		9 Jan 1953	Yugoslavia . . . . .	6 Feb 1951	26 Apr 1951
India . . . . .	9 May 1950				
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	16 Jul 1953				
Iraq . . . . .		22 Sep 1955 <u>a</u>			
Israel . . . . .		28 Dec 1950 <u>a</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

## AFGHANISTAN

Reservation:

"Whereas, the Government of the Democratic Republic of Afghanistan does not agree with the procedure of referring disputes arising between the Parties to the Convention relating to its interpretation of application, to the International Court of Justice, at the request of any

one of the Parties to the dispute, therefore, it does not undertake any commitment regarding observation of article 22 of the present Convention."

## ALBANIA

Declaration:

Thanks to the conditions created by the popular

democratic régime in Albania, the offences covered by this Convention do not find favourable ground for development there, since the social conditions which give rise to such offences have been eliminated. Nevertheless, in view of the importance of the campaign against these offences in the countries where they still exist and the international importance of that campaign, the People's Republic of Albania has decided to accede to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others adopted on 2 December 1949 at the fourth session of the United Nations General Assembly.

Reservation to article 22:

The People's Republic of Albania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 which stipulates that any dispute between the parties to the Convention relating to its interpretation, application or execution shall, at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute, be referred to the International Court of Justice. The People's Republic of Albania declares that with respect to the competence of the International Court in that connexion, it will continue to maintain as in the past that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, which provides for the compulsory competence of the International Court of Justice and declares that the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision.

BULGARIA<sup>3</sup>

Declaration:

The offences referred to in the Convention are unknown under the socialist régime of the People's Republic of Bulgaria, for the conditions favouring them have been eliminated. Nevertheless, since it is important to counteract these offences in the countries where they still exist, and since it is important to the international community that such action should be taken, the People's Republic of Bulgaria has decided to accede to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others adopted by the fourth session of the General Assembly of the United Nations on 2 December 1949.

Reservation to article 22:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares, with respect to the competence of the International Court of Justice in disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, that the consent of all the parties to the dispute is necessary in each particular case before any dispute whatsoever can be referred to the Court.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC<sup>3, 4</sup>

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22, which provides that any dispute between the parties to the present Convention relating to its interpretation or application shall, at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute, be referred to the International Court of Justice, and declares that with respect to the competence of the International Court to adjudicate disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic will take the position that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

ETHIOPIA

Reservation:

"Socialist Ethiopia does not consider itself bound by article 22 of the Convention."

FINLAND

Reservation to article 9:

"Finland reserves itself the right to leave the decision whether its citizens will or will not be prosecuted for a crime committed abroad to Finland's competent authority;"

FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic declares that, until further notice, this Convention will only be applicable to the metropolitan territory of the French Republic.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 of the Convention, according to which disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention which have not been settled through negotiation shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, unless the parties have agreed on another way of adjustment. With regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in every single case the consent of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary to submit a particular dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

Declaration:

The German Democratic Republic, in its attitude towards article 23 of the Convention, in so far as it concerns the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories, is guided by the stipulations of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples [Res 1514(XU) of 14 December 1960], which sets forth the need for an early and unconditional elimination of colonialism in all its forms and manifestations

HUNGARY<sup>3, 4</sup>

"The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic declares explicit reservation concerning Article 22 of the Convention being its view that the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice may be based solely on the previous voluntary submission of all parties interested."

## LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The Lao People's Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 which state that disputes between the Parties to the Convention relating to its interpretation or application shall, at the request of any one of the Parties to the dispute, be referred to the International Court of Justice. The Lao People's Democratic Republic declares that, with respect to the competence of the International Court concerning disputes relating to the interpretation and application of the Convention, for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice the agreement of all the parties to the dispute is necessary.

## MALAWI

"The Government of Malawi accedes to this Convention with the exception of article 22 thereof, the effects of which are reserved"

ROMANIA<sup>3</sup>

Reservation to article 22: The People's Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22 which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

## UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Declaration:

In the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic the social conditions which give rise to the offences covered by the Convention have been eliminated. Nevertheless, in view of the international

importance of suppressing these offences, the Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic has decided to accede to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others adopted on 2 December 1949 at the fourth session of the United Nations General Assembly.

Reservation to article 22:

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22, which provides that any dispute between the parties to the present Convention relating to its interpretation or application shall, at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute, be referred to the International Court of Justice, and declares that with respect to the competence of the International Court to adjudicate disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic will take the position that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST  
REPUBLICSDeclaration:

In the Soviet Union the social conditions which give rise to the offences covered by the Convention have been eliminated. Nevertheless, in view of the international importance of suppressing these offences, the Government of the Soviet Union has decided to accede to the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others adopted on 2 December 1949 at the fourth session of the United Nations General Assembly.

Reservation to article 22:

The Soviet Union does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 22, which provides that any dispute between the parties to the present Convention relating to its interpretation or application shall, at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice, and declares that with respect to the competence of the International Court to adjudicate disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Soviet Union will take the position that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case

## NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Fourth Session, Resolutions (A/125 and Corr 1 and 2), p. 33.

2/ Accession by the United Arab Republic. See note 3 in chapter I 1.

3/ The Government of Haiti informed the

Secretary-General that it considers that in case of dispute it should be possible for either of the Contracting Parties concerned, without previous agreement between them, to refer a dispute to the International Court of Justice and that consequently it does not accept the reservation entered into by Bulgaria.

The Government of South Africa informed the Secretary-General that it regards article 22 as fundamental to the Convention and cannot, there-

fore, accept the reservation entered into by Bulgaria.

Similar communications were received by the Secretary-General from those two Governments in respect of the reservations made by the Governments of Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Hungary and Romania.

4/ The Government of the Philippines informed

the Secretary-General that it objects to the reservations made by the Governments of Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic and Hungary because it feels that the reference to the International Court of Justice of any dispute relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention should not be made dependent on the consent of all parties.

11. (b) FINAL PROTOCOL TO THE CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE TRAFFIC IN PERSONS AND OF THE EXPLOITATION OF THE PROSTITUTION OF OTHERS

Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 21 March 1950

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 25 July 1951, in accordance with the second paragraph of the Protocol.  
 REGISTRATION: 25 July 1951, No. 1342.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 96, p. 316.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Albania . . . . .		6 Nov 1958 <u>a</u>	Liberia . . . . .	21 Mar 1950	
Argentina . . . . .		1 Dec 1960 <u>a</u>	Libyan Arab		
Belgium . . . . .		22 Jun 1965 <u>a</u>	Jamahiriya <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		3 Dec 1956 <u>a</u>
Brazil . . . . .	5 Oct 1951	12 Sep 1958	Luxembourg . . . . .	9 Oct 1950	5 Oct 1983
Bulgaria . . . . .		18 Jan 1955 <u>a</u>	Mexico <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		21 Feb 1956 <u>a</u>
Burma . . . . .	14 Mar 1956		Niger . . . . .		10 Jun 1977 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian			Norway . . . . .		23 Jan 1952 <u>a</u>
SSR <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		24 Aug 1956 <u>a</u>	Pakistan . . . . .	21 Mar 1950	
Cuba . . . . .		4 Sep 1952 <u>a</u>	Philippines . . . . .	20 Dec 1950	19 Sep 1952
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		14 Mar 1958 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .		2 Jun 1952 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	12 Feb 1951		Republic of Korea		13 Feb 1962 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	24 Mar 1950		Romania . . . . .		15 Feb 1955 <u>a</u>
Egypt <sup>1, 2</sup> . . . . .		12 Jun 1959 <u>a</u>	South Africa . . . . .	16 Oct 1950	10 Oct 1951
Finland . . . . .	27 Feb 1953		Spain <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		18 Jun 1962 <u>a</u>
Guinea . . . . .		26 Apr 1962 <u>a</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .		7 Aug 1958 <u>a</u>
Haiti . . . . .		26 Aug 1953 <u>a</u>	Syrian Arab		
Honduras . . . . .	13 Apr 1954		Republic <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		12 Jun 1959 <u>a</u> <sup>2</sup>
India . . . . .	9 May 1950	9 Jan 1953	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .		15 Nov 1954 <u>a</u>
Iran (Islamic			Union of Soviet		
Republic of) . . . . .	16 Jul 1953		Socialist		
Israel . . . . .		28 Dec 1950 <u>a</u>	Republics . . . . .		11 Aug 1954 <u>a</u>
Japan . . . . .		1 May 1958 <u>a</u>	Venezuela . . . . .		18 Dec 1968 <u>a</u>
Kuwait . . . . .		20 Nov 1968 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	6 Feb 1951	26 Apr 1951

NOTES:

1/ In communications received on the dates indicated in parentheses, the Governments of the following States notified the Secretary-General that their instruments of accession to the Convention also apply to the Final Protocol:  
 Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic

(15 November 1956); Libyan Arab Republic (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya)(7 January 1957); Mexico (16 April 1956); Spain (23 August 1962); United Arab Republic (Egypt)(20 October 1959).

2/ Accession by the United Arab Republic. See note 3 in chapter I.1.





CHAPTER VIII. OBSCENE PUBLICATIONS

1. PROTOCOL TO AMEND THE CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE CIRCULATION OF, AND TRAFFIC IN, OBSCENE PUBLICATIONS, CONCLUDED AT GENEVA ON 12 SEPTEMBER 1923

Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 12 November 1947

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 12 November 1947, in accordance with article V.<sup>1</sup>  
 REGISTRATION: 2 February 1950, No. 709.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 46, p. 169.

Note: The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 126 (II)<sup>2</sup> of 20 October 1947.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	India . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>
Albania . . . . .		25 Jul 1949	Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	16 Jul 1953	
Australia . . . . .		13 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	Ireland . . . . .		28 Feb 1952
Austria . . . . .		4 Aug 1950 <u>s</u>	Italy . . . . .		16 Jun 1949 <u>s</u>
Belgium . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	14 Mar 1955
Brazil . . . . .	17 Mar 1948	3 Apr 1950	Mexico . . . . .		4 Feb 1948
Burma . . . . .		13 May 1949 <u>s</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	[7 Mar 1949] <sup>6</sup>
Canada . . . . .		24 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	New Zealand . . . . .		28 Oct 1948 <u>s</u>
China <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	Norway . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	28 Nov 1947
Cuba . . . . .		2 Dec 1983	Pakistan . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	Poland . . . . .		21 Dec 1950
Denmark <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	[21 Nov 1949]	Romania . . . . .		2 Nov 1950 <u>s</u>
Egypt . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>	Solomon Islands . . . . .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
Fiji . . . . .		1 Nov 1971 <u>d</u>	South Africa . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>
Finland . . . . .		6 Jan 1949	Turkey . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>
German Democratic Republic <sup>5</sup> . . . . .			Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		18 Dec 1947 <u>s</u>
Greece . . . . .	9 Mar 1951	5 Apr 1960	United Kingdom . . . . .		16 May 1949 <u>s</u>
Guatemala . . . . .	9 Jul 1948	26 Aug 1949	Yugoslavia . . . . .		12 Nov 1947 <u>s</u>
Hungary . . . . .		2 Feb 1950 <u>s</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature, acceptance or succession.)

CUBA

Declaration

The Government of the Republic of Cuba considers that the content of article 9 of the Convention of 1923, as amended by the Protocol, is discriminatory in character in that it denies a number of States the right of accession, thus violating the principle of the sovereign equality of States.

Reservation

The Government of the Republic of Cuba considers, with respect to the provisions contained in article 15 of the Convention of 1923, as amended by the Protocol, that differences in interpretation or implementation of that article must be resolved by direct negotiations through the diplomatic channel.

NOTES:

1/ The amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol entered into force on 2 February 1950, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article V of the Protocol.

2/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Second Session, Resolutions (A/519), p. 32.

3/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

4/ See note 2 in chapter VIII.2.

5/ An instrument of acceptance of the Protocol was deposited on 2 December 1975 with the Secretary-General on behalf of the Government of the German Democratic Republic. A "notification of reapplication" of the Convention of 1923 by the German Democratic Republic had been deposited with the Secretary-General on 21 February 1974 (see note 9 in chapter VIII.3).

6/ See note 4 in chapter VIII.2.

VIII.2: Obscene Publications---1923 Convention as amended

2. CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE CIRCULATION OF, AND TRAFFIC IN, OBSCENE PUBLICATIONS, CONCLUDED AT GENEVA ON 12 SEPTEMBER 1923 AND AMENDED BY THE PROTOCOL SIGNED AT LAKE SUCCESS, NEW YORK, ON 12 NOVEMBER 1947

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 2 February 1950, the date on which the amendments, set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 12 November 1947, entered into force in accordance with paragraph 2 of article V of the Protocol.  
 REGISTRATION: 2 February 1950, No. 710.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 46, p. 201.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 12 November 1947, or succession to the Convention and the said Protocol</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d) in respect of the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 12 November 1947</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 12 November 1947, or succession to the Convention and the said Protocol</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), notification of succession (d) in respect of the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 12 November 1947</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	12 Nov 1947		Luxembourg . . . . .	14 Mar 1955	
Albania . . . . .	25 Jul 1949		Madagascar . . . . .		10 Apr 1963 <u>a</u>
Australia . . . . .	13 Nov 1947		Malawi . . . . .		22 Jul 1965 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .	4 Aug 1950		Malaysia . . . . .		21 Aug 1958 <u>d</u>
Belgium . . . . .	12 Nov 1947		Malta . . . . .		24 Mar 1967 <u>d</u>
Brazil . . . . .	3 Apr 1950		Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Burma . . . . .	13 May 1949		Mexico . . . . .	4 Feb 1948	
Canada . . . . .	24 Nov 1947		Netherlands . . . . .	[ 7 Mar 1949] <sup>4</sup>	
China <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	12 Nov 1947		New Zealand . . . . .	28 Oct 1948	
Cuba . . . . .	2 Dec 1983		Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		16 May 1963 <u>d</u>	Norway . . . . .	28 Nov 1947	
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	17 Nov 1947		Pakistan . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		30 Mar 1959 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .	21 Dec 1950	
Denmark <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	[21 Nov 1949]		Romania . . . . .	2 Nov 1950	
Egypt . . . . .	12 Nov 1947		Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Fiji . . . . .	1 Nov 1971		Solomon Islands . . . . .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
Finland . . . . .	6 Jan 1949		South Africa . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	
German Democratic Republic <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		7 Apr 1958 <u>d</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .		15 Apr 1958 <u>a</u>
Ghana . . . . .			Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Greece . . . . .	5 Apr 1960		Turkey . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	
Guatemala . . . . .	26 Aug 1949		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	18 Dec 1947	
Haiti . . . . .		26 Aug 1953	United Kingdom . . . . .	16 May 1949	
Hungary . . . . .	2 Feb 1950		United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		28 Nov 1962 <u>a</u>
India . . . . .	12 Nov 1947		Yugoslavia . . . . .	12 Nov 1947	
Ireland . . . . .	28 Feb 1952		Zaire . . . . .		31 May 1962 <u>d</u>
Italy . . . . .	16 Jun 1949		Zambia . . . . .		1 Nov 1974 <u>d</u>
Jamaica . . . . .		30 Jul 1964 <u>d</u>			
Jordan . . . . .		11 May 1959 <u>a</u>			
Lesotho . . . . .		28 Nov 1975 <u>d</u>			

NOTES:

1/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

2/ A notification of denunciation was received on 16 August 1967. In communicating this notification, the Government of Denmark has informed the Secretary-General that the denunciation was intended to apply also in relation to the States parties to the 1923

Convention (chapter VIII.3) which had not yet become parties to the Protocol of 12 November 1947 amending the said Convention (chapter VIII.1). The denunciation took effect on 16 August 1968.

3/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as from 18 December 1958.

4/ On 30 July 1985, the Secretary-General received from the Government of the Netherlands a notification of denunciation of the said Protocol and Convention. The notification specifies that the denunciation shall apply in respect of the Kingdom in Europe only and that the Protocol and the Convention will therefore remain in force in the Netherlands Antilles. The notification also indicated that the reason for the denunciation is the following.

"... under the Act of 3 July 1985 (Bulletin of Acts, Orders and Decrees No. 385) the provisions of the Dutch Criminal Code were amended in such a way that it is no longer possible for the Netherlands to comply fully with the international obligations it assumed under the Convention. Article I of the Convention contains - inter alia - the obligation to make it a punishable offence to make, produce or have in possession, to import, convey or

export obscene publications or any other obscene objects for the purposes of distribution or public exhibition.

The new provisions of the Dutch Criminal Code fulfil this requirement only with regard to the portrayal of - or to any medium of information which portrays - sexual activity involving persons under the age of sixteen (i.e. child pornography). As regards the other forms of pornography, the shop windows, to send such images or objects unsolicited through the mail or to supply, offer or show them to children. Since the Convention does not contain any provision which would allow the Netherlands to make punishable only those offences included in the amended Criminal Code, the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands has no other choice than to denounce the Convention for the Netherlands."

## 3. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE CIRCULATION OF AND TRAFFIC IN OBSCENE PUBLICATIONS

Geneva, September 12th, 1923<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since August 7th, 1924 (Article 11).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Afghanistan (May 10th, 1937 a)  
 Albania (October 13th, 1924)  
 Austria (January 12th, 1925)  
 Belgium (July 31st, 1926)  
 Includes also the Belgian Congo and the mandated territory of Rwanda-Urundi.  
 Brazil (September 19th, 1931)  
 Great Britain and Northern Ireland (December 11th, 1925)  
 Does not include any of the Colonies, Overseas Possessions, Protectorates or Territories under His Britannic Majesty's sovereignty or authority.  
 Newfoundland (December 31st, 1925 a)  
 Southern Rhodesia (December 31st, 1925 a)  
Barbados, Basutoland, Bechuanaland, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Ceylon, Cyprus, Fiji, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Gold Coast, Hong-Kong, Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Leeward Islands, Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States; (b) Non-Federated Malay States; Brunei, Johore, Kedah, Kelantan, Trengganu], Malta, Mauritius, Nigeria [(a) Colony, (b) Protectorate, (c) Cameroons under British Mandate], Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland, Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Somaliland, Straits Settlements, Swaziland, Tanganyika Territory, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda, Windward Islands, Zanzibar (November 3rd 1926 a)  
Bahamas, Bermuda, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Palestine, St. Helena, Trans-Jordan  
 (May 23rd, 1927 a)  
 Jamaica (August 22nd, 1927 a)  
 British Guiana (September 23rd, 1929 a)  
 Burma<sup>2</sup>  
 Canada (May 23rd, 1924 a)  
 Australia (including the territories of Papua and Norfolk Island and the mandated territories of New Guinea and Nauru) (June 29th, 1935 a)  
 New Zealand, including the mandated territory of Western Samoa (December 11th, 1925)  
 Union of South Africa, including the mandated territory of South West Africa (Dec. 11th, 1925)  
 Ireland (September 15th, 1930)  
 India (December 11th, 1925)  
 Bulgaria (July 1st, 1924)  
 China<sup>3</sup> (February 24th, 1926)  
 Colombia (November 8th, 1934)  
 Cuba (September 20th, 1934)  
 Czechoslovakia (April 11th, 1927)  
 Denmark<sup>4</sup> (May 6th, 1930)  
 With regard to Article IV, see also Article J.  
 The acts mentioned in Article I are punishable under the rules of Danish law only if they fall within the provisions of Article 184 of the Danish Penal Code, which inflicts penalties upon any person publishing obscene

Ratifications or definitive accessions

writings, or placing on sale, distributing, or otherwise circulating or publicly exposing obscene images. Further, it is to be observed that the Danish legislation relating to the Press contains special provisions on the subject of the persons who may be prosecuted for Press offences. The latter provisions apply to the acts covered by Article 184 in so far as these acts can be considered as Press offences. The modification of Danish legislation on these points must await the revision of the Danish Penal Code, which is likely to be effected in the near future.  
 Egypt (October 29th, 1924 a)  
 Estonia (March 10th, 1936 a)  
 Finland (June 29th, 1925)  
 France (January 16th, 1940)  
 The French Government does not assume any obligation as regards its colonies or Protectorates or the Territories placed under its mandate.  
 Morocco (May 7th, 1940 a)  
 Germany (May 11th, 1925)  
 Greece (October 9th, 1929)  
 Guatemala (October 25th, 1933 a)  
 Hungary (February 12th, 1925)  
 Iran (September 28th, 1932)  
 Iraq (April 26th, 1929 a)  
 Italy (July 8th, 1924)  
 Japan (May 13th, 1936)  
 The provisions of Article 15 of the Convention are in no way derogatory to the acts of the Japanese judicial authorities in the application of Japanese laws and decrees.<sup>5</sup>  
 Latvia (October 7th, 1925)  
 Luxembourg<sup>6</sup> (August 10th, 1927)  
 Subject to reservation "that, in the application of the penal clauses of the Convention, the Luxembourg authorities will observe the closing paragraph of Article 24 of the Constitution of the Grand-Duchy, which provides that proceedings may not be taken against the publisher, printer or distributor if the author is known and if he is a Luxembourg subject residing in the Grand-Duchy".  
 San Marino (April 21st, 1926 a)  
 Monaco (May 11th, 1925)  
 Netherlands<sup>7</sup> (including Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curacao) (September 13th, 1927)  
 Norway (May 8th, 1929 a)  
 Paraguay (October 21st, 1933 a)  
 Poland (March 8th, 1927)  
 Portugal (October 4th, 1927)  
 Romania (June 7th, 1926)  
 Salvador (July 2nd, 1937)  
 Spain (December 19th, 1924)  
 Switzerland (January 20th, 1926)  
 Thailand (July 28th, 1924)  
 The Thai Government reserve full right to en-

VIII.3: Obscene Publications--1923 Convention

Ratifications or definitive accessions

force the provisions of the present Convention against foreigners in Thailand in accordance with the principles prevailing for applying Thai legislation to such foreigners.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Turkey (September 12th, 1929)  
 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (July 8th, 1935 a)  
 Yugoslavia (May 2nd, 1929)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

Argentine Republic (a)	Honduras	Peru (a)
Costa Rica	Lithuania	Uruguay
	Panama	

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Denmark <sup>8</sup>	[21 Nov 1949]	Germany, Federal	
Fiji	1 Nov 1971 d	Republic of <sup>10</sup>	
German Democratic Republic <sup>9</sup>		Mexico	9 Jan 1948 a
		Solomon Islands	3 Sep 1981 d

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 685. See League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 27, p. 213.

2/ See note 3 in part II 2 in the League of Nations Treaties.

3/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (see note 2 in chapter I.1).

4/ See note 2 in chapter VIII.2

5/ By a communication dated February 14th, 1936, the Japanese Government withdrew the declaration regarding Taiwan, Chosen, the leased territory of Kwantung, Karafuto and the territories under Japanese mandate, expressed at the time of signing the Convention. For the text of that declaration, see League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 27, p. 232

6/ This ratification, given subject to reservation, has been submitted to the signatory States for acceptance.

7/ See note 4 in chapter VIII.2

8/ See note 2 in chapter VIII.2.

9/ See note 3 in chapter VIII 2

10/ In a notification received on 25 January 1974, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany denounced the Convention. The denunciation was accompanied by the following declaration:

Under the Fourth Law for the Reform of Criminal Law, Section 184 of the German Criminal Code as amended by Article 1 of this Law, departs in certain respects from the rules laid down in the International Convention of 12 September 1923 for the Suppression of the Circulation of and Traffic in Obscene Publications. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany found it necessary, therefore, to denounce this International Convention.

In its original version Section 184 of the Criminal Code contained a general prohibition to produce and circulate obscene publications. The newly adopted paragraphs of that Section, which will enter into force 14 months after the promulgation of the Fourth Law of 25 November 1973 for the Reform of Criminal Law, contain the following provisions:

1. It is prohibited to make or produce and to distribute sadistic, pedophilic and sodomitic publications of a pornographic nature

2. It continues to be prohibited to show pornographic motion pictures in public cinemas.

3. In respect of other pornographic publications, the following rules are upheld:

Protection of the general public (e.g. the exhibition of pornographic publications is prohibited),

protection of persons who do not wish to be confronted with pornography (it is forbidden to send unsolicited pornographic publications), and protection of youth (to protect the young, certain marketing methods

such as mail order trade are prohibited; in addition, the Law places a total ban on advertising pornographic publications).

VIII.4: Obscene Publications--1949 Protocol

4. PROTOCOL AMENDING THE AGREEMENT FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE CIRCULATION OF OBSCENE PUBLICATIONS, SIGNED AT PARIS ON 4 MAY 1910

Signed at Lake Success, New York, on 4 May 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 4 May 1949, in accordance with article 5.<sup>1</sup>  
 REGISTRATION: 4 May 1949, No. 445  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 30, p. 3.

Note: The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 256 (III)<sup>2</sup> of 3 December 1948.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, succession (d)</u>
Australia . . . . .		8 Dec 1949 <u>s</u>	Ireland . . . . .		28 Feb 1952
Austria . . . . .		4 Aug 1950 <u>s</u>	Italy . . . . .		13 Nov 1952
Belgium . . . . .	20 May 1949	13 Oct 1952	Luxembourg . . . . .	4 May 1949	14 Mar 1955
Brazil . . . . .	4 May 1949		Mexico . . . . .		22 Jul 1952
Canada . . . . .		4 May 1949 <u>s</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	2 Jun 1949	26 Sep 1950
China <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		4 May 1949 <u>s</u>	New Zealand . . . . .		14 Oct 1950 <u>s</u>
Colombia . . . . .	1 Jun 1949		Norway . . . . .		4 May 1949 <u>s</u>
Cuba . . . . .	4 May 1949	2 Dec 1983	Pakistan . . . . .	13 May 1949	4 May 1951
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	9 May 1949	21 Jun 1951	Romania <sup>5</sup> . . . . .		2 Nov 1950 <u>s</u>
Denmark . . . . .	21 Nov 1949	1 Mar 1950	Solomon Islands . . . . .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
Egypt . . . . .	9 May 1949	16 Sep 1949	South Africa . . . . .		1 Sep 1950 <u>s</u>
El Salvador . . . . .	5 May 1949		Sri Lanka . . . . .		14 Jul 1949 <u>s</u>
Fiji . . . . .		1 Nov 1971 <u>d</u>	Switzerland . . . . .		23 Sep 1949
Finland . . . . .		31 Oct 1949	Turkey . . . . .	4 May 1949	13 Sep 1950
France . . . . .		5 May 1949 <u>s</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics <sup>5</sup> . . . . .		14 May 1949 <u>s</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		2 Dec 1975 <sup>4</sup>	United Kingdom . . . . .		4 May 1949 <u>s</u>
Iceland . . . . .		25 Oct 1950	United States of America . . . . .	4 May 1949	14 Aug 1950
India . . . . .	12 May 1949	28 Dec 1949	Yugoslavia . . . . .	4 May 1949	29 Apr 1953
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	28 Dec 1949	30 Dec 1959			
Iraq . . . . .	1 Jun 1949	14 Sep 1950			

NOTES.

1/ The amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol entered into force on 1 March 1950, in accordance with the second paragraph of article 5 of the Protocol

2/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Third Session, Part I, Resolutions (A/810), p 164.

3/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc , on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

4/ An instrument of acceptance of the Protocol was deposited on 2 December 1975 with the Secretary-General by the Government of the German Democratic Republic A "notification of reapplication" of the Agreement of 4 May 1910 on behalf of the German Democratic Republic had been deposited with the Secretary-General on 4 October 1974.

The instrument deposited in the name of the German Democratic Republic in respect of the Protocol of 4 May 1949 contains the following declaration.

The position of the German Democratic Republic in respect of the redraft of article 7 of the Agreement made by the Protocol, as far as it concerns the application of the Agreement to colonial and other dependent territories, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing colonialism in all its forms and manifestations to a speedy and unconditional end

5/ In signing the Protocol, the Governments of the People's Republic of Romania and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declared that they are not in agreement with article 7 of the annex to the said Protocol.

## VIII 5. Obscene Publications--1910 Agreement as amended

## 5. AGREEMENT FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE CIRCULATION OF OBSCENE PUBLICATIONS, SIGNED AT PARIS ON 4 MAY 1910 AND AMENDED BY THE PROTOCOL SIGNED AT LAKE SUCCESS, NEW YORK, ON 4 MAY 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 March 1950, the date on which the amendments to the Agreement, set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 4 May 1949, entered into force in accordance with the second paragraph of article 5 of the Protocol.

REGISTRATION: 1 March 1950, No. 728

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 47, p. 159.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 4 May 1949, or succession to the Agreement and to the said Protocol</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), or succession (d) in respect of the Agreement as amended by the Protocol of 14 May 1949</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 4 May 1949, or succession to the Agreement and to the said Protocol</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), or succession (d) in respect of the Agreement as amended by the Protocol of 14 May 1949</u>
Australia . . . . .	8 Dec 1949		Madagascar . . . . .		10 Apr 1963 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .	4 Aug 1950		Malawi . . . . .		22 Jul 1965 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .	13 Oct 1952		Malaysia . . . . .		31 Aug 1957 <u>d</u>
Burma <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		13 May 1949 <u>a</u>	Malta . . . . .		24 Mar 1967 <u>d</u>
Canada . . . . .	4 May 1949		Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
China <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		4 May 1949	Mexico . . . . .	22 Jul 1952	
Cuba . . . . .	2 Dec 1983		Netherlands . . . . .	26 Sep 1950	
Cyprus . . . . .		16 May 1963 <u>d</u>	New Zealand . . . . .	14 Oct 1950	
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	21 Jun 1951		Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		30 Mar 1959 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .	4 May 1949	
Denmark . . . . .	1 Mar 1950		Pakistan . . . . .	4 May 1951	
Egypt . . . . .	16 Sep 1949		Romania . . . . .	2 Nov 1950	
Fiji . . . . .	1 Nov 1971		Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Finland . . . . .	31 Oct 1949		Solomon Islands . . . . .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
France . . . . .	5 May 1949		South Africa . . . . .	1 Sep 1950	
German Democratic Republic <sup>3</sup> . . . . .			Sri Lanka . . . . .	14 Jul 1949	
Ghana . . . . .		7 Apr 1958 <u>d</u>	Switzerland . . . . .	23 Sep 1949	
Haiti <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		26 Aug 1953	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Iceland . . . . .	25 Oct 1950		Turkey . . . . .	13 Sep 1950	
India . . . . .	28 Dec 1949		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	14 May 1949	
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	30 Dec 1959		United Kingdom . . . . .	4 May 1949	
Iraq . . . . .	14 Sep 1950		United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		28 Nov 1962 <u>a</u>
Ireland . . . . .	28 Feb 1952		United States of America . . . . .	14 Aug 1950	
Italy . . . . .	13 Nov 1952		Yugoslavia . . . . .	29 Apr 1953	
Jamaica <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		30 Jul 1964 <u>a</u>	Zaire . . . . .		31 May 1962 <u>d</u>
Jordan <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		11 May 1959 <u>a</u>	Zambia . . . . .		1 Nov 1974 <u>d</u>
Lesotho . . . . .		28 Nov 1975 <u>d</u>			
Luxembourg . . . . .	14 Mar 1955				

## NOTES:

1/ States whose ratification of or accession to the Convention of 12 September 1923 as amended, in accordance with its article 10, ipso facto and without special notification involved concomitant and full acceptance of the Agreement of 4 May 1910 as amended

2/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

3/ See note 4 in chapter VIII.4.

6. AGREEMENT FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE CIRCULATION OF OBSCENE PUBLICATIONS

Signed at Paris on 4 May 1910<sup>1</sup>

The following list was provided by the Government of France at the time of the transfer to the Secretary-General of the depositary functions in respect of the Agreement

(1) States which ratified the Agreement

Austria-Hungary	Germany	Portugal
Belgium	Great Britain and	Russia
Brazil	Northern Ireland	Spain
Denmark	Italy	Switzerland
France	Netherlands	United States of America

(2) States which acceded the Agreement

Albania	Finland	Norway
Bulgaria	Ireland	Poland
China <sup>2</sup>	Latvia	Romania
Czechoslovakia	Luxembourg	San Marino
Egypt	Monaco	Siam
Estonia		

(3) The Agreement was declared applicable to the following colonies, dominions and protectorates

Australia	Iceland and Danish West Indies	Seychelles
Bahamas	India	Sierra Leone
Barbados	Iraq	Solomon Islands
Basutoland	Jamaica	Somaliland
Bechuanaland	Kenya	Southern Nigeria
Belgian Congo and	leeward Islands (Antigua,	Southern Rhodesia
Ruanda-Urundi	Dominica, Montserrat,	South West Africa
Bermuda	St. Kitts-Nevis)	Straits Settlements
British East Africa	Malay States	Swaziland
British Guiana	Malta	Tanganyika
British Honduras	Mauritius	Transjordan
Canada	Netherlands East Indies,	Trinidad and Tobago
Ceylon	Surinam and Curaçao	Turks and Caicos Islands
Cyprus	Newfoundland	Uganda
Falkland Islands	New Zealand	Union of South Africa
Fiji	Northern Nigeria	Virgin Islands
Gambia	Northern Rhodesia	Wei-hai-wei
German Colonies	Nyasaland	Western Pacific Islands
Gibraltar	Palestine	Windward Islands (Grenada,
Gilbert and Ellice Islands	St. Helena	St. Lucia, St. Vincent)
Gold Coast	Samoa	Zanzibar
Hong Kong		

(4) States which by their accession to or their ratification of the Convention of 12 September 1923 for the Suppression of the Circulation of, and Traffic in, Obscene Publications, ipso facto accepted the Agreement of 4 May 1910 by virtue of article 10 of the Convention of 12 September 1923

Afghanistan	Greece	Mexico
Colombia	Guatemala	Paraguay
Cuba	Iran	Turkey
El Salvador	Japan	Yugoslavia



Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Succession (d)</u>
Fiji . . . . .	1 Nov 1971 <u>d</u>
German Democratic Republic <sup>3</sup>	

NOTES:

1/ British and Foreign State Papers, vol 103, p. 251. This Agreement is listed under No. 22a in the League of Nations Treaty Series and in the United Nations Treaty Series.

2/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

3/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 4 October 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 18 December 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 2 March 1976 the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 30 September 1974, concerning the application, as from

18 December 1958, of the Agreement of 4 May 1910 for the Suppression of the Circulation of Obscene Publications, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Agreement for the Suppression of the Circulation of Obscene Publications, May 4th 1910 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

CHAPTER IX HEALTH

1. CONSTITUTION OF THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION

Signed at New York on 22 July 1946

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 April 1948, in accordance with article 80  
 REGISTRATION: 7 April 1948, No. 221.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 14, p. 185, and vol. 377, p. 380 (with regard to the text of subsequent amendments, see further below each series of amendments).

Note: The Constitution was drawn up by the International Health Conference, which had been convened pursuant to resolution 1(I)<sup>1</sup> of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations, adopted on 15 February 1946. The Conference was held at New York from 19 June to 22 July 1946. In addition to the Constitution, the Conference drew up the Final Act, the Arrangements for the Establishment of an Interim Commission of the World Health Organization and the Protocol concerning the Office international d'hygiène publique, for the text of which, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 9, p. 3.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .		19 Apr 1948	Democratic Kampuchea		17 May 1950
Albania . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	26 May 1947	Democratic People's		
Algeria . . . . .		8 Nov 1962	Republic of Korea		19 May 1973
Angola . . . . .		15 May 1976	Democratic Yemen . . . . .		6 May 1968
Antigua and Barbuda		12 Mar 1984	Denmark . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	19 Apr 1948
Argentina . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	22 Oct 1948	Djibouti . . . . .		10 Mar 1978
Australia . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	2 Feb 1948	Dominica . . . . .		13 Aug 1981
Austria . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	30 Jun 1947	Dominican Republic	22 Jul 1946	21 Jun 1948
Bahamas . . . . .		1 Apr 1974	Ecuador . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	1 Mar 1949
Bahrain . . . . .		2 Nov 1971	Egypt . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	16 Dec 1947
Bangladesh . . . . .		19 May 1972	El Salvador . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	22 Jun 1948
Barbados . . . . .		25 Apr 1967	Equatorial Guinea . . . . .		5 May 1980
Belgium . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	25 Jun 1948	Ethiopia . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	11 Apr 1947
Benin . . . . .		20 Sep 1960	Fiji . . . . .		1 Jan 1972
Bolivia . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	23 Dec 1949	Finland . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	7 Oct 1947
Botswana . . . . .		26 Feb 1975	France . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	16 Jun 1948
Bhutan . . . . .		8 Mar 1982	Gabon . . . . .		21 Nov 1960
Brazil . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	2 Jun 1948	Gambia . . . . .		26 Apr 1971
Brunei Darussalam		25 Mar 1985	German Democratic		
Bulgaria . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	9 Jun 1948	Republic . . . . .		8 May 1973
Burkina Faso . . . . .		4 Oct 1960	Germany, Federal		
Burma . . . . .		1 Jul 1948	Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		29 May 1951
Burundi . . . . .		22 Oct 1962	Ghana . . . . .		8 Apr 1957
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	7 Apr 1948	Greece . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	12 Mar 1948
Cameroon . . . . .		6 May 1960	Grenada . . . . .		4 Dec 1974
Canada . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	29 Aug 1946	Guatemala . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	26 Aug 1949
Cape Verde . . . . .		5 Jan 1976	Guinea . . . . .		19 May 1959
Central African			Guinea-Bissau . . . . .		29 Jul 1974
Republic . . . . .		20 Sep 1960	Guyana . . . . .		27 Sep 1966
Chad . . . . .		1 Jan 1961	Haiti . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	12 Aug 1947
Chile . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	15 Oct 1948	Honduras . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	8 Apr 1949
China <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		22 Jul 1946	Hungary . . . . .	19 Feb 1947	17 Jun 1948
Colombia . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	14 May 1959	Iceland . . . . .		17 Jun 1948
Comoros . . . . .		9 Dec 1975	India . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	12 Jan 1948
Congo . . . . .		26 Oct 1960	Indonesia . . . . .		23 May 1950
Cook Islands . . . . .		9 May 1984	Iran (Islamic		
Costa Rica . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	17 Mar 1949	Republic of) . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	23 Nov 1946
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		28 Oct 1960	Iraq . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	23 Sep 1947
Cuba . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	9 May 1950	Ireland . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	20 Oct 1947
Cyprus . . . . .		16 Jan 1961	Israel . . . . .		21 Jun 1949
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	1 Mar 1948	Italy . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	11 Apr 1947

## IX 1: World Health Organization

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</u>
Jamaica . . . . .		21 Mar 1963	Samoa . . . . .		16 May 1962
Japan . . . . .		16 May 1951	San Marino . . . . .		12 May 1980
Jordan . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	7 Apr 1947	Sao Tome and Principe . . . . .		23 Mar 1976
Kenya . . . . .		27 Jan 1964	Saudi Arabia . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	26 May 1947
Kiribati . . . . .		26 Jul 1984	Senegal . . . . .		31 Oct 1960
Kuwait . . . . .		9 May 1960	Seychelles . . . . .		11 Sep 1979
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		17 May 1950	Sierra Leone . . . . .		20 Oct 1961
Lebanon . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	19 Jan 1949	Singapore . . . . .		25 Feb 1966
Lesotho . . . . .		7 Jul 1967	Solomon Islands . . . . .		4 Apr 1983
Liberia . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	14 Mar 1947	Somalia . . . . .		26 Jan 1961
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .		16 May 1952	South Africa . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	7 Aug 1947
Luxembourg . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	3 Jun 1949	Spain . . . . .		28 May 1951
Madagascar . . . . .		16 Jan 1961	Sri Lanka . . . . .		7 Jul 1948
Malawi . . . . .		9 Apr 1965	Sudan . . . . .		14 May 1956
Malaysia . . . . .		24 Apr 1958	Suriname . . . . .		25 Mar 1976
Maldives . . . . .		5 Nov 1965	Swaziland . . . . .		16 Apr 1973
Mali . . . . .		17 Oct 1960	Sweden . . . . .	13 Jan 1947	28 Aug 1947
Malta . . . . .		1 Feb 1965	Switzerland . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	26 Mar 1947
Mauritania . . . . .		7 Mar 1961	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	18 Dec 1946
Mauritius . . . . .		9 Dec 1968	Thailand . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	26 Sep 1947
Mexico . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	7 Apr 1948	Togo . . . . .		13 May 1960
Monaco . . . . .		8 Jul 1948	Tonga . . . . .		14 Aug 1975
Mongolia . . . . .		18 Apr 1962	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		3 Jan 1963
Morocco . . . . .		14 May 1956	Tunisia . . . . .		14 May 1956
Mozambique . . . . .		11 Sep 1975	Turkey . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	2 Jan 1948
Nepal . . . . .		2 Sep 1953	Uganda . . . . .		7 Mar 1963
Netherlands . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	25 Apr 1947	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	3 Apr 1948
New Zealand . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	10 Dec 1946	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	24 Mar 1948
Nicaragua . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	24 Apr 1950	United Arab Emirates . . . . .		30 Mar 1972
Niger . . . . .		5 Oct 1960	United Kingdom . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <sup>s</sup>
Nigeria . . . . .		25 Nov 1960	United Republic of Tanzania <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		15 Mar 1962
Norway . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	18 Aug 1947	for Tanganyika for Zanzibar . . . . .		29 Feb 1964
Oman . . . . .		28 May 1971	United States of America <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	21 Jun 1948
Pakistan . . . . .		23 Jun 1948	Uruguay . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	22 Apr 1949
Panama . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	20 Feb 1951	Vanuatu . . . . .		7 Mar 1983
Papua New Guinea . . . . .		29 Apr 1976	Venezuela . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	7 Jul 1948
Paraguay . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	4 Jan 1949	Viet Nam <sup>6</sup> . . . . .		17 May 1950
Peru . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	11 Nov 1949	Yemen . . . . .		20 Nov 1953 <sup>s</sup>
Philippines . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	9 Jul 1948	Yugoslavia . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	19 Nov 1947
Poland . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	6 May 1948	Zaire . . . . .		24 Feb 1961
Portugal . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	13 Feb 1948	Zambia . . . . .		2 Feb 1965 <sup>s</sup>
Qatar . . . . .		11 May 1972	Zimbabwe . . . . .		16 May 1980
Republic of Korea . . . . .		17 Aug 1949			
Romania . . . . .		8 Jun 1948			
Rwanda . . . . .		7 Nov 1962			
Saint-Christopher- and-Nevis . . . . .		3 déc 1984			
Saint Lucia . . . . .		11 Nov 1980			
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines . . . . .		1 Sep 1983			

IX.1: World Health Organization

AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION

(a) Amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization

Adopted by the Twelfth World Health Assembly by resolution WHA 12.43 of 28 May 1959

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 25 October 1960 for all Members of the World Health Organization, in accordance with article 73 of the Constitution.  
 REGISTRATION: 25 October 1960, No. 221.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 377, p. 380.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	11 Aug 1960	Jamaica . . . . .	21 Mar 1963
Albania . . . . .	27 Jul 1960	Jordan . . . . .	25 Mar 1960
Algeria . . . . .	8 Nov 1962	Kuwait . . . . .	9 May 1960
Argentina . . . . .	11 Apr 1962	Lao People's Democratic Republic	4 May 1960
Australia . . . . .	12 Aug 1959	Lebanon . . . . .	3 Jan 1961
Austria . . . . .	29 Mar 1960	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .	8 Feb 1960
Belgium . . . . .	29 Nov 1959	Luxembourg . . . . .	25 Oct 1960
Benin . . . . .	20 Sep 1960	Madagascar . . . . .	16 Jan 1961
Brazil . . . . .	18 Mar 1963	Malaysia . . . . .	4 Feb 1960
Brunei Darussalam . . . . .	25 Mar 1985	Mali . . . . .	17 Oct 1960
Bulgaria . . . . .	11 Feb 1960	Mauritania . . . . .	7 Mar 1961
Burkina Faso . . . . .	4 Oct 1960	Mexico . . . . .	2 Aug 1960
Burma . . . . .	19 Apr 1960	Morocco . . . . .	28 Mar 1960
Burundi . . . . .	22 Oct 1962	Nepal . . . . .	12 May 1960
Cameroon . . . . .	6 May 1960	Netherlands <sup>8</sup> . . . . .	14 Sep 1960
Canada . . . . .	25 Feb 1960	New Zealand . . . . .	4 Apr 1960
Central African Republic . . . . .	29 Sep 1960	Niger . . . . .	5 Oct 1960
Chad . . . . .	1 Jan 1961	Nigeria . . . . .	25 Nov 1960
Chile . . . . .	28 Apr 1960	Norway . . . . .	2 Nov 1959
China <sup>7</sup> . . . . .		Pakistan . . . . .	12 Feb 1960
Congo . . . . .	26 Oct 1960	Paraguay . . . . .	8 Feb 1960
Cook Islands . . . . .	9 May 1984	Philippines . . . . .	25 Mar 1960
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	28 Oct 1960	Poland . . . . .	18 Feb 1960
Cuba . . . . .	27 Jul 1960	Republic of Korea . . . . .	29 Dec 1959
Cyprus . . . . .	16 Jan 1961	Romania . . . . .	2 Dec 1960
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	8 Dec 1959	Rwanda . . . . .	7 Nov 1962
Denmark . . . . .	15 Jan 1960	Samoa . . . . .	16 May 1962
Dominican Republic . . . . .	16 Sep 1960	Somalia . . . . .	26 Jan 1961
Ecuador . . . . .	10 Jun 1960	Spain . . . . .	4 Nov 1959
Egypt . . . . .	25 Mar 1960	Sri Lanka . . . . .	9 May 1960
El Salvador . . . . .	10 Feb 1960	Sudan . . . . .	1 Apr 1960
Ethiopia . . . . .	3 May 1960	Sweden . . . . .	1 Dec 1959
Finland . . . . .	4 May 1960	Switzerland . . . . .	15 Jan 1960
France . . . . .	10 Mar 1961	Syrian Arab Republic <sup>9</sup> . . . . .	25 Mar 1960
Gabon . . . . .	21 Nov 1960	Thailand . . . . .	24 Sep 1959
Ghana . . . . .	16 Sep 1960	Togo . . . . .	13 May 1960
Greece . . . . .	23 May 1960	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	3 Jan 1963
Guinea . . . . .	5 Aug 1960	Tunisia . . . . .	18 Mar 1960
Honduras . . . . .	23 Feb 1960	Turkey . . . . .	10 Jan 1962
Iceland . . . . .	5 Jan 1961	Uganda . . . . .	7 Mar 1963
India . . . . .	23 Feb 1960	Union of Soviet Socialist	
Indonesia . . . . .	4 Nov 1959	Republics . . . . .	17 Jun 1960
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	2 May 1960	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Apr 1960
Iraq . . . . .	25 Nov 1959	Venezuela . . . . .	20 Mar 1961
Ireland . . . . .	15 Oct 1960	Viet Nam <sup>10</sup> . . . . .	
Israel . . . . .	4 Jan 1960	Yugoslavia . . . . .	8 Apr 1960
Italy . . . . .	28 Dec 1960	Zaire . . . . .	24 Feb 1961

IX.1 World Health Organization

(b) Amendment to article 7 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization

Adopted by the Eighteenth World Health Assembly by resolution WHA 18.48 of 20 May 1965

Not yet in force (see article 73 of the Constitution).

TEXT: World Health Assembly resolution 18.48; Official Records of the World Health Organization, No. 143, p. 32.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	16 Nov 1966	Maldives . . . . .	10 Jul 1968
Algeria . . . . .	27 May 1966	Mali . . . . .	18 Oct 1966
Bahrain . . . . .	25 Jun 1975	Mauritania . . . . .	26 Oct 1965
Barbados . . . . .	3 Jul 1967	Mauritius . . . . .	8 Apr 1969
Benin . . . . .	2 Feb 1966	Mongolia . . . . .	5 Oct 1971
Bulgaria . . . . .	26 Jan 1973	Morocco . . . . .	2 Mar 1967
Burkina Faso . . . . .	6 May 1966	Niger . . . . .	9 May 1966
Burma . . . . .	8 Mar 1966	Nigeria . . . . .	30 Jun 1966
Burundi . . . . .	11 May 1970	Oman . . . . .	25 Jun 1971
Cameroon . . . . .	5 Sep 1967	Pakistan . . . . .	8 Jul 1966
Central African Republic . . . . .	30 Dec 1970	Peru . . . . .	20 Jun 1967
Costa Rica . . . . .	15 Jun 1967	Philippines . . . . .	20 Nov 1967
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	6 Dec 1965	Poland . . . . .	19 Feb 1971
Cuba . . . . .	17 Jun 1975	Rwanda . . . . .	5 Jan 1966
Dominican Republic . . . . .	13 Dec 1965	San Marino . . . . .	28 Oct 1980
Egypt . . . . .	20 Jul 1966	Saudi Arabia . . . . .	26 May 1967
Ethiopia . . . . .	19 Sep 1966	Senegal . . . . .	7 Jul 1966
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	21 Feb 1974	Sierra Leone . . . . .	3 Mar 1966
Ghana . . . . .	9 Feb 1966	Somalia . . . . .	26 Apr 1971
Guinea . . . . .	22 Dec 1965	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	2 Jun 1966
India . . . . .	10 May 1966	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	2 Dec 1965
Iraq . . . . .	12 Feb 1968	Tunisia . . . . .	9 Mar 1966
Jamaica . . . . .	28 Sep 1970	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	2 Feb 1972
Jordan . . . . .	11 May 1970	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	17 Aug 1966
Kuwait . . . . .	11 May 1966	Yugoslavia . . . . .	29 Mar 1966
Lebanon . . . . .	5 Feb 1968	Zambia . . . . .	22 Nov 1965
Madagascar . . . . .	26 Nov 1965		

## IX 1: World Health Organization

## (c) Amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization

Adopted by the Twentieth World Health Assembly by resolution WHA 20.36 of 23 May 1967

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 May 1975 for all Members of the World Health Organization in accordance with article 73 of the Constitution  
 REGISTRATION: 21 May 1975, No 221  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 970, p 360.

Participant	Acceptance	Participant	Acceptance
Afghanistan	28 Apr 1975	Kenya	3 Jan 1972
Albania	17 Oct 1974	Kuwait	2 Jan 1968
Argentina	5 Feb 1971	Lao People's Democratic Republic	29 Jul 1968
Australia	14 Oct 1968	Lesotho	21 Feb 1974
Austria	10 Feb 1970	Luxembourg	5 Apr 1972
Bahrain	25 Jun 1975	Madagascar	19 Oct 1967
Bangladesh	25 Apr 1975	Malawi	20 May 1970
Barbados	27 Dec 1967	Malaysia	24 Jan 1974
Belgium	3 May 1968	Maldives	2 Dec 1968
Benin	14 Dec 1970	Mali	6 Aug 1968
Brazil	8 Aug 1968	Mauritania	21 May 1975
Brunei Darussalam	25 Mar 1985	Mauritius	8 Apr 1969
Bulgaria	26 Jan 1973	Mexico	6 Sep 1968
Burkina Faso	10 Jan 1972	Monaco	14 May 1970
Burma	27 Feb 1969	Mongolia	5 Oct 1971
Burundi	11 May 1970	Morocco	2 Jun 1975
Cameroon	2 Dec 1970	Nepal	20 May 1975
Canada	24 May 1968	Netherlands	7 Jun 1968
Central African Republic	30 Dec 1970	New Zealand	28 Dec 1967
Chile	17 Jun 1975	Nicaragua	6 Dec 1974
China	14 Jan 1974 <sup>11</sup>	Niger	4 Sep 1968
Congo	28 May 1975	Nigeria	24 Jan 1968
Cook Islands	9 May 1984	Norway	7 Feb 1968
Côte d'Ivoire	12 Sep 1967	Oman	25 Jun 1971
Cuba	17 Jun 1975	Pakistan	29 Jul 1975
Cyprus	24 Nov 1969	Panama	26 Feb 1975
Czechoslovakia	4 Sep 1968	Paraguay	15 Jan 1976
Democratic Yemen	17 Jan 1975	Peru	18 Oct 1967
Denmark	20 Nov 1967	Philippines	10 Nov 1971
Dominican Republic	29 Oct 1975	Poland	19 Feb 1971
Ecuador	22 Oct 1974	Portugal	8 Jul 1975
Egypt	26 Jul 1968	Qatar	8 Oct 1975
Ethiopia	1 May 1972	Republic of Korea <sup>13</sup>	13 Dec 1967
Fiji	29 Jan 1975	Romania	24 Feb 1972
Finland	21 Dec 1967	Samoa	19 Feb 1975
France	24 Feb 1970	Saudi Arabia	9 Nov 1967
Gabon	13 Dec 1974	Senegal	12 Jun 1970
Gambia	13 May 1974	Sierra Leone	26 Jan 1970
German Democratic Republic	21 Feb 1974	Somalia	26 Apr 1971
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>12</sup>	23 Dec 1971	Spain	21 Apr 1970
Ghana	30 Aug 1968	Sri Lanka	12 Apr 1974
Greece	29 May 1975	Sudan	28 May 1975
Guatemala	30 Apr 1975	Sweden	9 Sep 1968
Guinea	12 Nov 1973	Switzerland	5 Dec 1967
Guinea-Bissau	12 May 1976	Thailand	27 Jan 1975
Haiti	5 Sep 1974	Togo	29 Dec 1969
Honduras	31 Oct 1974	Trinidad and Tobago	27 Feb 1968
Hungary	9 Oct 1975	Tunisia	5 Oct 1967
Iceland	12 Jul 1972	Turkey	15 Aug 1969
India	16 Mar 1971	Uganda	22 May 1975
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	31 Jul 1972	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	10 Jun 1975
Iraq	9 Apr 1970	United Kingdom	19 Jun 1968
Ireland	3 Mar 1975	United States of America <sup>14</sup>	19 May 1975
Israel	20 Oct 1970	Viet Nam <sup>15</sup>	
Jamaica	28 Sep 1970	Yugoslavia	3 Sep 1968
Japan	21 Jun 1972	Zaire	23 Jul 1975
Jordan	11 May 1970	Zambia	25 Jan 1968

## (g) Amendments to articles 34 and 55 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization

Adopted by the Twenty-sixth World Health Assembly by resolution WHA 26.37 of 22 May 1973

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 3 February 1977 for all Members of the World Health Organization in accordance with article 73 of the Constitution.  
 REGISTRATION: 3 February 1977, No. 221.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1035, p. 315.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>
Afghanistan	28 Feb 1975	Jamaica	25 Mar 1977
Algeria	6 Jun 1977	Jordan	30 Nov 1976
Angola	3 Mar 1977	Kenya	17 Sep 1976
Argentina	4 Oct 1976	Kuwait	17 Jul 1975
Australia	11 Mar 1975	Lao People's Democratic Republic	28 Sep 1976
Bahamas	14 Dec 1976	Lesotho	4 Feb 1977
Bahrain	25 Jun 1975	Luxembourg	22 Jun 1982
Bangladesh	26 Feb 1976	Madagascar	27 Sep 1976
Barbados	7 Jun 1974	Malawi	21 Oct 1974
Belgium	6 Aug 1974	Malaysia	3 Jul 1975
Benin	24 Nov 1975	Maldives	16 Sep 1975
Bolivia	17 Oct 1975	Mali	27 Mar 1975
Botswana	4 Feb 1977	Malta	19 Jul 1976
Brazil	7 Aug 1974	Mauritania	21 Sep 1976
Brunei Darussalam	25 Mar 1985	Mauritius	26 Jan 1976
Bulgaria	27 Jan 1976	Mexico	25 Jul 1975
Burkina Faso	20 Mar 1979	Monaco	4 Nov 1975
Burma	30 Dec 1975	Mongolia	19 Jan 1977
Cameroon	30 May 1974	Morocco	30 Dec 1975
Canada	12 Jun 1974	Mozambique	9 Apr 1979
Cape Verde	28 Dec 1977	Nepal	10 Feb 1976
Central African Republic	13 Jan 1977	Netherlands <sup>17</sup>	27 Jan 1975
Chad	3 Nov 1976	New Zealand	19 Feb 1976
Chile	14 Sep 1977	Nicaragua	5 Nov 1976
China	5 Mar 1976	Niger	11 Jul 1974
Comoros	27 Jan 1977	Nigeria	15 Oct 1975
Congo	3 Jan 1977	Norway	14 Nov 1975
Cook Islands	9 May 1984	Oman	10 Apr 1974
Côte d'Ivoire	16 Dec 1977	Pakistan	29 Apr 1976
Cuba	7 Feb 1977	Panama	18 Feb 1975
Cyprus	20 Jun 1975	Paraguay	15 Jan 1976
Democratic Yemen	3 Feb 1977	Philippines	17 Sep 1976
Denmark	7 Oct 1974	Portugal	20 Feb 1975
Dominican Republic	16 Oct 1975	Qatar	8 Dec 1975
Ecuador	12 Mar 1975	Republic of Korea	16 Nov 1976
Egypt	14 Jan 1974	Romania	18 Jul 1977
El Salvador	17 Oct 1975	Rwanda	19 Nov 1976
Ethiopia	9 Jan 1976	Samoa	6 Jan 1976
Fiji	15 Nov 1973	Sao Tome and Principe	16 Feb 1977
Finland	17 Jun 1974	Saudi Arabia	13 Jan 1977
France	28 Jan 1975	Senegal	4 Feb 1977
Gambia	25 Jan 1977	Singapore	22 Sep 1975
German Democratic Republic	13 Jul 1976	Somalia	8 Oct 1975
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>16</sup>	9 Jul 1975	Spain	10 Oct 1975
Ghana	22 Apr 1977	Sri Lanka	12 Nov 1974
Greece	4 Nov 1975	Sudan	3 Jun 1977
Grenada	16 Jul 1976	Suriname	27 Jan 1977
Guatemala	18 Dec 1978	Swaziland	18 Nov 1975
Guinea	22 Sep 1975	Sweden	13 May 1974
Guinea-Bissau	18 Nov 1975	Switzerland	21 Aug 1974
Guyana	24 May 1974	Syrian Arab Republic	18 Jun 1975
Honduras	8 Nov 1974	Thailand	27 Jan 1975
Iceland	5 Dec 1975	Togo	16 Jan 1975
Indonesia	4 May 1977	Tonga	8 Feb 1977
Iraq	28 Jan 1977	Trinidad and Tobago	30 Jan 1975
Ireland	3 Mar 1975	Tunisia	6 Jan 1976
Israel	8 Sep 1976	Uganda	24 Nov 1975

IX.1: World Health Organization

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>
United Arab Emirates . . . . .	2 Jul 1974	Venezuela . . . . .	23 Jul 1975
United Kingdom . . . . .	23 Jul 1974	Viet Nam <sup>18</sup> . . . . .	23 Feb 1977
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	6 Jan 1976	Yemen . . . . .	11 Feb 1977
United States of America <sup>14</sup> . . . . .	19 May 1975	Yugoslavia . . . . .	22 Apr 1975
Uruguay . . . . .	10 Apr 1978	Zaire . . . . .	15 Jul 1976



## IX.1: World Health Organization

## (e) Amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization

Adopted by the Twenty-ninth World Health Assembly by resolution 29.33 of 17 May 1976

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 January 1984, in accordance with article 73 of the Constitution.

REGISTRATION: 20 January 1984, No. 221.

TEXT: World Health Assembly, resolution 29.38, Official Records of the World Health Organization, No. 233, p. 21.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>
Afghanistan	20 Sep 1982	Luxembourg	22 Jun 1982
Algeria	23 Nov 1983	Madagascar	8 Mar 1983
Australia	30 Mar 1977	Malaysia	25 Jan 1984
Bahamas	29 May 1980	Malawi	9 Apr 1980
Bahrain	25 Apr 1980	Maldives	20 Sep 1977
Bangladesh	3 Aug 1978	Malta	20 Jul 1977
Barbados	3 Aug 1977	Mauritania	28 Apr 1982
Belgium	29 Dec 1977	Mauritius	3 Sep 1981
Benin	4 May 1983	Mexico	23 Feb 1979
Bhutan	8 Sep 1982	Monaco	13 Jan 1983
Bolivia	16 Jun 1982	Mongolia	10 Nov 1981
Botswana	24 Feb 1978	Mozambique	27 Feb 1978
Brazil	27 Aug 1982	Nepal	23 Apr 1980
Bulgaria	18 Jan 1983	Netherlands <sup>17</sup>	18 Oct 1977
Burma	15 Jun 1979	New Zealand	26 Mar 1980
Burundi	21 Jul 1981	Nicaragua	16 Feb 1983
Cameroon	25 Sep 1978	Niger	28 Dec 1976
Canada	20 Jan 1984	Norway	29 Dec 1976
Cape Verde	13 Jan 1978	Oman	8 Aug 1980
Chile	5 Aug 1982	Panama	12 Nov 1984
China	20 May 1982	Papua New Guinea	1 Jul 1983
Comoros	13 Dec 1982	Peru	10 Oct 1978
Côte d'Ivoire	16 Dec 1977	Philippines	7 Oct 1981
Cyprus	27 Nov 1985	Portugal	26 Jun 1978
Democratic Kampuchea	17 Aug 1983	Qatar	7 Dec 1982
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	2 Mar 1982	Romania	18 Jul 1977
Democratic Yemen	3 May 1982	Samoa	9 May 1980
Denmark	1 Jul 1981	San Marino	28 Oct 1980
Djibouti	5 Dec 1983	Sao Tome and Principe	12 Apr 1982
Ecuador	22 Nov 1976	Saudi Arabia	13 Jan 1977
Egypt	21 Dec 1976	Senegal	12 Jan 1983
Ethiopia	6 Jan 1977	Seychelles	22 Feb 1980
Fiji	20 May 1981	Singapore	9 Jun 1983
Finland	14 Jun 1977	Spain	4 Nov 1976
France	22 Jul 1981	Sri Lanka	6 Oct 1978
Gabon	11 May 1982	Sudan	13 Jul 1982
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>19</sup>	16 Jan 1985	Suriname	4 Oct 1976
Greece	27 Feb 1978	Sweden	4 Feb 1980
Guatemala	16 Jan 1979	Switzerland	21 Jul 1978
Guinea-Bissau	5 Feb 1980	Thailand	7 Jun 1978
Guyana	30 Sep 1982	Togo	18 Oct 1982
Hungary	4 May 1983	Tonga	28 Nov 1977
Iceland	22 Jul 1983	Trinidad and Tobago	4 Jun 1985
India	23 Jan 1978	Tunisia	30 Sep 1983
Indonesia	24 May 1978	Turkey	29 Dec 1982
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	22 Feb 1980	Uganda	10 Jan 1978
Iraq <sup>20</sup>	25 Sep 1978	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	1 Apr 1982
Ireland	16 Feb 1982	United Arab Emirates	7 Oct 1982
Italy	17 May 1983	United Kingdom	24 Feb 1978
Jamaica	11 Apr 1983	United States of America	11 Nov 1982
Jordan	10 Jun 1983	Uruguay	10 Apr 1978
Kenya	1 Mar 1983	Venezuela	17 Aug 1983
Kuwait	7 Jun 1984	Viet Nam	30 Dec 1981
Lao People's Democratic Republic	23 Jan 1978	Yemen	8 Mar 1982
Lebanon	21 Jun 1982	Yugoslavia	2 Sep 1983
Liberia	25 May 1982	Zambia	10 Aug 1984
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	16 Jun 1982	Zaire	2 May 1983
		Zimbabwe	13 Oct 1982

IX.1: World Health Organization

(f) Amendment to article 74 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization

Adopted by the Thirty-first World Health Assembly by resolution WHA.31.18 of 18 May 1978

Not yet in force (see article 73 of the Constitution).

TEXT: World Health Assembly, resolution WHA31.18, Official Records of the World Health Organization, No. 247, p. 11.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>
Australia . . . . .	29 Sep 1981	Monaco . . . . .	3 Feb 1983
Bahrain . . . . .	19 May 1982	Netherlands <sup>17</sup> . . . . .	5 Jan 1982
Belgium . . . . .	1 Feb 1980	Niger . . . . .	18 Apr 1979
Cape Verde . . . . .	26 Nov 1979	Norway . . . . .	18 Apr 1979
Egypt . . . . .	4 Mar 1981	Oman . . . . .	18 Jul 1985
Finland . . . . .	15 May 1980	Qatar . . . . .	25 Apr 1985
France . . . . .	6 Oct 1980	San Marino . . . . .	28 Oct 1980
Guatemala . . . . .	12 Feb 1980	Saudi Arabia . . . . .	30 Oct 1978
Iceland . . . . .	22 Jul 1983	Singapore . . . . .	17 Apr 1979
Iraq . . . . .	17 Sep 1984	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	18 Dec 1979
Jordan . . . . .	30 Aug 1982	Tunisia . . . . .	30 Sep 1983
Kuwait . . . . .	2 Jan 1980	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	1 Apr 1982
Lebanon . . . . .	10 Jan 1986	United Arab Emirates . . . . .	18 Aug 1982
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .	20 Apr 1981	United States of America . . . . .	10 Dec 1980
Luxembourg . . . . .	22 Jun 1982	Yemen . . . . .	8 Mar 1982
Malawi . . . . .	3 Jul 1979		
Mauritania . . . . .	27 May 1982		

IX.1: World Health Organization

(g) Amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization

Adopted by the Thirty-ninth World Health Assembly by resolution WHA39.6 of 12 May 1986

Not yet in force (see article 73 of the Constitution).

TEXT: Resolutions of the World Health Assembly, 39th session, WHA39.6, p. 1.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>
China . . . . .	4 Dec 1986	New Zealand . . . . .	30 Dec 1986
Finland . . . . .	19 Dec 1986	Sweden . . . . .	10 Oct 1986
Jamaica . . . . .	4 Dec 1986	Trinidad and Tobago	15 Oct 1986
Madagascar . . . . .	24 Nov 1986		

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon acceptance.)

FRANCE

The Secretariat should take note that France, not recognizing the Government of the [Democratic Kampuchea], considers as being without effect the acceptance by that Government of the 1976 amendments to articles 24 and 25 of the Constitution of the World Health Organization, adopted by the Twenty-ninth World Health Assembly on 17 May 1976.

13 October 1983

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, First Session, Supplement No. 1, p. 86.

2/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

3/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 6 October 1964, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Constitution of the World Health Organization, including the amendments which came into force on 25 October 1960, applies to Land Berlin.

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones referred to in note 2 of chapter III.3.

4/ See note 20 in chapter I 2

5/ Accepted subject to the provisions of the joint resolution of the Congress of the United States of America approved 14 June 1948 (Public Law 643, 80th Congress), section 4 of which reads as follows: "In adopting this joint resolution the Congress does so with the understanding that, in the absence of any provision in the World

Health Organization Constitution for withdrawal from the organization, the United States reserves its right to withdraw from the organization on a one-year notice, provided, however, that the financial obligations of the United States to the organization shall be met in full for the organization's current fiscal year "

The World Health Assembly adopted unanimously on 2 July 1948 the following resolution: "The Assembly recognized the validity of the ratification by the United States of America and resolved that the Secretary-General of the United Nations be advised of this decision."

6/ By a letter dated at Hanoi on 12 July 1976 the Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Socialist Republic of Viet-Nam notified the Director-General of the World Health Organization that the Democratic Republic of Viet-Nam and the Republic of South Viet-Nam had united to form the Socialist Republic of Viet-Nam, and that the latter would continue to exercise the official membership in the World Health Organization of the Democratic Republic of Viet-Nam and the Republic of South Viet-Nam. The above-mentioned communication from the Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Socialist Republic of Viet-Nam was brought to the attention of the Member States of the World Health Organization by a circular letter from the Director-General of that Organization dated 30 August 1976. The Thirtieth World Health Assembly took note of the said notification in its resolution WHA 30.13 dated 10 May 1977. The Constitution of the World Health Organization had been accepted on behalf of the Democratic Republic of Viet-Nam on 22 October 1975 and on behalf of the Republic of Viet-Nam (later replaced by the Republic of South Viet-Nam) on 17 May 1950.

7/ Acceptance on behalf of the Republic of China on 25 April 1960. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

8/ The instrument of acceptance stipulates that the Kingdom of the Netherlands accepts the amendments for the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea.

9/ Acceptance by the United Arab Republic. See note 3 in chapter I.1.

10/ See note 6. The amendments had been accepted on behalf of the Republic of Viet-Nam (later replaced by the Republic of South Viet-Nam) on 7 September 1959.

11/ With a declaration to the effect that the acceptance of the Amendments by the Chiang Kai-shek clique usurping the name of China is illegal and null and void. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1). An instrument of acceptance on behalf of the Republic of China had been deposited with the Secretary-General on 19 January 1971. In this connexion, the Secretary-General had received communications from the Governments of Mongolia, Poland, Romania and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics objecting to the said acceptance, as well as communications in reply on behalf of the Government of the Republic of China.

12/ With a declaration to the effect that "the said amendments will also apply to Land Berlin with effect from the date on which they enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, Mongolia and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones referred to in note 2 of chapter III.3.

13/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 24 February 1972 with reference to the above-mentioned acceptance, the Permanent Representative of Romania to the United Nations stated that his Government considers that the said acceptance constitutes an illegal act, inasmuch as the South Korean authorities can, in no case, act on behalf of Korea

14/ The instrument of acceptance contains the following statement:

"As was the case in the original acceptance by the United States of America of the Constitution of the World Health Organization, the present acceptance is subject to the provisions of the joint resolution of the Congress of the United States of America approved June 14, 1948 (Public Law 643, 80th Congress)."

15/ See note 6. The amendments had been accepted on behalf of the Republic of Viet-Nam (later replaced by the Republic of South Viet-Nam) on 12 July 1973.

16/ With a declaration that the said amendments shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which they enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany

17/ On behalf of the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles

18/ See note 6. The amendments had been accepted on behalf of the Republic of Viet-Nam (later replaced by the Republic of South Viet-Nam) on 10 October 1974.

19/ In a note accompanying the instrument, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the amendments shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which they entered into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

20/ The instrument of acceptance contains the following declaration: The acceptance shall in no way imply recognition of Israel or be a cause for the establishment of any relations of any kind therewith.

In this respect the Secretary-General received on 11 May 1979 from the Government of Israel the following communication:

"The Instrument deposited by the Government of Iraq contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are, moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That pronouncement by the Government of Iraq cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon it under general international law or under particular treaties.

"The Government of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity."

2. PROTOCOL CONCERNING THE OFFICE INTERNATIONAL D'HYGIENE PUBLIQUESigned at New York on 22 July 1946<sup>1</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 October 1947, in accordance with article 7.  
 REGISTRATION: 20 October 1947, No. 125  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 9, p. 3.

<u>Participant (the States parties to the Arrangement for the creation at Paris of an Office international d'hygiène publique, signed at Rome on 9 December 1907, are designated by an asterisk.)</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), acceptance</u>	<u>Participant (the States parties to the Arrangement for the creation at Paris of an Office international d'hygiène publique, signed at Rome on 9 December 1907, are designated by an asterisk.)</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), acceptance</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .		19 Apr 1948	Japan* . . . . .		11 Dec 1951
Albania . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>	Jordan . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>
Argentina* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	22 Oct 1948	Lebanon* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	
Australia* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	8 May 1947	Liberia . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	
Austria . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>	Luxembourg* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	3 Jun 1949
Belgium* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	25 Jun 1948	Mexico* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	7 Apr 1948
Bolivia* . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>	Netherlands* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	25 Apr 1947
Brazil* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	2 Jun 1948	New Zealand* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	10 Dec 1946
Bulgaria* . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>	Nicaragua . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	
Burma* . . . . .		1 Jul 1948	Norway* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	18 Aug 1947
Byelorussian SSR		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>	Pakistan* . . . . .		23 Jun 1948
Canada* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	29 Aug 1946	Panama . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	20 Feb 1951
Chile* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946		Paraguay . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	
China <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>	Peru* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	
Colombia . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>	Philippines . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>	Poland* . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>
Cuba . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	9 May 1950	Portugal* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	11 Aug 1948
Czechoslovakia* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	1 Mar 1948	Saudi Arabia* . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>
Denmark* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	21 Apr 1947	South Africa* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	19 Mar 1948
Dominican Republic . . . . .	22 Jul 1946		Sri Lanka . . . . .		23 May 1949
Ecuador . . . . .	22 Jul 1946		Sweden* . . . . .	13 Jan 1947	28 Aug 1947
Egypt . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	16 Dec 1947	Switzerland* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	26 Mar 1947
Ethiopia . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	11 Apr 1947	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	
Finland . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>	Thailand . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>
France* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946		Turkey* . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>
Greece* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	12 Mar 1948	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>
Guatemala . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	26 Aug 1949	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics* . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>
Haiti . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	12 Aug 1947	United Kingdom* . . . . .		22 Jul 1946 <u>s</u>
Honduras . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	8 Apr 1949	United States of America* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	7 Aug 1947
Hungary* . . . . .	19 Feb 1947	17 Jun 1948	Uruguay* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	
India* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	12 Jan 1948	Venezuela . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	7 Mar 1949
Iran* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	27 Jan 1947	Yugoslavia* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	19 Nov 1947
Iraq* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	23 Sep 1947			
Ireland* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	20 Oct 1947			
Italy* . . . . .	22 Jul 1946	11 Apr 1947			

## NOTES:

1/ See note at the beginning of chapter IX.1.

2/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

CHAPTER X INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT

1. (a) GENERAL AGREEMENT ON TARIFFS AND TRADE, WITH ANNEXES AND SCHEDULES OF TARIFFS CONCESSIONS

Authenticated by the Final Act adopted at the conclusion of the second session of the Preparatory Committee of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Employment and signed at Geneva on 30 October 1947

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Applied provisionally as from 1 January 1948, pursuant to the Protocol of Provisional Application of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 30 October 1947. (See tables 1 and 2 hereafter for the list of Contracting Parties applying the General Agreement).

REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 I (b).  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 55, p. 187.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>
Liberia . . . . .	17 May 1950	Haiti . . . . .	7 Mar 1952

List of GATT instruments which are deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations

(See tables 1 and 2 hereafter for the list of Contracting Parties applying these GATT instruments)

Note. All multilateral instruments relating to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (protocols, declarations, etc., hereinafter referred to as GATT instruments) which were concluded prior to 1 February 1955, are deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Those which have been concluded since that date are deposited with the Director-General of the Contracting Parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade.

A list of the GATT instruments deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations is given below, showing--in respect of each instrument--the date of entry into force and particulars regarding registration and publication in the United Nations Treaty Series. Thereafter a list of the Contracting Parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade is given and then two tables indicating the effective dates of the said instruments in respect of each Contracting Party.

For the list of the GATT instruments deposited with the Director-General of the Contracting Parties and their status, see GATT publication Status of Legal Instruments (GATT/LEG/1, September 1971, and Supplements Nos. 1 to 11).

1. Protocol of Provisional Application of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 30 October 1947  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1948.  
 REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 I (c).  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 55, p. 308.
2. Protocol of Rectifications to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Havana on 24 March 1948  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 March 1948.  
 REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 II (a).  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 62, p. 2.
3. Declaration, signed at Havana on 24 March 1948  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 March 1948  
 REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 II (b)  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 62, p. 26
4. Protocol modifying certain provisions of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Havana on 24 March 1948  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 March 1948.  
 REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 II (c).  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 62, p. 30
5. Special Protocol modifying article XIV of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Havana on 24 March 1948

- 
- ENTRY INTO FORCE: 9 May 1949.  
REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 II (d).  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 62, p. 40.
6. Special Protocol relating to article XXIV of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Havana on 24 March 1948  
ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 June 1948.  
REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 II (e).  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 62, p. 56.
7. Second Protocol of Rectifications to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 14 September 1948  
ENTRY INTO FORCE: 14 September 1948.  
REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 III (b).  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 62, p. 74.
8. Protocol modifying part I and article XXIX of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 14 September 1948  
ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 September 1952.  
REGISTRATION: 24 September 1952, No. 814 III (d).  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 138, p. 334.
9. Protocol modifying part II and article XXVI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 14 September 1948  
ENTRY INTO FORCE: 14 December 1948  
REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 III (c).  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 62, p. 80.
10. Protocol for the Accession of Signatories of the Final Act of 30 October 1947, signed at Geneva on 14 September 1948  
ENTRY INTO FORCE: 14 September 1948.  
REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 III (a).  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 62, p. 68.
11. Third Protocol of Rectifications to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Annecy on 13 August 1949  
ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 October 1951.  
REGISTRATION: 21 October 1951, No. 814 IV (c).  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 107, p. 311.
12. First Protocol of Modifications to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Annecy on 13 August 1949  
ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 September 1952.  
REGISTRATION: 24 September 1952, No. 814 IV (g).  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 138, p. 381.
13. Protocol modifying article XXVI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Annecy on 13 August 1949  
ENTRY INTO FORCE: 28 March 1950.  
REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 IV (a).  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 62, p. 113.
14. Protocol replacing schedule I (Australia) of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Annecy on 13 August 1949  
ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 October 1951.  
REGISTRATION: 21 October 1951, No. 814 IV (b).  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 107, p. 83.
15. Protocol replacing schedule VI (Ceylon) of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Annecy on 13 August 1949  
ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 September 1952.  
REGISTRATION: 24 September 1952, No. 814 IV (d).  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 138, p. 346.
16. Annecy Protocol of Terms of Accession to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 10 October 1949  
ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1950.  
REGISTRATION: 30 May 1950, No. 814 V.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 62, p. 121.
-

- 
17. Fourth Protocol of Rectifications to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 3 April 1950  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 September 1952.  
 REGISTRATION: 24 September 1952, No. 814 IX.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 138, p. 398.
18. Fifth Protocol of Rectifications to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Torquay on 16 December 1950  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 June 1953.  
 REGISTRATION: 30 June 1953, No. 814 X  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 167, p. 265.
19. Decisions agreeing to the accession of certain Governments to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
- (a) Decision by the Contracting Parties agreeing to the accession of the Republic of Austria to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Torquay on 21 April 1951  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1951.  
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (a).  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 142, p. 9
- (b) Decision by the Contracting Parties agreeing to the accession of the Federal Republic of Germany to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Torquay on 21 April 1951  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1951.  
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (a).  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 142, p. 13.
- (c) Decision by the Contracting Parties agreeing to the accession of the Republic of Korea to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Torquay on 21 April 1951  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1951  
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (a).  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 142, p. 18.
- (d) Decision by the Contracting Parties agreeing to the accession of Peru to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Torquay on 21 April 1951  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1951.  
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (a).  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 142, p. 22.
- (e) Decision by the Contracting Parties agreeing to the accession of the Republic of the Philippines to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Torquay on 21 April 1951  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1951.  
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (a).  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 142, p. 26.
- (f) Decision by the Contracting Parties agreeing to the accession of the Republic of Turkey to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Torquay on 21 April 1951  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 June 1951.  
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (a).  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 142, p. 30.
20. Torquay Protocol to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, opened for signature at Torquay on 21 April 1951  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 6 June 1951.  
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (b).  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 142, p. 34.
21. Declaration on the continued application of the schedules to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, done at Torquay on 21 April 1951  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 April 1951.  
 REGISTRATION: 24 October 1952, No. 814 VIII (c).  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 147, p. 390.
22. First Protocol of Rectifications and Modifications to the texts of the schedules to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, done at Geneva on 27 October 1951  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 October 1953.  
 REGISTRATION: 21 October 1953, No. 814 XI.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 176, p. 2.
-



23. First Protocol of Supplementary Concessions to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (Union of South Africa and Federal Republic of Germany), done at Geneva on 27 October 1951  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 25 May 1952.  
 REGISTRATION: 25 May 1952, No. 814 VII (a)  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 131, p. 316.
24. Second Protocol of Rectifications and Modifications to the texts of the schedules to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 8 November 1952  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 2 February 1959.  
 REGISTRATION: 7 February 1959, No. 814 XXV.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 321, p. 245
25. Second Protocol of Supplementary Concessions to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (Austria and Federal Republic of Germany), done at Innsbruck on 22 November 1952  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 August 1953.  
 REGISTRATION: 30 August 1953, No. 814 VII (b)  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 172, p. 340.
26. Third Protocol of Rectifications and Modifications to the texts of the schedules to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, signed at Geneva on 24 October 1953  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 2 February 1959.  
 REGISTRATION: 2 February 1959, No. 814 XXVI  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 321, p. 266.
27. Declaration on the Continued Application of schedules to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, done at Geneva on 24 October 1953  
 ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1954.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 January 1954, No. 814 XII.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 183, p. 351.

List of Contracting Parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade<sup>1</sup>

Argentina	Ghana	Peru
Australia	Greece	Philippines
Austria	Guyana	Poland
Bangladesh	Haiti	Portugal
Barbados	Hungary	Republic of Korea
Belgium	Iceland	Romania
Benin	India	Rwanda
Brazil	Indonesia	Senegal
Burkina Faso	Ireland	Sierra Leone
Burma	Israel	Singapore
Burundi	Italy	South Africa
Canada	Ivory Coast	Southern Rhodesia
Cameroon	Jamaica	Spain
Central African Republic	Japan	Sri Lanka
Chad	Kenya	Suriname
Chile	Kuwait	Sweden
Colombia	Luxembourg	Switzerland
Congo	Madagascar	Thailand
Cuba	Malawi	Togo
Cyprus	Malaysia	Trinidad and Tobago
Czechoslovakia	Malta	Turkey
Denmark	Mauritania	Uganda
Dominican Republic	Mauritius	United Kingdom
Egypt	Netherlands	United Republic of Tanzania
Finland	New Zealand	United States of America
France	Nicaragua	Uruguay
Gabon	Niger	Yugoslavia
Gambia	Nigeria	Zaire
Germany, Federal	Norway	Zambia
Republic of	Pakistan	

Tables indicating the effective dates of the GATT instruments deposited with the Secretary-General for the Contracting Parties

Note: The GATT instruments deposited with the Secretary-General are identified by Arabic numerals in the order in which they appear in the list preceding these tables. Roman numerals are used in the tables to indicate the months.

Table 1 gives the list of States for which the said instruments became effective as the result of procedures effected by those States with the Secretary-General, together with the date of such procedures in respect of each instrument. Table 2 gives the list of States for which certain of these instruments became effective simultaneously in consequence of the States concerned having become Contracting Parties to the General Agreement through procedures (Protocol of accession or procedure provided for by article XXVI.5(c)) not effected with the Secretary-General, and the effective date of the respective instruments in respect of each of those States

TABLE 1

Effective dates of the GATT instruments deposited with the Secretary-General for Contracting Parties which effected separate procedures in respect of each of them with the Secretary-General

Contracting Party	GATT instruments									
	1		2		3		4		5	
Australia <sup>2</sup>	1.	I. 1948	24.	III. 1948			24.	III. 1948	9.	V. 1949
Austria			19.	X. 1951			19.	X. 1951	19.	X. 1951
Belgium	1.	I. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	9.	V. 1949
Brazil	30.	VII. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	9.	V. 1949
Burma	29.	VII. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	9.	V. 1949
Canada	1.	I. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	9.	V. 1949
Chile			24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	9.	V. 1949
Cuba	1.	I. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	9.	V. 1949
Czechoslovakia	20.	IV. 1948	24.	III. 1948			24.	III. 1948	9.	V. 1949
Denmark			28.	V. 1950			28.	V. 1950	28.	V. 1950
Dominican Republic			19.	V. 1950			19.	V. 1950	19.	V. 1950
Finland			25.	V. 1950			25.	V. 1950	25.	V. 1950
France	1.	I. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	9.	V. 1949
Germany, Federal Republic of			1.	X. 1951			1.	X. 1951	1.	X. 1951
Ghana			6.	III. 1957			6.	III. 1957	6.	III. 1957
Greece			1.	III. 1950			1.	III. 1950	1.	III. 1950
Haiti			1.	I. 1950			1.	I. 1950	1.	I. 1950
India	8.	VII. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	9.	V. 1949
Indonesia			27.	XII. 1949			27.	XII. 1949	9.	V. 1949
Italy			30.	V. 1950			30.	V. 1950	27.	XII. 1949
Japan			10.	IX. 1955			10.	IX. 1955	30.	V. 1950
Luxembourg	1.	I. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	10.	IX. 1955
Malaysia			31.	VIII. 1957			31.	VIII. 1957	9.	V. 1949
Netherlands	1.	I. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	31.	VIII. 1957
New Zealand	30.	VII. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	9.	V. 1949
Nicaragua			28.	V. 1950			28.	V. 1950	9.	V. 1949
Norway	10.	VII. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	28.	V. 1950
Pakistan	30.	VII. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	9.	V. 1949
Peru			7.	X. 1951			7.	X. 1951	9.	V. 1949
South Africa	13.	VI. 1948	24.	III. 1948			16.	II. 1949	7.	X. 1951
Southern Rhodesia	11.	VII. 1948	24.	III. 1948			9.	V. 1949	9.	V. 1949
Sri Lanka	29.	VII. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	9.	V. 1949
Sweden			30.	IV. 1950			30.	IV. 1950	30.	IV. 1950
Turkey			17.	X. 1951			17.	X. 1951	17.	X. 1951
United Kingdom	1.	I. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	9.	V. 1949
United States of America	1.	I. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	24.	III. 1948	16.	XII. 1953
Uruguay			16.	XII. 1953			16.	XII. 1953	9.	V. 1949

TABLE I (continued)

Contracting Party	GATT instruments									
	6		7		8		9		10	
Australia <sup>2</sup>	17.	XI.1950	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	25.	II.1949	14.	IX.1948
Austria	19.	X.1951	19.	X.1951	19.	X.1951	19.	X.1951		
Belgium	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Brazil	20.	X.1957	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	3.	VIII.1950	14.	IX.1948
Burma	8.	X.1951	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	II.1949	14.	IX.1948
Canada	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Chile	16.	III.1949	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	24.	IX.1952	14.	II.1949
Cuba	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Czechoslovakia	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	22.	III.1949		
Denmark	28.	V.1950	28.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	28.	V.1950		
Dominican Republic	19.	V.1950	19.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	19.	V.1950		
Finland	25.	V.1950	25.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	25.	V.1950		
France	14.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Germany, Federal Republic of	1.	X.1951	1.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	1.	X.1951		
Ghana	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957		
Greece	1.	III.1950	1.	III.1950	24.	IX.1952	1.	III.1950		
Haiti	1.	I.1950	1.	I.1950	24.	IX.1952	1.	I.1950		
India	31.	III.1949	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Indonesia	27.	XII.1949			24.	IX.1952	27.	XII.1949		
Italy	30.	V.1950	30.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	V.1950		
Japan	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955		
Luxembourg	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Malaysia	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957		
Netherlands	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
New Zealand	9.	VII.1951	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	9.	II.1949	14.	IX.1948
Nicaragua	28.	V.1950	28.	V.1950	24.	IX.1952	28.	V.1950		
Norway	25.	XI.1949	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Pakistan	9.	IX.1949	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Peru	7.	X.1951	7.	X.1951	7.	X.1951	7.	X.1951		
South Africa	19.	IX.1950	14.	IX.1948	11.	I.1949	11.	I.1949	16.	II.1949
Southern Rhodesia	18.	IV.1950	14.	IX.1948	1.	II.1949	1.	II.1949	8.	II.1949
Sri Lanka	12.	IX.1950	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Sweden	30.	IV.1950	30.	IV.1950	24.	IX.1952	30.	IV.1950		
Turkey	17.	X.1951	17.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	17.	X.1951		
United Kingdom	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
United States of America	7.	VI.1948	14.	IX.1948	24.	IX.1952	14.	XII.1948	14.	IX.1948
Uruguay	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953		

## X.1: GATT

TABLE 1 (continued)

## GATT instruments

Contracting Party	11		12		13		14		15	
Australia <sup>2</sup>	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	24.	IX.1951	24.	IX.1952
Austria	21.	X.1951	19.	X.1951	19.	X.1951	19.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Belgium	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Brazil	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Burma	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	8.	X.1951	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Canada	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Chile	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	24.	IX.1952	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Cuba	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	29.	IX.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Czechoslovakia	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Denmark	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	V.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Dominican Republic	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	19.	V.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Finland	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	25.	V.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
France	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Germany, Federal Republic of	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	1.	X.1951	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Ghana	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957	6.	III.1957
Greece	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Haiti	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
India	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Indonesia	21.	X.1951			24.	XI.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Italy	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	30.	IV.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Japan	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955	10.	IX.1955
Luxembourg	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Malaysia	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957	31.	VIII.1957
Netherlands	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
New Zealand	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Nicaragua	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28	V.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Norway	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Pakistan	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Peru	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	7.	X.1951	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
South Africa	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	18.	V.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Southern Rhodesia	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Sri Lanka	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	12.	IX.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Sweden	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	30.	IV.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Turkey	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	17.	X.1951	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
United Kingdom	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
United States of America	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952	28.	III.1950	21.	X.1951	24.	IX.1952
Uruguay	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953	16.	XII.1953

TABLE 1 (continued)

Contracting Party	GATT instruments									
	16		17		18		19(a)		19(b)	
Australia <sup>2</sup>	28.	V. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Austria	19.	X. 1951	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953				
Belgium	1.	I. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Brazil	26.	I. 1952	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Burma			24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Canada	1.	I. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Chile	26.	V. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Cuba	29.	III. 1951	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Czechoslovakia	11.	II. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951		
Denmark	28.	V. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Dominican Republic	19.	V. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Finland	25.	V. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
France	19.	IV. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Germany, Federal Republic of	1.	X. 1951	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953				
Ghana	6.	III. 1957	6.	III. 1957	6.	III. 1957				
Greece	1.	III. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Haiti	1.	I. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
India	21.	V. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Indonesia			24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953				
Italy	30.	V. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Japan	10.	IX. 1955	10.	IX. 1955	10.	IX. 1955				
Luxembourg	1.	I. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Malaysia	31.	VIII. 1957	31.	VIII. 1957	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951		
Netherlands	1.	I. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	31.	VIII. 1957	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
New Zealand	28.	V. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Nicaragua	28.	V. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Norway	29.	VII. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Pakistan	19.	V. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Peru	7.	X. 1951	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953				
South Africa	4.	V. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Southern Rhodesia			24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Sri Lanka	3.	III. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Sweden	30.	IV. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
Turkey	17.	X. 1951	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953				
United Kingdom	1.	I. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953	21.	VI. 1951	21.	VI. 1951
United States of America	1.	I. 1950	24.	IX. 1952	30.	VI. 1953				
Uruguay	16.	XII. 1953	16.	XII. 1953	16.	XII. 1953				

## X.1: GATT

TABLE 1 (continued)

Contracting Party	GATT instruments									
	19(c)		19(d)		19(e)		19(f)		20	
Australia <sup>2</sup>	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI 1951	21.	VI 1951	21.	VI.1951	17.	XI.1951
Austria									19.	X 1951
Belgium	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Brazil	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	III.1953
Burma	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI 1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	20.	XI.1951
Canada	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Chile	21	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21	VI 1951	24.	X.1952
Cuba	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI 1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Czechoslovakia			21	VI 1951	21.	VI.1951	21	VI 1951	8	VII 1951
Denmark	21	VI.1951	21	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	20.	I.1952
Dominican Republic	21.	VI.1951	21	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Finland			21.	VI 1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	4.	VIII 1951
France	21.	VI 1951	21.	VI.1951	21	VI 1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Germany, Federal Republic of									1.	X.1951
Ghana									6.	III.1957
Greece	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI 1951	21.	VI 1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Haiti	21.	VI 1951	21	VI.1951	21	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	8.	XI.1951
India	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI 1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	18	XI.1951
Indonesia									18.	XI.1951
Italy	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	17	XI.1951
Japan									10.	IX.1955
Luxembourg	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI 1951
Malaysia									31.	VIII.1957
Netherlands	21.	VI 1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI 1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
New Zealand	21	VI 1951	21	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	11.	XI.1951
Nicaragua	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21	VI.1951	21	VI 1951	30.	VII.1953
Norway	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI 1951	21.	VI.1951	2.	VIII.1951
Pakistan	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI 1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	18.	XI.1951
Peru									7.	X.1951
South Africa	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI 1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	18.	XI.1951
Southern Rhodesia	21	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	20.	VII.1951
Sri Lanka	21.	VI.1951	21	VI 1951	21	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6	VI.1951
Sweden	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	7.	VII.1951
Turkey									17.	X.1951
United Kingdom	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	18.	I.1952
United States of America	21.	VI 1951	21.	VI 1951	21	VI.1951	21.	VI.1951	6.	VI.1951
Uruguay									16.	XII.1953

## X.1: GATT

TABLE 1 (continued)

## GATT instruments

Contracting Party	GATT instruments				
	21	22	23	24	25
Australia <sup>2</sup>	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953			
Austria		21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
Belgium	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953		2. II.1959	30. VIII.1953
Brazil	19. II.1953	21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
Burma		21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
Canada	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953	25. V.1952	2. II.1959	
Chile	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953	24. IX.1952	2. II.1959	
Cuba	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
Czechoslovakia	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
Denmark		21. X.1953	25. V.1952	2. II.1959	
Dominican Republic	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953	25. V.1952	2. II.1959	
Finland	5. VII.1951	21. X.1953	25. V.1952	2. II.1959	
France	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
Germany, Federal Republic of		21. X.1953	25. V.1952	2. II.1959	30. VIII.1953
Ghana		6. III.1957		2. II.1959	
Greece	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953	25. V.1952	2. II.1959	
Haiti	9. X.1951	21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
India	21. X.1953	21. X.1953	25. V.1952	2. II.1959	
Indonesia		21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
Italy		21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
Japan		10. IX.1955		2. II.1959	
Luxembourg	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
Malaysia		31. VIII.1957		2. II.1959	
Netherlands	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953	25. V.1952	2. II.1959	
New Zealand	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
Nicaragua		21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
Norway		21. X.1953	25. V.1952	2. II.1959	
Pakistan		21. X.1953	25. V.1952	2. II.1959	
Peru		21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
South Africa	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953	25. V.1952	2. II.1959	
Southern Rhodesia	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
Sri Lanka	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953	25. V.1952	2. II.1959	
Sweden	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
Turkey		21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
United Kingdom	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953		2. II.1959	
United States of America	21. IV.1951	21. X.1953	25. X.1952	2. II.1959	
Uruguay		16. XII.1953		2. II.1959	

TABLE 1 (continued)

Contracting Party	GATT instruments			
	26	27		
Australia <sup>2</sup>	2	II 1959	23.	II 1954
Austria	2	II 1959	30	IV 1954
Belgium	2	II 1959	1	I 1954
Brazil	2	II 1959		
Burma	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Canada	2.	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Chile	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Cuba	?	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Czechoslovakia	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Denmark	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Dominican Republic	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Finland	2.	II 1959	1.	I 1954
France	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Germany, Federal				
Republic of	2	II 1959	15.	VI 1954
Ghana	2	II 1959		
Greece	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Haiti	2.	II 1959	1.	I 1954
India	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Indonesia	2.	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Italy	2	II 1959	1	I 1954
Japan	2	II 1959		
Luxembourg	2.	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Malaysia	2	II 1959		
Netherlands	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
New Zealand	?	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Nicaragua	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Norway	2.	II 1959	28.	IV 1954
Pakistan	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Peru	2	II 1959	26.	IV 1954
South Africa	2	II 1959	1	I 1954
Southern Rhodesia	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Sri Lanka	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Sweden	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Turkey	2	II 1959	1	I 1954
United Kingdom	2.	II 1959	1.	I 1954
United States of				
America	2	II 1959	1.	I 1954
Uruguay	2.	II 1959	1.	I 1954

TABLE 2

Effective dates of certain GATT instruments deposited with the Secretary-General (Nos. 2, 4 to 9, 11 to 18, 20, 22, 24 and 26 in the preceding list unless otherwise indicated) for States which became bound by them through becoming Contracting Parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade in accordance with procedures not effected with the Secretary-General

Contracting Party	Effective date	Contracting Party	Effective date
Argentina	11 X 1967	Cyprus	16. VIII 1960
Bangladesh	16 XII 1972	Egypt	9. V.1970
(GATT instruments Nos. 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17 and 18)		(GATT instruments Nos. 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17 and 18.)	
Barbados	30 XI 1966	Gabon	17. VIII.1960
Benin	1 VIII 1960	Gambia	18. II.1965
Burkina Faso	5 VIII 1960	Guyana	26. V.1966
Burundi	1 VII 1962	Hungary	9 IX.1973
Cameroon	1 I 1960	(GATT instruments Nos. 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17 and 18)	
Central African Republic	14 VIII 1960	Iceland	21. IV.1968
Chad	11 VIII 1960	Ireland	22. XII.1967
Colombia	3. X 1981	Israel	5. VII.1962
(GATT instruments Nos. 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17 and 18.)		[Also bound, as from the date shown herein, by the Protocol	
Congo	15 VIII 1960		



## X.1: GATT

<u>Contracting Party</u>	<u>Effective date</u>	<u>Contracting Party</u>	<u>Effective date</u>
of Provisional application of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (No. 1 in the list of GATT instruments).]		Singapore . . . . .	9. VIII.1965
Ivory Coast . . . . .	7. VIII.1960	Spain . . . . .	29. VIII.1963
Jamaica . . . . .	6. VIII.1962	[Also bound, as from the date shown herein, by the Protocol of Provisional application of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (No. 1 in the list of GATT instruments).]	
Kenya . . . . .	12. XII.1963	Suriname . . . . .	25. XI.1975
Kuwait . . . . .	19. VI.1961	(GATT instruments Nos. 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17 and 18.)	
Madagascar . . . . .	25. VI.1960	Switzerland . . . . .	1. VIII.1966
Malawi . . . . .	6. VII.1964	[Also bound, as from the date shown herein, by the Protocol of Provisional application of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (No. 1 in the list of GATT instruments).]	
Malta . . . . .	21. IX.1964	Thailand . . . . .	30. VI.1982
Mauritania . . . . .	28. XI.1960	[Also bound, as from the date shown herein, by the Protocol of accession of 21.X.82. (GATT instruments Nos. 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17 and 18).]	
Mauritius . . . . .	12. III.1968	Togo . . . . .	27. IX.1960
(GATT instruments Nos. 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17 and 18.)		Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	31. VIII.1962
Niger . . . . .	3. VIII.1960	Uganda . . . . .	9. X.1962
Nigeria . . . . .	1. X.1960	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	9. XII.1961
Philippines . . . . .	27. X.1981	Yugoslavia . . . . .	25. VIII.1966
(GATT instruments Nos. 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17 and 18.)		(Also bound, as from the date shown herein, by the Protocol of Provisional application of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (No. 1 in the list of GATT instruments).)	
Poland . . . . .	18. X.1967	Zaire . . . . .	11. IX.1971
Portugal . . . . .	6. V.1962	(GATT instruments Nos. 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17 and 18.)	
[Also bound, as from the date shown herein, by the Protocol of Provisional application of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (No. 1 in the list of GATT instruments).]		Zambia . . . . .	27. X.1981
Republic of Korea . . . . .	14. IV.1967	(GATT instruments Nos. 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17 and 18.)	
[Also bound, as from the date shown herein, by the Protocol of Provisional application of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (No. 1 in the list of GATT instruments).]		Rwanda . . . . .	1. VII.1962
Romania . . . . .	14. XI.1971	Senegal . . . . .	20. VI.1960
(GATT instruments Nos. 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17 and 18.)		Sierra Leone . . . . .	27. IV.1961

1. (b) HAVANA CHARTER FOR AN INTERNATIONAL TRADE ORGANIZATION

Authenticated by the Final Act of the United Nations Conference and Trade on Employment, signed at Havana on 24 March 1948

Note: The conditions for the entry into force of the Havana Charter, set forth in its article 103, were not fulfilled within the prescribed time-limit. No instrument of acceptance was deposited with the Secretary-General. For the text of the Havana Charter, see United Nations Conference on Trade and Employment, Final Act and Related Documents, E/CONF.2/78, United Nations publication, Sales No.: 1948.II.D.4.

---

1. (c) AGREEMENT ON MOST-FAVoured-NATION TREATMENT FOR AREAS OF WESTERN GERMANY UNDER MILITARY OCCUPATION

Signed at Geneva on 14 September 1948

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 14 October 1948, in accordance with article U.  
REGISTRATION: 14 October 1948, No. 296.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 18, p. 267.

Note: The Agreement and Memorandum of Understanding (1(c) and 1(d)) were concluded within the framework of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. The Contracting Parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade which were signatories of the Agreement of 14 September 1948 met informally at Geneva on 16 October 1951. At that meeting, it was recommended that all signatories to the latter Agreement who wished to do so should, if possible, notify their withdrawal from it by depositing a notice of intention of withdrawal with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on the same date, such notices to cover also the Memorandum of understanding. The date of 14 December 1951 was generally considered as appropriate for such an action, the withdrawal to take effect on 15 June 1952. For the States which were parties to the Agreement and the Memorandum of understanding, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 18, p. 267; vol. 19, p. 328; vol. 20, p. 308; vol. 24, p. 320; vol. 35, p. 370; vol. 42, p. 356; vol. 43, p. 339; vol. 44, p. 339; vol. 46, p. 350; vol. 53, p. 419, and vol. 70, p. 272. For the dates of receipt of the notices of withdrawal, see ibid., vol. 117, p. 385; vol. 121, p. 327, and vol. 128, p. 293.

1. (d) MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING RELATIVE TO APPLICATION TO THE WESTERN SECTORS OF BERLIN OF THE AGREEMENT ON MOST-FAVOURLED-NATION TREATMENT FOR AREAS OF WESTERN GERMANY UNDER MILITARY OCCUPATION

Signed at Annecy on 13 August 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 13 August 1949 by signature  
 REGISTRATION: 24 September 1949, No 296.  
 TEXT. United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 42, p 356

Note: See Note under 1. (c) above.

NOTES:

1/ The following States which had provisionally applied the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade notified the Secretary-General of the cessation of such application:

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of provisional application</u>	<u>Effective date of withdrawal</u>
China*	21 May 1948	
Lebanon	29 Jul 1948	25 Feb 1951
Liberia	20 May 1950	13 Jun 1953
Syrian Arab Republic	30 Jul 1948	6 Aug 1951

\*/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1). Notification of withdrawal on behalf of the Republic of China received on 6 March 1950

2/ In a notification received on 4 August 1975 the Government of Australia declared that the General Agreement would apply provisionally to Papua New Guinea

X.2: African Development Bank

2. AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT BANK

Done at Khartoum on 4 August 1963

ENTRY INTO FORCE. 10 September 1964, in accordance with article 65.  
 REGISTRATION: 10 September 1964, No. ~~21052~~ 7408  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 510, p. 3, and vol. 569, p. 353 (corrigendum to vol. 510).

Note: The Agreement was approved and opened for signature by the Conference of Finance Ministers on the Establishment of an African Development Bank convened pursuant to resolution 52 (IV)<sup>1</sup> of the United Nations Economic Commission for Africa. The Conference was convened at Khartoum from 31 July to 4 August 1963. For the text of the Final Act of the Conference, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 510, p. 3.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Algeria . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	10 Sep 1964	Madagascar <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		3 May 1976 <u>a</u>
Angola . . . . .		9 Jan 1981 <u>a</u>	Malawi <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		25 Jul 1966 <u>a</u>
Benin . . . . .	8 Oct 1963	25 Aug 1964	Mali . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	23 Apr 1964
Botswana <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		31 Mar 1972 <u>a</u>	Mauritania . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	9 Sep 1964
Burkina Faso . . . . .	21 Nov 1963	22 Sep 1964	Mauritius <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		1 Jan 1974 <u>a</u>
Burundi <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	2 Jan 1968 <u>a</u>	Morocco . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	2 Jun 1964
Cameroon . . . . .	8 Oct 1963	7 May 1964	Mozambique <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		4 Jun 1976 <u>a</u>
Cape Verde <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		15 Apr 1976 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .	25 Oct 1963	29 Jul 1964
Central African Republic <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	26 Aug 1970 <u>a</u>	Nigeria . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	12 Mar 1964
Chad <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		26 Aug 1968 <u>a</u>	Rwanda . . . . .	18 Dec 1963	18 Jan 1965
Comoros <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		3 May 1976 <u>a</u>	Sao Tome and Principe <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		14 Apr 1976 <u>a</u>
Congo . . . . .	29 Nov 1963	10 Feb 1965	Senegal . . . . .	17 Dec 1963	11 Sep 1964
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	20 Mar 1964	Seychelles <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		20 Apr 1977 <u>a</u>
Djibouti <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		12 Jul 1978 <u>a</u>	Sierra Leone . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	18 Feb 1964
Egypt . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	14 Sep 1964	Somalia . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	22 Oct 1964
Equatorial Guinea <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		30 Jun 1975 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .	13 Feb 1984	13 Feb 1984
Ethiopia . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	14 Jul 1964	Sudan . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	9 Sep 1963
Gabon <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		31 Dec 1972 <u>a</u>	Swaziland <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		26 Jul 1971 <u>a</u>
Gambia <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		2 Jul 1973 <u>a</u>	Togo . . . . .	18 Oct 1963	3 Jul 1964
Ghana . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	30 Jun 1964	Tunisia . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	29 Oct 1964
Guinea . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	21 May 1964	Uganda . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	16 Dec 1963
Guinea-Bissau <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		5 May 1975 <u>a</u>	United Republic of Tanzania <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	27 Nov 1963
Kenya . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	24 Jan 1964	Zaire . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	5 Jun 1964
Lesotho <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		2 Jul 1972 <u>a</u>	Zambia <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		1 Sep 1966 <u>a</u>
Liberia . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	23 Jun 1964	Zimbabwe <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		5 Sep 1980 <u>a</u>
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	4 Aug 1963	21 Jul 1972 <u>a</u>			

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Thirty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 10 (E/3586, E/CN.14/168), p. 44.

2/ Article 64 (2) of the Agreement provides that a State may, after the Agreement has entered into force, become a member of the Bank by accession to the Agreement on such terms as the Board of Governors may determine; that the Government of such State shall deposit its instrument of accession on or before a date appointed by the Board, and that, upon the deposit, the State concerned shall become a member of the Bank on the appointed date.

Following are, in respect of each acceding State, the number and date of the pertinent resolution adopted by the Board of Governors of the

Bank. In all cases, the terms for accession included the payment of the first instalment of its initial subscription to the Bank by the State concerned and, unless otherwise indicated, the appointed date corresponded to the date of deposit of the instrument of accession with the Secretary-General:

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Number of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>
Angola . . . . .	3-80	23 Jun 1980 (Appointed date: 23 June 1980)
Botswana . . . . .	9-71	28 Jul 1971
Burundi . . . . .	4-67	31 Dec 1967
Cape Verde . . . . .	02-76	15 Apr 1976

X.2. African Development Bank

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Number of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>
Central African Republic . . . .	3-70	26 Aug 1970
Chad . . . . .	2-68/ 3-68	25 Jun 1968/ 26 Aug 1968
Comoros . . . . .	05-76	3 May 1976
Djibouti . . . . .	01-78	1 May 1978
Equatorial Guinea . . . . .	03-75	5 May 1975
Gabon . . . . .	8-72	20 Jul 1972
Gambia . . . . .	2-73	2 Jul 1973
Guinea-Bissau . . . . .	02-75	5 May 1975
Lesotho . . . . .	3-73	2 Jul 1973
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .	13-72	21 Jul 1972
Madagascar . . . . .	06-76	3 May 1976
Malawi . . . . .	2-66	19 Apr 1966
Mauritius . . . . .	4-73	2 Jul 1973
Mozambique . . . . .	07-76	3 May 1976
Sao Tome and Principe . . . . .	01-76	28 Feb 1976
Seychelles . . . . .	01-77	31 Mar 1977
Swaziland . . . . .	6-71	26 Jul 1971
Zambia . . . . .	6-66	15 Aug 1966
Zimbabwe . . . . .	04-80	23 Jun 1980

3/ The Agreement was originally signed and the instrument of ratification was deposited on behalf of Tanganyika. Following the formation of the Union between Tanganyika and Zanzibar under the name of the United Republic of Tanzania (see note 20 in chapter I.2), the Government of that

country submitted a declaration to the African Development Bank to the effect that "it assumes the membership in the ADB both as regards Tanganyika and Zanzibar, and desires the Bank to give effect to this extension and to increase its subscription by one million units of account". The said declaration was considered by the Board of Governors of the African Development Bank at its first plenary session on 4 November 1964. In resolution No. 3 adopted on the same date, the Board of Governors, having expressed the desire of giving full effect to the extension of membership of the United Republic of Tanzania, decided, inter alia, that the subscription of that country to the capital stock of the ADB should be increased by one million units of account, half of it to consist of paid-up shares, and the other half of callable shares; and that the extension of membership of the United Republic of Tanzania should take effect upon the payment to the ADB of the first instalment of its initial subscription to the paid-up capital stock as provided in the resolution. The Board further took note that, upon the extension of its membership, the United Republic of Tanzania would have 1,255 votes.

4/ Pursuant to the resolution of the Board of Governors (No. 04-80 of 23 June 1980), the Agreement is deemed to have taken effect retroactively for Zimbabwe as of 23 June 1980, upon completion of all the necessary conditions and receipt of its instrument of accession by the African Development Bank.

X.2: African Development Bank

2. a) AMENDMENTS TO THE AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT BANK

Adopted by the Board of Governors of the African Development Bank  
in resolution O5-79 of 17 May 1979

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 May 1982, in accordance paragraph 4 of resolution O5-79 and paragraph 1 of article 60 of the unamended Agreement.  
REGISTRATION: 7 May 1982, No. ~~21000~~ 7408  
TEXT: Annex to resolution O5-79 (document ADB/BG/XU/O5 Rev.II of the Bank dated 17 March 1979).

Note: On 17 May 1979, the Board of Governors of the African Development Bank adopted three resolutions (O5-79, O6-79 and O7-79) concerning non-regional membership in the Bank. Resolution O5-79 sets out the amendments of the Agreement establishing the African Development Bank and subscriptions thereto in connexion with the admission of non-regional member countries. As to resolution O6-79, it sets out general rules governing admission of non-regional countries to membership in the Bank" Finally, resolution O7-79, sets out general rules governing admission of non-regional countries to membership in the Bank".

Participants bound by the  
amendments by virtue of  
paragraph 4 of resolution O5-79  
and paragraph 1 of article 60  
of the unamended Agreement

	<u>Acceptance of the amendments</u>
Angola . . . . .	7 Jan 1981
Benin . . . . .	6 Sep 1980
Botswana . . . . .	13 Dec 1979
Burkina Faso . . . . .	23 Aug 1980
Burundi . . . . .	11 Jan 1980
Cameroon . . . . .	12 Mar 1980
Cape Verde . . . . .	22 Dec 1980
Central African Republic . . . . .	15 Jan 1981
Chad . . . . .	7 Sep 1981
Comoros . . . . .	30 Nov 1979
Congo . . . . .	18 Aug 1980
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	27 Feb 1980
Djibouti . . . . .	29 Jun 1979
Egypt . . . . .	27 Jun 1979
Equatorial Guinea . . . . .	14 Nov 1979
Ethiopia . . . . .	21 Apr 1980
Gabon . . . . .	9 Aug 1980
Gambia . . . . .	25 Feb 1980
Ghana . . . . .	13 Dec 1979
Guinea . . . . .	16 May 1980
Guinea-Bissau . . . . .	15 Dec 1980
Kenya . . . . .	25 Jul 1979
Lesotho . . . . .	20 Nov 1979
Liberia . . . . .	30 Sep 1980

Participants bound by the  
amendments by virtue of  
paragraph 4 of resolution O5-79  
and paragraph 1 of article 60  
of the unamended Agreement

	<u>Acceptance of the amendments</u>
Madagascar . . . . .	18 Dec 1981
Malawi . . . . .	23 Aug 1979
Mali . . . . .	16 Jul 1979
Mauritania . . . . .	5 Jan 1981
Mauritius . . . . .	27 Sep 1979
Morocco . . . . .	24 Nov 1980
Mozambique . . . . .	27 Dec 1979
Niger . . . . .	9 Dec 1980
Nigeria . . . . .	6 May 1982
Rwanda . . . . .	2 Feb 1980
Sao Tome and Principe . . . . .	19 Nov 1979
Senegal . . . . .	10 Jul 1979
Seychelles . . . . .	14 Dec 1979
Sierra Leone . . . . .	26 Oct 1979
Somalia . . . . .	22 Dec 1980
Sudan . . . . .	10 Dec 1980
Swaziland . . . . .	11 Jan 1980
Togo . . . . .	18 Jan 1980
Tunisia . . . . .	27 Jun 1979
Uganda . . . . .	29 May 1980
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	20 Aug 1980
Zaire . . . . .	6 Sep 1980
Zambia . . . . .	3 Apr 1980
Zimbabwe . . . . .	24 Oct 1980

X.2 : African Development Bank-Agreement

2. (b) AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT BANK DONE AT KHARTOUM ON 4 AUGUST 1963,  
AS AMENDED BY RESOLUTION 05-79 ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS ON 17 MAY 1979

Concluded at Lusaka on 7 May 1982

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 May 1982, in accordance with paragraph 4 of resolution 05-79.  
REGISTRATION: 7 May 1982, No. 21052 + 408  
TEXT: African Development Bank doc ADB/BG/XU/05 Rev.II and depositary notification C.N.220.1983.TREATIES-6 of 9 November 1983 (procès-verbal of rectification of the original English and French texts).

Note: The original of the Agreement was established by the Secretary-General of the United Nations on 2 June 1982.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Participation in the Agreement as amended under paragraph 4 of resolution 05-79 and paragraph 1 of article 60 of the unamended Agreement</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (A), acceptance (A)</u>
Angola . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Argentina . . . . .		6 Jun 1985	6 Jun 1985 A
Austria . . . . .	7 May 1982	23 Jul 1982	10 Mar 1983 <sup>1</sup>
Belgium . . . . .		15 Feb 1983	15 Feb 1983 <sup>1</sup>
Benin . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Botswana . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Brazil . . . . .		8 Dec 1982	14 Jul 1983 <sup>1</sup>
Burkina Faso . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Burundi . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Cameroon . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Canada . . . . .		23 Dec 1982	23 Dec 1982 A <sup>1</sup>
Cape Verde . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Central African Republic . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Chad . . . . .	7 May 1982		
China . . . . .		9 May 1985	9 May 1985 A
Comoros . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Congo . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Denmark . . . . .	7 May 1982	7 Sep 1982	7 Sep 1982 <sup>1</sup>
Djibouti . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Egypt . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Equatorial Guinea . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Ethiopia . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Finland . . . . .		7 Sep 1982	7 Sep 1982 A <sup>1</sup>
France . . . . .		1 Jul 1982	1 Jul 1982 <sup>1</sup>
Gabon . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Gambia . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup>		16 Feb 1983 <sup>2</sup>	16 Feb 1983 A <sup>1,2</sup>
Ghana . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Guinea . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Guinea-Bissau . . . . .	7 May 1982		
India . . . . .		25 Oct 1983	6 Dec 1983 A <sup>1</sup>
Italy . . . . .		26 Nov 1982	26 Nov 1982 A <sup>1</sup>
Japan . . . . .		3 Feb 1983	3 Feb 1983 A <sup>1</sup>
Kenya . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Kuwait . . . . .		9 Nov 1982	9 Nov 1982 A <sup>1</sup>
Lesotho . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Liberia . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Madagascar . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Malawi . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Mali . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Mauritania . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Mauritius . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Moroco . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Mozambique . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Netherlands <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		28 Jan 1983	28 Jan 1983 A <sup>1</sup>
Niger . . . . .	7 May 1982		

X.2 : African Development Bank

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Participation in the Agreement as amended under paragraph 4 of resolution O5-79 and paragraph 1 of article 60 of the unamended Agreement</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a) acceptance (A)</u>
Nigeria . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Norway . . . . .		7 Sep 1982	7 Sep 1982 A <sup>1</sup>
Portugal . . . . .		8 Dec 1983	15 Dec 1983 A
Republic of Korea . . . . .		27 Sep 1982	27 Sep 1982 A <sup>1</sup>
Rwanda . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Sao Tome and Principe . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Saudi Arabia . . . . .		15 Dec 1983	15 Dec 1983 a <sup>1</sup>
Senegal . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Seychelles . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Sierra Leone . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Somalia . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Spain . . . . .		13 Feb 1984	13 Feb 1984 A
Sudan . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Swaziland . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Sweden . . . . .		7 Sep 1982	7 Sep 1982 A <sup>1</sup>
Switzerland . . . . .		14 Sep 1982	14 Sep 1982 A <sup>1</sup>
Togo . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Tunisia . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Uganda . . . . .	7 May 1982		
United Kingdom . . . . .		23 Dec 1982	27 Apr 1983 A
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	7 May 1982		
United States of America . . . . .		31 Jan 1983	31 Jan 1983 A <sup>1</sup>
Yugoslavia . . . . .		15 Sep 1982	15 Sep 1982 <sup>1</sup>
Zaire . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Zambia . . . . .	7 May 1982		
Zimbabwe . . . . .	24 May 1982		

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or acceptance.)

CANADA

Reservation:

"In so accepting the said Agreement, the Government of Canada, pursuant to paragraph 3 of article 64, hereby retains for itself the right to tax the salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to Canadian citizens, nationals and residents."

DENMARK

Declaration:

"According to the main rule of article 17, paragraph 1 d), in the Agreement establishing the African Development Bank, the proceeds of any financing undertaken by the Bank shall be used only for procurement in Member Countries of goods and services produced in Member Countries.

The declared shipping policy of the Danish Government is based on the principle of free circulation of shipping in international trade in free and fair competition. In accordance with this policy, transactions and transfers in con-

nection with maritime transport should not be hampered by provisions giving preferential treatment to one country or group of countries, the aim always being that normal commercial considerations should determine the method and flag of shipment. The Government of Denmark trusts that article 17, paragraph 1 (d), will not be applied contrary to this principle."

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Reservations made upon acceptance

1. The Federal Republic of Germany retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to German citizens, nationals or residents.

2. In the territory of the Federal Republic of Germany the immunities conferred by articles 53 and 56 of the Agreement shall not apply in relation to a civil action arising out of an accident caused by a motor vehicle belonging to the Bank or operated on its behalf, or to a traffic offence committed by the driver of such a vehicle.



3. According to the exchange of notes between the African Development Bank and the Federal Republic of Germany executed at Abidjan on 24 January 1983,

- (a) The Bank shall not claim exemption from direct taxation, customs duties or taxes having equivalent effect on goods imported or exported for other than its official use;
- (b) The Bank shall not claim exemption from taxes and duties which are no more than charges for services rendered, and
- (c) The Bank shall sell articles imported under an exemption pursuant to article 57 paragraph 1 of the Agreement in the territory of a member granting the exemption only on the terms agreed with that member.<sup>4</sup>

INDIA

Declaration:

"[The] Government of India retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the African Development Bank to the citizens, nationals or residents of India."

ITALY

Declaration:

The Government of Italy declares, in accordance with article 64(3) of the Agreement Establishing the African Development Bank (Khartoum, 4 August 1963), amended by Resolution 05-09, that it retains for itself and its constitutional subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid to citizens and residents.

JAPAN

Declaration:

"The Government of Japan, in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (3) of article 64 of the Agreement, retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to its nationals or residents."

KUWAIT<sup>5</sup>

Understanding:

"It is understood that ratification of the Agreement ... does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the State of Kuwait. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the State of Kuwait and Israel."

NORWAY

Declaration:

According to article 17, paragraph 1 (d) of the Agreement establishing the African Development Bank, the proceeds of any loan, investment or other financing undertaken in the ordinary operations of the Bank shall be used only for procurement in member countries of goods and services produced in member countries, except for special cases.

The declared shipping policy of the Norwegian Government is based on the principle of free cir-

ulation of shipping in international trade in free and fair competition. In accordance with this policy, transactions and transfers in connection with maritime transport should not be hampered by provisions giving preferential treatment to one country or a group of countries, the aim always being that normal commercial consideration should determine the method and flag of shipment. The Government of Norway trusts that article 17, paragraph 1 (d) will not be applied contrary to this principle.

Upon signature and acceptance:

Declaration:

The Government of Norway retains, in accordance with article 64.3 of the said Agreement, the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to Norwegian citizens, nationals or residents.

NETHERLANDS

Declaration:

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands reserves the right to take into account, for the purpose of assessing the amount of income tax due on income from other sources, the salaries and emoluments paid to the professional staff of the African Development Bank and exempt from taxation under article 57 of the Agreement. The exemption shall not be deemed applicable to the pensions paid by the Bank."

SWEDEN

Declaration:

According to the main rule of article 17, paragraph 1 (d) in the Agreement establishing the African Development Bank, the proceeds of any loan, investment or other financing undertaken by the Bank shall be used only for procurement in member countries of goods and services produced in member countries.

The shipping policy of the Swedish Government is based on the principle of free circulation of shipping in international trade in free and fair competition. The Swedish Government trusts that article 17, paragraph 1 (d) will not be applied contrary to this principle. Similarly, it is part of the assistance policy of the Swedish Government that multilateral development assistance should be based on the principle of free international competitive bidding. The Swedish Government expresses the hope that it will be possible to reach agreement on such modification of article 17, 1 (d) that it does not conflict with this principle.

Upon signature and ratification:

Declaration:

With reference to article 64.3 of the Agreement Establishing the African Development Bank, Sweden hereby declares that it retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to citizens, nationals or residents of Sweden.

SWITZERLAND

Declaration:

In accordance with article 64(3) of the Agreement, Switzerland retains for itself the right to

tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to its nationals, residents of Switzerland.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Declarations and reservations.

"1. As Bank telegrams and telephone calls are not defined as Government telegrams and telephone calls in Annex 2 to the International Telecommunications Conventions signed at Montreux on 12 November 1965 and at Málaga-Torremolinos on 25 October 1973 and are therefore not entitled by the Convention to the privileges thereby conferred on Government telegrams and telephone calls, the Government of the United Kingdom, having regard to their obligations under the International Telecommunications Conventions, declare that the privileges conferred by Article 55 of the Agreement shall be correspondingly restricted in the United Kingdom but, subject thereto, shall be not less favourable than the United Kingdom affords to international financial institutions of which it is a member.

2. In accordance with the provisions of article 64(3) of the Agreement, the United Kingdom declares that it retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to its citizens, nationals and permanent residents. The United Kingdom will not accord to consultants the privileges and immunities mentioned in article 56 unless they are experts performing missions for the Bank

3. In accordance with its current practice in regard to international organisations, the United Kingdom will, pursuant to the terms of article 57(1) of the Agreement, accord to the Bank the following taxation privileges:

a) Within the scope of its official activities, the Bank and its property and income will be exempt from all direct taxes, including income tax, capital gains tax and corporation tax. The Bank will also be exempt from municipal rates levied on its premises with the exception of the proportion which, as in the case of diplomatic missions, represents payments for specific services rendered.

b) The Bank will be accorded a refund of car

tax and value added tax paid on the purchase of new motor cars of United Kingdom manufacture, and value added tax paid on the supply of goods or services of substantial value, necessary for the official activities of the Bank.

c) Goods the import and export of which by the Bank is necessary for the exercise of its official activities shall be exempt from all duties of customs and excise and other such charges except payments for services. The Bank will be accorded a refund of the duty and value added tax paid on the importation of hydrocarbon oils purchased by the Bank and necessary for the exercise of its official activities.

d) Exemption in respect of taxes or duties under the preceding sub-paragraphs will be accorded subject to compliance with conditions agreed with Her Majesty's Government. Goods which have been acquired or imported under the above provisions may not be sold, given away or otherwise disposed of in the United Kingdom except in accordance with conditions agreed with Her Majesty's Government.

4. In the territory of the United Kingdom the immunity conferred by article 52(1) and article 56(1) shall not apply in relation to a civil action by a third party for damage arising out of an accident caused by a motor vehicle belonging to or operated on behalf of the Bank or a person covered by article 56, as the case may be, or in relation to a traffic offence committed by the driver of such a vehicle.

5. Her Majesty's Government are not at the moment able to implement Article 57(3)(ii) of the Agreement as this requires an amendment to existing legislation. Her Majesty's Government hope however that they will be in a position to implement it in the near future.<sup>6</sup>

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Declaration:

"The United States of America retains for itself and for all political subdivisions of the United States of America the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the African Development Bank to United States citizens or nationals."

NOTES:

1/ "Date of admission as member of the Bank in accordance with the relevant declaration by the President of the Bank provided for in section 3(c) of resolution 07-79 adopted by the Board of Governors of the Bank on 17 March 1979:

Canada	30 Dec 1982
Denmark	30 Dec 1982
Finland	30 Dec 1982
France	30 Dec 1982
Kuwait	30 Dec 1982
Norway	30 Dec 1982
Republic of Korea	30 Dec 1982
Sweden	30 Dec 1982
Switzerland	30 Dec 1982
Yugoslavia	30 Dec 1982
Italy	31 Dec 1982

Netherlands	28 Jan 1983
Japan	3 Feb 1983
United States of America	8 Feb 1983
Belgium	15 Mar 1983
Germany, Federal Republic of	18 Feb 1983
Austria	30 Mar 1983
United Kingdom	29 Apr 1983
Brazil	14 Jul 1983
India	6 Dec 1983
Saudi Arabia	15 Dec 1983
China	10 May 1985
Argentina	2 Jul 1985

2/ Declaration made upon signature and acceptance:  
The Agreement shall also apply to Berlin

(West) with effect from the date when it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

3/ For the Kingdom in Europe

4/ The Bank notified the Depository that reservations Nos. 2 and 3, not contemplated in the Agreement, had been accepted by the Bank.

5/ With this regard, the Secretary General received from the Government of Israel, on 27 June 1984 the following communication:

"The Government of the State of Israel has noted that the instrument by Kuwait contains a declaration of political character in respect of Israel. In the view of the government of the State of Israel this Convention is not the

place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, the said declaration cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon the Government of the State of Kuwait under general international law or under specific Convention.

The Government of the State of Israel will, in regard to the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of the State of Kuwait an attitude of complete reciprocity."

6/ The Bank notified the Depository that those reservations above that are not contemplated in the Agreement, had been accepted by the Bank.

## 3. CONVENTION ON TRANSIT TRADE OF LAND-LOCKED STATES

Done at New York on 8 July 1965

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 9 June 1967, in accordance with article 20.  
 REGISTRATION: 9 June 1967, No. 8641.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 597, p. 3.

Note: The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference on Transit Trade of Land-locked Countries, which had been convened pursuant to the decision of the General Assembly of the United Nations taken at its 1328th plenary meeting on 10 February 1965. The Conference met at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York from 7 June to 8 July 1965.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	8 Jul 1965		Malawi . . . . .		12 Dec 1966 <u>a</u>
Argentina . . . . .	29 Dec 1965		Mali . . . . .		11 Oct 1967 <u>a</u>
Australia . . . . .		2 May 1972 <u>a</u>	Mongolia . . . . .		26 Jul 1966 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .	30 Dec 1965	21 Apr 1970	Nepal . . . . .	9 Jul 1965	22 Aug 1966
Bolivia . . . . .	29 Dec 1965		Netherlands . . . . .	30 Dec 1965	30 Nov 1971
Brazil . . . . .	4 Aug 1965		Niger . . . . .		3 Jun 1966 <u>a</u>
Burundi . . . . .		1 May 1968 <u>a</u>	Nigeria . . . . .		16 May 1966 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian SSR	28 Dec 1965	11 Jul 1972	Norway . . . . .		17 Sep 1968 <u>a</u>
Cameroon . . . . .	10 Aug 1965		Paraguay . . . . .	23 Dec 1965	
Central African Republic . . . . .	30 Dec 1965		Rwanda . . . . .	23 Jul 1965	13 Aug 1968
Chile . . . . .	20 Dec 1965	25 Oct 1972	San Marino . . . . .	23 Jul 1965	12 Jun 1968
Chad . . . . .		2 Mar 1967 <u>a</u>	Senegal . . . . .		5 Aug 1985 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	10 Dec 1965	8 Aug 1967	Sudan . . . . .	11 Aug 1965	
Denmark . . . . .		26 Mar 1969 <u>a</u>	Swaziland . . . . .		26 May 1969 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		22 Jan 1971 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .		16 Jun 1971 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	20 Dec 1965		Switzerland . . . . .	10 Dec 1965	
Holy See . . . . .	30 Dec 1965		Turkey . . . . .		25 Mar 1969 <u>a</u>
Hungary . . . . .	30 Dec 1965	20 Sep 1967	Uganda . . . . .	21 Dec 1965	
Italy . . . . .	31 Dec 1965		Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	31 Dec 1965	21 Jul 1972
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	8 Jul 1965	29 Dec 1967	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	28 Dec 1965	21 Jul 1972
Lesotho . . . . .		28 May 1969 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .	30 Dec 1965	29 Oct 1968
Luxembourg . . . . .	28 Dec 1965		Yugoslavia . . . . .	8 Jul 1965	10 May 1967
			Zambia . . . . .	23 Dec 1965	2 Dec 1966

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

## BELGIUM

Upon signature and ratification:

1. With regard to the application of article 3 of the Convention, the Belgian Government considers that the exemption relates exclusively to duties or taxes on imports or exports, and not to taxes on transactions, such as the Belgian tax on transport and auxiliary services, which also apply to internal trade.

2. Belgium can apply article 4, paragraph 1, only in so far as State-owned means of transport and handling equipment are concerned.

Upon signature (the reservation referred to below was not made upon ratification):

3 The Belgian Government intends, upon depositing its instrument of ratification of the Convention, to make a reservation concerning the rights and obligations of Belgium arising from its adherence to certain international treaties relating to economic matters or trade.

## BOLIVIA

Upon signature:

I have been instructed by my Government to place on record the Bolivian view, which is already to be found in the records of the Conference, that Bolivia is not a land-locked State but a nation which is deprived by temporary circumstances of access to the sea across its own coast and that unrestricted and unconditional freedom of transit must be recognized in international law as an inherent right of enclosed territories and countries for reasons of justice and because of the need to facilitate such transit as a contribution to general progress on a basis of equality.

Bolivia will on no occasion fail to maintain these views, which are inherent in national sovereignty, and, by signing the Convention, will give evidence of its willingness to co-operate with the United Nations and the developing countries without a sea-coast.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST  
REPUBLIC

Declaration and reservation made upon signature  
and confirmed upon ratification:

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 17, 19, 22 and 23 of the Convention, under which a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention. The Convention deals with matters that affect the interests of all States, and it should therefore be open for participation by all States. According to the principle of sovereign equality, no States have the right to exclude other States from participation in a Convention of this type.

The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 16 of the Convention on Transit Trade of Land-locked States, under which members of the arbitration commission may be appointed by the President of the International Court of Justice, and declares that, in each individual case, the consent of the contending States is necessary for the appointment of members of the arbitration commission by the President of the International Court of Justice.

CHILE

Reservation with respect to article 16 made upon  
signature and confirmed upon ratification:

In any dispute with American countries over the interpretation or implementation of this Convention, Chile shall proceed in accordance with whatever interAmerican instruments concerning the peaceful settlement of disputes may be binding both on Chile and on the other American country.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"1) The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by article 16 providing for a compulsory procedure of arbitration for any dispute which may arise with respect to interpretation or application of the provisions of the Convention. The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic maintains that the consensus of all Parties to the dispute is indispensable in any particular case to be submitted for arbitration.

"2) The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic considers articles 17 and 19 to be of discriminatory character since, on the basis of their provisions, a number of States has been deprived of the possibility of becoming a Party to the Convention.

"The Convention relates to matters which are of interest to all States. consequently, it has to be open for participation of all States. In accordance with the principle of sovereign equality, no States have the right to exclude other States from becoming a Party to the Convention of general interest.

"3) The latter reservation applies also to articles 22 and 23 for the same reasons."

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"In respect of article 2, paragraph 1, article 5  
and article 7.

"The Federal Republic of Germany starts from the assumption that normal frontier controls which, in accordance with international agreements and with existing national legislation, are carried through in an adequate and non-discriminatory manner, meet the requirements of article 2, paragraph 1, article 5 and article 7.

"In respect of article 2, paragraph 2.

"The Federal Republic of Germany understands this provision to imply that, as long as agreements according to article 2, paragraph 2, have not been concluded, the national regulations of the transit state will apply.

"In respect of article 4, paragraph 1 and  
article 6, paragraph 1.

"The Federal Republic of Germany is not in a position to assume obligations as provided for in article 4, paragraph 1 and in article 6, paragraph 1. Considering transport conditions in the Federal Republic of Germany, however, it may be taken for granted that sufficient means of transport as well as handling equipment and storage facilities will be available for traffic in transit. Should difficulties arise nevertheless, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany would be prepared to seek remedies.

"In respect of article 4, paragraph 2 and  
article 6, paragraph 2:

"The Federal Republic of Germany is not in a position to assume obligations as contained in article 4, paragraph 2 and article 6, paragraph 2. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany is, however, prepared, within the scope of its possibilities, to use its influence as regards tariffs and charges so as to facilitate traffic in transit as much as possible."

HUNGARY

The Hungarian People's Republic does not recognize as having binding force the provision in Article 16 of the Convention, under which disputes regarding the interpretation and application of the Convention shall be referred to an arbitration commission appointed by the International Court of Justice. The Hungarian People's Republic wishes to stress that the consent of all parties to any dispute shall be necessary for the dispute to be referred to the arbitration commission.

The Hungarian People's Republic is of the opinion that Articles 17, 19, 22 and 23 of the Convention, which debar a number of States the right to become parties to the Convention, are of a discriminatory nature. The Convention is a general multilateral international treaty, and therefore, as follows from the principles of international law, every State shall have the right to become a party to it.

ITALY

The Permanent Representative of Italy wishes to notify the Secretary-General that the Italian Government intends to enter specific reservations to the Convention on depositing its instrument of ratification.

LUXEMBOURG

The Government of Luxembourg envisages the possibility, on depositing the instrument of ratification of the Convention on Transit Trade of Land-locked States, of entering a reservation relating to its membership in regional economic unions or common markets

MONGOLIA

The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic deems it essential to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of the provisions of articles 17, 19, 22 and 23 of the Convention, under which a number of States are excluded from participation in this Convention. The Convention deals with matters of interest to all States and should therefore be open for participation by all States.

The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 16 of the Convention on Transit Trade of Land-locked States under which members of the arbitration commission may be appointed by the President of the International Court of Justice, and declares that the appointment of members of the arbitration commission should be made only with the consent of all the parties to the dispute

SUDAN

"The Government of the Republic of the Sudan will not consider itself bound by the third sentence of article 2, paragraph 1, of the Convention in respect of the passage across its territory of goods destined to or coming from South Africa or Portugal or goods the ownership of which could be claimed by South Africa or Portugal. The reservation is made in accordance with the spirit of Security Council resolution S/5773, in which the Security Council condemned the apartheid Policies of the Government of the Republic of South Africa, resolution A/AC.109/124 in which the Special Committee condemned the colonial policy of Portugal and its persistent refusal to carry out the resolutions of the General Assembly, the Security Council and the Special Committee, and resolution CM/Res.6(1) of the Council of Ministers of the Organization of African Unity. The reservations will remain in force pending the ending of the prevailing situation in South Africa and the Portuguese colonies.

"Nor will the Republic of the Sudan, as a member of the Arab League, consider itself bound by the same provision in respect of the passage across its territory of goods destined for or coming from Israel."

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Declaration and reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 17, 19, 22 and 23 of the Convention, under which a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention. The Convention deals with matters that affect the interests of all States, and it should therefore be open for participation by all States. According to the principle of sovereign equality, no States have the right to exclude other States from participation in a Convention of this type

The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 16 of the Convention on Transit Trade of Land-locked States, under which members of the arbitration commission may be appointed by the President of the International Court of Justice, and declares that, in each individual case, the consent of the contending States is necessary for the appointment of members of the arbitration commission by the President of the International Court of Justice

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declaration and reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers it necessary to draw attention to the discriminatory nature of articles 17, 19, 22 and 23 of the Convention under which a number of States are deprived of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention. The Convention deals with matters that affect the interests of all States, and it should therefore be open for participation by all States. According to the principle of sovereign equality, no States have the right to exclude other States from participation in a Convention of this type

The Government of the Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 16 of the Convention on Transit Trade of Land-locked States, under which members of the arbitration commission may be appointed by the President of the International Court of Justice, and declares that, in each individual case, the consent of the contending States is necessary for the appointment of members of the arbitration commission by the President of the International Court of Justice.

X.4: Asian Development Bank

4. AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK

Done at Manila on 4 December 1965

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 22 August 1966, in accordance with article 65.  
 REGISTRATION: 22 August 1966, No. 8303.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 571, p. 123 (including the procès-verbal of rectification established on 2 November 1967), and vol. 608, p. 380 (procès-verbal of rectification).

Note: The Agreement was adopted by the Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Asian Development Bank, which had been convened pursuant to resolution 62 (XXI)<sup>1</sup> of the United Nations Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East, and which met at Manila from 2 to 4 December 1965.

<u>Participant<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), participation(P) under article 3<sup>3</sup></u>	<u>Participant<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), participation(P) under article 3<sup>3</sup></u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	22 Aug 1966	Malaysia . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	16 Aug 1966
Australia <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	19 Sep 1966	Maldives <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		14 Feb 1978 <u>P</u>
Austria . . . . .	31 Jan 1966	29 Sep 1966	Nepal . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	21 Jun 1966 <u>A</u>
Bangladesh <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		14 Mar 1973 <u>P</u>	Netherlands <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	29 Aug 1966
Belgium . . . . .	31 Jan 1966	16 Aug 1966	New Zealand <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	29 Sep 1966
Burma <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		26 Apr 1973 <u>P</u>	Norway . . . . .	28 Jan 1966	14 Jul 1966
Bhutan <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		15 Apr 1982 <u>P</u>	Pakistan . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	12 May 1966
Canada . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	22 Aug 1966	Philippines . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	5 Jul 1966
China <sup>3,4</sup> . . . . .		10 Mar 1986 <u>P</u>	Republic of Korea [Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>6</sup> . . . . .	4 Dec 1965 28 Jan 1966	16 Aug 1966 22 Sep 1966
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	30 Sep 1966	Samoa . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	23 Jun 1966
Denmark . . . . .	28 Jan 1966	16 Aug 1966	Singapore . . . . .	28 Jan 1966	21 Sep 1966
Finland . . . . .	28 Jan 1966	22 Aug 1966	Spain <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		14 Feb 1986 <u>P</u>
France <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		27 Jul 1970 <u>P</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	29 Sep 1966
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	30 Aug 1966	Sweden . . . . .	31 Jan 1966	29 Sep 1966
India . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	20 Jul 1966	Switzerland <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		31 Dec 1967 <u>P</u>
Indonesia <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		24 Nov 1966 <u>P</u>	Thailand . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	16 Aug 1966
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	4 Dec 1965		Tonga <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		29 Mar 1972 <u>P</u>
Italy . . . . .	31 Jan 1966	30 Sep 1966	United Kingdom <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	26 Sep 1966
Japan . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	16 Aug 1966	United States of America . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	16 Aug 1966 <u>A</u>
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	4 Dec 1965	30 Aug 1966	Vanuatu <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		15 Apr 1982 <u>P</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon, ratification, acceptance or participation.)

AUSTRALIA

7  
 "The Australian Government further declares in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 56 of the said Agreement that it retains the right to levy taxation in respect of salary and emoluments paid by the Bank for services rendered in Australia to a Director, alternate, officer or employee of the Bank, including an expert performing a mission for the Bank, being a resident of Australia within the meaning of the Australian legislation relating to income tax unless the person is not a citizen of Australia and came to Australia solely for the purpose of performing duties of the office in the Bank held by him

"The Australian Government is unable to accord to the Bank, in respect of any mailbags which the Bank might wish to despatch through postal channels in Australia, the reduced rates which the Australian Government accords, on the basis of reciprocity, to certain other Governments in respect of mailbags despatched through postal channels by their diplomatic missions in Australia.

"The Australian Government is, insofar as the article applies to priorities, rates and taxes on telecommunications, unable fully to comply with article 54 of the Agreement which requires that the Bank in respect of its official communications shall be accorded by each member treatment not less favourable than that accorded to the official communications of any other member, until

#### X.4. Asian Development Bank

such time as all other Governments have decided to co-operate in granting this treatment to international organizations. This reservation shall not affect the right of the Bank to lodge press telegrams at prescribed press rates to the press and radio in Australia.

"The Australian Government understands that nothing in the said Agreement affects the application of any Australian law relating to quarantine."

##### CANADA

"... Canada retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax Canadian citizens resident or ordinarily resident in Canada."

##### DENMARK

"According to article 14, paragraph ix, in the Agreement establishing the Asian Development Bank, 'the proceeds of any loan, investment or other financing undertaken in the ordinary operations of the Bank or with Special Funds established by the Bank pursuant to paragraph 1 (1) of article 19, shall be used only for procurement in member countries of goods and services produced in member countries...'

"The declared shipping policy of the Danish Government is based on the principle of free circulation of shipping in international trade in free and fair competition. In accordance with this policy transactions and transfers in connexion with maritime transport should not be hampered by provisions giving preferential treatment to one country or a group of countries, the aim always being that normal commercial consideration should determine the method and flag of shipment. The Government of Denmark trusts that article 14, paragraph ix, will not be applied contrary to this principle."

##### FRANCE

Pursuant to article 56 (2) of the said Agreement, the French Government retains for itself the right to levy taxes, as provided by French law, on salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to French nationals.

##### GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"1. The Federal Republic of Germany makes use of the reservation provided for in article 56, paragraph 2, of the Agreement establishing the Asian Development Bank and retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Asian Development Bank to Germans within the meaning of Article 116 of the Basic Law for the Federal Republic of Germany who have their domicile or ordinary residence in the area of application of the said Basic Law, including Land Berlin;

"2 The Agreement establishing the Asian Development Bank shall also apply to Land Berlin as from the day on which the Convention will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

##### INDIA

"The Government of India declares that India retains for herself and her political subdivision the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Asian Development Bank to citizens or nationals of India."

##### ITALY

"The Italian Government, pursuant to article 56, paragraph 2, of the Agreement, retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to Italian citizens employed in offices of the Bank that might be set up in Italy or performing any activities in Italy on behalf of the Bank."

On the occasion of the deposit of the instrument of ratification, the Permanent Representative of Italy to the United Nations, on the instructions of the Minister for Foreign Affairs of Italy, has made the following observations:

"The Italian Government considers that paragraph 1 of article 56 is to be construed in the light of current practice concerning exemption of international organizations from taxation. According to such practice, relief from taxation is granted to international organizations only in respect of articles acquired in pursuance of the official activities of an organization and, in the case of internal indirect taxes, only for substantial purchases where it is reasonably practicable to allow such relief.

"The Italian Government considers that the provision of article 50, paragraph 1, concerning immunity from jurisdiction is to be construed within the limits in which such immunity is provided by international law.

"I also have the honour to inform your Excellency that it is the intention of the Italian Government to seek from the Asian Development Bank an understanding to the effect that the special procedure to be provided for pursuant to paragraph 2 of article 50 of the by-laws and regulations of the Bank or in contracts entered into with the Bank should not be of prejudice to the jurisdiction of Italian Courts with respect to any claims put forward by private parties."

##### JAPAN

"... Japan retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to its nationals."

##### MALAYSIA

"The Government of Malaysia declares that it retains for itself the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid."

##### NETHERLANDS

This ratification is subject to the reservation provided for in article 56, paragraph 2, of the Convention.



NEW ZEALAND

" . . Pursuant to paragraph 2 (ii) of article 24 of the Agreement, the Government of New Zealand hereby declares that it desires the use of the portion of its subscription paid pursuant to paragraph 2 (b) of article 6 of the Agreement to be wholly restricted to payments for goods or services produced in its territory."

NORWAY

"According to article 14, paragraph ix, in the Agreement establishing the Asian Development Bank, 'the proceeds of any loan, investment or other financing undertaken in the ordinary operations of the Bank or with Special Funds established by the Bank pursuant to paragraph 1 (i) of article 19, shall be used only for procurement in member countries of goods and services produced in member countries . . . .'

"The declared shipping policy of the Norwegian Government is based on the principle of free circulation of shipping in international trade in free and fair competition. In accordance with this policy transactions and transfers in connection with maritime transport should not be hampered by provisions giving preferential treatment to one country or a group of countries, the aim always being that normal commercial consideration should determine the method and flag of shipment. The Government of Norway trusts that article 14, paragraph ix, will not be applied contrary to this principle."

PHILIPPINES

"The Government of the Philippines declares that it retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to citizens or nationals of the Philippines "

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

"The Republic of Korea retains for itself and its political subdivisions the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to its nationals."

SINGAPORE

"Singapore retains for itself the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Asian Development Bank to citizens and nationals of Singapore."

SRI LANKA

"In accordance with paragraph 2 of article 56 of the Asian Development Bank Agreement, the Government of Ceylon retains for itself and its political subdivision the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Bank to citizens or nationals of Ceylon resident or ordinarily resident in Ceylon."

SWEDEN

"According to the main rule of article 14, paragraph ix, in the Agreement establishing the Asian Development Bank, the proceeds of any loan, investment or other financing undertaken by the Bank shall be used only for procurement in member countries of goods.

"The shipping policy of the Swedish Government is based on the principle of free circulation of shipping in international trade in free and fair competition. The Swedish Government trusts that article 14, paragraph ix, will not be applied contrary to this principle. Similarly, it is part of the assistance policy of the Swedish Government that multilateral development assistance should be based on the principle of free international competitive bidding. The Swedish Government expresses the hope that it will be possible to reach agreement on such modification of article 14, paragraph ix, that it does not conflict with this principle."

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

". . . In accordance with paragraph 2 of article 56, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that they retain the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Asian Development Bank to citizens of the United Kingdom and Colonies."

In a letter transmitting the instrument of ratification, the Permanent Representative of the United Kingdom to the United Nations, has made the following observations:

"Article 54 of the Agreement has the effect of affording Government telecommunication privileges to the Asian Development Bank. The list of persons and authorities entitled to such privileges in Annex 3 to the International Telecommunications Convention signed at Geneva on the 21st of December, 1959, does not include international organizations other than the United Nations. There is thus a clear conflict between article 54 and the Telecommunications Convention, to which the United Kingdom (and no doubt other members of the Asian Development Bank) is a party. The United Kingdom wishes to propose that this conflict be considered at an early meeting of the Board of Governors.

"Paragraph 1 of article 56 of the Agreement might perhaps be construed as allowing the Asian Development Bank complete exemption from all customs duties and taxes on goods without any qualification. It is current practice to accord relief from taxation on goods to international organizations only in respect of articles acquired in pursuance of the official activities of an organization, and, in the case of internal indirect taxes, only for substantial purchases where it is reasonably practicable to allow such relief. The Government of the United Kingdom consider that paragraph 1 of article 56 is to be construed in the light of current practice."

"I also have the honour to inform you that it is the intention of the Government of the United Kingdom to seek from the Asian Development Bank:

"(a) An understanding that it will insure any motor vehicle belonging to, or operated on be-

half of, the Bank against third party claims for damage arising from an accident caused by such a vehicle in the United Kingdom and that the immunity of the Bank from legal process under paragraph 1 of article 50 will not be asserted in the case of any civil action in the United Kingdom by a third party for damage arising from an accident caused by such a vehicle;

"(b) An understanding that no immunity under article 55 will be asserted in respect of any motor traffic offence committed by a member of

the personnel of the Bank or in respect of damage caused by a motor vehicle belonging to, or driven by, him."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"The United States of America retains for itself and for all political subdivisions of the United States of America the right to tax salaries and emoluments paid by the Asian Development Bank to any citizen or national of the United States of America."

NOTES

1/ Official Records of Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East, 39th Session, Supplement No. 2 (E/4005-E/CN.11/705), p. 167.

2/ Pursuant to the procedure provided for in article 3(3) of the Agreement, various non-autonomous territories became members of the Bank, as indicated hereafter:

<u>Territory</u>	<u>Participant presenting the application for admission</u>	<u>Date of the resolution by the Council of Governors</u>	<u>Date on which the resolution took effect</u>
Hong Kong . . . . .	United Kingdom	26 Mar 1969	27 Mar 1969
Fiji . . . . .	United Kingdom	24 Mar 1970	2 Apr 1970
Papua and New Guinea . . . . .	Australia	12 Mar 1971	8 Apr 1971
British Solomon Islands Protectorate . . . . .	United Kingdom	12 Apr 1973	30 Apr 1973
Gilbert and Ellice Islands . . . . .	United Kingdom	27 Apr 1974	28 May 1974
Cook Islands . . . . .	New Zealand	8 Apr 1976	20 Apr 1976

3/ Article 3(2) of the Agreement provides that countries eligible for membership under paragraph 1 of article 3 which do not become members in accordance with article 64 may be admitted, under such terms and conditions as the Bank may determine, to membership in the Bank upon the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the total number of Governors, representing not less than three-fourths of the total voting power of the members. Conditions include the acceptance of the Agreement through the deposit of an instrument of acceptance with the Bank. The date of participation corresponds to the fulfillment of all requirements.

4/ Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 4 December 1965 and 22 September 1966 respectively. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China, (note 2 in chapter I 1).

5/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

6/ See note 4 in chapter III.6

7/ In a notification received on 12 May 1976, the Government of Australia informed the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the declaration made upon ratification under article 24(2) (ii) of the said Agreement. For the text of the declaration so withdrawn, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 572, p. 368.

5. ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF AN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY OF WEST AFRICA

Done at Accra on 4 May 1967

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 4 May 1967, in accordance with article 7, paragraph 2.  
 REGISTRATION: 4 May 1967, No. 8623.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 595, p. 287.

Note: Adopted by the West African Sub-regional Conference on Economic Co-operation, held at Accra from 27 April to 4 May 1967.

The Articles of Association for the Establishment of an Economic Community of West Africa done at Accra on 4 May 1967 were concluded "pending the formal establishment of the Community" (preamble). Thereafter, two additional agreements were concluded: (1) the Treaty establishing the Community of West Africa, concluded at Abidjan on 17 April 1973 between the Ivory Coast, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Senegal and Upper Volta (came into force on 1 January 1974 and deposited with the Government of Upper Volta); and (2) the Treaty of the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS), concluded at Lagos on 28 May 1975 between Benin, the Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Ivory Coast, Liberia, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Togo and Upper Volta (came into force on 20 June 1975 and deposited with the Government of Nigeria)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature</u>
Benin . . . . .	4 May 1967	Mauritania . . . . .	4 May 1967
Burkina Faso . . . . .	4 May 1967	Niger . . . . .	4 May 1967
Gambia . . . . .	21 Nov 1967	Nigeria . . . . .	4 May 1967
Ghana . . . . .	4 May 1967	Senegal . . . . .	4 May 1967
Liberia . . . . .	4 May 1967	Sierra Leone . . . . .	4 May 1967
Mali . . . . .	4 May 1967	Togo . . . . .	4 May 1967

6. AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE CARIBBEAN DEVELOPMENT BANK, WITH PROTOCOL TO PROVIDE FOR PROCEDURE FOR AMENDMENT OF ARTICLE 36 OF THE AGREEMENT

Done at Kingston, Jamaica, on 18 October 1969

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 26 January 1970, in accordance with article 64.  
 REGISTRATION: 26 January 1970, No. 10232.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 712, p. 217; depositary notifications C.N.133.1984.TREATIES-1 of 11 July 1984 [amendment to article 29(1)(a)] and C.N.215.1985.TREATIES-1 of 11 October 1985 (amendments to articles 25, 33, 34, 35 and 57).

Note: The Agreement and Protocol were adopted by the Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Caribbean Development Bank which met at Kingston, Jamaica, on 18 October 1969. The Conference was convened for that purpose by the Acting Secretary-General of the Commonwealth Caribbean Regional Secretariat in accordance with the decision of the Commonwealth Caribbean Conference of Finance Ministers taken at its meeting held at Port of Spain, Trinidad and Tobago, on 22 July 1969. Both instruments were opened for signature by the Plenipotentiary Conference at Kingston on 18 October 1969. The Conference also adopted the Final Act, approved the memorandum of understanding relating to the allocation of the Bank's resources to multinational projects, which had been adopted by the Conference of Finance Ministers at Port of Spain, and adopted the resolution on the duties of the Trustee designated under article 7, paragraph (8), of the Agreement. The texts of the said memorandum and resolution are appended to the Final Act as annexes A and B.

The Protocol, to provide for procedure for amendment of article 36 of the Agreement, became void, when the amendment proposed under the said procedure at the Inaugural Meeting of the Board of Governors of the Caribbean Development Bank, held at Nassau, Bahamas, on 31 January 1970, had failed to obtain the required majority.

By Resolution No. 9/76 adopted on 20 August 1976, the Board of Governors of the Bank has amended article 29 (1)(a) of the Agreement (number of Directors) with effect from 2 September 1976.

Subsequently, by Resolution No. 3/85 of 15 May 1985, the Board of Governors of the Bank adopted amendments to articles 25, 33, 34, 35 and 57 of the Agreement with effect from 24 June 1985.

Participant <sup>1</sup>	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)	Participant <sup>1</sup>	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
Anguilla <sup>2</sup>		4 May 1982 <sup>a</sup>	Jamaica	18 Oct 1969	9 Jan 1970
Antigua	18 Oct 1969	30 Jan 1970	Mexico		7 May 1982 <sup>a</sup>
Bahamas	18 Oct 1969	28 Jan 1970	Montserrat	18 Oct 1969	28 Jan 1970
Barbados	18 Oct 1969	16 Jan 1970	St. Christopher- Nevis	18 Oct 1969	26 Jan 1970
British Honduras	18 Oct 1969	26 Jan 1970	St. Lucia	18 Oct 1969	26 Jan 1970
British Virgin Islands	18 Oct 1969	30 Jan 1970	St. Vincent	18 Oct 1969	26 Jan 1970
Canada	18 Oct 1969	22 Jan 1970	Trinidad and Tobago	18 Oct 1969	20 Jan 1970
Cayman Islands	18 Oct 1969	27 Jan 1970	Turks and Caicos Islands	18 Oct 1969	5 Jan 1970
Colombia		22 Nov 1974 <sup>a</sup>	United Kingdom	18 Oct 1969	23 Jan 1970
Dominica	18 Oct 1969	26 Jan 1970	Venezuela		25 Apr 1973 <sup>a</sup>
France		11 May 1984 <sup>a</sup>			
Grenada	18 Oct 1969	26 Jan 1970			
Guyana	18 Oct 1969	22 Jan 1970			

Declarations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations were made upon ratification or accession.)

ANTIGUA, BAHAMAS, BRITISH HONDURAS,<sup>3</sup> BRITISH VIRGIN ISLANDS, CAYMAN ISLANDS, DOMINICA, GRENADA, MONTSERRAT, ST. CHRISTOPHER-NEVIS-ANGUILLA, ST. LUCIA, ST. VINCENT, TURKS AND CAICOS ISLANDS

The instruments of ratification by the Governments of the above-mentioned Associated States or territories, all contain a declaration made in accordance with the first provision of the second part of paragraph 3 of article 63 of the Agree-

ment to the effect that the privilege conferred by article 53 shall be restricted in its territory to treatment not less favourable than the Government concerned accords to international financial institutions of which it is a member.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND<sup>4, 5</sup>

"(a) In the United Kingdom the immunity conferred by paragraph 1 of article 49 and sub-para-

graph (a) of article 54 of the Agreement shall not apply in relation to a civil action arising out of an accident caused by a motor vehicle belonging to the Bank or operated on its behalf or to a traffic offence committed by the driver of such a vehicle.

"(b) As Bank telegrams and telephone calls are not defined as Government telegrams and telephone calls in Annex 2 to the International Telecommunication Convention (Montreux, 1965) and are therefore not entitled by the Convention to the privileges thereby conferred on Government telegrams and telephone calls, the Government of the

United Kingdom, having regard to their obligations under the International Telecommunication Convention, declare that the privileges conferred by article 53 of the Agreement shall be correspondingly restricted in the United Kingdom, but, subject thereto, shall be not less favourable than the United Kingdom affords to international financial institutions of which it is a member.

"(c) The exemption referred to in paragraph 6(b) of article 55 of the Agreement shall not extend to any bearer instrument issued by the Bank in the United Kingdom or issued elsewhere by the Bank and transferred in the United Kingdom.

NOTES.

1/ See articles 3 and 62 of the Agreement in the annex to this publication: Final Clauses (ST/LEG/SER D/1 Annex), page X-15.

2/ Anguilla has ceased to apply the Agreement as part of St. Christopher-Nevis-Anguilla on 19 December 1980

3/ In its instrument of ratification, the Government of British Honduras further declared that the Agreement was ratified subject "... to the condition that the Government of British Honduras undertakes that legislation to give effect to the immunities and privileges to be conferred on the Bank in British Honduras by virtue of the Agreement will be passed on or before February 21st, 1970." Regarding this part of the declaration see note 4 below.

4/ Paragraph (d) of the United Kingdom declaration and the declaration by the Government of British Honduras quoted in note 3 above, not being provided for in paragraph 3 of article 63 of the Agreement, the Government of the United Kingdom informed the Secretary-General that all signatories to the Agreement had been consulted in connexion therewith and, in particular, that "the signatories to the Agreement were requested to notify any objection on their part to these declarations and no objection has been notified by any signatory" With reference to these declarations, the Secretary-General, in his report of 27 January 1970 to the Board of Governors of the Caribbean Development Bank on the status of the Agreement, stated that, inasmuch as the said declarations were not provided in the Agreement, but having taken note of

the information given in their respect by the Government of the United Kingdom, he had received the instruments of ratification of the Government of the United Kingdom and the Government of British Honduras provisionally in deposit, without prejudice to and pending the decision of the competent organ of the Caribbean Development Bank as to the acceptability of the declarations concerned.

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 30 January 1970, the Government of British Honduras notified him of the withdrawal of the pertinent part of its declaration. In so far as concerns paragraph (d) of the declaration of the United Kingdom, the Acting Secretary of the Caribbean Development Bank informed the Secretary-General that the Board of Governors of the Bank, at the inaugural meeting held on 31 January 1970, had decided to accept the conditions accompanying the United Kingdom ratification and had requested him to notify the Secretary-General of its decision. As a result of these actions, the Secretary-General considered the instruments of ratification by the Government of British Honduras and the Government of the United Kingdom as definitively deposited and informed all Governments concerned and the Bank accordingly

5/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 8 February 1972, the Government of the United Kingdom notified him of its decision to withdraw paragraph d of its declaration. For the texts of the declaration see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 712, p 326

(The necessary legislation has been enacted by the Parliament of the United Kingdom and came into operation on 5 February 1972.)

7. CONVENTION ON THE LIMITATION PERIOD IN THE INTERNATIONAL SALE OF GOODS

Concluded at New York on 14 June 1974

Not yet in force (see article 44).

TEXT: A/CONF.63/15, and depositary notification C N.260.1975 TREATIES-6 dated 30 September 1975 (procès-verbal of rectification of the authentic French text established by the Secretary General on 25 August 1975).

Note: The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference on Prescription (limitation) in the International Sale of Goods, which convened at the Headquarters of the United Nations, at New York, from 20 May to 14 June 1974. The Conference was convened in accordance with Resolution 3104 (XXVIII)<sup>1</sup> of the General Assembly adopted on 12 December 1973. The Convention was opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, on 14 June 1974, (closing date for signature: 31 December 1975).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), participation under article XI of the Protocol of 11 April 1980 (P)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), participation under article XI of the Protocol of 11 April 1980 (P)</u>
Argentina . . . . .		9 Oct 1981 (a)	Ghana . . . . .	5 Dec 1974	7 Oct 1975
Brazil . . . . .	14 Jun 1974		Hungary . . . . .	14 Jun 1974	16 Jun 1983
Bulgaria . . . . .	24 Feb 1975		Mongolia . . . . .	14 Jun 1974	
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	14 Jun 1974		Nicaragua . . . . .	13 May 1975	
Costa Rica . . . . .	30 Aug 1974		Norway . . . . .	11 Dec 1975	20 Mar 1980
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	29 Aug 1975	26 May 1977	Poland . . . . .	14 Jun 1974	
Dominican Republic . . . . .		23 Dec 1977 <sup>a</sup>	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	14 Jun 1974	
Egypt . . . . .		6 Dec 1982 <sup>p</sup> <sup>2</sup>	USSR . . . . .	14 Jun 1974	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	14 Jun 1974		Yugoslavia . . . . .		27 Nov 1978 <sup>a</sup>
			Zambia . . . . .		6 Jun 1986 <sup>p</sup> <sup>2</sup>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and ratifications were made upon ratification or accession.)

NORWAY

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification.

"In accordance with article 34 the Government of the Kingdom of Norway declares that the Convention shall not govern contracts of sale where the seller and the buyer both have their relevant places of business within the territories of the Nordic States (i.e. Norway, Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Sweden)."

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-eighth Session, Supplement No. 30 (A/9030), p. 143.

<sup>2/</sup> In relation to any Contracting Party to the Convention not yet a Contracting Party to the Protocol.

7. (a) PROTOCOL AMENDING THE CONVENTION ON THE LIMITATION  
PERIOD IN THE INTERNATIONAL SALE OF GOODS

Concluded at Vienna on 11 April 1980

Not yet in force: see article IX (1).  
TEXT: A/CONF.97/18.

Note: The Protocol was adopted by the United Nations Conference on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods, held at Vienna from 10 March to 11 April 1980. The Conference was convened by the General Assembly of the United Nations, in accordance with its resolution 33/93<sup>1</sup> of 16 December 1978 adopted on the basis of chapter II of the report of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law on the work of its eleventh session (1978).

The Protocol is open for accession by all States, at any time, at the United Nations Headquarters in New York.

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession</u>
Argentina . . . . .	19 Jul 1983	Hungary . . . . .	16 Jun 1983
Egypt . . . . .	6 Dec 1982	Zambia . . . . .	6 Jun 1986

---

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> Official Records of the General Assembly, Thirty-third Session, Supplement No. 45. (A/3345), p. 217.

X.7: Limitation period in the international sale of goods

---

7. (b) CONVENTION ON THE LIMITATION PERIOD IN THE INTERNATIONAL SALE OF GOODS,  
CONCLUDED AT NEW YORK ON 14 JUNE 1974, AS AMENDED BY THE PROTOCOL OF 11 APRIL 1980

Not yet in force. See article 44(1) of the Convention and article IX of the Protocol  
TEXT: See United Nations publications Sales Nos. E.74.V 8, p 101 (Convention), and E 81.IV;3, p. 191  
(amending Protocol)<sup>1</sup>

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), Participation by virtue of accession to the Protocol of 11 April 1980</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), Participation by virtue of accession to the Protocol of 11 April 1980</u>
Argentina . . . . .	19 Jul 1983 <u>a</u>	Hungary . . . . .	16 Jun 1983
Egypt . . . . .	6 Dec 1982 <u>a</u>	Zambia . . . . .	6 Jun 1986 <u>a</u>

---

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> The original of the Convention as amended will be established by the Secretary-General when the Protocol of 11 April 1980 enters into force, as provided for by article XIV of the Protocol.



X.8: Fund for Agricultural Development

8. AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE INTERNATIONAL FUND FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT

Concluded at Rome on 13 June 1976

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 November 1977, in accordance with article 13, section 3 (a).  
 REGISTRATION: 30 November 1977, No. 16041.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 1059, p. 191 (including procès-verbals of rectification of the French text of annex 1 and of the Arabic authentic text of the Agreement) and Annex to IFAD document GC110/Resolution of 30 January 1987, and depositary notification C.N.31.1987.TREATIES-1 (amendment).

Note. The Agreement was adopted on 13 June 1976 by the United Nations Conference on the Establishment of an International Fund for Agricultural Development, which met at the Headquarters of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and the World Food Council in Rome, Italy, from 10 to 13 June 1976. In accordance with section 1 (a) of its article 13, the Agreement was opened for signature by the States concerned on 20 December 1976 at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York. At its Tenth session held in Rome, the Governing Council of the Fund, by its Resolution 44/X of 11 December 1986 adopted, in accordance with article 12 of the Agreement, an amendment to section 8(a) of article 6 of the Agreement, which amendment entered into force on 11 March 1987, in accordance with article 12(a)(ii).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature, approval of membership by Governing Council (G)</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>	<u>Amount of the initial contribution as specified in the instrument in accordance with article 4 (2) (a) and (b) (showing in parentheses the category of the contributor)</u>	
			<u>Amount</u>	
Afghanistan . . . . .	13 Dec 1978 <u>G</u>	13 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>		(III)
Algeria . . . . .	20 Jul 1977	26 May 1978 <u>AA</u>	US dollar	10,000,000 (II)
Angola . . . . .	8 Dec 1980 <u>G</u>	24 Apr 1985 <u>a</u>		(III)
Antigua and Barbuda . . . . .	21 Jan 1986 <u>G</u>	21 Jan 1986 <u>a</u>		(III)
Argentina . . . . .	14 Apr 1977	11 Sep 1978		(III)
Australia . . . . .	30 Mar 1977	21 Oct 1977	Australian dollar	8,000,000 (I)
Austria . . . . .	1 Apr 1977	12 Dec 1977	US dollar	4,800,000 (I)
Bangladesh . . . . .	17 Mar 1977	9 May 1977		(III)
Barbados . . . . .	13 Dec 1978 <u>G</u>	13 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>	US dollar	1,000 (III)
Belgium . . . . .	16 Mar 1977	9 Dec 1977	Belgian franc	500,000,000 (I)
			US dollar	1,000,000
Benin . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	28 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>		(III)
Belize . . . . .	13 Dec 1982 <u>G</u>	15 Dec 1982 <u>a</u>		(III)
Bhutan . . . . .	13 Dec 1978 <u>G</u>	13 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>		(III)
Bolivia . . . . .	27 Jul 1977	30 Dec 1977		(III)
Botswana . . . . .		21 Jul 1977 <u>a</u>		(III)
Brazil . . . . .	13 Apr 1977	2 Nov 1978		(III)
Burkina Faso . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	14 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>	US dollar	10,000 (III)
Burundi . . . . .	13 Dec 1978 <u>G</u>	13 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>		(III)
Cameroon . . . . .		20 Jun 1977 <u>a</u>		(III)
Canada . . . . .	10 Feb 1977	28 Nov 1977	Canadian dollar	33,000,000 (I)
Cape Verde . . . . .		12 Oct 1977 <u>a</u>		(III)
Central African Republic . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	11 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>	CFA franc	1,000,000 (III)
Chad . . . . .	13 Oct 1977	3 Nov 1977		(III)
Chile . . . . .	19 Jan 1977	2 Jun 1978		(III)
China . . . . .	15 Jan 1980 <u>G</u>	15 Jan 1980 <u>a</u>		(III)
Colombia . . . . .	13 Dec 1978 <u>G</u>	16 Jul 1979 <u>a</u>		(III)
Comoros . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	13 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>	CFA franc	10,000,000 (III)
Congo . . . . .	30 Jun 1977	27 Jul 1978		(III)
Costa Rica . . . . .	20 Dec 1977	16 Nov 1978		(III)
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	18 Jan 1980 <u>G</u>	19 Jan 1982 <u>a</u>		(III)
Cuba . . . . .	23 Sep 1977	15 Nov 1977		(III)
Cyprus . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	20 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>	US dollar	10,000 (III)
Democratic Yemen . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	13 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>		(III)
Denmark . . . . .	11 Jan 1977	28 Jun 1977	US dollar	7,500,000 (I)
Djibouti . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	14 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>		(III)
Dominica . . . . .	15 Jan 1980 <u>G</u>	29 Jan 1980 <u>a</u>		(III)
Dominican Republic . . . . .		29 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>		(III)
Ecuador . . . . .	1 Apr 1977	19 Jul 1977		(III)
Egypt . . . . .	18 Feb 1977	11 Oct 1977		(III)
El Salvador . . . . .	21 Mar 1977	31 Oct 1977	Colón	100,000 (III)
Equatorial Guinea . . . . .	8 Dec 1980 <u>G</u>	29 Jul 1981 <u>a</u>		(III)
Ethiopia . . . . .	20 Jul 1977	7 Sep 1977		(III)
Fiji . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	28 Mar 1978 <u>a</u>	US dollar	5,000 (III)

## X.8 Fund for Agricultural Development

Participant	Signature, approval of membership by Governing Council (G)	Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)	Amount of the initial contribution as specified in the instrument in accordance with article 4 (2) (a) and (b) (showing in parentheses the category of the contributor		
			Amount		
Finland . . . . .	24 Feb 1977	30 Nov 1977	Finnish mark	12,000,000	(I)
France . . . . .	21 Jan 1977	12 Dec 1977 <u>AA</u>	French franc	127,500,000	(I)
Gabon . . . . .		5 Jun 1978 <u>a</u>	US dollar	500,000	(II)
Gambia . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	13 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>			(III)
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	29 Mar 1977	14 Oct 1977	US dollar	55,000,000	(I)
Ghana . . . . .	19 Oct 1977	5 Dec 1977	US dollar	100,000	(III)
Greece . . . . .	1 Jul 1977	30 Nov 1978	US dollar	150,000	(III)
Grenada . . . . .	15 Jan 1980 <u>G</u>	25 Jul 1980 <u>a</u>			(III)
Guatemala . . . . .		30 Nov 1978 <u>a</u>			(III)
Guinea . . . . .	3 May 1977	12 Jul 1977	Syll	25,000,000 <sup>5</sup>	(III)
Guinea-Bissau . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	25 Jan 1978 <u>a</u>			(III)
Guyana . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	13 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>			(III)
Haiti . . . . .		19 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>			(III)
Honduras . . . . .	5 Jul 1977	13 Dec 1977			(III)
India . . . . .	21 Jan 1977	28 Mar 1977			(III)
Indonesia . . . . .	18 Feb 1977	27 Sep 1977	US dollar	1,250,000	(II)
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	27 Apr 1977	12 Dec 1977	US dollar	124,750,000	(II)
Iraq . . . . .	23 Nov 1977	13 Dec 1977	US dollar	20,000,000	(II)
Ireland . . . . .	28 Apr 1977	14 Oct 1977	Pound sterling	570,000	(I)
Israel . . . . .	28 Apr 1977	10 Jan 1978			(III)
Italy . . . . .	26 Jan 1977	10 Dec 1977	US dollar	25,000,000	(I)
Jamaica . . . . .	24 Mar 1977	13 Apr 1977			(III)
Japan . . . . .	11 Feb 1977	25 Oct 1977 <u>A</u>	Yen [Equivalent: 55,000,000 (US)]		(I)
Jordan . . . . .	13 Dec 1978 <u>G</u>	15 Feb 1979 <u>a</u>			(III)
Kenya . . . . .	30 Mar 1977	10 Nov 1977			(III)
Kuwait . . . . .	4 Mar 1977	29 Jul 1977	US dollar	36,000,000	(II)
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	13 Dec 1978 <u>G</u>	13 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>			(III)
Lebanon . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	20 Jun 1978 <u>a</u>			(III)
Lesotho . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	13 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>			(III)
Liberia . . . . .		11 Apr 1978 <u>a</u>			(III)
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .		15 Apr 1977 <u>a</u>	US dollar	20,000,000	(II)
Luxembourg . . . . .	18 Feb 1977	9 Dec 1977	Belgian franc <sup>2</sup>		(I)
Madagascar . . . . .	13 Dec 1978 <u>G</u>	12 Jan 1979 <u>a</u>			(III)
Malawi . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	13 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>	US dollar	5,000	(III)
Maldives . . . . .	15 Jan 1980 <u>G</u>	15 Jan 1980 <u>a</u>			(III)
Mali . . . . .	30 Jun 1977	30 Sep 1977			(III)
Malta . . . . .	24 Feb 1977	23 Sep 1977			(III)
Mauritania . . . . .	13 Dec 1978 <u>G</u>	26 Jun 1979 <u>a</u>			(III)
Mauritius . . . . .	13 Dec 1978 <u>G</u>	29 Jan 1979 <u>a</u>			(III)
Mexico . . . . .	2 Aug 1977	31 Oct 1977			(III)
Morocco . . . . .	22 Dec 1976	16 Dec 1977			(III)
Mozambique . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	16 Oct 1978 <u>a</u>	Escudo	1,200,000	(III)
Nepal . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	5 May 1978 <u>a</u>			(III)
Netherlands . . . . .	4 Feb 1977	29 Jul 1977 <u>A</u> <sup>3</sup>	Dutch guilder US dollar	100,000,000 3,000,000	(I)
New Zealand . . . . .	10 Oct 1977	10 Oct 1977	New Zealand dollar	2,000,000	(I)
Nicaragua . . . . .	18 May 1977	28 Oct 1977			(III)
Niger . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 <u>G</u>	13 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>	CFA	15,000,000	(III)
Nigeria . . . . .	6 May 1977	25 Oct 1977	US dollar	26,000,000	(II)
Norway . . . . .	20 Jan 1977	8 Jul 1977	Norwegian krone	130,000,000	(I)
Oman . . . . .	13 Dec 1977	19 Apr 1983 <u>a</u>			(III)
Pakistan . . . . .	28 Jan 1977	9 Mar 1977	US dollar	1,000,000 <sup>4</sup>	(III)
Panama . . . . .	8 Mar 1977	13 Apr 1977			(III)
Papua New Guinea . . . . .	4 Jan 1978	11 May 1978	US dollar	20,000	(III)
Paraguay . . . . .	13 Dec 1978 <u>G</u>	23 Mar 1979 <u>a</u>			(III)
Peru . . . . .	20 Sep 1977	6 Dec 1977			(III)
Philippines . . . . .	5 Jan 1977	4 Apr 1977	US dollar	250,000	(III)
Portugal . . . . .	30 Sep 1977	30 Nov 1978			(III)
Qatar . . . . .		13 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>	US dollar	9,000,000	(II)

X.8: Fund for Agricultural Development

Participant	Signature, approval of membership by Governing Council (G)	Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)	Amount of the initial contribution as specified in the instrument in accordance with article 4 (2) (a) and (b) (showing in parentheses the category of the contributor		
			Amount		
Republic of Korea	2 Mar 1977	26 Jan 1978			(III)
Romania . . . . .	22 Mar 1977	25 Nov 1977			(III)
Rwanda . . . . .	10 May 1977	29 Nov 1977			(III)
Saint Christopher and Nevis . . . . .	21 Jan 1986 G	21 Jan 1986 a	US dollar	1,000	(III)
Saint Lucia . . . . .	15 Jan 1980 G	9 Oct 1980 a			(III)
Samoa . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 G	13 Dec 1977 a	US dollar	10,000	(III)
Sao Tome and Principe . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 G	22 Apr 1978 a			(III)
Saudi Arabia . . . . .	5 Jul 1977	15 Jul 1977	US dollar	105,500,000	(II)
Senegal . . . . .	19 Jul 1977	13 Dec 1977			(III)
Seychelles . . . . .	13 Dec 1978 G	13 Dec 1978 a	US dollar	5,000	(III)
Sierra Leone . . . . .	15 Feb 1977	14 Oct 1977			(III)
Solomon Islands . . . . .	8 Dec 1980 G	13 Mar 1981 a			(III)
Somalia . . . . .	26 Jan 1977	8 Sep 1977			(III)
Spain . . . . .	22 Jun 1977	27 Nov 1978	US dollar	2,000,000	(I)
Sri Lanka . . . . .	15 Feb 1977	23 Mar 1977			(III)
Sudan . . . . .	21 Mar 1977	12 Dec 1977			(III)
Suriname . . . . .	13 Dec 1982 G	15 Feb 1983 a			(III)
Swaziland . . . . .	18 Nov 1977	18 Nov 1977			(III)
Sweden . . . . .	12 Jan 1977	17 Jun 1977	Swedish krona	115,000,000	(I)
Switzerland . . . . .	24 Jan 1977	21 Oct 1977	Swiss franc	22,000,000	(I)
Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	8 Sep 1977	29 Nov 1978			(III)
Thailand . . . . .	19 Apr 1977	30 Nov 1977			(III)
Togo . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 G	26 Apr 1979 a	CFA	3,000,000	(III)
Tonga . . . . .	19 Jan 1982 G	12 Apr 1982 a			(III)
Tunisia . . . . .	27 Jan 1977	23 Aug 1977			(III)
Turkey . . . . .	17 Nov 1977	14 Dec 1977			(III)
Uganda . . . . .	6 Jul 1977	31 Aug 1977			(III)
United Arab Emirates . . . . .	5 Oct 1977	28 Dec 1977 A	US dollar	16,500,000	(II)
United Kingdom . . . . .	7 Jan 1977	9 Sep 1977	Pound sterling	18,000,000	(I)
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	18 Jul 1977	25 Nov 1977			(III)
United States of America . . . . .	22 Dec 1976	4 Oct 1977	US dollar	200,000,000	(I)
Uruguay . . . . .	5 Apr 1977	16 Dec 1977			(III)
Venezuela . . . . .	4 Jan 1977	13 Oct 1977	US dollar	66,000,000	(II)
Viet Nam . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 G	13 Dec 1977 a	Dong	500,000	(III)
Yemen . . . . .	13 Dec 1977 G	6 Feb 1979 a	US dollar	50,000 <sup>6</sup>	(III)
Yugoslavia . . . . .	10 Feb 1977	12 Dec 1977	US dollar	300,000 <sup>7</sup>	(III)
Zaire . . . . .	23 May 1977	12 Oct 1977			(III)
Zambia . . . . .		16 Dec 1977 a	Kwacha	50,000	(III)
Zimbabwe . . . . .	8 Dec 1980 G	22 Jan 1981 a			(III)

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance or approval)

CUBA

Declaration:

The Government of the Republic of Cuba considers that, although the Agreement deals with matters affecting the interests of all States, the provisions of article 3, section 1, are discriminatory in nature since they deprive a number of States of the right to sign and accede to the Agreement, contrary to the principle of universality.

Reservation:

The Government of the Republic of Cuba wishes to make an express reservation to article 11, section 2, of the Agreement, since it feels that any disputes arising between States, or between States and the Fund, concerning the interpretation or application of the Agreement should be resolved through direct negotiations by diplomatic means.

EGYPT<sup>8</sup>

## FRANCE

In depositing its instrument of approval, the Government of the French Republic declares, in accordance with the provisions of section 4 of article 13, that it will not accept, in so far as it is concerned, the application of the procedure provided for in section 2 of article 11 whereby a party may request the President of the International Court of Justice to appoint an arbitrator

## GUATEMALA

The de facto relations which may arise between Guatemala and Belize as a result of the latter's accession to the Agreement should not in any way be construed as a recognition on the part of Guatemala of the sovereignty and independence of that territory, which were unilaterally declared by the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

IRAQ<sup>9</sup>

"Entry into the [ . . . ] Agreement by the Republic of Iraq shall, however, in no way signify recognition of Israel or be conducive to entry into any relations with it."

## KUWAIT

"It is understood that the ratification by the State of Kuwait of the Agreement Establishing the International Fund for Agricultural Development, signed by the State of Kuwait on 4 March, 1977, does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the State of Kuwait. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the State of Kuwait and Israel."

## ROMANIA

Upon signature (confirmed upon ratification):

The interpretation and application of the provisions of the Agreement establishing the International Fund for Agricultural Development, including those relating to voting procedures, and all activities of IFAD must take place on a democratic basis, in accordance with the purpose for which the Fund was established, namely, to assist the developing countries in their efforts to develop their agriculture

Upon ratification:Reservation

The Socialist Republic of Romania declares, pursuant to the provisions of article 13, section 4, of the Agreement establishing the International fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), concluded at Rome on 13 June 1976, that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 11, section 2, of the Agreement

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that disputes between the Fund and a State which has ceased to be a member, or between the Fund and one of the members upon the termination of the Fund's operations, can be submitted to arbitration only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case

## SAUDI ARABIA

Upon signature:

The participation of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in the Agreement shall in no way imply recognition of Israel and shall not lead to entry into dealings with Israel under this Agreement.

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC<sup>9</sup>

"It is understood that the ratification of this Agreement by the Syrian Arab Republic does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the Syrian Arab Republic. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the Syrian Arab Republic and Israel "

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland [notifies the Secretary-General] in accordance with article 10, section 2 (b) (ii) of the Agreement, that the standard clauses of the Convention on the privileges and immunities of the specialized agencies shall apply to the Fund in the United Kingdom, subject to the following modifications:

"1 The following shall be substituted for section 4:

'(1) The Fund shall have immunity from jurisdiction and execution except

(a) to the extent that it shall, by a decision of the Executive Board, have waived such immunity in a particular case. However, the Fund shall be deemed to have waived such immunity if, upon receiving a request for waiver submitted either by the person or body before which the proceedings are pending, or by another party to the proceedings, it has not given notice within two months after receipt of the request that it does not waive immunity;

(b) in respect of a civil action by a third party in respect of loss, injury or damage arising from an accident caused by a vehicle belonging to, or operated on behalf of, the Fund or in respect of an offence involving such a vehicle;

(c) in the event of the attachment, pursuant to a decision of a judicial authority, of the salary and emoluments owed by the Fund to a member of its staff;

(d) in respect of the enforcement of an arbitration award made under article 11 of the Agreement establishing the Fund

(2) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (1) of this section no action shall be brought against the Fund by a Member or person acting for or deriving claims from a Member'

"2. The immunity conferred by section 5 upon the property and assets of the Fund shall be subject to the provisions of paragraph 1 (c) above

"3. The following shall be substituted for section 11'

'Official communications of the Fund shall be accorded by the Government of the United King-

dom treatment not less favourable than that which it accords to the official communications of other international financial institutions of which it is a Member, taking into account its international obligations in respect of telecommunications.'

"4. The following shall be substituted for sections 13-15, 17-21, and 25-30:

'(1) All representatives of Members (other than representatives of the Government of the United Kingdom), the President and all other staff of the Fund.

(a) shall be immune from legal process in respect of acts performed by them in the exercise of their functions, except in the case of loss, injury or damage caused by a vehicle belonging to or driven by them or an offence involving such a vehicle;

(b) shall be accorded no less favourable immunities from immigration restrictions, alien registration requirements and national service obligations, and no less favourable treatment as regards exchange regulations, than are accorded by the Government of the United Kingdom to the representatives to, and officials and employees of comparable rank of, any other international financial institution of which it is a Member; and

(c) shall be granted no less favourable treatment in respect of travelling facilities than is accorded by the Government of the United Kingdom to representatives to, and officials and employees of comparable rank of, any other international financial institution of which it is a member

2 (a) No tax shall be levied on or in respect of salaries and emoluments paid by the Fund to the President and other members of the staff of the Fund unless they are citizens of the United Kingdom and Colonies or resident in the United Kingdom

(b) The provisions of paragraph (a) shall not apply to annuities and pensions paid by the Fund to its former President or other members of its staff "

VENEZUELA

Since the procedure established for the settlement of disputes arising in connexion with the application or interpretation of this Agreement is incompatible with Venezuelan legislation, Venezuela expresses a specific reservation concerning article 11, section 2.

NOTES:

1/ In a declaration accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 12 January 1978 from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics the following communication:

In reference to the declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany to the International Fund for Agricultural Development, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not object to the application of the Agreement to Berlin (West) within the limits and to the extent of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 which states that Berlin (West) is not an integral part of the Federal Republic of Germany and is not governed by it.

Subsequently, the Secretary-General received on 11 July 1978, from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America the following communication:

"The Governments of the United States of America, of France, and of the United Kingdom wish to point out that the Soviet note referred to above contains an incomplete, and therefore, misleading reference to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. The provision of the Quadripartite Agreement to which reference is made states that the 'ties between the Western Sectors of Berlin and the Federal Republic of Germany will be maintained and developed, taking into account that these sectors continue not to be a constituent part of

the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it' "

2/ In its instrument of ratification the Government of Luxembourg specified that its initial contribution would consist in the equivalent 320,000 Special Drawing Rights (SDR) in Belgian francs.

3/ For the Kingdom in Europe and ~~as from 1 January 1986 to Aruba~~

4/ One half payable in Pakistan rupees and one half payable in convertible currency.

5/ To be paid in three instalments.

6/ Of which 10,000 United States dollars freely convertible

7/ To be paid in dinars.

8/ In a notification received on 18 January 1980, the Government of Egypt informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw the declaration relating to Israel which reads as follows. "This ratification does not imply recognition of Israel nor does it imply entering with it into such relations as are governed by this Agreement " The notification indicates 25 January 1980 as the effective date of the withdrawal.

9/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 24 January 1979, the Government of Israel declared the following.

"The instrument deposited by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic contains a statement of a political character in respect to

X 8. Fund for Agricultural Development

---

Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are more over in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That pronouncement by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic cannot in any way affect whatever

obligations are binding upon it under general international law or under particular treaties

"The Government of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic an attitude of complete reciprocity."

## 9. CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED NATIONS INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION

Concluded at Vienna on 8 April 1979

ENTRY INTO FORCE. 21 June 1985, in accordance with article 25, 2(b).  
 REGISTRATION: 21 June 1985  
 TEXT: A/CONF.90/19 and depositary notification C N.323.1982 TREATIES-11 of 20 January 1983  
 (procès-verbal of rectification of the signature pages).

Note: The Constitution was adopted at Vienna on 8 April 1979 at the seventh plenary meeting of the United Nations Conference on the Establishment of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization as a Specialized Agency at its second session held at Vienna from 19 March to 8 April 1979.

In accordance with its article 24 (1), it was open for signature at the Federal Ministry for Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Austria at Vienna from 8 April 1979 until 7 October 1979, by all States referred to in subparagraph (a) of article 3 and after that date at the United Nations Headquarters in New York until its entry into force.

Pursuant to article 25, the Constitution entered into force when at least eighty States having deposited instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval had notified the Secretary-General that they had agreed, after consultation among themselves, that the Constitution should enter into force. For those States, the Constitution entered into force on that date (21 June 1985).

For States having deposited instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval before that date, but not participating in the said notification, the Constitution entered into force on such later date on which they notified the Secretary-General that the Constitution should enter into force for them. For States having deposited instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval subsequent to the entry into force of the Constitution, it entered into force on the date of the said deposit.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>	<u>Notification under article 25</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	13 Feb 1980	9 Sep 1981	10 Jun 1985
Algeria . . . . .	22 Oct 1979	6 Nov 1980	10 Jun 1985
Angola . . . . .	3 Sep 1982	9 Aug 1985	
Antigua and Barbuda . . . . .	8 Sep 1982		
Argentina . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	6 Mar 1981	10 Jun 1985
Australia . . . . .	3 Mar 1980	12 Jul 1982 <sup>1</sup>	10 Jun 1985
Austria . . . . .	3 Oct 1979	14 May 1981	10 Jun 1985
Bahamas . . . . .		13 Nov 1986 <sup>a</sup>	
Bahrain . . . . .		4 Apr 1986 <sup>a</sup>	
Bangladesh . . . . .	2 Jan 1980	5 Nov 1980	28 Jun 1985
Barbados . . . . .	30 May 1980	30 May 1980	10 Jun 1985
Belgium . . . . .	5 Oct 1979	18 Nov 1981	10 Jun 1985
Belize . . . . .		27 Feb 1986 <sup>a</sup>	
Benin . . . . .	4 Dec 1979	3 Mar 1983	8 Aug 1985
Bhutan . . . . .	15 Sep 1983	25 Oct 1983	23 Aug 1985
Bolivia . . . . .	25 Jan 1980	9 Jan 1981	10 Jun 1985
Botswana . . . . .		21 Jun 1985 <sup>a</sup>	
Brazil . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	10 Dec 1980	10 Jun 1985
Bulgaria . . . . .	6 Jan 1981	5 Jun 1985	5 Jun 1985
Burkina Faso . . . . .	16 Nov 1979	9 Jul 1982	16 Jul 1985
Burundi . . . . .	25 Jan 1980	9 Aug 1982	9 Aug 1985
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	10 Dec 1980	17 Jun 1985	17 Jun 1985
Cameroon . . . . .	8 Jul 1980	18 Aug 1981	20 Jun 1985
Canada . . . . .	31 Aug 1982	20 Sep 1983	10 Jun 1985
Cap Verde . . . . .	28 Jan 1983	27 Nov 1984	10 Jun 1985
Central African Republic . . . . .	8 Jan 1982	8 Jan 1982	9 Jan 1986
Chad . . . . .	14 Apr 1982		
Chile . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	12 Nov 1981	7 Jun 1985
China . . . . .	6 Sep 1979	14 Feb 1980 <sup>AA</sup>	17 Jun 1985
Colombia . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	25 Nov 1981	30 Jul 1985
Comoros . . . . .	18 May 1981	10 May 1985	9 Jan 1986
Congo . . . . .	18 Dec 1979	16 May 1983	12 Jul 1985
Costa Rica . . . . .	5 Jan 1984		
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	21 Feb 1980	4 Nov 1981	21 Jun 1985
Cuba . . . . .	2 Oct 1979	16 Mar 1981	10 Jun 1985

X.9: United Nations Industrial Development Organization

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession, ')</u>	<u>Notification under article 25</u>
Cyprus . . . . .	17 Mar 1981	28 Apr 1983	10 Jun 1985
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	26 Nov 1980	29 May 1985	19 Jun 1985
Democratic People's Republic of Korea . . . . .	10 Aug 1981	14 Sep 1981 AA	24 Jun 1985
Democratic Yemen . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	29 Jan 1982	29 Jul 1985
Denmark . . . . .	5 Oct 1979	27 May 1981	10 Jun 1985
Djibouti . . . . .	29 Oct 1981		
Dominica . . . . .	8 Jun 1982	8 Jun 1982	27 Nov 1985
Dominican Republic . . . . .	8 May 1981	29 Mar 1983	20 Jun 1985
Ecuador . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	15 Apr 1982	10 Jun 1985
Egypt . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	9 Jan 1981	10 Jun 1985
El Salvador . . . . .	8 Apr 1979		
Equatorial Guinea . . . . .	3 Oct 1983	4 May 1984	20 Jan 1986
Ethiopia . . . . .	18 Feb 1981	23 Feb 1981	21 Jun 1985
Fiji . . . . .	21 Dec 1981	21 Dec 1981	30 Dec 1985
Finland . . . . .	28 Sep 1979	5 Jun 1981	10 Jun 1985
France . . . . .	5 Oct 1979	30 Mar 1982	10 Jun 1985
Gabon . . . . .	8 Jan 1980	1 Feb 1982	6 Aug 1985
Gambia . . . . .		12 Jun 1986 a	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	28 May 1981	24 Mar 1985	24 Mar 1985
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	5 Oct 1979	13 Jul 1983 <sup>2</sup>	10 Jun 1985
Ghana . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	8 Feb 1982	30 Jul 1985
Granada . . . . .		16 Jan 1986 a	
Greece . . . . .	5 Oct 1979	10 Jun 1983	10 Jun 1985
Guatemala . . . . .	13 May 1981	8 Jul 1983	14 Jun 1985
Guinea . . . . .	29 Nov 1979	23 Jun 1980	11 Jun 1985
Guinea-Bissau . . . . .	1 May 1980	17 Mar 1983	14 Jun 1985
Guyana . . . . .	17 Jul 1984	17 Jul 1984	19 Jul 1985
Haiti . . . . .	28 Jan 1981	9 Jul 1982	5 Aug 1985
Honduras . . . . .	5 Feb 1980	3 Mar 1983	13 Jun 1985
Hungary . . . . .	26 Jan 1981	15 Aug 1983	2 Jul 1985
India . . . . .	16 Nov 1979	21 Jan 1980	17 Jun 1985
Indonesia . . . . .	28 Sep 1979	10 Nov 1980	10 Jun 1985
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	12 Nov 1980	9 Aug 1985	
Iraq . . . . .	26 Feb 1980	23 Jan 1981	27 Jun 1985
Ireland . . . . .	5 Oct 1979	17 Jul 1984	10 Jun 1985
Israel . . . . .	1 Nov 1982	25 Nov 1983	24 Apr 1985
Italy . . . . .	5 Oct 1979	25 Mar 1985	10 Jun 1985
Jamaica . . . . .	1 Nov 1982	10 Dec 1982	21 Jun 1985
Japan . . . . .	18 Jan 1980	3 Jun 1980 A	10 Jun 1985
Jordan . . . . .	29 Jun 1981	30 Aug 1982	28 Oct 1985
Kenya . . . . .	28 Oct 1981	13 Nov 1981	10 Jun 1985
Kuwait . . . . .	7 Jan 1981	7 Apr 1982	30 Jul 1985
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	5 Mar 1980	3 Jun 1980	3 Sep 1985
Lebanon . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	2 Aug 1983	6 Aug 1985
Lesotho . . . . .	18 Jun 1981	18 Jun 1981	10 Jun 1985
Liberia . . . . .	30 Jan 1980		
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	29 Jan 1981	8 Aug 1985
Luxembourg . . . . .	5 Oct 1979	9 Sep 1983	10 Jun 1985
Madagascar . . . . .	13 Dec 1979	18 Jan 1980	10 Jun 1985
Malawi . . . . .	12 Feb 1980	30 May 1980	19 Jul 1985
Malaysia . . . . .	10 Apr 1980	28 Jul 1980	10 Jun 1985
Mali . . . . .	23 May 1980	24 Jul 1981	17 Jul 1985
Malta . . . . .	2 Oct 1981	4 Nov 1982	10 Jun 1985
Mauritania . . . . .	4 Mar 1981	29 Jun 1981	9 Aug 1985
Mauritius . . . . .	16 Sep 1981	9 Dec 1981	10 Jun 1985
Mexico . . . . .	12 Nov 1979	21 Jan 1980	10 Jun 1985
Mongolia . . . . .	22 Dec 1980	3 June 1985 A	10 Jun 1985
Morocco . . . . .	25 Jul 1980	30 Jul 1985	
Mozambique . . . . .	10 Nov 1982	14 Dec 1983	13 Nov 1985
Namibia (Council for Namibia) . . . . .		21 Feb 1986 a	



X.9: United Nations Industrial Development Organization

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>	<u>Notification under article 25</u>
Nepal . . . . .	11 Aug 1983	6 Dec 1983	8 Aug 1985
Netherlands . . . . .	5 Oct 1979	10 Oct 1980 <sup>A<sup>3</sup></sup>	10 Jun 1985
New Zealand . . . . .	30 May 1985	19 Jul 1985 <sup>4</sup>	
Nicaragua . . . . .	16 Jan 1980	28 Mar 1980	1 Jul 1985
Niger . . . . .	9 Apr 1979	22 Aug 1980	20 May 1985
Nigeria . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	19 Dec 1980	10 Jun 1985
Norway . . . . .	28 Sep 1979	13 Feb 1981	10 Jun 1985
Oman . . . . .	6 Jul 1981	6 Jul 1981	10 Jun 1985
Pakistan . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	29 Oct 1979	10 Jun 1985
Panama . . . . .	17 Aug 1979	23 Jul 1980	19 Jun 1985
Papua New Guinea . . . . .	29 Mar 1985	10 Sep 1986	
Paraguay . . . . .	7 Oct 1980	2 Dec 1981	18 Jul 1985
Peru . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	13 Sep 1982	10 Jun 1985
Philippines . . . . .	12 Oct 1979	7 Jan 1980	10 Jun 1985
Poland . . . . .	22 Jan 1981	5 Mar 1985	14 Jun 1985
Portugal . . . . .	10 Sep 1979	21 May 1984	10 Jun 1985
Qatar . . . . .		9 Dec 1985 <sup>a</sup>	
Republic of Korea . . . . .	7 Oct 1980	30 Dec 1980	14 Jun 1985
Romania . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	28 Nov 1980	10 Jun 1985
Rwanda . . . . .	28 Aug 1979	18 Jan 1983	10 Jun 1985
Saint Christopher and Nevis . . . . .		11 Dec 1985 <sup>a</sup>	
Saint Lucia . . . . .	8 May 1980	11 Aug 1982	19 Nov 1985
Sao Tome and Principe . . . . .	29 Nov 1983	22 Feb 1985	14 Apr 1986
Saudi Arabia . . . . .		21 Jun 1985 <sup>a</sup>	
Senegal . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	24 Oct 1983	13 Jun 1985
Seychelles . . . . .	21 Apr 1982	21 Apr 1982	19 Aug 1985
Sierra Leone . . . . .	29 Aug 1979	7 Mar 1983	15 Aug 1985
Somalia . . . . .	21 Mar 1980	20 Nov 1981	15 Nov 1985
Spain . . . . .	21 Jan 1980	21 Sep 1981	10 Jun 1985
Sri Lanka . . . . .	31 Oct 1979	25 Sep 1981	10 Jun 1985
Sudan . . . . .	27 Jun 1979	30 Sep 1981	28 Jun 1985
Suriname . . . . .	19 Sep 1980	8 Oct 1981	24 Dec 1985
Swaziland . . . . .	14 Jan 1980	19 Aug 1981	3 Apr 1986
Sweden . . . . .	28 Sep 1979	28 Jul 1980	10 Jun 1985
Switzerland . . . . .	19 Sep 1979	10 Feb 1981	10 Jun 1985
Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	1 Feb 1980	6 Dec 1982	12 Jun 1985
Thailand . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	29 Jan 1981	10 Jun 1985
Togo . . . . .	20 Dec 1979	18 Sep 1981	25 Jun 1985
Tonga . . . . .		13 Aug 1986 <sup>a</sup>	
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	14 Apr 1980	2 May 1980	15 Jul 1985
Tunisia . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	2 Feb 1981	13 Jun 1985
Turkey . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	5 May 1982	10 Jun 1985
Uganda . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	23 Mar 1983	5 Dec 1985
Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	12 Dec 1980	10 Jun 1985	10 Jun 1985
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	8 Dec 1980	22 May 1985	22 May 1985
United Arab Emirates . . . . .	4 Dec 1981	4 Dec 1981	1 Aug 1985
United Kingdom . . . . .	5 Oct 1979	7 Jul 1983	10 Jun 1985
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	12 May 1980	3 Oct 1980	10 Jun 1985
United States of America . . . . .	17 Jan 1980	2 Sep 1983	10 Jun 1985
Uruguay . . . . .	5 May 1980	24 Dec 1980	10 Jun 1985
Venezuela . . . . .	5 Oct 1979	28 Jan 1983	10 Jun 1985
Viet Nam . . . . .	16 Jun 1981	6 May 1983 <sup>AA</sup>	19 Jul 1985
Yemen . . . . .	19 Jul 1979	20 Oct 1983	14 Aug 1985
Yugoslavia . . . . .	8 Apr 1979	8 Feb 1980	10 Jun 1985
Zaire . . . . .	21 Jan 1980	9 Jul 1982	8 Jul 1985
Zambia . . . . .	5 Oct 1979	15 May 1981	10 Jun 1985
Zimbabwe . . . . .		21 Jun 1985 <sup>a</sup>	

Declarations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.)

AUSTRALIA<sup>1</sup>

12 April 1982

"In accordance with section 43 of the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the Specialized Agencies, UNIDO will be accorded the same privileges and immunities as are accorded by Australia to other specialized agencies.

Until the Constitution enters into force the Government of Australia will continue to accord to UNIDO the privileges and immunities in accordance with the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations, adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 13 February 1946

BULGARIA<sup>5</sup>

Declaration:

"The People's Republic of Bulgaria ratifies the Constitution of UNIDO proceeding from the consensus confirmed in General Assembly resolution 39/231 concerning the conditions for the conversion of UNIDO into a specialized agency of the United Nations. [The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria] attaches particular importance to the consensus on equitable geographical representation in the Secretariat post allocation, including the employment of one Deputy Director-General from the group of socialist countries. The People's Republic of Bulgaria is of the opinion that the strict and complete observance of this consensus would furnish the conditions for respecting the interests of all members of UNIDO on the basis of the principle of universality.

The activities of UNIDO on behalf of the industrial development of the developing countries should be aimed at promoting international co-operation in the field of industrial development and should be based on the principles and norms of the Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States, the Declaration on establishing the New International Economic Order, the Lima and New Delhi Declarations on international co-operation in this field. The activities of UNIDO should pursue as a lasting goal the attainment of economic independence for the developing countries.

The Bulgarian Government is of the view that in order to achieve the above goals, international economic relations, including those in the industrial field, should be based on their radical restructuring through strengthening the state-owned and cooperative sectors of the economy and the creation of diversified industry in the developing countries which serves their national objectives as well as their plans for economic and social development.

The maintenance of international peace and security are a prerequisite for the accelerated industrial development of the developing countries and for fostering international co-operation. Through its decisions and practical activities,

UNIDO should actively contribute to the strengthening of world peace and security, to the cessation of the arms race and the achievement of disarmament, as well as to the creation of conditions for the rechanneling of non-productive expenditures for the purposes of economic development and international co-operation in the industrial field.

UNIDO should vigorously oppose the use of economic measures and sanctions as a means of exerting political and economic pressures against sovereign States and should resist the attempts of the imperialist forces to preserve and expand their exploitation of the developing countries. For this purpose, of particular importance is the active co-operation of UNIDO in establishing an effective control over the activities of transnational corporations for limiting the negative consequences of their activities for the overall socio-economic development of the developing countries.

The People's Republic of Bulgaria is of the opinion that UNIDO should not allow the spending of resources under programmes and projects which might be used to facilitate the penetration by foreign private capital of the developing countries to the detriment of their national interests.

It is the view of the People's Republic of Bulgaria that the resources of UNIDO's regular budget should be expended in a rational and economic fashion, whereas the amount of the regular budget should be maintained at the pre-determined level.

[The Permanent Representative of Bulgaria avails himself] of this opportunity to reaffirm the position of my Government, as expressed on 7 April 1979 in the statement made by the delegations of the socialist countries at the United Nations conference on conversion of UNIDO into a specialized agency, with regard to the question of using the resources of UNIDO's regular budget for providing technical assistance.

As in the past, the People's Republic of Bulgaria will continue to give active support to the efforts of the developing countries for their industrialization, as well as to the activities of UNIDO in this field, aimed at the restructuring of international economic relations and international industrial co-operation on a just and democratic basis.

The People's Republic of Bulgaria hopes that in its practical work UNIDO would strive after realizing the foregoing considerations, as well as the considerations voiced by my Government during the consultations on the conversion of UNIDO into a specialized agency.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS<sup>5</sup>

Declarations:

"In ratifying the Constitution of UNIDO, the Byelorussian SSR assumes that the agreements on the condition for the establishment of UNIDO as a

specialized agency that were confirmed in General Assembly resolution 39/231 of 18 December 1984 will be fully and strictly observed, including the agreement on the equitable geographical distribution of posts and, in particular, the allocation of one of the posts of Deputy Director-General to the socialist countries. Fulfillment of those conditions will make it possible to ensure the universal character of UNIDO's activities in the interests of all its member countries.

The determination of the members of UNIDO, as expressed in the Organization's Constitution, to contribute to international peace and security and to the prosperity of all nations should be reflected in its decisions and its practical activities, since only under conditions of peace, and only when real disarmament measures are implemented, can significant additional resources be released for the needs of economic and social development, including the industrialization of the developing countries.

In [the Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic's] view, UNIDO activities aimed at promoting industrial development in the developing countries and at those countries' attainment of economic independence must be based on the progressive provisions and principles of the Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States, the Declaration on the establishment of a New International Economic Order and the Lima and New Delhi Declarations on international industrial development co-operation. Those goals can be achieved only by means of a fundamental restructuring of the existing unjust international economic relations, the conduct of progressive social and economic reforms, the strengthening of the State sector of the economy and the implementation of national plans and programmes for social and economic development.

UNIDO must oppose the policies of those States that are striving not only to maintain but also to increase the neo-colonialist exploitation of the developing countries, must combat the acts of economic aggression, diktat, blackmail and interference in the internal affairs of States that are perpetrated by the forces of imperialism, and must promote the establishment of effective control over the activities of transnational corporations with a view to restricting their negative influence on the economies of developing countries and on international economic relations and development as a whole.

The Byelorussian SSR bases its position on the need to apply consistently in practice the provision of the UNIDO Constitution that relates to the purposes for which the regular and operational budgets of the Organization may be utilized, and on the need not to permit the expenditure of resources for programmes and projects, including "advisory services", that could serve for the penetration of foreign private capital into the economies of the developing countries. In order to ensure the effective and economical use of the resources of the regular budget, the level of that budget must be established on a stable basis.

At the United Nations Conference on the establishment of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization as a Specialized Agency, the delegations of the socialist countries announced on 7 April 1979 their opposition in principle to

the use of funds from the Organization's regular budget for the provision of technical assistance.

In connection with the provision of the UNIDO Constitution on the allocation of 6 per cent of the regular budget to technical assistance, the Byelorussian SSR states that the corresponding portion of its convertible currency contribution to the UNIDO budget will be credited to a separate account in the Foreign Trade Bank of the USSR. The Republic will make use of those funds to participate in the provision through UNIDO of technical assistance to interested countries.

The Byelorussian SSR firmly expects that its position of principle on the activities of UNIDO, as contained in this statement and as expressed in the course of the consultations on the establishment of UNIDO as a specialized agency, will be duly taken into account and acted upon.

The nature and extent of our co-operation with UNIDO will depend on the implementation of the agreements reached, on the nature and direction of the practical activities of UNIDO and on that Organization's real observance of the basic United Nations decisions relating to international economic development and the restructuring of international economic relations on an equitable and democratic basis.

#### CZECHOSLOVAKIA<sup>5</sup>

##### Declarations:

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic proceeds herein from the assumption that in its activities the United Nations Industrial Development Organization will fully respect the United Nations General Assembly resolution 39/231 on the transformation of UNIDO into a specialized agency, including the mutual agreement of States on their just geographical representation and the distribution of senior posts in the Secretariat of that new Organization, with the understanding that the socialist countries will be represented in the leadership of the Organization by a representative in the post of one of the Deputies of the Director-General. A basis should be created in that way for this Organization to develop its activities to the benefit of all its member States.

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic expects that the activities of the new United Nations Industrial Development Organization in support of the industrial development of developing countries and in the process of their advancing economic independence will unfold in accordance with the progressive provisions and principles of the Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States as well as of the Declaration on the Establishment of a New International Economic Order and declarations adopted at Lima and New Delhi on international cooperation in the field of industrial development.

These objectives can only be attained by means of restructuring the present international economic relations, strengthening confidence among all States, securing conditions for the implementation of progressive socio-economic changes in the world, and strengthening the state sector in the economies of the developing countries.

The United Nations Industrial Development Organization must play an important role in strengthening the national sovereignty of the developing countries in the economic sphere and in the process of struggle against all forms of neocolonialist oppression and exploitation by some States. Care must be taken that funds from the regular and operational budgets of the Organization be not expended on such activities of the Organization that could facilitate the penetration of private capital, especially that of transnational corporations, into the developing countries.

The activities of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization can be much more productive if they unfold in a climate of universal peace and disarmament. In such case a part of the means now so unproductively spent on ever new rounds of the arms race could be used for social and economic development, including the process of industrialization. The importance and the timeliness of this task have been reaffirmed in the Declaration on the Maintenance of Peace and International Economic Cooperation adopted at the economic summit meeting of the member-countries of the Council for Mutual Economic Assistance held in June 1984. The United Nations Industrial Development Organization must play an important role in strengthening peace, international security, disarmament, and cooperation among nations.

The current complicated international situation urgently requires that the United Nations Industrial Development Organization implement its activities while striving for maximum effectiveness, maintaining its regular and operational budgets on just and well-balanced principles, fully in accordance with the principle tasks of the Organization.

At the Conference of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization on the transformation of UNIDO into a specialized agency, the delegations of the socialist countries expressed their fundamental disagreement with the use of funds from the regular budget for the granting of technical assistance.

The Statute of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization provides that six per cent of the Organization's regular budget will be allocated for technical assistance. In this context, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic wishes to advise that it will deposit the corresponding part of its contribution to the budget of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization into a special account with the Czechoslovak Commercial Bank to be used for technical assistance by the United Nations Industrial Development Organization. These funds will finance technical assistance provided by the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic to developing countries through the United Nations Industrial Development Organization.

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic earnestly trusts that the mentioned positions of principle concerning the activities of the Organization and the conclusions reached in consultations on the transformation of UNIDO into a specialized agency will be taken into account and will be implemented in the activities of the Organization. It is convinced at the same time that the implementation

of these positions will create a basis for the continued successful activities of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization and for Czechoslovakia's cooperation with the Organization."

#### GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC<sup>5</sup>

##### Declarations:

"With regard to the conversion of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization into a specialized agency the German Democratic Republic declares its intention to contribute constructively to the implementation of the objectives embodied in the constitution concerning the international co-operation in the field of industrial development. It expresses the expectation that the new organization's activities should be conducted on a universal basis and that all States should be enabled to co-operate on an equal footing. In this light the GDR considers it necessary that the consensus confirmed in resolution 39/231 of the General Assembly at its thirty-ninth session concerning the conditions for the conversion of UNIDO into a specialized agency, including the consensus on an equitable geographical representation in the Secretariat structure, in particular the employment of one Deputy Director-General from the Group of socialist countries, will be completely and strictly honoured.

The GDR regards it as an essential task for the new organization to perform its activities consistently in accordance with the recommendations and principles of the Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States, of the Declaration on the Establishment of a New International Economic Order, and of the Lima and New Delhi Declarations regarding international co-operation in the field of industrial development. The accelerated industrialization requires, as a matter of priority, such activities as will assist the developing countries in strengthening the public sector in industry, State planning, and the implementation of progressive socio-economic transformations.

The GDR holds the position that UNIDO should act against neocolonialist exploitation and work for overcoming the developing countries' disadvantaged situation in international economic relations. Of particular significance will be UNIDO's active support in the establishment of effective control over the operations of transnational corporations in order to restrict their negative influence on the industrial development of developing countries.

It ought to be an essential obligation for UNIDO, the GDR believes, to make appropriate efforts for fulfilling a task embodied in its constitution: to contribute to international peace and security and the prosperity of all nations. Steps to this end in full conformity with United Nations General Assembly resolutions, in particular 39/151 E and 39/10, would have a favourable impact on the general conditions for industrialization and international industrial co-operation. Only with the implementation of effective disarmament measures will it be possible to re-allocate significant additional resources for economic and social purposes, including the

industrialization of developing countries. The importance and topicality of this task was reaffirmed by the GDR together with the other member countries of the Council for Mutual Economic Assistance in the Declaration on the Maintenance of Peace and International Economic Co-operation of 16 June 1984.

From the GDR's point of view it is necessary that in the conduct of its programme activities and budget operations UNIDO will faithfully observe the relevant provisions of its constitution, notably in regard to the specific use of the regular and operational budgets, and take care that the regular budget resources at a stable level will be used effectively and economically.

The German Democratic Republic expects that the foregoing considerations of principle, already expressed in the consultations on the conversion of UNIDO into a specialized agency, will be duly taken into account in UNIDO's activities.

ISRAEL

Declaration:

"The Government of the State of Israel, in accordance with article 21 [2] (b) of the said Constitution, will not apply the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations to the United Nations Industrial Development Organization."

ITALY

Declaration:

The Italian Government will apply the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations of 13 February 1946, in accordance with article 21, paragraph 2 (b), of the Constitution

The Italian Government reserves the right to take into account the tax-free emoluments paid by the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) to its officials who are nationals or permanent residents of Italy for the purpose of calculating the amount of tax to be levied on income from other sources

KUWAIT<sup>6</sup>

Understanding:

It is understood that the ratification of the Constitution of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization, signed in New York by the State of Kuwait on 7 January 1981, does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the State of Kuwait furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the State of Kuwait and Israel.

LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Declarations included in the notification under article 25

The Lao People's Democratic Republic believes that UNIDO activities aimed at promoting industrial development in the developing countries and at those countries' attainment of economic independence must be based on the progressive provisions and principles of the Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States, the Declaration on the Establishment of a New International

al Economic Order and the Lima and New Delhi Declarations on international industrial development co-operation

The Lao People's Democratic Republic believes that without the fundamental restructuring of the existing unjust international economic relations, without effecting progressive social and economic reforms, without the strengthening of the States sector of the Economy and without the co-ordination of national plans and programmes for social and economic development, those objectives can never be achieved.

Not only must UNIDO combat economic aggression, diktat, blackmail and interference in the internal affairs of States by the forces of imperialism, but it must also oppose the policies of those States which are striving to maintain and increase the neo-colonialist exploitation of the developing countries.

It is therefore important that UNIDO contribute actively to the establishment of effective control of the activities of transnational corporations with a view to restricting their negative influence on the economies of developing countries and on international economic relations and development as a whole.

In the Constitution of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation, the States Parties express their determination to contribute to international peace and security and to the prosperity of all peoples; that determination should be reflected in the Organizations's decisions and in its practical activities.

MONGOLIA<sup>5</sup>

Declarations.

"The Mongolian People's Republic has always attached and continues to attach great significance to the activities of the United Nations in the field of industrial development. For this reason, it supports the proposal to convert UNIDO into a specialized agency of the United Nations, on the understanding that this step will enhance its capability for the promotion of industrial development and for the attainment and consolidation of the economic independence of the developing countries on the basis of the progressive provisions and principles of the Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States, the Declaration on the Establishment of a New International Economic Order and the Lima and New Delhi Declarations on international co-operation in the field of industrial development

In supporting UNIDO as a specialized agency of the United Nations, the Government of the Mongolian People's Republic considers that, for the full attainment of the purposes and the performance of the functions specified in the Constitution, UNIDO should actively promote a radical restructuring of the existing unjust international economic relations, the introduction of progressive social and economic transformations, the strengthening of the State sector of the economy and the implementation of national plans and programmes of social and economic development

UNIDO must oppose any form of economic aggression, diktat, blackmail, interference in the internal affairs of States and neo-colonialist exploitation of the developing countries practised

by the forces of imperialism and in particular by the transnational corporations

UNIDO is also called on to promote the solution of the key problems of today - the establishment and strengthening of international peace and security and the adoption of practical disarmament measures, which will release additional resources for the development of the developing countries

In the light of the above considerations, the Mongolian People's Republic is prepared to support the activities of UNIDO and the development of co-operation between its member countries. It is confident that the fruitful co-operation between the Mongolian People's Republic and UNIDO which has already existed for many years will be further expanded

#### NEW ZEALAND

##### Declarations included in the notification under article 25

The instrument of ratification indicates that in accordance with the special relationships which exist between New Zealand and the Cook Islands and between New Zealand and Niue, there have been consultations between the Government of New Zealand and the Government of Cook Islands and between the Government of New Zealand and the Government of Niue regarding the Constitution, that the Government of the Cook Islands, which has exclusive competence to implement treaties in the Cook Islands, has requested that the Constitution should extend to the Cook Islands; that the Government of Niue which has exclusive competence to implement treaties in Niue, has requested that the Constitution should extend to Niue. The said instrument specifies that accordingly the Constitution shall apply also to the Cook Islands and Niue.

#### UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS<sup>5</sup>

##### Declarations

"The Ukrainian SSR supports the purposes and principles of UNIDO's activities, as stated in the UNIDO Constitution, and believes that their implementation requires a fundamental restructuring of the existing unjust international economic relations, the establishment of a new international economic order on an equitable and democratic basis, the conduct of progressive social and economic reforms, the strengthening of the State sector of the economy and the carrying out of national plans and programmes for economic and social development

UNIDO's activities aimed at promoting industrial development in the developing countries and at those countries' attainment of economic independence must be based on the progressive provisions and principles of the Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States, the Declaration on the Establishment of a New International Economic Order, and the Lima and New Delhi Declarations on international industrial development co-operation

To these ends, UNIDO must actively and firmly oppose the attempts of imperialist forces to interfere in the internal affairs of States and must combat acts of economic aggression, diktat and blackmail. UNIDO should work against the policies of those States and economic circles

which are endeavouring not only to continue but even to expand the neo-colonialist plundering of the developing countries. In this connection, UNIDO should take active steps to establish effective control over the activities of transnational corporations with a view to restricting their negative influence on the economic development of the developing countries and on international economic relations in general.

The Ukrainian SSR attaches primary importance to the need for implementing the provisions of the UNIDO Constitution which declare the determination of member countries to promote international peace and security and the prosperity of all peoples.

It is firmly convinced that a cessation of the arms race and a transition to real disarmament measures would make possible the release of significant additional resources to meet the needs of social and economic development, including the industrialization of the developing countries.

The Ukrainian SSR emphasizes that it is essential to comply strictly, in the practical activities of UNIDO, with the provisions of its Constitution concerning the purposes for which the regular and operational budgets of the Organization may be utilized. UNIDO should take steps to prevent the expenditure of resources on programmes and projects, including "advisory services", that could be used for the penetration of foreign private capital into the economies of the developing countries. Fixing the levels of the regular budget on a stable basis will enable the Organization to make sure that the budget is more effectively and rationally used.

With regard to the expenditure of UNIDO regular budget resources for technical assistance, the Ukrainian SSR's position of principle has been stated in the joint declaration issued by the delegations of the socialist countries on 7 April 1979 at the United Nations Conference on the Establishment of UNIDO as a Specialized Agency. In connection with the provision in annex II of the UNIDO Constitution that 6 per cent of the regular budget of the Organization should be allocated to technical assistance, the Ukrainian SSR declares that the corresponding portion of its convertible currency contribution to the UNIDO budget will be credited to a separate account at the Foreign Trade Bank of the USSR. The Ukrainian SSR will make use of that portion of its contribution to participate in the provision through UNIDO of technical assistance to interested countries.

The Ukrainian SSR advocates keeping the new Organization's activities universal in character in the interests of all its member countries. The realization of this very important principle would help to ensure the full implementation of General Assembly resolution 39/231 of 18 December 1984, which confirms the agreement on the conditions for the establishment of UNIDO as a specialized agency, including the agreement on the equitable geographical distribution of posts and, in particular, the allocation of one of the posts of Deputy Director-General to the socialist countries.

The Ukrainian SSR wishes to express its conviction that the considerations with regard to

the activities of the new Organization put forward in this statement and expressed in the course of the consultations on the establishment of UNIDO as a specialized agency will be duly taken into account and reflected in UNIDO's practical activities.

#### UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS<sup>5</sup>

In taking this action, the Soviet side assumes that the agreements on the conditions for converting UNIDO into a specialized agency which were confirmed in General Assembly resolution 39/231, including the agreement on the equitable geographical distribution of posts and, in particular, the allocation of one of the posts of Deputy Director-General to the socialist countries, will be fully and strictly observed. This will ensure the universal character of the new organization's activities in the interest of all countries members of UNIDO.

UNIDO activities aimed at promoting industrial development in the developing countries and at those countries' attainment of economic independence must be based on the progressive provisions and principles of the Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States, the Declaration on the Establishment of a New International Economic Order and the Lima and New Delhi Declarations on international industrial development co-operation.

The Soviet Union believes that those goals can be achieved only by means of a fundamental restructuring of the existing unjust international economic relations, the conduct of progressive social and economic reforms, the strengthening of the State sector of the economy and the implementation of national plans and programmes for social and economic development.

UNIDO must combat the acts of economic aggression, *diktat*, blackmail and interference in the international affairs of States which are perpetrated by the forces of imperialism. It must oppose the policies of those States which are striving not only to maintain but also to increase the neo-colonialist exploitation of the developing countries.

Of particular significance is UNIDO's active promotion of the establishment of effective control of the activities of transnational corporations with a view to restricting their negative influence on the economies of developing countries and on international economic relations and development as a whole.

In the Constitution of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization, the Members of UNIDO express their determination to contribute to international peace and security and to the prosperity of all nations, that determination should be reflected in the Organization's decisions and in its practical activities. Only under conditions of peace, and only when real disarmament measures are implemented, can significant additional resources be released for the needs of economic and social development, including the industrialization of the developing countries. The importance and urgency of that task was reaffirmed in the Declaration entitled "Maintenance of peace and international economic co-operation" adopted at the high-level Economic Conference of the member countries of the Council

for Mutual Economic Assistance held in June 1984.

The Soviet Union bases its position on the need to apply consistently in practice the provision of the Constitution of UNIDO with regard to the purposes for which the regular and operational budgets of the expenditure of resources for programmes and projects, including "advisory services", which could serve for the penetration of foreign private capital into the economies of the developing countries. In order to ensure the effective and economical use of the resources of the regular budget, the level of that budget must be established on a stable basis.

At the United Nations Conference on the Establishment of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization as a Specialized Agency, the delegations of the socialist countries announced, on 7 April 1979, their opposition in principle to the use of funds from the regular budget of UNIDO for the provision of technical assistance.

In connection with the provision of the Constitution of UNIDO on the allocation of six percent of the regular budget to technical assistance, the Soviet Union states that the corresponding promotion of its convertible currency contribution to the UNIDO budget will be credited to a separate account in the Foreign Trade Bank of the USSR. The Soviet Union will make use of those funds to participate in the provision through UNIDO of technical assistance to interested countries.

The Soviet Union firmly expects that its positions of principle on the activities of UNIDO, as contained in this statement and as expressed in the course of the consultations on the conversion of UNIDO into a specialized agency, will be duly taken into account and acted upon. The nature and the extent of the Soviet Union's co-operation with UNIDO will depend on the implementation of the agreements reached, on the nature and direction of the practical activities of UNIDO and on that organization's real observation of the basic United Nations decisions relating to international economic relations on an equitable and democratic basis.

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

##### Declarations:

"(1) As used in Article 1 of the Constitution, the phrase 'new international economic order' --

(A) is an evolving concept with no fixed meaning,

(B) reflects the continuing goal of members of the United Nations to find new or more effective ways of handling international economic relations and is subject to interpretation by all such members; and

(C) is not legally defined by the Constitution or by any resolution of the sixth or seventh special session of the General Assembly of the United Nations or by the Lima Declaration and Plan of Action of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization.

(2) The entry into force of the Constitution with respect to the United States of America does not abrogate or rescind any reservation made by the United States of America to any resolution, declaration, or plan of action referred to in the Constitution "

Declaration included in the notification under article 25:

"In connection with the notification, [concerning inter alia declarations by Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, the German Democratic Republic, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics] the United States wishes to draw the attention of the Secretary-General to the understandings set forth in its instrument of ratification of the new UNIDO Constitution, deposited with the Secretary-General on September 2, 1983.

Article 25, paragraph 1, of the Constitution provides for its entry into force "when at least eighty States that had deposited instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval notify the Depositary that they have agreed, after consultation among themselves, that the Convention shall

enter into force." The Permanent Missions of several States, including the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic, the German Democratic Republic, the People's Republic of Bulgaria and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, have inserted in their Article 25 notices or otherwise indicated their individual views as to how the organization's goals should be achieved, characterizations of the results of the consultations, and statements as to how those States intend to apply certain articles of the Constitution. The United States considers that such unilateral statements cannot vary the legal rights or obligations of the Parties to the functioning of the organization or in any way prejudice the decisions to be adopted by UNIDO."

NOTES:

1/ The instrument of ratification was received by the Secretary-General on 20 November 1981. By a note verbale dated 12 July 1982, received on the same day, the Permanent Mission of Australia to the United Nations in response to a request of clarifications concerning the declarations accompanying the instrument of ratification, informed the Secretary-General as follows:

"The Australian Government considers that Australia is a Party to the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the Specialized Agencies and confirms the Secretary-General's understanding that the statements made by the Government of Australia, [made in relation to the ratification by Australia to the Constitution], do not purport to constitute reservations in respect of any provisions of the UNIDO Constitution."

On the basis of those assurances and due account being taken of the provisions of article 22 of UNIDO regarding the interpretation or application of the said Constitution, the Secretary-General concluded that the statements made by Australia in relation to the instrument received on 20 November 1981 were in nature of interpretative statements and, accordingly, proceeded to the deposit of the said instrument as at 12 July 1982. With regard to the position of the Government of Australia in respect to the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the Specialized Agencies, it should be reminded that, in accordance with the practice described in the Secretary-General's report intitled "Depositary practice with regard to reservations" (A/5687, part IJ, par. 22-75), in the absence of agreement on the said reservations, the instrument of accession by Australia to the said Convention, received on 20 November 1962, was not then deposited, and that Australia thereby does not appear in the Secretary-General's lists as a Party to the said Convention

2/ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Constitution shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

Subsequently on 2 December 1985, the Secretary-General received from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the following declaration:

The Soviet side does not object to the application of the Constitution of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization to Berlin (West) in such measure and to such an extent as is permissible from the standpoint of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, according to which Berlin (West) continues not to be a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and is not governed by it.

In this regard, on 29 October 1986, the Secretary-General received from the Government of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America the following communication:

"The statement by the Soviet Union contains an incomplete and consequently misleading reference to the Quadripartite Agreement. The relevant passage of that agreement provides that the ties between the western sectors of Berlin and the Federal Republic of Germany will be maintained and developed, taking into account that these sectors continue not to be a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it."

3/ For the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles.

4/ The ratification is applicable also the Cook Island and Niue.

5/ The Secretary-General received on 28 April 1986, from the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland the following declaration with regard to the said declarations:

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland wishes to note that article 27 of the Constitution of UNIDO provides that reservations to the Constitution are not permitted. The Government wishes to confirm that nothing in the communications referred to above affects the rights and obligations of the Parties to the Constitution or the provisions of the Constitution that regulate the functioning of the Organization."



Subsequently, the Secretary-General received from the Governments of France (on 1 May 1986), Italy (on 12 May 1986), the Federal Republic of Germany (on 29 May 1986) and Spain (3 October 1986) declarations identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the one made by the United Kingdom. (See also declaration by the United States of America.)

6/ The Secretary-General received on 28 June 1982 from the Government of Israel the following objection with regard to the above-mentioned understanding:

"The Government of the State of Israel has noted that the instrument deposited by the Government of Kuwait contains a statement of a political character in respect of Israel. In the view of the Government of the State of Israel, this Constitution is not the proper framework for such political pronouncements. Moreover, the said declaration cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon the Government of Kuwait under general international law or under particular conventions."

X.10: International sale of goods

10. UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON CONTRACTS FOR THE INTERNATIONAL SALE OF GOODS

Concluded at Vienna on 11 April 1980

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1988, in accordance with article 99 (1).  
TEXT: A/CONF.97/18.1

Note: The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods, held at Vienna from 10 March to 11 April 1980. The Conference was convened by the General Assembly of the United Nations, in accordance with its resolution 33/93<sup>2</sup> of 16 December 1978, adopted on the basis of chapter II of the report of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law on the work of its eleventh session (1978).

The Convention was opened for signature at the concluding meeting of the Conference on 11 April 1980 and remained open for signature at the United Nations Headquarters in New York until 30 September 1981.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>
Argentina . . . . .		19 Jul 1983 <u>a</u>	Hungary . . . . .	11 Apr 1980	16 Jun 1983
Austria . . . . .	11 Apr 1980		Italy . . . . .	30 Sep 1981	11 Dec 1986
Chile . . . . .	11 Apr 1980		Lesotho . . . . .	18 Jun 1981	18 Jun 1981
China . . . . .	30 Sep 1981	11 Dec 1986 <u>AA</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	29 May 1981	
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	1 Sep 1981		Norway . . . . .	26 May 1981	
Denmark . . . . .	26 May 1981	6 Dec 1982 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .	28 Sep 1981	
Egypt . . . . .			Singapore . . . . .	11 Apr 1980	
Finland . . . . .	26 May 1981		Sweden . . . . .	26 May 1981	
France . . . . .	27 Aug 1981	6 Aug 1982 <u>AA</u>	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .		19 Oct 1982 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	13 Aug 1981		United States of America . . . . .	31 Aug 1981	11 Dec 1986
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	26 May 1981		Venezuela . . . . .	28 Sep 1981	
Ghana . . . . .	11 Apr 1980		Yugoslavia . . . . .	11 Apr 1980	27 Mar 1985
			Zambia . . . . .		6 Jun 1986 <u>a</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval and accession.)

ARGENTINA

Declaration:

In accordance with articles 96 and 12 of the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods, any provisions of article 11, article 29 or Part II of the Convention that allows a contract of sale or its modification or termination by agreement or any offer, acceptance or other indication of intention to be made in any form other than in writing does not apply where any party has his place of business in the Argentine Republic.

CHINA

The People's Republic of China does not consider itself to be bound by subparagraph (b) of paragraph 1 of article 1 and article 11 as well as the provisions in the Convention relating to the content of article 11.

DENMARK

Upon signature:

Denmark will not be bound by Part II of the Convention.

FINLAND

Upon signature:

Finland will not be bound by Part II of the Convention.

HUNGARY

Declaration:

"[The Hungarian People's Republic] considers the General Conditions of Delivery of Goods between Organizations of the Member Countries of the Council for Mutual Economic Assistance/GCEA, 1968/1975, version of 1979/ to be subject to the provisions of article 90 of the Convention;

[The Hungarian People's Republic] states, in accordance with articles 12 and 96 of the Convention, that any provision of article 11, article 29 or Part II of the Convention that allows a contract of sale or its modification or termination by agreement or any offer, acceptance or

X.10: International sale of goods

---

other indication of intention to be made in any form other than in writing, does not apply where any party has his place of business in the Hungarian People's Republic."

NORWAY

Upon signature:  
Norway will not be bound by Part II of the Convention.

SWEDEN

Upon signature:  
Sweden will not be bound by Part II of the Convention.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"Pursuant to article 95 the United States will not be bound by subparagraph (1) (b) of Article 1".

---

NOTES:

1/ The English text of the Convention has been published by the Government of the United States of America in the publication "Federal Register" of Monday 2 March 1987, volume 52, No. 40, pages 6262 to 6280 together with various comments and information by the Department of State.

2/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Thirty-third Session, Supplement No. 45 (A/33/45), p. 217.

## 11. CHARTER OF THE ASIAN AND PACIFIC DEVELOPMENT CENTRE

Adopted by the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific  
on 1 April 1982

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1983, in accordance with article XVIII, paragraph 1.

REGISTRATION : 1 July 1983, No. 22028.

TEXT: Resolution 225(XXXVIII) of the Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific.<sup>1</sup>

Note: The Charter was adopted on 1 April 1982 by resolution 225(XXXVIII) of the Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific, following decisions taken by the Commission in its resolutions 191 and 215(XXXVII) of 19 March 1981. The Charter, under article XVI(2), remains open for signature at the Headquarters of the Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific in Bangkok from 1 September 1982 to 30 April 1983 and thereafter at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s) ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s) ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>
Australia . . .		11 Oct 1983 <u>s</u>	Malaysia . . . .		9 Sep 1982 <u>s</u>
Bangladesh . . .		9 Sep 1982 <u>s</u>	Maldives . . . .		25 Apr 1983 <u>s</u>
Brunei Darussalam		14 Feb 1985 <u>s</u>	Nepal . . . . .		25 apr 1983 <u>s</u>
China . . . . .		18 Feb 1983 <u>s</u>	New Zealand . . .	9 Sep 1982	
Cook Islands . .		29 Mar 1983 <u>s</u>	Pakistan . . . .		9 Sep 1982 <u>s</u>
Fiji . . . . .		4 Sep 1986 <u>a</u>	Philippines . . .		15 Dec 1982 <u>s</u>
Japan . . . . .		9 Sep 1982 <u>s</u>	Republic of Korea		9 Sep 1982 <u>s</u>
India . . . . .		25 Apr 1983 <u>s</u>	Sri Lanka . . . .	9 Sep 1982	
Indonesia . . . .		7 Jan 1983 <u>s</u>	Thailand . . . . .		27 Jun 1983 <u>s</u>
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . .	9 Sep 1982		Viet Nam . . . . .		9 Sep 1982 <u>s</u>

## NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Supplement No. 10 (E/198/20) and (E/ESCAP/287).



CHAPTER XI. TRANSPORT AND COMMUNICATIONS<sup>1</sup>

A. CUSTOMS MATTERS

1. AGREEMENT PROVIDING FOR THE PROVISIONAL APPLICATION OF THE DRAFT INTERNATIONAL CUSTOMS CONVENTIONS ON TOURING, ON COMMERCIAL ROAD VEHICLES AND ON THE INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT OF GOODS BY ROAD

Signed at Geneva on 16 June 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1950, in accordance with article III.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 January 1950, No. 696.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 45, p. 149.  
 TERMINIATION: The Agreement, the Additional Protocol of 16 June 1949 (see chapter XI.A-2) and the Additional Protocol of 28 November 1952 (see chapter XI.A-4) were terminated, in accordance with articles III and IV of the Agreement, as follows: on 1 January 1965 in respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods by Road, and on 1 January 1966 in respect of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring and on Commercial Road Vehicles. (The Additional Protocol of 11 March 1950 (see chapter XI.A-3) was abrogated by the Additional Protocol of 28 November 1952, in accordance with article V of the latter Protocol.)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Austria <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		27 Dec 1949 <u>s</u>	Netherlands <sup>6</sup> . . . . .		16 Jun 1949 <u>s</u>
Belgo-Luxembourg Economic Union . . . . .	16 Jun 1949		Norway . . . . .		16 Jun 1949 <u>s</u>
Czechoslovakia <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	28 Dec 1949		Poland <sup>7</sup> . . . . .		7 Jan 1959 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .		29 Dec 1949 <u>s</u>	Sweden <sup>8</sup> . . . . .		15 Sep 1950 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .		16 Jun 1949 <u>s</u>	Switzerland <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		16 Jun 1949 <u>s</u>
Italy . . . . .	16 Jun 1949	26 Jan 1954 <u>s</u>	Turkey <sup>9</sup> . . . . .		16 Jan 1957 <u>a</u>
Liechtenstein <sup>4</sup> . . . . .			United Kingdom <sup>10</sup> . . . . .		16 Jun 1949 <u>s</u>
Malaysia <sup>5</sup> . . . . .		29 Jun 1959 <u>d</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .		10 Jul 1958 <u>a</u>

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	17 Mar 1950	In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Touring only, Gibraltar, Malta, Mauritius, Nyasaland, Sarawak and the Somaliland Protectorate
	28 Jul 1950	In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Touring only, Cyprus, St. Helena, Seychelles, Fiji and the Colony of Aden
	18 Oct 1950	In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Touring only, North Borneo, Singapore, Federation of Malaya, Leeward Islands, "Colonies of the Windward Islands", Trinidad, British Guiana, British Honduras, and Sierra Leone
	7 Sep 1951	In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Commercial Road Vehicles, Singapore and Sierra Leone
		In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Touring only, Brunei, Gambia, Jamaica, Kenya, Uganda, Tanganyika, Zanzibar

XI.A-1: Custom Conventions--Provisional application

Territorial Application (cont'd)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom (cont'd)	6 Feb 1952	In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Commercial Road Vehicles, Brunei, Gambia, Kenya, Uganda and Tanganyika In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Touring only, Northern Rhodesia In respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Commercial Road Vehicles, Nyasaland and Northern Rhodesia

Denunciations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of notification:</u>	<u>Date of effect:</u>	<u>Draft Conventions concerned:</u>
Austria . . . . .	25 Apr 1961	1 Jan 1962	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles
	15 Oct 1963	1 Jan 1965	International Transport of Goods by Road
Denmark <sup>11</sup> . . . . .	15 Sep 1961	1 Jan 1962	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles
			International Transport of Goods by Road
France . . . . .	16 May 1960	1 Jan 1961	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles
			International Transport of Goods by Road
Italy <sup>12</sup> . . . . .	20 Feb 1964	1 Jan 1965	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles
			International Transport of Goods by Road
Liechtenstein . . . . .	7 Jul 1960	1 Jan 1961	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles
			International Transport of Goods by Road
Netherlands <sup>13</sup> . . . . .	15 Sep 1960	1 Jan 1961	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles
			International Transport of Goods by Road
Norway . . . . .	2 Mar 1960	1 Jan 1961	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles
	3 Feb 1965	1 Jan 1966	International Transport of Goods by Road
Poland . . . . .	20 Oct 1961	1 Jan 1963	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles
			International Transport of Goods by Road
Sweden . . . . .	25 Feb 1959	1 Jan 1960	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles
	30 Sep 1965		International Transport of Goods by Road
Switzerland . . . . .	7 Jul 1960	1 Jan 1961	Touring Commercial Road Vehicles
			International Transport of Goods by Road
Turkey . . . . .	10 Aug 1964	1 Jan 1965	Touring
United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Sep 1958	1 Jan 1959	Touring
	30 Jul 1959	1 Jan 1960	Commercial Road Vehicles
Yugoslavia . . . . .	8 Dec 1960	1 Jan 1962	Touring International Transport of Goods by Road
	29 Jan 1964	1 Jan 1965	Commercial Road Vehicles

NOTES:

1/ Unless otherwise indicated, all treaties listed in this chapter were drawn up within the framework of the Inland Transport Committee of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe.

2/ With the declaration that the signature applies only to the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring and on Commercial Road Vehicles. In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 22 May 1950, the Government of Austria declared that the signature affixed on its behalf on 27 December 1949 also applies to the Draft International Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods by Road.

3/ With the declaration that the signature applies only to the Draft International Conventions on Commercial Road Vehicles and on International Transport of Goods by Road and with the reservation that the date of entry into force of the latter Draft Convention "will be determined later, according to the results of the meeting of the Customs Experts of the European Economic Commission which will be held in Geneva on 20 February 1950".

4/ In a notification received on 6 December 1949, the Government of Switzerland, referring to article II of the Agreement, declared that, as the Principality of Liechtenstein forms part of the Customs territory of the Confederation, the provisions of the draft conventions will also apply to it.

5/ Only in respect of the Draft Customs Convention on Touring.

6/ In a communication received on 10 April 1952, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General that the reservation as to ratification, made on its behalf upon signature, is to be considered as withdrawn.

7/ Only in respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods by Road.

8/ In a note accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of Sweden indicated that it desired to apply the provisions of the Agreement as from 1 July 1950.

9/ Only in respect of the Draft International Customs Convention on Touring.

10/ Only in respect of the Draft International Customs Conventions on Touring and on Commercial Road Vehicles.

11/ In its notice of denunciation, the Government of Denmark made the following statement: "However, the Government of Denmark regards its denunciation as limited only to those Parties to the three Draft Conventions, who have adhered to and ratified--or in future may adhere to and ratify--the Customs Convention of May 18, 1956 on the Temporary Importation for Private Use of Aircraft and Pleasure Boats, the Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Commercial Road Vehicles done at Geneva on May 18, 1956, and the Customs Convention of January 15, 1959, on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets".

12/ In its notice of denunciation, the Government of Italy made the following statement: "However, the Government of Italy regards its denunciation as limited only to those Parties to the three Draft Conventions, who have adhered to and ratified--or in future may adhere to and ratify--the Customs Convention of May 18, 1956 on the Temporary Importation for Private Use of Aircraft and Pleasure Boats, the Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Commercial Road Vehicles done at Geneva on May 18, 1956, and the Customs Convention of January 15, 1959, on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets".

13/ In its notice of denunciation, the Government of the Netherlands made the following statement: "However, as to the Draft Customs Convention on International Transport of Goods by Road annexed to the Agreement of 16 June 1949, the Netherlands Government will consider itself no longer bound in its relations with only those Parties to the Draft Convention, for whom the Customs Convention of 15 January 1959 has come into force, as from the date on which the 1959 Convention enters into force between those Parties and the Kingdom of the Netherlands".



XI.A-2: Customs Conventions--Provisional application

---

2. ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL TO THE AGREEMENT PROVIDING FOR THE PROVISIONAL APPLICATION OF THE DRAFT INTERNATIONAL CUSTOMS CONVENTIONS ON TOURING, ON COMMERCIAL ROAD VEHICLES AND ON THE INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT OF GOODS BY ROAD

Signed at Geneva on 16 June 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1950.  
REGISTRATION: 1 January 1950, No. 696.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 45, p. 158.  
TERMINATION: See under the Agreement of 16 June 1949, chapter XI.A-1.

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Accession</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Accession</u>
Austria . . . . .	27 Dec 1949		Italy . . . . .	16 Jun 1949	
Belgo-Luxembourg Economic Union	16 Jun 1949		Netherlands . . . .	16 Jun 1949	
Czechoslovakia . .	28 Dec 1949		Norway . . . . .	16 Jun 1949	
Denmark . . . . .	29 Dec 1949		Switzerland . . . .	16 Jun 1949	
France . . . . .	16 Jun 1949		Turkey . . . . .		16 Jan 1957
			United Kingdom . .	16 Jun 1949	

XI.A-3: Customs Conventions--Provisional application

3. ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL TO THE AGREEMENT PROVIDING FOR THE PROVISIONAL APPLICATION OF THE DRAFT INTERNATIONAL CUSTOMS CONVENTIONS ON TOURING, ON COMMERCIAL ROAD VEHICLES AND ON THE INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT OF GOODS BY ROAD, RELATING TO THE INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT OF GOODS BY CONTAINER UNDER THE T.I.R. CARNET REGIME

Signed at Geneva on 11 March 1950

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 11 March 1950.  
 REGISTRATION: 7 June 1950, No. 696.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 65, p. 319.  
 TERMINATION: See under the Agreement of 16 June 1949, chapter XI.A-1.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Belgo-Luxembourg Economic Union	11 Mar 1950		Italy . . . . .	11 Mar 1950	26 Jan 1954
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	6 Sep 1950		Netherlands . . . . .		11 Mar 1950 <u>s</u>
Denmark . . . . .		7 Jul 1950 <u>s</u>	Sweden . . . . .		7 Dec 1950 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .		11 Mar 1950 <u>s</u>	Switzerland . . . . .		11 Mar 1950 <u>s</u>

XI.A-4: Customs Conventions--Provisional application

4. ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL AMENDING CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE AGREEMENT PROVIDING FOR THE PROVISIONAL APPLICATION OF THE DRAFT INTERNATIONAL CUSTOMS CONVENTIONS ON TOURING, ON COMMERCIAL ROAD VEHICLES AND ON THE INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT OF GOODS BY ROAD

Done at Geneva on 28 November 1952

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 July 1955, in accordance with article VI. From the time of its entry into force, this Protocol, in accordance with its article VII, became an integral part of the Agreement of 16 June 1949.

REGISTRATION: 7 July 1955, No. 696.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 212, p. 296.

TERMINATION: See under the Agreement of 16 June 1949, chapter XI.A-1.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s). ratification</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s). ratification</u>
Austria . . . . .		3 Jun 1954 <u>s</u>	Italy . . . . .	28 Nov 1952	7 Jul 1955
Belgo-Luxembourg Economic Union	5 Dec 1952		Netherlands . . . . .		28 Nov 1952 <u>s</u>
Denmark . . . . .		28 Nov 1952 <u>s</u>	Norway . . . . .		10 Feb 1954 <u>s</u>
France . . . . .		28 Nov 1952 <u>s</u>	Sweden . . . . .		28 Nov 1952 <u>s</u>
			Switzerland . . . . .		28 Nov 1952 <u>s</u>

XI.A-5: Importation of commercial samples, etc.

5. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION TO FACILITATE THE IMPORTATION OF COMMERCIAL SAMPLES AND ADVERTISING MATERIAL

Done at Geneva on 7 November 1952

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 November 1955, in accordance with article XI.  
 REGISTRATION: 20 November 1955, No. 3010.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 221, p. 255.

Note: The Convention was drawn up by the Contracting Parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade at its seventh session, held at Geneva in November 1952. The proposal for the conclusion of such a convention had been referred to the Contracting Parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade by the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations in resolution 347 (XII)<sup>1</sup> of 7 March 1951.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Australia . . . . .		6 Jan 1956 <u>a</u>	Liechtenstein <sup>4</sup>		
Austria . . . . .		8 Jun 1956 <u>a</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .		9 Sep 1957 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .	30 Jun 1953	28 Aug 1957	Malaysia . . . . .		21 Aug 1958 <u>d</u>
Canada . . . . .		12 Jun 1974 <u>a</u>	Malta . . . . .		27 Jun 1968 <u>d</u>
Cuba . . . . .		26 Apr 1976 <u>a</u>	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		16 May 1963 <u>d</u>	Netherlands . . . . .		3 May 1955 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		12 Jan 1956 <u>a</u>	New Zealand . . . . .		19 Apr 1957 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .		5 Oct 1955 <u>a</u>	Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Egypt . . . . .		29 Sep 1955 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		2 Nov 1954 <u>a</u>
Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland . . . . .		[30 Apr 1956 <u>a</u> ] <sup>2</sup>	Pakistan . . . . .		12 Oct 1953 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		31 Oct 1972 <u>d</u>	Poland . . . . .		18 Feb 1960 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		27 May 1954 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .		24 Sep 1956 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .		7 Feb 1964 <u>a</u>	Republic of Korea		12 Jun 1978 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	12 Jun 1953	2 Sep 1955	Romania . . . . .		15 Nov 1968 <u>a</u>
Ghana . . . . .		7 Apr 1958 <u>d</u>	Rwanda . . . . .		1 Dec 1964 <u>d</u>
Greece . . . . .	12 Jun 1953	10 Feb 1955	Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Guinea . . . . .		8 May 1962 <u>a</u>	Singapore . . . . .		7 Jun 1966 <u>d</u>
Haiti . . . . .		12 Feb 1958 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		9 Sep 1954 <u>a</u>
Hungary . . . . .		3 Jun 1957 <u>a</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .		28 Oct 1959 <u>a</u>
Iceland . . . . .		28 Apr 1977 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	30 Jun 1953	23 Feb 1955
India . . . . .		3 Aug 1954 <u>a</u>	Switzerland <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		4 Dec 1954 <u>a</u>
Indonesia . . . . .		21 Apr 1954 <u>a</u>	Tonga . . . . .		11 Nov 1977 <u>d</u>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .		11 Jun 1970 <u>a</u>	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Ireland . . . . .		23 Apr 1959 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .		8 Dec 1956 <u>a</u>
Israel . . . . .		8 Oct 1957 <u>a</u>	Uganda . . . . .		15 Apr 1965 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .		20 Feb 1958 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Jun 1953	21 Oct 1955
Jamaica . . . . .		11 Nov 1963 <u>d</u>	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		28 Nov 1962 <u>a</u>
Japan . . . . .		2 Aug 1955 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .	28 May 1953	17 Sep 1957
Kenya . . . . .		3 Sep 1965 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .		29 May 1956 <u>a</u>
			Zaire . . . . .		31 May 1962 <u>d</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession. For reservations made upon notification of territorial application, see hereinafter.)

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of the final clause of article VIII, paragraph 2, which authorizes the Parties to request the President of the International Court of Justice to nominate arbitrators for the settlement of disputes.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"The Federal Republic of Germany cannot consider roasted coffee, coffee--and tea extracts as well as tobacco goods including cigarette paper as samples of negligible value. No privileges provided for in Article II of the International Convention to Facilitate the Importation of Commercial Samples and Advertising Material can be

granted with respect to the importation of the above-described products into the territory of the Federal Republic of Germany."

INDIA

"The concession of duty-free import would be available to only those catalogues, price lists and trade notices which are supplied free."

MALTA

"In the application of paragraph 5 of Article III of the Convention the period allowed by the Government of Malta for re-exportation of samples which qualify for exemption from import duties under that Article, should be three months which may be extended on sufficient cause being shown."

ROMANIA

(a) In acceding to the International Convention to Facilitate the Importation of Commercial Samples and Advertising Material, done at Geneva on 7 November 1952, in the interests of the development of international economic co-operation, the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that negotiation between the parties to a dispute, as provided for in article VIII (1) of the Convention, constitutes the means of settling such disputes in a spirit of co-operation between the States and of full respect for their interests.

(b) The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance

of the state of dependence of certain territories to which the provisions of article XIII of the above-mentioned Convention apply is not in accordance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples, adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December 1960 in resolution 1514 (XV), which proclaims the need to put an end to colonialism in all its forms and manifestations immediately and unconditionally.

SPAIN<sup>5</sup>

SRI LANKA<sup>6</sup>

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

"Paragraph 6 of Article III cannot be implemented in Trinidad as the Customs and Excise Department is not self-accounting and refunds are made on Treasury vouchers."

UGANDA

"Uganda shall not be bound by article V of the Convention "

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

"In accordance with article XIV, Tanganyika [United Republic of Tanzania] reserves the right not to grant to advertising films temporary duty-free admission treatment."

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . . .	12 Jan 1956	Papua and the Trust Territory of New Guinea
Belgium . . . . .	28 Aug 1957	Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi
Netherlands . . . . .	3 May 1955	Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles, Netherlands New Guinea
New Zealand . . . . .	19 Apr 1957	The Cook Islands (including Niue), the Tokelau Islands and the Trust Territory of Western Samoa
United Kingdom . . . . .	21 Oct 1955 5 Feb 1957	The Isle of Man Aden, Barbados, British Guiana, British Honduras, Cyprus, Falkland Islands, Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gold Coast, Hong Kong, Jamaica, Kenya (with reservation), Leeward Islands (Antigua, Montserrat, St. Christopher, Nevis and Anguilla, British Virgin Islands), Federation of Malaya, Malta (with reservations), Mauritius, North Borneo, Federation of Nigeria, St. Helena, Sarawak, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somaliland Protectorate, Tanganyika (with reservation), Trinidad and Tobago (with reservation), Uganda (with reservation), Windward Islands (Dominica, Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent), Zanzibar, Tonga
United States of America . . . . .	17 Sep 1957	All possessions of the United States except American Samoa, Guam, Kingman Reef, Johnston Island, Midway Islands, the Virgin Islands and Wake Island

Reservations made on notifications of territorial application

United Kingdom

"Kenya shall not be bound by Article V of the Convention."

Malta

"(i) The period allowed by law for re-exportation of goods released on temporary importation is three months but this period may be extended on sufficient cause being shown. (ii) If the whole quantity of goods is not taken out of Malta the deposit made to cover duty shall be forfeited. (iii) Samples of high value will be controlled under temporary importation and under regulations to be made in accordance with paragraph 3 of Article III of the Convention."

Tanganyika

"Tanganyika shall not be bound by article V of the Convention."

Trinidad and Tobago

"Paragraph 6 of Article III cannot be implemented in Trinidad as the Customs and Excise Department is not self-accounting and refunds are made on Treasury vouchers."

Uganda

"Uganda shall not be bound by Article V of the Convention."

---

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Twelfth Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/1987), p. 7.

2/ See note 21 in chapter V.2.

3/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 15 December 1955, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "also applies to Land Berlin, as from the date of its entry into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

In a note accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of Romania made a declaration to the effect that it considers that the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany is not competent to extend the application of this Convention to West Berlin because West Berlin

does not constitute a part of the territory of the Federal Republic of Germany.

4/ On 16 June 1975, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

5/ In a communication received on 17 June 1959, the Government of Spain notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of its reservation made on accession. For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 221, p. 282.

6/ In a communication received on 29 January 1963, the Government of Sri Lanka notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of its reservation made on accession to the Convention. For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 349, p. 334.

6. CONVENTION CONCERNING CUSTOMS FACILITIES FOR TOURING

Done at New York on 4 June 1954

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 11 September 1957, in accordance with article 16.  
 REGISTRATION: 11 September 1957, No. 3992.  
 TEXT: United Nations. Treaty Series. vol. 276. p. 191; and vol. 596. p. 542 (amendment to article 2).<sup>1</sup>

Note: The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference on Customs Formalities for the Temporary Importation of Private Road Motor Vehicles and for Tourism, held at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, from 11 May to 4 June 1954. It also adopted the Additional Protocol to the said Convention, relating to the Importation of Tourist Publicity Documents and Material, and the Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Private Road Vehicles. The Conference was convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations in accordance with resolution 468 F (XV)<sup>2</sup> adopted by the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations on 15 April 1953.

Participant	Signature	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	Participant	Signature	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963 <u>a</u>	Mali . . . . .		1 Aug 1973 <u>a</u>
Argentina . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	19 Dec 1986	Malta . . . . .		3 Jan 1966 <u>d</u>
Australia . . . . .		6 Jan 1967 <u>a</u>	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Austria . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	30 Mar 1956	Mexico . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	13 Jun 1957
Barbados . . . . .		5 Mar 1971 <u>d</u>	Monaco . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	
Belgium . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	21 Feb 1955	Morocco . . . . .		25 Sep 1957 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .		7 Oct 1959 <u>a</u>	Nepal . . . . .		21 Sep 1960 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .		1 Jun 1955 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	7 Mar 1958
Central African Republic . . . . .		15 Oct 1962 <u>a</u>	New Zealand . . . . .		17 Aug 1962 <u>a</u>
Chile . . . . .		15 Aug 1974 <u>a</u>	Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .	20 Jul 1954	4 Sep 1963	Norway . . . . .		10 Oct 1961 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	23 Oct 1963	Panama . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	
Cyprus . . . . .		16 May 1963 <u>d</u>	Peru . . . . .		16 Jan 1959 <u>a</u>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	29 Nov 1955	Philippines . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	9 Feb 1960
Denmark . . . . .		13 Oct 1955 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .		16 Mar 1960 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .	4 Jun 1954		Portugal . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	18 Sep 1958
Ecuador . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	30 Aug 1962	[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>6</sup> . . . . .		31 Jan 1956 <u>a</u>
Egypt . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	4 Apr 1957	Romania . . . . .		26 Jan 1961 <u>a</u>
El Salvador . . . . .		18 Jun 1958 <u>a</u>	Rwanda . . . . .		1 Dec 1964 <u>d</u>
Fiji . . . . .		31 Oct 1972 <u>d</u>	Senegal . . . . .		19 Apr 1972 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		21 Jun 1962 <u>a</u>	Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
France . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	24 Apr 1959	Singapore . . . . .		22 Nov 1966 <u>d</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	16 Sep 1957	Solomon Islands . . . . .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
Ghana . . . . .		16 Jun 1958 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	18 Aug 1958
Greece <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		15 Jan 1974 <u>a</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	28 Nov 1955
Guatemala . . . . .	4 Jun 1954		Sweden . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	11 Jun 1957
Haiti . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	12 Feb 1958	Switzerland <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	23 May 1956
Holy See . . . . .	4 Jun 1954		Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .		26 Mar 1959 <sup>7</sup>
Honduras . . . . .	15 Jun 1954		Tonga . . . . .		11 Nov 1977 <u>d</u>
Hungary . . . . .		29 Oct 1963 <u>a</u>	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
India . . . . .	30 Dec 1954	5 May 1958	Tunisia . . . . .		20 Jun 1974 <u>a</u>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .		3 Apr 1968 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .		26 Apr 1983 <u>a</u>
Ireland . . . . .		14 Aug 1967 <u>a</u>	Uganda . . . . .		15 Apr 1965 <u>a</u>
Israel . . . . .		1 Aug 1957 <u>a</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		17 Aug 1959 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	12 Feb 1958	United Kingdom . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	27 Feb 1956
Jamaica . . . . .		11 Nov 1963 <u>d</u>	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		22 Jun 1964 <u>a</u>
Japan . . . . .	2 Dec 1954	7 Sep 1955	United States of America . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	25 Jul 1956
Jordan . . . . .		18 Dec 1957 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	8 Sep 1967
Lebanon . . . . .		16 Mar 1971 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .		10 Jul 1958 <u>a</u>
Liechtenstein <sup>5</sup> . . . . .					
Luxembourg . . . . .	6 Dec 1954	21 Nov 1956			
Malaysia . . . . .		7 May 1958 <u>d</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria reserves the right, notwithstanding article 1 of the said Convention, not to regard as tourists persons who, in the course of their visit, accept any paid employment.

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 21 of the said Convention concerning compulsory arbitration and declares that the agreement of all the parties in dispute is required for the submission of each individual dispute to arbitration.

BULGARIA<sup>8</sup>

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound with respect to the arbitration referred to in article 21, paragraphs 2 and 3.

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 21 of the Convention.

DENMARK

Notwithstanding the provisions of article 3 of this Convention, the Scandinavian countries shall be permitted to make special rules applicable to persons residing in those countries.

EGYPT

"The Delegation of Egypt reserves its Government's right to withhold the advantages provided for by the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring from any person who, while visiting Egypt as a tourist, takes up employment with or without pay."

FINLAND

"(i) Notwithstanding the provisions of article 3 the Government of Finland shall be permitted to make special rules applicable to persons residing in the Scandinavian countries;

"(ii) Taking into account the relevant provisions in the Finnish legislation the Government of Finland apply the rule in article 10, paragraph 2 so far as subparagraph c is concerned to tourists under 21 years of age."

GHANA

"(1) The exemption on arms and ammunition included in article 2(3) of the Convention shall not be applicable to Ghana.

"(2) The authorisation contained in article 4(b) of the Convention, to export travel souvenirs of a total value not exceeding 100 USA dollars, without the formalities applying to

Exchange Control and without payment of export duties shall not apply to Ghana.

GUATEMALA

"The Guatemalan Government reserves the right:

"(1) Not to consider as tourists persons who enter the country for business as provided in article 1.

"(2) Not to accept the provisions of article 19 in respect of territories in dispute which are under the de facto administration of another State."

HAITI

The Delegation of Haiti reserves its Government's right to withhold the advantages provided for by the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring from any person who, while visiting Haiti as a tourist, accepts any paid employment or engages in any other form of gainful occupation.

HUNGARY

" . . . The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the terms of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 21 of the Convention."

POLAND<sup>9</sup>

1. The Government of the People's Republic of Poland reserves the right not to apply the provisions of article 4 of the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring.

2. Notwithstanding article 21 of the Convention, a dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the States parties to the dispute, whose consent is needed for the appointment of an arbitrator or arbitrators.

ROMANIA<sup>10</sup>

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 21, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention. The position of the Romanian People's Republic is that a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute and that only persons nominated by unanimous agreement of the parties in dispute may act as arbitrators.

SENEGAL

1. The Government of the Republic of Senegal reserves the right to withhold the benefits of the provisions of the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring from any person who, while visiting Senegal as a tourist takes any employment paid or not;

2. The Government of the Republic of Senegal reserves the right:



a) Not to consider as tourists persons who enter the country for business as provided in article 1.

b) Not to accept the provisions of article 19 in respect of territories in dispute which are under the de facto administration of another State.

SWEDEN

"Notwithstanding the provisions of article 3 of the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring, the Scandinavian countries shall be permitted to make special rules applicable to persons residing in those countries."

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC

Reserving "the right of the Government to deny the privileges and facilities provided in the said Convention, to any tourist who takes up any job--paid or unpaid--during his stay in the country".

TUNISIA

A dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute.

UGANDA

"The Government of Uganda shall be bound by Article 2 provided that a tourist's stay in the East African Territories does not exceed six months, but shall not be bound by Article 2 in so far as it refers to portable gramophones with records, portable sound recording apparatus,

portable wireless receiving sets, tents and other camping equipment, fishing outfits, non-powered bicycles, skis, tennis racquets and other similar articles if the period of stay in the Territories does not exceed six months, but undertakes to allow the temporary importation of these articles in accordance with the temporary importation permit procedure.

"The Government of Uganda shall not be bound by Article 3 but undertakes to grant reasonable concessions.

"The Government of Uganda shall not be bound by Article 4 and reserves the right to require that such goods shall be dealt with in accordance with the temporary importation permit procedure."

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS<sup>11</sup>

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, considering that disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring can be decided by arbitration, declares that a dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute and that only persons nominated by unanimous agreement of the parties in dispute may act as arbitrators.

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA<sup>12</sup>

"The Government of the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar [Tanzania] shall not be bound by article 3 of the Convention, but undertakes to grant reasonable concessions in respect of the items referred to therein "

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification.</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Belgium . . . . .	21 Feb 1955	Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi, with reservations <sup>13</sup>
Netherlands . . . . .	7 Mar 1958	Surinam, Netherlands Antilles, Netherlands New Guinea
New Zealand . . . . .	21 May 1963	Cook Islands (including Niue)
Portugal . . . . .	18 Sep 1958	Overseas Provinces
United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Mar 1983	Macao
	7 Aug 1957	North Borneo, Cyprus, Fiji, Jamaica, Federation of Malaya, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somaliland Protectorate, Tonga and Zanzibar; and Malta with reservation. <sup>14</sup>
United States of America . . . . .	14 Jan 1958	Brunei, Antigua, Mauritius, Sarawak, Dominica, Bermuda, Gambia, Montserrat, Federation of Nigeria, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Gibraltar, Virgin Islands, St. Helena, Grenada, St. Vincent; and Kenya, Uganda and Tanganyika with reservations <sup>15</sup>
	16 Jun 1959	Barbados
	12 Sep 1960	British Honduras
	11 Nov 1960	Hong Kong
	9 Jan 1961	St. Christopher, Nevis and Anguilla
	15 Sep 1961	Trinidad and Tobago
	5 Feb 1962	British Guiana
	25 Jul 1956	Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands

NOTES:

1/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 9 August 1966, the Government of the Netherlands proposed an amendment to article 2, paragraph 3 of the Convention to the effect that the words "one portable television set" be inserted after the words "one portable wireless receiving set". The text of the proposed amendment was circulated by the Secretary-General to all contracting States on 6 September 1966. No objection having been expressed to the proposed amendment within the period of six months from the date of the circulation of its text by any of the contracting States, the amendment is deemed to have been accepted, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 23 of the Convention. Pursuant to paragraph 3 of the same article, the amendment entered into force for all contracting States three months after the expiration of the said period of six months, that is to say, on 6 June 1967.

2/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Fifteenth Session, Supplement No. I (E/2419), p. 9.

3/ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that this Convention, the additional Protocol thereto and the Convention on the Temporary Importation of Private Road Vehicles also apply to Land Berlin.

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones referred to in note 2, in chapter III.3.

4/ In a notification received on 4 April 1974, the Government of Greece stated that it accepted the decisions, recommendations and declarations contained in the Final Act of the Conference.

5/ On 16 June 1975, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

6/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

7/ Notification by the United Arab Republic. See note 3 in chapter I.1.

8/ The Governments of Italy and Switzerland have notified the Secretary-General that they object to this reservation. The Government of the United States of America has notified the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation, but "considers that it may, and hereby states that it will, apply the aforesaid reservation reciprocally with respect to Bulgaria".

9/ The Governments of Italy and Switzerland have notified the Secretary-General that they object to these reservations.

10/ The Governments of Switzerland and the Republic of Viet-Nam informed the Secretary-General that they object to this reservation. The Government of the United States of America informed the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation but "considers that it may and hereby states that it will apply this reservation reciprocally with respect to Romania".

11/ The Governments of Italy and Switzerland have notified the Secretary-General that they object to this reservation. The Government of the United States of America has notified the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation, but "considers that it may and hereby states that it will apply this reservation reciprocally with respect to the Soviet Union". The Government of Yugoslavia has informed the Secretary-General that it does not object to this reservation subject to the provisions of paragraph 7 of article 20 of the Convention.

12/ In a communication received on 2 August 1965, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General that, in accordance with paragraph 7 of article 20 and paragraph 7 of article 14, respectively, of the Convention and Additional Protocol, Portugal reserves the right of not extending to the United Republic of Tanzania the benefit of those provisions of the Convention and the Additional Protocol to which apply the reservations made upon accession by the United Republic of Tanzania.

13/ This Convention is applicable to the Territory of the Belgian Congo and to the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi, subject to the following reservations:

(1) The temporary importation of firearms and their ammunition cannot be considered without a temporary importation document (article 2 of the Convention);

(2) The exemption in the case of wine, spirits, toilet water and perfume must continue to be limited to opened containers and subject, in the case of alcoholic beverages in particular, to the observance of the legal provisions in force (article 3 of the Convention);

(3) Worked ivory and objects of indigenous art must be excluded from the operation of the Convention (article 4).

The Government of Rwanda notified the Secretary-General of its succession to the Convention on 1 December 1964. Subsequently, in a communication received on 10 February 1965, the Government of Rwanda informed the Secretary-General that it did not intend to maintain any of the above-mentioned reservations.

14/ "The definition of 'Personal effects' contained in paragraph 3 of article 2 of the Convention shall not include 'one portable wireless set'."

On 3 January 1966, the Government of Malta notified the Secretary-General of its succession to the Convention. In a communication received on

28 February 1966, the Government of Malta notified the Secretary-General that it did not intend to maintain the said reservation, which had been made on its behalf by the Government of the United Kingdom at the time of the notification of the extension of the Convention to Malta

15/ "(1) The Governments of Kenya, Uganda and Tanganyika shall not be bound by article 2 of the Convention in so far as it refers to portable musical instruments, portable gramophones with records, portable sound-recording apparatus, non-powered bicycles and sporting firearms with cartridges, but undertake to allow the temporary importation of these articles in accordance with

the temporary importation permit procedure.

"(ii) The Governments of Kenya, Uganda and Tanganyika shall not be bound by article 3 of the Convention but undertake to grant reasonable concessions in respect of the items referred to therein.

"(iii) The Governments of Kenya, Uganda, and Tanganyika shall not be bound by article 4 of the Convention and reserve the right to require a temporary importation permit in respect of the articles referred to therein "

For the reservations made on accession by the Governments of Uganda and the United Republic of Tanzania, see under "Declarations and Reservations" in this chapter.

XI.A-7: Touring--Additional Protocol

7. ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL TO THE CONVENTION CONCERNING CUSTOMS FACILITIES FOR TOURING,  
RELATING TO THE IMPORTATION OF TOURIST PUBLICITY DOCUMENTS AND MATERIAL

Done at New York on 4 June 1954<sup>1</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 28 June 1956, in accordance with article 10.  
REGISTRATION: 11 September 1957, No. 3992.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 276, p. 191.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963 <u>a</u>	Malaysia . . . . .		7 May 1958 <u>d</u>
Argentina . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	19 Dec 1986	Mali . . . . .		11 Jun 1974 <u>a</u>
Australia . . . . .		6 Jan 1967 <u>a</u>	Malta . . . . .		29 Jul 1968 <u>d</u>
Austria . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	30 Mar 1956	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Barbados . . . . .		5 Mar 1971 <u>d</u>	Mexico . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	13 Jun 1957
Belgium . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	21 Feb 1955	Monaco . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	
Bulgaria . . . . .		7 Oct 1959 <u>a</u>	Morocco . . . . .		25 Sep 1957 <u>a</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .		15 Oct 1962 <u>a</u>	Nepal . . . . .		21 Sep 1960 <u>a</u>
Chile . . . . .		15 Aug 1974 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	7 Mar 1958
Costa Rica . . . . .	20 Jul 1954	4 Sep 1963	New Zealand . . . . .		17 Aug 1962 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	29 Jun 1964	Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		16 May 1963 <u>d</u>	Norway . . . . .		10 Oct 1961 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	8 Mar 1967 <u>a</u>	Panama . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	
Denmark . . . . .		13 Oct 1955 <u>a</u>	Peru . . . . .		16 Jan 1959 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	30 Aug 1962	Philippines . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	9 Feb 1960
Egypt . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	4 Apr 1957	Poland . . . . .		16 Mar 1960 <u>a</u>
El Salvador . . . . .		18 Jun 1958 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .		18 Sep 1958 <u>a</u>
Fiji <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		31 Oct 1972 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .		26 Jan 1961 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		21 Jun 1962 <u>a</u>	Rwanda . . . . .		1 Dec 1964 <u>d</u>
France . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	24 Apr 1959	Senegal . . . . .		19 Apr 1972 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	16 Sep 1957	Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Ghana . . . . .		16 Jun 1958 <u>a</u>	Singapore . . . . .		22 Nov 1966 <u>d</u>
Greece <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		15 Jan 1974 <u>a</u>	Solomon Islands . . . . .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
Haiti . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	12 Feb 1958	Spain . . . . .		5 Sep 1958 <u>a</u>
Holy See . . . . .	4 Jun 1954		Sweden . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	11 Jun 1957
Honduras . . . . .	15 Jun 1954		Switzerland <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	23 May 1956
Hungary . . . . .		29 Oct 1963 <u>a</u>	Syrian Arab Republic <sup>6</sup> . . . . .		26 Mar 1959
India . . . . .		15 Feb 1957 <u>a</u>	Tonga . . . . .		11 Nov 1977 <u>d</u>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .		3 Apr 1968 <u>a</u>	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Ireland . . . . .		14 Aug 1967 <u>a</u>	Tunisia . . . . .		20 Jun 1974 <u>a</u>
Israel . . . . .		1 Aug 1957 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .		26 Apr 1983 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	12 Feb 1958	Uganda . . . . .		15 Apr 1965 <u>a</u>
Jamaica . . . . .		11 Nov 1963 <u>d</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		17 Aug 1959 <u>a</u>
Japan . . . . .	2 Dec 1954	7 Sep 1955	United Kingdom <sup>7</sup> . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	27 Feb 1956
Jordan . . . . .		18 Dec 1957 <u>a</u>	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		22 Jun 1964 <u>a</u>
Lebanon . . . . .		16 Mar 1971 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	
Liechtenstein <sup>5</sup> . . . . .			Yugoslavia . . . . .		10 Jul 1958 <u>a</u>
Luxembourg . . . . .	6 Dec 1954	21 Nov 1956			

Declarations and Reservations<sup>8</sup>

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification accession, or succession.)

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions

of article 15 of the Protocol concerning compulsory arbitration and declares that the agreement of all the parties in dispute is required for the submission of each individual dispute to arbitration.

**BULGARIA<sup>9</sup>**

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound with respect to the arbitration referred to in article 15, paragraphs 2 and 3.

**CUBA**

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 15 of the Protocol.

**CZECHOSLOVAKIA**

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic shall not be bound by any provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 15.

**FIJI**

"Fiji shall not be bound by Article 2 of the Additional Protocol in so far as it refers to unframed photographs and unframed photographic enlargements; but undertakes to allow the temporary duty and tax free admission of these articles under the provisions applicable to Article 3 of the Protocol."

**HUNGARY**

"The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the terms of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 15 of the Protocol."

**MALTA**

"Notwithstanding article 3 of the Additional Protocol the duty-free temporary importation into Malta of display material (e.g., showcases, stands and similar articles), sound recordings and flags, shall be subject to the making of a deposit with the Comptroller of Customs equivalent to the amount of duty payable on the goods allowed to be temporarily imported or to the giving of a security for such duty."

**POLAND<sup>9</sup>**

Notwithstanding article 15 of the Protocol, a dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with

the agreement of all the States parties to the dispute, whose consent is needed for the appointment of an arbitrator or arbitrators.

**ROMANIA<sup>10</sup>**

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 15, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Additional Protocol. The position of the Romanian People's Republic is that a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Additional Protocol may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute and that only persons nominated by unanimous agreement of the parties in dispute may act as arbitrators.

**TUNISIA**

A dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute.

**UGANDA**

"Notwithstanding Articles 2, 3 and 4, the Government of Uganda reserves the right to require temporary importation permits in respect of any item specified therein which may be or become dutiable at any time "

**UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS**

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, considering that disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Additional Protocol to the Convention concerning Customs Facilities for Touring can be decided by arbitration, declares that a dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute and only persons nominated by unanimous agreement of the parties in dispute may act as arbitrators.

**UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA<sup>11</sup>**

"Notwithstanding articles 2, 3 and 4 of the Additional Protocol, the Government of the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar [Tanzania] reserves the right to require temporary importation permits in respect of any item specified therein which may at any time be dutiable."

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification.</u>	<u>Territories.</u>
Belgium . . .	21 Feb 1955	Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi
Netherlands . . .	7 Mar 1958	Surinam, Netherlands Antilles, Netherlands New Guinea
New Zealand . . .	21 May 1963	Cook Islands (including Niue)
Portugal . . .	18 Sep 1958	Overseas Provinces
	30 Mar 1983	Macao
United Kingdom . . .	7 Aug 1957	North Borneo, Cyprus, Jamaica, Federation of Malaya, Malta, Seychelles, Sierra Leon, Singapore, Somaliland Protectorate, Tonga and Zanzibar
		Virgin Islands, Grenada, St. Helena and Dominica

XI.A-7: Touring --Additional Protocol

Territorial Application (cont'd)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories.</u>
United Kingdom (continued)	14 Jan 1958	Brunei, Antigua, Mauritius, Sarawak, St. Vincent, Gambia, Montserrat, Federation of Nigeria, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Gibraltar, and Kenya, Uganda and Tanganyika with reservations <sup>12</sup>
	16 Jun 1959	Barbados
	12 Sep 1960	British Honduras
	11 Nov 1960	Hong Kong
	9 Jan 1961	St. Christopher, Nevis and Anguilla
	15 Sep 1961	Trinidad and Tobago
	5 Feb 1962	British Guiana

NOTES.

1/ See note at the beginning of chapter XI.A-6.

2/ For the text of the reservation see chapter XI.A-6

3/ See note 3 in chapter XI.A-6.

4/ See note 4 in chapter XI.A-6.

5/ On 16 June 1975, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

6/ Notification by the United Arab Republic See note 3 in chapter I.!

7/ In a notification received on 4 March 1959, the Government of the United Kingdom gave notice of the withdrawal of the reservation to article 2 and informed the Secretary-General that "the United Kingdom has been giving full effect to article 2 of the Additional Protocol since the 1st of January 1959 . . .". For the text of that reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 276, p. 204.

8/ In a communication received on 16 September 1968, the Government of Japan notified

the Secretary-General that, in accordance with paragraph 7 of article 14 of the Protocol, it "reserves the right of not extending to the States making reservations the benefit of the provisions to which such reservations apply"

9/ The Governments of Italy and Switzerland have notified the Secretary-General that they object to this reservation

10/ The Government of Switzerland has notified the Secretary-General that it objects to this reservation.

11/ In a communication received on 2 August 1965, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General that, in accordance with paragraph 7 of article 20 and paragraph 7 of article 14, respectively, of the Convention and Additional Protocol, Portugal reserves the right of not extending to the United Republic of Tanzania the benefit of those provisions of the Convention and the Additional Protocol to which apply the reservations made upon accession by the United Republic of Tanzania.

12/ With the following reservation: "Notwithstanding articles 2, 3 and 4 of the Additional Protocol, the Governments of Kenya, Uganda and Tanganyika reserve the right to require temporary importation permits in respect of any item specified therein which may at any time be dutiable."

XI.A-8: Importation of private road vehicles

8. CUSTOMS CONVENTION ON THE TEMPORARY IMPORTATION OF PRIVATE ROAD VEHICLES

Done at New York on 4 June 1954<sup>1</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 December 1957, in accordance with article 35.  
 REGISTRATION: 15 December 1957, No. 4101.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 282, p. 249 and depositary notification, C.N.162.1984.1.TREATIES-1 of 23 July 1984 (amendments to chapter VII).<sup>2</sup>

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963 a	Malta . . . . .		3 Jan 1966 d
Argentina . . . . .	4 Jun 1954		Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 d
Australia . . . . .		6 Jan 1967 a	Mexico . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	13 Jun 1957
Austria . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	30 Mar 1956	Monaco . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	
Barbados . . . . .		5 Mar 1971 d	Morocco . . . . .		25 Sep 1957 a
Belgium . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	21 Feb 1955	Nepal . . . . .		21 Sep 1960 a
Bulgaria . . . . .		7 Oct 1959 a	Netherlands . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	7 Mar 1958
Canada . . . . .		1 Jun 1955 a	New Zealand . . . . .		17 Aug 1962 a
Central African Republic . . . . .		15 Oct 1962 a	Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 d
Chile . . . . .		15 Aug 1974 a	Norway . . . . .		10 Oct 1961 a
Costa Rica . . . . .	20 Jul 1954	4 Sep 1963	Panama . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	
Cuba . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	20 Nov 1963	Peru . . . . .		16 Jan 1959 a
Cyprus . . . . .		16 May 1963 d	Philippines . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	9 Feb 1960
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	4 Jun 1954		Poland . . . . .		16 Mar 1960 a
Denmark . . . . .		13 Oct 1955 a	Portugal . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	18 Sep 1958
Dominican Republic . . . . .	4 Jun 1954		[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>5</sup>		31 Jan 1956 a
Ecuador . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	30 Aug 1962	Romania . . . . .		26 Jan 1961 a
Egypt . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	4 Apr 1957	Ruanda . . . . .		1 Dec 1964 d
El Salvador . . . . .		18 Jun 1958 a	Senegal . . . . .		19 Apr 1972 a
Fiji . . . . .		31 Oct 1972 d	Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 d
Finland . . . . .		21 Jun 1962 a	Singapore . . . . .		15 Aug 1966 d
France . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	24 Apr 1959	Solomon Islands . . . . .		3 Sep 1981 d
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	16 Sep 1957	Spain . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	18 Aug 1958
Ghana . . . . .		16 Jun 1958 a	Sri Lanka . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	28 Nov 1955
Guatemala . . . . .	4 Jun 1954		Sweden . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	11 Jun 1957
Haiti . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	12 Feb 1958	Switzerland <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		4 Jun 1954
Holy See . . . . .	4 Jun 1954		23 May 1956		
Honduras . . . . .	15 Jun 1954		Syrian Arab Republic <sup>6</sup> . . . . .		26 Mar 1959
Hungary . . . . .		4 May 1983 a	Tonga . . . . .		11 Nov 1977 d
India . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	5 May 1958	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 d
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .		3 Apr 1968 a	Tunisia . . . . .		20 Jun 1974 a
Ireland . . . . .		14 Aug 1967 a	Turkey . . . . .		26 Apr 1983 a
Israel . . . . .		1 Aug 1957 a	Uganda . . . . .		15 Apr 1965 a
Italy . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	12 Feb 1958	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		17 Aug 1959 a
Jamaica . . . . .		11 Nov 1963 d	United Kingdom . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	27 Feb 1956
Japan . . . . .	2 Dec 1954	8 Jun 1964	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		28 Nov 1962 a
Jordan . . . . .		18 Dec 1957 a	United States of America . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	25 Jul 1956
Liechtenstein <sup>4</sup> . . . . .			Uruguay . . . . .	4 Jun 1954	
Luxembourg . . . . .	6 Dec 1954	21 Nov 1956	Yugoslavia . . . . .		10 Jul 1958 a
Malaysia . . . . .		7 May 1958 d			
Mali . . . . .		12 Jun 1974 a			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, or succession.)

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by article 40 of

the said Convention and declares that a dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties.

XI.A-8: Importation of private road vehicles

BULGARIA<sup>7</sup>

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound with respect to the arbitration referred to in article 40, paragraphs 2 and 3.

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 40 of the Convention. At the same time it states that, if this reservation is rejected by more than two-thirds of the Parties to the Convention, it will consider that the Convention has not been ratified by the Revolutionary Government of Cuba, in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 3 of article 39.

EL SALVADOR

In connexion with article 4, El Salvador reserves its rights with respect to the temporary importation of component parts for the repair of motor vehicles in view of the fact that such component parts may be difficult to identify when taken out of the country; it therefore considers that payment of the taxes prescribed by the law should be made in such cases. The same reservation is made in connexion with other articles of the Convention which refer to component parts for repairs.

GUATEMALA

"The Guatemalan Government reserves its right:

"(1) To consider that the provisions of the Convention shall apply solely to natural persons and not to legal persons and bodies corporate as provided in chapter I, article 1;

"(2) To consider that article 4 shall not be applicable to Guatemala;

"(3) Not to accept the provisions of article 38 in respect of territories in dispute which are under the de facto administration of another State."

HUNGARY

Declaration:

Article 38 of the Convention is at variance with the United Nations General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 16 December 1960 on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples.

Reservation:

The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions contained in paragraph 2 of article 40 of the Convention.

By 24 August 1983, the day following the expiry of the period of ninety days from the date of the said depositary notification, none of the States concerned had notified the Secretary-General as envisaged in article 39(3) of the Convention, of an objection to the reservation.

Consequently, in accordance with article 35(2), the Convention entered into force for Hungary with effect from 2 August 1983.

INDIA

With reference to article 1 (e):

"The Government of India reserves the right to exclude 'legal' persons from the categories of persons to whom concessions envisaged in this Convention are applicable."

With reference to article 2:

"Notwithstanding the provisions of article 2 of this Convention, the Government of India reserves the right to exclude from the benefits of this article persons normally resident outside India who, on the occasion of a temporary visit to India, take up paid employment or any other form of gainful occupation."

ISRAEL

"Article 4, paragraph 1

"The Government of Israel shall not be bound to admit without payment of import duties and import taxes the importation of component parts of these pair of vehicles temporarily imported; likewise, import prohibitions and restrictions in force at the time being in Israel may be applied to the importation of such component parts."

"Article 24, paragraphs 1 and 2

"In view of the fact that land frontiers with neighbouring States are closed at the present time and that, consequently, private road vehicles may not be re-exported except through an Israel port, the Government of Israel shall not be bound to accept as evidence of re-exportation of vehicles or component parts thereof, any of the documents referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 24."

MEXICO

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The Delegation of Mexico, in accordance with the declaration duly made when the matter was under discussion in Working Party I, reserves its rights with regard to article 4, which authorizes the temporary importation of component parts for the repair of motor vehicles. The Delegation cannot agree to this article because the procedure in question is contrary to the legislation of its country, and because such spare parts do not usually have the specifications which would permit their identification on exit. In the Delegation's opinion, this procedure would be prejudicial to the country's fiscal interests, because in this way it would be possible to import new spare parts without payment of duty by re-exporting old parts belonging to a vehicle not the tourist's own. It has therefore been considered more appropriate that in such cases the proper duty should be paid

"The same reservation is made with regard to other articles of this Convention which refer to component parts for making repairs."

POLAND<sup>8</sup>

Notwithstanding article 40 of the Convention, a dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the States parties to the



XI.A-8: Importation of private road vehicles

dispute, whose consent is needed for the appointment of an arbitrator or arbitrators.

ROMANIA<sup>9</sup>

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 40, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention. The position of the Romanian People's Republic is that a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute and that only persons nominated by unanimous agreement of the parties in dispute may act as arbitrators.

SENEGAL

1. Notwithstanding the provisions of article 2 of the said Convention, the Government of the Republic of Senegal reserves to itself the right to exclude from the benefits of the said article persons normally resident outside Senegal who, on the occasion of a temporary visit to Senegal take up paid employment or any form of gainful occupation;

2. The Government of the Republic of Senegal reserves the right:

a) To consider that the provisions of the Convention shall apply solely to natural persons and not to legal persons and bodies corporate as provided in chapter 1, article 1;

b) To consider that article 4 shall not be applicable to its territory;

c) Not to accept the provisions of article 38 in respect of territories in dispute which are under the de facto administration of another State.

SRI LANKA

"Notwithstanding the provisions of article 2 of this Convention, the Government of Ceylon reserves to itself the right to exclude from the benefits of this article persons normally resident outside Ceylon who, on the occasion of a temporary visit to Ceylon, take up paid employment or any other form of gainful occupation."

TUNISIA

A dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS<sup>10</sup>

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, considering that disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Private Road Vehicles can be decided by arbitration, declares that a dispute may be submitted to arbitration only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute and that only persons nominated by unanimous agreement of the parties in dispute may act as arbitrators.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Belgium . . . . .	21 Feb 1955	Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi, with reservations <sup>11</sup>
Netherlands . . .	7 Mar 1958	Surinam, Netherlands Antilles, Netherlands New Guinea
New Zealand . . .	21 May 1963	Cook Islands (including Niue)
Portugal . . . . .	18 Sep 1958	Overseas Provinces
United Kingdom . .	7 Aug 1957	North Borneo, Cyprus, Fiji, Jamaica, Federation of Malaya, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somaliland Protectorate, Tonga and Zanzibar; and Malta (with reservation) <sup>12</sup>
	14 Jan 1958	Brunei, Antigua, Mauritius, Sarawak, Kenya, Dominica, Gambia, Montserrat, Federation of Nigeria, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, St. Helena, Uganda, Gibraltar, Virgin Islands, Grenada, St. Vincent, Tanganyika
	16 Jun 1959	Barbados
	12 Sep 1960	British Honduras
	11 Nov 1960	Hong Kong
	9 Jan 1961	St. Christopher, Nevis and Anguilla
	15 Sep 1961	Trinidad and Tobago
	5 Feb 1962	British Guiana
United States of America . . .	25 Jul 1956	Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands

NOTES:

1/ See note at the beginning of chapter XI.A-6.

2/ The Secretary-General circulated on 6 April 1979 the text of an amendment proposed by Switzerland aiming at the addition of a new article 25 bis to chapter VII of the Convention. The

said amendment was not accepted owing to objections notified to the Secretary-General on 2 October 1979 (India) and on 4 October 1979 (Belgium, Denmark, France, Federal Republic of Germany, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg and the Netherlands).

Subsequently, the text of a new amendment by Switzerland (new article 25 bis) was circulated by the Secretary-General on 23 July 1984. No objections having been notified within a period of six months from the date of its circulation, the amendment entered into force on 23 April 1985 in accordance with article 42(3) of the Convention.

However, the Secretary-General received, on 22 January 1985, from the Government of Austria the following declaration:

"Austria does not object to the substance of the amendment proposed by Switzerland which has been approved by the Austrian Federal Government on December 12, 1984. But as the Austrian constitutional procedures in the present case also require the ratification by the Federal President after approval by parliament, Austria is not yet in a position to apply the new regulations. Austria does, however, not wish to prevent the entry into force of the present amendment for the other contracting states.

Subsequently, on 7 June 1985, the Secretary-General was informed by the Government of Austria that the said amendment had been approved by the Austrian Parliament and that it would therefore now be applied by Austria.

3/ See note 3 in chapter XI.A-6.

4/ On 16 June 1975, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

5/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

6/ Notification by the United Arab Republic. See note 3 in chapter I.1.

7/ The Governments of Italy and Switzerland notified the Secretary-General that they object to this reservation. The Government of the United States of America has notified the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation, but "considers that it may, and hereby states that it will, apply the aforesaid reservation reciprocally with respect to Bulgaria".

8/ The Government of Switzerland has noti-

fied the Secretary-General that it objects to this reservation.

9/ The Government of Switzerland has notified the Secretary-General that it objects to this reservation. The Government of the United States of America has notified the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation, but "considers that it may and hereby states that it will apply this reservation reciprocally with respect to Romania".

10/ The Governments of Italy and Switzerland have notified the Secretary-General that they object to this reservation. The Government of the United States of America has notified the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation, but "considers that it may and hereby states that it will apply this reservation reciprocally with respect to the Soviet Union".

11/ With regard to the application to the Territory of the Belgian Congo and to the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi of the Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Private Road Vehicles, concluded at New York on 4 June 1954, the Belgian Government considers that in present circumstances the system of free international circulation of motor vehicles should not be extended to legal persons. Temporary admittance without payment should not be granted in respect of component parts imported for the repair of a vehicle covered by free circulation papers.

The latter restriction does not, of course, apply to component parts accompanying vehicles when they are listed in the counterfoil of the international circulation document.

By a communication received on 10 February 1965, the Government of Rwanda in relation to the succession, informed the Secretary-General that it did not intend to maintain any of the above-mentioned reservations.

12/ The reservation reads as follows:

"Article 4 of the Convention shall not apply to Malta." On 3 January 1966, the Government of Malta notified the Secretary-General of its succession to the Convention. In a communication received on 28 February 1966, the Government of Malta notified the Secretary-General that it did not intend to maintain the said reservation, which had been made on its behalf by the Government of the United Kingdom at the time of the notification of the extension of the Convention to Malta.

9. CUSTOMS CONVENTION ON CONTAINERS

Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 4 August 1949, in accordance with article 13. (Note: Article 20(1) of the Customs Convention on Containers, 1972 (see chapter XI.A-15), provides that, upon its entry into force, it shall terminate and replace, in relations between the Parties to the latter Convention, the present Convention. The said Convention of 1972 came into force on 6 December 1975.)

REGISTRATION: 4 August 1959, No. 4834.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 338, p. 103.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963 <u>a</u>	Japan . . . . .		14 May 1971 <u>a</u>
Australia . . . . .		6 Jan 1967 <u>a</u>	Liechtenstein <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		7 Jul 1960
Austria . . . . .	18 May 1956	13 Nov 1957	Luxembourg . . . . .	18 May 1956	25 Oct 1960
Belgium . . . . .	18 May 1956	27 May 1960	Malawi . . . . .		24 May 1969 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .		18 Jan 1960 <u>a</u>	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Cameroon . . . . .		24 Sep 1963 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	18 May 1956	27 Jul 1960
Canada . . . . .		8 Sep 1972 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		22 Nov 1961 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .		4 Aug 1965 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .	18 May 1956	6 May 1959
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		31 May 1962 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .		1 May 1964 <u>a</u>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		4 Aug 1959 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .		1 Nov 1967 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .		3 Sep 1965 <u>a</u>	Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Finland . . . . .		15 Jun 1961 <u>a</u>	Solomon Islands . . . . .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
France . . . . .	18 May 1956	20 May 1959	Spain . . . . .		21 Jan 1959 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	18 May 1956	23 Oct 1961	Sweden . . . . .	18 May 1956	11 Aug 1959
Greece . . . . .		12 Sep 1961 <u>a</u>	Switzerland <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	18 May 1956	7 Jul 1960
Hungary . . . . .	18 May 1956	23 Jul 1957	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Ireland . . . . .		7 Jul 1967 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	18 May 1956	23 May 1958
Israel . . . . .		14 Nov 1967 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .		3 Dec 1968 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .	18 May 1956	29 Mar 1962	Yugoslavia . . . . .		9 Mar 1961 <u>a</u>
Jamaica . . . . .		11 Nov 1963 <u>d</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 17 of the said Convention relating to compulsory arbitration.

BULGARIA

With respect to article 17, paragraphs 2 and 3: The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound in the matter of compulsory arbitration.

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 17 of this Convention.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"In accordance with article 18, paragraph 1 of the Convention, the Czechoslovak Socialist

Republic will not be bound by the provisions of article 17 of the Convention."

DENMARK<sup>3</sup>

"Pursuant to article 5 in the prevailing Danish Customs Act, the Danish customs area does not comprise Faroe Islands and Greenland. The acceptance of the Convention by Denmark, therefore, applies only to the Danish customs area as defined in the said article."

POLAND

The Government of the People's Republic of Poland does not consider itself bound by article 17 of the Convention.

ROMANIA

The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 17, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention.

XI.A-9: Containers--1956 Convention

The position of the Socialist Republic of Romania is that a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention can be submitted to arbitration only with the consent of all the parties in dispute.

The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance of the state of dependence of certain territories to which the provisions of article 16 of the Convention apply is not in accordance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December

1960 in resolution 1514 (XU), which proclaims the need to put an end to colonialism in all its forms and manifestations immediately and unconditionally.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"In accordance with paragraph 1 of article 16 of the Convention, the said Convention shall extend to the customs territory of the United States [which at the present time includes the States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico]."

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . .	3 Jan 1968	The Territories of Papua, Norfolk Island, Christmas Island, Cocos (Keeling) Islands and the Trust Territory of New Guinea
Netherlands . . .	27 Jul 1960	Netherlands Antilles, Netherlands New Guinea
United Kingdom . .	23 May 1958	The Isle of Man, Jersey and the Bailiwick of Guernsey
	19 Oct 1959	Antigua, Barbados, Bermuda, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Brunei, Cyprus, Dominica, Falkland Islands, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony, Grenada, Jamaica, Mauritius, Montserrat, North Borneo, St. Christopher, Nevis and Anguilla, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Sarawak, Sierra Leone, State of Singapore, Trinidad and Tobago, Zanzibar
	12 Dec 1974	Hong Kong

NOTES:

1/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 30 November 1961, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "will also apply to Land Berlin, as from the date on which it will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones referred to in note 2 in chapter III.3.

2/ On depositing the instrument of

ratification, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention will apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein, so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

3/ The Working Party on Customs Questions affecting Transport of the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe included the following statement in the report on its Twenty-second session, adopted on 3 September 1965 (document TRANS/304-TRANS/WP30/98, paragraph 52): "With regard to the accession of Denmark to the Convention [Customs Convention on Containers, done at Geneva on 18 May 1956], the Working Party noted that its intention in preparing the Convention, had always been to allow Denmark to become a party to that instrument only in respect of the Danish Customs zone, which, under the Danish Customs laws, did not include the Faroe Islands and Greenland, and that in its opinion the matter was covered by the principles set forth in article 16 of the Convention."

10. CUSTOMS CONVENTION ON THE TEMPORARY IMPORTATION OF COMMERCIAL ROAD VEHICLES

Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 8 April 1959, in accordance with article 34.  
 REGISTRATION: 8 April 1959, No. 4721  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 327, p. 123 and depositary notification C.N.195.1982. TREATIES-1 of 29 August 1982 (amendment).<sup>1</sup>

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .		19 Dec 1977 a	Ireland . . . . .		26 Jul 1967 a
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963 a	Italy . . . . .	18 May 1956	29 Mar 1962
Austria . . . . .	18 May 1956	13 Nov 1957	Liechtenstein <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		7 Jul 1960
Belgium . . . . .	18 May 1956	18 Feb 1963	Luxembourg . . . . .	18 May 1956	28 Jan 1964
Bulgaria . . . . .		7 Oct 1959 a	Netherlands . . . . .	18 May 1956	27 Jul 1960 <sup>4</sup>
Cuba . . . . .		16 Sep 1965 a	Norway . . . . .		11 Jul 1966 a
Cyprus . . . . .		2 Feb 1983 d	Poland . . . . .	18 May 1956	6 May 1959
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		8 Apr 1959 a	Portugal . . . . .		8 May 1967 a
Denmark . . . . .		8 Jan 1959 a	Romania . . . . .		7 Jan 1966 a
Finland . . . . .		23 May 1967 a	Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 d
France . . . . .	18 May 1956	20 May 1959	Singapore . . . . .		15 Aug 1966 d
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	18 May 1956	23 Oct 1961	Spain . . . . .		17 Nov 1958 a
Greece . . . . .		12 Sep 1961 a	Sweden . . . . .	18 May 1956	16 Jan 1958
Hungary . . . . .	18 May 1956	23 Jul 1957	Switzerland <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	18 May 1956	7 Jul 1960
			United Kingdom . . . . .	18 May 1956	30 Jul 1959
			Yugoslavia . . . . .		12 Jun 1961 a

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification accession or succession.)

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 38 of the said Convention relating to the compulsory arbitration of the International Court of Justice.

BULGARIA

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 38 of the Convention relating to compulsory arbitration.

POLAND

The Government of the People's Republic of Poland does not consider itself bound by article 38 of the Convention.

ROMANIA

The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 38, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention, its position being that a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention can be submitted to arbitration only with the consent of all the Parties to the dispute

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories.</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Jul 1959	The Isle of Man, Jersey and the Bailiwick of Guernsey
	6 Nov 1959	Gibraltar, Brunei, Somaliland, North Borneo, Seychelles and Singapore
	29 Apr 1960	Cyprus, Gambia
	12 Sep 1960	Sierra Leone
	21 Sep 1960	Hong Kong
	19 Jul 1962	Kenya, Uganda

NOTES.

1/ The Secretary-General circulated on 6 April 1979 the text of an amendment proposed by Switzerland aiming at the addition of a new article 25 bis to chapter VII of the Convention. The said amendment was not accepted owing to objections notified to the Secretary-General on 4 October 1979 (Belgium, Denmark, France, Federal Republic of Germany, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg and the Netherlands).

Subsequently, a further amendment to chapter VII of the Convention by the addition of a new article 25 bis was circulated by the Secretary-General on 26 August 1982. Within the period of six months following the date of circulation of the said amendment by the Secretary-General, no Contracting Party expressed an objection to the proposed amendment and therefore, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 41 of the Convention it is deemed accepted.

2/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 30 November 1961, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "will also apply to

Land Berlin, as of the date of its entry into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones referred to in note 2 in chapter III 3.

3/ On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention will apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

4/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

XI.A-11: Importation of aircraft and pleasure boats

11. CUSTOMS CONVENTION ON THE TEMPORARY IMPORTATION FOR PRIVATE USE OF AIRCRAFT AND PLEASURE BOATS

Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1959, in accordance with article 34.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 January 1959, No. 4630.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 319, p. 21.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Algeria <sup>1</sup>		31 Oct 1963 <u>a</u>	Malta		3 May 1966 <u>d</u>
Austria	18 May 1956	13 Nov 1957	Mauritius		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Belgium	18 May 1956	18 Feb 1963	Netherlands	18 May 1956 <sup>4</sup>	27 Jul 1960 <sup>4</sup>
Denmark		8 Jan 1959 <u>a</u>	Portugal		16 Feb 1965 <u>a</u>
Finland		30 Sep 1965 <u>a</u>	Sierra Leone		13 Mar 1962 <u>a</u>
France	18 May 1956	20 May 1959	Solomon Islands		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup>	18 May 1956	23 Oct 1961	Spain <sup>5</sup>		2 Oct 1958 <u>a</u>
Hungary	18 May 1956	23 Jul 1957	Sweden	18 May 1956	16 Jan 1958
Italy	18 May 1956	29 Mar 1962	Switzerland <sup>3</sup>	18 May 1956	7 Jul 1960
Jamaica		11 Nov 1963 <u>d</u>	Trinidad and Tobago		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Liechtenstein <sup>3</sup>		7 Jul 1960	United Kingdom	18 May 1956	3 Oct 1958
Luxembourg	18 May 1956	13 Oct 1964	Yugoslavia		29 Jan 1960 <u>a</u>

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
France	14 Dec 1959	Overseas Territories (St. Pierre and Miquelon, French Somaliland, Comoro Archipelago, New Caledonia and Dependencies, French Polynesia)
France/United Kingdom	28 Dec 1959) 23 Dec 1959)	Condominium of the New Hebrides
United Kingdom	3 Oct 1958 13 May 1959	The Isle of Man, Jersey and the Bailiwick of Guernsey
	15 Sep 1959	Aden, British Guiana, Brunei, Gambia, Gibraltar, Kenya, Leeward Islands (Antigua, Montserrat), North Borneo, St Helena, Sarawak, Seychelles, Singapore, Somaliland Protectorate, Tanganyika, Uganda, Windward Islands (Dominica, Grenada, St Lucia, St. Vincent), Zanzibar, British Solomon Islands Protectorate; and Cyprus <sup>6</sup>
	19 Oct 1959	Jamaica
	12 May 1960	Malta, Sierra Leone
	12 Jan 1961	Hong Kong and Falkland Islands
	10 Feb 1961	British Honduras
	8 May 1961	Mauritius
		Trinidad and Tobago

NOTES:

1/ With a reservation that the Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 38 of the Convention relating to compulsory arbitration.

2/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 30 November 1961, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "will also apply to Land Berlin, as of the date of its entry into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications, are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones referred to in note 2 in chapter III.3.

3/ On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention will also apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein, so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

4/ The signature was affixed for the Kingdom in Europe. The instrument of ratification

provides that the Convention was ratified for the Kingdom in Europe, for Surinam, for the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea.

5/ The Government of Spain had deposited an instrument of accession on 29 July 1958. On 2 October 1958, the Government of Spain withdrew the said instrument and deposited a new instrument of accession containing a declaration, made under paragraph 1 of article 39 of the Convention, that Spain does not consider itself bound by article 38 of the Convention.

6/ With the following note

"It will involve amendment to Customs and Tariff Law which will be made at earliest opportunity. Facilities as provided by the Convention will be granted by administrative action in respect of any importation that may be made between the date of extension of the Convention to Cyprus and the amendment of the law "



12. CUSTOMS CONVENTION CONCERNING SPARE PARTS USED FOR REPAIRING EUROP WAGONS

Done at Geneva on 15 January 1958

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1961, in accordance with article 6.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 January 1961, No. 5503.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 383, p. 229.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .	20 Feb 1958	3 Mar 1959	Italy . . . . .	5 Feb 1958	8 Mar 1960
Belgium . . . . .	5 Feb 1958	10 Sep 1959	Liechtenstein <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		7 Jul 1960
Denmark <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		5 Feb 1958 <u>s</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .	12 Feb 1958	19 Feb 1969
France . . . . .	7 Feb 1958	19 Aug 1959	Netherlands . . . . .	7 Feb 1958 <sup>4</sup>	7 May 1959
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	10 Feb 1958	21 Oct 1960	Switzerland <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	20 Feb 1958	7 Jul 1960

NOTES:

1/ The signature by Denmark was affixed subject to ratification. In a communication received on 16 May 1958, the Government of Denmark notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservation as to ratification.

2/ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "will also apply to Land Berlin, as from the date on

which the Convention enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

3/ On depositing the instrument of ratification the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention will apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein, so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

4/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

XI.A-13: TIR Convention

13. CUSTOMS CONVENTION ON THE INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT OF GOODS UNDER COVER OF TIR CARNETS (TIR CONVENTION)

Done at Geneva on 15 January 1959

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 January 1960, in accordance with article 40. (Note: Article 56(1) of the TIR Convention of 1975 (see chapter XI.A-16) provides that the said Convention, upon its entry into force, shall terminate and replace, in relations between the Contracting Parties thereto, the present Convention. The said Convention of 1975 came into force on 20 March 1978.)

REGISTRATION: 7 January 1960, No. 4996.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 348, p. 13; vol. 481, p. 598 (Amendment 1),<sup>1</sup> and vol. 566, p. 356 (Amendment 2).<sup>1</sup>

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Afghanistan . . . .		11 Oct 1971 <u>a</u>	Jordan . . . . .		8 Nov 1973 <u>a</u>
Albania . . . . .		1 Oct 1969 <u>a</u>	Kuwait . . . . .		26 May 1977 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .	15 Feb 1959	3 Feb 1960	Liechtenstein <sup>3</sup>		3 Jul 1962
Belgium . . . . .	4 Mar 1959	14 Mar 1962	Luxembourg . . . .	14 Apr 1959	31 Jan 1978 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .		15 Apr 1959 <u>s</u>	Malta . . . . .		10 Oct 1975 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .		26 Nov 1974 <u>a</u>	Morocco . . . . .		27 Jul 1960
Cyprus . . . . .		3 Jun 1977 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . .	9 Apr 1959	2 Mar 1960 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . .		31 Aug 1961 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		3 Oct 1961 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .		15 Apr 1959 <u>s</u>	Poland . . . . .		6 Jun 1966 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		14 Jun 1960 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .		9 Apr 1964 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	14 Apr 1959	3 Jul 1959	Romania . . . . .		12 May 1961 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		24 Oct 1975 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		14 Apr 1959 <u>s</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	13 Apr 1959	23 Oct 1961	Sweden . . . . .		7 Jul 1960
Greece . . . . .		2 May 1961 <u>a</u>	Switzerland <sup>3</sup> . . .	12 Mar 1959	23 Feb 1966 <u>a</u>
Hungary . . . . .		6 Dec 1961 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .		
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .		25 May 1971 <u>a</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		20 Feb 1974 <u>a</u>
Ireland . . . . .		7 Jul 1967 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom <sup>4</sup> . .	13 Apr 1959	9 Oct 1959
Israel . . . . .		31 Oct 1969 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .		3 Dec 1968 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .	15 Apr 1959	11 Jan 1963	Yugoslavia . . . .		23 Aug 1960 <u>a</u>
Japan . . . . .		14 May 1971 <u>a</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature, ratification or accession.)

ALBANIA

The Government of the People's Republic of Albania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention which provide for compulsory arbitration to settle disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention. It declares that the agreement of all the parties in dispute is required in each particular case for the submission of the dispute to the International Court of Justice.

BULGARIA

Declaring that it is not bound by paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 44.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

" . . . The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by provisions of article 44, paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Convention."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation in respect of article 44, paragraphs 2 and 3:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention according to which any dispute concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention which is not settled by negotiation shall be submitted to

arbitration if any of the Contracting Parties in dispute so requests.

On this matter the German Democratic Republic holds the view that in each individual case submission of a dispute to arbitration requires the consent of all Contracting Parties involved in the dispute.

Declaration in respect of article 43:

In its position on the provisions of article 43 insofar as they concern the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories, the German Democratic Republic is guided by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) which proclaim the need for a speedy and unconditional end to colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

GREECE<sup>5</sup>

HUNGARY

"[The Hungarian People's Republic] does not consider as obligatory paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 44 of the Convention."

MALTA

"The Government of the Republic of Malta, having already become a party to the 1975 TIR Convention, now becomes a party to the 1959 TIR Convention only in relation to those States Parties that have not themselves become a party to the 1975 Convention."

POLAND

[Poland] does not consider itself bound by paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 44 of the Convention.

ROMANIA

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention with reference to the settlement by compulsory arbitration of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention at the request of one of the Contracting Parties.

TURKEY<sup>6</sup>

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that the provisions of article 39 of the Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets, which restrict the participation of certain States in the Convention, are contrary to the generally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics deems it necessary to state that the provisions of article 43 of the Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets, to the effect that States may extend the Customs Convention to territories for the international relations of which they are responsible, are outmoded and at variance with the United Nations General Assembly's Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by article 44, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets and states that the submission to arbitration of any dispute between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Customs Convention must be subject, in each specific case, to the agreement of all the Parties in dispute and that only persons designated by agreement between the Parties in dispute may act as arbitrators.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"In accordance with paragraph 1 of article 43 of the Convention, the said Convention shall extend to the customs territory of the United States [which at the present time includes the States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico]."

NOTES:

1/ Annexes 3 and 6 to the Convention were modified by agreement between the competent administrations of all the Contracting Parties, in accordance with the procedure provided in article 47, paragraph 4 of the Convention. Amendment 1 (amendment to article 5 of annex 3) entered into force on 19 November 1963; for the text, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 481, p. 598. Amendment 2 (amendments to articles 2 and 5 of annex 3, and article 5 of annex 6) entered into force on 1 July 1966; for the text, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 566, p. 356. For the text of the Convention incorporating these amendments, see document E/ECE/332(E/ECE/TRANS/510)Rev 1.

In a communication received on 12 June 1974,

the Government of Austria requested, in accordance with article 46(1) of the Convention, that a conference be convened for the purpose of reviewing the latter. That request was notified by the Secretary-General to all States concerned on 28 June 1974, and the required number of States have expressed their concurrence with the said request within the four-month period provided for by article 46(1). This Convention resulted in a new Convention (chapter XI.A-16).

2/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 1 December 1961, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "will also apply to Land Berlin as from the date of its entry into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, the Byelorussian SSR, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones referred to in note 2 in chapter III.3.

In this regard, the following declaration was made by the Government of the German Democratic Republic upon accession:

As regards the application of the Convention to Berlin (West) the German Democratic Republic notes in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic of 3 September 1971 that Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and may not be governed by it. Accordingly, the statement of the Federal Republic of Germany to the effect that this Convention also applies to the "Land Berlin" is incompatible with the Quadripartite Agreement.

3/ On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention will apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein, so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

4/ On depositing the instrument of ratification the Government of the United Kingdom declared that the Convention shall extend to the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man.

5/ In a communication received on 16 August 1971, the Government of Greece notified the Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw the reservation formulated on deposit of its instrument of accession. For the text of the reservation see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 395, p. 276.

6/ In a communication received on 12 February 1974, the Government of Turkey notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservations that it had made in respect of chapter IV and articles 44(2) and 44(3) of the Convention. For the text of those reservations, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 557, p. 278.

14. EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON CUSTOMS TREATMENT OF PALLETS USED IN INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT

Done at Geneva on 9 December 1960

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 12 June 1962, in accordance with article 7.  
 REGISTRATION: 12 June 1962, No. 6200  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 429, p. 211.

Participant	Signature	Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)	Participant	Signature	Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)
Australia . . . . .		1 Oct 1969 <u>a</u>	Italy . . . . .	15 Mar 1961	5 Jan 1967
Austria . . . . .		7 Oct 1963 <u>a</u>	Liechtenstein <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		31 Jul 1962
Belgium . . . . .	21 Feb 1961	14 Mar 1962	Luxembourg . . . . .	6 Feb 1961	22 Oct 1962
Bulgaria . . . . .		28 Feb 1961 <u>s</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	13 Mar 1961	27 Oct 1964 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .		26 Sep 1963 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		4 Sep 1969 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		31 May 1962 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .		15 Jan 1968 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .		14 Mar 1961 <u>s</u>	Portugal . . . . .		15 May 1964 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		19 Aug 1966 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .		2 Feb 1973 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	8 Mar 1961	12 Mar 1962	Spain . . . . .		1 Mar 1961 <u>s</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		15 Mar 1977 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .		24 Apr 1963
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	20 Dec 1960	29 Sep 1964	Switzerland <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	6 Mar 1961	10 Oct 1974 <u>a</u>
Hungary . . . . .		26 Jul 1963 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .		1 Oct 1962
			United Kingdom . . . . .	7 Feb 1961	19 Jun 1964 <u>a</u>
			Yugoslavia . . . . .		

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservation were made upon definitive signature, ratification or accession.)

BULGARIA

Subject to the reservation that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 11, paragraphs 2 and 3.

CUBA

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 11 of the Convention.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

" . . . In accordance with article 12, paragraph 1, of the Convention, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic will not be bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 11 of the Convention."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation in respect of article 11, paragraphs 2 and 3:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 11, paragraphs 2 and 3 of the aforesaid Convention, according to which any dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention which is not settled by negotiation shall be submitted to arbitration if any one of the Contracting Parties concerned in the dispute so requests.

The German Democratic Republic takes the view that in every single case the consent of all parties to any dispute shall be required in order for such dispute to be referred to arbitrators for decision.

Declaration in respect of article 10:

The position of the German Democratic Republic in respect of article 10 of the Convention, as far as the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories is concerned, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

HUNGARY

" . . . The Hungarian People's Republic shall not be bound by the provisions contained in paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 11 of the Convention."

POLAND

"The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions contained in paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 11 of the Convention."

ROMANIA

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 11, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention, with

reference to the settlement by compulsory arbitration of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention at the request of one of the Parties in dispute.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Netherlands . . .	22 Oct 1962	Netherlands Antilles Aden Colony, Antigua, Bahama Islands, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Channel Islands, Falkland Islands, Fiji, Gambia, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Grenada, Hong Kong, Isle of Man, Kenya, Montserrat, North Borneo, Sarawak, Uganda
United Kingdom . . .	1 Oct 1962	

NOTES:

1/ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "shall also apply to Land Berlin, as from the date on which the Convention enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, the German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones referred to in note 2 in chapter III.3.

Upon accession, the Government of the German Democratic Republic made the following declaration:

With regard to the application of the Convention to Berlin (West) the German Democratic Republic states that according to the Quadripartite Agreement between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the

French Republic of 3 September 1971 Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and may not be governed by it. Consequently, the statement of the Federal Republic of Germany according to which this Convention was also applicable to the "Land Berlin" is in contradiction with the Quadripartite Agreement.

Concerning the declaration by the German Democratic Republic, the Secretary-General received on 22 February 1978 the following declaration from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that the declaration by the German Democratic Republic of 15 March 1977 concerning its accession to the European Convention of 9 December 1960 on Customs Treatment of Pallets used in International Transport cannot by itself have the effect of establishing contractual relations between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic.

2/ On 16 June 1975, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein, so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

15. CUSTOMS CONVENTION ON CONTAINERS, 1972

Concluded at Geneva on 2 December 1972

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 6 December 1975, in accordance with article 19.  
 REGISTRATION: 6 December 1975, No. 14449.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 988, p. 43 and depositary notification C.N.358.1981.TREATIES-1 of 8 December 1981 (amendments to annexes 4 and 6); C.N.128.1984.TREATIES-1 of 18 June 1984 (amendments to annexes 1, 5, 6 and 7) and C.N.269.1985.TREATIES-2 of 8 November 1985 (amendments to annex 6).<sup>1</sup>

Note: The Convention was adopted by the United Nations/IMCO Conference on Containers Traffic, held at Geneva from 13 November to 2 December 1972. The Conference was convened in pursuance of a decision taken by the Economic and Social Council on 22 May 1970<sup>2</sup> and Council resolutions 1568 (L)<sup>3</sup> and 1725 (LIII)<sup>4</sup>. The Conference adopted a Final Act containing, inter alia, the texts of eight resolutions (see doc. E/CONF.59/44). The Convention was open for signature until 15 January 1973 at the Office of the United Nations at Geneva and subsequently from 1 February 1973 until 31 December 1973 inclusive at the Headquarters of the United Nations at New York.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, approval (A), acceptance (AA), accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, approval (A), acceptance (AA), accession (a)</u>
Algeria . . . . .		14 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>	New Zealand . . . . .		20 Dec 1974 <u>a</u> <sup>5</sup>
Australia . . . . .		10 Nov 1975 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .	20 Dec 1972	29 Apr 1982
Austria . . . . .	22 May 1973	17 Jun 1977	Republic of Korea . . . . .	15 Jan 1973	19 Oct 1984
Bulgaria . . . . .	12 Jan 1973	22 Feb 1977	Romania . . . . .	11 Dec 1973	6 Mar 1975
Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic . . . . .	22 Oct 1973	1 Sep 1976	Spain . . . . .		16 Apr 1975 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .	5 Dec 1972	10 Dec 1975	Switzerland . . . . .	5 Dec 1972	12 Oct 1976 <sup>6</sup>
China . . . . .		22 Jan 1986 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .	15 Dec 1972	
Cuba . . . . .		23 Nov 1984 <u>a</u>	Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic . . . . .	22 Oct 1973	1 Sep 1976
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	27 Dec 1973	4 Sep 1974 <u>a</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	18 Oct 1973	23 Aug 1976
Finland . . . . .	26 Dec 1973	22 Feb 1983 <u>AA</u>	United States of America . . . . .	5 Dec 1972	12 Nov 1984
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		4 Oct 1974 <u>a</u>			
Greece . . . . .	11 Jan 1973				
Hungary . . . . .	10 Jan 1973	12 Dec 1973			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, approval, acceptance or accession.)

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST  
REPUBLIC

CUBA

Upon signature and upon ratification:

The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the provisions of article 18 of the Customs Convention on Containers, 1972, which bar certain States from participation in it, are contrary to the universally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States.

As to the provisions of article 25 regarding the settlement by arbitration of disputes concerning the interpretation and application of the Convention, the Government of the Byelorussian SSR declares that the adoption of this provision should not be interpreted as changing the view of the Government of the Byelorussian SSR that a dispute may be referred to an arbitration tribunal for consideration only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

Declaration

The Government of the Republic of Cuba considers that the provisions of article 18 of the Convention are of a discriminatory nature since they deprive certain States of the right to sign and accede to the Convention, contrary to the principle of universality.

With reference to the rules set forth in article 25 of the Convention, the Government of the Republic of Cuba considers that differences arising between Parties should be resolved through direct negotiations by diplomatic means.

(Upon a request from the Secretary-General for clarification as to whether the declaration to article 25 was deemed to modify the legal effects of that article, the Government of Cuba replied that the declaration did not constitute a reservation.)

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Upon signature and confirmed upon approval:

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic considers that the provisions of article 18 of the Customs Convention on Containers, 1972, done at Geneva on 2 December 1972, which bar certain States from participation in it, are contrary to the universally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic considers it necessary to point out that article 18 deprives some States of the possibility to become parties to this Convention.

The Convention regulates questions affecting the interests of all States; therefore it must be open for all States which are guided in their policies by the principles and purposes of the United Nations Charter to become parties to it.

With regard to the provisions of article 25 of the Customs Convention on Containers, 1972, dealing with the settlement of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention by arbitration, the German Democratic Republic declares that the acceptance of this provision should not be interpreted in such a way as if the view of the German Democratic Republic changed its position that a dispute may be referred to an arbitration tribunal for consideration only with the consent of all parties to the dispute.

The abbreviated state designation on the registration plates required by the Convention corresponds with the distinguishing sign used to indicate the state of registration of motor vehicles and reads "DDR". The competent authority in the German Democratic Republic for all questions in connexion with this Convention is the Customs Administration of the German Democratic Republic.

ROMANIA

Upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Government of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of article 18 of the Customs Convention on Containers, 1972, concluded at Geneva on 2 December 1972, are not in accordance with the principle that multilateral treaties, the aims and objectives of which concern the world community as a whole, should be open to participation by all States.

SPAIN

Reservation to article 9:

Concerning containers granted temporary admission for the carriage of goods in internal traffic, . . . such admission will not be granted in Spain.

SWITZERLAND<sup>6</sup>

(a) Switzerland shall grant temporary admission to containers, in accordance with the procedure laid down in article 6 of the Convention;

(b) The use of containers which have been admitted temporarily for internal traffic, as provided for in article 9 of the Convention shall be authorized subject to the two conditions laid down in annex 3 to the Convention.

TURKEY

Upon signature:

With reservations to paragraphs 3 and 4 of article 19.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Upon signature and upon ratification:

The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the provisions of article 18 of the Customs Convention on Containers, 1972, which bar certain States from participation in it, are contrary to the universally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States.

As to the provisions of article 25 regarding the settlement by arbitration of disputes concerning the interpretation and application of the Convention, the Government of the Ukrainian SSR declares that the adoption of this provision should not be interpreted as changing the view of the Government of the Ukrainian SSR that a dispute may be referred to an arbitration tribunal for consideration only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Upon signature and upon ratification:

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that the provisions of article 18 of the Customs Convention on Containers, 1972, which bar certain States from participation in it, are contrary to the universally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States.

As to the provisions of article 25 regarding the settlement by arbitration of disputes concerning the interpretation and application of the Convention, the Government of the USSR declares that the adoption of this provision should not be interpreted as changing the view of the Government of the USSR that a dispute may be referred to an arbitration tribunal for consideration only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

NOTES.

1/ Amendments proposed by the Customs Cooperation Council to annexes 4 and 6 of the Convention were deemed to have been accepted in the absence of objection within a period of twelve months from the date (8 December 1981) of their

circulation by the Secretary-General. The amendments entered into force three months later, that is to say, on 8 March 1983, in accordance with article 22.

On 18 June 1984, the Secretary-General circulated amendments proposed by the Customs Cooperation Council to annexes 1, 5, 6 and 7. Those



amendments were deemed to have been accepted in the absence of objection within 12 months from the date of circulation of the amendments by the Secretary-General. The amendments entered into force three months later, that is to say, on 18 September 1985, in accordance with articles 21 and 22.

Amendments proposed by the Customs Cooperation Council to annexe 6 were deemed accepted in the absence, within a period of twelve months from the date (8 November 1985) of their circulation by the Secretary-General, of the number of objections required for the rejection of the amendments. The amendments will enter into force on 1 January 1988 (for all the Contracting Parties, except the United States of America and Canada which had objected to the proposed amendments), as decided by the Administrative Committee in accordance with article 22.

2/ Official Records of the Economic and

Social Council, Resumed Forty-eighth Session, Supplement No. 1A, (E/4832/Add.1), p.15.

3/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Fiftieth Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/5044), p. 3.

4/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Fifty-third Session, Supplement No. 1, (E/5209), p. 5.

5/ With the following declaration: ". . . Accession to the Convention shall not extend to the Cook Islands, Niue and the Tokelau Islands".

6/ With the declaration by which the ratification "shall also apply to the principality of Liechtenstein for as long as the latter is bound to the Swiss Confederation by a customs union treaty."

16. CUSTOMS CONVENTION ON THE INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT OF GOODS UNDER COVER OF TIR CARNETS (TIR CONVENTION)

Concluded at Geneva on 14 November 1975

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 March 1978, in accordance with article 53 (1).  
 REGISTRATION: 20 March 1978, No. 16510.  
 TEXT: ECE/TRANS/17, depositary notifications C.N.372.1977.TREATIES-7, dated 2 February 1978 (procès-verbal of rectification of the authentic English, French and Russian texts established by the Secretary-General on 29 November 1977), C.N.306.1978.TREATIES-8, dated 22 December 1978 (amendments to annexes 2 and 6), C.N.199.1980.TREATIES-4 (amendments to annexes 1 and 6), C.N.353.1980.TREATIES-6 dated 8 December 1980, C.N.51.1982.TREATIES-2 dated 15 March 1982, C.N.376.1983.TREATIES-3 dated 19 December 1983; C.N.280.1984.TREATIES-5 of 21 November 1984 (amendments to annex 6) and C.N.328.1985.TREATIES-4 of 3 February 1986 (amendments to annexes 1, 2 and 6).1

Note: The Convention was adopted by a revising Conference convened in accordance with article 46 of the TIR Convention of 15 January 1959 (see chapter XI.A-13). In accordance with its article 52(2), it was opened for signature from 1 January 1976 until 31 December 1976 inclusive at the United Nations Office at Geneva.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession(a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession(a)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .		23 Sep 1982 <u>a</u>	Italy . . . . .	28 Dec 1976	20 Dec 1982
Albania . . . . .		4 Jan 1985 <u>a</u>	Jordan . . . . .		24 Déc 1985 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .	27 Apr 1976	13 May 1977	Kuwait . . . . .		23 Nov 1983 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .	22 Dec 1976	20 Dec 1982	Liechtenstein <sup>4</sup> . .		
Bulgaria . . . . .		20 Oct 1977 <u>a</u>	Luxembourg . . . .	23 Dec 1976	20 Dec 1982
Canada . . . . .		21 Oct 1980 <u>a</u>	Malta . . . . .		18 Feb 1977 <u>a</u>
Chile . . . . .		6 Oct 1982 <u>a</u>	Morocco . . . . .	15 Oct 1976	31 Mar 1983
Czechoslovakia . .		25 Feb 1981 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . .	28 Dec 1976	20 Dec 1982 <u>A</u> <sup>5</sup>
Cyprus . . . . .		7 Aug 1981 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		11 Jan 1980 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	21 Dec 1976	20 Dec 1982 <sup>2</sup>	Poland . . . . .		23 Dec 1980 <u>a</u>
European Economic Community . . . .	30 Dec 1976	20 Dec 1982 <u>AA</u>	Portugal . . . . .		13 Feb 1979 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .	28 Dec 1976	27 Feb 1978	Republic of Korea . .		29 Jan 1982 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .		30 Dec 1976 <u>s</u>	Romania . . . . .		14 Feb 1980 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		21 Jul 1978 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		11 Aug 1982 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	30 Dec 1976	20 Dec 1982 <sup>3</sup>	Sweden . . . . .		17 Dec 1976 <u>s</u>
Greece . . . . .	30 Dec 1976	15 May 1980	Switzerland . . . .	4 Aug 1976	3 Feb 1978 <sup>4</sup>
Hungary . . . . .	23 Nov 1976	9 Mar 1978	Tunisia . . . . .	11 Jun 1976	13 Oct 1977
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .		16 Aug 1984 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .		12 Nov 1984 <u>a</u>
Ireland . . . . .	30 Dec 1976	20 Dec 1982	United Kingdom . . .	22 Dec 1976	8 Oct 1982
Israël . . . . .		14 Feb 1984 <u>a</u>	USSR . . . . .		8 Jun 1982 <u>a</u>
			United States of America . . . .		18 Sep 1981 <u>a</u>
			Uruguay . . . . .		24 Dec 1980 <u>a</u>
			Yugoslavia . . . . .	28 Apr 1976	20 Sep 1977

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature, ratification, acceptance, approval or accession. For objections thereto and territorial applications, see hereinafter.)

AFGHANISTAN

Pursuant to article 58(1), [...] Aghanistan will not be bound by the provisions of article 57, paragraphs 2 to 6, of the Convention.

ALBANIA

The Council of Ministers of the Socialist

People's Republic of Albania does not consider itself bound by article 57, paragraphs 2, 3, 4 and 6, of the Convention, which provide for recourse to compulsory arbitration for the interpretation and application of the Convention, and declares that in order for a dispute to be submitted to arbitration the agreement of all the parties to the dispute is necessary in each case.

BULGARIA

Reservation:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by article 57, paragraphs 2 to 6, concerning arbitration. The People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that a dispute can be referred to a court of arbitration only with the consent of all parties to the dispute.

Declarations:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that article 52, paragraph 1, which restricts the participation by a certain number of States in the Convention, is in contradiction with the generally accepted principle of sovereign equality of States.

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares also that the possibility envisaged in article 52, paragraph 3, for customs or economic unions to become Contracting Parties to the Convention, does not bind Bulgaria with any obligations whatsoever with respect to these unions.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Reservation:

Acceding to this Convention, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic, in conformity with article 58 of the Convention, does not feel itself bound by paragraphs 2 to 6 of article 57 of the Convention.

Declaration:

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares that the provision of paragraph 1 of article 52 of the Convention is at variance with the principle that no State should be deprived of the possibility to become a party to multilateral international treaties."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by article 57, paragraphs 2 to 6, of this Convention, which provide that a dispute concerning the interpretation and application of the Convention which could not be settled by negotiation shall, at the request of one of the parties to the dispute, be referred to an arbitration tribunal.

The German Democratic Republic takes the view that in every single case the consent of all Contracting Parties involved in a dispute shall be required to refer any particular dispute to an arbitration tribunal.

Declarations:

The German Democratic Republic considers that the provisions of article 52, paragraph 1 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to Conventions affecting the interests of all States.

The German Democratic Republic declares that the possibilities for customs or economic unions to become Contracting Parties to this Convention, as provided for in article 52, paragraph 3 of the Convention, shall not impose upon it any obligations towards such unions

HUNGARY

Reservation:

"The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions on compulsory arbitration contained in article 57 of the Convention."

Declaration:

"The Hungarian People's Republic draws attention to the fact that the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 52 of the Convention are at variance with the fundamental principles of international law. It follows from the generally accepted principle of sovereign equality of States that the Convention should be open for adherence by all States without any discrimination and restriction."

KUWAIT

Reservation:

Excluding the application of article 57 (2) to (6).

Understanding:

It is understood that the accession by the State of Kuwait to the Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets concluded at Geneva on 14 November 1975 does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the State of Kuwait. Furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the State of Kuwait and Israel.<sup>6</sup>

POLAND

Reservation:

The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself to be bound by the provisions of article 57, paragraphs 2 to 6, of the Convention

Declaration:

The Polish People's Republic declares that the provisions of article 52, paragraph 3, of the Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets (TIR Convention), concluded at Geneva on 14 November 1975, under which customs or economic unions may become Contracting Parties to that Convention, does not in any way alter the position of the Government of the Polish People's Republic with regard to the international organizations in question.

ROMANIA

Reservation:

The Socialist Republic of Romania brings to knowledge that according to the provisions of paragraph 1, article 58 of the Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under cover of TIR Carnets (TIR Convention), concluded at Geneva, on November 14, 1975, it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2-6 of article 57 of this Convention.

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the differences between two or more contracting parties on the interpretation or implementation of the Convention, which had not been settled by negotiations or in any other way, could be submitted to arbitration only with the

consent of all parties in dispute, in each individual case.

Declaration:

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of article 52, paragraph 1 of the Convention do not concur with the principles according to which the international multilateral treaties, whose object and aim interest the international community in its entirety, should be opened to the universal participation.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST  
REPUBLICS

(a) Declaration in respect of article 52, paragraph 1:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that the provision of article 52, paragraph 1, of the 1975 Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets (TIR Convention), which restricts the participation of certain States in the Convention, is contrary to the generally

recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States.

(b) Declaration in respect of article 52, paragraph 3:

The participation of customs or economic unions in the 1975 Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets (TIR Convention) does not change the Soviet Union's position regarding different international organizations;

(c) Reservation in respect of article 57, paragraphs 2 to 6:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 57, paragraphs 2 to 6, of the 1975 Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR carnets (TIR Convention), which provide for the submission of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention to a court of arbitration at the request of one of the Parties in dispute, and declares that the agreement of all the Parties in dispute is required in each particular case for the submission of the dispute to a court of arbitration.

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon definitive signature, ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.)

BELGIUM, DENMARK, FRANCE, THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY, IRELAND, ITALY, LUXEMBOURG, THE NETHERLANDS AND THE UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND AND THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITY

It shall also be recalled that the TIR Convention prohibits any reservation on the Convention, with the exception of reservations to the provisions contained in article 57 paragraphs (2) to (6) thereof on the compulsory settlement of disputes arising from the interpretation or application of the Convention. The statement made by Bulgaria concerning article 52(3) has the appearance of a reservation to that provision, although such reservation is expressly prohibited by the Convention.

The Community and the Member States therefore consider that under no circumstances can this statement be invoked against them and they regard it as entirely void.

In respect of the declaration made by Bulgaria:

16 August 1978

On behalf of the Member States of the European Economic Community and of the Community itself, of the reaction on the Community side to this statement by the People's Republic of Bulgaria. It should be recalled that the conference which took place in Geneva, from 8 to 14 November 1975 under the auspices of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe for the purpose of revising the TIR Convention decided that customs or economic unions might become contracting parties to the Convention at the same time as all their Member States or at any time after all their Member States had become contracting parties to the Convention.

In accordance with this provision as contained in article 52(3) of the Convention the European Economic Community, which participated in the above-mentioned conference, signed the Convention on 30 December 1976.

In respect of the declaration made by the German Democratic Republic:

[Same objection, mutatis mutandis, as the one made by Belgium, Denmark, France, the Federal Republic of Germany, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and the European Economic Community with respect of the declaration made by Bulgaria]

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	8 Oct 1982	Bailiwick of Guernsey, Bailiwick of Jersey, Gibraltar and Isle of Man

NOTE:

1/ Amendments to the annexes were adopted as follows.

<u>Annexes</u>	<u>Author of the proposal</u>	<u>Date of circulation</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
2,6 1,6	Sweden Germany, Federal	22 Dec 1978	1 Aug 1979
	Republic of	7 Jan 1980	1 Oct 1980
6	France	8 Dec 1980	1 Oct 1981
6	France	15 Mar 1982	1 Oct 1982
6	Czechoslovakia	19 Dec 1983	1 Aug 1984
6	United Kingdom	21 Nov 1984	1 Aug 1985
1	European Economic Community		
		3 Feb 1986	1 Aug 1986
2	Sweden and Federal Republic of Germany	3 Feb 1986	1 Aug 1986
6	Federal Republic of Germany	3 Feb 1986	1 Aug 1986

2/ The ratification does not extend to the Faeroe Islands.

3/ With a declaration that the Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

4/ On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention will apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein, so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

5/ For the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles.

6/ On 9 January 1984, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Israel, the following communication:

"The Government of the State of Israel has noted that the instrument by Kuwait contains a declaration of political character in respect of Israel. In the view of the Government of the State of Israel this Convention is not the place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, the said declaration cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon the Government of the State of Kuwait under general international law or under specific Conventions.

The Government of the State of Israel will, in regard to the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of the State of Kuwait an attitude of complete reciprocity."

17. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE HARMONIZATION OF FRONTIER CONTROLS OF GOODS

Concluded at Geneva on 21 October 1982

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 October 1985, in accordance with article 17 (1).  
 REGISTRATION: 15 October 1985  
 TEXT: ECE/TRANS/55 and depositary notification C.N.81.1984.TREATIES-3 of 4 May 1984  
 (procès-verbal of rectification of French authentic text)<sup>1</sup>

Note: The Convention was drawn up within the framework of the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe and opened for signature at Geneva from 1 April 1983 to 31 March 1984.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Belgium . . . . .	31 Jan 1984		Liechtenstein <sup>2</sup>		21 Jan 1986
Denmark . . . . .	1 Feb 1984		Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Feb 1984	
European Economic Community . . . . .	1 Feb 1984		Netherlands . . . . .	1 Feb 1984	
Finland . . . . .		8 Aug 1985 a	Norway . . . . .		10 Jul 1985 a
France . . . . .	1 Feb 1984		Spain . . . . .		2 Jul 1984 a
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	1 Feb 1984		Sweden . . . . .		15 Jul 1985 a
Greece . . . . .	1 Feb 1984		Switzerland . . . . .	25 Jan 1984	21 Jan 1986
Hungary . . . . .	21 Dec 1983	26 Jan 1984 AA	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		28 Jan 1986 a
Ireland . . . . .	1 Feb 1984		United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Feb 1984	
Italy . . . . .	1 Feb 1984		Yugoslavia . . . . .	29 Mar 1984	2 Jul 1985

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance or approval.)

HUNGARY

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon approval:

"The Government of the Hungarian's People's Republic. . . does not consider itself bound by Article 20, paragraphs 2 to 7, of this Convention "

SWITZERLAND

Upon ratification:

The Government of Switzerland declared that it accepts resolution No 230 adopted by the Inland Transport Committee on 4 February 1983, concerning Technical Assistance Measures for the Implementation of the Convention

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation:

Regarding article 20, paragraphs 2 to 7:  
 The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by article 20, paragraphs 2 to 7, of the International Convention on the Harmonization of Frontier Controls of Goods concerning the settlement of disputes;

Declaration:

Regarding article 16:  
 The participation in the International Convention on the Harmonization of Frontier Controls of Goods of regional economic integration organizations constituted by sovereign States does not alter the position of the Soviet Union with regard to such international organizations

NOTES:

1/ The rectification was proposed by the Secretary-General on 19 January 1984. It was effected on 18 April 1984 in the absence of objection

2/ On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention will apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

XI.B-1: Road traffic--1949 Convention

B. ROAD TRAFFIC

1. CONVENTION ON ROAD TRAFFIC

Signed at Geneva on 19 September 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 26 March 1952, in accordance with article 29 [Note: Article 48 of the Convention on Road Traffic, 1968 (see chapter XI.B-19), provides that the latter Convention, upon its entry into force, shall terminate and replace, in relations between the Contracting Parties thereto, the present Convention. The said Convention of 1968 came into force on 21 May 1977.].

REGISTRATION: 26 March 1952, No. 1671.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 125, p. 3.1

Note: The Convention was prepared and opened for signature by the United Nations Conference on Road and Motor Transport held at Geneva from 23 August to 19 September 1949. It was convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations pursuant to resolution 147 B (VII)<sup>2</sup> of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations, adopted on 28 August 1948. The Conference also prepared and opened for signature the Protocol concerning countries or territories at present occupied and the Protocol on Road Signs and Signals and reached certain other decisions which are recorded in the Final Act of the Conference. For the text of the said Final Act see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 125, p. 3.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Albania . . . . .		1 Oct 1969 <u>a</u>	Jamaica . . . . .		9 Aug 1963 <u>d</u>
Algeria . . . . .		16 May 1963 <u>a</u>	Japan . . . . .		7 Aug 1964 <u>a</u>
Argentina . . . . .		25 Nov 1960 <u>a</u>	Jordan . . . . .		14 Jan 1960 <u>a</u>
Australia . . . . .		7 Dec 1954 <u>a</u>	Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		6 Mar 1959 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	2 Nov 1955 <u>a</u>	Lebanon . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	2 Aug 1963
Bangladesh . . . . .		6 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>	Lesotho . . . . .		27 Sep 1973 <u>a</u>
Barbados . . . . .		5 Mar 1971 <u>d</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	17 Oct 1952
Belgium . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	23 Apr 1954 <u>a</u>	Madagascar . . . . .		27 Jun 1962 <u>d</u>
Benin . . . . .		5 Dec 1961 <u>d</u>	Malawi . . . . .		17 Feb 1965 <u>d</u>
Botswana . . . . .		3 Jan 1967 <u>a</u>	Malaysia . . . . .		10 Sep 1958 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .		13 Feb 1963 <u>a</u>	Mali . . . . .		19 Nov 1962 <u>d</u>
Canada . . . . .		23 Dec 1965 <u>a</u>	Malta . . . . .		3 Jan 1966 <u>d</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .		4 Sep 1962 <u>d</u>	Monaco . . . . .		3 Aug 1951 <u>a</u>
Chile . . . . .		10 Aug 1960 <u>a</u>	Morocco . . . . .		7 Nov 1956 <u>d</u>
China <sup>3</sup> . . . . .			Netherlands . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	19 Sep 1952
Congo . . . . .		15 May 1962 <u>d</u>	New Zealand . . . . .		12 Feb 1958 <u>a</u>
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		8 Dec 1961 <u>d</u>	Niger . . . . .		25 Aug 1961 <u>d</u>
Cuba . . . . .		1 Oct 1952 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	11 Apr 1957
Cyprus . . . . .		6 Jul 1962 <u>d</u>	Papua New Guinea		12 Feb 1981 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	28 Dec 1949	3 Nov 1950	Paraguay . . . . .		18 Oct 1965 <u>a</u>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		14 Mar 1956 <u>a</u>	Peru . . . . .		9 Jul 1957 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	3 Feb 1956	Philippines . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	15 Sep 1952
Dominican Republic	19 Sep 1949	15 Aug 1957	Poland . . . . .		29 Oct 1958 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .		26 Sep 1962 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .		28 Dec 1955 <u>a</u>
Egypt . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	28 May 1957	Republic of Korea <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		14 Jun 1971 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		31 Oct 1972 <u>d</u>	[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>5</sup> . . . . .		2 Nov 1953 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		24 Sep 1958 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .		26 Jan 1961 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	15 Sep 1950	Rwanda . . . . .		5 Aug 1964 <u>d</u>
Ghana . . . . .		6 Jan 1959 <u>a</u>	San Marino . . . . .		19 Mar 1962 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .		1 Jul 1952 <u>a</u>	Senegal . . . . .		13 Jul 1962 <u>d</u>
Guatemala . . . . .		10 Jan 1962 <u>a</u>	Singapore . . . . .		29 Nov 1972 <u>d</u>
Haiti . . . . .		12 Feb 1958 <u>a</u>	Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Holy See . . . . .		5 Oct 1953 <u>a</u>	South Africa . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	9 Jul 1952
Hungary . . . . .		30 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		13 Feb 1958 <u>a</u>
Iceland . . . . .		22 Jul 1983 <u>a</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .		26 Jul 1957 <u>a</u>
India . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	9 Mar 1962	Sweden . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	25 Feb 1952
Ireland . . . . .		31 May 1962 <u>a</u>			
Israel . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	6 Jan 1955			
Italy . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	15 Dec 1952			

XI.B-1: Road traffic--1949 Convention

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Switzerland . . .	19 Sep 1949		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . .		17 Aug 1959 <u>a</u>
Syrian Arab Republic . . .		11 Dec 1953 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . .	19 Sep 1949	8 Jul 1957
Thailand . . .		15 Aug 1962 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . .	19 Sep 1949	30 Aug 1950
Togo . . .		27 Feb 1962 <u>d</u>	Venezuela . . .		11 May 1962 <u>a</u>
Trinidad and Tobago . . .		8 Jul 1964 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . .	19 Sep 1949	8 Oct 1956
Tunisia . . .		8 Nov 1957 <u>a</u>	Zaire . . .		6 Mar 1961 <u>d</u>
Turkey . . .		17 Jan 1956 <u>a</u>			
Uganda . . .		15 Apr 1965 <u>a</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession. For territorial applications, see hereinafter.)

ALBANIA

The Government of the People's Republic of Albania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 33 of the Convention, which lays down that disputes between Contracting States concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention may be referred to the International Court of Justice by application from one of the parties to the dispute. The Government of the People's Republic of Albania declares, as it has done hitherto, that in each separate case the agreement of all the parties to the dispute is required for the submission of any dispute for arbitration.

AUSTRALIA

"Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of this Convention, annexes 1 and 2."

AUSTRIA

15 October 1971

". . . Austria will not in future apply annex 1 to the Convention."

BARBADOS

In the notification of succession, the Government of Barbados declared that it wished to maintain the declarations and reservations subject to which the Convention was extended to Barbados by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and which were the same as those made by that Government in its own instrument of ratification.<sup>6</sup>

BOTSWANA

"Excluding annexes 1 and 2."

BULGARIA

With reservations to the following provisions:

(a) Article 33 of the Convention on Road Traffic, which provides that any dispute between any two or more Contracting States concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention, which the Parties are unable to settle by nego-

tiation or by another mode of settlement, may be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision.<sup>7</sup>

(b) Annex 1 to the Convention on Road Traffic, which provides that cycles fitted with an auxiliary internal combustion engine having a maximum cylinder capacity of 50 cm<sup>3</sup>(3.05 cu.in.) shall not be considered as motor vehicles, provided that they retain all the normal characteristics of cycles with respect to their structure.

(c) Section II, paragraph (c) second sentence, of annex 6 to the Convention on Road Traffic, which stipulates: "However, motor cycles with an engine of a maximum cylinder capacity of 50 cm<sup>3</sup>(3.05 cu.in.) may be excluded from this obligation."

CHILE

Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of this Convention, annex 1 from the application of the Convention.

CYPRUS

Reservations:

"(1) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Government of Cyprus reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily in Cyprus, if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward or for the carriage of goods and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would by the domestic legislation of Cyprus be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(2) In connexion with article 26 of the said Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to Cyprus shall, from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary, show only a white light to the front, and to show to the rear a red light or a red reflex reflector in accordance with the domestic legislation of Cyprus."

Declarations:

"(1) In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of Cyprus excludes annexes 1 and 2 from its application of the Convention.

"(2) In accordance with section IV (b) of



annex 6 to the Convention, the Government of Cyprus will only permit that one trailer be drawn by a vehicle, it will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer and it will not permit articulated vehicles to be used for transport of passengers for hire or reward."

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of this Convention, annex 2.

DENMARK

Subject to a declaration made in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, excluding annex 1 from its application of the Convention.

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

[The Dominican Republic declares] excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of this Convention, annexes 1 and 2 from the application of the Convention and renewing the reservation concerning paragraph 2 of article 1 of the Convention already made in plenary meeting.

FIJI

In its notification of succession, the Government of Fiji declared that it wished to maintain the declarations and reservations made on behalf of Fiji when the Convention was extended to Fiji by the Government of the United Kingdom on 16 December 1965.<sup>6</sup>

FINLAND

Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of this Convention, annex 1.

With reference to annex 6, section IV (b), the Government of Finland declare that they will permit only one trailer to be drawn by a vehicle and that they will not permit an articulate vehicle to draw a trailer.

FRANCE

With reference to annex 6, section IV (b), the French Government declares that it will only permit that one trailer be drawn by a vehicle and that it will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer.

GHANA

Reservations:

"(i) Cycles in international traffic admitted to Ghana shall from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary show only a white light to the front and show to the rear a red light, a reflex reflector and a white surface with regard to article 26 of the Convention.

"(ii) In accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, annexes 1 and 2 should be excluded "

GUATEMALA

Article 33 of the Convention shall apply without prejudice to the provisions of article 149, item 3, of the Constitution of the Republic.

26 September 1962

In accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 and paragraph IV (b) of Annex 6 of the Convention, respectively, the Government of Guatemala:

1. Excludes annex 1 from its application of the Convention.

2. Will only permit that one trailer be drawn by a vehicle and will not permit articulated vehicles for the transport of passengers.

HUNGARY<sup>7</sup>

"The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 33 of the Convention."

ICELAND

Declaration:

"The Government of Iceland excludes, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1, of the Convention, annex 1 from the application of the Convention."

INDIA

"Subject to a declaration made in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, excluding annexes 1 and 2 from its application of the Convention."

IRELAND

"1. Annexes 1 and 2 are excluded from Ireland's application of the Convention.

"2. In relation to annex 6, the number of trailers drawn by a mechanically propelled vehicle may not exceed that permitted under Irish legislation."

ISRAEL

"Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of this Convention, annex 1."

JAMAICA

"(a) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Government of Jamaica reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily in Jamaica, if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward or for the carriage of goods and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by the domestic legislation of Jamaica, be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(b) In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the said Convention, annexes 1 and 2 shall be excluded from Jamaica's application of the Convention.

"(c) In accordance with the provisions of paragraph (b) of section IV of annex 6 to the said Convention, the Jamaica Government will per-

mit only one trailer to be drawn by a vehicle, will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer and will not permit articulated vehicles to be used for the transport of passengers for hire or reward."

JAPAN

"Subject to a declaration made in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, excluding annex 1 from its application of the Convention."

MALAWI

"Excluding annexes 1 and 2 from the application of the Convention."

MALAYSIA

"Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1, of this Convention, annexes 1 and 2."

MALTA

"In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of Malta excludes annex 1 from its application of the Convention."

MONACO

With reference to annex 6, section IV (b), the Government of the Principality of Monaco indicates that it will permit only one trailer to be drawn by a vehicle and that it will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer.

NETHERLANDS

Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of this Convention, annex 2.

NEW ZEALAND

"Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1, of this Convention, annexes 1 and 2."

NORWAY

Subject to a declaration made in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, excluding annex 1 from its application of the Convention.

PAPUA NEW GUINEA

"1) Excluding, in accordance with article 2 paragraph 1 of the Convention, annexes 1 and 2.

2) In connection with article 24 of the Convention, the Government of Papua New Guinea reserves the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily, in Papua New Guinea if:

(i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward, and

(ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by the domestic legislation of Papua New Guinea, be required to have a special vocational licence.

3) In accordance with section IV (b) of annex 6 of the Convention, the Government of Papua New Guinea will only permit that one trailer be drawn by a vehicle. It will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer and it will not permit articulated vehicles to be used for transport of passengers for hire or reward."

PHILIPPINES

"Subject to a declaration made in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, excluding annex 1 from its application of the Convention."

PORTUGAL

In accordance with section IV (b) of annex 6, the Government of Portugal has indicated that it will only permit one trailer to be drawn by a vehicle and that it will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer, and that it will not permit articulated vehicles for the transport of passengers.

ROMANIA<sup>7, 8</sup>

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 33, under which any dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention may be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision by application from any of the States concerned. The position of the Romanian People's Republic is that the agreement of all the parties in dispute is required in each case for the submission of any dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

SAN MARINO

Excluding, in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2, annex 1.

SENEGAL

Excluding, in accordance with article 2, paragraph 1 of the Convention, annex 1.

SINGAPORE

The Government of Singapore does not wish to maintain the reservation made by the Government of the United Kingdom at the time of notification of territorial application of the Convention to Singapore.

SIERRA LEONE

Reservations:

"(1) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Government of Sierra Leone reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily in Sierra Leone if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward, and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by the domestic legislation of Sierra Leone, be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(2) In connexion with article 26 of the Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to Sierra Leone shall, from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary, show only a white light to the front and show to the rear a red light in accordance with the domestic legislation of the territory."

Declarations:

"(1) In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of Sierra Leone excludes annexes 1 and 2 from its application of the Convention.

"(2) In accordance with section IV (b) of annex 6 to the Convention, the Government of Sierra Leone will only permit that one trailer be drawn by a vehicle, it will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer and it will not permit articulated vehicles to be used for transport of passenger for hire or reward."

SOUTH AFRICA

"Subject to a declaration made in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, excluding annexes 1 and 2 from its application of the Convention."

SWEDEN

"Subject to a declaration made in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 2 of this Convention, excluding annex 1 from its application of the Convention."

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

"Subject to the exclusion of annexes 1 and 2."

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS<sup>7, 9</sup>

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 33 of the Convention on Road Traffic, which lays down that disputes between Contracting States concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention may be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision by application from any of the States concerned, and declares that the agreement of all the States in dispute is required in each separate case for the submission of any dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"Subject to the following reservations:

"(1) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Government of the United Kingdom

of Great Britain and Northern Ireland reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one brought into and only temporarily in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward or for the carriage of goods and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by the domestic legislation of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(2) In connexion with article 26 of the said Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, shall, from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary, show only a white light to the front, and show to the rear a red light and a red reflex reflector in accordance with the domestic legislation of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.<sup>10</sup>

"(3) The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland reserve the right, in applying the said Convention to any of the other territories for whose international relations they are responsible, to apply it subject to reservations similar to those set out above.

"Furthermore, the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland declare:

"(1) That, in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the said Convention, they exclude annexes 1 and 2 from their application of the Convention.

"(2) In accordance with section IV (b) of annex 6 to the said Convention, they will only permit that one trailer be drawn by a vehicle, that they will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer and that they will not permit articulated vehicles to be used for the transport of passengers for hire or reward."

VENEZUELA<sup>7, 11</sup>

Article 31:

Amendments to the Convention shall not enter into force with respect to the Republic of Venezuela until the relevant constitutional requirements have been complied with.

Article 33:

The Republic shall be bound by the terms of Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice. That is to say, no case may be submitted to the International Court of Justice except by agreement between the Parties.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . .	3 May 1961	Papua and Trust Territory of New Guinea
Belgium . . . . .	23 Apr 1954	Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi

Territorial Application (cont'd)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
France . . . . .	29 Oct 1952	French Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia, all French Overseas Territories and Togoland and the Cameroons under French Mandate
	19 Jan 1953	Principality of Andorra
Japan . . . . .	12 Jun 1972	Okinawa <sup>12</sup>
Netherlands . . . . .	14 Jan 1955	Surinam and the Netherlands New Guinea
	9 May 1957	The Netherlands Antilles
New Zealand . . . . .	29 Nov 1961	Trust Territory of Western Samoa
Portugal . . . . .	19 Jan 1956	All Overseas Provinces--excluding Macau
South Africa . . . . .	9 Jul 1952	South West Africa
Spain . . . . .	13 Feb 1958	African localities and provinces
United Kingdom . . . . .	22 Jan 1958	The Isle of Man
	28 May 1958	Bailiwick of Guernsey and the States of Jersey
	27 Aug 1958	Aden Colony, British Guiana, Seychelles, Cyprus, Gibraltar, British Honduras and Uganda
	5 Mar 1959	Jamaica <sup>13</sup> , St. Lucia and Trinidad
	25 Mar 1959	Gambia
	13 May 1959	Mauritius and Singapore
	23 Nov 1959	Malta
	8 Feb 1960	Zanzibar
	25 Mar 1960	Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland <sup>14</sup>
	22 Apr 1960	St. Vincent, North Borneo and Sierra Leone
	27 Sep 1960	Barbados
	12 Jan 1961	Hong Kong
	3 Aug 1961	Bahamas
	14 Jul 1965	Swaziland and Grenada
	16 Dec 1965	Fiji
United States of America . . . . .	30 Aug 1950	All the territories for the international relations of which the United States of America is responsible

Declarations and reservations made on notification of territorial application

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

NETHERLANDS

Netherlands New Guinea

Excluding annexes 1 and 2.

Netherlands Antilles

Excluding annexes 1 and 2.

NEW ZEELAND

Trust Territory of Western Samoa

"Excluding annexes 1 and 2."

PORTUGAL

Portuguese Overseas Provinces (excluding Macau)

Subject to the declaration made on accession by the Government of Portugal.<sup>15</sup>

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Isle of Man

The Convention is applied to the Isle of Man subject to declarations and reservations the terms of which are identical to those of the United Kingdom set out under Nos. 1 and 2 above.

Bailiwick of Guernsey

The declarations made by the Insular Authorities of the Bailiwick of Guernsey are identical to those made by the United Kingdom upon signature and on deposit of its instrument of ratification.

Reservations:

"(1) The provisions of the said Convention concerning motor vehicles shall not apply in the Island of Sark, in which Island the use of motor vehicles, except motor tractors for use for certain limited purposes, is prohibited.

"(2) In connexion with article 24 of the said Convention, the Insular Authorities of the Bailiwick of Guernsey reserve the right not to permit a person to drive a vehicle, other than one

brought into and only temporarily in the Bailiwick if (i) the vehicle is used for the carriage of persons for hire or reward and (ii) the driver of such vehicle would, by domestic legislation of the Bailiwick, be required to have a special vocational licence.

"(3) In connexion with article 26 of the said Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to the Bailiwick of Guernsey shall, from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary, show only a white light to the front and show to the rear a red reflex reflector, in accordance with the domestic legislation of the Bailiwick."

States of Jersey

The declarations made by the States of Jersey are identical to those made by the United Kingdom upon signature and on deposit of its instrument of ratification

Reservations:

[Same, mutatis mutandis, as those made for the Bailiwick of Guernsey, under Nos. 2 and 3.]

Aden Colony, British Guiana, and Seychelles

The declarations made by the Governments of Aden Colony, British Guiana and Seychelles are identical to those made by the United Kingdom upon signature and on deposit of its instrument of ratification.

Reservations:

[Same, mutatis mutandis, as those made for the Bailiwick of Guernsey, under Nos. 2 and 3.]

Cyprus<sup>16</sup>

[With the same declarations and reservations as those made on behalf of the Governments of Aden Colony, British Guiana, and Seychelles, see above.]

Gibraltar

The declarations made by the Government of Gibraltar are identical to those made by the United Kingdom upon signature and on deposit of its instrument of ratification.

Reservation:

[Same, mutatis mutandis, as those made for the Bailiwick of Guernsey, under No. 2.]

British Honduras

Reservations:

[Same, mutatis mutandis, as those made for the Bailiwick of Guernsey, under Nos. 2 and 3.]

Uganda

Reservation:

[Same, mutatis mutandis, as those made for the Bailiwick of Guernsey, under No. 2.]

Jamaica<sup>16</sup>

Reservation:

[Same, mutatis mutandis, as those made for the Bailiwick of Guernsey, under No. 2.]

St. Lucia and Trinidad<sup>16</sup>

The declarations made by the Governments of St. Lucia and Trinidad are identical to those made by the United Kingdom upon signature and on deposit of its instrument of ratification.

Reservations:

[Same, mutatis mutandis, as those made for the Bailiwick of Guernsey, under Nos. 2 and 3.]

Mauritius

"In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of Mauritius excludes annex 2 from its application of the Convention

Reservations:

"(1) In accordance with the provisions of paragraph (b) of section IV of annex 6, the Government of Mauritius will only permit that one trailer be drawn by a vehicle, will not permit an articulated vehicle to draw a trailer or that articulated vehicles shall be used for the transport of passengers for hire or reward.

"(2) The Government of Mauritius reserves the right not to apply the provisions of paragraph 1 of annex 8 of the said Convention whereby the minimum age for driving a motor vehicle under the conditions set out in article 24 of the Convention shall be eighteen years."

Singapore<sup>16</sup>

"In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of Singapore excludes annexes 1 and 2 from its application of the Convention."

Malta<sup>16</sup>

"In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of Malta excludes annex 1 from its application of the Convention "

Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland<sup>14</sup>

"In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of the Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland exclude annexes 1 and 2 from their application of the Convention."

St. Vincent

The declarations made by the Government of St. Vincent are identical to those made by the United Kingdom upon signature and on deposit of its instrument of ratification

Reservations:

[Same, mutatis mutandis, as those made for the Bailiwick of Guernsey, under Nos. 2 and 3.]

North Borneo

Reservations:

[Same, mutatis mutandis, as those made for the Bailiwick of Guernsey, under No. 2.]

Sierra Leone<sup>16</sup>

[Same, mutatis mutandis, as those made for St Vincent.]

Barbados<sup>16</sup>

"The declarations and reservations relating to Barbados are the same as those made by the United Kingdom in its instrument of ratification."

Hong Kong

The declarations made by the Government of Hong Kong are identical to those made by the United Kingdom upon signature and on deposit of its instrument of ratification.

Reservations:

"(1) In connexion with article 26 of the said Convention, cycles in international traffic admitted to the territory shall, from nightfall and during the night or whenever atmospheric conditions render it necessary, show only a white light to the front, and show to the rear a red

light and a red reflex reflector in accordance with the domestic legislation of Hong Kong.

"(2) In connexion with paragraph (b) of Section II of Annex 6--Lighting, Hong Kong legislation stipulates that every motor vehicle, other than a motor cycle with or without a sidecar, shall be equipped with direction indicators of one of the types described in that paragraph."

Bahamas

"In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of article 2 of the Convention, the Government of the Bahamas exclude annexes 1 and 2 from their application of the Convention "

Swaziland and Grenada

"Subject to the reservations contained in the United Kingdom instrument of ratification."

Fiji<sup>16</sup>

"Subject to the same reservations and declarations made in respect of the United Kingdom on ratification "

Distinguishing Sign of Vehicles in International Traffic

(Distinctive letters notified to the Secretary-General)

Albania . . . . .	AL	Greece . . . . .	GR
Algeria . . . . .	DZ	Guatemala . . . . .	GCA
Andorra . . . . .	AND	Haiti . . . . .	RH
Argentina . . . . .	RA	Holy See . . . . .	V
Australia . . . . .	AUS	Hungary . . . . .	H
Austria . . . . .	A	Indonesia . . . . .	RI
Bangladesh . . . . .	BD	Iceland . . . . .	IS
Barbados . . . . .	BDS <sup>17</sup>	India . . . . .	IND
Belgium . . . . .	B	Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	IR
Benin . . . . .	DY	Ireland . . . . .	IRL
Botswana . . . . .	RB	Isle of Man . . . . .	GBM
Brazil . . . . .	BR	Israel . . . . .	IL
Bulgaria . . . . .	BG	Italy . . . . .	I
Burma . . . . .	BUR	Jamaica . . . . .	JA
Canada . . . . .	CDN	Japan . . . . .	J
Central African Republic . . . . .	RCA	Jordan . . . . .	HKJ
Chile . . . . .	RCH	Kenya . . . . .	EAK <sup>17</sup>
China <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	RC	Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	LAO
Congo . . . . .	RCB	Lebanon . . . . .	RL
Costa Rica . . . . .	CR	Lesotho . . . . .	LS <sup>17</sup>
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	CI	Luxembourg . . . . .	L
Cyprus . . . . .	CY	Madagascar . . . . .	RM
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	CS	Malawi . . . . .	MW
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	K	Malaysia . . . . .	MAL
Denmark . . . . .	DK	Mali . . . . .	RMM
Faroe Islands . . . . .	FR	Malta . . . . .	M
Dominican Republic . . . . .	DOM	Mauritius . . . . .	MS <sup>17</sup>
Ecuador . . . . .	EC	Mexico . . . . .	MEX
Egypt . . . . .	ET	Monaco . . . . .	MC
Fiji . . . . .	FJI	Morocco . . . . .	MA
Finland . . . . .	SF	Netherlands . . . . .	NL
France (including French overseas territories) . . . . .	F	Surinam . . . . .	SME
Gambia . . . . .	WAG <sup>17</sup>	Netherlands Antilles . . . . .	NA
Ghana . . . . .	GH	New Zealand . . . . .	NZ
		Nicaragua . . . . .	NIC

XI.B-1: Road traffic--1949 Convention

Niger . . . . .	NIG	Tunisia . . . . .	TN
Nigeria . . . . .	WAN <sup>17</sup>	Turkey . . . . .	TR
Norway . . . . .	N	Uganda . . . . .	EAU
Pakistan . . . . .	PAK	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	SU
Papua New Guinea . . . . .	PNG	United Kingdom . . . . .	GB
Paraguay . . . . .	PY	Aden . . . . .	ADN
Peru . . . . .	PE	Alderney . . . . .	GBA
Philippines . . . . .	PI	Bahamas . . . . .	BS
Poland . . . . .	PL	British Honduras . . . . .	BH
Portugal . . . . .	P	Brunei . . . . .	BRU
Republic of Korea . . . . .	ROK	Guernsey . . . . .	GBG
[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	VN	Gibraltar . . . . .	GBZ
Romania . . . . .	R	Isle of Man . . . . .	GBM
Rwanda . . . . .	RWA	Jersey . . . . .	GBJ
Samoa . . . . .	WS <sup>17</sup>	Hong Kong . . . . .	HK
San Marino . . . . .	RSM	Seychelles . . . . .	SY
Senegal . . . . .	SN	Southern Rhodesia . . . . .	RSR
Sierra Leone . . . . .	WAL	Windward Islands . . . . .	
Singapore . . . . .	SGP	Grenada . . . . .	WG
South Africa . . . . .	ZA	St. Lucia . . . . .	WL
Spain (including African localities . . . . .	E	St. Vincent . . . . .	WU
and provinces . . . . .	CL	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	
Sri Lanka . . . . .	SD <sup>17</sup>	Tanganyika . . . . .	EAT <sup>17</sup>
Swaziland . . . . .	S	Zanzibar . . . . .	EAZ <sup>17</sup>
Sweden . . . . .	CH	United States of America . . . . .	USA
Switzerland . . . . .	SYR	Uruguay . . . . .	U
Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	T	Venezuela . . . . .	YU
Thailand . . . . .	TG	Yugoslavia . . . . .	YU
Togo . . . . .	IT	Zaire . . . . .	CGO
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		Zambia . . . . .	RNR <sup>17</sup>

NOTES:

1/ Amendments to the Convention were proposed by the Governments of Austria (communicated by circular letter C.N.221.1962.TREATIES-16 of 8 October 1962) and France (communicated by circular letter C.N.25.1964.TREATIES-2 of 11 March 1964). The proposed amendments were not put into effect since the conditions set forth in article 31 of the Convention were not met.

2/ Resolutions adopted by the Economic and Social Council, during its seventh session (E/1065), p. 8.

3/ Accession on behalf of the Republic of China on 27 June 1957. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1). With reference to the above-mentioned accession, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Poland, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and Yugoslavia on the one hand, and of China on the other hand. For the nature of these communications, see note 2 in chapter VI.14

4/ In communications addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned accession, the Permanent Representatives of the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Bulgaria, Mongolia and Romania stated that their Governments considered the said accession as null and void since the authorities

of South Korea had no right or competence whatsoever to speak on behalf of Korea.

5/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

6/ See under "Declarations and reservations made on notifications of territorial application" in this chapter.

7/ The Government of the United Kingdom has informed the Secretary-General that it is unable to accept this reservation because in its view it is not of the kind which intending parties to the Convention have the right to make.

8/ The Government of the United States of America has informed the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation, but "considers that it may and hereby states that it will apply this reservation reciprocally with respect to Romania".

9/ The Government of the United States of America has informed the Secretary-General that it has no objection to this reservation, but "considers that it may and hereby states that it will apply this reservation reciprocally with respect to the Soviet Union".

The Governments of Greece and of the Netherlands informed the Secretary-General that they do not consider themselves bound by the provisions to which the reservation is made, as far as the Soviet Union is concerned.

10/ At the 1949 United Nations Conference on Road and Motor Transport, the Conference placed on record that there would be no objection to a reservation by the United Kingdom in respect of article 26 of the Convention. In the letter transmitting the instrument of ratification of the Convention, the Permanent Representative of the United Kingdom drew the attention of the Secretary-General to the fact that "... the reservation made in respect of article 26 of the Convention omits the phrase 'and a white surface' between the words 'a red reflex reflector' and the words 'in accordance with the domestic legislation of the United Kingdom,' which were included in the text of the reservation set out in sub-paragraph (d) of paragraph 7 of the Final Act of the United Nations Conference on Road and Motor Transport, 1949. This omission is occasioned by the fact that the white surface requirement has since been repealed by United Kingdom legislation."

11/ The Government of the Republic of Viet-Nam has informed the Secretary-General that it objects to the reservation made to article 33 of the Convention. (See also note 6 in chapter III.3 on this subject.)

12/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 12 June 1972, the Permanent Representative of Japan to the United Nations, upon instructions from his Government, made the following statement:

"Japan has assumed as of May 15, 1972 full responsibility and authority for the exercise of all and any powers of administration, legislation and jurisdiction over "Okinawa" in accordance with the Agreement between Japan and the United States of America concerning the Ryukyu Islands and the Daito Islands signed on June 17, 1971. Under the United States administration, all vehicles were required to keep to the right side of the road in Okinawa. Upon reversion of Okinawa to Japan, the Government of Japan began to take the measures, in conformity with Article 9, paragraph 1 of the Conven-

tion on Road Traffic, necessary for shifting the side to which vehicles are required to keep in Okinawa from the right to the left so that there shall be uniformity with the rest of Japan. It is estimated that it will take at least three years before the changes may be smoothly carried out."

Subsequently, in a communication received on 21 August 1978, the Government of Japan informed the Secretary-General that "the said change was completed as of July 30, 1978, there being now the uniformity in Okinawa with the rest of Japan in conformity with article 9, paragraph 1 of the said Convention".

13/ In a communication received on 11 May 1971, the Government of the United Kingdom informed the Secretary-General of the following:

"At the time of the notification of the extension of this Convention to Jamaica in 1959, the Cayman Islands were a dependency of Jamaica, and the extension of the Convention to Jamaica therefore extended it automatically to the Cayman Islands.

"The Convention continued to apply and still applies to the Cayman Islands, which, when Jamaica became independent remained a territory for whose international relations the United Kingdom is responsible."

14/ See note 21 in chapter U.2.

15/ See under "Declarations and Reservations" in this chapter.

16/ For declarations and reservations made by these territories upon accession or notification of succession after attaining statehood, see under "Declarations and Reservations" in this chapter.

17/ Distinctive letters notified to the Secretary-General, prior to the independence of that country, by the Government responsible for its international relations.



2. PROTOCOL CONCERNING COUNTRIES OR TERRITORIES AT PRESENT OCCUPIED

Signed at Geneva on 19 September 1949<sup>1</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 26 March 1952, at the same time as the Convention.  
 REGISTRATION: 26 March 1952, No. 1671.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 125, p. 3.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Belgium . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	23 Apr 1954	Lebanon . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	
Botswana . . . . .		3 Jan 1967 <sup>a</sup>	Luxembourg . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	17 Oct 1952
Chile . . . . .		10 Aug 1960 <sup>a</sup>	Netherlands . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	
Cuba . . . . .		1 Oct 1952 <sup>a</sup>	Norway . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		14 Mar 1956 <sup>a</sup>	Philippines . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	
Denmark . . . . .	19 Sep 1949		Portugal . . . . .		28 Dec 1955 <sup>a</sup>
Dominican Republic . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	15 Aug 1957	South Africa . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	9 Jul 1952
Egypt . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	28 May 1957	Sweden . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	
France . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	15 Sep 1950	Switzerland . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	
Guatemala . . . . .		10 Jan 1962 <sup>a</sup>	Tunisia . . . . .		8 Nov 1957 <sup>a</sup>
Haiti . . . . .		12 Feb 1958 <sup>a</sup>	Turkey . . . . .		17 Jan 1956 <sup>a</sup>
India . . . . .	19 Sep 1949		Uganda . . . . .		15 Apr 1965 <sup>a</sup>
Italy . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	15 Dec 1952	United Kingdom . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	8 Jul 1957
			United States of America . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	30 Aug 1950

NOTES:

1/ See note at the beginning of chapter XI.B-1.

3. PROTOCOL ON ROAD SIGNS AND SIGNALS

Signed at Geneva on 19 September 1949<sup>1</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 December 1953, in accordance with article 58.  
 REGISTRATION: 20 December 1953, No. 1671.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 182, p. 229, and vol. 514, p. 254 (amendments to the Protocol<sup>2</sup>).

Participant	Signature	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	Participant	Signature	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Austria . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	2 Nov 1955	Luxembourg . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	17 Oct 1952
Belgium . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	23 Apr 1954	Monaco . . . . .		25 Sep 1951 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .		13 Feb 1963 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	19 Sep 1952
Cuba . . . . .		1 Oct 1952 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .		5 Mar 1968 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	28 Dec 1949	3 Nov 1950	Norway . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		14 Mar 1956 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .		29 Oct 1958 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	1 Jul 1959	Portugal . . . . .		15 Feb 1957 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .		15 Aug 1957 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .		26 Jan 1961 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .		26 Sep 1962 <u>a</u>	Rwanda . . . . .		5 Aug 1964 <u>d</u>
Egypt . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	28 May 1957	San Marino . . . . .		19 Mar 1962 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		24 Sep 1958 <u>a</u>	Senegal . . . . .		13 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	18 Aug 1954	Spain . . . . .		13 Feb 1958 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .		1 Jul 1952 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	25 Feb 1952
Haiti . . . . .		12 Feb 1958 <u>a</u>	Switzerland . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	
Holy See . . . . .		1 Oct 1956 <u>a</u>	Thailand . . . . .		15 Aug 1962 <u>a</u>
Hungary . . . . .		30 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>	Tunisia . . . . .		8 Nov 1957 <u>a</u>
India . . . . .	29 Dec 1949		Uganda . . . . .		15 Apr 1965 <u>a</u>
Israel . . . . .	19 Sep 1949		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		17 Aug 1959 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	15 Dec 1952	United Kingdom . . . . .		16 May 1966 <u>a</u>
Lebanon . . . . .	19 Sep 1949		Yugoslavia . . . . .	19 Sep 1949	8 Oct 1956

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

AUSTRIA

Subject to the reservation in respect of paragraph 1 of article 45 contained in paragraph 7 (f) of the Final Act of the Conference on Road and Motor Transport.<sup>3</sup>

BULGARIA

Article 62 of the Protocol on Road Signs and Signals, which provides that any dispute between any two or more Contracting States concerning the interpretation or application of this Protocol, which the Parties are unable to settle by negotiation or by another mode of settlement, may be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision.

FINLAND

"With reference to article 15, paragraph 5 of this Protocol, the Government of Finland reserve the right to use the Saint Andrew's Cross at level-crossings with gates."

HUNGARY

"The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provision of paragraph 5, article 15 of the Protocol which stipulates that level-crossings with gates shall not be provided with a sign in the form of a Saint Andrew's cross, as well as by the provisions of article 62 of the said Protocol."

NORWAY

Subject to the reservation in respect of paragraph 5 of article 15 contained in paragraph 7 (g) of the Final Act of the Conference on Road and Motor Transport.<sup>4</sup>

ROMANIA

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 62, under which any dispute concerning the inter-

pretation or application of the Protocol may be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision by application from any of the States concerned. The position of the Romanian People's Republic is that the agreement of all the parties in dispute is required in each case for the submission of any dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

SWEDEN

Subject to the reservation in respect of paragraph 5 of article 15 contained in paragraph 7 e) of the Final Act of the Conference on Road and Motor Transport.<sup>4</sup>

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS<sup>5</sup>

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 62 of the Protocol on Road Signs and Signals, which lays down that disputes between Contracting States concerning the interpretation or application of this Protocol may be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision by application from any of the States concerned, and declares that the agreement of all the States in dispute is required in each separate case for the submission of any dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Netherlands . . .	14 Jan 1955 9 May 1957	Surinam and the Netherlands New Guinea The Netherlands Antilles
Portugal . . . . .	15 Feb 1957	Portuguese Overseas Provinces of Angola and Mozambique
Spain . . . . .	13 Feb 1958	African localities and provinces

NOTES:

1/ See note at the beginning of chapter XI.B-1.

2/ Registration: 22 October 1964, No. 1671. The proposal for these amendments was communicated to the Secretary-General by the Government of France on 3 February 1964 pursuant to paragraph 1 of article 60 of the Protocol. In accordance with paragraph 5 of the same article, they entered into force on 22 October 1964 as regards all the Contracting Parties, with the exception that the Government of Portugal, having notified the Secretary-General of its objection to the amendment adding new paragraph 3 bis to article 35, is not bound by that amendment. For the text of the Protocol incorporating the said amendments, see United Nations Conference on Road and Motor Transport, Final Act and Related Docu-

ments (United Nations publication, Sales No.: 1967.VIII.1).

3/ The said reservation reads as follows: "That the signs for the special identification of routes in Austria may be either rectangular or circular in shape."

4/ The said reservation reads as follows: "That the use of the Saint Andrew's Cross at level-crossings with gates shall be permitted in Sweden and Norway."

5/ The Government of Greece has informed the Secretary-General that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions to which the reservation is made, as far as the Soviet Union is concerned.

4. EUROPEAN AGREEMENT SUPPLEMENTING THE 1949 CONVENTION ON ROAD TRAFFIC AND THE  
1949 PROTOCOL ON ROAD SIGNS AND SIGNALS

Signed at Geneva on 16 September 1950

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 December 1953, in accordance with article 4.  
REGISTRATION: 20 December 1953, No. 1671.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 182, p. 286.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	28 Jun 1951	2 Nov 1955	Luxembourg . . . . .	16 Sep 1950	17 Oct 1952
Belgium . . . . .	16 Sep 1959	23 Apr 1954	Netherlands <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	16 Sep 1950	4 Dec 1952 <u>s</u>
France . . . . .		16 Sep 1950 <u>s</u>	Poland . . . . .		29 Oct 1958 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .		1 Jul 1952 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		9 Jun 1960 <u>a</u>
Holy See . . . . .		1 Oct 1956 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .		16 May 1966 <u>a</u>
Hungary <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		30 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .		16 Sep 1950 <u>s</u>
Italy . . . . .		30 Mar 1957 <u>a</u>			

NOTES:

1/ In a communication received on 15 October 1971, the Government of Austria denounced, in accordance with article 3 of the Agreement, the addendum, in article 1 of that Agreement, to annex 1 of the 1949 Convention.

2/ With the declaration that "the Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 5 of the Agreement".

3/ In a communication received on 4 December 1952, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General that the reservation as to ratification, made on its behalf upon signature of the Agreement, is to be considered as having been withdrawn. Consequently, the date of 4 December 1952 should be considered as the date of the definitive signature.

XI B-5. Dimensions and weights of vehicles

---

5. EUROPEAN AGREEMENT ON THE APPLICATION OF ARTICLE 3 OF ANNEX 7 OF THE 1949 CONVENTION ON ROAD TRAFFIC CONCERNING THE DIMENSIONS AND WEIGHTS OF VEHICLES PERMITTED TO TRAVEL ON CERTAIN ROADS OF THE CONTRACTING PARTIES

Signed at Geneva on 16 September 1950

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 23 April 1954, in accordance with article 5.  
REGISTRATION: 23 April 1954, No. 1671.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 189, p. 366.  
EXTINCTION: 27 November 1954, in accordance with article 5, paragraph 2.

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification</u>
Belgium . . . . .	16 Sep 1950	23 Apr 1954	Luxembourg . . . . .	16 Sep 1950	17 Oct 1952
France . . . . .		[16 Sep 1950 <u>§</u> ] <sup>1</sup>			

---

NOTES:

1/ Notice of denunciation of the Agreement was given by the Government of France on 26 May 1954.

XI.B-6: Dimensions and weights of vehicles

6. EUROPEAN AGREEMENT ON THE APPLICATION OF ARTICLE 23 OF THE 1949 CONVENTION ON ROAD TRAFFIC CONCERNING THE DIMENSIONS AND WEIGHTS OF VEHICLES PERMITTED TO TRAVEL ON CERTAIN ROADS OF THE CONTRACTING PARTIES

Signed at Geneva on 16 September 1950

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1952, in accordance with article 5.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 July 1952, No. 1671  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 133, p. 368, and vol. 251, p 378 (addendum to the annex).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Belgium . . . . .	16 Sep 1950	23 Apr 1954	Luxembourg . . . . .	16 Sep 1950	17 Oct 1952
France . . . . .		[16 Sep 1950 <u>s</u> ] <sup>1</sup>	Netherlands <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	16 Sep 1950	4 Dec 1952 <u>s</u>
Greece . . . . .		1 Jul 1952 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .		16 Sep 1950 <u>s</u>
Italy . . . . .		30 Mar 1957 <u>a</u>			

NOTES.

1/ In a communication received on 27 March 1961, the Government of France gave notice of the denunciation of the Agreement, which took effect on 27 September 1961

2/ In a communication received on 4 December

1952, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General that the reservation as to ratification, made on its behalf upon signature, is to be considered as having been withdrawn. Consequently, the date of 4 December 1952 should be considered as the date of the definitive signature

7. DECLARATION ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF MAIN INTERNATIONAL TRAFFIC ARTERIES

Signed at Geneva on 16 September 1950

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 16 September 1950, in accordance with paragraph 6.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 July 1951, No. 1264.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 92, p. 91.<sup>1</sup>

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .		1 Oct 1951 <u>a</u>	Italy . . . . .		30 Mar 1957 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .	16 Sep 1950	23 Apr 1954	Luxembourg . . . . .		16 Sep 1950 <u>s</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .		8 May 1962 <u>a</u>	Netherlands <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	16 Sep 1950	4 Dec 1952 <u>s</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		6 Mar 1973 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		15 Dec 1953 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .		8 Jun 1966 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .		26 Sep 1960 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		9 Sep 1965 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .		1 Apr 1954 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .		16 Sep 1950 <u>s</u>	Romania . . . . .		7 Apr 1965 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .		13 Nov 1957 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		25 Mar 1960 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .		1 Jul 1952 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .		31 Mar 1952 <u>a</u>
Hungary . . . . .		5 Dec 1962 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .		10 Jun 1954 <u>a</u>
Ireland . . . . .		20 May 1968 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .		16 Sep 1950 <u>s</u>
			Yugoslavia . . . . .		18 Nov 1960 <u>a</u>

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> For additions and amendments to annexes I and II to the Declaration, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 92, p. 122; vol. 108, p. 321; vol. 133, p. 365; vol. 184, p. 344; vol. 203, p. 336; vol. 451, p. 326; vol. 645, p. 348 and p. 350; vol. 651, p. 350, and vol. 764, p. 337 (corrigendum to vol. 645, p. 350).

<sup>2/</sup> In a communication received on 4 December 1952, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General that the reservation as to ratification, made on its behalf upon signature, is to be considered as having been withdrawn. Consequently, the date of 4 December 1952 should be considered as the date of the definitive signature.

XI.B-8: Economic regulations

8. GENERAL AGREEMENT ON ECONOMIC REGULATIONS FOR INTERNATIONAL ROAD TRANSPORT,

(a) Additional Protocol

(b) Protocol of Signature

Concluded at Geneva on 17 March 1954

Not yet in force, with the exception of the Additional Protocol<sup>1</sup> (see article 10 of the Agreement and the penultimate paragraph of the Protocol of Signature).  
 TEXT: E/ECE/186 (E/ECE/TRANS/460), 22 March 1954.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Belgium . . . . .	17 Mar 1954		Netherlands . . . . .	17 Mar 1954	
Denmark . . . . .	17 Mar 1954		Norway . . . . .		17 Jan 1956 a
France . . . . .		17 Mar 1954 s	Sweden . . . . .	17 Mar 1954	
Greece . . . . .	17 Mar 1954	11 Dec 1956	Switzerland . . . . .	17 Mar 1954	
Italy . . . . .	17 Mar 1954	18 Oct 1957	United Kingdom . . . . .	17 Mar 1954	
Luxembourg . . . . .	17 Mar 1954		Yugoslavia . . . . .	17 Mar 1954	

(c) Protocol relating to the adoption of Annex C. 1 to the Set of Rules annexed to the General Agreement on Economic Regulations for International Road Transport

Concluded at Geneva on 1 July 1954

Not yet in force (see preamble).  
 TEXT: E/ECE/186 (E/ECE/TRANS/460), Add.1, 21 September 1954.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature</u>
Belgium . . . . .	1 Jul 1954		Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Jul 1954	
France . . . . .		1 Jul 1954	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Jul 1954	

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> Paragraph 3 of the Additional Protocol provides that it "shall enter into force on the date of its signature and shall be considered as an integral part of the General Agreement on the date of entry into force of the Agreement".



XI.B-9: Signs for road works

9. AGREEMENT ON SIGNS FOR ROAD WORKS, AMENDING THE EUROPEAN AGREEMENT OF 16 SEPTEMBER 1950 SUPPLEMENTING THE 1949 CONVENTION ON ROAD TRAFFIC AND THE 1949 PROTOCOL ON ROAD SIGNS AND SIGNALS<sup>1</sup>

Concluded at Geneva on 16 December 1955

Not yet in force (see article 2).  
TEXT: E/ECE/223 (E/ECE/TRANS/481), 1956.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .	16 Dec 1955		Luxembourg . . . . .	16 Dec 1955	3 Jun 1957
Belgium . . . . .	16 Dec 1955	28 May 1956	Netherlands . . . . .	16 Dec 1955	31 Jan 1958 <sup>2</sup>
France . . . . .		16 Dec 1955 <u>s</u>	Poland . . . . .		29 Oct 1958 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .	16 Dec 1955		Spain . . . . .		9 Jun 1960 <u>a</u>
Holy See . . . . .		1 Oct 1956 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .		16 May 1966 <u>a</u>
Hungary . . . . .		30 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	16 Dec 1955	19 Mar 1957
Italy . . . . .		12 Feb 1958 <u>a</u>			

NOTES:

- 1/ For the Agreement of 16 September 1950, see chapter XI.B-4.
- 2/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

10. CONVENTION ON THE TAXATION OF ROAD VEHICLES FOR PRIVATE USE IN INTERNATIONAL TRAFFIC

Done at Geneva on 18 May 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 18 August 1959, in accordance with article 6.  
 REGISTRATION: 18 August 1959, No. 4844.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 339, p. 3.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Australia . . . . .		3 May 1961 <u>a</u>	Ghana . . . . .		18 Aug 1959 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .	18 May 1956	12 Nov 1958	Ireland . . . . .		31 May 1962 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .	18 May 1956		Luxembourg . . . . .	18 May 1956	28 May 1965
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		2 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>	Malta . . . . .		22 Nov 1966 <u>a</u>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		22 Sep 1959 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	18 May 1956	20 Apr 1959
Denmark . . . . .		9 Feb 1968 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		9 Jul 1965 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		18 May 1956 <u>s</u>	Poland . . . . .	18 May 1956	4 Sep 1969
France . . . . .	18 May 1956	20 May 1959	Romania . . . . .		10 Jul 1967 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		7 Jul 1961 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	18 May 1956	16 Jan 1958
			United Kingdom . . . . .	18 May 1956	15 Jan 1963
			Yugoslavia . . . . .	18 May 1956	8 Apr 1960

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature, ratification or accession.)

**CZECHOSLOVAKIA**

With the declaration that ". . . in accordance with article 11, paragraph 2 of the Convention, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic will not be bound by the provisions of article 10 of the Convention".

**POLAND**

"The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions contained in paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 10 of the Convention."

**ROMANIA**

The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article

10, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention, its position being that a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention cannot be submitted to arbitration without the consent of all the parties in dispute.

The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania believes that the maintenance of the state of dependence of certain territories to which the regulations of article 9 of the Convention refer is not in harmony with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December 1960 in resolution 1514 (XV), in which the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations is proclaimed.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . . .	3 May 1961	Papua and Trust Territory of New Guinea
Netherlands . . . . .	20 Apr 1959	Surinam, Netherlands Antilles, Netherlands New Guinea
United Kingdom . . . . .	15 Jan 1963	Jersey, Guernsey, Alderney and the Isle of Man
	6 Jun 1963	Falkland Islands and Gibraltar
	18 Jul 1963	Seychelles and Virgin Islands
	26 Jul 1963	St. Lucia and Montserrat
	8 Nov 1963	St. Vincent, Brunei, Zanzibar and British Guiana
	6 May 1964	Mauritius

## NOTE:

1/ In a note accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "will also apply to Land Berlin as from the date on which the Convention enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania,

the Byelorussian SSR, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones referred to in note 2 in chapter III.3.

11. CONVENTION ON THE CONTRACT FOR THE INTERNATIONAL CARRIAGE OF GOODS BY ROAD (CMR)

Done at Geneva on 19 May 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 2 July 1961, in accordance with article 43.  
 REGISTRATION: 2 July 1961, No. 5742.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 399, p. 189.

Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)	Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
Austria . . . . .	19 May 1956	18 Jul 1960	Luxembourg . . . . .	19 May 1956	20 Apr 1964
Belgium . . . . .	19 May 1956	18 Sep 1962	Netherlands . . . . .	19 May 1956	27 Sep 1960 <sup>2</sup>
Bulgaria . . . . .		20 Oct 1977 <sup>a</sup>	Norway . . . . .		1 Jul 1969 <sup>a</sup>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		4 Sep 1974 <sup>a</sup>	Poland . . . . .	19 May 1956	13 Jun 1962
Denmark . . . . .		28 Jun 1965 <sup>a</sup>	Portugal . . . . .		22 Sep 1969 <sup>a</sup>
Finland . . . . .		27 Jun 1973 <sup>a</sup>	Romania . . . . .		23 Jan 1973 <sup>a</sup>
France . . . . .	19 May 1956	20 May 1959	Spain . . . . .		12 Feb 1974 <sup>a</sup>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		27 Dec 1973 <sup>a</sup>	Sweden . . . . .	19 May 1956	2 Apr 1969
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	19 May 1956	7 Nov 1961	Switzerland . . . . .	19 May 1956	27 Feb 1970
Greece . . . . .		24 May 1977 <sup>a</sup>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		2 Sep 1983 <sup>a</sup>
Hungary . . . . .		29 Apr 1970 <sup>a</sup>	United Kingdom . . . . .		21 Jul 1967 <sup>a</sup>
Italy . . . . .		3 Apr 1961 <sup>a</sup>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	19 May 1956	22 Oct 1958

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

**BULGARIA**

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself as bound by article 47 which envisages obligatory jurisdiction by the International Court of Justice.

**CZECHOSLOVAKIA**

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic will not be bound by the provision of article 47."

**GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC**

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by article 47 of the Convention.

**HUNGARY**

Reservation:

"The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by article 47 of the Convention".

Declaration:

"1. The Hungarian People's Republic deems it necessary to call attention to the discriminative character of article 42 of the Convention by which a number of States are debarred from accession to the Convention. The matters regulated by the Convention concern the interests of all States, and therefore, in conformity with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, no State should be prevented from becoming a Party to such a Convention.

"2. The Hungarian People's Republic points out that the provisions of article 46 of the Conven-

tion are contrary to the principle of international law recording the self-determination of peoples as well as to United Nations General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960 on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples."

**POLAND**

The Government of the Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by article 47 of the Convention.

**ROMANIA**

Reservation

The Socialist Republic of Romania declares, pursuant to article 48 of the Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR), done at Geneva on 19 May 1956, that it does not consider itself as bound by article 47 of the Convention, under which any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention which is not settled by negotiation or other means may, at the request of any one of the Contracting Parties concerned, be referred to the International Court of Justice.

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that such disputes may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

Declaration

The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania declares that the provisions of article 42, paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Convention are

not in keeping with the principle that multilateral international treaties must be open for participation by all States for which the aim and purpose of such treaties are of concern.

The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania declares that the maintenance of the dependent status of certain territories to which reference is made in article 46 of the Convention is not in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations and the documents adopted by the United Nations concerning the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Cooperation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, unanimously adopted in 1970 by the General Assembly in its resolution 2625 (XXV), which solemnly proclaims the duty of States to promote realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring a speedy end to colonialism.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declaration:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares

that the provisions of article 46 of the Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road, 1956, to the effect that Contracting Parties may extend the Convention to territories for the international relations of which they are responsible, are outmoded and at variance with Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly [resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960].

Reservation:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 47 of the Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road, 1956, to the effect that disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention may be referred to the International Court of Justice at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute, and states that the referral of such a dispute to the International Court of Justice must be subject to the agreement of all the parties to the dispute in each specific case.

Territorial application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	31 Oct 1968	Gibraltar <sup>3</sup>
	12 Nov 1969	Isle of Man
	3 Mar 1972	Balliwick of Guernsey

NOTES:

1/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 7 November 1961, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention "will also apply to Land Berlin, as from the date on which the Convention enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications were received by the Secretary-General from the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America, the Federal Republic of Germany, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The communications in question are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones referred to in note 2 in chapter III 3.

Upon accession to the Convention, on 27 December 1973, the Government of the German Democratic Republic made on the same subject a declaration identical in essence to that reproduced in the fourth paragraph of note 2 in chapter III 3.

The latter declaration gave rise to communications from the Governments of the following States: France, United Kingdom and United States of America (received on 17 June 1974), Federal Republic of Germany (received on 15 July 1974).

The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to those reproduced in note 2 in chapter III 3.

Upon accession to the Convention on 2 September 1983, the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics made a declaration to the effect that it reaffirms that the extension by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany of the Convention to "Land Berlin" is illegal.

In this regard, the Secretary-General received communications identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to those reproduced in note 2, in chapter III.3 as follows:

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of communication:</u>
France, United Kingdom, United States of America . . . . .	26 Jul 1984
Federal Republic of Germany . . . . .	27 Aug 1984
Union of Soviet Socialist Republic . . . . .	2 Dec 1985
France, United Kingdom, United States of America . . . . .	6 Oct 1986

2/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

3/ The Government of Spain declared in its

instrument of accession to the Convention that Spain did not consider itself bound by the United Kingdom communication notifying the extension of the Convention to Gibraltar, since it would not apply the Convention to Gibraltar by reason of the fact that article X of the Treaty of Utrecht signed on 13 July 1713 did not grant Gibraltar communication by land with Spain. In a subsequent communication, received on 12 February 1974, the Government of Spain stated that in making the above-quoted declaration its intention was not to formulate a reservation that might be covered by article 48(3) of the Convention, but to place on record the fact that Spain did not consider itself bound by the communication from

the Government of the United Kingdom, a communication which had no legal force whatever inasmuch as it was contrary to article X of the Treaty of Utrecht.

Subsequently, on 11 September 1974, a communication was received from the Government of the United Kingdom to the effect that that Government did not accept the statements made by the Government of Spain in its instrument of accession and in the letter received by the Secretary-General on 12 February 1974, concerning the effect of article X of the Treaty of Utrecht and the legal force of the notification by the Government of the United Kingdom of the extension of the Convention to Gibraltar.

11. (a) PROTOCOL TO THE CONVENTION ON THE CONTRACT FOR THE INTERNATIONAL CARRIAGE OF GOODS BY ROAD (CMR)

Concluded at Geneva on 5 July 1978

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 28 December 1980, in accordance with article 4 (1).  
 REGISTRATION: 28 December 1980, No. 19487.  
 TEXT: ECE/TRANS/34.

Note: The Protocol was adopted by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe at its thirty-eighth (special) session held at Geneva on 5 July 1978. The Protocol is open for signature at Geneva from 1 September 1978 to 31 August 1979.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .		19 Feb 1981 <u>a</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .	30 Mar 1979	1 Aug 1980
Belgium . . . . .		6 Jun 1983 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .		28 Jan 1986 <u>a</u> <sup>2</sup>
Denmark . . . . .	23 Aug 1979	20 May 1980	Norway . . . . .		31 Aug 1984 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .	17 Aug 1979	15 May 1980	Romania . . . . .	28 Aug 1979	4 May 1981
France . . . . .		14 Apr 1982 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		11 Oct 1982 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic . . . . .	1 Nov 1978	29 Sep 1980 <sup>1</sup>	Sweden . . . . .		30 Apr 1985 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .		16 May 1985 <u>a</u>	Switzerland . . . . .		10 Oct 1983 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .		17 Sep 1982 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	25 Sep 1978	5 Oct 1979 <sup>3</sup>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic, referring to article 9 of the Protocol, declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 8, which provides for the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice.

ROMANIA

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Socialist Republic of Romania declares, pursuant to article 9 of the Protocol to the Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR), done at Geneva on 19 May 1956, that it does not consider itself bound by article 8 of the Protocol, under which any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation or application of the Protocol which the Parties are unable to settle by negotiation or other means may, at the request of any one of the Contracting Parties concerned, be referred to the International Court of Justice.

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that such disputes may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all parties to the dispute in each individual case.

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Socialist Republic of Romania further declares that the provisions of article 3, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Protocol are not in keeping with the principle that multilateral international treaties must be open for participation by all States for which the aim and purpose of such treaties are of concern.

The Socialist Republic of Romania likewise declares that the maintenance of the dependent status of certain territories, to which reference is made in article 7 of the Protocol, is not in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations concerning the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations unanimously adopted in 1970 by the General Assembly in its resolution 2625 (XXV), which solemnly proclaims the duty of States to promote realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring a speedy end to colonialism.

SWITZERLAND

Declaration:

With reference to new paragraphs 7 and 9 of article 23 of the CMR, which have been added in accordance with article 2 of the Protocol, the Swiss Federal Council declares that Switzerland calculates the value of its national currency in terms of the Special Drawing Right (SDR) in the following manner:

Each day, the Swiss National Bank (BNS) communicates to the International Monetary Fund (IMF) the average rate for the United States Dollar on the Zurich currency market. The exchange value of an SDR in Swiss Francs is obtained using that exchange rate for the dollar and the exchange rate of the SDR against the Dollar, as calculated by IMF. On the basis of those values, BNS calculates an average rate for the SDR, which it publishes in its monthly bulletin.

Territorial application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	19 Apr 1982 9 Oct 1986	Isle of Man Bailiwick of Guernsey

---

NOTES:

1/ With declaration to the effect that the said Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

2/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

3/ In respect of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and Gibraltar.



12. CONVENTION ON THE TAXATION OF ROAD VEHICLES ENGAGED IN INTERNATIONAL GOODS TRANSPORT

Done at Geneva on 14 December 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 29 August 1962, in accordance with article 5.  
 REGISTRATION: 29 August 1962, No. 6292.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 436, p. 115.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .	14 Dec 1956	7 Apr 1960	Morocco . . . . .		29 Aug 1962 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .		14 Feb 1966 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . .	15 May 1957	1 Aug 1966 <sup>1</sup>
Czechoslovakia . .		2 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		17 May 1957 <u>s</u>
Denmark . . . . .		9 Feb 1968 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .	14 Dec 1956	4 Sep 1969
Finland . . . . .		11 Jan 1967 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	14 Dec 1956	16 Jan 1958
Ghana . . . . .		29 Aug 1962 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . .		6 Aug 1969 <u>a</u>
Ireland . . . . .		31 May 1962 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . .		29 May 1959 <u>a</u>
Luxembourg . . . .	20 Feb 1957	28 May 1965			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature, ratification or accession.)

CUBA

In accordance with article 10 of this Convention, the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself as bound by the provisions of article 9; instead, it will at all times be prepared to settle any dispute that may arise concerning the interpretation or application of one or more operative parts of this Convention by diplomatic negotiation with the dissenting party or parties.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

" . . . In accordance with article 10, paragraph 1, of the Convention, the Czechoslovak Socialist

Republic will not be bound by the provisions of article 9 of the Convention."

MOROCCO

If the point of departure and the destination of vehicles engaged in transport are both in Moroccan territory, those vehicles shall not enjoy the privileges granted under the said Convention. [See paragraph 2 of article 3 of the Convention ]

POLAND

"The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions contained in paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 9 of the Convention "

Territorial application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	24 Feb 1970	Isle of Man

NOTES:

1/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

13. CONVENTION ON THE TAXATION OF ROAD VEHICLES ENGAGED IN INTERNATIONAL PASSENGER TRANSPORT

Done at Geneva on 14 December 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 29 August 1962, in accordance with article 5.  
 REGISTRATION: 29 August 1962, No. 6293.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 436, p. 131.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .	14 Dec 1956	7 Apr 1960	Netherlands . . . . .	15 May 1957	1 Aug 1986 <sup>1</sup>
Cuba . . . . .		16 Sep 1965 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		17 May 1957 <u>s</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		2 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .	14 Dec 1956	4 Sep 1969
Denmark . . . . .		9 Feb 1968 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .		19 Feb 1968 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		11 Jan 1967 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	14 Dec 1956	16 Jan 1958
Ghana . . . . .		29 Aug 1962 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	17 May 1957	15 Jan 1963
Ireland . . . . .		31 May 1962 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .		29 May 1959 <u>a</u>
Luxembourg . . . . .	20 Feb 1957	28 May 1965			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature, ratification or accession.)

CUBA

In accordance with article 10 of this Convention, the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself as bound by the provisions of article 9; instead, it will at all times be prepared to settle any dispute that may arise concerning the interpretation or application of one or more operative parts of this Convention by diplomatic negotiation with the dissenting party or parties.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

" . . . In accordance with article 10 paragraph 1, of the Convention, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic will not be bound by the provisions of article 9 of the Convention".

POLAND

"The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions contained in paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 9 of the Convention."

ROMANIA

Reservation:  
 The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 9, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention. The position of the Socialist Republic of Romania is that a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention can be submitted to arbitration only with the consent of all parties in dispute.

Declaration:  
 Furthermore, the Government of Romania made the following declaration: The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance of the state of dependence of certain territories to which the provisions of article 8 of the Convention apply is not in accordance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December 1960 in resolution 1514 (XV), which proclaims the need to put an end to colonialism in all its forms and manifestations immediately and unconditionally.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	15 Jan 1963 6 Jun 1963	Jersey, Isle of Man Gibraltar

NOTES:

1/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

14. EUROPEAN AGREEMENT CONCERNING THE INTERNATIONAL CARRIAGE OF DANGEROUS GOODS BY ROAD (ADR)

Done at Geneva on 30 September 1957

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 29 January 1968, in accordance with article 7, vol. 641, p. 3.  
 REGISTRATION: 29 January 1968, No. 8940.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 619, p. 77 (French only). For amendments to Annexes A and B see vol. 774, p. 368, vol. 828, p. 518, vol. 883, p. 174, vol. 907, p. 158, vol. 921, p. 284, vol. 922, p. 282, vol. 926, p. 114, vol. 951, p. 433, vol. 982, p. 313, vol. 987, p. 435, vol. 1003, p. 249, vol. 1023, p. 462, vol. 1035, p. 330, vol. 1074, p. 352 and depositary notifications C.N.158.1978.TREATIES-3 of 5 July 1978, C.N. 288.1979.TREATIES-5 of 28 December 1979, C.N.11.1980.TREATIES-1 of 10 January 1980, C.N.269.1981.TREATIES-4 of 6 October 1981, C.N.66.1982.TREATIES-1 of 25 March 1982, C.N.293.1982.TREATIES-5 of 21 December 1982, C.N.294.1983.TREATIES-2 of 29 September 1983 and C.N.324.1984.TREATIES-2 of 20 February 1985; corrigenda to the English and French texts of annexes A and B (depositary notifications C.N.86.1982.TREATIES-2 of 5 April 1982, and C.N.160.1982.TREATIES-3 of 9 July 1982).

Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)	Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
Austria . . . . .	13 Dec 1957	20 Sep 1973	Italy . . . . .	13 Dec 1957	3 Jun 1963
Belgium . . . . .	18 Oct 1957	25 Aug 1960	Luxembourg . . . . .	13 Dec 1957	21 Jul 1970
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		17 Jul 1986 <sup>a</sup>	Netherlands . . . . .	13 Dec 1957	1 Nov 1963 <sup>2</sup>
Denmark . . . . .		1 Jul 1981 <sup>a</sup>	Norway . . . . .		5 Feb 1976 <sup>a</sup>
Finland . . . . .		28 Feb 1979 <sup>a</sup>	Poland . . . . .		6 May 1975 <sup>a</sup>
France . . . . .	13 Dec 1957	2 Feb 1960	Portugal . . . . .		29 Dec 1967 <sup>a</sup>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		27 Dec 1973 <sup>a</sup>	Spain . . . . .		22 Nov 1972 <sup>a</sup>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	13 Dec 1957	1 Dec 1969 <sup>1</sup>	Sweden . . . . .		1 Mar 1974 <sup>a</sup>
Hungary . . . . .		19 Jul 1979 <sup>a</sup>	Switzerland . . . . .	6 Nov 1957	20 Jun 1972
			United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Oct 1957	29 Jun 1968
			Yugoslavia . . . . .		28 May 1971 <sup>a</sup>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession )

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Reservation:

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares that within the meaning of article 12, para 1, of the Agreement it does not feel bound by the provisions of article 11, paras. 2 and 3, of the Agreement."

Declaration:

"The provision of article 10 of the Agreement contravenes the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples that was adopted at the XVth Session of the General Assembly of the United Nations in 1960 and the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic therefore regards the said provision as superseded."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by article 11 of the Agreement.

HUNGARY

Reservation:

The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 11 of the Agreement concerning compulsory arbitration.

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Agreement "shall also apply to Land Berlin with effect from the date on which it enters force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications have been received by the Secretary-General from the Governments of Bulgaria (on 13 May 1970) and Mongolia (on 22 June 1970). The communications in question are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding declarations reproduced in note 2 in chapter III.3.

Furthermore, the Government of the German Democratic Republic, upon accession to the Agreement made on the same subject a declaration which is identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to that reproduced in note 2 in chapter III.3. The latter declaration in turn gave rise to communications by the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America (received on 17

June 1974 and 8 July 1975), the Federal Republic of Germany (received on 15 July 1974 and 19 September 1975) and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (received on 12 September 1974 and 8 December 1975), which are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones reproduced in note 2 in chapter III.3.

Subsequently, the Government of Hungary, in a note accompanying its instrument of accession, made a declaration identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the above-mentioned declaration made by the Government of the German Democratic Republic.

2/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

14. (a) PROTOCOL AMENDING ARTICLE 14 (3) OF THE EUROPEAN AGREEMENT OF 30 SEPTEMBER 1957 CONCERNING THE INTERNATIONAL CARRIAGE OF DANGEROUS GOODS BY ROAD (ADR)

Concluded at New York on 21 August 1975

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 19 March 1985, in accordance with article 3, paragraph 1.  
 REGISTRATION: 19 March 1985.  
 TEXT: Depository notification C.N.229 1975.TREATIES-8 of 18 September 1975

Note: The text of the Protocol was drawn up by the Group of Experts on the Transport of Dangerous Goods at its special session held in Geneva on 20 January 1975. The Protocol has been adopted for the purpose of acceptance on 21 August 1975 by the Contracting Parties to the Agreement and will enter into force when the instruments of acceptance of all States Parties have been deposited with the Secretary-General

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance</u>
Austria . . . . .	10 Aug 1976	Netherlands . . . . .	8 Sep 1977
Belgium . . . . .	8 Jun 1977	Norway . . . . .	8 Feb 1977
Denmark . . . . .	19 Mar 1985	Poland . . . . .	14 Jun 1977
Finland . . . . .	31 Aug 1979	Portugal . . . . .	20 Apr 1979
France . . . . .	20 Dec 1977	Spain . . . . .	5 Dec 1975
German Democratic Republic . .	10 Aug 1976	Sweden . . . . .	23 Feb 1976
Germany, Federal Republic of	4 Mar 1980 <sup>1</sup>	Switzerland . . . . .	19 Feb 1976
Hungary . . . . .	26 Jan 1984	United Kingdom . . . . .	13 Feb 1976
Italy . . . . .	23 Dec 1981	Yugoslavia . . . . .	1 Oct 1976
Luxembourg . . . . .	23 Feb 1977		

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> With a declaration to the effect that the said Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany

15. EUROPEAN AGREEMENT ON ROAD MARKINGS

Done at Geneva on 13 December 1957

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 10 August 1960, in accordance with article 10  
 REGISTRATION: 10 August 1960, No. 5296.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 372, p. 159

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Belgium . . . . .	14 Jan 1958	28 Aug 1958	Luxembourg . . . . .	13 Dec 1957	28 Jun 1961
Bulgaria . . . . .		14 Mar 1963 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	13 Dec 1957 <sup>2</sup>	
Cyprus . . . . .		30 Jul 1973 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .	13 Dec 1957	26 Mar 1959
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		12 May 1960 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .		20 Dec 1963 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .		4 Feb 1958 <u>s</u>	Spain . . . . .		3 Jan 1961 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	13 Dec 1957	3 Jan 1963	Switzerland . . . . .	17 Feb 1958	
Ghana . . . . .		10 Aug 1960 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .	28 Feb 1958	25 May 1961
Hungary . . . . .		30 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	25 Feb 1958	
Italy . . . . .	13 Feb 1958		Yugoslavia . . . . .		29 May 1959 <u>a</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature or accession.)

BELGIUM

Belgium does not consider itself bound by article 14 of the Agreement.

BULGARIA

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 14 as they stand.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

" . . . The Czechoslovak Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 14 of the Agreement".

HUNGARY

" . . . The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 14 of the said Agreement"

ROMANIA

The Romanian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the stipulations of paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 14 of this Agreement.

NOTES:

1/ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Agreement "will also apply to Land Berlin, as from the date on which the Convention enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia,

Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones reproduced in note 2 in chapter III.3.

2/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

16. AGREEMENT CONCERNING THE ADOPTION OF UNIFORM CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL AND RECIPROCAL RECOGNITION OF APPROVAL FOR MOTOR VEHICLE EQUIPMENT AND PARTS

Done at Geneva on 20 March 1958

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 June 1959, in accordance with article 7.  
 REGISTRATION: 20 June 1959, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 335, p. 211; vol. 516, p. 378 (procès-verbal of rectification of the authentic English and French texts of paragraph 8 of article 1 of the Agreement); vol. 609, p. 290 (amendment to article 1, paragraph 1), and vol. 1059, p. 404 (procès-verbal of rectification of the authentic French text of article 12, paragraph 2 established by the Secretary-General on 29 November 1977).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature subject to ratification</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .		12 Mar 1971 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	30 Mar 1958	30 Jun 1960
Belgium . . . . .		7 Jul 1959 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		3 Feb 1975 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		12 May 1960 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .		12 Jan 1979 <u>a</u>
Denmark <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		21 Oct 1976 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .		29 Jan 1980 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		19 Jul 1976 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .		23 Dec 1976 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .		26 Jun 1958 <u>s</u>	Spain . . . . .		11 Aug 1961 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic		4 Oct 1974 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .		21 Apr 1959 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	19 Jun 1958	29 Nov 1965	Switzerland . . . . .		29 Jun 1973 <u>a</u>
Hungary . . . . .	30 Jun 1958	3 May 1960	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		19 Dec 1986 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .	28 Mar 1958	25 Feb 1963	United Kingdom . . . . .		15 Jan 1963 <u>a</u>
Luxembourg . . . . .		13 Oct 1971 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .		14 Feb 1962 <u>a</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature, ratification or accession.)

**AUSTRIA**

"The accession of the Republic of Austria covers only the Agreement itself. The Republic of Austria is therefore not bound by any of the Regulations annexed to the Agreement."

**BELGIUM**

(a) In accordance with article 1, paragraph 6, Belgium declares that it does not consider itself bound by any of the Regulations annexed to the Agreement,

(b) In accordance with article 11, paragraph 1, Belgium declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 10 of the Agreement.

**CZECHOSLOVAKIA**

"The Czechoslovak Republic does not consider itself bound by the provision of article 10 of the Agreement."

**GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC**

In regard to article 9:

The German Democratic Republic, in its attitude towards the provisions of the Agreement, in so far as they concern the application of this Agreement to colonial and other dependent territories,

is guided by the stipulations of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which set forth the need for an early and unconditional elimination of colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

In regard to article 10:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 10 of the Agreement according to which a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Agreement which is not settled by negotiation shall be submitted to arbitration if any one of the Contracting Parties in dispute so requests.

In this regard the German Democratic Republic holds the view that in every single case the consent of all the Contracting Parties to the Dispute shall be necessary to settle a particular dispute by arbitration.

**HUNGARY**

"The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic hereby ratifies the Agreement . . . with the reservation that it does not recognize article 10 of the Agreement as binding upon it."

ITALY

Italy does not consider itself bound by article 10 of the Agreement.

POLAND

Reservation

The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 10 of the said Agreement.

Declaration

In accordance with paragraph 6 of article 1 of the Agreement concerning the Adoption of Uniform Conditions of Approval and Reciprocal Recognition of Approval for Motor Vehicle Equipment and Parts, done at Geneva on 20 March 1958, the Polish People's Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by any of the Regulations annexed to the above-mentioned Agreement.

ROMANIA

Reservation

The Socialist Republic of Romania declares, under paragraph 1 of article 11 of the Agreement concerning the Adoption of Uniform Conditions of Approval and Reciprocal Recognition of Approval for Motor Vehicle Equipment and Parts, done at Geneva on 20 March 1958, that it does not consider itself bound by article 10 of the Agreement.

Declarations

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance of the dependent status of certain territories to which reference is made in article 9 of the Agreement concerning the Adoption of Uniform Conditions of Approval and Reciprocal Recognition of Approval for Motor Vehicle Equipment and Parts, done at Geneva on 20 March 1958, is not in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations and the documents adopted by the United Nations concerning the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the

Charter of the United Nations, unanimously adopted in 1970 by the General Assembly in its resolution 2625 (XXV), which solemnly proclaims the duty of States to promote realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring a speedy end to colonialism.

SPAIN

Subject to reservations provided for in article 11 of the Agreement.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 10 of the Agreement concerning the adoption of uniform conditions of approval and reciprocal recognition of approval for motor vehicle equipment and parts, of 20 March 1958, and state that, in order for any dispute between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Agreement to be submitted to arbitration, the consent of all the countries involved in the dispute shall be required in each individual case and that only persons appointed by the parties in dispute with their common consent may act as arbitrators.

Declarations:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers it necessary to state that the provisions of article 9 of the Agreement concerning the adoption of uniform conditions of approval and reciprocal recognition of approval for motor vehicle equipment and parts, of 20 March 1958, which envisage the possibility of the Contracting Parties extending it to territories for the international relations of which they are responsible, are outmoded and at variance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960).



REGULATIONS ANNEXED TO THE AGREEMENT OF 20 MARCH 1958 CONCERNING THE ADOPTION OF UNIFORM CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL AND RECIPROCAL RECOGNITION OF APPROVAL FOR MOTOR VEHICLE EQUIPMENT AND PARTS

Regulation No. 1: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of motor vehicle headlamps emitting an asymmetrical passing beam or a driving beam or both

Regulation No. 2: Uniform Regulations concerning approval of incandescent electric lamps for headlamps emitting an asymmetrical passing beam or a driving beam or both

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium, France and Sweden

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 8 August 1960, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 8 August 1960, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 372, p. 370; vol. 462, p. 354 (amendments proposed by France); vol. 552, p. 370 (consolidated text of Regulations Nos 1 and 2, incorporating all amendments, including those proposed by the Netherlands); E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Add.1/Rev.1/Amend.1 and vol. 1106, p. 344 (amendments series O2, Regulation No 2 only); E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Add.1/Rev.1/Amend.2 (supplement to series O2, Regulation No. 2 only); TRANS/SCI/WP29/123/Rev.1 (amendments series O1, Regulation No 1 only), and TRANS/SCI/WP29/124 (amendments series O3, Regulation No. 2 only).

Contracting Parties applying Regulations Nos. 1 and 2

Participant	Effective date of application		Participant	Effective date of application	
	Regulation No. 1	Regulation No. 2		Regulation No. 1	Regulation No. 2
Austria . . . . .	30 Apr 1972	30 Apr 1972	Netherlands		
Belgium . . . . .	8 Aug 1960	8 Aug 1960	(For the territory of the Kingdom situated in Europe)	9 Mar 1962	9 Mar 1962
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	8 May 1961	8 May 1961	Poland . . . . .	1 Aug 1983	1 Aug 1983
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	20 Dec 1976	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977	21 Feb 1977
Finland . . . . .	17 Sep 1976	17 Sep 1976	Spain . . . . .	10 Oct 1961	10 Oct 1961
France . . . . .	8 Aug 1960	8 Aug 1960	Sweden . . . . .	8 Aug 1960	8 Aug 1960
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	3 Jan 1976	3 Jan 1976	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	2 May 1966	2 May 1966	United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Jun 1963	30 Jun 1963
Hungary . . . . .	9 May 1965	8 Aug 1960	Yugoslavia . . . . .	15 Apr 1962	15 Apr 1962
Italy . . . . .	26 Jul 1963	26 Jul 1963			

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
--	France	28 Apr 1963
--	Netherlands	30 Jan 1966
O2 (Regulation No. 2 only)	Netherlands	26 Sep 1978
Supplement to series O2 (Regulation No. 2 only)	Netherlands	29 Aug 1982
O1 (Regulation No 1 only)	Netherlands	18 Mar 1986
O3 (Regulation No. 2 only)	Netherlands	9 Mar 1986

XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 3: Uniform provisions for the approval of reflex reflecting devices for motor vehicles

Proposed by the Governments of France and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 November 1963, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement  
 REGISTRATION: 1 November 1963, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 480, p. 376; vol. 557, p. 274 (procès-verbal of rectification of the authentic text); E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS.505/Add.2/Rev.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01), and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Add.2/Rev.1/Amend.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 02).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 3

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	30 Apr 1972	Netherlands (with respect to its European territory) . . . . .	11 Mar 1966
Belgium . . . . .	20 Sep 1969	Poland . . . . .	1 Aug 1983
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	16 Feb 1964	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Spain . . . . .	26 Feb 1966
Finland . . . . .	17 Sep 1976	Sweden . . . . .	30 Aug 1966
France . . . . .	1 Nov 1963	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	3 Jan 1976	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Nov 1963
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	28 Jan 1966	Yugoslavia . . . . .	25 Jul 1969
Hungary . . . . .	9 May 1965		
Italy . . . . .	21 Jun 1964		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Germany, Federal Republic of	20 Mar 1982
02	Netherlands	1 Jul 1985

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 4: Uniform provisions for the approval of devices for the illumination of rear registration plates of motor vehicles (except motor cycles) and their trailers

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and Italy

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 April 1964, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 15 April 1964, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 493, p. 308, and vol. 932, p. 118 (amendments series 01).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 4

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	30 Apr 1972	Italy . . . . .	15 Apr 1964
Belgium . . . . .	15 Apr 1964	Netherlands . . . . .	10 Jan 1971
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	17 Jun 1969	Poland . . . . .	1 Aug 1983
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Finland . . . . .	14 May 1977	Spain . . . . .	26 Feb 1966
France . . . . .	6 Jul 1964	Sweden . . . . .	6 Jul 1971
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	3 Jan 1976	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	28 Jan 1966	United Kingdom . . . . .	25 Sep 1967
Hungary . . . . .	9 May 1965	Yugoslavia . . . . .	25 Jul 1969

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	France	6 May 1974

XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 5: Uniform provisions for the approval of motor vehicle "sealed beam" headlamps (SB) emitting a european asymmetrical passing beam or a driving beam or both

Proposed by the Governments of Sweden and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 September 1967, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 30 September 1967, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 606, p. 324, and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS.505/-Add.4/Rev.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 5

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	30 Apr 1972	Netherlands	
Belgium . . . . .	19 Mar 1972	(For its territory in Europe) . . . . .	30 Sep 1967
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	15 Apr 1968	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Spain . . . . .	20 Oct 1969
Finland . . . . .	17 Sep 1976	Sweden . . . . .	30 Sep 1967
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	30 Sep 1967	United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Sep 1967
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	25 Jul 1969
Italy . . . . .	8 Feb 1969		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Netherlands	29 Aug 1982

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 6: Uniform provisions for the approval of direction indicators for motor vehicles (except motor cycles) and their trailers

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 October 1967, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 15 October 1967, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 607, p. 282 and TRANS/SC.1/WP.29/155/Rev.1 (amendments series 01)

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 6

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	30 Apr 1972	Netherlands	
Belgium . . . . .	15 Oct 1967	(For its territory in Europe) . . . . .	15 Oct 1967
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	17 Jun 1969	Poland . . . . .	1 Aug 1983
Denmark . . . . .	18 Nov 1979	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Finland . . . . .	14 May 1977	Spain . . . . .	20 Feb 1971
France . . . . .	15 Oct 1967	Sweden . . . . .	6 Jul 1971
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	3 Jan 1976	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	15 Oct 1967	United Kingdom . . . . .	15 Oct 1967
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	25 Jul 1969
Italy . . . . .	12 Apr 1968		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Italy	

XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 7: Uniform provisions for the approval of position (side) lights, red rear lights and stop lights for motor vehicles (except motor cycles) and their trailers

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 October 1967, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 15 October 1967, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 607, p. 308, and vol. 754, p. 344 (procès-verbal of rectification of the authentic text), E/ECE/324/-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Add.6/Rev.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01) and TRANS.SC1.WP.29/162 (supplement to series 01).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 7

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	30 Apr 1972	Poland . . . . .	1 Aug 1983
Belgium . . . . .	15 Oct 1967	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	17 Jun 1969	Spain . . . . .	20 Feb 1971
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Sweden . . . . .	6 Jul 1971
Finland . . . . .	14 May 1977	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
France . . . . .	15 Oct 1967	United Kingdom . . . . .	15 Oct 1967
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	3 Jan 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	25 Jul 1969
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	15 Oct 1967	Italy . . . . .	12 Apr 1968
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976		
Netherlands (For its territory in Europe) . . . . .	15 Oct 1967		

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Amendments</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01 (supplement)	Netherlands Belgium		15 Aug 1985

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 8: Uniform provisions for the approval of motor vehicle headlamps emitting an asymmetrical passing beam or a driving beam or both and equipped with halogen lamps (H<sub>1</sub>, H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub> lamps)

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 November 1967, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 15 November 1967, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 609, p. 292; vol. 764, p. 388 (amendments series 01), and vol. 932, p. 118 (revised text incorporating amendments series 02 and 03); and TRANS/SC1/WP29/125/Rev.1 and vol. 1078, p. 358 (amendments series 04).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 8

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	30 Apr 1972	Italy . . . . .	26 Mar 1976
Belgium . . . . .	15 Nov 1967	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1985
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	17 Jun 1969	Netherlands (for its territory in Europe) . . . . .	15 Nov 1967
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Finland . . . . .	17 Sep 1976	Spain . . . . .	15 Nov 1967
France . . . . .	15 Nov 1967	Sweden . . . . .	15 Nov 1967
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	3 Jan 1976	United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Mar 1969
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	15 Nov 1967	Yugoslavia . . . . .	25 Jul 1969
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976		
Italy . . . . .	27 Dec 1975		

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Amendments</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	France		25 Jan 1971
02	France		6 May 1974
03	France		12 Mar 1978
04	Netherlands		6 Jul 1986

Regulation No. 9: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to noise

Proposed by the Governments of Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 March 1969, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 March 1969, No. 4789  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 659, p 342, vol. 917, p 303 (amendments series 01 only) and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Add.8/Rev.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01), E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Add.8/Rev.1/Amend.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 02); E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Add.8/Rev 1/Amend 2 (revised text incorporating amendments series 03), and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Add.8/Rev 1/Amend 3 (revised text incorporating amendments series 04)

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 9

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	11 Oct 1976	Italy . . . . .	1 Mar 1969
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	1 Mar 1969	Poland . . . . .	1 Aug 1983
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	Spain . . . . .	20 Feb 1971
Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1983	Yugoslavia . . . . .	1 Mar 1969

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Italy	17 Feb 1974
02	Czechoslovakia	1 Jun 1980
03	Belgium	1 Oct 1982 <sup>3</sup>
04	Italy	23 Jul 1984

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 10 Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to radio interference suppression

Proposed by the Governments of France and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 April 1969, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement  
 REGISTRATION: 1 April 1969, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 667, p 316, and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Add.9/Rev.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 10

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	7 Mar 1976	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1983
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	15 Jul 1969	Netherlands . . . . .	22 Jan 1974
Denmark . . . . .	24 Mar 1978	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Finland . . . . .	19 Aug 1977	Spain . . . . .	20 Feb 1971
France . . . . .	1 Apr 1969	Sweden . . . . .	5 Sep 1971
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	26 Sep 1977	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	24 May 1970	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Apr 1969
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	23 Apr 1973

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Federal Republic of Germany	19 Mar 1978

Regulation No. 11: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to the strength of door latches and hinges

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and France

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 June 1969, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 June 1969, No. 4789  
 TEXT. United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 673, p. 354, vol. 932, p. 118 (amendments series 01); E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Add.10/Rev.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 02); depositary notification C N 139.1982.TREATIES-17 of 23 June 1982 (procès-verbal of rectification of English and French texts), and TRANS/SCI/WP29/133 (supplement to amendments series 02).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 11

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	1 Jun 1969	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1984
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	14 Apr 1972	Netherlands	
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	(For its territory in Europe) . . . . .	1 Jun 1969
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
France . . . . .	1 Jun 1969	Spain . . . . .	28 Dec 1975
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	26 Sep 1977	Sweden . . . . .	6 Jul 1971
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	24 May 1970	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Jun 1969
Italy . . . . .	17 Sep 1975	Yugoslavia . . . . .	17 Dec 1983

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Amendments</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Belgium		6 May 1974
02	United Kingdom		15 Mar 1981
(Supplement 1)	Italy		20 Apr 1986

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 12: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to the protection of the driver against the steering mechanism in the event of impact

Proposed by the Governments of France and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1969, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 July 1969, No. 4789.  
 TEXT. United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 680, p. 338; vol 951, p. 400 (revised text incorporating amendment series 01), E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Add.11/Rev.2 (revised text incorporating amendments series 02) and depositary notification C.N.290.1986.TREATIES-40 of 2 February 1987 (procès-verbal concerning modifications).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No 12

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	19 Mar 1972	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1983
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	14 Apr 1972	Netherlands	
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	(For its territory in Europe) . . . . .	1 Jul 1969
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
France . . . . .	1 Jul 1969	Sweden . . . . .	26 Dec 1969
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	28 Jun 1981	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	16 Sep 1972	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Jul 1969
Italy . . . . .	17 Sep 1975		

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Amendments</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	France		20 Oct 1974
02	France		14 Nov 1982

XI.8-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 13: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to braking

Proposed by the Governments of Italy and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 June 1970, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 June 1970, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 730, p. 342; vol. 887, p. 52 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01); vol. 943, p. 350 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01 to 04); TRANS/SC1/WP29/R.295/Rev.2 (amendments series 05); depositary notification C.N.298.1984.TREATIES-42 of 20 December 1984 (Addendum to series 05) and C.N.235.1986.TREATIES-34 of 1 November 1986 (supplement to series 05)

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 13

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	11 Oct 1976	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1983
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	18 Sep 1982	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Jun 1970
France . . . . .	21 Jul 1980	Romania . . . . .	5 Jun 1981
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	28 Jun 1981	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	29 Nov 1980	United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Nov 1979
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	5 Jan 1985
Italy . . . . .	1 Jun 1970		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Italy	29 Aug 1973
02	Netherlands	11 Jul 1974
03	Netherlands	4 Jan 1979
04	Belgium	11 Aug 1981
05	United Kingdom	26 Nov 1984
(Addendum)	United Kingdom	20 Mar 1985
(Supplement)	United Kingdom	

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 14: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to safety-belt anchorages on passenger cars

Proposed by the Governments of France and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 April 1970, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 April 1970, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 723, p. 302; vol. 778, p. 372 (amended by France) vol. 1006, p. 411 <sup>doc.</sup> ECE/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1 ~~Amend. 1/Corr 1~~ (revised text incorporating amendments series 01) ~~Amend. 1/Corr 1~~ E/CEP/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.13/Rev.1/Amend.1/ (amendments series 02)

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 14

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	11 Dec 1970	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1983
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	14 Apr 1972	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Apr 1970
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Romania . . . . .	31 Aug 1979
Finland . . . . .	17 Sep 1976	Spain . . . . .	20 Jul 1973
France . . . . .	1 Apr 1970	Sweden . . . . .	11 Mar 1978
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	26 Sep 1977	Switzerland . . . . .	2 Jul 1982
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	27 Mar 1973	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	United Kingdom . . . . .	8 Nov 1977
Italy . . . . .	15 Jun 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	17 Dec 1983

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	France	21 May 1971
	Netherlands	28 Apr 1976
	Italy	22 Nov 1984
(Addendum)	Italy	20 Mar 1985

XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 15: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles equipped with a positive-ignition engine with regard to the emission of gaseous pollutants by the engine

Proposed by the Governments of France and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 August 1970, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 August 1970, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 740, p. 364; vol. 955, p. 446 (amendments series 01); vol. 1037, p. 403 (amendments series 02) and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.14/Rev.3 and vol. 1078, p. 351 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01, 02, 03 and 04) and Corr. 1 (English only), and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.14/Rev.3/Amend.1 (revised text incorporating supplement to amendments series 04).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 15

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	[10 Dec 1979] <sup>4</sup>	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1983
Belgium . . . . .	11 Dec 1970	Netherlands . . . . .	29 May 1971
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	14 Apr 1972	Norway . . . . .	4 Apr 1975
Denmark . . . . .	7 Feb 1984	Romania . . . . .	1 May 1977
Finland . . . . .	19 Aug 1977	Spain . . . . .	1 Aug 1970
France . . . . .	1 Aug 1970	Switzerland . . . . .	[28 Aug 1973] <sup>5</sup>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	26 Sep 1977	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	16 Sep 1972	United Kingdom . . . . .	17 Jul 1972
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	27 Aug 1976
Italy . . . . .	14 Apr 1973		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	United Kingdom	11 Dec 1974
02	United Kingdom	1 Mar 1977 <sup>6</sup>
03	France	6 Mar 1978
04	France	20 Oct 1981
(Supplement to series 04)	France	1 Jun 1984



XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 16: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of safety belts and restraint systems for adult occupants of power-driven vehicles

Proposed by the Governments of France and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 December 1970, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 December 1970, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 756, p. 232; vol. 820, p. 420 (amendments series O1); vol. 893, p. 330 (amendments series O2 only) and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.15/Rev.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series O1 and O2); E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.15/Rev.2 (revised text incorporating amendments series O3), and Corr.1 (rectification to paragraphs 7.7.1.1 of the English and French texts), and TRANS/SCI/WP29/132, Corr 1 and 2 (amendments series O4).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 16

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	23 Nov 1980	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1984
Belgium . . . . .	1 Dec 1970	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Dec 1970
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	14 Apr 1972	Romania . . . . .	31 Aug 1979
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Spain . . . . .	6 May 1973
Finland . . . . .	17 Sep 1976	Sweden . . . . .	12 Oct 1980
France . . . . .	1 Dec 1970	Switzerland . . . . .	2 Jul 1982
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	28 Jun 1981	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1986
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	14 May 1973	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Apr 1980
Italy . . . . .	15 Jun 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	27 Aug 1976

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
O1	Belgium, France and Netherlands	18 Feb 1972 <sup>7</sup>
O2	Netherlands	3 Oct 1973
O3	France	9 Dec 1979
O4	Italy	22 Dec 1985

Regulation No. 17: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles equipped with regard to the strength of the seats and of their anchorages

Proposed by the Governments of France and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 December 1970, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 December 1970, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 756, p. 286; vol. 891, p. 178 and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.16/Rev.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01), E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.16/Rev.1/Amend 1 (revised text incorporating amendment series 02), and TRANS/SCI/WP29/117, Corr.1, Corr.2 and Amend 1 and TRANS/SCI/WP29/145 (amendments series 03).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 17

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	23 Mar 1976	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1983
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	14 Apr 1972	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Dec 1970
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Romania . . . . .	31 Aug 1979
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978	Spain . . . . .	7 Jun 1977
France . . . . .	1 Dec 1970	Sweden . . . . .	6 Jul 1971
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	26 Sep 1977	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	27 Mar 1973	United Kingdom . . . . .	12 Feb 1972
Italy . . . . .	17 Sep 1975	Yugoslavia . . . . .	27 Aug 1976

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	France	11 Sep 1973
02	United Kingdom	9 Mar 1981
03	Belgium	1 May 1986

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 18: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of power-driven vehicles with regard to their protection against unauthorized use

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and France

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 March 1971, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 March 1971, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 768, p. 300, E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.17/Rev.1 (revised text incorporating amendment series 01) and depositary notification C.N.40 1986.TREATIES.10 of 2 May 1986 (procès-verbal of rectification of the English and French texts).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 18

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	1 Mar 1971	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1983
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	14 Apr 1972	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Mar 1971
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978	Spain . . . . .	27 Jul 1971
France . . . . .	1 Mar 1971	Sweden . . . . .	15 Aug 1974
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	26 Sep 1977	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	27 Mar 1973	United Kingdom . . . . .	3 Apr 1972
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	5 Jan 1985
Italy . . . . .	17 Sep 1975		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Czechoslovakia	24 Nov 1980

XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 19: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of motor vehicle fog lights

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 March 1971, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 March 1971, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 768, p. 314, and vol. 926, p. 99 (revised text incorporating amendments series O1 and O2).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 19

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	30 Apr 1972	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1985
Belgium . . . . .	1 Mar 1971	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Mar 1971
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	14 Apr 1972	Norway . . . . .	4 Apr 1975
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Finland . . . . .	17 Sep 1976	Spain . . . . .	7 Apr 1974
France . . . . .	13 Sep 1971	Sweden . . . . .	28 May 1972
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	3 Jan 1976	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	27 Mar 1973	United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Nov 1971
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	27 Aug 1976
Italy . . . . .	4 Jul 1971		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
O1	Spain <sup>8</sup>	7 Apr 1974
O2	Netherlands	18 Dec 1974

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 20: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of motor vehicle headlights emitting an asymmetrical passing beam or a driving beam or both and equipped with Halogen lamps (H<sub>4</sub> lamps)

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 May 1971, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 May 1971, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 774, p. 174; vol. 1019, p. 374, and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.19/Rev.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series O1), and TRANS/SC1/WP29/141 (amendments series O2).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 20

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	30 Apr 1972	Italy . . . . .	4 Jul 1971
Belgium . . . . .	1 May 1971	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1985
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	14 Apr 1972	Netherlands . . . . .	1 May 1971
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Finland . . . . .	17 Sep 1976	Spain . . . . .	19 Nov 1973
France . . . . .	1 May 1971	Sweden . . . . .	1 May 1971
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	3 Jan 1976	United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Nov 1971
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	16 Sep 1972	Yugoslavia . . . . .	27 Aug 1976
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
O1	Sweden	15 Aug 1976
O2	Netherlands	3 Jul 1986

XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 21: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to their interior fittings

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and France

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 December 1971, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 December 1971, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 801, p. 394; E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.20/Rev.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01); TRANS/SCT/WP29/113 (amendments series 02) and depositary notification C.N.142.1986.TREATIES-27 of 2 September 1986 (procès-verbal concerning modifications).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 21

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	1 Dec 1971	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1983
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	30 Jul 1972	Netherlands . . . . .	16 Jun 1981
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978	Spain . . . . .	12 Sep 1978
France . . . . .	1 Dec 1971	Sweden . . . . .	1 Dec 1971
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	26 Sep 1977	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	13 Nov 1973	United Kingdom . . . . .	11 Feb 1973
Italy . . . . .	17 Sep 1975		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	France	8 Oct 1980
02	Belgium	26 Apr 1986

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 22: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of protective helmets for drivers and passengers of motor cycles and mopeds

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 June 1972, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 June 1972, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 826, p. 300; vol. 960, p. 256 and E/ECE/324-E/-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01); E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS.505/Rev.1/Add.21/Rev.2 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01 and 02); depositary notification C.N.212.1985.TREATIES-22 of 9 October 1985 (procès-verbal of rectification of English and French texts) and C.N.143.1986.TREATIES-28 of 20 August 1986 (procès-verbal concerning modifications).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 22

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	1 Jun 1972	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1983
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Jun 1972
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978	Spain . . . . .	3 Dec 1976
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	18 May 1980	Switzerland . . . . .	2 Jul 1982
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	7 May 1984	Sweden . . . . .	15 Jun 1973
Hungary . . . . .	23 Nov 1979	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Italy . . . . .	3 Jun 1977		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Belgium	7 Mar 1975
02	Belgium	24 Mar 1982
(Supplement to series 02)	Netherlands	16 Jul 1983

Regulation No 23: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of reversing lights for power-driven vehicles and their trailers

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 December 1971, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement  
 REGISTRATION: 1 December 1971, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 801, p 432, vol. 1038, p. 312 and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.22, and Amend.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 23

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	1 Dec 1971	Italy . . . . .	5 May 1972
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	30 Jul 1972	Netherlands . . . . .	21 Jan 1973
Denmark . . . . .	22 Mar 1977	Romania . . . . .	1 Jul 1977
Finland . . . . .	14 May 1977	Spain . . . . .	1 Dec 1971
France . . . . .	28 Oct 1972	Sweden . . . . .	1 Dec 1971
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	3 Jan 1976	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	13 Nov 1973	United Kingdom . . . . .	11 Feb 1973
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	24 Jul 1983 <sup>9</sup>

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Czechoslovakia <sup>10</sup>	22 Mar 1977

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 24: Uniform provisions concerning

- I. The approval of compression ignition (C.I) engines with regard to the emission of visible pollutants.
- II. The approval of motor vehicles with regard to the installation of C.I engines of an approved type.
- III. The approval of motor vehicles equipped with C.I engines with regard to the mission of visible pollutants by the engine.
- IV. The measurement of power of C I. engine

Proposed by the Governments of France and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE. 15 September 1972, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 15 September 1972, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 835, p. 226; vol. 891, p 178 and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.23/Amend 1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01), depositary notification C.N.183.1979.TREATIES-13 of 11 September 1979 (amendments series 02) and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505,Rev.1/Add.23/Rev 1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01 and 02) and Amend. 1 (supplement to amendments series 02), and TRANS/SCI/WP29/R.338 and Corr.1 (amendments series 03).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 24

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	11 Oct 1976	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1983
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	9 Dec 1975	Netherlands . . . . .	20 May 1975
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
France . . . . .	15 Sep 1972	Spain . . . . .	15 Sep 1972
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	18 May 1980	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	13 Nov 1973	United Kingdom . . . . .	13 Dec 1975
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	5 Jan 1985
Italy . . . . .	6 Apr 1974		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	France	11 Sep 1973
02	France	11 Feb 1980
(Supplement to series 02)	France	15 Feb 1984
03	Italy	20 Apr 1986

XI.B-16. Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No 25. Uniform provisions concerning the approval of head restraints (headrests), whether or not incorporated in vehicle seats

Proposed by the Governments of France and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE. 1 March 1972, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION. 1 March 1972, No 4789  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 814, p 416; E/ECE/324-ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/ Add.24/Amend 1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01); TRANS/SCI/WP29/112 et Corr 1 (amendments series 02) and TRANS/SCI/WP29/160 (supplement to series 02)

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 25

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	29 Jun 1979	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1984
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	9 Dec 1975	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Mar 1972
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978	Spain . . . . .	18 Jun 1984
France . . . . .	1 Mar 1972	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
German Democratic Republic	26 Sep 1977	United Kingdom . . . . .	11 Feb 1973
Germany, Federal Republic of	13 Nov 1973	Yugoslavia . . . . .	17 Dec 1983
Italy . . . . .	22 Sep 1978		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Czechoslovakia	11 Aug 1981
02 (Supplement 1)	Belgium Italy	26 Apr 1986

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No 26: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to their external projections

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and France

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1972, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 July 1972, No. 4789  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 829, p 348, vol 891, p. 178; E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev 1/Add 25/Amend 1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01) and depositary notification C N 92 1986 TREATIES-21 of 23 May 1986 (procès-verbal of rectification of English and French texts).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 26

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	1 Jul 1972	Italy . . . . .	17 Sep 1975
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	9 Dec 1975	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1983
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Netherlands . . . . .	16 Jun 1981
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
France . . . . .	1 Jul 1972	Spain . . . . .	30 Sep 1983
German Democratic Republic	26 Sep 1977	Sweden . . . . .	1 Jul 1972
Germany, Federal Republic of	25 Oct 1975	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	United Kingdom . . . . .	11 Feb 1973

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	France	11 Sep 1973

XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 27: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of advance-warning triangles

Proposed by the Governments of France and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 September 1972, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 15 September 1972, No. 4789  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 835, p. 262; vol. 891, p. 178 and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.26/Amend.1 and Amend.2 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01 and 02), and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.26/Amend.3 (revised text incorporating amendments series 03).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 27

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	19 Nov 1978	Italy . . . . .	6 Apr 1974
Belgium . . . . .	8 Jul 1973	Netherlands . . . . .	15 Sep 1972
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Romania . . . . .	1 Jul 1977
Finland . . . . .	17 Sep 1976	Spain . . . . .	21 Oct 1974
France . . . . .	15 Sep 1972	Sweden . . . . .	15 Sep 1972
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	23 Jun 1979	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	United Kingdom . . . . .	13 Jan 1974

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	France	11 Sep 1973
02	Spain	1 Jul 1977
03	Netherlands	3 Mar 1985

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 28: Uniform provisions for the approval of audible warning devices and of motor vehicles with regard to their audible signals

Proposed by the Governments of France and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 January 1973, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 15 January 1973, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 854, p. 194, and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.27/Amend.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 28

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	30 May 1981	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1984
Belgium . . . . .	11 Oct 1976	Netherlands . . . . .	21 Jun 1985
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	3 Nov 1985	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Spain . . . . .	15 Jan 1973
France . . . . .	15 Jan 1973	Sweden . . . . .	8 Jun 1973
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	23 Jun 1979	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	25 Oct 1975	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Jun 1975
Hungary . . . . .	18 Oct 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	1 Apr 1985
Italy . . . . .	26 Aug 1973		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Italy	7 Feb 1984

XI.B 16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 29: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to the protection of the occupants of the cab of a commercial vehicle

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 June 1974, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 15 June 1974, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 940, p. 343, and vol. 1050, p. 363 and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.28/Amend.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 29

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	15 Jun 1974	Netherlands . . . . .	15 Jun 1974
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Netherlands	1 Aug 1977

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 30: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of pneumatic tyres for motor vehicles and their trailers

Proposed by the Governments of Sweden and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 April 1975, in accordance with article 1(5) of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 April 1975, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 963, p. 365 (amendments series 01); and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.29, and Amend.2 (revised text incorporating amendments series 02).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 30

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	25 Dec 1979	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Apr 1975
Belgium . . . . .	16 Oct 1982	Norway . . . . .	2 Apr 1978
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	26 Sep 1977	Portugal . . . . .	28 Mar 1980
Denmark . . . . .	24 Mar 1981	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Finland . . . . .	25 Sep 1977	Spain . . . . .	3 Sep 1983
France . . . . .	22 May 1977	Sweden . . . . .	1 Apr 1975
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	18 May 1980	Switzerland . . . . .	1 Oct 1983
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	3 Jun 1977	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Hungary . . . . .	26 Mar 1984	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Apr 1975
Italy . . . . .	5 Apr 1977	Yugoslavia . . . . .	17 Aug 1979
Luxembourg . . . . .	25 Sep 1977		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	United Kingdom	25 Sep 1977
02	United Kingdom	15 Mar 1981



XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 31: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of Halogen Sealed-Beam (H<sub>A</sub>SB) Motor Vehicle Headlights emitting an Asymmetrical Passing Beam or a Driving Beam or Both

Proposed by the Governments of Sweden and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 May 1975, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 May 1975, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 966, p. 340 and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.30, and TRANS/SC1/WP29/70 (amendment series 01).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 31

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Denmark . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977
Finland . . . . .	17 Sep 1976	Sweden . . . . .	1 May 1975
Hungary . . . . .	23 Nov 1979	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 May 1975
Netherlands . . . . .	6 Jul 1975		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Netherlands	7 Feb 1983

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 32: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to the behaviour of the structure of the impacted vehicle in a rear-end collision

Proposed by the Governments of Sweden and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1975, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 July 1975, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 973, p. 246, and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.31 and Corr.1. (English and Russian only) and Corr.2 (French only).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 32

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgique . . . . .	16 Oct 1982	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1985
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	17 Sep 1976	Netherlands . . . . .	21 Jun 1985
Denmark . . . . .	18 Nov 1979	Romania . . . . .	5 Jun 1981
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978	Sweden . . . . .	1 Jul 1975
France . . . . .	10 Sep 1978	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	28 Jun 1981	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Jul 1975
Italy . . . . .	1 Nov 1976		

XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 33: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to the behaviour of the structure of the impacted vehicle in a head-on collision

Proposed by the Governments of Sweden and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1975, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 July 1975, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 973, p. 258 and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.32 and Corr.1. (English only), Corr.2 (French only) and Corr. 3 (Russian only).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 33

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	16 Oct 1982	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1985
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	17 Sep 1976	Netherlands . . . . .	21 Jun 1985
Denmark . . . . .	18 Nov 1979	Romania . . . . .	5 Jun 1981
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978	Sweden . . . . .	1 Jul 1975
France . . . . .	10 Sep 1978	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	28 Jun 1981	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Jul 1975
Italy . . . . .	1 Nov 1976		

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 34: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to the prevention of fire risks

Proposed by the Governments of Sweden and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1975, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 July 1975, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 973, p. 270 and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.33, and Amend.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 34

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	16 Oct 1982	Italy . . . . .	1 Nov 1976
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	18 Sep 1982	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1983
Denmark . . . . .	18 Nov 1979	Netherlands . . . . .	21 Jun 1985
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978	Romania . . . . .	5 Jun 1981
France . . . . .	10 Sep 1978	Sweden . . . . .	1 Jul 1975
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	28 Jun 1981	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Jul 1975
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	25 Jun 1983		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	United Kingdom	18 Jan 1979

Regulation No. 35: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to the arrangement of foot controls

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 10 November 1975, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 10 November 1975, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 986, p. 355 and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/ Add.34.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 35

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	10 Nov 1975	Romania . . . . .	5 Jun 1981
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	18 Sep 1982	Spain . . . . .	18 Jun 1984
Denmark . . . . .	24 Mar 1981	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Finland . . . . .	13 Feb 1978	United Kingdom . . . . .	10 Nov 1975
France . . . . .	10 Sep 1978	Yugoslavia . . . . .	17 Dec 1983
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	23 Jun 1979		

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 36: Uniform provisions concerning the Construction of Public Service Vehicles

Proposed by the Governments of France and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 March 1976, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 March 1976, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 997, p. 429 and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/ Add.35; TRANS/SC1/WP29/49/Rev.1 (amendments series 01) and TRANS/SC1/WP29/138 and Add.1 (amendments series 02).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 36

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
France . . . . .	1 Mar 1976	Spain . . . . .	16 Oct 1977
Hungary . . . . .	23 Nov 1979	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Romania . . . . .	21 Feb 1977	United Kingdom <sup>11</sup> . . . . .	1 Mar 1976

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	United Kingdom	8 Feb 1982
02	United Kingdom	7 Sep 1986

XI B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 37: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of incandescent electric filament lamps to be used in approved lights of power-driven vehicles and of their trailers

Proposed by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 February 1978, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 February 1978, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 1073, p 337 and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.36 and Corr.1 and 2 (French only) and Rev.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series O2 and O3), E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS 505/Rev 1/Add.36/Rev.1/Corr.1 (English only); depositary notification C N.41.1986 TREATIES-11 of 7 April 1986 (procès-verbal of rectification of English and French texts) and TRANS/SCI/WP29/151 (supplement to O3 series)

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 37

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	8 Jan 1982	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1985
Belgium . . . . .	6 Oct 1978	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Feb 1978
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	11 Nov 1980	Poland . . . . .	1 Aug 1983
Denmark . . . . .	24 Mar 1978	Romania . . . . .	31 Aug 1979
Finland . . . . .	1 Feb 1978	Spain . . . . .	26 Jan 1980
France . . . . .	3 Jul 1978	Sweden . . . . .	2 Nov 1980
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	23 Jun 1979	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	27 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	1 Feb 1978	United Kingdom . . . . .	2 Apr 1978
Hungary . . . . .	23 Nov 1979	Yugoslavia . . . . .	14 Jun 1983 <sup>9</sup>
Italy . . . . .	15 Aug 1978		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
O1	Italy	29 Oct 1981
O2	Belgium	27 Oct 1983
O3	Netherlands	1 Jun 1984
(supplement)	Netherlands	23 Oct 1986

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 38: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of rear fog lights for power-driven vehicles and their trailers

Proposed by the Governments of France and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 August 1978, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 August 1978, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 1098, p 295 and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.37.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 38

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	20 Sep 1980	Italy . . . . .	15 Jan 1979
Belgium . . . . .	29 Jun 1979	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Aug 1978
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	20 Jul 1981	Romania . . . . .	5 Jun 1981
Denmark . . . . .	1 Aug 1978	Spain . . . . .	1 Aug 1978
Finland . . . . .	10 Aug 1982	Sweden . . . . .	2 Nov 1980
France . . . . .	1 Aug 1978	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	18 May 1980	United Kingdom . . . . .	3 Apr 1979
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	31 Dec 1978	Yugoslavia . . . . .	24 Jul 1983 <sup>9</sup>
Hungary . . . . .	23 Nov 1979		

Regulation No. 39: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to the speedometer equipment including its installation

Proposed by the Governments of France and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 November 1978, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 20 November 1978, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1111, p. 431 and E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.38.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 39

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	29 Jun 1979	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1984
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	29 Dec 1981	Netherlands . . . . .	21 Jun 1985
Denmark . . . . .	18 Nov 1979	Romania . . . . .	31 Aug 1979
France . . . . .	20 Nov 1978	Sweden . . . . .	20 Jan 1979
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	18 May 1980	Union of Soviet Socialist Republic	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	13 Jun 1983	United Kingdom . . . . .	20 Nov 1978
Hungary . . . . .	23 Nov 1979	Yugoslavia . . . . .	5 Jan 1985
Italy . . . . .	26 Mai 1979		

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 40: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of motor cycles equipped with a positive-ignition engine with regard to the emission of gaseous pollutants by the engine

Proposed by the Governments of France and Italy

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 September 1979, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 September 1979, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.39 and Corr.1, Corr.2 and Corr.2/Rev.1.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 40

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	1 Sep 1985	Italy . . . . .	1 Sep 1979
Belgium . . . . .	16 Oct 1982	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1984
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	18 Sep 1982	Netherlands . . . . .	21 Jun 1985
France . . . . .	1 Sep 1979	Romania . . . . .	3 Feb 1984
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	13 Jun 1983	Switzerland . . . . .	10 Apr 1983 <sup>12</sup>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	6 May 1984	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Hungary . . . . .	26 Mar 1984		

XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 41: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of motor cycles with regard to noise

Proposed by the Governments of Italy and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 June 1980, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 June 1980, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.40 and Corr.1 (English only) and Amend.1 (amendements series 01).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 41

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	16 Oct 1982	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1984
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	1 Aug 1980	Spain . . . . .	1 Jun 1980
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	28 Jun 1981	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Hungary . . . . .	26 Mar 1984	Yugoslavia . . . . .	1 Apr 1985
Italy . . . . .	1 Jun 1980		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
Rectificatif	Italy	29 Oct 1981
01	Italy	24 Jul 1984

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 42: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to their front and rear protective devices (bumpers ,etc.)

Proposed by the Governments of Italy and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 June 1980, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 June 1980, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.41 and Corr.1.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 42

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	16 Oct 1982	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1984
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	18 Sep 1982	Romania . . . . .	3 Feb 1984
Denmark . . . . .	24 Mar 1981	Spain . . . . .	1 Jun 1980
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	28 Jun 1981	Sweden . . . . .	28 Oct 1980
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	25 Jun 1983	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Italy . . . . .	1 Jun 1980		

Regulation No. 43: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of safety glazing and glazing materials

Proposed by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany and France

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 February 1981, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 15 February 1981, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.42; TRANS/SCI/WP29/89 (amendments);  
 TRANS/SCI/WP29/122/Corr.1 (French only) and Corr.2 (amendments) and  
 TRANS/SCI/WP29/156 (supplement No. 3).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 43

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	27 May 1984	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1983
Belgium . . . . .	8 Mar 1981	Netherlands . . . . .	21 Jun 1985
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	12 Sep 1981	Romania . . . . .	3 Feb 1984
Finland . . . . .	25 Sep 1981 <sup>13</sup>	Spain . . . . .	1 Nov 1983
France . . . . .	15 Feb 1981	Sweden . . . . .	18 Aug 1981
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	15 Feb 1981	United Kingdom . . . . .	15 Feb 1981
Hungary . . . . .	26 Mar 1984	Yugoslavia . . . . .	22 Dec 1985
Italy . . . . .	13 Nov 1981		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
--	Finland	14 Oct 1982
--	France	4 Apr 1986
Supplement No. 3	France	

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No 44: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of restraining devices for child occupants of power-driven vehicles ("child restraint system")

Proposed by the Governments of the Netherlands and of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 February 1981, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 February 1981, No. 4789  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add 43 and Amend.1 (amendment series 01);  
 depositary notification C.N.398.1983.TREATIES-61 of 26 January 1984 (procès-verbal  
 of rectification), and TRANS/SCI/WP29/134 (amendments series 02).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 44

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	17 Nov 1982	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Feb 1981
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	17 Nov 1982 <sup>14</sup>	Romania . . . . .	3 Feb 1984
Denmark . . . . .	24 May 1981	Sweden . . . . .	13 Jun 1981
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	23 Mar 1984	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Feb 1981
Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1984		

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Netherlands	17 Nov 1982
02	Netherlands	4 Apr 1986

Regulation No. 45: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of headlamp cleaners for power-driven vehicles and the approval of vehicles with regard to headlamp cleaners

Proposed by the Governments of the Finland and Sweden

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1981, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 July 1981, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add 44, and depositary notification C.N.213.1985.-  
 TREATIES-23 of 10 October 1985 (procès-verbal of rectification of English and French texts).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 45

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	16 Oct 1982	Italy . . . . .	16 May 1982
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	3 Nov 1985	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1985
Finland . . . . .	1 Jul 1981	Spain . . . . .	30 Sep 1983
France . . . . .	6 Nov 1983	Sweden . . . . .	1 Jul 1981
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	6 May 1984	United Kingdom . . . . .	3 Feb 1986
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	18 Oct 1985		

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 46: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of rear-view mirrors, and of motor vehicles with regard to the installation of rear-view mirrors.

Proposed by the Government of France and Italy

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 September 1981, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 September 1981, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.45, and Amend.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 46

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	16 Oct 1982	Hungary . . . . .	26 Mar 1984
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	18 Sep 1982	Italie . . . . .	1 Sep 1981
Federal Republic of Germany . . . . .	20 Apr 1986	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1983
Finland . . . . .	10 Aug 1982	Romania . . . . .	3 Feb 1984
France . . . . .	1 Sep 1981	Sweden . . . . .	24 Sep 1982

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Finland	21 Oct 1984



XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 47: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of mopeds equipped with a positive-ignition engine with regard to the emission of gaseous pollutants by the engine

Proposed by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 November 1981, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 November 1981, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.46.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 47

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	16 Oct 1982	Italy . . . . .	16 May 1982
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	18 Sep 1982	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Nov 1981
France . . . . .	15 Jun 1982	Romania . . . . .	3 Feb 1984
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	6 May 1984	Switzerland . . . . .	10 Apr 1983 <sup>12</sup>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	1 Nov 1981	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Hungary . . . . .	26 Mar 1984	Yugoslavia . . . . .	1 Apr 1985

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 48: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to the installation of lighting and light-signalling devices

Proposed by the Governments of the German Democratic Republic and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1982, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 January 1982, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.47 and depositary notification C.N.245.1986.  
 TREATIES-36 of 27 January 1987 (~~supplement to series G1~~)

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 48

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	16 Oct 1982	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1985
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	18 Sep 1982	Romania . . . . .	3 Feb 1984
France . . . . .	17 Feb 1987	Spain . . . . .	1 Jan 1982
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	1 Jan 1982	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	10 Jun 1983	United Kingdom . . . . .	22 Apr 1985
Hungary . . . . .	26 Mar 1984	Yugoslavia . . . . .	1 Apr 1985
Italy <sup>15</sup>			

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
Supplement 1	Italy	

Regulation No. 49: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of diesel engines with regard to the emission of gaseous pollutants

Proposed by the Governments of Czechoslovakia and France

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 April 1982, in accordance with paragraphe 5 of article 1 of the Agreement  
 REGISTRATION: 15 April 1982, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.48; TRANS/SC1/WP29/99 and depositary notification C.N.27.1983.TREATIES-3 of 2 March 1983 (procès-verbal of rectification of English and French texts).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 49

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	16 Oct 1982	Italy . . . . .	22 Mar 1985
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	15 Apr 1982	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1984
France . . . . .	15 Apr 1982	Netherlands . . . . .	28 Oct 1983
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	6 May 1984	Romania . . . . .	3 Feb 1984
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	15 Dec 1985	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
Hungary . . . . .	26 Mar 1984	Yugoslavia . . . . .	5 Jan 1985

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 50: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of front position lamps, rear position lamps, stop lamps, direction indicators and rear-registration-plate illuminating devices for mopeds, motor cycles and vehicles treated as such

Proposed by the Governments of Italy and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 June 1982, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 June 1982, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev 1/Add 49, and depositary notification C.N.158.1985.TREATIES-18 of 22 July 1985 (procès-verbal of rectification of English and French texts).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 50

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	5 Jul 1983	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Jun 1982
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	18 Dec 1983	Romania . . . . .	3 Feb 1984
France . . . . .	17 Feb 1987	Sweden . . . . .	24 Sep 1982
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	6 May 1984	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	5 Oct 1986	United Kingdom . . . . .	15 Feb 1983
Italy . . . . .	1 Jun 1982	Yugoslavia . . . . .	5 May 1985

XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 51: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of motor vehicles having at least four wheels with regard to their noise

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and Spain

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 July 1982, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 15 July 1982, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.50, and Amend.1 (revised text incorporating amendments series 01).

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 51

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	15 Jul 1982	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1984
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	4 Jan 1983	Netherlands . . . . .	21 Jun 1985
France . . . . .	17 Feb 1987	Romania . . . . .	3 Feb 1984
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	6 May 1984	Spain . . . . .	15 Jul 1982
Hungary . . . . .	26 Mar 1984	Union Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Feb 1987
Italy . . . . .	6 May 1983	Yugoslavia . . . . .	5 Jan 1985

Amendments

<u>Series</u>	<u>Proposed by</u>	<u>Date of entry into force</u>
01	Italy	21 oct 1984

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 52: Uniform provisions concerning the construction of small capacity public service vehicles

Proposed by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany and France

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 November 1982, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 November 1982, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev 1/Add.51.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 52

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	5 Jul 1983	Romania . . . . .	3 Feb 1984
France . . . . .	1 Nov 1982	Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	1 Nov 1982

XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 53: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of motor cycles with regard to the installation of lighting and light-signalling devices

Proposed by the Governments of the German Democratic Republic of Italy

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 February 1983, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 February 1983, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324 E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.52.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 53

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	5 Jul 1983	Italy . . . . .	1 Feb 1983
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	30 Jul 1984	Sweden . . . . .	28 Dec 1983
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	1 Feb 1983	Yugoslavia . . . . .	1 Apr 1985
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	5 Oct 1986		
Hungary . . . . .	26 Mar 1984		

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 54: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of pneumatic tyres for commercial vehicles and their trailers

Proposed by the Governments of France and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 March 1983, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 March 1983, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add 53

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 54

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Austria . . . . .	3 Sep 1983	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 May 1983
Belgium . . . . .	5 Jul 1983	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Mar 1983
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	18 Dec 1983	Romania . . . . .	5 Apr 1985
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	9 Nov 1986	Sweden . . . . .	7 Oct 1983
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	19 May 1986	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
France . . . . .	1 Mar 1983	United Kingdom . . . . .	15 Jul 1983
Hungary . . . . .	26 Mar 1984	Yugoslavia . . . . .	5 Jan 1985

XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 55: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of mechanical coupling components of combinations of vehicles

Proposed by the Governments of Italy and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 March 1983, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
REGISTRATION: 1 March 1983, No. 4789.  
TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.54.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 55

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	5 Jul 1983	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Mar 1983
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	3 Nov 1985	Romania . . . . .	3 Feb 1984
Italy . . . . .	1 Mar 1983		

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 56: Uniform provisions concerning the approval headlamps for mopeds and vehicles treated as such

Proposed by the Governments of Italy and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 June 1983, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
REGISTRATION: 15 June 1983, No. 4789.  
TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.55.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 56

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	18 Dec 1983	Netherlands . . . . .	15 Jun 1983
France . . . . .	19 Oct 1986	Sweden . . . . .	7 Oct 1983
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	5 Oct 1986	Yugoslavia . . . . .	1 Apr 1985
Italy . . . . .	15 Jun 1983		

XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 57: Uniform provisions concerning the approval headlamps for motor cycles and vehicles treated as such

Proposed by the Governments of Italy and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 June 1983, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
REGISTRATION: 15 June 1983, No. 4789.  
TEXT: E/ECE/324 E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.56.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 57

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	18 Dec 1983	Italy . . . . .	15 Jun 1983
France . . . . .	19 Oct 1986	Netherlands . . . . .	15 Jun 1983
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	9 Nov 1986	Sweden . . . . .	28 Dec 1983
Germany, Federal Republic . . . . .	5 Oct 1986	Yugoslavia . . . . .	1 Apr 1985

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 58: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of goods vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers with regard to their rear underrun protection

Proposed by the Governments of France and Italy

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1983, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
REGISTRATION: 1 July 1983, No. 4789.  
TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add.57.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 58

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	3 Nov 1985	Italy . . . . .	1 Jul 1983
France . . . . .	1 Jul 1983	Romania . . . . .	5 Apr 1985
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	9 Nov 1986	Sweden . . . . .	28 Dec 1983

Regulation No. 59: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of replacement silencing systems

Proposed by the Governments of Belgium and France

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 October 1983, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
REGISTRATION: 1 October 1983, No. 4789.  
TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS.505/Rev 1/Add.58.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 59

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Belgium . . . . .	1 Oct 1983	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Oct 1985
France . . . . .	1 Oct 1983	Netherlands . . . . .	21 Jun 1985
Italy . . . . .	6 Apr 1984		

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 60. Uniform provisions concerning the approval of two-wheeled motor cycles and mopeds with regard to driver-operated controls including the identification of controls, tell-tales and indicators

Proposed by the Governments of Czechoslovakia and Italy

ENTRY INTO FORCE. 1 July 1984, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
REGISTRATION: 1 July 1984, No. 4789.  
TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS.505/Rev 1/Add.59

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 60

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	1 July 1984	Sweden . . . . .	31 Aug 1984
Italy . . . . .	1 July 1984		

XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 61: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of commercial vehicles with regard to their external projections forward of the cab's rear panel

Proposed by the Governments of France and Italy

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 July 1984, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
REGISTRATION: 15 July 1984, No. 4789.  
TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505-Rev.1/Add.60

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 61

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	3 Nov 1985	Romania . . . . .	5 Apr 1985
France . . . . .	15 Jul 1984	Sweden . . . . .	29 Dec 1984
Italy . . . . .	15 Jul 1984	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	17 Feb 1987
Netherlands . . . . .	21 Jun 1985		

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 62: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of power-driven vehicles with two-wheels with regard to their protection against unauthorized use

Proposed by the Governments of France and Italy

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 September 1984, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
REGISTRATION: 1 September 1984, No. 4789.  
TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.1/Add 61 and amendments 1 and 2.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 62

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
France . . . . .	1 Sept 1984	Sweden . . . . .	29 Dec 1984
Italy . . . . .	1 Sept 1984		



XI.B-16: Motor vehicle equipment and parts

Regulation No. 63: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of mopeds with regard to noise

Proposed by the Governments of Czechoslovakia and Italy

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 August 1985, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 15 August 1985, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505-Rev.1/Add.62.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 63

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	15 Aug 1985	Italy . . . . .	15 Aug 1985
France . . . . .	19 Oct 1986		

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 64: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles equipped with temporary-use spare wheels/tyres

Proposed by the Governments of Netherlands and United Kingdom

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 October 1985, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 October 1985, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505-Rev.1/Add.63.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 64

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Italy . . . . .	31 Mar 1986	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Oct 1985
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	19 Dec 1986	Sweden . . . . .	28 Feb 1986
Netherlands . . . . .	1 Oct 1985		

\*\*\*\*\*

Regulation No. 65: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of special warning lights for motor vehicles

Proposed by the Governments of France and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 June 1986, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 15 June 1986, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/324-E/ECE/TRANS/505-Rev.1/Amend.1, and Amend.1/Corr.1

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 65

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
France . . . . .	15 Jun 1986	Netherlands . . . . .	15 June 1986

Regulation No. 66: Uniform provisions concerning the approval of large passenger vehicles with regard to the strength of their superstructure

Proposed by the Governments of Hungary and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 December 1986, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 December 1986, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: TRANS/SC1/WP29/R.16/Rev.1, Amend.1 and Amend.1/Corr.1.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 66

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Hungary . . . . .	1 Dec 1986	United Kingdom . . . . .	1 Dec 1986
	*****		

Regulation No. 67: Uniform provisions regarding the approval of specific equipment of vehicles using liquefied petroleum gases in their propulsion

Proposed by the Governments of Italy and the Netherlands

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 June 1987, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 1 of the Agreement.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 June 1987, No. 4789.  
 TEXT: TRANS/SC1/WP29/R.16/RR.370/Corr.1 and Corr.2.

Contracting Parties applying Regulation No. 67

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Effective date of application</u>
Italy . . . . .	1 Jun 1987	Netherlands . . . . .	1 Jun 1987

NOTES:

1/ With a declaration that the Agreement does not apply to the Faeroe Islands.

2/ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Agreement "shall apply to Land Berlin as from the date on which the Agreement enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, the Secretary-General received communications from the Governments of Czechoslovakia (1 February 1966 and 13 September 1967), Hungary (10 February 1966), Poland (4 March 1966), the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (12 April 1966 and 2 June 1967, and upon accession), the Byelorussian SSR (6 June 1966 and 10 November 1967), Albania (14 June 1966), France (23 November 1966 and 21 August 1968), the United Kingdom (23 November 1966 and 21 August 1968), the Federal Republic of Germany (25 November 1966 and 21 August 1968) and the United States of America (21 August 1968). The communications in question are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to those reproduced in note 2 in chapter III.3.

Upon accession to the Agreement on 4 October 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic made on the same subject a declaration identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the one reproduced in the fifth paragraph of note 2 in chapter III.3.

In reference to the latter declaration, the Secretary-General received communications from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America (8 July 1975) and from the Federal Republic of Germany (19 September 1975) identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding declarations cited in note 2 in chapter III.3.

3/ The date retained at the request of the Government of Belgium in order to synchronize the implementation of Regulation No. 9 as amended and that of the new Regulation No. 51.

4/ On 24 May 1985, the Government of Austria, pursuant to the provisions of article 1 (7) of the Agreement, notified the Secretary-General that it intends to cease to apply Regulation No. 15 annexed to the Agreement as from 25 May 1986.

5/ On 30 September 1981, the Government of Switzerland, pursuant to the provisions of article 1(7) of the Agreement, notified the Secretary-General that it intends to cease to apply Regulation No. 15 as from 1 October 1982.

The notification in question contained the following declaration:

The Federal Council [of Switzerland] expresses the hope that progress made within the framework of the Economic Commission for Europe as regards the regulation of the emission of gaseous pollutants will lead it to reapply the said Regulation No. 15 in the near future.

6/ The amendments to Regulation No. 15 entered into force on 1 March 1977 (instead of 15 March 1977), in accordance with a proposal by the United Kingdom received on 22 October 1976 and circulated by the Secretary General on 8 November 1976.

7/ Amendments to Regulation No. 16 proposed by the Governments of Belgium, France and the Netherlands were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 18 February 1972. The proposed amendments having thus been communicated jointly by all Governments applying Regulation No. 16, it was not necessary to wait for the expiration of the three-month period provided for by article 12(1) of the Agreement for the possible formulation of objections, and the amendments consequently entered into force on 18 April 1972, i.e., within a period of two months from their circulation in accordance with the other provisions of article 12 of the Agreement.

8/ Amendments to Regulation No. 19, proposed by the Government of Spain, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 7 November 1973. The Government of Spain had made its acceptance of Regulation No. 19 subject to the acceptance to the aforesaid amendments.

9/ It results from the indications given by the Government of Yugoslavia that it has applied the regulations 23, 37 and 38 de facto as from 15 February 1982 and 21 May 1983 respectively, and the Secretary-General's understanding is that none of the other Contracting Parties concerned object thereto.

10/ Amendments to Regulation No. 23, proposed by the Government of Czechoslovakia, were circulated by the Secretary-General among the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on 28 March 1975. The amendments in question were not accepted, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany having objected thereto by a notification received on 26 June 1975.

Having been informed, in a communication received on 7 June 1976, of the withdrawal of that objection, the Secretary-General again circulated the text of the amendments proposed by the Government of Czechoslovakia among the Contracting Parties on 22 October 1976. The amendments then were accepted and entered into force on 22 March 1977.

11/ On 4 March 1976, the Secretary-General received from the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland a communication stating in part:

"...Public Service Vehicles approved under Regulation 36 which enter the United Kingdom will continue to have to comply with certain provisions of the "Public Service Vehicle (conditions of Fitness, Equipment and Use) Regulations 1972" of the United Kingdom which regulate matters not covered by Regulation 36."

12/ The Government of Switzerland indicated its intention to apply the regulations 40 and 47 as from 1 April 1983.

Subsequently, in a notification received on 23 October 1986 the Government of Switzerland informed the Secretary-General it would no longer apply regulations No. 40 and 47 as from 30 September 1987 and 30 September 1988, respectively.

13/ With the following statement:

"A provision concerning new automobiles, which is in force in Finland since 1 January 1981, prohibits the mounting of tempered windshields on automobiles."

14/ In application of article 12 (2) of the Agreement.

15/ The notification of application of regulation 48 by Italy was accompanied by a proposal of amendment to supplement 1 of the said regulation and a statement to the effect that the Government of Italy's acceptance of regulation No. 48 was subject to the acceptance of the proposed amendments (which were circulated on 27 January 1987).

17. AGREEMENT ON SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR THE TRANSPORT OF PERISHABLE FOODSTUFFS AND ON THE USE OF SUCH EQUIPMENT FOR THE INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT OF SOME OF THOSE FOODSTUFFS

Concluded at Geneva on 15 January 1962<sup>1</sup>

Not yet in force (see article 8).  
 TEXT: E/ECE/456 (E/ECE/TRANS/526), 1962.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Belgium . . . . .	29 Jun 1962		Luxembourg . . . .	22 Jun 1962	
Bulgaria . . . . .	19 Jan 1962		Poland . . . . .	19 Jun 1962 <sup>2</sup>	
France . . . . .		13 Feb 1962 <u>s</u>	Spain . . . . .		7 Jan 1964 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	10 Apr 1962		Switzerland . . . .	19 Jan 1962	
			Yugoslavia . . . .		25 Sep 1963 <u>a</u>

NOTES:

1/ Although listed in this chapter for reasons of convenience, this Agreement is not limited to transport by road.

2/ With a declaration that the Polish People's Republic is not bound by paragraph 2 and 3 of article 12 of the Agreement.

18. EUROPEAN AGREEMENT CONCERNING THE WORK OF CREWS OF VEHICLES ENGAGED IN INTERNATIONAL ROAD TRANSPORT (AETR)

Concluded at Geneva on 19 January 1962

Not yet in force (see article 18).<sup>1</sup>  
 TEXT: E/ECE/457-E/ECE/TRANS/527.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Belgium . . . . .	29 May 1962		Netherlands . . . .	12 Apr 1962	
France . . . . .	13 Feb 1962		Poland . . . . .	17 May 1962 <sup>2</sup>	
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . .	16 Mar 1962		Sweden . . . . .	19 Jun 1962	
Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Mar 1962		United Kingdom . . .	31 Jan 1962	

NOTES:

1/ Instruments of ratification or accession (a) have been transmitted to the Secretary-General, pending their deposit in the manner provided in article 18, paragraph 4 of the Agreement, by the Governments of France, the

Netherlands (for the Kingdom in Europe), Spain (a) and Yugoslavia (a).

2/ With a declaration that the Polish People's Republic is not bound by paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 22 of the Agreement.

19. CONVENTION ON ROAD TRAFFIC

Concluded at Vienna on 8 November 1968

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 May 1977, in accordance with article 47 (1).  
 REGISTRATION: 21 May 1977, No. 15705.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1042, p. 17.

Note: The Convention was prepared and opened for signature by the United Nations Conference on Road Traffic, held at Vienna from 7 October to 8 November 1968. It was convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations pursuant to resolutions 1129 (XLI) and 1203 (XLII)<sup>1</sup> adopted by the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations on 27 July 1966 and 26 May 1967, respectively. The Conference also prepared and opened for signature the Convention on Road Signs and Signals (see chapter XI.B-20) and adopted the Final Act.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	11 Aug 1981	Luxembourg . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	25 Nov 1975
Bahrain . . . . .		4 May 1973 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Belgium . . . . .	8 Nov 1968		Monaco . . . . .		6 Jun 1978 <u>a</u>
Brazil . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	29 Oct 1980	Morocco . . . . .		29 Dec 1982 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	28 Dec 1978	Niger . . . . .		11 Jul 1975 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian SSR	8 Nov 1968	18 Jun 1974	Norway . . . . .	23 Dec 1969	1 Apr 1985
Chile . . . . .	8 Nov 1968		Pakistan . . . . .		19 Mar 1986 <u>a</u>
China <sup>2</sup> . . . . .			Philippines . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	27 Dec 1973
Costa Rica . . . . .	8 Nov 1968		Poland . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	23 Aug 1984
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		24 Jul 1985 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Cuba . . . . .		30 Sep 1977 <u>a</u>	Republic of Korea <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	29 Dec 1969	
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	7 Jun 1978	Romania . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	9 Dec 1980
Denmark . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	3 Nov 1986 <sup>3</sup>	San Marino . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	20 Jul 1970
Ecuador . . . . .	8 Nov 1968		Senegal . . . . .		16 Aug 1972 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .	16 Dec 1969	1 Apr 1985	Seychelles . . . . .		11 Apr 1977 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	9 Dec 1971	South Africa . . . . .		1 Nov 1977 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		11 Oct 1973 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	3 Aug 1978	Sweden . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	25 Jul 1985
Ghana . . . . .	22 Aug 1969		Switzerland . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Greece . . . . .		18 Dec 1986	Thailand . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Guyana . . . . .		31 Jan 1973 <u>a</u>	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	12 Jul 1974
Holy See . . . . .	8 Nov 1968		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	7 Jun 1974
Hungary . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	16 Mar 1976	United Kingdom . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Indonesia . . . . .	8 Nov 1968		Uruguay . . . . .		8 Apr 1981 <u>a</u>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	21 May 1976	Venezuela . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Israel . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	11 May 1971	Yugoslavia . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	1 Oct 1976
Italy . . . . .	8 Nov 1968		Zaire . . . . .		25 Jul 1977 <u>a</u>
Kuwait . . . . .		14 Mar 1980 <u>a</u>	Zimbabwe . . . . .		31 Jul 1981 <u>a</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and ratifications were made upon ratification or accession)

BRAZIL

Reservations with respect to the following articles and annex:

- Article 20, paragraph 2 (a) and (b);
- Article 23, paragraph 2 (a);
- Article 40;
- Article 41, paragraph 1 (a), (b) and (c) (partial reservation);
- Annex 5, paragraph 5 (c); and
- Annex 5, paragraphs 28, 39 and 41 (partial reservations).

Declarations as regards the above-mentioned partial reservations:

- (a) Brazil's partial reservation to chapter IV (Drivers of Motor Vehicles), article 41 (Validity of Driving Permits), paragraphs 1 (a), (b), and (c), refers to the fact that drivers issued permits in left-hand drive countries cannot drive in Brazil before taking a road test for right-hand driving.
- (b) The partial reservation to Annex 5 (Technical Conditions Concerning Motor Vehicles and Trailers), chapter II (Lights and reflecting de-

vices), paragraph 28, is against the triangular form of the reflex reflectors required for every trailer, inconvenient for Brazil since the triangular shape is used for emergency signal devices to alert drivers ahead on the road.

(c) In Annex 5, chapter II, paragraph 39, Brazil's reservation refers solely to the amber colour of the direction-indicators, since only red lights should be used at the rear of vehicles.

(d) The partial reservation made to Annex 5, paragraph 41, refers to the fact that in Brazil reversing lights fitted on motor vehicles shall emit only white light.

Declarations:

-Pursuant to the provisions of chapter IV, article 41, paragraph 2 (b), Brazil refuses to recognize the validity in its territory of driving permits held by persons under eighteen years of age.

-Pursuant to the provisions of chapter IV, article 41, paragraph (c), Brazil, referring to annexes 6 and 7 covering models of domestic driving permits, refuses to recognize the validity in its territory for the driving of motor vehicles or combinations or vehicles in Categories C, D, and E of driving permits held by persons under twenty-one years of age.

... 6

**BULGARIA**

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 52 of the Convention on Road Traffic stating that disputes which relate to the interpretation or application of the Convention may be referred, at the request of any of the Parties, to the International Court of Justice.

Declaration made upon signature:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that the provisions of article 45 of the Convention on Road Traffic, under which a number of States may not become parties to this Convention, are discriminatory in character, and it considers that the Convention on Road Traffic should be open for participation by all interested States without any discrimination or restrictions.

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that the provisions of article 46 of the Convention on Road Traffic are anachronistic and at variance with the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples.

Declaration made upon ratification:

In the People's Republic of Bulgaria mopeds are treated as motor cycles for the purposes of the application of the Convention on Road Traffic (art. 54, para. 2).

**BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC**

Reservations and declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 52 of the Convention on Road Traffic stating the disputes which relate to the interpretation or application of the Convention may be

referred, at the request of any of the Parties, to the International Court of Justice.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of article 45 of the Convention on Road Traffic, under which a number of States may not become parties to this Convention, are discriminatory in character, and it considers that the Convention on Road Traffic should be open for participation by all interested States without any discrimination or restrictions.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of article 46 of the Convention on Road Traffic are anachronistic and at variance with the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960).

**CUBA**

The Republic of Cuba declares that the provisions of article 45, paragraph 1, of the Convention, which deals with matters affecting the interests of all States, are of a discriminatory nature in that they preclude the right of a number of States to become signatories and parties to the Convention, contrary to the principle of sovereign equality of States.

The Republic of Cuba declares that the provisions of article 46 of the Convention, are not applicable as they are contrary to the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514), adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December 1960, which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 52 of the Convention on Road Traffic regarding the referral to the International Court of Justice of any dispute with another Contracting Party.

The Republic of Cuba declares that it treats mopeds as motor cycles, in accordance with article 54 (2) of the Convention.

**CZECHOSLOVAKIA**

Upon signature:

With a reservation in regard to article 52.

Upon ratification:

Reservation:

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not feel bound by the provision of article 52 of the Convention stipulating that any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties which relates to the interpretation or application of this Convention and which the Parties are unable to settle by negotiation or other means of settlement may be referred, at the request of any of the Contracting Parties concerned, to the International Court of Justice for decision.

Declaration:

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares in respect of article 45 of the Convention that no State should be deprived of the opportunity to become a party to multilateral international treaties and further declares in

respect of article 46 of the Convention that the said article is in contradiction with the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on Granting Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514 (XV)).

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic shall treat mopeds as motorcycles"

DENMARK

Reservations to:

Article 18, paragraph 2 according to which road users coming from a path or graded track shall give way to vehicles on the road

Article 33, paragraph 1 (d) according to which it shall be permissible to use parking light also when driving outside a built-up area.

Annex 5, 17 (c) according to which the total permissible weight of a trailer without a service brake may not exceed half the sum of the hauling vehicle's unladen weight and the driver's weight.

Declaration:

Article 54, paragraph 2: for the purposes of the Convention Denmark treats mopeds whose maximum design speed exceeds 30 km per hour as motor cycles.

FINLAND

Declaration:

"1) With respect to Article 11 paragraph 1 (a) (Overtaking):

Finland reserves the right to provide in Finnish law that in Finland drivers of cycles and mopeds may always overtake other vehicles than cycles or mopeds from the right;

"2) With respect to Article 18 paragraphs 2 and 3 (Obligation to give way):

Finland reserves the right to provide in Finnish law that in Finland every driver emerging from a path or an earth-track on to a road other than a path or an earth-track or emerging on to a road from property boarding thereon shall give way to all traffic travelling on that road. (Since the Convention provides that the right of way shall be given to "vehicles", while in Finnish law such right of way is to be given to all traffic, including pedestrians.) In Finnish law the obligation to give way is of wider appreciation than that of the Convention;

"3) With respect to Article 33 paragraph 1 (c) and 1 (d) (Use of driving or passing lights):

Finland reserves the right to provide in Finnish law that in a motor-driven vehicle driving lights, passing lights or running lights must always be switched on when driving outside built-up areas. Driving or passing lights must be used in every vehicle when it is being driven in darkness or in dim light or when visibility is inadequate on account of weather or some other reason. Fog lights may only be used in fog or heavy rain or snowfall. In that case their use is allowed as a substitute for passing lights provided that position lights are simultaneously on."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The Government of the German Democratic Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 57 of the Convention.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Reservations:

Ad article 18, paragraph 3

Article 18, paragraph 3, applies in the Federal Republic of Germany in accordance with paragraph 15 of the annex to the European Agreement of 1 May 1971 supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic

Ad article 23, paragraph 3, sub-paragraph (c), No. (v)

The Federal Republic of Germany does not consider itself bound by article 23, paragraph 3, sub-paragraph (c), No. (v).

Ad article 31, paragraph 1, sub-paragraph (d)

The Federal Republic of Germany does not consider itself bound by article 31, paragraph 1, sub-paragraph (d)

Ad article 42, paragraph 1

The Federal Republic of Germany reserves the right of continuing to make entries of the kind mentioned in article 42, paragraph 1, sub-paragraph (c) also in foreign domestic driving permits.

Ad annex 1, paragraph 1

The Federal Republic of Germany reserves the right in international transport

(a) of requiring of foreign lorries the same minimum engine performance as of German vehicles,  
(b) of not admitting to traffic motor vehicles

--equipped with studded tyres,  
--exceeding the maximum permissible weight and the maximum axle load permitted in the Federal Republic of Germany

or  
not complying with the provisions on the placement on the vehicles of these figures,

--not equipped with a tachograph (control device) of the prescribed type.

Ad annex 5, paragraph 11

The Federal Republic of Germany does not consider itself bound by the first half-sentence of paragraph 11 of annex 5.

Ad annex 5, paragraph 58

The Federal Republic of Germany does not consider itself bound by paragraph 58 of annex 5.

Declarations:

With reference to the notification, made upon signature of the Convention on Road Traffic done at Vienna on 8 November 1968, according to which the distinguishing sign of the Federal Republic of Germany would be the letter "D", the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that the said notification was made for the whole area which through the ratification of the Convention by the Federal Republic of Germany fell within the purview of the said Convention.

Pursuant to the provisions of articles 3(5) and 54(2) of the Convention on Road Traffic, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany shall treat mopeds as motor cycles for the purpose of the application of the Convention

HUNGARY

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

1. The wording of article 45, paragraph 1, of the Convention is at variance with the purposes and principles expressed in the Charter of the United Nations. All States, without any restric-



tion, should be given the possibility of participating in the Convention.

2. The provisions of article 46 of the Convention, as such, are anachronistic and are not in conformity with the principles of contemporary international law or the present state of international relations, and they are at variance with United Nations General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960.

Upon ratification:

The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic considers itself bound by article 18, paragraph 3, of the Convention subject to its tenor as defined in the European Agreement supplementary thereto.

It does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 52 of the Convention, in pursuance of article 54, paragraph 1, thereof.

INDONESIA

"Indonesia does not consider itself bound by article 52.

"In conformity with article 1, moped will be deemed as motor-cycle."

IVORY COAST

Reservations:

Pursuant to article 54, paragraph 1, [of the Convention] the Republic of the Ivory Coast does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 52, under which "Any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties which relates to the interpretation or application of this Convention and which the Parties are unable to settle by negotiation or other means of settlement may be referred, at the request of any of the Contracting Parties concerned, to the International Court of Justice for decision".

KUWAIT<sup>7</sup>

Interpretative statement:

"It is the understanding of the State of Kuwait that its accession to the said Convention does not imply recognition of Israel, or accepting any obligation towards it emanating from the provisions of the said Convention."

MONACO

In accordance with the provisions of article 54 (2) of the Convention, the Government of His Excellency the Prince of Monaco has decided, within the framework of its national regulations, to treat mopeds as motorcycles.

MOROCCO

[...] Morocco does not consider itself bound by article 52 of the said Convention.

[...] Morocco will treat mopeds as motor cycles.

NORWAY

Declaration:

"In accordance with their articles 46 (1) and 38 (1), respectively, the Convention on Road

Traffic and the Convention on Road Signs and Signals shall for the present not become applicable to the territories of Svalbard and Jan Mayen."

Reservations:

"The Government of Norway shall not be bound by the provisions in Article 3, Article 8 (5), Article 18 (2), Article 18 (3) and Article 33 (1) (c) and (d)" [of the Convention on Road Traffic]."

POLAND

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

With the reservation, as provided for in article 54, paragraph 1 of the Convention, that it does not consider itself bound by article 52.

ROMANIA

Upon signature:

The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 52 of this Convention.

Upon ratification:

Declarations:

"1. The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of article 45 of the Convention on Road Traffic and of article 37 of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals are not in keeping with the principle according to which the international treaties whose object and purpose are of interest to the international community as a whole, should be opened to universal participation.

2. The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that maintaining the state of dependence of some territories to which reference is made in article 46 of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals, article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention of Road Traffic and article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals are not in keeping with the United Nations Charter and with the documents adopted by the U.N. concerning the granting of independence to the colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on the principles of international law concerning the friendly relations and the co-operation between States according to the United Nations Charter, and which has unanimously been adopted by the United Nations General Assembly resolution No. 2625 (XXV) of 24 October 1970 and which solemnly proclaims the States' obligation to further the implementation of the principle of equal rights for the peoples and their right to dispose of themselves, in order to put a speedy end to colonialism."

Reservations:

The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 52 of the Convention according to which any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties which relates to the interpretation or application of the Convention and which the Parties are unable to settle by negotiation or other means may be referred to the International Court of Justice at the request of any of the interested Contracting Parties.

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that such disputes may be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision only with the consent of all Parties in dispute, for each case individually.

SOUTH AFRICA

"The Republic of South Africa does not consider itself bound by article 52 of the aforesaid Convention".

SPAIN

I declare, in accordance with article 54, that Spain does not consider itself bound by article 52 and enters a reservation with respect to article 46.

SWEDEN

Reservations:

"(1) Instead of article 18, paragraph 3, of the Convention Sweden will apply the dispositions of paragraph 15 to the Annex of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic."

"(2) With respect to article 33, paragraph 1 (c) and (d), parking lights only may never be used when driving. Dipped head lights, position lights or other lights sufficient to enable the other road-users to notice the vehicle shall be used even when driving in daylight."

"(3) With respect to article 52, Sweden opposes that disputes in which it is involved shall be referred to arbitration."

Distinguishing Sign of Vehicles in International Traffic

(Distinctive letters notified to the Secretary-General)<sup>9</sup>

Austria . . . . .	A	Luxembourg . . . . .	L
Bahrain . . . . .	BRN	Monaco . . . . .	MC
Brazil . . . . .	BR	Morocco . . . . .	MA
Bulgaria . . . . .	BG	Niger . . . . .	RN
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	SU	Norway . . . . .	N
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	CI	Philippines . . . . .	RP
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	CS	Romania . . . . .	RO
Denmark . . . . .	DK	San Marino . . . . .	RSM
Finland . . . . .	SF	Senegal . . . . .	SN
France . . . . .	F10	Seychelles . . . . .	SY
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	DDR	South Africa . . . . .	ZA
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	D11	Sweden . . . . .	S
Greece . . . . .	GR	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	SU
Guyana . . . . .	GUY	Uruguay . . . . .	ROU
Hungary . . . . .	H	USSR . . . . .	SU
Iran . . . . .	IR	Yugoslavia . . . . .	YU
Israel . . . . .	IL	Zaire . . . . .	ZRE
Kuwait . . . . .	KWT	Zimbabwe . . . . .	ZW

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Forty-first Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/4264), p. 36, and *ibid.*, Forty-second Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/4393), p. 22.

THAILAND

"Thailand will not be bound by article 52 of this Convention.  
"Thailand will consider mopeds as motor-cycles."

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation and declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:  
[Same reservation and declarations, mutatis mutandis, as those reproduced under "Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic".]

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation and declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:  
[Same reservation and declarations, mutatis mutandis, as those reproduced under "Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic".]

URUGUAY

[Uruguay] will treat mopeds as motor cycles for the purposes of the application of the Convention.

ZAIRE

With reference to the pertinent provisions of the Convention Zaire shall not treat mopeds as motor cycles.

ZIMBABWE

23 February 1982<sup>7</sup>

"For the purpose of the application of the Convention, Zimbabwe will treat mopeds as motor cycles."

Secretary-General by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Albania and the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Bulgaria, Mongolia, Romania and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, stating that their Governments did not recognize the said signature as valid since the only Government authorized to represent China and to assume obligations on its behalf was the Government of the People's Republic of China.

In letters addressed to the Secretary-General in regard to the above-mentioned communications, the Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations stated that the Republic of China, a sovereign State and Member of the United Nations, had attended the United Nations Conference on Road Traffic 1968, and contributed to the formulation of, and signed the Convention on Road Traffic and the Convention on Road Signs and Signals, and that "any statements or reservations relating to these two Conventions that are incompatible or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China as a signatory of the said Conventions."

3/ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of Denmark stated that "until further notice the [Convention] shall not apply to the Faroe Islands and Greenland".

4/ In a declaration accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention will also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

5/ With reference to the signature by the Republic of Korea, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Albania and the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Mongolia, Romania and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, stating that their Governments considered the said signature as illegal, inasmuch as the authorities of South Korea could not act on behalf of Korea.

6/ In a communication received on 14 March 1985, the Government of Brazil notified the

Secretary-General of its decision to withdraw the following declaration made upon ratification:

"Pursuant to the provisions of article 54, paragraph 2, Brazil hereby declares that for the purposes of the application of this Convention, it treats mopeds as motor cycles (article 1 (n))."

The notification specifies that the withdrawal of the declaration is a consequence of a decision taken by the National Road Traffic Council of Brazil, to consider mopeds as now being in the same category as cycles (bicycles and tricycles), in conformity with article 1(1) of the aforementioned Convention.

7/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 23 June 1980 the Government of Israel declared the following:

"The Government of Israel has noted the political character of the statement made by the Government of Kuwait. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, the said declaration cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Kuwait under general international law or under particular conventions. Insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, the Government of Israel will adopt towards the Government of Kuwait an attitude of complete reciprocity."

8/ In application of article 54(2) of the Convention, the said declaration should have been made upon deposit of the instrument of accession. The accession was to have become effective on 31 July 1982, and in the absence of objection within a period of 90 days from the date (5 April 1982) when it was circulated by the Secretary-General, the notification was formally deposited as at 4 July 1982.

9/ See also list under the 1949 Convention (chapter XI.B-1).

10/ Also applicable to the overseas territories.

11/ See the declaration by the Federal Republic of Germany above.

20. CONVENTION ON ROAD SIGNS AND SIGNALS

Concluded at Vienna on 8 November 1968<sup>1</sup>

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 6 June 1978, in accordance with article 39(1).  
 REGISTRATION: 6 June 1978, No. 16743.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1091, vol. p. 3.

Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)	Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
Austria . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	11 Aug 1981	Italy . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Bahrain . . . . .		4 May 1973 <sup>a</sup>	Kuwait . . . . .		13 May 1980 <sup>a</sup>
Belgium . . . . .	8 Nov 1968		Luxembourg . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	25 Nov 1975
Brazil . . . . .	8 Nov 1968		Mexico . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Bulgaria . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	28 Dec 1978	Morocco . . . . .		29 Dec 1982 <sup>a</sup>
Byelorussian SSR	8 Nov 1968	18 Jun 1974	Norway . . . . .	23 Dec 1969	1 Apr 1985
Chile . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	27 Dec 1974	Pakistan . . . . .		14 Jan 1980 <sup>a</sup>
China <sup>2</sup>			Philippines . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	27 Dec 1973
Costa Rica . . . . .	8 Nov 1968		Poland . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	23 Aug 1984
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		24 Jul 1985 <sup>a</sup>	Portugal . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Cuba . . . . .		30 Sep 1977 <sup>a</sup>	Republic		
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	7 Jun 1978	of Korea <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	29 Dec 1969	
Denmark . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	3 Nov 1986 <sup>3</sup>	Romania . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	9 Dec 1980
Ecuador . . . . .	8 Nov 1968		San Marino . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	20 Jul 1970
Finland . . . . .	16 Dec 1969	1 Apr 1985	Senegal . . . . .		19 Apr 1972 <sup>a</sup>
France . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	9 Dec 1971	Seychelles . . . . .		11 Apr 1977 <sup>a</sup>
German Democratic			Spain . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Republic . . . . .		11 Oct 1973 <sup>a</sup>	Sweden . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	25 Jul 1985
Germany, Federal			Switzerland . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Republic of <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	3 Aug 1978	Thailand . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Ghana . . . . .	22 Aug 1969		Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	12 Jul 1974
Greece . . . . .		18 Dec 1986	Union of Soviet		
Holy See . . . . .	8 Nov 1968		Socialist		
Hungary . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	16 Mar 1976	Republics . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	7 Jun 1974
India . . . . .		10 Mar 1980 <sup>a</sup>	United Kingdom . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Indonesia . . . . .	8 Nov 1968		Venezuela . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	
Iran (Islamic			Yugoslavia . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	6 Jun 1977
Republic of) . . . . .	8 Nov 1968	21 May 1976	Zaire . . . . .		25 Jul 1977 <sup>a</sup>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

AUSTRIA

Reservations

1. Article 10 (6) of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals is applied with the exception that the sign B, 2<sup>a</sup> is announced in advance by the sign B, 1 supplemented by a rectangular panel bearing the symbol "STOP" and a figure indicating the distance to sign B, 2<sup>a</sup>.

2. Article 23 (1) (a) (i), article 23 (2) and article 23 (3) of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals are applied with the exception that the green light may also be flashing. The flashing of the green light signifies that the green phase will end immediately.

3. Paragraph 6 (signs E, 19 and E, 20) of Annex 5, section F of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals is not applied."

BULGARIA

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44 of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals stating that disputes which relate to the interpretation or application of the Convention may be referred, at the request of any of the Parties, to the International Court of Justice for decision.

Declaration made upon signature:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that the provisions of article 37 of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals, under which a number of States may not become parties to this Convention, as discriminatory in character, and it considers

that the Convention on Road Signs and Signals should be open for participation by all interested States without any discrimination or restriction.

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that the provisions of article 38 of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals are anachronistic and at variance with the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples.

Reservation made upon ratification.

The inscription of words on informative signs(i) to (v) inclusive of article 5, paragraph 1(c), shall be duplicated in the People's Republic of Bulgaria by a transliteration into Latin characters solely to indicate the terminal points of international routes passing through the People's Republic of Bulgaria and places of interest to international tourism.

Declaration made upon ratification:

In the People's Republic of Bulgaria mopeds are treated as motorcycles for the purposes of the application of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals [art. 46, para. 2(b)].

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation and declarations made upon signature confirmed upon ratification.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44 of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals stating that disputes which relate to the interpretation or application of the Convention may be referred, at the request of any of the Parties concerned, to the International Court of Justice for decision.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of article 37 of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals, under which a number of States may not become parties to the Convention, are discriminatory in character, and it considers that the Convention on Road Signs and Signals should be open for participation by all interested States without any discrimination or restriction.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic declares that the provisions of article 38 of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals are anachronistic and at variance with the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514(XV) of 14 December 1960).

COTE D'IVOIRE

Reservations:

Pursuant to article 46, paragraph 1, [of the Convention] the Republic of the Ivory Coast does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44, under which "Any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties which relates to the interpretation or application of this Convention and which the Parties are unable to settle by negotiation or other means of settlement may be referred, at the request of any of the Contracting Parties concerned, to the International Court of Justice for decision"

CUBA

The Republic of Cuba considers that the provisions of article 37 of the Convention, although concerned with matters which affect the interests of all States, are discriminatory in nature since they deny a number of States the right to sign or become a party to the Convention and this is contrary to the principle of the sovereign equality of States.

The Republic of Cuba declares that the provisions of article 38 of the Convention are no longer applicable because they are contrary to the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514(XV)), adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December 1960, which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

The Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44 of the Convention, under which the International Court of Justice is to have compulsory jurisdiction in any dispute which may arise regarding the interpretation or application of the Convention. With regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice, Cuba maintains that, in order for a dispute to be submitted for settlement by the Court, the consent of all the parties concerned in the dispute must be obtained in each individual case.

The Republic of Cuba declares that it treats mopeds as motor cycles, in accordance with article 46(2.b) of the Convention.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Upon signature:

With a reservation in regard to article 44.

Upon ratification:

Reservation

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not feel bound by the provisions of article 44 of the Convention stipulating that any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties which relates to the interpretation or application of this Convention and which the Parties are unable to settle by negotiation or other means of settlement may be referred, at the request of any of the Contracting Parties concerned, to the International Court of Justice for decision.

Declaration:

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares in respect of its article 37 that no State should be deprived of the opportunity to become a party to multilateral international treaties and further declares in respect of article 38 that the said article is in contradiction with the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514(XV))."

DENMARK

Reservation to:

Article 27, paragraph 3 according to which "give way" shall be indicated both by transverse marking and a plate

FINLAND

Reservations.

"1) With respect to Article 10 paragraph 6 and Section B of Annex 2, paragraph 2 (a) (iii) (Advance warning signs indicating obligatory stop):

Finland reserves the right to use as an advance warning sign indicating an obligatory stop the "GIVE WAY" sign, supplemented with an additional panel including an inscription "STOP" and indicating the distance to the obligatory stop;

"2) With respect to Article 18 (Place identification signs):

Finland reserves the right not to use signs E,9<sup>a</sup> or E,9<sup>b</sup> to indicate the beginning of a built-up area or signs E,9<sup>c</sup> or E,9<sup>d</sup> to indicate the end of such an area. Instead of them symbols are used. A sign corresponding to sign E,9<sup>b</sup> is used to indicate the name of a place, but it does not signify the same as sign E,9<sup>d</sup>;

"3) With respect to Section F of Annex 5, preamble and paragraphs 4 and 5:

Finland reserves the right to use green colour as the ground of signs E,15 to E,18;

"4) With respect to Section F of Annex 5, paragraph 6 (Signs notifying a bus or a tramway stop):

Finland reserves the right to use signs indicating a bus or a tramway stop which differ in shape and colour from signs E,19 and E,20."

FRANCE

The French Government enters a reservation with regard to the application of article 10, paragraph 6, of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals in respect of metropolitan France and French overseas territories:

Decisions adopted under the Economic Commission for Europe provide for advance warning of sign B,2a (Stop) by means of sign B,1, supplemented by a rectangular panel bearing the "Stop" symbol and a figure indicating the distance to sign B,2a. This rule conflicts with the provisions of article 10 of the Convention.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The Government of the German Democratic Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 44 of the Convention.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Reservations:

Ad article 10, paragraph 6

Article 10, paragraph 6, applies in the Federal Republic of Germany in accordance with paragraph 9 of the annex to the European Agreement of 1 May 1971 supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals.

Ad article 23, paragraph 7

The Federal Republic of Germany does not consider itself bound by article 23, paragraph 7, of this Convention.

Ad annex 5, section F, No. 6

The Federal Republic of Germany does not consider itself bound regarding the design of signs E 19 and E 20.

GREECE

[The Government of Greece] declares that it has no intention of treating mopeds as motorcycles.

HUNGARY

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

1. The wording of article 37, paragraph 1, of the Convention is at variance with the purposes and principles expressed in the Charter of the United Nations. All States, without any restriction, should be given the possibility of participating in the Convention.

2. The provisions of article 38 of the Convention, as such, are anachronistic and are not in conformity with the principles of contemporary international law or the present state of international relations, and they are at variance with the United Nations General Assembly resolution 1514(XV) of 14 December 1960.

Upon ratification:

[The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic] considers itself bound by the provisions of article 10, paragraph 6, of the Convention, relative to the [advance warning signs for sign B,2], subject to its tenor as defined in the European Agreement supplementary thereto.

It does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44 of the Convention, in pursuance of article 46, paragraph 1, thereof.

INDIA

"The Government of the Republic of India does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44 of the Convention.

"India shall treat mopeds as motor cycles."

INDONESIA

"Indonesia does not consider itself bound by article 44.

"In conformity with article 1 moped will be deemed as motor-cycle."

LUXEMBOURG

With regard to the provisions of article 10, paragraph 6:

The advance warning sign for sign B,2a shall be sign B,1, supplemented by a rectangular panel bearing the word "Stop" and a figure indicating the distance to sign B,2a.

With regard to the provisions of article 23, paragraph 7:

Red or yellow arrows shall be used on a black circular background.

MOROCCO

[...] Morocco does not consider itself bound by the contents of article 44 thereof

[...] Morocco will treat mopeds as motor cycles.

NORWAY

[For the text of a declaration regarding the application of the Convention to the territories of Svalbard and Jan Mayen see chapter XI.B.19.]

XI.B-20: Road signs and signals--1968 Convention

"The Government of Norway shall not be bound by the provisions, in article 10(6), annex 4 A(2)(a)(iii), annex 4 A(2)(a)(v) and annex 5 F(4) and (5) [of the Convention]."

POLAND

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

With the reservation, as provided for in article 46, paragraph 1 of the Convention, that Poland does not consider itself bound by article 44.

ROMANIA

Upon signature:

The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 44 of this Convention.

Upon ratification:

Declaration and reservation:

[For the text see the declarations and the reservation made in respect of the Convention on Road Traffic concluded at Vienna on 8 November 1968 (chapter XI.B-19).]

SEYCHELLES

"In compliance with article 46 (2) of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals the Government of the Republic of Seychelles declares that [it] treats mopeds as motor cycles."

SPAIN

In accordance with article 46, . . . Spain does not consider itself bound by article 44 and enters a reservation with respect to article 38.

SWEDEN

Reservations:

"(1) Instead of article 10, paragraph 6 of the Convention Sweden will apply the dispositions of paragraph 9 of the annex of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals."

(2) With respect to annex 5, section F, paragraph 4, of the Convention, the signs E15 shall have a green ground."

(3) With respect to article 44 of the Convention, Sweden opposes that disputes in which it is involved shall be referred to arbitration."

THAILAND

"Thailand will not be bound by article 44 of the Convention.

"Thailand will consider mopeds as motor-cycles."

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation and declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

[Same reservation and declarations, mutatis mutandis, as those reproduced under "Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic".]

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation and declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

[Same reservation and declarations, mutatis mutandis, as those reproduced under "Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic".]

ZAIRE

With reference to the pertinent provisions of the Convention Zaire shall not treat mopeds as motor cycles.

Designations under article 46(2)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Model Danger Warning Sign</u>	<u>Model Stop Signal</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Model Danger Warning Sign</u>	<u>Model Stop Signal</u>
Austria . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>	Luxembourg . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>b</sup>
Bahrain . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>b</sup>	Morocco . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>
Bulgaria . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>	Norway . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>
Chile . . . . .	A <sup>b</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>	Pakistan . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>b</sup>
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>	Philippines . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>
Cuba . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>b</sup>	Poland . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>	Romania . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>
Denmark . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>	San Marino . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>b</sup>
Finland . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>	Senegal . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>b</sup>
France . . . . .	(see reservation)	(see reservation)	Sweden . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>	Seychelles . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>	Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>
Greece . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>
Hungary . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>
India . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>	Zaire . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>
Iran . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>			
Kuwait . . . . .	A <sup>a</sup>	B, 2 <sup>a</sup>			

NOTES:

1/ See note in title section of chapter XI.B-19.

2/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 19 December 1969. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

3/ In a notification accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of

Denmark stated that "until further notice the two Conventions shall not apply to the Faroe Islands and Greenland".

4/ In a declaration accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention will also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

5/ See note 4 in chapter XI.B-19.



21. EUROPEAN AGREEMENT CONCERNING THE WORK OF CREWS OF VEHICLES ENGAGED IN INTERNATIONAL ROAD TRANSPORT (AETR)

Concluded at Geneva on 1 July 1970

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 5 January 1976, in accordance with article 16 (4).  
 REGISTRATION: 5 January 1976, No. 14533.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 993, p. 143 and depositary notifications C.N.399.1981.TREATIES-1 of 2 February 1982 and C.N.88.1982.TREATIES-1 of 2 June 1982 (rectification).<sup>1</sup>

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, Signature</u>	<u>accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .	31 Jan 1971 <sup>2</sup>	11 Jun 1975	Netherlands . . . . .	26 Mar 1971	30 Dec 1977
Belgium . . . . .	15 Jan 1971	30 Dec 1977	Norway . . . . .	16 Mar 1971	28 Oct 1971
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		5 Dec 1975 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .	24 Mar 1971	
Denmark . . . . .		30 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .	30 Mar 1971	20 Sep 1973
France . . . . .	20 Jan 1971	9 Jan 1978	Spain . . . . .		3 Jan 1973 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		10 Aug 1976 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	19 Jan 1971	24 Aug 1973
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	23 Dec 1970	9 Jul 1975	Switzerland . . . . .	24 Mar 1971	
Greece . . . . .		11 Jan 1974 <u>a</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		31 Jul 1978 <u>a</u>
Ireland . . . . .		28 Aug 1979 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	25 Mar 1971	4 Jan 1978
Italy . . . . .	29 Mar 1971	28 Dec 1978	Yugoslavia . . . . .		17 Dec 1974 <u>a</u>
Luxembourg . . . . .	2 Feb 1971	30 Dec 1977			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession )

**BELGIUM**

Transport operations between member States of the European Economic Community shall be regarded as national transport operations within the meaning of the AETR in so far as such operations do not pass in transit through the territory of a third State which is a contracting party to the AETR.<sup>5</sup>

**CZECHOSLOVAKIA**

Reservation:

Upon acceding to the Agreement the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares, in accordance with its article 21, that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 20, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Agreement.

Declaration:

The Government of Czechoslovakia considers article 19 of the Agreement to be in contradiction to the generally recognized right of nations to self-determination.

**DENMARK**

[Same declaration as the one reproduced under "Belgium".]<sup>5</sup>

**FRANCE**

[Same declaration as the one reproduced under "Belgium".]<sup>5</sup>

**GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC**

Reservation in respect of article 20, paragraphs 2 and 3:

The German Democratic Republic declares, in accordance with article 21, paragraph 1, of the Agreement, that it does not consider itself bound by article 20, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Agreement.

Declaration in respect of article 19:

The position of the German Democratic Republic on the provisions of article 19 of the Agreement, as far as the application of the Agreement to colonial and other dependent territories is concerned, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

**GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF**

9 August 1979  
 [Same declaration, in essence, as the one reproduced under "Belgium".]<sup>5</sup>

**IRELAND**

[Same declaration as the one reproduced under "Belgium".]<sup>5</sup>

LUXEMBOURG

[Same declaration as the one reproduced under "Belgium".]<sup>5</sup>

NETHERLANDS

Upon signature:

The Government of the Netherlands [will] ratify the Agreement only when the law of the European Economic Community conforms with the provisions of the latter.

Upon ratification:

[Same declaration as the one reproduced under "Belgium".]<sup>5</sup>

POLAND

The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by article 20, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Agreement:

"The Polish People's Republic considers that the Agreement . . . should be open for participation to all European countries without discrimination."

SPAIN

(a) The Government of Spain avails itself of the first of the options provided for in article 5, paragraph 1 (b)(ii) of the Agreement whereby persons whose age is less than 21 years may be prohibited from driving in the territory vehicles of a permissible maximum weight exceeding 7.5 tons.

(b) The Government of Spain enters the reservation provided for in article 21, paragraph 1, of the Agreement and accordingly does not consider itself bound by article 20, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Agreement.

(c) The Government of Spain selects variant (a) of the procedures set forth in paragraph 6 of the annex entitled "Individual Control Book".

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation with respect of article 20, paragraphs 2 and 3:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by article 20, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the European Agreement concerning the Work of Crews of Vehicles Engaged in International Road Transport (AETR), and states that, for the submission to arbitration of any dispute among the Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the European Agreement (AETR), the agreement of all of the Parties in dispute shall be required in each individual case, and the arbitrators shall only be persons appointed by general agreement between the Parties in dispute.

Declaration with respect of article 19:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers it necessary to declare that the provisions of article 19 of the European Agreement concerning the Work of Crews of Vehicles Engaged in International Road Transport (AETR), on the extension by States of the validity of the European Agreement (AETR) to the territories for the international relations of which they are responsible, are outdated and contradict the Declaration of the General Assembly of the United Nations on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514(XV) dated 14 December 1960), which proclaimed the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND  
NORTHERN IRELAND

[Same declaration, in essence, as the one reproduced under "Belgium".]<sup>5</sup>

NOTES:

1/ Amendments to articles 3, 6, 10, 11, 12 and 14 of the Agreement, proposed by the Government of the United Kingdom, were circulated by the Secretary-General on 2 February 1982 (with rectification on 2 July 1982).

In this regard, notifications made under article 23(2)(b) of the Agreement were received from the Government of the Netherlands on 28 July 1982 and from the Government of Czechoslovakia on 30 July 1982.

In a communication, received on 28 January 1983, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General in accordance with article 23, its acceptance of the said amendments. No objection having been made on behalf of the Government of Czechoslovakia at the expiration of a period of nine months following the expiry of six months from the date of the depositary notification transmitting the proposed amendments, (2 February 1982), the amendments are deemed to have been accepted in accordance with article 23(6) and

entered into force on 3 August 1983, i.e. the end of a further period of three months.

2/ The Protocol of signature [annexed to the Agreement] was signed on 31 March 1971 on behalf of Austria.

3/ With a declaration that the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

4/ In a notification under article 19(1), dated on 25 March 1971, the Government of the United Kingdom informed the Secretary-General that the validity of the Agreement would extend to the Isle of Man.

5/ None of the States Parties having objected to these reservations by the end of six months after the respective dates of their circulation by the Secretary-General, they are deemed to have been accepted, in accordance with article 21(2)

22. AGREEMENT ON THE INTERNATIONAL CARRIAGE OF PERISHABLE FOODSTUFFS AND ON THE SPECIAL EQUIPMENT TO BE USED FOR SUCH CARRIAGE (ATP)<sup>1</sup>

Concluded at Geneva on 1 September 1970

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 November 1976, in accordance with article 11, paragraph 1.  
 REGISTRATION: 21 November 1976, No 15121  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 1028, p. 121; depositary notifications C.N.343.1980.TREATIES-8 of 4 December 1980, C.N.211.1982.TREATIES-6 of 30 September 1982 and C.N.292 1982.TREATIES-9 of 20 December 1982 (addendum), C.N.221.1983.TREATIES-3 of 2 August 1983, C.N.243 1985.TREATIES-4 of 18 October 1985, C.N.286.1985.TREATIES-6 of 12 November 1985 (amendments to annex 1); C.N.305.1980.TREATIES-6 of 10 November 1980 and C.N.185.1984.TREATIES-4 of 21 August 1984 (amendments to annex 3).<sup>2</sup>

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .	28 May 1971	1 Mar 1977	Netherlands . . . . .	28 May 1971	30 Nov 1978 <sup>5</sup>
Belgium . . . . .		1 Oct 1979 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		14 Jul 1979 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .		26 Jan 1978 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .		5 May 1983 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		13 Apr 1982 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .	28 May 1971	
Denmark . . . . .		22 Nov 1976 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		24 Apr 1972 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		15 May 1980 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .		13 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .		1 Mar 1971 <u>s</u> <sup>3</sup>	Switzerland . . . . .	28 May 1971	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		14 Apr 1981 <u>a</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		10 Sep 1971 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	4 Feb 1971	8 Oct 1974	United Kingdom . . . . .		5 Oct 1979 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .	28 May 1971	30 Sep 1977	United States of America . . . . .		20 Jan 1983 <u>a</u>
Luxembourg . . . . .	25 May 1971	9 May 1978	Yugoslavia . . . . .		21 Nov 1975 <u>a</u>
Morocco . . . . .		5 Mar 1981 <u>a</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature, ratification or accession.)

**BULGARIA**

Reservation:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by article 15, paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Agreement, concerning recourse to arbitration for the settlement of disputes between Contracting Parties.

Declarations:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that article 9, which entitles only States members of the Economic Commission for Europe to become Parties to the Agreement, is discriminatory.

The People's Republic of Bulgaria also declares that article 14, pursuant to which a State may declare that the Agreement will also be applicable to territories for the international relations of which that State is responsible, is contrary to the General Assembly's Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples of 14 December 1960."

**CZECHOSLOVAKIA**

Reservation:

Acceding to this Agreement, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares, in conformity with article 16, paragraph 1, of the Agreement that it

does not consider itself bound by article 15, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Agreement

Declaration:

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares that its position with regard to the provision of article 14 of the Agreement, as far as the application of the Agreement to colonial and other dependent territories is concerned, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. No. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestation."

**GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC**

Reservation:

The German Democratic Republic declares in accordance with article 16, paragraph 1, of the Agreement that it does not consider itself bound by article 15, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Agreement.

Declaration:

The position of the German Democratic Republic with regard to the provisions of articles 10 and 14 of the Agreement, as far as the application of

the Agreement to colonial and other dependent territories is concerned, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. No 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

POLAND

Reservation:

"The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by article 15, paragraph 2 and 3, of the Agreement."

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 15, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Agreement relating to the mandatory submission to arbitration, at the request of one of the Parties, of any dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Agreement.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Declaration:

"The Agreement does not apply to carriage in the United States of America and its territories "

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were received upon definitive signature, ratification or accession )

FRANCE

13 January 1984

[The French Government] considers that only European States can formulate the declaration provided for in article 10 with respect to carriage performed in territories situated outside Europe.

It therefore raises an objection to the declaration by the Government of the United States of America and, consequently, declares that it will not be bound by the ATP Agreement in its relations with the United States of America.

ITALY

19 January 1984

[Same objection as for France]

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

21 September 1984

"The United States considers that under the clear language of article 10 [of the Agreement], as confirmed by the negotiating history, any State party to the Agreement may file a declaration under that article. The United States therefore considers that the objections of Italy and France and the declarations that those nations will not be bound by the Agreement in their relations with the United States are unwarranted and regrettable. The United States reserves its rights with regard to this matter and proposes that the parties continue to attempt cooperatively to resolve the issue."

NOTES:

1/ Although listed in this chapter for reasons of convenience, this agreement is not limited to transport by road.

2/ Other amendments to the annexes were also proposed by various States as indicated hereafter, but not accepted, one or more objections thereto having been notified to the Secretary-General

<u>Proposed by:</u>	<u>Annex.</u>	<u>Depositary notification reference.</u>
Denmark	3	C.N.154.1977.TREATIES-3 of 1 June 1977 and C.N.44.1978.TREATIES-2 of 28 March 1978
	3	C N 248.1981.TREATIES-5 of 29 September 1981, C N 52 1982 TREATIES-2 of 15 March 1982 and C.N.116.1982.TREATIES-4 of 17 May 1982
United Kingdom	2 and 3	C.N.318 1983.TREATIES-4 of 20 October 1983 and C.N.78.1984.TREATIES-2 of 16 July 1984
France	1	C N 224.1984.TREATIES-5 of 25 September 1984 and C.N 79.1985.TREATIES-3 of 12 April 1985

NOTES (cont'd):

<u>Proposed by:</u>	<u>Annex:</u>	<u>Depositary notification reference:</u>
France	1	C.N.66.1985.TREATIES-2 of 30 July 1985, C.N.14.1986.TREATIES.1 of 10 March 1986, and C.N.243.1986.TREATIES-6 of 4 December 1986
Germany, Federal Republic of	1	C.N.280.1985.TREATIES-5 of 11 November 1985, C.N.54.1986.TREATIES-2 of 7 April 1986 (corrigendum) and C.N.106.1986.TREATIES-4 of 24 July 1986

Other amendments were also proposed, but have not yet entered into force, the time lapse for possible objections not having yet expired:

3/ The Agreement was first signed without reservation as to ratification by the French Plenipotentiary on 20 January 1971. The signature affixed on 1 March 1971 signifies the approval of the text of the Agreement as corrected in accordance with the decision taken by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe at its thirtieth session (1 to 4 February 1971).

4/ Upon ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Agreement would also apply to Berlin (West) from the date upon which it would enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

5/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

XI.B-23: European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic (1971)

23 EUROPEAN AGREEMENT (WITH ANNEX) SUPPLEMENTING THE CONVENTION ON ROAD TRAFFIC OPENED FOR SIGNATURE AT VIENNA ON 8 NOVEMBER 1968

Concluded at Geneva on 1 May 1971

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 June 1979, in accordance with article 4 (1).  
 REGISTRATION: 7 June 1979, No.17847.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/813 (E/ECE/TRANS/567).

Note: The text of the Agreement was approved by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe on 1 May 1971, at its thirtieth session held at Geneva. In accordance with a decision of the Committee at its thirty-first session, held at Geneva from 1 to 4 February 1971, the period during which the Agreement was open for signature (originally from 1 May 1971 to 30 April 1972) was extended to 31 December 1972 (Doc E/ECE/TRANS/568, paragraph 132).

Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)	Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
Austria . . . . .	15 Dec 1972	11 Aug 1981	Greece . . . . .		18 Dec 1986 <sup>a</sup>
Belgium . . . . .	28 Oct 1971		Hungary . . . . .	29 Dec 1972	16 Mar 1976
Bulgaria . . . . .		28 Dec 1978 <sup>a</sup>	Luxembourg . . . . .	25 May 1971	25 Nov 1975
Byelorussian SSR		17 Dec 1974 <sup>a</sup>	Monaco . . . . .		6 Jun 1978 <sup>a</sup>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		7 Jun 1978 <sup>a</sup>	Poland . . . . .		23 Aug 1984 <sup>a</sup>
Denmark . . . . .	2 May 1972	3 Nov 1986	Romania . . . . .	6 Oct 1972	9 Dec 1980
Finland . . . . .	22 Dec 1972	1 Apr 1985	Sweden . . . . .	1 Feb 1972	25 Jul 1985
France . . . . .	29 Dec 1972	16 Jan 1974	Switzerland . . . . .	31 Oct 1972	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		18 Aug 1975 <sup>a</sup>	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .		30 Dec 1974 <sup>a</sup>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	28 May 1971	3 Aug 1978	USSR . . . . .		27 Sep 1974 <sup>a</sup>
			United Kingdom . . . . .	27 Oct 1971	
			Yugoslavia . . . . .		1 Oct 1976 <sup>a</sup>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

AUSTRIA

Reservation:

"Paragraph 18 of the Annex to the European Agreement Supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic (referring to article 23 of the Convention) is applied with the exception of the provision under paragraph 3 (a) (i), according to which any halting or parking of a vehicle on the road is prohibited within a distance of less than 5 m before a pedestrian crossing."

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers it necessary to state that the provisions of article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Traffic of 1968 and of article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968, under which States may extend the applicability of the Agreements to territories for the international relations of which they are responsible, are anachronistic and contrary to the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by article 9 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Traffic of 1968 or by article 9 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968, under which disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Agreements shall be referred to arbitration if any of the Parties in dispute so requests.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Reservation:

The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares, in accordance with article 11, paragraph 1 of the Agreement [Protocol], that it does not consider itself bound by article 9 of the Agreement [Protocol].

Declaration:

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares in respect of article 3 of the Agreement [Protocol] that the said article is in contradiction with the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on Granting Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514 (XV))."

DENMARK

[Same reservations as those made by Denmark under XI.B.19.]

Reservation:

Annex, item 18, re: article 23 3(a) according to which standing or parking shall be prohibited within 5 m of an intersection.

FINLAND

Declaration:

"With respect to article 11, paragraph 3, Finland notified that the reservations Finland has made to article 11 paragraph 1(a), article 18 paragraph 2 and article 33 paragraph 1(c) and (d) of the Convention on Road Traffic shall also apply to the European Agreement supplementing the Convention."

FRANCE<sup>2</sup>

Moreover, with regard to article 23, paragraph 3 (a) (i) and 3 (a) (iii), France does not intend to specify metric distances in connexion with the prohibition of standing and parking mentioned in those provisions

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation:

In accordance with article 11, paragraph 1, of the Agreement the German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 9 of the Agreement according to which a dispute which relates to the interpretation or application of the Agreement and which has not been settled by negotiation shall be referred to arbitration if any of the Contracting Parties in dispute so requests. The German Democratic Republic holds the view that in each case the consent of all Parties to the dispute is needed to settle a specific dispute by arbitration.

Declarations:

The German Democratic Republic considers that the provisions of article 2 of the Agreement are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States

The position of the German Democratic Republic with regard to the provisions of article 3 of the Agreement, as far as the application of the Agreement to colonial and other dependent territories is concerned, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. No. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Reservations:

Ad paragraph 3 of the annex

(Article 1, sub-paragraph (n), of the Convention):

The Federal Republic of Germany does not consider itself bound by paragraph 3 of the annex (article 1, sub-paragraph (n) of the Convention).

Ad paragraph 18 of the annex

(Article 23, paragraph 3, sub-paragraph (a), new No. (iii) of the Convention):

The Federal Republic of Germany does not consider itself bound by paragraph 18 of the annex (article 23, paragraph 3, sub-paragraph (a), new No. (iii) of the Convention).

Ad paragraph 18 of the annex

(Article 23, paragraph 3, sub-paragraph (b), new No. (iv) of the Convention):

The Federal Republic of Germany does not consider itself bound by paragraph 18 of the annex (article 23, paragraph 3, sub-paragraph (b), new No (iv) of the Convention).

HUNGARY

Reservation:

The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 9 of the Agreement, in pursuance of article 11, paragraph 1, thereof.

Declarations:

The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that the provisions . . . of article 2 of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968, opened for signature at Geneva on 1 May 1971, are at variance with the generally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States and it considers that these international instruments should be open for participation by all interested States without any discrimination

The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic further declares that the provisions of . . . article 3 of the European Agreement, supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968 opened for signature at Geneva on 1 May 1971, are at variance with the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples [resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960].

POLAND

Reservation:

The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by article 9 of the Agreement.

ROMANIA

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

a. The Socialist Republic of Romania declares that, in accordance with article 11, paragraph 1, of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968, and with article 11, paragraph 1, of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968, it does not consider itself bound by article 9 of the two Agreements, under which any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties which relates to the interpretation or application of the Agreements and which is not settled by negotiation is to be referred to arbitration if any of the Parties so requests.

It is the position of the Socialist Republic of Romania that such disputes may be referred to arbitration only with the consent of all the Parties in dispute in each individual case.

Declaration made upon signature:

b. The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of article 2 of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968, and article 2 of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968, are not in keeping with the principle that multilateral international treaties whose aim and purpose affect the international community as a whole should be opened to universal participation.

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

c. The Council of State of the Socialist Republic of Romania feels that the maintenance of a dependent status for certain territories to which reference is made by the provisions of article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic opened for signature at Vienna on 8 November 1968, is not in keeping with the Charter of the United Nations or with the documents adopted by the United Nations concerning the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, which was unanimously adopted in General Assembly resolution 2625 (XXV) of 24 October 1970 and which solemnly proclaims the duty of States to promote realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring a speedy end to colonialism.

SWEDEN

"The reservations of Sweden to the Convention on Road Traffic also apply to this Agreement."

Reservation concerning article 9:

Sweden opposes that disputes in which it is involved shall be referred to arbitration."

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers it necessary to state that the provisions of article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Traffic of 1968 and of article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968, under which States may extend the applicability of the Agreements to territories for the international relations of which they are responsible, are anachronistic and contrary to the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

menting the Vienna Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968, under which States may extend the applicability of the Agreements to territories for the international relations of which they are responsible, are anachronistic and contrary to the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 9 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Traffic of 1968 or of article 9 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968, under which disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Agreements shall be referred to arbitration if any of the Parties in dispute so requests.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declaration:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers it necessary to state that the provisions of article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Traffic of 1968 and of article 3 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968, under which States may extend the applicability of the Agreements to territories for the international relations of which they are responsible, are anachronistic and contrary to the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which solemnly proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

Reservation:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 9 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Traffic of 1968 or of article 9 of the European Agreement supplementing the Vienna Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968 under which disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Agreements shall be referred to arbitration if any of the Parties in dispute so requests.

NOTES:

1/ In a declaration accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Agreement will also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

2/ In a communication received on 30 October 1980, the Government of France notified the Secretary-General that it withdrew its reservation with regard to article 20, paragraph 5 of the Agreement. The said reservation read as follows:

"With regard to article 20, paragraph 5, of the Agreement on Road Traffic, France does not intend to make it obligatory for pedestrians to keep to the side appropriate to the direction of traffic."



24. EUROPEAN AGREEMENT (WITH ANNEX) SUPPLEMENTING THE CONVENTION ON ROAD SIGNS AND SIGNALS  
OPENED FOR SIGNATURE AT VIENNA ON 8 NOVEMBER 1968

Concluded at Geneva on 1 May 1971

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 3 August 1979, in accordance with article 4 (1).  
REGISTRATION: 3 August 1979, No.17935.  
TEXT: E/FCE/812(E/ECE/TRANS/566) and Corr.1.

Note: The text of the Agreement was approved by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe on 1 May 1971, at its thirtieth session held at Geneva. In accordance with a decision of the Committee at its thirty-first session, held at Geneva from 1 to 4 February 1971, the period during which the Agreement was open for signature (originally from 1 May 1971 to 30 April 1972) was extended to 31 December 1972 (Doc. E/ECE/TRANS/568, paragraph 132)

Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)	Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a)
Austria . . . . .	15 Dec 1972	11 Aug 1981	Luxembourg . . . .	25 May 1971	25 Nov 1975
Belgium . . . . .	28 Oct 1971		Poland . . . . .		23 Aug 1984 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .		28 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .	6 Oct 1971	9 Dec 1980
Byelorussian SSR		17 Dec 1974 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	1 Feb 1972	25 Jul 1985
Czechoslovakia . .		7 Jun 1978 <u>a</u>	Switzerland . . .	31 Oct 1972	
Denmark . . . . .	2 May 1972	3 Nov 1986	Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic . . . . .		30 Dec 1974 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .	22 Dec 1972	1 Apr 1985	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		27 Sep 1974 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	29 Dec 1972	16 Jan 1974	United Kingdom . .	27 Oct 1971	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		18 Aug 1975 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . .		6 Jun 1977 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . .	28 May 1971	3 Aug 1978			
Greece . . . . .		18 Dec 1986 <u>a</u>			
Hungary . . . . .	29 Dec 1972	16 Mar 1976			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification and accession.)

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Declaration and reservation:

[For the text see the declaration and reservation made in respect of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic done at Geneva on 1 May 1971 in chapter XI.B-23.]

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

[Same reservation and declaration, mutatis mutandis, as those made in respect of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic done at Geneva on 1 May 1971 (chapter XI.B-23).]

DENMARK

[Same reservations as those under Chapter XI.B.20.]

FINLAND

Declaration:

"1) With respect to Annex, paragraph 17 (amendment to Section B of Annex I, paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Convention:

Signs indicating dangerous descent and steep ascent), Finland reserves the right to use sign

A,2<sup>c</sup> of the Convention to indicate a dangerous descent, instead of sign A,2<sup>a</sup>. Similarly sign A,3<sup>c</sup> of the Convention is used to indicate a steep ascent instead of sign A,3<sup>a</sup>;

"2) With respect to Article 11, paragraph 3, Finland notifies that the reservations Finland has made to Article 18, preamble and paragraphs 4 and 5 of Section F of Annex 5 and paragraph 6 of Section F of Annex 5 of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals shall also apply to the European Agreement Supplementing the Convention."

Reservation:  
"With respect to Annex, paragraph 22 (amendment to the Note and Section A of Annex 4 of the Convention:

Prohibition signs), Finland reserves the right to use an oblique red bar in signs corresponding to signs C,3<sup>a</sup>-C,3<sup>k</sup> of the Convention."

FRANCE

With regard to article 23, paragraph 3 bis (b), of the Agreement on Road Signs and Signals, France intends to retain the possibility of using lights placed on the side opposite to the direction of traffic, so as to be in a position to convey meanings different from those conveyed by the lights placed on the side appropriate to the direction of traffic.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

[Same reservation and declarations, mutatis mutandis, as those made in respect of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic done at Geneva on 1 May 1971 (chapter XI.B-23).]

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Reservations:

Ad paragraph 3 of the annex  
(Article 1, sub-paragraph (1) of the Convention).

The Federal Republic of Germany does not consider itself bound by paragraph 3 of the annex (article 1, sub-paragraph (1) of the Convention).

Ad paragraph 15 of the annex

Article 33, paragraph 1, sub-paragraph (a), No. (i) of the Convention):

The Federal Republic of Germany does not consider itself bound by paragraph 15 of the annex (article 33, paragraph 1, sub-paragraph (a) No. (i) of the Convention)

HUNGARY

[Same reservation and declarations, mutatis mutandis, as those made in respect of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic done at Geneva on 1 May 1971 (chapter XI.B-23).]

POLAND

[Same reservation, mutatis mutandis, as the one made in respect of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic done at Geneva on 1 May 1971 (chapter XI B-23).]

Declaration:

The Polish People's Republic will use symbol

A,2c (dangerous descent) instead of symbol A,2a, and symbol A,3c (steep ascent) instead of symbol A,3a provided for in item 17 of the annex to the aforesaid Agreement in accordance with the provisions of Annex 1, Section B, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention on Road Signs and Signals.

ROMANIA

Declarations:

[For the text see the declarations made in respect of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic done at Geneva on 1 May 1971 in chapter XI B-23.]

SWEDEN

With respect to paragraph 22 of the annex, signs C, 3a to C, 3k shall incorporate an oblique bar."

The reservations of Sweden to the Convention on Road Signs and Signals also apply to this Agreement."

With regard to article 9:

Sweden opposes that disputes in which it is involved shall be referred to arbitration."

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Declaration and reservation:

[For the text see the declaration and reservation made in respect of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic done at Geneva on 1 May 1971 in chapter XI.B-23.]

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declarations and reservation:

[For the text see the declarations and reservation made in respect of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic done at Geneva on 1 May 1971 in chapter XI.B-23.]

---

NOTES:

1/ In a declaration accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Agreement will also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

25. PROTOCOL ON ROAD MARKINGS, ADDITIONAL TO THE EUROPEAN AGREEMENT SUPPLEMENTING THE CONVENTION ON ROAD SIGNS AND SIGNALS OPENED FOR SIGNATURE AT VIENNA ON 8 NOVEMBER 1968

Concluded at Geneva on 1 March 1973

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 25 April 1985, in accordance with article 4.  
 REGISTRATION: 25 April 1985, No. 23345.  
 TEXT: ECE/TRANS/4 and Corr.1.

Note: Drawn up by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe at its thirty-second session held at Geneva from 2 January to 2 February 1973 on the basis of a text prepared by the Working Party on Road Transport on its forty-sixth and fiftieth extraordinary sessions (Doc. W/TRANS/SCI/450 and Add.1).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .	27 Feb 1974	11 Aug 1981	Greece . . . . .		18 Dec 1986
Belgium . . . . .	13 Aug 1973		Hungary . . . . .	18 Dec 1973	16 Mar 1976
Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic . . . . .		25 Apr 1984 <u>a</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .	4 Jul 1973	25 Nov 1975
Bulgaria . . . . .		28 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>	Poland . . . . .		23 Aug 1984 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		7 Jun 1978 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .		25 Jul 1985 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .		3 Nov 1986 <u>a</u>	Switzerland . . . . .	20 Mar 1973	
Finland . . . . .		1 Apr 1985 <u>a</u>	Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic . . . . .		9 May 1984 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		18 Aug 1975 <u>a</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		6 Apr 1984 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	15 Nov 1973	3 Aug 1978	Yugoslavia . . . . .		6 Jun 1977 <u>a</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

AUSTRIA

Reservation:

"Paragraph 6 of the Annex to the Protocol on Road Markings Additional to the European Agreement Supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals (referring to article 29 of the Convention) is applied with the exception of the provision under paragraph 2 according to which road markings have to be white."

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 9 of the Protocol on Road Markings of 1 March 1983, additional to the European Agreement of 1971 supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968 [.]

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, considers that the provisions of article 3 of the Protocol on Road Markings of 1 March 1983, additional to the European Agreement of 1971 supplementing the Convention on Road Signs and Signals of 1968, concerning the extension by States of the applicability of the Protocol to territories for the international relations of which they are responsible, are outdated and contrary to the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (United

Nations General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaimed the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

[Same reservation and declaration, mutatis mutandis, as those made in respect of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic done at Geneva on 1 May 1971 (Chapter XI.B-23).]

DENMARK

[Same reservations as those under Chapter XI.B.20.]

Reservation:

Annex, item 4, re: article 27, paragraph 5 concerning marking of cycle tracks.

FINLAND

Reservation:

"With respect to Annex, paragraph 6 (amendment to Article 29 paragraph 2 of the Convention), Finland reserves the right to use yellow colour for the continuous line between the opposite directions of traffic "

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

[Same reservation and declaration, mutatis mutandis, as those made in respect of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic done at Geneva on 1 May 1971 (chapter XI.B-23).]

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Reservation:

Ad paragraph 6 of the annex (Article 29, paragraph 2, of the Convention).  
The Federal Republic of Germany does not consider itself bound by the provision that the zigzag lines showing places where parking is prohibited shall be yellow.

HUNGARY

[Same reservation and declaration, mutatis mutandis, as those made in respect of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic done at Geneva on 1 May 1971 (chapter XI.B-23).]

POLAND

[Same reservation, mutatis mutandis, as the one made in respect of the European Agreement supplementing the Convention on Road Traffic done at Geneva on 1 May 1971 (chapter XI.B-23).]

Declaration:

All the road markings provided for in item 6, paragraph 2, of the Annex to the said Protocol shall be white.

SWEDEN

"The reservations of Sweden to the Convention on Road Signs and Signals and the European Agreement supplementing that Convention also apply to this Protocol."

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

[Same declaration as the one reproduced under Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic]

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

[Same declaration as the one reproduced under Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic]

---

NOTES:

1/ In a declaration accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Protocol will also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

XI B-26: Carriage of passengers and luggage by road (CUR)

26. CONVENTION ON THE CONTRACT FOR THE INTERNATIONAL CARRIAGE OF PASSENGERS AND LUGGAGE BY ROAD (CUR)

Concluded at Geneva on 1 March 1973

Not yet in force (see article 25).  
TEXT: ECE/TRANS/2 and Corr.1.

Note: Drawn up by the Working Party on Road Transport of the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe at its forty-fifth, forty-eighth, forty-ninth and fiftieth extraordinary sessions (Doc. W/TRANS/SCI/455/Rev.1) and approved by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Czechoslovakia		26 Jan 1976 <u>a</u>	Luxembourg . . .	4 Jul 1973	
Germany, Federal Republic of . . .	1 Mar 1974		Yugoslavia . . .		1 Apr 1976 <u>a</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

CHECHOSLOVAKIA

- [1] "The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic will not be bound by article 29 of the Convention."
- [2] "The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic as a Contracting Party to the Agreement on General Conditions for International Carriage of Passengers by Bus, signed at Berlin on 5 December 1970, will, in the event of conflict between the Convention and the said Agreement, apply provisions

of the said Agreement to an operation for which, according to the contract carriage:  
 - The places of departure and destination are situated in the territory of a State which has made the declaration, or  
 - Carriage is to take place in the territory of at least one State which has made the said declaration and will not be undertaken in the territory of any Contracting Party to the Convention which has not made the declaration."

(a) PROTOCOL TO THE CONVENTION ON THE CONTRACT FOR THE INTERNATIONAL CARRIAGE OF PASSENGERS AND LUGGAGE BY ROAD (CUR)

Concluded at Geneva on 5 July 1978

Not yet in force (see article 4).  
TEXT: ECE/TRANS/35.

Note: The Protocol was adopted by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe at its thirty-eighth (special) session held at Geneva on 5 July 1978. The Protocol is open for signature at Geneva from 1 September 1978 to 31 August 1979

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	1 Nov 1978	

XI.B-27: Driving permits

27. AGREEMENT ON MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR THE ISSUE AND VALIDITY OF  
DRIVING PERMITS (APC)

Concluded at Geneva on 1 April 1975

Not yet in force (see article 7).  
TEXT: ECE/TRANS/13.

Note: The Agreement was drawn up under the auspices of the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe and was open for signature until 1 April 1976, at Geneva.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .		28 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>	Morocco . . . . .		31 Mar 1983 <u>a</u>
Luxembourg . . . . .	9 Dec 1975	4 Oct 1982	Yugoslavia . . . . .		23 Jun 1978 <u>a</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

**BULGARIA**

Reservation:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by article 11 of the Agreement, which provides for compulsory arbitration.

Declaration:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that article 6 of the Agreement is at variance

with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples of 14 December 1960.

In the People's Republic of Bulgaria the Ministry of Transport and the Ministry of the Interior are the bodies competent to consent to the amendments envisaged in article 8, paragraph 7, of the Agreement.

28. EUROPEAN AGREEMENT ON MAIN INTERNATIONAL TRAFFIC ARTERIES (AGR)

Concluded at Geneva on 15 November 1975

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 March 1983, in accordance with article 6(1).  
 REGISTRATION: 15 March 1983, No. 21618  
 TEXT: ECE/TRANS/16 and Corr.1; depositary notification C.N.23.1984.TREATIES-1 of 1 March 1984 and C.N.290.1985.TREATIES-4 of 11 December 1985\* (amendments to annex 1).<sup>1</sup>

Note. The Agreement was drawn up by the Working Party on Road Transports of the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe in the course of its fifty-fourth (special), fifty-sixth (special) and fifty-seventh sessions, and approved by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe. The Agreement was opened for signature at Geneva on 15 November 1975.

\* (Owing to a typographical error, depositary notification C.N.290.1985.TREATIES-4 of 11 December 1985 was, when circulated, misnumbered C.N.280.1985.TREATIES-4).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, approval (A), acceptance (AA), accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, approval (A), acceptance (AA), accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .	29 Dec 1976		Luxembourg . . . . .	16 Jun 1976	20 Nov 1981
Belgium . . . . .		15 Apr 1985 <u>a</u>	Netherlands <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		12 Dec 1979 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .	14 Dec 1976	17 Nov 1977	Poland . . . . .	31 Dec 1976	9 Nov 1984
Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic . . . . .		17 Dec 1982 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .		2 Jul 1985 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		26 Nov 1986 <u>a</u>	Switzerland . . . . .	30 Jan 1976	
France . . . . .		15 Dec 1982 <u>a</u>	Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic . . . . .		29 Dec 1982 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	29 Dec 1976	14 Apr 1981	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		14 Dec 1982 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	19 Nov 1976	3 Aug 1978	United Kingdom . . . . .	22 Dec 1976	19 Dec 1980 <u>a</u>
Hungary . . . . .		1 Sep 1978 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .		
Italy . . . . .		2 Jul 1981 <u>a</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature, ratification, approval, acceptance or accession.)

BULGARIA

Upon signature:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria maintains its position with regard to the provisions of article 13 of the European Agreement on main international traffic arteries, namely that before a dispute between two or more Contracting Parties which relates to the interpretation or application of this Agreement may be referred to arbitration, in each particular case the consent of all the parties to the dispute must be obtained.

Upon ratification:

"The People's Republic of Bulgaria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13 relating to compulsory arbitration."

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by article 13 of the European Agreement on Main International Traffic Arteries of 15 November 1975 and declares that, before any dispute between Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation or application of

the European Agreement may be referred to arbitration, in each particular case the consent of all the parties to the dispute must be obtained, and that only persons nominated by unanimous agreement of the parties to the dispute may act as arbitrators.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Reservation:

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares that within the meaning of article 15 of the Agreement, it does not consider itself bound by the provision of article 13 of the Agreement

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The German Democratic Republic declares in accordance with article 15 of the European Agreement on Main International Traffic Arteries of 15 November 1975 that it does not consider itself bound by article 13 of the Agreement relating to the settlement of disputes by arbitration."

HUNGARY

The Hungarian People's Republic declares that, in view of article 15 of the Agreement, it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13, under which any dispute which relates to the interpretation or application of the Agreement and which the parties in dispute are unable to settle by negotiations or by other means of settlement shall be referred to compulsory arbitration.

POLAND

Reservation:

The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13 of the Agreement.

ROMANIA

Reservation:

The Socialist Republic of Romania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 13 of the Agreement, which states that any disputes between the Contracting Parties which relates to the interpretation or application of this Agreement and which the Parties are unable to settle by negotiation or other means of settlement shall be referred for a solution to arbitration at the request of any of the Contracting Parties concerned.

The Socialist Republic of Romania considers that such disputes may be referred for a solution

to arbitration only with the agreement of all the Parties to the dispute.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by article 13 of the European Agreement on Main International Traffic Arteries of 15 November 1975 and states, that, for the submission to arbitration of any dispute among the Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the European Agreement, the agreement of all the Parties in dispute shall be required in each individual case, and the arbitrators shall only be persons appointed by general agreement between the Parties in dispute.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by article 13 of the European Agreement on Main International Traffic Arteries of 15 November 1975 and declares, that, before any dispute between Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation or application of the European Agreement may be referred to arbitration, in each particular case the consent of all the parties to the dispute must be obtained, and that only persons nominated by unanimous agreement of the parties to the dispute may act as arbitrators.

NOTES:

1/ Amendments to Annex 1 proposed by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany were circulated by the Secretary-General on 1 March 1984. None of the competent administrations of the Contracting Parties having expressed any objection to the said amendments within six months of their circulation, they were deemed to have been accepted. Entry into force: 4 January 1985.

Further amendments were proposed by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany and Poland and circulated by the Secretary-General on 11 December 1985. None of the Contracting Parties having expressed any objection to the said amendments within six months of their circulation, they were deemed to have been accepted. Entry into force: 12 September 1986.

2/ Upon ratification, the Government of the

Federal Republic of Germany declared that with effect from the day on which the Agreement enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany it will also apply to Berlin (West) subject to the rights and responsibilities of France, the United Kingdom, and the United States of America.

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (14 December 1982 and 2 December 1985) on the one hand, the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany (23 August 1984), France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America (26 July 1984 and 29 October 1986) on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence mutatis mutandis, as those made to the corresponding ones referred to in note 2 in chapter III.3.

3/ For the Kingdom in Europe.



XI.B-29: Inter-African motor vehicle third party liability insurance card

---

29. INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF AN INTER-AFRICAN MOTOR VEHICLE THIRD PARTY LIABILITY INSURANCE CARD

Opened for signature at New York on 1 October 1978

Not yet in force (see article 9)  
TEXT: UNCTAD/INS/18.

Note: The Agreement was prepared by the Secretariat of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development in accordance with a resolution taken at a Round-Table Meeting held by African countries under the auspices of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development and the Economic Commission for Africa in Yaoundé, United Republic of Cameroon, from 22 to 26 November 1976. The Agreement remained open for signature at New York from 1 October 1978 to 30 September 1979.

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>
Togo . . . . .	18 June 1979	

C. TRANSPORT BY RAIL

1. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION TO FACILITATE THE CROSSING OF FRONTIERS FOR PASSENGERS AND BAGGAGE CARRIED BY RAIL

Signed at Geneva on 10 January 1952

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 April 1953, in accordance with article 14.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 April 1953, No. 2138.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 163, p. 3; and vol. 328, p. 319 (Modified International Customs Declaration form annexed to the Convention, which entered into force on 24 May 1959).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .		8 Jun 1956 <u>a</u>	Netherlands <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		10 Jan 1952 <u>s</u>
Belgium . . . . .	10 Jan 1952	22 Jul 1953	Norway . . . . .	10 Jan 1952	28 Oct 1952
France . . . . .	10 Jan 1952	1 Apr 1953	Portugal . . . . .		24 Sep 1956 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .	10 Jan 1952	22 Jun 1955	Sweden . . . . .	10 Jan 1952	
Liechtenstein <sup>1</sup> . . . . .			Switzerland <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	10 Jan 1952	5 Jun 1957
Luxembourg . . . . .	10 Jan 1952	26 Jan 1954			

NOTES:

1/ On 16 June 1975, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

2/ In a communication received on 25 May 1952, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary General of the withdrawal of the reservation as to ratification made on its behalf upon signature of the Convention.

2 INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION TO FACILITATE THE CROSSING OF FRONTIERS  
FOR GOODS CARRIED BY RAIL

Signed at Geneva on 10 January 1952

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 April 1953, in accordance with article 14.  
REGISTRATION. 1 April 1953, No. 2139.  
TEXT. United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 163, p. 27; and vol. 328, p. 319 (Modified International Customs Declaration form annexed to the Convention, which came into force on 24 May 1959).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .		8 Jun 1956 <u>a</u>	Netherlands <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		10 Jan 1952 <u>s</u>
Belgium . . . . .	10 Jan 1952	22 Jul 1953	Norway . . . . .	10 Jan 1952	28 Oct 1952
France . . . . .	10 Jan 1952	1 Apr 1953	Portugal . . . . .		24 Sep 1956 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .	10 Jan 1952	22 Jun 1955	Spain . . . . .		17 Apr 1962 <u>a</u>
Liechtenstein <sup>1</sup> . . . . .			Sweden . . . . .	10 Jan 1952	
Luxembourg . . . . .	10 Jan 1952	26 Jan 1954	Switzerland <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	10 Jan 1952	5 Jun 1957

NOTES.

1/ On 16 June 1975, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Convention apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty

2/ In a communication received on 25 May 1952, the Government of the Netherlands notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservation as to ratification made on its behalf upon signature of the Convention.

3. EUROPEAN AGREEMENT ON MAIN INTERNATIONAL RAILWAY LINES (AGC)

Concluded at Geneva on 31 May 1985

Not yet in force (see article 6).  
TEXT.TRANS/SC2/162.

Note: The Agreement was drawn up under the auspices of the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe and is open for signature at Geneva until 1 September 1986

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Byelorussian SSR	27 Aug 1986		Poland . . . . .	5 Feb 1986	
France . . . . .	28 Aug 1986		Portugal . . . . .	1 Sep 1985	
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	29 Aug 1986		Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	27 Aug 1986	
Greece . . . . .	9 Jul 1986		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	27 Aug 1986	
Hungary . . . . .	16 Apr 1986				
Italy . . . . .	19 Aug 1986				
Luxembourg . . . . .	17 Jul 1986				

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Reservation:

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by article 8 of the European Agreement on Main International Railway Lines of 31 May 1985 and declares that the agreement of all the parties to a dispute is required, in each specific case, for the submission to arbitrators of any dispute between Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation or application of the European Agreement and that only persons designated by mutual agreement between the parties to a dispute may act as arbitrators.

POLAND

Reservation.

The Government of Poland declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 8 of the Agreement

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

[Same reservation, mutatis mutandis, as that made may the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic.]

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

[Same reservation, mutatis mutandis, as that made may the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic.]

D. WATER TRANSPORT

1. CONVENTION RELATING TO THE LIMITATION OF THE LIABILITY OF OWNERS OF INLAND NAVIGATION VESSELS (CLN)

Concluded at Geneva on 1 March 1973

Not yet in force (see article 12).  
TEXT: ECE/TRANS/3.

Note: The Convention was drawn up within the framework of the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe and opened for signature at Geneva from 1 March 1973 to 1 March 1974.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . .	1 Mar 1974		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . .		19 Feb 1981 a
Switzerland . . .	1 Mar 1974				

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Upon signature.

1. In the event of an occurrence in its territory, the Federal Republic of Germany will not apply the provisions of the Convention to cost and compensation due under article 4, paragraph 1 (e), for damage caused by water pollution (article 10, para. 1 (b)).

2. The Federal Republic of Germany will not apply the provision of article 4, paragraph 2 (a), of the Convention with respect to passengers carried on journeys for which the place of embarkation on board the vessel and the place of disembarkation therefrom are situated either both in its territory or in the territory of a State which has likewise made use of this reservation. In this case the Federal Republic of Germany will provide for the limitation fund established according to article 5, paragraph 1 (a), an amount higher than that foreseen by the Convention (article 10, para. 1 (c)).

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation:

In accordance with article 18, paragraph 1, of the Convention relating to the Limitation of the Liability of Owners of Inland Navigation Vessels of 1973, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions

of article 17 of this Convention, to the effect that any dispute between two or more of the Contracting Parties which relates to the interpretation or application of this Convention and which the Parties are unable to settle by negotiation or other settlement procedures may, at the request of either of the Contracting Parties concerned, be referred for settlement to the International Court of Justice, and declares that such disputes may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all the parties to the dispute in each individual case.

Declarations.

In accordance with article 10, paragraph 1 (a), of the Convention relating to the Limitation of the Liability of Owners of Inland Navigation Vessels of 1973, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that the provisions of this Convention shall not apply to inland waterways of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics that are open to navigation only for vessels flying the flag of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

The Permanent Mission of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to the United Nations notes that article 16 of this Convention, which provides for the possibility of its application by States Parties to the Convention to territories for whose external relations they are responsible, conflicts with the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples of 14 December 1960.

XI.D-1: Liability of owners of inland navigation vessels (CLN)

---

(a) PROTOCOL TO THE CONVENTION RELATING TO THE LIMITATION OF THE  
LIABILITY OF OWNERS OF INLAND NAVIGATION VESSELS (CLN)

Concluded at Geneva on 5 July 1978

Not yet in force (see article 4).  
TEXT: ECE/TRANS/32.

Note: The Protocol was adopted by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe at its thirty-eighth (special) session held at Geneva on 5 July 1978. The Protocol was open for signature at Geneva from 1 September 1978 to 31 August 1979.

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . .	1 Nov 1978	

2. CONVENTION ON THE CONTRACT FOR THE INTERNATIONAL CARRIAGE OF PASSENGERS AND LUGGAGE BY INLAND WATERWAY (CVN)

Concluded at Geneva on 6 February 1976

Not yet in force (see article 20).  
TEXT: ECE/TRANS/20.

Note: The Convention was drawn up within the framework of the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe and opened for signature at Geneva from 1 May 1976 until 30 April 1977.

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .	2 Sep 1976	
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		19 Feb 1981 a

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation:

In accordance with article 25, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Passengers and Luggage by Inland Waterway of 1976, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 24 of that Convention, to the effect that any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties which relates to the interpretation or application of the Convention and which the Parties are unable to settle by negotiation or other settlement procedures may be referred for settlement to the International Court of Justice if any of the Par-

ties so requests, and hereby declares that such a dispute may only be referred to the International Court of Justice with the consent of all the parties to the disputes in each individual case;

Declaration:

In accordance with article 23, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Passengers and Luggage by Inland Waterway of 1976 the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that the provisions of this Convention shall not apply to inland waterways of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics that are open to navigation only for vessels flying the flag of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

XI.D-2: Carriage of passengers and luggage by inland waterway (CUN)--1978 Protocol

---

(a) PROTOCOL TO THE CONVENTION ON THE CONTRACT FOR THE INTERNATIONAL  
CARRIAGE OF PASSENGERS AND LUGGAGE BY INLAND WATERWAY (CUN)

Concluded at Geneva on 5 July 1978

Not yet in force (see article 4).  
TEXT: ECE/TRANS/33

Note: The Protocol was adopted by the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Europe at its thirty-eighth (special) session held at Geneva on 5 July 1978. The Protocol was open for signature at Geneva from 1 September 1978 to 31 August 1979.

---

Participant

Signature

Ratification, accession (a)



XI.D-3: Carriage of goods by sea

3. UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON THE CARRIAGE OF GOODS BY SEA, 1978

Concluded at Hamburg on 31 March 1978

Not yet in force (see article 30).  
TEXT: A/CONF.89/5.

Note: The Convention was adopted on 30 March 1978 by the United Nations Conference on the Carriage of Goods by Sea, held in Hamburg, Federal Republic of Germany, from 6 to 31 March 1978. The Conference had been convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations in accordance with resolution 31/100<sup>1</sup> adopted by the General Assembly on 15 December 1976. The Convention was opened for signature at Hamburg on 31 March 1978 and remained open for signature by all States at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, until 30 April 1979.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Austria . . . . .	30 Apr 1979		Norway . . . . .	18 Apr 1979	
Barbados . . . . .		2 Feb 1981 a	Romania . . . . .		7 Jan 1982 a
Brazil . . . . .	31 Mar 1978		Pakistan . . . . .	8 Mar 1979	
Chile . . . . .	31 Mar 1978	9 Jul 1982	Panama . . . . .	31 Mar 1978	
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	6 Mar 1979		Philippines . . . . .	14 Jun 1978	
Denmark . . . . .	18 Apr 1979		Portugal . . . . .	31 Mar 1978	
Ecuador . . . . .	31 Mar 1978		Senegal . . . . .	31 Mar 1978	17 Mar 1986
Egypt . . . . .	31 Mar 1978	23 Apr 1979	Sierra Leone . . . . .	15 Aug 1978	
Finland . . . . .	18 Apr 1979		Singapore . . . . .	31 Mar 1978	
France . . . . .	18 Apr 1979		Sweden . . . . .	18 Apr 1979	
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	31 Mar 1978		Tunisia . . . . .		15 Sep 1980 a
Ghana . . . . .	31 Mar 1978		Uganda . . . . .		6 Jul 1979 a
Holy See . . . . .	31 Mar 1978		United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		24 Jul 1979 a
Hungary . . . . .	23 Apr 1979	5 Jul 1984	United States of America . . . . .	30 Apr 1979	
Lebanon . . . . .		4 Apr 1983 a	Venezuela . . . . .	31 Mar 1978	
Madagascar . . . . .	31 Mar 1978		Zaire . . . . .	19 Apr 1979	
Mexico . . . . .	31 Mar 1978				
Morocco . . . . .		12 Jun 1981 a			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance or approval.)

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic, signing the United Nations Convention on the Carriage of Goods by Sea of 1978, declares, in conformity with the provision of its article 26, that the conversion of the amounts of the limits of liability, referred to in paragraph 2 of that article, into the Czechoslovak currency is made in the ratio of 0.48 Czechoslovak crown /Kce/ to 1

monetary unit, defined in paragraph 3 of article 26 of the Convention, and the limits of liability provided for in this Convention to be applied in the territory of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic are fixed as follows:

6,000.--Kcs per package or other shipping unit,  
or  
18.--Kcs per kilogramme of gross weight of the goods.

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> Official Records of the General Assembly, Thirtieth Session, Supplement No. 39, (A/31/39), p. 184.

E. MULTIMODAL TRANSPORT

1. UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON INTERNATIONAL MULTIMODAL TRANSPORT OF GOODS<sup>1</sup>

Concluded at Geneva on 24 May 1980

Not yet in force: (see article 36).

TEXT: TD/MT/CONF/16; depositary notifications C.N.45.1982.TREATIES-1 of 11 March 1982 (procès-verbal of rectification of Russian text) and C.N.194.1982.TREATIES-5 of 23 August 1982 (procès-verbal of rectification of arabic text).

Note: The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference on a Convention on International Multimodal Transport, held in Geneva from 12 to 30 November 1979 and from 8 to 24 May 1980. The Conference had been convened pursuant to resolution 33/160<sup>2</sup> adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 20 December 1978. The Convention was opened for signature by all States from 1 September 1980 to 31 August 1981 inclusive at the United Nations Headquarters in New York.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>
Chile . . . . .	9 Jul 1981	7 Apr 1982	Norway . . . . .	28 Aug 1981	
Malawi . . . . .		2 Feb 1984 <sup>a</sup>	Senegal . . . . .	2 Jul 1981	25 Oct 1984
Mexico . . . . .	10 Oct 1980	11 Feb 1982	Venezuela . . . . .	31 Aug 1981	
Morocco . . . . .	25 Nov 1980				

NOTES:

1/ Although listed in this chapter for reasons of convenience, this Convention is not limited to transport by road.

2/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Thirty-third Session, Supplement No. 45. (A/33/45), p. 119.



CHAPTER XII. NAVIGATION

1. CONVENTION ON THE INTERNATIONAL MARITIME ORGANIZATION\*

Done at Geneva on 6 March 1948

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 17 March 1958, in accordance with article 60.  
 REGISTRATION: 17 March 1958, No. 4214.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 289, p. 3.

Note: The Convention was prepared and opened for signature and acceptance by the United Nations Maritime Conference convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations pursuant to Economic and Social Council resolution 35 (IV).<sup>1</sup> The Conference met at Geneva from 19 February to 6 March 1948. For the text of the Final Act of the Conference, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 289, p.3.

\*As a result of the entry into force of the amendments adopted by the IMCO Assembly by its resolutions A.358 (IX) of 14 November 1975 and A.371 of 9 November 1977 on 22 May 1982 [see chapter XII.1(d)], the name of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO) has been changed to "International Maritime Organization (IMO)" and the title of the Convention modified accordingly.

Participant	Signature	Definitive signature(s), acceptance	Participant	Signature	Definitive signature(s), acceptance
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963	France . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	9 Apr 1952
Angola . . . . .		6 Jun 1977	Gabon . . . . .		1 Apr 1976
Antigua and Barbuda . . . . .		13 Jan 1986	Gambia . . . . .		11 Jan 1979
Argentina . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	18 Jun 1953	German Democratic Republic . . . . .		25 Sep 1973
Australia . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	13 Feb 1952	Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		7 Jan 1959 <u>§</u>
Austria . . . . .		2 Apr 1975	Ghana . . . . .		6 Jul 1959
Bahamas . . . . .		22 Jul 1976	Greece . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	31 Dec 1958
Bahrain . . . . .		22 Sep 1976	Guatemala . . . . .		16 Mar 1983
Bangladesh . . . . .		27 May 1976	Guinea . . . . .		3 Dec 1975
Barbados . . . . .		7 Jan 1970	Guinea-Bissau . . . . .		6 Dec 1977
Belgium . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	9 Aug 1951	Guyana . . . . .		13 May 1980
Benin . . . . .		19 Mar 1980	Haiti . . . . .		23 Jun 1953
Brazil . . . . .		4 Mar 1963	Honduras . . . . .	13 Apr 1954	23 Aug 1954
Brunei Darussalam . . . . .		31 Dec 1984	Hungary . . . . .		10 Jun 1970
Bulgaria . . . . .		5 Apr 1960	Iceland . . . . .		8 Nov 1960
Burma . . . . .		6 Jul 1951	India . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	6 Jan 1959
Cameroon . . . . .		1 May 1961	Indonesia <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		18 Jan 1961
Canada . . . . .		15 Oct 1948	Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	10 Jun 1954	2 Jan 1958
Cape Verde . . . . .		24 Aug 1976	Iraq . . . . .		28 Aug 1973
Chile . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	17 Feb 1972	Ireland . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	26 Feb 1951
China <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		1 Mar 1973	Israel . . . . .		24 Apr 1952
Colombia . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	19 Nov 1974	Italy . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	28 Jan 1957
Congo . . . . .		5 Sep 1975	Jamaica . . . . .		11 May 1976
Costa Rica . . . . .		4 Mar 1981	Japan . . . . .		17 Mar 1958
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		4 Nov 1960	Jordan . . . . .		9 Nov 1973
Cuba . . . . .		6 May 1966	Kenya . . . . .		22 Aug 1973
Cyprus . . . . .		21 Nov 1973	Kuwait <sup>5</sup> . . . . .		5 Jul 1960
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		1 Oct 1963	Lebanon . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	3 May 1966
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		3 Jan 1961	Liberia . . . . .	9 Mar 1954	6 Jan 1959
Democratic People's Republic of Korea . . . . .		16 Apr 1986	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .		16 Feb 1970
Democratic Yemen . . . . .		2 Jun 1980	Madagascar . . . . .		8 Mar 1961
Denmark . . . . .		3 Jun 1959	Malaysia . . . . .		17 Jun 1971
Djibouti . . . . .		20 Feb 1979	Maldives . . . . .		31 May 1967
Dominica . . . . .		18 Dec 1979	Malta . . . . .		22 Jun 1966 <u>§</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .		25 Aug 1953	Mauritania <sup>5</sup> . . . . .		8 May 1961
Ecuador . . . . .		12 Jul 1956	Mauritius . . . . .		18 May 1978
Egypt . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	17 Mar 1958	Mexico . . . . .		21 Sep 1954
El Salvador . . . . .		12 Feb 1981	Morocco . . . . .		30 Jul 1962
Equatorial Guinea . . . . .		6 Sep 1972	Mozambique . . . . .		17 Jan 1979
Ethiopia . . . . .		3 Jul 1975			
Fiji . . . . .		14 Mar 1983			
Finland . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	21 Apr 1959			

XII.1: International Maritime Organization

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), acceptance</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), acceptance</u>
Nepal . . . . .		31 Jan 1979	Sri Lanka . . . . .		6 Apr 1972
Netherlands . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	31 Mar 1949	Sudan . . . . .		5 Jul 1974
New Zealand . . . . .		9 Nov 1960	Suriname . . . . .		14 Oct 1976
Nicaragua . . . . .		17 Mar 1982	Sweden . . . . .		27 Apr 1959
Nigeria . . . . .		15 Mar 1962	Switzerland . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	20 Jul 1955
Norway . . . . .		29 Dec 1958	Syrian Arab Republic		28 Jan 1963
Oman . . . . .		30 Jan 1974	Thailand . . . . .		20 Sep 1973
Pakistan . . . . .		21 Nov 1958	Togo . . . . .		20 Jun 1983
Panama . . . . .		31 Dec 1958	Trinidad and Tobago		27 Apr 1965
Papua New Guinea		6 May 1976	Tunisia . . . . .		23 May 1963
Peru . . . . .		15 Apr 1968	Turkey . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	25 Mar 1958
Philippines . . . . .		9 Nov 1964	Union of Soviet		
Poland . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	16 Mar 1960	Socialist Republics		24 Dec 1958
Portugal . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	17 Mar 1976	United Arab Emirates		4 Mar 1980
Qatar . . . . .		19 May 1977	United Kingdom . . .	6 Mar 1948	14 Feb 1949
Republic of Korea <sup>5</sup>		10 Apr 1962	United Republic		
Romania . . . . .		28 Apr 1965	of Tanzania . . . .		8 Jan 1974
Saint Lucia . . . . .		10 Apr 1980	United States		
Saint Vincent and			of America . . . . .	6 Mar 1948	17 Aug 1950
the Grenadines . . .		29 Apr 1981	Uruguay . . . . .		10 May 1968 s
Saudi Arabia . . . . .		25 Feb 1969	Vanuatu . . . . .		21 Oct 1986
Senegal . . . . .		7 Nov 1960	Venezuela . . . . .		27 Oct 1975
Seychelles . . . . .		13 Jun 1978	Viet Nam . . . . .		12 Jun 1984
Sierra Leone . . . . .		14 Mar 1973	Yemen . . . . .		14 Mar 1979
Singapore . . . . .		17 Jan 1966	Yugoslavia . . . . .		12 Feb 1960
Somalia . . . . .		4 Apr 1978	Zaire . . . . .		16 Aug 1973
Spain . . . . .		23 Jan 1962			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature or acceptance.)

**BAHRAIN<sup>6</sup>**

"The acceptance of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization by the State of Bahrain shall, however, in no way signify recognition of, or entry into any relations with Israel".

**CURA**

In accepting the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Revolutionary Government of the Republic of Cuba declares that its current legislation, which is duly adapted to the encouragement and development of its Merchant Marine, is consistent with the general purposes of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization as defined in article 1 (b) of the Convention. Accordingly, any recommendations relating to this subject that may be adopted by the Organization will be re-examined by the Government of Cuba in the light of the national policy in this regard.

**DEMOCRATIC KAMPUCHEA<sup>7</sup>**

In accepting the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Royal Government of Cambodia declares that the measures it has adopted or may adopt for

giving encouragement or assistance to its national shipping and shipping industries (such, for instance, as loan-financing of national shipping companies at reasonable or even concessional rates of interest, or the allocation to Cambodian ships of cargoes owned or controlled by the Royal Government, or the reservation of coastal trade for national shipping) and such other matters as it may adopt with the object of promoting the development of its own national shipping, are consistent with the purposes of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization as defined in article 1 (b) of the Convention.

Accordingly, the Royal Government will proceed to a re-examination, before they are put into effect, of any recommendations relating to this subject that may be adopted by the Organization.

The Royal Government further declares that its acceptance of the above-mentioned Convention neither has nor shall have the effect of altering or modifying in any way the law in force in the territory of the Kingdom of Cambodia.

**DEMOCRATIC YEMEN<sup>6</sup>**

"The acceptance of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen of the said Convention does not mean in any way recognition of Israel, or entering with it into relations governed by the Convention thereto acceded."

DENMARK

"The Government of Denmark supports the work programme adopted during the first Assembly of the Organization in January 1959 and holds the view that it is in the field of technical and nautical matters that the Organization can make its contribution towards the development of shipping and seaborne trade throughout the world.

"If the Organization were to extend its activities to matters of a purely commercial or economic nature, a situation might arise where the Government of Denmark would have to consider resorting to the provisions regarding withdrawal contained in article 59 of the Convention "

ECUADOR

The Government of Ecuador declares that the protectionist measures adopted in the interests of its National Merchant Marine and the Merchant Fleet of Greater Colombia (Flota Mercante Grancolombiana), the vessels belonging to which are regarded as Ecuadorian by reason of the participation of the Government of Ecuador in the said Fleet, are measures the sole object of which is to promote the development of the National Merchant Marine and of the Merchant Fleet of Greater Colombia and are consistent with the purposes of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Organization, as defined in article 1 (b) of the Convention. Accordingly, any recommendations relating to this subject that may be adopted by the Organization will be re-examined by the Government of Ecuador.

FINLAND

"The Government of Finland support the work programme proposed by the Preparatory Committee of the Organization in document IMCO/A.I/11. The Government of Finland hold the view that it is in the field of technical and nautical matters that the Organization can make its contribution towards the development of shipping and seaborne trade throughout the world.

"If the Organization were to extend its activities to matters of a purely commercial or economic nature, a situation might arise where the Government of Finland would have to consider resorting to the provisions regarding withdrawal contained in article 59 of the Convention "

GREECE

"Greece, in reconfirming its acceptance, considers that the aforesaid organization can play a useful and important role in the field of technical and nautical matters, thus contributing to the development of shipping and seaborne trade throughout the world. In case the organization extends its activities to matters of commercial and economic nature, the Greek Government may find itself bound to reconsider its acceptance of the Convention and avail itself of its provisions concerning withdrawal as laid down in article 59."

ICELAND

"Iceland will reconsider its ratification, if it subsequently were decided to extend IMCO's competence so as also to deal with questions of an entirely financial or commercial nature.

"Great stress is laid by Iceland on the real validity of article 59 of the Convention, regarding withdrawal."

INDIA<sup>8</sup>

"In accepting the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Government of India declare that any measures which it adopts or may have adopted for giving encouragement and assistance to its national shipping and shipping industries (such, for instance, as loan-financing of national shipping companies at reasonable or even concessional rates of interest, or the allocation of Government-owned or Government-controlled cargoes to national ships or the reservation of the coastal trade for national shipping) and such other matters as the Government of India may adopt, the sole object of which is to promote the development of its own national shipping, are consistent with the purposes of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization as defined in article 1 (b) of the Convention. Accordingly, any recommendations relating to this subject that may be adopted by the Organization will be subject to re-examination by the Government of India. The Government of India further expressly state that its acceptance of the above-mentioned Convention neither has nor shall have the effect of altering or modifying in any way the law on the subject in force in the territories of the Republic of India "

INDONESIA<sup>9</sup>

"In accepting the Convention, the Government of the Republic of Indonesia declares that it is in the field of technical and nautical matters that the Organization can make its contribution towards the development of shipping and seaborne trade throughout the world

"On matters of a purely commercial or economic nature, the Government holds the view that assistance and encouragement to its national shipping industries for the development of its domestic and foreign trade and for purposes of security, are consistent with the purposes of the Organization as defined in article 1 (b) of the Convention.

"Accordingly, the acceptance shall never have the effect of altering or modifying in any way the laws in force in the Republic of Indonesia and any recommendation relating to this subject adopted by the Organization will be subject to re-examination by the Government of the Republic of Indonesia."

IRAQ<sup>10</sup>

The participation of the Republic of Iraq in this Convention shall, however, in no way signify recognition of, or entry into any relations with Israel.

The Republic of Iraq hereby declares that article 1 (b) of the Convention is not in conflict with the measures taken by it to encourage and assist national shipping companies, such as the granting of financial loans, the assignment of cargo vessels flying its flag to carry specific goods and the assignment of coastal shipping in the interest of national commercial vessels, or any other measures aimed at the development and growth of the national fleet or national shipping

MALAYSIA

"In accepting the Convention of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Government of Malaysia declares that any measures which she may adopt for giving encouragement or assistance to her national shipping and shipping industries (for instance, such as loan financing of national shipping companies at reasonable or even concessional rates of interest or the allocation to Malaysian cargo ships owned or controlled by the Malaysian Government, or the reservation of coastal trade for national shipping) and such other matter as she may adopt with the object of promoting the development of her own national shipping, are consistent with the purposes of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization as defined in article 1 (b) of the Convention. Accordingly any recommendations relating to this subject that may be adopted by the Organisation will be re-examined by the Government of Malaysia. The Government of Malaysia further expressly states that her acceptance of the above-mentioned Convention neither has nor shall have the effect of altering or modifying in any way the law on the subject in force in Malaysia "1

MEXICO

The Government of the United States of Mexico, in accepting the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, on the understanding that nothing in the said Convention is intended to change national legislation relating to restrictive business practices, expressly states that its acceptance of the above-mentioned international instrument neither has nor shall have the effect of altering or modifying in any way the application of the laws against monopolies in the territory of the Republic of Mexico

MOROCCO

In joining the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Government of the Kingdom of Morocco wishes to declare that it is not in agreement with a possible broadening of the scope of the activities of this Organization from the purely technical and nautical activities into the field of matters of an economic and commercial nature as stated in article 1 (b) and (c) of the Convention for the Establishment of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization. If such a broadening of the field of activities of the Organization were to take place, the Government of the Kingdom of Morocco reserves

the right to reconsider its position concerning the ensuing situation, and might be led to invoke the provisions of article 59 of the Convention, regarding the withdrawal of members from the Organization

NORWAY

"The Norwegian Government supports the work programme proposed by the Preparatory Committee of the Organization in document IMCO/A.I/11. The Norwegian Government holds the view that it is in the field of technical and nautical matters that the Organization can make its contribution towards the development of shipping and seaborne trade throughout the world

"If the Organization were to extend its activities to matters of a purely commercial or economic nature, a situation might arise where the Norwegian Government would have to consider resorting to the provisions regarding withdrawal contained in article 59 of the Convention."

POLAND

"In accepting the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, signed at Geneva on 6 March 1948, the Government of the Polish People's Republic declares that it supports the work programme of the Organization, approved by the Assembly at its First Session held in January 1959

"The Government of the Polish People's Republic holds the view that it is in the field of technical and nautical matters that the Organization shall make its contribution towards the development of shipping and seaborne trade throughout the world."

SPAIN

The Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization may not extend its activities to economic or commercial questions but must limit itself to questions of a technical character.

SRI LANKA<sup>12</sup>

In accepting the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, as amended, the Government of Ceylon declares that any measures which it adopts or may have adopted for giving encouragement and assistance to its national shipping and shipping industries (such, for instance, as loan-financing of national shipping companies at reasonable or even concessional rates of interest, or the allocation of Government-owned or Government-controlled cargoes to national ships or the reservation of the coastal trade for national shipping) and such other matters as the Government of Ceylon may adopt, the sole object of which is to promote the development of its own national shipping, are consistent with the purposes of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization as defined in article 1 (b) of the Convention. Accordingly, any recommendations relating to this subject that may be adopted by the Organization will be subject to re-examination by the Government of Ceylon. The Government of Ceylon further expressly states

XII.1: International Maritime Organization

that its acceptance of the above-mentioned Convention neither has nor shall have the effect of altering or modifying in any way the law on the subject in force in Ceylon

SWEDEN

"In accepting the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Government of Sweden declares that it supports the work programme of the Organization as per document A.I/11 and its corrigendum 1, decided upon by the first meeting of the Assembly of the Organization in January 1959.

"The Government of Sweden holds the view that it is in the field of technical and nautical matters that the Organization can make its contribution towards the development of shipping and sea-borne trade throughout the world.

"If the Organization were to extend its activities to matters of a purely commercial or economic nature, a situation might arise in which the Government of Sweden would have to consider resorting to the provisions regarding withdrawal contained in article 59 of the Convention."

SWITZERLAND

In depositing its instrument of ratification of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO), Switzerland makes the general reservation that its participation in the work of IMCO, more particularly as regards that organization's relations with the United Nations, cannot exceed the bounds implicit in Switzerland's status as a perpetually neutral State. In conformity with this general reservation, Switzerland wishes to make a particular reservation both in respect of the text of article VI as incorporated in the agreement, at present in draft form, between IMCO and the United Nations, and in respect of any similar clause which may replace or supplement that provision in the said agreement or in any other arrangement

TURKEY

"[Participation by Turkey] will in no wise have any effect on the provisions of the Turkish laws concerning cabotage and monopoly "

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES<sup>6</sup>

"The Government of the United Arab Emirates takes the view that its acceptance of the said Convention and amendments does not in any way imply its recognition of Israel, nor does it oblige to apply the provisions of the Convention and amendments in respect of the said Country.

"The Government of United Arab Emirates wishes further to indicate that its understanding described above is in conformity with General practice existing in United Arab Emirates regarding signature, ratification, or acceptance to a Convention of which a country not recognized by United Arab Emirates is a party."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA<sup>13</sup>

"It being understood that nothing in the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization is intended to alter domestic legislation with respect to restrictive business practices, it is hereby declared that ratification of that Convention by the Government of the United States of America does not and will not have the effect of altering or modifying in any way the application of the antitrust statutes of the United States of America."

VIET NAM

In accepting the Convention on the International Maritime Organization, the Socialist Republic of Vietnam states to support the purposes of the said Organization as defined in article 1 of the Convention. On the basis of state sovereignty and proceeding from its Foreign Policy of peace, friendship, co-operation, the Socialist Republic of Vietnam will take into consideration the recommendations relating to the subject as provided in article 1(b) of the Convention and relating amendments which may arise.

YUGOSLAVIA

"In joining the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Government of the Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia wishes to declare that it is not in agreement with a possible broadening of the scope of the activities of this Organization from the purely technical and nautical activities into the field of matters of an economic and commercial nature as stated in Article 1, sections under (b) and (c) of the Convention for the establishment of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization. If such a broadening of the field of activities of the Organization were to take place the Government of the Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia reserves the right to reconsider its position concerning the ensuing situation.

"At the same time, the Government of the Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia declares its readiness to fulfill all its obligations toward the Organization, as stated in the instrument of ratification."

Participation of Territories in the Convention (Article 58)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Netherlands . . .	3 Oct 1949	Indonesia, Surinam and the Netherlands West Indies. By a further notification received on 12 July 1951, notice was given that the participation of the Netherlands in this Convention, from 27 December 1949, no longer includes the territo-



XII.1: International Maritime Organization

---

Participation of Territories in the Convention (Article 58) (cont'd)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Netherlands (cont'd) . . .		ries under the jurisdiction of the Republic of Indonesia but includes Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles (formerly the Netherlands West Indies) and Netherlands New Guinea
United Kingdom . . . . .	19 Jan 1960	Federation of Nigeria <sup>14</sup>
	2 Oct 1961	Sarawak and North Borneo <sup>15</sup>
	7 Jun 1967	Hong Kong

Associate Membership in the Organization (Article 9)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	19 Jan 1960	Federation of Nigeria <sup>14</sup>
	2 Oct 1961	Joint associate membership of Sarawak and North Borneo <sup>15</sup>
	7 Jun 1967	Hong Kong

XII.1: International Maritime Organization

AMENDMENTS TO THE CONVENTION ON THE INTERNATIONAL MARITIME ORGANIZATION\*

(a) AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLES 17 AND 18 OF THE CONVENTION ON THE INTERNATIONAL MARITIME ORGANIZATION

Adopted by the Assembly of the International Maritime Consultative Organization by resolution A.69 (FS.II) of 15 September 1964

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 6 October 1967 for all Members of the Organization, in accordance with article 52 of the Convention.  
 REGISTRATION: 6 October 1967, No. 4214.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 607, p 276.  
 \*See note at beginning of chapter XII I.

Note: Pursuant to article 54 of the Convention, the acceptance of an amendment shall be made by the communication of an instrument to the Secretary-General of the Organization for deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Following is the list of States which have accepted the amendments to articles 17 and 18 of the Convention, either upon acceptance of the Convention or thereafter, showing the respective dates of receipt of the instruments of acceptance by the Secretary-General of the Organization and the dates of their deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

In accordance with article 52 of the Convention the Assembly of the International Maritime Consultative Organization determined that these amendments were of such a nature that any Member which hereafter declares that it did not accept such amendments and within a period of twelve months after they had come into force would, upon the expiration of this period, cease to be a Party to the Convention.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>
Algeria . . . . .	26 Oct 1967	3 Nov 1967	Kuwait . . . . .	2 Sep 1966	6 Sep 1966
Antigua and Barbuda		13 Jan 1986	Lebanon . . . . .	15 Feb 1967	20 Feb 1967
Argentina . . . . .	30 Sep 1966	5 Oct 1966	Madagascar . . . . .	18 Feb 1965	25 Feb 1965
Australia . . . . .	6 Jan 1965	15 Feb 1965	Malta . . . . .	5 Sep 1966	8 Sep 1966
Belgium . . . . .	20 Jul 1965	26 Jul 1965	Mauritania . . . . .	1 Nov 1966	4 Nov 1966
Benin . . . . .		19 Mar 1980	Mexico . . . . .	11 Oct 1967	16 Oct 1967
Brazil . . . . .	17 Nov 1966	30 Dec 1966	Morocco . . . . .	6 Sep 1965	7 Oct 1965
Bulgaria . . . . .	29 Sep 1966	3 Oct 1966	Netherlands . . . . .	21 Sep 1965	4 Oct 1965
Burma . . . . .	27 Sep 1966	6 Oct 1966	New Zealand . . . . .	22 Nov 1965	26 Nov 1965
Canada . . . . .	25 Jan 1965	15 Feb 1965	Nigeria . . . . .	6 Dec 1967	11 Dec 1967
China <sup>16</sup>			Norway . . . . .	9 Sep 1965	13 Sep 1965
Costa Rica . . . . .		4 Mar 1981	Pakistan . . . . .	11 Jun 1965	18 Jun 1965
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	17 Sep 1965	4 Oct 1965	Panama . . . . .	28 Jul 1966	2 Aug 1966
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	3 Oct 1966	6 Oct 1966	Papua New Guinea		6 May 1976
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	18 Aug 1966	22 Aug 1966	Philippines . . . . .	31 Oct 1966	2 Nov 1966
Democratic People's Republic of Korea		16 Apr 1986	Poland . . . . .	30 Jun 1965	9 Jul 1965
Denmark . . . . .	10 Jun 1965	14 Jul 1965	Republic of Korea	29 Apr 1965	5 May 1965
Dominican Republic	28 Jun 1966	11 Jul 1966	Romania . . . . .	29 Jul 1966	3 Aug 1966
Ecuador . . . . .	12 Aug 1965	18 Aug 1965	Senegal . . . . .	28 Sep 1966	6 Oct 1966
Egypt . . . . .	11 Mar 1966	18 Mar 1966	Sierra Leone . . . . .		14 Mar 1973
Finland . . . . .	17 Jan 1967	20 Jan 1967	Singapore . . . . .	14 Feb 1966	18 Feb 1966
France . . . . .	5 Apr 1965	21 Apr 1965	Spain . . . . .	16 Jun 1965	28 Jun 1965
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	24 Sep 1965	7 Oct 1965	Sudan . . . . .		5 Jul 1974
Ghana . . . . .	2 Apr 1965	17 May 1965	Sweden . . . . .	9 Sep 1965	13 Sep 1965
Greece . . . . .	1 Dec 1965	3 Dec 1965	Switzerland . . . . .	9 Jan 1967	13 Jan 1967
Iceland . . . . .	10 Sep 1965	14 Sep 1965	Trinidad and Tobago	24 Nov 1966	5 Dec 1966
India . . . . .	23 Feb 1965	17 Mar 1965	Tunisia . . . . .	28 Mar 1966	8 Apr 1966
Indonesia . . . . .	11 Oct 1966	21 Oct 1966	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	16 Dec 1965	20 Dec 1965
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	8 Jun 1966	15 Jun 1966	United Kingdom . . . . .	26 Jan 1965	15 Feb 1965
Ireland . . . . .	8 Jun 1965	14 Jun 1965	United States of America . . . . .	21 Jul 1966	25 Jul 1966
Israel . . . . .	6 Feb 1967	9 Feb 1967	Vanuatu . . . . .	15 Oct 1986	21 Oct 1986
Kenya . . . . .		22 Aug 1973	Yugoslavia . . . . .	4 Mar 1966	11 Mar 1966
			Zaire . . . . .		16 Aug 1973

## (b) AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE 28 OF THE CONVENTION ON THE INTERNATIONAL MARITIME ORGANIZATION\*

Adopted by the Assembly of the International Maritime Consultative Organization by resolution A.70 (IU) of 28 September 1965

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 3 November 1968 for all Members of the Organization in accordance with article 52 of the Convention.  
 REGISTRATION: 3 November 1968, No 4214  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 649, p. 334.  
 \*See note at beginning of chapter XII.1.

Note: Pursuant to article 54 of the Convention, the acceptance of an amendment shall be made by the communication of an instrument to the Secretary-General of the Organization for deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Following is the list of States which have accepted the amendment to article 28 of the Convention, either upon acceptance of the Convention or thereafter, showing the respective dates of receipt of the instruments of acceptance by the Secretary-General of the Organization and the dates of their deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

In accordance with article 52 of the Convention the Assembly of the International Maritime Consultative Organization determined that these amendments were of such a nature that any Member which hereafter declares that it did not accept such amendments and within a period of twelve months after they had come into force would, upon the expiration of this period, cease to be a Party to the Convention.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>
Algeria . . . . .	26 Oct 1967	3 Nov 1967	Maldives . . . . .	18 Apr 1968	22 Apr 1968
Antigua and Barbuda		13 Jan 1986	Malta . . . . .	5 Sep 1966	8 Sep 1966
Argentina . . . . .	30 Sep 1966	5 Oct 1966	Mexico . . . . .	11 Oct 1967	16 Oct 1967
Australia . . . . .	20 Jun 1966	23 Jun 1966	Morocco . . . . .	24 Jan 1966	27 Jan 1966
Belgium . . . . .	1 Jun 1966	6 Jun 1966	Netherlands . . . . .	9 May 1967	15 May 1967
Benin . . . . .		19 Mar 1980	New Zealand . . . . .	25 Jul 1968	29 Jul 1968
Brazil . . . . .	17 Nov 1966	30 Dec 1966	Nigeria . . . . .	6 Dec 1967	11 Dec 1967
Bulgaria . . . . .	29 Sep 1966	3 Oct 1966	Norway . . . . .	18 May 1966	23 May 1966
Canada . . . . .	25 Apr 1966	29 Apr 1966	Pakistan . . . . .	29 Jun 1966	5 Jul 1966
China <sup>1</sup>			Panama . . . . .	28 Jul 1966	2 Aug 1966
Cuba . . . . .	9 Feb 1973	9 Feb 1973	Papua New Guinea . . . . .		6 May 1976
Costa Rica . . . . .		4 Mar 1981	Philippines . . . . .	31 Oct 1966	2 Nov 1966
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	17 Mar 1967	20 Mar 1967	Poland . . . . .	16 Aug 1966	19 Aug 1966
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	3 Oct 1966	6 Oct 1966	Republic of Korea . . . . .	5 Jan 1967	10 Jan 1967
Democratic People's Republic of Korea		16 Apr 1986	Romania . . . . .	10 Jul 1967	27 Jul 1967
Denmark . . . . .	10 Nov 1966	15 Nov 1966	Sierra Leone . . . . .		14 Mar 1973
Egypt . . . . .	13 Feb 1967	15 Feb 1967	Singapore . . . . .	14 Feb 1966	18 Feb 1966
Finland . . . . .	17 Jan 1967	20 Jan 1967	Spain . . . . .	4 May 1966	9 May 1966
France . . . . .	1 Mar 1966	14 Mar 1966	Sudan . . . . .		5 Jul 1974
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup>	15 Jul 1966	22 Jul 1966	Sweden . . . . .	21 Jul 1966	26 Jul 1966
Ghana . . . . .	17 Nov 1966	21 Nov 1966	Switzerland . . . . .	9 Jan 1967	13 Jan 1967
Iceland . . . . .	8 Mar 1967	13 Mar 1967	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	17 Apr 1967	20 Apr 1967
India . . . . .	10 Oct 1966	13 Oct 1966	Tunisia . . . . .	16 Feb 1966	23 Feb 1966
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	20 Jun 1968	1 Jul 1968	Turkey . . . . .	5 Jun 1967	9 Jun 1967
Ireland . . . . .	20 Jun 1966	23 Jun 1966	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	28 Feb 1966	7 Mar 1966
Israel . . . . .	6 Feb 1967	9 Feb 1967	United Kingdom . . . . .	18 May 1966	23 May 1966
Kenya . . . . .		22 Aug 1973	United States of America . . . . .	25 Jan 1968	1 Feb 1968
Kuwait . . . . .	2 Sep 1966	6 Sep 1966	Vanuatu . . . . .	15 Oct 1986	21 Oct 1986
Lebanon . . . . .	15 Feb 1967	20 Feb 1967	Yugoslavia . . . . .	22 Nov 1966	28 Nov 1966
Madagascar . . . . .	24 Jan 1966	27 Jan 1966	Zaire . . . . .		16 Aug 1973

XII.1: International Maritime Organization

(c) AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLES 10, 16, 17, 18, 20, 28, 31 AND 32 OF THE CONVENTION  
ON THE INTERNATIONAL MARITIME ORGANIZATION\*

Adopted by the Assembly of the International Maritime Consultative  
Organization by resolution A.315 (ES.U) of 17 October 1974

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 April 1978 for all Members of the Organization in accordance with article 52 of the Convention.  
REGISTRATION: 1 April 1978, No. 4214.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 1080, p. 374  
\*See note at beginning of chapter XII 1.

Note: Pursuant to article 54 of the Convention, the acceptance of an amendment shall be made by the communication of an instrument to the Secretary-General of the Organization for deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Following is the list of States which have accepted the amendments to articles 10, 16, 17, 18, 20, 28, 31 and 32 of the Convention, either upon acceptance of the Convention or thereafter, showing the respective dates of receipt of the instruments of acceptance by the Secretary-General of the Organization and the dates of their deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

In accordance with article 52 of the Convention the Assembly of the International Maritime Consultative Organization determined that these amendments were of such a nature that any Member which hereafter declares that it did not accept such amendments and within a period of twelve months after they had come into force would, upon the expiration of this period, cease to be a Party to the Convention.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>
Algeria . . . . .	21 Feb 1976	8 Mar 1976	Iceland . . . . .	3 May 1976	13 May 1976
Angola . . . . .		6 Jun 1977	India . . . . .	9 Jan 1976	16 Jan 1976
Antigua and Barbuda		13 Jan 1986	Indonesia . . . . .	12 Nov 1976	23 Nov 1976
Argentina . . . . .	25 Sep 1979	8 Oct 1979	Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	1 Jul 1975	8 Jul 1975
Austria . . . . .		1 Mar 1977	Iraq <sup>20</sup> . . . . .		11 Mar 1976
Bahamas . . . . .	20 Jan 1977	31 Jan 1977	Ireland . . . . .	26 Oct 1978	6 Nov 1978
Bahrain <sup>18</sup> . . . . .	22 Sep 1976	22 Sep 1976	Israel . . . . .	25 Aug 1976	8 Sep 1976
Barbados . . . . .	19 Jun 1975	30 Jun 1975	Italy . . . . .	30 Apr 1976	13 May 1976
Belgium . . . . .	22 Jun 1976	6 Jul 1976	Jordan . . . . .	30 Mar 1977	5 Apr 1977
Brazil . . . . .	19 Jul 1976	30 Jul 1976	Liberia . . . . .	22 Aug 1975	8 Sep 1975
Bulgaria . . . . .		16 Apr 1975	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .	13 Jul 1976	30 Jul 1976
Burma . . . . .	18 Jan 1980	29 Jan 1980	Madagascar . . . . .	17 Dec 1975	29 Dec 1975
Cameroon . . . . .		1 Nov 1976	Maldives . . . . .	7 Jul 1975	21 Jul 1975
Canada . . . . .	4 Jul 1975	16 Jul 1975	Malta . . . . .	25 Oct 1976	2 Nov 1976
Cape Verde . . . . .		24 Aug 1976	Mauritius . . . . .		18 May 1978
Chile . . . . .	2 Feb 1976	11 Feb 1976	Mexico . . . . .		23 Mar 1976
China . . . . .	18 Apr 1975	28 Apr 1975	Morocco <sup>18</sup> . . . . .		17 Sep 1976
Colombia . . . . .	24 Aug 1979	4 Sep 1979	Netherlands <sup>21</sup> . . . . .	23 Oct 1975	10 Nov 1975
Cuba . . . . .		24 Nov 1975	New Zealand . . . . .	16 Mar 1976	24 Mar 1976
Cyprus . . . . .	16 Feb 1976	24 Feb 1976	Nigeria . . . . .		30 Jun 1976
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		23 Nov 1976	Norway . . . . .	16 Apr 1975	28 Apr 1975
Democratic People's Republic of Korea		16 Apr 1986	Oman . . . . .	8 Nov 1976	17 Nov 1976
Denmark . . . . .	5 Jul 1976	20 Jul 1976	Pakistan . . . . .	4 May 1976	13 May 1976
Dominican Republic	16 Dec 1976	30 Dec 1976	Panama . . . . .		23 May 1975
Ecuador . . . . .	23 Dec 1976	3 Jan 1977	Peru . . . . .	8 Nov 1976	17 Nov 1976
Egypt . . . . .		16 Nov 1976	Poland . . . . .		15 Mar 1976
Ethiopia . . . . .		2 Aug 1977	Portugal . . . . .	17 Oct 1977	24 Oct 1977
Finland . . . . .	4 Oct 1976	19 Oct 1976	Qatar . . . . .		19 May 1977
France . . . . .	17 Mar 1975	24 Mar 1975	Republic of Korea	29 Oct 1976	8 Nov 1976
Gabon . . . . .		15 Nov 1977	Romania . . . . .	11 Jul 1977	25 Jul 1977
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	18 Sep 1975	30 Sep 1975	Saudi Arabia . . . . .	9 Mar 1977	23 Mar 1977
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>19</sup> . . . . .	11 Nov 1975	1 Dec 1975	Seychelles . . . . .		13 Jun 1978
Ghana . . . . .		18 Oct 1976	Singapore . . . . .	7 Jan 1977	18 Jan 1977
Greece . . . . .	3 May 1977	16 May 1977	Somalia . . . . .		4 Apr 1978
Guinea . . . . .	25 Mar 1977	1 Apr 1977	Spain . . . . .	13 Mar 1975	24 Mar 1975
Guinea-Bissau . . . . .		6 Dec 1977	Sri Lanka . . . . .	6 May 1976	17 May 1976
Hungary . . . . .	15 Dec 1976	30 Dec 1976	Surinam . . . . .		26 Nov 1976
			Sweden . . . . .	28 Apr 1975	5 May 1975

XII.1: International Maritime Organization

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>
Switzerland	30 Dec 1975	16 Jan 1976	United Arab Emirates <sup>18</sup>		4 Mar 1980
Syrian Arab Republic	28 Oct 1976	25 Mar 1977	United Kingdom	10 Jun 1975	26 Jun 1975
Thailand	17 Nov 1975	1 Dec 1975	United Republic of Tanzania	16 Sep 1976	28 Sep 1976
Trinidad and Tobago	12 May 1975	16 May 1975	United States of America	3 Feb 1976	11 Feb 1976
Tunisia	4 May 1976	13 May 1976	Uruguay		19 Sep 1978
Turkey	19 Dec 1978	28 Dec 1978	Vanuatu	15 Oct 1986	21 Oct 1986
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	21 Apr 1975	28 Apr 1975	Venezuela		27 Oct 1975
			Yugoslavia	23 Mar 1976	30 Mar 1976

XII.1: International Maritime Organization

(d) AMENDMENTS TO THE TITLE AND SUBSTANTIVE PROVISIONS OF THE CONVENTION  
ON THE INTERNATIONAL MARITIME ORGANIZATION\*

Adopted by the Assembly of the International Maritime Consultative Organization  
by its resolutions A.358(IX) of 14 November 1975 and A.371(X) of 9 November 1977  
(rectification of resolution A.358(IX))

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 22 May 1982, for all Members of the Organization, in accordance with article 51 of the Convention (except article 51), and on 28 July 1982 in respect of article 51, in accordance with article 62.  
REGISTRATION: 22 May 1982 and 28 July 1982, No. 4214.  
TEXT: IMCO Document A IX/Res.358.  
\*See note at beginning of chapter XII.1.

Note: Pursuant to article 53 of the Convention, the acceptance of an amendment shall be made by the communication of an instrument to the Secretary-General of the Organization for deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Following is the list of States which have accepted the amendments to the Convention, either upon acceptance of the Convention or thereafter, showing the respective dates of receipt of the instruments of acceptance by the Secretary-General of the Organization and the dates of their deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>
Algeria . . . . .	7 Jun 1976	6 Jul 1976	Guinea-Bissau . . . . .		6 Dec 1977
Angola . . . . .		6 Jun 1977	Guyana . . . . .		13 May 1980
Antigua and Barbuda . . . . .		13 Jan 1986	Honduras . . . . .	24 Sep 1985	9 Oct 1985
Argentina . . . . .	5 Dec 1979	31 Dec 1979	Hungary . . . . .	21 Mar 1980	31 Mar 1980
Australia . . . . .	29 May 1980	10 Jun 1980	Iceland . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	28 Jul 1980
Bahamas . . . . .	16 Feb 1979	1 Mar 1979	India . . . . .	20 Apr 1978	1 May 1978
Bahrain . . . . .		25 Apr 1980	Indonesia . . . . .	22 Jul 1983	29 Jul 1983
Bangladesh . . . . .	21 Sep 1979	8 Oct 1979	Iraq . . . . .		5 Sep 1979
Barbados . . . . .	19 Aug 1977	30 Aug 1977	Ireland . . . . .	20 Oct 1981	27 Oct 1981
Belgium . . . . .	26 Apr 1978	28 Apr 1978	Israel . . . . .	17 Dec 1979	31 Dec 1979
Brazil . . . . .	25 Jul 1977	1 Aug 1977	Jamaica . . . . .	30 Mar 1979	5 Apr 1979
Bulgaria . . . . .		4 Mar 1980	Jordan . . . . .	30 Mar 1977	9 Apr 1977
Burma . . . . .	18 Jan 1980	29 Jan 1980	Kuwait . . . . .	18 Dec 1978	28 Dec 1978
Canada . . . . .	6 Apr 1977	22 Apr 1977	Liberia . . . . .	31 Oct 1979	19 Nov 1979
Cape Verde . . . . .	15 Apr 1980	23 Apr 1980	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .	3 Sep 1976	13 Sep 1976
Chile . . . . .	13 Mar 1978	20 Mar 1978	Malaysia . . . . .	29 Mar 1982	12 Apr 1982
China . . . . .		14 Mar 1979	Maldives . . . . .	12 Feb 1980	25 Feb 1980
Colombia . . . . .	26 Jul 1985	9 Aug 1985	Malta . . . . .	18 Apr 1979	23 Apr 1979
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		4 Nov 1981	Mexico . . . . .		19 Dec 1980
Cuba . . . . .		27 Dec 1979	Morocco <sup>18</sup> . . . . .		25 Jul 1980
Cyprus . . . . .		6 Dec 1977	Mozambique . . . . .		10 Nov 1983
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		23 Nov 1976	Nepal . . . . .		31 Jan 1979
Democratic People's Republic of Korea . . . . .		16 Apr 1986	Netherlands <sup>23</sup> . . . . .	11 Jul 1977	19 Jul 1977
Democratic Yemen . . . . .	13 Jun 1983	20 Jun 1983	New Zealand . . . . .	26 Jul 1978	15 Aug 1978
Denmark . . . . .	14 Sep 1976	18 Sep 1976	Nicaragua . . . . .		17 Mar 1982
Djibouti . . . . .	9 Feb 1979	20 Feb 1979	Nigeria . . . . .	13 Nov 1984	11 Dec 1984
Dominica . . . . .	3 Dec 1979	18 Dec 1979	Norway . . . . .	2 Aug 1977	8 Aug 1977
Egypt . . . . .		16 Nov 1976	Oman . . . . .	12 May 1981	22 May 1981
El Salvador . . . . .		17 Feb 1981	Pakistan . . . . .	7 Jan 1981	23 Jan 1981
Ethiopia . . . . .	17 Jan 1979	2 Feb 1979	Panama . . . . .	9 Jun 1977	22 Jun 1977
Finland . . . . .	4 Oct 1976	19 Oct 1976	Peru . . . . .	9 Jan 1980	21 Jan 1980
France . . . . .	5 Nov 1976	1 Feb 1977	Philippines . . . . .	5 Nov 1981	17 Nov 1981
Gambia . . . . .		11 Jan 1979	Poland . . . . .		13 Feb 1979
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		29 Nov 1977	Portugal . . . . .	15 Feb 1980	3 Mar 1980
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>22</sup> . . . . .	17 Oct 1977	24 Oct 1977	Qatar . . . . .		19 May 1977
Ghana . . . . .	29 Jan 1980	5 Feb 1980	Republic of Korea . . . . .	6 Sep 1978	19 Sep 1978
Greece . . . . .	17 Jul 1981	28 Jul 1981	Romania . . . . .	11 Jul 1977	25 Jul 1977
Guinea . . . . .	25 Mar 1977	1 Apr 1977	Saint Lucia . . . . .		10 Apr 1980
			Saint Vincent and the Grenadines . . . . .		29 Apr 1981

XII.1. International Maritime Organization

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>
Saudi Arabia . . . . .	20 Jul 1979	1 Aug 1979	United Kingdom <sup>24</sup> . . . . .	20 Nov 1979	22 Feb 1980
Seychelles . . . . .		13 Jun 1978		11 Sep 1981	28 Sep 1981
Singapore . . . . .	30 May 1979	15 Jun 1979	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	19 Apr 1979	23 Apr 1979
Spain . . . . .	30 Mar 1981	14 Apr 1981	United States of America . . . . .	12 Aug 1980	28 Aug 1980
Sri Lanka . . . . .	30 Jun 1977	12 Jul 1977	Uruguay . . . . .		17 Dec 1980
Suriname . . . . .	4 Apr 1979	11 Apr 1979	Vanuatu . . . . .	15 Oct 1986	21 Oct 1986
Sweden . . . . .	24 Feb 1977	23 Mar 1977	Venezuela . . . . .	20 May 1985	29 May 1985
Switzerland . . . . .	14 May 1981	22 May 1981	Yemen . . . . .	6 Mar 1979	14 Mar 1979
Thailand . . . . .	11 Feb 1981	20 Feb 1981	Yugoslavia . . . . .	25 Jul 1980	4 Aug 1980
Tunisia . . . . .	24 Jul 1979	1 Aug 1979			
USSR . . . . .	22 Jun 1979	2 Jul 1979			
United Arab Emirates <sup>18</sup> . . . . .		4 Mar 1980			

XII.1 International Maritime Organization

(e) AMFNDMENTS TO THE CONVENTION ON THE INTERNATIONAL MARITIME ORGANIZATION RELATING TO THE INSTITUTIONALIZATION OF THE COMMITTEE ON TECHNICAL CO-OPERATION IN THE CONVENTION\*

Adopted by the Assembly of the International Maritime Consultative Organization in resolution A.400(X) of 17 November 1977

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 10 November 1984, for all Members of the Organization in accordance with article 62  
REGISTRATION: 10 November 1984, No. 4214.

TEXT: IMCO Document A X/Res.400.

\*See note at beginning of chapter XII.1.

Note. Pursuant to article 64 of the Convention, the acceptance of an amendment shall be made by the communication of an instrument to the Secretary-General of the Organization for deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Following is the list of States which have accepted the amendments to the Convention relating to the institutionalization of the Committee on Technical Co-operation in the Convention, either upon acceptance of the Convention or thereafter, showing the respective dates of receipt of the instruments of acceptance by the Secretary-General of the Organization and the dates of their deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>
Antigua and Barbuda		13 Jan 1986	Iceland	17 Jul 1980	28 Jul 1980
Argentina	18 May 1981	26 May 1981	India	12 Jan 1979	22 Jan 1979
Australia	29 May 1980	10 Jun 1980	Indonesia	22 Jul 1983	29 Jul 1983
Austria	28 Mar 1983	6 Apr 1983	Iraq		5 Sep 1979
Bahamas	16 Feb 1979	1 Mar 1979	Ireland	20 Oct 1981	27 Oct 1981
Bahrain		25 Apr 1980	Israel	17 Dec 1979	31 Dec 1979
Bangladesh	21 Sep 1979	8 Oct 1979	Italy	3 Jun 1983	13 Jun 1983 <sup>26</sup>
Barbados	8 Aug 1979	20 Aug 1979	Jamaica	30 Mar 1979	9 Apr 1979
Belgium	7 Oct 1985	30 Oct 1985	Kuwait	16 Nov 1979	27 Nov 1979
Brazil	14 Mar 1979	26 Mar 1979	Liberia		14 Dec 1979
Brunei Darussalam		31 Dec 1984	Malaysia	18 Sep 1981	28 Sep 1981
Bulgaria		4 Mar 1980	Maldives	12 Feb 1980	25 Feb 1980
Canada	5 Nov 1979	19 Nov 1979	Malta	18 Apr 1979	23 Apr 1979
Cape Verde	15 Apr 1980	23 Apr 1980	Mexico	10 Mar 1983	23 Mar 1983
Chile	31 Jan 1979	13 Feb 1979	Morocco <sup>18</sup>		25 Jul 1980
China		30 Oct 1979	Mozambique		10 Nov 1983
Colombia	26 Jul 1985	9 Aug 1985	Nepal		31 Jan 1979
Côte d'Ivoire		4 Nov 1981	Netherlands <sup>23</sup>	18 Jun 1981	29 Jun 1981
Cuba		26 Oct 1982	New Zealand	27 Feb 1979	9 Mar 1979
Cyprus	3 Jul 1979	10 Jul 1979	Nicaragua		17 Mar 1982
Czechoslovakia	4 Nov 1982	17 Nov 1982	Nigeria	13 Nov 1984	11 Dec 1984
Democratic People's Republic of Korea		16 Apr 1986	Norway	11 Aug 1978	5 Sep 1978
Democratic Yemen	13 Jun 1983	20 Jun 1983	Oman	12 May 1981	22 May 1981
Denmark	20 Dec 1978	2 Jan 1979	Pakistan	7 Jan 1981	23 Jan 1981
Djibouti	9 Feb 1979	20 Feb 1979	Panama	11 Dec 1980	23 Dec 1980
Dominica	3 Dec 1979	18 Dec 1979	Peru	9 Jan 1980	21 Jan 1980
Dominican Republic		10 Nov 1983	Philippines	5 Nov 1981	17 Nov 1981
Egypt	11 Nov 1980	17 Nov 1980	Poland		2 Jan 1980
El Salvador		12 Feb 1981	Portugal		22 Dec 1982
Ethiopia	5 Apr 1979	11 Apr 1979	Republic of Korea		31 May 1979
Finland	12 Nov 1979	19 Nov 1979	Romania	3 Sep 1982	14 Sep 1982
Gabon		27 Feb 1979	Saint Lucia		10 Apr 1980
Gambia		11 Jan 1979	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines		29 Apr 1981
German Democratic Republic	29 Jan 1980	5 Feb 1980	Saudi Arabia	20 Jul 1979	1 Aug 1979
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>25</sup>		2 Apr 1979	Seychelles	29 Jun 1982	7 Jul 1982
Ghana	29 Jan 1980	5 Feb 1980	Singapore	30 May 1979	15 Jun 1979
Greece	17 Jul 1981	28 Jul 1981	Spain	30 Mar 1981	14 Apr 1981
Guyana		13 May 1980	Sri Lanka	7 Jan 1980	16 Jan 1980
Honduras	24 Sep 1985	9 Oct 1985	Suriname	4 Apr 1979	11 Apr 1979
Hungary	21 Mar 1980	31 Mar 1980	Sweden	20 Dec 1978	5 Jan 1979
			Switzerland	14 May 1981	22 May 1981
			Thailand	11 Feb 1981	20 Feb 1981



XII 1. International Maritime Organization

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>
Togo . . . . .	13 Jun 1983	20 Jun 1983	United States		
Trinidad and Tobago		22 Aug 1984	of America . . .	12 Aug 1980	28 Aug 1980
Tunisia . . . . .	24 Jul 1979	1 Aug 1979	Uruguay . . . . .		17 Dec 1980
Turkey . . . . .	21 Nov 1985	4 Dec 1985	Vanuatu . . . . .	15 Oct 1986	21 Oct 1986
USSR . . . . .	22 Jun 1979	2 Jul 1979	Venezuela . . . . .	20 May 1985	29 May 1985
United Arab Emirates		2 Nov 1981	Yemen . . . . .	6 Mar 1979	14 Mar 1979
United Kingdom <sup>24</sup>	20 Nov 1979	22 Feb 1980	Yugoslavia . . .	11 Jun 1979	27 Jun 1979
United Republic of Tanzania . . .	19 Apr 1979	23 Apr 1979			

XII.1 International Maritime Organization

(f) AMFNDMENTS TO ARTICLE 17, 18, 20 AND 51 OF THE CONVENTION ON THE INTERNATIONAL MARIIME ORGANIZATION

Adopted by the Assembly of the International Maritime Consultative Organization in resolution A.450(XI) of 15 November 1979

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 10 November 1984, for all Members of the Organization in accordance with article 62.  
 REGISTRATION: 10 November 1984, No.4214.  
 TEXT: IMCO Document A XI/Res.450.  
 \*See note at beginning of chapter XII.1.

Note: Pursuant to article 64 of the Convention, the acceptance of an amendment shall be made by the communication of an instrument to the Secretary-General of the Organization for deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Following is the list of States which have accepted the amendments to articles 17, 18, 20, and 51 of the Convention, either upon acceptance of the Convention or thereafter, showing the respective dates of receipt of the instruments of acceptance by the Secretary-General of the Organization and the dates of their deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)</u>	<u>Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)</u>
Algeria . . . . .		28 Oct 1983	Indonesia . . . . .	22 Jul 1983	29 Jul 1983
Antigua and Barbuda		13 Jan 1986	Iraq . . . . .	18 Mar 1983	6 Apr 1983
Argentina . . . . .	26 May 1983	13 Jun 1983	Ireland . . . . .	20 Oct 1981	27 Oct 1981
Australia . . . . .	10 Nov 1980	17 Nov 1980	Israel . . . . .		15 Dec 1982
Austria . . . . .	28 Mar 1983	6 Apr 1983	Italy . . . . .	3 Jun 1983	13 Jun 1983 <sup>26</sup>
Bahamas . . . . .	9 May 1980	23 May 1980	Jamaica . . . . .	15 Apr 1980	30 Apr 1980
Bahrain . . . . .		25 Apr 1980	Jordan . . . . .	30 Dec 1983	18 Jan 1984
Bangladesh . . . . .	28 Feb 1980	17 Mar 1980	Kenya . . . . .	7 Apr 1983	19 Apr 1983
Barbados . . . . .	21 Feb 1980	3 Mar 1980	Kuwait . . . . .		1 Apr 1986
Belgium . . . . .	11 Dec 1980	23 Dec 1980	Lebanon . . . . .	7 Apr 1983	19 Apr 1983
Brunei Darussalam		31 Dec 1984	Liberia . . . . .	17 Dec 1980	8 Jan 1981
Bulgaria . . . . .		21 Oct 1980	Malaysia . . . . .	25 Mar 1981	2 Apr 1981
Cameroon . . . . .		2 Feb 1984	Maldives . . . . .		2 Apr 1980
Canada . . . . .	12 May 1980	23 May 1980	Mexico . . . . .	10 Mar 1983	23 Mar 1983
Cape Verde . . . . .		30 Aug 1983	Morocco <sup>18</sup> . . . . .		25 Jul 1980
Chile . . . . .	9 Mar 1981	16 Mar 1981	Nepal . . . . .	21 Oct 1982	1 Nov 1982
China . . . . .		29 Jul 1981	Netherlands <sup>23</sup> . . . . .	18 Jun 1981	29 Jun 1981
Colombia . . . . .	26 Jul 1985	9 Aug 1985	New Zealand . . . . .	28 Nov 1980	15 Dec 1980
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		4 Nov 1981	Nicaragua . . . . .		17 Mar 1982
Cuba . . . . .		3 Nov 1983	Nigeria . . . . .	13 Nov 1984	11 Dec 1984
Cyprus . . . . .	29 Sep 1982	7 Oct 1982	Norway . . . . .	17 Jul 1981	28 Jul 1981
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	4 Nov 1982	17 Nov 1982	Oman . . . . .	13 May 1982	24 May 1982
Democratic People's Republic of Korea		16 Apr 1986	Pakistan . . . . .		10 Dec 1982
Democratic Yemen	13 Jun 1983	20 Jun 1983	Panama . . . . .	21 Nov 1984	11 Dec 1984
Denmark . . . . .	30 Apr 1981	12 May 1981	Peru . . . . .	10 Jul 1982	28 Jul 1982
Djibouti . . . . .	13 May 1982	1 Jun 1982	Philippines . . . . .	1 Jul 1983	11 Jul 1983
Ecuador . . . . .		30 Jun 1986	Poland . . . . .		20 Nov 1980
Egypt . . . . .	6 Sep 1982	14 Sep 1982	Portugal . . . . .		22 Dec 1982
Ethiopia . . . . .		8 Dec 1982	Qatar . . . . .		29 Jun 1982
Finland . . . . .	4 Jan 1980	14 Jan 1980	Republic of Korea	20 Mar 1980	31 Mar 1980
France . . . . .	16 May 1983	26 May 1983	Romania . . . . .	3 Sep 1982	14 Sep 1982
German Democratic Republic	2 Jun 1980	10 Jun 1980	Saint Lucia . . . . .	12 Sep 1983	14 Sep 1983
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>25</sup>	6 Jun 1980	23 Jun 1980	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines		29 Apr 1981
Ghana . . . . .		14 Nov 1983	Saudi Arabia . . . . .	24 Apr 1985	15 May 1985
Greece . . . . .	17 Jul 1981	28 Jul 1981	Seychelles . . . . .	29 Jun 1982	7 Jul 1982
Guyana . . . . .	1 Aug 1985	16 Aug 1985	Senegal . . . . .	20 Jun 1983	10 Jun 1983
Honduras . . . . .	24 Sep 1985	9 Oct 1985	Singapore . . . . .		1 Nov 1983
Hungary . . . . .	22 Apr 1982	3 May 1982	Somalia . . . . .		6 Dec 1983
Iceland . . . . .	17 Jul 1980	28 Jul 1980	Spain . . . . .	30 Mar 1981	14 Apr 1981
India . . . . .	23 Apr 1980	5 May 1980	Sri Lanka . . . . .	19 Feb 1981	17 Mar 1981
			Suriname . . . . .	19 May 1980	28 May 1980
			Sweden . . . . .	14 Nov 1980	25 Nov 1980

XII.1: International Maritime Organization

Participant	Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)	Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)	Participant	Date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance (IMO)	Date of deposit of the instrument of acceptance (UN)
Switzerland . . . .	14 May 1981	22 May 1981	United Republic of Tanzania . . . .	16 May 1983	26 May 1983
Thailand . . . . .	9 Mar 1983	23 Mar 1983	United States of America . . . . .	9 Nov 1981	17 Nov 1981
Togo . . . . .	13 Jun 1983	20 Jun 1983	Uruguay . . . . .	27 Sep 1983	13 Oct 1983
Trinidad et Tobago	24 Jun 1983	5 Jul 1983	Vanuatu . . . . .	15 Oct 1986	21 Oct 1986
Tunisia . . . . .	21 Dec 1982	5 Jan 1983	Venezuela . . . . .	20 May 1985	29 May 1985
Turkey . . . . .	21 Nov 1985	4 Dec 1985	Yugoslavia . . . . .	8 May 1981	15 May 1981
USSR . . . . .	6 Jan 1981	23 Jan 1981			
United Arab Emirates		7 Nov 1981			
United Kingdom . .	7 Sep 1983	14 Sep 1983			

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, of 28 March 1947

2/ The Convention was accepted on behalf of the Republic of China on 1 July 1958. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1)

With reference to the above-mentioned acceptance, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and of China on the other hand. For the nature of these communications see note 2 in chapter VI 14

In its instrument of acceptance, the Government of the People's Republic of China declared that the acceptance of and signature of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization and related conventions and regulations by the Chiang Kai-shek clique usurping the name of China are illegal and null and void.

3/ The application of the Federal Republic of Germany for membership in the Organization was approved on 5 January 1959, in accordance with article 8 of the Convention.

In notes accompanying the respective instruments of acceptance of the amendments to articles 17 and 18 and the amendment to article 28 of the Convention on the Inter-governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the said Convention and amendments "shall also apply to Land Berlin with effect from the date on which they enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany" In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General, the Government of Poland stated that the said declarations "are in contradiction to the international status of West Berlin which is not part of the Federal Republic of Germany". Furthermore, in a communication addressed to the Secretary-General with regard to the representation of the interests of Berlin (West) in the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that, in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, Berlin (West) is not part of the Federal Republic of Germany and should not be governed by it. Accordingly, the declaration by the Federal Republic of Germany extending its membership in

the aforementioned Organization to include Land Berlin is at variance with the Quadripartite Agreement and has no legal validity.

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 10 December 1973, the Permanent Representatives of France and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to the United Nations as well as the Acting Permanent Representative of the United States of America to the United Nations made the following statement:

"With regard to the declaration concerning the representation of the interests of the western sectors of Berlin contained in the instrument, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to bring to the attention of the member states of the United Nations and of IMCO that the extension of the Convention on IMCO to the western sectors of Berlin in 1965 and the consequent representation of the interests of these sectors in IMCO by the Federal Republic of Germany received the prior authorization, under established procedures, of the authorities of France, the United Kingdom and the United States on the basis of their supreme authority in these sectors.

"In a communication to the Government of the USSR which is an integral part (Annex IV A) of the Quadripartite Agreement of September 3, 1971, registered with the Secretariat of the United Nations on June 14, 1973, the three powers reaffirmed that, provided matters of security and status are not affected, the Federal Republic of Germany may represent the interests of the western sectors of Berlin in international conferences and international organizations. For its part, the Government of the USSR, in a communication to the Governments of the three powers which is similarly an integral part (Annex IV B) of the Quadripartite Agreement of September 3, 1971, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such a representation.

"The representation of the western sectors of Berlin in IMCO by the Federal Republic of Germany, as described above, therefore continues in full force and effect."

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 10 December 1973, the Permanent Representative of the Federal Republic of Germany to the United Nations made the following statement:

"By their note of 7 December 1973 the Gov-

ernments of France, the United Kingdom, and the United States answered the assertions made in the communication of the authorities of the German Democratic Republic referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany shares the position set out in the note of the three powers. The extension in 1965 of the IMCO Convention to Berlin (West) and the consequent representation of the interests of Berlin (West) in IMCO by the Federal Republic of Germany continue to be in full force and effect."

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 16 April 1974, the Permanent Mission of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to the United Nations stated that the Soviet Union could take note of the extension of the application of the IMCO Convention to the Western sectors of Berlin by the Federal Republic of Germany only on the understanding that this action was being taken in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and subject to compliance with established procedures.

4/ In a communication received on 9 October 1965, the First Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for Foreign Affairs of Indonesia notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the Republic of Indonesia from the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization. The notification of withdrawal contained the following statement

"With reference to the provision of Article 59 which stipulates that the withdrawal from IMCO's membership will take effect twelve months from the date on which the notification of withdrawal is received by the Secretary-General of the United Nations, Indonesia will observe her obligations and responsibilities accordingly. Nevertheless, the Indonesian Government has decided to discontinue its participation in the activities of the IMCO as of this date

"In conclusion, I wish to add that, notwithstanding the withdrawal from IMCO, Indonesia will continue to work for the attainment of mutually beneficial principles of international maritime cooperation."

In a communication received on 29 September 1966, the Presidium Minister and Minister for Foreign Affairs of Indonesia informed the Secretary-General that his Government had decided to resume active participation in the Organization and requested that this communication be considered as superseding the above-mentioned notification of withdrawal.

5/ The applications of Kuwait, Mauritania and the Republic of Korea for membership in the Organization were approved on 5 July 1960, 13 April 1961 and 21 December 1961, respectively, in accordance with article 8 of the Convention.

6/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 8 November 1976 the Government of Bahrain confirmed that the above-mentioned general reservation "is intended to constitute a general declaration of policy of the Government of the State of Bahrain and should not be interpreted as expanding or diminishing the scope of the Convention or its application to States parties to the Convention".

With regards to the said reservation the Government of Israel, in a communication received by the Secretary-General on 23 December 1976, stated the following

"The Instrument deposited by the Government of Bahrain contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That pronouncement by the Government of Bahrain cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Bahrain, under general international law or under particular treaties.

"The Government of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Bahrain an attitude of complete reciprocity."

Identical communications, *mutatis mutandis*, were received from the Government of Israel on 25 July 1980 in respect of the declarations made by Democratic Yemen and the United Arab Emirates.

7/ In communications addressed to the Secretary-General on 14 September 1961, 30 November 1961 and 14 March 1962 respectively, the Governments of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Norway and Greece, referring to the declaration set forth above, stated that they assumed that it was a declaration of policy and did not constitute a reservation; and that it had no legal effect with regard to the interpretation of the Convention. They further stated that they would welcome assurances from the Government of Cambodia that the declaration was to be understood in this sense.

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 31 January 1962, the Government of Cambodia stated that " . . . the Royal Government agrees that the first part of the declaration which it made at the time of the acceptance of the Convention is of a political nature. It therefore has no legal effect regarding the interpretation of the Convention. The statements contained in the third paragraph of the declaration, on the other hand, constitute a reservation to the Convention by the Royal Government of Cambodia "

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 3 July 1962, the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland stated that " . . . Her Majesty's Government do not share the view of the Cambodian Government that the third paragraph of the declaration constitutes a reservation, but they do not wish on that account, to raise formal objection to the terms of Cambodia's acceptance of the Convention."

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 23 July 1962, the Government of France stated that " . . . It considers that, for reasons of principle as well as of fact, it cannot accept the terms of the declaration in question, the third paragraph of which is, moreover, described by the Permanent Representative of Cambodia as constituting a reservation "

8/ In Resolution 1452 (XIV) adopted on 7

December 1959, the General Assembly of the United Nations, noting the statement made on behalf of India at the 614th meeting of its Sixth Committee (Legal) explaining that the Indian declaration was a declaration of policy and that it did not constitute a reservation, expressed the hope "that, in the light of the above-mentioned statement of India an appropriate solution may be reached in the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization at an early date to regularize the position of India".

By a resolution adopted on 1 March 1960, the Council of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization, taking note of the statement made on behalf of India referred to in the foregoing resolution and noting, therefore, that the declaration of India has no legal effect with regard to the interpretation of the Convention "considers India to be a member of the Organization".

9/ In communications addressed to the Secretary-General on 14 September 1961, 30 November 1961 and 14 March 1962, respectively, the Governments of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Norway and Greece, referring to the declaration set forth above, stated that they assumed that it was a declaration of policy and did not constitute a reservation; and that it had no legal effect with regard to the interpretation of the Convention. They further stated that they would welcome assurances from the Government of Indonesia that the declaration was to be understood in this sense.

In communications addressed to the Secretary-General on 30 October 1961, 11 January 1962 and 28 March 1962, the Government of Indonesia stated that the declaration in question ". . . does not constitute a reservation but is an interpretation of article 1 (b) of the said Convention and should be understood as such.

"In view of the above fact, the Government of Indonesia cannot accept the assumption made by [the above-mentioned Governments] that this declaration has no legal effect with regard to the interpretation of the Convention."

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 18 April 1962, the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland stated that ". . . Her Majesty's Government do not wish to raise formal objection to the terms of Indonesia's acceptance, but they desire to place on record that they do not thereby concede that they will necessarily regard any measures of assistance and encouragement which the Government of Indonesia may give to its national shipping as consistent with the Convention."

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 23 July 1962, the Government of France stated that [translation from French] ". . . It considers that, for reasons of principle as well as of fact, it cannot accept the terms of the declaration in question."

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 5 September 1962, the Government of the United States of America stated the following:

"The Government of the United States will not raise objection to the terms of Indonesia's acceptance of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization. However, it does not thereby concede that it will

necessarily regard every measure of assistance and encouragement which the Government of Indonesia may give to its national shipping as consistent with the Convention."

10/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 28 November 1973, the Permanent Representative of Israel to the United Nations stated the following:

"The instrument of acceptance by the Government of Iraq of the above-mentioned Convention contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That statement, therefore, possesses no legal validity whatsoever.

"The Government of Israel utterly rejects that statement and will proceed on the assumption that it has no validity as to the rights and duties of any Member State to the said Organization.

"The declaration of the Government of Iraq cannot in any way affect Iraq's obligations under the Constitution of the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization or whatever other obligations are binding upon that State by virtue of general international law.

"The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt toward the Government of Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity."

11/ In a letter of 3 June 1971, the Prime Minister and Minister of Foreign Affairs of Malaysia notified the Secretary-General as follows:

"The declaration by the Malaysian Government with regard to the above-mentioned Convention is a declaration of policy of the Government of Malaysia, and does not constitute a reservation by the Government of Malaysia to the Convention as stated in the instrument of acceptance."

12/ Upon deposit of the instrument of acceptance, the Government of Sri Lanka declared that ". . . The Declaration set forth in the instrument of acceptance does not constitute a reservation, but is an interpretation of article 1 (b) of the Convention and should be understood as such."

13/ In a note verbale accompanying the instrument of acceptance, the Permanent Representative of the United States of America drew the attention of the Secretary-General to the fact that ". . . Article 2 of the Convention provides that the functions of the Organization 'shall be consultative and advisory'. Article 3 of the Convention indicates that the functions of the Organization are to make recommendations and to facilitate consultation and exchange of information. The history of the Convention and the records of the conference at which it was formulated indicate no intention to nullify or alter the domestic legislation of any contracting party relating to restrictive business practices or to

alter or modify in any way the application of domestic statutes governing the prevention or regulation of business monopolies. It is considered therefore, that the statement as quoted above is merely a clarification of the intended meaning of the convention and a safeguard against any possible misinterpretation, particularly as to the application of Article 4."

14/ On 15 March 1962, the Federation of Nigeria became a member of the Organization by depositing on that date the instrument of acceptance of the Convention.

15/ In a communication received on 6 August 1964, the Government of the United Kingdom requested the Secretary-General, in his capacity as depositary of the Convention on the Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization, "to take note that, as a result of the Agreement relating to Malaysia signed at London on July 9, 1963, and legislation enacted in accordance with that Agreement, Sarawak and North Borneo, together with the State of Singapore, federated with the existing States of the Federation of Malaya and the Federation is now called Malaysia. Her Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom are therefore no longer responsible for the international relations of Sarawak and North Borneo."

In a subsequent communication received on 4 March 1965, the Government of the United Kingdom, in amplification of the information contained in the above-mentioned communication, drew the attention of the Secretary-General to the fact "that the Agreement relating to Malaysia which was signed in London on the 9th of July 1963, entered into force on the 16th of September 1963, and that, as from the 16th of September 1963- the date on which Sarawak and North Borneo, together with the State of Singapore, federated with the States of the Federation of Malaya--Her Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom ceased to be responsible for the international relations of Sarawak and North Borneo." It also requested the Secretary-General "to take note that Her Majesty's Government accordingly consider that the joint associate membership in the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization of Sarawak and North Borneo under Article 9 of the Convention on the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization automatically lapsed on the 16th of September 1963."

16/ The amendments to article 17 and 18 of the Convention were accepted on behalf of the Republic of China. The date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance by the Secretary-General of the Organization was 27 January 1966 and the date of its deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations was 31 January 1966. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I 1).

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned acceptance, the Permanent Mission of Romania to the United Nations stated that the only government entitled to represent and to assume obligations on behalf of China is the Central Government of the People's Republic of China and that,

consequently, the Government of Romania cannot take note of the said acceptance.

17/ The amendment to article 28 of the Convention was accepted on behalf of the Republic of China. The date of receipt of the instrument of acceptance by the Secretary-General of the Organization was 22 July 1966 and the date of its deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations was 27 July 1966. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1). In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned acceptance, the Permanent Mission of Romania to the United Nations stated that the only government entitled to represent and to assume obligations on behalf of China is the Central Government of the People's Republic of China and that, consequently, the Government of Romania cannot take note of the said acceptance.

18/ With the same declaration as the one made in respect of the Convention on the Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization.

19/ With a declaration that the said amendments shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which they enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany provided that the Federal Republic of Germany does not make a declaration to the contrary to the Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization within three months.

20/ With the following declaration.

Acceptance of the above amendments by the Republic of Iraq shall, however, in no way signify recognition of Israel or be conducive to entry into any relations with it.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 28 February 1977 from the Government of Israel the following communication:

"The Instrument deposited by the Government of Iraq contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That pronouncement by the Government of Iraq cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Iraq, under general international law or under particular treaties.

"The Government of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity."

21/ For the Kingdom in Europe, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles

22/ In a letter accompanying the instrument of acceptance, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that with effect from the day on which the amendments enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany they shall also apply to Berlin (West)

In this connexion the Secretary-General received

ved, on 10 February 1978, the following communication from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (the said communication was addressed to the Secretary-General of the Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization, who transmitted it to the Secretary-General):

The Soviet side can take note of the declaration by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension of the application of the amendments to the IMCO Convention to Berlin (West) only on the understanding that such extension is made in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and in compliance with established procedures.

23/ For the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles

24/ 22 February 1980 acceptance of the amendments except those relating to article 51 of the Convention.

In a communication accompanying the instrument of acceptance, the Government of the United Kingdom stated the following

"Although this instrument does not include the amendments to Article 51 and should not therefore be counted among the acceptances

required for the coming into force of those amendments, [the Secretary of State writes] to inform [the Secretary-General], for the sake of clarification, that the Government of the United Kingdom does not wish to make a "declaration" of non-acceptance under the provisions of the present article 51, and will consider itself bound by the amendments to article 51 when these come into force for all Members of IMCO "

28 September 1981: acceptance of amendments to article 51.

25/ In a communication accompanying the instrument of acceptance, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the said amendments will also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which they will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

26/ Acceptance by the Government of Italy of the 1977 amendments exclude the amendment to what was article 52 at the time of adoption of resolution A 400(X) of 17 November 1977 and became article 62 with the entry into force of the amendments adopted by resolutions A.315(ES.U) of 17 October 1974 and A.358(IX) of 14 November 1975.

2. CONVENTION REGARDING THE MEASUREMENT AND REGISTRATION OF VESSELS EMPLOYED IN INLAND NAVIGATION

Concluded at Bangkok on 22 June 1956

Not yet in force (see article 9).

TEXT: United Nations publication, Sales No.: 1957.II.F.9 (E/CN 11/461).

Note: The Convention was adopted by the Inland Waterway Sub-Committee of the Inland Transport Committee of the Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East at its third session, held at Dacca, East Pakistan, in October 1955.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
China <sup>1</sup>			[Republic of		
Democratic			South Viet-Nam] <sup>2</sup>	22 Jun 1956	
Kampuchea . . . .	22 Jun 1956		Thailand . . . .	22 Jun 1956	
Indonesia . . . .	22 Jun 1956				
Lao People's					
Democratic					
Republic . . . .	22 Jun 1956				

NOTES:

1/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 22 June 1956. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

2/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.



3. CONVENTION RELATING TO THE UNIFICATION OF CERTAIN RULES CONCERNING COLLISIONS IN INLAND NAVIGATION

Concluded at Geneva on 15 March 1960

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 13 September 1966, in accordance with article 11.  
 REGISTRATION: 13 September 1966, No. 8310.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 572, p. 133.

Note: The Convention was prepared by the Sub-Committee on Inland Water Transport of the Inland Transport Committee of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe and its subsidiary bodies (Working Party on River Law and Groups of Rapporteurs). The Inland Transport Committee decided to open it for signature at its nineteenth session, held from 14 to 18 December 1959 (see Report of the Inland Transport Committee on its nineteenth session, document E/ECE/TRANS/514, paragraph 49).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .	14 Jun 1960	27 Sep 1962	Netherlands . . .	14 Jun 1960	15 Jun 1966
Belgium . . . . .	15 Jun 1960		Poland . . . . .		8 May 1972 <sup>a</sup>
France . . . . .	15 Jun 1960	12 Mar 1962	Romania . . . . .		4 Aug 1969 <sup>a</sup>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		8 Oct 1976 <sup>a</sup>	Switzerland . . .		26 Apr 1972 <sup>a</sup>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	14 Jun 1960	29 May 1973	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		26 Jan 1962 <sup>a</sup>
Hungary . . . . .		24 Jul 1973 <sup>a</sup>	Yugoslavia . . . .		14 Feb 1962 <sup>a</sup>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

AUSTRIA

[The Government of Austria] considers the German text as authentic, in accordance with article 19 of the Convention.

BELGIUM

[The Government of Belgium] considers the French text as authentic, in accordance with article 19 of the Convention.

FRANCE

In accordance with article 19 of the Convention, [the Government of France] considers the French text as authentic.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservations:

Pursuant to the provisions of article 9 (a):

The German Democratic Republic declares that the provisions of the Convention shall not apply to vessels exclusively employed by the public authorities.

Pursuant to the provisions of article 15:

The German Democratic Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 14 of the Convention in so far as it concerns the referral of disputes to the International Court of Justice

Declaration:

In accordance with the provisions of article 19:

The German Democratic Republic adopts the German text of the Convention.

HUNGARY

(a) Pursuant to article 9 of the Convention, the Hungarian People's Republic reserves the right to provide by law that the provisions of this Convention shall not apply:

--To vessels exclusively employed by the public authorities;

--To those waterways in the territory of the Hungarian People's Republic which are reserved exclusively for its own shipping.

(b) Pursuant to article 15 of the Convention, the Hungarian People's Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 14 of the Convention in so far as it concerns the referral of disputes to the International Court of Justice.

POLAND

The Polish People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 14 of the Convention with regard to the reference of disputes to the International Court of Justice. Likewise, it reserves the right not to apply the present Convention to inland waterways reserved exclusively for its own shipping.

ROMANIA

The Socialist Republic of Romania declares, in accordance with the provisions of article 15, that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 14 of the Convention.

The position of the Socialist Republic of Romania is that disputes relating to the inter-

XII.3. Collision in inland navigation

pretation or application of the Convention may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the agreement of all the parties in dispute in each particular case.

The Socialist Republic of Romania reserves the right, in accordance with article 9, paragraphs (a) and (b) of the Convention, to provide by law or international agreement that the provisions of the Convention shall not apply to vessels exclusively employed by the public authorities, or to waterways reserved exclusively for its own shipping.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

(a) With respect to the Convention as a whole: The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that the provisions of this Convention will not be applied on inland waterways of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics that are open to navigation only by ships sailing under the flag of the USSR;

(b) With respect to article 14: The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does

not consider itself bound by article 14 of this Convention with regard to the reference of disputes to the International Court.

In acceding to the Convention, the Government of the USSR deems it necessary at the same time to state its view that article 10 of the Convention, which limits the number of States which may become Parties to it, is illegal.

YUGOSLAVIA

The Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia declares in accordance with article 9 of the afore-mentioned Convention:

(a) that it reserves the right to provide by law or international agreement that the provisions of this Convention shall not apply to vessels exclusively employed by the public authorities;

(b) that it reserves the right to provide by law that the provisions of this Convention shall not apply on waterways reserved exclusively for its own shipping.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Netherlands . . . . .	15 Jun 1966	Surinam

NOTES:

1/ The instrument of ratification contains the following statement:  
". . . The said Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received the following communications:  
German Democratic Republic (communication received on 8 October 1976):

"The German Democratic Republic, in connexion with its accession to the Convention Relating to the Unification of Certain Rules Concerning Collisions in Inland Navigation of 15 March 1960, declares that the statement of the Federal Republic of Germany according to which this Convention is to be extended to Berlin (West) cannot have any legal consequences and, furthermore, is invalid. The statement of the FRG is incompatible with the four-power agreements and regulations of the post war period as well as with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. As is known, the German Democratic Republic is competent for the waterways in Berlin (West)."

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (communication received on 13 June 1977--in relation to the communication by the German Democratic Republic):

"The claim of the German Democratic Republic that it is competent for the waterways in the Western Sectors of Berlin is incorrect. Soon after the war it was decided, with the approval of the respective Sector Commandants, that German technical agencies situated in the Eastern Sector of Berlin would exercise limited operational functions in respect of some of the waterways in the Western Sectors of Berlin. This decision in no way conferred on those agencies any form of sovereignty or jurisdiction over any of the canals, waterways or locks located in the Western Sectors of Berlin, and it has no bearing on the validity of the extension to the Western Sectors of Berlin by the Federal Republic of Germany, in accordance with established procedures, of the Convention relating to the Unification of Certain Rules concerning Collisions in Inland Navigation.

"When authorising the extension of this Convention to the Western Sectors of Berlin, the authorities of the Three Powers, acting in the exercise of their supreme authority, ensured, in accordance with established procedures, that the Convention is applied in the Western Sectors of Berlin in such a way as not to affect matters of security and status. Accordingly, the application of this Convention to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect.

"The German Democratic Republic is not a party to wartime and post-war Four Power

agreements or decisions on Germany and Berlin, nor to the Quadripartite Agreement which was concluded in Berlin on 3 September 1971 by the Governments of the French Republic, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The German Democratic Republic is not, therefore, competent to comment authoritatively on those agreements.

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not consider it necessary to respond to any further communications of a similar nature by States which are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement (or parties to other relevant agreements concluded between the Four Powers). This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of those Governments in this matter."

Federal Republic of Germany (communication received on 19 July 1977--in relation to the communication by the German Democratic Republic):

"By their note of 13 June 1977, disseminated by circular note [ . ] of 6 July 1977, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the communication referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the note of the Three Powers, wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned instrument extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect.

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter."

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (communication received on 18 October 1977--in relation to the communication by France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America):

The Soviet side cannot agree with the claim contained in the above-mentioned letter regarding the status of waterways in the Western Sectors of Berlin, which creates a false picture of their *de facto* and *de jure* situation. It is well known that Berlin was never territorially separate from the former Soviet occupation zone of Germany, and the waterways of its Western Sectors were always regarded as an integral part of the water system of that zone and were under the jurisdiction of the Soviet authorities. This situation was reflected and corroborated in the relevant post-war Four-Power agreements and decisions. The corresponding rights and powers were thereafter transferred by the Soviet authorities to the authorities of the German Democratic Republic.

Therefore, the claim contained in the three-Power statement that agencies of the German Democratic Republic are competent only to "exercise limited operational functions in respect of some of the waterways in the Western Sectors of Berlin", does not correspond to the real situation. The German Democratic Republic

is competent to express its view as to which international agreements regulating problems of inland navigation may apply to these waterways.

The Permanent Mission of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that the Soviet side, as a party to the wartime and post-war Four-Power agreements and decisions, as well as to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, fully endorses and supports the declaration of the Government of the German Democratic Republic regarding the invalidity of the extension to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany of the Convention relating to the Unification of Certain Rules concerning Collisions in Inland Navigation.

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (communication received on 21 April 1978--in relation to the communication by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics received on 18 October 1977):

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not accept the assertions contained in the communication of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics dated 18 October 1977 concerning the status of waterways in the Western Sectors of Berlin. They reaffirm the views expressed in their communication of 13 June 1977 concerning the status of those waterways and concerning the validity of the extension to the Western Sectors of Berlin by the Federal Republic of Germany of the Convention relating to the Unification of Certain Rules Concerning Collisions in Inland Navigation.

"The Soviet communication referred to above also incorrectly asserts that Berlin was never territorially separate from the Soviet Occupation Zone of Germany. In this connection the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to recall *inter alia* the provision in the London Protocol of 12 September 1944 according to which, separately from the Zones of Occupation, a "special Berlin area" under joint occupation was established in Germany."

Federal Republic of Germany (communication received on 30 May 1978--in relation to the note by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics received on 18 October 1977):

"By their Note of 20 April 1978, [ . . . ], the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the communication referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the Note of the Three Powers, wishes once more to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned instrument extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect.

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter."

XII.4: Inland navigation vessels--1965 Convention

4. CONVENTION ON THE REGISTRATION OF INLAND NAVIGATION VESSELS

Concluded at Geneva on 25 January 1965

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 June 1982, in accordance with article 17(1).  
 REGISTRATION: 24 June 1982, No. 21114.  
 TEXT: E/ECE/579 (E/ECE/TRANS/540).

Note: The Convention was prepared by the Sub-Committee on Inland Water Transport of the Inland Transport Committee of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe and its subsidiary bodies (Working Party on River Law and Groups of Rapporteurs). The Inland Transport Committee, at its twenty-first session held from 20 to 24 January 1964, decided that the question of the opening of the Convention for signature should be settled by the Sub-Committee on Inland Water Transport at its next session (see Report of the Inland Transport Committee on its twenty-third session, document E/ECE/TRANS/535, paragraph 52). The decision to open the Convention for signature was taken by the said Sub-Committee at its eighth session held from 28 to 30 October 1964 (see document TRANS/291, paragraph 17).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .	18 Jun 1965	26 Aug 1977	Luxembourg . . . .	14 Dec 1965	26 Mar 1982
Belgium . . . . .	31 Dec 1965		Netherlands . . . .	30 Dec 1965	14 Nov 1974
France . . . . .	31 Dec 1965	13 Jun 1972	Switzerland . . . .	28 Dec 1965	14 Jan 1976
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . .	5 Nov 1965		Yugoslavia . . . .	17 May 1965	11 Oct 1985

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations, were made upon ratification or accession.)

AUSTRIA

1. Austria accepts Protocol No. 1 annexed to the Convention concerning the Rights in rem in Inland Navigation Vessels.
2. Austria accepts Protocol No. 2 annexed to the Convention concerning Attachment and Forced Sale of Inland Navigation Vessels.

BELGIUM

Belgium enters the reservations provided for in article 21, paragraph 1 (b), (c) and (d).

FRANCE

Upon signature:

France declares that it accepts Protocol No. 1, annexed hereto, concerning Rights in rem in Inland Navigation Vessels, and Protocol No. 2, also annexed hereto, concerning Attachment and Forced Sale of Inland Navigation Vessels.

Upon ratification:

France, exercising the reservation provided for in article 19 of Protocol No. 1, declares pursuant to article 21, paragraph 2, of the Convention, that it will not apply the provisions of article 14, paragraph 2 (b), of this Protocol in the event of a forced sale in its territory.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

The Federal Republic of Germany declares that:  
 (1) German registration offices will supply ex-

tracts from documents deposited with them and referred to by the entries in the register only to applicants who produce evidence of a legitimate interest in obtaining such extracts;

(2) It will not apply the Convention to vessels navigating on lakes and adjacent sections of waterways and belonging to the German Federal Railways.

LUXEMBOURG

Luxembourg declares that it accepts protocole No. 1 concerning Rights in rem in Inland Navigation Vessels, and protocol No. 2 concerning Attachment and Forced Sale of Inland Navigation Vessels.

NETHERLANDS

13 June 1985  
 In accordance with article 21, paragraph 1 (d) of the Convention, the Netherlands will not apply this Convention to vessels used exclusively for a non-commercial government service.

[The Netherlands], in accordance with the provision of article 15, paragraph 1, accepts Protocol No. 1 concerning Rights in rem in inland navigation vessels

SWITZERLAND

Reservations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

Switzerland enters the following reservations pursuant to article 21, paragraph 1 (b), (c) and (d), of the Convention.

XII.4: Inland navigation vessels--1965 Convention

---

ad (b): Its registration offices will supply extracts as specified in article 2, paragraph 3, of the Convention only to applicants who produce evidence of a legitimate interest in obtaining such extracts;

ad (c): It will not apply the Convention to vessels navigating on lakes and adjacent sections of waterways and belonging to national railways administrations or operating under licence;

ad (d): It will not apply the Convention to vessels used exclusively for a non-commercial government service.

Switzerland declares that it accepts Protocol No. 1 concerning Rights in rem in Inland Navigation Vessels and declares that, pursuant to arti-

cle 19 of the said Protocol and to article 21, paragraph 2, of the Convention, it will not apply the provisions of article 14, paragraph 2 (b), of the said Protocol in the event of a forced sale in its territory.

YUGOSLAVIA

[The Government of Yugoslavia] exercising the option provided for in article 15 (1), the Government of Yugoslavia specified that it accepts Protocol No. 1 concerning rights in rem in Inland Navigation Vessels and Protocol No. 2 concerning Attachment and Forced Sale of Inland Navigation Vessels, annexed to the Convention.

XII.5: Inland navigation vessels- 1966 Convention

5. CONVENTION ON THE MEASUREMENT OF INLAND NAVIGATION VESSELS

Concluded at Geneva on 15 February 1966

ENTRY INTO FORCE. 19 April 1975, in accordance with article 11.  
 REGISTRATION: 19 April 1975, No. 13899.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 964, p 177.

Note: The Convention was prepared by the Sub-Committee on Inland Water Transport of the Inland Transport Committee of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe and its subsidiary bodies (Working Party on River Law and Groups of Rapporteurs). The Inland Transport Committee decided to open it for signature at its twenty-fifth session held from 17 to 20 January 1966 (see Report of the Inland Transport Committee on its twenty-fifth session, document E/ECE/TRANS/544, paragraph 63).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Ratification accession (a)</u>
Belgium . . . . .	2 Nov 1966	9 Mar 1972	Luxembourg . . .	29 Jul 1966	26 Mar 1982
Bulgaria . . . . .	14 Nov 1966	4 Mar 1980	Netherlands . . .	14 Nov 1966	14 Aug 1978 <sup>3</sup>
Czechoslovakia . .		2 Jan 1974 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .		24 May 1976 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	17 May 1966	8 Jun 1970	Switzerland . . .	14 Nov 1966	7 Feb 1975
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		31 Aug 1976 <u>a</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . .		19 Feb 1981 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . .	14 Nov 1966	19 Apr 1974	Yugoslavia . . . .		8 Dec 1969 <u>a</u>
Hungary . . . . .		5 Jan 1978 <u>a</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

**BELGIUM**

Article 15, paragraph 2:

The extension of measurement certificates shall not be applicable to certificates issued by Belgium in order to guarantee the value and accuracy of the document.

**BULGARIA**

Upon signature:

The People's Republic of Bulgaria declares that it does not consider itself bound by article 14 of the Convention as regards the reference of disputes to the International Court.

Upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

It further declares that the validity of measurement certificates issued by its measurement offices for vessels intended for the carriage of goods may be extended only by one of the said offices.

Upon ratification:

The term of validity of measurement certificates issued by its measurement offices for inland navigation vessels is 15 years and cannot be extended.

**CZECHOSLOVAKIA**

The Government of Czechoslovakia declares, pursuant to article 15, paragraph 1, of the Convention, that it does not consider itself bound by article 14 of the Convention as regards the reference of disputes to the International Court of Justice.

**FRANCE**

Upon signature of the Protocol of Signature.

Since the measurement signs affixed by the French services are not intended solely to establish the fact of measurement, the said signs shall not be either removed or effaced at the time of remeasurement, instead, an indelible mark consisting of a small cross with vertical and horizontal arms of equal length shall be applied to the left of such signs.

**GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC**

The German Democratic Republic declares in accordance with article 15, paragraph 1, of the Convention that it does not consider itself bound by article 14 of the Convention in so far as it concerns the referral of disputes to the International Court of Justice.

**HUNGARY**

The Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by those provisions of article 14 of the Convention which refer the disputes between Contracting Parties to the International Court of Justice

**NETHERLANDS**

In accordance with article 15, paragraph 2, of the Convention, the Netherlands Government . . . declares that a measurement certificate issued by

one of the three offices mentioned . . . can only be extended by the office that issued it."

**ROMANIA**

The Socialist Republic of Romania declares, pursuant to article 15, paragraph 1, that it does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 14 of the Convention. The position of the Socialist Republic of Romania is that disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention may be referred to the International Court of Justice only with the consent of all the parties to the dispute, in each individual case.

**UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS**

Reservation:

In accordance with article 15, paragraph 1, of the Convention on the Measurement of Inland Navigation Vessels the Union of Soviet Socialist Re-

publics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 14 of that Convention, to the effect that any dispute between two or more Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention which the Parties are unable to settle by negotiation or by other settlement procedures may, at the request of any of the Contracting parties concerned, be referred for settlement to the International Court of Justice, and declares that for the referral of such disputes to the International Court, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is necessary in each individual case;

Declaration:

In accordance with article 10, paragraph 6, of the 1966 Convention on the Measurement of Inland Navigation Vessels, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that the provisions of this Convention shall not apply to inland waterways of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics that are open to navigation only for vessels flying the flag of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

Notification of distinctive letters of measurement offices under article 10(5) of the Convention

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Distinctive letters</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Distinctive letters</u>
Belgium . . . . .	BR-B	Netherlands . . . . .	RN (Rotterdam)
Bulgaria . . . . .	LB(Lom) <sup>4</sup>		AN (Amsterdam)
	RB(Rousse) <sup>4</sup>		GN (Groningen)
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	CS	Romania . . . . .	RNR
France . . . . .	F	Switzerland . . . . .	BS-CH (Basel-Stadt)
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	DDR		BL-CH (Basel-Land)
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	D	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	AG-CH (Aargau)
Hungary . . . . .	HU		RSSU
Luxembourg . . . . .	L	Yugoslavia . . . . .	JR-YU

**NOTES:**

1/ The Convention and the Protocol of Signature were signed on behalf of each of the States mentioned on the same date, with the exception of Belgium, on behalf of which the Convention was signed on 2 November 1966 and the Protocol on 4 November 1966.

2/ Upon ratification of the Convention, the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) as from the day on which it will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

In this connexion, the Government of the German Democratic Republic, upon accession to the Convention, declared the following:

"As regards the application of the Convention to Berlin (West) the German Democratic Republic, in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United

Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America, and the French Republic of 3 September 1971, states that Berlin (West) continues not to be a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic only takes note of the statement of the Federal Republic of Germany on the extension of the Convention to Berlin (West) on the understanding that such extension is in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement and that by applying the provisions of the Convention to Berlin (West) matters of status of Berlin (West) are not affected."

3/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

4/ Each of these two groups of distinctive letters to be followed by a figure indicating the serial number of the measurement certificate issued by the office concerned.

XII 6: Liner conferences

6 CONVENTION ON A CODE OF CONDUCT FOR LINER CONFERENCES

Concluded at Geneva on 6 April 1974

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 6 October 1983, in accordance with article 49(1).  
 REGISTRATION: 6 October 1983, No. 22380.  
 TEXT: TD/Code 11/Rev 1 and Corr.1 and depositary notification C.N.184.1984.TREATIES-2 of 1 May 1984 (procès-verbal of rectification of the English and French authentic texts).

Note: Adopted by a Conference of plenipotentiaries which met at Geneva from 12 November to 15 December 1973 and from 11 March to 6 April 1974 under the auspices of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, in accordance with resolution 3035 (XXVII)<sup>1</sup> of the General Assembly of the United Nations dated on 19 December 1972. Open for signature from 1 July 1974 to 30 June 1975.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Algeria . . . . .	27 Jun 1975	12 Dec 1986	Jamaica . . . . .		20 Jul 1982 <u>a</u>
Bangladesh . . . . .		24 Jul 1975 <u>a</u>	Jordan . . . . .		17 Mar 1980 <u>a</u>
Barbados . . . . .		29 Oct 1980 <u>a</u>	Kenya . . . . .		27 Feb 1978 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .	30 Jun 1975		Kuwait . . . . .		31 Mar 1986 <u>a</u>
Benin . . . . .		27 Oct 1975 <u>a</u>	Lebanon . . . . .		30 Apr 1982
Brazil . . . . .	23 Jun 1975		Madagascar . . . . .		23 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .		12 Jul 1979 <u>a</u>	Malaysia . . . . .		27 Aug 1982
Cameroon . . . . .		15 Jun 1976 <u>a</u>	Mali . . . . .		15 Mar 1978 <u>a</u>
Cape Verde . . . . .		13 Jan 1978 <u>a</u>	Malta . . . . .	15 May 1975	
Central African Republic . . . . .		13 May 1977 <u>a</u>	Mauritius . . . . .		16 Sep 1980 <u>a</u>
Chile . . . . .		25 Jun 1975 <u>s</u>	Mexico . . . . .		6 May 1976 <u>a</u>
China . . . . .		23 Sep 1980 <u>a</u>	Morocco . . . . .		11 Feb 1980 <u>a</u>
Congo . . . . .		26 Jul 1982 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .		6 Apr 1983 <u>a</u> <sup>4</sup>
Costa Rica . . . . .	15 May 1975	27 Oct 1978	Niger . . . . .	24 Jun 1975	13 Jan 1976
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	1 May 1975	17 Feb 1977	Nigeria . . . . .		10 Sep 1975 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .		23 Jul 1976 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		28 Jun 1985 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	30 Jun 1975	4 Jun 1979 <u>AA</u>	Pakistan . . . . .		27 Jun 1975 <u>s</u>
Denmark . . . . .		28 Jun 1985 <u>a</u> <sup>2</sup>	Peru . . . . .		21 Nov 1978 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	22 Oct 1974		Philippines . . . . .	2 Aug 1974	2 Mar 1976
Egypt . . . . .		25 Jan 1979 <u>a</u>	Republic of Korea . . . . .		11 May 1979 <u>a</u>
Ethiopia . . . . .	19 Jun 1975	1 Sep 1978	Romania . . . . .		7 Jan 1982 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		31 Dec 1985 <u>a</u>	Saudi Arabia . . . . .		24 May 1985 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	30 Jun 1975	4 Oct 1985 <u>AA</u>	Senegal . . . . .	30 Jun 1975	20 May 1977
Gabon . . . . .	10 Oct 1974	5 Jun 1978	Sierra Leone . . . . .		9 Jul 1979 <u>a</u>
Gambia . . . . .		30 Jun 1975 <u>s</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .		30 Jun 1975 <u>s</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	27 Jun 1975	9 Jul 1979	Sudan . . . . .		16 Mar 1978 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	30 Jun 1975	6 Apr 1983 <sup>3</sup>	Sweden . . . . .	25 Jun 1975	28 Jun 1985 <u>a</u>
Ghana . . . . .	14 May 1975	24 Jun 1975	Togo . . . . .		12 Jan 1978
Guatemala . . . . .	15 Nov 1974	3 Mar 1976	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		3 Aug 1983 <u>a</u>
Guinea . . . . .		19 Aug 1980 <u>a</u>	Tunisia . . . . .		15 Mar 1979 <u>a</u>
Guyana . . . . .		7 Jan 1980 <u>a</u>	Turkey . . . . .	30 Jun 1975	
Honduras . . . . .		12 Jun 1979 <u>a</u>	USSR . . . . .	27 Jun 1975	28 Jun 1979 <u>A</u>
India . . . . .	27 Jun 1975	14 Feb 1978	United Kingdom . . . . .		28 Jun 1985 <u>a</u> <sup>5</sup>
Indonesia . . . . .	5 Feb 1975	11 Jan 1977	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		3 Nov 1975 <u>a</u>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	7 Aug 1974		Uruguay . . . . .		9 Jul 1979 <u>a</u>
Iraq . . . . .		25 Oct 1978 <u>a</u>	Venezuela . . . . .		30 Jun 1975 <u>s</u>
			Yugoslavia . . . . .	17 Dec 1974	7 Jul 1980
			Zaire . . . . .		25 Jul 1977 <u>a</u>



Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon definitive signature, ratification, accession, acceptance or approval.)

**BELGIUM**

Upon signature:

Under Belgian law, the Convention must be approved by the legislative chambers before it can be ratified

In due course, the Belgian Government will submit this Convention to the legislative chambers for ratification, with the express reservation that its implementation should not be contrary to the commitments undertaken by Belgium under the Treaty of Rome establishing the European Economic Community and the OECD Code of Liberalisation of invisible trade, and taking into account any reservations it may deem fit to make to the provisions of this Convention.

**BRAZIL**

Upon signature:

"In accordance with SUNAMAM's resolutions Nos. 3393, of 12/30/1972, and 4173, of 12/21/1972, which set up and structured the "Bureau de Estudos de Fretes Internacionais da SUNAMAM", and by which the "Superintendência Nacional de Marinha Mercante (SUNAMAM)" has the authority to reject any proposal on freight rates put forward by Liner Conferences, the contents of article 14, paragraph 6, of that Convention do not conform to Brazilian Law."

**BULGARIA**

The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that the definition of liner conference does not include joint bilateral lines operating on the basis of inter-governmental agreements.

With regard to the text of point 2 of the annex to resolution I, adopted on 6 April 1974, the Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that the provisions of the Convention on a Code of Conduct for Liner Conferences do not cover the activities of non-conference shipping lines.

**CHINA**

The joint shipping services established between the People's Republic of China and any other country through consultations and on a basis that the parties concerned may deem appropriate, are totally different from liner conferences in nature, and the provisions of the United Nations Convention on a Code of Conduct for Liner Conferences shall not be applicable thereto

**CUBA**

Reservation.

The Republic of Cuba enters a reservation concerning the provisions of article 2, paragraph 17, of the Convention, to the effect that Cuba will not apply said paragraph to goods carried by

joint liner services for the carriage of any cargo, established in accordance with inter-governmental agreements, regardless of their origin, their destination or the use for which they are intended.

Declaration:

With regard to the definitions in the first paragraph of part one, chapter I, the Republic of Cuba does not accept the inclusion in the concept of "Liner conference or conference" of joint liner services for the carriage of any type of cargo, established in accordance with inter-governmental agreements

**CZECHOSLOVAKIA**

Upon signature:

"The provisions of the Code of Conduct do not apply to joint line services established on the basis of inter-governmental agreements for serving the bilateral trade;

"Eventual one-sided regulation of the activity of non-conference lines by legislation of individual States would be considered incompatible on the part of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic, with the main aims and principles of the Convention and would not be recognized as valid."

**DENMARK**

Reservations.

"1. For the purposes of the Code of Conduct, the term "national shipping line" may, in the case of a State member of the European Economic Community, include any vessel-operating shipping line established on the territory of that member State, in accordance with the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community.

2. (a) Without prejudice to paragraph (b) of this reservation, Article 2 of the Code of Conduct shall not be applied in conference trades between States members of the Community and, on a reciprocal basis, between these States and other OECD countries which are parties to the Code;

(b) Point (a) shall not affect the opportunities for participation as third country shipping lines in such trades, in accordance with the principles reflected in Article 2 of the Code, of the shipping lines of a developing country which are recognized as national shipping lines under the Code and which are:

- (i) already members of a conference serving these trades, or
- (ii) admitted to such a conference under Article 1 (3) of the Code

3. Article 3 and 14 (9) of the Code of Conduct shall not be applied in conference trades between the States members of the Community and, on a reciprocal basis, between these States and other OECD countries which are parties to the Code

4. In trades to which Article 3 of the Code of Conduct applies, the last sentence of that Article is interpreted as meaning that:

- (a) the two groups of national shipping

lines will co-ordinate their positions before voting on matters concerning the trade between their two countries;

(b) this sentence applies solely to matters which the conference agreement identifies as requiring the assent of both groups of national shipping lines concerned, and not to all matters covered by the conference agreement."

Declarations:

The Government of Denmark considers that the United Nations Convention on a Code of Conduct for Liner Conferences affords the shipping lines of developing countries extended opportunities to participate in the conference system and is drafted so as to regulate conferences and their activities in open trades (i.e., when opportunities to compete exist). This Government also considers that it is essential for the functioning of the Code and conferences subject thereto that opportunities for fair competition on a commercial basis by non-conference shipping lines continue to exist and that shippers are not denied an option in the choice between conference shipping lines and non-conference shipping lines, subject to loyalty arrangements where they exist. These basic concepts are reflected in a number of provisions of the Code itself, including its objectives and principles, and they are expressly set out in Resolution No. 2 on non-conference shipping lines adopted by the United Nations Conference of Plenipotentiaries.

This Government considers furthermore that any regulations or other measures adopted by a contracting party to the United Nations Convention with the aim or effect of eliminating such opportunities for competition by non-conference shipping lines would be inconsistent with the above-mentioned basic concepts and would bring about a radical change in the circumstances in which conferences subject to the Code are envisaged as operating. Nothing in the Convention obliges other contracting parties to accept either the validity of such regulations or measures, or situations where conferences, by virtue of such regulations or measures, acquire effective monopoly in trades subject to the Code.

The Government of Denmark declares that it will implement the Convention in accordance with the basic concepts and considerations herein stated and, in so doing, is not precluded by the Convention from taking appropriate steps in the event that another contracting party adopts measures or practices that prevent fair competition on a commercial basis in its liner trades.

FINLAND

Reservations:

1. Articles 2, 3 and 14 (9) of the Code of Conduct shall, on a reciprocal basis, not be applied in conference trades between Finland and other OECD countries which are parties to the Code.

2. In trades to which Article 3 of the Code of Conduct applies, the last sentence of that Article is interpreted as meaning that:

a) the two groups of national shipping lines will coordinate their positions before voting

on matters concerning the trade between their two countries;

b) this sentence applies solely to matters which the conference agreement identifies as requiring the assent of both groups of national shipping lines concerned, and not to all matters covered by the conference agreement

Declarations:

A. The Government of Finland considers that the United Nations Convention on a Code of Conduct for Liner Conferences affords the shipping lines of developing countries extended opportunities to participate in the conference system and is drafted so as to regulate conferences and their activities in open trades (i.e. when opportunities to compete exist). This Government also considers that it is essential for the functioning of the Code and conferences subject thereto that opportunities for fair competition on a commercial basis by non-conference shipping lines continue to exist and that shippers are not denied an option in the choice between conference shipping lines and non-conference shipping lines, subject to loyalty arrangements where they exist. These basic concepts are reflected in a number of provisions of the Code itself, including its objectives and principles, and they are expressly set out in Resolution No. 2 on non-conference shipping lines adopted by the United Nations Conference of Plenipotentiaries.

B. This Government considers furthermore that any regulations or other measures adopted by a contracting party to the UN Convention with the aim or effect of eliminating such opportunities for competition by non-conference shipping lines would be inconsistent with the above-mentioned basic concepts and would bring about a radical change in the circumstances in which conferences subject to the Code are envisaged as operating. Nothing in the Convention obliges other contracting parties to accept either the validity of such regulations or measures or situations where conferences, by virtue of such regulations or measures, acquire effective monopoly in trades subject to the Code.

C. The Government of Finland declares that it will implement the Convention in accordance with the basic concepts and considerations herein stated and, in so doing is not precluded by the Convention from taking appropriate steps in the event that another contracting party adopts measures or practices that prevent fair competition on a commercial basis in its liner trades."

FRANCE

Declaration made upon signature:

Under the French Constitution, approval of the Convention is subject to authorization by Parliament.

It is understood that this approval is conditional upon compliance with the commitments undertaken by France under the Treaty of Rome establishing the European Economic Community and the Code of Liberalisation of invisible trade of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, taking into account any reservations which the French Government may deem fit to make to the provisions of this Convention.

Reservations made upon approval:

[Same reservations, identical in essence, as those made by Denmark.]

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic declares that the provisions of the Convention on a Code of Conduct for Liner Conferences will not be applied to jointly operated lines established on the basis of inter-governmental agreements for the joint conduct of the bilateral exchange of goods between the respective states.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Upon signature:

"The Convention under the law of the Federal Republic of Germany, requires the approval of the legislative bodies for ratification. At the appropriate time, the Federal Republic of Germany will implement the Convention in conformity with its obligations under the Treaty of Rome establishing the European Economic Community as well as under the OECD Code of Liberalisation of Current Invisible Operations."

Upon ratification:

Declarations:

1. For the purposes of the Code of Conduct, the term "national shipping line" may, in the case of a Member State of the European Economic Community, include any vessel operating shipping line established on the territory of such Member State in accordance with the EEC Treaty.
2. (a) Without prejudice to paragraph (b) [hereinafter], article 2 of the Code of Conduct shall not be applied in conference trades between the Member States of the European Economic Community or, on the basis of reciprocity, between such States and other OECD countries which are parties to the Code.  
(b) Paragraph (a) [above] shall not affect the opportunities for participation as third-country shipping lines in such trades, in accordance with the principles laid down in such trades, in accordance with the principles laid down in article 2 of the Code, of the shipping lines of a developing country which are recognized as national shipping lines under the Code and which are:
  - (i) already members of a conference serving these trades; or
  - (ii) admitted to such a conference under article 1 (3) of the Code.
3. Articles 3 and 14 (9) of the Code of Conduct shall not be applied in conference trades between the Member States of the Community or, on a reciprocal basis, between such States and the other OECD countries which are parties to the Code.
4. In trades to which article 3 of the Code of Conduct applies, the last sentence of that article is interpreted as meaning that:
  - (a) The two groups of national shipping lines will coordinate their positions before voting on matters concerning the trade between their two countries;

(b) this sentence applies solely to matters which the conference agreement identifies as requiring the assent of both groups of national shipping lines concerned, and not to all matters covered by the conference agreement.

5. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany will not prevent non-conference shipping lines from operating as long as they compete with conferences on a commercial basis while adhering to the principle of fair competition, in accordance with the resolution on non-conference lines adopted by the Conference of Plenipotentiaries. It confirms its intention to act in accordance with the said resolution

INDIA

"In confirmation of paragraph (2) of the statement filed by the Representative of India on behalf of the Group of 77 on 8 April 1974 at the United Nations Conference of Plenipotentiaries on a Code of Conduct for Liner Conferences, it is the understanding of the Government of India that the inter-governmental shipping services established in accordance with inter-governmental agreements fall outside the purview of the Convention on the Code of Conduct for Liner Conferences regardless of the origin of the cargo, their destination or the use for which they are intended."

IRAQ

The accession shall in no way signify recognition of Israel or entry into any relation therewith.

KUWAIT

Understanding:

The accession to the Convention does not mean in any way a recognition of Israel by the Government of Kuwait.

NETHERLANDS

[Same declarations, identical in essence, as those made by the Federal Republic of Germany upon ratification.]

NORWAY

[Same declarations and reservations, identical in essence, as those made by Denmark.]

PERU

The Government of Peru does not regard itself as being bound by the provisions of chapter II, article 2, paragraph 4, of the Convention.

SWEDEN

Reservations and declarations:

[Same declarations and reservations, identical in essence, as those made by Denmark.]

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that the provisions of the

Convention on a Code of Conduct for Liner Conferences do not apply to joint shipping lines established on the basis of intergovernmental agreements to serve bilateral trade between the countries concerned.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

I. In relation to the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and to Gibraltar:  
[Same reservations, identical in essence, as those made by Denmark.]

II. In relation to Hong Kong:

1. (a) Without prejudice to paragraph (b) of this reservation, Article 2 of the Code of Conduct shall not be applied in conference trades, on a reciprocal basis, between Hong Kong and any State which has made a reservation disapplying Article 2 in respect of its trades with the United Kingdom.

(b) Point (a) above shall not affect the opportunity for participation as a third country shipping lines in such trades in accordance with the principles reflected in Article 2 of the Code, of the shipping lines of a developing country which are recognized as national shipping lines under the Code and which are:

(i) already members of a conference serving these trades; or

(ii) admitted to such a conference under Article 1 (3) of the Code.

2. In trades where Article 2 of the Code applies, Hong Kong shipping lines will, subject to reciprocity, allow participation in redistribution by lines from any country which has agreed to allow participation by United Kingdom lines in redistribution in respect of any of its trades.

3. Article 3 and Article 14 (9) of the Code shall not be applied in conference trades, on a reciprocal basis, between Hong Kong and any State which has made a reservation disapplying Article 3 and Article 14 (9) in respect of its trades with the United Kingdom.

4. In trades to which Article 3 of the Code applies, the last sentence of that article is interpreted as meaning that:

(i) the two groups of national shipping lines will co-ordinate their position before voting on matters concerning the trade between their two countries; and

(ii) this sentence applies solely to matters which the conference agreement identifies as requiring the assent of both groups of national shipping lines concerned, and not to all matters covered by the conference agreement."

[Same declarations, identical in essence, as those made by Denmark.]

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-seventh Session, Supplement No. 30 (A/78730), p.51.

2/ The instrument also specifies that the accession shall not apply to Greenland and the Faroe Islands.

3/ In connexion with the said ratification,

the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany also declared that the said Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

4/ For the Kingdom in Europe and ~~as from 1 January 1966, Aruba~~

5/ On behalf of the United Kingdom, Gibraltar and Hong Kong.

XII.7. Registration of ships

7. UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON CONDITIONS FOR REGISTRATION OF SHIPS

(10... ) Done at Geneva on 7 February 1986

NOT YET IN FORCE (see article 19 (1)).  
TEXT: TD/RS/CONF/19/Add 1 and depositary notification C.N.131 1986.TREATIES-3 of 30 July 1986  
(procès-verbal of rectification of original Russian text).

Note: The convention was adopted by a Conference of plenipotentiaries which met at Geneva from 20 January to 7 February 1986 under the auspices of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, in accordance with resolution 37/209<sup>1</sup> of the General Assembly of the United Nations dated 20 December 1982. The Conference on Conditions for Registration of Ships had held its first part from 16 July to 3 August 1984, and had resumed its work, first at its second part from 28 January to 15 February 1985 and then, at its third part from 8 to 19 July 1985, before adopting the Convention at its fourth and last part. Open for signature from 1 May 1986 to 30 April 1987 in New York

---

<u>Participants</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participants</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Bolivia . . . .	18 Aug 1986		Morocco . . . .	31 Jul 1986	
Cameroon . . .	29 Dec 1986		Senegal . . . .	16 Jul 1986	
Mexico . . . .	7 Aug 1986				

---

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly. Thirty-seventh session, Supplement No. 51 (A/37/51), p. 139.

CHAPTER XIII. ECONOMIC STATISTICS

1. PROTOCOL AMENDING THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION RELATING TO ECONOMIC STATISTICS, SIGNED AT GENEVA ON 14 DECEMBER 1928

Signed at Paris on 9 December 1948

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 9 December 1948, in accordance with article U.<sup>1</sup>  
 REGISTRATION: 9 December 1948, No. 318.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 20, p. 229.

Note: The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 255 (III)<sup>2</sup> of 18 November 1948.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance</u>
Australia . . . . .		9 Dec 1948 <u>s</u>	Ireland . . . . .		28 Feb 1952
Austria . . . . .		10 Nov 1949	Italy . . . . .		20 May 1949 <u>s</u>
Burma . . . . .	9 Dec 1948		Japan . . . . .		2 Dec 1952
Canada . . . . .		9 Dec 1948 <u>s</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	9 Dec 1948	13 Apr 1950
Denmark . . . . .	9 Dec 1948	27 Sep 1949	Norway . . . . .	9 Dec 1948	22 Mar 1949
Egypt . . . . .		9 Dec 1948 <u>s</u>	Pakistan . . . . .		3 Mar 1952 <u>s</u>
Finland . . . . .		17 Aug 1949	South Africa . . . . .		10 Dec 1948 <u>s</u>
France . . . . .	9 Dec 1948	11 Jan 1949	Sweden . . . . .		9 Dec 1948 <u>s</u>
Greece . . . . .	9 Dec 1948	9 Oct 1950	Switzerland . . . . .	9 Dec 1948	23 Jan 1970
India . . . . .	9 Dec 1948	14 Mar 1949	United Kingdom . . . . .		9 Dec 1948 <u>s</u>

NOTES:

1/ The amendments set forth in the annex to the Protocol entered into force on 9 October 1950, in accordance with article U of the Protocol.

2/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Third Session, Part I, A/810, p. 160.

2. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION RELATING TO ECONOMIC STATISTICS

Signed at Geneva on 14 December 1928 and amended by the Protocol signed at Paris on 9 December 1948

ENTRY INTO FORCE. 9 October 1950, the date on which the amendments to the Convention, as set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 9 December 1948, entered into force in accordance with article V of the Protocol.

REGISTRATION: 9 October 1950, No. 942.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series. vol. 73, p. 39.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 9 December 1948</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d), in respect of the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 9 December 1948</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or acceptance of the Protocol of 9 December 1948</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d), in respect of the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 9 December 1948</u>
Australia . . . . .	9 Dec 1948		Israel . . . . .		28 Dec 1950 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .	10 Nov 1949		Italy . . . . .	20 May 1949	
Belgium <sup>1</sup> . . . . .		2 May 1952	Japan . . . . .	2 Dec 1952	
Canada . . . . .	9 Dec 1948		Luxembourg . . . . .		23 Jul 1953
Denmark . . . . .	27 Sep 1949		Netherlands . . . . .	13 Apr 1950	
Egypt . . . . .	9 Dec 1948		Nigeria . . . . .		23 Jul 1965 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .	17 Aug 1949		Norway . . . . .	22 Mar 1949	
France . . . . .	11 Jan 1949		Pakistan . . . . .	3 Mar 1952	
Ghana . . . . .		7 Apr 1958 <u>d</u>	South Africa . . . . .	10 Dec 1948	
Greece . . . . .	9 Oct 1950		Sweden . . . . .	9 Dec 1948	
India . . . . .	14 Mar 1949		Switzerland . . . . .	23 Jan 1970	
Ireland . . . . .	28 Feb 1952		United Kingdom <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	9 Dec 1948	

NOTES:

1/ A declaration accompanying the instrument of ratification by the Government of Belgium stipulates that the ratification applies only to the metropolitan territories, the territories of

Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi being expressly excluded.

2/ Notice of application of the Convention to Southern Rhodesia was received from the Government of the United Kingdom on 2 December 1949.

## 3. (a) INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION RELATING TO ECONOMIC STATISTICS

Geneva, December 14th, 1928<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since December 14th, 1930 (Article 14).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Austria (March 27th, 1931)  
 Great Britain and Northern Ireland and all parts of the British Empire which are not separate Members of the League of Nations (May 9th, 1930)

Does not include any of His Britannic Majesty's Colonies, Protectorates or Territories under suzerainty or mandate.

Southern Rhodesia (October 14th, 1931 a)  
 Returns provided for in Article 2, III (8), will not contain information with regard to areas under crops on native farms, and in native reserves, locations and mission stations<sup>2</sup>.

Canada (August 23rd, 1930 a)  
 Australia (April 13th, 1932 a)  
 Does not apply to the territories of Papua and Norfolk Island, New Guinea and Nauru.

(1) The provision under Article 3, Annex I, Part I (b), for separate returns for direct transit trade shall not apply to the Commonwealth of Australia

(2) The provision under Article 3, Annex I, Part I, Paragraph IV, that when the quantity of goods of any kind is expressed in any unit or units of measure other than weight, an estimate of the average weight of each unit, or multiple of units, shall be shown in the annual returns, shall not apply to the Commonwealth of Australia.<sup>2</sup>

Union of South Africa (including the mandated territory of South West Africa) (May 1st, 1930)

Ireland (September 15th, 1930)  
 India (May 15th, 1931 a)

A. Under the terms of Article 11, the obligations of the Convention shall not extend to the territories in India of any Prince or Chief under the suzerainty of His Majesty the King Emperor.

B<sup>2</sup> (1) Article 2 I (a).--The provisions for returns of "transit trade" made in Annex I, Part I, 1 (b) shall not apply to India nor shall returns of the "land frontier trade" of India be required.

(2) Article 2 II (a).--The question whether a general census of agriculture can be held in India and, if so, on what lines and at what intervals still remains to be settled. For the present, India can assume no obligations under this article.

(3) Article 2. III (b) (1) --For farms in the "permanently settled" tracts in India, estimates of the cultivated areas may be used in compiling the returns

(4) Article 2. III (b) (2).--The returns of quantities of crops harvested may be based on estimates of yield each year per unit area in each locality.

(5) Article 2 III (d).--Complete returns cannot be guaranteed from Burma, and in re-

Ratifications or definitive accessions

spect of the rest of India the returns shall refer to Government forests only

The Government of India further declared that, with regard to the second paragraph of Article 3 of the Convention, they cannot, with the means of investigation at their disposal, usefully undertake to prepare experimentally the specified tables, and that for similar reasons they are not in a position to accept the proposal contained in Recommendation II of the Convention.

Bulgaria (November 29th, 1929)  
 Chile (November 20th, 1934 a)  
 Cuba (August 17th, 1932 a)  
 Czechoslovakia (February 19th, 1931)  
 Denmark (September 9th, 1929)

In pursuance of Article 11, Greenland is excepted from the provisions of this Convention. Furthermore, the Danish Government, in accepting the Convention, does not assume any obligation in respect of statistics concerning the Faroe Islands.

Egypt (June 27th, 1930)  
 Finland (September 23rd, 1938)  
 France (February 1st, 1933)

By its acceptance, France does not intend to assume any obligation in regard to any of its Colonies, Protectorates and Territories under its suzerainty or mandate

Greece (September 18th, 1930)  
 Italy (June 11th, 1931)

In accepting the present Convention, Italy does not assume any obligation in respect of her Colonies, Protectorates and other Territories referred to in the first paragraph of Article 11

Latvia (July 5th, 1937)  
 Lithuania (April 2nd, 1938 a)  
 The Netherlands (September 13th, 1932)

This ratification applies only to the territory of the Netherlands in Europe, the Netherlands do not intend to assume, at present, any obligation as regards the whole of the Netherlands overseas territories

Netherlands Indies (May 5th, 1933 a)

- 1 The following shall not be applicable:
  - (a) The provisions of Article 2, III (E) and V;
  - (b) The provisions concerning the system of valuations known as "declared values" mentioned in Annex I, Part I, para. II (see Article 3);
  - (c) Article 3, paragraph 2
2. The returns mentioned in Article 2 IV, shall apply only to coal, petroleum, natural gas, tin, manganese, gold and silver
- 3 The statistics of foreign trade mentioned in Article 3 shall not comprise tables



XIII.3: Economic statistics--1928 Convention

Ratifications or definitive accessions

concerning transit.<sup>2</sup>  
 Norway (March 20th, 1929)  
 In accordance with Article 11, the Bouvet Island is excepted from the provisions of the present Convention. Furthermore, in ratifying the Convention, Norway does not assume any obligation as regards statistics relating to the Svalbard.  
 Poland (July 23rd, 1931)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Portugal (October 23rd, 1931)  
 In accordance with Article 11, the Portuguese Delegation declares on behalf of its Government that the present Convention does not apply to the Portuguese Colonies.  
 Romania (June 22nd, 1931)  
 Sweden (February 17th, 1930)  
 Switzerland (July 10th, 1930)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Brazil	Germany	Yugoslavia
Estonia	Hungary	

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification</u>
Belgium . . . . .	5 May 1950 <sup>3</sup>	Japan . . . . .	3 Sep 1952

## 3. (b) PROTOCOL

Geneva, December 14th, 1928

IN FORCE since December 14th, 1930.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Austria (March 27th, 1931)  
 Great Britain and Northern Ireland and all parts of the British Empire which are not separate Members of the League of Nations (May 9th, 1930)  
Southern Rhodesia (October 14th, 1931 a)  
 Canada (August 23rd, 1930)  
 Australia (April 13th, 1932 a)  
 Union of South Africa (including the mandated territory of South West Africa (May 1st, 1930)  
 Ireland (September 15th, 1930)  
 India (May 15th, 1931 a)  
 Bulgaria (November 29th, 1929)  
 Chile (November 20th, 1934 a)  
 Cuba (August 17th, 1932 a)  
 Czechoslovakia (February 19th, 1931)  
 Denmark (September 9th, 1929)  
 Egypt (June 27th, 1930)  
 Finland (September 23rd, 1938)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

France (February 1st, 1933)  
 Greece (September 18th, 1930)  
 Italy (June 11th, 1931)  
 Latvia (July 5th, 1937)  
 Lithuania (April 2nd, 1938 a)  
 The Netherlands (September 13th, 1932)  
 This ratification applies only to the territory of the Netherlands in Europe; the Netherlands do not intend to assume, at present, any obligation as regards the whole of the Netherlands overseas territories.  
Netherlands Indies (May 5th, 1933 a)  
 Norway (March 20th, 1929)  
 Poland (July 23rd, 1931)  
 Portugal (October 23rd, 1931)  
 Romania (June 22nd, 1931)  
 Sweden (February 17th, 1930)  
 Switzerland (July 10th, 1930)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratificationBrazil  
EstoniaGermany  
Hungary

Yugoslavia

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification</u>
Belgium . . . . .	5 May 1950	Japan . . . . .	3 Sep 1952

## NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 2560. See League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 110, p. 171.

2/ These reservations were accepted by the States parties to the Convention, which were consulted in accordance with article 17.

3/ Declaration made on signature: In pursuance of article 11 of the Convention, the Belgian Delegation declares on behalf of its Government that it cannot accept, in regard to the Colony of the Belgian Congo, the obligations arising out of the clauses of the present Convention.



CHAPTER XIV. EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL MATTERS

1. AGREEMENT FOR FACILITATING THE INTERNATIONAL CIRCULATION OF VISUAL AND AUDITORY MATERIALS OF AN EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL CHARACTER

Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 15 July 1949

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 12 August 1954, in accordance with article XII.  
REGISTRATION: 12 August 1954, No. 2631.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 197, p. 3.

Note: The Agreement was approved by the General Conference of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization at its third session, held at Beirut from 17 November to 11 December 1948, in a resolution<sup>1</sup> adopted at the seventeenth plenary meeting on 10 December 1948.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Acceptance, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Acceptance, accession (a)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	29 Dec 1949		Lebanon . . . . .	30 Dec 1949	12 May 1971
Brazil . . . . .	15 Sep 1949	15 Aug 1962	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .		22 Jan 1973 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .	17 Dec 1949	4 Oct 1950	Madagascar . . . . .		23 May 1962 <u>a</u>
Congo . . . . .		26 Aug 1968 <u>a</u>	Malawi . . . . .		5 Jul 1967 <u>a</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .		9 Jun 1971 <u>a</u>	Malta . . . . .		29 Jul 1968 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .		7 Feb 1977 <u>a</u>	Morocco . . . . .		25 Jul 1968 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		10 Aug 1972 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	30 Dec 1949	
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		20 Feb 1952 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .		22 Apr 1968 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	29 Dec 1949	10 Aug 1955	Norway . . . . .	20 Dec 1949	12 Jan 1950
Dominican Republic . . . . .	5 Aug 1949		Pakistan . . . . .		16 Feb 1950 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	29 Dec 1949		Philippines . . . . .	31 Dec 1949	13 Nov 1952
El Salvador . . . . .	29 Dec 1949	24 Jun 1953	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .		16 Sep 1951 <u>a</u>
Ghana . . . . .		22 Mar 1960 <u>a</u>	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		31 Aug 1965 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .	31 Dec 1949	9 Jul 1954	United States of America . . . . .	13 Sep 1949	14 Oct 1966
Haiti . . . . .	2 Dec 1949	14 May 1954	Uruguay . . . . .	31 Dec 1949	30 Jun 1950 <u>a</u>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	31 Dec 1949	30 Dec 1959	Yugoslavia . . . . .		
Iraq . . . . .		29 Aug 1952 <u>a</u>			
Jordan . . . . .		7 Jul 1972 <u>a</u>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon acceptance or accession.)

CUBA

Reservation:

The Government of the Republic of Cuba does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX, inasmuch as it believes that any disputes which may arise between States concerning the interpretation or application of the Agreement must be settled by direct negotiation through the diplomatic channel.

Declaration:

The Government of the Republic of Cuba hereby declares that the provisions of paragraphs 1 to 4 of article XIV of the Agreement for Facilitating the International Circulation of Visual and Auditory Materials of an Educational, Scientific and Cultural Character are contrary to the Declara-

tion on the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples (resolution 1514 (XV)), adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 14 December 1960, which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

LIBYAN ARAB JAMAHIRIYA

The accession of the Libyan Arab Republic to this Agreement does not imply recognition of Israel or the assumption towards Israel of any commitments arising out of this Agreement.

XIV.1: Visual and auditory materials

---

NETHERLANDS

Upon signature:

"As regards article III, paragraph 1, the words

and quantitative restrictions and from the necessity of applying for an import licence' will be deleted, and excluded from the application of the Agreement."

---

NOTES:

1/ Records of the General Conference of UNESCO, Third Session, Beirut 1948, vol. II, Resolutions (3/3C/110, vol. II), p. 113.

## 2. AGREEMENT ON THE IMPORTATION OF EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL MATERIALS

Opened for signature at Lake Success, New York, on 22 November 1950

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 21 May 1952, in accordance with article XI.  
 REGISTRATION: 21 May 1952, No. 1734.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 131, p. 25.

Note: The Agreement was approved by the General Conference of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization at its fifth session, held at Florence from 22 May to 17 June 1950, in a resolution<sup>1</sup> adopted at the fourteenth plenary meeting on 17 June 1950.

Participant	Signature	<u>Ratification, acceptance (a), succession (d)</u>	Participant	Signature	<u>Ratification, acceptance (a), succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	8 Oct 1951	19 Mar 1958	Madagascar . . . . .		23 May 1962 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .		12 Jun 1958 <u>a</u>	Malawi . . . . .		17 Aug 1965 <u>a</u>
Barbados . . . . .		13 Apr 1973 <u>d</u>	Malaysia . . . . .		29 Jun 1959 <u>d</u>
Belgium . . . . .	22 Nov 1950	31 Oct 1957	Malta . . . . .		19 Jan 1968 <u>d</u>
Bolivia . . . . .	22 Nov 1950	22 Sep 1970	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Burkina Faso . . . . .		14 Sep 1965 <u>a</u>	Monaco . . . . .		18 Mar 1952 <u>a</u>
Cameroon . . . . .		15 May 1964 <u>a</u>	Morocco . . . . .		25 Jul 1968 <u>a</u>
China <sup>2</sup> . . . . .			Netherlands . . . . .	22 Nov 1950	31 Oct 1957
Colombia . . . . .	22 Nov 1950		New Zealand . . . . .	16 Mar 1951	29 Jun 1962
Congo . . . . .		26 Aug 1968 <u>a</u>	Nicaragua . . . . .		17 Dec 1963 <u>a</u>
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		19 Jul 1963 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .		22 Apr 1968 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .		27 Aug 1952 <u>a</u>	Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		16 May 1963 <u>d</u>	Norway . . . . .		2 Apr 1959 <u>a</u>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		5 Nov 1951 <u>a</u>	Oman . . . . .		19 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .		4 Apr 1960 <u>a</u>	Pakistan . . . . .	9 May 1951	17 Jan 1952
Dominican Republic . . . . .	22 Nov 1950		Peru . . . . .	8 Jul 1964	
Ecuador . . . . .	22 Nov 1950		Philippines . . . . .	22 Nov 1950	30 Aug 1952
Egypt . . . . .	22 Nov 1950	8 Feb 1952	Poland . . . . .		24 Sep 1971 <u>a</u>
El Salvador . . . . .	4 Dec 1950	24 Jun 1953	Portugal . . . . .		11 Jun 1984 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		31 Oct 1972 <u>d</u>	[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>5</sup> . . . . .		1 Jun 1952 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		30 Apr 1956 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .		24 Nov 1970 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	14 May 1951	14 Oct 1957	Rwanda . . . . .		1 Dec 1964 <u>d</u>
Gabon . . . . .		4 Sep 1962 <u>a</u>	San Marino . . . . .		30 Jul 1985 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		9 Aug 1957 <u>a</u>	Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Ghana . . . . .		7 Apr 1958 <u>d</u>	Singapore . . . . .		11 Jul 1969 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .	22 Nov 1950	12 Dec 1955	Solomon Islands . . . . .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
Guatemala . . . . .	22 Nov 1950	8 Jul 1960	Spain . . . . .		7 Jul 1955 <u>a</u>
Haiti . . . . .	22 Nov 1950	14 May 1954	Sri Lanka . . . . .		8 Jan 1952 <u>a</u>
Holy See . . . . .		22 Aug 1979 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	20 Nov 1951	21 May 1952
Honduras . . . . .	13 Apr 1954		Switzerland <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	22 Nov 1950	7 Apr 1953
Hungary . . . . .		15 Mar 1979 <u>a</u>	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	7 Aug 1979	16 Sep 1980
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	9 Feb 1951	7 Jan 1966	Thailand . . . . .	22 Nov 1950	18 Jun 1951
Iraq . . . . .		11 Aug 1972 <u>a</u>	Tonga . . . . .		11 Nov 1977 <u>d</u>
Ireland . . . . .		19 Sep 1978 <u>a</u>	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Israel . . . . .	22 Nov 1950	27 Mar 1952	Tunisia . . . . .		14 May 1971 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .		26 Nov 1962 <u>a</u>	Uganda . . . . .		15 Apr 1965 <u>a</u>
Japan . . . . .		17 Jun 1970 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	22 Nov 1950	11 Mar 1954
Jordan . . . . .		31 Dec 1958 <u>a</u>	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		26 Mar 1963 <u>a</u>
Kenya . . . . .		15 Mar 1967 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .	24 Jun 1959	2 Nov 1966
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		28 Feb 1952 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .	27 Apr 1964	
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .		22 Jan 1973 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .		26 Apr 1951 <u>a</u>
Liechtenstein <sup>4</sup> . . . . .			Zaire . . . . .		3 May 1962 <u>d</u>
Luxembourg . . . . .	22 Nov 1950	31 Oct 1957	Zambia . . . . .		1 Nov 1974 <u>d</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance or succession.)

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"(1) Until the expiration of the interim period as defined in article 3 of the Treaty between France and the Federal Republic of Germany of 27 October 1956 on the Settlement of the Saar Questions, the above-mentioned Agreement does not apply to the Saar Territory;

"(2) In accordance with the aims of the Agreement, as outlined in its preamble, the Federal Republic's interpretation of the provisions contained in article 1 of the Agreement is that the granting of customs exemption is intended to serve the promotion of a free exchange of ideas and knowledge between the States Parties; that, however, this provision does not aim at furthering the shifting of production to a foreign country if such shifts are made chiefly for commercial reasons."

HUNGARY

The Hungarian People's Republic calls attention to the fact that articles XIII and XIV of the Agreement are at variance with resolution 1514 on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples, adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations at its XVth session on 14 December 1960.

IRAQ<sup>6</sup>

Accession by the Republic of Iraq to the agreement shall . . . in no way imply recognition of Israel or lead to entry into any relations with it.

KENYA

"1. Annex B (vi) of the Agreement requires free admission for 'Antiques, being articles in excess of 100 years of age'. Under the relevant laws in force in Kenya, such items are admitted free of duty only if--

"(a) They can be classified as 'Works of Art'; and

"(b) They are not intended for resale and are admitted as such by the Commissioner of Customs and Excise; and

"(c) They are proved to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Customs and Excise to be 'over 100 years old'.

"If the above conditions are not fulfilled, such articles attract appropriate duty under the Tariff.

"2. With respect to Annex C (i) of the Agreement, films, filmstrips, microfilms and slides of an educational or scientific character are granted duty-free entry into Kenya under conditions which accord with those specified in the Agreement. This is not necessarily so in the case of similar materials of a cultural nature

which are dutiable under the appropriate items in the Tariff. This position may be attributed to the impossibility of defining the word 'cultural' with any degree of precision.

"3. With respect to Annex C (iii), sound recordings of an educational or scientific character for use under conditions specified in the Agreement are admitted into Kenya free of duty. However, no special provision exists for the admission of sound recordings of a cultural character and these attract duty under the relevant items of the Tariff."

LYBIAN ARAB JAMAHIRIYA

The acceptance of the Libyan Arab Republic to this Agreement does not imply recognition of Israel or the assumption towards Israel of any commitments arising out of this Agreement.

ROMANIA

The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance of the state of dependence of certain territories to which the provisions of articles XIII and XIV of the Agreement refer is inconsistent with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples, which was adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 14 December 1960, by resolution 1514 (XV), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

The State Council of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of paragraph 1 of article IX are inconsistent with the principle that all multilateral treaties whose aim and purpose concern the international community as a whole should be open to universal participation.

SWITZERLAND

The Government of Switzerland reserves the right to resume its freedom of action with regard to contracting States which unilaterally apply quantitative restrictions and exchange control measures of a nature to render the Agreement inoperative.

Furthermore, my signature is appended without prejudice to the attitudes of the Government of Switzerland in regard to the Havana Charter for an International Trade Organization signed at Havana on 24 March 1948.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The ratification is subject to the reservation contained in the Protocol annexed to the Agreement.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Belgium . . . . .	31 Oct 1957	Belgian Congo and the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi
France . . . . .	10 Dec 1951	Tunisia
Netherlands . . . .	31 Oct 1957	Surinam and Netherlands New Guinea
	1 Jan 1986 <i>LRN</i>	Aruba
New Zealand . . . .	29 Jun 1962	Tokelau Islands
	28 Feb 1964	Cook Islands (including Niue)
United Kingdom . . .	11 Mar 1954	Aden (Colony and Protectorate), Barbados, British Guiana, British Honduras, Brunei (Protected State), Fiji, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar, Gold Coast: (a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland (under United Kingdom Trusteeship), Hong Kong, Jamaica (including Turks and Caicos Islands and the Cayman Islands), Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Leeward Islands (Antigua, Montserrat, St. Christopher, Nevis and Anguilla), Virgin Islands, Federation of Malaya (The British Settlements of Penang and Malacca and the Protected States of Johore, Kedah, Kelantan, Negri Sembilan, Pahang, Perak, Perlis, Selangor and Trengganu), Malta, Mauritius, Nigeria: (a) Colony, (b) Protectorate, (c) Cameroons (under United Kingdom Trusteeship), St. Helena (including Ascension Island and Tristan da Cunha), Sarawak, Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Singapore (including Christmas and Cocos (Keeling) Islands), Somaliland Protectorate, Tanganyika (under United Kingdom Trusteeship), Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda (Protectorate), Western Pacific High Commission Territories: British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony, Central and Southern Line Islands, Zanzibar Protectorate
	16 Sep 1954	Cyprus, Falkland Islands (Colony and Dependencies), North Borneo (including Labuan), Tonga (Protected State), Windward Islands (Dominica, Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent)
	18 May 1955	The Channel Islands and the Isle of Man
	22 Mar 1956	The Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland <sup>7</sup>
	14 Mar 1960	Bahamas

NOTES:

1/ Records of the General Conference of UNESCO, Fifth Session, Florence, 1950, Resolutions (5C/Resolutions), p. 64.

2/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 22 November 1950. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

On depositing the instrument of acceptance of the Agreement, the Government of Romania stated that it considered the above-mentioned signature as null and void, inasmuch as the only Government competent to assume obligations on behalf of China and to represent China at the international level is the Government of the People's Republic of China.

In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General in regard to the above-mentioned declaration, the Permanent Representative of the Republic of China to the United Nations stated:

"The Republic of China, a sovereign State and member of the United Nations, attended the Fifth Session of the General Conference of the United Nations Educational, Cultural and Scientific Organization, contributed to the formulation of the Agreement on the Importation of Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials and duly signed the said Agreement on 22 November 1950 at the Interim Headquarters of the United Nations at Lake Success. Any statement relating to the said Agreement that is incompatible with or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China as a signatory of the said Agreement."



3/ A communication was received on 25 September 1957 from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stating that "the Agreement on the Importation of Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials also applies to Land Berlin".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Government of Poland and the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to those referred to in note 2 in chapter III.3.

4 On 16 June 1975, the Government of Switzerland declared that the provisions of the Agreement apply to the Principality of Liechtenstein so long as it is linked to Switzerland by a customs union treaty.

5/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

6/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 20 October 1972, the Government of Israel made the following declaration:

"The Government of Israel has noted the political character of a reservation made by the Government of Iraq on that occasion. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Agreement is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, that declaration cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Iraq under general international law or under particular treaties. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Iraq, an attitude of complete reciprocity."

7/ See note 21 in chapter U.2.

XIV.3: Performers, producers and broadcasters

3. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE PROTECTION OF PERFORMERS, PRODUCERS OF PHONOGRAMS AND BROADCASTING ORGANIZATIONS

Done at Rome on 26 October 1961

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 18 May 1964, in accordance with article 25.  
 REGISTRATION: 18 May 1964, No. 7247.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 496, p. 43.

Note: The Convention was drawn up by the Diplomatic Conference on the International Protection of Performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organisations convened jointly by the International Labour Organisation, the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization and the International Union for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works. The Conference was held at Rome at the invitation of the Government of Italy from 10 to 26 October 1961.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	26 Oct 1961		Holy See . . . . .	26 Oct 1961	
Austria . . . . .	26 Oct 1961	9 Mar 1973	Iceland . . . . .	26 Oct 1961	
Barbados . . . . .		18 Jun 1983 <u>a</u>	India . . . . .	26 Oct 1961	
Belgium . . . . .	26 Oct 1961		Ireland . . . . .	30 Jun 1962	19 Jun 1979
Brazil . . . . .	26 Oct 1961	29 Jun 1965	Israel . . . . .	7 Feb 1962	
Chile . . . . .	26 Oct 1961	5 Jun 1974	Italy . . . . .	26 Oct 1961	8 Jan 1975
Colombia . . . . .		17 Jun 1976 <u>a</u>	Lebanon . . . . .	26 Jun 1962	
Congo . . . . .		29 Jun 1962 <u>a</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .		25 Nov 1975 <u>a</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .		9 Jun 1971 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .	26 Oct 1961	17 Feb 1964
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		13 May 1964 <u>a</u>	Monaco . . . . .	22 Jun 1962	6 Sep 1985
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	26 Oct 1961		Niger . . . . .		5 Apr 1963 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	26 Oct 1961	23 Jun 1965	Norway . . . . .		10 Apr 1978 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .		27 Oct 1986 <u>a</u>	Panama . . . . .		2 Jun 1983 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	26 Jun 1962	19 Dec 1963	Paraguay . . . . .	30 Jun 1962	26 Nov 1969
El Salvador . . . . .		29 Mar 1979 <u>a</u>	Peru . . . . .		7 May 1985 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		11 Jan 1972 <u>a</u>	Philippines . . . . .		25 Jun 1984 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .	21 Jun 1962	21 Jul 1983	Spain . . . . .	26 Oct 1961	
France . . . . .	26 Oct 1961		Sweden . . . . .	26 Oct 1961	13 Jul 1962
Germany, Federal . . . . .			United Kingdom . . . . .	26 Oct 1961	30 Oct 1963
Republic of . . . . .	26 Oct 1961	21 Jul 1966 <sup>1</sup>	Uruguay . . . . .		4 Apr 1977 <u>a</u>
Guatemala . . . . .		14 Oct 1976 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	26 Oct 1961	

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or acceptance.)

AUSTRIA

1. . . . . In accordance with article 16, paragraph 1(a)(iii), of the Convention, Austria will not apply the provisions of article 12 in respect of phonograms the producer of which is not a national of a Contracting State;

2. . . . . In accordance with article 16, paragraph 1(a)(iv), of the Convention, . . . . , as regards phonograms the producer of which is a national of another Contracting State, Austria will limit the protection provided for by article 12 to the extent to which, and to the term for which the latter State grants protection to phonograms first fixed by an Austrian national;

3. . . . . In accordance with article 16, paragraph 1(b), of the Convention, Austria will not apply article 13(d).

CONGO

In a communication received on 16 May 1964, the Government of the Congo has notified the

Secretary-General that it has decided to make its accession subject to the following declarations:

- (1) Article 5, paragraph 3: the "criterion of publication" is excluded;
- (2) Article 16: the application of article 12 is completely excluded.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"With reservations set forth in article 16, paragraph 1, sub-paragraph (a)(iii) and (iv) of the Convention."

DENMARK

"1) With regard to article 6, paragraph 2: Protection will be granted to broadcasting organisations only if their headquarters is situated in another Contracting State and if their broadcasts are transmitted from a transmitter situated in the same Contracting State.

"2) With regard to article 16, paragraph 1(a)(ii): The provisions of article 12 will be

applied solely with respect to use for broadcasting or for any other communication to the public for commercial purposes.

"3) With regard to article 16, paragraph 1(a)(iv): As regards phonograms the producer of which is a national of another Contracting State, the protection provided for in article 12 will be limited to the extent to which, and to the term for which, the latter State grants protection to phonograms first fixed by a Danish national.

"4) With regard to article 17: Denmark will grant the protection provided for in article 5 only if the first fixation of the sound was made in another Contracting State (the criterion of fixation) and will apply for the purposes of paragraph 1(a)(iii) and (iv) of article 16 the said criterion instead of the criterion of nationality."

FIJI

"(1) In respect of Article 5(1)(b) and in accordance with Article 5(3) of the Convention, Fiji will not apply, in respect of phonograms, the criterion of fixation;

"(2) In respect of Article 6(1) and in accordance with Article 6(2) of the Convention, Fiji will protect broadcasts only if the headquarters of the broadcasting organisation is situated in another Contracting State and the broadcast was transmitted from a transmitter situated in the same Contracting State,

"(3) In respect of article 12 and in accordance with article 16(1) of the Convention,

"(a) Fiji will not apply the provisions of Article 12 in respect of the following uses:

"(i) The causing of a phonogram to be heard in public at premises where persons reside or sleep, as part of the amenities provided exclusively or mainly for residents or inmates therein except where a special charge is made for admission to the part of the premises where the phonogram is to be heard;

"(ii) The causing of a phonogram to be heard in public as part of the activities of, or for the benefit of, a club, society or other organisation which is not established or conducted for profit and whose main objects are charitable or are otherwise concerned with the advancement of religion, education or social welfare, except where a charge is made for admission to the place where the phonogram is to be heard, and any of the proceeds of the charge are applied otherwise than for the purpose of the organisation,

"(b) As regards phonograms the producer of which is not a national of another Contracting State or as regards phonograms the producer of which is a national of a Contracting State which has made a declaration under Article 16(1)(a)(i) stating that it will not apply the provisions of Article 12, Fiji will not grant the protection provided for by Article 12, unless, in either event, the phonogram has been first published in a Contracting State which has made no such declaration."

Communication received on 12 June 1972:

"The Government of Fiji, having reconsidered the said Convention hereby withdraws its declaration in respect of certain provisions of article 12 and in substitution thereof declares in accordance with article 16(1) of the said Convention that Fiji will not apply the provisions of article 12".

FINLAND

Reservations:

1. Article 6, paragraph 2

Protection will be granted to broadcasting organisations only if their headquarters is situated in another Contracting State and if their broadcasts are transmitted from a transmitter situated in the same Contracting State.

2. Article 16, paragraph 1(a)(i)

The provisions of article 12 will not be applied with respect to phonograms acquired by a broadcasting organisation before 1 September 1961.

3. Article 16, paragraph 1(a)(ii)

The provisions of article 12 will be applied solely with respect to use for broadcasting.

4. Article 16, paragraph 1(a)(iv)

As regards phonograms first fixed in another Contracting State, the protection provided for in article 12 will be limited to the extent to which, and to the term for which, the latter State grants protection to phonograms first fixed in Finland.

5. Article 16, paragraph 1(b)

The provisions of article 13(d) will be applied only to the communication to the public of television broadcasts in a cinema or other similar place

6. Article 17

Finland will apply, for the purposes of article 5, the criterion of fixation alone and, for the purposes of article 16, paragraph 1(a)(iv), the criterion of fixation instead of the criterion of nationality.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"1. The Federal Republic of Germany makes use of the following reservations provided for in article 5, paragraph 3, and article 16, paragraph 1 a(iv) of the International Convention for the Protection of Performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organisations:

"1) As regards the protection of producers of phonograms it will not apply the criterion of fixation referred to in article 5, paragraph 1(b) of the Convention;

"2) As regards phonograms the producer of which is a national of another Contracting State, it will limit the protection provided for by article 12 of the Convention to the extent to which, and to the term for which, the latter State grants protection to phonograms first fixed by a German national

IRELAND

"(1) With regard to article 5, paragraph 1, and in accordance with article 5, paragraph 3, of the Convention: Ireland will not apply the criterion of fixation;

"(2) With regard to article 6, paragraph 1, and in accordance with article 6, paragraph 2, of the Convention: Ireland will protect broadcasts only if the headquarters of the broadcasting organization is situated in another Contracting State and the broadcast was transmitted from a transmitter situated in the same Contracting State;

"(3) With regard to article 12, and in accordance with article 16, paragraph 1(a)(iii): Ireland will not protect broadcasts heard in public (a) at any premises where persons reside or sleep, as part of the amenities provided exclusively or mainly for residents or inmates therein unless a special charge is made for admission to the part of the premises where the recording is to be heard or (b) as part of the activities of, or for the benefit of a club, society or other organisation which is not established or conducted for profit and whose main objects are charitable or are otherwise concerned with the advancement of religion, education or social welfare, unless a charge is made for admission to the part of the premises where the recording is to be heard and any of the proceeds of the charge are applied otherwise than for the purposes of the organisation."

#### ITALY

(1) With regard to article 6, paragraph 1, and in accordance with article 6, paragraph 2, of the Convention: Italy will protect broadcasts only if the headquarters of the broadcasting organization is situated in another Contracting State and the broadcast was transmitted from a transmitter situated in the same Contracting State;

(2) With regard to article 12 and in accordance with article 16, paragraph 1(a), of the Convention:

(a) Italy will apply the provisions of article 12 to use for broadcasting or for any other communication to the public for commercial purposes, with the exception of cinematography;

(b) It will apply the provisions of article 12 only to phonograms fixed in another Contracting State;

(c) With regard to phonograms fixed in another Contracting State, it will limit the protection provided for by article 12 to the extent to which, and to the term for which, that Contracting State grants protection to phonograms first fixed in Italy; however, if that State does not grant the protection to the same beneficiary or beneficiaries as Italy, that fact will not be considered as a difference in the extent of the protection.

(3) With regard to article 13 and in accordance with article 16, paragraph 1(b), of the Convention: Italy will not apply the provisions of article 13(d);

(4) With regard to article 5 and in accordance with article 17 of the Convention, Italy will apply only the criterion of fixation for the purposes of article 5; the same criterion, instead of the criterion of nationality, will be applied for the purposes of the declarations provided for in article 16, paragraph 1(a)(iii) and (iv), of the Convention.

#### LUXEMBOURG

1. With regard to the protection of producers of phonograms, Luxembourg will not apply the criterion of publication but only the criteria of nationality and fixation, in accordance with article 5, paragraph 3, of the Convention.

2. With regard to the protection of phonograms, in accordance with article 16, paragraph 1(a)(i), of the Convention, Luxembourg will not apply any of the provisions of article 12.

3. With regard to broadcasting organizations, in accordance with article 16, paragraph 1(b), of the Convention, Luxembourg will not apply the protection envisaged in article 13(d) against communication to the public of their television broadcasts

#### MONACO

##### Reservation:

1. With regard to the protection of producers of phonograms, Monaco will not apply the criterion of publication but only the criteria of nationality and fixation, in accordance with article 5, paragraph 3.

2. With regard to broadcasting organizations, in accordance with article 16, paragraph 1(a)(i), Monaco will not apply any of the provisions of article 12.

3. With regard to broadcasting organizations, in accordance with article 16, paragraph 1(b), Monaco will not apply the provisions of article 13(d) concerning protection against communication to the public of television broadcasts.

#### NIGER

##### Declarations:

(1) Article 5, paragraph 3: the "criterion of publication" is excluded;

(2) Article 16: the application of article 12 is completely excluded

#### NORWAY

##### Reservations:

"(a) Pursuant to article 16, paragraph 1, item a(ii), reservation is made to the effect that article 12 shall not apply in respect of use other than for the purpose of economic gain.

"(b) Pursuant to article 16, paragraph 1, item a(iii), reservation is made to the effect that article 12 shall not be applicable if the producer is not a national of another Contracting State.

"(c) Pursuant to article 16, paragraph 1, item a(iv), reservation is made to the effect that the extent and duration of the protection provided for under article 12 for phonograms which are produced by a national in another Contracting State shall not be more comprehensive than protection granted by that State to phonograms first produced by a Norwegian national.

"(d) Pursuant to article 6, paragraph 2, reservation is made to the effect that broadcasts are only protected if the headquarters of the broadcasting organisation is situated in another Contracting State, and the broadcast is transmitted from a transmitter in the same Contracting State."

XIV.3: Protection of performers, producers and broadcasters

Declaration:

"The Norwegian Act of 14 December 1956 concerning a Levy on the Public Presentation of Recordings of Artists' Performances, etc., establishes rules for the disbursement of that levy to producers and performers of phonograms.

"A portion of the annual revenue from the levy devolves, as of rights, to producers of phonograms as a group, without distinction as to nationality, in remuneration for the public use of phonograms.

"Under the terms of the Act, contributions from the levy may be made to Norwegian performing artists and their survivors on the basis of individual needs. This benevolent arrangement falls entirely outside the scope of the Convention.

"The régime established by the said Act, being fully consistent with the requirements of the Convention will be maintained."

SWEDEN

(a)...2

(b)...2

(c) With regard to article 16, paragraph 1, sub-paragraph (a)(iv);

(d) With regard to article 16, paragraph 1, sub-paragraph (b): the provisions of article 13, item (d), will be applied only with respect to the communication to the public of television broadcasts in a cinema or similar place.

(e)...2

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"(1) In respect of article 5(1)(b) and in accordance with article 5(3) of the Convention, the United Kingdom will not apply, in respect of phonograms, the criterion of fixation;

"(2) In respect of article 6(1) and in accordance with article 6(2) of the Convention, the United Kingdom will protect broadcasts only if

the headquarters of the broadcasting organisation is situated in another Contracting State and the broadcast was transmitted from a transmitter situated in the same Contracting State;

"(3) In respect of article 12 and in accordance with article 16(1) of the Convention,

"(a) The United Kingdom will not apply the provisions of article 12 in respect of the following uses:

"(i) The causing of a phonogram to be heard in public at any premises where persons reside or sleep, as part of the amenities provided exclusively or mainly for residents or inmates therein except where a special charge is made for admission to the part of the premises where the phonogram is to be heard.

"(ii) The causing of a phonogram to be heard in public as part of the activities of, or for the benefit of, a club, society or other organisation which is not established or conducted for profit and whose main objects are charitable or are otherwise concerned with the advancement of religion, education or social welfare, except where a charge is made for admission to the place where the phonogram is to be heard, and any of the proceeds of the charge are applied otherwise than for the purposes of the organisation.

"(b) As regards phonograms the producer of which is not a national of another Contracting State or as regards phonograms the producer of which is a national of a Contracting State which has made a declaration under article 16(1)(a)(i) stating that it will not apply the provisions of article 12, the United Kingdom will not grant the protection provided for by article 12, unless, in either event, the phonogram has been first published in a Contracting State which has made no such declaration."

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	20 Dec 1966 10 Mar 1970	Gibraltar. <sup>3</sup> Bermuda. <sup>3</sup>

NOTES:

1/ With a declaration to the effect that the Convention shall also apply to Land Berlin as from the day on which it will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America, Germany (Federal Republic) and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to those referred to in the second paragraph of note 2 in chapter III.3.

2/ With regard to the said declarations, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Sweden on 27 June 1986 the following notification:

"With application of article 18 of the Convention, Sweden withdraws or amends the notifications deposited with the instrument of ratification on July 13, 1962, as follows:

1. The notification relating to article 6, paragraph 2, is withdrawn.

2. The notification under article 16, paragraph 1(a) (ii) according to which Sweden will apply article 12 only in relation to broadcasting is reduced in scope to the effect that Sweden will apply article 12 to broadcasting and to such communication to the public which is carried out for commercial purposes.

XIV.3: Protection of performers, producers and broadcasters

---

3. The notification relating to article 17 is withdrawn in so far as reproduction of phonograms is concerned. Sweden will from July, 1, 1986, grant protection according to article 10 of the Convention to all phonograms. The withdrawals and amendments take effect on July 1, 1986."

For the text of the declarations so withdrawn and the unamended declarations, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 496, p.94.

3/ Subject to the same declarations as those made on behalf of the United Kingdom upon ratification of the Convention.

XIV.4. Producers of phonograms-- 1971 Convention

4. CONVENTION FOR THE PROTECTION OF PRODUCERS OF PHONOGRAMS AGAINST UNAUTHORIZED DUPLICATION OF THEIR PHONOGRAMS

Concluded at Geneva on 29 October 1971

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 18 April 1973, in accordance with article 11.  
 REGISTRATION: 18 April 1973, No. 12430.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 866, p. 67.

Note: The Convention was adopted by the International Conference of States on the Protection of Phonograms convened jointly by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization and the World Intellectual Property Organization. The Conference was held at the Palais des Nations, in Geneva, from 18 to 29 October 1971.

Participant	Signature	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>	Participant	Signature	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>
Argentina . . . . .		19 Mar 1973 <u>a</u>	Israel . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	10 Jan 1978
Australia . . . . .		12 Mar 1974 <u>a</u>	Italy . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	20 Dec 1976
Austria . . . . .	28 Apr 1972	6 May 1982	Japan . . . . .	21 Apr 1972	19 Jun 1978 <u>a</u>
Barbados . . . . .		23 Mar 1983 <u>a</u>	Kenya . . . . .	4 Apr 1972	6 Jan 1976
Brazil . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	6 Aug 1975	Liechtenstein . . . . .	28 Apr 1972	
Canada . . . . .	29 Oct 1971		Luxembourg . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	25 Nov 1975
Chile . . . . .		15 Dec 1976 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	11 Sep 1973
Colombia . . . . .	29 Oct 1971		Monaco . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	21 Aug 1974
Costa Rica . . . . .		1 Mar 1982 <u>a</u>	New Zealand . . . . .		3 May 1976 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		5 Oct 1984 <u>a</u>	Nicaragua . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	
Denmark . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	7 Dec 1976	Norway . . . . .	28 Apr 1972	10 Apr 1978
Ecuador . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	4 Jun 1974	Panama . . . . .	28 Apr 1972	20 Mar 1974
Egypt . . . . .		15 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>	Paraguay . . . . .		30 Oct 1978 <u>a</u>
El Salvador . . . . .		25 Oct 1978 <u>a</u>	Peru . . . . .		7 May 1985 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		15 Jun 1972 <u>a</u>	Philippines . . . . .	29 Apr 1972	
Finland . . . . .	21 Apr 1972	18 Dec 1972	Spain . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	16 May 1974
France . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	12 Sep 1972	Sweden . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	18 Jan 1973
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	7 Feb 1974	Switzerland . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	
Guatemala . . . . .		14 Oct 1976 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	5 Dec 1972
Holy See . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	4 Apr 1977	United States of America . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	26 Nov 1973
Hungary . . . . .		24 Feb 1975 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	6 Oct 1982
India . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	1 Nov 1974	Yugoslavia . . . . .	29 Oct 1971	
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	29 Oct 1971		Venezuela . . . . .		30 Jul 1982 <u>a</u>
			Zaire . . . . .		25 Jul 1977 <u>a</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance or accession.)

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

1 February 1985  
 "The provision of article 11, paragraph 3 of the Convention for the Protection of Producers of Phonograms against Unauthorized Duplication of their Phonograms is in contradiction to the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples which was adopted at the XVth session of the United Nations General Assembly (resolution C 1514/XU of 14 December 1960)".

EGYPT<sup>1</sup>

HUNGARY

"A Ad article 9, paragraphs 1 and 2:  
 In the opinion of the Hungarian People's Republic, article 9, paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Convention have a discriminatory character. The Convention is a general, multilateral one and therefore every State has the right to be a party to it, in accordance with the basic principles of international law.

"B Ad article 11, paragraph 3:  
 The Hungarian People's Republic declares that the provisions of article 11, paragraph 3 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principles of the independence of colonial countries and peoples, formulated, inter alia, also in resolution No. 1514 (XU) of the United Nations General Assembly."

XIU.4: Producers of phonograms--1971 Convention

---

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	4 Dec 1974	Bermuda, Cayman Islands, Gibraltar, Hong Kong, Isle of Man, Montserrat, St. Lucia, Seychelles, British Virgin Islands

---

NOTES:

1/ In a notification received on 18 January 1980, the Government of Egypt informed the Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw the declaration relating to Israel which read as follows:

"Such accession does not imply any recognition of Israel, or entering into any relationship with Israel governed by the provisions of the Convention". The notification took effect on the date stipulated by the Government of Egypt, that is to say, on 25 January 1980.



5. PROTOCOL TO THE AGREEMENT ON THE IMPORTATION OF EDUCATIONAL,  
SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL MATERIALS OF 22 NOVEMBER 1950

Concluded at Nairobi on 26 November 1976

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 2 January 1982, in accordance with article VIII, paragraph 17(a).  
REGISTRATION: 2 January 1982, No. 20669.  
TEXT: Records of the General Conference, Nineteenth Session, Nairobi, 26 October-30 November 1976, volume 1, resolutions (UNESCO ISBN 92-3-101496-X), and Procès-Verbal of Rectification of the authentic English text of article VIII, paragraph 14(a), established by the Secretary-General on 25 October 1977.

Note: The Protocol, approved on 30 March 1976 by a Special Committee of Governmental Experts convened in pursuance of resolution 4.112 of the General Conference of UNESCO, was adopted on the Report of Programme Commission II at the thirty-fourth plenary meeting of the nineteenth session of the General Conference of UNESCO at Nairobi, Kenya, on 26 November 1976, and opened for signature on 1 March 1977.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>accession (a), Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A)</u>
Barbados . . . . .		10 Apr 1979 <u>a</u>	Italy . . . . .	18 Jun 1980	2 Jul 1981 <u>A</u>
Belgium . . . . .	18 Jun 1980	25 Sep 1986	Luxembourg . . . . .	18 Jun 1980	22 Jun 1982
Denmark . . . . .	18 Jun 1980	17 Feb 1983	Netherlands <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	18 Jun 1980	15 Jul 1981 <u>A</u>
Egypt . . . . .		18 Sep 1981 <u>a</u>	New Zealand <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	9 Nov 1981	
France . . . . .	18 Jun 1980	3 Jan 1986	Oman . . . . .	19 Dec 1977	
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	18 Jun 1980		Portugal . . . . .		11 Jun 1984 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .		4 Mar 1983 <u>a</u>	San Marino . . . . .		30 Jul 1985 <u>a</u>
Holy See . . . . .		22 Feb 1980 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	18 Jun 1980	9 Jun 1982
Iraq . . . . .		13 Apr 1978 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .	1 Sep 1981	
Ireland . . . . .	18 Jun 1980	18 Jun 1980	Yugoslavia . . . . .		13 Nov 1981 <u>a</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or acceptance.)

BARBADOS

"The Government of Barbados hereby declares that it will not be bound by annex H."

BELGIUM, DENMARK, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY, FRANCE<sup>1</sup>, IRELAND, ITALY, NETHERLANDS,

Upon signature:

Each of the Governments of Belgium, Denmark, the Federal Republic of Germany, France, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 16 (a) of the said Protocol, made a declaration according to the terms of which it shall not be bound by Part II, Part IV, Annex C1, Annex F, Annex G and Annex H of the said Protocol, and within the framework of the European Economic Community, it will examine the possibility of accepting Annex C1 in the light of the position adopted by other Contracting Parties with regard to that Annex.

DENMARK

Reservation:

Pursuant to paragraph 16(a) of the said Protocol, the Government of Denmark declares that

it will not be bound by part II, part IV, annex C.I, annex F, annex G and annex H.

GREECE

Reservation:

The Government of Greece will not be bound by part II, part IV, and annexes C.1, F, G and H.

IRAQ<sup>4</sup>

Entry into the above Protocol by the Republic of Iraq shall, however, in no way signify recognition of Israel or be conducive to entry into any relations with it.

IRELAND

"Ireland will not be bound by Part II, Part IV, Annex C.I, Annex F, Annex G and Annex H, or by any of those Parts or Annexes."

ITALY

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon acceptance:

"(a) Italy shall not be bound by part II, part IV, annex C.1, annex F, annex G and annex H;

(b) Italy, within the framework of the Europe-

an Economic Community, will examine the possibility of accepting annex C.1 in the light of the position adopted by other Contracting Parties with regard to that annex."

LUXEMBOURG

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Government of Luxembourg will not be bound by Part II, Part IV, Annex C1, Annex F, Annex G and Annex H of the Protocol and will examine the possibility of accepting Annex C1 in the light of the position adopted by other Contracting Parties with regard to that Annex.

NETHERLANDS

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon acceptance:

"In conformity with paragraph 16 (a) of the said Protocol, the Kingdom shall not be bound by part II, part IV, annex C.1, annex F, annex G and annex H thereof."

NEW ZEALAND

Upon signature:

"The Government of New Zealand shall not be bound by annex C.1, annex F and annex H of the Protocol."

PORTUGAL

Declaration:

Pursuant to article 16(a) of the Protocol, [Portugal] shall not be bound by parts II and IV (a) and annexes C.1, F, G and H of the Protocol.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The United Kingdom shall not be bound by Part II, Part IV, Annex C1, Annex F, Annex G and Annex H;

The United Kingdom, within the framework of the European Economic Community, will examine the possibility of accepting Annex C1 in the light of the position adopted by other Contracting Parties with regard to that Annex."

Upon ratification:

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland reserves the right to extend the Protocol at a later date, to any territory for whose international relations the Government of the United Kingdom is responsible and to which the Agreement on the Importation of Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials has been extended in accordance with the provisions of article XIII thereof."

NOTES:

1/ Upon ratification of the Convention, the Government of France confirmed the declaration made upon signature .

2/ For the Kingdom in Europe ~~and as from 1 January 1986 for Aruba~~

3/ The signature of the Protocol extends to Tokelau Islands.

4/ With reference to the above declaration the Secretary-General received from the Government of Israel, on 1 May 1979, the following communication:

"The instrument deposited by the Government

of Iraq contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That pronouncement by the Government of Iraq cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon it under general international law or under particular treaties.

"The Government of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity."

6. INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE UNIVERSITY FOR PEACE

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 5 December 1980

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 April 1981, in accordance with article 7.  
 REGISTRATION: 7 April 1981, No. 19735.  
 TEXT: A/RES/35/55.

Note: The Agreement was adopted by resolution 35/55<sup>1</sup> of the General Assembly of the United Nations dated 5 December 1980. It was open for definitive signature by all States at the United Nations Headquarters in New York from 5 December 1980 to 31 December 1981.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s). accession</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s). accession</u>
Bangladesh . . . . .	8 Apr 1981 <u>s</u>	Mexico . . . . .	15 May 1981 <u>s</u>
Cameroon . . . . .	16 Aug 1982	Nicaragua . . . . .	3 Apr 1981 <u>s</u>
Chile . . . . .	2 Mar 1981 <u>s</u>	Pakistan . . . . .	30 Mar 1981 <u>s</u>
Colombia . . . . .	18 Mar 1981 <u>s</u>	Panama . . . . .	20 Mar 1981 <u>s</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .	5 Dec 1980 <u>s</u>	Peru . . . . .	9 Apr 1981 <u>s</u>
Cuba . . . . .	9 Aug 1985 <u>s</u>	Philippines . . . . .	20 Mar 1984
Cyprus . . . . .	15 Mar 1983	Saint Lucia . . . . .	2 Sep 1986
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	10 Apr 1981 <u>s</u>	Senegal . . . . .	1 Apr 1981 <u>s</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .	21 Nov 1983	Spain . . . . .	21 Apr 1981 <u>s</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	18 Mar 1981 <u>s</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .	10 Aug 1981 <u>s</u>
El Salvador . . . . .	7 Apr 1981 <u>s</u>	Suriname . . . . .	3 Jun 1981 <u>s</u>
Guatemala . . . . .	14 Sep 1981 <u>s</u>	Togo . . . . .	3 Jun 1981 <u>s</u>
Honduras . . . . .	10 Apr 1981 <u>s</u>	Uruguay . . . . .	19 Nov 1985
India . . . . .	3 Dec 1981 <u>s</u>	Venezuela . . . . .	5 Dec 1980 <u>s</u>
Italy . . . . .	27 Nov 1981 <u>s</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	19 Jan 1983

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> Official Records of the General Assembly, Thirty-fifth Session, Supplement No. 31 (A/35/49) p. 103.

7. STATUTES OF THE INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR GENETIC ENGINEERING AND BIOTECHNOLOGY

Concluded at Madrid on 13 September 1983<sup>1</sup>

Not yet in force (see article 21).

TEXT: ID/WG.397/8; see also hereafter the Protocol of the reconvened meeting (XIV.7a).

Note: The Statutes were adopted at the Ministerial Level Plenipotentiary Meeting on the Establishment of the International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology held at Madrid, Spain, from 7 to 13 September 1983 under the auspices of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization. They were open for signature at Madrid on 12 and 13 September 1983 and remain open for signature at the United Nations Headquarters, New York, until their entry into force.

Participant	<u>Signature.</u>	Ratification.	Participant	<u>Signature.</u>	Ratification.
	<u>Signature</u>			<u>Signature</u>	
	<u>ad Referendum (S)</u>	<u>accession (a),</u>		<u>ad Referendum (S)</u>	<u>accession (a),</u>
	<u>Confirmation</u>	<u>acceptance (AA)</u>		<u>Confirmation</u>	<u>acceptance (AA)</u>
	<u>of signature</u>			<u>of signature</u>	
	<u>ad Referendum (C)</u>			<u>ad Referendum (C)</u>	
Afghanistan . . .	13 Sep 1983		Mauritius . . .	19 sep 1984	
	28 Mar 1984		Mauritania . . .	13 Sep 1983	
Algeria . . . . .	13 Sep 1983		Mexico . . . . .	13 Sep 1983	S
Argentina . . . .	13 Sep 1983			21 May 1984	C
Bhutan . . . . .	31 May 1984	7 May 1985	Morocco . . . . .	19 Oct 1984	
Bolivia . . . . .	13 Sep 1983		Nigeria . . . . .	13 Sep 1983	
Brazil . . . . .	5 May 1986		Pakistan . . . . .	4 Nov 1983	
Bulgaria . . . . .	13 Sep 1983	23 Jun 1986 AA	Panama . . . . .	11 Dec 1984	12 Aug 1986
Chile . . . . .	13 Sep 1983		Peru . . . . .	22 Mar 1984	
China . . . . .	13 Sep 1983		Senegal . . . . .	29 Jun 1984	4 May 1985
Colombia . . . . .	21 Nov 1986		Spain . . . . .	13 Sep 1983	
Congo . . . . .	13 Sep 1983		Sudan . . . . .	13 Sep 1983	
Cuba . . . . .	13 Sep 1983	30 Jun 1986	Thailand . . . . .	13 Sep 1983	
Ecuador . . . . .	13 Sep 1983		Trinidad and		
Egypt . . . . .	13 Sep 1983		Tobago . . . . .	13 Sep 1983	
Greece . . . . .	13 Sep 1983		Tunisia . . . . .	27 Oct 1983	
India . . . . .	13 Sep 1983	9 Jul 1985	Venezuela . . . .	13 Sep 1983	15 Oct 1985
Indonesia . . . .	13 Sep 1983		Viet Nam . . . . .	17 Sep 1984	
Iraq . . . . .	28 Feb 1984	19 Feb 1985	Yugoslavia . . . .	13 Sep 1983	
Italy . . . . .	13 Sep 1983		Zaire . . . . .	13 Sep 1983	
Kuwait . . . . .	13 Sep 1983	21 Oct 1986 <sup>1</sup>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or acceptance )

CUBA

Upon ratification:

The Government of the Republic of Cuba formulates an express reservation to paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of article 14 of the Statutes of the International Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, because it considers that the provisions thereof contravene the regulations of article 4 of the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property of 20 March 1883, to which Cuba is a party, and the Cuban legislation guaranteeing the implementation of that Convention.

SPAIN

Upon signature:

Reservation

In respect of article 13(4).

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

Upon signature:

Reservation

"The reservation of the Government of Trinidad and Tobago to articles 10 and 11 of these statutes relates specifically to the non-acceptance by the Government of Trinidad and

XIV.7: Genetic engineering and biotechnology

---

Tobago of any obligation with respect to the financing of the International Centre by assessed contributions or by voluntary contributions on the part of the Government of Trinidad and Tobago, in the absence of any decision on the selection of a host country for the International

Centre, and consequently in the absence of any reliable indication of the cost of the International Centre, and the proportion of that cost to be borne by the host country, on the one hand, or by other member States, on the other hand."

---

NOTES:

1/ The instrument was accompanied by an understanding to the effect that the ratification by Kuwait of the said Convention does not mean a recognition of Israel nor that treaty relations will arise with Israel.

---

(a) PROTOCOL OF THE RECONVENED PLENIPOTENTIARY MEETING ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR GENETIC ENGINEERING AND BIOTECHNOLOGY

Concluded at Vienna on 4 April 1984

Not yet in force (see article 21.)<sup>1</sup>

TEXT. Depository notification C.N.96.1984.TREATIES-3 of 12 June 1984.

Note: The Reconvened Plenipotentiary Meeting on the Establishment of the International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology held at Vienna, Austria, from 3 to 4 April 1984, adopted the said Protocol, in the English language only, in order to complete article 1(2) of the Statutes of the International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, concluded at Madrid on 13 September 1983. The Protocol was opened for signature to all Contracting Parties to the Statutes at Vienna, from 4 to 12 April 1984, and shall remain open for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, until the entry into force of the Statutes.

The Protocol, for all legal and practical purposes, completes the Statutes and is therefore considered as an integral part thereto and shall become effective upon the entry into force of the Statutes in accordance with article 21 thereof.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature ad referendum (S), Definitive Signature.</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature ad referendum (S), Definitive Signature</u>
Afghanistan . . .	15 Aug 1984	Mauritius . . .	19 Sep 1984
Algeria . . .	4 Nov 1985	Mexico . . .	25 Oct 1984 S
Argentina . . .	4 Apr 1984	Morocco . . .	19 Oct 1984
Bhutan . . .	31 May 1984	Nigeria . . .	2 May 1985
Brazil . . .	5 May 1986 S	Panama . . .	11 Dec 1984
Bulgaria . . .	4 Apr 1984	Peru . . .	4 Apr 1984
Chile . . .	4 Apr 1984	Senegal . . .	29 Jun 1984
Cuba . . .	4 Apr 1984	Trinidad and Tobago . . .	8 Feb 1985
Egypt . . .	2 Jan 1986	Venezuela . . .	4 Apr 1984
Greece . . .	4 Apr 1984	Viet Nam . . .	17 Sep 1984
India . . .	4 Apr 1984	Yugoslavia . . .	4 Apr 1984
Iraq . . .	23 Oct 1984		
Italy . . .	4 Apr 1984		

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> The Protocol shall become effective upon the entry into force of the Statutes in accordance with article 21 thereof.



CHAPTER XV. DECLARATION OF DEATH OF MISSING PERSONS

1. CONVENTION ON THE DECLARATION OF DEATH OF MISSING PERSONS

Established and opened for accession on 6 April 1950 by the United Nations Conference on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 January 1952, in accordance with article 14.  
 REGISTRATION: 24 January 1952, No. 1610.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 119, p. 99.  
 TERMINATION: 24 January 1972, in accordance with article 1 of the Protocol of 15 January 1967 (United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 808, p. 296.)

Note: The Conference was convened pursuant to General Assembly resolution 369 (IV)<sup>1</sup> of 3 December 1949 and met at Lake Success, New York, from 15 March to 6 April 1950. For the text of the Final Act of the Conference, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 119, p. 99.

In accordance with article 17 (1), the Convention was to cease to have effect on 23 January 1957. However, the Convention remained in force until 24 January 1972 as a result of the adoption of the protocols of 16 January 1957 and 15 January 1967 extending it (see chapter XV.2 and XV.3).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession</u>
Belgium . . . . .	22 Jul 1953 <sup>2</sup>	Guatemala . . . . .	25 Dec 1951
China <sup>3</sup>		Israel . . . . .	7 May 1952
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	30 Jan 1956	Italy . . . . .	25 Mar 1958
		Pakistan . . . . .	6 Dec 1955

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon accession.)

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"The Convention on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons also applies to Land Berlin.

"Moreover, the Permanent Observer on instructions from his government has the honour to communicate to the Secretary-General that in accordance with article 2, sub-paragraph 3, of the Convention the Amtsgericht Schöneberg in Berlin-Schöneberg has been designated as the tribunal which shall be exclusively competent to receive applications and to issue declarations of death which otherwise would have come within the competence of the tribunals specified in article 2, sub-paragraph 2. This transfer of competence to the Amtsgericht Schöneberg also applies to Land Berlin.

"Furthermore, the Permanent Observer on instructions from his government has the honour to notify the Secretary-General that in accordance with article 1, sub-paragraph 2, the Federal Government has extended the application of the Convention to persons who subsequent to 1945 disappeared under circumstances similar to those specified in its article 1, sub-paragraph 1. This extension of the application of the Convention likewise applies to Land Berlin."

ISRAEL

"Having regard to the provisions of the domestic law of Israel according to which matters of marriage are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the established Religious Courts, the effect to be given to declarations of death, whether issued pursuant to the Convention on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons or satisfying the conditions and requirements contained in articles 1, 2 and 3 of the said Convention, and valid by virtue of article 6 thereof, as regards the dissolution of marriages, will depend upon the extent to which the appropriate Religious Court exercising jurisdiction in a given case will be able to recognize the same in accordance with its own religious law."

PAKISTAN

11 avril 1956  
 The Government of Pakistan extends the application of the Convention to persons having disappeared subsequent to 1945.



XV.1: Declaration of death of missing persons

---

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly,  
Fourth Session (A/1251 & Corr.1 and 2), p. 65.

2/ With a declaration to the effect that the Government of Belgium does not assume any

obligations as regards the Belgian Congo and the Trust Territories of Ruanda-Urundi.

3/ Accession on behalf of the Republic of China, on 20 December 1950. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

XU.2: Declaration of death of missing persons

2. PROTOCOL FOR EXTENDING THE PERIOD OF VALIDITY OF THE CONVENTION ON THE DECLARATION OF DEATH OF MISSING PERSONS

Opened for accession at New York on 16 January 1957

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 22 January 1957, in accordance with article III (a).  
REGISTRATION: 22 January 1957, No. 1610.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 258, p. 392.  
TERMINATION of the Convention of 6 April 1950: see chapter XV.1.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession</u>
China <sup>1</sup>		Guatemala . . . . .	8 Aug 1961
Democratic		Israel . . . . .	22 Jan 1957
Kampuchea . . . . .	30 Jul 1957	Italy . . . . .	25 Mar 1958
Germany, Federal		Pakistan . . . . .	21 Jan 1957
Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	23 Oct 1958		

NOTES:

1/ Accession on behalf of the Republic of China on 9 September 1957. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

With reference to the above-mentioned accession, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Hungary, India, Poland and Yugoslavia, on the one hand, and of China on the other hand. For the nature of these communications, see note 2 in chapter VI.14.

2/ A note accompanying the instrument of accession contains the following statement:

"The Protocol for extending the period of validity of the Convention on the Declaration of Death of Missing Persons also applies to Land Berlin.

"Moreover, the Permanent Observer, on instructions from his Government, has the honour

to communicate to the Secretary-General that, in accordance with article 2, sub-paragraph 3 of the Convention, the Amtsgericht Schöneberg in Berlin-Schöneberg has been designated as the tribunal which shall be exclusively competent to receive applications and to issue declarations of death which otherwise would have come within the competence of the tribunals specified in article 2, sub-paragraph 2. This transfer of competence of the Amtsgericht Schöneberg also applies to Land Berlin.

"Furthermore, the Permanent Observer, on instructions from his Government, has the honour to notify the Secretary-General that, in accordance with article 1, sub-paragraph 2 the Federal Government has extended the application of the Convention to persons who subsequent to 1945 disappeared under circumstances similar to those specified in its article 1, sub-paragraph 1. This extension of the application of the Protocol likewise applies to Land Berlin."

XV.3: Declaration of death of missing persons

---

3. PROTOCOL FOR THE FURTHER EXTENSION OF THE PERIOD OF VALIDITY OF THE CONVENTION  
ON THE DECLARATION OF DEATH OF MISSING PERSONS

Opened for accession at New York on 15 January 1967

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 January 1967, in accordance with article 3.  
REGISTRATION: 24 January 1967, No. 1610.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 588, p. 290.  
TERMINATION of the Convention of 6 April 1950: see chapter XV.1.

Note: The draft protocol was drawn up by the Secretary-General in accordance with a desire expressed by several States parties to the Convention of 6 April 1950.

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession</u>
China <sup>1</sup>		Israel . . . . .	15 Sep 1967
Democratic		Italy . . . . .	24 Jan 1967
Kampuchea . . . . .	11 Aug 1967	Pakistan . . . . .	24 Jan 1967
Guatemala . . . . .	24 Jan 1967		

---

NOTES:

1/ Accession on behalf of the Republic of China on 23 January 1967. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

CHAPTER XVI. STATUS OF WOMEN<sup>1</sup>

1. CONVENTION ON THE POLITICAL RIGHTS OF WOMEN

Opened for signature at New York on 31 March 1953

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 July 1954, in accordance with article VI.  
 REGISTRATION: 7 July 1954, No. 2613.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 193, p. 135.

Note: The Convention was opened for signature pursuant to resolution 640 (VII),<sup>2</sup> adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 20 December 1952

Participant	Signature	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	Participant	Signature	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .		16 Nov 1966 a	Iceland . . . . .	25 Nov 1953	30 Jun 1954
Albania . . . . .		12 May 1955 a	India . . . . .	29 Apr 1953	1 Nov 1961
Angola . . . . .		17 Sep 1986 a	Indonesia . . . . .	31 Mar 1953	16 Dec 1958
Argentina . . . . .	31 Mar 1953	27 Feb 1961	Ireland . . . . .		14 Nov 1968 a
Australia . . . . .		10 Dec 1974 a	Israel . . . . .	14 Apr 1953	6 Jul 1954
Austria . . . . .	19 Oct 1959	18 Apr 1969	Italy . . . . .		6 Mar 1968 a
Bahamas . . . . .		16 Aug 1977 d	Jamaica . . . . .		14 Aug 1966 a
Barbados . . . . .		12 Jan 1973 a	Japan . . . . .	1 Apr 1955	13 Jul 1955
Belgium . . . . .		20 May 1964 a	Lao People's Democratic Republic		28 Jan 1969 a
Bolivia . . . . .	9 Apr 1953	22 Sep 1970	Lebanon . . . . .	24 Feb 1954	5 Jun 1956
Brazil . . . . .	20 May 1953	13 Aug 1963	Lesotho . . . . .		4 Nov 1974 a
Bulgaria . . . . .		17 Mar 1954 a	Liberia . . . . .	9 Dec 1953	
Burma . . . . .	14 Sep 1954		Luxembourg . . . . .	4 Jun 1969	1 Nov 1976
Byelorussian SSR	31 Mar 1953	11 Aug 1954	Madagascar . . . . .		12 Feb 1964 a
Canada . . . . .		30 Jan 1957 a	Malawi . . . . .		29 Jun 1966 a
Central African Republic . . . . .		4 Sep 1962 d	Mali . . . . .		16 Jul 1974 a
Chile . . . . .	31 Mar 1953	18 Oct 1967	Malta . . . . .		9 Jul 1968 a
China <sup>3</sup> . . . . .			Mauritania . . . . .		4 May 1976 a
Colombia . . . . .		5 Aug 1986 a	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 d
Congo . . . . .		15 Oct 1962 d	Mexico . . . . .	31 Mar 1953	23 Mar 1981
Costa Rica . . . . .	31 Mar 1953	25 Jul 1967	Mongolia . . . . .		18 Aug 1965 a
Cuba . . . . .	31 Mar 1953	8 Apr 1954	Morocco . . . . .		22 Nov 1976 a
Cyprus . . . . .	10 Sep 1968	12 Nov 1968	Nepal . . . . .		26 Apr 1966 a
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	31 Mar 1953	6 Apr 1955	Netherlands . . . . .	8 Aug 1968	30 Jul 1971
Denmark . . . . .	29 Oct 1953	7 Jul 1954	New Zealand . . . . .		22 May 1968 a
Dominican Republic	31 Mar 1953	11 Dec 1953	Nicaragua . . . . .		17 Jan 1957 a
Ecuador . . . . .	31 Mar 1953	23 Apr 1954	Niger . . . . .		7 Dec 1964 d
Egypt . . . . .		8 Sep 1981 a	Nigeria . . . . .	11 Jul 1980	17 Nov 1980
El Salvador . . . . .	24 Jun 1953		Norway . . . . .	18 Sep 1953	24 Aug 1956
Ethiopia . . . . .	31 Mar 1953	21 Jan 1969	Pakistan . . . . .	18 May 1954	7 Dec 1954
Fiji . . . . .		12 Jun 1972 d	Papua New Guinea		27 Jan 1982 a
Finland . . . . .		6 Oct 1958 a	Paraguay . . . . .	16 Nov 1953	
France . . . . .	31 Mar 1953	22 Apr 1957	Peru . . . . .		1 Jul 1975 a
Gabon . . . . .	19 Apr 1967	19 Apr 1967	Philippines . . . . .	23 Sep 1953	12 Sep 1957
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		27 Mar 1973 a	Poland . . . . .	31 Mar 1953	11 Aug 1954
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .		4 Nov 1970 a <sup>4</sup>	Republic of Korea		23 Jun 1959 a
Ghana . . . . .		28 Dec 1965 a	Romania . . . . .	27 Apr 1954	6 Aug 1954
Greece . . . . .	1 Apr 1953	29 Dec 1953	Senegal . . . . .		2 May 1963 d
Guatemala . . . . .	31 Mar 1953	7 Oct 1959	Sierra Leone . . . . .		25 Jul 1962 a
Guinea . . . . .	19 Mar 1975	24 Jan 1978	Solomon Islands . . . . .		3 Sep 1981 a <sup>5</sup>
Haiti . . . . .	23 Jul 1957	12 Feb 1958	Spain . . . . .		14 Jan 1974 a
Hungary . . . . .	2 Sep 1954	20 Jan 1955	Swaziland . . . . .		20 Jul 1970 a
			Sweden . . . . .	6 Oct 1953	31 Mar 1954
			Thailand . . . . .	5 Mar 1954	30 Nov 1954

XUI.1: Political rights of women

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Trinidad and Tobago . . . .		24 Jun 1966 <u>a</u>	Venezuela . . . .		31 May 1983 <u>a</u>
Tunisia . . . .		24 Jan 1968 <u>a</u>	United Republic of Tanzania . .		19 Jun 1975 <u>a</u>
Turkey . . . .	12 Jan 1954	26 Jan 1960	United States of America . .		8 Apr 1976 <u>a</u>
Ukrainian SSR . .	31 Mar 1953	15 Nov 1954	Uruguay . . . .	26 May 1953	
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . .	31 Mar 1953	3 May 1954	Yugoslavia . . . .	31 Mar 1953	23 Jun 1954
United Kingdom . .		24 Feb 1967 <u>a</u>	Zaire . . . .		12 Oct 1977 <u>a</u>
			Zambia . . . .		4 Feb 1972 <u>a</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession. For objections thereto and territorial applications, see hereinafter.)

ALBANIA

1. As regards Article VII. The People's Republic of Albania declares its disagreement with the last sentence of article VII and considers that the juridical effect of a reservation is to make the Convention operative as between the State making the reservation and all other States parties to the Convention, with the exception only of that part thereof to which the reservation relates.

2. As regards Article IX: The People's Republic of Albania does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention shall at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that for any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in each individual case.

ARGENTINA

The Argentine Government reserves the right not to submit to the procedure set out in this article [article IX] any dispute which is directly connected with territories which fall within Argentine sovereignty.

AUSTRALIA

"The Government of Australia hereby declares that the accession by Australia shall be subject to the reservation that article III of the Convention shall have no application as regards recruitment to and conditions of service in the Defence Forces.

"The Government of Australia furthermore declares that the Convention shall not extend to Papua New Guinea."

AUSTRIA

"In ratifying the Convention on the Political Rights of Women the Federal President of the Republic of Austria declares, that Austria reserves its right to apply the provision of article III to this Convention, as far as service in the

armed forces is concerned, within the limits established by national legislation."

BELGIUM<sup>6</sup>

In exercise of the option available to each State under article VII of the Convention on the Political Rights of Women, the Government of Belgium declares that it submits the following reservations to article III of the Convention:

1. The Constitution reserves the exercise of royal powers to men.

As regards the exercise of the functions of re-gency, article III of the Convention shall not prevent the application of the constitutional rules as interpreted by the Belgian State.

BULGARIA

As regards article VII and IX:  
[Same declaration and reservation as the ones reproduced under "Albania".]

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

As regards article VII and IX:  
[Same declaration and reservation as the ones reproduced under "Albania".]

CANADA

"Inasmuch as under the Canadian constitutional system legislative jurisdiction in respect of political rights is divided between the provinces and the Federal Government, the Government of Canada is obliged, in acceding to this Convention, to make a reservation in respect of rights within the legislative jurisdiction of the provinces."

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

As regards article VII and IX:  
[Same declaration and reservation as the ones reproduced under "Albania".]

DENMARK

Subject to a reservation with respect to article III of the Convention, in so far as it re-

lates to the right of women to hold military appointments or to act as heads of recruitment services or to serve on recruitment boards.

ECUADOR

The Government of Ecuador signs this Convention subject to a reservation with respect to the last phrase in article I, "without any discrimination", since article 22 of the Political Constitution of the Republic specifies that "a vote in popular elections is obligatory for a man and optional for a woman".

FIJI

"The reservations of the United Kingdom 1 (a), (b), (d) and (f) are affirmed and are redrafted as more suitable to the situation of Fiji in the following terms:

"Article III is accepted subject to reservations, pending notification of withdrawal of any case, insofar as it relates to:

- "(a) succession to the Crown;
- "(b) certain offices primarily of a ceremonial signature;
- "(d) recruitment to and conditions of service in the armed forces;
- "(f) the employment of married women in the civil service

"All other reservations made by the United Kingdom are withdrawn."

FINLAND

As regards Article III: "A decree may be issued to the effect that only men or women can be appointed to certain functions, which because of their nature, can be properly discharged either only by men or by women."

FRANCE<sup>7</sup>

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservations:  
As regards article VII:

The German Democratic Republic declares that it does not consider itself bound by the provision of article VII of the Convention under which the Convention is not to enter into force as between a State Party making a reservation and a State Party objecting to such reservation. The German Democratic Republic is of the opinion that the Convention should also be effective between the State which has made the reservation and all other States Parties, with the exception of that part of the Convention to which the reservation relates.

As regards article IX:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article IX of the Convention, which provides that disputes between Contracting Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention are, at the request of any one of the parties to the dispute, to be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision, and declares that, in each individual case, the consent of all parties to such a dispute is necessary in order to

refer the dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

Declaration:

The German Democratic Republic deems it necessary to state that article IV, paragraph 1, and article V, paragraph 1, of the Convention deprive a number of States of the opportunity to become Parties to the Convention. As the Convention regulates matters affecting the interests of all States, it should be open to participation by all States whose policies are guided by the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"The Federal Republic of Germany accedes to the Convention with the reservation that article III of the Convention does not apply to service in the armed forces."

GUATEMALA

1. Articles I, II and III shall apply only to female citizens of Guatemala in accordance with the provisions of article 16, paragraph 2 of the Constitution of the Republic.

2. In order to satisfy constitutional requirements, article IX shall be interpreted subject to the provisions of article 149, paragraph 3(b) of the Constitution of the Republic.

HUNGARY

As regards article VII and IX:

[Same declaration and reservation as the ones reproduced under "Albania".]

INDIA

"Article III of the Convention shall have no application as regards recruitment to, and conditions of service in any of the Armed Forces of India or the Forces charged with the maintenance of public order in India."

INDONESIA

". . . The last sentence of article VII and the whole article IX do not apply to Indonesia."

IRELAND

"Article III is accepted subject to reservation in so far as it relates to

- "(a) the employment of married women in the public service;
  - "(b) the unequal remuneration of women in certain positions in the public service,
- "and subject to the following declarations:
- "(1) that the exclusion of women from positions of employment for which by objective standards or for physical reasons they are not suitable is not regarded as discriminatory;
  - "(2) that the fact that jury service is not at present obligatory for women is not regarded as discriminatory."

ITALY

"In acceding to the Convention on the Political Rights of Women, done at New York on 31 March 1953, the Italian Government declares that it reserves its rights to apply the provisions of Art. III as far as service in the armed forces and in special armed corps is concerned within the limits established by national legislation."

LESOTHO

"Article III is accepted subject to reservation, pending notification of withdrawal in any case, so far as it relates to: Matters regulated by Basotho Law and Custom."

MALTA

"In acceding to this Convention, the Government of Malta hereby declares that it does not consider itself bound by article III in so far as that article applies to conditions of service in the Public Service and to Jury Service."

MAURITIUS

"The Government of Mauritius hereby declares that it does not consider itself bound by article III of the Convention in so far as that Article applies to recruitment to and conditions of service in the armed forces or to jury service."

MEXICO

Declaration:

"It is expressly understood that the Government of Mexico will not deposit its instrument of ratification pending the entry into force of the amendment to the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States which is now under consideration, providing that citizenship rights shall be granted to Mexican women."

MONGOLIA

"To articles IV and V:

"The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic declares its disagreement with paragraph 1 of article IV and paragraph 1 of article V and considers that the present Convention should be open to all States for signature or accession."

"To article VII and IX:

[Same declarations as the ones reproduced under "Bulgaria".]

MOROCCO

The consent of all the parties concerned is required for the referral of any dispute to the International Court of Justice.

NEPAL

As regards article IX of the Convention: ". . . any dispute shall be referred for decision to the International Court of Justice only at the request of all the parties to the dispute."

NETHERLANDS

...<sup>8</sup>

NEW ZEALAND

"Subject to a reservation with respect to Article III of the Convention, in so far as it relates to recruitment and conditions of service in the armed forces of New Zealand."

PAKISTAN

"Article III of the Convention shall have no application as regards recruitment to and conditions of services charged with the maintenance of public order or unsuited to women because of the hazards involved."

POLAND

As regards article VII and IX:

[Same declaration and reservation as the ones reproduced under "Albania".]

ROMANIA

As regards article VII and IX:

[Same declaration and reservation as the ones reproduced under "Albania".]

SIERRA LEONE

"In acceding to this Convention, the Government of Sierra Leone hereby declares that it does not consider itself bound by article III in so far as that article applies to recruitment to and conditions of service in the Armed Forces or to jury service."

SOLOMON ISLANDS

10 May 1982

In relation to the succession:

The Government of Solomon Islands declared that Solomon Islands maintains the reservations entered by the United Kingdom save in so far as the same cannot apply to Solomon Islands.

SPAIN

Articles I and III of the Convention shall be interpreted without prejudice to the provisions which in current Spanish legislation define the status of head of family.

Articles II and III shall be interpreted without prejudice to the norms relating to the office of Head of State contained in the Spanish Fundamental Laws.

Article III shall be interpreted without prejudice to the fact that certain functions, which by their nature can be exercised satisfactorily only by men or only by women, shall be exercised exclusively by men or by women, as appropriate, in accordance with Spanish legislation.

SWAZILAND

"(a) Article III of the Convention shall have no application as regards remuneration for women in certain posts in the Civil Service of the Kingdom of Swaziland;

"(b) The Convention shall have no application to matters which are regulated by Swaziland Law and Custom in accordance with Section 62 (2) of the Constitution of the Kingdom of Swaziland."

TUNISIA

[Article IX] For any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice, the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in every case.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

As regards article VII and IX:  
[Same declaration and reservation as the ones reproduced under "Albania".]

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

As regards article VII and IX:  
[Same declaration and reservation as the ones reproduced under "Albania".]

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland accedes to the Convention with the following reservations submitted in accordance with article VII:

"(1) Article III is accepted subject to reservations, pending notification of withdrawal in any case, in so far as it relates to:

- "(a) succession to the Crown;
- "(b) certain offices primarily of a ceremonial nature;
- "(c) the function of sitting and voting in the House of Lords pertaining to holders of hereditary peerages and holders of certain offices in the Church of England;
- "(d) recruitment to and conditions of service in the armed forces;
- "(e) jury service in Grenada, the Isle of Man and Montserrat, as well as in the Kingdom of Tonga;<sup>9</sup>

- "(f)<sup>10</sup>
- "(g) remuneration for women in the Civil Service of Gibraltar and Hong Kong, as well as of the Protectorate of Swaziland;<sup>11</sup>
- "(h) the post of Bailiff in Guernsey;
- "(i) in the State of Brunei, the exercise of the royal powers, jury service or its equivalent and the holding of certain offices governed by Islamic Law.

"(2) The United Kingdom reserves the right to postpone the application of this Convention in respect of women living in the Colony of Aden, having regard to the local customs and traditions. Further, the United Kingdom reserves the right not to apply this Convention to Rhodesia unless and until the United Kingdom informs the Secretary-General of the United Nations that it is in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Convention in respect of that territory can be fully implemented."

VENEZUELA

Reservation with regard to article IX:  
[Venezuela] does not accept the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice for the settlement of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention.

Objections

CANADA

Objection to the reservations made in respect of articles VII and IX by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

CHINA<sup>12</sup>

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Spain in respect of articles I, II and III, on the grounds that they are incompatible with the objectives of the Convention.

DENMARK

Objection to the reservations in respect of articles VII and IX:  
[Same States as the ones listed under "Canada".]

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in respect of articles VII and IX.

ETHIOPIA

Objection to the reservations in respect of articles VII and IX:  
[Same States as the ones listed under "Canada".]

ISRAEL

Objection to the reservations in respect of articles VII and IX:  
[Same States as the ones listed under "Canada".]

NORWAY

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Argentina in respect of article VII.  
Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Guatemala in respect of articles I, II and III.



XVI.1. Political rights of women

Objection to the reservations in respect of articles VII and IX:  
[Same States as the ones listed under "Canada" ]

PAKISTAN

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Argentina in respect of article VII.

Objection to the reservation made by France and recorded in the procès-verbal of signature of the Convention<sup>7</sup>

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Guatemala in respect of articles I, II and III.

Objection to the reservations in respect of articles VII and IX:  
[Same States as the ones listed under "Canada".]

PHILIPPINES

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Albania in respect of articles VII and IX.

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Romania in respect of articles VII and IX

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Mongolia in respect of articles IV, paragraph 1, and V, paragraph 1.

SWEDEN

Objection to reservations.

[Same objections as the ones listed under "Norway" ]

YUGOSLAVIA

Objection to the reservations made by the Government of Guatemala, in respect of articles I, II and III, as these reservations "are not in accordance with the principles contained in Article I of the Charter of the United Nations and with the aims of the Convention"

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Netherlands .	30 Jul 1971	Suriname
United Kingdom 13 . .	24 Feb 1967	Territories under the territorial sovereignty of the United Kingdom, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, State of Brunei, Protectorate of Swaziland, Kingdom of Tonga

NOTES

1/ For other multilateral treaties concerning the status of women, see chapters IV and VII

2/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Seventh Session, Supplement No. 20 (A/2361), p 27.

3/ Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 9 June 1953 and 21 December 1953 respectively. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

With reference to the above-mentioned ratification, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Denmark, Hungary, India, Norway, Poland, Romania and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and of China on the other hand. For the nature of these communications, see note 2 in chapter VI.14.

4/ In a letter accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that "the said Convention shall also apply to Land Berlin with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications were addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bulgaria, Mongolia, Poland, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. Those communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to those referred to in the second paragraph of note 2 in chapter III.3.

Subsequently, on 27 December 1973, the Secretary-General received from the Government of the German Democratic Republic a communication identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the one reproduced in the fourth paragraph of note 2 in chapter III.3.

Finally, communications were received on the same subject from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America (on 17 June 1974) and the Federal Republic of Germany (on 15 July 1974). Those communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones reproduced in the fifth and sixth paragraphs of footnote 2 in chapter III.3.

5/ In a communication received on 10 May 1982, the Government of Solomon Islands declared that Solomon Islands maintains the reservations entered by the United Kingdom save in so far as the same cannot apply to Solomon Islands

6/ By a notification received by the Secretary-General on 19 June 1978 the Government of Belgium withdrew reservation No. 2, relating to article III of the Convention. For the text of the reservation so withdrawn, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 496, p. 353.

7/ In a communication received on 26 November 1960 the Government of France gave notice of the withdrawal of the reservation made in the procès-verbal of signature of the Convention. For the text of the reservation see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 193, p. 159.

8/ On 17 December 1985, the Secretary-general received from the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands a notification of withdrawal of its reservation (the reservation concerned the succession to the Crown) relating to article III of the Convention made upon ratification. For the text of the said reservation see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 790, p. 130.

9/ The reservation contained in subparagraph (e), as formulated on accession, also applied to the Bahamas. In a communication received on 12 February 1968, the Government of the United Kingdom notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the said reservation in respect of the Bahamas.

10/ In a communication received on 15 October 1974, the Government of the United Kingdom notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservation contained in subparagraph (f)

(employment of married women in Her Majesty's Diplomatic Service and in the Civil Service) in respect of the territories where the reservation was still applicable, that is to say: Northern Ireland, Antigua, Hong Kong and St. Lucia. The same reservation had been withdrawn in respect of St. Vincent by a notification received on 24 November 1967. For the text of the reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 590, p. 298.

11/ By a notification received on 15 October 1974, the Government of the United Kingdom notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of this reservation in respect of the Seychelles, to which the said reservation applied originally.

12/ Various communications were received by the Secretary-General on behalf of the Republic of China, objecting to the reservations made by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. In this connexion, see note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China, preface, page v.

13/ For the reservations to article III of the Convention in its application to certain territories, and for the reservations regarding the application of the Convention to the Colony of Aden and to Rhodesia, see "United Kingdom" under "Declarations and Reservations" in this chapter.

## 2. CONVENTION ON THE NATIONALITY OF MARRIED WOMEN

Done at New York on 20 February 1957

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 11 August 1958, in accordance with article 6.  
 REGISTRATION: 11 August 1958, No. 4468.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 309, p. 65.

Note: The Convention was opened for signature pursuant to resolution 1040 (XI)<sup>1</sup> adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 29 January 1957.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Albania . . . . .		27 Jul 1960 <u>a</u>	Jamaica . . . . .		30 Jul 1964 <u>d</u>
Argentina . . . . .		10 Oct 1963 <u>a</u>	Lesotho . . . . .		4 Nov 1974 <u>d</u>
Australia . . . . .		14 Mar 1961 <u>a</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .	11 Sep 1975	22 Jul 1977
Austria . . . . .		19 Jan 1968 <u>a</u>	Malawi . . . . .		8 Sep 1966 <u>a</u>
Bahamas . . . . .		10 Jun 1976 <u>d</u>	Malaysia . . . . .		24 Feb 1959 <u>a</u>
Barbados . . . . .		26 Oct 1979 <u>a</u>	Mali . . . . .		2 Feb 1973 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .	15 May 1972		Malta . . . . .		7 Jun 1967 <u>a</u>
Brazil . . . . .	26 Jul 1966	4 Dec 1968	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .		22 Jun 1960 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .		4 Apr 1979 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian SSR	7 Oct 1957	23 Dec 1958	Netherlands . . . . .		8 Aug 1966 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .	20 Feb 1957	21 Oct 1959	New Zealand . . . . .	7 Jul 1958	17 Dec 1958
Chile . . . . .	18 Mar 1957		Nicaragua . . . . .		9 Jan 1986 <u>a</u>
China <sup>2</sup>			Norway . . . . .	9 Sep 1957	20 May 1958
Colombia . . . . .	20 Feb 1957		Pakistan . . . . .	10 Apr 1958	
Cuba . . . . .	20 Feb 1957	5 Dec 1957	Poland . . . . .		3 Jul 1959 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		26 Apr 1971 <u>d</u>	Portugal . . . . .	21 Feb 1957	
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	3 Sep 1957	5 Apr 1962	Romania . . . . .		2 Dec 1960 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	20 Feb 1957	22 Jun 1959	Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Dominican Republic	20 Feb 1957	10 Oct 1957	Singapore . . . . .		18 Mar 1966 <u>d</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	16 Jan 1958	29 Mar 1960	Sri Lanka . . . . .		30 May 1958 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		12 Jun 1972 <u>d</u>	Swaziland . . . . .		18 Sep 1970 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		15 May 1968 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	6 May 1957	13 May 1958
German Democratic Republic		27 Dec 1973 <u>a</u>	Trinidad and Tobago		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .		7 Feb 1974 <u>a</u> <sup>3</sup>	Tunisia . . . . .		24 Jan 1968 <u>a</u>
Ghana . . . . .		15 Aug 1966 <u>a</u>	Uganda . . . . .		15 Apr 1965 <u>a</u>
Guatemala . . . . .	20 Feb 1957	13 Jul 1960	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	15 Oct 1957	3 Dec 1958
Guinea . . . . .	19 Mar 1975		USSR . . . . .	6 Sep 1957	17 Sep 1958
Hungary . . . . .	5 Dec 1957	3 Dec 1959	United Kingdom <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	[20 Feb 1957]	[28 Aug 1957]
Iceland . . . . .		18 Oct 1977 <u>a</u>	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		28 Nov 1962 <u>a</u>
India . . . . .	15 May 1957		Uruguay . . . . .	20 Feb 1957	
Ireland . . . . .	24 Sep 1957	25 Nov 1957	Venezuela . . . . .		31 May 1983 <u>a</u>
Israel . . . . .	12 Mar 1957	7 Jun 1957	Yugoslavia . . . . .	27 Mar 1957	13 Mar 1959
			Zambia . . . . .		22 Jan 1975 <u>d</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

## ARGENTINA

Article 7: The Argentine Government expressly reserves the rights of the Republic with respect to the Islas Malvinas (Falkland Islands), the South Sandwich Islands and the lands included within the Argentine Antarctic Sector, declaring that they do not constitute a colony or possession of any nation but are part of Argentine territory and lie within its dominion and sovereignty.

Article 10: The Argentine Government reserves the right not to submit disputes directly or indirectly linked with the territories under Argentine sovereignty to the procedure indicated in this article.

## BRAZIL

"Reservation is made concerning application of article 10."

CHILE

The Government of Chile makes a reservation with regard to article 10, in the sense that it does not accept the compulsory jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice for the purpose of the settlement of disputes which may arise between Contracting States concerning the interpretation or application of the present Convention.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Reservation:

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of Article 10, according to which a dispute between the States parties to the Convention in respect of the interpretation and application of the present Convention which has not been settled through negotiation is to be submitted to the International Court of Justice for decision at the request of one of the parties to the dispute, unless the parties have agreed on another way of adjustment. The German Democratic Republic declares that with regard to the competence of the International Court of Justice for disputes in respect of the interpretation and application of the Convention it is of the opinion that in every single case the consent of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary to submit a particular dispute to the International Court of Justice for decision.

Declaration:

The German Democratic Republic considers that articles 4 and 5 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

GUATEMALA

Article 10 of the said Convention shall, by reason of constitutional requirements, be applied without prejudice to article 149, paragraph 3 (b) of the Constitution of the Republic

INDIA

"With the following reservation as to Article 10: "Any dispute which may arise between any two or more contracting States concerning the interpretation or application of the present Convention which is not settled by negotiations shall with the consent of the parties to the dispute be referred to the International Court of Justice for decision unless the parties agree to another mode of settlement."

TUNISIA

[Article 10] For any dispute to be referred to the International Court of Justice, the agreement of all the parties to the dispute shall be necessary in every case.

URUGUAY

On behalf of Uruguay we hereby make a reservation to the provisions of article 3 which has a bearing on the application of the Convention. The Constitution of Uruguay does not authorize the granting of nationality to an alien unless he is the child of a Uruguayan father or mother, in which case he may become a natural citizen. This case apart, an alien who fulfils the constitutional and legal conditions may be granted only legal citizenship, and not nationality.

VENEZUELA

[See chapter XVI.1.]

Territorial Application

(Declarations made upon ratification or accession (a) under paragraph 1 of article 7 of the Convention)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territory:</u>
Australia . . . .	14 Mar 1961 a	All the non-metropolitan territories for the international relations of which Australia is responsible
Netherlands . . .	8 Aug 1966	Netherlands Antilles, Surinam
New Zealand . . .	17 Dec 1958	The Cook Islands (including Niue), the Tokelau Islands, and the Trust Territory of Western Samoa
United Kingdom <sup>4</sup> .	28 Aug 1957	The Channel Islands and the Isle of Man

Notifications under paragraph 2 of article 7 of the Convention

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territory:</u>
United Kingdom <sup>4</sup> .	18 Mar 1958	Aden, the Bahamas, Barbados, Basutoland, Bechuanaland, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands, British Somaliland, Cyprus, Falkland Islands, Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Hong Kong, Jamaica, Kenya, the Leeward Islands

XVI.2: Nationality of married women

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom <sup>4</sup> (cont'd)	19 May 1958 3 Nov 1960 1 Oct 1962	(Antigua, Montserrat, St. Christopher-Nevis), The British Virgin Islands, Malta, Mauritius, North Borneo, St. Helena, Sarawak, the Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Swaziland, Tanganyika, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda, the Windward Islands (Dominica, Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent), Zanzibar The Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland <sup>4</sup> Tonga Brunei

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Eleventh Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/3572), p. 18.

2/ Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 20 February 1957 and 22 September 1958 respectively. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

With reference to the above-mentioned ratification, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of India, Poland, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and of China on the other hand. For the nature of these communications, see note 2 in chapter VI.14.

3/ With the following declaration:

"The said Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

In this respect, the Secretary-General received the following communications:

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (communication received on 24 May 1974):

The Soviet Government does not object to the extension to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Convention on the Nationality of Married Women provided that this is done in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and that matters of security and status shall not thereby be affected. In this connexion, the Soviet Government would like to draw attention to the fact that the Western Sectors of Berlin are not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany, that the permanent residents of the Western Sectors of Berlin are not nationals of the Federal Republic of Germany and that representation abroad of the interests of the Western Sectors of Berlin by the Federal Republic of Germany is permissible only to the extent specified in the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 (annex IV).

Czechoslovakia (communication received on 30 May 1974):

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares, in accordance with the Four-Power Agreement of September 3, 1971, that West Berlin is not a part of the Federal Republic of Germany and neither can be administered by it.

"The declaration of the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany contained in its instrument of accession to the above-mentioned Convention, that the validity of the Convention shall also apply to West Berlin is contradictory to the Four-Power Agreement stipulating that the agreements concerning the security and the statute of West Berlin cannot be expanded by the Federal Republic of Germany to West Berlin.

"Therefore the declaration of the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany cannot have any legal effect."

German Democratic Republic (communication received on 16 July 1974):

With regard to the application of the Convention to Berlin (West) and in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic, the German Democratic Republic declares that Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and is not to be governed by it. The declaration by the Federal Republic of Germany to the effect that this Convention will also apply to Berlin (West) is at variance with the Quadripartite Agreement, which states that treaties affecting matters of security and of the status of Berlin (West) may not be applied to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany. Ukrainian SSR (communication received on 6 August 1974):

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic refrains from raising an objection to the extension to Berlin (West) of the Convention on the Nationality of Married Women only on the understanding that this action is being taken in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and will not affect matters of security and status. In this connexion, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic wishes to direct attention to the fact that the Western Sectors of Berlin are not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany, permanent residents of Berlin (West) are not nationals of the Federal Republic of Germany and representation abroad of the interests of Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany is permitted only to the extent defined by the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 (annex IV).

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (communications received on 8 July 1975--in rela-

tion to the communications by Czechoslovakia and by the German Democratic Republic):

"The communications mentioned in the Notes listed above refer to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. This Agreement was concluded in Berlin between the Governments of the French Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America. The Governments sending these communications are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement and are therefore not competent to make authoritative comments on its provisions.

The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to bring the following to the attention of the States Parties to the instruments referred to in the above-mentioned communications. When authorising the extension of these instruments to the Western Sectors of Berlin, the authorities of the Three Powers, acting in the exercise of their supreme authority, ensured in accordance with established procedures that those instruments are applied in the Western Sectors of Berlin in such a way as not to affect matters of security and status.

Accordingly, the application of these instruments to the Western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect.

The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not consider it necessary to respond to any further communications of a similar nature by States which are not signatories to the Quadripartite Agreement.

This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of those Governments in this matter."

Federal Republic of Germany (communication received on 19 September 1975--in relation to the communication by Czechoslovakia and by the German Democratic Republic):

Declaration identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to the one of the same date, reproduced in note 2 in chapter III.3.

4/ On 24 December 1981, the Secretary-General received from the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland a notification of denunciation of the said Convention:

The notification specifies that the denunciation is effected on behalf of United Kingdom of Great Britain and of the following territories for the international relations of which the United Kingdom is responsible and to which the Convention was extended in accordance with the provisions of article 7: Bailiwick of Jersey, Bailiwick of Guernsey, Isle of Man, Saint Christopher-Nevis, Anguilla, Bermuda, British Indian Ocean Territory, British Virgin Islands, Cayman Islands, Falkland Islands, Gibraltar, Hong Kong, Montserrat, Pitcairn, Saint Helena and Dependencies, Turks and Caicos Islands, State of Brunei, United Kingdom Sovereign Bases Areas of Akrotiri and Dhekelia in the Island of Cyprus.

In accordance with the provisions of article 9 (2) of the Convention, the denunciation will take effect one year after the date of receipt of the said notification, that is to say, on 24 December 1982.

3. CONVENTION ON CONSENT TO MARRIAGE, MINIMUM AGE FOR MARRIAGE AND REGISTRATION OF MARRIAGES

Opened for signature at New York on 10 December 1962

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 9 December 1964, in accordance with article 6.  
 REGISTRATION: 23 December 1964, No. 7525.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 521, p. 231.

Note: The Convention was opened for signature pursuant to resolution 1763 (XVII),<sup>1</sup> adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 7 November 1962.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Argentina . . . . .		26 Feb 1970 <u>a</u>	Iceland . . . . .		18 Oct 1977 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .		1 Oct 1969 <u>a</u>	Israel . . . . .	10 Dec 1962	
Barbados . . . . .		1 Oct 1979 <u>a</u>	Italy . . . . .	20 Dec 1963	
Benin . . . . .		19 Oct 1965 <u>a</u>	Mali . . . . .		19 Aug 1964 <u>a</u>
Brazil . . . . .		11 Feb 1970 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .		22 Feb 1983 <u>a</u>
Burkina Faso . . . . .		8 Dec 1964 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	10 Dec 1962	2 Jul 1965
Chile . . . . .	10 Dec 1962		New Zealand . . . . .	23 Dec 1963	12 Jun 1964
China <sup>2</sup> . . . . .			Niger . . . . .		1 Dec 1964 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .	17 Oct 1963	20 Aug 1965	Norway . . . . .		10 Sep 1964 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	8 Oct 1963	5 Mar 1965	Philippines . . . . .	5 Feb 1963	21 Jan 1965
Denmark . . . . .	31 Oct 1963	8 Sep 1964	Poland . . . . .	17 Dec 1962	8 Jan 1965
Dominican Republic . . . . .		8 Oct 1964 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .	27 Dec 1963	
Fiji . . . . .		19 Jul 1971 <u>d</u>	Samoa . . . . .		24 Aug 1964 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		18 Aug 1964 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		15 Apr 1969 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	10 Dec 1962		Sri Lanka . . . . .	12 Dec 1962	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		16 Jul 1974 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	10 Dec 1962	16 Jun 1964
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .		9 Jul 1969 <u>a</u> <sup>3</sup>	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		2 Oct 1969 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .	3 Jan 1963		Tunisia . . . . .		24 Jan 1968 <u>a</u>
Guatemala . . . . .		18 Jan 1983 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .		9 Jul 1970 <u>a</u>
Guinea . . . . .	10 Dec 1962	24 Jan 1978	United States of America . . . . .	10 Dec 1962	
Hungary . . . . .		5 Nov 1975 <u>a</u>	Venezuela . . . . .		31 May 1983 <u>a</u>
			Yugoslavia . . . . .	10 Dec 1962	19 Jun 1964

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

DENMARK

"With the reservation that article 1, paragraph 2, shall not apply to the Kingdom of Denmark."

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

The Dominican Republic wishes the laws of the Dominican Republic to continue to have precedence in respect of the possibility, provided for in article 1, paragraph 2, of entering into a civil marriage by means of a proxy or procuracy. Consequently, it can accept the said provisions only with reservations.

FIJI

"The Government of Fiji withdraws the reservation, and declarations in respect of the law of Scotland and in respect of Southern Rhodesia, made on 9th July, 1970 by Her Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom, and affirms that the

Government of Fiji declares it to be their understanding that:

"(a) paragraph 1 of Article 1, and the second sentence of Article 2, of the Convention are concerned with the entry into marriage under the laws of a State Party and not with the recognition under the laws of one State or territory of the validity of marriages contracted under the laws of another State or territory; and  
 "(b) paragraph 2 of Article 1 does not require legislative provision to be made where no such legislation already exists, for marriages to be contracted in the absence of one of the parties."

FINLAND

"With the reservation that article 1, paragraph 2, shall not apply to the Republic of Finland."

GREECE

With reservation to article 1, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

GUATEMALA

Reservation:

With regard to article 1, paragraph 1, of the Convention, Guatemala declares that since its legislation, in respect of its nationals, does not call for the requirements relating to publicity of the marriage and the presence of witnesses for it to be solemnized, it does not consider itself obliged to comply with those requirements where the parties are Guatemalans.

HUNGARY

In acceding to the Convention, the Presidential Council of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that it does not consider paragraph 2 of article 1 of the Convention as binding the Hungarian People's Republic to grant, under the terms thereof, permit of marriage when one of the intending spouses is not present.

ICELAND

"Article 1, paragraph 2, shall not apply to the Republic of Iceland."

NETHERLANDS

In signing the Convention on Consent to Marriage, Minimum Age for Marriage and Registration of Marriages, I the undersigned, Plenipotentiary of the Kingdom of the Netherlands, hereby declare that, in view of the equality which exists, from the standpoint of public law, between the Netherlands, Surinam and the Netherlands Antilles, the Government of the Kingdom reserves the right to ratify the Convention in respect of only one or two parts of the Kingdom and to declare at a later date, by written notification to the Secretary-General, that the Convention is to apply also to the other part or parts of the Kingdom.

NORWAY

"With the reservation that article 1, paragraph 2, shall not apply to the Kingdom of Norway."

PHILIPPINES

"The Convention on Consent to Marriage, Minimum Age for Marriage and Registration of Marriages was adopted for the purpose, among other things, of insuring to all persons complete freedom in the choice of a spouse. The first paragraph of Article 1 of the Convention requires that the full and free consent of both parties shall be expressed in the presence of the competent authority and of witnesses.

"Considering the provisions of its Civil Code, the Philippines, in ratifying this Convention in-

terprets the second paragraph of Article 1 (which authorizes, in exceptional cases, the solemnization of marriage by proxy) as not imposing upon the Philippines the obligation to allow within its territory the celebration of proxy marriages or marriages of the kind contemplated in that paragraph, where such manner of marriage is not authorized by the laws of the Philippines. Rather, the solemnization within Philippine territory of a marriage in the absence of one of the parties under the conditions stated in said paragraph will be permitted only if so allowed by Philippine law."

SWEDEN

With reservation to article 1, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND<sup>4</sup>

(a)<sup>4</sup>

"(b) It is the understanding of the Government of the United Kingdom that paragraph (1) of article 1 and the second sentence of article 2, of the Convention are concerned with entry into marriage under the laws of a State Party and not with the recognition under the laws of one State or territory of the validity of marriages contracted under the laws of another State or territory; nor is paragraph (1) of article 1 applicable to marriages by cohabitation with habit and repute under the law of Scotland;

"(c) Paragraph (2) of article 1 does not require legislative provision to be made, where no such legislation already exists, for marriages to be contracted in the absence of one of the parties;

"(d) The provisions of the Convention shall not apply to Southern Rhodesia unless and until the Government of the United Kingdom inform the Secretary-General that they are in a position to ensure that the obligations imposed by the Convention in respect of that territory can be fully implemented."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"With the understanding that legislation in force in the various States of the United States of America is in conformity with this Convention and that action by the United States of America with respect to this Convention does not constitute acceptance of the provisions of article 8 as a precedent for any subsequent instruments."

VENEZUELA

[See chapter XVI.1]



Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Netherlands . . . . .	2 Jul 1965	Netherlands Antilles, Surinam
United Kingdom . . . . .	9 Jul 1970	Associated States (Antigua, Dominica, Grenada, Saint Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla, Saint Lucia and Saint Vincent), State of Brunei, Territories under the territorial sovereignty of the United Kingdom
	15 Oct 1974	Montserrat <sup>4</sup>

NOTE:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Seventeenth Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/5217), p. 28.

2/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 4 April 1963. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

3/ In a note accompanying the instrument of accession, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Convention "shall also apply to Land Berlin with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. Those communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to those referred to in the second paragraph of note 2 in chapter III.3.

In this respect, the Government of the German Democratic Republic, upon accession to the Convention on 16 July 1974, made a declaration which is identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the one reproduced in the fourth paragraph of note 2 in chapter III.3.

In reference to that declaration, communications were received by the Secretary-General from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America (8 July 1975) and from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany (19 September 1975), which are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding communications reproduced in note 2 in chapter III.3.

4/ In a notification received on 15 October 1974, the Government of the United Kingdom informed the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservation corresponding to sub-paragraph a, according to which it reserved the right to postpone the application of article 2 of the Convention to Montserrat pending notification to the Secretary-General that the said article would be applied there.

CHAPTER XVII. FREEDOM OF INFORMATION

1. CONVENTION ON THE INTERNATIONAL RIGHT OF CORRECTION

Opened for signature at New York on 31 March 1953

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 24 August 1962, in accordance with article VIII.  
 REGISTRATION: 24 August 1962, No. 6280.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 435, p. 191.

Note: The Convention was adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 630 (VII)<sup>1</sup> of 16 December 1952, and it was opened for signature at the closing of the seventh session of the General Assembly.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	11 Jun 1953		Guatemala <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	1 Apr 1953	9 May 1957
Chile . . . . .	22 Apr 1953		Guinea . . . . .	19 Mar 1975	
Cuba . . . . .		17 Nov 1954 <u>a</u>	Jamaica . . . . .		15 Jun 1967 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .	20 Jun 1972	13 Nov 1972	Paraguay . . . . .	16 Nov 1953	
Ecuador . . . . .	31 Mar 1953		Peru . . . . .	12 Nov 1959	
Egypt . . . . .	27 Jan 1955	4 Aug 1955	Sierra Leone . . . . .		25 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>
El Salvador . . . . .	11 Mar 1958	28 Oct 1958	Uruguay . . . . .		21 Nov 1980 <u>a</u>
Ethiopia . . . . .	31 Mar 1953	21 Jan 1969	Yugoslavia . . . . .		31 Jan 1956 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	2 Apr 1954	16 Nov 1962			

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> Official Records of the General Assembly, Seventh Session, Supplement No. 20 (A/2361), p. 22.

<sup>2/</sup> The Convention was signed on behalf of Guatemala with reservation to article V of the Convention. Upon ratification, the Government of Guatemala did not maintain the said reservation.



CHAPTER XVIII. MISCELLANEOUS PENAL MATTERS<sup>1</sup>

1. PROTOCOL AMENDING THE SLAVERY CONVENTION SIGNED AT GENEVA ON 25 SEPTEMBER 1926

Done at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, on 7 December 1953

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 December 1953, in accordance with article III.<sup>2</sup>  
 REGISTRATION: 7 December 1953, No. 2422.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 182, p. 51.

Note: The Protocol was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in resolution 794 (VIII)<sup>3</sup> of 23 October 1953.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s), acceptance, succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .		16 Aug 1954 <u>s</u>	Ireland . . . . .		31 Aug 1961
Australia . . . . .		9 Dec 1953 <u>s</u>	Israel . . . . .		12 Sep 1955
Austria . . . . .	7 Dec 1953	16 Jul 1954	Italy . . . . .		4 Feb 1954 <u>s</u>
Bahamas . . . . .		10 Jun 1976 <u>d</u>	Liberia . . . . .		7 Dec 1953 <u>s</u>
Bangladesh . . . . .		7 Jan 1985	Mali . . . . .		2 Feb 1973
Barbados . . . . .		22 Jul 1976 <u>d</u>	Mauritania . . . . .		6 Jun 1986
Belgium . . . . .	24 Feb 1954	13 Dec 1962	Mexico . . . . .		3 Feb 1954 <u>s</u>
Bolivia . . . . .		6 Oct 1983	Monaco . . . . .	28 Jan 1954	12 Nov 1954
Burma . . . . .	14 Mar 1956	29 Apr 1957	Morocco . . . . .		11 May 1959
Cameroon . . . . .		27 Jun 1984	Netherlands . . . . .	15 Dec 1953	7 Jul 1955
Canada . . . . .		17 Dec 1953 <u>s</u>	New Zealand . . . . .		16 Dec 1953 <u>s</u>
China <sup>4</sup> . . . . .			Nicaragua . . . . .		14 Jan 1986
Cuba . . . . .		28 Jun 1954 <u>s</u>	Niger . . . . .		7 Dec 1964
Denmark . . . . .		3 Mar 1954 <u>s</u>	Norway . . . . .	24 Feb 1954	11 Apr 1957
Ecuador . . . . .	7 Sep 1954	17 Aug 1955	Romania . . . . .		13 Nov 1957 <u>s</u>
Egypt . . . . .	15 Jun 1954	29 Sep 1954	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines . . . . .		9 Nov 1981
Fiji . . . . .		12 Jun 1972 <u>d</u>	Solomon Islands . . . . .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
Finland . . . . .		19 Mar 1954	South Africa . . . . .		29 Dec 1953 <u>s</u>
France . . . . .	14 Jan 1954	14 Feb 1963	Spain . . . . .		10 Nov 1976 <u>s</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		16 Jul 1974	Sweden . . . . .		17 Aug 1954 <u>s</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .		29 May 1973 <sup>5</sup>	Switzerland . . . . .		7 Dec 1953 <u>s</u>
Greece . . . . .	7 Dec 1953	12 Dec 1955	Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .		4 Aug 1954
Guatemala . . . . .		11 Nov 1983	Turkey . . . . .		14 Jan 1955 <u>s</u>
Guinea . . . . .		12 Jul 1962	United Kingdom . . . . .		7 Dec 1953 <u>s</u>
Hungary . . . . .		26 Feb 1958	United States of America . . . . .	16 Dec 1953	7 Mar 1956
India . . . . .		12 Mar 1954 <u>s</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	11 Feb 1954	21 Mar 1955
Iraq . . . . .		23 May 1955			

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Netherlands . . . . .	7 Jul 1955	Netherlands Antilles, Netherlands New Guinea, Surinam

NOTES:

1/ For other multilateral treaties concerning penal matters, see chapters III, IV, VI, VII and VIII, as well as Nos. 14 and 15 in part II.

2/ The amendments set forth in the Annex to the Protocol entered into force on 7 July 1955, in accordance with article III of the Protocol.

3/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Eighth Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/2630), p. 50.

4/ Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 7 December 1953 and 14 December 1955 respectively. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

5/ With the following declaration:

"... The said Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 4 December 1973 from the Permanent Mission of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to the United Nations the following communication:

The 1926 Slavery Convention, as amended by the 1953 Protocol, deals with matters relating to the territories under the sovereignty of the countries Parties to the Convention within the limits of which they exercise jurisdiction. As is well known, the western sector of Berlin is not an integral part of the Federal Republic of Germany and cannot be governed by it. In that connexion, the Soviet Union regards the above-mentioned statement by the Federal Republic of Germany as unlawful and as having no legal force, with all the consequences flowing therefrom, since the extension of the validity of the Convention to the Western Sector of Berlin raises questions relating to its status, thus conflicting with the relevant provisions of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971.

The Government of the German Democratic Republic, upon acceptance of the Protocol on 16 July 1974, made a declaration which is identical in essence to the above-quoted declaration.

The following communication on the same subject was received on 17 July 1974 from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America:

"In a communication to the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics which is an integral part (Annex IV A) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America reaffirmed that, provided that matters of security and status are not affected, international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin in accordance with established procedures. For its part, the

Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, in a communication to the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States which is similarly an integral part (Annex IV B) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such extension.

"The purpose and effect of the established procedures referred to above, which were specifically endorsed in Annex IV A and B to the Quadripartite Agreement, are precisely to ensure that agreements and arrangements to be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin are extended in such a way that questions of security and status remain unaffected and to take account of the fact that these Sectors continue not to be a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it. The extension of the Convention of 1926, as amended by the Protocol of 1953, to the Western Sectors of Berlin received the prior authorization under these established procedures, of the authorities of France, the United Kingdom and the United States. The rights and responsibilities of the Governments of those three countries remain unaffected thereby. There is thus no question that the extension to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Convention of 1926, as amended by the Protocol of 1953, is in any way inconsistent with the Quadripartite Agreement.

"Accordingly, the application to the Western Sectors of Berlin of the Convention of 1926, as amended by the Protocol of 1953, continues in full force and effect."

Subsequently, the Secretary-General received on 27 August 1974 from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany a declaration to the effect that the said Government shared the position set out in the above-quoted declaration, and that the extension of the Protocol to Berlin (West) would continue in full force and effect.

In reference to the declaration by the Government of the German Democratic Republic, communications were received by the Secretary-General from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America (8 July 1975) and from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany (19 September 1975), which are identical in substance, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding communications reproduced in note 2 in chapter III.3.

XVIII.2: Slavery--1926 Convention as amended

2. SLAVERY CONVENTION SIGNED AT GENOVA ON 25 SEPTEMBER 1926 AND AMENDED BY THE PROTOCOL DONE AT THE HEADQUARTERS OF THE UNITED NATIONS, NEW YORK, ON 7 DECEMBER 1953

ENTRY INTO FORCE 7 July 1955, the date on which the amendments, set forth in the annex to the Protocol of 7 December 1953, entered into force in accordance with article III of the Protocol.

REGISTRATION: 7 July 1955, No. 2861.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 212, p. 17

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or participation in the Convention of 1926 and in the Protocol of 7 December 1953</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d) to the Convention as amended</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive signature or participation in the Convention of 1926 and in the Protocol of 7 December 1953</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d) to the Convention as amended</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	16 Aug 1954		Mauritania . . . . .	6 Jun 1986	
Albania . . . . .		2 Jul 1957 <u>a</u>	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Algeria . . . . .		20 Nov 1963 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .	3 Feb 1954	
Australia . . . . .	9 Dec 1953		Monaco . . . . .	12 Nov 1954	
Austria . . . . .	16 Jul 1954		Mongolia . . . . .		20 Dec 1968 <u>a</u>
Bahamas . . . . .	10 Jun 1976		Morocco . . . . .	11 May 1959	
Bangladesh . . . . .	7 Jan 1985		Nepal . . . . .		7 Jan 1963 <u>a</u>
Barbados . . . . .	22 Jul 1976		Netherlands . . . . .	7 Jul 1955	
Belgium . . . . .	13 Dec 1962		New Zealand . . . . .	16 Dec 1953	
Bolivia . . . . .	6 Oct 1983		Nicaragua . . . . .	14 Jan 1986	
Brazil . . . . .		6 Jan 1966 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .	7 Dec 1964	
Burma . . . . .	29 Apr 1957		Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Byelorussian SSR		13 Sep 1956 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .	11 Apr 1957	
Cameroon . . . . .	27 Jun 1984		Pakistan . . . . .		30 Sep 1955 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .	17 Dec 1953		Papua New Guinea		27 Jan 1982 <u>a</u>
China			Philippines . . . . .		12 Jul 1955 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		21 Apr 1986 <u>d</u>	[Republic of		
Cuba . . . . .	28 Jun 1954		South		
Denmark . . . . .	3 Mar 1954		Viet-Nam] . . . . .		14 Aug 1956 <u>a</u> <sup>3</sup>
Ecuador . . . . .	17 Aug 1955		Romania . . . . .	13 Nov 1957	
Egypt . . . . .	29 Sep 1954		Saint Vincent and		9 Nov 1981
Ethiopia . . . . .		21 Jan 1969	the Grenadines		5 Jul 1973 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .	12 Jun 1972		Saudi Arabia . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Finland . . . . .	19 Mar 1954		Sierra Leone . . . . .		
France . . . . .	14 Feb 1963		Solomon Islands . . . . .	3 Sep 1981	
German Democratic			South Africa . . . . .	29 Dec 1953	
Republic <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	16 Jul 1974		Spain . . . . .	10 Nov 1976	
Germany, Federal			Sri Lanka . . . . .		21 Mar 1958 <u>a</u>
Republic of . . . . .	29 May 1973		Sudan . . . . .		9 Sep 1957 <u>d</u>
Greece . . . . .	12 Dec 1955		Sweden . . . . .	17 Aug 1954	
Guatemala . . . . .	11 Nov 1983		Switzerland . . . . .	7 Dec 1953	
Guinea . . . . .	12 Jul 1962		Syrian Arab		
Hungary . . . . .	26 Feb 1958		Republic . . . . .	4 Aug 1954	
India . . . . .	12 Mar 1954		Trinidad and		
Iraq . . . . .	23 May 1955		Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Ireland . . . . .	31 Aug 1961		Tunisia . . . . .		15 Jul 1966 <u>a</u>
Israel . . . . .	12 Sep 1955		Turkey . . . . .	14 Jan 1955	
Italy . . . . .	4 Feb 1954		Uganda . . . . .		12 Aug 1964 <u>a</u>
Jamaica . . . . .		30 Jul 1964 <u>d</u>	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .		27 Jan 1959 <u>a</u>
Jordan . . . . .		5 May 1959 <u>a</u>	Union of Soviet		
Kuwait . . . . .		28 May 1963 <u>a</u>	Socialist		
Lesotho . . . . .		4 Nov 1974 <u>d</u>	Republics . . . . .		8 Aug 1956 <u>a</u>
Liberia . . . . .	7 Dec 1953		United Kingdom . . . . .	7 Dec 1953	
Libyan Arab			United Republic		
Jamahiriyah . . . . .		14 Feb 1957 <u>a</u>	of Tanzania . . . . .		28 Nov 1962 <u>a</u>
Madagascar . . . . .		12 Feb 1964 <u>a</u>	United States		
Malawi . . . . .		2 Aug 1965 <u>a</u>	of America . . . . .	7 Mar 1956	
Mali . . . . .	2 Feb 1973		Yugoslavia . . . . .	21 Mar 1955	
Malta . . . . .		3 Jan 1966 <u>d</u>	Zambia . . . . .		26 Mar 1973 <u>d</u>

NOTES:

1/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 14 December 1955. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

2/ A notification of reapplication of the Convention of 25 September 1926 was received on 16 July 1974 from the Government of the German

Democratic Republic. As an instrument of acceptance of the amending Protocol of 7 December 1953 was deposited with the Secretary-General on the same date on behalf of the Government of the German Democratic Republic, the latter has been applying the Convention as amended since 16 July 1974 (see also note 7 in chapter XVIII.3).

3/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

## 3. SLAVERY CONVENTION

Geneva, September 25th, 1926<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since March 9th, 1927 (Article 12).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Afghanistan (November 9th, 1935 a)  
 Austria (August 19th, 1927)  
 United States of America (March 21st, 1929 a)

Subject to the reservation that the Government of the United States, adhering to its policy of opposition to forced or compulsory labour except as punishment for crime of which the person concerned has been duly convicted, adheres to the Convention except as to the first subdivision of the second paragraph of Article five, which reads as follows:

"(1) Subject to the transitional provisions laid down in paragraph (2) below, compulsory or forced labour may only be exacted for public purposes."<sup>2</sup>

Belgium (September 23rd, 1927)  
 Great Britain and Northern Ireland (June 18th, 1927)

Burma<sup>3</sup>

The Convention is not binding upon Burma in respect of Article 3 in so far as that Article may require her to enter into any convention whereby vessels by reason of the fact that they are owned, fitted out or commanded by Burmans, or of the fact that one-half of the crew is Burman, are classified as native vessels or are denied any privilege, right or immunity enjoyed by similar vessels of other States signatories of the Convention or are made subject to any liability or disability to which similar ships of these other States are not subject

Canada (August 6th, 1928)  
 Australia (June 18th, 1927)  
 New Zealand (June 18th, 1927)  
 Union of South Africa (including South West Africa) (June 18th, 1927)  
 Ireland (June 18th, 1930 a)  
 India (June 18th, 1927)

The signature of the Convention is not binding in respect of Article 3 in so far as that article may require India to enter into any convention whereby vessels, by reason of the fact that they are owned, fitted out or commanded by Indians, or of the fact that one-half of the crew is Indian, are classified as native vessels, or are denied any privilege, right or immunity enjoyed by similar vessels of other States signatories of the Convention or are made subject to any liability or disability to which similar ships of such other States are not subject.

Bulgaria (March 9th, 1927)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

China<sup>4</sup> (April 22nd, 1937)  
 Cuba (July 6th, 1931)  
 Czechoslovakia (October 10th, 1930)  
 Denmark (May 17th, 1927)  
 Ecuador (March 26th, 1928 a)  
 Egypt (January 25th, 1928 a)  
 Estonia (May 16th, 1929)  
 Finland (September 29th, 1927)  
 France (March 28th, 1931)  
Syria and Lebanon (June 25th, 1931 a)  
 Germany (March 12th, 1929)  
 Greece (July 4th, 1930)  
 Haiti (September 3rd, 1927 a)  
 Hungary<sup>5</sup> (February 17th, 1933 a)  
 Iraq (January 18th, 1929 a)  
 Italy (August 25th, 1928)  
 Latvia (July 9th, 1927)  
 Liberia (May 17th, 1930)  
 Mexico (September 8th, 1934 a)  
 Monaco (January 17th, 1928 a)  
 The Netherlands (including Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curacao) (January 7th, 1928)  
 Nicaragua (October 3rd, 1927 a)  
 Norway (September 10th, 1927)  
 Poland (September 17th, 1930)  
 Portugal (October 4th, 1927)  
 Romania (June 22nd, 1931)  
 Spain (September 12th, 1927)  
 For Spain and the Spanish Colonies, with the exception of the Spanish Protectorate of Morocco.  
 Sudan (September 15th, 1927 a)  
 Sweden (December 17th, 1927)  
 Switzerland (November 1st, 1930 a)  
 Turkey (July 24th, 1933 a)  
 Yugoslavia (September 28th, 1929)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

Albania<sup>6</sup>  
 Colombia  
 Dominican Republic a  
 Iran  
Ad referendum and interpreting Article 3 as without power to compel Iran to bind herself by any arrangement or convention which would place her ships of whatever tonnage in the category of native vessels provided for by the Convention on the Trade in arms.  
 Lithuania  
 Panama  
 Uruguay



Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession, succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession, succession (d)</u>
Bahamas . . . . .	10 Jun 1976 <u>d</u>	Guatemala . . . . .	11 Nov 1983
Bangladesh . . . . .	7 Jan 1985	Guinea . . . . .	30 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Barbados . . . . .	22 Jul 1976 <u>d</u>	Israel . . . . .	6 Jan 1955
Benin . . . . .	4 Apr 1962 <u>d</u>	Mali . . . . .	2 Feb 1973 <u>d</u>
Bolivia . . . . .	6 Oct 1983	Mauritania . . . . .	6 Jun 1986
Cameroon . . . . .	7 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>	Morocco . . . . .	11 May 1959 <u>d</u> <sup>8</sup>
Central African Republic . . . . .	4 Sep 1962 <u>d</u>	Niger . . . . .	25 Aug 1961 <u>d</u>
Congo . . . . .	15 Oct 1962 <u>d</u>	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines . . . . .	9 Nov 1981
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	8 Dec 1961 <u>d</u>	Senegal . . . . .	2 May 1963 <u>d</u>
Fiji . . . . .	12 Jun 1972 <u>d</u>	Solomon Islands . . . . .	3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
German Democratic Republic <sup>7</sup> . . . . .		Suriname . . . . .	12 Oct 1979 <u>d</u>
Ghana . . . . .	3 May 1963 <u>d</u>	Togo . . . . .	27 Feb 1962 <u>d</u>

## NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 1414. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 60, p. 253.

2/ This accession, given subject to reservation, has been communicated to the signatory States for acceptance.

3/ See note 3 in part II.2 of the League of Nations Treaties.

4/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

5/ See League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 130, p. 444.

6/ The Government of Albania deposited on 2 July 1957 the instrument of accession to the Convention as amended by the Protocol of 7 December 1953 (see chapter XVIII.2).

7/ In a notification received on 16 July 1974 the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 22 December 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 2 March 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 17 June 1974, concerning the application, as from 22 December 1958, of the Slavery Convention of 25 September 1926, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Slavery Convention, September 25th, 1926 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

8/ By virtue of its acceptance of the Protocole of amendment on 7 December 1953.

XVIII.4: Slavery--1956 Supplementary Convention

4. SUPPLEMENTARY CONVENTION ON THE ABOLITION OF SLAVERY, THE SLAVE TRADE, AND INSTITUTIONS AND PRACTICES SIMILAR TO SLAVERY

Done at the European Office of the United Nations at Geneva on 7 September 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 April 1957, in accordance with article 13.  
REGISTRATION: 30 April 1957, No. 3822.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series. vol. 266, p. 3.

Note: The Convention was adopted by the United Nations Conference of Plenipotentiaries on a Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade, and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery. The Conference was convened pursuant to resolution 608 (XXI)<sup>1</sup> of 30 April 1956 of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations, and met at the European Office of the United Nations in Geneva from 13 August to 4 September 1956. In addition to the Convention, the Conference adopted the Final Act and two resolutions for the texts of which, see United Nations, Treaty Series. vol. 226, p. 3.

Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)	Participant	Signature	Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)
Afghanistan . . . . .		16 Nov 1966 <u>a</u>	Iraq . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	30 Sep 1963
Albania . . . . .		6 Nov 1958 <u>a</u>	Ireland . . . . .		18 Sep 1961 <u>a</u>
Algeria . . . . .		31 Oct 1963 <u>a</u>	Israel . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	23 Oct 1957
Argentina . . . . .		13 Aug 1964 <u>a</u>	Italy . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	12 Feb 1958
Australia . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	6 Jan 1958	Jamaica . . . . .		30 Jul 1964 <u>d</u>
Austria . . . . .		7 Oct 1963 <u>a</u>	Jordan . . . . .		27 Sep 1957 <u>a</u>
Bahamas . . . . .		10 Jun 1976 <u>d</u>	Kuwait . . . . .		18 Jan 1963 <u>a</u>
Bangladesh . . . . .		5 Feb 1985 <u>a</u>	Lao People's Democratic Republic		9 Sep 1957 <u>a</u>
Barbados . . . . .		9 Aug 1972 <u>d</u>	Lesotho . . . . .		4 Nov 1974 <u>d</u>
Belgium . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	13 Dec 1962	Liberia . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	
Bolivia . . . . .		6 Oct 1983 <u>a</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	1 May 1967
Brazil . . . . .		6 Jan 1966 <u>a</u>	Madagascar . . . . .		29 Feb 1972 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .	26 Jun 1957	21 Aug 1958	Malawi . . . . .		2 Aug 1965 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	5 Jun 1957	Malaysia . . . . .		18 Nov 1957 <u>a</u>
Cameroon . . . . .		27 Jun 1984 <u>a</u>	Mali . . . . .		2 Feb 1973 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	10 Jan 1963	Malta . . . . .		3 Jan 1966 <u>d</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .		30 Dec 1970 <u>a</u>	Mauritania . . . . .		6 Jun 1986 <u>a</u>
China <sup>2</sup> . . . . .			Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Congo . . . . .		25 Aug 1977 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	30 Jun 1959
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		10 Dec 1970 <u>a</u>	Mongolia . . . . .		20 Dec 1968 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .	10 Jan 1957	21 Aug 1963	Morocco . . . . .		11 May 1959 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		11 May 1962 <u>d</u>	Nepal . . . . .		7 Jan 1963 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	13 Jun 1958	Netherlands . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	3 Dec 1957
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		12 Jun 1957 <u>a</u>	New Zealand . . . . .		26 Apr 1962 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	27 Jun 1957	24 Apr 1958	Nicaragua . . . . .		14 Jan 1986 <u>a</u>
Djibouti . . . . .		21 Mar 1979 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .		22 Jul 1963 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .		31 Oct 1962 <u>a</u>	Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Ecuador . . . . .		29 Mar 1960 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	3 May 1960
Egypt . . . . .		17 Apr 1958 <u>a</u>	Pakistan . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	20 Mar 1958
El Salvador . . . . .	7 Sep 1956		Peru . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	
Ethiopia . . . . .		21 Jan 1969 <u>a</u>	Philippines . . . . .		17 Nov 1964 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		12 Jun 1972 <u>d</u>	Poland . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	10 Jan 1963
Finland . . . . .		1 Apr 1959 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	10 Aug 1959
France . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	26 May 1964	[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		16 Jul 1974 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	13 Nov 1957
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	14 Jan 1959	San Marino . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	29 Aug 1967
Ghana . . . . .		3 May 1963 <u>a</u>	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines		9 Nov 1981 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	13 Dec 1972	Saudi Arabia . . . . .		5 Jul 1973 <u>a</u>
Guatemala . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	11 Nov 1983	Senegal . . . . .		19 Jul 1979 <u>a</u>
Guinea . . . . .		14 Mar 1977 <u>a</u>	Sierra Leone . . . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Haiti . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	12 Feb 1958	Singapore . . . . .		28 Mar 1972 <u>d</u>
Hungary . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	26 Feb 1958	Solomon Islands . . . . .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
Iceland . . . . .		17 Nov 1965 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		21 Nov 1967 <u>a</u>
India . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	23 Jun 1960	Sri Lanka . . . . .	5 Jun 1957	21 Mar 1958
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .		30 Dec 1959 <u>a</u>	Sudan . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	9 Sep 1957
			Suriname . . . . .		12 Oct 1979 <u>d</u>

XVIII.4: Slavery--1956 Supplementary Convention

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Sweden . . . . .		28 Oct 1959 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	30 Apr 1957
Switzerland . . . . .		28 Jul 1964 <u>a</u>	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		28 Nov 1962 <u>a</u>
Syrian Arab Republic <sup>5</sup>		17 Apr 1958 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .		6 Dec 1967 <u>a</u>
Togo . . . . .		8 Jul 1980 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	20 May 1958
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>	Zaire . . . . .		28 Feb 1975 <u>a</u>
Tunisia . . . . .		15 Jul 1966 <u>a</u>	Zambia . . . . .		26 Mar 1973 <u>d</u>
Turkey . . . . .	28 Jun 1957	17 Jul 1964			
Uganda . . . . .		12 Aug 1964 <u>a</u>			
Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	7 Sep 1956	3 Dec 1958			
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	7 Sep 1956	12 Apr 1957			

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . . .	6 Jan 1958	All the non-self governing, trust and other non-metropolitan territories for the international relations of which Australia is responsible
France . . . . .	26 May 1964	All the territories of the Republic (Metropolitan France, overseas departments and territories)
Italy . . . . .	12 Feb 1958	Somaliland under Italian Administration
Netherlands . . . . .	3 Dec 1957	Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles and Netherlands New Guinea
New Zealand . . . . .	26 Apr 1962 <u>a</u>	The Cook Islands (including Niue) and the Tokelau Islands
United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Apr 1957	The Channel Islands and the Isle of Man
United States of America . . . . .	6 Dec 1967 <u>a</u>	All territories for the international relations of which the United States of America is responsible

Territorial applications under paragraph 2 of article 12 of the Convention

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	6 Sep 1957	Aden, Bahamas, Barbados, Basutoland, Bechuanaland, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, Brunei, Cyprus, Falkland Islands <sup>6</sup> , Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Hong Kong, Jamaica, Kenya, Antigua, Montserrat, St. Kitts-Nevis, Virgin Islands, Malta, Mauritius, North Borneo, St. Helena, Sarawak, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somaliland Protectorate, Swaziland, Tanganyika, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Solomon Islands Protectorate, Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Zanzibar, Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland <sup>7</sup> , Bahrain, Qatar, The Trucial States (Abu Dhabi, Ajman, Dubai, Fujairah, Ras al Khaimah, Sharjah and Ummal Qaiwain)
	18 Oct 1957	Dominica and Tonga
	21 Oct 1957	Kuwait
	30 Oct 1957	Uganda
	14 Nov 1957	Trinidad and Tobago
	1 Jul 1957	The Federation of Nigeria

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Twenty-first Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/2889), p. 7.

2/ Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 23 May 1957 and 28 May 1959 respectively. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

With reference to the above-mentioned ratification, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Hungary, Poland and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and of China on the other hand. For the nature of these communications, see note 2 in chapter VI.14.

3/ A note accompanying the instrument of ratification contains a statement that "the Supplementary Convention . . . also applies to Land Berlin as from the date on which the Convention enters into force in the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Czechoslovakia, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the one hand, and by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to those referred to in the second paragraph of note 2 in chapter III.3.

4/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

5/ Accession by the United Arab Republic. See note 3 in chapter I.1.

6/ On 3 October 1983, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Argentina the following objection:

[The Government of Argentina makes a] formal objection to the [declaration] of territorial extension issued by the United Kingdom with regard to the Malvinas Islands (and dependencies), which that country is illegally occupying and refers to as the "Falkland Islands".

The Argentine Republic rejects and considers null and void the [said declaration] of territorial extension.

See also note 9 in chapter III.11.

7/ See note 21 in chapter V.2.

## 5. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION AGAINST THE TAKING OF HOSTAGES

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 17 December 1979

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 3 June 1983, in accordance with article 18(b).  
REGISTRATION: 3 June 1983, No. 21931.  
TEXT: A/RES/34/146.

Note. The Convention was adopted by resolution 34/146<sup>1</sup> of the General Assembly of the United Nations dated 17 December 1979. It was opened for signature from 18 December 1979 to 31 December 1980.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Antigua and Barbuda		6 Aug 1986 <u>a</u>	Jordan . . . . .		19 Feb 1986 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .	3 Oct 1980	22 Aug 1986	Kenya . . . . .		8 Dec 1981 <u>a</u>
Bahamas . . . . .		4 Jun 1981 <u>a</u>	Lesotho . . . . .	17 Apr 1980	5 Nov 1980
Barbados . . . . .		9 Mar 1981 <u>a</u>	Liberia . . . . .	30 Jan 1980	
Belgium . . . . .	3 Jan 1980		Luxembourg . . . . .	18 Dec 1979	
Bhutan . . . . .		31 Aug 1981 <u>a</u>	Malawi . . . . .		17 Mar 1986 <u>a</u>
Bolivia . . . . .	25 Mar 1980		Mauritius . . . . .	18 Jun 1980	17 Oct 1980
Canada . . . . .	18 Feb 1980	4 Dec 1985	Netherlands . . . . .	18 Dec 1980	
Chile . . . . .	3 Jan 1980	12 Nov 1981	New Zealand . . . . .	24 Dec 1980	12 Nov 1985 <sup>3</sup>
Dominica . . . . .		9 Sep 1986 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .	18 Dec 1980	2 Jul 1981
Dominican Republic	12 Aug 1980		Panama . . . . .	24 Jan 1980	19 Aug 1982
Egypt . . . . .	18 Dec 1980	2 Oct 1981	Philippines . . . . .	2 May 1980	14 Oct 1980
El Salvador . . . . .	10 Jun 1980	12 Feb 1981	Portugal . . . . .	16 Jun 1980	6 Jul 1984
Finland . . . . .	29 Oct 1980	14 Apr 1983	Republic of Korea . . . . .		4 May 1983 <u>a</u>
Gabon . . . . .	29 Feb 1980		Senegal . . . . .	2 Jun 1980	
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup>	18 Dec 1979	15 Dec 1980	Spain . . . . .		26 Mar 1984 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .	18 Mar 1980		Suriname . . . . .	30 Jul 1980	5 Nov 1981
Guatemala . . . . .	30 Apr 1980	11 Mar 1983	Sweden . . . . .	25 Feb 1980	15 Jan 1981
Haiti . . . . .	21 Apr 1980		Switzerland . . . . .	18 Jul 1980	5 Mar 1985
Honduras . . . . .	11 Jun 1980		Trinidad and Tobago		1 Apr 1981 <u>a</u>
Iceland . . . . .		1 Jun 1981	Togo . . . . .	8 Jul 1980	25 Jul 1986
Iraq . . . . .	14 Oct 1980	6 Jul 1981 <u>a</u>	Uganda . . . . .	10 Nov 1980	
Israel . . . . .	19 Nov 1980		United Kingdom . . . . .	18 Dec 1979	22 Dec 1982 <sup>4</sup>
Italy . . . . .	18 Apr 1980	20 Mar 1986	United States of America . . . . .	21 Dec 1979	7 Dec 1984
Jamaica . . . . .	27 Feb 1980		Yugoslavia . . . . .	29 Dec 1980	19 Apr 1985
Japan . . . . .	22 Dec 1980		Zaire . . . . .	2 Jul 1980	

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

## CHILE

The Government of the Republic [of Chile], having approved this Convention, states that such approval is given on the understanding that the aforesaid Convention prohibits the taking of hostages in any circumstances, even those referred to in article 12.

## DOMINICA

Understanding.

... The aforesaid Convention prohibits the taking of hostages in any circumstances, even those referred to in article 12."

## EL SALVADOR

Upon signature.

With the reservation permitted under article 16 (2) of the said Convention.

Upon ratification:

Reservation with respect to the application of the provisions of article 16, paragraph 1 of the Convention.

## ISRAEL

Upon signature:

"1) It is the understanding of Israel that the Convention implements the principle that hostage-taking is prohibited in all circumstances and that any person committing such an act shall be either prosecuted or extradited pursuant to article 8 of this Convention or the relevant provisions of the Geneva Conventions of 1949 or their additional Protocols, without any exception whatsoever."

"2) The Government of Israel declares that it reserves the right, when depositing the instrument of ratification, to make reservations and additional declarations and understandings."

## ITALY

Upon signature:

The Italian Government declares that, because of the differing interpretations to which certain formulations in the text lend themselves, Italy reserves the right, when depositing the instrument of ratification, to invoke article 19 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties of 23 May 1969 in conformity with the general principles of international law.

## JORDAN

"The Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan declares that their accession to the International Convention against the Taking of Hostages can in no way be construed as constituting recognition of, or entering into treaty relations with the "state of Israel"."

## KENYA

"The Government of the Republic of Kenya does not consider herself bound by the provisions of paragraph (1) of the article 16 of the Convention."

## MALAWI

"While the Government of the Republic of Malawi accepts the principles in article 16, this acceptance would nonetheless be read in conjunction with [the] declaration [made by the President and the Minister for Foreign Affairs

of Malawi] of 12 December, 1966 upon recognition as compulsory, the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice under article 36, paragraph 2, of the Statute of the Court."

## SWITZERLAND

Declaration:

The Swiss Federal Council interprets article 4 of the Convention to mean that Switzerland undertakes to fulfil the obligations contained therein in the conditions specified by its domestic legislation.

## YUGOSLAVIA

Upon signature:

"With the reservation with regard to article 9, subject to subsequent approval pursuant to the constitutional provisions in force in the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia".

Upon ratification:Declaration:

"The Government of the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia herewith states that the provisions of Article 9 of the Convention should be interpreted and applied in practice in the way which would not bring into question the goals of the Convention, i.e. undertaking of efficient measures for the prevention of all acts of the taking of hostages as a phenomenon of international terrorism, as well as the prosecution, punishment and extradition of persons considered to have perpetrated this criminal offence."

## NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Thirty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 46 (A/34/46), p. 245.

2/ In a communication accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the said Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany, subject to the Allied rights, responsibilities and legislation.

With regard to the above declaration, the Secretary-General received, on 9 November 1981, from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics the following communication:

The declaration made by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany when depositing the instrument of ratification, to the effect that the said Convention shall extend to Berlin (West), is incompatible with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. That Agreement, as is generally known, does not grant the Federal Republic of Germany the right to extend to West Berlin international agreements which affect matters of security and status. The above-mentioned Convention belongs precisely to that category of agreement.

The 1979 Convention contains provisions on the establishment of criminal jurisdiction over

hostage-taking offences committed in the territories of States parties or on board a ship or aircraft registered in those States, as well as provisions relating to extradition of and court proceedings against offenders. Thus, the Convention concerns sovereign rights and obligations which cannot be exercised by a State in a territory which does not come under its jurisdiction.

In view of the foregoing, the Soviet Union considers the declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany on extending the application of the International Convention against the Taking of Hostages to Berlin (West) to be illegal and to have no legal force.

Subsequently, the Secretary-General received the following communications:

France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America (4 June 1982):

"In a communication to the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, which is an integral part (annex IV A), of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States confirmed that, provided that matters of security and status are not affected and provided that the extension is specified in each case, international agreements and arrangements entered by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin in accordance with established procedures. For its part, the Government of the

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, in a communication to the Governments of the Three Powers, which is similarly an integral part (Annex IV B) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, affirmed that it would raise no objection to such extension.

The established procedures referred to above, which were endorsed in the Quadripartite Agreement, are designed inter alia to afford the authorities of the Three Powers the opportunity to ensure that international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany which are to be extended to the Western Sectors of Berlin are extended in such a way that matters of security and status are not affected.

When authorizing the extension of the above-mentioned Convention to the Western Sectors of Berlin, the authorities of the Three Powers took such steps as were necessary to ensure that matters of security and status were not affected. Accordingly, the validity of the Berlin declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany in accordance with established procedures is unaffected and the application of the Convention to the western Sectors of Berlin continues in full force and effect, subject to Allied rights, responsibilities and legislation.

Federal Republic of Germany (12 August 1982):

"By their note of 28 May 1982 [...] the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States answered the assertions made in the communication referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the note of the Three Powers, wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned Convention extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect, subject to Allied rights, responsibilities and legislation.

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to point out that the absence of a response to further communications of a similar nature should not be taken to imply any change of its position in this matter."

3/ For New Zealand (except Tokelau), Cook Islands and Niue.

4/ In respect of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the Territories under the territorial sovereignty of the United Kingdom.

CHAPTER XIX. COMMODITIES

1. INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT ON OLIVE OIL, 1956

Opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations from 15 November 1955 to 15 February 1956

TEXT: United Nations publications, sales No.: 1956.II.D.1 (E/CONF.19/5).

Note: The International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1956, which was drawn up at the first session of the United Nations Conference on Olive Oil held at Geneva from 3 to 17 October 1955 and opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, had not come into force. It was amended by the Protocol of 3 April 1958, adopted at the second session of the United Nations Conference on Olive Oil held in Geneva from 31 March to 3 April 1958. The International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1956, as amended by the said Protocol, entered into force on 26 June 1959 and terminated on 30 September 1963 in accordance with the provisions of its article 37. A new International Agreement on Olive Oil, 1963<sup>1</sup>, adopted at the United Nations Conference on Olive Oil on 20 April 1963 at Geneva is deposited with the Government of Spain.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
France <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	14 Feb 1956		Portugal . . . . .	15 Feb 1956	
Italy . . . . .		5 Jun 1956 a	Spain . . . . .	29 Jul 1958	
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .	14 Feb 1956		Tunisia <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	14 Feb 1956	

NOTES:

1/ United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 495, p. 3.

2/ With the following statement: The Government of the French Republic interprets article 11, paragraph 2, of this Agreement as not precluding the application of the provisions of do-

mestic laws and regulations, where such provisions are stricter than those of the Agreement.

3/ A communication dated 14 February 1956 from the Government of France confirms that the Tunisian Government's interpretation of article 11, paragraph 2, of this Agreement is the same as that of the French Government.



2. PROTOCOL AMENDING THE INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT ON OLIVE OIL, 1956

Adopted at the second session of the United Nations Conference on Olive Oil held in Geneva from 31 March to 3 April 1958

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 11 April 1958, in accordance with article 4.  
REGISTRATION: 29 May 1958, No. 4355.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 302, p. 121.

---

Note: See Note at the beginning of No. XIX.1.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>
France . . . . .	3 Apr 1958	Spain . . . . .	9 Apr 1958
Italy <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	30 Jul 1958	Tunisia . . . . .	3 Apr 1958
Portugal . . . . .	8 Apr 1958		

---

NOTES:

1/ The Permanent Representative of Italy to the United Nations has informed the Secretary-General that the signature affixed on behalf of

the Government of Italy to the above-mentioned Protocol is subject to parliamentary ratification in accordance with the constitutional requirements of Italy and in conformity with the full powers issued in this regard.

3. INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT ON OLIVE OIL, 1956

As amended by the Protocol of 3 April 1958

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 26 June 1959, in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 36.  
 REGISTRATION: 26 June 1959, No. 4806.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 336, p. 177.  
 TERMINATION: 30 September 1961, in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 37.

Note: See Note at the beginning of No. XIX.1.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking under article 36 (5)</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Belgium . . . . .		21 Apr 1959	27 Aug 1962 <sup>a</sup>
France <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	3 Apr 1958		3 Jun 1959
Greece . . . . .	1 Aug 1958	23 Apr 1959	5 Oct 1960
Israel . . . . .			10 Sep 1958 <sup>a</sup>
Italy . . . . .		22 May 1959	
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya			2 Sep 1959 <sup>a</sup>
Morocco . . . . .			11 Aug 1958 <sup>a</sup>
Portugal . . . . .	8 Apr 1958		9 Jun 1959
Spain . . . . .	9 Apr 1958	26 Jun 1959	29 Sep 1959
Tunisia . . . . .	3 Apr 1958	12 May 1959	18 Mar 1960
United Kingdom . . . . .	31 Jul 1958		19 Jun 1959

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

UNITED KINGDOM

"1. Her Majesty's Government understand articles 13 and 14 of the Agreement to mean that Her Majesty's Government would have no direct responsibility for publicity, and would assume no such responsibility.

"2. Her Majesty's Government do not regard the provisions about voting in article 28 as setting a precedent but as deriving solely from the special circumstances of the olive oil industry."

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> In a communication received on 16 January 1963, the Permanent Representative of France to the United Nations requested the Secretary-General to take note, of the fact that France

recognized the independence of Algeria by the declaration of 3 July 1962 and that the obligations which it assumes under the above-mentioned Agreement are accordingly modified.

## 4. INTERNATIONAL COFFEE AGREEMENT, 1962

Done at New York on 28 September 1962

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 1 July 1963 in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 64, and definitively on 27 December 1963 in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 64.

REGISTRATION: 1 July 1963, No. 6791.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 469, p. 169, and vol. 515, p. 322 (procès-verbal of rectification of the authentic Russian text of the Agreement).

TERMINATION: 30 September 1968, in accordance with paragraph (1) of article 71. For the status of the International Coffee Agreement, 1968, open for signature at New York from 18 to 31 March 1968, see chapter XIX.5.

Note: The text of the Agreement was established by the United Nations Coffee Conference, 1962, which met at New York from 9 July to 25 August 1962 and on 28 September 1962. It was approved by the Conference in resolution IV, Final resolution, adopted on 28 September 1962.<sup>1</sup>

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking under article 64 (2)</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	15 May 1963	10 Oct 1963
Australia . . . . .	23 Nov 1962	3 Apr 1963	11 Nov 1963
Austria . . . . .	23 Nov 1962		5 Jul 1963
Belgium <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	8 Apr 1963	29 Jun 1964 <u>a</u>
Benin . . . . .			6 Aug 1963 <u>a</u>
Bolivia . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	29 Jul 1963	24 Oct 1967 <u>a</u>
Brazil . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	17 Oct 1962	16 Oct 1963
Burundi . . . . .	28 Sep 1962		4 Dec 1962
Cameroon . . . . .	28 Sep 1962		24 May 1963
Canada . . . . .	16 Oct 1962		20 Nov 1962
Central African Republic . . . . .	16 Nov 1962	23 Apr 1963	31 Dec 1963
Chile . . . . .	30 Nov 1962	15 Aug 1963	
Colombia . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	15 Nov 1962	
Congo . . . . .			24 May 1963
Costa Rica . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	25 Jul 1963	6 Aug 1963 <u>a</u>
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	24 Oct 1962		23 Oct 1963
Cuba . . . . .	30 Nov 1962	1 Feb 1963	6 May 1963
Cyprus . . . . .			21 Aug 1963
Czechoslovakia . . . . .			2 Nov 1967 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	29 Nov 1962	21 May 1963	2 Nov 1965 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .	28 Sep 1962		27 Dec 1963
Ecuador . . . . .	28 Nov 1962	1 Apr 1963	8 May 1963
El Salvador . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	1 Mar 1963	30 Dec 1963
Ethiopia . . . . .		17 Aug 1963	17 May 1963
Finland . . . . .			2 Dec 1964 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	28 Sep 1962		18 Aug 1964 <u>a</u>
Gabon . . . . .	12 Oct 1962		4 Apr 1963
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	19 Nov 1962	19 Jul 1963	14 Nov 1962
Ghana . . . . .			13 Aug 1963
Guatemala . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	5 Mar 1963	9 Sep 1964 <u>a</u>
Guinea . . . . .			5 Jun 1963
Haiti . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	25 Jul 1963	31 Jan 1968 <u>a</u>
Honduras . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	30 Jul 1963	2 Aug 1965 <u>a</u>
India . . . . .	29 Nov 1962	29 Jul 1963	20 Jan 1967 <u>a</u>
Indonesia . . . . .	21 Nov 1962	8 Feb 1963	19 Nov 1963
Israel . . . . .			31 Dec 1963 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	28 Sep 1962	11 Oct 1967 <u>a</u>
Jamaica . . . . .			18 Feb 1966 <u>a</u>
Japan . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	10 May 1963	3 May 1967 <u>a</u>
Kenya . . . . .			6 Apr 1964 <u>a</u>
Lebanon . . . . .	12 Oct 1962		15 Dec 1966 <u>a</u>
Liberia . . . . .			
Luxembourg <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	20 Nov 1962		22 Jun 1967 <u>a</u>
Madagascar . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	29 Jan 1963	29 Jun 1964 <u>a</u>
Mexico . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	26 Nov 1962	26 Dec 1963
Netherlands . . . . .	30 Nov 1962	17 May 1963	1 Aug 1963
New Zealand . . . . .	29 Nov 1962		30 Dec 1963
Nicaragua . . . . .	29 Oct 1962	26 Jun 1963	23 Dec 1963
			31 Dec 1963

XIX.4: 1962 Coffee Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking under article 64 (2)</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>
Nigeria . . . . .	29 Nov 1962	12 Mar 1963	21 Jun 1963
Norway . . . . .	30 Nov 1962		30 Oct 1963
Panama . . . . .	8 Nov 1962		4 Jun 1963
Paraguay . . . . .			29 Apr 1968 <sup>a</sup>
Peru . . . . .	28 Sep 1962		4 Apr 1963
Portugal . . . . .	29 Nov 1962	8 Apr 1963	31 Dec 1963
Rwanda . . . . .	2 Oct 1962		10 Dec 1962
Sierra Leone . . . . .	30 Nov 1962	7 Feb 1963	27 Nov 1964 <sup>a</sup>
Spain . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	9 Jul 1963	18 Oct 1963
Sweden . . . . .	5 Oct 1962		1 Jul 1963
Switzerland . . . . .	30 Nov 1962	25 Jul 1963	17 Dec 1964 <sup>a</sup>
Togo . . . . .		6 Aug 1963	31 Dec 1963 <sup>a</sup>
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	30 Nov 1962	30 Nov 1962	31 Dec 1963
Tunisia . . . . .			18 Nov 1963 <sup>a</sup>
Uganda . . . . .	21 Nov 1962	19 Dec 1962	16 Apr 1963
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	23 Nov 1962	26 Jul 1963	31 Dec 1963
United Kingdom . . . . .	28 Sep 1962		25 Apr 1963
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	28 Sep 1962		27 Nov 1962
United States of America . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	24 Jun 1963 <sup>4</sup>	27 Dec 1963
Venezuela . . . . .	28 Sep 1962	29 Jan 1963	27 Aug 1964 <sup>a</sup>
Zaire . . . . .	27 Nov 1962	25 Jul 1963	31 Dec 1963

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance or accession.)

CHILE

The Government of Chile, having taken part with the greatest interest in the discussions which took place during the United Nations Coffee Conference, 1962;

Recognizing with satisfaction the efforts made by the United Nations to find a solution to the serious problems created for developing countries by constant fluctuations in the prices of primary commodities, and, in this particular case, its decisive action in sponsoring an international conference so that coffee-producing and coffee-consuming countries might agree on measures for their common good; and

Drawing attention to the fact that although Chile is not a coffee producer and although its characteristics are those of a small consumer, it took part in the International Coffee Conference as a gesture of solidarity with the American producing countries, whose economies are dependent to a high degree on their sales of coffee and on world coffee prices;

Hereby declares that it approves and signs the International Coffee Agreement, 1962, as an indication of its friendship and solidarity with the American coffee-producing countries and as an expression of its desire for a permanent solution to be found, within the framework of the United Nations and of international co-operation, to the difficulties of trade in primary commodities on the world market.

CUBA

The Government of Cuba practises international economic collaboration, based on the equality of rights and on mutual respect between countries, and in particular on the agreements which are aimed at stabilizing the markets for primary commodities.

Pursuing, as it does, such a policy, Cuba has been a member of all the agreements and conventions on coffee which have been concluded in the past, and took an active part in the United Nations Coffee Conference that culminated in the International Coffee Agreement, 1962, which it is now signing.

In view of the fact that in article 47 (3) of the Agreement it is stated that operations of Government import monopolies and official purchasing agencies may to a greater or lesser extent hinder the increase in consumption of coffee, the Government of Cuba considers it necessary to declare that that statement cannot be interpreted as applying to the Cuban foreign trade monopoly, because that monopoly is an efficient instrument of Cuban policy for the development of Cuba's trade with every country, regardless of its economic, social and political system, on a basis of mutual advantage and respect, and for the development of Cuba's national economy, which contributes directly to raising the standard of living and increasing popular consumption, as can be verified in Cuba in the case of coffee and many other primary commodities.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"As to the provision of Article 47, paragraph 3 of the Agreement, the Permanent Representative of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic would appreciate it if the members of the Organization were informed that the above provision of the Agreement cannot be interpreted as applicable to the operations of the monopoly of foreign trade conditions which are an integral part of the economic and legal system of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic."

PANAMA

In view of the fact that the Free Zone of Colon is considered to be outside the customs territory of the Republic, I hereby place on record, in signing the International Coffee Agreement, that coffee passing in transit through the Free Zone of Colon is regarded by the Republic of Panama as coffee in international transit through the said zone and that consequently it cannot be regarded as coffee imported into or re-exported from the Republic, but solely as coffee in transit proceeding from the producing country, to whose export quota it should be charged, and bound for the consuming country, to whose import quota it should be charged.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, desirous of promoting the expansion and strengthening of economic co-operation among countries on the basis of equality and mutual benefit, upholds international measures aimed at stabilizing the markets for raw materials and foodstuffs. Such a policy meets the interests of all countries, especially the economically underdeveloped countries, for the economy of the latter is dependent to a substantial degree on conditions in the markets for raw materials and foodstuffs.

Whereas the International Coffee Agreement is the only international instrument aimed at stabilizing the coffee market and solving other coffee problems, the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, desirous of facilitating the achievement of this aim, has signed the aforesaid Agreement.

In view of the fact that article 47 (3) of the Agreement contains a reference to the effect that operations of Government import monopolies and official purchasing agencies to a greater or lesser extent hinder the increase in consumption of coffee, the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics believes it necessary to state that the above-mentioned reference cannot be interpreted as applicable to the foreign-trade monopoly of the USSR.

Soviet foreign trade is conducted on the basis of state monopoly, which has been fixed in the Constitution of the USSR and which is an organic consequence and an integral part of the socio-economical system of the USSR.

The foreign-trade monopoly is aimed at promoting the economic development of the country. As the history of nearly 45 years of Soviet foreign trade confirms, the USSR foreign-trade monopoly ensures the comprehensive development of trade with all countries, irrespective of their social systems and levels of development. Suffice it to say that the USSR is trading with more than 80 countries and the volume of Soviet foreign trade in 1961 (in comparable prices) almost doubled as compared with 1955 and exceeded the 1938 level almost 10 times. The foreign-trade monopoly, far from hindering, actually promotes the development of foreign trade.

Distorting the nature of the Soviet foreign-trade monopoly and its goals can lead nowhere and is an attempt to misinform the public and business circles with regard to the nature of the economic ties of the USSR.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . . .	23 Nov 1962	Papua and Trust Territory of New Guinea
New Zealand . . . . .	23 Dec 1963	Cook Islands (including Niue) and the Tokelau Islands
United Kingdom . . . . .	10 Jul 1963 14 Feb 1966	Barbados <sup>5</sup> and Kenya Hong Kong

NOTES:

1/ Summary of Proceedings of the United Nations Coffee Conference, 1962 (E/CONF.42/8). United Nations publication, Sales No.: 63.II.D.1.

2/ In communications received on 27 July and 28 September 1964, respectively, the Governments of Luxembourg and Belgium have notified the

Secretary-General that the accession by Belgium to this Agreement equally binds Luxembourg by virtue of article 5 of the Convention between Belgium and the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg for the Establishment of an Economic Union between the two countries, signed at Brussels on 25 July 1921.

3/ A note accompanying the instrument of ratification contains a statement that "the

Agreement shall also apply to Land Berlin from the date of its entry into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America, the Federal Republic of Germany, Poland, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. Those communications are identical, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding ones referred to in note 2 in chapter III.3.

4/ With the following declaration:

" . . . The United States undertakes to seek ratification of the International Coffee Agreement as rapidly as possible. This notification is given in accordance with article 64(2) of the Agreement.

"The Secretary of State wishes to note that the United States Senate has already given its advice and consent to ratification of the Agreement. However, under the Constitution of the United States, it will be necessary to secure domestic legislation in order to enable

the United States to carry out certain of the obligations under the Agreement. In particular, it will be necessary for the United States Government to receive specific authorization from the Congress to require certificates of origin on all coffee imported into the United States, and to prohibit or limit imports of coffee from non-member countries. The necessary legislation has been introduced into both the Senate and the House of Representatives of the United States, and it is anticipated that the authority will be available at or shortly after the commencement of the coming coffee year. Until the implementing legislation has been enacted, the United States does not assume any of the obligations for which such legislation is necessary."

5/ In a communication received on 25 May 1967, the Government of Barbados informed the Secretary-General, with reference to paragraph 4 of article 67 of the International Coffee Agreement, that "Barbados does not wish to assume the rights and obligations of Contracting Party nor to continue to participate in the International Coffee Agreement".

## 5. INTERNATIONAL COFFEE AGREEMENT, 1968

Open for signature at New York from 18 to 31 March 1968

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 1 October 1968 in accordance with paragraph (2) of article 62, and definitively on 30 December 1968 in accordance with paragraph (1) of article 62.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 October 1968, No. 9262.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 647, p. 3.  
 TERMINATION: See 5.(d).

Note: The Agreement was approved by the International Coffee Council in resolution number 164, adopted on 19 February 1968 at the twenty-third plenary meeting of its eleventh session (3rd part), held at London from 15 to 19 February 1968. In that resolution, referring to the fact that the International Coffee Agreement, 1962, was due to expire on 30 September 1968, and that under the provisions of paragraph (2) of article 7 it had been renegotiated to continue on the basis of an agreed text, the Council resolved, inter alia, "to approve for submission to the Contracting Parties for signature, the proposed International Coffee Agreement, 1968, as contained on documents ICC-11-26, Rev.1 and ICC-11-26, Rev.1, Add.1 and as amended and corrected by document ICC-11-32, the definitive text of which shall be authenticated by the Executive Director in consultation with a Drafting Group composed of Brazil, Colombia, OAMCAF and the United States."

The Executive Director of the International Coffee Organization transmitted to the Secretary-General, on 6 March 1968, the authenticated text of the Agreement in the English, French, Portuguese and Spanish languages, requesting him to establish the authentic text in the Russian language. The Agreement, in all five authentic languages, was opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, on 18 March 1968.

On 19 December 1968, the International Coffee Council adopted resolution N° 199 on the entry into force of the Agreement by which, inter alia, the Council resolved that importing members applying the Agreement provisionally by virtue of notification under Article 62 (2) "shall continue to be deemed provisional members from 1 January 1969 until such time as they deposit their instruments of accession, or until 31 March 1969, whichever is earlier, in keeping with the provisions of article 63, paragraph (1) of the Agreement.

Subsequently, the Council decided as indicated hereinafter to extend the provisional application of the Agreement by the following participants:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Decision</u>
28 March 1969	204	Belgium, Italy, Japan and Spain (from 1 April 1969 until such time as they deposit their instrument of accession or until 31 August 1969, whichever is earlier).
25 August 1969	211	Belgium and Italy (from 1 September 1969 until such time as they deposit their instrument of accession or 31 August 1970, whichever is earlier).
31 August 1970	232	Italy (From 1 September 1970 until such time as it deposit its instrument of accession, or 31 August 1971, whichever is earlier).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking under article 62 (2)</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	18 Mar 1968		
Australia . . . . .			26 Sep 1968
Austria <sup>1</sup> . . . . .			1 Oct 1969 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .		26 Sep 1968	31 Dec 1969 <u>a</u>
Benin . . . . .			12 Sep 1968 <u>AA</u>
Bolivia . . . . .	18 Mar 1968	27 Sep 1968	30 Dec 1968
Brazil . . . . .	28 Mar 1968	24 Sep 1968	11 Oct 1968
Burundi . . . . .	30 Mar 1968		17 Sep 1968
*Cameroon . . . . .	29 Mar 1968	30 Sep 1968	9 Oct 1968
Canada . . . . .	29 Mar 1968		21 Aug 1968
*Central African Republic . . . . .	20 Mar 1968	30 Sep 1968	20 Dec 1968
Colombia . . . . .	18 Mar 1968		26 Sep 1968
*Congo . . . . .	28 Mar 1968	23 Sep 1968	20 Dec 1968

XIX.5: 1968 Coffee Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking under article 62 (2)</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .	30 Mar 1968	27 Sep 1968	30 Dec 1968
*Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	26 Mar 1968		27 Sep 1968
Cyprus . . . . .	28 Mar 1968		26 Sep 1968
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	29 Mar 1968		4 Sep 1968 AA
Denmark . . . . .	29 Mar 1968	29 Mar 1968	27 Sep 1968
Dominican Republic . . . . .	26 Mar 1968		30 Sep 1968
Ecuador . . . . .	28 Mar 1968	11 Sep 1968	16 Dec 1968
El Salvador . . . . .	28 Mar 1968	27 Sep 1968	16 Dec 1968
Ethiopia . . . . .	28 Mar 1968		24 Sep 1968
Finland . . . . .	29 Mar 1968	30 Sep 1968	30 Dec 1968
France . . . . .	28 Mar 1968		19 Aug 1968 AA
*Gabon . . . . .	18 Mar 1968		30 Sep 1968
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	28 Mar 1968		11 Sep 1968
Ghana . . . . .		30 Sep 1968	23 Dec 1968
Guatemala . . . . .	28 Mar 1968	27 Sep 1968	30 Sep 1968
Guinea . . . . .	28 Mar 1968	30 Sep 1968	30 Sep 1968
Haiti . . . . .	18 Mar 1968		25 Sep 1968
Honduras . . . . .	18 Mar 1968	27 Sep 1968	16 Dec 1968
India . . . . .	30 Mar 1968	27 Sep 1968	31 Dec 1968
Indonesia . . . . .	28 Mar 1968		26 Sep 1968 a
Israel . . . . .	31 Mar 1968		26 Sep 1968
Italy . . . . .	28 Mar 1968	22 Aug 1968	21 Mar 1973
Jamaica . . . . .	28 Mar 1968		17 Sep 1968
Japan . . . . .	26 Mar 1968	6 Sep 1968	28 May 1969 a
Kenya . . . . .	22 Mar 1968	6 Sep 1968	10 Dec 1968
Liberia . . . . .			18 Jun 1968
Luxembourg . . . . .		26 Sep 1968	31 Dec 1969 a
*Madagascar . . . . .	25 Mar 1968		8 Aug 1968
Mexico . . . . .	20 Mar 1968	21 Aug 1968	13 Dec 1968
Netherlands <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	28 Mar 1968	16 Sep 1968	30 Dec 1968
New Zealand . . . . .	27 Mar 1968		7 Aug 1968
Nicaragua . . . . .	29 Mar 1968		30 Sep 1968
Nigeria . . . . .	18 Mar 1968		18 Jun 1968
Norway . . . . .	29 Mar 1968	26 Sep 1968	23 Dec 1968
Panama <sup>4</sup> . . . . .			21 Dec 1968 a
Paraguay . . . . .		13 Sep 1968	27 Dec 1968
Peru . . . . .	30 Mar 1968	30 Sep 1968	25 Oct 1968
Portugal . . . . .	18 Mar 1968	23 Aug 1968	30 Oct 1968
Rwanda . . . . .	21 Mar 1968	30 Sep 1968	31 Dec 1968
Sierra Leone . . . . .		17 Sep 1968	11 Dec 1968
Spain . . . . .		15 Aug 1968	28 Apr 1969 a
Sweden . . . . .	29 Mar 1968		30 Sep 1968
Switzerland . . . . .	29 Mar 1968		30 Sep 1968
*Togo . . . . .	27 Mar 1968	30 Sep 1968	29 Nov 1968
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	29 Mar 1968		10 Jul 1968
Tunisia . . . . .	29 Mar 1968		
Uganda . . . . .	28 Mar 1968	30 Sep 1968	14 Oct 1968
United Kingdom . . . . .	29 Mar 1968		27 Sep 1968
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	28 Mar 1968	30 Sep 1968	1 Oct 1968
United States of America . . . . .	21 Mar 1968	30 Sep 1968	1 Nov 1968
Venezuela . . . . .	28 Mar 1968	30 Sep 1968	18 Dec 1968
Zaire . . . . .		30 Sep 1968	12 Dec 1968

<sup>2</sup>\*States which have informed the Secretary-General, in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 5 of the Agreement that they are joining the International Coffee Organization, as members of the group of African and Malagasy Coffee Organization (OAMCAF).



Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.)

BELGIUM, FINLAND, GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF,  
 JAPAN<sup>5</sup>, NETHERLANDS, NORWAY, SWEDEN,  
 SWITZERLAND, UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT  
 BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

[Same declaration, in essence, as the one  
 under Denmark]

DENMARK

"At the 11th Meeting of the Council of the International Coffee Organization, the Group of Importing countries jointly expressed the view that Member Countries take the greatest possible care not to interfere with freedom of choice in the carriage of coffee while respecting their obligations under the International Coffee Agreement.

"The declared shipping policy of the Danish Government is based on the principle of free circulation of shipping in international trade in free and fair competition. In accordance with this principle international transport of coffee should not be made more costly and hampered by discriminatory shipping provisions giving preferences to national shipping. Rather the aim should be that normal commercial considerations should alone determine the method and flag of shipment.

"The Government of Denmark trusts that the principle of freedom of choice in shipping will be supported and adhered to by countries that are [Parties] to the International Coffee Agreement."

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . . .	26 Sep 1968	Papua and the Trust Territory of New Guinea
New Zealand . . . . .	7 Aug 1968	Cook Islands, Niue Island and the Tokelau Islands
Spain . . . . .	15 Aug 1968	The territories for whose international relations the Spanish Government is responsible
United Kingdom. . . . .	27 Sep 1968	Hong Kong

5. (a) EXTENSION WITH MODIFICATIONS OF THE INTERNATIONAL COFFEE AGREEMENT, 1968

Approved by the International Coffee Council in resolution No. 264 of 14 April 1973

EFFECTIVE DATE: 1 October 1973.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 October 1973, No. 9262.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 893, p. 350.

Note: See under 5.(b) for the list of States which, by becoming parties to the Agreement as extended with modifications by the International Coffee Council in its resolution No. 264 of 14 April 1973, accepted the decision to extend the Agreement that was contained in the said resolution.

5. (b) INTERNATIONAL COFFEE AGREEMENT, 1968

Open for signature at New York from 18 to 31 March 1968, as extended with modifications by the International Coffee Council in resolution No. 264 of 14 April 1973<sup>b</sup>

EFFECTIVE DATE: 1 October 1973, in accordance with the provisions of resolution No. 264 of the International Coffee Council.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 October 1973, No. 9262 (Registration of the extension: see 5.(a))  
 TEXT: Document of the International Coffee Organization.

Note: As contemplated in article 69 (2), the extension until 30 September 1975 with modifications of the International Coffee Agreement, 1968, which was to expire on 30 September 1973, was decided at the twenty-second session of the International Coffee Council (12-14 April 1973) in resolution No. 264 approved on 14 April 1973.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures<sup>b</sup></u>	<u>Definitive acceptance or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures<sup>b</sup></u>	<u>Definitive acceptance or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures, accession (a)</u>
Australia . . . . .		28 Sep 1973	Guatemala . . . . .		20 Sep 1973
Belgium . . . . .	28 Sep 1973	25 Mar 1974	Guinea . . . . .		6 Aug 1973
Benin . . . . .		30 Sep 1973	Haiti . . . . .		30 Sep 1973
Bolivia . . . . .	27 Sep 1973	9 May 1974	Honduras . . . . .		30 Sep 1973
Brazil . . . . .		21 Sep 1973	India . . . . .		28 Sep 1973
Burundi . . . . .		30 Sep 1973	Indonesia . . . . .		25 Sep 1973
Cameroon . . . . .		28 Sep 1973	Ireland . . . . .		8 Jul 1975 <sup>a</sup>
Canada . . . . .		28 Sep 1973	Jamaica . . . . .		30 Sep 1973
Central African Republic . . . . .		26 Jul 1973	Japan . . . . .	28 Sep 1973	26 Sep 1974
Colombia . . . . .		4 Sep 1973	Kenya . . . . .		15 Aug 1973
Congo . . . . .		30 Sep 1973	Liberia . . . . .		30 Sep 1973
Costa Rica . . . . .		28 Sep 1973 <sup>7</sup>	Luxembourg . . . . .	28 Sep 1973	25 Mar 1974
*Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		26 Sep 1973	*Madagascar . . . . .		27 Sep 1973
Cyprus . . . . .		30 Sep 1973	Mexico . . . . .	28 Sep 1973	28 Mar 1974
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		26 Sep 1973	Netherlands . . . . .	28 Sep 1973	5 Jun 1975
Denmark . . . . .		9 Aug 1973	New Zealand . . . . .		30 Sep 1973
Dominican Republic . . . . .		28 Sep 1973	Nicaragua . . . . .		25 Sep 1973
Ecuador . . . . .		13 Sep 1973	Nigeria . . . . .		28 May 1974 <sup>a</sup>
El Salvador . . . . .	27 Sep 1973	2 Sep 1974	Norway . . . . .		28 Sep 1973
Ethiopia . . . . .		28 Sep 1973	Panama . . . . .	30 Sep 1973	21 Jan 1974
Finland . . . . .	28 Sep 1973	28 Mar 1974	Paraguay . . . . .		30 Sep 1973
France . . . . .		30 Jul 1973	Peru . . . . .	27 Sep 1973	19 Feb 1975
*Gabon . . . . .	28 Sep 1973	5 Aug 1974	Portugal . . . . .	27 Sep 1973	28 Mar 1974
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>8</sup> . . . . .	28 Sep 1973	15 May 1974	Rwanda . . . . .	22 Sep 1973	13 Sep 1974
Ghana . . . . .		28 Sep 1973	Sierra Leone . . . . .		30 Sep 1973
			Spain . . . . .		28 Sep 1973
			Sweden . . . . .		17 Sep 1973
			Switzerland . . . . .		28 Sep 1973

XIX.5: 1968 Coffee Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures<sup>6</sup></u>	<u>Definitive acceptance or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures<sup>6</sup></u>	<u>Definitive acceptance or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures, accession (a)</u>
*Togo . . . . .		28 Sep 1973	United States of America . . . . .	28 Sep 1973	30 Nov 1973
Trinidad and Tobago <sup>9</sup> . . . . .		1 Feb 1974 <u>a</u>	Venezuela . . . . .	28 Sep 1973	
Uganda . . . . .		13 Sep 1973	Yugoslavia . . . . .		31 Mar 1975 <u>a</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .		28 Sep 1973	Zaire . . . . .		29 Sep 1973
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	28 Sep 1973	4 Jun 1974			

<sup>6</sup>States which have informed the Secretary-General, in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 5 of the Agreement that they are joining the International Coffee Organization, as members of the group of African and Malagasy Organization (OAMCAF)

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . . .	28 Sep 1973	Papua New Guinea <sup>10</sup>
United Kingdom . . . . .	28 Sep 1973	Hong Kong

XIX.5: 1968 Coffee Agreement

5. (c) PROTOCOL FOR THE CONTINUATION IN FORCE OF THE INTERNATIONAL COFFEE AGREEMENT, 1968, AS EXTENDED

Concluded at London on 26 September 1974

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 October 1975, in accordance with article 5, paragraph 1.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 October 1975, No. 9262.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 982, p. 332.

Note: The text of the Protocol was established by the International Coffee Council during its twenty-fifth session, held at London from 16 to 27 September 1974. It was approved by resolution No. 273 of 26 September 1974 of the Council and was open for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, in New York, from 1 November 1974 until 31 March 1975.

In accordance with article 5(2) of the Protocol, the International Coffee Council, in the course of its twenty-eighth session held at London from 3 to 21 November 1975, decided by Resolution No. 284 approved on 12 November 1975, to extend until 31 March 1976 the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of approval, ratification or acceptance by the Members applying the Protocol provisionally.

At its meeting of 4 March 1976, the Executive Board of the International Coffee Organization, exercising the powers delegated to it by the International Coffee Council, decided further to extend until 30 September 1976 the said time-limit.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application (article 5, paragraph 2)</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA), notification under Article 65, paragraph 4 (n)</u>
Angola . . . . .			30 Sep 1976 <u>a</u>
Australia <sup>11</sup> . . . . .			26 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Belgium . . . . .	26 Mar 1975	30 Sep 1975	
Benin . . . . .			31 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Bolivia . . . . .	17 Mar 1975		1 Apr 1975
Brazil . . . . .	6 Jan 1975		6 Aug 1975
Burundi . . . . .	31 Mar 1975		28 Nov 1975 <u>a</u> <sup>12</sup>
Cameroon . . . . .			27 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Canada . . . . .			27 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .			31 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Colombia . . . . .	3 Mar 1975	8 Aug 1975	1 Dec 1975
Congo . . . . .			31 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .	19 Nov 1974	29 Sep 1975	3 Feb 1976
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .			17 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Cyprus . . . . .			17 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .			28 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Denmark . . . . .	18 Dec 1974		18 Dec 1974 <u>A</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .			20 Nov 1975 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	28 Jan 1975		11 Feb 1975
El Salvador . . . . .	26 Mar 1975	22 Sep 1975	30 Mar 1976
Ethiopia . . . . .			28 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Finland . . . . .	24 Feb 1975	29 Sep 1975	2 Feb 1976
France . . . . .	18 Mar 1975		9 May 1975 <u>AA</u>
Gabon . . . . .			27 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>13</sup> . . . . .		27 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>	
Ghana . . . . .			24 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Guatemala . . . . .	7 Feb 1975	18 Aug 1975	27 May 1976
Guinea . . . . .			21 Feb 1975 <u>s</u>
Haiti . . . . .	27 Mar 1975	24 Sep 1975	29 Dec 1975
Honduras . . . . .			27 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
India . . . . .			26 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Indonesia . . . . .			28 Jan 1975 <u>s</u>
Ireland . . . . .			3 Nov 1975 <u>a</u>
Jamaica . . . . .	19 Mar 1975		30 Sep 1975
Japan . . . . .			10 Oct 1975 <u>a</u>
Kenya . . . . .			26 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Liberia . . . . .			12 Dec 1975 <u>a</u>
Luxembourg . . . . .	26 Mar 1975	30 Sep 1975	
Madagascar . . . . .			26 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>

XIX.5: 1968 Coffee Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application (article 5, paragraph 2)</u>	<u>Definitive signature(s), ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA), notification under Article 65, paragraph 4 (n)</u>
Mexico . . . . .	22 Jan 1975	30 Sep 1975	22 Apr 1976
Netherlands <sup>14</sup> . . . . .	27 Mar 1975		26 Aug 1975 <u>a</u>
New Zealand . . . . .			27 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Nicaragua . . . . .	14 Feb 1975		2 Jul 1975
Nigeria . . . . .			27 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Norway . . . . .			25 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Panama . . . . .	31 Mar 1975	17 Sep 1975	19 Nov 1975
Papua New Guinea . . . . .			15 Oct 1975 <u>n</u>
Paraguay . . . . .	19 Mar 1975	19 Sep 1975	
Peru . . . . .	27 Mar 1975	10 Sep 1975	11 Nov 1975 <u>a</u>
Portugal <sup>15</sup> . . . . .	27 Mar 1975		30 Sep 1975
Rwanda . . . . .	22 Jan 1975		17 Jun 1975
Sierra Leone . . . . .			31 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Spain . . . . .			27 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Sweden . . . . .			27 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Switzerland . . . . .			24 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Togo . . . . .			27 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	19 Feb 1975		2 Apr 1975
Uganda . . . . .	11 Mar 1975		11 Mar 1975 <u>a</u>
United Kingdom <sup>16</sup> . . . . .			14 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .			28 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
United States of America . . . . .	15 Jan 1975	30 Sep 1975	7 Jan 1976 <u>a</u>
Venezuela . . . . .			31 Mar 1975 <u>s</u>
Yugoslavia . . . . .	31 Mar 1975		24 Sep 1975
Zaire . . . . .			13 Aug 1975 <u>a</u>

5. (d) INTERNATIONAL COFFEE AGREEMENT, 1968

Open for signature at New York from 18 to 31 March 1968, as extended  
by the Protocol of 26 September 1974

EFFECTIVE DATE: 1 October 1975, in accordance with article 5, paragraph 1, of the Protocol.  
REGISTRATION: 1 October 1975, No. 9262 (registration of the Protocol of 26 September 1974).

Note: See under 5.(c) for the list of States which, by becoming parties to the Protocol of 26 September 1974, became parties to the International Coffee Agreement, 1968, as extended by the said Protocol of 26 September 1974.

NOTES:

1/ The conditions for accession of Austria were established by the International Coffee Council in resolution No. 713 of 27 August 1969.

2/ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Agreement "shall also apply to Land Berlin as from the date on which the Agreement enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, Poland and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, on the one hand, and by the Governments of the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, to those referred to in note 2 in chapter III.3.

3/ The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Convention is ratified for the Kingdom in Europe.

4/ The conditions for accession of Panama were established by the International Coffee Council in resolution No. 192 of 16 December 1968, pursuant to article 63 of the Agreement.

5/ Declaration received by the Secretary-General on 17 June 1969.

6/ Pending the fulfilment of the appropriate constitutional procedures, the completion of which, in accordance with paragraph 3 of resolution No. 264, should be confirmed to the Secretary-General by 31 March 1974 or on such later date as the Council may decide, an acceptance subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures is regarded as equal in effect to a definitive acceptance.

In this connexion, the Executive Board of the Organization, exercising the powers of the Council, then the Council itself and lastly the Executive Board, decided, on 20 March 1974, 27 September 1974 and 18 March 1975, respectively, to extend the time-limit for confirmation to 30 September 1974, 31 March 1975 and 30 September 1975, successively.

7/ Definitive acceptance by Costa Rica was confirmed in subsequent notification received on 2 April 1974.

8/ In a notification received on 26 August 1974 the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it has entered into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

9/ Accession as an exporting member. In accordance with paragraph 3 of resolution 269 of the International Coffee Council adopted on 2 February 1974, Trinidad and Tobago is considered a member of the International Coffee Organization as of 1 October 1973.

10/ With a declaration to the effect that the Government of Australia and the Government of Papua New Guinea shall together constitute a joint exporting member of the International Coffee Organization.

On 23 June 1975, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Australia the following declaration made in accordance with article 4 of the International Coffee Agreement 1968, as extended with modifications until 30 September 1975:

"Australia shall participate in the International Coffee Organisation separately with respect to its dependent territory of Papua New Guinea and the Government of Papua New Guinea shall have separate membership of the said Organisation in accordance with article 4 of the said Agreement.

11/ With a declaration that the Protocol shall apply to Papua New Guinea in accordance with article 65 (1) of the Agreement and article 7 of the Protocol, and that the Government of Australia and the Government of Papua New Guinea shall together continue to constitute a joint exporting member of the International Coffee Organization.

Subsequently, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Australia, on 23 June 1975, a notification under article 4 of the Agreement to the effect that Papua New Guinea would have separate membership in the International Coffee Organization.

12/ The instrument of ratification by Burundi could not be deposited within the time-limit set

forth under the Protocol, and was consequently treated as an instrument of accession.

13/ With a declaration that the Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) from the date to which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany. In this respect the Secretary-General received on 14 August 1975, the following communication from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics:

The Soviet side will take note of the statement by the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension to West Berlin of the Protocol of 26 September 1974 relating to the continuation in force of the International Coffee Agreement of 1968 only on the understanding that such extension shall be in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and that the established procedures shall be observed.

14/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

15/ In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 10 October 1975, the Government of Portugal indicated that pursuant to article 65, paragraph 2, and article 4 of the International Coffee Agreement, 1968, as extended, Portugal would henceforth participate in the International Coffee Organization separately from the Territories of Angola and Timor, both of which individually would thus have separate membership in the Organization, and that pursuant to article 65, paragraph 3, the aforementioned Agreement would cease to extend to the Territory of Macao.

16/ In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 14 March 1975, the Government of the United Kingdom declared that the Protocol would also apply to Hong Kong.

XIX.6: 1968 Sugar Agreement

6. INTERNATIONAL SUGAR AGREEMENT, 1968

Open for signature at New York from 3 to 24 December 1968

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 1 January 1969, in accordance with paragraph (2) of article 63, and definitively on 17 June 1969 in accordance with paragraph (1) of article 63.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 January 1969, No. 9369.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 654, p. 3.  
 TERMINATION: 31 December 1973, in accordance with paragraph (1) of article 70.

Note: The text of the Agreement was established by the United Nations Sugar Conference, 1968,<sup>1</sup> which met at Geneva from 17 April to 1 June 1968 and from 23 September to 24 October 1968. It was adopted by the Conference at its final plenary meeting held on 24 October 1968.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Notification under (article 61(2))<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)<sup>3</sup></u>
Argentina . . . . .	24 Dec 1968	31 Dec 1968	18 Dec 1969
Australia . . . . .	17 Dec 1968	20 Dec 1968	23 May 1969
Barbados . . . . .	20 Dec 1968	24 Dec 1968	18 Apr 1969
Bolivia . . . . .			18 Mar 1969 a
Brazil . . . . .	18 Dec 1968	18 Dec 1968	13 May 1969
Cameroon . . . . .			22 Jun 1970 a
Canada . . . . .	19 Dec 1968		23 Dec 1968
Chile . . . . .			22 Feb 1973 a
China <sup>4</sup>			
Colombia . . . . .	3 Dec 1968	31 Dec 1968	31 Dec 1969
Congo . . . . .			15 Dec 1969 a
Cuba . . . . .	18 Dec 1968	18 Dec 1968	22 May 1969
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	23 Dec 1968	31 Dec 1968	7 Mar 1969 AA
Denmark . . . . .	23 Dec 1968	23 Dec 1968	13 Apr 1970
Dominican Republic . . . . .	18 Dec 1968	30 Dec 1968	13 Nov 1969
Fiji . . . . .			17 Oct 1970 <sup>5</sup>
Finland . . . . .		9 Jun 1969	6 Mar 1970 a
Ghana . . . . .		2 May 1969	17 Sep 1969 a
Guatemala . . . . .	18 Dec 1968	20 Dec 1968	31 Dec 1969
Guyana . . . . .	23 Dec 1968	24 Dec 1968	7 Mar 1969
Honduras . . . . .	16 Dec 1968	17 Feb 1969	23 Dec 1969
Hungary . . . . .	23 Dec 1968	30 Dec 1968 <sup>2</sup>	9 Jul 1969
India . . . . .			4 Feb 1969 a
Indonesia . . . . .	24 Dec 1968	30 Dec 1968	18 Jun 1969 A
Ireland . . . . .			11 Sep 1969 a
Jamaica . . . . .	3 Dec 1968		27 Dec 1968
Japan . . . . .	23 Dec 1968	23 Dec 1968	17 Jun 1969 A
Kenya . . . . .	18 Dec 1968		30 Dec 1968
Lebanon . . . . .			1 Mar 1972 a
Madagascar . . . . .	23 Dec 1968	31 Dec 1968	4 Aug 1969
Malawi . . . . .			9 Jul 1969 a
Malaysia . . . . .			29 Dec 1972 a
Mauritius . . . . .	11 Dec 1968		23 Dec 1968 A
Mexico . . . . .	20 Dec 1968	27 Dec 1968	29 Dec 1969
New Zealand . . . . .	23 Dec 1968		23 Dec 1968
Nicaragua . . . . .	23 Dec 1968	30 Dec 1968	
Nigeria . . . . .			13 Feb 1970 a
Peru . . . . .	24 Dec 1968	31 Dec 1968	10 Dec 1969
Philippines . . . . .		29 Jan 1969	22 Mar 1971 a
Poland . . . . .	23 Dec 1968	23 Dec 1968	31 Dec 1969
Portugal <sup>6</sup> . . . . .	20 Dec 1968	31 Dec 1968	31 Dec 1970
Republic of Korea . . . . .		31 Aug 1972 <sup>2</sup>	20 Dec 1972 a
Singapore . . . . .			1 Aug 1972 a
South Africa . . . . .	12 Dec 1968		24 Dec 1968
Swaziland . . . . .	23 Dec 1968	23 Dec 1968	18 Feb 1969
Sweden . . . . .	20 Dec 1968	20 Dec 1968 <sup>2</sup>	23 Jul 1969
Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .			7 May 1970 a
Thailand . . . . .			29 Dec 1969 a
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	23 Dec 1968		23 Dec 1968



<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Notification under (article 61(2))<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)<sup>3</sup></u>
Uganda . . . . .			30 Jun 1969 <u>a</u>
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	23 Dec 1968		30 Dec 1968 <u>AA</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	20 Dec 1968	20 Dec 1968	12 Mar 1969
Venezuela . . . . .	3 Dec 1968	27 Dec 1968 <sup>2</sup>	

Declarations and Reservations<sup>7</sup>

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.)

CHILE

The accession of the Republic of Chile to the Agreement is not to be deemed to imply any waiver of the right to continue the programme for increasing sugarbeet cultivation called for by Chilean agricultural and sugar-production policy, since the expansion of sugarbeet cultivation is intended not only to increase sugar production but also to promote greater yields in other types of farming which involve crop rotation.

CUBA<sup>8</sup>

The signing on behalf of the Republic of Cuba of the present International Sugar Agreement, 1968, which in article 40 and in annex B contains a reference to China (Taiwan), does not in any sense signify that the Cuban Government recognizes the authority of the Government of Chiang Kai-shek over the territory of Taiwan or that it recognizes the so-called 'Nationalist Government of China' as the legal or competent Government of China.

HUNGARY<sup>8</sup>

"1. The Hungarian People's Republic deems it necessary to point to the discriminatory nature of articles 59 and 64 of the Agreement. The provisions of these articles deprive several States of the opportunity to sign the Agreement or to accede to it. The Agreement settles questions which touch upon the interests of all States and therefore--in conformity with the sovereign equality of States--no State must be prevented from becoming a party to it.

"2. The provision of article 66 extending the Agreement to the territories for whose international relations any one of the Contracting Parties is responsible is out of date and contrary to the United Nations General Assembly resolution of 14 December 1960 on the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples.

"3. In many places the Agreement distinguishes between mainland China and Taiwan. In this connection the Hungarian People's Republic declares that the Chiang Kai-shek régime cannot act as representative of China. There exists only one Chinese State in the world--the People's Republic of China.

"4. The Hungarian People's Republic calls attention to the fact that in article 36 of the Agreement the German Democratic Republic, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and the Democratic Republic of Vietnam are designated by improper names.

"5. The Hungarian People's Republic declares that the reference made to the so-called Republic of Vietnam in Annex B to the Agreement is unwarranted, because representatives of the Saigon régime cannot act in the name of Vietnam."

INDIA<sup>8</sup>

Declaration:

"Since the Government of India do not recognize the Nationalist Chinese authorities as the competent Government of China, they cannot regard signature of the Agreement by a Nationalist Chinese representative as a valid signature on behalf of China."

Reservations:

"Without prejudice to the general obligations under the present Agreement, the Government of India undertakes to discharge its obligations under article 50 relating to support measures, article 52 relating to maximum stocks, article 53 relating to minimum stocks, and article 55 relating to customs duties, internal taxes and fiscal charges and quantitative or other controls, only to the extent consistent with its policy in the fields of controls, taxation and pricing which it is pursuing in the process of developing its economy on a planned basis."

PERU<sup>9</sup>

POLAND<sup>8</sup>

"The signing of the International Sugar Agreement in the provisions of which China (Taiwan) is mentioned may under no circumstances be regarded as a recognition by the Government of the Polish People's Republic of the authority of the Kuomintang over the territory of Taiwan of the so-called 'Chinese nationalist government'.

"The Government of the Polish People's Republic considers that the provisions of articles 13, 59 and 64 of the International Sugar Agreement, the effect of which is to prevent sovereign States from becoming parties to the Agreement or from

taking part as observers in the work of the International Sugar Organization, are of a discriminatory nature. The Agreement, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, should be open for the participation of all States without any discrimination or restrictions whatsoever."

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS<sup>8</sup>

Reservation:

It is understood that, in view of the socio-economic system prevailing in the USSR, the provisions of the articles of the Agreement relating to the limitations of production, maximum and minimum stocks of sugar and the subsidization of production and exports do not apply to the USSR.

Declarations:

(a) In the event that the European Economic Community accedes to the Agreement, the participation of the USSR in the Agreement shall not be deemed to imply recognition by it of the European Economic Community and shall not give rise to any obligations on the part of the USSR in respect of the Community.

(b) The provisions of articles 4 and 66 of the Agreement, which provide that Contracting Parties may extend the Agreement to territories for whose international relations they are responsible, are outmoded and at variance with the United Nations General Assembly's Declaration on the Granting of

Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960).

(c) The provisions of the Agreement restricting the opportunity for certain States to participate in it are contrary to the generally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States.

(d) In connexion with the reference in the Agreement to China (mainland) and China (Taiwan), the Soviet Union deems it necessary to state that the Chiang Kai-shek clique does not represent anyone and is not entitled to speak on behalf of China. There is only one Chinese State in the world, namely, the People's Republic of China.

(e) In article 36 of the Agreement, the names of the German Democratic Republic, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and the Democratic Republic of Viet-Nam are distorted.

(f) The reference in Annex B of the Agreement to the so-called "Republic of Viet-Nam" is illegal, since the Saigon authorities cannot in any sense speak on behalf of Viet-Nam.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND<sup>8</sup>

"Since the Government of the United Kingdom do not recognize the Nationalist Chinese Authorities as the competent Government of China, they cannot regard the signature of the Agreement by a Nationalist Chinese Representative as a valid signature on behalf of China."

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . . .	20 Dec 1968	The Territory of Papua and the Trust Territory of New Guinea
United Kingdom <sup>10</sup> . . . . .	20 Dec 1968	Antigua, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, British Virgin Islands, Fiji <sup>5</sup> , Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony, Gibraltar, Montserrat, Seychelles, St. Helena
	16 Jan 1969	St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla
	27 Jan 1969	Bahama Islands, Turks and Caicos Islands
	12 Mar 1969	Bermuda and Tonga
	9 Apr 1969	[Brunei] <sup>11</sup> and Dominica

Withdrawal

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>
Thailand . . . . .	30 Jul 1971

NOTES:

1/ United Nations Sugar Conference, 1968, Summary of Proceedings, TD/SUGAR.7/12 (United Nations publication, Sales number: E.69.II.D.6).

2/ With the exception of the notifications by the Governments of Hungary, Sweden and Venezuela, the notifications also indicated that the Governments concerned would apply the agreement provisionally pursuant to paragraph 1 of article 62.

The Governments of Hungary and Sweden notified the Secretary-General of the provisional application of the Agreement on 15 and 14 January 1969, respectively.

3/ At its second session, held in London from 28-30 May 1969, the International Sugar Council took, inter alia, the decision to extend to 31 December 1969 the time-limit for the deposit of the appropriate instruments. The Council similarly agreed to extend to that date the time-limit for the deposit of the instruments

of accession by Governments for whom it has established conditions of accession under article 64 at its first and second sessions."

The conditions of accession to the International Sugar Agreement, 1968, were established by the International Sugar Council as follows: at its first session, in resolutions numbers 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, respectively, all approved on 31 January 1969, for the Governments of India, Bolivia, the Philippines, the Congo and Ghana; and at its second session, in resolutions numbers 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13, respectively, all approved on 30 May 1969, for the Governments of Sierra Leone, Malawi, Ireland, Uganda and Finland.

Subsequently, in November 1969, the conditions of accession to the Agreement were established by the Executive Committee, acting on behalf of the International Sugar Council, for the Governments of Nigeria, the Republic of Korea, the Syrian Arab Republic and Thailand, and, in February 1970, for the Government of Cameroon.

At its third session, the Council agreed to extend to 9 March 1970 the time-limit for deposit of the instrument of accession by Finland. It also agreed that other Members having difficulties in securing a deposit of their instruments, should approach the Executive Committee before 31 December 1969. Pursuant to this decision, the Executive Committee agreed to the extension of time-limit to 1 July 1970 for Denmark, the Philippines and Portugal, and to a further extension to 1 July 1971 for the Philippines and Portugal.

4/ Signature, notification and ratification on 16 December 1968 and 8 September 1969 respectively on behalf of the Republic of China. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

5/ In a communication dated on 10 October 1970, which was received by the Secretary-General on 17 October 1970, the Government of Fiji notified him as follows: ". . . Fiji attained independence on 10th October, 1970 and the Government of Fiji declares pursuant to paragraph 2 of article 66 of the International Sugar Agreement that as from the date of this notification it has assumed the rights and obligations of a Contracting Party to the Agreement."

6/ The instrument of ratification deposited with the Secretary-General was issued in the name of the Portuguese Republic. In reply to inquiries made by the Secretary-General and the Executive Director of the International Sugar Organization, the Government of Portugal had declared inter alia that in terms of article 1 of the Portuguese Constitution, Portugal is a unitary republic comprising the territories listed therein--and these cover the Portuguese Overseas Provinces--that the signature of the Agreement by Portugal under article 59, its notification under article 61 (1) and its indication under article 62 (1) all extend to Portugal's entire national territory, including the Overseas Provinces.

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 7 June 1971, the Permanent Representative of Nigeria to the United Nations, refer-

ring to the ratification of the Agreement by Portugal, stated the following:

"The Permanent Representative has been instructed to state that the Federal Republic of Nigeria, as a Party to the International Sugar Agreement 1968, does not recognise any right by the Portuguese Republic, implied or expressed, to extend the provisions of the Agreement to the so-called 'Portuguese Overseas Provinces'. The Portuguese Government holds, and continues to colonise the African territories of Angola, Mozambique and Guinea (Bissau), in violation of the rights of the peoples of these territories to self-determination and freedom and contrary to the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Territories and Peoples, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other pertinent resolutions of United Nations organs. The Federal Republic of Nigeria does not recognise any claim by Portugal to the aforementioned African territories as 'Portuguese Overseas Provinces' constituting parts of its own national territory."

On 10 August and 1 October 1971, respectively, the Secretary-General received similar communications from the Permanent Missions of Uganda and Kenya to the United Nations.

7/ Among the decisions reached at its first session held at London from 20 to 31 January 1969, the International Sugar Council noted the withdrawal by the Government of Peru of its reservation and agreed that no action on its part under article 65 (2) (c) of the Agreement was required in respect of the reservation made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the declarations made by the Governments of Cuba, Poland, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

In the report to the International Sugar Organization of 20 February 1969 on the accession of India to the Agreement, the Executive Director of the Organization, referring to the above declaration and reservations, stated that the declaration was identical in terms to that made by India on accession to the 1958 Agreement on 13 July 1961; and that the reservations were similar in terms and effect to its reservations to the 1958 Agreement and fell, therefore, under the provisions of article 65 (2) (a).

8/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 5 March 1969, the Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations, referring to "certain statements and reservations regarding the signing of the International Sugar Agreement of 1968 on behalf of the Chinese Government", made the following declaration:

"The Republic of China, a sovereign state and member of the United Nations, attended the 1968 United Nations Sugar Conference, contributed to the formulation of the International Sugar Agreement of 1968 and signed the Agreement on 16 December 1968. Any statements or reservations relating to the Agreement that are incompatible with or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and

obligations of the Republic of China under the said Agreement."

9/ In a communication received on 10 March 1969, the Government of Peru notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of the reservation, which had been made on its behalf at the time of signature of the Agreement. For the text of the reservation, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 654, p. 311.

10/ The Government of the United Kingdom declared that the notification is given without prejudice to its right to make further extensions at a later date, that it will apply the Agreement provisionally on behalf of the territories referred to above, pursuant to paragraph (1) of article 62, and that it intends, on ratification

of the Agreement, to exercise, as a Contracting Party, its rights under article 4 and to make notification to the Secretary-General under paragraph (3) of article 66 to the effect that it wishes to apply for separate membership for Antigua, British Honduras and Fiji. On deposit of the instrument of ratification, the Government of the United Kingdom notified the Secretary-General, in accordance with paragraph (3) of article 66 of the Agreement, that it wished to exercise its rights under article 4 to have separate membership extended to Antigua, British Honduras, Fiji and St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla.

11/ In a notification received by the Secretary-General on 26 March 1970, the Government of the United Kingdom declared that the Agreement shall cease to apply to Brunei.

7. AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE ASIAN COCONUT COMMUNITY

Opened for signature at Bangkok on 12 December 1968

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 July 1969, in accordance with article 12.  
 REGISTRATION: 30 July 1969, No. 9733.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 684, p. 163, vol. 803, p. 514 (amendment to article 11 (2)) and depositary notification C.N.302.1980.TREATIES-1 o 29 october 1980 (amendment to article 5).<sup>1</sup>

Note: The Agreement was drawn up at the meeting of the Inter-Governmental Consultations on the Asian Coconut Community, held at the headquarters of the Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East in Bangkok from 26 to 28 November 1968, which was attended by the representatives of the Governments of Sri Lanka, India, Indonesia, the Philippines, Singapore and Thailand and of the United Nations Development Programme and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>
India . . . . .	12 Dec 1968	18 Jun 1969	Philippines . . .	12 Dec 1968	26 Aug 1969
Indonesia . . . .	12 Dec 1968	30 Jul 1969 <u>a</u>	Samoa . . . . .		28 Dec 1972 <u>a</u>
Malaysia . . . . .	30 Jun 1969	22 fév 1972	Sri Lanka . . . .	11 Mar 1969	25 Apr 1969 <u>a</u>
Papua New Guinea . . . . .		11 Nov 1976 <u>a</u>	Thailand . . . . .	26 Jun 1969	

NOTES:

<sup>1</sup> Amendments were adopted in accordance with article 15 of the Agreement as follows, to enter into force upon adoption:  
 - On 21 December 1971, at the fifth regular

session of the Asian Coconut Community, held in Jakarta (amendment to article 11(2));  
 - On 30 August 1980, at the eighteenth regular session of the Asian Coconut Community, held at Port Moresby (amendment to article 5(3)).

XIX.8: Pepper Community

---

8. AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE PEPPER COMMUNITY

Opened for signature at Bangkok on 16 April 1971

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 29 March 1972, in accordance with article 12.  
REGISTRATION: 29 March 1972, No. 11654.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 818, p. 89.

Note: This Agreement was drawn up at the meeting of the Inter-Governmental Consultations on the Pepper Community, held at the headquarters of the Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East in Bangkok from 24 February 1971, which was attended by the representatives of the Governments of Sri Lanka, India, Indonesia and Malaysia and of the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization and the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>
Brazil . . . . .		30 Mar 1981 a	Indonesia . . . .	21 Apr 1971	1 Nov 1971
India . . . . .	21 Apr 1971	29 Mar 1972	Malaysia . . . . .	21 Apr 1971	22 Mar 1972

## 9. INTERNATIONAL COCOA AGREEMENT, 1972

Concluded at Geneva on 21 October 1972

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 30 June 1973, in accordance with paragraph 2 of article 67.<sup>1</sup>  
 REGISTRATION: 30 June 1973, No. 12652.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 882, p. 67.  
 TERMINATION: 30 September 1976, in accordance with article 74.

Note: The text of the Agreement was established by the United Nations Cocoa Conference, 1972,<sup>2</sup> which met at Geneva from 6 to 28 March 1972 and from 11 September to 21 October 1972. It was adopted by the Conference at its final plenary meeting, held on 21 October 1972, and was open for signature at New York from 15 November 1972 to 15 January 1973.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a), notification under article 70 (4) (n)</u>
*Algeria . . . . .	12 Jan 1973	22 Jun 1973	20 Nov 1973
Australia . . . . .	12 Jan 1973		27 Apr 1973
*Austria . . . . .	9 Jan 1973		29 Jun 1973
*Belgium . . . . .	3 Jan 1973	28 Jun 1973	
*Brazil . . . . .	12 Jan 1973		25 Jun 1973
*Bulgaria . . . . .	15 Jan 1973		10 May 1973 <u>AA</u>
Cameroon . . . . .	9 Jan 1973		10 Apr 1973
Canada . . . . .	12 Jan 1973		23 Mar 1973
*Chile . . . . .	12 Jan 1973	22 Jun 1973	26 Sep 1974
*Colombia . . . . .	12 Jan 1973	29 Jun 1973	
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	5 Jan 1973		24 Apr 1973
*Cuba . . . . .	15 Jan 1973	23 Apr 1973	4 Sep 1974
Czechoslovakia . . . . .			15 Mar 1974 <u>a</u>
*Denmark . . . . .	20 Nov 1972	30 Apr 1973	29 Jun 1973
*Ecuador . . . . .	15 Jan 1973	15 Jan 1973	7 Sep 1973
*European Economic Community . . . . .	15 Jan 1973	29 Jun 1973	
*Finland . . . . .	15 Jan 1973		27 Jun 1973
*France . . . . .	22 Nov 1972	30 Jun 1973	2 Aug 1973 <u>AA</u>
Gabon . . . . .			30 Sep 1974 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .			20 Jan 1975 <u>a</u>
*Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	12 Jan 1973	29 Jun 1973	7 Feb 1974
Ghana . . . . .	22 Nov 1972		27 Feb 1973
Grenada . . . . .			5 Feb 1975 <u>a</u>
*Guatemala . . . . .	15 Jan 1973	13 Jun 1973	20 Sep 1973
*Honduras . . . . .	15 Jan 1973	8 May 1973	
*Hungary . . . . .	15 Jan 1973		22 May 1973
*Ireland . . . . .	12 Jan 1973		28 Jun 1973
*Italy . . . . .	12 Jan 1973	27 Jun 1973	26 Sep 1975
*Jamaica . . . . .	15 Jan 1973		29 Jun 1973
*Japan . . . . .	15 Jan 1973	29 Jun 1973	27 Sep 1973 <u>AA</u>
*Luxembourg . . . . .	3 Jan 1973	28 Jun 1973	
*Netherlands . . . . .	27 Nov 1972	29 Jun 1973	1 Apr 1974 <sup>4</sup>
New Zealand . . . . .			25 Oct 1973 <u>a</u>
Nigeria . . . . .	12 Jan 1973		30 Apr 1973
Norway . . . . .	12 Jan 1973	27 Jun 1973	2 Aug 1973 <u>AA</u>
Papua New Guinea . . . . .			16 Sep 1975 <u>n</u>
Peru . . . . .			1 Mar 1976 <u>a</u>
Philippines . . . . .			14 Jan 1974 <u>a</u>
*Portugal . . . . .	8 Jan 1973	30 Apr 1973	30 Aug 1974
Romania . . . . .	15 Jan 1973		26 Apr 1973
Samoa <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	15 Jan 1973		19 Dec 1973
Sao Tome and Principe . . . . .			24 Jul 1975 <u>n</u>
*Spain . . . . .	15 Jan 1973	29 Jun 1973	2 Aug 1973
Sweden . . . . .	19 Dec 1972		25 Apr 1973
*Switzerland . . . . .	9 Jan 1973		26 Jun 1973
Togo . . . . .	21 Dec 1972	29 Jun 1973	30 Jun 1973
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	15 Jan 1973		30 Apr 1973
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	9 Jan 1973		23 Apr 1973 <u>a</u>
*United Kingdom . . . . .	15 Nov 1972	18 Jun 1973	2 Aug 1973

XIX.9: 1972 Cocoa Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a), notification under article 70 (4) (n)</u>
*Venezuela . . . . .	15 Jan 1973	27 Apr 1973	30 Jun 1975
*Yugoslavia . . . . .	15 Jan 1973		26 Jun 1973
Zaire . . . . .			25 Aug 1975 <u>a</u>

\*State or Organization having notified the Secretary-General, in accordance with article 65 (1) of the Agreement, that it was undertaking to seek ratification, acceptance or approval of the Agreement in accordance with its constitutional procedures as rapidly as possible on or before 30 April 1973 or in any case within a period of two months thereafter. In this regard, the International Cocoa Council decided on 2 August 1973, in accordance with article 64 (3), to extend to 31 March 1974 the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval for States which, having indicated that they would apply the Agreement provisionally (article 66), were not able to effect such deposit before 30 June 1973. Subsequently, the International Cocoa Council decided, at its second session held in London from 11 to 15 March 1974, to extend that time-limit to 30 September 1974, at its third session held at London from 27 to 30 August, to extend it to 31 March 1975, at its fourth session held in London from 10 to 14 March 1975, to extend it to 30 September 1975, at its fifth session held in London from 19 to 21 August 1975, to extend it to 31 March 1976 and finally, at its sixth session, held in London from 16 to 18 March 1976, to extend it to 29 September 1976.

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval, accession or notification.)

**BULGARIA**

Upon signature:

The restriction contained in article 63 of the International Cocoa Agreement, 1972, which prevents certain States from becoming parties, is contrary to the universal principle of the sovereign equality of States and, in particular, of States which abide by the principles of the United Nations. All States throughout the world are equal under the law, and they should accordingly have the right to become parties to the International Cocoa Agreement, 1972.

**CZECHOSLOVAKIA**

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares that articles 2, 3, and 70 of the Agreement are not in harmony with the contents and spirit of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December 1960 by resolution 1514 (XV).

"In the opinion of the Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic articles 63 and 68 of the Agreement are discriminatory in nature since they prevent certain States to become Parties to the Agreement".

**GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC**

In respect of article 14 and article 68 (1):

The Government of the German Democratic Republic deems it necessary to point out that the provisions of articles 14 and 68 of the International Cocoa Agreement, 1972, deny certain States the opportunity to acquire the status of observer or member.

The Cocoa Agreement regulates questions affecting the interests of all States. The Government of the German Democratic Republic therefore holds the view that, in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, all interested States should, without discrimination of any kind, be given the opportunity to become observers or members under this Agreement.

In respect of article 70:

The position of the Government of the German Democratic Republic with regard to article 70 of the International Cocoa Agreement, 1972, in so far as that article relates to the territorial application of the Agreement to colonial Territories and other dependent Territories, is guided by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

**ITALY**

Upon signature:

"The Italian Government declares that if in the future any Member State of the European Economic Community withdraws from the International Cocoa Agreement, the Italian Government would have to reconsider its position as a Party to the Agreement.

"This declaration is made in accordance with article 71 of the Agreement."

**ROMANIA**

Upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

1 The Government of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the maintenance of the de-



pendent status of certain territories, to which reference is made in the provisions of articles 3, 59 and 70, is contrary to the Charter of the United Nations and to the instruments adopted by the United Nations with regard to the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, adopted unanimously by the United Nations General Assembly in 1970 (resolution 2625 (XXV)), which solemnly proclaims the duty of States to promote realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples in order to bring a speedy end to colonialism.

2. The Government of the Socialist Republic of Romania considers that the provisions of articles 14 and 68 of the Agreement are contrary to the principle that multilateral treaties should be open for participation by all States to which the aim and purpose of such treaties are of interest.

## UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

(a) The provisions of articles 63 and 68 of the Agreement, which restrict the opportunity for certain States to participate in it, are contrary to the generally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States.

(b) The provisions of articles 2, 3 and 70 of the Agreement concerning the right of the Contracting Parties to extend the Agreement to territories for whose international relations they are responsible are outmoded and at variance with the United Nations General Assembly's Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaimed the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . . .	28 Sep 1973	Papua New Guinea
Netherlands . . . . .	1 Apr 1974	Netherlands Antilles, Surinam
United Kingdom . . . . .	24 May 1974	St. Lucia <sup>6</sup>
	17 Jun 1974	St. Vincent <sup>7</sup>
		Dominica

## NOTES:

1/ The Agreement entered into force provisionally on 30 June 1973, the requirements to that effect contained in its article 67 (2), in the interpretation accepted by the Governments concerned, having been met on that date.

2/ United Nations Cocoa Conference, 1972. Summary of Proceedings (United Nations publications, Sales No. 73.II.D.9).

3/ With a declaration to the effect that the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

In this connexion, a communication was received on 10 July 1974 from the Government of Czechoslovakia, to the effect that the Government of Czechoslovakia can take cognizance of the declarations of the Federal Republic of Germany regarding the application of international treaties on West Berlin always only under the assumption that such application is carried out in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of

September 3, 1971, and in keeping with the established procedures.

Communications identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, were received on 24 July 1974 and 20 January 1975 (on accession), respectively, from the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the German Democratic Republic.

4/ With a notification to the effect that the Netherlands will participate as an importing member, in view of the position of Surinam.

5/ During its third series of meetings held in London from 21 to 23 November 1973, the Executive Committee of the International Cocoa Council decided to extend to Western Samoa, which had not made a declaration of provisional application, the benefit of the extension of the time limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval to 31 March 1974.

6/ As a separate member of the International Cocoa Organization.

7/ As a joint member of the International Cocoa Organization with the United Kingdom.

## 10. INTERNATIONAL SUGAR AGREEMENT, 1973

Concluded at Geneva on 13 October 1973

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 1 January 1974 [see article 36(2)], and definitively on 15 October 1974, in accordance with article 36(1).  
 Validity extended until 31 December 1977, see under Nos. 10(a) and 10(c) of this chapter.

REGISTRATION: 1 January 1974, No. 12951.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 906, p. 69 and vol. 958, p. 279.

TERMINATION: 31 December 1977, in accordance with the provisions of article 42 and to the relevant resolutions adopted by the International Sugar Council.

Note: The text of the Agreement was established by the United Nations Sugar Conference, 1973,<sup>1</sup> which met at Geneva from 7 to 30 May 1973 and from 10 September to 13 October 1973. It was adopted by the Conference at its final Plenary meeting held on 13 October 1973. The Agreement was opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, at New York, from 25 October 1973 to 24 December 1973, in accordance with its article 33.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
*Algeria . . . . .	21 Dec 1973	21 Dec 1973	
*Argentina . . . . .	19 Dec 1973	19 Dec 1973	14 Nov 1975 a
Australia . . . . .	19 Dec 1973		19 Dec 1973
*Bangladesh . . . . .	24 Dec 1973	21 Jan 1974	15 Oct 1974
Barbados . . . . .	21 Dec 1973		28 Dec 1973
*Bolivia . . . . .	21 Dec 1973		11 Jun 1974
*Brazil . . . . .	18 Dec 1973	26 Dec 1973	15 Oct 1974
Cameroon . . . . .	21 Dec 1973 <sup>2</sup>		17 Sep 1974 a
*Canada . . . . .	14 Dec 1973	31 Dec 1973	4 Jan 1974
*Chile . . . . .	6 Dec 1973	6 Dec 1973	27 Dec 1974
*Colombia . . . . .	21 Dec 1973	29 Jan 1974	29 Nov 1976 a
Congo . . . . .	24 Dec 1973		
*Costa Rica . . . . .	21 Dec 1973	9 Jan 1974	6 Oct 1975 a
*Cuba . . . . .	19 Dec 1973	19 Dec 1973	30 Dec 1974
*Czechoslovakia . . . . .	21 Dec 1973		27 Dec 1973 AA
*Dominican Republic . . . . .	19 Dec 1973	19 Dec 1973	2 Oct 1974
Ecuador . . . . .	21 Dec 1973		23 May 1974
Egypt . . . . .		21 Aug 1974	25 Jun 1975 a
*El Salvador . . . . .	19 Dec 1973	14 May 1974	10 Oct 1974
Fiji . . . . .	21 Dec 1973		27 Dec 1973
*Finland . . . . .	21 Dec 1973	21 Dec 1973	17 Jun 1974
*German Democratic Republic . . . . .	24 Dec 1973		15 Jan 1974 AA
*Ghana . . . . .	21 Dec 1973		22 Jan 1974
*Guatemala . . . . .	23 Nov 1973	27 Dec 1973	15 Nov 1974
Guyana . . . . .	24 Dec 1973		31 Dec 1973
*Hungary . . . . .	21 Dec 1973	28 Dec 1973	26 Feb 1974
India . . . . .			27 Mar 1974 a
*Indonesia . . . . .	20 Dec 1973	21 Dec 1973	19 Dec 1974
Iraq . . . . .	24 Dec 1973		
Jamaica . . . . .	19 Dec 1973		31 Dec 1973
Japan . . . . .	21 Dec 1973		27 Dec 1973 a
*Kenya . . . . .	18 Dec 1973		
*Lebanon . . . . .	18 Dec 1973		
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .			10 Oct 1975 a
*Madagascar . . . . .	24 Dec 1973		9 Dec 1974
*Malawi . . . . .	5 Dec 1973	28 Dec 1973	12 Jun 1974
Malaysia . . . . .	20 Dec 1973		31 Dec 1973
Mauritius . . . . .	12 Dec 1973		19 Dec 1973 A
*Mexico . . . . .	19 Dec 1973	19 Dec 1973	15 Apr 1975
*Morocco . . . . .	24 Dec 1973	12 Mar 1974	
New Zealand . . . . .	21 Dec 1973		27 Dec 1973
*Nicaragua . . . . .	17 Dec 1973		3 Dec 1974
Nigeria . . . . .		31 May 1974	13 Mar 1975 a
*Panama . . . . .	29 Nov 1973		16 Jan 1975

XIX.10: 1973 Sugar Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
*Paraguay . . . . .	21 Dec 1973	31 Dec 1973	24 Nov 1975 <u>a</u>
*Peru . . . . .	21 Dec 1973	30 Aug 1974	14 Apr 1975
*Philippines . . . . .	21 Dec 1973		15 May 1974
*Poland . . . . .	21 Dec 1973	21 Dec 1973	27 Feb 1975 <u>A</u>
*Portugal . . . . .	30 Nov 1973	21 Dec 1973	20 Jan 1975
*Republic of Korea . . . . .	21 Dec 1973		27 Mar 1974
*Singapore . . . . .	20 Dec 1973	16 Jan 1974	5 Feb 1974
South Africa . . . . .	19 Dec 1973		27 Dec 1973
Swaziland . . . . .	13 Dec 1973		28 Dec 1973
Sweden . . . . .	12 Dec 1973		12 Dec 1973
Syrian Arab Republic	18 Dec 1973		
Thailand . . . . .	21 Dec 1973		27 Dec 1973
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	24 Dec 1973		27 Dec 1973
Uganda . . . . .	21 Dec 1973		31 Dec 1973
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	21 Dec 1973	27 Dec 1973	29 Apr 1974 <u>AA</u>
United Kingdom . . . . . (In respect of Belize and St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla only.)	20 Dec 1973		27 Dec 1973
*Yugoslavia . . . . .	4 Dec 1973	21 Jan 1974	15 Oct 1974

\*State having notified the Secretary-General, in accordance with article 34(1), that it was undertaking to seek ratification, approval or accession in accordance with the constitutional procedures required, as rapidly as possible and in any case not later than 15 October 1974. On October 1974, the Executive Committee of the International Sugar Organisation, acting on behalf of the Council of the International Sugar Organisation, decided, in accordance with article 34, paragraph 2 of the Agreement, to extend to 15 April 1975 the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, of acceptance, of approval or of accession.

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance or approval.)

CUBA

Declarations received on 2 January 1974 with reference to the signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Republic of Cuba considers that the provisions of article 38 of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973, are no longer applicable because they are contrary to the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December 1960 (resolution 1514 (XV)), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

Ratification of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973, by the Republic of Cuba cannot be interpreted as recognition or acceptance of the Republic of Korea, which is referred to in annex B of the Agreement.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"(a) The provisions of articles 4 and 38, which are extending the Agreement to the territories for whose international relations any one of the

Contracting Parties is responsible, are outmoded and contrary to the United Nations General Assembly's Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960);

"(b) In connection with the reference made in Annex B of the Agreement to the Republic of Korea, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic declares that the South Korean authorities cannot in any case speak on behalf of Korea."

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The position of the German Democratic Republic concerning the provisions of the Agreement relating to its application to colonial and other dependent territories is based on the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

HUNGARY

Upon signature:

"The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that the provisions of article 38 of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973, are contrary to United Nations General Assembly Resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960 on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples."

Declaration received on 3 May 1974 in reference to the signing of the Agreement:

"(a) The provisions of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973 restricting the opportunity for certain States to participate in it are contrary to the generally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States;

"(b) The reference in Annex B of the Agreement to the so-called Republic of Korea is illegal, since the South Korean authorities cannot speak on behalf of the whole of Korea".

INDIA

"Without prejudice to the general obligations under the present Agreement, the Government of India undertakes to discharge its obligations under article 28 relating to customs duties, internal taxes and fiscal charges and quantitative or other controls only to the extent consistent with its policy in the fields of controls, taxation and pricing which it is pursuing in the process of developing its economy on a planned basis."

POLAND

"The reference to the International Sugar Agreement in the annex to the so-called Republic of Korea is illegal since the authorities of South Korea cannot represent entire Korea."

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declarations formulated upon signature and confirmed upon approval:

(a) The provisions of articles 4 and 38 of the Agreement regarding the extension of the rights and obligations of Governments under the Agreement to territories for whose international relations they are responsible are outmoded and at variance with the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaimed the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations;

(b) The provisions of the Agreement restricting the opportunity for certain States to participate in it are contrary to the generally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States;

(c) The reference in the annex to the Agreement to the so-called Republic of Korea is illegal, since the South Korean authorities cannot speak on behalf of the whole of Korea.

XIX.10: 1973 Sugar Agreement

10. (a) EXTENSION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SUGAR AGREEMENT, 1973

Approved by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 1 of 30 September 1975

EFFECTIVE DATE: 1 January 1976, in accordance with paragraph 2 of Resolution No. 1 adopted by the International Sugar Council on 30 September 1975.  
 REGISTRATION, 1 January 1976, No. 12951.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 993, p. 472.  
 TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT: 31 December 1977 (see under XIX.10).

Note: The International Sugar Agreement of 1973 would have expired on 31 December 1975. By its resolution No. 1 of 30 September 1975 the International Sugar Council, acting pursuant to article 42(3) of the Agreement, decided to extend the Agreement until 31 December 1976.

Participant	<u>Acceptance of resolution No.1 subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures<sup>3</sup></u>	<u>Definitive acceptance of resolution No.1 or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures</u>	Participant	<u>Acceptance of resolution No.1 subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures<sup>3</sup></u>	<u>Definitive acceptance of resolution No.1 or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures</u>
Argentina . . . .	28 Nov 1975	31 Mar 1977	Mauritius . . . .		5 Dec 1975
Australia . . . .	17 Dec 1975	16 Jun 1976	Mexico . . . . .	31 Dec 1975	19 May 1976
Bangladesh . . . .		31 Dec 1975	New Zealand . . . .		17 Dec 1975
Barbados . . . . .	30 Dec 1975	18 Feb 1976	Nicaragua . . . . .	24 Nov 1975	9 Feb 1976
Brazil . . . . .		18 Dec 1975	Panama . . . . .		19 Nov 1975
Cameroon . . . . .		31 Dec 1975	Paraguay . . . . .		31 Dec 1975
Canada . . . . .		31 Oct 1975	Peru . . . . .	19 Nov 1975	25 Aug 1976
Chile . . . . .		19 Dec 1975	Philippines . . . .		29 Dec 1975
Colombia . . . . .	12 Dec 1975	29 Nov 1976	Poland . . . . .		3 Dec 1975
Costa Rica . . . .		30 Dec 1975	Portugal . . . . .	18 Dec 1975	15 Jun 1976
Cuba . . . . .		3 Dec 1975	Republic of Korea		29 Dec 1975
Czechoslovakia . .		23 Dec 1975	Singapore . . . . .		3 Dec 1975
Dominican Republic . . . .	29 Dec 1975	4 Feb 1976	South Africa . . . .		18 Nov 1975
Ecuador . . . . .		30 Dec 1975	Swaziland . . . . .		11 Dec 1975
El Salvador . . . .	21 Nov 1975	6 May 1976	Sweden . . . . .		5 Dec 1975
Fiji . . . . .		18 Nov 1975	Thailand . . . . .		13 Nov 1975
Finland . . . . .	12 Dec 1975	5 Apr 1976	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		5 Dec 1975
German Democratic Republic <sup>4</sup> . . . .		14 Nov 1975	Uganda . . . . .		20 Nov 1975
Guatemala . . . . .	10 Nov 1975	11 Oct 1976	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . .		24 Dec 1975
Guyana . . . . .		26 Nov 1975	United Kingdom . . . .		29 Dec 1975
Hungary . . . . .		29 Dec 1975	(In respect of Belize and St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla only.)		
India . . . . .		31 Dec 1975	Yugoslavia . . . .	31 Dec 1975	28 Jun 1976
Indonesia . . . . .	24 Dec 1975	28 Jun 1976			
Jamaica . . . . .		30 Dec 1975			
Japan . . . . .		9 Dec 1975			
Malawi . . . . .		31 Dec 1975			
Malaysia . . . . .		29 Dec 1975			

XIX.10: 1973 Sugar Agreement

10. (b) INTERNATIONAL SUGAR AGREEMENT, 1973

Concluded at Geneva on 13 October 1973, as extended by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 1 of 30 September 1975

EFFECTIVE DATE: 1 January 1976, in accordance with paragraph 2 of resolution No. 1 adopted by the International Sugar Council on 30 September 1975.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 January 1976, No. 12951 (registration of the extension).  
 TEXT: See under XIX.10, and annex to resolution No. 1.  
 TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT: 31 December 1977 (see under XIX.10).

See note in the same place under XIX.10(a).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance of resolution No. 1 of 30 September 1975</u>	<u>Accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance of resolution No. 1 of 30 September 1975</u>	<u>Accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Argentina . . . .	31 Mar 1977		Madagascar . . . .		22 Jun 1976 a <sup>5</sup>
Australia . . . .	16 Jun 1976		Malawi . . . . .	31 Dec 1975	
Bangladesh . . . .	31 Dec 1975		Malaysia . . . . .	29 Dec 1975	
Barbados . . . . .	18 Feb 1976		Mauritius . . . . .	5 Dec 1975	
Bolivia . . . . .		7 May 1976 a <sup>5</sup>	Mexico . . . . .	19 May 1976	
Brazil . . . . .	18 Dec 1975		New Zealand . . . .	17 Dec 1975	
Cameroon . . . . .	31 Dec 1975		Nicaragua . . . . .	9 Feb 1976	
Canada . . . . .	31 Oct 1975		Nigeria . . . . .		9 Jun 1976 a <sup>5</sup>
Chile . . . . .	19 Dec 1975		Panama . . . . .	19 Nov 1975	
Colombia . . . . .	29 Nov 1976		Paraguay . . . . .	31 Dec 1975	
Costa Rica . . . . .	30 Dec 1975		Peru . . . . .	25 Aug 1976	
Cuba . . . . .	3 Dec 1975		Philippines . . . .	29 Dec 1975	
Czechoslovakia . .	23 Dec 1975		Poland . . . . .	3 Dec 1975	
Dominican Republic . . . .	4 Feb 1976		Portugal . . . . .	15 Jun 1976	
Ecuador . . . . .	30 Dec 1975		Republic of Korea . .	29 Dec 1975	
Egypt . . . . .		11 Jun 1976 a <sup>5</sup>	Singapore . . . . .	3 Dec 1975	
El Salvador . . . .	6 May 1976		South Africa . . . .	18 Nov 1975	
Fiji . . . . .	18 Nov 1975		Swaziland . . . . .	11 Dec 1975	
Finland . . . . .	5 Apr 1976		Sweden . . . . .	5 Dec 1975	
German Democratic Republic . . . .	14 Nov 1975		Thailand . . . . .	13 Nov 1975	
Ghana . . . . .		7 May 1976 a <sup>5</sup>	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	5 Dec 1975	
Guatemala . . . . .	11 Oct 1976		Uganda . . . . .	20 Nov 1975	
Guyana . . . . .	26 Nov 1975		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . .	24 Dec 1975	
Hungary . . . . .	29 Dec 1975		United Kingdom . . .	29 Dec 1975	
India . . . . .	31 Dec 1975		(In respect of Belize and St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla only.)		
Indonesia . . . . .	28 Jun 1976		Yugoslavia . . . . .	28 Jun 1976	
Iraq . . . . .		11 Mar 1976 a			
Jamaica . . . . .	30 Dec 1975				
Japan . . . . .	9 Dec 1975				
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . .		12 Jul 1976 a <sup>5</sup>			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon accession, acceptance or approval.)

IRAQ

Accession by the Government of Iraq to the said Agreement shall in no way, however, signify recognition of Israel or entry into any dealings therewith.

XIX.10: 1973 Sugar Agreement

10. (c) EXTENSION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SUGAR AGREEMENT, 1973

Approved by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 2 of 18 June 1976

EFFECTIVE DATE: 1 January 1977, in accordance with paragraph 2 of resolution No. 2 adopted by the International Sugar Council on 18 June 1976.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 January 1977, No. 12951.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1031, p. 402.  
 TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT: 31 December 1977 (see under XIX.10).

Note: The International Sugar Agreement, 1973, as extended, would have expired on 31 December 1976. By its resolution No. 2 of 18 June 1976 the International Sugar Council, acting pursuant to article 42(3) of the Agreement, decided to extend the Agreement until 31 December 1977.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance of resolution No.2 subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures<sup>3</sup></u>	<u>Definitive acceptance of resolution No.2 or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance of resolution No.2 subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures<sup>3</sup></u>	<u>Definitive acceptance of resolution No.2 or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures</u>
Argentina . . . .	4 Oct 1976	31 Mar 1977	Malawi . . . . .		31 Dec 1976
Australia . . . .		28 Dec 1976	Mauritius . . . .		7 Sep 1976
Bangladesh . . . .		1 Dec 1976	Mexico . . . . .	20 Dec 1976	
Barbados . . . . .		2 Dec 1976	New Zealand . . . .		21 Sep 1976
Bolivia . . . . .		31 Dec 1976	Nicaragua . . . . .	10 Aug 1976	21 Sep 1976
Brazil . . . . .		19 Jul 1976	Panama . . . . .		31 Dec 1976
Cameroon . . . . .	30 Dec 1976		Paraguay . . . . .		14 Sep 1976
Canada . . . . .		15 Dec 1976	Peru . . . . .	29 Dec 1976	28 Jul 1977
Colombia . . . . .	29 Nov 1976	8 Dec 1977	Philippines . . . .		31 Dec 1976
Costa Rica . . . .		19 Aug 1976	Poland . . . . .		1 Nov 1976
Cuba . . . . .		8 Nov 1976 <sup>7</sup>	Portugal . . . . .	31 Aug 1976	30 Jun 1977
Czechoslovakia . .		28 Dec 1976	Republic of Korea	30 Dec 1976	7 Mar 1977
Dominican Republic . . . .	16 Dec 1976		Singapore . . . . .		4 Nov 1976
Ecuador . . . . .		22 Nov 1976	South Africa . . . .		8 Nov 1976
Egypt . . . . .		21 Dec 1976	Swaziland . . . . .		27 Aug 1976
El Salvador . . . .		8 Dec 1976	Sweden . . . . .		19 Aug 1976
Fiji . . . . .		18 Nov 1976	Thailand . . . . .		5 Nov 1976
Finland . . . . .	30 Dec 1976	31 May 1977	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		29 Dec 1976
German Democratic Republic . . . .		23 Dec 1976 <sup>8</sup>	Uganda . . . . .		11 Nov 1976
Ghana . . . . .	31 Dec 1976	28 Apr 1977	Union of Soviet Socialist Republic . . . .		18 Nov 1976
Guatemala . . . . .		10 Nov 1976	United Kingdom . . . .		20 Sep 1976
Guyana . . . . .		30 Dec 1976	(In respect of Belize and St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla only.)		
Hungary . . . . .		20 Dec 1976	Yugoslavia . . . .		28 Dec 1976
India . . . . .		12 Nov 1976			
Indonesia . . . . .	31 Dec 1976	20 Sep 1977			
Jamaica . . . . .		2 Nov 1976			
Japan . . . . .		20 Dec 1976			

XIX.10: 1973 Sugar Agreement

10. (d) INTERNATIONAL SUGAR AGREEMENT, 1973

Concluded at Geneva on 13 October 1973, as extended by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 2 of 18 June 1976

EFFECTIVE DATE: 1 January 1977, in accordance with paragraph 2 of resolution No. 2 adopted by the International Sugar Council on 18 June 1976.  
 REGISTRATION: 28 December 1976, No. 12951 (registration of the extension).  
 TEXT: See XIX.10, and annex to resolution No. 2.  
 TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT: 31 December 1977 (see under XIX.10).

Note: See note under XIX.10(c).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance of resolution No. 2 of 18 June 1976</u>	<u>Accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance of resolution No. 2 of 18 June 1976</u>	<u>Accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	31 Mar 1977		Malawi . . . . .	31 Dec 1976	
Australia . . . . .	28 Dec 1976		Malaysia . . . . .		4 May 1977 <sup>a</sup> 10
Bangladesh . . . . .	1 Dec 1976		Mauritius . . . . .	7 Sep 1976	
Barbados . . . . .	2 Dec 1976		Mexico . . . . .	20 Dec 1976 <sup>9</sup>	
Bolivia . . . . .	31 Dec 1976		New Zealand . . . . .	21 Sep 1976	
Brazil . . . . .	19 Jul 1976		Nicaragua . . . . .	21 Sep 1976	
Cameroon . . . . .	30 Dec 1976 <sup>9</sup>		Nigeria . . . . .		17 May 1977 <sup>a</sup> 10
Canada . . . . .	15 Dec 1976		Panama . . . . .	31 Dec 1976	
Colombia . . . . .	8 Dec 1977		Paraguay . . . . .	14 Sep 1976	
Costa Rica . . . . .	19 Aug 1976		Peru . . . . .	28 Jul 1977	
Cuba . . . . .	8 Nov 1976		Philippines . . . . .	31 Dec 1976	
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	28 Dec 1976		Poland . . . . .	1 Nov 1976	
Dominican Republic . . . . .	16 Dec 1976 <sup>9</sup>		Portugal . . . . .	30 Jun 1977	
Ecuador . . . . .	22 Nov 1976		Republic of Korea . . . . .	7 Mar 1977	
Egypt . . . . .	21 Dec 1976		Singapore . . . . .	4 Nov 1976	
El Salvador . . . . .	8 Dec 1976		South Africa . . . . .	8 Nov 1976	
Fiji . . . . .	18 Nov 1976		Swaziland . . . . .	27 Aug 1976	
Finland . . . . .	31 May 1977		Sweden . . . . .	19 Aug 1976	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	23 Dec 1976		Thailand . . . . .	5 Nov 1976	
Ghana . . . . .	28 Apr 1977		Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	29 Dec 1976	
Guatemala . . . . .	10 Nov 1976		Uganda . . . . .	11 Nov 1976	
Guyana . . . . .	30 Dec 1976		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	18 Nov 1976	
Hungary . . . . .	20 Dec 1976		United Kingdom . . . . .	20 Sep 1976	
India . . . . .	12 Nov 1976		(In respect of Belize and St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla only.)		
Indonesia . . . . .	20 Sep 1977		Yugoslavia . . . . .	28 Dec 1976	
Iraq . . . . .		20 May 1977 <sup>a</sup> 10			
Jamaica . . . . .	2 Nov 1976				
Japan . . . . .	20 Dec 1976				
Madagascar . . . . .		20 Jul 1977 <sup>a</sup> 10			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon accession, acceptance or approval.)

IRAQ<sup>11</sup>

"Accession by the Republic of Iraq to the Agreement aforesaid, as extended until 31 December 1977, shall however, in no way imply recognition of Israel or entry into any dealings therewith."



10. (g) EXTENSION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SUGAR AGREEMENT, 1973

Approved by the International Sugar Council in resolution No. 3 of 31 August 1977

EFFECTIVE DATE: See "Note" below.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 January 1978, No. 12951.  
 TEXT: Resolution No. 3 adopted by the International Sugar Council on 31 August 1977.  
 TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT: 31 December 1977.

**Note:** The international Sugar Agreement, 1973, as extended, would have expired on 31 December 1977. By its resolution No. 3 of 31 August 1977 the International Sugar Council, acting pursuant to article 42(3) of the Agreement, decided to extend the Agreement until 31 December 1978 should the new Agreement not have entered into force by 1 January 1978. The said decision did not take effect, the International Sugar Agreement, 1977, having entered into force provisionally on 1 January 1978 (see No. 18 of this chapter).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance of resolution No.3 subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures<sup>3</sup></u>	<u>Definitive acceptance of resolution No.3 or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Definitive acceptance of resolution No.3 or confirmation of the fulfilment of constitutional procedures</u>
Australia . . . .		15 Dec 1977	Philippines . . . .	29 Dec 1977
Barbados . . . .	16 Dec 1977		Poland . . . . .	14 Dec 1977
Brazil . . . . .		10 Nov 1977	Portugal . . . . .	16 Dec 1977
Cameroon . . . .	20 Dec 1977		Republic of Korea	23 Dec 1977
Canada . . . . .		30 Dec 1977	Singapore . . . .	6 Oct 1977
Costa Rica . . . .		20 Dec 1977	South Africa . . .	30 Dec 1977
Cuba . . . . .		14 Nov 1977	Swaziland . . . .	30 Dec 1977
Czechoslovakia . .		29 Dec 1977	Sweden . . . . .	18 Nov 1977
Ecuador . . . . .		1 Dec 1977	Thailand . . . . .	5 Dec 1977
Fiji . . . . .		29 Dec 1977	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	21 Dec 1977
Finland . . . . .	2 Dec 1977		Uganda . . . . .	12 Dec 1977
Guatemala . . . .		2 Dec 1977	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . .	11 Nov 1977
Hungary . . . . .		20 Dec 1977	United Kingdom (In respect of St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla only.)	10 Nov 1977
India . . . . .		22 Dec 1977	Yugoslavia . . . .	29 Dec 1977
Indonesia . . . .	19 Dec 1977			
Japan . . . . .		28 Dec 1977		
Mauritius . . . .		30 Nov 1977		
Nicaragua . . . .	30 Sep 1977			
Nigeria . . . . .	28 Dec 1977			
Panama . . . . .		29 Nov 1977		
Paraguay . . . . .		7 Dec 1977		

NOTES:

1/ United Nations Sugar Conference 1973, Summary Proceedings (TD/SUGAR.8/6).

2/ Since its instrument of ratification could not be deposited within the time limit that had been provided for, the Government of the United Republic of Cameroon resorted to the accession procedure contemplated under article 37 of the Agreement.

3/ Acceptance of the resolution subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures is considered as equivalent in effect to definitive acceptance.

According to paragraph 3 of the resolution, the notification of fulfilment of constitutional procedures must reach the Secretary-General before 1 July 1976 or at a later date determined by the Council.

On 16 June 1976 the Executive Committee of the International Sugar Council decided to extend until 31 December 1976 the period for the deposit of notifications of fulfilment of constitutional procedures.

4/ In its notification of acceptance the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that it maintained the declarations relating to articles 4 and 38 made upon depositing the instrument of approval with the Secretary-

General of the said Agreement, on 15 January 1974 (see under XIX.10).

5/ Pursuant to the conditions of accession established by the International Sugar Council in accordance with the provisions of article 37 of the Agreement, the accession took effect retroactively as from 1 January 1976.

6/ Acceptance of the resolution subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures is considered as equivalent in effect to definitive acceptance. According to paragraph 3 of the resolution, the notification of fulfilment of constitutional procedures must reach the Secretary-General before 1 July 1977 unless the Council sets a later date.

7/ Reaffirming the declaration made on behalf of the Government of Cuba upon ratification of the Agreement.

8/ Maintaining the declaration concerning articles 4 and 38 of the International Sugar Agreement, 1973, made upon the deposit of its instrument of approval of the Agreement on 15 January 1974.

9/ Acceptance subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures.

10/ Pursuant to the conditions of accession established by the International Sugar Organization in accordance with the provisions of article 37 of the Agreement, the accession took effect retroactively as from 1 January 1977.

11/ In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 18 July 1977 from the Government of Israel the following declaration:

"The instrument deposited by the Government of Iraq contains a statement of a political character in respect of Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pro-

nouncements, which are, moreover, in flagrant contradiction to the principles, object and purposes of the Organization. That pronouncement by the Government of Iraq cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Iraq under general international law or under particular treaties.

"The Government of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity."

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, the Secretary-General received on 25 October 1977 from the Government of Iraq the following communication:

With reference to the note No. C.N.230.1977. TREATIES, dated August 11, 1977, I have the honour to affirm that the reservations made by the Republic of Iraq upon its accession to the International Sugar Agreement concern its non-recognition of Israel and its non-dealing with it does not contradict the aims and principles of the United Nations because the Republic of Iraq does not recognize Israel nor its membership in the United Nations. Also, the practice at the League of Nations and at the United Nations has been that membership in these organizations does not imply implicit recognition of other members who do not recognize that entity. I would like to add that Israeli colonialism in Palestine contradicts the right of people to self-determination and is a flagrant violation of the aims of the Charter of the United Nations and the principles which this organization follows in matters of decolonization.

12/ Acceptance of the resolution subject to the fulfilment of constitutional procedures is considered as equivalent in effect to definitive acceptance. According to paragraph 3 of the resolution, the notification of fulfilment of constitutional procedures must reach the Secretary-General before 1 July 1978 unless the Council sets a later date.

11. AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE ASIAN RICE TRADE FUND

Drawn up at Bangkok on 16 March 1973

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 December 1974, in accordance with article 19.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 December 1974, No. 13679.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 955, p. 195 and depositary notifications C.N.26.1979.TREATIES-1 of 28 February 1979 and C.N.101.TREATIES-2 of 22 May 1979 [amendments to paragraphs i) and iii) of article 1].

Note: The text of the Agreement was drawn up by the intergovernmental meeting on the establishment of an Asian Rice Trade Fund convened by the United Nations Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East at Bangkok, Thailand, from 12 to 16 March 1973; it was approved and initialled by the representatives of Democratic Kampuchea, the Philippines, Sri Lanka and Thailand.

The signatories agreed on 29 November 1973 to extend to 31 May and 1 December 1974, respectively, the time-limits provided for by articles 17 and 19 of the Agreement for signature and deposit of instruments of acceptance.

The Board of Directors of the Asian Rice Trade Fund, in a resolution adopted at Manila on 10 January 1979, proposed certain amendments to article 1 (i) and (iii) of the Agreement. In accordance with the provisions of article 13 of the Agreement the proposed amendments have come into force on 15 December 1981 upon acceptance by all members of the Fund. Following is a list of the States which have accepted the amendments and the dates of their acceptance:

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of acceptance</u>
Sri Lanka . . . . .	1 Jun 1979
Bangladesh . . . . .	14 Jun 1979
India . . . . .	24 Jun 1980
Philippines . . . . .	15 Dec 1981

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Acceptance, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Acceptance, accession (a)</u>
Bangladesh . . . . .	29 Jun 1973	1 Dec 1974	[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	16 Apr 1974	11 Mar 1975 <sup>a</sup> 1
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	18 Apr 1973		Sri Lanka . . . . .	31 May 1974	29 Nov 1974
India . . . . .	29 Jun 1973	28 Nov 1974			
Philippines . . . . .	19 Apr 1973	11 Mar 1975 <sup>a</sup> 1			

NOTES:

1/ The States Parties unanimously decided that the instruments of acceptance by the Governments of the Philippines and of the Republic of

South Viet-Nam, having been received after the time-limit of 1 December 1974, should be treated as instruments of accession.

2/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

12. PROTOCOL FOR THE CONTINUATION IN FORCE OF THE INTERNATIONAL COFFEE AGREEMENT, 1968, AS EXTENDED

Concluded at London on 16 September 1974

Note: See chapter XIX.5 c)

## 13. INTERNATIONAL TIN AGREEMENT, 1975

Concluded at Geneva on 21 June 1975

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 1 July 1976, in accordance with article 50 (a), and definitively on 14 June 1977, in accordance with article 49 (a).  
Validity extended until 30 June 1982, by Resolution No. 121 adopted by the International Tin Council on 14 January 1981.

REGISTRATION: 1 July 1976, No. 14851. Registration of the extension: 1 July 1981.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1014, p. 43.

Note: The text of the Agreement was adopted by the United Nations Tin Conference, which was held at Geneva from 20 May to 21 June 1975. The Agreement was opened for signature on 1 July 1975 at the United Nations Headquarters in New York, the closing date for signature being 30 April 1976.<sup>1</sup>

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Notification of intention of ratification, approval or acceptance (article 48)</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Australia . . . . .	28 Apr 1976	23 Jun 1976	8 Nov 1976
Austria . . . . .	20 Apr 1976		29 Aug 1977
Belgium <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	26 Apr 1976	30 Jun 1976	20 Sep 1978
Bolivia . . . . .	30 Apr 1976	30 Jun 1976	14 Jun 1977
Bulgaria . . . . .		29 Jun 1976	25 May 1977 <sup>a</sup>
Canada . . . . .	29 Apr 1976		30 Jun 1976
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	27 Apr 1976		29 Jun 1976 <sup>AA</sup>
Denmark . . . . .	11 Mar 1976	30 Jun 1976	12 Aug 1976
European Economic Community . . . . .	29 Apr 1976	30 Jun 1976	22 Dec 1978 <sup>AA</sup>
France . . . . .	23 Feb 1976	23 Jun 1976	15 Jul 1977 <sup>AA</sup>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	12 Mar 1976	29 Jun 1976	29 Sep 1976 <sup>A<sup>2</sup></sup>
Hungary . . . . .	30 Apr 1976	8 Jun 1976	
India . . . . .	30 Apr 1976	9 Jul 1976	
Indonesia . . . . .	29 Apr 1976	29 Jun 1976	3 Aug 1976
Ireland . . . . .	28 Apr 1976	29 Jun 1976	12 Sep 1977
Italy . . . . .	30 Apr 1976	30 Sep 1977	
Japan . . . . .	16 Mar 1976	17 Jun 1976 <sup>a</sup>	
Luxembourg <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	26 Apr 1976	30 Jun 1976	20 Sep 1978
Malaysia . . . . .	18 Mar 1976	18 Mar 1976	
Netherlands . . . . .	26 Apr 1976	28 Jun 1976	2 Feb 1978 <sup>4</sup>
Nigeria . . . . .	22 Apr 1976	28 Jun 1976	6 Jul 1976
Norway . . . . .		28 Dec 1978 <sup>a</sup>	
Poland . . . . .	29 Apr 1976	24 Jun 1976	14 Jun 1977 <sup>A</sup>
Romania . . . . .	[29 Apr 1976]		[3 Sep 1976] <sup>5</sup>
Spain . . . . .	29 Apr 1976	9 Dec 1976	
Thailand . . . . .	10 Feb 1976	24 May 1976	
Turkey . . . . .		[9 Jun 1976]	[29 Dec 1978 <sup>a</sup> ] <sup>6</sup>
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	23 Apr 1976	11 Jun 1976 <sup>a</sup>	
United Kingdom . . . . .	17 Nov 1975	28 Jun 1976	
United States of America . . . . .	11 Mar 1976	29 Jun 1976	28 Oct 1976
Yugoslavia . . . . .	27 Apr 1976	22 Jun 1976	29 Dec 1976
Zaire . . . . .	30 Apr 1976	17 May 1977	25 Jul 1977

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance or approval.)

## BULGARIA

Upon accession:

The provisions of articles 47 and 52 of the Agreement which restrict the opportunity for some States to participate in it, are contrary to the principle of sovereign equality of States.

The maintenance of the state of dependency of

some territories, which is mentioned in article 53 of the Agreement, is in contradiction with the basic principles of international law and the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960).

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Upon signature:

"The International Tin Agreement, 1975, is being signed with the reservation of approval by the Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic.

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic signs the above mentioned Agreement as a consumer country.

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic considers the provisions of the article 53 of the International Tin Agreement, 1975, to be contradictory to the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960)."

HUNGARY

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

(a) The Hungarian People's Republic wishes to become party to the Agreement as an importing country in accordance with article 5, paragraph c), thereof.

(b) The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic calls attention to the fact that the provisions of article 52, paragraphs a) and b), of the Agreement are contrary to the basic principles of international law. It is a postulate of the generally recognized principles of the sovereign equality of States that the Agreement should be open for participation by all States without any discrimination and restriction.

(c) The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic calls attention to the fact that article 53 of the Agreement is at variance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December, 1960 (resolution 1514 (XV))."

ROMANIA

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

In signing the Fifth International Tin Agreement adopted at Geneva on 21 June 1975 and in reaffirming its position as stated at the United Nations Tin Conference, the Socialist Republic of Romania:

(a) Considers that the provisions of article 52 of the Agreement are not in accordance with the principle whereby international multilateral treaties, the subject and purpose of which are of concern to the whole international community, must be open to universal participation;

(b) Declares that the maintenance in a state of dependence of certain territories, referred to in article 53 of the Agreement, is not in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations and the instruments adopted by the United Nations concerning the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples including the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, adopted unanimously in 1970 in General Assembly resolution 2625 (XXV), which solemnly proclaims the obligation of States to promote the realization of the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples with a view to bringing a speedy end to colonialism.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

(a) The provisions of articles 47 and 52 of the Agreement which restrict the opportunity for some States to participate in it contradict the generally recognized principle of the sovereign equality of States;

(b) The provisions of articles 2, 4 and 53 of the Agreement concerning the extension of its operation by participating Governments to territories for whose international relations they are responsible are outdated and contradict the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on the granting of independence to colonial countries and people (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations;

(c) The reference in annex B of the Agreement to the so-called Republic of Korea is illegal, since the South Korean authorities can in no circumstances act on behalf of Korea.

UNITED KINGDOM

Upon signature:

"Her Majesty's Government intend that the United Kingdom should make a financial contribution to the buffer stock of the International Tin Council under the Fifth International Tin Agreement. The basis of this contribution has still to be decided."

NOTES:

1/ The International Tin Agreement, 1975, would have expired on 30 June 1981. By its resolution No. 121 of 14 January 1981, the International Tin Council decided, in accordance with article 57 (b) of the Agreement, to extend the said Agreement by a period of twelve months as from 1 July 1982.

2/ The instrument of ratification by the Government of Belgium also applies in respect of the Government of Luxembourg--the former having acted on behalf of the latter within the framework of the Belgo-Luxembourg Economic Union.

3/ With a declaration that the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect

XIX.13: 1975 Tin Agreement

---

from the date on which it has entered into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

4/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

5/ Notification of withdrawal with retroactive effect from 31 December 1981 received on

1 June 1982. The International Tin Council took note of the withdrawal at its special session on 19 March 1982.

6/ Notification of withdrawal with effect from 1 June 1983 received on 1 June 1982

## 14. INTERNATIONAL COCOA AGREEMENT, 1975

Concluded at Geneva on 20 October 1975

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 1 October 1976, in accordance with article 69(2), and definitively on 7 November 1978, in accordance with article 69(1).  
 REGISTRATION: 1 October 1976, No. 15033.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1023, p. 253.

Note: The Agreement was adopted by the United Nations Cocoa Conference, which was held in Geneva from 20 September 1975 to 10 October 1975. The Agreement was opened for signature at the United Nations Headquarters, New York, on 10 November 1975, the closing date for signature being 31 August 1976.

Extensions of the period for the deposit of instruments of ratification, approval or acceptance by the Members applying the Agreement provisionally in accordance with its article 66(2) were decided upon by the International Cocoa Council as follows:

<u>Date of decision</u>	<u>Extension to:</u>
3rd special session (1 Oct 1976) . . . . .	31 Mar 1977
8th session (15-18 Mar 1977) . . . . .	30 Sep 1977
9th session (26-29 Jul 1977) . . . . .	31 Mar 1978
10th session (13-15 Mar 1977) . . . . .	30 Sep 1978
11th session (24-28 Jul 1978) . . . . .	31 Mar 1979
12th session (15-16 Mar 1979) . . . . .	30 Sep 1979
13th session (10-12 Sep 1979) . . . . .	31 Mar 1980

During its thirteenth session held in London from 10 to 14 September 1979, the International Cocoa Council also unanimously decided to extend the Agreement until 31 March 1980.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a), notification under article 71, paragraph 4 (n)</u>
Australia . . . . .	30 Aug 1976		29 Sep 1976
Austria . . . . .	28 Jun 1976		31 Mar 1977
Belgium <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	23 Aug 1976	30 Sep 1976	6 Oct 1978
Brazil . . . . .	9 Jun 1976	14 Sep 1976	7 Nov 1978
Bulgaria . . . . .	31 Aug 1976	30 Sep 1976	7 Oct 1976 AA
Cameroon . . . . .	31 Aug 1976	30 Sep 1976	6 Sep 1979
Canada . . . . .	30 Jul 1976		17 Sep 1976
Colombia . . . . .		27 Sep 1976	16 Mar 1979 a
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .			27 Sep 1976 a
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	16 Aug 1976		30 Sep 1976 AA
Denmark . . . . .	30 Jun 1976		30 Sep 1976
Dominica . . . . .			11 Sep 1979 a
Ecuador . . . . .	30 Jun 1976		28 Sep 1976
European Economic Community . . . . .	27 Jul 1976	29 Sep 1976	23 Feb 1979 AA
Finland . . . . .	27 Aug 1976	24 Sep 1976	14 Jun 1977
France . . . . .	5 Apr 1976	24 Sep 1976	1 Aug 1977 AA
Gabon . . . . .			6 Dec 1976 a
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	24 May 1976	30 Sep 1976	30 Nov 1976 AA
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	14 Jul 1976	29 Sep 1976	28 Mar 1978
Ghana . . . . .	15 Mar 1976		28 Sep 1976
Grenada . . . . .			6 Dec 1976 a
Guatemala . . . . .	7 Apr 1976	22 Sep 1976	13 Aug 1979
Hungary . . . . .	27 Aug 1976		28 Sep 1976
Ireland . . . . .	26 Jul 1976	28 Sep 1976	14 Oct 1977
Italy . . . . .	23 Aug 1976	29 Sep 1976	14 Mar 1978
Jamaica . . . . .	30 Mar 1976		30 Sep 1976
Japan . . . . .	26 Apr 1976		16 Jul 1976 A
Luxembourg <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	23 Aug 1976	30 Sep 1976	6 Oct 1978
Mexico . . . . .	31 Aug 1976	29 Sep 1976	1 Mar 1977
Netherlands . . . . .	5 Aug 1976	16 Sep 1976	31 Mar 1978 A <sup>3</sup>
New Zealand . . . . .	28 Jul 1976		27 Sep 1976
Nigeria . . . . .			30 Sep 1976 a

XIX.14: 1975 Cocoa Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a), notification under article 71, paragraph 4 (n)</u>
Norway . . . . .	26 Apr 1976		1 Jul 1976
Panama . . . . .	27 Jul 1976		
Papua New Guinea . . . . .	12 Aug 1976		27 Sep 1976
Peru . . . . .		28 Sep 1976	31 Aug 1979 <u>a</u>
Philippines . . . . .			11 Aug 1978 <u>a</u>
Portugal . . . . .	31 Aug 1976	21 Sep 1976	
Saint Lucia . . . . .		18 Apr 1979	14 May 1979 <u>n</u>
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines . . . . .			8 Feb 1980 <u>n</u>
Samoa . . . . .			6 Dec 1976 <u>a</u>
Sao Tome and Principe . . . . .		30 Sep 1976	
Spain . . . . .	13 Jul 1976	30 Sep 1976	9 Dec 1976
Sweden . . . . .	22 Jun 1976		7 Jul 1976
Switzerland . . . . .	5 Apr 1976		27 Sep 1976
Togo . . . . .	12 May 1976	24 Sep 1976	
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	9 Jun 1976		2 Jul 1976
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	23 Aug 1976		16 Sep 1976 <u>A</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	31 Mar 1976		19 Aug 1976
Venezuela . . . . .	31 Aug 1976	18 Oct 1976	15 Feb 1979
Yugoslavia . . . . .	10 May 1976		30 Sep 1976
Zaire . . . . .	30 Jul 1976	30 Sep 1976	25 Jul 1977

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval, accession or notification.)

**BULGARIA**

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon approval:

"The People's Republic of Bulgaria considers the provisions of articles 3(2) and 71(1) of the International Cocoa Agreement as inconsistent with the spirit and letter of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (res. GA 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960)."

**CZECHOSLOVAKIA**

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon approval:

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic considers the provisions of the articles 3 and 71 of the International Cocoa Agreement, 1975, to be contradictory to the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960)."

**GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC**

Declaration made upon approval:  
In respect of article 14:

The Government of the German Democratic Republic deems it necessary to point out that the provisions of article 14 of the Agreement do not permit some States to acquire the status of an observer.

The Cocoa Agreement regulates questions that affect the interests of all States. The Government of the German Democratic Republic therefore considers that in accordance with the principle of the sovereign equality of States, all States interested should indiscriminately be given the opportunity to participate as observers in sessions of the International Cocoa Council.

In respect of articles 3 and 71:

The position of the Government of the German Democratic Republic on articles 3 and 71 of the International Cocoa Agreement, 1975, as far as the application of the Agreement to colonial and other dependent territories is concerned, is gov-



erned by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (res. No. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

HUNGARY

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic, on signing the International Cocoa Agreement, 1975 deems it necessary to declare that the provisions of article 71 of the international Cocoa Agreement, 1975 are at variance with the Declaration of the General Assembly of the United Nations on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaimed the need

for a speedy and unconditional elimination of all forms and manifestations of colonialism."

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon acceptance:

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics deems it necessary to declare that the provisions of articles 2, 3 and 71 of the Agreement concerning the extension by the Contracting Parties of its application to territories for whose international relations they are responsible are outmoded and at variance with the United Nations General Assembly's Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaimed the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	19 Aug 1976 3 Sep 1976	St. Vincent, St. Lucia, Dominica Bailiwick of Guernsey, Bailiwick of Jersey, Isle of Man

NOTES:

1/ The instrument of ratification by the Government of Belgium also applies in respect of the Government of Luxembourg.

2/ In a declaration accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it has entered into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

With reference to the above declaration the Secretary-General received on 19 December 1978 from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics the following communication:

The Soviet Union can take cognizance of the declaration by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the application of

the above-mentioned Agreement to Berlin (West) only on the understanding that the Agreement is being so applied in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and in compliance with the established procedures.

Thereafter, the Secretary-General received on 28 March 1979 from the Government of the German Democratic Republic the following communication:

As regards the application of that Agreement to Berlin (West), the German Democratic Republic understands that its provisions will be applied to Berlin (West) in keeping with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 according to which Berlin (West) is no constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and must not be governed by it.

3/ On behalf of the Kingdom in Europe.

## 15. INTERNATIONAL COFFEE AGREEMENT, 1976

Concluded at London on 3 December 1975

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 1 October 1976, in accordance with article 61(2), and definitively on 1 August 1977, in accordance with article 61(1).  
 REGISTRATION: 1 October 1976, No. 15034.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1024, p. 3.

Note: The International Coffee Agreement, 1976, was negotiated by the International Coffee Council, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the International Coffee Agreement, 1968, as extended by the Protocol of 26 September 1974, and was approved by the Council in the course of its twenty-eighth session held at London from 3 November to 3 December 1975 (Resolution No. 287 of 3 December 1975). Article 59 of the Agreement provides that it will be open for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, from 31 January to 31 July 1976, inclusive.

The International Coffee Council, on 28 September 1976 at its twenty-ninth session, under the provisions of article 60 (2) and article 61 (?) of the Agreement, decided:

1. To extend to 31 March 1977 the time-limit established in article 60 (2) of the Agreement for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval (resolution No. 289);

2. To extend from 31 December 1976 to 30 September 1977 the time-limit established in article 61 (2) of the Agreement within which any Government which is applying the Agreement provisionally may deposit its instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval (resolution No. 290).

Thereafter, the Executive Board of the International Coffee Organization decided, on 24 January 1977, to extend until 30 September 1977 the time-limit indicated in paragraph (1) above, and also until 30 September 1977 the time-limit indicated in paragraph (2) above.

Further extensions of the time-limit within which any Government which is applying the Agreement provisionally may deposit its instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval were decided upon by the International Coffee Council under the provisions of article 61 (2) of the Agreement as follows:

<u>Date of decision</u>	<u>Resolution No.</u>	<u>Extension to:</u>
26 Sep 1976 (31st session)	298	30 Sep 1978
26 Sep 1978 (32nd session)	300	30 Sep 1979
27 Sep 1979 (33rd session)	303	30 Sep 1980
18 Sep 1980 (34th session)	310	30 Sep 1981

At its meeting held from 22 to 26 February 1982, the Executive Board of the International Coffee Organization decided that the provisional membership of Belgium and the European Economic Community be deemed to have continued without interruption from 1 October 1981 to the date of this decision.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application under article 61 (2)</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>	<u>Notification of intention to continue participation [article 68 (2)]</u>
Angola . . . . .		30 Sep 1976	17 Oct 1979 <sup>a</sup>	26 Sep 1979
Australia . . . . .	30 Jul 1976		30 Sep 1976	22 Mar 1979
Austria . . . . .	19 Jul 1976		31 Mar 1977	19 Jun 1979
Belgium . . . . .	30 Jul 1976	28 Sep 1976		25 Jul 1979
Benin . . . . .	14 Apr 1976	30 Sep 1976	11 Feb 1977	31 Aug 1979
Bolivia . . . . .	15 Jul 1976	30 Sep 1976	30 Nov 1976	19 Sep 1979
Brazil . . . . .	17 Feb 1976		28 Sep 1976	22 Aug 1979
Burundi . . . . .	31 Jul 1976		25 Aug 1976	18 Sep 1979
Cameroon . . . . .	3 Jun 1976	30 Sep 1976	23 Sep 1977	10 Sep 1979
Canada . . . . .	30 Jul 1976		17 Sep 1976	28 Sep 1979
Central African Republic . . . . .	27 Jul 1976		28 Sep 1976	26 Jun 1979
Colombia . . . . .	21 Apr 1976	21 Apr 1976	24 Feb 1977	21 Aug 1979
Congo . . . . .		10 Sep 1976	19 Aug 1977 <sup>a</sup>	5 Sep 1979
Costa Rica . . . . .	5 Feb 1976	17 Sep 1976	20 Jan 1977	7 Sep 1979
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	29 Jul 1976	27 Sep 1976	22 Jun 1977	6 Sep 1979
Cyprus . . . . .			28 Mar 1977 <sup>a</sup>	25 Sep 1979
Denmark . . . . .	30 Jun 1976		17 Sep 1976	29 Jun 1979
Dominican Republic . . . . .	30 Jun 1976	28 Sep 1976	14 Oct 1976	14 Sep 1979
Ecuador . . . . .	28 Jul 1976		28 Sep 1976	21 Aug 1979
El Salvador . . . . .	4 Jun 1976	24 May 1976	11 Aug 1976	25 Sep 1979
Ethiopia . . . . .	27 Jul 1976	30 Sep 1976	29 Nov 1976	25 Jul 1979
European Economic Community . . . . .	27 Jul 1976	28 Sep 1976		28 Sep 1979

XIX.15: 1976 Coffee Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application under article 61 (2)</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>	<u>Notification of intention to continue participation [article 68 (2)]</u>
Finland . . . . .	30 Jul 1976	24 Sep 1976	14 Sep 1978	19 Jul 1979
France . . . . .	23 Feb 1976	24 Sep 1976	1 Aug 1977 <u>AA</u>	17 Jul 1979
Gabon . . . . .	30 Jul 1976	11 Oct 1976	8 Aug 1977	21 Aug 1979
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	19 Mar 1976		29 Sep 1976 <sup>1</sup>	17 Sep 1979 <sup>1</sup>
Ghana . . . . .	30 Jul 1976	30 Sep 1976	11 Oct 1976	25 Sep 1979
Greece . . . . .		15 Sep 1981		
Guatemala . . . . .	19 Mar 1976	16 Aug 1976	15 Dec 1976	19 Mar 1979
Guinea . . . . .	30 Jul 1976	30 Sep 1976	11 Oct 1976	17 Aug 1979
Haiti . . . . .	3 Jun 1976	16 Sep 1976	21 Jan 1977	29 Sep 1979
Honduras . . . . .	22 Apr 1976	30 Sep 1976	11 Oct 1976	11 Sep 1979
Hungary . . . . .			23 May 1977 <u>a</u>	19 Jul 1979
India . . . . .	16 Jul 1976		20 Sep 1976	24 Sep 1979
Indonesia . . . . .	22 Jul 1976	30 Sep 1976	14 Oct 1976	2 Aug 1979
Ireland . . . . .	26 Jul 1976	28 Sep 1976	22 Sep 1977	29 Aug 1979
Israel . . . . .	28 Jul 1976		29 Mar 1977	28 Sep 1979
Italy . . . . .	27 Jul 1976	29 Sep 1976	18 Sep 1978	27 Aug 1979
Jamaica . . . . .	26 Jul 1976		24 Sep 1976	28 Sep 1979
Japan . . . . .	27 Jul 1976	29 Sep 1976 <sup>2</sup>	10 Dec 1976 <u>A</u>	21 Sep 1979
Kenya . . . . .	22 Jul 1976	17 Sep 1976	23 Feb 1977	20 Sep 1979
Liberia . . . . .	7 May 1976	30 Sep 1976	28 Aug 1978	11 Sep 1979
Luxembourg . . . . .	30 Jul 1976	28 Sep 1976		25 Jul 1979
Madagascar . . . . .			29 Sep 1976 <u>a</u>	4 Sep 1979
Malawi . . . . .			15 Jun 1978 <u>a</u>	6 Aug 1979
Mexico . . . . .	2 Feb 1976	23 Sep 1976	9 Feb 1977	11 Jul 1979
Netherlands . . . . .	27 Jul 1976	16 Sep 1976	6 Sep 1978 <sup>3</sup>	8 Mar 1979
New Zealand . . . . .	28 Jul 1976		27 Sep 1976	13 Sep 1979
Nicaragua . . . . .	2 Mar 1976		21 May 1976	21 Sep 1979
Nigeria . . . . .	30 Jul 1976	30 Sep 1976	11 Nov 1976	26 Sep 1979
Norway . . . . .	26 Apr 1976		1 Jul 1976	10 Aug 1979
Panama . . . . .	27 Jul 1976	20 Sep 1976	13 Dec 1976	14 Aug 1979
Papua New Guinea . . . . .	10 Jun 1976		19 Jul 1976	27 Sep 1979
Paraguay . . . . .	30 Mar 1976	28 Sep 1976	11 Oct 1976	28 Aug 1979
Peru . . . . .	23 Jul 1976		31 Aug 1976 <u>A</u>	19 Sep 1979
Philippines . . . . .			14 Oct 1980 <u>a</u>	
Portugal . . . . .	15 Jul 1976	21 Sep 1976	25 Aug 1977	21 Aug 1979
Rwanda . . . . .	31 Mar 1976	30 Sep 1976	23 Nov 1976	14 Aug 1979
Sierra Leone . . . . .	13 Jul 1976	30 Sep 1976	6 Oct 1976	15 Aug 1979
Singapore . . . . .				28 Aug 1981 <u>a</u>
Spain . . . . .	13 Jul 1976	30 Sep 1976	9 Dec 1976	11 Sep 1979
Sri Lanka . . . . .				24 Jun 1981 <u>a</u>
Sweden . . . . .	22 Jun 1976		7 Jul 1976	14 Jun 1979
Switzerland . . . . .	5 Apr 1976		27 Sep 1976	28 Sep 1979
Thailand . . . . .				7 Jul 1981 <u>a</u>
Togo . . . . .	25 Mar 1976	28 Sep 1976	8 Dec 1976	12 Sep 1979
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	9 Jun 1976		2 Jul 1976	28 Sep 1979
Uganda . . . . .	29 Jul 1976		21 Sep 1976	22 Sep 1979
United Kingdom . . . . .	31 Mar 1976		19 Aug 1976	17 Sep 1979 <sup>4</sup>
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	9 Jun 1976	24 Sep 1976	4 Apr 1977	28 Sep 1979
United States of America . . . . .	27 Feb 1976		24 Sep 1976	14 Sep 1979
Venezuela . . . . .	30 Jul 1976	21 Sep 1976	27 Sep 1977	17 Sep 1979
Yugoslavia . . . . .	31 Jul 1976	30 Sep 1976	28 Dec 1976	28 Sep 1979
Zaire . . . . .	30 Jul 1976	30 Sep 1976	25 Jul 1977	27 Jun 1979
Zimbabwe . . . . .				22 Jan 1981 <u>a</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval, accession or notification.)

## HUNGARY

"(a) The Hungarian People's Republic adhere as an importing country to the International Coffee Agreement of 1976.

"(b) The Hungarian People's Republic draws attention to the fact that article 64 of the Agreement is incompatible with UN General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 10 December 1960 on the Granting of Independence to the Colonial Countries and Peoples, which calls for the rapid and unconditional elimination of colonialism in all its forms and manifestations".

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
New Zealand . . .	6 Sep 1978	Niue
	20 Aug 1981	Cook Islands
United Kingdom <sup>4</sup> .	19 Aug 1976	Hong Kong <sup>4</sup>
	21 Jan 1971	Bailiwick of Guernsey, Bailiwick of Jersey

## NOTES:

1/ With a declaration that the said Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it has entered into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

2/ With a declaration that it was the understanding of the Government of Japan that during the period of provisional application, the Agreement will be implemented by the Government of Japan within the limitation of its internal legislations and budgets.

3/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

4/ The notification by the Government of the United Kingdom of intention to continue participation specifies that it is made in respect of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Hong Kong, the Bailiwick of Guernsey and the Bailiwick of Jersey.

In this regard, in a communication received on 30 September 1982, the Government of the United Kingdom notified the Secretary-General, in accordance with its article 64 (3), that the Agreement would cease to apply to Hong Kong at midnight on the same date.

## 15 (a): EXTENSION OF THE INTERNATIONAL COFFEE AGREEMENT, 1976

Approved by the International Coffee Council in resolution No. 318  
of 25 September 1981

EFFECTIVE DATE: 1 October 1982, in accordance with paragraph 2 of Resolution No. 318 adopted by the International Coffee Council on 25 September 1981.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 October 1982, No. 15034.  
 TEXTE: Resolution No. 318 adopted by the International Coffee Council on 25 September 1981.  
 TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT: 30 September 1983, in accordance with paragraph 1 of Resolution No. 318.

Note: The International Coffee Agreement of 1976 would have expired on 30 September 1982. In accordance with the provisions of article 68, paragraph 3 of the Agreement, the International Coffee Council decided, by its Resolution No. 318 of 25 September 1981, to extend the Agreement until 30 September 1983.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance of the extension by virtue of paragraph 2 of Resolution No. 318</u>	<u>Provisional application of the Agreement as extended by paragraph 3 of Resolution No. 318<sup>1</sup></u>
Angola . . . . .	10 Sep 1982	
Austria . . . . .	13 Sep 1982	
Belgium . . . . .		30 Sep 1982
Benin . . . . .	13 Sep 1982	
Bolivia . . . . .	29 Sep 1982	
Brazil . . . . .	22 Apr 1982	
Burundi . . . . .	23 Jul 1982	
Cameroon . . . . .	30 Sep 1982	
Canada . . . . .	30 Sep 1982	
Central African Republic . . . . .		17 Sep 1982
Colombia . . . . .	14 Jun 1982	
Costa Rica . . . . .		16 Sep 1982
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	9 Jul 1982 <sup>2</sup>	
Cyprus . . . . .	28 Sep 1982	
Denmark . . . . .		30 Sep 1982
Dominican Republic . . . . .	7 Sep 1982	
Ecuador . . . . .	2 Aug 1982	
El Salvador . . . . .	19 Jul 1982	
Ethiopia . . . . .		10 May 1982
European Economic Community . . . . .		30 Sep 1982
Finland . . . . .		28 Sep 1982
France . . . . .	30 Sep 1982	
Gabon . . . . .	3 Sep 1982	
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .		30 Sep 1982
Greece . . . . .		30 Sep 1982
Guatemala . . . . .	28 Apr 1982	
Guinea . . . . .	14 Sep 1982	
Haiti . . . . .	30 Sep 1982	
Honduras . . . . .	30 Sep 1982	
India . . . . .	7 Sep 1982	
Indonesia . . . . .	3 Sep 1982	
Ireland . . . . .		30 Sep 1982
Italy . . . . .	30 Sep 1982	
Japan . . . . .	27 Sep 1982	
Kenya . . . . .	21 Jun 1982	
Liberia . . . . .	27 Sep 1982	
Luxembourg . . . . .		30 Sep 1982
Madagascar . . . . .	16 Sep 1982	
Malawi . . . . .	30 Sep 1982	
Mexico . . . . .	2 Feb 1982	
Netherlands . . . . .	30 Aug 1982 <sup>3</sup>	
New Zealand . . . . .	30 Sep 1982 <sup>4</sup>	
Nicaragua . . . . .	20 Jul 1982	
Nigeria . . . . .		13 Sep 1982
Norway . . . . .	30 Sep 1982	
Panama . . . . .	16 Jul 1982	

XIX.15: 1976 Coffee Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance of the extension by virtue of paragraph 2 of Resolution No. 318</u>	<u>Provisional application of the Agreement as extended by paragraph 3 of Resolution No. 318<sup>1</sup></u>
Papua New Guinea . . . . .	30 Jul 1982	
Paraguay . . . . .	27 Aug 1982	
Peru . . . . .	28 Sep 1982	
Philippines . . . . .	18 Aug 1982	
Portugal . . . . .	27 Sep 1982	
Rwanda . . . . .	13 May 1982	
Sierra Leone . . . . .		30 Sep 1982
Singapore . . . . .		30 Sep 1982
Spain . . . . .	2 Jun 1982	
Sri Lanka . . . . .	16 Sep 1982	
Sweden . . . . .	21 Sep 1982	
Switzerland . . . . .	24 Sep 1982	
Thailand . . . . .	30 Sep 1982	
Togo . . . . .		16 Aug 1982
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	20 Sep 1982	
Uganda . . . . .	9 Aug 1982	
United Kingdom . . . . .		30 Sep 1982 <sup>5</sup>
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	8 Sep 1982	
United States of America . . . . .	11 Feb 1982	
Yugoslavia . . . . .	30 Sep 1982	
Venezuela . . . . .		17 Sep 1982
Zaire . . . . .	6 Aug 1982	
Zimbabwe . . . . .		24 Feb 1982

NOTES:

1/ In accordance with paragraph 3 of Resolution No. 318 this notification of provisions application should be followed by a formal (definitive) notification of acceptance under paragraph 2 of the said Resolution no later than 31 March 1983.

2/ As a member of the African and Malagasy Coffee Organization (OAMCAF).

3/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

4/ Also applies to Cook Islands and Niue.

5/ Also applies to the Bailiwick of Guernsey and the Bailiwick of Jersey.

XIX 15: 1976 Coffee Agreement

15 b) INTERNATIONAL COFFEE AGREEMENT, 1976

Concluded at London on 3 December 1975, as extended until 30 September 1983 by the International Coffee Council in Resolution No. 318 of 25 September 1981

EFFECTIVE DATE: 1 October 1982, in accordance with Resolution No. 318.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 October 1982, No. 15034 (registration of the extension).  
 TEXT: Resolution No. 318 adopted by the International Coffee Council on 25 September 1981.  
 TERMINATION: 30 September 1983.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance of the extension by virtue of paragraph 2 of Resolution No. 318</u>	<u>Provisional application of the Agreement as extended by paragraph 3 of Resolution No. 318<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Official (definitive) acceptance (n), accession<sup>2</sup></u>
Angola . . . . .	10 Sep 1982		
Australia . . . . .			5 Jan 1983
Austria . . . . .	13 Sep 1982		
Belgium . . . . .		30 Sep 1982	30 Sep 1983 n
Benin . . . . .	13 Sep 1982		
Bolivia . . . . .	29 Sep 1982		
Brazil . . . . .	22 Apr 1982		
Burundi . . . . .	23 Jul 1982		
Cameroon . . . . .	30 Sep 1982		
Canada . . . . .	30 Sep 1982		
Central African Republic . . . . .		17 Sep 1982	3 Mar 1983 n
Colombia . . . . .	14 Jun 1982		
Congo . . . . .			21 Mar 1983 <sup>3</sup>
Costa Rica . . . . .		16 Sep 1982	21 Jan 1983 n
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	9 Jul 1982 <sup>4</sup>		
Cyprus . . . . .	28 Sep 1982		
Denmark . . . . .		30 Sep 1982	
Dominican Republic . . . . .	7 Sep 1982		
Ecuador . . . . .	2 Aug 1982		
El Salvador . . . . .	19 Jul 1982		
Ethiopia . . . . .		10 May 1982	4 Mar 1983 n
European Economic Community . . . . .		30 Sep 1982	
Fiji . . . . .			30 Jun 1983
Finland . . . . .		28 Sep 1982	30 Aug 1983 n
France . . . . .	30 Sep 1982		
Gabon . . . . .	3 Sep 1982		
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .		30 Sep 1982	
Ghana . . . . .			9 Feb 1983
Greece . . . . .		30 Sep 1982	10 Jun 1983 n
Guatemala . . . . .	28 Apr 1982		
Guinea . . . . .	14 Sep 1982		
Haiti . . . . .	30 Sep 1982		
Honduras . . . . .	30 Sep 1982		
India . . . . .	7 Sep 1982		
Indonesia . . . . .	3 Sep 1982		
Ireland . . . . .		30 Sep 1982	28 Jul 1983 n
Italy . . . . .	30 Sep 1982		
Jamaica . . . . .			21 Jan 1983
Japan . . . . .	27 Sep 1982		
Kenya . . . . .	21 Jun 1982		
Liberia . . . . .	27 Sep 1982		
Luxembourg . . . . .		30 Sep 1982	30 Sep 1983 n
Madagascar . . . . .	16 Sep 1982		
Malawi . . . . .	30 Sep 1982		
Mexico . . . . .	2 Feb 1982		
Netherlands . . . . .	30 Aug 1982 <sup>5</sup>		
New Zealand . . . . .	30 Sep 1982 <sup>6</sup>		
Nicaragua . . . . .	20 Jul 1982		
Nigeria . . . . .		13 Sep 1982	
Norway . . . . .	30 Sep 1982		
Panama . . . . .	16 Jul 1982		
Papua New Guinea . . . . .	30 Jul 1982		

XIX.15: 1976 Coffee Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance of the extension by virtue of paragraph 2 of Resolution No. 318</u>	<u>Provisional application of the Agreement as extended by paragraph 3 of Resolution No. 318<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Official (definitive) acceptance (n), accession<sup>2</sup></u>
Paraguay . . . . .	27 Aug 1982		
Peru . . . . .	28 Sep 1982		
Philippines . . . . .	18 Aug 1982		
Portugal . . . . .	27 Sep 1982		
Rwanda . . . . .	13 May 1982		
Sierra Leone . . . . .		30 Sep 1982	
Singapore . . . . .		30 Sep 1982	3 Feb 1983 <u>n</u>
Spain . . . . .	2 Jun 1982		
Sri Lanka . . . . .	16 Sep 1982		
Sweden . . . . .	21 Sep 1982		
Switzerland . . . . .	24 Sep 1982		
Thailand . . . . .	30 Sep 1982		
Togo . . . . .		16 Aug 1982	
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	20 Sep 1982		
Uganda . . . . .	9 Aug 1982		
United Kingdom . . . . .		30 Sep 1982 <sup>7</sup>	28 Feb 1983 <u>n</u> <sup>7</sup>
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	8 Sep 1982		
United States of America . . . . .	11 Feb 1982		
Yugoslavia . . . . .	30 Sep 1982		
Venezuela . . . . .		17 Sep 1982	12 Apr 1983 <u>n</u>
Zaire . . . . .	6 Aug 1982		
Zimbabwe . . . . .		24 Feb 1982	15 Jul 1983 <u>n</u>

NOTES:

1/ In accordance with paragraph 3 of Resolution No. 318 the notification of provisional application should be followed by an acceptance under paragraph 2 no later than 31 March 1983.

2/ Pursuant to Resolution No. 322, adopted by the International Coffee Council on 16 September 1982, Contracting States to the International Coffee Agreement, 1976, which have not notified their acceptance of the said Agreement as extended may accede thereto no later than 31 March 1983 on condition that they undertake to fulfill all previous obligations with retroactive effect from 10 October 1982. By virtue of the powers delegated to it under Resolution No. 292 of the Council, the Executive Board of the International Coffee

Organisation decided at its meeting held from 24 to 28 January 1983 to extend the time limit for the deposit of instruments of formal (definitive) acceptance to 30 September 1983.

3/ With retroactive effect as from 1 October 1982, in accordance with resolution No. 322 of International Coffee Council.

4/ As a member of the African and Malagasy Coffee Organization (OAMCAF).

5/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

6/ Also applies to Cook Islands and Niue.

7/ Also applies to the Bailiwick of Guernsey and the Bailiwick of Jersey.



## 16. AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE INTERNATIONAL TEA PROMOTION ASSOCIATION

Concluded at Geneva on 31 March 1977

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 23 February 1979, in accordance with article 19(1).

REGISTRATION: 23 February 1979, No. 17582.

TEXT: Annex I of document ITPA/CONF/5 of the UNCTAD/GATT International Trade Centre.

Note: The Agreement was drawn up by the Intergovernmental Conference of the Tea Producing Countries for the establishment of an International Tea Promotion Association, which met in Geneva from 7 to 17 September 1976. (The Conference had been convened by the International Trade Centre UNCTAD/GATT.) In accordance with the provisions of the resolution adopted on 17 September 1976 by the Conference, the Governments of nine countries whose total volume of exports of tea accounted for more than two-thirds of the total volume of exports of tea of all countries qualified to participate in the Agreement had, as at 31 March 1977, notified the Director of the International Trade Centre UNCTAD/GATT their approval of the text of the Agreement.

In accordance with the provisions of article 18, the Agreement has been opened for signature at the United Nations Headquarters, New York, from 15 April 1977 until and including 15 October 1977.

By a Resolution adopted by the Governing Board of the International Tea Promotion Association on 21 November 1984, it was decided to suspend for an initial period of two years the following articles of the Agreement establishing the International Tea Promotion Association: article 1, paragraph 2, but only with regard to the phrase "and to formulate programmes to achieve this objective"; article 1, paragraph 3; article 11; article 12 and article 13.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance(A), approval (AA), accession(a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance(A), approval (AA), accession(a)</u>
Bangladesh . . . .		2 Apr 1979 a	Mozambique . . . .		29 Mar 1984 a
India . . . . .	20 Jul 1977	[1 Nov 1977] <sup>1</sup>	Sri Lanka . . . . .	22 Sep 1977	[1 Nov 1977] <sup>2</sup>
Indonesia . . . . .	7 Jul 1977	31 Aug 1978	Uganda . . . . .	14 Oct 1977	23 Aug 1978
Kenya . . . . .	2 Aug 1977	17 May 1978	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	27 Jul 1977	28 Jul 1978
Malawi . . . . .	17 Aug 1977	22 Feb 1978			
Mauritius . . . . .	2 Aug 1977	25 Nov 1977			

## NOTES:

1/ On 25 July 1984 a notification of withdrawal was received from the Government of India.

2/ On 29 September 1982 a notification of withdrawal was received from the Government of Sri Lanka.

17. AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE SOUTHEAST ASIA TIN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTRE

Concluded at Bangkok on 28 April 1977

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 10 February 1978, in accordance with article 8.  
REGISTRATION: 10 February 1978, No. 16434.  
TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1075

Note: The Agreement was drawn up within the framework of the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific. It was open for signature at the headquarters of the Commission, in Bangkok, until 30 April 1977.

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance(a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance(a)</u>
Indonesia . . . . .	28 Apr 1977	11 Jan 1978 <sup>1</sup>	Thailand . . . . .	28 Apr 1977	11 Jan 1978 <sup>1</sup>
Malaysia . . . . .	28 Apr 1977	11 Jan 1978 <sup>1</sup>			

---

NOTES:

1/ By notifications, the last of which was received by the Secretary-General on 11 January 1978, the Governments of Indonesia, Malaysia and Thailand agreed to extend until 31 October 1977 the time-limit for lodging their instrument of ratification previously set at 31 July 1977 under article 7 (c) of the Agreement.

The instruments of ratification by the Governments of Indonesia, Malaysia and Thailand, which were lodged with the Secretary-General on 12 and 20 September and 18 October 1977, respectively, were officially deposited with the Secretary-General on 11 January 1978, the date of receipt of the last notification of acceptance referred to in the preceding paragraphs.

18. INTERNATIONAL SUGAR AGREEMENT, 1977

Concluded at Geneva on 7 October 1977

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 1 January 1978, in accordance with article 75(2), and definitively on 2 January 1980, in accordance with article 75(1).  
 REGISTRATION: 1 January 1978, No. 16200.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1064, p. 219; depositary notifications C.N.223.1978.TREATIES-19 of 19 September 1978, ~~C.N.228.1978.TREATIES-20 of 22 September 1978, C.N.291.1978.TREATIES-22 of 7 December 1978, C.N.7.1979.TREATIES-3 of 6 February 1979, C.N.77.1979.TREATIES-6 of 26 April 1979 and C.N.49.1980.TREATIES-3 of 4 March 1980~~ (procès-verbaux of rectification of the original French and Russian, French and Spanish, Russian, French, and French, Russian and Spanish texts, respectively).

Note: The Agreement was drawn up by the United Nations Sugar Conference, 1977,<sup>1</sup> which met at Geneva from 18 April to 27 May 1977 and from 12 September to 7 October 1977. It was adopted by the Conference at its final Plenary meeting, held on 7 October 1977. The Agreement was open for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, at New York, from 28 October to 31 December 1977, in accordance with its article 72.

Extensions of the time-limit in accordance with article 72(2) of the Agreement for the deposit of instruments of ratification, accession, acceptance or approval, were decided upon as follows:

<u>Date of Decision</u>	<u>Author:</u>	<u>Extension to:</u>
16 Jan 1978	International Sugar Council	30 Jun 1978*
15 Jun 1978	Executive Committee of the International Sugar Organization	31 Dec 1978
14 Dec 1978	International Sugar Council	30 Jun 1979
14 Jun 1979	International Sugar Council	31 Dec 1979
12 Dec 1979	Executive Committee of the International Sugar Organization	30 Jun 1980
29 May 1980	Executive Committee of the International Sugar Organization	31 Dec 1980

The International Sugar Council, acting by virtue of article 83(2) of the Agreement, extended the Agreement, by its decision 14 of 21 May 1982, for a further two-year period (1983-1984) subject to the adjustment of the basic tonnages and taking into account the decisions taken by the Council in November 1981 and May 1982.

\*Also applicable for the deposit of notifications of provisional application (article 74(7)).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), approval (AA)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	8 Dec 1977	8 Dec 1977	4 Aug 1978
Australia . . . . .	20 Dec 1977	20 Dec 1977	27 Jun 1978
Austria . . . . .			8 Feb 1979 a
Bangladesh . . . . .	30 Dec 1977		[16 May 1978] <sup>2</sup>
Barbados . . . . .	16 Dec 1977		16 Dec 1977
Belize . . . . .			17 Dec 1981 a
Bolivia . . . . .			27 Mar 1978 a
Brazil . . . . .	13 Dec 1977	13 Dec 1977	5 Feb 1980
Bulgaria . . . . .	30 Dec 1977		10 Apr 1978 A
Canada . . . . .	30 Dec 1977		30 Dec 1977
Colombia . . . . .		14 Apr 1980	2 Sep 1981 a
Costa Rica . . . . .	20 Dec 1977	22 Dec 1977	27 Mar 1980
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .		26 Dec 1979	23 Jan 1981 a
Cuba . . . . .	14 Dec 1977	28 Dec 1977	7 Mar 1978
Dominican Republic . . . . .	30 Dec 1977	22 Feb 1978	19 Mar 1980
Ecuador . . . . .	14 Dec 1977		16 Jan 1978
Egypt . . . . .	30 Dec 1977	30 Dec 1977	25 Jan 1979
El Salvador . . . . .	28 Dec 1977	28 Dec 1977	22 Nov 1978
Ethiopia . . . . .	30 Dec 1977		
Fiji . . . . .	29 Dec 1977		29 Dec 1977
Finland . . . . .	30 Dec 1977	30 Dec 1977	29 Dec 1978
Gabon . . . . .		22 Aug 1984	

XIX.18: 1977 International Sugar Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), approval (AA)</u>
German Democratic Republic			4 Aug 1978 <sup>a</sup>
Guatemala	1 Dec 1977	20 Dec 1977	30 Dec 1978
Guyana	20 Dec 1977		16 Jan 1978
Haiti	19 Dec 1977		11 Dec 1978
Honduras	7 Dec 1977	16 Jan 1978	31 May 1978
Hungary	20 Dec 1977	20 Dec 1977	9 Mar 1978
India	30 Dec 1977	30 Dec 1977	15 Feb 1978
Indonesia	28 Dec 1977	16 Jan 1978	27 Feb 1980
Iraq		30 Jun 1978	31 Dec 1978 <sup>a</sup>
Jamaica	23 Dec 1977	23 Dec 1977	16 Feb 1978
Japan	23 Dec 1977	23 Dec 1977 <sup>3</sup>	30 Jun 1978 <sup>A</sup>
Kenya	15 Dec 1977		12 Jul 1978
Madagascar	1 Dec 1977	1 Dec 1977	30 Jan 1978
Malawi	29 Dec 1977		19 Jan 1978 <sup>A</sup>
Mauritius	1 Dec 1977		20 Dec 1977 <sup>A</sup>
Mexico	31 Dec 1977	16 Jan 1978	11 Jan 1979
Mozambique		24 Jan 1978	31 Dec 1979 <sup>a</sup>
New Zealand	22 Dec 1977	28 Dec 1977	29 Dec 1977
Nicaragua	15 Dec 1977	15 Dec 1977	28 Apr 1978
Nigeria	31 Dec 1977		
Norway	23 Dec 1977	8 May 1978	28 Dec 1978
Pakistan			3 Apr 1978 <sup>a</sup>
Panama	29 Nov 1977	29 Nov 1977	19 Dec 1978
Paraguay	7 Dec 1977	24 Jan 1978	8 Jul 1980
Peru	16 Dec 1977		30 Dec 1977
Philippines	18 Nov 1977		16 Jan 1978
Portugal <sup>4</sup>	[29 Dec 1977]	[18 Jan 1978]	
Republic of Korea	29 Dec 1977	29 Dec 1977	5 Dec 1978
Singapore	[29 Dec 1977]		[16 Jan 1978] <sup>5</sup>
South Africa	19 Dec 1977		28 Dec 1977
Swaziland	21 Dec 1977		21 Dec 1977
Sweden	28 Dec 1977	28 Dec 1977	28 Jun 1978
Thailand	23 Dec 1977	23 Dec 1977	23 May 1978
Trinidad and Tobago	21 Dec 1977		28 Dec 1977
Uganda	29 Dec 1977		16 Jan 1978
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	29 Dec 1977		30 Dec 1977 <sup>A</sup>
United Kingdom			
(In respect of Belize and St. Kitts-Nevis -Anguilla only) <sup>6</sup>	20 Dec 1977	28 Dec 1977	27 Jun 1978
United States of America	9 Dec 1977	28 Dec 1977 <sup>7</sup>	2 Jan 1980
Venezuela	23 Dec 1977	29 Dec 1977	
Yugoslavia	29 Dec 1977		29 Jun 1978
Zimbabwe			20 Oct 1980 <sup>a</sup>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or approval.)

AUSTRALIA

"The Government of Australia reserves the right, having regard to paragraphs 3 and 4 of Article 5 of the Agreement, to determine the income tax exemptions to be granted to personnel of the International Sugar Organization if the seat of the Organization should be moved to Australia."

CUBA

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

The Republic of Cuba declares that the provisions of article 77 of the International Sugar Agreement, 1977, are contrary to resolution 1514

(XV), adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on 14 December 1960, which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

The signature of the Republic of Cuba to the 1977 International Sugar Agreement shall not be interpreted as recognition or acceptance on the part of the Government of Cuba of the Fascist Government of South Africa, which does not represent the South African people and which, because of its systematic practice of the discriminatory policy of apartheid, has been expelled from international agencies and has been condemned by the United Nations and rejected by all the peoples of the world.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

In respect of article 33 (4):

The Government of the German Democratic Republic considers it a matter of principle that international commodity agreements should take due account of both the interests of the producer and the consumer countries.

The Government of the German Democratic Republic considers it important that when revised basic export tonnages are established pursuant to article 34, paragraph 2, the German Democratic Republic, in conformity with its production and consumption trends as well as its long-term obligations, should be granted an export allowance in excess of the one currently fixed at 75 kt for the German Democratic Republic.

The Government of the German Democratic Republic expresses the expectation that its fundamental interests as a member will duly be taken into account in future new arrangements in the framework of the International Sugar Agreement.

In respect of articles 4 and 77:

In its position on the provisions of the Agreement, as far as its application to colonial and other dependent territories is concerned, the Government of the German Democratic Republic is guided by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing colonialism to a speedy and unconditional end, in all its forms and manifestations.

HUNGARY

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The provisions of article 77 of the Agreement are contrary to United Nations General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960 on the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples.

"The reference in annex U of the Agreement to the so-called Republic of Korea is illegal, since the South Korean authorities cannot speak on behalf of the whole of Korea".

INDIA

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"Without prejudice to the general obligations under the present Agreement, the Government of India undertakes to discharge its obligations under article 46 relating to special stocks, article 48 relating to maximum stocks, article 64 relating to support measures and article 65 re-

lating to measures to encourage consumption, only to the extent consistent with its policy in the fields of controls, taxation and pricing which it is pursuing in the process of developing its economy on a planned basis."

IRAQ<sup>8</sup>

Entry into to the Agreement by the Republic of Iraq shall in no way signify recognition of Israel or be conducive to entry into any relations therewith.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon acceptance:

It is understood that, in view of the socio-economic structure of the USSR, those provisions of the articles of the Agreement which relate to limitation of production, sugar stocks and subsidizing of production and exports are not applicable to the USSR.

In signing the International Sugar Agreement, 1977, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers it necessary to state the following:

If the European Economic Community should become a Party to this Agreement, participation in the Agreement by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics shall not create any obligation on its part towards that Community;

In view of its well-known position on the Korean question, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics cannot accept as legitimate the designation "Republic of Korea" appearing in annex U to the Agreement;

Those provisions of articles 2, 4 and 77 of the Agreement which relate to its extension by Parties to territories for whose international relations they are responsible are outmoded and at variance with the United Nations General Assembly's Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960), which proclaims the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"In accordance with the provisions of paragraph (3) of Article 77 of the Agreement and with the express consent and approval of Belize and Saint Christopher-Nevis-Anguilla, the Government of the United Kingdom hereby declare that they desire to exercise the right to separate membership of the International Sugar Organization for each of the said territories."

NOTES:

1/ United Nations Sugar Conference, 1977 (TD/SUGAR.9/10).

2/ Notification of withdrawal received on 24 May 1984, with effect from 23 June 1984 (Also see note 1 under XIX.18(b) hereinafter.

3/ With a declaration that it was the understanding of the Government of Japan that, during

the period of provisional application, the Agreement will be implemented by the Government of Japan within the limitations of its internal legislations and budgets.

4/ In a communication received on 7 October 1980, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General, in accordance with the provisions of article 79 (1) of the above-mentioned Agreement, that it had decided to withdraw from the said Agreement. In accordance with article 79(2), the withdrawal took effect on 6 November 1980.

5/ Notification of withdrawal received on 31 December 1982, with effect from 31 December 1982

6/ Belize having become independant acceded to the Agreement on 17 December 1981.

7/ With a declaration that the Government of the United States of America will apply the International Sugar Agreement, 1977, provisionally,

within the limitations of United States national legislation and budgetary process.

8/ In connexion with the declaration by Iraq, the Secretary-General received on 7 May 1979 from the Government of Israel the following communication:

"The Instrument deposited by the Government of Iraq contains a statement of a political character in respect to Israel. In the view of the Government of Israel, this is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements, which are moreover in flagrant contradiction to the principles, objects and purposes of the Organization. That pronouncement by the Government of Iraq cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon it under general international law or under particular treaties.

"The Government of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity."

18. (a) EXTENSION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SUGAR AGREEMENT, 1977

Approved by the International Sugar Council in decisions No. 13  
of 20 November 1981 and No. 14 of 21 May 1982

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1983, in accordance with decisions No. 13 of 20 November 1981 and No. 14  
of 21 May 1982 adopted by the International Sugar Council.  
REGISTRATION: 1 January 1983.  
TEXT: Decisions No. 13 of 20 November 1981 and No. 14 of 21 May 1982 adopted by the  
International Sugar Council.

Note: The International Sugar Agreement of 1977 would have expired on 31 December 1982. By its  
decisions No. 13 of 20 November 1981 and No. 14 of 21 May 1982, adopted at its thirteenth and fourteenth  
sessions, respectively, the International Sugar Council, acting pursuant to article 83 (1) of the  
Agreement, decided to extend the Agreement until 31 December 1984. All Parties to the International  
Sugar Agreement, 1977 are considered as having accepted the said extension in the absence of a  
notification of withdrawal.

---

XIX.18: 1977 International Sugar Agreement

XIX.18 (b) INTERNATIONAL SUGAR AGREEMENT, 1977

Concluded at Geneva on 7 October 1977, as extended until 31 December 1984 by the International Sugar Council in decisions No. 13 of 20 November 1981 and No. 14 of 21 May 1982

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1983, in accordance with decisions No. 13 of 20 November 1981 and No. 14 of 21 May 1982 adopted by the International Sugar Council.  
 REGISTRATION: 1 January 1983, No. 16200.  
 TEXT: Decisions No. 13 of 20 November 1981 and No. 14 of 21 May 1982 adopted by the International Sugar Council.

Note: See under chapter XIX.18 (a). In conformity with article 83(2), all Parties to the International Sugar Agreement, 1977, are considered as having accepted the said extension in the absence of a notification of withdrawal by 31 December 1982. On 6 July 1983, the Executive Committee established conditions of accession for Uruguay.

Participant	<u>Acceptance of the extension by virtue of decisions No. 13 of 20 November 1981 and No. 14 of 21 May 1982 (as indicated by *)</u>	<u>Provisional acceptance of the Agreement as extended by decisions No. 13 of 20 November 1981 and No. 14 of 21 May 1982</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	*		
Australia . . . . .	*		
Austria . . . . .	*		
Bangladesh . . . . .	[*] <sup>1</sup>		
Barbados . . . . .	*		
Belize . . . . .	*		
Bolivia . . . . .	*		
Brazil . . . . .	*		
Bulgaria . . . . .	*		
Canada . . . . .	*		
Colombia . . . . .	*		
Congo . . . . .		5 Oct 1983	
Costa Rica . . . . .	*		
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	*		
Cuba . . . . .	*		
Dominican Republic . . . . .	*		
Ecuador . . . . .	*		
Egypt . . . . .	[*] <sup>2</sup>		
El Salvador . . . . .	*		3 Oct 1983 <sup>2</sup>
Ethiopia . . . . .	*		
Fiji . . . . .	*		
Finland . . . . .	*		
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	*		
Guatemala . . . . .	*		
Guyana . . . . .	*		
Haiti . . . . .	*		
Honduras . . . . .	*		
Hungary . . . . .	*		
India . . . . .	*		
Indonesia . . . . .	*		
Iraq . . . . .	*		
Jamaica . . . . .	*		
Japan . . . . .	*		
Kenya . . . . .	*		
Madagascar . . . . .	*		
Malawi . . . . .	*		
Mauritius . . . . .	*		
Mexico . . . . .	*		
Mozambique . . . . .	*		
New Zealand . . . . .	*		
Nicaragua . . . . .	*		
Nigeria . . . . .	*		
Norway . . . . .	*		



XIX.18: 1977 International Sugar Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Acceptance of the extension by virtue of decisions No. 13 of 20 November 1981 and No. 14 of 21 May 1982 (as indicated by *)</u>	<u>Provisional acceptance of the Agreement as extended by decisions No. 13 of 20 November 1981 and No. 14 of 21 May 1982</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A)</u>
Pakistan . . . . .	*		
Panama . . . . .	*		
Papua New Guinea . . . . .		23 Oct 1984	
Paraguay . . . . .	*		
Peru . . . . .	*		
Philippines . . . . .	*		
Portugal . . . . .	*		
Republic of Korea . . . . .	*		
Singapore . . . . .	*		
South Africa . . . . .	*		
Swaziland . . . . .	*		
Sweden . . . . .	*		
Thailand . . . . .	*		
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	*		
Uganda . . . . .	*		
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	*		
United Kingdom . . . . . (in respect of Belize and St. Kitts-Nevis- Anguilla only.) <sup>3</sup>	*		
United States of America . . . . .	*	29 Jul 1983	13 Sep 1983 a 9 Aug 1984
Uruguay . . . . .			
Venezuela . . . . .	*		
Yugoslavia . . . . .	*		
Zimbabwe . . . . .	*		

NOTES:

1/ By its withdrawal from the International Sugar Agreement, 1977, (see note 2 under XIX.18 Bangladesh is implicitly withdrawing from the International Sugar Agreement, 1977, as extended, since its acceptance of the latter was terminated pursuant to the said withdrawal.

2/ Egypt was already bound by the Agreement as extended, by virtue of decisions Nos. 13 and 14 of the International Sugar Council dated 20 November 1981 and 21 May 1982, respectively. This ratification was received as confirmation of Egypt's participation.

3/ St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla ceased to exist, with Saint-Cristopher and Nevis acceding to independence on 19 September 1983.

XIX.19: International Tropical Timber Bureau

---

19. AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE INTERNATIONAL TROPICAL TIMBER BUREAU

Concluded at Geneva on 9 November 1977

Not yet in force: (see article 24).  
TEXT: TT/CONF.2.

Note: The text of the Agreement was established by the Intergovernmental meetings of tropical timber producing countries, held at Geneva from 27 September 1976 to 1 October 1976 and from 31 October 1977 to 9 November 1977 within the framework of the International Trade Centre UNCTAD/GATT (see document TT/Conf.2). The Agreement was opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, on 16 January 1978, in accordance with article 22.

---

Participant

Signature

Ratification, accession(a)

## 20. INTERNATIONAL NATURAL RUBBER AGREEMENT, 1979

Concluded at Geneva on 6 October 1979

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 23 October 1980, in accordance with article 61(2), and definitively on 15 April 1982, in accordance with article 61(1).  
 REGISTRATION: 23 October 1980, No. 19184.  
 TEXT: TD/RUBBER/15/Rev.1 and Corr.1.

Note: The Agreement was adopted on 6 October 1979 by the United Nations Conference on Natural Rubber, which met at Geneva from 24 September to 6 October 1979. The Agreement was opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, from 2 January to 30 June 1980, in accordance with its article 57.

In accordance with articles 59 and 62 the International Rubber Council by the following decisions decided to extend as indicated the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance and approval:

Date of decision

19 November 1980	Extension until 31 October 1980
20 November 1981	Extension until 28 February 1982
6 March 1982	Extension until 15 April 1982
4 May 1982	Extension until 15 October 1982 for the deposit of the instrument of ratification by Finland and 31 July 1982 for the deposit of the instrument of accession by Greece
30 August 1982	Extension until 31 December 1982, with retroactive effect from 31 July 1982 of the instrument of accession by Greece
19 November 1982	Extension until 30 June 1983
12 May 1983	Extension until 31 December 1983
19 November 1983	Extension until 30 June 1984
13 June 1985	Extension until 22 October 1987

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession a</u>
Australia . . . . .	30 Jun 1980	9 Sep 1980	24 Feb 1982
Belgium . . . . .	27 Jun 1980	3 Oct 1980 <sup>1</sup>	15 Apr 1982
Brazil . . . . .	30 Jun 1980	1 Oct 1980 <sup>1</sup>	14 Apr 1982
Canada . . . . .	30 Jun 1980	7 Nov 1980 <sup>1</sup>	31 Dec 1981
China . . . . .	17 Jun 1980		15 Sep 1980
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .			23 Nov 1981 <sup>a</sup>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	30 Jun 1980		17 Sep 1980 <sup>AA</sup>
Denmark . . . . .	12 May 1980		30 Sep 1980
European Economic Community . . . . .	30 May 1980	29 Sep 1980	15 Apr 1982 <sup>AA<sup>2</sup></sup>
Finland . . . . .	16 Jun 1980	11 Nov 1980	24 Aug 1982
France . . . . .	8 Jan 1980	30 Sep 1980 <sup>1</sup>	8 Dec 1981 <sup>AA</sup>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	27 Jun 1980	30 Sep 1980 <sup>1</sup>	30 Sep 1981 <sup>3,2</sup>
Greece . . . . .			5 Jun 1984 <sup>a</sup>
Indonesia . . . . .	17 Mar 1980		28 Aug 1980
Iraq . . . . .			[1 Jul 1981 <sup>a</sup> ] <sup>4</sup>
Ireland . . . . .	25 Jun 1980		29 Sep 1980
Italy . . . . .	30 Jun 1980	17 Nov 1980 <sup>1</sup>	15 Apr 1982 <sup>2,5</sup>
Japan . . . . .	7 Mar 1980		13 Jun 1980 <sup>A<sup>2</sup></sup>
Liberia . . . . .	30 Jun 1980		
Luxembourg . . . . .	27 Jun 1980	3 Oct 1980 <sup>1</sup>	15 Apr 1982
Malaysia . . . . .	28 Jan 1980		29 Jan 1980 <sup>6</sup>
Mexico . . . . .	25 Jun 1980		24 Feb 1981
Morocco . . . . .	26 Jun 1980		
Netherlands . . . . .	26 Jun 1980	30 Sep 1980 <sup>1,7</sup>	25 Feb 1982 <sup>A<sup>7</sup></sup>
Nigeria . . . . .			18 Jun 1981 <sup>a</sup>
Norway . . . . .	16 Jun 1980	30 Sep 1980 <sup>1</sup>	4 Feb 1981
Papua New Guinea . . . . .	25 Jun 1980		28 Oct 1980 <sup>A</sup>
Peru . . . . .	30 Jun 1980		30 Jun 1981
Philippines . . . . .	30 Jun 1980		
Sri Lanka . . . . .			17 Nov 1980 <sup>a</sup>
Sweden . . . . .	16 Jun 1980		30 Sep 1980
Switzerland . . . . .			22 Jul 1982 <sup>a</sup>

XIX.20: 1979 Natural Rubber Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession a</u>
Thailand . . . . .		21 Nov 1980 <sup>8</sup>	15 Apr 1982 <u>a</u>
Turkey . . . . .			[17 Sep 1981 <u>a</u> ] <sup>9,2</sup>
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	27 Jun 1980	5 Nov 1980 <sup>1</sup>	26 Feb 1982 <u>a</u>
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland . . . . .	27 Jun 1980	26 Sep 1980 <sup>1</sup>	31 Dec 1981 <sup>10</sup>
United States of America . . . . .	8 Jan 1980	23 Oct 1980 <sup>1</sup>	28 May 1981

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon notification of provisional application, ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.)

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed upon acceptance:

(a) In the event that the European Economic Community becomes a party to this Agreement, the participation of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in the Agreement will not give rise to any obligations on its part in relation to the Community.

(b) In view of its well-known position on the Korean question, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics cannot recognize as lawful the designation "Republic of Korea" contained in the annex to the Agreement.

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon notification of provisional application, ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.)

ITALY

The Government of Italy does not accept the declaration concerning the European Economic Community made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics upon signing the Agreement on 27 June 1980 and confirmed in its notification of provisional application.

EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY

Objection to the declaration by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in regard to the European Economic Community:

Upon signature:

"Article 5(1) of the 1979 International Natural Rubber Agreement provides that any reference to "government" or to "governments" in the Agreement shall be construed as including a reference to the European Economic Community and to any inter-governmental organization with powers to negotiate, conclude and apply international agreements, in particular commodity agreements.

In application of that provision, the European Economic Community informed the Secretary-General of the United Nations on 29 September 1980 that the Community would provisionally apply the 1980 International Natural Rubber Agreement within the

limitations of its constitutional or legislative procedures, in accordance with the rules enunciated in article 60(2).

[The European Economic Community begs] also to point out that article 68 of the International Natural Rubber Agreement prohibits any reservations regarding the Agreement.

The Community and its Member States accordingly do not consider that that declaration can in any event be applied to them and they regard it as being without effect."

Upon approval:

The Council of the European of the European Communities declares that it does not accept the declaration concerning the European Economic Community, made by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics upon depositing their instrument of approval of the Agreement.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

26 September 1980

"The United Kingdom does not accept the declaration concerning the European Economic Community accompanying the signature of the Agreement by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on 27 June 1980, confirmed in that country's notification of provisional application of the Agreement on 26 September 1980."

NOTES:

1/ Within the limitations of the constitutional and/or legislative procedures.

2/ As an importing member.

3/ With a declaration that the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

Subsequently, the Secretary-General received on 26 February 1982 from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the following declaration:

"The Soviet side will take cognizance of the communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the application of that Agreement to Berlin (West) only on the understanding that such application shall be in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and that the established procedures shall be observed."

4/ On 17 November 1986, the Secretary-General received from the government of Iraq a notification of withdrawal of the said Agreement. The withdrawal will take effect on 17 November

1987, unless the Agreement itself is not extended and therefore itself terminates on 22 October 1987.

5/ A notification of financial commitment for the purpose of article 61(1) was also received from the Government of Italy on 15 April 1982.

6/ As an exporting member.

7/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

8/ In reference to Thailand's notification of provisional application under article 60 of the Agreement the International Natural Rubber Council unanimously decided, by resolution 32(S2), that the said notification had been based on an administrative error and that the provisional application should be in accordance with article 60(2) of the Agreement, i.e., within the limitation of constitutional and/or legislative procedures.

9/ Notification of withdrawal received on 26 November 1982, with effect from on 26 November 1983.

10/ Including the Bailiwick of Jersey.

## 21. AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE COMMON FUND FOR COMMODITIES

Concluded at Geneva on 27 June 1980

Not yet in force: (see article 57 (1)).

TEXT: TD/IPC/CF/CONF/24 and depositary notification C.N.42.1982.TREATIES-3 of 12 March 1982 (procès-verbal of rectification of Russian and Spanish authentic texts including annexes A and B).

Note: The Agreement was adopted on 27 June 1980 by the United Nations Negotiating Conference on a Common Fund under the Integrated Programme for Commodities, which met at Geneva from 5 to 27 June 1980 under the auspices of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). The Agreement was opened for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, on 1 October 1980, and will remain open for signature until one year after the date of its entry into force. At a meeting convened on 3 June 1982 in Geneva by the Secretary-General of UNCTAD, under article 57(1) of the Agreement, the Contracting Parties decided to extend until 30 September 1983 the time-limit for the fulfillment of the requirements for its entry into force.

Participant	Signature	Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)	Voluntary contributions for use in the Second Account (article 13)	
			Currency Unit	Amount
Afghanistan . . . . .	11 Sep 1981	28 Mar 1984		
Algeria . . . . .	15 Mar 1982	31 Mar 1982		
Angola . . . . .	29 Jun 1983	28 Jan 1986		
Argentina . . . . .	22 Sep 1982	1 Jul 1983		
Australia . . . . .	20 May 1981	9 Oct 1981		
Austria . . . . .	8 Jul 1981	4 May 1983		
Bangladesh . . . . .	23 Dec 1980	1 Jun 1981		
Barbados . . . . .	2 Jan 1985			
Belgium . . . . .	31 Mar 1981	6 Jun 1985	Belgian Franc	100 Million <sup>1</sup>
Benin . . . . .	10 Sep 1981	25 Oct 1982		
Bhutan . . . . .	22 Sep 1983	18 Sep 1984		
Botswana . . . . .	18 Nov 1981	22 Apr 1982		
Brazil . . . . .	16 Apr 1981	28 Jun 1984		
Burkina Faso . . . . .	20 Aug 1981	8 Jul 1983		
Burundi . . . . .	8 Apr 1981	1 Jun 1982		
Cameroon . . . . .	30 Jun 1981	1 Feb 1983		
Canada . . . . .	15 Jan 1981	27 Sep 1983		
Cape Verde . . . . .	9 Oct 1981	30 Jul 1984		
Central African Republic . . . . .	28 Jan 1982	2 Aug 1983		
Chad . . . . .	16 Dec 1981	6 Jun 1984		
China . . . . .	5 Nov 1980	2 Sep 1981 AA		
Colombia . . . . .	14 Jun 1983	8 Apr 1986		
Comoros . . . . .	10 Sep 1981	27 Jan 1984		
Congo . . . . .	22 Oct 1981			
Costa Rica . . . . .	29 Jul 1981			
Cuba . . . . .	22 Jun 1983			
Democratic Yemen	16 Dec 1981	8 Jan 1986		
Democratic People's Republic of Korea . . . . .	29 Jun 1983			
Denmark . . . . .	27 Oct 1980	13 May 1981		
Djibouti . . . . .	9 Oct 1984	25 Nov 1985		
Dominican Republic . . . . .	15 Jun 1983			
Ecuador . . . . .	3 Oct 1980	4 May 1982		
El Salvador . . . . .	28 Jun 1983			
Egypt . . . . .	19 Oct 1981	11 Jun 1982		
Equatorial Guinea . . . . .	22 Jul 1983	22 Jul 1983		
Ethiopia . . . . .	30 Sep 1981	19 Nov 1981		
European Economic Community . . . . .	21 Oct 1981			
Finland . . . . .	27 Oct 1980	30 Dec 1981		
France . . . . .	4 Nov 1980	17 Sep 1982 AA		
Gabon . . . . .	10 Sep 1981	30 Nov 1981		
Gambia . . . . .	23 Oct 1981	14 Apr 1983		
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	10 Mar 1981	15 Aug 1985 <sup>2</sup>		
Ghana . . . . .	1 Dec 1982	19 Jan 1983		

XIX.21: Common Fund for Commodities

Participant	Signature	Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)	Voluntary contributions for use in the Second Account (article 13)	
			Currency Unit	Amount
Greece . . . . .	21 Jul 1981	10 Aug 1984		
Grenada . . . . .	28 Jun 1983			
Guatemala . . . . .	1 Jun 1983	22 Mar 1985		
Guinea . . . . .	6 Oct 1981	9 Dec 1982		
Guinea-Bissau . . . . .	11 Sep 1981	7 Jun 1983		
Guyana . . . . .	8 Jun 1983			
Haiti . . . . .	19 Jan 1981	20 Jul 1981		
Honduras . . . . .	28 Jun 1983			
India . . . . .	18 Sep 1981	22 Dec 1981 <sup>A</sup>		
Indonesia . . . . .	1 Oct 1980	24 Feb 1981		
Iraq . . . . .	7 Apr 1981	10 Sep 1981		
Ireland . . . . .	24 Feb 1981	11 Aug 1982		
Italy . . . . .	17 Dec 1980	20 Nov 1984		
Jamaica . . . . .	6 Jan 1983	7 Jan 1985		
Japan . . . . .	28 Nov 1980	15 Jun 1981 <sup>A</sup>	Yen	Equivalent of US \$27,000 000
Kenya . . . . .	10 Mar 1982	6 Apr 1982		
Kuwait . . . . .	1 Dec 1981	26 Apr 1983		
Lesotho . . . . .	7 Sep 1981	6 Dec 1983		
Liberia . . . . .	21 Oct 1981			
Luxembourg . . . . .	29 Dec 1980	4 Oct 1985		
Madagascar . . . . .	8 Jun 1983			
Malawi . . . . .	17 Mar 1981	15 Dec 1981		
Malaysia . . . . .	30 Dec 1980	22 Sep 1983		
Mali . . . . .	17 Jun 1981	11 Jan 1982		
Mexico . . . . .	19 Dec 1980	11 Feb 1982		
Morocco . . . . .	22 Jan 1981			
Mozambique . . . . .	21 Dec 1982			
Nepal . . . . .	7 Sep 1981	3 Apr 1984		
Netherlands . . . . .	1 Oct 1980	9 Jun 1983 <sup>A<sup>3</sup></sup>		
New Zealand . . . . .	12 Feb 1982	27 Sep 1983 <sup>4</sup>		
Nicaragua . . . . .	7 Sep 1981	5 Mar 1984		
Niger . . . . .	19 Oct 1981	19 Oct 1981 <sup>AA</sup>		
Nigeria . . . . .	20 Jul 1981	30 Sep 1983		
Norway . . . . .	27 Oct 1980	15 Jul 1981		
Pakistan . . . . .	4 May 1982	9 Jun 1983		
Papua New Guinea . . . . .	27 Oct 1981	27 Jan 1982		
Peru . . . . .	25 Sep 1981			
Philippines . . . . .	24 Feb 1981	13 May 1981		
Portugal . . . . .	30 Jan 1981			
Republic of Korea . . . . .	27 Nov 1981	30 Mar 1982		
Rwanda . . . . .	6 Oct 1981	23 Mar 1983		
Saint Lucia . . . . .	20 Dec 1984			
Samoa . . . . .	2 Apr 1982	6 Mar 1984		
Sao Tome and Principe . . . . .	20 Jun 1983	6 Dec 1983		
Saudi Arabia . . . . .	11 Jan 1983	16 Mar 1983		
Senegal . . . . .	11 Nov 1981	20 Jun 1983		
Sierra Leone . . . . .	24 Sep 1981	7 Oct 1982		
Singapore . . . . .	17 Dec 1982	16 Dec 1983		
Somalia . . . . .	27 Oct 1981	27 Aug 1984		
Spain . . . . .	27 May 1981	5 Jan 1984		
Sri Lanka . . . . .	21 Jan 1981	4 Sep 1981		
Sudan . . . . .	13 May 1981	30 Sep 1983		
Suriname . . . . .	20 Jun 1983			
Sweden . . . . .	27 Oct 1980	6 Jul 1981		
Switzerland . . . . .	30 Mar 1981	27 Aug 1982		
Syrian Arab Republic . . . . .	26 Mar 1982	8 Sep 1983		
Thailand . . . . .	8 Jun 1983			
Togo . . . . .	29 Jun 1983	10 Apr 1984		
Tunisia . . . . .	2 Mar 1982	15 Dec 1982		
Turkey . . . . .	7 Sep 1981			
Uganda . . . . .	19 Mar 1982	19 Mar 1982		
United Arab Emirats . . . . .	8 Jun 1982	26 Apr 1983		
United Kingdom . . . . .	16 Dec 1980	31 Dec 1981	Pound sterling	4,270,000
United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	7 Sep 1981	11 Jun 1982		

XIX.21: Common Fund for Commodities

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>	<u>Voluntary contributions for use in the Second Account (article 13)</u>	
			<u>Currency Unit</u>	<u>Amount</u>
United States of America . . . . .	5 Nov 1980			
Uruguay . . . . .	13 Feb 1986			
Venezuela . . . . .	5 Dec 1980	31 Mar 1982		
Yemen . . . . .	7 Sep 1981	14 Jan 1986		
Yugoslavia . . . . .	7 Jan 1982	14 Feb 1983		
Zaire . . . . .	17 Mar 1981	27 Oct 1983		
Zambia . . . . .	3 Feb 1981	16 Mar 1983		
Zimbabwe . . . . .	8 Jun 1983	28 Sep 1983		

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.)

ARGENTINA

Reservation made upon signature and maintained upon ratification:

The Argentine Republic, exercising its prerogative under article 58 of the Agreement, enters a reservation regarding article 53 of that Agreement as it cannot accept compulsory arbitration as the only means of settling disputes of the kind referred to in this article, and as it believes that the parties to such disputes must be free to determine by mutual agreement the means of settlement best suits to each particular case.

BELGIUM

In accordance with article 11, paragraph 3, of the Agreement, the payment of the Paid-in Shares subscribed by Belgium (2,640,699 Units of Account) will be effected in three installments in accordance with the specified procedure, the first of which will take place within 60 days after the entry into force of the Agreement.

With regard to the amount subscribed by Belgium for Payable Shares (915,543 Units of Account), it shall be subject to call by the Fund, in accordance with article 11, paragraph 4, only as provided in article 17, paragraph 12.

JAPAN

"The Government of Japan shall contribute to the initial resources of the Second Account of the Common Fund an amount in Japanese yen that is equivalent to twenty-seven million United States dollars (U.S.\$27 million) in accordance with article 13 of the Agreement."

The Government of Japan opts for payment of the above contribution in three equal annual instal-

ments, with the first one to be made in cash or in notes within one year after the entry into force of the Agreement. The notes are understood to be irrevocable, non-negotiable, non-interest bearing promissory notes, issued in lieu of a cash payment and payable to the Fund at par value upon demand. It is also understood that the notes are to be treated in the same manner as notes of the same kind from other contributors.

SINGAPORE

" . . . The Government of the Republic of Singapore declares that it is not in agreement with the manner in which the share of individual countries to the Directly Contributed Capital was determined. Nevertheless, the Government of the Republic of Singapore will make contributions as presently indicated in schedule A of the Agreement. This should not however prejudice in any way Singapore's position on its share of any contributions to be made under other agreements."

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC

Declaration

Our accession to and ratification of the Agreement shall not in any way imply recognition of Israel and shall not, consequently, lead to involvement with it any transactions as are regulated by the provisions of the Agreement.

Reservation

The Syrian Arab Republic enters a reservation in respect of article 53 of the Agreement, with regard to the binding nature of arbitration.

VENEZUELA

Upon signature, maintained upon ratification:

With reservation as to of article 53.



Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.)

## ISRAEL

14 November 1983

"The Government of the State of Israel has noted that the instrument deposited by the Syrian Arab Republic contains a declaration of a political character in respect of the State of Israel. In the view of the Government of the States of Israel this Agreement is not the place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, the said declaration cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic under general international law or under specific conventions.

The Government of the States of Israel will, in reagrd to the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic an attitude of complete reciprocity."

Declarations under article 11 (1) of the Agreement  
(Procedure for the payment of Shares of  
Directly Contributed Capital)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Procedure selected [formula (a) or (b)] under article 11(1)</u>	<u>Currency selected (by States having chosen procedure of payment (b))</u>
Australia . . . . .	(a)	
Austria . . . . .	(b)	Deutsche mark <sup>5</sup>
Bangladesh . . . . .	(b)	US dollar
Belgium . . . . .	(b)	French franc
Central African Republic . . . . .	(b)	French franc
Denmark . . . . .	(b)	French franc
Finlande . . . . .	(b)	French franc
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	(b)	Deutsche mark
Greece . . . . .	(b)	French franc
India . . . . .	(a)	
Ireland . . . . .	(b)	French franc
Italy . . . . .	(b)	French franc
Jamaica . . . . .	(a)	
Japan . . . . .	(b)	Japanese yen
Malawi . . . . .	(b)	US dollar
Malaysia . . . . .	(b)	US dollar
New Zealand . . . . .	(b)	French franc
Niger . . . . .	(b)	US dollar
Norway . . . . .	(a)	
Pakistan . . . . .	(b)	US dollar
Papua New Guinea . . . . .	(b)	US dollar
Republic of Korea . . . . .	(a)	
Singapore . . . . .	(b)	Pound sterling
Spain . . . . .	(b)	French franc
Sri Lanka . . . . .	(a)	
Sweden . . . . .	(a)	
Switzerland . . . . .	(a)	
Tunisia . . . . .	(b)	French franc
United Kingdom . . . . .	(b)	Pound sterling
Venezuela . . . . .	(a)	

## NOTES:

1/ The payment of this voluntary contribution will be made after the entry into force of the Common Fund, the terms of which are specified in article 57 of the Agreement

2/ The instrument of ratification states that the said Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it will enter into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

3/ For the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles.

4/ The agreement shall also apply to the Cook Islands and Niue.

5/ In notification received on 10 August 1983, the Government of Austria indicated that, in accordance with article 11(1)(b), Austria's contribution to the Common Fund for Commodities will be paid in German marks until such time as payment in Austrian shillings becomes possible.

22. INTERNATIONAL COCOA AGREEMENT, 1980

Concluded at Geneva on 19 November 1980

ENTRY INTO FORCE: In whole, provisionally on 1 August 1981<sup>1</sup>, in accordance with the decision taken on 30 June 1981 by the meeting of Governments convened by the Secretary-General under article 66 (3).<sup>1</sup>

REGISTRATION: 1 August 1981, No. 20313.

TEXT: Document of the United Nations Cocoa Conference, 1980 and depositary notifications C.N.151.1982.TREATIES-8 of 15 June 1982 (procès-verbal of rectification of original English, French and Russian texts); C.N.207.1982.TREATIES-11 of 11 October 1982 (procès-verbal of rectification of original Russian text).

Note: The Agreement was adopted by the United Nations Cocoa Conference, 1980, which was held in Geneva from 27 October to 19 November 1980. The Agreement was opened for signature at the United Nations Headquarters, New York, from 5 January to 31 March 1981.

In accordance with articles 63 and 64, the International Cocoa Council took the following decisions:

Date of decision	Subject
4 March 1981	Establishment of standard conditions for accession until 31 May 1981
1 June 1981	Extension until 30 September 1981 of the time-limit for deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession and notifications of provisional application
7 August 1981	Extension until 31 March 1982 of standard conditions for accession
19 March 1982	Extension until 30 September 1982 of standard conditions for accession
16 July 1982	Extension until 31 March 1983 of standard conditions for accession
25 March 1983	Extension until 30 September 1983 of the standard conditions for accession and of the time-limit for deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval
22 July 1983	Extension until 31 March 1984 of the standard conditions for accession and of the time-limit for deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval
23 March 1984	Extension until 30 September 1984 of standard conditions for accession and of the time-limit for deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval
20 July 1984	Extension of the Agreement until 30 September 1985 Extension until 30 September 1985 of standard conditions for accession and of the time-limit for deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval
19 July 1985	Extension of the Agreement until 30 September 1986 Extension until 30 September 1986 of standard conditions for accession and of the time-limit for deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval

Participant	Signature	Undertaking of provisional application	Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)
Argentina . . . . .		26 Jun 1981	
Belgium . . . . .	31 Mar 1981	29 May 1981	11 Sep 1984
Brazil . . . . .	31 Mar 1981	8 May 1981	8 May 1981
Bulgaria . . . . .	31 Mar 1981		9 Sep 1981 AA
Cameroon . . . . .	31 Mar 1981	31 Mar 1981	
Colombia . . . . .	25 Mar 1981		29 Mar 1983
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	30 Mar 1981		29 May 1981 AA
Denmark . . . . .	31 Mar 1981	29 May 1981	
Dominica . . . . .			28 May 1981 a
Ecuador . . . . .	17 Mar 1981	14 May 1981	30 Mar 1982
European Economic Community . . . . .	31 Mar 1981	29 Jun 1981	
Finland . . . . .	30 Mar 1981	18 Jun 1981	28 Mar 1983
France . . . . .	31 Mar 1981	29 May 1981	17 Sep 1982 AA
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	31 Mar 1981		29 May 1981 AA
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	31 Mar 1981	26 Jun 1981	12 Jul 1984 <sup>2</sup>
Ghana . . . . .	27 Feb 1981	14 May 1981	
Greece . . . . .	31 Mar 1981	29 May 1981	
Grenada . . . . .			2 Nov 1981 a
Guatemala . . . . .		16 Mar 1982	25 Mar 1982 a
Haiti . . . . .	31 Mar 1981	1 Jun 1981	

XIX.22: 1980 Cocoa Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>
Hungary			10 Jun 1981 <u>a</u>
Ireland	31 Mar 1981	27 May 1981	
Italy	31 Mar 1981	31 Mar 1981	31 Oct 1983
Jamaica		29 May 1981	13 Jul 1981 <u>a</u>
Japan			1 Oct 1982 <u>a</u>
Luxembourg	31 Mar 1981	29 May 1981	11 Sep 1984
Mexico	25 Mar 1981	26 May 1981	11 Feb 1982
Netherlands <sup>3</sup>	31 Mar 1981	31 Mar 1981	5 Sep 1984 <u>A</u>
Nigeria	31 Mar 1981	29 May 1981	
Norway	11 Mar 1981	27 May 1981	9 Jun 1982
Papua New Guinea	13 Mar 1981	13 Mar 1981	14 Apr 1981
Peru		27 May 1981	[21 Dec 1981 <u>a</u> ] <sup>4</sup>
Portugal			30 Mar 1984 <u>a</u>
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines			[29 May 1981 <u>a</u> ] <sup>5</sup>
Samoa		29 May 1981	9 Jul 1981 <u>a</u>
Sao Tome and Principe		16 Oct 1981	19 Feb 1982 <u>a</u>
Sierra Leone			20 Jun 1983 <u>a</u>
Spain		23 Sep 1981 <sup>6</sup>	7 Mar 1984 <u>a</u>
Sweden	20 Mar 1981		20 Mar 1981
Switzerland	19 Mar 1981	19 Mar 1981	
Trinidad and Tobago			29 May 1981 <u>a</u>
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	27 Mar 1981		13 May 1981 <u>A</u>
United Kingdom	31 Mar 1981	29 May 1981	
Venezuela	27 Mar 1981	19 May 1981	3 Dec 1984
Yugoslavia		29 May 1981	19 Jan 1983 <u>a</u>
Zaire	17 Mar 1981		

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.)

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

upon acceptance:

Reservations made upon signature and confirmed upon approval:

The signing of the International Cocoa Agreement, 1980, by the German Democratic Republic does not constitute a change in its attitude towards various international organizations.

Consonant with its established positions on the question of Korea, the German Democratic Republic cannot accept the term "Republic of Korea" as is contained in Annex E of the Agreement.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Declarations made upon signature and confirmed

(a) In the event that the European Economic Community becomes a party to this Agreement, the participation of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in the Agreement will not give rise to any obligations on its part in relation to the Community;

(b) In view of its well-known position on the Korean question, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics cannot recognize as lawful the designation "Republic of Korea" contained in annex E to the Agreement.

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.)

EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY

11 March 1982

[With respect to the declaration made by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics]:

"... Article 4, paragraph 1, of the International Cocoa Agreement, 1980, provides that any reference to "governments" in the Agreement shall be construed as including a reference to the European Economic Community and to any inter-governmental organization having responsibilities

in respect of the negotiations, conclusion and application of international agreements, in particular commodity agreements.

In application of that provision and the provisions of article 65 of the same agreement, the European Economic Community informed on 29 June 1981 the Secretary-General of the United Nations that the the Community would provisionally apply the 1980 International Cocoa Agreement.

[The European Economic Community also points out] that article 67 of the International Cocoa

Agreement prohibits any reservations regarding the Agreement.

The Community and its Member States accordingly do not consider that the declaration made by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics can in any event be applied to them and they regard it as being without effect."

UNITED KINGDOM

29 May 1981

"The Government of the United Kingdom does not accept the Declaration concerning the European Economic Community accompanying the signature of the Agreement by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on 27 March 1981."

NOTES:

1/ For the following States:

Argentina, Belgium, Brazil, Cameroon, Czechoslovakia, Denmark, Ecuador, European Economic Community, Finland, France, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Mexico, Netherlands, Nigeria, Norway, Papua New Guinea, Peru, Sweden, Switzerland, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom, Venezuela, Yugoslavia, Dominica, Jamaica, Haiti, Samoa, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines and Trinidad and Tobago.

2/ On a note accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it has entered into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

In this regard, on 7 September 1984, the Secretary-General received from the Government of the German Democratic Republic the following declaration:

"With regard to the application of the Agreement to Berlin (West) it is the position of the German Democratic Republic that the provisions of the Agreement will be applied to Berlin (West) in compliance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 according to which Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and is not to be governed by it."

Subsequently, on 4 February 1985, the Secretary-General received from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America the following communication with respect to the above-mentioned declaration:

"The delegation of the United States reaffirm on behalf of the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and the United States of America that states which are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement of September 3, 1971, are not competent to comment authoritatively on its provisions. The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not consider it necessary, nor do they intend, to respond to any further communications on this subject from states which are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement. This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of the three governments in this matter."

In this connection, the Secretary-General received on 2 December 1985 from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics the following communication:

The Soviet side can take account of the declaration made by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension of the application of the International Cocoa Agreement, 1980, to Berlin (West) only on the understanding that such extension is effected in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and provided the established procedures are observed.

At the same time, the Soviet side would like to draw attention to the fact that the Powers party to the Quadripartite Agreement have formulated decisions in respect of Berlin (West) which have universal effect under international law. The extension of the above-mentioned Agreement to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany naturally affects the interests of the other parties to it, which have the right to express their opinion on this matter. That right cannot be disputed by anyone.

In this connection, the Soviet side rejects as unfounded the communication from France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America with respect to the declaration by the German Democratic Republic. The view set forth in that declaration by the Government of the German Democratic Republic as a party to the International Cocoa Agreement, 1980, is entirely in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971.

Subsequently, on 6 October 1986, the Secretary-General received from the Government of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America the following communication:

"The Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 is an international agreement concluded between the four Contracting Parties and not open to participation by any other State. In concluding this Agreement, the Four Powers acted on the basis of their quadripartite rights and responsibilities, and the corresponding wartime and post-war agreements and decisions of the Four Powers, which are not affected. The Quadripartite Agreement is a part of conventional, not customary, international law.

The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States therefore re-affirm the statement in their communication to the Secretary-General [...] that States which are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement are not competent to comment authoritatively on its provisions.

The extension by the Federal Republic of Germany of the International Cocoa Agreement to the Western Sectors of Berlin is fully in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement."

3/ For the Kingdom of Europe.

4/ the Government of Peru notified the International Cocoa Council pursuant to article 71(6) that it had decided not to participate in the Agreement as extended. Peru ceased to be a member of the Agreement on 1 October 1985.

5/ On 25 February 1985, the Government of Saint Vincent and the Grenadines notified the

Secretary-General that it had decided to withdraw from the Agreement pursuant to its article 68(1) with effect from 26 May 1985.

6/ In its notification of provisional application, the Government of Spain specified that it applies the Agreement provisionally as from 18 September 1981.

23. SIXTH INTERNATIONAL TIN AGREEMENT

Concluded at Geneva on 26 June 1981

ENTRY INTO FORCE: In whole, provisionally on 1 July 1982, in accordance with a decision taken on 23 June 1982 by a meeting of Governments convened by the Secretary-General under article 55 (3) of the Agreement.<sup>1</sup>

REGISTRATION. 1 July 1982, No. 21139.

TEXT: TD/TIN.6/14 and depositary notifications C.N.206.1982.TREATIES-11 of 23 September 1982 (procès-verbal of rectification of the Spanish authentic text); C.N.299.1982.TREATIES-14 of 4 January 1983 (rectification of original Arabic, French and Spanish texts) and C.N.37.1983.TREATIES-1 of 4 March 1983 (procès-verbal of rectification of the French authentic text).

Note: The text of the Agreement was adopted by the United Nations Tin Conference which was held at Geneva from 9 March to 26 June 1981. The Agreement was opened for signature on 3 August 1981 at the United Nations Headquarters in New York, the closing date for signature being 30 April 1982.

Pursuant to the provisions of paragraphs 1 and 5 of article 54 of the said Agreement, the International Tin Council decided, at its session held in London on 6 May 1982, to establish standard conditions of accession to the Agreement so as to allow Governments which had not been able to sign the Agreement by 30 April 1982 to accede thereto prior to 1 July 1982, the date of its intended entry into force, the sole conditions being that they accept the obligations under the Agreement.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)</u>
Australia . . . . .	4 Feb 1982	4 Feb 1982	
Belgium . . . . .	27 Apr 1982 <sup>2</sup>	27 Apr 1982 <sup>2</sup>	26 Jun 1984
Canada . . . . .	29 Apr 1982	11 May 1982 <sup>2</sup>	30 Jun 1983
Denmark . . . . .	27 Apr 1982 <sup>2</sup>	27 Apr 1982	9 Oct 1985
European Economic Community	27 Apr 1982 <sup>2</sup>	27 Apr 1982	
Finland . . . . .	11 Mar 1982	28 May 1982 <sup>2</sup>	6 Dec 1983
France . . . . .	27 Apr 1982 <sup>2</sup>	28 May 1982	14 Jun 1983 <u>AA</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of	27 Apr 1982 <sup>2</sup>	27 Apr 1982 <sup>2</sup>	
Greece . . . . .	30 Apr 1982 <sup>2</sup>	30 Apr 1982 <sup>2</sup>	16 May 1985
India . . . . .		28 Jun 1982	26 May 1983 <u>a</u>
Indonesia . . . . .	8 Oct 1981		2 Feb 1982
Ireland . . . . .	27 Apr 1982 <sup>2</sup>	2 Jun 1982	
Italy . . . . .	27 Apr 1982 <sup>2</sup>	27 Apr 1982 <sup>2</sup>	12 Dec 1984
Japan . . . . .	19 Feb 1982	28 May 1982 <sup>2</sup>	28 Jun 1982 <u>A</u>
Luxembourg . . . . .	27 Apr 1982 <sup>2</sup>	27 Apr 1982 <sup>2</sup>	26 Jun 1984
Malaysia . . . . .	4 Sep 1981		4 Sep 1981
Netherlands . . . . .	30 Mar 1982	30 Mar 1982 <sup>2</sup>	28 Mar 1984 <u>A</u> <sup>3</sup>
Nigeria . . . . .	30 Apr 1982		15 Jul 1983
Norway . . . . .	18 Nov 1981		9 Jun 1982
Poland . . . . .	30 Apr 1982	9 Dec 1982 <sup>2</sup>	
Sweden . . . . .	29 Apr 1982		9 Jun 1982
Switzerland . . . . .	8 Apr 1982	22 Apr 1983	22 Apr 1983
Thailand . . . . .	26 Jan 1982	28 May 1982	11 Aug 1983
United Kingdom . . . . .	22 Apr 1982	26 May 1982	
Zaire . . . . .	30 Apr 1982	16 Nov 1982	

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval or accession)

BELGIUM, DENMARK, EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY  
FRANCE, ITALY, LUXEMBOURG,  
GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF, IRELAND

GREECE

Upon signature:

Declaration

With the understanding that the Agreement will not be used to facilitate or support manipulations of the tin market.

Upon signature:

With the understanding that the Agreement will not be used to facilitate or support manipulations of the tin market.

Upon notification of provisional application:

"The Greek Government reserves its position

with respect to article 23 (Arrears in contribution to the Buffer Stock Account) as far as the payment of interest on arrears is

concerned for the period before the ratification by Greece of the Agreement.

---

NOTES:

1/ For the following participants:  
Australia, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, European Economic Community, Finland, France, Germany, Federal Republic of, Greece, India, Indonesia, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Thailand, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

2/ Within the limitations of constitutional and/or legislative procedures, in accordance with article 53(2): no contribution to Buffer Stock Account [article 53(2)].

3/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

24. INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT ON JUTE AND JUTE PRODUCTS, 1982

Concluded at Geneva on 1 October 1982

ENTRY INTO FORCE: In whole, provisionally on 9 January 1984 in accordance with article 40(2) and definitively on 26 August 1986, in accordance with article 40(1).  
 REGISTRATION: 9 January 1984, No. 22672.  
 TEXT: TD/JUTE/EX/R.4 and depositary notification C.N.218.1985 TREATIES-4 of 13 December 1985 (adoption of an authentic Chinese text).<sup>1</sup>

Note: The Agreement was adopted by the United Nations Conference on Jute and Jute Products, which met in Geneva from 12 to 30 January, 11 to 22 May 1981 and 20 September to 1 October 1982. The Agreement was opened for signature on 3 January 1983 at the United Nations Headquarters in New York, the closing date for signature being 30 June 1983.

In accordance with articles 41, the International Jute Council took the following decisions:

<u>Date of decision</u>	<u>Subject</u>
11 January 1984	Establishment of conditions of accession until 13 April 1984 for Australia and Pakistan.
12 January 1984	Establishment of conditions of accession until 31 August 1984 for all other States (acceptance of all of the obligations of the Agreement).
20-30 March 1985	Extension of the time-limit for deposit of instruments of accession until 31 August 1985.
15 October 1985	Extension of the time-limit for deposit of instruments of accession until 31 October 1985.
15 November 1985	Extension of the time-limit for deposit of instruments of accession until 15 February 1986 (with effect from 1 November 1986).
15 March 1986	Extension of the time-limit for deposit of instruments of accession until 1 September 1986 (with effect from 16 February 1986).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Definitive signature (s) pursuant to article 37(2)(a), ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), succession (a)</u>
Australia . . . . .			12 Apr 1984 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .			13 Nov 1985 <u>a</u>
Bangladesh . . . . .			11 Feb 1983 <u>s</u>
Belgium . . . . .	16 May 1983	6 Jun 1983	15 Apr 1985
Canada . . . . .			30 Jun 1983 <u>s</u>
China . . . . .	24 Jun 1983		30 Jun 1983 <u>AA</u>
Denmark . . . . .	6 Jun 1983		6 Jun 1983
Egypt . . . . .	20 Jun 1983	4 Jan 1984	5 Feb 1986
European Economic Community . . . . .	6 Jun 1983	6 Jun 1983	
Finland . . . . .	14 Jan 1983	30 Jun 1983	8 May 1984
France . . . . .	19 Apr 1983	19 Apr 1983	13 Nov 1984 <u>AA</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	6 Jun 1983	6 Jun 1983	13 Nov 1985 <sup>2</sup>
Greece . . . . .	20 May 1983	25 Jul 1983	2 Dec 1986
India . . . . .			23 Jun 1983 <u>s</u>
Indonesia . . . . .			31 Aug 1984 <u>a</u>
Ireland . . . . .	6 Jun 1983		29 Jun 1983
Italy . . . . .	6 Jun 1983	6 Jun 1983	30 Apr 1985
Japan . . . . .	18 Mar 1983		1 Jun 1983 <u>a</u>
Luxembourg . . . . .	16 May 1983	6 Jun 1983	15 Apr 1985
Nepal . . . . .			29 Jun 1983 <u>s</u>
Netherlands . . . . .	15 Feb 1983	6 Jun 1983	8 Nov 1985 <sup>3</sup>
Norway . . . . .	14 Jan 1983		30 Jun 1983
Pakistan . . . . .			13 Apr 1984 <u>a</u>
Poland . . . . .		20 Nov 1985 <sup>4</sup>	26 Aug 1986 <u>a</u>
Spain . . . . .			26 Feb 1985 <u>a</u>
Sweden . . . . .	14 Jan 1983		30 Jun 1983
Switzerland . . . . .			19 Jun 1984 <u>a</u>
Thailand . . . . .	29 Jun 1983		23 Dec 1983
Turkey . . . . .	30 Jun 1983		29 Dec 1983
United Kingdom . . . . .	6 Jun 1983	30 Jun 1983	22 Dec 1983 <sup>5</sup>
United States of America . . . . .	24 Jun 1983	24 Jun 1983	9 Sep 1985 <u>a</u>
Yugoslavia . . . . .			25 Jul 1985 <u>a</u>



NOTES:

1/ On 27 May 1983, the Preparatory Committee for the International Jute Council adopted a resolution requesting the Secretary-General to examine the possibility of preparing, on behalf of the International Jute Council, an authentic Chinese text of the Agreement. The Secretary-General, on 8 July 1984, circulated the Chinese text prepared by the Secretariat.

As an objection was received on 30 September 1983, by the Secretary-General, the proposal has been considered as withdrawn.

At its Fourth Session held from 13 to 15 November 1985, the International Jute Council decided unanimously to request the Secretary-General to propose a new revised Chinese text of the said Agreement. Circulation of the text was effected on 13 December 1985. Consequently, in the absence of objections from any of the signatory or contracting states within the 90 day period from the date of its circulation, the

Secretary-General has considered that on 13 March 1986 the text has been adopted with the same status as the other authentic texts referred to in the testimonium of the Agreement, and has therefore caused it to be inserted in the original together with a new multilingual title page, to which the Chinese title has been added.

2/ In a note accompanying the said instrument, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

3/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

4/ As an important member, with effect from 1 December 1985

5/ On behalf of the Bailiwicks of Guernsey and Jersey.

25. INTERNATIONAL COFFEE AGREEMENT, 1983

Adopted by the International Coffee Council on 16 September 1982

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 1 October 1983, in accordance with article 61 (2), and definitively on 11 September 1985, in accordance with article 61 (1).  
 REGISTRATION: 1 October 1983, No. 22376.  
 TEXT: Documents of the International Coffee Council EB-2142/82, EB-2142/82/Add.1/Rev.1, and EB-2142/82/Add.2.

Note: The International Coffee Agreement, 1983, was approved by the International Coffee Council in its resolution No. 320, adopted on 16 September 1982 at the 3rd plenary meeting of its thirty-eighth session held at London from 6 to 16 September 1982.

In accordance with article 61(2) and 62, the International Coffee Council took the following decisions:

<u>Date of decision</u>	<u>Subject</u>
26 August 1983	Establishment of conditions for accession by non signatory States <sup>1</sup>
26 September 1983	Establishment of conditions for the accession for Equatorial Guinea
29 September 1983	Extension of the time-limit for the deposit of the instrument of ratification of Austria until 31 March 1984
14 October 1983	Establishment of conditions of accession for Equatorial Guinea
7 december 1983	Extension of the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval until 30 September 1984 (by decision of the Executive Board authorized by the Council under article 18)
13 April 1984	Extension of the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of accession by Nigeria, Sierra Leone and Venezuela
21 September 1984	Extension of the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval until 30 September 1985
	Extension of the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of accession by Venezuela until 30 September 1985
30 September 1984	Establishment of conditions for the accession by Cuba and Zambia
19 September 1985	Extension of the time-limit for the deposit of the instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval by Greece, Liberia and the European Economic Community until 30 September 1986.
19 September 1986	Extension of the time-limit for the deposit of the instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval by Greece, Liberia and the European Economic Community until 30 September 1987

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Angola . . . . .	29 Jun 1983	28 Sep 1983	20 Jun 1984
Australia . . . . .			30 Sep 1983 <sup>a</sup>
Austria . . . . .	15 Jun 1983		26 Mar 1984
Belgium . . . . .	15 Mar 1983	28 Sep 1983	15 Oct 1984
Benin . . . . .	30 Jun 1983	29 Sep 1983	29 Feb 1984
Bolivia . . . . .	29 Apr 1983	27 Sep 1983	11 Oct 1984
Brazil . . . . .	10 May 1983	19 Sep 1983	11 Sep 1985
Burundi . . . . .	19 May 1983	14 Sep 1983	6 Jan 1984
Cameroon . . . . .	13 Jun 1983		22 Sep 1983
Canada . . . . .	30 Jun 1983		16 Sep 1983
Central African Republic . . . . .	30 Jun 1983		27 Jul 1983
Colombia . . . . .	12 May 1983	27 Sep 1983	21 Dec 1983
Congo . . . . .			26 Aug 1983 <sup>a</sup>
Costa Rica . . . . .	19 May 1983		22 Sep 1983
Côte d'Ivoire <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	13 Jun 1983	23 Sep 1983	30 Dec 1983
Cuba . . . . .			19 Feb 1985 <sup>a</sup>
Cyprus . . . . .	7 Jun 1983	22 Sep 1983	13 Jan 1984
Denmark . . . . .	9 May 1983		29 Sep 1983
Dominican Republic . . . . .	16 Jun 1983		30 Sep 1983
Ecuador . . . . .	30 Jun 1983	30 Sep 1983	2 Dec 1983
El Salvador . . . . .	20 Jun 1983		1 Aug 1983
Equatorial Guinea . . . . .			7 Nov 1983 <sup>a</sup>
Ethiopia . . . . .	22 Apr 1983		29 Sep 1983
European Economic Community . . . . .	29 Jun 1983	30 Sep 1983	
Fiji . . . . .			23 Sep 1983 <sup>a</sup>

XIX.25: 1983 Coffee Agreement

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Undertaking of provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Finland . . . . .	28 Mar 1983	30 Jun 1983	8 May 1984
France . . . . .	19 Apr 1983	15 Sep 1983	13 Nov 1984 <u>AA</u>
Gabon . . . . .			27 Sep 1983 <u>a</u> <sup>2</sup>
Germany, Federal Republic of	29 Jun 1983	30 Sep 1983	12 Jul 1984 <sup>3</sup>
Ghana . . . . .	30 Jun 1983	30 Sep 1983	4 Oct 1983
Greece . . . . .	20 May 1983	30 Sep 1983	19 Sep 1986
Guatemala . . . . .	16 Jun 1983	14 Sep 1983	22 Sep 1983
Guinea . . . . .			26 Aug 1983 <u>a</u>
Haiti . . . . .	30 Jun 1983	28 Sep 1983	14 Mar 1984
Honduras . . . . .	22 Jun 1983	22 Sep 1983	28 Dec 1983
India . . . . .	30 Jun 1983		9 Sep 1983
Indonesia . . . . .	30 Jun 1983	19 Sep 1983	29 Sep 1983
Ireland . . . . .	29 Jun 1983		28 Jul 1983
Italy . . . . .	16 Jun 1983	30 Sep 1983	9 Apr 1985
Jamaica . . . . .	30 Jun 1983	20 Sep 1983	6 Mar 1984
Japan . . . . .	18 Mar 1983		1 Jun 1983 <u>A</u>
Kenya . . . . .	17 May 1983	22 Sep 1983	2 Mar 1984
Liberia . . . . .	25 Apr 1983	27 Sep 1983	
Luxembourg . . . . .	15 Mar 1983	28 Sep 1983	15 Oct 1984
Madagascar . . . . .	2 May 1983		6 Sep 1983
Malawi . . . . .	30 Jun 1983		21 Sep 1983
Mexico . . . . .	27 Apr 1983	23 Aug 1983	21 Mar 1984
Netherlands . . . . .	15 Feb 1983	13 Sep 1983	5 Sep 1984 <u>A</u>
New Zealand . . . . .	30 Jun 1983		27 Sep 1983 <sup>4</sup>
Nicaragua . . . . .	17 Jun 1983		23 Sep 1983
Nigeria . . . . .		29 Sep 1983	31 May 1984 <u>a</u>
Norway . . . . .	28 Mar 1983		30 Jun 1983
Panama . . . . .	28 Jun 1983	19 Sep 1983	25 Oct 1984
Papua New Guinea . . . . .	21 Jun 1983		28 Jun 1983
Paraguay . . . . .	15 Jun 1983	30 Sep 1983	15 Jun 1984
Peru . . . . .	24 Jun 1983	29 Sep 1983	20 Dec 1983
Philippines . . . . .	3 May 1983	28 Sep 1983	6 Feb 1984
Portugal . . . . .	30 Jun 1983	27 Sep 1983	30 Mar 1984
Rwanda . . . . .	10 May 1983	27 Sep 1983	29 Sep 1983
Sierra Leone . . . . .		21 Sep 1983	30 Apr 1984 <u>a</u>
Singapore . . . . .	29 Jun 1983		18 Aug 1983
Spain . . . . .	3 Mar 1983	29 Sep 1983	7 Feb 1984
Sri Lanka . . . . .	20 Jun 1983	15 Sep 1983	30 Dec 1983
Sweden . . . . .	28 Mar 1983		15 Sep 1983
Switzerland . . . . .	29 Jun 1983	29 Jun 1983	12 Dec 1983
Thailand . . . . .	29 Jun 1983		15 Sep 1983
Togo . . . . .	17 Jun 1983	28 Sep 1983	4 Jun 1984
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	30 Jun 1983		29 Sep 1983
Uganda . . . . .	19 Apr 1983		28 Sep 1983
United Kingdom <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	15 Apr 1983	16 Sep 1983	22 Dec 1983
United Republic of Tanzania	27 Apr 1983	14 Sep 1983	28 Sep 1983
United States of America . . . . .	23 Mar 1983		15 Sep 1983
Venezuela . . . . .		25 Aug 1983	2 Oct 1984 <u>a</u>
Zaire . . . . .	3 Jun 1983	21 Sep 1983	25 Oct 1985
Zambia . . . . .			7 Jan 1985 <u>a</u>
Zimbabwe . . . . .		15 Sep 1983	5 Mar 1984 <u>a</u>

Declarations and Reservation

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance or approval.)

**AUSTRALIA**

**JAMAICA**

Declaration

[With reference to article 23, paragraph 5]

"The Australian authorities have assumed that, in making provision for exchange control privileges, the Organisation might seek these in respect of administrative expenses and other current purposes and not in respect of transactions of a capital or investment nature."

Declaration

"Jamaica has been a Member of the International Coffee Organization since 1967. Under the previous Agreements and up to September 1982, Jamaica had an annual export quota of up to 40,000 bags as one of the countries producing 100,000 bags and below."

In 1982 when quotas were being negotiated under the revised 1976 Agreement, Jamaica was given an annual export quota of 17,388 bags which represented Jamaica's projected exports for the 1982/83 year. This reduced export quota has been given to Jamaica under the 1983 International Coffee Agreement.

Jamaica's current development programmes for the Blue Mountain area were based on the knowledge that we had an annual export quota of 40,000 bags which had been in force over the many years that Jamaica has been a Member of the International Coffee Organization.

Jamaica would certainly wish to continue membership of the International Coffee Organization and is therefore signing the 1983 International Coffee Agreement. However, Jamaica wishes to record its dissatisfaction with its current annual export quota of 17,388 bags of coffee. [Jamaica further serves notice] that it will be requesting the International Coffee Organization to consider increasing [its] export quota under the 1983 Agreement."

MALAWI

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The Government of the Republic of Malawi considers that the quota allocated to Malawi is inadequate for her present and future production of Coffee."

SWITZERLAND

Declaration

In signing the International Coffee Agreement 1983, Switzerland deems it necessary for the sound functioning of the control system provided for by this Agreement that the International Coffee Council should take appropriate measures, as it is competent to do, to ensure full compliance with article 2, paragraphe 3, of the Agreement.

NOTES:

1/ Under that resolution, any Contracting Party to the International Coffee Agreement, 1976, as extended, which is not a signatory to the International Coffee Agreement, 1983, may accede to it up to and including 31 March 1984 on the same conditions under which it could have approved, ratified or accepted the Agreement. The resolution is applicable to Australia, Congo, Fiji, Gabon, Guinea, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, Venezuela, Yugoslavia and Zimbabwe.

2/ As a member of the OAMCAF (African and Malagasy Coffee Organization) group.

3/ In a note accompanying the instrument, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

In this regard, the Secretary-General received, on 15 April 1986, from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the following declaration:

The Soviet Union is able to take note of the declaration by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany regarding the extension of the International Coffee Agreement of 1983 to West Berlin only on the understanding that such extension proceeds in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and in compliance with established procedures.

4/ With a declaration that the Agreement will also apply to the Cook Islands and Niue.

5/ With a declaration that the Agreement will also apply to the Bailiwicks of Guernsey and Jersey.

## 26. INTERNATIONAL TROPICAL TIMBER AGREEMENT, 1983

Concluded at Geneva on 18 November 1983

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 April 1985, provisionally, in accordance with article 37 (2).  
 REGISTRATION: 1 April 1985/ 223/7  
 TEXT: TD/TIMBER/11; depositary notifications C.N.188.1984.TREATIES-8 of 23 August 1984 (adoption of the authentic Chinese text)<sup>1</sup>, and C.N.204.1984.TREATIES-10 of 19 September 1984 (rectification of the original Arabic, Russian and Spanish texts)<sup>1</sup>, and C.N.227.1986.TREATIES-5 of 9 December 1986 (proces-verbal of rectification of the Chinese authentic text).

Note: The Agreement was adopted within the framework of UNCTAD by the United Nations Conference on Tropical Timber, 1983, which met in Geneva from 14 to 31 March and 7 to 18 November 1983. The Agreement was open for signature by Governments invited to the United Nations Conference on Tropical Timber, 1983, at the United Nations Headquarters in New York from 2 January 1984 until one month after the date of its entry into force.

On 24 June 1985 at its first session, held in Geneva, the International Tropical Timber Council decided, in accordance with article 35 of the Agreement, that the condition of accession for non-signatory Governments shall be that the States accept all the obligations of the Agreement.

The International Tropical Timber Council further decided that the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of accession shall be the date of the opening of the second session of the Council.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Austria . . . . .			6 Mar 1986 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .	29 Jun 1984	28 Sep 1984	21 Feb 1986
Bolivia . . . . .	1 Nov 1984	25 Jun 1985	
Brazil . . . . .	31 Mar 1985	31 Mar 1985	
Canada . . . . .			21 May 1986 <u>a</u>
Cameroon . . . . .	15 Apr 1985	14 Jun 1985	19 Nov 1985
China . . . . .			2 Jul 1986 <u>a</u>
Congo . . . . .	7 Mar 1985		28 Mar 1985
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	27 Mar 1985	27 Mar 1985	
Denmark . . . . .	29 Jun 1984		28 Sep 1984
Ecuador . . . . .	31 Mar 1985	31 Mar 1985	
Egypt . . . . .	31 Mar 1985	31 Mar 1985	16 Jan 1986
European Economic Community . . . . .	29 Jun 1984	29 Mar 1985	
Finland . . . . .	10 May 1984		13 Feb 1985
France . . . . .	29 Jun 1984	29 Jun 1984	6 Aug 1985 <u>AA</u>
Gabon . . . . .	25 Jun 1984	19 Mar 1985	
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	29 Jun 1984	29 Jun 1984	21 Mar 1986 <sup>2</sup>
Ghana . . . . .	29 Mar 1985		29 Mar 1985
Greece . . . . .	29 Jun 1984	28 Nov 1984	
Honduras . . . . .	27 Sep 1984	29 Mar 1985	
Japan . . . . .	28 Mar 1984		28 Jun 1984 <u>a</u>
India . . . . .			19 Feb 1986 <u>a</u>
Indonesia . . . . .	13 Jun 1984		9 Oct 1984
Ireland . . . . .	29 Jun 1984		4 Oct 1984
Italy . . . . .	29 Jun 1984		29 Mar 1985
Liberia . . . . .	8 Mar 1984		29 Mar 1985
Luxembourg . . . . .	29 Jun 1984	28 Sep 1984	21 Feb 1986
Malaysia . . . . .	14 Dec 1984		14 Dec 1984
Netherlands . . . . .	29 Jun 1984	20 Sep 1984	
Norway . . . . .	23 Mar 1984		21 Aug 1984
Papua New Guinea . . . . .			27 Nov 1985 <u>a</u>
Peru . . . . .	31 Mar 1985	31 Mar 1985	
Philippines . . . . .	31 Mar 1985	31 Mar 1985	
Republic of Korea . . . . .			25 Jun 1985 <u>a</u>
Spain . . . . .	27 Feb 1985	24 Apr 1985	1 Apr 1986
Sweden . . . . .	23 Mar 1984		9 Nov 1984
Switzerland . . . . .	30 Apr 1985		9 May 1985
Thailand . . . . .			9 Oct 1985 <u>a</u>
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	29 Apr 1985		9 May 1986
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	28 Mar 1985		20 May 1985 <u>A</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	29 Jun 1984		18 Sep 1984
United States of America . . . . .	26 Apr 1985	26 Apr 1985	

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance or approval.)

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon acceptance :

a) In the event that the European Economic Community becomes a party to the present Agreement, the participation of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in the Agreement shall not give rise to any obligations on its part in relation to the Community.

b) In view of its well-known position on the Korean question, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics cannot recognize as lawful the designation "Republic of Korea" contained in Annex "B" to the Agreement.

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance or approval.)

EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY

6 August 1985

On behalf of the European Economic Community and its member States, [the European Economic Community and its members] wish to inform you of their reaction to [the declaration made by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics]. The International Tropical Timber Agreement, 1983, states, in article 5, paragraph 1, that "Any reference in this Agreement to 'Governments' shall be construed as including the European Economic Community and any other intergovernmental organization having responsibilities in respect of the negotiation, conclusion and application of international agreements, in particular commodity agreements".

In application of the provision, the European Economic Community signed the International Tropical Timber Agreement on 29 June 1984, and notified the Secretary-General of the United Nations on 29 March 1985 that the Community would apply that Agreement provisionally, in accordance with the rules set forth in article 36.

[It] wishes to point out also that article 43 of the International Tropical Timber Agreement prohibits any reservation to the Agreement.

The Community and its member States are therefore of the opinion that the above declaration can in no way be enforceable against them, and they regard it as being without effect.

---

NOTES:

1/ The authentic Chinese text of the Agreement was established by the depositary and submitted for adoption in accordance with the testimonium. Subsequently, on 9 December 1986, the Secretary-General circulated proposed corrections to remedy lacks of concordance which had been noticed in the authentic Chinese text of the Agreement.

2/ In a letter accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany specified that "the Agreement shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany".

27 INTERNATIONAL SUGAR AGREEMENT, 1984

Concluded at Geneva on 5 July 1984

ENTRY INTO FORCE: Provisionally on 1 January 1985, in accordance with article 38 (2), and definitively on 4 April 1985, in accordance with article 38 (1).  
 REGISTRATION: 1 January 1985  
 TEXT: TD/SUGAR/10/11 and depositary notification CN.318.1984.TREATIES-5 of 17 January 1985 (authentic Chinese text).

Note: The Agreement was adopted during the final plenary meeting on 5 July 1984 by the United Nations Sugar Conference 1983, which met at Geneva from 2 to 20 May 1983, 12 to 30 September 1983, 12 to 29 June 1984 and 2 to 5 July 1984. The Agreement was open for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations, New York, from 1 September until 31 December 1984, in accordance with its article 35. Subsequently, in accordance with article 44 (2), the International Sugar Council decided to extend the Agreement for one year until 31 December 1987.

Moreover, in accordance with article 36 (2), the International Sugar Council also took the following decisions:

Date	Decision
21 Jan 1985	Establishment of conditions of accession by non-signatory States. Extension of the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval until 30 June 1985.
23 May 1985	Extension of the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval until 31 December 1985.
21 Nov 1985	Extension of the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval until 31 December 1986.
21 Nov 1986	Extension of the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval until 31 December 1987.

Participant	Signature	Provisional application	Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)
Argentina	27 Dec 1984	27 Dec 1984	
Australia	31 Dec 1984		31 Dec 1984
Austria	20 Dec 1984		13 Nov 1985
Barbados	31 Dec 1984		31 Dec 1984
Belize	20 Dec 1984	17 Jan 1985	7 Nov 1985
Bolivia	18 Dec 1984	18 Dec 1984	
Brazil	28 Dec 1984	28 Dec 1984	
Bulgaria	27 Dec 1984		
Cameroon			22 Jan 1986
Canada			20 Feb 1985 <sup>a</sup>
Colombia	30 Oct 1984	9 Jan 1985	20 May 1986
Congo	28 Dec 1984	28 Dec 1984	
Costa Rica	19 Nov 1984	19 Dec 1984	
Côte d'Ivoire	31 Dec 1984	22 Jan 1985	
Cuba	13 Dec 1984	17 Jan 1985	4 Apr 1985
Dominican Republic		4 Apr 1984	
Ecuador	27 Dec 1984	21 Jan 1985	19 Jun 1986
Egypt	28 Dec 1984	31 Dec 1984	29 May 1986
El Salvador	20 Dec 1984	16 Jan 1985	20 May 1985
European Economic Community	20 Dec 1984		20 Dec 1984 <sup>AA</sup>
Fiji	19 Dec 1984		19 Dec 1984
Finland			7 May 1985 <sup>a</sup>
German Democratic Republic	31 Dec 1984	31 Dec 1984	8 Mar 1985 <sup>AA</sup>
Guatemala	29 Nov 1984	21 Dec 1984	8 Mar 1985
Guyana	20 Dec 1984		21 Dec 1984
Haiti			30 Oct 1985 <sup>a</sup>
Honduras		21 Jan 1985	
Hungary	21 Dec 1984	21 Dec 1984	21 Jan 1985 <sup>AA</sup>
India	31 Dec 1984	31 Dec 1984	29 Apr 1985
Indonesia	31 Dec 1984		
Iraq			30 Jul 1985 <sup>a</sup>
Jamaica	28 Dec 1984	28 Dec 1984	16 Jan 1986
Japan	28 Dec 1984		28 Dec 1984 <sup>A</sup>
Lebanon	20 Dec 1984		
Madagascar		21 Jan 1985	
Malawi	31 Dec 1984		31 Dec 1984

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Mauritius . . . . .	21 Dec 1984	16 Jan 1985	21 Jan 1985
Mexico . . . . .	18 Dec 1984	21 Jan 1985	14 Mar 1986
New Zealand . . . . .			30 Dec 1985 <u>a</u> <sup>1</sup>
Nicaragua . . . . .	15 Nov 1984		28 Dec 1984
Norway . . . . .	21 Dec 1984		21 Dec 1984
Pakistan . . . . .	31 Dec 1984		31 Dec 1984 <u>AA</u>
Panama . . . . .	11 Dec 1984	11 Dec 1984	29 Oct 1986
Papua New Guinea . . . . .	31 Dec 1984	18 Jan 1985	
Paraguay . . . . .	31 Dec 1984	31 Dec 1984	
Peru . . . . .	31 Dec 1984	8 Jan 1985	
Philippines . . . . .		21 Jan 1985	
Republic of Korea . . . . .	27 Dec 1984	27 Dec 1984	14 Feb 1985
Saint Christopher and Nevis . . . . .	31 Dec 1984	31 Dec 1984	
South Africa . . . . .	28 Dec 1984	16 Jan 1985	13 Feb 1985
Swaziland . . . . .	13 Dec 1984	10 Jan 1985	21 Jan 1985
Sweden . . . . .	19 Dec 1984		19 Dec 1984
Thailand . . . . .		21 Jan 1985	26 Mar 1985 <u>a</u>
Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	28 Dec 1984	28 Dec 1984	21 Jan 1985
Uganda . . . . .	27 Dec 1984		28 Dec 1984
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	30 Nov 1984		27 Dec 1984 <u>A</u>
United States of America . . . . .	7 Dec 1984	7 Dec 1984	
Zimbabwe . . . . .	31 Dec 1984	17 Jan 1985	28 Mar 1985

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance or approval.)

AUSTRALIA

"The Government of Australia wishes to state that its decision to participate in the International Sugar Agreement, 1984, does not indicate any change in its position regarding Cuba's trade with socialist countries.

Consistent with the approach of the Government of Australia on this matter during the unsuccessful negotiations for an agreement with economic provisions, the Government of Australia also opposed recognition being accorded to Cuba's Special Arrangements trade in the voting provisions of the International Sugar Agreement 1984. In any future negotiations for an agreement with economic provisions, the Government of Australia will continue to press for the acceptance by Cuba for disciplines comparable to those to which other exporters are subjected, that is of limitations on exports at times of low prices."

CUBA

Declaration:

The signature of the Republic of Cuba to the 1984 International Sugar Agreement shall not be interpreted as recognition or acceptance on the part of the Government of Cuba of the racist Government of South Africa, which does not represent the South African people and which

does not represent the South African people and which, because of its systematic practice of the discriminatory policy of apartheid has been expelled from international agencies, condemned by the United Nations and rejected by all the peoples of the world.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The participation of the Government of the German Democratic Republic in the International Sugar Agreement, 1984 does not imply any change of its position towards various International Organizations."

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

a) In the event that the European Economic Community becomes a party to the present Agreement, the participation of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in the Agreement shall not give rise to any obligations on its part in relation to the Community.

b) In view of its well-known position on the Korean question, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics can not recognize as lawful the designation "Republic of Korea" contained in Annex "B" to the Agreement.



Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance or approval.)

EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY

6 August 1985

On behalf of the European Economic Community and its member States, [the European Economic Community and its members] wish to inform you of their reaction to the [declaration made by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics]. Article 5 of the International Sugar Agreement, 1984, provides that any reference to a Government or Governments shall be construed as including the European Economic Community and any other intergovernmental organization having responsibilities in respect of the negotiation, conclusion and application of international agreements, in particular commodity agreements.

Pursuant to this provision, the European Economic Community signed the International Sugar Agreement on 20 December 1984 and, in conformity with the rules set forth in article 36(2) of the Agreement, deposited the instruments of approval with the Secretary-General of the United Nations that same day.

Accordingly, the Community and its member States consider the declaration which the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics made concerning the European Economic Community when it signed and accepted the Agreement, notification of which was given to the Community on 25 February 1985, to be unacceptable. In no circumstances can this declaration be invoked against them and they consider it to be completely null and void.

---

NOTES:

- 1/ For New Zealand, Cook Islands and Niue.

## 28. INTERNATIONAL WHEAT AGREEMENT, 1986

## a) WHEAT TRADE CONVENTION, 1986

Done at London on 14 March 1986

ENTRY INTO FORCE : 1 July 1986, in accordance with article 28.  
 REGISTERED : 1 July 1986.  
 TEXT: Document IWA (86) 1 of International Wheat Council and depositary notification C.N.139.1986 TREATIES-4/4 of 18 September 1986 (procès-verbal of rectification of the original).

Note: The Convention which together with the Food Aid Convention, 1986, constitute the International Wheat Agreement, 1986, was open for signature at the United Nations Headquarters in New York from 1 May 1986 until and including 30 June 1986.

The International Wheat Council decided, in accordance with the provisions of articles 25(2) and 27 (1) of the Wheat Trade Convention, 1986 to extend until 30 June 1987 for the following States and Organization the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval of the Agreement or of accession thereto: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Barbados, Belgium, Bolivia, Brazil, Cuba, Ecuador, Egypt, European Economic Community, Finland, France, Germany, Federal Republic of, Greece, India, Iran, Islamic Republic of, Iraq, Israel, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Malta, Mauritius, Morocco, Netherlands, Pakistan, Panama, Portugal, Republic of Korea, Saudi Arabia, Spain, Switzerland, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America, Venezuela and Yemen.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	25 Jun 1986	25 Jun 1986	
Australia . . . . .			27 Jun 1986 <u>a</u>
Barbados . . . . .	26 Jun 1986		2 Jul 1986
Belgium . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
Bolivia . . . . .		30 Jun 1986	
Brazil . . . . .	12 Jun 1986	12 Jun 1986	
Canada . . . . .	23 Jun 1986		23 Jun 1986
Cuba . . . . .	30 Jun 1986	30 Jun 1986	
Denmark . . . . .	26 Jun 1986		26 Jun 1986
Ecuador . . . . .	1 May 1986	1 May 1986	
Egypt . . . . .	29 May 1986	2 Jul 1986	
El Salvador . . . . .		11 Jul 1986	
European Economic Community . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
Finland . . . . .	1 May 1986	18 Jun 1986	
France . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
Greece . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
Holy See . . . . .			23 Jun 1986 <u>a</u>
India . . . . .		27 Jun 1986	24 Sep 1986 <u>a</u>
Ireland . . . . .	26 Jun 1986		26 Jun 1986
Italy . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
Japan . . . . .	24 Jun 1986	30 Jun 1986	15 Dec 1986 <u>A</u>
Luxembourg . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	30 Jun 1986	
Morocco . . . . .	3 Jun 1986	3 Jun 1986	
Netherlands . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986 <sup>1</sup>	
Norway . . . . .	30 Jun 1986		30 Jun 1986 <u>AA</u>
Pakistan . . . . .		30 Jun 1986	
Panama . . . . .		3 Jul 1986	
Portugal . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	30 Jun 1986	
Republic of Korea . . . . .		30 Jun 1986	
Spain . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
South Africa . . . . .	24 Jun 1986		24 Jun 1986
Sweden . . . . .	25 Jun 1986		25 Jun 1986
Switzerland . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
Tunisia . . . . .	14 May 1986	14 May 1986	
Turkey . . . . .		30 Jun 1986	
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	18 Jun 1986		30 Jun 1986 <u>A</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986 <sup>2</sup>	
United States of America . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
Yemen . . . . .	27 Jun 1986		

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance or approval.)

CUBA

Upon signature:

The signature of the Republic of Cuba to the International Wheat Agreement, 1986, shall not be interpreted as recognition or acceptance on the part of the Government of the Republic of Cuba of the racist Government of South Africa, which does not represent the South African People and which, because of its systematic practice of the discriminatory policy of apartheid, has been expelled from international agencies, condemned by the United Nations and rejected by all the peoples of the world.

The signature of the Republic of Cuba to the International Wheat Agreement, 1986, shall not be interpreted as recognition or acceptance on the part of the Government of the Republic of Cuba of the Republic of Korea, because Cuba considers that it does not genuinely represent the interests of the Korean people.

The Government of the Republic of Cuba considers that the provisions contained in articles 24, 26 and 27 of the Agreement are discriminatory because they exclude a number of States from the right to sign, provisionally apply and accede to the Agreement, which is contrary to the principle of universality.

ITALY

Upon signature:

...The Government of Italy will apply the Wheat Trade Convention, 1986, provisionally within the limits authorized by the Italian Legal order.

JAPAN

Upon signature:

"...The Government of Japan implements the Convention, during the period of provisional application, within the limitations of its internal legislations and budgets."

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Upon signature:

"...The Government of the Republic of Korea will provisionally apply, within the limitations of the domestic legislation and budgetary process of the Republic of Korea, the Wheat Trade Convention, 1986."

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Upon signature:

(a) Should the European Economic Community become a party to this Convention, the participation to the Convention by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics shall not create for it any obligations with regard to that community.

(b) In the light of the well-known position on the Korean question, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics cannot accept as valid the designation 'Republic of Korea' contained in the annex to the Convention.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Upon signature:

"...The United States of America will provisionally apply within the limitations of the United States internal legislation and budgetary process the Wheat Trade Convention, 1986."

---

NOTES:

1/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

2/ For the United Kingdom, the British Virgin Islands, Gibraltar and Saint Helena.

b) FOOD AID CONVENTION, 1986

Done at London on 13 March 1986

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 July 1986, in accordance with article XXI(2)  
 REGISTRATION: 1 July 1986.  
 TEXT: Document IWA (86) 1 of International Wheat Council and depositary notification C.N.139.1986.TREATIES-4/4 of 18 September 1986 (procès-verbal of rectification of the original).

Note: The Convention, which together with the Wheat Trade Convention, 1986 which constitute the International Wheat Agreement, 1986, was open for signature at the United Nations Headquarters in New York from 1 May 1986 until and including 30 June 1986.

The Food Aid Committee decided, in accordance with the provisions of articles XVIII and XX (1) of the Food Aid Convention, 1986, to extend until 30 June 1987 for the following States and Organization the time-limit for the deposit of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval of the Convention or of accession thereto. Argentina, Australia, Austria, Belgium, European Economic Community, Finland, France, Germany, Federal Republic of, Greece, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	25 Jun 1986	25 Jun 1986	
Austria . . . . .	27 Jun 1986		
Belgium . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
Canada . . . . .	23 Jun 1986		23 Jun 1986
Denmark . . . . .	26 Jun 1986		26 Jun 1986
European Economic Community	26 Jun 1986	26 June 1986	
Finland . . . . .	1 May 1986	18 Jun 1986	
France . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
Germany, Federal Republic of	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
Greece . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
Ireland . . . . .	26 Jun 1986		26 Jun 1986
Italy . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
Japan . . . . .	24 Jun 1986	30 Jun 1986	15 Dec 1986 <u>A</u>
Luxembourg . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	30 Jun 1986	
Netherlands . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986 <sup>1</sup>	
Norway . . . . .	30 Jun 1986		30 Jun 1986 <u>AA</u>
Portugal . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	30 Jun 1986	
Spain . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	
Sweden . . . . .	25 Jun 1986		25 Jun 1986
Switzerland . . . . .	26 Jun 1986		26 Jun 1986
United Kingdom . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986 <sup>2</sup>	
United States of America . . . . .	26 Jun 1986	26 Jun 1986	

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance or approval )  
 ITALY

[Same declaration as for XIX.28 a)]

JAPAN

[Same declaration as for XIX.28 a)]

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

[Same declaration as for XIX.28 a)]

NOTES:

- 1/ For the Kingdom in Europe.
- 2/ For the United Kingdom, the British Virgin Islands and Saint Helena.

29. TERMS OF REFERENCE OF THE INTERNATIONAL NICKEL STUDY GROUP  
AS ADOPTED ON 2 MAY 1986 BY THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE ON NICKEL, 1985

No yet in force (see article 19 (c).)  
TEXT: Document des Nations Unies TD/NICKEL/12.

Note: The Terms of Reference, of which the Arabic, English, French, Russian and Spanish texts are equally authentic, were adopted on 2 May 1986 by the United Nations Conference on Nickel, 1985 which met in Geneva from 28 October 1985 to 7 November 1985 and from 28 April 1986 to 2 May 1986.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Provisional application.</u>	<u>Definitive application</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Provisional application.</u>	<u>Definitive application</u>
Canada . . . . .		20 Sep 1986	Greece . . . . .	2 Dec 1986	
Finland . . . . .	12 Sep 1986		Netherlands . . . . .	19 Sep 1986 <sup>1</sup>	
France . . . . .		28 Oct 1986	Sweden . . . . .		19 Sep 1986
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	19 Sep 1986				

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon provisional or definitive application.)

CANADA

With a view to ensuring the viability of the Group, the Government of Canada wishes to confirm that it would not support putting these terms of reference into effect in whole or in part until such time as an appropriate number of countries representing sufficient world trade have been able to notify similar acceptance. Therefore, pursuant to provision 19(B) of the terms of reference, the Government of Canada would not envisage the convening by the United Nations of an early meeting should less than 15 states accounting for 50 percent of the world trade notify by the September 20, 1986 deadline.

At the same time, on the basis of consultation with prospective members of the INSG, the Government of Canada proposes to convene an informal meeting to consider appropriate next steps in the establishment of the Group, including planning for an inaugural meeting

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"The Federal Republic of Germany reserves its position in relation to the text of paragraph 13 of the Terms of Reference of the International Nickel Study Group. In this respect it refers to the proposal of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland [made during the Conference, to amend paragraph 13 of the Terms of Reference] as reproduced in Annex III of the resolution adopted by the United Nations Conference on Nickel 1985 (doc TD/NICKEL/12):

Annex III

Proposal submitted by the delegation of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

13. (a) The Group shall have legal personality. It shall in particular but subject to paragraph 6 (b) above, have the capacity to enter into contracts, to acquire and to dispose of movable and immovable property and to institute legal proceedings.

(b) The members of the Group shall not be liable to meet any obligations of the Group (whether in contract, tort or otherwise). Their obligations shall be limited to meeting their respective budget contribution under paragraph 14 of these Terms of Reference and the Rules of Procedure. The Group shall not have the power and shall not be taken to have been authorized by the members, to incur any obligation outside the scope of these Terms of Reference or the Rules of Procedure.

(c) All contracts of the Group shall incorporate subparagraph (b) of this paragraph.

(d) The status of the Group in the territory of the host Government shall be governed by a Headquarters Agreement between the host Government and the Group, to be concluded as soon as possible after these Terms of Reference have come into effect."

GREECE

Greece supports the British proposal [see under Federal Republic of Germany] to amend the Constitution of the Group, with the aim to restrain its contractual competence.

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> For the Kingdom in Europe.

30. INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT ON OLIVE OIL AND TABLE OLIVES, 1986

Concluded at Geneva on 1 July 1986

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 1 January 1987, provisionally, in accordance with article 55 (2).  
 REGISTRATION: 1 January 1987.  
 TEXT: Document of the United Nations TD/OLIVE OIL.8/3.

Note: The Agreement, of which the Arabic, English, French, Italian and Spanish texts are equally authentic, was adopted on 1 July 1986 by the United Nations Conference on Olive Oil, 1986, which met at Geneva from 18 June to 2 July 1986. The Agreement was open for signature at the United Nations Headquarters from 1 September until and including 31 December 1986, by any Government invited to the United Nations Conference on Olive Oil, 1986, in accordance with its article 52(1).

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Provisional Application</u>	<u>Definitive signature (D), Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Algeria . . . . .	23 Dec 1986	23 Dec 1986	
European Economic Economic Community			12 Dec 1986 <u>D</u>
Morocco . . . . .	18 Dec 1986	18 Dec 1986	
Tunisia . . . . .	17 Dec 1986	17 Dec 1986	
Turkey . . . . .	30 Dec 1986	30 Dec 1986	

## 31 INTERNATIONAL COCOA AGREEMENT, 1986

Concluded at Geneva on 25 July 1986

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 January 1987, provisionally, in accordance with article 70 (3).<sup>1</sup>  
 REGISTRATION: 20 January 1987  
 TEXT: Depositary notification C.N.189.1986.TREATIES-1 of 29 September 1986.<sup>2</sup>

Note: The Agreement, of which the Arabic, English, French, Russian and Spanish texts are equally authentic, was adopted on 25 July 1986 at Geneva by the United Nations Conference on Cocoa, 1986. The Agreement was open for signature at the United Nations Headquarters from 1 September until and including 30 September 1986, by parties to the International Cocoa Agreement, 1980, and any Government invited to the United Nations Cocoa Conference, 1984, in accordance with its article 65

In accordance with articles 67 and 68, the International Cocoa Council took the following decisions:

Date of decision

1-12 September 1986 Establishment of standard conditions of accession from 1 October 1986 to 31 December 1986.  
 5 December 1986 Extension until 31 January 1987 of standard conditions for accession and of the time-limit for deposit of instruments of ratification acceptance or approval.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Provisional application</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Belgium . . . . .	30 Sep 1986	16 Jan 1987	
Brazil . . . . .	3 Sep 1986	3 Sep 1986	
Cameroon . . . . .	15 Sep 1986	15 Sep 1986	
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	22 Sep 1986	22 Sep 1986	
Denmark . . . . .	30 Sep 1986	16 Jan 1987	
Ecuador . . . . .		27 Oct 1986	
European Economic Community . . . . .	30 Sep 1986	16 Jan 1987	
Finland . . . . .	12 Sep 1986	29 Sep 1986	
France . . . . .	30 Sep 1986	13 Nov 1986	
Gabon . . . . .			28 Nov 1986 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	30 Sep 1986		18 Dec 1986 <u>AA</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	30 Sep 1986	30 Sep 1986	
Ghana . . . . .	2 Sep 1986	2 Sep 1986	
Greece . . . . .	30 Sep 1986	30 Jan 1987 <sup>3</sup>	
Grenada . . . . .		3 Oct 1986	
Guatemala . . . . .	29 Sep 1986	21 Oct 1986	
Hungary . . . . .			30 Dec 1986 <u>a</u>
Ireland . . . . .	30 Sep 1986	16 Jan 1987	
Italy . . . . .	30 Sep 1986		
Luxembourg . . . . .	30 Sep 1986	16 Jan 1987	
Mexico . . . . .	30 Sep 1986	3 Oct 1986	
Netherlands . . . . .	30 Sep 1986	16 Jan 1987 <sup>4</sup>	
Nigeria . . . . .	24 Sep 1986	24 Sep 1986	
Norway . . . . .	25 Sep 1986		6 Jan 1987
Portugal . . . . .	30 Sep 1986	16 Jan 1987	
Sierra Leone . . . . .		14 Jan 1987	
Spain . . . . .	30 Sep 1986	16 Jan 1987	
Sweden . . . . .	29 Sep 1986	29 Sep 1986	
Switzerland . . . . .	30 Sep 1986	30 Sep 1986	
Togo . . . . .	2 Sep 1986	8 Jan 1987	
United Kingdom . . . . .	30 Sep 1986	16 Jan 1987 <sup>5</sup>	
Venezuela . . . . .	29 Sep 1986		
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	29 Sep 1986		19 Dec 1986 <u>A</u>

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance, approval or definitive signature.)

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"The Federal Republic of Germany, as an importing member, will provisionally apply the International Cocoa Agreement, 1986, within the scope of and pursuant to the laws of the Federal Republic of Germany and of the European Economic Community."

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Made upon signature and confirmed upon acceptance:

In view of its well-known position of the Korean question, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics cannot recognize as lawful the designation of 'Republic of Korea' contained in Annex E to the Agreement.

---

NOTES:

1/ Taking into account the publication schedule, it has been possible to include, exceptionally, in this publication the formalities effected up to 31 January 1987 although normally the status is prepared as at 31 December 1986.

2/ On 23 December 1986, the Secretary-General circulated a proposed correction of the English text of the Agreement.

3/ With a declaration according to which the provisional application by Greece takes effect on 20 January 1987, the date of the provisional entry into force of the Agreement.

4/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

5/ For the Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Bailiwick of Guernsey, the Bailiwick of Jersey and the Isle of Man.





CHAPTER XX. MAINTENANCE OBLIGATIONS

1. CONVENTION ON THE RECOVERY ABROAD OF MAINTENANCE

Done at New York on 20 June 1956

ENTRY INTO FORCE. 25 May 1957, in accordance with article 14.  
 REGISTRATION: 25 May 1957, No. 3850.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 268, p. 3, and vol. 649, p. 330 (procès-verbal of rectification of Spanish authentic text).

Note: The Convention was adopted and opened for signature by the United Nations Conference on Maintenance Obligations convened pursuant to resolution 572 (XIX)<sup>1</sup> of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations, adopted on 17 May 1955. The Conference met at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York from 29 May to 20 June 1956. For the text of the Final Act of the Conference, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 268, p. 3.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Algeria . . . . .		10 Sep 1969 <u>a</u>	Greece . . . . .	20 Jun 1956	1 Nov 1965
Argentina . . . . .		29 Nov 1972 <u>a</u>	Guatemala . . . . .	26 Dec 1956	25 Apr 1957
Australia . . . . .		12 Feb 1985 <u>a</u>	Haiti . . . . .	21 Dec 1956	12 Feb 1958
Austria . . . . .	21 Dec 1956	16 Jul 1969	Holy See . . . . .	20 Jun 1956	5 Oct 1964
Barbados . . . . .		18 Jun 1970 <u>a</u>	Hungary . . . . .		23 Jul 1957 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .		1 Jul 1966 <u>a</u>	Israel . . . . .	20 Jun 1956	4 Apr 1957
Bolivia . . . . .	20 Jun 1956		Italy . . . . .	1 Aug 1956	28 Jul 1958
Brazil . . . . .	31 Dec 1956	14 Nov 1960	Luxembourg . . . . .		1 Nov 1971 <u>a</u>
Burkina Faso . . . . .		27 Aug 1962 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .	20 Jun 1956	
Cape Verde . . . . .		13 Sep 1985 <u>a</u>	Monaco . . . . .	20 Jun 1956	28 Jun 1961
Central African Republic . . . . .		15 Oct 1962 <u>a</u>	Morocco . . . . .		18 Mar 1957 <u>a</u>
Chile . . . . .		9 Jan 1961 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	20 Jun 1956	31 Jul 1962
China <sup>2</sup> . . . . .			New Zealand . . . . .		26 Feb 1986 <u>a</u> <sup>5</sup>
Colombia . . . . .	16 Jul 1956		Niger . . . . .		15 Feb 1965 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .	20 Jun 1956		Norway . . . . .		25 Oct 1957 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		8 May 1986 <u>a</u>	Pakistan . . . . .		14 Jul 1959 <u>a</u>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		3 Oct 1958 <u>a</u>	Philippines . . . . .	20 Jun 1956	21 Mar 1968
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	20 Jun 1956		Poland . . . . .		13 Oct 1960 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	28 Dec 1956	22 Jun 1959	Portugal . . . . .		25 Jan 1965 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .	20 Jun 1956		Spain . . . . .		6 Oct 1966 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	20 Jun 1956	4 Jun 1974	Sri Lanka . . . . .	20 Jun 1956	7 Aug 1958
El Salvador . . . . .	20 Jun 1956		Suriname . . . . .		12 Oct 1979 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .		13 Sep 1962 <u>a</u>	Sweden . . . . .	4 Dec 1956	1 Oct 1958
France <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	5 Sep 1956	24 Jun 1960	Switzerland . . . . .		5 Oct 1977 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	20 Jun 1956	20 Jul 1959	Tunisia . . . . .		16 Oct 1968 <u>a</u>
			Turkey . . . . .		2 Jun 1971 <u>a</u>
			United Kingdom . . . . .		13 Mar 1975 <u>a</u> <sup>6</sup>
			Yugoslavia . . . . .	31 Dec 1956	29 May 1959

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

ALGERIA

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 16 of the Convention concerning the

competence of the International Court of Justice and affirms that the agreement of all the parties concerned is required in each case before a dispute can be brought before the International Court of Justice.

ARGENTINA

(a) The Argentine Republic reserves the right, with respect to article 10 of the Convention, to restrict the application of the expression "highest priority" in the light of the provisions governing exchange controls in Argentina.

(b) In the event that another Contracting Party extends the application of the Convention to territories over which the Argentine Republic exercises sovereignty, such extension shall in no way affect the latter's rights (the reference is to article 12 of the Convention).

(c) The Argentine Government reserves the right not to apply the procedure provided for in article 16 of the Convention in any dispute directly or indirectly related to the territories referred to in its declaration concerning article 12.

AUSTRALIA

Declaration:

"Australia wishes to declare, in accordance with Article 12, that with the exception of the Territory of Norfolk Island, the Convention shall not be applicable to the territories for the International relations of which Australia is responsible."

ISRAEL

"Article 5: The Transmitting Agency shall transmit under paragraph 1 any order, final or provisional, and any other judicial act, obtained by the claimant for the payment of maintenance in a competent tribunal of Israel, and, where necessary and possible, the record of the proceedings in which such order was made.

"Article 10: Israel reserves the right:

"a) to take the necessary measures to prevent transfers of funds under this Article for purposes other than the bona fide payment of existing maintenance obligations;

"b) to limit the amounts transferable pursuant to this Article, to amounts necessary for subsistence."

NETHERLANDS

The Government of the Kingdom makes the following reservation with regard to article 1 of the

Convention: the recovery of maintenance shall not be facilitated by virtue of this article if, the claimant and the respondent being both in the Netherlands, or, respectively, in Surinam, the Netherlands Antilles or Netherlands New Guinea, and assistance having been granted or similar arrangements made under the Assistance to the Needy Act (Loi sur l'Assistance des Pauvres), no recovery was in general obtained for such assistance from the respondent, having regard to the circumstances of the case in question.

"The Convention has for the time being been ratified for the Kingdom of the Netherlands in Europe only. If, in accordance with article 12, the application of the Convention will at any time be extended to the parts of the Kingdom outside Europe, the Secretary-General will be duly notified thereof. In that event the notification will contain such reservation as may be made on behalf of any of these parts of the Kingdom."

SWEDEN

Article 1: Sweden reserves the right to reject, where the circumstances of the case under consideration appear to make this necessary, any application for legal support aimed at the recovery of maintenance from a person who entered Sweden as a political refugee.

Article 9: Where the proceedings are pending in Sweden, the exemptions in the payment of costs and the facilities provided in article 9, paragraphs 1 and 2, shall be granted only to nationals of or stateless persons resident in another State Party to this Convention or to any person who would in any case enjoy such advantages under an agreement concluded with the State of which he is a national.

TUNISIA

(1) Persons living abroad may only claim the advantages provided for in the Convention when considered non-residents under the exchange regulations in force in Tunisia.

(2) A dispute may only be referred to the International Court of Justice with the agreement of all the parties to the dispute.

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification or accession.)

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

21 April 1973

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not regard as valid the reservation to article 10 of the Convention . . . made by the Government of Argentina."

POLAND

5 February 1969

The Government of the Polish People's Republic wishes to express its objection, in accordance

with article 17, paragraph 1, of the said Convention, to the first two reservations made by the Government of Tunisia in its instrument of accession.

UNITED KINGDOM

13 March 1975

With reference to article 17(1) of the Convention . . . the Government of the United Kingdom [objects] to reservations (b) and (c) made by Argentina in respect of articles 12 and 16 upon accession to the Convention.

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
France . . . . .	24 Jun 1960	Comoro Archipelago, French Polynesia, French Somaliland, New Caledonia and Dependencies, St. Pierre and Miquelon
Netherlands . . .	12 Aug 1969	Netherlands Antilles <sup>7</sup>
Australia . . . .	12 Feb 1985	Norfolk Island

## NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Nineteenth Session, Supplement No 1A (E/2730/Add.1), p. 5.

2/ Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 4 December 1956 and 25 June 1957 respectively. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

With reference to the above-mentioned accession, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Poland on the one hand, and of China on the other hand. The objection made on that occasion by the Government of Poland and the communication from the Government of the Republic of China are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the corresponding communications referred to in note 2 in chapter VI.14.

3/ The instrument of ratification by France contains the following declaration:

(a) That the Convention shall apply to the territories of the French Republic, namely: the metropolitan departments, the departments of Algeria, the departments of the Oases and of Saoura, the departments of Guadeloupe, Guiana, Martinique and Réunion and the Overseas Territories (St. Pierre and Miquelon, French Somaliland, the Comoro Archipelago, New Caledonia and Dependencies and French Polynesia);

(b) That its application may be extended, by subsequent notification, to the other States of the Community or to one or more such States.

4/ In a note accompanying the instrument of ratification the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Convention also applies to Land Berlin.

With reference to the above-mentioned declaration, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the one hand and by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany on the other hand. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to those referred to in note 2 in chapter III.3.

5/ The convention shall not extend to the Cook Islands or to Niue or Tokelau.

6/ "In accordance with article 12 of the Convention, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland hereby gives notice that the provisions of the Convention shall not apply to any of the territories for the international relations of which the United Kingdom is responsible."

7/ Subject to the reservation with regard to article 1 which was made by the Netherlands upon ratification of the Convention.



CHAPTER XXI. LAW OF THE SEA

1. CONVENTION ON THE TERRITORIAL SEA AND THE CONTIGUOUS ZONE

Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 10 September 1964, in accordance with article 29.  
 REGISTRATION: 22 November 1964, No. 7477.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol 516, p. 205.

Note: The four Conventions and the Optional Protocol of Signature listed in this Chapter were prepared and opened for signature by the United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea. The Conference was convened pursuant to resolution 1105 (XI)<sup>1</sup>, adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 21 February 1957, and met at the European Office of the United Nations at Geneva from 24 February to 27 April 1958. The Conference also adopted the Final Act and nine resolutions for the text of which, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 450, p. 11. For the travaux préparatoires and the proceedings of the Conference, see Official Records of the United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea, vols. I to VII, United Nations publication, Sales No.: 58.U.4, vols. I to VII.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . .	30 Oct 1958		Madagascar . . .		31 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>
Argentina . . .	29 Apr 1958		Malawi . . . . .		3 Nov 1965 <u>a</u>
Australia . . .	30 Oct 1958	14 May 1963	Malaysia . . . . .		21 Dec 1960 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .	27 Oct 1958		Malta . . . . .		19 May 1966 <u>d</u>
Belgium . . . . .		6 Jan 1972 <u>a</u>	Mauritius . . . . .		5 Oct 1970 <u>d</u>
Bolivia . . . . .	17 Oct 1958		Mexico . . . . .		2 Aug 1966 <u>a</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	31 Aug 1962	Nepal . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	
Byelorussian SSR	30 Oct 1958	27 Feb 1961	Netherlands . . .	31 Oct 1958	18 Feb 1966
Canada . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		New Zealand . . .	29 Oct 1958	
China <sup>2</sup>			Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Colombia . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Pakistan . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	
Costa Rica . . .	29 Apr 1958		Panama . . . . .	2 May 1958	
Cuba . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Portugal . . . . .	28 Oct 1958	8 Jan 1963
Czechoslovakia .	30 Oct 1958	31 Aug 1961	Romania . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	12 Dec 1961
Democratic			Senegal <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		25 Apr 1961 <u>a</u>
Kampuchea . . .		18 Mar 1960 <u>a</u>	Sierra Leone . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Denmark . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	26 Sep 1968	Solomon Islands .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
Dominican			South Africa . . .		9 Apr 1963 <u>a</u>
Republic . . . .	29 Apr 1958	11 Aug 1964	Spain . . . . .		25 Feb 1971 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		25 Mar 1971 <u>d</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	
Finland . . . . .	27 Oct 1958	16 Feb 1965	Swaziland . . . . .		16 Oct 1970 <u>a</u>
German Democratic			Switzerland . . .	22 Oct 1958	18 May 1966
Republic . . . . .		27 Dec 1973 <u>a</u>	Thailand . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	2 Jul 1968
Ghana . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Tonga . . . . .		29 Jun 1971 <u>d</u>
Guatemala . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Trinidad and		
Haiti . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	29 Mar 1960	Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Holy See . . . . .	30 Apr 1958		Tunisia . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	
Hungary . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	6 Dec 1961	Uganda . . . . .		14 Sep 1964 <u>a</u>
Iceland . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Ukrainian SSR . .	30 Oct 1958	12 Jan 1961
Iran (Islamic			Union of Soviet		
Republic of) . . .	28 May 1958		Socialist		
Ireland . . . . .	2 Oct 1958		Republics . . . .	30 Oct 1958	22 Nov 1960
Israel . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	6 Sep 1961	United Kingdom . .	9 Sep 1958	14 Mar 1960
Italy . . . . .		17 Dec 1964 <u>a</u>	United States		
Jamaica . . . . .		8 Oct 1965 <u>d</u>	of America . . .	15 Sep 1958	12 Apr 1961
Japan . . . . .		10 Jun 1968 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	
Kenya . . . . .		20 Jun 1969 <u>a</u>	Venezuela . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	15 Aug 1961
Lesotho . . . . .		23 Oct 1973 <u>d</u>	Yugoslavia . . . .	29 Apr 1958	28 Jan 1966
Liberia . . . . .	27 May 1958				

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

**BULGARIA**

Article 20: The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that government ships in foreign waters have immunity and that the measures set forth in this article may therefore apply to such ships only with the consent of the flag state.

Article 23 (Sub-section D. Rules applicable to warships): The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that the coastal State has the right to establish procedures for the authorization of the passage of foreign warships through its territorial waters.

Reservations made upon ratification :

Article 20: The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that government ships in the territorial sea of another State have immunity and that the measures set forth in this article may therefore apply to such ships only with the consent of the flag State.

Article 23 (Sub-section D. Rules applicable to warships): The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that the coastal State has the right to establish procedures for the authorization of the passage of foreign warships through its territorial sea.

**BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST  
REPUBLIC**

Article 20: The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that government ships in foreign territorial waters have immunity and that the measures mentioned in this article may therefore be applied to them only with the consent of the flag State.

Article 23 (Sub-section D. Rules applicable to warships): The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the coastal State has the right to establish procedures for the authorization of the passage of foreign warships through its territorial waters.

**COLOMBIA**

With respect to the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone, the delegation of Colombia declares that, under article 98 of the Colombian Constitution, authorization by the Senate is required for the passage of foreign troops through Colombian territory and that, by analogy, such authorization is accordingly also required for the passage of foreign warships through Colombian territorial waters.

**CZECHOSLOVAKIA**

Articles 14 and 23: "In view of the fact that the Conference had not adopted a special article concerning the passage of warships through the territorial waters of foreign States, the Government of the Czechoslovak Republic deems it necessary to stress that articles 14 and 23 cannot in any sense be interpreted as establishing a right

of innocent passage for warships through the territorial waters."

Article 21: "The Government of the Czechoslovak Republic holds that under international law in force all government ships without distinction enjoy immunity and therefore does not agree with the application of articles 19 and 20 of the Convention to government ships operated for commercial purposes."

**GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC**

Reservation concerning article 20.

The German Democratic Republic considers that government ships in foreign territorial waters have immunity and that the measures set forth in this Article may therefore apply to such ships only with the consent of the flag State.

Declaration concerning articles 26 and 28:

The German Democratic Republic considers that articles 26 and 28 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

**HUNGARY**

Articles 14 and 23: "The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic is of the opinion that the coastal State is entitled to make the passage of warships through its territorial waters subject to previous authorization.

Article 21: "The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic is of the opinion that the rules contained in Sub-Section B of Section III of Part I of the Convention are generally inapplicable to government ships operated for commercial purposes so far as they encroach on the immunities enjoyed under international law by all government ships, whether commercial or non-commercial, on foreign territorial waters. Consequently, the provisions of Sub-Section B restricting the immunities of government ships operated for commercial purposes are applicable only upon consent of the State whose flag the ship flies."

**IRAN**

Upon signature:  
Reservation

Article 14: The Iranian Government maintains the objection on the ground of excess of competence, expressed by its delegation at the twelfth plenary meeting of the Conference on the Law of the Sea on 24 April 1958, to the articles recommended by the Fifth Committee of the Conference and incorporated in part in article 14 of this Convention. The Iranian Government accordingly reserves all rights regarding the contents of this article in so far as it relates to countries having no sea coast.

## ITALY

The Government of the Republic of Italy, besides exercising control for the purposes of article 24, paragraph 1 in the zone of the high seas contiguous to the territorial sea, reserves the right to exercise surveillance within the belt of sea extending twelve nautical miles from the coast for the purpose of preventing and punishing infringements of the customs regulations in whatever point of this belt such infringements may be committed.

## MEXICO

The Government of Mexico considers that government ships, irrespective of the use to which they are put, enjoy immunity, and it therefore enters an express reservation with regard to article 21 of Sub-Section C (Rules applicable to government ships other than warships) in so far as it applies to article 19, paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, and article 20, paragraphs 2 and 3, of Sub-Section B (Rules applicable to merchant ships).

## ROMANIA

Article 20: The Government of the Romanian People's Republic considers that government ships have immunity in foreign territorial waters and that the measures envisaged in this article may not be applied to such ships except with the consent of the flag State.

Article 23: The Government of the Romanian People's Republic considers that the coastal State has the right to provide that the passage of foreign warships through its territorial waters shall be subject to previous approval.

## SOLOMON ISLANDS

"The succession of Solomon Islands to the said Treaty shall be without prejudice to the right of Solomon Islands

- (1) to employ straight base lines drawn between its islands as the basis for the delimitation of its territorial sea and contiguous zone, and
- (2) to designate all waters enclosed by the said straight base lines as internal or archipelagic water."

## SPAIN

Spain's accession is not to be interpreted as recognition of any rights or situations in connexion with the waters of Gibraltar other than those referred to in article 10 of the Treaty of Utrecht, of 13 July 1713, between the Crowns of Spain and Great Britain.

## TUNISIA

Reservation:

The Government of the Tunisian Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 16, paragraph 4 of this Convention

## UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Article 20: The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that government ships in foreign territorial waters have immunity and that the measures mentioned in this article may therefore be applied to them only with the consent of the flag State.

Article 23 (Sub-Section D. Rule applicable to warships): The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the coastal State has the right to establish procedures for the authorization of the passage of foreign warships through its territorial waters.

## UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Article 20: The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that government ships in foreign territorial waters have immunity and that the measures mentioned in this article may therefore be applied to them only with the consent of the flag State.

Article 23 (Sub-Section D. Rule applicable to warships): The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that the coastal State has the right to establish procedures for the authorization of the passage of foreign warships through its territorial waters.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Save as may be stated in any further and separate notices that may hereafter be given, ratification of this Convention on behalf of the United Kingdom does not extend to the States in the Persian Gulf enjoying British protection. Multilateral conventions to which the United Kingdom becomes a party are not extended to these States until such times as an extension is requested by the Ruler of the State concerned."

## VENEZUELA

With reference to article 12 that there are special circumstances to be taken into consideration in the following areas: The Gulf of Paria and zones adjacent thereto; the area between the coast of Venezuela and the island of Aruba; and the Gulf of Venezuela.

Reservation made upon ratification:

With express reservation in respect of article 12 and paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 24 of the said Convention.



Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

## AUSTRALIA

Objections to the following reservations :

"(a) The declaration made with reference to article 12 by Venezuela on signature and the reservation made to that article by Venezuela on ratification.

(b) The reservation made to article 14 by Iran on signature.

(c) The reservations made to articles 14 and 23 by Czechoslovakia and Hungary on signature and confirmed on ratification.

(d) The reservation made to paragraph 4 of article 16 by Tunisia on signature.

(e) The reservation made with regard to the application of articles 19 and 20 to government ships operated for commercial purposes by Czechoslovakia on signature and confirmed on ratification.

(f) The reservations made to article 20 by Bulgaria on signature and on ratification.

(g) The reservations made to article 20 by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on signature and confirmed on ratification.

(h) The reservation made to article 21 by Hungary on signature and confirmed on ratification.

(i) The reservations made to article 23 by Bulgaria on signature and on ratification.

(j) The reservations made to article 23 by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on signature and confirmed on ratification.

(k) The reservation made to paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 24 by Venezuela on ratification.

If the statements referred to above with regard to article 23 are juridically in the nature of declarations rather than of reservations strictly so-called, the objections recorded by [the Government of Australia] will serve to record disagreement with the opinions so declared."

31 January 1968

"The Government of Australia places on record the formal objection to the reservation made by the Government of Mexico."

29 September 1976

"Objection to the reservation by the German Democratic Republic concerning article 20 of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone, 1958, and contained in the instrument of accession of the German Democratic Republic to the said Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone."

## DENMARK

"The Government of Denmark declares that it does not find acceptable:

"The reservations made by the Governments of Czechoslovakia and Hungary to article 14;

"The reservations made by the Government of Tunisia to article 16, paragraph 4;

"The reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia to article 19;

"The reservations made by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to article 20 and the reservations made by the Governments of Czechoslovakia, Hungary and Mexico to article 21.

"The above-mentioned objections shall not affect the coming into force of the Convention, according to article 29, as between Denmark and the Contracting Parties concerned."

31 October 1974

"The Government of Denmark does not find acceptable the reservations made by the German Democratic Republic on December 27, 1973 to article 20 of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone.

"The Government of Denmark also finds unacceptable the reservation made by the German Democratic Republic on the same date to article 9 of the Convention on the High Seas.

"The above-mentioned objections shall not affect the coming into force of the Conventions as between Denmark and the German Democratic Republic."

## FIJI

"The Government of Fiji maintains all other objections communicated to the Secretary-General by the United Kingdom Government to the reservations or declarations made by certain States with respect to this Convention, reserving only its position on that Government's observation bearing on the application of the Optional Protocol of Signature pending final disposition of the question of the succession by the Government of Fiji to the said Protocol."

## ISRAEL

"Objection to all reservations and declarations made in connection with the signing or ratification of or accession to the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone and the Convention on the High Seas which are incompatible with the purposes and objects of these Conventions. This objection applies in particular to the declaration or reservation made by Tunisia to article 16, paragraph 4, of the first of the above-mentioned Conventions on the occasion of signature."

## JAPAN

"1. . . . The Government of Japan wishes to state that it does not consider acceptable any unilateral statement in whatever form, made by a State upon signing, ratifying or acceding to the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone, which is intended to exclude or modify

for such State legal effects of the provisions of the Convention.

"2. In particular, the Government of Japan finds unacceptable the following reservations:

"(a) The reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia to article 19, by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to article 20, and by the Government of Hungary to article 21.

"(b) The reservation made by the Government of Tunisia to article 16, paragraph 4.

"The reservation made by the Government of Italy to article 24 in its instrument of accession.

"The reservation made by the Government of Mexico to article 21 in its instrument of accession."

MADAGASCAR

The Malagasy Republic formally expresses its objection to all reservations and statements made in connexion with signature or ratification of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone or in connexion with accession to the said Convention which are inconsistent with the aims and purposes of this Convention.

This objection applies in particular to the statements or reservations made with regard to the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone by Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Colombia, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Romania, Tunisia, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

NETHERLANDS

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands declare that they do not find acceptable

"the reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia to article 19, by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to article 20, and by the Governments of Hungary and Czechoslovakia to article 21;

"the reservations made by the Iranian Government to article 14;

"the declaration by the Government of Colombia as far as it amounts to a reservation on article 14,

"the reservation made by the Government of the Tunisian Republic to article 16, paragraph 4;

"the declarations made by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on article 23, and the declarations made by the Governments of Czechoslovakia and Hungary on the articles 14 and 23 as far as these declarations amount to a reservation to the said articles;

"the reservation made by the Government of the Republic of Italy to article 24, paragraph 1.

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands reserve all rights regarding the reservations made by the Government of Venezuela on ratifying the present Convention in respect of article 12 and article 24, paragraphs 2 and 3."

17 March 1967

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands do not find acceptable the reservation made by the Government of Mexico."

PORTUGAL

27 December 1966

"The Government of Portugal cannot accept the reservation proposed by the Mexican Government requiring the exemption of government ships from the dispositions laid down in the Convention, irrespectively of the use to which these ships are put."

THAILAND

Objections to the following reservations:

"1. the reservations to article 20 made by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the USSR;

"2. the reservations to article 21 made by the Governments of Czechoslovakia, Mexico and Hungary;

"3. the reservations to article 23 made by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Colombia, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the USSR."

TONGA

"The Government of Tonga affirms that in the absence of any other statement expressing a contrary intention, it wishes to maintain all objections communicated to the Secretary-General by the United Kingdom to the reservations or declarations made by States with respect to any conventions of which the Secretary-General is the depositary."

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

6 November 1959

"Her Majesty's Government . . . desire to place on record their formal objections to the following reservations and declarations:

"(a) The reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia to article 19, by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR, and the USSR to article 20, and by Hungary to article 21.

"(b) The reservation made by the Government of Iran to article 14.

"(c) The reservation made by the Government of the Tunisian Republic to article 16, paragraph 4."

5 April 1962

"The reservations made by the Government of Venezuela to article 12 and paragraphs 2 and 3 of article 24."

2 November 1966

"The reservation to article 21 of Sub-section C contained in the Mexican instrument of accession."

13 May 1975  
 "Her Majesty's Government desire to place on record their formal objection to the reservations by the German Democratic Republic concerning article 20 of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone". (In this connexion, the Government of the United Kingdom indicated that they had not received the circular letter reproducing the text of the reservations made by the Government of the German Democratic Republic until early in August 1974.)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA<sup>4</sup>

19 September 1962  
 "The United States does not find the following reservations acceptable.  
 1. The reservations made by the Government of Czechoslovakia to article 19, by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to article 20, and by Hungary to article 21.  
 2. The reservations made by the Government of the Tunisian Republic to article 16, paragraph 4.

3. The reservation made by the Government of Venezuela to article 12 and to article 24, paragraphs 2 and 3."

17 June 1965  
 "Objection to the reservation made by the Government of Italy in its instrument of accession."

28 September 1966  
 "Objection to the reservation made by the Government of Mexico in its instrument of accession."

11 July 1974  
 "The Government of the United States does not find acceptable the reservations made by the German Democratic Republic to article 20 of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone and to article 9 of the Convention on the High Seas. The Government of the United States, however, considers those Conventions as continuing in force between it and the German Democratic Republic except that provisions to which the above-mentioned reservations are addressed shall apply only to the extent that they are not affected by those reservations."

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Eleventh Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/3572), p. 54.

2/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 29 April 1958. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

3/ The Secretary-General received on 9 June 1971 a communication from the Government of Senegal denouncing this Convention as well as the Convention on the Living Resources of the High Seas, and specifying that the denunciation would take effect on the thirtieth day from its receipt. The said communication, as well as the related exchange of correspondence between the Secretariat and the Government of Senegal, was circulated by the Secretary-General to all States entitled to become parties to the Conventions concerned under their respective clauses.

The notification of denunciation was registered by the Government of Senegal as at 9 June 1971, under Nos. 7477 and 8164. (See United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 781, p. 332.)

In this connexion, a communication from the Government of the United Kingdom was received by the Secretary-General on 2 January 1973, stating inter alia,

"... As regards the notification by the Government of Senegal purporting to denounce the two Conventions of 1958, the Government of

the United Kingdom wish to place on record that in their view those Conventions are not susceptible to unilateral denunciation by a State which is a party to them and they therefore cannot accept the validity or effectiveness of the purported denunciation by the Government of Senegal. Accordingly, the Government of the United Kingdom regard the Government of Senegal as still bound by the obligations which they assumed when they became a party to those Conventions and the Government of the United Kingdom fully reserve all their rights under them as well as their rights and the rights of their nationals in respect of any action which the Government of Senegal have taken or may take as a consequence of the said purported denunciation.

"As regards the various arguments that are set out in the correspondence referred to above with reference to certain other questions relating to the law of treaties, including in particular the question of the functions of the Secretary-General as a depositary of the Conventions of 1958 and the question of the duties of the Secretariat in relation to the registration of treaties and in relation to acts, notifications and communications, relating to treaties, the Government of the United Kingdom do not consider it necessary at this stage to express any view on those matters but they fully reserve their position in relation thereto and expressly reserve their right formally to make their views known at a later date

"The Permanent Representative of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

to the United Nations requests that copies of this Note should be transmitted by the Secretariat to all States concerned, that is to say, all States Members of the United Nations or Members of any of the Specialised Agencies, and, since the notification by the Government of Senegal was registered by Senegal, further requests that the statement of the position of the Government of the United Kingdom in relation to that notification, as set out in the second paragraph of the present Note, should similarly be registered."

The said communication was registered in the name of the Government of the United Kingdom on 2 January 1973 under Nos. 7477 and 8164 (see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 854, pp. 214 and 220).

4/ On 27 October 1967, the Government of the United States of America transmitted to the Secretary-General the following communication with reference to its previous communications regarding ratifications and accessions to the Law of the Sea Conventions with reservations which were not acceptable to the United States of America:

"The Government of the United States of America has received an inquiry regarding the applicability of several of the Geneva Law of the Sea Conventions of 1958 between the United States and States which ratified or acceded to those Conventions with reservations which the United States found to be unacceptable. The Government of the United States wishes to state that it has considered and will continue to consider all the Geneva Law of the Sea Conventions of 1958 as being in force between it and all other States that have ratified or acceded thereto, including States that have ratified or acceded with reservations unacceptable to the United States. With respect to States which ratified or acceded with reservations unacceptable to the United States, the Conventions are considered by the United States to be in force between it and each of those States except that provisions to which such reservations are addressed shall apply only to the extent that they are not affected by those reservations. The United States considers that such application of the Convention does not in any manner constitute any concurrence by the United States in the substance of any of the reservations involved."

## XXI 2: High Seas--1958 Convention

## 2. CONVENTION ON THE HIGH SEAS

Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 September 1962, in accordance with article 34.  
 REGISTRATION: 3 January 1963, No. 6465.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 450, p. 11.

(See "Note" in same place in chapter XXI.1.)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . . .	30 Oct 1958	28 Apr 1959	Lebanon . . . . .	29 May 1958	
Albania . . . . .		7 Dec 1964 <u>a</u>	Lesotho . . . . .		23 Oct 1973 <u>d</u>
Argentina . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Liberia . . . . .	27 May 1958	
Australia . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	14 May 1963	Madagascar . . . .		31 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .	27 Oct 1958	10 Jan 1974	Malawi . . . . .		3 Nov 1965 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .		6 Jan 1972 <u>a</u>	Malaysia . . . . .		21 Dec 1960 <u>a</u>
Bolivia . . . . .	17 Oct 1958		Mauritius . . . . .		5 Oct 1970 <u>d</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	31 Aug 1967	Mexico . . . . .		2 Aug 1966 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian SSR	30 Oct 1958	27 Feb 1961	Mongolia . . . . .		15 Oct 1976 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Nepal . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	28 Dec 1962
Central African Republic . . . . .		15 Oct 1962 <u>a</u>	Netherlands . . . .	31 Oct 1958	18 Feb 1966
China <sup>1</sup>			New Zealand . . . .	29 Oct 1958	
Colombia . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	16 Feb 1972	Pakistan . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	
Cuba . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Panama . . . . .	2 May 1958	
Czechoslovakia	30 Oct 1958	31 Aug 1961	Poland . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	29 Jun 1962
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		18 Mar 1960 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .	28 Oct 1958	8 Jan 1963
Denmark . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	26 Sep 1968	Romania . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	12 Dec 1961
Dominican Republic	29 Apr 1958	11 Aug 1964	Senegal . . . . .		25 Apr 1961 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		25 Mar 1971 <u>d</u>	Sierra Leone . . . .		13 Mar 1962
Finland . . . . .	27 Oct 1958	16 Feb 1965	Solomon Islands . . .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
France . . . . .	30 Oct 1958		South Africa . . . .		9 Apr 1963 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		27 Dec 1973 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		25 Feb 1971 <u>a</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . .	30 Oct 1958	26 Jul 1973	Sri Lanka . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	
Ghana . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Swaziland . . . . .		16 Oct 1970 <u>a</u>
Guatemala . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	27 Nov 1961	Switzerland . . . . .	24 May 1958	18 May 1966
Haiti . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	29 Mar 1960	Thailand . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	2 Jul 1968
Holy See . . . . .	30 Apr 1958		Tonga . . . . .		29 Jun 1971 <u>d</u>
Hungary . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	6 Dec 1961	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Iceland . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Tunisia . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	
Indonesia . . . . .	8 May 1958	10 Aug 1961	Uganda . . . . .		14 Sep 1964 <u>a</u>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	28 May 1958		Ukrainian SSR . . . .	30 Oct 1958	12 Jan 1961
Ireland . . . . .	2 Oct 1958		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	22 Nov 1960
Israel . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	6 Sep 1961	United Kingdom . . .	9 Sep 1958	14 Mar 1960
Italy . . . . .		17 Dec 1964 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .	15 Sep 1958	12 Apr 1961
Jamaica . . . . .		8 Oct 1965 <u>d</u>	Upper Volta . . . . .		4 Oct 1965 <u>a</u>
Japan . . . . .		10 Jun 1968 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	
Kenya . . . . .		20 Jun 1969 <u>a</u>	Venezuela . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	15 Aug 1961
			Yugoslavia . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	28 Jan 1966

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

## ALBANIA

Article 9. The Government of the People's Republic of Albania considers that, in virtue of well-known principles of international law, all

Government ships owned or operated by a State, without exception, irrespective of the purpose for which they are used, are subject to the jurisdiction only of the State under whose flag they sail.

## GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Declaration

The Government of the People's Republic of Albania declares that the definition of piracy as given in the Convention is not consistent with present international law and does not serve to ensure freedom of navigation on the high seas.

## BULGARIA

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

Article 9: The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that the principle of international law according to which a ship on the high seas is not subject to any jurisdiction except that of the flag State applies without restriction to all government ships.

Declaration made upon signature:

The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that the definition of piracy given in the Convention does not cover certain acts which under contemporary international law should be considered as acts of piracy and does not serve to ensure freedom of navigation on international sea routes.

Declaration made upon ratification:

The Government of the People's Republic of Bulgaria considers that the definition of piracy given in the Convention does not cover certain acts which under contemporary international law should be considered as acts of piracy and does not serve to ensure freedom of navigation on international sea routes.

## BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Article 9. The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the principle of international law according to which a ship on the high seas is not subject to any jurisdiction except that of the flag State applies without restriction to all government ships.

Declaration

The Government of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the definition of piracy given in the Convention does not cover certain acts which under contemporary international law should be considered as acts of piracy and does not serve to ensure freedom of navigation on international sea routes.

## CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Article 9: "The Government of the Czechoslovak Republic holds that under international law in force government ships operated for commercial purposes also enjoy on the high seas complete immunity from the jurisdiction of any State other than the flag State "

Declaration

"The Government of the Czechoslovak Republic maintains that the notion of piracy as defined in the Convention is neither in accordance with the present international law nor with the interest of safeguarding the freedom of navigation on the high seas."

Reservation concerning article 9:

The German Democratic Republic considers that the principle of international law according to which a ship on the high seas is not subject to any jurisdiction except that of the flag State applies without restriction to all government ships.

Declarations concerning articles 15, 31 and 33:

The German Democratic Republic considers that the definition of piracy given in article 15 of the Convention does not cover certain acts which under international law in force should be considered as acts of piracy and does not serve to safeguard the freedom of navigation on the high seas.

The German Democratic Republic considers that articles 31 and 33 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

## HUNGARY

Article 9: "The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic is of the opinion that, according to the general rules of international law, ships owned or operated by a State and used on government service whether commercial or non-commercial, enjoy on the high seas the same immunity as warships."

Declaration

"The Government of the Hungarian People's Republic declares that the definition of piracy as given in the Convention is not consistent with present international law and does not serve the general interests of the freedom of navigation on the high seas."

## INDONESIA

Reservation

"The terms 'territorial sea' and 'internal waters' mentioned in the Convention, as far as the Republic of Indonesia is concerned, are interpreted in accordance with Article 1 of the Government Regulation in Lieu of an Act No. 4 of the Year 1960 (State Gazette 1960, No. 22) concerning Indonesian Waters, which, in accordance with Article 1 of the Act No. 1 of the Year 1961 (State Gazette 1961, No. 3) concerning the Enactment of All Emergency Acts and All Government Regulations in Lieu of an Act which were promulgated before January 1, 1961, has become Act, which Article word by word is as follows:

"Article 1: 1. The Indonesian Waters consist of the territorial sea and the internal waters of Indonesia.

"2. The Indonesian territorial sea is a maritime belt of a width of twelve nautical miles, the outer limit of which is measured perpendicular to the baselines or points on the baselines which consist of straight lines connecting the outermost point on the low water mark of the outermost islands or part of such islands comprising Indonesian territory with the provision that in case of straits of a width of not more than

twenty-four nautical miles and Indonesia is not the only coastal state the outer limit of the Indonesian territorial sea shall be drawn at the middle of the strait

"3. The Indonesian internal waters are all waters lying within the baselines mentioned in paragraph 2

"4. One nautical mile is sixty to one degree of latitude."

IRAN (ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF)

Upon signature:

Reservations

Article 2: With respect to the words "no State may validly purport to subject any part of them to its sovereignty", it shall be understood that this prohibition does not apply to the continental shelf, which is governed by article 2 of the Convention on the Continental Shelf.

Articles 2, 3 and 4: The Iranian Government maintains the objection on the ground of excess of competence, expressed by its delegation at the twelfth plenary meeting of the Conference on the Law of the Sea on 24 April 1958, to the articles recommended by the Fifth Committee of the Conference and incorporated in the afore-mentioned articles of the Convention on the High Seas. The Iranian Government accordingly reserves all rights regarding the contents of these articles in so far as they relate to countries having no sea coast.

Article 2(3)--article 26, paragraphs 1 and 2: Application of the provisions of these articles relating to the laying of submarine cables and pipelines shall be subject to the authorization of the coastal State, in so far as the continental shelf is concerned.

MEXICO

Article 9: The Government of Mexico enters an express reservation with regard to article 9, since it considers that government ships, irrespective of the use to which they are put, enjoy immunity; it therefore does not accept the limitation imposed in the article in question, which provides that only ships owned or operated by a State and used only on government non-commercial service shall have immunity from the jurisdiction of other States on the high seas.

MONGOLIA

(a) Subject to the following reservation in respect of article 9:

The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic considers that the principle of international law under which ships on the high seas are subject only to the jurisdiction of the flag States applies without any restriction whatever to all government ships and

(b) Subject to the following declaration in respect of article 15.

The Government of the Mongolian People's Republic considers that the definition of piracy given in article 15 of the Convention does not cover acts which under contemporary international law

should be regarded as acts of piracy and thus does not adequately reflect the requirements that must be fulfilled in order to fully ensure freedom of navigation on international waterways

POLAND

Article 9: "The Government of the Polish People's Republic considers that the rule expressed in article 9 applies to all ships owned or operated by a State."

Declaration

"The Government of the Polish People's Republic considers that the definition of piracy as contained in the Convention does not fully correspond with the present state of international law in this respect."

ROMANIA

Article 9: The Government of the Romanian People's Republic considers that the principle of international law according to which a ship on the high seas is not subject to any jurisdiction except that of the flag State applies to all government ships regardless of the purpose for which they are used.

Declaration

The Government of the Romanian People's Republic considers that the definition of piracy as given in article 15 of the Convention on the High Seas does not cover certain acts which under contemporary international law should be considered as acts of piracy.

SPAIN

Spain's accession is not to be interpreted as recognition of any rights or situations in connexion with the waters of Gibraltar other than those referred to in article 10 of the Treaty of Utrecht, of 13 July 1713, between the Crowns of Spain and Great Britain.

UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

Article 9: The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the principle of international law according to which a ship on the high seas is not subject to any jurisdiction except that of the flag State applies without restriction to all government ships.

Declaration

The Government of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the definition of piracy given in the Convention does not cover certain acts which under contemporary international law should be considered as acts of piracy and does not serve to ensure freedom of navigation on international sea routes.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Article 9: The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that the principle of international law according to which a ship on the high seas is not subject to any jurisdiction except that of the flag State applies without restriction to all government ships.

Declaration

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that the definition of piracy given in the Convention does not cover certain acts which under contemporary international law should be considered as acts of piracy and does not serve to ensure freedom of navigation on international sea routes.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"In depositing their instrument of ratification . . . Her Majesty's Government in the United

Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland declare that, save as may be stated in any further and separate notices that may hereafter be given, ratification of this Convention on behalf of the United Kingdom does not extend to the States in the Persian Gulf enjoying British protection. Multilateral conventions to which the United Kingdom becomes a party are not extended to these States until such time as an extension is requested by the Ruler of the State concerned."

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were received upon ratification, accession or succession.)

AUSTRALIA

"Objections to the reservations hereunder:

(a) The reservation made to articles 2, 3 and 4 by Iran on signature.

(b) The reservation made to paragraph 3 of article 2 and to paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 26 by Iran on signature.

(c) The reservation made to article 9 by Bulgaria on signature and on ratification.

(d) The reservations made to article 9 by the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on signature and confirmed on ratification.

(e) The reservation made by Indonesia on ratification.

In relation to the reservation made by Indonesia . . . the Australian Government has previously informed the Indonesian Government that it does not recognize the validity in international law of the Regulation referred to in the reservation and that it does not consider itself bound by it."

1 February 1965

"Objection of the Government of Australia to the reservation contained in the instrument of accession by Albania to the Convention on the High Seas done at Geneva on 29 April 1958."

31 January 1968

"The Government of Australia places on record the formal objection to the reservation made by the Government of Mexico."

29 September 1976

"Objection of the Australian Government to the reservation by the German Democratic Republic concerning article 9 of the Convention on the High Seas, 1958, and contained in the instrument of accession of the German Democratic Republic to that Convention."

DENMARK

"The Government of Denmark declares that it does not find acceptable:

"The reservations made by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Mexico, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to article 9;

"The reservation made by the Government of Iran to article 26, paragraphs 1 and 2;

"The reservation made by the Government of Indonesia regarding the interpretation of the terms 'territorial sea' and 'internal waters';

"The above-mentioned objections shall not affect the coming into force of the Convention, according to article 34, as between Denmark and the Contracting Parties concerned."

31 October 1974

"The Government of Denmark does not find acceptable the reservation made by the German Democratic Republic on December 27, 1973 to article 20 of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone.

"The Government of Denmark also finds unacceptable the reservation made by the German Democratic Republic on the same date to article 9 of the Convention on the High Seas.

"The above-mentioned objections shall not affect the coming into force of the Conventions as between Denmark and the German Democratic Republic."

FIJI

"The Government of Fiji declares that it withdraws the observations made by the United Kingdom with respect to the reservation made on ratification of the Convention by the Government of Indonesia and substitutes therefor the following observation:

"With respect to the reservation made by the Government of Indonesia on ratification of the above-mentioned Convention on the High Seas, the Government of Fiji states that it considers that the extent of Indonesian national waters referred to therein is subject to the rule of international law that, where the establishment of a straight baseline has the effect of enclosing as internal waters areas which previously had been considered as part of the high



seas, a right of innocent passage shall exist in those waters, subject to the regulations of the national authorities respecting police, customs, quarantine and control of pollution, and without prejudice to the exclusive right of such authorities in respect of the exploration and exploitation of the natural resources of such waters and of the subjacent seabed and subsoil

"Furthermore, the Government of Fiji maintains all other objections communicated to the Secretary-General by the United Kingdom Government to the reservations or declarations made by certain States with respect to this Convention, reserving only its position on that Government's observations bearing on the application of the Optional Protocol of Signature pending final disposition of the question of the succession by the Government of Fiji to the said Protocol "

## GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

15 July 1974

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany considers the following reservations to be inconsistent with the aims and purposes of the Convention of 29 April 1958 on the High Seas and therefore to be unacceptable:

"1. The reservation made to the Convention by the Government of Indonesia;

"2. The reservation declared at signature of the Convention by the Government of Iran to articles 2, 3 and 4 and to article 2, item 3, in conjunction with article 26, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention, the latter in so far as that reservation is to open up the possibility of refusing permission to lay submarine cables and pipelines even where certain conditions have been fulfilled,

"3. The reservations and the declarations to be qualified in substance as reservations made to article 9 of the Convention by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, Mexico, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia and Hungary,

"4. The declarations made by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, Poland, Romania, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia and Hungary to the definition of piracy as given in the Convention in so far as the said declarations are to be qualified as reservations.

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany furthermore considers the reservation made on 27 December 1973 by the German Democratic Republic to article 9 of the Convention to be inconsistent with the aims and purposes of the Convention and therefore to be unacceptable.

"This also applies to the declaration made by the Government of the German Democratic Republic on the same date to the definition of piracy as given in the Convention in so far as that declaration is to be qualified as a reservation

"The present declaration does not affect the applicability, in all other respects, of the Convention under international law as between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Parties to

the Convention having made the reservations and declarations referred to above."

2 March 1977

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany considers the reservation made by the Government of the Mongolian People's Republic to article 9 of the Convention of 29 April 1958 on the High Seas as well as the declaration made by the Government of the Mongolian People's Republic to article 15 of that Convention, in so far as the latter is in substance to be qualified as a reservation, to be inconsistent with the aims and purposes of the Convention and therefore unacceptable.

"The present declaration does not affect the applicability, in all other respects, of the Convention under international law as between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Mongolian People's Republic."

## ISRAEL

"Objection to all reservations and declarations made in connection with the signing or ratification of or accession to the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone and the Convention on the High Seas which are incompatible with the purposes and objects of these Conventions. This objection applies in particular to the declaration or reservation made by Tunisia to article 16, paragraph 4, of the first of the above-mentioned Conventions on the occasion of signature."

## JAPAN

"1. . . . The Government of Japan wishes to state that it does not consider acceptable any unilateral statement in whatever form, made by a State upon signing, ratifying or acceding to the Convention on the High Seas, which is intended to exclude or modify for such State legal effects of the provisions of the Convention.

"2. In particular, the Government of Japan finds unacceptable the following reservations:

"(a) The reservations made by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to article 9.

"(b) The reservations made by the Government of Iran to article 2 and article 26, paragraphs 1 and 2.

"The reservations made by the Government of Indonesia [reproduced under Indonesia].

"The reservation made by the Government of Albania to article 9 in its instrument of accession.

"The reservation made by the Government of Mexico to article 9 in its instrument of accession."

## MADAGASCAR

The Malagasy Republic formally expresses its objection to all reservations and statements made

in connexion with signature or ratification of the Convention on the High Seas or in connexion with accession to the said Convention which are inconsistent with the aims and purposes of this Convention.

This objection applies in particular to the statements or reservations made with regard to the Convention on the High Seas by Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Indonesia, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

## NETHERLANDS

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands declare that they do not find acceptable

"the reservations to article 9 made by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics;

"the declarations made by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the definition of piracy given in the Convention, as far as these declarations amount to a reservation;

"the reservations made by the Iranian Government to articles 2, 3 and 4, and

"to articles 2, paragraph 3, and 26, paragraphs 1 and 2;

"the declaration made by the Government of Iran on article 2 as far as it amounts to a reservation to the said article;

"the reservation made by the Government of Indonesia."

17 March 1967

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands do not find acceptable the reservation made by the Government of Mexico."

## PORTUGAL

27 December 1966

"The Government of Portugal cannot accept the reservation proposed by the Mexican Government requiring the exemption of government ships from the dispositions laid down in the Convention, irrespectively of the use to which these ships are put."

## THAILAND

Objection to the following reservations and declarations:

"Reservations to article 9 made by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Mexico, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the USSR;

"Declarations to article 15 made by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the USSR;

"Reservation made by the Government of Indonesia."

## TONGA

"The Government of the Kingdom of Tonga withdraws the observations made by the United Kingdom with respect to the reservation made on ratification of the Convention by the Government of Indonesia and substitute therefor the following observation:

"With respect to the reservation made by the Government of Indonesia on ratification of the above-mentioned Convention on the High Seas, the Government of Tonga states that it considers that the extent of Indonesian national waters referred to therein is subject to the rule of international law that, where the establishment of a straight baseline has the effect of enclosing as internal waters areas which previously had been considered as part of the high seas, a right of innocent passage shall exist in those waters, subject to the regulations of the national authorities respecting police, customs, quarantine and control of pollution, and without prejudice to the exclusive right of such authorities in respect of the exploration and exploitation of the natural resources of such waters and of the subjacent seabed and subsoil.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

6 November 1959

"Her Majesty's Government desire to place on record their formal objections to the following reservations and declarations:

"The reservations to article 9, made by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR, and the USSR.

"The reservations to articles 2, 3 and 4, and article 2(3) made by the Iranian Government."

5 April 1962

"Objection to the reservation made on ratification by the Government of Indonesia.

Her Majesty's Government have already stated to the Indonesian Government that they cannot regard as valid under international law the provisions of 'Government Regulation No. 4, 1960, in lieu of an Act concerning Indonesian Waters' to the extent that these provisions embody a claim to territorial waters extending to 12 miles or purport to demarcate territorial waters by the drawing of straight base lines between the outermost islands, or points, of a group of islands or purport to treat as internal waters all waters enclosed by those lines."

17 June 1965

"Objection to the reservation to article 9 contained in the Albanian instrument of accession to the Convention."

2 November 1966

"Objection to the reservation to article 9 contained in the Mexican instrument of accession."

13 May 1975

"Her Majesty's Government desire to place on record their formal objection to the reservations by the German Democratic Republic concerning

article 9 of the Convention on the High Seas." (In this connexion, the Government of the United Kingdom indicated that they had not received the circular letter reproducing the text of the reservations made by the Government of the German Democratic Republic until early in August 1974.)

10 January 1977

"The views of the United Kingdom Government regarding reservations and declarations made in connection with this Convention were set out in the letter of the 5th of November 1959 from the Permanent Representative of the United Kingdom to the Secretary-General of the United Nations

"The United Kingdom Government now desire to place on record their formal objection to the reservation by the Government of Mongolia concerning article 9 of this Convention."

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA<sup>3</sup>

19 September 1962

"The United States does not find the following reservations acceptable:

"1. The reservations to article 9 made by the Governments of Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

"2. The reservations made by the Iranian Government to articles 2, 3, and 4 and article 26, paragraphs 1 and 2.

"3. The reservation made by the Government of Indonesia."

19 August 1965

"The reservation to article 9 made by the Government of Albania in its instrument of accession."

28 September 1966

"The reservation made by the Government of Mexico in its instrument of accession."

11 July 1974

"The Government of the United States does not find acceptable the reservations made by the German Democratic Republic to article 20 of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone and to article 9 of the Convention on the High Seas. The Government of the United States, however, considers those Conventions as continuing in force between it and the German Democratic Republic except that provisions to which the above-mentioned reservations are addressed shall apply only to the extent that they are not affected by those reservations."

#### NOTES:

1/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China, on 29 April 1958. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1)

2/ With the following statement:

"... The said Convention... shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany"

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 5 November 1973 the following communication from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

The Soviet Union can take note of the declaration by the Federal Republic of Germany concerning application to Berlin (West) of the Convention on the High Seas... only on the understanding that such application conforms to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and is subject to observance of the established procedures.

Communications identical in essence, *mutatis mutandis*, were received from the Government of Czechoslovakia (on 6 December 1973) and from the Government of the Byelorussian SSR (on 13 February 1974). Furthermore, on 27 December 1973, the following communication was received on the same subject from the Government of the German Democratic Republic:

In respect of the application of the Convention on the High Seas to Berlin (West), the German Democratic Republic takes note of the Declaration on this matter made by the Federal Republic of Germany, with the reservation that the provisions

of this Convention are to be applied to Berlin (West) in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic according to which Berlin (West) is not a part of the Federal Republic of Germany and may not be governed by it.

With regard to the aforesaid declaration, the Secretary-General received on 8 July 1975, from the Governments of the United States of America, France and the United Kingdom the following declaration:

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to point out that the German Democratic Republic is not a party to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, which was concluded in Berlin by the Governments of the French Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, and is not therefore competent to comment authoritatively on its provisions.

"The above referred to communication contains an incomplete and therefore misleading reference to the Quadripartite Agreement. In this connection the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States wish to draw attention to the fact that the provision of the Quadripartite Agreement referred to in the communication states that "the ties between the Western Sectors of Berlin and the Federal Republic of Germany will be maintained and developed, taking into account that these Sectors continue not to be a constituent part of the

Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it.

"The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States do not consider it necessary to respond to any further communications containing incomplete and misleading references to provisions of the Quadripartite

Agreement from States which are not signatories to that Agreement. This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of those Governments in this matter."

3/ See note 4 in chapter XXI.1.

## 3. CONVENTION ON FISHING AND CONSERVATION OF THE LIVING RESOURCES OF THE HIGH SEAS

Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 20 March 1966, in accordance with article 18.  
 REGISTRATION: 20 March 1966, No. 8164.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 559, p. 285.

(See "Note" in the same place in chapter XXI 1.)

Participant	Signature	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	Participant	Signature	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . .	30 Oct 1958		Madagascar . . .		31 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>
Argentina . . .	29 Apr 1958		Malawi . . . . .		3 Nov 1965 <u>a</u>
Australia . . .	30 Oct 1958	14 May 1963	Malaysia . . . . .		21 Dec 1960 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .		6 Jan 1972 <u>a</u>	Mauritius . . . . .		5 Oct 1970 <u>d</u>
Bolivia . . . . .	17 Oct 1958		Mexico . . . . .		2 Aug 1966 <u>a</u>
Burkina Faso . . .		4 Oct 1965 <u>a</u>	Nepal . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	
Canada . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Netherlands . . .	31 Oct 1958	18 Feb 1966
China <sup>1</sup> . . . . .			New Zealand . . .	29 Oct 1958	
Colombia . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	3 Jan 1963	Nigeria . . . . .		26 Jun 1961 <u>d</u>
Costa Rica . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Pakistan . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	
Cuba . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Panama . . . . .	2 May 1958	
Democratic			Portugal . . . . .	28 Oct 1958	8 Jan 1963
Kampuchea . . . .		18 Mar 1960 <u>a</u>	Senegal <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		25 Apr 1961 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	26 Sep 1968	Sierra Leone . . .		13 Mar 1962 <u>d</u>
Dominican			Solomon Islands . .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
Republic . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	11 Aug 1964	South Africa . . .		9 Apr 1963 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		25 Mar 1971 <u>d</u>	Spain . . . . .		25 Feb 1971 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .	27 Oct 1958	16 Feb 1965	Sri Lanka . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	
France . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	18 Sep 1970	Switzerland . . . .	22 Oct 1958	18 May 1966
Ghana . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Thailand . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	2 Jul 1968
Haiti . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	29 Mar 1960	Tonga . . . . .		29 Jun 1971 <u>d</u>
Iceland . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Trinidad and		
Indonesia . . . . .	8 May 1958		Tobago . . . . .		11 Apr 1966 <u>d</u>
Iran (Islamic			Tunisia . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	
Republic of) . . .	28 May 1958		Uganda . . . . .		14 Sep 1964 <u>a</u>
Ireland . . . . .	2 Oct 1958		United Kingdom . .	9 Sep 1958	14 Mar 1960
Israel . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		United States		
Jamaica . . . . .		16 Apr 1964 <u>d</u>	of America . . . .	15 Sep 1958	12 Apr 1961
Kenya . . . . .		20 Jun 1969 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	
Lebanon . . . . .	29 May 1958		Venezuela . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	10 Jul 1963
Lesotho . . . . .		23 Oct 1973 <u>d</u>	Yugoslavia . . . .	29 Apr 1958	28 Jan 1966
Liberia . . . . .	27 May 1958				

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

## DENMARK

Denmark does not consider itself bound by the last sentence of article 2 of the Convention.

## SPAIN

Spain's accession is not to be interpreted as recognition of any rights or situations in connexion with the waters of Gibraltar other than those referred to in article 10 of the Treaty of Utrecht, of 13 July 1713, between the Crowns of Spain and Great Britain

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

"In depositing their instrument of ratification . . . Her Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland declare that, save as may be stated in any further and separate notices that may hereafter be given, ratification of this Convention on behalf of the United Kingdom does not extend to the States in the Persian Gulf enjoying British protection. Multilateral conventions to which the United Kingdom becomes a party are not extended to these States until such time as an extension is requested by the Ruler of the State concerned "

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

" . . . Subject to the understanding that such ratification shall not be construed to impair the applicability of the principle of 'abstention', as

defined in paragraph A.1 of the documents of record in the proceedings of the Conference [on the Law of the Sea, held at Geneva from 24 February to 27 April 1958], identified as A/CONF.13/C.3/L.69, 8 April 1958."

---

NOTES:

1/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 29 April 1958. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

2/ See note 3 in chapter XXI.1.

## 4. CONVENTION ON THE CONTINENTAL SHELF

Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 10 June 1964, in accordance with article 11.  
 REGISTRATION: 10 June 1964, No. 7302.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 499, p. 311.

(See "Note" in the same place in chapter XXI.1.)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . . .	30 Oct 1958		Madagascar . . . .		31 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>
Albania . . . . .		7 Dec 1964 <u>a</u>	Malawi . . . . .		3 Nov 1965 <u>a</u>
Argentina . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Malaysia . . . . .		21 Dec 1960 <u>a</u>
Australia . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	14 May 1963	Malta . . . . .		19 May 1966 <u>d</u>
Bolivia . . . . .	17 Oct 1958		Mauritius . . . . .		5 Oct 1970 <u>d</u>
Bulgaria . . . . .		31 Aug 1962 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .		2 Aug 1966 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian SSR	31 Oct 1958	27 Feb 1961	Nepal . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	
Canada . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	6 Feb 1970	Netherlands . . . .	31 Oct 1958	18 Feb 1966
Chile . . . . .	31 Oct 1958		New Zealand . . . .	29 Oct 1958	18 Jan 1965
China <sup>1</sup>			Nigeria . . . . .		28 Apr 1971 <u>a</u>
Colombia . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	8 Jan 1962	Norway . . . . .		9 Sep 1971 <u>a</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	16 Feb 1972	Pakistan . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	
Cuba . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Panama . . . . .	2 May 1958	
Cyprus . . . . .		11 Apr 1974 <u>a</u>	Peru . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	
Czechoslovakia . . .	31 Oct 1958	31 Aug 1961	Poland . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	29 Jun 1962
Democratic Kampuchea		18 Mar 1960 <u>a</u>	Portugal . . . . .	28 Oct 1958	8 Jan 1963
Denmark . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	12 Jun 1963	Romania . . . . .		12 Dec 1961 <u>a</u>
Dominican Republic	29 Apr 1958	11 Aug 1964	Senegal <sup>2</sup> . . . . .		25 Apr 1961 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	31 Oct 1958		Sierra Leone . . . .		25 Nov 1966 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .		25 Mar 1971 <u>d</u>	Solomon Islands . . .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
Finland . . . . .	27 Oct 1958	16 Feb 1965	South Africa . . . .		9 Apr 1963 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .		14 Jun 1965 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		25 Feb 1971 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		27 Dec 1973 <u>a</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	30 Oct 1958		Swaziland . . . . .		16 Oct 1970 <u>a</u>
Ghana . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Sweden . . . . .		1 Jun 1966 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .		6 Nov 1972 <u>a</u>	Switzerland . . . . .	22 Oct 1958	18 May 1966
Guatemala . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	27 Nov 1961	Thailand . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	2 Jul 1968
Haiti . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	29 Mar 1960	Tonga . . . . .		29 Jun 1971 <u>d</u>
Iceland . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Trinidad and Tobago		11 Jul 1968 <u>a</u>
Indonesia . . . . .	8 May 1958		Tunisia . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	28 May 1958		Uganda . . . . .		14 Sep 1964 <u>a</u>
Ireland . . . . .	2 Oct 1958		Ukrainian SSR . . . .	31 Oct 1958	12 Jan 1961
Israel . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	6 Sep 1961	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	22 Nov 1960
Jamaica . . . . .		8 Oct 1965 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . .	9 Sep 1958	11 May 1964
Kenya . . . . .		20 Jun 1969 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .	15 Sep 1958	12 Apr 1961
Lebanon . . . . .	29 May 1958		Uruguay . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	
Lesotho . . . . .		23 Oct 1973 <u>d</u>	Venezuela . . . . .	30 Oct 1958	15 Aug 1961
Liberia . . . . .	27 May 1958		Yugoslavia . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	28 Jan 1966

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

## CANADA

"The Government of Canada wishes to make the following declaration with respect to article 1 of the Convention:

"In the view of the Canadian Government the

presence of an accidental feature such as a depression or a channel in a submerged area should not be regarded as constituting an interruption in the natural prolongation of the land territory of the coastal state into and under the sea "

## CHINA

"With regard to the determination of the boundary of the continental shelf as provided in paragraphs 1 and 2 of article 6 of the Convention, the Government of the Republic of China considers:

- (1) that the boundary of the continental shelf appertaining to two or more States whose coasts are adjacent to and/or opposite each other shall be determined in accordance with the principle of the natural prolongation of their land territories; and
- (2) that in determining the boundary of the continental shelf of the Republic of China, exposed rocks and islets shall not be taken into account."

## FRANCE

In depositing this instrument of accession, the Government of the French Republic declares:

Article 1

In the view of the Government of the French Republic, the expression "adjacent" areas implies a notion of geophysical, geological and geographical dependence which ipso facto rules out an unlimited extension of the continental shelf.

Article 2 (paragraph 4)

The Government of the French Republic considers that the expression "living organisms belonging to sedentary species" must be interpreted as excluding crustaceans, with the exception of the species of crab termed "barnacle"; and it makes the following reservations:

Article 4

The Government of the French Republic accepts this article only on condition that the coastal State claiming that the measures it intends to take are "reasonable" agrees that if their reasonableness is contested it shall be determined by arbitration.

Article 5 (paragraph 1)

The Government of the French Republic accepts the provisions of article 5, paragraph 1, with the following reservations:

(a) An essential element which should serve as the basis for appreciating any "interference" with the conservation of the living resources of the sea, resulting from the exploitation of the continental shelf, particularly in breeding areas for maintenance of stocks, shall be the technical report of the international scientific bodies responsible for the conservation of the living resources of the sea in the areas specified respectively in article 1 of the Convention for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries of 8 February 1949 and article 1 of the Convention for the Northeast Atlantic Fisheries of 24 January 1959.

(b) Any restrictions placed on the exercise of acquired fishing rights in waters above the continental shelf shall give rise to a right to compensation.

(c) It must be possible to establish by means of arbitration, if the matter is contested, whether the exploration of the continental shelf and the exploitation of its natural resources result in an interference with the other activities protected by article 5, paragraph 1, which is "unjustifiable".

Article 6 (paragraphs 1 and 2)

In the absence of a specific agreement, the Government of the French Republic will not accept that any boundary of the continental shelf determined by application of the principle of equidistance shall be invoked against it:

- if such boundary is calculated from baselines established after 29 April 1958;
- if it extends beyond the 200-metre isobath;
- if it lies in areas where, in the Government's opinion, there are "special circumstances" within the meaning of article 6, paragraphs 1 and 2, that is to say: the Bay of Biscay, the Bay of Granville, and the sea areas of the Straits of Dover and of the North Sea off the French coast.

## GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic considers that articles 8 and 10 of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

## GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"In signing the Convention on the Continental Shelf of 29 April 1958, the Federal Republic of Germany declares with reference to article 5, paragraph 1 of the Convention on the Continental Shelf that in the opinion of the Federal Government article 5, paragraph 1 guarantees the exercise of fishing rights (Fischerei) in the waters above the continental shelf in the manner hitherto generally in practice."

## GREECE

... Pursuant to article 12 of the Convention, the Kingdom of Greece makes a reservation with respect to the system of delimiting the boundaries of the continental shelf appertaining to States whose coasts are adjacent or opposite each other, provided for in article 6, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention. In such cases, the Kingdom of Greece will apply, in the absence of international agreement, the normal baseline system for the purpose of measuring the breadth of the territorial sea.

## IRAN (ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF)

"In signing this Convention on the Continental Shelf, I am instructed by the Iranian Government to make the following reservations:

(a) Article 4: With respect to the phrase "the Coastal State may not impede the laying or maintenance of submarine cables or pipe-lines on the continental shelf", the Iranian Government reserves its right to allow or not to allow the laying or maintenance of submarine cables or pipe-lines on its continental shelf.

(b) Article 6: With respect to the phrase "and unless another boundary line is justified by special circumstances" included in paragraphs 1 and 2 of this article, the Iranian Government accepts this phrase on the understanding that one



method of determining the boundary line in special circumstances would be that of measurement from the high water mark."

## SPAIN

Spain's accession is not to be interpreted as recognition of any rights or situations in connexion with the waters of Gibraltar other than those referred to in article 10 of the Treaty of Utrecht, of 13 July 1713, between the Crowns of Spain and Great Britain.

Spain also declares, in connexion with article 1 of the Convention, that the existence of any accident of the surface, such as a depression or a channel, in a submerged zone shall not be deemed to constitute an interruption of the natural extension of the coastal territory into or under the sea.

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

## CANADA

"The Government of Canada wishes to declare as follows:

- "(i) That it does not find acceptable the declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany with respect to article 5, paragraph 1.
- "(ii) That it reserves its position concerning the declaration of the Government of the French Republic with respect to article 1 and article 2, paragraph 4; and further that it does not find acceptable the reservations made by the Government of the French Republic to articles 4, and 5, paragraph 1.
- "(iii) That it does not find acceptable the reservation made by the Government of the French Republic to article 6, paragraphs 1 and 2, insofar as that reservation relates to a boundary calculated from baselines established after 29 April 1958 or to a boundary extending beyond the 200 metre isobath.
- "(iv) That it reserves its position concerning the reservation made by the Government of the French Republic to article 6, paragraphs 1 and 2, insofar as that reservation relates to a boundary in areas where there are 'special circumstances' within the meaning of article 6, paragraphs 1 and 2.
- "(v) That it does not find acceptable the reservation made by the Iranian Government to article 4."

## FIJI

[As under the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone, see chapter XXI.1.]

## VENEZUELA

In signing the present Convention, the Republic of Venezuela declares with reference to article 6 that there are special circumstances to be taken into consideration in the following areas: the Gulf of Paria, in so far as the boundary is not determined by existing agreements, and in zones adjacent thereto; the area between the coast of Venezuela and the island of Aruba; and the Gulf of Venezuela.

Reservation made upon ratification: . . . with express reservation in respect of article 6 of the said Convention.

## YUGOSLAVIA

Subject to the following reservation in respect of article 6 of the Convention:

In determining its continental shelf, Yugoslavia recognizes no "special circumstances" which should influence that delimitation.

## FRANCE

The Government of the French Republic does not accept the reservations made by the Government of Iran with respect to article 4 of the Convention.

## NETHERLANDS

"In depositing their instrument of ratification regarding the Convention on the Continental Shelf concluded at Geneva on April 29th, 1958, the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands declare that they do not find acceptable

"the reservations made by the Iranian Government to article 4;

"the reservations made by the Government of the French Republic to articles 5, paragraph 1, and 6, paragraphs 1 and 2.

"The Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands reserve all rights regarding the reservations in respect of article 6 made by the Government of Venezuela when ratifying the present Convention."

## NORWAY

"In depositing their instrument of accession regarding the said Convention, the Government of Norway declare that they do not find acceptable the reservations made by the Government of the French Republic to article 5, paragraph 1, and to article 6, paragraphs 1 and 2."

## SPAIN

Spain declares the following:

1. That it reserves its position with respect to the declaration made by the Government of the French Republic in connexion with article 1;
2. That it deems unacceptable the reservation made by the Government of the French Republic to

article 6, paragraph 2, especially as concerns the Bay of Biscay.

THAILAND

On depositing the instrument of ratification, the Government of Thailand made objections to "the reservations to articles 1, 4, 5 (paragraph 1) and 6 (paragraphs 1 and 2) made by the Government of France."

TONGA

The Secretary-General received on 22 October 1971 a communication from the Government of Tonga to the effect that the latter wishes to maintain all objections made by the United Kingdom to the reservations or declarations made by States with respect to this Convention.

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN  
AND NORTHERN IRELAND

14 January 1966

"Article 1: The Government of the United Kingdom take note of the declaration made by the Government of the French Republic and reserve their position concerning it.

"Article 2 (paragraph 4): This declaration does not call for any observations on the part of the Government of the United Kingdom.

"Article 4: The Government of the United Kingdom and the Government of the French Republic are both parties to the Optional Protocol of Signature concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes done at Geneva on the 29th of April, 1958. The Government of the United Kingdom assume that the declaration made by the Government of the French Republic is not intended to derogate from the rights and obligations of the parties to the Optional Protocol.

"Article 5 (paragraph 1): Reservation (a) does not call for any observations on the part of the Government of the United Kingdom.

"The Government of the United Kingdom are unable to accept reservation (b).

"The Government of the United Kingdom are prepared to accept reservation (c) on the understanding that it is not intended to derogate from the rights and obligations of parties to the Optional Protocol of Signature concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes.

"Article 6 (paragraphs 1 and 2): "The Government of the United Kingdom are unable to accept the reservations made by the Government of the French Republic."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA<sup>3</sup>

19 September 1962

"The United States does not find the following reservations acceptable.

"1. The reservation made by the Iranian Government to article 4.

"2. The reservation made by the Federal Republic of Germany to article 5, paragraph 1."

9 September 1965

"The reservations [made by France] to articles 4, 5 and 6. The declarations by France with respect to articles 1 and 2 are noted without prejudice."

16 July 1970

"The Government of the United States does not find acceptable the declaration made by the Government of Canada with respect to article 1 of the Convention on the Continental Shelf. The United States considers that Convention to be in force and applicable between it and Canada, but that such application does not in any manner constitute any concurrence by the United States in the substance of the declaration made by Canada with respect to article 1 of that Convention."

YUGOSLAVIA

29 September 1965

"The Government of Yugoslavia does not accept the reservation made by the Government of the French Republic with respect to article 6 of the Convention on the Continental Shelf."

NOTES:

1/ Signed and ratified on behalf of the Republic of China on 29 April 1958 and 12 October 1970 respectively. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

In communications addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned ratification, the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Bulgaria, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics stated that the said ratification was illegal since the so-called "Government of China" represented no one and did not have the right to speak on behalf of China, there being only one Chinese State in the world, the People's Republic of China, and one Government entitled to repre-

sent it, the Government of the People's Republic of China.

In letters addressed to the Secretary-General concerning the above-mentioned communications, the Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations stated the following:

"The Republic of China, a sovereign state and member of the United Nations, attended the first United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea in 1958, contributed to the formulation of the Convention on the Continental Shelf, signed the said Convention on 29 April 1958 and duly deposited its instrument of ratification with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on 12 October 1970. Any statement relating to the said Convention that is incompatible with or derogatory to the legitimate position of the Government of the Republic of

China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China under the said Convention."

2/ The Secretary-General received on 1 March 1976, a communication from the Government of Senegal denouncing this Convention and specifying that the denunciation would take effect on the thirtieth day from its receipt, i.e. on 30 March 1976. The said communication was circulated by the Secretary-General to all States entitled to become parties to the Convention under its respective clauses.

The notification of denunciation was registered by the Government of Senegal on 1 March 1976 under No. 7302.

In this connexion, a communication from the Government of the United Kingdom was received by the Secretary-General on 1 September 1976. The content of this communication is, in essence, mutatis mutandis, identical to the first paragraph of the communication by the Government of the United Kingdom reproduced in note 3 in chapter XXI.1.

3/ See note 4 in chapter XXI.1.

## 5. OPTIONAL PROTOCOL OF SIGNATURE CONCERNING THE COMPULSORY SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

Done at Geneva on 29 April 1958

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 30 September 1962.  
 REGISTRATION: 3 January 1963, No. 6466.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 450, p. 169

(See "Note" in the same place in chapter XXI.1.)

Participant	Signature <sup>1</sup>	Definitive signature (s) <sup>1</sup> ratification, succession (d)	Participant	Signature <sup>1</sup>	Definitive signature (s) <sup>1</sup> ratification, succession (d)
Australia . . . . .		14 May 1963 <u>s</u>	Israel . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	
Austria . . . . .	27 Oct 1958		Liberia . . . . .		27 May 1958 <u>s</u>
Belgium . . . . .		6 Jan 1972 <u>s</u>	Madagascar . . . . .		10 Aug 1962 <u>s</u>
Bolivia . . . . .		17 Oct 1958 <u>s</u>	Malawi . . . . .		17 Dec 1965 <u>s</u>
Canada . . . . .	29 Apr 1958		Malaysia . . . . .		1 May 1961 <u>s</u>
China <sup>2</sup>			Malta . . . . .		19 May 1966 <u>d</u>
Colombia <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		29 Apr 1958 <u>s</u>	Mauritius . . . . .		5 Oct 1970 <u>d</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .		29 Apr 1958 <u>s</u>	Nepal . . . . .		29 Apr 1958 <u>s</u>
Cuba . . . . .		29 Apr 1958 <u>s</u>	Netherlands . . . . .	31 Oct 1958	18 Feb 1966
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	22 Jan 1970		New Zealand . . . . .		29 Oct 1958 <u>s</u>
Denmark . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	26 Sep 1968	Pakistan . . . . .		6 Nov 1958 <u>s</u>
Dominican Republic . . . . .		29 Apr 1958 <u>s</u>	Panama . . . . .		2 May 1958 <u>s</u>
Finland . . . . .		27 Oct 1958 <u>s</u>	Portugal . . . . .	28 Oct 1958	8 Jan 1963
		16 Feb 1965	Sierra Leone . . . . .		14 Feb 1963 <u>s</u>
		30 Oct 1958 <u>s</u>	Solomon Islands . . . . .		3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>
France . . . . .			Sri Lanka . . . . .		30 Oct 1958 <u>s</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		30 Oct 1958 <u>s</u>	Sweden . . . . .	1 Jun 1966	28 Jun 1966
		26 Jul 1973	Switzerland . . . . .	24 May 1958	18 May 1966
Ghana . . . . .		29 Apr 1958 <u>s</u>	Uganda . . . . .		15 Sep 1964 <u>s</u>
Haiti . . . . .		29 Apr 1958 <u>s</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .		9 Sep 1958 <u>s</u>
		29 Mar 1960	United States of America <sup>6</sup> . . . . .	15 Sep 1958	
Holy See . . . . .		30 Apr 1958 <u>s</u>	Uruguay . . . . .		29 Apr 1958 <u>s</u>
Indonesia . . . . .	8 May 1958 <sup>5</sup>		Yugoslavia . . . . .	29 Apr 1958	28 Jan 1966

## NOTES:

1/ It will be noted that certain signatures, although they were affixed without reservation as to ratification, were followed by the deposit of an instrument of ratification: in such cases, the two corresponding dates will be found in the third column.

The States listed herein are bound by this Protocol to the extent that they have signed it definitively, ratified it or succeeded to it, and that they are bound by one at least of the four Law of the Sea Conventions to which it related.

2/ Signature affixed without reservation as to ratification on behalf of the Republic of China on 29 April 1958. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

3/ In signing the Optional Protocol, the delegation of Colombia reserved the obligations

of Colombia arising out of conventions concerning the peaceful settlement of disputes which Colombia has ratified and out of any previous conventions concerning the same subject which Colombia may ratify.

4/ With the following declaration.

"The Optional Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany."

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received on 5 November 1973 the following communication from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

The Soviet Union can take note of the declaration by the Federal Republic of Germany concerning application to Berlin (West) of . . . the Optional Protocol of signature concerning the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes only on the understanding that such application conforms to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971

and is subject to observance of the established procedures.

Communications identical in essence were received from the Government of Czechoslovakia (on 6 December 1973) and the Byelorussian SSR (on 13 February 1974).

5/ In a communication received on 24 December 1958, the Government of Indonesia informed the Secretary-General that according to the constitu-

tional requirements of Indonesia, the signature affixed on its behalf to this Protocol is subject to ratification.

6/ In a communication received on 10 June 1963, the Government of the United States of America informed the Secretary-General that the Protocol "will not enter into force with respect to the United States until the Protocol has been ratified on the part of the United States and instrument of ratification has been deposited".

## 6 UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON THE LAW OF THE SEA

Concluded at Montego Bay, Jamaica, on 10 December 1982

Not yet in force (see article 308 of the Convention).

TEXT: A/CONF.62/122 and Corr. 1 to 11; depositary notifications C.N.236.1984.TREATIES-7 of 5 October 1984 (procès-verbal of rectification of the English and Spanish authentic texts); C N 202.1985.TREATIES-17 of 23 August 1985 (procès-verbal of rectification of the original English text) and C.N.17.1986.TREATIES-1 of 7 April 1986 (procès-verbal of rectification of the original Arabic, Chinese, English, French and Spanish texts of the Final Act).

Note: The Convention was adopted by the Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea and opened for signature, together with the Final Act of the Conference, at Montego Bay, Jamaica, on 10 December 1982. The Conference was convened pursuant to resolution 3067 (XXVIII)<sup>1</sup> adopted by the General Assembly on 16 November 1973. The Conference held eleven sessions, from 1973 to 1982, as follows:

- First session: United Nations Headquarters, New York, 3 to 15 December 1973;
- Second session: Parque Central, Caracas, 20 June to 29 August 1974;
- Third session: United Nations Office at Geneva, 17 March to 9 May 1975;
- Fourth session: United Nations Headquarters, New York, 15 March to 7 May 1976;
- Fifth session: United Nations Headquarters, New York, 2 August to 17 September 1976;
- Sixth session: United Nations Headquarters, New York, 23 May to 15 July 1977;
- Seventh session: United Nations Office at Geneva, 28 March to 19 May 1978;
- Resumed seventh session: United Nations Headquarters, New York, 21 August to 15 September 1978;
- Eighth session: United Nations Office at Geneva, 19 March to 27 April 1979;
- Resumed eighth session: United Nations Headquarters, New York, 19 July to 24 August 1979;
- Ninth session: United Nations Headquarters, New York, 3 March to 4 April 1980;
- Resumed ninth session: United Nations Office at Geneva, 28 July to 29 August 1980;
- Tenth session: United Nations Headquarters, New York, 9 March to 24 April 1981;
- Resumed tenth session: United Nations Office at Geneva, 3 to 28 August 1981;
- Eleventh session: United Nations Headquarters, New York, 8 March to 30 April 1982;
- Resumed eleventh session: United Nations Headquarters, New York, 22 to 24 September 1982;
- Final Part of the eleventh session: Montego Bay, Jamaica, 6 to 10 December 1982.

The Conference also adopted a Final Act<sup>2</sup> with, annexed thereto, nine resolutions and a statement of understanding. The text of the Final Act has been reproduced as document A/CONF.62/121 and Corr. 1 to 8.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, formal confir- mation (c), accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, formal confir- mation (c), accession (a)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	18 Mar 1983		Chad . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Algeria . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Chile . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Angola . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		China . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Antigua and Barbuda	7 Feb 1983		Colombia . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Argentina . . . . .	5 Oct 1984		Comoros . . . . .	6 Dec 1984	
Australia . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Congo . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Austria . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Cook Islands . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Bahamas . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	29 Jul 1983	Costa Rica . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Bahrain . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	30 May 1985	Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	26 Mar 1984
Bangladesh . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Cuba . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	15 Aug 1984
Barbados . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Cyprus . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Belgium . . . . .	5 Dec 1984		Czechoslovakia . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Belize . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	13 Aug 1983	Democratic Kampuchea	1 Jul 1983	
Benin . . . . .	30 Aug 1983		Democratic People's Republic of Korea	10 Dec 1982	
Bhutan . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Democratic Yemen . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Bolivia . . . . .	27 Nov 1984		Denmark . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Botswana . . . . .	5 Dec 1984		Djibouti . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Brazil . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Dominica . . . . .	28 Mar 1983	
Brunei Darussalam . . . . .	5 Dec 1984		Dominican Republic	10 Dec 1982	
Bulgaria . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Egypt . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	26 Aug 1983
Burkina Faso . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		El Salvador . . . . .	5 Dec 1984	
Burma . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Equatorial Guinea . . . . .	30 Jan 1984	
Burundi . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Ethiopia . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		European Economic Community . . . . .	7 Dec 1984	
Cameroon . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	19 Nov 1985	Fiji . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	10 Dec 1982
Canada . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Finland . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Cape Verde . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		France . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Central African Republic . . . . .	4 Dec 1984				

XXI.6: Law of the Sea--1982 Convention

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, Formal confir- mation (c), accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, formal confir- mation (c), accession (a)</u>
Gabon . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Nigeria . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	14 Aug 1986
Gambia . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	22 May 1984	Norway . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Oman . . . . .	1 Jul 1983	
Ghana . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	7 Jun 1983	Pakistan . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Greece . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Panama . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Grenada . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Papua New Guinea	10 Dec 1982	
Guatemala . . . . .	8 Jul 1983		Paraguay . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	26 Sep 1986
Guinea . . . . .	4 Oct 1984	6 Sep 1985	Philippines . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	8 May 1984
Guinea-Bissau . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	24 Aug 1986	Poland . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Guyana . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Portugal . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Haiti . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Qatar . . . . .	27 Nov 1984	
Honduras . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Republic of Korea	14 Mar 1983	
Hungary . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Romania . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Iceland . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	21 Jun 1985	Rwanda . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
India . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Saint Christopher and Nevis . . . . .	7 Dec 1984	
Indonesia . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	3 Feb 1986	Saint Lucia . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	27 Mar 1985
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Saint Vincent and The Grenadines	10 Dec 1982	
Iraq . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	30 Jul 1985	Samoa . . . . .	28 Sep 1984	
Ireland . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Sao Tome and Principe . . . . .	13 Jul 1983	
Italy . . . . .	7 Dec 1984		Saudi Arabia . . . . .	7 Dec 1984	
Jamaica . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	21 Mar 1983	Senegal . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	25 Oct 1984
Japan . . . . .	7 Feb 1983		Seychelles . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Kenya . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Sierra Leone . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Kuwait . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	2 May 1986	Singapore . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Solomon Islands . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Lebanon . . . . .	7 Dec 1984		Somalia . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Lesotho . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		South Africa . . . . .	5 Dec 1984	
Liberia . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Spain . . . . .	4 Dec 1984	
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya . . . . .	3 Dec 1984		Sri Lanka . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Liechtenstein . . . . .	30 Nov 1984		Sudan . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	23 Jan 1985
Luxembourg . . . . .	5 Dec 1984		Suriname . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Madagascar . . . . .	25 Feb 1983		Swaziland . . . . .	18 Jan 1984	
Malawi . . . . .	7 Dec 1984		Sweden . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Malaysia . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Switzerland . . . . .	17 Oct 1984	
Maldives . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Thailand . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Mali . . . . .	19 Oct 1983	16 Jul 1985	Togo . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	16 Apr 1985
Malta . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Trinidad and Tobago	10 Dec 1982	25 Apr 1986
Mauritania . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Tunisia . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	24 Apr 1985
Mauritius . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Tuvalu . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Mexico . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	18 Mar 1983	Uganda . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Monaco . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Mongolia . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Morocco . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		United Arab Emirats . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Mozambique . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	30 Sep 1985
Namibia (United Nations Council for Namibia) . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	18 Apr 1983	Uruguay . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Nauru . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Vanuatu . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Nepal . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Viet Nam . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
Netherlands . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Yemen . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	
New Zealand . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Yugoslavia . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	5 May 1986
Nicaragua . . . . .	9 Dec 1984		Zaire . . . . .	22 Aug 1983	
Niue . . . . .	5 Dec 1984		Zambia . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	7 Mar 1983
Niger . . . . .	10 Dec 1982		Zimbabwe . . . . .	10 Dec 1982	

Declarations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, formal confirmation or accession. For objections thereto, see hereinafter.)

## ALGERIA

Upon signature:

It is the view of the Government of Algeria that its signing the Final Act and the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea does not entail any change in its position on the non-recognition of certain other signatories, nor any obligation to co-operate in any field whatsoever with those signatories.

## ANGOLA

Upon signature:

The Government of the People's Republic of Angola reserves the right to interpret any and all articles of the Convention in the context of and with due regard to Angolan Sovereignty and territorial integrity as it applies to land, space and sea. Details of these interpretations will be placed on record at the time of ratification of the Convention.

The present signature is without prejudice to the position taken by the Government of Angola or to be taken by it on the Convention at the time of ratification."

## ARGENTINA

Upon signature:

The signing of the Convention by the Argentine Government does not imply acceptance of the Final Act of the Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea. In that regard, the Argentine Republic, as in its written statement of 8 December 1982 (A/CONF.62/WS/35), places on record its reservation to the effect that resolution III, in annex I to the final Act, in no way affects the "Question of the Falkland Islands (Malvinas)", which is governed by the following specific resolutions of the General Assembly: 2065 (XX), 3160 (XXVIII), 31/49, 37/9 and 38/12, adopted within the framework of the decolonization process.

In this connection, and bearing in mind that the Malvinas and the South Sandwich and South Georgia Islands form an integral part of Argentine territory, the Argentine Government declares that it neither recognizes nor will it recognize the title of any other State, community or entity or the exercise by it of any right of maritime jurisdiction which is claimed to be protected under any interpretation of resolution III that violates the rights of Argentina over the Malvinas and the South Sandwich and South Georgia Islands and their respective maritime zones. Consequently, it likewise neither recognizes nor will recognize and will consider null and void any activity or measure that may be carried out or adopted without its consent with regard to this question, which the Argentine Government considers to be of major importance.

The Argentine Government will accordingly interpret the occurrence of acts of the kind

referred to above as contrary to the aforementioned resolutions adopted by the United Nations, the patent objective of which is the peaceful settlement of the sovereignty dispute concerning the islands by means of bilateral negotiations and through the good offices of the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

Furthermore, it is the understanding of the Argentine Republic that, whereas the Final Act states in paragraph 42 that the Convention "together with resolutions I to IV, [forms] an integral whole", it is merely describing the procedure that was followed at the Conference to avoid a series of separate votes on the Convention and the resolutions. The Convention itself clearly establishes in article 318 that only the Annexes form an integral part of the Convention; thus, any other instrument or document, even one adopted by the Conference, does not form an integral part of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea.

## BELGIUM

The Government of the Kingdom of Belgium has decided to sign the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea because the Convention has a very large number of positive features and achieves a compromise on them which is acceptable to most States. Nevertheless, with regard to the status of maritime space, it regrets that the concept of equity, adopted for the delimitation of the continental shelf and the exclusive economic zone, was not applied again in the provisions for delimiting the territorial sea. It welcomes, however, the distinctions established by the Convention between the nature of the rights which riparian States exercise over their territorial sea, on the one hand, and over the continental shelf and their exclusive economic zone on the other.

It is common knowledge that the Belgian Government cannot declare itself also satisfied with certain provisions of the international régime of the sea-bed which, though based on a principle that it would not think of challenging, seems not to have chosen the most suitable way of achieving the desired result as quickly and surely as possible, at the risk of jeopardizing the success of a generous undertaking which Belgium consistently encourages and supports. Indeed, certain provisions of Part XI and of Annexes III and IV appear to it to be marred by serious defects and shortcomings which explain why consensus was not reached on this text at the last session of the Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea, in New York, in April 1982. These shortcomings and defects concern in particular the restriction of access to the Area, the limitations on production and certain procedures for the transfer of technology, not to mention the vexatious implications of the cost and financing of the future International Sea-Bed Authority and the first mine site of the Enterprise. The



Belgian Government sincerely hopes that these shortcomings and defects will in fact be rectified by the rules, regulations and procedures which the Preparatory Commission should draw up with the twofold intent of facilitating acceptance of the new régime by the whole international community and enabling the common heritage of mankind to be properly exploited for the benefit of all and, preferably, for the benefit of the least favoured countries.

The Government of the Kingdom of Belgium is not alone in thinking that the success of this new régime, the effective establishment of the International Sea-Bed Authority and the economic viability of the Enterprise will depend to a large extent on the quality and seriousness of the Preparatory Commission's work: it therefore considers that all decisions of the Commission should be adopted by consensus, that being the only way of protecting the legitimate interests of all.

As the representatives of France and the Netherlands pointed out two years ago, the Belgian Government wishes to make it abundantly clear that, notwithstanding its decision to sign the Convention today, the Kingdom of Belgium is not here and now determined to ratify it. It will take a separate decision on this point at a later date, which will take account of what the Preparatory Commission has accomplished to make the international régime of the sea-bed acceptable to all, focusing mainly on the questions to which attention has been drawn above.

The Belgian Government also wishes to recall that Belgium is a member of the European Economic Community, to which it has transferred powers in certain areas covered by the Convention; detailed declarations on the nature and extent of the powers transferred will be made in due course, in accordance with the provisions of Annex IX of the Convention.

It also wishes to draw attention formally to several points which it considers particularly crucial. For example, it attaches great importance to the conditions to which Articles 21 and 23 of the Convention subject the right of innocent passage through the territorial sea, and it intends to ensure that the criteria prescribed by the relevant international agreements are strictly applied, whether the flag States are parties thereto or not. The limitation of the breadth of the territorial sea, as established by Article 3 of the Convention, confirms and codifies a widely observed customary practice which it is incumbent on every State to respect, as it is the only one admitted by international law: the Government of the Kingdom of Belgium will not therefore recognize, as territorial sea, waters which are, or may be, claimed to be such beyond 12 nautical miles measured from baselines determined by the riparian State in accordance with the Convention. Having underlined the close linkage which it perceives between Article 33, paragraph 1 (a), and Article 27, paragraph 2, of the Convention, the Government of the Kingdom of Belgium intends to reserve the right, in emergencies and especially in cases of blatant violation, to exercise the powers accorded to the riparian State by the latter text, without notifying beforehand a diplomatic agent or consular officer of the flag State, on the understanding that such notifica-

tion shall be given as soon as it is physically possible. Finally, everyone will understand that the Government of the Kingdom of Belgium chooses to emphasize those provisions of the Convention which entitle it to protect itself, beyond the limit of the territorial sea, against any threat of pollution and, *a fortiori*, against any existing pollution resulting from an accident at sea, as well as those provisions which recognize the validity of rights and obligations deriving from specific conventions and agreements concluded previously or which may be concluded subsequently in furtherance of the general principles set forth in the Convention.

In the absence of any other peaceful means to which it obviously gives priority, the Government of the Kingdom of Belgium deems it expedient to choose alternatively, and in order of preference, as Article 287 of the Convention leaves it free to do, the following means of settling disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention:

1. an arbitral tribunal constituted in accordance with Annex VIII;
2. the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea established in accordance with Annex VI;
3. the International Court of Justice.

Still in the absence of any other peaceful means, the Government of the Kingdom of Belgium wishes here and now to recognize the validity of the special arbitration procedure for any dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the provisions of the Convention in respect of fisheries, protection and preservation of the marine environment, marine scientific research or navigation, including pollution from vessels and by dumping.

For the time being, the Belgian Government does not wish to make any declaration in accordance with Article 298, confining itself to the one made above in accordance with Article 287. Finally, the Government of the Kingdom of Belgium does not consider itself bound by any of the declarations which other States have made, or may make, upon signing or ratifying the Convention, reserving the right, as necessary, to determine its position with regard to each of them at the appropriate time.

#### BOLIVIA

On signing the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, the Government of Bolivia hereby makes the following declaration before the International community:

1. The Convention on the Law of the Sea is a perfectible instrument and, according to its own provisions, is subject to revision. As a party to it, Bolivia will, when the time comes, put forward proposals and revisions which are in keeping with its national interests.
2. Bolivia is confident that the Convention will ensure, in the near future, the joint development of the resources of the sea-bed, with equal opportunities and rights for all nations, especially developing countries.
3. Freedom of access to and from the sea, which the Convention grants to land-locked nations, is a right that Bolivia has been exer-

cising by virtue of bilateral treaties and will continue to exercise by virtue of the norms of positive international law contained in the Convention.

4. Bolivia wishes to place on record that it is a country that has no maritime sovereignty as a result of a war and not of as a result of its natural geographic position and that it will assert all the rights of coastal States under the Convention once it recovers the legal status in question as a consequence of negotiations on the restoration to Bolivia of its own sovereign outlet to the Pacific Ocean.

#### BRAZIL

##### Upon signature:

- (I) "Signature by Brazil is ad referendum, subject to ratification of the Convention in conformity with Brazilian constitutional procedures, which include approval by the National Congress.
- (II) The Brazilian Government understands that the régime which is applied in practice in maritime area adjacent to the coast of Brazil is compatible with the provisions of the Convention.
- (III) The Brazilian Government understands that the provision of article 301, which prohibits "any threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any State, or in any other manner inconsistent with the principles of international law embodied in the Charter of the United Nations", apply, in particular, to the maritime areas under the sovereignty or the jurisdiction of the coastal State.
- (IV) The Brazilian Government understands that the provisions of the Convention do not authorize other States to carry out in the exclusive economic zone military exercises or manoeuvres, in particular those that imply the use of weapons or explosives, without the consent of the coastal State.
- (U) The Brazilian Government understands that, in accordance with the provisions of the Convention, the coastal State has, in the exclusive economic zone and on the continental shelf, the exclusive right to construct and to authorize and regulate the construction, operation and use of all types of installations and structures, without exception, whatever their nature or purpose.
- (VI) Brazil exercises sovereignty rights over the continental shelf, beyond the distance of two hundred nautical miles from the baselines, up to the outer edge of the continental margin, as defined in article 76.
- (VII) The Brazilian Government reserves the right to make at the appropriate time the declarations provided for in articles 287 and 298, concerning the settlement of disputes."

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST  
REPUBLIC

##### Upon signature:

- 1. The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic

declares that, in accordance with article 287 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, it accepts, as the basic means for the settlement of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention, an arbitral tribunal constituted in accordance with Annex VII. For the consideration of questions relating to fisheries, the protection and preservation of the marine environment, marine scientific research and navigation, including pollution from vessels and by dumping, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic chooses a special arbitral tribunal constituted in accordance with Annex VIII. The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic recognizes the competence of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea in relation to questions of the prompt release of detained vessels or their crews, as envisaged in article 292.

2. The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic declares that, in accordance with article 298 of the Convention, it does not accept compulsory procedures entailing binding decisions in the consideration of disputes concerned with the delimitation of marine limits, disputes relating to military activity and disputes in relation to which the United Nations Security Council performs functions entrusted to it under the United Nations Charter.

#### CAPE VERDE

##### Upon signature:

"The Government of the Republic of Cape Verde signs the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea with the following understandings:

- I. This Convention recognizes the right of coastal States to adopt measures to safeguard their security interests, including the right to adopt laws and regulations relating to the innocent passage of foreign warships through their territorial sea or archipelagic waters. This right is in full conformity with articles 19 and 25 of the Convention, as it was clearly stated in the Declaration made by the President of the Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea in the plenary meeting of the Conference on April 26 1982.
- II. The provisions of the Convention relating to the archipelagic waters, territorial sea, exclusive economic zone and continental shelf are compatible with the fundamental objectives and aims that inspire the legislation of the Republic of Cape Verde concerning its sovereignty and jurisdiction over the sea adjacent to and within its coasts and over the seabed and subsoil thereof up to the limit of 200 miles.
- III. The legal nature of the exclusive economic zone as defined in the Convention and the scope of the rights recognized therein to the coastal state leave no doubt as to its character of a "sui generis" zone of national jurisdiction different from the territorial sea and which is not a part of the high seas.
- IV. The regulations of the uses or activities which are not expressly provided for in the Convention but are related to the sovereign rights and to the jurisdiction of the coastal State in its exclusive economic zone

falls within the competence of the said State, provided that such regulation does not hinder the enjoyment of the freedoms of international communication which are recognized to other States.

V. In the exclusive economic zone, the enjoyment of the freedoms of international communication, in conformity with its definition and with other relevant provisions of the Convention, excludes any non-peaceful use without the consent of the coastal State, such as exercises with weapons or other activities which may affect the rights or interests of the said state; and it also excludes the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity, political independence, peace or security of the coastal State.

VI This Convention does not entitle any State to construct, operate or use installations or structures in the exclusive economic zone of another State, either those provided for in the Convention or those of any other nature, without the consent of the coastal State.

VII In accordance with all the relevant provisions of the Convention, where the same stock or stocks of associated species occur both within the exclusive economic zone and in an area beyond and adjacent to the zone, the States fishing for such stocks in the adjacent area are duty bound to enter into arrangements with the coastal State upon the measures necessary for the conservation of these stock or stocks of associated species."

## CHILE

Upon signature:

In exercise of the right conferred by article 310 of the Convention, the delegation of Chile wishes first of all to reiterate in its entirety the statement it made at last April's meeting when the Convention was adopted. That statement is reproduced in document A/CONF.62/SR.164. ... in particular to the Convention's pivotal legal concept, that of the 200 mile exclusive economic zone to the elaboration of which my country made an important contribution, having been the first to declare such a concept, 35 years ago in 1947, and having subsequently helped to define and earn it international acceptance. The exclusive economic zone has a sui generis legal character distinct from that of the territorial sea and the high seas. It is a zone under national jurisdiction, over which the coastal State exercises economic sovereignty and in which third States enjoy freedom of navigation and overflight and the freedoms inherent in international communication. The Convention defines it as a maritime space under the jurisdiction of the coastal State, bound to the latter's territorial sovereignty and actual territory, on terms similar to those governing other maritime spaces, namely the territorial sea and the continental shelf. With regard to straits used for international navigation, the delegation of Chile wishes to reaffirm and reiterate in full the statement made last April, as reproduced in document A/CONF.62/SR.164 referred to above, as well as the content of the supplementary written state-

ment dated 7 April 1982 contained in document A/CONF.62/WS/19.

With regard to the international sea-bed régime, I wish to reiterate the statement made by the Group of 77 at last April's meeting regarding the legal concept of the common heritage of mankind, the existence of which was solemnly confirmed by consensus by the General Assembly in 1970 and which the present Convention defines as a part of jus cogens. Any action taken in contravention of this principle and outside the framework of the sea-bed régime would, as last April's debate showed, be totally invalid and illegal.

## CHINA

12 June 1985

"...The so-called Kalayaan Islands are part of the Nansha Islands, which have always been Chinese territory. The Chinese Government has stated on many occasions that China has indisputable sovereignty over the Nansha Islands and the adjacent waters and resources."

## COSTA RICA

Upon signature:

The Government of Costa Rica declares that the provisions of Costa Rican law under which foreign vessels must pay for licences to fish in its exclusive economic zone, shall apply also to fishing for highly migratory species, pursuant to the provisions of articles 62 and 64, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

## CUBA

Upon signature:

"At the time of signing the Convention on the Law of the Sea, the Cuban Delegation declares that, having gained possession of the definitive text of the Convention just a few hours ago, it will leave for the time of the ratification of the Convention the issuing of any statement it deems pertinent with respect to articles:

287 - on the election of the procedure for the settlement of controversies pertaining to the interpretation or implementation of the Convention;

292 - on the prompt release of ships and their crews;

298 - on the optional exceptions to the applicability of Section 2;

as well as whatever statement or declaration it might deem appropriate to make in conformity with article 310 of the Convention."

Upon ratification:

With regard to article 287 on the choice of procedure for the settlement of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention, the Government of the Republic of Cuba declares that it does not accept the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice and, consequently, will not accept either the jurisdiction of the Court with respect to the provisions of either articles 297 and 298.

With regard to article 292, the Government of the Republic of Cuba considers that once financial security has been posted, the detaining State should proceed promptly and without delay

to release the vessel and its crew and declares that where this procedure is not followed with respect to its vessels or members of their crew it will not agree to submit the matter to the International Court of Justice.

#### EGYPT

1. The Arab Republic of Egypt establishes the breadth of its territorial sea at 12 nautical miles, pursuant to article 5 of the Ordinance of 18 January 1951 as amended by the Decree of 17 February 1958, in line with the provisions of article 3 of the Convention:

2. The Arab Republic of Egypt will publish, at the earliest opportunity, charts showing the baselines from which the breadth of its territorial sea in the Mediterranean Sea and in the Red Sea is measured, as well as the lines marking the outer limit of the territorial sea, in accordance with usual practice.

#### Declaration concerning the contiguous zone

The Arab Republic of Egypt has decided that its contiguous zone (as defined in the Ordinance of 18 January 1951 as amended by the Presidential Decree of 17 February 1958) extends to 24 nautical miles from the baselines from which the breadth of the territorial sea is measured, as provided for in article 33 of the Convention.

#### Declaration concerning the passage of nuclear-powered and similar ships through the territorial sea of Egypt

Pursuant to the provisions of the Convention relating to the right of the coastal State to regulate the passage of ships through its territorial sea and whereas the passage of foreign nuclear-powered ships and ships carrying nuclear or other inherently dangerous and noxious substances poses a number of hazards,

Whereas article 23 of the Convention stipulates that the ships in question shall, when exercising the right of innocent passage through the territorial sea, carry documents and observe special precautionary measures established for such ships by international agreements,

The Government of the Arab Republic of Egypt declares that it will require the aforementioned ships to obtain authorization before entering the territorial sea of Egypt, until such international agreements are concluded and Egypt becomes a party to them.

#### Declaration concerning the passage of warships through the territorial sea of Egypt

[With reference to the provisions of the Convention relating to the right of the coastal State to regulate the passage of ships through its territorial sea] Warships shall be ensured innocent passage through the territorial sea of Egypt, subject to prior notification.

#### Declaration concerning passage through the Strait of Tiran and the Gulf of Aqaba

The provisions of the 1979 Peace Treaty between Egypt and Israel concerning passage through the Strait of Tiran and the Gulf of Aqaba come within the framework of the general régime of waters forming straits referred to in part III of the Convention, wherein it is stipulated that the general régime shall not affect the legal status of waters forming straits and shall include certain obligations with regard to security and

the maintenance of order in the State bordering the strait.

#### Declaration concerning the exercise by Egypt of its rights in the exclusive economic zone

The Arab Republic of Egypt will exercise as from this day the rights attributed to it by the provisions of parts V and VI of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea in the exclusive economic zone situated beyond and adjacent to its territorial sea in the Mediterranean Sea and in the Red Sea.

The Arab Republic of Egypt will also exercise its sovereign rights in this zone for the purpose of exploring and exploiting, conserving and managing the natural resources, whether living or non-living, of the sea-bed and subsoil and the superjacent waters, and with regard to all other activities for the economic exploration and exploitation of the zone, such as the production of energy from the water, currents and winds

The Arab Republic of Egypt will exercise its jurisdiction over the exclusive economic zone according to the modalities laid down in the Convention with regard to the establishment and use of artificial islands, installations and structures, marine scientific research, the protection and preservation of the marine environment and the other rights and duties provided for in the Convention.

The Arab Republic of Egypt proclaims that, in exercising its rights and performing its duties under the Convention in the exclusive economic zone, it will have due regard for the rights and duties of other States and will act in a manner compatible with the provisions of the Convention.

The Arab Republic of Egypt undertakes to establish the outer limits of its exclusive economic zone in accordance with the rules, criteria and modalities laid down in the Convention.

[The Arab Republic of] Egypt declares that it will take the necessary action and make the necessary arrangements to regulate all matters relating to its exclusive economic zone.

#### Declaration concerning the procedures chosen for the settlement of disputes in conformity with the Convention

[With reference to the provisions of article 287 of the Convention] the Arab Republic of Egypt declares that it accepts the arbitral procedure, the modalities of which are defined in annex VII to the Convention, as the procedure for the settlement of any dispute which might arise between Egypt and any other State relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention.

The Arab Republic of Egypt further declares that it excludes from the scope of application of this procedure those disputes contemplated in article 297 of the Convention.

#### Statement concerning the Arabic version of the text of the Convention

The Government of the Arab Republic of Egypt is gratified that the Third United Nations conference on the Law of the Sea adopted the new Convention in six languages, including Arabic, with all the texts being equally authentic, thus establishing absolute equality between all the versions and preventing any one from prevailing over another.

However, when the official Arabic version of the Convention is compared with the other offi-

cial versions, it becomes clear that, in some cases, the official Arabic text does not exactly correspond to the other versions, in that it fails to reflect precisely the content of certain provisions of the Convention which were found acceptable and adopted by the States in establishing a legal régime governing the seas.

For these reasons, the Government of the Arab Republic of Egypt takes the opportunity afforded by the deposit of the instrument of ratification of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea to declare that it will adopt the interpretation which is best corroborated by the various official texts of the Convention.

#### EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY

"On signing the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, the European Economic Community declares that it considers that the Convention constitutes, within the framework of the Law of the Sea, a major effort in the codification and progressive development of international law in the fields to which its declaration pursuant to Article 2 of Annex IX of the Convention refers. The Community would like to express the hope that this development will become a useful means for promoting co-operation and stable relations between all countries in these fields.

The Community, however, considers that significant provisions of Part XI of the Convention are not conducive to the development of the activities to which that Part refers in view of the fact that several Member States of the Community have already expressed their position that this Part contains considerable deficiencies and flaws which require rectification. The Community recognises the importance of the work which remains to be done and hopes that conditions for the implementation of a sea bed mining regime, which are generally acceptable and which are therefore likely to promote activities in the international sea bed area, can be agreed. The Community, within the limits of its competence, will play a full part in contributing to the task of finding satisfactory solutions.

A separate decision on formal confirmation(\*) will have to be taken at a later stage. It will be taken in the light of the results of the efforts made to attain a universally acceptable Convention."

Competence of the European Communities with regard to matters governed by the Convention on the Law of the Sea (Declaration made pursuant to article 2 of Annex IX to the Convention)

Article 2 of Annex IX to the Convention of the Law of the Sea stipulates that the participation of an international organisation shall be subject to a declaration specifying the matters governed by the Convention in respect of which competence has been transferred to the organisation by its member states.

The European Communities were established by the Treaties of Paris and of Rome, signed on 18 April 1951 and 25 March 1957 respectively. After being ratified by the Signatory States the Treaties entered into force on 25 July 1952 and 1 January 1958(\*\*).

In accordance with the provisions referred to above this declaration indicates the competence of the European Economic Community in matters governed by the Convention.

The Community points out that its Member States have transferred competence to it with regard to the conservation and management of sea fishing resources. Hence, in the field of sea fishing it is for the Community to adopt the relevant rules and regulations (which are enforced by the Member States) and to enter into external undertakings with third states or competent international organisations.

(\*) "Formal confirmation" is the term used in the Convention for ratification by international organisations (see Article 306 and Annex IX, Article 3).

(\*\*) The Treaty of Paris establishing the European Coal and Steel Community was registered at the Secretariat of the United Nations on 15.3.1957 under No 3729; the Treaties of Rome establishing the European Economic Community and the European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom) were registered on 21 April and 24 April 1958 respectively under Nos 4300 and 4301. The current members of the Communities are the Kingdom of Belgium, the Kingdom of Denmark, the Federal Republic of Germany, the Hellenic Republic, the French Republic, Ireland, the Italian Republic, the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg, the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea shall apply, with regard to matters transferred to the European Economic Community to the territories in which the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community is applied and under the conditions laid down in that Treaty.

Furthermore, with regard to rules and regulations for the protection and preservation of the marine environment, the Member States have transferred to the Community competences as formulated in provisions adopted by the Community and as reflected by its participation in certain international agreements' (see Annex).

With regard to the provisions of Part X, the Community has certain powers as its purpose is to bring about an economic union based on a customs union.

With regard to the provisions of Part XI, the Community enjoys competence in matters of commercial policy, including the control of unfair economic practices.

The exercise of the competence that the Member States have transferred to the Community under the Treaties is, by its very nature, subject to continuous development. As a result the Community reserves the right to make new declarations at a later date.

#### Annex

Community texts applicable in the sector of the protection and preservation of the marine environment and relating directly to subjects covered by the Convention

Council Decision of 3 December 1981 establishing a Community information system for the con-

trol and reduction of pollution caused by hydrocarbons discharged at sea (81/971/EEC) (OJ No L 355, 10.12.1981, p. 52).

Council Directive of 4 May 1976 on pollution caused by certain dangerous substances discharged into the aquatic environment of the Community (76/464/EEC) (OJ No L 129, 18.5.1976, p. 23).

Council Directive of 16 June 1975 on the disposal of waste oils (75/439/EEC) (OJ No L 194, 25.7.1975, p. 23).

Council Directive of 20 February 1978 on waste from the titanium dioxide industry (78/176/EEC) (OJ No L 54, 25.2.1978, p. 19).

Council Directive of 30 October 1979 on the quality required of shellfish waters (79/923/EEC) (OJ No L 281, 10.11.1979, p. 47).

Council Directive of 22 March 1982 on limit values and quality objectives for mercury discharges by the chlor-alkali electrolysis industry (82/176/EEC) (OJ No L 81, 27.3.1982, p. 29).

Council Directive of 26 September 1983 on limit values and quality objectives for cadmium discharges (83/513/EEC) (OJ No L 291, 24.10.1983, p. 1 et seq.).

Council Directive of 8 March 1984 on limit values and quality objectives for mercury discharges by sectors other than the chlor-alkali electrolysis industry (84/156/EEC) (OJ No L 74, 17.3.1984, p. 49 et seq.).

#### Annex

#### The Community has also concluded the following Conventions:

Convention for the prevention of marine pollution from land-based sources (Council Decision 75/437/EEC of 3 March 1975 published in OJ No L 194, 25.7.1975, p. 5).

Convention on long-range transboundary air pollution (Council Decision of 11 June 1981 published in OJ No L 171, 27.6.1981, p. 11).

Convention for the protection of the Mediterranean Sea against pollution and the Protocol for the prevention of pollution of the Mediterranean Sea by dumping from ships and aircraft (Council Decision 77/585/EEC of 25 July 1977 published in OJ No L 240, 19.9.1977, p. 1).

Protocol concerning co-operation in combating pollution of the Mediterranean Sea by oil and other harmful substances in cases of emergency (Council Decision 81/420/EEC of 19 May 1981 published in OJ No L 162, 19.6.1981, p. 4).

Protocol of 2 and 3 April 1983 concerning Mediterranean specially protected areas (OJ No L 68/36, 10.3.1984).

#### FINLAND

#### Upon signature:

"It is the understanding of the Government of Finland that the exception from the transit passage régime in straits provided for in article 35 (c) of the Convention is applicable to the strait between Finland (the Aland Islands) and Sweden. Since in that strait the passage is regulated in part by a longstanding international convention in force, the present legal régime in that strait will remain unchanged after the entry into force of the Convention.

As regards those parts of the Convention which deal with innocent passage through the territorial sea, it is the intention of the Government of Finland to continue to apply the present régime to the passage of foreign warships and other government-owned vessels used for non-commercial purposes through the Finnish territorial sea, that régime being fully compatible with the Convention."

FRANCE

#### Upon signature:

1. The provisions of the Convention relating to the status of the different maritime spaces and to the legal régime of the uses and protection of the marine environment confirm and consolidate the general rules of the law of the sea and thus entitle the French Republic not to recognize as enforceable against it any foreign laws or regulations that are not in conformity with those general rules.

2. The provisions of the Convention relating to the area of the sea-bed and ocean floor beyond the limits of national jurisdiction show considerable deficiencies and flaws with respect to the exploration and exploitation of the said area which will require rectification through the adoption by the Preparatory Commission of draft rules, regulations and procedures to ensure the establishment and effective functioning of the International Sea-Bed Authority.

To this end, all efforts must be made within the Preparatory Commission to reach general agreement on any matter of substance, in accordance with the procedure set out in rule 37 of the rules of procedure of the Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea.

3. With reference to article 140, the signing of the Convention by France shall not be interpreted as implying any change in its position in respect of resolution 1514 (XV).

4. The provisions of article 230, paragraph 2, of the Convention shall not preclude interim or preventive measures against the parties responsible for the operation of foreign vessels, such as immobilization of the vessel. They shall also not preclude the imposition of penalties other than monetary penalties for any wilful and serious act which causes pollution.

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

#### Upon signature:

[1] "The German Democratic Republic declares that it accepts an arbitral tribunal as provided for in article 287, paragraph 1 (c), which is to be constituted in accordance with Annex VII, as competent for the settlement of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention, which cannot be settled by the States involved by recourse to other peaceful means of dispute settlement agreed between them.

The German Democratic Republic further declares that it accepts a special arbitral tribunal as provided for in article 287, paragraph 1 (d), which is to be constituted in accordance with Annex VIII, as competent for the settlement of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of articles of this Conven-

tion relating to fisheries, the protection and preservation of the marine environment, marine scientific research and navigation, including pollution from ships and through dumping.

The German Democratic Republic recognizes the competence, provided for in article 292 of the Convention, of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea in matters relating to the prompt release of vessels and crews.

The German Democratic Republic declares, in accordance with article 298 of the Convention, that it does not accept any compulsory procedures entailing binding decisions

- in disputes relating to sea boundary delimitations,
- in disputes relating to military activities and
- in disputes concerning which the United Nations Security Council exercises the functions assigned to it by the Charter of the United Nations."

[2] "The German Democratic Republic reserves the right, in connection with the ratification of the Convention on the Law of the Sea, to make declarations and statements pursuant to article 310 of the Convention and to present its views on declarations and statements made by other States when signing, ratifying or acceding to the Convention."

GREECE

Upon signature:

Interpretative declaration on the subject of straits

The present declaration concerns the provisions of Part III "on straits used for international navigation" and more especially the application in practice of articles 36, 38, 41 and 42 of the Convention on the Law of the Sea. In areas where there are numerous spread out islands that form a great number of alternative straits which serve in fact one and the same route of international navigation, it is the understanding of Greece, that the coastal state concerned has the responsibility to designate the route or routes, in the said alternative straits, through which ships and aircrafts of third countries could pass under transit passage régime, in such a way as on the one hand the requirements of international navigation and overflight are satisfied, and on the other hand the minimum security requirements of both the ships and aircrafts in transit as well as those of the coastal state are fulfilled."

GUINEA

Upon signature:

The Government of the Republic of Guinea reserves the right to interpret any article of the Convention in the context and taking due account of the sovereignty of Guinea and of its territorial integrity as it applies to the land, space and sea.

GUINEA BISSAU

...As regards article 287 on the choice of a procedure for the settlement of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of

the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, [the Government of Guinea-Bissau] does not accept the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice and consequently will not accept that jurisdiction with respect to articles 297 and 298.

ICELAND

"...Under article 298 of the Convention the right is reserved [by the Government of Iceland] that any interpretation of article 83 shall be submitted to conciliation under Annex V, Section 2 of the Convention.

IRAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF

Upon signature:

"In accordance with article 310 of the Convention on the Law of the Sea, the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran seizes the opportunity at this solemn moment of signing the Convention, to place on the records its "understanding" in relation to certain provisions of the Convention. The main objective for submitting these declarations is the avoidance of eventual future interpretation of the following articles in a manner incompatible with the original intention and previous positions or in disharmony with national laws and regulations of the Islamic Republic of Iran. It is, ..., the understanding of the Islamic Republic of Iran that:

1) Notwithstanding the intended character of the Convention being one of general application and of law making nature, certain of its provisions are merely product of quid-pro-quo which do not necessarily purport to codify the existing customs or established usage (practice) regarded as having an obligatory character. Therefore, it seems natural and in harmony with article 34 of the 1969 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, that only states parties to the Law of the Sea Convention shall be entitled to benefit from the contractual rights created therein.

The above considerations pertain specifically (but not exclusively) to the following:

- The right of Transit passage through straits used for international navigation (Part III, Section 2, article 38).
- The notion of "Exclusive Economic Zone" (Part V).
- All matters regarding the International Seabed Area and the Concept of "Common Heritage of mankind" (Part XI).

2) In the light of customary international law, the provisions of article 21, read in association with article 19 (on the Meaning of Innocent Passage) and article 25 (on the Rights of Protection of the Coastal States), recognizes (though implicitly) the rights of the Coastal States to take measures to safeguard their security interests including the adoption of laws and regulations regarding, inter alia, the requirements of prior authorization for warships willing to exercise the right of innocent passage through the territorial sea.

- 3) The right referred to in article 125 regarding access to and from the sea and freedom of transit of land-locked States is one which is derived from mutual agreement of States concerned based on the principle of reciprocity.
- 4) The provisions of article 70, regarding "Right of States with Special Geographical Characteristics" are without prejudice to the exclusive right of the Coastal States of enclosed and semi-enclosed maritime regions (such as the Persian Gulf and the Sea of Oman) with large population predominantly dependent upon relatively poor stocks of living resources of the same regions.
- 5) Islets situated in enclosed and semi-enclosed seas which potentially can sustain human habitation or economic life of their own, but due to climatic conditions, resource restriction or other limitations, have not yet been put to development, fall within the provisions of paragraph 2 of article 121 concerning "Regime of Islands", and have, therefore, full effect in boundary delimitation of various maritime zones of the interested Coastal States.

Furthermore, with regard to "Compulsory Procedures Entailing Binding Decisions" the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, while fully endorsing the Concept of settlement of all international disputes by peaceful means, and recognizing the necessity and desirability of settling, in an atmosphere of mutual understanding and cooperation, issues relating to the interpretation and application of the Convention on the Law of the Sea, at this time will not pronounce on the choice of procedures pursuant to articles 287 and 298 and reserves its positions to be declared in due time."

IRAQ<sup>3</sup>Upon signature:

Pursuant to article 310 of the present Convention and with a view to harmonizing Iraqi laws and regulations with the provisions of the Convention, the Republic of Iraq has decided to issue the following statement:

1. The present signature in no way signifies recognition of Israel and implies no relationship with it.

2. Iraq interprets the provisions applying to all types of straits set forth in Part III of the Convention as applying also to navigation between islands situated near those straits if the shipping lanes leaving or entering those straits and defined by the competent international organization lie near such islands.

## ITALY

"Upon signing the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea of 10 December 1982, Italy wishes to state that in its opinion part XI and annexes III and IV contain considerable flaws and deficiencies which require rectification through the adoption by the Preparatory Commission of the International Sea-Bed Authority and the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea of appropriate draft rules, regulations and procedures.

Italy wishes also to confirm the following points made in its written statement dated 7 March 1983:

- according to the Convention, the Coastal State does not enjoy residual rights in the exclusive economic zone. In particular, the rights and jurisdiction of the Coastal State in such zone do not include the right to obtain notification of military exercises or maneuvers or to authorize them. Moreover, the rights of the Coastal State to build and to authorize the construction operation and the use of installations and structures in the exclusive economic zone and on the continental shelf is limited only to the categories of such installations and structures as listed in art. 60 of the Convention.

- None of the provisions of the Convention, which corresponds on this matter to customary International Law, can be regarded as entitling the Coastal State to make innocent passage of particular categories of foreign ships dependent on prior consent or notification."

KUWAIT<sup>3</sup>Understanding:

The ratification by Kuwait of the said Convention does not mean in any way a recognition of Israel nor that treaty relations will arise with Israel.

## LUXEMBOURG

The Government of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg has decided to sign the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea because it represents, in the context of the law of the sea, a major contribution to the codification and progressive development of international law.

Nevertheless, in the view of the Government of Luxembourg, certain provisions of Part XI and Annexes III and IV of the Convention are marred by serious shortcomings and defects which, moreover, explain why it was not possible to reach a consensus on the text at the last session of the Third Conference on the Law of the Sea, held in New York in April 1982.

These shortcomings and defects concern, in particular, the mandatory transfer of technology and the cost and financing of the future Sea-Bed Authority and the first mine site of the Enterprise. They will have to be rectified by the rules, regulations and procedures to be drawn up by the Preparatory Commission. The Government of Luxembourg recognizes that the work remaining to be done is of great importance and hopes that it will be possible to reach agreement on the modalities for operating a sea-bed mining régime that will be generally acceptable and therefore conducive to promoting the activities of the international zone of the sea-bed.

As the representatives of France and the Netherlands pointed out two years ago, my Government wishes to make it abundantly clear that, notwithstanding its decision to sign the Convention today, the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg is not here and now determined to ratify it.

It will take a separate decision on this point, at a later date, which will take account of what



the Preparatory Commission has accomplished to make the international régime of the sea-bed acceptable to all.

My Government also wishes to recall that Luxembourg is a member of the European Economic Community and, by virtue thereof, has transferred to the Community powers in certain areas covered by the Convention. Detailed declarations on the nature and extent of the powers transferred will be made in due course, in accordance with the provisions of Annex IX of the Convention.

Like other members of the Community, the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg also reserves its position on all declarations made at the final session of the Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea, at Montego Bay, that may contain elements of interpretation concerning the provisions of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea.

## MALI

Upon signature:

On signing the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, the Republic of Mali remains convinced of the interdependence of the interests of all peoples and of the need to base international co-operation on, in particular, mutual respect, equality, solidarity at the international, regional and sub-regional levels, and positive good-neighbourliness between States.

It thus reiterates its statement of 30 April 1982, reaffirming that the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, in the negotiation and adoption of which the Government of Mali participated in good faith, constitutes a perfectible international legal instrument.

Nevertheless, Mali's signature of the said Convention is without prejudice to any other instrument concluded or to be concluded by the Republic of Mali with a view to improving its status as a geographically disadvantaged and land-locked State. It is likewise without prejudice to the elements of any position which the Government of Mali may deem it necessary to take with regard to any question of the Law of the Sea pursuant to article 310.

In any case, the present signature has no effect on the course of Mali's foreign policy or on the rights it derives from its sovereignty under its Constitution or the Charter of the United Nations and any other relevant rule of international law.

## NICARAGUA

In accordance with article 310, Nicaragua declares that such adjustments of its domestic law as may be required in order to harmonize it with the Convention will follow from the process of constitutional change initiated by the revolutionary State of Nicaragua, it being understood that the Convention and the Resolutions adopted on 10 December 1982 and the Annexes to the Convention constitute an inseparable whole.

For the purposes of articles 287 and 298 and of other articles concerning the interpretation and application of the Convention, the Government of Nicaragua shall, if and as the occasion demands, exercise the right conferred by the Convention to make further supplementary or clarificatory declarations.

## OMAN

Upon signature:

"It is the understanding of the Government of the Sultanate of Oman that the application of the provisions of articles 19, 25, 34, 38 and 45 of the Convention does not preclude a coastal State from taking such appropriate measures as are necessary to protect its interest of peace and security."

## PHILIPPINES

Understanding made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"1. The signing of the Convention by the Government of the Republic of the Philippines shall not in any manner impair or prejudice the sovereign rights of the Republic of the Philippines under and arising from the Constitution of the Philippines;

2. Such signing shall not in any manner affect the sovereign rights of the Republic of the Philippines as successor of the United States of America, under and arising out of the Treaty of Paris between Spain and the United States of America of December 10, 1898, and the Treaty of Washington between the United States of America and Great Britain of January 2, 1930;

3. Such signing shall not diminish or in any manner affect the rights and obligations of the contracting parties under the Mutual Defense Treaty between the Philippines and the United States of America of August 30, 1951, and its related interpretative instruments; nor those under any other pertinent bilateral or multilateral treaty or agreement to which the Philippines is a party;

4. Such signing shall not in any manner impair or prejudice the sovereignty of the Republic of the Philippines over any territory over which it exercises sovereign authority, such as the Kalayaan Islands, and the waters appurtenant thereto;

5. The Convention shall not be construed as amending in any manner any pertinent laws and Presidential Decrees or Proclamations of the Republic of the Philippines; the Government of the Republic of the Philippines maintains and reserves the right and authority to make any amendments to such laws, decrees or proclamations pursuant to the provisions of the Philippine Constitution;

6. The provisions of the Convention on archipelagic passage through sea lanes do not nullify or impair the sovereignty of the Philippines as an archipelagic state over the sea lanes and do not deprive it of authority to enact legislation to protect its sovereignty, independence, and security;

7. The concept of archipelagic waters is similar to the concept of internal waters under the Constitution of the Philippines, and removes straits connecting these waters with the economic zone or high sea from the rights of foreign vessels to transit passage for international navigation;

8. The agreement of the Republic of the Philippines to the submission for peaceful resolution, under any of the procedures provided in

the Convention, of disputes under Article 298 shall not be considered as a derogation of Philippine sovereignty."

QATAR<sup>3</sup>

The State of Qatar declares that its signature of the Convention on the Law of the Sea shall in no way imply recognition of Israel or any dealing with Israel or, lead to entry with Israel into any of the relations governed by the Convention or entailed by the implementation of the provisions thereof.

## ROMANIA

Upon signature:

"1. As a geographically disadvantaged country bordering a sea poor in living resources, Romania reaffirms the necessity to develop international co-operation for the exploitation of the living resources of the economic zones, on the basis of just and equitable agreements that should ensure the access of the countries from this category to the fishing resources in the economic zones of other regions or subregions.

2. The Socialist Republic of Romania reaffirms the right of coastal States to adopt measures to safeguard their security interests, including the right to adopt national laws and regulations relating to the passage of foreign warships through their territorial sea.

The right to adopt such measures is in full conformity with articles 19 and 25 of the Convention, as it is also specified in the Statement by the President of the United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea in the plenary meeting of the Conference on April 26, 1982.

3. The Socialist Republic of Romania states that according to the requirements of equity - as it results from articles 74 and 83 of the Convention on the Law of the Sea - the uninhabited islands and without economic life can in no way affect the delimitation of the maritime spaces belonging to the main land coasts of the coastal States."

## TUNISIA

Declaration 1:

The Republic of Tunisia, on the basis of resolution 4262 of the council of the League of Arab States, dated 31 March 1983, declares that its accession to the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea does not imply recognition of or dealings with any States which the Republic of Tunisia does not recognize or have dealings with.

Declaration 2:

The Republic of Tunisia, in accordance with the provisions of article 311, and, in particular, paragraph 6 thereof, declares its adherence to the basic principles relating to the common heritage of mankind and that it will not be a party to any agreement in derogation thereof. The Republic of Tunisia calls upon all States to avoid any unilateral measure or legislation of this kind that would lead to disregard of the provisions of the Convention or to the exploitation of the resources of the seabed and ocean

floor and the subsoil thereof outside of the legal régime of the seas and oceans provided for in this convention and in the other legal instruments pertaining thereto, in particular resolution I and resolution II.

Declaration 3:

The Republic of Tunisia, in accordance with the provisions of article 298 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, declares that it does not accept the procedures provided for in Part XV, section 2, of the said Convention with respect to the following categories of disputes:

- (a) (i) disputes concerning the interpretation of application of articles 15, 74 and 83 relating to sea boundary delimitations, or those involving historic bays or titles, provided that a State having made such a declaration shall, when such a dispute arises subsequent to the entry into force of this Convention and where no agreement within a reasonable period of time is reached in negotiations between the parties, at the request of any party to the dispute, accept submission of the matter to conciliation under Annex U, section 2; and provided further that any dispute that necessarily involves the concurrent consideration of any unsettled dispute concerning sovereignty or other rights over continental or insular land territory shall be excluded from such submission;
- (ii) after the conciliation commission has presented its report, which shall state the reasons on which it is based, the parties shall negotiate an agreement on the basis of that report; if these negotiations do not result in an agreement, the parties shall, by mutual consent, submit the question to one of the procedures provided for in section 2, unless the parties otherwise agree;
- (iii) this subparagraph does not apply to any sea boundary dispute finally settled by an arrangement between the parties, or to any such dispute which is to be settled in accordance with a bilateral or multilateral agreement binding upon those parties;
- (b) disputes concerning military activities, including military activities by government vessels and aircraft engaged in non-commercial service, and disputes concerning law enforcement activities in regard to the exercise of sovereign rights or jurisdiction excluded from the jurisdiction of a court or tribunal under article 297, paragraph 2 or 3;
- (c) disputes in respect of which the Security Council of the United Nations is exercising the functions assigned to it by the Charter of the United Nations, unless the Security Council decides to remove the matter from its agenda or calls upon the parties to settle it by the means provided for in this Convention.

Declaration 4:

The Republic of Tunisia, in accordance with the provisions of article 310 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, declares that its legislation currently in force does not conflict with the provisions of this Convention. However, laws and regulations will be adopted as soon as possible in order to ensure closer harmony between the provisions of the Convention and the requirements for completing Tunisian legislation in the maritime sphere

## SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE

Upon signature:

I. The signing of the Convention by the Government of the Democratic Republic of Sao Tome and Principe will in no way affect or prejudice the sovereign rights of the Democratic Republic of Sao Tome and Principe embodied in and flowing from the Constitution of Sao Tome and Principe;

II The Government of the Democratic Republic of Sao Tome and Principe reserves the right to adopt laws and regulations relating to the innocent passage of foreign warships through its territorial sea or its archipelagic waters and to take any other measures aimed at safeguarding its security;

III. The Government of the Democratic Republic of Sao Tome and Principe considers that the provisions of the Convention relating to archipelagic waters, the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone are compatible with the legislation of the Republic of Sao Tome and Principe as regards its sovereignty and its jurisdiction over the maritime space adjacent to its coasts;

IV. The Government of the Democratic Republic of Sao Tome and Principe considers that, in accordance with the provisions of the Convention, where the same stock area adjacent thereto, the States fishing for such stocks in the adjacent area are under an obligation to agree with the coastal State upon the measures necessary for the conservation of the stock or stocks of associated species;

V. The Government of the Democratic Republic of Sao Tome and Principe, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Convention, reserves the right to adopt laws and regulations to ensure the conservation of highly migratory species and to co-operate with the States whose nationals harvest these species in order to promote the optimum utilization thereof.

## SOUTH AFRICA

"Pursuant to the provisions of Article 310 of the Convention the South African Government declares that the signature of this Convention by South Africa in no way implies recognition by South Africa of the United Nations Council for Namibia or its competence to act on behalf of South West Africa/Namibia."

## SPAIN

1. The Spanish Government, upon signing this Convention, declares that this act cannot be interpreted as recognition of any rights or situations relating to the maritime spaces of Gibralt

tar which are not included in article 10 of the Treaty of Utrecht of 13 July 1713 between the Spanish and British Crowns. The Spanish Government also considers that Resolution III of the Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea is not applicable in the case of the Colony of Gibraltar, which is undergoing a decolonization process in which only the relevant resolutions adopted by the United Nations General Assembly apply.

2. It is the Spanish Government's interpretation that the régime established in Part III of the Convention is compatible with the right of the coastal State to issue and apply its own air regulations in the air space of the straits used for international navigation so long as this does not impede the transit passage of aircraft.

3. With regard to article 39, paragraph 3, it takes the word "normally" to mean "except in cases of force majeure or distress".

4. With regard to Article 42, it considers that the provisions of paragraph 1 (b) do not prevent it from issuing, in accordance with international law, laws and regulations giving effect to generally accepted international regulations.

5. The Spanish Government interprets articles 69 and 70 of the Convention as meaning that access to fishing in the economic zones of third States by the fleets of developed land-locked and geographically disadvantaged States is dependent upon the prior granting of access by the coastal States in question to the nationals of other States who have habitually fished in the economic zone concerned.

6. It interprets the provisions of Article 221 as not depriving the coastal State of a strait used for international navigation of its powers, recognized by international law, to intervene in the case of the casualties referred to in that article.

7. It considers that Article 233 must be interpreted, in any case, in conjunction with the provisions of Article 34.

8. It considers that, without prejudice to the provisions of Article 297 regarding the settlement of disputes, Articles 56, 61 and 62 of the Convention preclude considering as discretionary the powers of the coastal State to determine the allowable catch, its harvesting capacity and the allocation of surpluses to other States.

9 Its interpretation of Annex III, Article 9, is that the provisions thereof shall not obstruct participation, in the joint ventures referred to in paragraph 2, of the States Parties whose industrial potential precludes them from participating directly as contractors in the exploitation and resources of the Area.

## SUDAN

Upon signature:

Declarations made in plenary meeting at the Final Part of the Eleventh Session of the Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea, held at Montego Bay, Jamaica, from 6 to 10 December 1982, and reiterated upon signature

[1] In accordance with article 310 of the Convention, the Sudanese Government will make such declarations as it deems necessary in order to

clarify its position regarding the content of certain provisions of this instrument.

[2] [The Sudan] wishes to reiterate [the statement by the President of the Conference] in plenary meeting during the Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea, on 26 April 1982, concerning article 21, which deals with the laws and regulations of the coastal State relating to innocent passage: namely, that the withdrawal of the amendment submitted at the time by a number of States did not prejudice the right of coastal States to take all necessary measures, particularly in order to protect their security, in accordance with article 19 on the meaning of the term "innocent passage" and article 25 on the rights of protection of the coastal State.

[3] The Sudan also wishes to state that, according to its interpretation, the definition of the term "geographically disadvantaged States" given in article 70, paragraph 2, applies to all the parts of the Convention in which this term appears.

[4] The fact that [the Sudan] is signing this Convention and the Final Act of the Conference in no way means that [it] recognizes any State whatsoever which it does not recognize or with which it has no relations.

#### SWEDEN

##### Upon signature:

"It is the understanding of the Government of Sweden that the exception from the transit passage régime in straits provided for in Article 35 (c) of the Convention is applicable to the strait between Sweden and Denmark (Oresund) as well as to the strait between Sweden and Finland (the Aland islands). Since in both those straits the passage is regulated in whole or in part by long-standing international conventions in force, the present legal régime in the two straits will remain unchanged after the entry into force of the Convention.

As regards those parts of the Convention which deal with innocent passage through the territorial sea, it is the intention of the Government of Sweden to continue to apply the present régime for the passage of foreign warships and other government-owned vessels used for non-commercial purposes through the Swedish territorial sea, that régime being fully compatible with the Convention.

It is also the understanding of the Government of Sweden that the Convention does not affect the rights and duties of a neutral State provided for in the Convention concerning the Rights and Duties of Neutral Powers in case of Naval Warfare (XIII Convention), adopted at The Hague on 18 October 1907."

#### UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

##### Upon signature:

1. The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic declares that, in accordance with article 287 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, it chooses as the principal means for the settlement of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention an arbitral tribunal constituted in accordance with Annex VII. For the consideration of questions

relating to fisheries, protection and preservation of the marine environment, marine scientific research and navigation, including pollution from vessels and by dumping, the Ukrainian SSR chooses a special arbitral tribunal constituted in accordance with Annex VIII. The Ukrainian SSR recognizes the competence, as stipulated in article 292, of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea in respect of questions relating to the prompt release of detained vessels or their crews. 2. The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic declares, in accordance with article 298 of the Convention, that it does not accept compulsory procedures, involving binding decisions, for the consideration of disputes relating to sea boundary delimitations, disputes concerning military activities and disputes in respect of which the Security Council of the United Nations is exercising the functions assigned to it by the Charter of the United Nations.

#### UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

##### Upon signature:

1. The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that, under article 287 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, it chooses an arbitral tribunal constituted in accordance with Annex VII as the basic means for the settlement of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention. It opts for a special arbitral tribunal constituted in accordance with Annex VIII for the consideration of matters relating to fisheries, the protection and preservation of the marine environment, marine scientific research, and navigation, including pollution from vessels and dumping. It recognizes the competence of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, as provided for in article 292, in matters relating to the prompt release of detained vessels and crews.

2. The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that, in accordance with article 298 of the Convention, it does not accept the compulsory procedures entailing binding decisions for the consideration of disputes relating to sea boundary delimitations, disputes concerning military activities, or disputes in respect of which the Security Council of the United Nations is exercising the functions assigned to it by the Charter of the United Nations.

#### UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

"The United Republic of Tanzania declares that it chooses the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea for the settlement of disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention."

#### URUGUAY

##### Upon signature:

(A) The provisions of the Convention concerning the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone are compatible with the main purposes and principles underlying Uruguayan legislation in respect of Uruguay's sovereignty and jurisdiction over the sea adjacent to its coast and over its bed and sub-soil up to a limit of 200 miles.

(B) The legal nature of the exclusive economic zone as defined in the Convention and the scope of the rights which the Convention recognizes to the coastal State leave room for no doubt that it is a "sui generis" zone of national jurisdiction different from the territorial sea and that it is not part of the high seas.

(C) Regulation of the uses and activities not provided for expressly in the Convention (residual rights and obligations) relating to the rights of sovereignty and to the jurisdiction of the coastal State in its exclusive economic zone falls within the competence of that State, provided that such regulation does not prevent enjoyment of the freedom of in communication which is recognized to other States.

(D) In the exclusive economic zone, enjoyment of the freedom of international communication in accordance with the way it is defined and in accordance with other relevant provisions of the Convention excludes any non-peaceful use without the consent of the coastal State - for instance, military exercises or other activities which may affect the rights or interests of that State - and it also excludes the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity, political independence, peace or security of the coastal State.

(E) This Convention does not empower any State to build, operate or utilize installations or structures in the exclusive economic zone of another State, neither those referred to in the Convention nor any other kind, without the consent of the coastal State.

(F) In accordance with all the relevant provisions of the Convention, where the same stock or stocks of associated species occur both within the exclusive economic zone and in an area beyond and adjacent to the zone, the States fishing for such stocks in the adjacent area are duty bound to agree with the coastal State upon the measures necessary for the conservation of these stocks or associated species.

(G) When the Convention enters into force, Uruguay will apply, with respect to other States Parties, the provisions established by the Convention and by Uruguayan legislation, on the basis of reciprocity.

(H) Pursuant to the provisions of article 287, Uruguay declares that it chooses the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea for the settlement of such disputes relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention as are not subject to other procedures, without prejudice to its recognition of the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice and of such agreements with other States as may provide for other means for peaceful settlement.

(I) Pursuant to the provisions of article 298, Uruguay declares that it will not accept the procedures provided for in Part XV, section 2 of the Convention, in respect of disputes concerning law enforcement activities in regard to the exercise of sovereign rights or jurisdiction excluded from the jurisdiction of a court or tribunal under article 297, paragraphs 2 and 3.

(J) Reaffirms that, as stated in article 76, the continental shelf is the natural prolongation of the territory of the coastal State to the outer edge of the continental margin.

YEMEN<sup>3</sup>Upon signature:

1. The Yemen Arab Republic adheres to the rules of general international law concerning rights to national sovereignty over coastal territorial waters, even in the case of the waters of a strait linking two seas.

2. The Yemen Arab Republic adheres to the concept of general international law concerning free passage as applying exclusively to merchant ships and aircraft; nuclear-powered craft, as well as warships and warplanes in general, must obtain the prior agreement of the Yemen Arab Republic before passing through its territorial waters, in accordance with the established norm of general international law relating to national sovereignty.

3. The Yemen Arab Republic confirms its national sovereignty over all the islands in the Red Sea and the Indian Ocean which have been its dependencies since the period when the Yemen and the Arab countries were a Turkish administration.

4. The Yemen Arab Republic declares that its signature of the Convention on the Law of the Sea is subject to the provisions of this declaration and the completion of the constitutional procedures in effect.

The fact that we have signed the said Convention in no way implies that we recognize Israel or are entering into relations with it.

## YUGOSLAVIA

Upon ratification

"1. Proceeding from the right that State Parties have on the basis of article 310 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, the Government of the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia considers that a coastal State may, by its laws and regulations, subject the passage of foreign warships to the requirement of previous notification to the respective coastal State and limit the number of ships simultaneously passing, on the basis of the international customary law and in compliance with the right of innocent passage (articles 17-32 of the Convention).

2. The Government of the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia also considers that it may, on the basis of article 38, para. 1, and article 45, para. 1 (a) of the Convention, determine by its laws and regulations which of the straits used for international navigation in the territorial sea of the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia will retain the regime of innocent passage, as appropriate.

3. Due to the fact that the provisions of the Convention relating to the contiguous zone (article 33) do not provide rules on the delimitation of the contiguous zone between States with opposite or adjacent coasts, the Government of the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia considers that the principles of the customary international law, codified in article 24, para. 3, of the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone, signed in Geneva on 29 April 1958, will apply to the delimitation of the contiguous zone between the Parties to the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea."

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated, the objections were received upon ratification, formal confirmation or accession.)

## BULGARIA

17 September 1985

"The People's Republic of Bulgaria is seriously concerned by the actions of a number of States which, upon signature or ratification of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, have made reservations conflicting with the Convention itself or have enacted national legislation which excludes or modifies the legal effect of the provisions of this Convention in their application to those States. Such actions contravene article 310 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea and are at variance with the norms of customary international law and with the explicit provision of article 18 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties.

Such a tendency undermines the purport and meaning of the Convention on the Law of the Sea, which establishes a universal and uniform regime for the use of the oceans and seas and their resources. In the note verbale of the Ministry for Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of Bulgaria to the Embassy of the Philippines in Belgrade, [...] the Bulgarian Government has rejected as devoid of legal force the statement made by the Philippines upon signature, and confirmed upon ratification, of the Convention.

The People's Republic of Bulgaria will oppose in the future as well any attempts aimed at unilaterally modifying the legal regime, established by the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea."

## BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

24 June 1985

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic considers that the statement which was made by the Government of the Philippines upon signing the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea and confirmed subsequently upon ratification of that Convention in essence contains reservations and exceptions to the said Convention, contrary to the provisions of article 309 thereof. The statement by the Government of the Philippines is also inconsistent with article 310 of the Convention, under which any declarations or statements made by a State when signing, ratifying or acceding to the Convention are admissible only "provided that such declarations or statements do not purport to exclude or to modify the legal effect of the provisions of this Convention in their application to that State".

The Government of the Philippines in its statement repeatedly emphasizes its intention to continue to be governed in ocean affairs not by the Convention or by obligations thereunder, but by its national laws and previously concluded agreements, which are not in conformity with the provisions of the Convention. The Philippine side therefore declines to harmonize its national legislation with the provisions of the Convention and fails to perform one of its most fundamental obligations thereunder - to comply with the régime of archipelagic waters, which provides for

the right of archipelagic passage of foreign ships and aircraft through or over such waters.

For the above reasons, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic cannot recognize the validity of the statement by the Government of the Philippines and regards it as having no legal force in the light of the provisions of the Convention.

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic believes that if the similar statements which were likewise made by certain other States when signing the Convention and which are inconsistent with the provisions thereof also occur at the stage of ratification or accession, the result could be to undermine the object and importance of the Convention and to prejudice that major instrument of international law.

In view of the foregoing, the Permanent Mission of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic to the United Nations believes that it would be appropriate for the Secretary-General of the United Nations, in accordance with article 319, paragraph 2 (a), of the Convention, to carry out a study of a general nature relating to the universal application of the provisions of the Convention and, inter alia, to the issue of harmonizing the national laws of States parties with the Convention. The findings of such a study should be incorporated in the report of the Secretary-General to the General Assembly at its fortieth session under the agenda item entitled "Law of the sea".

## CZECHOSLOVAKIA

29 May 1985

"The Permanent Representative of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic to the United Nations presents his compliments to the Secretary-General of the United Nations and wishes to draw the Secretary-General's attention to the concern of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic about the fact that certain States made upon signature of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea declarations which are incompatible with the Convention and which, if reaffirmed upon ratification of the Convention by those States, would constitute a violation of the obligations to be assumed by them under the Convention. Such approach would lead to a breach of the universality of the obligations embodied in the Convention, to the disruption of the legal regime established thereunder and, in the long run, even to the undermining of the Convention as such.

A concrete example of such declaration as referred to above is the understanding made upon signature and reaffirmed upon ratification of the Convention by the Philippines which was communicated to Member States by notification [...] dated 22 May 1984.

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic considers that this understanding of the Philippines - is inconsistent with Article 309 of the Convention on the Law of the Sea because it contains, in essence, reservations to the provisions of the Convention;

- contravenes Article 310 of the Convention which stipulates that declarations can be made by States upon signature or ratification of or accession to the Convention only provided that they "do not purport to exclude or to modify the legal effect of the provisions of this Convention",

- indicates that in spite of having ratified the Convention, the Philippines intends to follow its national laws and previous agreements rather than the obligations under the Convention, not only taking no account of whether those laws and agreements are in harmony with the Convention but even, as proved in paragraphs 6 and 7 of the Philippine understanding, deliberately contravening the obligations set forth therein.

Given the above-mentioned circumstances, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic cannot recognize the above-mentioned understanding of the Philippines as having any legal effect.

In view of the significance of the matter, the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic considers it necessary that the problem of such declarations made upon signature or ratification of the Convention which endanger the universality of the Convention and the unified mode of its implementation be dealt with by the Secretary-General in his capacity as depositary of the Convention and that the Member States of the United Nations be informed thereof "

## ETHIOPIA

8 November 1984

"Paragraph 3 of the declaration relates to claims of sovereignty over unspecified islands in the Red Sea and the Indian Ocean which clearly is outside the purview of the Convention. Although the declaration, not constituting a reservation as it is prohibited by article 309 of the Convention, is made under article 310 of same and as such is not governed by articles 19-23 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties providing for acceptance of and objections to reservations, nevertheless, the Provisional Military Government of Socialist Ethiopia, wishes to place on record that paragraph 3 of the declaration by the Yemen Arab Republic cannot in any way affect Ethiopia's sovereignty over all the islands in the Red Sea forming part of its national territory."

## ISRAEL

11 December 1984

"The concerns of the Government of Israel, with regard to the law of the sea, relate principally to ensuring maximum freedom of navigation and overflight everywhere and particularly through straits used for international navigation.

In this regard, the Government of Israel states that the regime of navigation and overflight, confirmed by the 1979 Treaty of Peace between Israel and Egypt, in which the Strait of Tiran and the Gulf of Aqaba are considered by the Parties to be international waterways open to all nations for unimpeded and non-suspendable freedom of navigation and overflight, is applicable to the said areas. Moreover, being fully compatible with the United Nations Convention on the Law of

the Sea, the regime of the Peace Treaty will continue to prevail and to be applicable to the said areas.

It is the understanding of the Government of Israel that the declaration of the Arab Republic of Egypt in this regard, upon its ratification of the Convention [...], is consonant with the above declaration [made by Egypt]."

## UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

8 July 1985

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic believes that the statement which was made by the Government of the Republic of the Philippines when signing the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea and subsequently confirmed upon ratification thereof contains elements which are inconsistent with articles 309 and 310 of the Convention. In accordance with those articles, statements which a State may make upon signature, ratification or accession should not purport "to exclude or to modify the legal effect of the provisions of this Convention in their application to that State" (art. 310). Such exceptions or reservations are legitimate only when they are "expressly permitted by other articles of this Convention" (art. 309). Article 310 also emphasizes that statements may be made by a State "with a view, inter alia, to the harmonization of its laws and regulations with the provisions of this Convention".

However, the statement by the Government of the Republic of the Philippines not only provides no evidence of the intention to harmonize the laws of that State with the Convention, but on the contrary has the purpose, as implied particularly in paragraphs 2, 3 and 5 of the statement, of granting precedence over the Convention to domestic legislation and international agreements to which the Republic of the Philippines is a party. For example, this applies, inter alia, to the Mutual Defense Treaty between the Philippines and the United States of America of 30 August 1951.

Furthermore, paragraph 5 of the statement not only grants priority over the Convention to the pertinent laws of the Republic of the Philippines which are currently in force, but also reserves the right to amend such laws in future pursuant only to the Constitution of the Philippines, and consequently without harmonizing them with the provisions of the Convention.

Paragraph 7 of the statement draws an analogy between internal waters of the Republic of the Philippines and archipelagic waters and contains a reservation, which is inadmissible in the light of article 309 of the Convention, depriving foreign vessels of the right of transit passage for international navigation through the straits connecting the archipelagic waters with the economic zone or high sea. This reservation is evidence of the intention not to carry out the obligation under the Convention of parties thereto to comply with the régime of archipelagic waters and transit passage and to respect the rights of other States with regard to international navigation and overflight by aircraft. Failure to comply with this obligation would seriously undermine the effectiveness and signi-

fificance of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea.

It follows from the above that the statement by the Government of the Republic of the Philippines has the purpose of establishing unjustified exceptions for that State and in fact of modifying the legal effect of important provisions of the Convention as applied thereto. In view of this, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic cannot regard the above-mentioned statement as having legal force. Such statements can only be described as harmful to the unified international legal régime for seas and oceans which is being established under the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea.

In the opinion of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, the harmonization of national laws with the Convention would be facilitated by an examination within the framework of the United Nations Secretariat of the uniform and universal application of the Convention and the preparation of an appropriate study by the Secretary-General.

#### UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

25 February 1985

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics considers that the statement made by the Philippines upon signature, and then confirmed upon ratification, of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea in essence contains reservations and exceptions to the Convention, which is prohibited under article 309 of the Convention. At the same time, the statement of the Philippines is incompatible with article 310 of the Convention, under which a State, when signing or ratifying the Convention, may make declarations or statements only "provided that such declarations or statements do not purport to exclude or to modify the legal effect of the provisions of this Convention in their application to that State".

The discrepancy between the Philippine statement and the Convention can be seen, *inter alia*, from the affirmation by the Philippines that "The concept of archipelagic waters is similar to the concept of internal waters under the Constitution of the Philippines, and removes straits connecting these waters with the economic zone or high sea from the rights of foreign vessels to transit passage for international navigation". Moreover, the statement emphasizes more than once that,

despite its ratification of the Convention, the Philippines will continue to be guided in matters relating to the sea, not by the Convention and the obligations under it, but by its domestic law and by agreements it has already concluded which are not in line with the Convention. Thus, the Philippines not only is evading the harmonization of its legislation with the Convention but also is refusing to fulfil one of its most fundamental obligations under the Convention - namely, to respect the régime of archipelagic waters, which provides that foreign ships enjoy the right of archipelagic passage through, and foreign aircraft the right of overflight over, such waters.

In view of the foregoing, the USSR cannot recognize as lawful the statement of the Philippines and considers it to be without legal effect in the light of the provisions of the Convention.

Furthermore, the Soviet Union is gravely concerned by the fact that, upon signing the Convention, a number of other States have also made statements of a similar type conflicting with the Convention. If such statements are also made later on, at the ratification stage or upon accession to the Convention, the purport and meaning of the Convention, which establishes a universal and uniform régime for the use of the oceans and seas and their resources, could be undermined and this important instrument of international law impaired.

Taking into account the statement of the Philippines and the statements made by a number of other countries upon signing the Convention, together with the statements that might possibly be made subsequently upon ratification of and accession to the Convention, the Permanent Mission of the USSR considers that it would be appropriate for the Secretary-General of the United Nations to conduct, in accordance with article 319, paragraph 2 (a), a study of a general nature on the problem of ensuring universal application of the provisions of the Convention, including the question of the harmonization of the national legislation of States with the Convention. The results of such a study should be included in the report of the Secretary-General to the United Nations General Assembly at its fortieth session under the agenda item entitled "Law of the sea".

#### NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-eighth Session, Supplement No. 30 (A/9030), vol 1, p. 13 and 14.

2/ "The Final Act was signed, in each instance, on 10 December 1982:

In the name of the following States:

Algeria, Angola, Australia, Austria, Bahamas, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Belize, Benin, Bhutan, Botswana, Brazil, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Canada, Cape Verde, Chad, Chile, China, Colombia, Congo, Costa Rica, Cuba,

Cyprus, Czechoslovakia, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Djibouti, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, Equatorial Guinea, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, Gambia, German Democratic Republic, Germany (Federal Republic of), Ghana, Greece, Grenada, Guinea-Bissau, Guyana, Haiti, Holy See, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Ivory Coast, Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Maldives, Malta, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mexico, Monaco, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nauru, Nepal,



Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Panama, Papua New Guinea, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Republic of Korea, Romania, Rwanda, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Samoa, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Suriname, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Tuvalu, Uganda, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom, United Republic of Tanzania, United States of America, Uruguay, Vanuatu, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia, Zimbabwe;

In the name of Namibia, represented by the United Nations Council for Namibia as stipulated in article 305, paragraphe 1 b), of the Convention;

In the name of the following self-governing associated States referred to in article 305, paragraphe 1 c), of the Convention:

Cook Islands;

In the name of the following international organizations referred to in article 305, paragraph 1 f), and in article 1 of Annex IX of the Convention:

European Economic Community;

In the name of the following Observers invited to participate in the Conference as stipulated in United Nations General Assembly Resolution 3334(XXIX):

Netherlands Antilles

Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands

(Federated States of Micronesia, Republic of the Marshall Islands);

In the name of the following National Liberation Movements invited in accordance with rule 62 of the rules of procedure, as decided in resolution IV of the Conference:

African National Congress

Palestine Liberation Organization

Pan Africanist Congress

South West Africa People's Organization.

The following declarations were made in connexion with the Final Act:

Algeria

[See declaration under the Convention]

Ecuador

On 30 April 1982, in New York, the Convention on the Law of the Sea was adopted by a vote. On that occasion the delegation of Ecuador made an official declaration saying that it had decided not to participate in the vote and stating, for the record, the reasons behind that decision. [The delegation also wishes] to recall the official declarations made by the delegation of Ecuador, particularly at the tenth and eleventh sessions of the Conference, clearly setting for the position of Ecuador.

On this occasion, [the delegation of Ecuador] must state for the record that, notwithstanding the significant progress made in the negotiations carried out during the Third

United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea and notwithstanding the establishment in the Convention of fundamental principles and rights of developing coastal States, and of the international community in general, the Convention which is today being opened for signature by States does not fully meet Ecuador's rights and interests. Ecuador has always exercised - and will continue to exercise - such rights in accordance with its national legislation. That legislation was drawn up without violating any principle or norm of international law long before any of the three conferences held under the auspices of the United Nations was convened.

Recognition of the exclusive rights of sovereignty and jurisdiction over all the living and non-living resources contained in the adjacent seas up to a distance of 200 miles and their respective beds, constitutes a victory for the coastal States, one that began with the visionary Declaration of Santiago of 1952. The territorialist group, which is coordinated on a permanent basis by the delegation of Ecuador, has played an important role in this achievement.

[Ecuador] has participated actively in the negotiations of the Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea, spanning an eight-year period, and in the preparatory meetings and, given the importance of the issue - because of Ecuador's long continental and island shorelines and its rich sea-beds - Ecuador will remain attached to that evolving law of the sea in the interest of better defence and promotion of national rights. In affirmation of this it is signing the Final Act of the Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea.

On the occasion of the signing of the Final Act and notwithstanding the progress made in the law of the sea [the Delegation of Ecuador] wishes to reiterate its position in defence of its territorial sea of 200 miles.

Israel

"This signature of this Final Act in no way implies recognition in any manner whatsoever of the group calling itself the Palestine Liberation Organization or of any rights whatsoever conferred upon it within the framework of any of the documents attached to this Final Act, and is subject to the statements of the Delegation of Israel at the 163rd, 182nd, 184th and 190th meetings of the Conference and document A/CONF.62/WS/33."

Sudan

[See declaration No. [4] under the Convention.]

Venezuela

Venezuela is signing the Final Act on the understanding that it is merely noting the work of the Conference without making any value judgement about its results. Its signing does not signify, nor can it be construed as signifying, any change in its position with regard to articles 15, 74, 83 and 121, paragraph 3, of the Convention. For the reasons stated by the delegation of Venezuela at the plenary meeting on 30 April 1982, those provisions are unac-

ceptable to Venezuela, which is therefore not bound by them and is not prepared to agree to be bound by them in any way.

3/ In a communication received on 23 May 1983, the Government of Israel stated the following:

"The Government of the State of Israel has noted that declarations made by Iraq and Yemen upon signing the Convention contain explicit statements of a political character in respect of Israel.

In the view of the Government of the State of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements.

Furthermore, the Government of the State of Israel objects to all reservations, declarations and statements of a political nature in respect of States, made in connection with the

signing of the Final Act of the Convention, which are incompatible with the purposes and objects of this Convention.

Such reservations, declarations and statements cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon the above mentioned States under general international law or under particular conventions.

The Government of the State of Israel will, insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Governments of the States in question, an attitude of complete reciprocity."

Subsequently, similar communications were received by the Secretary-General from the Government of Israel, with respect to the following:

- On 10 April 1985 re: declaration by Qatar;
- On 15 August 1986 re: understanding by Kuwait.



CHAPTER XXII. COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

1. CONVENTION ON THE RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT OF FOREIGN ARBITRAL AWARDS

Done at New York on 10 June 1958

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 June 1959, in accordance with article XII.  
 REGISTRATION: 7 June 1959, No. 4739.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 330, p. 3.

Note: The Convention was prepared and opened for signature on 10 June 1958 by the United Nations Conference on International Commercial Arbitration, convened in accordance with resolution 604 (XXI)<sup>1</sup> of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations adopted on 3 May 1956. The Conference met at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York from 20 May to 10 June 1958. For the text of the Final Act of this Conference, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 330, p. 3.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a) succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a) succession (d)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	26 Aug 1958		Kuwait . . . . .		28 Apr 1978 <u>a</u>
Australia . . . . .		26 Mar 1975 <u>a</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .	11 Nov 1958	9 Sep 1983
Austria . . . . .		2 May 1961 <u>a</u>	Madagascar . . . . .		16 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .	10 Jun 1958	18 Aug 1975	Malaysia . . . . .		5 Nov 1985 <u>a</u>
Benin . . . . .		16 May 1974 <u>a</u>	Mexico . . . . .		14 Apr 1971 <u>a</u>
Botswana . . . . .		20 Dec 1971 <u>a</u>	Monaco . . . . .	31 Dec 1958	2 Jun 1982
Bulgaria . . . . .	17 Dec 1958	10 Oct 1961	Morocco . . . . .		12 Feb 1959 <u>a</u>
Byelorussian SSR	29 Dec 1958	15 Nov 1960	Netherlands . . . . .	10 Jun 1958	24 Apr 1964
Canada . . . . .		12 May 1986 <u>a</u>	New Zealand . . . . .		6 Jan 1983 <u>a</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .		15 Oct 1962 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .		14 Oct 1964 <u>a</u>
Chile . . . . .		4 Sep 1975 <u>a</u>	Nigeria . . . . .		17 Mar 1970 <u>a</u>
Colombia . . . . .		25 Sep 1979 <u>a</u>	Norway . . . . .		14 Mar 1961 <u>a</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .	10 Jun 1958		Pakistan . . . . .	30 Dec 1958	
Cuba . . . . .		30 Dec 1974 <u>a</u>	Panama . . . . .		10 Oct 1984 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		29 Dec 1980 <u>a</u>	Philippines . . . . .	10 Jun 1958	6 Jul 1967
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	3 Oct 1958	10 Jul 1959	Poland . . . . .	10 Jun 1958	3 Oct 1961
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .		5 Jan 1960 <u>a</u>	Republic of Korea		8 Feb 1973 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .		22 Dec 1972 <u>a</u>	Romania . . . . .		13 Sep 1961 <u>a</u>
Djibouti . . . . .		14 Jun 1983 <u>d</u>	San Marino . . . . .		17 May 1979 <u>a</u>
Ecuador . . . . .	17 Dec 1958	3 Jan 1962	Singapore . . . . .		21 Aug 1986 <u>a</u>
Egypt . . . . .		9 Mar 1959 <u>a</u>	South Africa . . . . .		3 May 1976 <u>a</u>
El Salvador . . . . .	10 Jun 1958		Spain . . . . .		12 May 1977 <u>a</u>
Finland . . . . .	29 Dec 1958	19 Jan 1962	Sri Lanka . . . . .	30 Dec 1958	9 Apr 1962
France . . . . .	25 Nov 1958	26 Jun 1959	Sweden . . . . .	23 Dec 1958	28 Jan 1972
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		20 Feb 1975 <u>a</u>	Switzerland . . . . .	29 Dec 1958	1 Jun 1965
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	10 Jun 1958	30 Jun 1961	Syrian Arab Republic <sup>3</sup> . . . . .		9 Mar 1959 <u>a</u>
Ghana . . . . .		9 Apr 1968 <u>a</u>	Thailand . . . . .		21 Dec 1959 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .		16 Jul 1962 <u>a</u>	Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .		14 Feb 1966 <u>a</u>
Guatemala . . . . .		21 Mar 1984 <u>a</u>	Tunisia . . . . .		17 Jul 1967 <u>a</u>
Haiti . . . . .		5 Dec 1983 <u>a</u>	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	29 Dec 1958	10 Oct 1960
Holy See . . . . .		14 May 1975 <u>a</u>	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	29 Dec 1958	24 Aug 1960
Hungary . . . . .		5 Mar 1962 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .		24 Sep 1975 <u>a</u>
India . . . . .	10 Jun 1958	13 Jul 1960	United Republic of Tanzania . . . . .		13 Oct 1964 <u>a</u>
Indonesia . . . . .		7 Oct 1981 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .		30 Sep 1970 <u>a</u>
Ireland . . . . .		12 May 1981 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .		30 Mar 1983 <u>a</u>
Israel . . . . .	10 Jun 1958	5 Jan 1959	Yugoslavia . . . . .		26 Feb 1982 <u>a</u>
Italy . . . . .		31 Jan 1969 <u>a</u>			
Japan . . . . .		20 Jun 1961 <u>a</u>			
Jordan . . . . .	10 Jun 1958	15 Nov 1979			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession. For territorial applications, see hereinafter.)

ARGENTINA

Upon signature:

Subject to the declaration contained in the Final Act.<sup>4</sup>

AUSTRIA

The Republic of Austria will apply the Convention, in accordance with the first sentence of article I (3) thereof, only to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made in the territory of another Contracting State.

BELGIUM

In accordance with article I, paragraph 3, the Government of the Kingdom of Belgium declares that it will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made only in the territory of a Contracting State.

BOTSWANA

"The Republic of Botswana will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationship, whether contractual or not, which are considered commercial under Botswana law.

"The Republic of Botswana will apply the Convention to the Recognition and Enforcement of Awards made in the territory of another Contracting State."

BULGARIA

"Bulgaria will apply the Convention to recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another contracting State. With regard to awards made in the territory of non-contracting States it will apply the Convention only to the extent to which these States grant reciprocal treatment."

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic will apply the provisions of this Convention in respect to arbitral awards made in the territories of non-contracting States only to the extent to which they grant reciprocal treatment.

CANADA

"The Government of Canada declares, with respect to the Province of Alberta, that it will apply the Convention only to the recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another Contracting State.

The Government of Canada declares that it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under the national law of Canada."

CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE

Referring to the possibility offered by paragraph 3 of article I of the Convention, the Central African Republic declares that it will apply the Convention on the basis of reciprocity, to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another contracting State; it further declares that it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under its national law.

CUBA

"Cuba will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made in the territory of another Contracting State. With respect to arbitral awards made by other non-contracting States it will apply the Convention only in so far as those States grant reciprocal treatment as established by mutual agreement between the parties. Moreover, it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under Cuban legislation.

CYPRUS

"The Republic of Cyprus will apply the Convention, on the basis of reciprocity, to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State; furthermore it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under its national law."

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

"Czechoslovakia will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another Contracting State. With regard to awards made in the territory of non-contracting States it will apply the Convention only to the extent to which these States grant reciprocal treatment."

DENMARK

In accordance with the terms of article I, paragraph 3, [the Convention] shall have effect only as regards the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made by another Contracting State and [it] shall be valid only with respect to commercial relationships.

ECUADOR

Ecuador, on a basis of reciprocity, will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement

of arbitral awards made in the territory of another contracting State only if such awards have been made with respect to differences arising out of legal relationships which are regarded as commercial under Ecuadorian law.

## FRANCE

Referring to the possibility offered by paragraph 3 of article I of the Convention, France declares that it will apply the Convention on the basis of reciprocity, to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another contracting State; it further declares that it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under its national law.

## GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

In respect of article I:

The German Democratic Republic will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made in the territory of another Contracting State. To arbitral awards made in the territories of non-contracting States, the Convention will be applied only to such extent as those States grant reciprocity. Furthermore, the German Democratic Republic will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of contractual or non-contractual legal relationships which are considered as commercial under the national law of the German Democratic Republic.

In respect of articles VIII and IX:

The German Democratic Republic considers that the provisions of articles VIII and IX of the Convention are inconsistent with the principle that all States pursuing their policies in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations shall have the right to become parties to conventions affecting the interests of all States.

In respect of article X:

The position of the German Democratic Republic on article X of the Convention, as far as the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories is concerned, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Res. 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations.

## GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

"With respect to paragraph 1 of article I, and in accordance with paragraph 3 of article I of the Convention, the Federal Republic of Germany will apply the Convention only to the recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another Contracting State."

GREECE<sup>5</sup>

18 April 1980

The present Convention is approved on condition of the two limitations set forth in article I(3) of the Convention.

## GUATEMALA

On the basis of reciprocity, the Republic of Guatemala will apply the above Convention to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made only in the territory of another contracting State; and will apply it only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under its national law.

## HOLY SEE

The State of Vatican City will apply the said Convention on the basis of reciprocity, on the one hand, to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State, and on the other hand, only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under Vatican law.

## HUNGARY

"... The Hungarian People's Republic shall apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of such awards only as have been made in the territory of one of the other Contracting States and are dealing with differences arising in respect of a legal relationship considered by the Hungarian law as a commercial relationship."

## INDIA

In accordance with Article I of the Convention, the Government of India declare that they will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of a State, party to this Convention. They further declare that they will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under the law of India."

## INDONESIA

"Pursuant to the provision of article I (3) of the Convention, the Government of the Republic of Indonesia declares that it will apply the Convention on the basis of reciprocity, to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State, and that it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under the Indonesian Law".

## IRELAND

"In accordance with article I (3) of the said Convention the Government of Ireland declares that it will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State".

## JAPAN

"... It will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State."

JORDAN<sup>6</sup>

The Government of Jordan shall not be bound by any awards which are made by Israel or to which an Israeli is a party.

## KUWAIT

The State of Kuwait will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State.

It is understood that the accession of the State of Kuwait to the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, done at New York, on the 10th of June 1958, does not mean in any way recognition of Israel or entering with it into relations governed by the Convention thereto acceded by the State of Kuwait.

## LUXEMBOURG

Declaration

The Convention is applied on the basis of reciprocity to the recognition and enforcement of only those arbitral awards made in the territory of another Contracting State.

## MADAGASCAR

The Malagasy Republic declares that it will apply the Convention on the basis of reciprocity, to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another contracting State; it further declares that it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under its national law.

## MALYASIA

Declaration:

The Government of Malaysia will apply the Convention on the basis of reciprocity, to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State. Malaysia further declares that it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under Malaysian law.

## MONACO

Referring to the possibility offered by article I(3) of the Convention, the Principality of Monaco will apply the Convention, on the basis of reciprocity, to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another contracting State; furthermore, it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationship, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under its national law.

## MOROCCO

The Government of His Majesty the King of Morocco will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State.

## NETHERLANDS

Referring to paragraph 3 of article I of the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, the Government of the Kingdom declares that it will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State.

## NEW ZEALAND

Declarations:

"In accordance with paragraph 3 of article 1 of the Convention, the Government of New Zealand declares that it will apply the Convention, on the basis of reciprocity, to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State."

"Accession to the Convention by the Government of New Zealand shall not extend for the time being, pursuant to article X of the Convention, to the Cook Islands and Niue."

## NIGERIA

"In accordance with paragraph 3 of article I of the Convention, the Federal Military Government of the Federal Republic of Nigeria declares that it will apply the Convention on the basis of reciprocity to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of a State party to this Convention and to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under the laws of the Federal Republic of Nigeria."

## NORWAY

"1. [The Government of Norway] will apply the Convention only to the recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of one of the Contracting States."

"2. [The Government of Norway] will apply the Convention to differences where the subject matter of the proceedings is immovable property situated in Norway, or a right in or to such property."

## PHILIPPINES

Upon signature:Reservation

"The Philippine delegation signs ad referendum this Convention with the reservation that it does so on the basis of reciprocity."

Declaration

The Philippines will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another contracting State pursuant to Article I, paragraph 3 of the Convention."

Declaration made on ratification:

"The Philippines, on the basis of reciprocity, will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State and only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under the national law of the State making such declaration."

## POLAND

"With reservations as mentioned in article I, para. 3."

## REPUBLIC OF KOREA

"By virtue of paragraph 3 of article I of the present Convention, the Government of the Republic of Korea declares that it will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State. It further declares that it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under its national law.

## ROMANIA

The Romanian People's Republic will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under its legislation.

The Romanian People's Republic will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another Contracting State. As regards awards made in the territory of certain non-contracting States, the Romanian People's Republic will apply the Convention only on the basis of reciprocity established by joint agreement between the parties.

## SINGAPORE

"The Republic of Singapore will on the basis of reciprocity apply the said Convention to the recognition and enforcement of only those awards which are made in the territory of another Contracting State."

## SWITZERLAND

Referring to the possibility offered by paragraph 3 of article I, Switzerland will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State.

## TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

"In accordance with article I of the Convention, the Government of Trinidad and Tobago declares that it will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made only in the territory of another Contracting State. The Government of Trinidad and Tobago further declares that it will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under the Law of Trinidad and Tobago."

## TUNISIA

With the reservations provided for in article I, paragraph 3, of the Convention, that is to say, the Tunisian State will apply the Convention to the recognition and enforcement of awards made

only in the territory of another Contracting State and only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under the Tunisian law.

## UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic will apply the provisions of this Convention in respect to arbitral awards made in the territories of non-contracting States only to the extent to which they grant reciprocal treatment.

## UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics will apply the provisions of this Convention in respect to arbitral awards made in the territories of non-contracting States only to the extent to which they grant reciprocal treatment.

UNITED KINGDOM<sup>5</sup>

5 May 1980

"The United Kingdom will apply the Convention only to the recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another Contracting State. This declaration is also made on behalf of Gibraltar, Hong Kong and the Isle of Man to which the Convention has been extended."

19 April 1985

"In accordance with article X(2) of the Convention ... the Government of the United Kingdom [has decided to] extend the said Convention to Guernsey. The Convention will be applied in respect of Guernsey, in accordance with article I paragraph 3 thereof, only to the recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another Contracting State."

## UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

"The Government of the United Republic of Tanganyika and Zanzibar will apply the Convention, in accordance with the first sentence of article I (3) thereof, only to the recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another Contracting State."

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"The United States of America will apply the Convention, on the basis of reciprocity, to the recognition and enforcement of only those awards made in the territory of another Contracting State.

"The United States of America will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, which are considered as commercial under the national law of the United States."

YUGOSLAVIA<sup>6</sup>Reservation

"1. The Convention is applied in regard to the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia only to those arbitral awards which were adopted after the coming of the Convention into effect.



XXII.1: Foreign arbitral awards

2. The Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia will apply the Convention on a reciprocal basis only to those arbitral awards which were adopted on the territory of the other State Party to the Convention.

3. The Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia will apply the Convention [only] with respect to the disputes arising from the legal relations, contractual and non-contractual, which, according to its national legislation are considered as economic."

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
Australia . . . . .	26 Mar 1975	All the external territories for the international relations of which Australia is responsible other than Papua New Guinea
Denmark . . . . .	10 Feb 1976	Faeroe Islands, Greenland <sup>9</sup>
France . . . . .	26 Jun 1959	All the territories of the French Republic
Netherlands . . . . .	24 Apr 1964	Netherlands Antilles, Surinam
United Kingdom . . . . .	24 Sep 1975	Gibraltar <sup>7</sup>
	21 Jan 1977	Hong Kong <sup>7</sup>
	22 Feb 1979	Isle of Man <sup>7</sup>
	14 Nov 1979	Bermuda
	26 Nov 1980	Belize, Cayman Islands
	19 Apr 1985	Guernsey
United States of America	3 Nov 1970	All the territories for the international relations of which the United States of America is responsible

Declarations and Reservations made on notification of Territorial Application

UNITED KINGDOM

Belize, Bermuda, Cayman Islands, Guernsey

[The Convention will apply] . . . "in accordance with article I, paragraph 3 thereof, only to the recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another Contracting State."

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Twenty-first Session, Supplement No. 1 (E/2889), p. 5.

2/ With a declaration that the Convention will also apply to Land Berlin as from the day on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been received from the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, the Federal Republic of Germany, France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the ones reproduced in note 2 in chapter III.3.

Upon accession to the Convention, on 20 February 1975, the Government of the German Democratic Republic made the following declaration in this respect:

Pursuant to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the United States of America and the French Republic, that Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it. The statements by the Federal Republic of Germany to the effect that these Conventions also apply to "Land Berlin" are

therefore contrary to the Quadripartite Agreement, which states further that treaties affecting matters of security and status may not be extended to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany. The statements by the Federal Republic of Germany cannot therefore have legal effects.

In regard to the latter declaration, the Secretary-General received on 26 January 1976 from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America a communication confirming their previous declarations. Subsequently, on 24 February 1976, the Secretary-General received from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany a communication which states in part: "The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the [Note] of the Three Powers, wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned [Convention] extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect."

3/ Accession by the United Arab Republic, see note 3 in chapter I.1.

4/ The said declaration read as follows: "If another Contracting Party extends the application of the Convention to territories which fall within the sovereignty of the Argentine Republic, the rights of the Argentine Republic shall in no way be affected by that extension."

5/ The declaration [by Greece] [by the United Kingdom] since it had been made after accession, was communicated to all States concerned by the Secretary-General on 10 June 1980. None of the Contracting Parties having expressed an objection within a period of 90 days from the date of the above-mentioned letter, the declaration was deemed to have been accepted.

6/ In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 23 June 1980, the Government of Israel declared the following:

"The Government of Israel has noted the political character of the statement made by the Government of Jordan. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, the said declaration cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Jordan under general international law or under particular conventions. Insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, the Government of Israel will adopt towards the Government of Jordan an attitude of complete reciprocity."

7/ See also under "Declarations and Reservations" in this chapter for the reservation made by the United Kingdom, which was also made on behalf of Gibraltar, Hong Kong and the Isle of Man.

8/ In a letter declaration dated 28 June 1982, the Government of Yugoslavia specified that the first reservation only constituted an affirmation of the legal principle of retroactivity and that the third reservation being essentially in accordance with article I(3) of the Convention, the word "only" was therefore to be added to the original text and note taken that the word "economic" had been used therein as a synonym for "commercial".

9/ At the time of acceding to the Convention the Government of Denmark declared, in accordance with article X (1), that it would not apply for the time being to the Faeroe Islands and Greenland. In a communication received on 12 November 1975, the Government of Denmark declared that it had withdrawn the above-mentioned declaration, this decision to take effect on 1 January 1976.

In a further communication received on 5 January 1978, the Government of Denmark confirmed that the communication received by the Secretary-General on 12 November 1975 should be considered as having taken effect from 10 February 1976, in accordance with article X(2), it being understood that the Convention was applied de facto to the Faeroe Islands and Greenland from 1 January to 9 February 1976.

## 2. EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

Done at Geneva on 21 April 1961

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 7 January 1964, in accordance with article X, paragraph 8, with the exception of paragraphs 3 to 7 of article IV which entered into force on 18 October 1965, in accordance with paragraph 4 of the Annex to the Convention.

REGISTRATION: 7 January 1964, No. 7041.

TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 484, p. 349.

Note: The Convention was prepared and opened for signature on 21 April 1961 by the Special Meeting of Plenipotentiaries for the purpose of negotiating and signing a European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration, which was convened in accordance with resolution 7(XV)<sup>1</sup> of the Economic Commission for Europe, adopted on 5 May 1960. The Special Meeting was held at the European Office of the United Nations in Geneva from 10 to 21 April 1961. For the text of the Final Act of the Special Meeting, see United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 484, p. 349.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	6 Mar 1964	Hungary . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	9 Oct 1963
Belgium . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	9 Oct 1975	Italy . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	3 Aug 1970
Bulgaria . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	13 May 1964	Luxembourg . . . . .		26 Mar 1982 a
Burkina Faso . . . . .		26 Jan 1965 a	Poland . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	15 Sep 1964
Byelorussian SSR . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	14 Oct 1963	Romania . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	16 Aug 1963
Cuba . . . . .		1 Sep 1965 a	Spain . . . . .	14 Dec 1961	12 May 1975
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	13 Nov 1963	Turkey . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	
Denmark <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	22 Dec 1972	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	18 Mar 1963
Finland . . . . .	21 Dec 1961		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	27 Jun 1962
France . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	16 Dec 1966	Yugoslavia . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	25 Sep 1963
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		20 Feb 1975 a			
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	21 Apr 1961	27 Oct 1964			

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

## BELGIUM

In accordance with article II, paragraph 2, of the Convention, the Belgian Government declares that in Belgium only the State has, in the cases referred to in article I, paragraph 1, the faculty to conclude arbitration agreements

## LUXEMBOURG

Except where otherwise expressly provided for in the arbitration agreement, the presiding judges of the local courts shall assume the functions entrusted to the presidents of the chambers of commerce under article IV of the Convention. The presiding judges shall hear the disputes in chambers.

## NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Council, Fifteenth Session, Supplement No 3 (E/3349), p. 55.

2/ The instrument of ratification contained a declaration to the effect that the Convention for the time being would not extend to the Faeroe Islands and Greenland.

In a communication received on 12 November 1975, the Government of Denmark declared that it had withdrawn the above-mentioned reservation, the decision to take effect on 1 January 1976.

3/ A note accompanying the instrument of ratification contains a statement that the Convention "shall also apply to Land Berlin as from the day on which the Convention enters into force

for the Federal Republic of Germany".

With reference to the above-mentioned statement, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Governments of Albania, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America, the Federal Republic of Germany, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The said communications are identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to those reproduced in note 2 of chapter III.3.

Upon accession to the Convention, on 20 February 1975, the Government of the German Democratic Republic made the following declaration:

Pursuant to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 between the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland,

the United States of America and the French Republic, that Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it. The statements by the Federal Republic of Germany to the effect that these Conventions also apply to "Land Berlin" are therefore contrary to the Quadripartite Agreement, which states further that treaties affecting matters of security and status may not be extended to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany. The statements by the Federal Republic of Germany cannot therefore have legal effects.

In regard to the latter declaration, the Secretary-General received on 26 January 1976

from the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America a communication confirming their previous declarations. Subsequently, on 24 February 1976, the Secretary-General received from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany a communication which states in part: "The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the basis of the legal situation set out in the [note] of the Three Powers, wishes to confirm that the application in Berlin (West) of the above-mentioned [Convention] extended by it under the established procedures continues in full force and effect."



CHAPTER XXIII. LAW OF TREATIES

1. VIENNA CONVENTION ON THE LAW OF TREATIES

Concluded at Vienna on 23 May 1969

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 27 January 1980, in accordance with article 84.  
 REGISTRATION: 27 January 1980, No. 18232.  
 TEXT: United Nations Conference on The Law of Treaties -- First and Second Sessions --  
Official Documents (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.70.U.5)

Note: The Convention was adopted on 22 May 1969 and opened for signature on 23 May 1969 by the United Nations Conference on the Law of Treaties. The Conference was convened pursuant to General Assembly resolutions 2166 (XXI)<sup>1</sup> of 5 December 1966 and 2287 (XXII)<sup>2</sup> of 6 December 1967. The Conference held two sessions, both at the Neue Hofburg in Vienna, the first session from 26 March to 24 May 1968 and the second session from 9 April to 22 May 1969. In addition to the Convention, the Conference adopted the Final Act and certain declarations and resolutions, which are annexed to that Act. By unanimous decision of the Conference, the original of the Final Act was deposited in the archives of the Federal Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Austria. The text of the Final Act is included in document A/CONF.39/11/Add.2.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	23 May 1969		Italy . . . . .	22 Apr 1970	25 Jul 1974
Argentina . . . . .	23 May 1969	5 Dec 1972	Jamaica . . . . .	23 May 1969	28 Jul 1970
Australia . . . . .		13 Jun 1974 <u>a</u>	Japan . . . . .		2 Jul 1981 <u>a</u>
Austria . . . . .		30 Apr 1979 <u>a</u>	Kenya . . . . .	23 May 1969	
Barbados . . . . .	23 May 1969	24 Jun 1971	Kuwait . . . . .		11 Nov 1975 <u>a</u>
Bolivia . . . . .	23 May 1969		Lesotho . . . . .		3 Mar 1972 <u>a</u>
Brazil . . . . .	23 May 1969		Liberia . . . . .	23 May 1969	29 Aug 1985
Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic . . . . .		1 May 1986 <u>a</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .	4 Sep 1969	
Canada . . . . .		14 Oct 1970 <u>a</u>	Malawi . . . . .		23 Aug 1983 <u>a</u>
Central African Republic . . . . .		10 Dec 1971 <u>a</u>	Madagascar . . . . .	23 May 1969	
Chile . . . . .	23 May 1969	9 Apr 1981	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jan 1973 <u>a</u>
China <sup>3</sup> . . . . .			Mexico . . . . .	23 May 1969	25 Sep 1974
Colombia . . . . .	23 May 1969	10 Apr 1985	Morocco . . . . .	23 May 1969	26 Sep 1972
Congo . . . . .	23 May 1969	12 Apr 1982	Nauru . . . . .		5 May 1978 <u>a</u>
Costa Rica . . . . .	23 May 1969		Nepal . . . . .	23 May 1969	
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	23 Jul 1969		Netherlands . . . . .		9 Apr 1985
Cyprus . . . . .		28 Dec 1976 <u>a</u>	New Zealand . . . . .	29 Apr 1970	4 Aug 1971
Democratic Kampuchea	23 May 1969		Niger . . . . .		27 Oct 1971 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	18 Apr 1970	1 Jun 1976	Nigeria . . . . .	23 May 1969	31 Jul 1969
Ecuador . . . . .	23 May 1969		Pakistan . . . . .	29 Apr 1970	
Egypt . . . . .		11 Feb 1982 <u>a</u>	Panama . . . . .		28 Jul 1980 <u>a</u>
El Salvador . . . . .	16 Feb 1970		Paraguay . . . . .		3 Feb 1972 <u>a</u>
Ethiopia . . . . .	30 Apr 1970		Peru . . . . .	23 May 1969	
Finland . . . . .	23 May 1969	19 Aug 1977	Philippines . . . . .	23 May 1969	15 Nov 1972
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		20 Oct 1986 <u>a</u>	Republic of Korea <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	27 Nov 1969	27 Apr 1977
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	30 Apr 1970		Rwanda . . . . .		3 Jan 1980 <u>a</u>
Ghana . . . . .	23 May 1969		Senegal . . . . .		11 Apr 1986 <u>a</u>
Greece . . . . .		30 Oct 1974 <u>a</u>	Spain . . . . .		16 May 1972 <u>a</u>
Guatemala . . . . .	23 May 1969		Sudan . . . . .	23 May 1969	
Guyana . . . . .	23 May 1969		Sweden . . . . .	23 Apr 1970	4 Feb 1975
Haiti . . . . .		25 Aug 1980 <u>a</u>	Syrian Arab Republic		2 Oct 1970 <u>a</u>
Holy See . . . . .	30 Sep 1969	25 Feb 1977	Togo . . . . .		28 Dec 1979 <u>a</u>
Honduras . . . . .	23 May 1969	20 Sep 1979	Trinidad and Tobago	23 May 1969	
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . . .	23 May 1969		Tunisia . . . . .		23 Jun 1971 <u>a</u>
			Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic		14 May 1986 <u>a</u>
			Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .		29 Apr 1986 <u>a</u>
			United Kingdom . . . . .	20 Apr 1970	25 Jun 1971

XXIII.1: Law of treaties

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
United Republic of Tanzania . . .		12 Apr 1976 <u>a</u>	Uruguay . . . . .	23 May 1969	5 Mar 1982
United States of America . . .	24 Apr 1970		Yugoslavia . . . .		27 Aug 1970
			Zaire . . . . .		25 Jul 1977 <u>a</u>
			Zambia . . . . .	23 May 1969	

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

AFGHANISTAN

Upon signature:

"Afghanistan's understanding of article 62 (fundamental change of circumstances) is as follows:

"Sub-paragraph 2(a) of this article does not cover unequal and illegal treaties, or any treaties which were contrary to the principle of self-determination. This view was also supported by the Expert Consultant in his statement of 11 May 1968 in the Committee of the Whole and on 14 May 1969 (doc. A/CONF.39/L.40) to the Conference."

ARGENTINA

(a) The Argentine Republic does not regard the rule contained in article 45 (b) as applicable to it inasmuch as the rule in question provides for the renunciation of rights in advance

(b) The Argentine Republic does not accept the idea that a fundamental change of circumstances which has occurred with regard to those existing at the time of the conclusion of a treaty, and which was not foreseen by the parties, may be invoked as a ground for terminating or withdrawing from the treaty; moreover, it objects to the reservations made by Afghanistan, Morocco and Syria with respect to article 62, paragraph 2 (a), and to any reservations to the same effect as those of the States referred to which may be made in the future with respect to article 62.

The application of this Convention to territories whose sovereignty is a subject of dispute between two or more States, whether or not they are parties to it, cannot be deemed to imply a modification, renunciation or abandonment of the position heretofore maintained by each of them.

BYELORUSSIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

[Same reservations and declaration, identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, as the one made by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.]

BOLIVIA

Upon signature:

1. The shortcomings of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties are such as to postpone the realization of the aspirations of mankind.

2. Nevertheless, the rules endorsed by the Convention do represent significant advances, based on the principles of international justice which Bolivia has traditionally supported.

CANADA

"In acceding to the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, the government of Canada declares its understanding that nothing in article 66 of the Convention is intended to exclude the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice where such jurisdiction exists under the provisions of any treaty in force binding the parties with regard to the settlement of disputes. In relation to states parties to the Vienna Convention which accept as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, the government of Canada declares that it does not regard the provisions of article 66 of the Vienna Convention as providing 'some other method of peaceful settlement' within the meaning of paragraph 2 (a) of the declaration of the government of Canada accepting as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice which was deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on April 7, 1970."

CHILE

Reservation

The Republic of Chile declares its adherence to the general principle of the immutability of treaties, without prejudice to the right of States to stipulate, in particular, rules which modify this principle, and for this reason formulates a reservation relating to the provisions of article 62, paragraphs 1 and 3, of the Convention, which it considers inapplicable to Chile.

COLOMBIA

Reservation:

With regard to article 25, Colombia formulates the reservation that the Political Constitution of Colombia does not recognize the provisional application of treaties; it is the responsibility of the National Congress to approve or disapprove any treaties and conventions which the Government concludes with other States or with international legal entities.

COSTA RICA

1. With regard to articles 11 and 12, the delegation of Costa Rica wishes to make a reservation to the effect that the Costa Rican system of constitutional law does not authorize any form of consent which is not subject to ratification by the Legislative Assembly.

2. With regard to article 25, it wishes to make a reservation to the effect that the Political

Constitution of Costa Rica does not permit the provisional application of treaties, either.

3. With regard to article 27, it interprets this article as referring to secondary law and not to the provisions of the Political Constitution.

4. With regard to article 38, its interpretation is that no customary rule of general international law shall take precedence over any rule of the Inter-American System to which, in its view, this Convention is supplementary

## DENMARK

As between itself and any State which formulates, wholly or in part, a reservation relating to the provisions of article 66 of the Convention concerning the compulsory settlement of certain disputes, Denmark will not consider itself bound by those provisions of part V of the Convention, according to which the procedures for settlement set forth in article 66 are not to apply in the event of reservations formulated by other States.

## ECUADOR

Upon signature:

In signing this Convention, Ecuador has not considered it necessary to make any reservation in regard to article 4 of the Convention because it understands that the rules referred to in the first part of article 4 include the principle of the peaceful settlement of disputes, which is set forth in Article 2, paragraph 3 of the Charter of the United Nations and which, as jus cogens, has universal and mandatory force.

Ecuador also considers that the first part of article 4 is applicable to existing treaties.

It wishes to place on record, in this form, its view that the said article 4 incorporates the indisputable principle that, in cases where the Convention codifies rules of lex lata, these rules, as pre-existing rules, may be invoked and applied to treaties signed before the entry into force of this Convention, which is the instrument codifying the rules.

## EGYPT

The Arab Republic of Egypt does not consider itself bound by part V of the Convention vis-à-vis States which formulate reservations concerning the procedures for judicial settlement and compulsory arbitration set forth in article 66 and in the annex to the Convention, and it rejects reservations made to the provisions of part V of the Convention.

## FINLAND

"Finland declares its understanding that nothing in paragraph 2 of article 7 of the Convention is intended to modify any provisions of internal law in force in any Contracting State concerning competence to conclude treaties. Under the Constitution of Finland the competence to conclude treaties is given to the President of the Republic, who also decides on the issuance of full powers to the Head of Government and the Minister for Foreign Affairs.

"Finland also declares that as to its relation with any State which has made or makes a reservation to the effect that this State will not be bound by some or all of the provisions of article 66, Finland will consider itself bound neither by those procedural provisions nor by the substantive provisions of part V of the Convention to which the procedures provided for in article 66 do not apply as a result of the said reservation."

## GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 66 of the Convention.

In order to submit a dispute concerning the application or the interpretation of article 53 or 64 to the International Court of Justice for a decision or to submit a dispute on the application or the interpretation of any of the other articles of Part V of the Convention to the Conciliation Commission for consideration it shall be necessary in every single case to have the consent of all Parties to the dispute. The members of the Conciliation commission shall be appointed jointly by the Parties to the dispute.

The German Democratic Republic declares that it reserves itself the right to take measures to protect its interests in the case that other States would not comply with the provisions of the Convention.

The German Democratic Republic holds the view that the provisions of articles 81 and 83 of the Convention are in contradiction to the principle according to which any State, the policy of which is guided by the purposes and principles of the United Nations Charter, has the right to become a Party to Conventions affecting the interests of all States.

## GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Upon signature:

"The Federal Republic of Germany reserves the right, upon ratifying the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, to state its views on the declarations made by other States upon signing or ratifying or acceding to that Convention and to make reservations regarding certain provisions of the said Convention."

## GUATEMALA

Upon signature:Reservations

I. Guatemala cannot accept any provision of this Convention which would prejudice its rights and its claim to the Territory of Belize.

II Guatemala will not apply articles 11, 12, 25 and 66 in so far as they are contrary to the provisions of the Constitution of the Republic.

III. Guatemala will apply the provision contained in article 38 only in cases where it considers that it is in the national interest to do so.

## KUWAIT

The participation of Kuwait in this Convention does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the Government of the State of Kuwait and that



furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the State of Kuwait and Israel.

## MOROCCO

Reservation made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

1. Morocco interprets paragraph 2(a) of article 62 (Fundamental change of circumstances) as not applying to unlawful or inequitable treaties, or to any treaty contrary to the principle of self-determination. Morocco's views on paragraph 2(a) were supported by the Expert Consultant in his statements in the Committee of the Whole on 11 May 1968 and before the Conference in plenary on 14 May 1969 (see Document A/CONF.39/L.40).

2. It shall be understood that Morocco's signature of this Convention does not in any way imply that it recognized Israel. Furthermore, no treaty relationships will be established between Morocco and Israel.

## NETHERLANDS

Declaration

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands does not regard the provisions of Article 66 (b) of the Convention as providing "some other method of peaceful settlement" within the meaning of the declaration of the Kingdom of the Netherlands accepting as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice which was deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on 1 August 1956."

## SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC

A--Acceptance of this Convention by the Syrian Arab Republic and ratification of it by its Government shall in no way signify recognition of Israel and cannot have as a result the establishment with the latter of any contact governed by the provisions of this Convention.

B--The Syrian Arab Republic considers that article 81 is not in conformity with the aims and purposes of the Convention in that it does not allow all States, without distinction or discrimination, to become parties to it.

C--The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic does not in any case accept the non-applicability of the principle of a fundamental change of circumstances with regard to treaties establishing boundaries, referred to in article 62, paragraph 2 (a), inasmuch as it regards this as a flagrant violation of an obligatory norm which forms part of general international law and which recognizes the right of peoples to self-determination.

D--The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic interprets the provisions in article 52 as follows:

The expression "the threat or use of force" used in this article extends also to the employment of economic, political, military and psychological coercion and to all types of coercion constraining a State to conclude a treaty against its wishes or its interests.

E--The accession of the Syrian Arab Republic to this Convention and the ratification of it by its Government shall not apply to the Annex to the Convention, which concerns obligatory conciliation.

## TUNISIA

The dispute referred to in article 66 (a) requires the consent of all parties thereto in order to be submitted to the International Court of Justice for a decision.

## UKRAINIAN SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLIC

[Same reservations and declaration, identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, as the one made by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.]

## UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 66 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties and declares that, in order for any dispute among the Contracting Parties concerning the application or the interpretation of articles 53 or 64 to be submitted to the International Court of Justice for a decision or for any dispute concerning the application or interpretation of any other articles in Part V of the Convention to be submitted for consideration by the Conciliation Commission, the consent of all the parties to the dispute is required in each separate case, and that the conciliators constituting the Conciliation Commission may only be persons appointed by the parties to the dispute by common consent.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics will consider that it is not obligated by the provisions of article 20, paragraph 3 or of article 45 (b) of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, since they are contrary to established international practice.

Declaration:

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that it reserves the right to take any measures to safeguard its interests in the event of the non-observance by other States of the provisions of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties.

## UNITED KINGDOM

Upon signature:

"In signing the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland declare their understanding that nothing in article 66 of the Convention is intended to oust the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice where such jurisdiction exists under any provisions in force binding the parties with regard to the settlement of disputes. In particular, and in relation to States parties to the Vienna Convention which accept as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice, the Government of the United Kingdom declare that they will not regard the provisions of sub-paragraph (b) of article 66 of the Vienna Convention as providing 'some other method of peaceful settlement within the meaning of sub-paragraph (i) (a) of the Declaration of the Government of the United Kingdom accepting as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice which was deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on the 1st of January 1969.

"The Government of the United Kingdom, while reserving their position for the time being with regard to other declarations and reservations made by various States on signing the Convention, consider it necessary to state that the United Kingdom does not accept that Guatemala has any rights or any valid claim in respect of the territory of British Honduras."

It is [the United Kingdom's] understanding that nothing in Article 66 of the Convention is intended to oust the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice where such jurisdiction exists under any provisions in force binding the parties with regard to the settlement of disputes. In particular, and in relation to States parties to the Vienna Convention which accept as compulsory the jurisdiction of the International

Court, the United Kingdom will not regard the provisions of sub-paragraph (b) of Article 66 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties as providing "some other method of peaceful settlement" within the meaning of sub-paragraph (i)(a) of the Declaration of the Government of the United Kingdom which was deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on the 1st of January 1969.

## UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

"Article 66 of the Convention shall not be applied to the United Republic of Tanzania by any State which enters a reservation on any provision of part V or the whole of that part of the Convention."

Objections

(Unless otherwise indicated the objection was made upon ratification or accession.)

## CANADA

22 October 1971

". . . Canada does not consider itself in treaty relations with the Syrian Arab Republic in respect of those provisions of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties to which the compulsory conciliation procedures set out in the annex to that Convention are applicable."

## CHILE

The Republic of Chile formulates an objection to the reservations which have been made or may be made in the future relating to article 62, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

## ISRAEL

16 March 1970

"The Government of Israel has noted the political character of paragraph 2 in the declaration made by the Government of Morocco on that occasion. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, that declaration cannot in any way affect the obligations of Morocco already existing under general international law or under particular treaties. The Government of Israel will, in so far as concerns the substance of the matter, adopt towards the Government of Morocco an attitude of complete reciprocity."

16 November 1970

[With respect to declaration "A" made by the Syrian Arab Republic, same declaration, in essence, as the one above.]

## JAPAN

Statements:

"1. The Government of Japan objects to any reservation intended to exclude the application, wholly or in part, of the provisions of article 66 and the Annex concerning the obligatory procedures for settlement of disputes and does not consider Japan to be in treaty relations with any

State which has formulated or will formulate such reservation, in respect of those provisions of Part V of the Convention regarding which the application of the obligatory procedures mentioned above are to be excluded as a result of the said reservation. Accordingly, the treaty relations between Japan and the Syrian Arab Republic will not include those provisions of Part V of the Convention to which the conciliation procedure in the Annex applies and the treaty relations between Japan and Tunisia will not include articles 53 and 64 of the Convention.

2. The Government of Japan does not accept the interpretation of article 52 put forward by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, since that interpretation does not correctly reflect the conclusions reached at the Conference of Vienna on the subject of coercion."

## NETHERLANDS

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands is of the opinion that the provisions regarding the settlement of disputes, as laid down in Article 66 of the Convention, are an important part of the Convention and that they cannot be separated from the substantive rules with which they are connected. Consequently, the Kingdom of the Netherlands considers it necessary to object to any reservation which is made by another State and whose aim is to exclude the application, wholly or in part, of the provisions regarding the settlement of disputes. While not objecting to the entry into force of the Convention between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and such a State, the Kingdom of the Netherlands considers that their treaty relations will not include the provisions of Part V of the Convention with regard to which the application of the procedures regarding the settlement of disputes, as laid down in Article 66, wholly or in part is excluded.

The Kingdom of the Netherlands considers that the absence of treaty relations between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and such a State with regard to all or certain provisions of Part V will not in any way impair the duty of the latter to fulfill any obligation embodied in those pro-

visions to which it is subject under international law independently of the Convention.

For the reasons set out above, the Kingdom of the Netherlands objects to the reservation of the Syrian Arab Republic, according to which its accession to the Convention shall not include the Annex, and to the reservation of Tunisia, according to which the submission to the International Court of Justice of a dispute referred to in Article 66 (a) requires the consent of all parties thereto. Accordingly, the treaty relations between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Syrian Arab Republic will not include the provisions to which the conciliation procedure in the Annex applies and the treaty relations between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and Tunisia will not include Article 53 and 64 of the Convention."

## NEW ZEALAND

14 October 1971

"... The New Zealand Government objects to the reservation entered by the Government of Syria to the obligatory conciliation procedures contained in the Annex to the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties and does not accept the entry into force of the Convention as between New Zealand and Syria."

10 August 1972

"... The New Zealand Government objects to the reservation entered by the Government of Tunisia in respect of Article 66(a) of the Convention and does not consider New Zealand to be in treaty relations with Tunisia in respect of those provisions of the Convention to which the dispute settlement procedure provided for in Article 66(a) is applicable."

## SWEDEN

4 February 1975

"Article 66 of the Convention contains certain provisions regarding procedures for judicial settlement, arbitration and conciliation. According to these provisions a dispute concerning the application or the interpretation of article 53 or 64, which deal with the so called jus cogens, may be submitted to the International Court of Justice. If the dispute concerns the application or the interpretation of any of the other articles in Part V of the Convention, the conciliation procedure specified in the Annex to the Convention may be set in motion.

"The Swedish Government considers that these provisions regarding the settlement of disputes are an important part of the Convention and that they cannot be separated from the substantive rules with which they are connected. Consequently, the Swedish Government considers it necessary to raise objections to any reservation which is made by another State and whose aim is to exclude the application, wholly or in part, of the provisions regarding the settlement of disputes. While not objecting to the entry into force of the Convention between Sweden and such a State, the Swedish Government considers that their treaty relations will not include either the procedural provision in respect of which a reservation has been made or the substantive provisions to which that procedural provision relates.

"For the reasons set out above, the Swedish Government objects to the reservation of the Syrian Arab Republic, according to which its accession to the Convention shall not include the Annex, and to the reservation of Tunisia, according to which the dispute referred to in article 66 (a) requires the consent of all parties thereto in order to be submitted to the International Court of Justice for a decision. In view of these reservations, the Swedish Government considers, firstly, that the treaty relations between Sweden and the Syrian Arab Republic will not include those provisions of Part V of the Convention to which the conciliation procedure in the Annex applies and, secondly, that the treaty relations between Sweden and Tunisia will not include articles 53 and 64 of the Convention.

"The Swedish Government has also taken note of the declaration of the Syrian Arab Republic, according to which it interprets the expression "the threat or use of force" as used in article 52 of the Convention so as to extend also to the employment of economic, political, military and psychological coercion and to all types of coercion constraining a State to conclude a treaty against its wishes or its interests. On this point, the Swedish Government observes that since article 52 refers to threat or use of force in violation of the principles of international law embodied in the Charter of the United Nations, it should be interpreted in the light of the practice which has developed or will develop on the basis of the Charter."

## UNITED KINGDOM

"The United Kingdom does not accept that the interpretation of Article 52 put forward by the Government of Syria correctly reflects the conclusions reached at the Conference of Vienna on the subject of coercion; the Conference dealt with this matter by adopting a Declaration on this subject which forms part of the Final Act;

"The United Kingdom objects to the reservation entered by the Government of Syria in respect of the Annex to the Convention and does not accept the entry into force of the Convention as between the United Kingdom and Syria;

"With reference to a reservation in relation to the territory of British Honduras made by Guatemala on signing the Convention, the United Kingdom does not accept that Guatemala has any rights or any valid claim with respect to that territory;

"The United Kingdom fully reserves its position in other respects with regard to the declarations made by various States on signature, to some of which the United Kingdom would object, if they were to be confirmed on ratification."

22 June 1972

"... The United Kingdom objects to the reservation entered by the Government of Tunisia in respect of Article 66(a) of the Convention and does not accept the entry into force of the Convention as between the United Kingdom and Tunisia."

7 December 1977

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland note that the in-

strument of ratification of the Government of Finland, which was deposited with the Secretary-General on 19 August 1977, contains a declaration relating to paragraph 2 of article 7 of the Convention. The Government of the United Kingdom wish to inform the Secretary-General that they do not regard that declaration as in any way affecting the interpretation or application of article 7.

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

26 May 1971

"... The Government of the United States of America objects to reservation F of the Syrian instrument of accession:

"In the view of the United States Government that reservation is incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention and undermines the principle of impartial settlement of disputes concerning the invalidity, termination, and suspension of the operation of treaties, which was the subject of extensive negotiation at the Vienna Conference.

"The United States Government intends, at such time as it may become a party to the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, to reaffirm its objection to the foregoing reservation and to reject treaty relations with the Syrian Arab Republic under all provisions in Part V of the Convention with regard to which the Syrian Arab Republic has rejected the obligatory conciliation procedures set forth in the Annex to the Convention.

"The United States Government is also concerned about Syrian reservation C declaring that the Syrian Arab Republic does not accept the non-applicability of the principle of a fundamental change of circumstances with regard to treaties establishing boundaries, as stated in Article 62,

2(a), and Syrian reservation D concerning its interpretation of the expression 'the threat or use of force' in Article 52. However, in view of the United States Government's intention to reject treaty relations with the Syrian Arab Republic under all provisions in Part V to which reservations C and D relate, we do not consider it necessary at this time to object formally to those reservations.

"The United States Government will consider that the absence of treaty relations between the United States of America and the Syrian Arab Republic with regard to certain provisions in Part V will not in any way impair the duty of the latter to fulfill any obligation embodied in those provisions to which it is subject under international law independently of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties."

29 September 1972

"... The United States of America objects to the reservation by Tunisia to paragraph (a) of Article 66 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties regarding a dispute as to the interpretation or application of Article 53 or 64. The right of a party to invoke the provisions of Article 53 or 64 is inextricably linked with the provisions of Article 42 regarding impeachment of the validity of a treaty and paragraph (a) of Article 66 regarding the right of any party to submit to the International Court of Justice for decision any dispute concerning the application or the interpretation of Article 53 or 64.

"Accordingly, the United States Government intends, at such time as it becomes a party to the Convention, to reaffirm its objection to the Tunisian reservation and declare that it will not consider that Article 53 or 64 of the Convention is in force between the United States of America and Tunisia."

List of conciliators nominated for the purpose of constituting a conciliation commission in accordance with paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Annex to the Convention

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Nominations</u>	<u>Date of deposit of notification with the Secretary-General</u>
Sweden	His Excellency Mr. Gunnar Lagergren, Marshal of the Realm Mr. Ivan Wallenberg President of the Restitution Court in Berlin	1 Oct 1980
Italy	Professor Riccardo Monaco, Professor of International Law Dean of the Faculty of Political Science University of Rome Professor Luigi Ferrari-Bravo, Professor of International Law University of Rome Chairman of the Sixth Committee of the General Assembly of the United Nations (thirty-third session)	24 Oct 1980
Kenya	Mr. John Maximian Nazareth, Q.C., B.A., Practicing Lawyer Mr. S. Amos Wako, LL.M, B.Sc., Practicing Lawyer and Chairman of the Law Society of Kenya	29 Dec 1980

List of conciliators nominated for the purpose of constituting a conciliation commission  
in accordance with paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Annex to the Convention (cont'd)

Iran, Islamic Republic of	Mr. Morteza Kalantarian, Deputy Director of the Legal Department of the Ministry of Justice	12 Jan 1981
Austria	Professor Stephen Verosta Professor Karl Zemanek	28 Jan 1981
Morocco	Mr. Ibrahim Keddara, President of the Supreme Court of the Kingdom of Morocco	19 Jan 1981
	Mr. Abdelaziz Amine Filali, First President of the Court of Appeal at Casablanca	
Netherlands	Professeur W. Riphagen, Legal Adviser of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Professor of International Law at the University of Rotterdam, Judge <u>ad hoc</u> (1968-1969) of the International Court of Justice and member of the International Law Commission Professor A.M. Stuyt, Former Adviser of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs for Treaty matters, Professor of International Law at the University of Nijmegen	6 Mar 1981
Denmark	Ambassador Paul Fischer	13 Apr 1981
	Professor Isi Foighel	11 Apr 1986 <sup>5</sup> 29 Mar 1982
Cyprus	Mr. Criton Tornaritis, Attorney-General of the Republic of Cyprus Mr. Michalakis Triantafillides, President of the Supreme Court of Cyprus	8 May 1981
Finland	Professor Erik Castrén, University of Helsinki Ambassador Osmo Lares, Ministry of Foreign Affairs	8 Apr 1981
United Kingdom	Professor R.Y. Jennings QC, Whewell Professor of International Law at the University of Cambridge (1955-1980) Sir Ian Sinclair QC, Deputy Chairman of the British Delegation to the Vienna Conference on the Law of Treaties Legal Adviser to the Foreign and Commonwealth Office, London	11 May 1981
Germany, Federal Republic of	Professor Thomas Oppermann Professor Günther Jaenicke	21 Jul 1981
Panama	Mr. Jorge E. Illueca Mr. Nanader A. Pitty Velasquez	28 Jul 1981
Mexico	Mr. Antonio Gomez Robledo Mr. Cesar Sepulveda	28 Jul 1981

List of conciliators nominated for the purpose of constituting a conciliation commission  
in accordance with paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Annex to the Convention (cont'd)

Yugoslavia	Dr. Milan Bulajic Dr. Milivoj Despot	20 May 1982
Japan	Professor Shigejiro Tabata Judge Masato Fujisaki	22 Oct 1982

## NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-first Session, Supplement No. 16 (A/6316), p. 95.

2/ Ibid., Twenty-second Session, Supplement No. 16 (A/6716), p. 80.

3/ Signed on behalf of the Republic of China on 27 April 1970. See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc. on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General with reference to the above-mentioned signature, the Permanent Mission of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics stated that the said signature was irregular since the so-called "Government of China" represented no one and had no right to speak on behalf of China, there being only one Chinese State in the world--the People's Republic of China.

The Permanent Mission of Bulgaria to the United Nations later addressed to the Secretary-General a similar communication.

In two letters addressed to the Secretary-General in regard to the above-mentioned communications, the Permanent Representative of China to the United Nations stated that the Republic of China, a sovereign State and Member of the United Nations, had attended the United Nations Conference on the Law of Treaties in 1968 and 1969, contributed to the formulation of the Convention concerned and signed it, and that "any statements or reservations to the said Convention that are incompatible with or derogatory to the legitimate

position of the Government of the Republic of China shall in no way affect the rights and obligations of the Republic of China as a signatory of the said Convention".

4/ With reference to this signature, communications have been addressed to the Secretary-General by the Permanent Missions to the United Nations of Bulgaria, Mongolia and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, stating that the said signature was illegal inasmuch as the South Korean authorities could not under any circumstances speak on behalf of Korea.

In a communication addressed to the Secretary-General the Permanent Observer of the Republic of Korea to the United Nations declared that the above-mentioned statement by the Permanent Mission of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics was without legal foundation and therefore neither affected the legitimate act of signing the Convention by the Government of the Republic of Korea nor prejudiced the rights and obligations of the Republic of Korea under it. He further stated that "in this connexion, it should be noted that the General Assembly of the United Nations declared at its third session and has continuously reaffirmed thereafter that the Government of the Republic of Korea is the only lawful Government in Korea".

5/ On 11 April 1986, the Government of Denmark notified the Secretary-General that the nomination of Ambassador Paul Fischer as conciliator under paragraph 1 of the annex to the Convention had been extended for a further period of five years.

## 2. VIENNA CONVENTION ON SUCCESSION OF STATES IN RESPECT OF TREATIES

Concluded at Vienna on 23 August 1978

Not yet in force (see article 49).

TEXT: United Nations Conference on the Succession of States in respect of treaties—Official Documents—Volume III—Conference Documents (United Nations publications, Sales No. F.79.U.10).

Note: The Convention was adopted on 22 August 1978 by the United Nations Conference on the Succession of States in respect of Treaties and was opened for signature at Vienna from 23 August 1978 to 28 February 1979, then at the Headquarters of the United Nations, in New York until 31 August 1979. The Conference was convened pursuant to General Assembly resolution 3496(XXX)<sup>1</sup> of 15 December 1975. The Conference held two sessions, both at the Neue Hofburg in Vienna, the first session from 4 April to 6 May 1977 and the second session from 31 July to 23 August 1978. In addition to the Convention, the Conference adopted the Final Act and certain resolutions, which are annexed to that Act. By unanimous decisions of the Conference, the original of the Final Act was deposited in the archives of the Federal Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Austria.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Angola . . . . .	23 Aug 1978		Niger . . . . .	23 Aug 1978	
Brazil . . . . .	23 Aug 1978		Pakistan . . . . .	10 Jan 1979	
Chile . . . . .	23 Aug 1978		Paraguay . . . . .	31 Aug 1979	
Côte d'Ivoire . . . . .	23 Aug 1978		Peru . . . . .	30 Aug 1978	
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	30 Aug 1979		Poland . . . . .	16 Aug 1979	
Egypt . . . . .		17 Jul 1986 a	Senegal . . . . .	23 Aug 1978	
Ethiopia . . . . .	23 Aug 1978	28 May 1980	Seychelles . . . . .		22 Feb 1980 a
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	22 Aug 1979		Sudan . . . . .	23 Aug 1978	
Holy See . . . . .	23 Aug 1978		Tunisia . . . . .		16 Sep 1981 a
Iraq <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	23 May 1979	5 Dec 1979	Uruguay . . . . .	23 Aug 1978	
Madagascar . . . . .	23 Aug 1978		Yugoslavia . . . . .	6 Feb 1979	28 Apr 1980
Morocco . . . . .		31 Mar 1983 a	Zaire . . . . .	23 Aug 1978	

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

IRAQ<sup>2</sup>

"Entry into the above Convention by the Republic of Iraq shall, however, in no way signify recognition of Israel or entry into any agreement therewith."

MOROCCO<sup>3</sup>Reservation

The accession of Morocco to this Convention does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the Government of the Kingdom of Morocco and that furthermore, no treaty relations will arise between the State of Morocco and Israel.

## NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-ninth Session, Supplement No. 10 (A/9610/Rev.1).

2/ The Secretary-General received on 23 June 1980 from the Government of Israel the following communication concerning this declaration:

"The Government of Israel has noted the political character of the statement made by the Government of Iraq. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, the said declaration

cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Iraq under general international law or under particular conventions. Insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, the Government of Israel will adopt towards the Government of Iraq an attitude of complete reciprocity."

3/ On 23 May 1983, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Israel a declaration, identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, to the one made above regarding the declaration by Iraq.

3. CONVENTION ON THE LAW OF TREATIES BETWEEN STATES AND INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATIONS  
OR BETWEEN INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATIONS

Done at Vienna on 21 March 1986

NOT YET IN FORCE (see article 85).  
TEXT: A/CONF.129/15.

Note: The Convention was open for signature by all States, Namibia and International Organisations invited to the Conference, until 31 December 1986 at the Federal Ministry for Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Austria, and subsequently, until 30 June 1987, at the United Nations Headquarters in New York.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Austria . . . .	21 Mar 1986		Mexico . . . .	21 Mar 1986	
Brazil . . . .	21 Mar 1986		Morocco . . . .	21 Mar 1986	
Burkina Faso . .	21 Mar 1986		Senegal . . . .	9 Jul 1986	
Côte d'Ivoire . .	21 Mar 1986		Sudan . . . . .	21 Mar 1986	
Egypt . . . . .	21 Mar 1986		Yugoslavia . . .	21 Mar 1986	
Greece . . . . .	15 Jul 1986		Zaire . . . . .	21 Mar 1986	
Italy . . . . .	17 Dec 1986		Zambia . . . . .	21 Mar 1986	

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession )

SENEGAL

Upon signature.

In signing this Convention, [the Government of Senegal declares] that the completion of this formality shall not be interpreted in so far as Senegal is concerned as a recognition of the right of international organizations to appear as parties before the International Court of Justice





CHAPTER XXIV. OUTER SPACE

1. CONVENTION ON REGISTRATION OF OBJECTS LAUNCHED INTO OUTER SPACE

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 12 November 1974

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 15 September 1976, in accordance with article VIII, paragraph 3.  
 REGISTRATION: 15 September 1976, No. 15020.  
 TEXT: United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1023, p. 15.

Note: The Convention was adopted by resolution 3235(XXIX)<sup>1</sup> of the General Assembly dated 12 November 1974, pursuant to resolution 3182 (XXVIII)<sup>2</sup> dated 18 December 1973 and taking into account the report of the Committee on the Pacific Uses of Outer Space. The Convention was opened for signature on 14 January 1975.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	26 Mar 1975		Mexico . . . . .	19 Dec 1975	1 Mar 1977
Australia . . . . .		11 Mar 1986 <u>a</u>	Mongolia . . . . .	30 Oct 1975	10 Apr 1985
Austria . . . . .	14 Oct 1975	6 Mar 1980	Netherlands <sup>4</sup> . . . . .		26 Jan 1981 <u>a</u>
Belgium . . . . .	19 Mar 1975	24 Feb 1977	Nicaragua . . . . .	13 May 1975	
Bulgaria . . . . .	4 Feb 1976	11 May 1976	Niger . . . . .	5 Aug 1976	22 Dec 1976
Burundi . . . . .	13 Nov 1975		Pakistan . . . . .	1 Dec 1975	27 Feb 1986
Byelorussian SSR	30 Jun 1975	26 Jan 1978	Peru . . . . .		21 Mar 1979 <u>a</u>
Canada . . . . .	14 Feb 1975	4 Aug 1976	Poland . . . . .	4 Dec 1975	22 Nov 1978
Chile . . . . .		17 Sep 1981 <u>a</u>	Republic of Korea		14 Oct 1981 <u>a</u>
Cuba . . . . .		10 Apr 1978 <u>a</u>	Seychelles . . . . .		28 Dec 1977 <u>a</u>
Cyprus . . . . .		6 Jul 1978 <u>a</u>	Singapore . . . . .	31 Aug 1976	
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	5 Apr 1976	26 Jul 1977	Spain . . . . .		20 Dec 1978 <u>a</u>
Denmark . . . . .	12 Dec 1975	1 Apr 1977	Sweden . . . . .	9 Jun 1976	9 Jun 1976
France . . . . .	14 Jan 1975	17 Dec 1975	Switzerland . . . . .	14 Apr 1975	15 Feb 1978
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	27 Aug 1975	12 May 1977	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	11 Jul 1975	14 Sep 1977
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	2 Mar 1976	16 Oct 1979	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	17 Jun 1975	13 Jan 1978
Hungary . . . . .	13 Oct 1975	26 Oct 1977	United Kingdom . . . . .	6 May 1975	30 Mar 1978
India . . . . .		18 Jan 1982 <u>a</u>	United States of America . . . . .	24 Jan 1975	15 Sep 1976
Iran (Islamic Republic of . . . . .	27 May 1975		Uruguay . . . . .		18 Aug 1977 <u>a</u>
Japan . . . . .		20 Jun 1983 <u>a</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .		24 Feb 1978 <u>a</u>

Organizations having declared acceptance of the rights and obligations of the Convention (article VII)

<u>Organization</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification</u>
European Space Agency . . . . .	2 January 1979

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	30 March 1978	Associated States (Antigua, Dominica, St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla, St. Lucia and St. Vincent). Territories under the territorial sovereignty of the United Kingdom, Solomon Islands, the State of Brunei

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Twenty-ninth Session, Supplement No. 31 (A/9631), p. 16.

2/ Idem, Supplement No. 30 (A/9030), p. 19.

3/ In a communication accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the

Federal Republic of Germany declared that the said Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

4/ For the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles.

2. AGREEMENT GOVERNING THE ACTIVITIES OF STATES ON THE MOON AND OTHER CELESTIAL BODIES

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 5 December 1979

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 11 July 1984, in accordance with article 19(3).  
 REGISTRATION: 11 July 1984/<sup>23002</sup>  
 TEXT: A/RES/34/68, depositary notifications C.N.373.1980.TREATIES-9 of 19 January 1981 [procès-verbal of rectification of the English authentic text of article 5(1)] and C.N.36.1984.TREATIES-1 of 19 March 1984, (procès-verbal of rectification of the French authentic text).

Note: The Agreement was adopted by resolution 34/68<sup>1</sup> of the General Assembly of the United Nations dated 5 December 1979. It was opened for signature on 18 December 1979.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
Australia . . . .		7 Jul 1986 a	Netherlands . . . .	27 Jan 1981	17 Feb 1983 <sup>2</sup>
Austria . . . . .	21 May 1980	11 Jun 1984	Pakistan . . . . .		27 Feb 1986 a
Chile . . . . .	3 Jan 1980	12 Nov 1981	Peru . . . . .	23 Jun 1981	
France . . . . .	29 Jan 1980		Philippines . . . .	23 Apr 1980	26 May 1981
Guatemala . . . .	20 Nov 1980		Romania . . . . .	17 Apr 1980	
India . . . . .	18 Jan 1982		Uruguay . . . . .	1 Jun 1981	9 Nov 1981
Morocco . . . . .	25 Jul 1980				

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification or accession.)

FRANCE

Upon signature:

Interpretative statement

France is of the view that the provisions of article 3, paragraph 2, of the Agreement relating to the use or threat of force cannot be construed as anything other than a reaffirmation, for the purposes of the field of endeavour covered by the Agreement, of the principle of the prohibition of the threat or use of force, which States are obliged to observe in their international relations, as set forth in the United Nations Charter.

NOTES:

- 1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Thirty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 46 (A/34/46), p. 77.
- 2/ For the Kingdom of Europe and the Netherlands Antilles.



CHAPTER XXV. TELECOMMUNICATIONS

1. CONVENTION RELATING TO THE DISTRIBUTION OF PROGRAMME-CARRYING SIGNALS TRANSMITTED BY SATELLITE

Concluded at Brussels on 21 May 1974

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 25 August 1979, in accordance with article 10(1).  
 REGISTRATION: 25 August 1979, No. 17949.  
 TEXT: ~~Published as a document of UNESCO and WIPO.~~

Note: The Convention was adopted by the International Conference of States on the Distribution of Programme-Carrying Signals, transmitted by Satellite, convened jointly by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization and the World Intellectual Property Organization. The Conference held discussions on the basis of the Draft Convention drawn up by the Committee of Governmental Experts on Problems in the Field of Copyright and of the Protection of Performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organizations Raised by Transmission via Space Satellites held at Nairobi (Kenya) from 2 to 11 July 1973.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	26 Mar 1975		Lebanon . . . . .	21 May 1974	
Austria . . . . .	26 Mar 1975	6 May 1982	Mexico . . . . .	21 May 1974	18 Mar 1976
Belgium . . . . .	21 May 1974		Morocco . . . . .	21 May 1974	31 Mar 1983
Brazil . . . . .	21 May 1974		Nicaragua . . . . .		1 Dec 1975 <sup>a</sup>
Cyprus . . . . .	21 May 1974		Panama . . . . .		25 Jun 1985 <sup>a</sup>
France . . . . .	27 Mar 1975		Peru . . . . .		7 May 1985 <sup>a</sup>
Germany, Federal Republic of <sup>1</sup> . . . . .	21 May 1974	25 May 1979	Senegal . . . . .	21 May 1974	
Israel . . . . .	21 May 1974		Spain . . . . .	21 May 1974	
Italy . . . . .	21 May 1974	7 Apr 1981	Switzerland . . . . .	21 May 1974	
Ivory Coast . . . . .	21 May 1974		United States of America . . . . .	21 May 1974	7 Dec 1984
Kenya . . . . .	21 May 1974	6 Jan 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	31 Mar 1975	29 Dec 1976

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or acceptance.)

ARGENTINA

Upon signature:

With reference to article 8(2) the Government of the Argentine Republic states that the words "where the originating organization is a national of another Contracting State" appearing in article 2(1) are to be considered as if they were replaced by the words "where the signal is emitted from the territory of another Contracting State".

FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany herewith declares in pursuance of article 2(2) of

the Convention that the protection accorded pursuant to article 2(1) is restricted in its territory to a period of 25 years after the expiry of the calendar year in which the transmission by satellite has occurred.

ITALY

The Italian Government declares, in accordance with the provisions of article 2 (2) of the Convention, that the protection accorded pursuant to article 2 (1) shall be limited in its territory to a period of 25 years following the end of the year in which the satellite transmission took place.

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> In a declaration accompanying the instrument of ratification, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany stated that the Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

## 2. CONSTITUTION OF THE ASIA-PACIFIC TELECOMMUNITY

Adopted by the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific  
on 27 March 1976

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 25 February 1979, in accordance with article 18.  
REGISTRATION: 25 February 1979, No. 17583.  
TEXT: E/CN.11/L.441/INF.

Note: The Constitution of the Asia-Pacific Telecommunity was adopted on 27 March 1976 by resolution 163(XXXII)<sup>1</sup> of the Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific at its thirty-second session, which took place at Bangkok, Thailand, from 24 March 1976 to 2 April 1976. The Convention was open for signature at Bangkok from 1 April 1976 to 31 October 1976 and at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York from 1 November 1976 to 24 February 1979.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>
Afghanistan . . .	12 Jan 1977	17 May 1977	Nauru . . . . .	1 Apr 1976	22 Nov 1976
Australia . . . .	26 Jul 1977	26 Jul 1977	Nepal . . . . .	15 Sep 1976	12 May 1977
Bangladesh . . . .	1 Apr 1976	22 Oct 1976	Pakistan . . . . .	25 Jan 1977	1 Jul 1977
Brunei Darussalam		27 Mar 1986 <sup>a2</sup>	Papua New Guinea	29 Sep 1976	
Burma . . . . .	20 Oct 1976	9 Dec 1976	Philippines . . . .	28 Oct 1976	17 Jun 1977
China . . . . .	25 Oct 1976	2 Jun 1977 <sup>a</sup>	Republic of Korea	8 Jul 1977	8 Jul 1977
India . . . . .	28 Oct 1976	26 Nov 1976	Singapore . . . . .	23 Jun 1977	6 Oct 1977
Indonesia . . . . .		29 Apr 1985 <sup>a</sup>	Sri Lanka . . . . .		3 Oct 1979 <sup>a</sup>
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . .	15 Sep 1976	3 Mar 1980	Thailand . . . . .	15 Sep 1976	26 Jan 1979
Japan . . . . .	22 Mar 1977	25 Nov 1977 <sup>a</sup>	United Kingdom (on behalf of Hong Kong) . . .	31 Aug 1977	31 Aug 1977
Malaysia . . . . .	23 Jun 1977	23 Jun 1977	Viet Nam . . . . .		11 Sep 1979 <sup>a</sup>
Maldives . . . . .		17 Mar 1980 <sup>a</sup>			

## NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific, Sixty-first Session, Supplement No. 9 (E/5786) p. 40.

2/ Brunei Darussalam had been admitted as an associate Member from 2 March 1981. Upon becoming an associate Member, it had declared that it wished be regarded as having been an associate member of the Asia-Pacific Telecommunity with effect from 1 January 1980, since which date Brunei had been a financial contributor"

(a) AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE 11, PARAGRAPH 2(a), OF THE CONSTITUTION  
OF THE ASIA-PACIFIC TELECOMMUNITY

Adopted by the General Assembly of the Asia-Pacific Telecommunity at Bangkok on 13 November 1981

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 2 January 1985, for all Members of the Telecommunity in accordance with article 22  
of the Constitution.  
REGISTRATION: 2 January 1985, No.17583.  
TEXT: APT/GA-2/81, paragraph 72.

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification.</u> <u>acceptance (A)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification.</u> <u>acceptance (A)</u>
Afghanistan . . . . .	22 Jul 1983	Nepal . . . . .	3 Dec 1984
Australia . . . . .	16 Aug 1983 <u>A</u>	Pakistan . . . . .	24 Aug 1984 <u>A</u>
Burma . . . . .	27 Sep 1984	Republic of Korea . . . . .	2 Jul 1982 <u>A</u>
China . . . . .	26 Jul 1982 <u>A</u>	Singapore . . . . .	22 Jul 1982 <u>A</u>
India . . . . .	15 Jul 1983	Sri Lanka . . . . .	26 Mar 1982 <u>A</u>
Iran . . . . .	10 Apr 1986	Thailand . . . . .	1 Nov 1982
Malaysia . . . . .	7 Jan 1986 <u>A</u>	Viet Nam . . . . .	28 Dec 1983 <u>A</u>
Maldives . . . . .	28 May 1982 <u>A</u>		



3. AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE ASIA-PACIFIC INSTITUTE FOR BROADCASTING DEVELOPMENT

Concluded at Kuala Lumpur on 12 August 1977

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 6 March 1981, in accordance with article 16.  
 REGISTRATION: 6 March 1981, No. 19609.  
 TEXT: ~~Published as a UNESCO and WIPO document, (vol. 19609)\*~~ and depositary notification C.N.130.TREATIES-1 of 13 June 1986 (amended authentic text in Chinese, English, French and Russian).<sup>2</sup>.

Note: The Agreement was adopted on 12 August 1977 by the Intergovernmental Meeting on the Asia-Pacific Institute for Broadcasting Development convened by the United Nations Development Programme at Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, from 10 to 12 August 1977.

According to paragraph 3 of its article 14, the Agreement was to remain open for signature at the UNESCO Headquarters in Paris until 31 March 1978 and would then be transmitted for deposit to the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Instead, signatures on behalf of 11 States were affixed individually during the period 12 September 1977-11 October 1978 on separate copies of the text of the Agreement established by the Asia-Pacific Institute for Broadcasting Development which were transmitted to the Secretary-General in June 1979. By depositary notification of 3 August 1979, the Secretary-General, in his capacity as the designated depositary, submitted for approval by all States having participated in the adoption of the Agreement or having signed the separate copies, the original text of the Agreement, similar to the text adopted at Kuala Lumpur on 12 August 1977 except for minor changes in the formal clauses as were warranted by the circumstances. No objection having been received from the States concerned within ninety days from the notification; the original of the Agreement was deposited with the Secretary-General on 2 November 1979.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>
Afghanistan . . . .	23 Aug 1978		Nepal . . . . .	15 May 1980	11 Sep 1980
Bangladesh . . . .	14 Sep 1977	11 Aug 1981	Pakistan . . . . .	10 Apr 1978	7 Jul 1981
Fiji . . . . .	2 Jun 1978	26 Mar 1981	Papua New Guinea . . . . .	9 Mar 1978	1 May 1980
India . . . . .	20 May 1980	25 Feb 1986	Philippines . . . . .	12 Sep 1977	
Indonesia . . . . .	12 Aug 1978		Republic of Korea . . . . .	11 Oct 1978	6 Mar 1981
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .		12 Sep 1986 <u>a</u>	Singapore . . . . .		29 Jun 1982 <u>a</u>
Malaysia . . . . .	11 Oct 1978	10 Nov 1980	Sri Lanka . . . . .	15 Sep 1978	
Maldives . . . . .		25 Jun 1985 <u>a</u>	Thailand . . . . .	25 Apr 1981	
			Viet Nam . . . . .	8 Sep 1978	23 Feb 1981 <u>A</u>

NOTES/

The signatures were affixed on separate copies of the Agreement. In accordance with the provision of article 14(3) of the Agreement in the text established by the Secretary-General and accepted by the signatory States, these signatures were considered, in the absence of notification to the contrary, as tantamount to signatures under paragraph 1 of the same article 14.

<sup>2/</sup> In accordance with a request made by the Governing Council of the Asia-Pacific Institute for Broadcasting Development the Secretary-General circulated on 13 June 1986 a proposed amended text of the Agreement (drawn up in Chinese, English, French and Russian) which was deemed adopted in the absence within 90 days, of objections to the proposed amended text or to the amendment procedure thus adopted.

CHAPTER XXVI. DISARMAMENT

1. CONVENTION ON THE PROHIBITION OF MILITARY OR ANY OTHER HOSTILE USE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MODIFICATION TECHNIQUES

Adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 10 December 1976

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 5 October 1978, in accordance with article IX(3).  
REGISTRATION: 5 October 1978, No. 17119.  
TEXT: A/RES/31/72.

Note: The Convention was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations in its resolution 321/772<sup>1</sup> of 10 December 1976. In application of paragraph 2 of the said resolution, the Secretary-General decided to open the Convention for signature and ratification by States from 18 to 31 May 1977 at Geneva, Switzerland. Subsequently, the Convention was transmitted to the Headquarters of the Organization of the United Nations, where it was open for signature by States until 4 October 1978.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Afghanistan . . . .		22 Oct 1985 <sup>a</sup>	Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . .	13 Apr 1978	5 Oct 1978
Australia . . . .	31 May 1978	7 Sept 1984	Lebanon . . . . .	18 May 1977	
Bangladesh . . . .		3 Oct 1979 <sup>a</sup>	Liberia . . . . .	18 May 1977	
Belgium . . . . .	18 May 1977	12 Jul 1982	Luxembourg . . . .	18 May 1977	
Benin . . . . .	10 Jun 1977	30 Jun 1986	Malawi . . . . .		5 Oct 1978 <sup>a</sup>
Bolivia . . . . .	18 May 1977		Mongolia . . . . .	18 May 1977	19 May 1978
Brazil . . . . .	9 Nov 1977	12 Oct 1984	Morocco . . . . .	18 May 1977	
Bulgaria . . . . .	18 May 1977	31 May 1978	Netherlands . . . .	18 May 1977	15 Apr 1983 <sup>3</sup>
Byelorussian SSR	18 May 1977	7 Jun 1978	New Zealand . . . .		7 Sep 1984 <sup>a4</sup>
Canada . . . . .	18 May 1977	11 Jun 1981	Nicaragua . . . . .	11 Aug 1977	
Cape Verde . . . .		3 Oct 1979 <sup>a</sup>	Norway . . . . .	18 May 1977	15 Feb 1979
Cuba . . . . .	23 Sep 1977	10 Apr 1978	Pakistan . . . . .		27 Feb 1986 <sup>a</sup>
Cyprus . . . . .	7 Oct 1977	12 Apr 1978	Papua New Guinea		28 Oct 1980 <sup>a</sup>
Czechoslovakia . .	18 May 1977	12 May 1978	Poland . . . . .	18 May 1977	8 Jun 1978
Democratic People's Republic of Korea . . . . .		8 Nov 1984 <sup>a</sup>	Portugal . . . . .	18 May 1977	
Democratic Yemen		12 Jun 1979 <sup>a</sup>	Republic of Korea		2 Dec 1986 <sup>a</sup>
Denmark . . . . .	18 May 1977	19 Apr 1978	Romania . . . . .	18 May 1977	6 May 1983
Egypt . . . . .		1 Apr 1982 <sup>a</sup>	Sao Tome and Principe		5 Oct 1979 <sup>a</sup>
Ethiopia . . . . .	18 May 1977		Sierra Leone . . . .	12 Apr 1978	
Finland . . . . .	18 May 1977	12 May 1978	Solomon Islands . .		19 Jun 1981 <sup>d</sup>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	18 May 1977	25 May 1978	Spain . . . . .	18 May 1977	19 Jul 1978
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . .	18 May 1977	24 May 1983 <sup>2</sup>	Sri Lanka . . . . .	8 Jun 1977	25 Apr 1978
Ghana . . . . .	21 Mar 1978	22 Jun 1978	Sweden . . . . .		27 Apr 1984 <sup>a</sup>
Greece . . . . .		23 Aug 1983 <sup>a</sup>	Syrian Arab Republic	4 Aug 1977	
Holy See . . . . .	27 May 1977		Tunisia . . . . .	11 May 1978	11 May 1978
Hungary . . . . .	18 May 1977	19 Apr 1978	Turkey . . . . .	18 May 1977	
Iceland . . . . .	18 May 1977		Uganda . . . . .	18 May 1977	
India . . . . .	15 Dec 1977	15 Dec 1978	Ukrainian SSR . . .	18 May 1977	13 Jun 1978
Iran (Islamic Republic of) . . . .	18 May 1977		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	18 May 1977	30 May 1978
Iraq . . . . .	15 Aug 1977		United Kingdom . .	18 May 1977	16 May 1978
Ireland . . . . .	18 May 1977	16 Dec 1982	United States of America . . . . .	18 May 1977	17 Jan 1980
Italy . . . . .	18 May 1977	27 Nov 1981	Viet Nam . . . . .		26 Aug 1980 <sup>a</sup>
Japan . . . . .		9 Jun 1982 <sup>a</sup>	Yemen . . . . .	18 May 1977	20 Jul 1977
Kuwait . . . . .		2 Jan 1980 <sup>a</sup>	Zaire . . . . .	28 Feb 1978	

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

Upon signature:

"With the proviso that the correct designation of the Federal Republic of Germany in the Russian language is 'Federativnuju Respubliku Germaniju'."

16 June 1977

"The correct designation of the Federal Republic of Germany in the Russian language following the preposition 'sa' in the Russian text was spelled out in the afore-mentioned proviso as 'Federativnuju Respubliku Germaniju'."

KUWAIT<sup>5</sup>

Reservation

This Convention binds the State of Kuwait only towards States Parties thereto. Its obligatory character shall ipso facto terminate with respect to any hostile state which does not abide by the prohibition contained therein.

Understanding:

"It is understood that accession to the Convention on the Prohibition of Military or any other hostile use of Environmental Modification Techniques, done in Geneva, 1977, does not mean in any way recognition of Israel by the State of Kuwait. Furthermore, no treaty relation will arise between the State of Kuwait and Israel."

NETHERLANDS

Declaration

"The Kingdom of the Netherlands accepts the obligations laid down in article 1 of the said Convention as extending to states which are not a party to the Convention and which act in conformity with article 1 of the Convention."

Territorial Application

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Date of receipt of the notification:</u>	<u>Territories:</u>
United Kingdom . . . . .	16 May 1978	Associated States (Antigua, Dominica, St. Kitts-Nevis Anguilla, St. Lucia and St. Vincent), Territories under the territorial sovereignty of the United Kingdom, the Solomon Islands, State of Brunei, United Kingdom Sovereign Base Areas of Akrotiri and Dhekelia in the island of Cyprus

NOTES:

1/ Official Records of the General Assembly, Thirty-first Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/31/39), p. 36.

2/ With effect from the day on which the Convention enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany it shall also apply to Berlin

NEW ZEALAND

"The Government of New Zealand hereby declares its interpretation that nothing in the Convention detracts from or limits the obligations of States to refrain from military or any other hostile use of environmental modification techniques which are contrary to international law".

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

"It is the understanding of the Government of the Republic of Korea that any technique for deliberately changing the natural state of rivers falls within the meaning of the term 'environmental modification techniques' as defined in article II of the Convention.

It is further understood that military or any other hostile use of such techniques, which could cause flooding, inundation, reduction in the water-level, drying up, destruction of hydrotechnical installations or other harmful consequences, comes within the scope of the Convention, provided it meets the criteria set out in article I therefore."

TURKEY

Upon signature:

Interpretative statement

"In the opinion of the Turkish Government the terms 'widespread', 'long lasting' and 'severe effects' contained in the Convention need to be clearly defined. So long as this clarification is not made the Government of Turkey will be compelled to interpret itself the terms in question and consequently it reserves the right to do so as and when required.

"Furthermore, the Government of Turkey believes that the difference between 'military or any other hostile purposes' and 'peaceful purposes' should be more clearly defined so as to prevent subjective evaluations."

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (5 December 1983):

The declaration by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany that the application of the Convention on the Prohibition of Military or Any other Hostile Use of Environmental Modification Techniques extends to Berlin (West) is illegal. The aforesaid Convention, in all of its substance, directly affects agreements and arrangements whose application the Federal Republic of Germany, in accordance with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, has no right to extend to Berlin (West).

The stipulation contained in the declaration of the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany to the effect that the Convention shall also apply to Berlin (West), subject to the rights and responsibilities of the French Republic, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, including those relating to disarmament and demilitarization is pointless, since all the main provisions of the Convention relate to questions of disarmament and demilitarization. This stipulation is intended merely to mask the illegality of the declaration made by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany, which is nothing but a flagrant violation of the Quadripartite Agreement and cannot, of course, have any legal force.

As is known, the relevant Allied provisions relating to demilitarization, which were confirmed upon the signature of the Quadripartite Agreement and the responsibility for whose practical observance lies with the authorities of France, United Kingdom and the United States, still remain in force in Berlin (West). This, of course, inevitably includes questions relating to the prohibition of the military use of environmental modification techniques.

A communication, identical in essence, mutatis mutandis, was received on 23 January 1984 by the Secretary-General from the Government of the German Democratic Republic.

France, the United Kingdom and the United States of America (2 July 1984):

"In a communication to the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, which is an integral part (Annex IVA) of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1981, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States, without prejudice to the maintenance of their rights and responsibilities relating to the representation abroad of the interests of the western sectors of Berlin, confirmed that, provided that matters of security and status are not affected and provided that the extension is specified in each case, international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany may be extended to the western sectors of Berlin in accordance with established procedures. For its part, the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, in a communication to the Governments of the three powers which is similarly an integral part (Annex IVB) of the Quadripartite Agreement, affirmed that it would raise no objections to such extension.

The established procedures referred to above, which were endorsed in the Quadripartite Agree-

ment, are designed inter alia to afford the authorities of the three powers the opportunity to ensure that international agreements and arrangements entered into by the Federal Republic of Germany where are to be extended to the western sectors of Berlin are extended in such a way that matters of security and status are not affected.

When authorizing the extension of the Convention on the Prohibition of Military or Any Other Hostile Use of Environmental Modification Techniques to the western sectors of Berlin, the authorities of the three powers took such steps as were necessary to ensure that matters of security and status were not affected. Accordingly, the Berlin declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany in accordance with established procedures is valid and the Convention applies to the western sectors of Berlin, subject to Allied Rights and Responsibilities, including those in the Area of Disarmament and Demilitarization.

The three Governments wish further to recall that Quadripartite Legislation on Demilitarization applies to the whole of Greater Berlin.

With reference to the communication received on 23 January 1984 from the Government of the German Democratic Republic (...), the three Governments wish to point out that States which are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 are not competent to comment authoritatively on its provisions. They do not consider it necessary, and do not intend, to respond to further communication on this matter from States which are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement. This should not be taken to imply any change in the position of the three Governments in this matter."

Federal Republic of Germany (5 June 1985):

By their note of 2 July 1984, disseminated [...] on 20 July 1984, the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America answered the assertions made in the communication referred to above. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany wishes to confirm the position as set out by the three Powers in the above-mentioned note."

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (2 December 1985):

The extension of the application of the Convention on the Prohibition of Military or Any other Hostile Use of Environmental Modification Techniques of 10 December 1976 to Berlin (West) is a gross violation of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 and therefore cannot have any legal effect.

At the same time, the Soviet side would like to draw attention to the fact that the Powers party to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 have formulated decisions in respect of Berlin (West) which have universal effect under international law. The extension of the Convention on the Prohibition of Military or Any other Hostile Use of Environmental Modification Techniques to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany naturally affects the interests of the other parties to it, which have the right to express their opinion on this matter. That right cannot be

disputed by anyone.

In this connection, the Soviet side rejects as unfounded the communication from France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America with respect to the declaration of the German Democratic Republic. The view set forth in that declaration by the Government of the German Democratic Republic as a party to the above-mentioned Convention is entirely in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971.

As to the assertions about "Greater Berlin" in the same communication from the three Powers, they are pointless in that there has been no "Greater Berlin" for a long time. There is Berlin, capital of the German Democratic Republic, which is an inseparable component of the Republic and has the same status as any other territory of the German Democratic Republic, and there is Berlin (West) - a city with a special status where the occupation régime still remains. It is from these de jure and de facto realities that the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 stems.  
France, United Kingdom and United States of America (6 October 1986)

"The Government of the three powers reaffirm the statement in the note from the Permanent Representative of France of 28 June 1984 that the declaration made by the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension of the application of the Convention on the Prohibition of military or any other hostile use of environmental modification techniques of 10 December 1976 to the western sectors of Berlin is valid and that the Convention applies to the western sectors of Berlin, subject to allied rights and responsibilities, including those in the area of disarmament and demilitarization.

The Government of France, the United Kingdom and the United States further reaffirm the statement in the same note of 28 June 1984 that States which are not parties to the quadripartite agreement are not competent to comment authoritatively on its provisions.

The quadripartite agreement of 3 September

1971 is an international agreement concluded between the four contracting parties and not open to participation by any other State. In concluding this agreement, the four powers acted on the basis of their quadripartite rights and responsibilities, and the corresponding wartime and post-war agreements and decisions of the four powers, which are not affected. The quadripartite agreement is a part of conventional and not customary international law.

The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States cannot accept the assertions by the Permanent Mission of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics that greater Berlin no longer exists and that Berlin is the capital of the German Democratic Republic.

The position of the Three governments on the continuing quadripartite status of greater Berlin is well known and was set out for example in a letter to the Secretary-General of the United Nations of 14 April 1975."

3/ For the Kingdom in Europe and the Netherlands Antilles.

4/ The accession shall also apply to the Cook Islands and Niue.

5/ On 23 June 1980, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Israel the following communication concerning the above-mentioned understanding:

"The Government of Israel has noted the political character of the statement made by the Government of Kuwait. In the view of the Government of Israel, this Convention is not the proper place for making such political pronouncements. Moreover, the said declaration cannot in any way affect whatever obligations are binding upon Kuwait, under general international law or under particular conventions. Insofar as concerns the substance of the matter, the Government of Israel will adopt towards the Government of Kuwait an attitude of complete reciprocity."

XXVI.2: Excessively injurious conventional weapons

2. CONVENTION ON PROHIBITIONS OR RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF CERTAIN CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS WHICH MAY BE DEEMED TO BE EXCESSIVELY INJURIOUS OR TO HAVE INDISCRIMINATE EFFECTS (and Protocols)

Concluded at Geneva on 10 October 1980

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 2 December 1983, in accordance with article 5, paragraphs 1 and 3.  
 REGISTRATION: 2 December 1983, No 22495.  
 TEXT: A/CONF/95/15 and Corr.1, 2, 3, 4 and 5; depositary notifications C.N.356.1981. TREATIES-7 of 14 January 1982 (procès-verbal of rectification of the Chinese authentic text) and C.N.320.1982.TREATIES-11 of 21 January 1983 (procès-verbal of rectification of the Final Act).

Participant	Signature	Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)	Acceptance pursuant to article 4, paragraphs 3 and 4 <sup>1</sup> P r o t o c o l s		
			I	II	III
Afghanistan . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Argentina . . . . .	2 Dec 1981				
Austria . . . . .	10 Apr 1981	14 Mar 1983	x	x	x
Australia . . . . .	8 Apr 1982	29 Sep 1983	x	x	x
Belgium . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Bulgaria . . . . .	10 Apr 1981	15 Oct 1982	x	x	x
Byelorussian SSR	10 Apr 1981	23 Jun 1982	x	x	x
Canada . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
China . . . . .	14 Sep 1981	7 Apr 1982	x	x	x
Cuba . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Czechoslovakia . .	10 Apr 1981	31 Aug 1982	x	x	x
Denmark . . . . .	10 Apr 1981	7 Jul 1982	x	x	x
Ecuador . . . . .	9 Sep 1981	4 May 1982	x	x	x
Egypt . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Finland . . . . .	10 Apr 1981	8 May 1982	x	x	x
France . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	10 Apr 1981	20 Jul 1982	x	x	x
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Greece . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Guatemala . . . . .		21 Jul 1983 <sup>a</sup>	x	x	x
Hungary . . . . .	10 Apr 1981	14 Jun 1982	x	x	x
Iceland . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
India . . . . .	15 May 1981	1 Mar 1984	x	x	x
Ireland . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Italy . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Japan . . . . .	22 Sep 1981	9 Jun 1982 <sup>A</sup>	x	x	x
Lao People's Democratic Republic	[2 Nov 1982] <sup>2</sup>	3 Jan 1983 <sup>a</sup>	x	x	x
Liechtenstein . . .	11 Feb 1982				
Luxembourg . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Mexico . . . . .	10 Apr 1981	11 Feb 1982	x	x	x
Mongolia . . . . .	10 Apr 1981	8 Jun 1982	x	x	x
Morocco . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Netherlands . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
New Zealand . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Nicaragua . . . . .	20 May 1981				
Nigeria . . . . .	26 Jan 1982				
Norway . . . . .	10 Apr 1981	7 Jun 1983	x	x	x
Pakistan . . . . .	26 Jan 1982	1 Apr 1985	x	x	x
Philippines . . . .	15 May 1981				
Poland . . . . .	10 Apr 1981	2 Jun 1983	x	x	x
Portugal . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Romania . . . . .	8 Apr 1982				
Sierra Leone . . .	1 May 1981				
Spain . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Sudan . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Sweden . . . . .	10 Apr 1981	7 Jul 1982	x	x	x
Switzerland . . . .	18 Jun 1981	20 Aug 1982	x	x	x

XXVI.2: Excessively injurious conventional weapons

Participant	Signature	Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)	Acceptance pursuant to article 4, paragraphs 3 and 4 <sup>1</sup> P r o t o c o l s		
			I	II	III
Togo . . . . .	15 Sep 1981				
Turkey . . . . .	26 Mar 1982				
Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	10 Apr 1981	23 Jun 1982	x	x	x
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	10 Apr 1981	10 Jun 1982	x	x	x
United Kingdom . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
United States of America . . . . .	8 Apr 1982				
Viet Nam . . . . .	10 Apr 1981				
Yugoslavia . . . . .	5 May 1981	24 May 1983	x	x	x

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.)

CHINA

Upon signature:  
Statement

1. The Government of the People's Republic of China has decided to sign the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May Be Deemed to Be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effects adopted at the United Nations Conference held in Geneva on 10 October 1980.

2. The Government of the People's Republic of China deems that the basic spirit of the Convention reflects the reasonable demand and good intention of numerous countries and peoples of the world regarding prohibitions or restrictions on the use of certain conventional weapons which are excessively injurious or have indiscriminate effects. This basic spirit conforms to China's consistent position and serves the interest of opposing aggression and maintaining peace.

3. However, it should be pointed out that the Convention fails to provide for supervision or verification of any violation of its clauses, thus weakening its binding force. The Protocol on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Mines, Booby Traps and Other Devices fails to lay down strict restrictions on the use of such weapons by the aggressor on the territory of his victim and to provide adequately for the right of a state victim of an aggression to defend itself by all necessary means. The Protocol on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Incendiary Weapons does not stipulate restrictions on the use of such weapons against combat personnel. Furthermore, the Chinese texts of the Convention and Protocol are not accurate or satisfactory enough. It is the hope of the Chinese Government that these inadequacies can be remedied in due course.

FRANCE

Upon signature:  
Declaration

After signing the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional

Weapons Which May Be Deemed to Be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effects, the French Government, as it has already had occasion to state

- through its representative to the United Nations Conference on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons in Geneva, during the discussion of the proposal concerning verification arrangements submitted by the delegation of the Federal Republic of Germany and of which the French Government became a sponsor, and at the final meeting on 10 October 1980;

- on 20 November 1980 through the representative of the Netherlands, speaking on behalf of the nine States members of the European Community in the First Committee at the thirty-fifth session of the United Nations General Assembly;

Regrets that thus far it has not been possible for the States which participated in the negotiation of the Convention to reach agreement on the provisions concerning the verification of facts which might be alleged and which might constitute violations of the undertakings subscribed to.

It therefore reserves the right to submit, possibly in association with other States, proposals aimed at filling that gap at the first conference to be held pursuant to article 8 of the Convention and to utilize, as appropriate, procedures that would make it possible to bring before the international community facts and information which, if verified, could constitute violations of the provisions of the Convention and the Protocols annexed thereto.

Interpretative statement

The application of this Convention will have no effect on the legal status of the parties to a conflict.

Reservation

France, which is not bound by Additional Protocol I of 10 June 1977 to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949:

Considers that the fourth paragraph of the preamble to the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May Be Deemed to Be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effects,

which reproduces the provisions of article 35, paragraph 3, of Additional Protocol I, applies only to States parties to that Protocol;

States, with reference to the scope of application defined in article 1 of the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons, that it will apply the provisions of the Convention and its three Protocols to all the armed conflicts referred to in articles 2 and 3 common to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949;

States that as regards the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, the declaration of acceptance and application provided for in article 7, paragraph 4 (b), of the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons will have no effects other than those provided for in article 3 common to the Geneva Conventions, in so far as that article is applicable.

## ITALY

Upon signature:  
Declaration

On 10 October 1980 in Geneva, the representative of Italy at the Conference speaking at the closing meeting, emphasized that the Conference, in an effort to reach a compromise between what was desirable and what was possible, had probably achieved the maximum results feasible in the circumstances prevailing at that time

However, he observed in his statement that one of the objectives which had not been achieved at the Conference, to his Government's great regret, was the inclusion in the text of the Convention, in accordance with a proposal originated by the Federal Republic of Germany, of an article on the establishment of a consultative committee of experts competent to verify facts which might be alleged and which might constitute violations of the undertakings subscribed to.

On the same occasion, the representative of Italy expressed the wish that that proposal, which was aimed at strengthening the credibility and effectiveness of the Convention, should be reconsidered at the earliest opportunity within the framework of the mechanisms for the amendment of the Convention expressly provided for in that instrument.

Subsequently, through the representative of the Netherlands, speaking on behalf of nine States members of the European Community in the First Committee of the United Nations General Assembly on 20 November 1980, when it adopted draft resolution A/C.1/31/L.15 (subsequently adopted as General Assembly States which had participated in the preparation of the texts of the Convention and its Protocols had been unable to reach agreement on provisions that would ensure respect for the obligations deriving from those texts.

In the same spirit, Italy - which has just signed the Convention in accordance with the wishes expressed by the General Assembly in its resolution 35/153 - wishes to confirm solemnly that it intends to undertake active efforts to ensure that the problem of the establishment of a mechanism that would make it possible to fill a gap in the Convention and thus ensure that it achieves maximum effectiveness and maximum

credibility vis-à-vis the international community is taken up again at the earliest opportunity in every competent forum.

## ROMANIA

Upon signature:

1. . . .

2. Romania considers that the Convention and the three Protocols annexed thereto constitute a positive step within the framework of the efforts which have been made for the gradual development of international humanitarian law applicable during armed conflict and which aim at providing very broad and reliable protection for the civilian population and the combatants.

3. At the same time, Romania would like to emphasize that the provisions of the Convention and its Protocols have a restricted character and do not ensure adequate protection either to the civilian population or to the combatants as the fundamental principles of international humanitarian law require.

4. The Romanian Government wishes to state on this occasion also that real and effective protection for each individual and for peoples and assurance of their right to a free and independent life necessarily presuppose the elimination of all acts of aggression and the renunciation once and for all of the use of force and the threat of the use of force, of intervention in the domestic affairs of other States and of the policy of domination and diktat and strict observation of the sovereignty and independence of peoples and their legitimate right to self-determination.

In the present circumstances, when a vast quantity of nuclear weapons has been accumulated in the world, the protection of each individual and of all peoples is closely linked with the struggle for peace and disarmament and with the adoption of authentic measures to halt the arms race and ensure the gradual reduction of nuclear weapons until they are totally eliminated.

5. The Romanian Government States once again its decision to act, together with other States, to ensure the prohibition or restriction of all conventional weapons which are excessively injurious or have indiscriminate effects, and the adoption of urgent and effective measures for nuclear disarmament which would protect peoples from the nuclear war which seriously threatens their right to life - a fundamental condition for the protection which international humanitarian law must ensure for the individual, the civilian population and the combatants.

## UNITED KINGDOM

Upon signature:

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland will give further consideration to certain provisions of the Convention, particularly in relation to the provisions of Protocol I additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and may wish to make formal declarations in relation to these provisions at the time of ratification."



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Upon signature:

"The United States Government welcomes the adopted of this Convention, and hopes that all States will give the most serious consideration to ratification or accession. We believe that the Convention represents a positive step forward in efforts to minimize injury or damage to the civilian population in time of armed conflict. Our signature of this Convention reflects the general willingness of the United States to adopt practical and reasonable provisions concerning the conduct of military operations, for the purpose of protecting noncombatants.

At the same time, we want to emphasize that formal adherence by States to agreements restricting the use of weapons in armed conflict would be of little purpose if the parties were not firmly committed to taking every appropriate step to ensure compliance with those restrictions after their entry into force. It would be the firm intention of the United States and, we trust, all other parties to utilize the procedures and remedies provided by this Convention, and by the general laws of war, to see to it that all

parties to the Convention meet their obligations under it. The United States strongly supported proposals by other countries during the Conference to include special procedures for dealing with compliance matters, and reserves the right to propose at a later date additional procedures and remedies, should this prove necessary, to deal with such problems.

In additions, the United States of course reserves the right, at the time of ratification, to exercise the option provided by article 4(3) of the Convention, and to make statements of understanding and/or reservations, to the extent that it may deem that to be necessary to ensure the the Convention and its Protocols conform to humanitarian and military requirements. As indicated in the negotiating record of the 1980 Conference, the prohibitions and restrictions contained in the Convention and its Protocols are of course new contractual rules (with the exception of certain provisions which restate existing international law) which will only bind States upon their ratification of, or accession to, the Convention and their consent to be bound by the Protocols in question."

NOTES:

- 1/ The protocols concerned are:
- Protocol on non-detectable fragments (Protocol I);
  - Protocol on prohibitions or restrictions on the use of mines, booby-traps and other devices (Protocol II);
  - Protocol on prohibitions or restrictions on the use of incendiary weapons (Protocol III).

Each participant must consent to be bound by any two or more of the Protocols.

Acceptance of a Protocol is denoted by an "X".

Unless otherwise indicated, acceptance was notified upon ratification, acceptance, approval of, or accession to the Convention.

2/ A signature was affixed on behalf of the Lao People's Democratic Republic on 2 November 1982, i.e. after the time-limit of 10 April 1982 prescribed by article 3 of the Convention, as a result of an administrative oversight. The signature was cancelled; the Government of the Lao People's Democratic Republic subsequently acceded (on 3 January 1983) to the Convention, accepting the three Protocols.

CHAPTER XXVII. ENVIRONMENT

1. CONVENTION ON LONG-RANGE TRANSBOUNDARY AIR POLLUTION

Concluded at Geneva on 13 November 1979

ENTRY INTO FORCE: 16 March 1983, in accordance with article 16 (1).<sup>1</sup>  
 REGISTRATION: 16 March 1983, No. 21623  
 TEXT: E/ECE (XXXIV)/L-18.

Note: The Convention was adopted on 13 November 1979 by a high-level meeting within the framework of the Economic Commission for Europe on the Protection of the Environment. It was open for signature until 16 November 1979 at the United Nations Office in Geneva.

Participant	Signature	Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)		Participant	Signature	Ratification, acceptance (A), approval (AA), accession (a)	
Austria . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	16 Dec 1982		Liechtenstein . . .	14 Nov 1979	22 Nov 1983	
Belgium . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	15 Jul 1982		Luxembourg . . . .	13 Nov 1979	15 Jul 1982	
Bulgaria . . . . .	14 Nov 1979	9 Jun 1981		Netherlands . . . .	13 Nov 1979	15 Jul 1982	A <sup>3</sup>
Byelorussian SSR	14 Nov 1979	13 Jun 1980		Norway . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	13 Feb 1981	
Canada . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	15 Dec 1981		Poland . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	19 Jul 1985	
Czechoslovakia . .	13 Nov 1979	23 Dec 1983		Portugal . . . . .	14 Nov 1979	29 Sep 1980	
Denmark . . . . .	14 Nov 1979	18 Jun 1982		Romania . . . . .	14 Nov 1979		
European Economic Community . . . . .	14 Nov 1979	15 Jul 1982	AA	San Marino . . . . .	14 Nov 1979		
Finland . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	15 Apr 1981		Spain . . . . .	14 Nov 1979	15 Jun 1982	
France . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	3 Nov 1981	AA	Sweden . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	12 Feb 1981	
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	7 Jun 1982		Switzerland . . . .	13 Nov 1979	6 May 1983	
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	15 Jul 1982 <sup>2</sup>		Turkey . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	18 Apr 1983	
Greece . . . . .	14 Nov 1979	30 Aug 1983		Ukrainian SSR . . .	14 Nov 1979	5 Jun 1980	
Holy See . . . . .	14 Nov 1979			Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	22 May 1980	
Hungary . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	22 Sep 1980		United Kingdom . .	13 Nov 1979	15 Jul 1982 <sup>4</sup>	
Iceland . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	5 May 1983		United States of America . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	30 Nov 1981	A
Ireland . . . . .	13 Nov 1979	15 Jul 1982		Yugoslavia . . . . .	13 Nov 1979		
Italy . . . . .	14 Nov 1979	15 Jul 1982					

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance or accession.)

ROMANIA

Upon signature:

Romania interprets article 14 of this Convention, concerning the participation of regional economic integration organizations constituted by States members of the Economic Commission for Europe, to mean that it refers exclusively to

international organizations to which States members have transferred their competence in respect of the signature, conclusion and application on their behalf of international agreements and in respect of the exercise of their rights and responsibilities in the field of transboundary pollution.

<sup>1/</sup> The date of 16 March 1983 has been retained on the basis of the English and Russian authentic texts of article 16(1) ("... on the ninetieth day after the date of deposit of the twenty-fourth instrument ..."), which differ in that respect from the French text ("... le quatre-vingt-dixième jour à compter de la date de dépôt

...") but are more in accordance with the computation method generally used for multilateral treaties deposited with the Secretary-General.

<sup>2/</sup> With the following declaration:

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that the Convention shall also

apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

In this regard, the Secretary-General received on 20 April 1983, from the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the following communication:

In connection with the declaration of 15 July 1982 by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension to West Berlin of the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution of 13 November 1979, the Soviet Union declares that it does not object to the application of the Convention to West Berlin in such measure and to such an extent as is permissible from the standpoint of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, according to which West Berlin is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and will not be governed by it in the future.

On the same subject, the Secretary-General received the following communications:

German Democratic Republic (28 July 1983):

With regard to the application of the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution of 13 November 1979 to Berlin (West) it is the understanding of the German Democratic Republic that the application of the provisions of the Convention to Berlin (West) is in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, according to which Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and is not to be governed by it.

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America (27 April 1984):

The Governments of France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America wish to point out that the Soviet declaration referred to above contains an incomplete and therefore misleading reference to the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971. The provision of the Quadripartite Agreement to which reference is made states that 'the ties between the Western Sectors of Berlin and the Federal Republic of Germany will be maintained and developed taking into account that these Sectors continue not to be a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it'.

With regard to the declaration of the German Democratic Republic contained in [...] of 25 August 1983, the three Governments reaffirm that States which are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement are not competent to comment authoritatively on its provisions."

Federal Republic of Germany (13 June 1984):

"With reference to depository notification [...] of May 16, 1984 concerning a communication by the Governments of France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America in reply to communications from the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the German Democratic Republic, disseminated by depository notifications [...] of May 13, 1983 and [...] of August 25, 1983, relating to the application to Berlin (West) of the Convention of November 13, 1979 on Long-Range Transboundary

Air Pollution, [the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany] states that [it] supports the position set forth in the communication by the Three Powers."

Poland (19 July 1985)

"In connexion with the declaration of 15 July 1982 by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany concerning the extension of the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution of 13 November 1979 to Berlin (West), the Polish People's Republic declares that it does not object to the application of the Convention to Berlin (West) in such measure and to such an extent as it is in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, according to which Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and will not be governed by it."

France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America (18 October 1985):

"With regard to that declaration [by Poland] the Governments of the United Kingdom, the United States and France wish to recall their statement of 4 April 1984 contained in Document [communication received on 27 April 1984] of 16 May 1984.

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (2 December 1985):

The Soviet side does not object to the application of the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution of 13 November 1979 to Berlin (West) in such measure and to such an extent as is permissible from the standpoint of the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971, according to which Berlin (West) is not a constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and will not be governed by it in the future.

At the same time, the Soviet side would like to draw attention to the fact that the Powers party to the Quadripartite Agreement have formulated decisions in respect of Berlin (West) which have universal effect under international law. The extension of the above-mentioned Convention to Berlin (West) by the Federal Republic of Germany naturally affects the interests of the other parties to it, which have the right to express their opinion on that matter. That right cannot be disputed by anyone.

In this connection, the Soviet side rejects as unfounded the communication by France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America with respect to the declaration by the German Democratic Republic as a party to the 1979 Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution is entirely in conformity with the Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971

France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America (28 July 1986):

"The Quadripartite Agreement of 3 September 1971 is an international agreement concluded between the four Contracting Parties and not open to participation by any other State. In concluding this Agreement, the Four Powers acted on the basis of their quadripartite rights and responsibilities, and the corresponding wartime and post-war agreements and decisions of the Four Powers, which are not affected. The

Quadripartite Agreement is part of conventional, not customary international law.

The Governments of France, the United Kingdom and the United States therefore reaffirm the statement in the Note from the Permanent Representative of France of 4 April 1984 [...] that States which are not parties to the Quadripartite Agreement are not competent to comment authoritatively on its provisions.

Finally, [it is to be point out] that the Soviet Note of 29 November 1985 [circulated by depositary notification [...] of 6 February 1986, like the Soviet Note of 18 April 1983 [...], contains an incomplete and consequently misleading reference to the Quadripartite

Agreement. The relevant passage of that Agreement to which the Soviet Note referred provides that the ties between the Western sectors of Berlin and the Federal Republic of Germany will be maintained and developed, taking into account that these Sectors continue not to be constituent part of the Federal Republic of Germany and not to be governed by it."

3/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

4/ Including the Bailiwick of Jersey, the Bailiwick of Guernesey, the Isle of Man, Gibraltar, the United Kingdom Sovereign Base Areas of Akrotiri and Dhekelia in the Island of Cyprus.

XXVII.1: Transboundary air pollution

a) PROTOCOL TO THE 1979 CONVENTION ON LONG-RANGE TRANSBOUNDARY AIR POLLUTION ON LONG-TERM FINANCING OF THE CO-OPERATIVE PROGRAMME FOR MONITORING AND EVALUATION OF THE LONG-RANGE TRANSMISSION OF AIR POLLUTANTS IN EUROPE (EMEP)

Concluded at Geneva on 28 September 1984

Not yet in force (see article 10).  
TEXT: EB.AIR/AC.1/4, Annex, and EB.AIE/CRP.1/Add 4.

Note: The Protocol was drawn up within the framework of the Economic Commission for Europe and adopted by the Executive Body for the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution on 27 September 1984. It was opened for signature at Geneva from 28 September to 5 October 1984, and it remained open for signature at the Headquarters of the United Nations in New York until 4 April 1985.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A)</u>
Belgium . . . . .	25 Feb 1985		Italy . . . . .	28 Sep 1984	
Bulgaria . . . . .	4 Apr 1985	26 Sep 1986 AA	Liechtenstein . . . . .		1 May 1985 a
Byelorussian SSR	28 Sep 1984	4 Oct 1985 A	Luxembourg . . . . .	21 Nov 1984	
Canada . . . . .	3 Oct 1984	4 Dec 1985	Netherlands . . . . .	28 Sep 1984	22 Oct 1985 A <sup>1</sup>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		26 Nov 1986 a	Norway . . . . .	28 Sep 1984	12 Mar 1985 A
Denmark . . . . .	28 Sep 1984	29 Apr 1986	Sweden . . . . .	28 Sep 1984	12 Aug 1985
European Economic Community . . . . .	28 Sep 1984	17 Jul 1986 AA	Switzerland . . . . .	3 Oct 1984	26 Jul 1985
Finland . . . . .	7 Dec 1984	24 Jun 1986	Turkey . . . . .	3 Oct 1984	20 Dec 1985
France . . . . .	22 Feb 1985		Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	28 Sep 1984	30 Aug 1985 A
German Democratic Republic . . . . .		17 Dec 1986 a	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	28 Sep 1984	21 Aug 1985 A
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	26 Feb 1985	7 Oct 1986 <sup>1</sup>	United Kingdom . . . . .	20 Nov 1984	12 Aug 1985
Hungary . . . . .	27 Mar 1985	8 May 1985 AA	United States of America . . . . .	28 Sep 1984	29 Oct 1984 A
Ireland . . . . .	4 Apr 1985				

Déclarations et Réservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance or approval.)

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

... In accordance with article 3, paragraph 1 of the Protocol, the German Democratic Republic declares that the contributions of the German Democratic Republic will be made in national currency which can exclusively be used for deliveries and services by the German Democratic Republic.

NOTES:

1/ In a note accompanying the said instrument, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declared that the Protocol shall also apply to Berlin (West) with effect from the date on which it enters into force for the Federal Republic of Germany.

2/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

XXVII.1: Transboundary air pollution

b) PROTOCOL TO THE 1979 CONVENTION ON LONG-RANGE TRANSBOUNDARY AIR POLLUTION ON THE REDUCTION OF SULPHUR EMISSIONS OR THEIR TRANSBOUNDARY FLUXES BY AT LEAST 30 PER CENT

Concluded at Helsinki on 8 July 1985

Not yet in force (see article 10).  
TEXT: CN.193.1985.TREATIES-2 of 23 August 1985.

Note: The Protocol was drawn up within the framework of the Economic Commission for Europe and was adopted on 8 July 1985 by the Executive Body of the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution. It was open for signature at Helsinki from 8 to 12 July 1985.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), acceptance (A), approval (AA)</u>
Austria . . . . .	9 Jul 1985		Hungary . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	11 Sep 1986
Belgium . . . . .	9 Jul 1985		Italy . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	
Bulgaria . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	26 Sep 1986 <u>AA</u>	Liechtenstein . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	13 Feb 1986
Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	10 Sep 1986 <u>A</u>	Luxembourg . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	
Canada . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	4 Dec 1985	Netherlands . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	30 Apr 1986 <u>A</u> <sup>1</sup>
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	26 Nov 1986 <u>AA</u>	Norway . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	4 Nov 1986
Denmark . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	29 Apr 1986	Sweden . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	31 Mar 1986
Finland . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	24 Jun 1986	Switzerland . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	
France . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	13 Mar 1986 <u>AA</u>	Ukrainian SSR . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	2 Oct 1986 <u>A</u>
German Democratic Republic . . . . .	9 Jul 1985		Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	9 Jul 1985	10 Sep 1986 <u>A</u>
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	9 Jul 1985				

NOTES:

1/ For the Kingdom in Europe.

## XXVII.2 VIENNA CONVENTION FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE OZONE LAYER

Concluded at Vienna on 22 March 1985

Not yet in force [see article 17 (1)].

TEXT: Document of the United Nations Environmental Programme UNEP/IG.53/5/Rev.1.

Note: The Convention was adopted by the Conference on the Protection of the Ozone Layer and open for signature at Vienna from 22 March 1985 to 21 September 1985, and from 22 September 1985 at the United Nations Headquarters in New York where it will remain open until 21 March 1986.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a) acceptance (A) approval (AA)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a) acceptance (A) approval (AA)</u>
Argentina . . . . .	22 Mar 1985		Luxembourg . . . . .	17 Apr 1985	
Austria . . . . .	16 Sep 1985		Mexico . . . . .	1 Apr 1985	
Belgium . . . . .	22 Mar 1985		Morocco . . . . .	7 Feb 1986	
Burkina Faso . . . . .	12 Dec 1985		Netherlands . . . . .	22 Mar 1985	
Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic . . . . .	22 Mar 1985	20 Jun 1986 <u>A</u>	New Zealand . . . . .	21 Mar 1986	
Canada . . . . .	22 Mar 1985	4 Jun 1986	Norway . . . . .	22 Mar 1985	23 Sep 1986
Chile . . . . .	22 Mar 1985		Peru . . . . .	22 Mar 1985	
Denmark . . . . .	22 Mar 1985		Sweden . . . . .	22 Mar 1985	26 Nov 1986
Egypt . . . . .	22 Mar 1985		Switzerland . . . . .	22 Mar 1985	
European Economic Community . . . . .	22 Mar 1985		Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic . . . . .	22 Mar 1985	18 Jun 1986 <u>A</u>
Finland . . . . .	22 Mar 1985	26 Sep 1986	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	22 Mar 1985	18 Jun 1986 <u>A</u>
France . . . . .	22 Mar 1985		United Kingdom . . . . .	20 May 1985	
Germany, Federal Republic of . . . . .	22 Mar 1985		United States of America . . . . .	22 Mar 1985	27 Aug 1986
Greece . . . . .	22 Mar 1985				
Italy . . . . .	22 Mar 1985				

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession, acceptance or approval.)

## FINLAND

"With respect to article 11, paragraph 3 of the Convention Finland declares that it accepts both of the said means of dispute settlement as compulsory."

## NORWAY

"Norway accepts the means of dispute settlement as described in art. 11, para 3 (a) and (b) of the Convention as compulsory, that is a) arbitration in accordance with procedures to be adopted by the Conference of the Parties at its first ordinary meeting, or b) submission of the dispute to the International Court of Justice."

## SWEDEN

"Sweden accepts the following means of dispute settlement as compulsory:

Submission of the dispute to the International Court of Justice [article 11, paragraph 3 b)].

It is however, the intention of the Swedish Government to accept also the following means of dispute settlement as compulsory:

Arbitration in accordance with procedures to be adopted by the Conference of the Parties at its first ordinary meeting [article 11, paragraph 3(a)].

A declaration in this latter respect will, however, not be given until the procedures for arbitration have been adopted by the Conference of the Parties at its first ordinary meeting."

CHAPTER XXVIII. FISCAL MATTERS

1. (a) MULTILATERAL CONVENTION FOR THE AVOIDANCE OF DOUBLE TAXATION OF COPYRIGHT ROYALTIES

Concluded at Madrid on 13 December 1979

Not yet in force (see article 13).  
TEXT: Document of UNESCO and WIPO.

Note: The Convention (a), and the Additional Protocol (b) were established by the International Conference of States on the Double Taxation of Copyright Royalties Remitted from One Country to Another, held in Madrid from 26 November to 13 December 1979. The Conference was convened jointly by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) and the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), in accordance with resolution 5/9.2/1, section II, adopted by the General Conference of UNESCO at its twentieth session, and with the decisions taken by the General Assembly of WIPO and by the Assembly and the Conference of Representatives of the International Union for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works (Berne Union) during their ordinary sessions held in September 1978.

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>
Cameroon . . . . .	13 Dec 1979		India . . . . .		31 Jan 1983 a
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	29 Oct 1980	24 Sep 1981	Iraq . . . . .		15 Jul 1981 a
Egypt . . . . .		11 Feb 1982 a	Israel . . . . .	13 Dec 1979	
Holy See . . . . .	13 Dec 1979				

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, acceptance or accession.)

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Declaration made upon signature and confirmed upon ratification:

"The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 17, paragraph 1, according to which all disputes between two or more Contracting States concerning the interpretation or in the matter of application of this Convention, not settled by negotiation, shall, unless the States concerned agree on some other method of settlement, be

brought before the International Court of Justice for determination by it, and it declares that in every case an agreement of all the parties to the dispute is needed for bringing that dispute before the International Court of Justice."

INDIA

Reservation

The Government of India does not consider itself bound by articles 1 to 4 and 17 of the Convention.



(b) ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL

Concluded at Madrid on 13 December 1979

Not yet in force (see paragraph 2(b)).  
TEXT: Document of UNESCO and WIPO.

(See "Note" at the beginning of chapter XXVIII.1(a).)

---

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, acceptance (A), accession (a)</u>
Cameroon . . . . .	13 Dec 1979		Holy See . . . . .	13 Dec 1979	
Czechoslovakia . . . . .		24 Sep 1981 a	Israel . . . . .	13 Dec 1979	

## **Part II**

### **League of Nations Multilateral Treaties**



II.1: Broadcasting in the cause of peace

1. CONVENTION CONCERNING THE USE OF BROADCASTING IN THE CAUSE OF PEACE

Geneva, September 23rd, 1936<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since April 2nd, 1938 (article 11).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Brazil (February 11th, 1938)  
Great Britain and Northern Ireland (August 18th, 1937)  
Burma (October 13th, 1937 a)  
Southern Rhodesia (November 1st, 1937 a)  
Aden Colony, Bahamas, Barbados, Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Ceylon, Cyprus, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Fiji, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony, Gold Coast [(a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate], Hong Kong, Jamaica (including Turks and Caicos Islands and the Cayman Islands), Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Leeward Islands (Antigua, Dominica, Montserrat, St. Christopher and Nevis, Virgin Islands), Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States: Negri Sembiland, Pahang, Perak, Selangor; (b) Unfederated Malay States: Johore, Kedah, Kelantan, Perlis, Trengganu, and Brunei], Malta, Mauritius, Nigeria [(a) Colony, (b) Protectorate, (c) Cameroons under British Mandate], North Borneo (State of), Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland Protectorate, Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), St. Helena and Ascension, Sarawak, Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Somaliland Protectorate, Straits Settlements, Swaziland, Tanganyika Territory, Tonga, Trans-Jordan, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Protectorate, Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Lucia, St Vincent), Zanzibar Protectorate (July 14th, 1939 a)  
Australia (June 25th, 1937 a)  
Including the Territories of Papua and Norfolk Island and the Mandated Territories of New Guinea and Nauru.  
New Zealand (January 27th, 1938)  
Union of South Africa (February 1st, 1938 a)  
Including the Mandated Territory of South West Africa.  
India (August 11th, 1937)  
Ireland (May 25th, 1938 a)  
Chile (February 20th, 1940)  
Denmark (October 11th, 1937)  
Egypt (July 29th, 1938)  
Estonia (August 18th 1938)  
Finland (November 29th, 1938 a)  
France (March 8th, 1938)  
French Colonies and Protectorates and Territories under French Mandate (January 14th, 1939 a)  
Guatemala (November 18th, 1938 a)  
Latvia (April 25th, 1939 a)  
Luxembourg (February 8th, 1938)  
The Netherlands (including the Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curacao) (February 15th, 1939)  
New Hebrides (July 14th, 1939 a)  
Norway (May 5th, 1938)  
Salvador (August 18th, 1938 a)  
Sweden (June 22nd, 1938 a)  
Switzerland (December 30th, 1938)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

Albania  
Argentine Republic  
Austria  
Belgium

Under reservation of the declarations mentioned in the procès-verbal of the final meeting of the Conference.<sup>2</sup>

Colombia  
Czechoslovakia  
Dominican Republic  
Greece

Lithuania  
Mexico  
Romania  
Spain

Under reservation of the declaration mentioned in the procès-verbal of the final meeting of the Conference.<sup>3</sup>

Turkey  
Uruguay

II.1: Broadcasting in the cause of peace

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Denunciation</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Denunciation</u>
Afghanistan .	8 Feb 1985 <sup>4</sup>		Hungary . . .	20 Sep 1984 a <sup>9</sup>	
Australia . .		17 May 1985	Lao People's Democratic Republic . . .	23 Mar 1966 a	
Bulgaria <sup>5</sup> . .	17 May 1972 a		Netherlands <sup>10</sup>		
Cameroon . .	19 Jun 1967 d		Malta . . . . .	1 Aug 1966 d	
Czechoslovakia	29 Jan 1985 <sup>6</sup>		Mauritius . . .	18 Jul 1969 d	
France . . . .		13 Apr 1984 <sup>7</sup>	Mongolia . . . .	10 Jul 1984 a <sup>11</sup>	
German Democratic Republic . . .	19 Dec 1984 a <sup>8</sup>		USSR . . . . .	3 Feb 1983 <sup>12</sup>	
Holy See . . .	5 Jan 1967 a		United Kingdom		24 Jul 1985 <sup>13</sup>

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 4319. See League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 186, p. 301; vol. 197, p. 394, and vol. 200, p. 557.

2/ These declarations are worded as follows:  
"The Delegation of Belgium declares its opinion that the right of a country to jam by its own means improper transmissions emanating from another country, in so far as such a right exists in conformity with the general provisions of international law and with the Conventions in force, is in no way affected by the Convention."

3/ This declaration is worded as follows:  
"The Spanish Delegation declares that its Government reserves the right to put a stop by all possible means to propaganda liable adversely to affect internal order in Spain and involving a breach of the Convention, in the event of the procedure proposed by the Convention not permitting of immediate steps to put a stop to such breach."

4/ The instrument of accession was received on 31 July 1984 from the Government of Afghanistan, with the following reservation and declarations:

Reservation:

(1) The Democratic Republic of Afghanistan, by acceding to the International Convention concerning the Use of Broadcasting in the Cause of Peace, does not bound herself to the provision of article 7 of the said Convention, because, in accordance with this article, in the case of dispute arising between two or several High Contracting Parties regarding the interpretation or application of the Convention, only at the request of one of the concerned parties, the case can be submitted to the Permanent Court of International Justice for judgement.

Therefore, concerning this matter, the Democratic Republic of Afghanistan declares that in the case of dispute regarding the interpretation or application of the Convention, the case should be submitted to the Permanent Court of International Justice with the agreement of all concerned parties.

Interpretative declaration:

(ii) Likewise, the Democratic Republic of Afghanistan declares that the provision of article 14 of this Convention runs counter to the Declaration, adopted in the year 1960, on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples, the interpretation of which indirectly confirms the continuation of the existence of the colonies and protectorates. Therefore, the Democratic Republic of Afghanistan does not deem necessary the existence of article 14 in the said Convention and does not bound herself to it.

In accordance with established procedure, the Secretary-General circulated the said reservation and interpretative declaration on 9 November 1984 and, in the absence of objection within the period of 90 days as from that date, proceeded with the deposit of the instrument of accession with reservation and interpretative declaration.

5/ The instrument of accession was received on 4 November 1971, from the Government of Bulgaria, and accompanied with the following reservation:

1. The People's Republic of Bulgaria will not consider itself bound by the provisions of the section of article 7 of the Convention which provided for consideration of disputes between Parties by the International Court of Justice at the request of one of the Parties. Any decision by the Court concerning a dispute between the People's Republic of Bulgaria and another Party to the Convention rendered on a basis of a request made to the Court without the consent of the People's Republic of Bulgaria will be considered null and void.

2. The People's Republic of Bulgaria will apply the principles of the Convention in respect of all States Parties to the Convention on the basis of reciprocity. However, the Convention will not be deemed to create formal commitments between countries which do not maintain diplomatic relations.

Since the Convention concerned is one of those in respect of which the Secretary-General, under resolution 24(I) of the United Nations General Assembly, exercises the functions previously carried out by the Secretary-General of the League

of Nations, and taking into account the practice followed by the latter in the case of reservations made in respect of multilateral treaties which do not contain provision in that regard, the Secretary-General had requested the States concerned, by circular letter dated 17 February 1972, to notify him within 90 days of any objection to the reservation quoted above.

In a communication received by the Secretary-General on 12 May 1972 with respect to the above reservation, the Permanent Representative of the United Kingdom to the United Nations stated the following:

"The United Kingdom Government wish to put on record that they are unable to accept the reservation contained in paragraph 1 of this statement. They are also unable to accept the reservation contained in the second sentence of paragraph 2 because, in their view, treaties create rights and obligations between contracting States irrespective of whether those States maintain diplomatic relations. They do not, however, consider these objections as precluding the entry into force of the Convention for Bulgaria."

This above-quoted objection being the only one received by the Secretary-General within the 90 day period, and it not precluding the entry into force of the Convention for Bulgaria, the Secretary-General proceeded with the deposit of the instrument with reservation and declaration.

6/ The instrument of ratification was received on 18 September 1984 from the Government of Czechoslovakia accompanied with the following reservation and declarations:

Reservation:

"Having seen and considered the International Convention aforesaid and knowing that the Federal Assembly of the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic agrees to it, we approve and confirm it in accordance with its article 9, while stipulating that the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic does not feel to be bound by the provisions of its article 7 concerning the submission of disputes over the interpretation or implementation of the Convention to arbitration or judicial settlement."

Declarations:

"The provisions of article 14 is in contradiction to the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples which was adopted at the XVth Session of the General Assembly of the United Nations in 1960 and the Czechoslovak Socialist Republic regards it therefore as superseded".

The Czechoslovak Socialist Republic retains the right to adopt any measures in protection of its interests, both in case of failure by other States to comply with the Convention and in case of other actions harmful to its interests".

In accordance with established procedure, the Secretary-General circulated the said reservation and declarations on 30 October 1984 and, in the absence of objection within the period of 90 days as from that date, proceeded with the deposit of the instrument of ratification with reservation and declarations.

7/ The notification specifies that the denunciation is being effected since the French broadcasting régime resulting from the Law 29 July 1982 on audio-visual communications does not appear to be compatible with the provisions of the Convention.

8/ The instrument of accession contains the following reservation and declaration:

Reservation

The German Democratic Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of Article 7 of the Convention, according to which disputes regarding the interpretation or application of the Convention in the absence of a settlement by way of negotiation shall be submitted, at the request of one of the Parties to the dispute, to arbitration or to judicial settlement. The German Democratic Republic holds the view that in every single case the consent of all Parties to the dispute shall be necessary to refer a particular dispute to arbitration or to judicial settlement.

Declaration

The position of the German Democratic Republic on Article 14 of the International Convention concerning the Use of Broadcasting in the Cause of Peace of 23 September 1936, as far as the application of the Convention to colonial and other dependent territories is concerned, is governed by the provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples (Resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960) proclaiming the necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations. The German Democratic Republic expresses its conviction that the purpose of the Convention would be served if all member States of the United Nations Organization were granted the possibility to become parties to the Convention. The German Democratic Republic declares that it reserves itself the right to take measures to protect its interests in the case that other States would not comply with the provisions of the Convention or in the case of other activities which affect the interests of the German Democratic Republic.

In this regard, the Secretary-General received on 5 December 1984 from the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the following objection:

"1. [The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland] do not accept the reservation to article 7 of the Convention contained in the note accompanying the instrument.

2. [The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland] do not accept the declaration concerning article 14 contained in the note accompanying the instrument.

3. [The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland] do not consider either of the foregoing statements as precluding the entry into force of the Convention for the German Democratic Republic."

9/ The instrument of accession contains the following declaration and reservation:

Declaration

"The Hungarian People's Republic declares [...] that the provisions of article 14 of the Convention are at variance with United Nations General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960 on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples and as such have lost their topicality.

Reservation

The Hungarian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 7 of the Convention that should a dispute arise between the Parties regarding the interpretation or application of the present Convention for which it has been found impossible to arrive at a satisfactory settlement through the diplomatic channel, it shall, at the request of one of the Parties, be submitted to arbitration or to judicial settlement, and declares that submission of any such dispute to arbitration or to judicial settlement shall be subject to the common consent of the Parties.

In this regard, the Secretary-General received on 24 September 1984, from the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the following objection:

[The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland]:

"1. do not accept the reservation to article 7 of the Convention contained in the note accompanying the instrument.

2. do not accept the declaration concerning article 14 contained in the note accompanying the instrument.

3. do not consider either of the foregoing statements as precluding the entry into force of the Convention for Hungary."

10/ Notification of denunciation received on 11 October 1982, with effect from 11 October 1983.

11/ The instrument of accession was received on 10 July 1984 from the Government of Mongolia and accompanied with the following reservation and declarations:

Reservation:

The Mongolian People's Republic does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 7 of the Convention under which disputes concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention and which has not been settled by means of negotiations shall be submitted to arbitration or to judicial settlement at the request of one of the Parties to the dispute. The Mongolian People's Republic considers that for the submission of a dispute to any judicial settlement, the consent of all Parties to the dispute shall be essential in every individual case.

Declarations:

The Mongolian People's Republic declares that it retains the right to take any measures to preserve its interests both in the event of failure by other states to observe the provisions of the Convention and in the event of encroachment on the interests of the Mongolian People's Republic;

The Mongolian People's Republic declares that the provisions of article 14 of this Convention

are obsolete and contradict the Declaration on the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly resolution 1514/XV of 14 December 1960.

In accordance with established procedure, the Secretary-General circulated the said reservation and declarations on 6 September 1985 and, in the absence of objection within the period of ninety days as from that date, proceeded with the deposit of the instrument of accession with the said reservation and declaration.

12/ The signature was effected on 23 September 1936 under the reservation of the declarations mentioned in the procès-verbal of the final meeting to the Conference (for the text of the declarations, see League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. CLXXXVI, p. 317. The instrument of ratification, received by the depositary on 28 October 1982, was accompanied by the following reservation and declaration, which supersede those made upon signature :

[1.] The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 7 of the Convention under which any dispute that may arise regarding the interpretation or application of the Convention which has not been settled by means of negotiations shall be submitted to arbitration or to judicial settlement at the request of one of the Parties, and declares that, for the submission of such a dispute to arbitration or to judicial settlement, the agreement of all Parties to the dispute shall be essential in every separate case;

[2.] The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that it retains the right to take any measures to preserve its interests both in the event of failure by other States to observe the provisions of the Convention and in the event of any other actions that encroach on the interests of the USSR;

[3.] The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declares that the provisions of article 14 of the Convention are obsolete and contradict the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples adopted by the United Nations General Assembly (resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960).

In accordance with established procedure, the Secretary-General circulated the said reservation and declarations on 5 November 1982 and, in the absence of objection within the period of 90 days as from that date, proceeded with the deposit of the instrument of ratification with reservation and declarations.

In this regard, the Secretary-General received on 9 December 1983 from the Government of the United Kingdom and Northern Ireland, the following communication:

"The Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland wish to place on record the following:

1. They do not accept the reservation to article 7 of the Convention reproduced under (1) of [the reservation and declarations made by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics].

2. They note [the Secretary-General's] understanding that the declaration reproduced under (2) of [the said reservation and declara-

tions] does not purport to modify the legal effect of any provision of the Convention. If, contrary to this understanding, the declaration were intended to modify the legal effect of any provision of the Convention, they would consider it incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention, particularly when taken together with the purported reservation to article 7.

3. They do not accept the declaration concerning article 14 reproduced under (3) of [the said reservation and declarations].

4. They do not consider any of the foregoing statements as precluding the entry into force of the Convention for the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics."

13/ The notification specifies that the denunciation shall apply in respect of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and those dependent territories to which the Convention was applied and for whose international relations the United Kingdom is still responsible.



2. SPECIAL PROTOCOL CONCERNING STATELESSNESS

The Hague, April 12th, 1930<sup>1</sup>

Not yet in force (articles 9 and 10).<sup>2</sup>

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Belgium (April 4th, 1939)  
 With the reservation that the application of this Protocol will not be extended to the Colony of the Belgian Congo or to the Territories under mandate.

Brazil (September 19th, 1931 a)  
 Great Britain and Northern Ireland and all parts of the British Empire which are not separate Members of the League of Nations (January 14th, 1932)

Burma<sup>3</sup>  
 His Majesty the King does not assume any obligation in respect of the Karenni States, which are under His Majesty's suzerainty, or the population of the said States.

Australia (July 8th, 1935 a)  
 Including the territories of Papua and Norfolk

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Island and the mandated territories of New Guinea and Nauru.  
 Union of South Africa (April 9th, 1936)  
 India (September 28th, 1932)  
 In accordance with the provisions of Article 13 of this Protocol, His Britannic Majesty does not assume any obligation in respect of the territories in India of any Prince or Chief under His suzerainty or the population of the said territories.

China<sup>4</sup> (February 14th, 1935)  
 Salvador (October 14th, 1935)  
 The Republic of Salvador does not assume the obligation laid down by the Protocol where the Salvadorian nationality possessed by the person and ultimately lost by him was acquired by naturalisation.

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Austria	Greece	Peru
Canada	Ireland	Portugal
Colombia	Luxembourg	Spain
Cuba	Mexico	Uruguay
Egypt		

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Succession</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Succession</u>
China <sup>4</sup>		Pakistan <sup>5</sup>	29 Jul 1953
Fiji . . . . .	25 May 1973		

NOTES:

- 1/ See document C.27.M.16.1931.U.
- 2/ The Protocol shall enter into force ninety days after having received ten ratifications or accessions (Articles 9 and 10).
- 3/ As mentioned in the latest official list of the League of Nations, Burma, which was formerly a part of India, was separated from the latter on 1 April 1937 and had possessed since that time the status of an overseas territory of the United Kingdom. It was as such that Burma continued to be bound by a ratification or accession recorded on behalf of India before the date above mentioned.
- 4/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

On 12 September 1973, the Secretary-General received a communication from the Government of China to the effect that it had decided not to recognize as binding on China the Special Protocol concerning Statelessness of April 12th, 1930, signed and ratified by the defunct Government of China. That notification was treated as a withdrawal of the instrument.

5/ In a communication received on 29 July 1953, the Government of Pakistan notified the Secretary-General that by reason of Article 4 of the Schedule to the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order, 1947, the rights and obligations under the Special Protocol devolve upon Pakistan, and that the Government of Pakistan, "therefore, considers itself a party to that Protocol".

II.3: Statelessness

3. PROTOCOL RELATING TO A CERTAIN CASE OF STATELESSNESS

The Hague, April 12th, 1930<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since July 1st, 1937 (articles 9 and 10).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Brazil (September 19th, 1931 a)  
 Great Britain and Northern Ireland and all parts of the British Empire which are not separate Members of the League of Nations  
 (January 14th, 1932)  
Burma<sup>2</sup>  
 His Majesty the King does not assume any obligation in respect of the Karenni States, which are under His Majesty's suzerainty, or the population of the said States.  
 Australia (July 8th, 1935)  
 (Including the territories of Papua and Norfolk Island and the mandated territories of New Guinea and Nauru.)  
 Union of South Africa (April 9th, 1936)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

India (September 28th, 1932)  
 In accordance with the Provisions of Article 13 of this Protocol, His Britannic Majesty does not assume any obligation in respect of the territories in India of any Prince or Chief under his suzerainty or the population of the said territories.  
 Chile (March 20th, 1935)  
 China<sup>3</sup> (February 14th, 1935)  
 The Netherlands (April 2nd, 1937)  
 Including the Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curacao.  
 Poland (June 15th, 1934)  
 Salvador (October 14th, 1935 a)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Belgium Subject to accession later for the Colony of the Congo and the Mandated Territories.	Denmark	Latvia
Canada	Egypt	Luxembourg
Colombia	Estonia	Mexico
Cuba	France	Peru
Czechoslovakia	Greece	Portugal
	Ireland	Spain
	Japan	Uruguay

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a). succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a). succession (d)</u>
Cyprus . . . . .	3 Apr 1978 <u>d</u>	Malta <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	16 Aug 1966 <u>d</u>
Fiji . . . . .	12 Jun 1972 <u>d</u>	Mauritius . . . . .	18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Jamaica . . . . .	12 Jun 1968 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .	18 Jul 1968 <u>a</u>
Kiribati . . . . .	29 Nov 1983 <u>d</u>	Pakistan . . . . .	29 Jul 1953 <u>d</u>
Lesotho . . . . .	4 Nov 1974 <u>d</u>	Yugoslavia . . . . .	15 Dec 1959 <u>a</u>
Malawi <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	11 Jul 1967 <u>a</u>		

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 4138. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 179, p. 115.

2/ See note 3 in part II.2 in the League of Nations Treaties.

3/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

4/ The instrument of accession contains the following reservation made in accordance with article 4 of the Protocol:

"Article 1 shall only be binding upon the Government of Malawi in cases where the mother of a person referred to therein is both a citizen of Malawi and of African race. However, no

such person who is denied citizenship of Malawi because his mother is not of African race shall be precluded from applying for citizenship of Malawi on the grounds of close connection with Malawi, birth in Malawi being regarded as a close connection for this purpose."

5/ The notification of succession contains the following declaration:

"In accordance with article 4 of the Protocol, the Government of Malta declares that:

"(i) article 1 shall apply unconditionally to any person born in Malta on or after the 21st September 1964;

"(ii) in regard to a person born in Malta before the 21st September 1964, article 1 shall only apply, where such person was on 20 September 1964, a citizen of the United Kingdom and Colonies and one of his parents was born in Malta."

## II.4: Nationality

### 4. CONVENTION ON CERTAIN QUESTIONS RELATING TO THE CONFLICT OF NATIONALITY LAWS

The Hague, April 12th, 1930<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since July 1st, 1937 (articles 25 and 26).

#### Ratifications or definitive accessions

Belgium (April 4th, 1939)  
Subject to accession later for the Colony of the Congo and the Mandated Territories. Excluding Article 16 of the Convention.

Brazil (September 19th, 1931 a)  
With reservations as regards Articles 5, 6, 7, 16 and 17, which Brazil will not adopt owing to difficulties with which it has to contend in connection with principles forming the basis of its internal legislation.

Great Britain and Northern Ireland and all parts of the British Empire which are not separate members of the League of Nations (April 6th, 1934)  
Burma<sup>2</sup>  
His Majesty the King does not assume any obligation in respect of the Karenni States, which are under His Majesty's suzerainty, or the population of the said States.

Canada (April 6th, 1934)

Australia (November 10th, 1937)  
Including the territories of Papua and Norfolk Island.

India (October 7th, 1935)

#### Ratifications or definitive accessions

In accordance with the provisions of Article 29, His Britannic Majesty does not assume any obligation in respect of the territories in India of any Prince or Chief under his suzerainty or the population of the said territories.

China<sup>3</sup> (February 14th, 1935)  
Subject to reservation as regards Article 4.

Monaco (April 27th, 1931 a)

The Netherlands (April 2nd, 1937)  
Including the Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curacao  
Excluding the provisions of Articles 8, 9 and 10 of the Convention.

Norway (March 16th, 1931 a)

Poland (June 15th, 1934)

Sweden (July 6th, 1933)  
The Swedish Government declares that it does not accept to be bound by the provisions of the second sentence of Article 11, in the case where the wife referred to in the article, after recovering the nationality of her country of origin, fails to establish her ordinary residence in that country.

#### Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Austria

Union of South Africa

Chile

Colombia  
Subject to reservation as regards Article 10.

Cuba  
Subject to reservation as regards Articles 9, 10 and 11.

Czechoslovakia

Denmark  
Subject to reservation as regards Articles 5 and 11.

Egypt

Estonia

France

Germany

Greece

Hungary

Iceland

Ireland

Italy

Japan  
Subject to reservation as regards Articles 4 and 10 and as regards the words "according to its law" of Article 13.

Latvia

Luxembourg

Mexico  
Subject to reservation as regards paragraph 2 of Article 1.

Peru  
Subject to reservation as regards Article 4.

Portugal

Salvador

Spain

Switzerland  
Subject to reservation as regards Article 10.

Uruguay

Yugoslavia

II.4: Nationality

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Cyprus . . . . .	27 Mar 1970 <u>d</u>	Malta <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	16 Aug 1966 <u>d</u>
Fiji . . . . .	12 Jun 1972 <u>d</u>	Mauritius <sup>6</sup> . . . . .	18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Kiribati . . . . .	29 Nov 1983 <u>d</u>	Pakistan . . . . .	29 Jul 1953 <u>d</u>
Lesotho <sup>4</sup>		Swaziland . . . . .	18 Sep 1970 <u>a</u>

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 4137. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 179, p. 89.

2/ See note 3 in part II.2 in the League of Nations Treaties.

3/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

4/ The notification of succession contains the following reservation:

"In accordance with article 20 of the Convention, the Government of the Kingdom of Lesotho declares that the second paragraph of article 6 of the Convention shall not apply so as to give effect to a declaration of renunciation of the citizenship of Lesotho if such declaration is made during any war in which Lesotho is engaged, or if the Government of Lesotho considers such declaration otherwise not conducive to the public good."

The above reservation not having been originally formulated by the Government of the United Kingdom in respect of Basutoland, it has become effective for Lesotho on the date on which it would have done so under the provisions of

article 26 of the Convention, had it been formulated upon accession, that is to say, on 2 February 1975.

5/ The notification of succession contains the following declaration:

"In accordance with article 20 of the Convention, the Government of Malta declares that:

"(a) the second paragraph of article 6 of the Convention shall not apply in Malta so as to give immediate effect to a declaration of renunciation of citizenship of Malta, if such declaration is made during any war in which Malta may be engaged or if in the opinion of the Government of Malta such declaration is otherwise contrary to the public policy;

"(b) article 16 of the Convention shall not apply to an illegitimate child born outside Malta."

6/ The notification of succession contains the following declaration:

"In accordance with article 20 of the Convention the Government of Mauritius declares that the second paragraph of article 6 of the Convention shall not apply in Mauritius so as to give effect to a declaration of renunciation of the citizenship of Mauritius, if such declaration is made during any war in which Mauritius is engaged."

II.5: Nationality

5. PROTOCOL RELATING TO MILITARY OBLIGATIONS IN CERTAIN CASES OF DOUBLE NATIONALITY

The Hague, April 12th, 1930<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since May 25th, 1937 (articles 11 and 12).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

United States of America (August 3rd, 1932)  
 Belgium (April 4th, 1939)  
 Subject to accession later for the Colony of the Congo and the Mandated Territories.  
 Brazil (September 19th, 1931 a)  
 Great Britain and Northern Ireland and all parts of the British Empire which are not separate Members of the League of Nations (January 14th, 1932)  
Burma<sup>2</sup>  
 His Majesty the King does not assume any obligation in respect of the Karenni States, which are under His Majesty's suzerainty, or the population of the said States.  
 Australia (July 8th, 1935 a)  
 Including the territories of Papua and Norfolk Island and the mandated territories of New Guinea and Nauru.  
 Union of South Africa (October 9th, 1935 a)  
 Subject to reservation as regards Article 2.  
 India (September 28th, 1932)  
 In accordance with the provisions of Article 15,

Ratifications or definitive accessions

His Britannic Majesty does not assume any obligation in respect of the territories in India of any Prince or Chief under his suzerainty or the population of the said territories.  
 Colombia (February 24th, 1937)  
 Cuba (October 22nd, 1936)  
 The Government of Cuba declares that it does not accept the obligation imposed by Article 2 of the Protocol when the minor referred to in that Article, although he has the right, on attaining his majority, to renounce or decline Cuban nationality, habitually resides in the territory of the State and is in fact more closely connected with the latter than with any other State whose nationality he may also possess.  
 The Netherlands (April 2nd, 1937)  
 Including the Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curacao.  
 Salvador (October 14th, 1935)  
 Sweden (July 6th, 1933)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Canada	Germany	Peru
Chile	Greece	Portugal
Denmark	Ireland	Spain
Egypt	Luxembourg	Uruguay
France	Mexico	

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Austria . . . . .	28 Jul 1958	Malta . . . . .	16 Aug 1966 d
Cyprus . . . . .	27 Mar 1970 d	Mauritania . . . . .	2 Mar 1966 a
Fiji . . . . .	12 Jun 1972 d	Mauritius . . . . .	18 Jul 1969 d
Kiribati . . . . .	29 Nov 1983 d	Niger . . . . .	25 Jul 1966 a
Lesotho . . . . .	4 Nov 1974 d	Nigeria . . . . .	17 Mar 1967 a
Malawi . . . . .	13 Oct 1966 a	Swaziland . . . . .	18 Sep 1970 a

NOTES:

- 1/ Registered No. 4117. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 178, p. 227.
- 2/ See note 3 in part II.2 in the League of Nations Treaties.

6. PROTOCOL ON ARBITRATION CLAUSES

Geneva, September 24th, 1923<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since July 28th, 1924 (article 6).

Ratifications

Albania (August 29th, 1924)  
 Austria (January 25th, 1928)  
 Belgium (September 23rd, 1924)  
 Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in the first paragraph of Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.  
 Brazil (February 5th, 1932)  
 Subject to the condition that the arbitral agreement or the arbitration clause mentioned in Article 1 of this Protocol should be limited to contracts which are considered as commercial by the Brazilian legislation.  
 British Empire (September 27th, 1924)  
 Applies only to Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and consequently does not include any of the Colonies, Overseas Possessions or Protectorates under His Britannic Majesty's sovereignty or authority or any territory in respect of which His Majesty's Government exercises a mandate.  
Southern Rhodesia (December 18th, 1924 a)  
Newfoundland (June 22nd, 1925 a)  
British Guiana, British Honduras, Ceylon, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gold Coast (including Ashanti and the Northern Territories of the Gold Coast and Togoland), Gibraltar, Jamaica (Turks and Caicos Islands and Cayman Islands), Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Leeward Islands, Malta, Mauritius, Northern Rhodesia, Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), Trans-Jordan, Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent), Zanzibar  
 (March 12th, 1926 a)  
Tanqanyika (June 17th, 1926 a)  
St. Helena (July 29th, 1926 a)  
Uganda (June 28th, 1929 a)  
Bahamas (January 23rd, 1931 a)  
Burma (excluding the Karenni States under His Majesty's suzerainty) (October 19th, 1938 a)  
 His Majesty reserves the right to limit the obligations mentioned in the first paragraph of Article 1 to contracts which are considered commercial under the law of Burma.  
 New Zealand (June 9th, 1926)  
 India (October 23rd, 1937)  
 Is not binding as regards the enforcement of the provisions of this Protocol upon the territories in India of any Prince or Chief under the suzerainty of His Majesty.  
 India reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in the first paragraph of Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.  
 Czechoslovakia (September 18th, 1931)  
 The Czechoslovak Republic will regard itself as being bound only in relation to States which will have ratified the Convention of September 26th, 1927, on the Execution of

Ratifications

Foreign Arbitral Awards, and the Czechoslovak Republic does not intend by this signature to invalidate in any way the bilateral treaties concluded by it which regulate the questions referred to in the present Protocol by provisions going beyond the provisions of the Protocol.  
 Denmark (April 6th, 1925)  
 Under Danish law, arbitral awards made by an Arbitral Tribunal do not immediately become operative; it is necessary in each case, in order to make an award operative, to apply to the ordinary courts of law. In the course of the proceedings, however, the arbitral award will generally be accepted by such courts without further examination as a basis of the final judgments in the affair.  
 Estonia (May 16th, 1929)  
 Limits, in accordance with Article 1, paragraph 2 of this Protocol, the obligation mentioned in paragraph 1 of the said article to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.  
 Finland (July 10th, 1924)  
 France (June 7th, 1928)  
 Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in paragraph 2 of Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law. Its acceptance of the present Protocol does not include the Colonies, Overseas Possessions or Protectorates or Territories in respect of which France exercises a mandate.  
 Germany (November 5th, 1924)  
 Greece (May 26th, 1926)  
 Iraq (March 12th, 1926 a)  
 Italy (excluding Colonies) (July 28th, 1924)  
 Japan (June 4th, 1928)  
Chosen, Taiwan, Karafuto, the leased territory of Kwantung, and the territories in respect of which Japan exercises a mandate (February 26th, 1929 a)  
 Luxembourg (September 15th, 1930)  
 Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in the first paragraph of Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.  
 Monaco (February 8th, 1927)  
 Reserves the right to limit its obligation to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.  
 The Netherlands (including the Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curacao) (August 6th, 1925)  
 The Government of the Netherlands declares its opinion that the recognition in principle of the validity of arbitration clauses in no way affects either the restrictive provisions at present existing under Netherlands law or the right to introduce other restrictions in the future.<sup>2</sup>

II.6: Protocol on Arbitration Clauses, 1923

Norway	(September 2nd, 1927)	Romania	(March 12th, 1925)
Poland	(June 26th, 1931)	Subject to the reservation that the Royal Government may in all circumstances limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1, paragraph 2, to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.	
Under reservation that, in conformity with paragraph 2 of Article 1, the undertaking contemplated in the said Article will apply only to contracts which are declared as commercial in accordance with national Polish law.		Spain	(July 29th, 1926)
Portugal	(December 10th, 1930)	Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1, paragraph 2, to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.	
(1) In accordance with the second paragraph of Article 1, the Portuguese Government reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in the first paragraph of Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.		Its acceptance of the present Protocol does not include the Spanish Possessions in Africa, or the territories of the Spanish Protectorate in Morocco.	
(2) According to the terms of the first paragraph of Article 8, the Portuguese Government declares that its acceptance of the present Protocol does not include its Colonies.		Sweden	(August 8th, 1929)
		Switzerland	(May 14th, 1928)
		Thailand	(September 3rd, 1930)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratifications

Bolivia		to agreements for the submission of a dispute to an arbitral tribunal sitting in a foreign country.
Chile		Any agreement which submits to a foreign tribunal or to an arbitral tribunal a dispute relating to insurance contracts shall be null and void if the person insured is domiciled in the country or if the interest insured is situated in the country.
Latvia	Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in paragraph 2 of Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.	It shall be the duty of the tribunal to ensure as a matter of routine that this provision is observed even during procedure for distraint or during bankruptcy proceedings. <sup>3</sup>
Liechtenstein	Subject to the following reservation: Agreements which are the subject of a special contract, or of clauses embodied in other contracts, attributing competence to a foreign tribunal, if they are concluded between nationals and foreigners or between nationals in the country, shall henceforth be valid only when they have been drawn up in due legal form.	Lithuania
	This provision shall apply also to stipulations in articles of association, deeds of partnership and similar instruments and also	Nicaragua
		Panama
		Paraguay
		Peru
		Salvador
		Uruguay

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

Participant	Signature	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	Participant	Signature	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Bahamas . . . . .		16 Feb 1977 d	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 d
Bangladesh . . . . .	27 Jun 1979	27 Jun 1979	Republic of Korea . . . . .	4 Mar 1968	
German Democratic Republic <sup>4</sup>			Uganda . . . . .	5 May 1965	
Ireland . . . . .	29 Nov 1956	11 Mar 1957	United Kingdom on behalf of		
Israel . . . . .	24 Oct 1951	13 Dec 1951	Hong Kong . . . . .		10 Feb 1965 a
Malta . . . . .		16 Aug 1966 d	Yugoslavia . . . . .	13 Mar 1959	13 Mar 1959

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 678 League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 27, p. 157.

2/ Further, when signing and ratifying, the Netherlands Government made a reservation which it withdrew, in respect of the Kingdom of Europe, on February 22nd, 1938 (see League of Nations,

Treaty Series, vol. 185, p. 372) and, as regards the Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao, on April 16th, 1940 (see ibid., vol. 200, p. 500).

3/ This reservation has been submitted to the States parties to the Protocol for acceptance.

4/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Protocol as from 4 April 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application as from 4 April 1958, of the Protocol of 24 September 1923 on Arbitration Clauses, the Government of the

Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of the reapplication of the the Protocol on Arbitration Clauses of 24 September 1923 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States."



7. CONVENTION ON THE EXECUTION OF FOREIGN ARBITRAL AWARDS

Geneva, September 26th, 1927<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since July 25th, 1929 (article 8).

Ratifications

Austria (July 18th, 1930)  
 Belgium (April 27th, 1929)  
 Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.  
Belgian Congo, Territory of Ruanda-Urundi (June 5th, 1930 a)  
 Great Britain and Northern Ireland (July 2nd, 1930)  
 Newfoundland (January 7th, 1931 a)  
Bahamas, British Guiana, British Honduras, Falkland Islands, Gibraltar, Gold Coast [(a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate], Jamaica (including Turks and Caicos Islands and Cayman Islands), Kenya, Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), Tanganyika Territory, Uganda Protectorate, Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent), Zanzibar (May 26th, 1931 a)  
 Mauritius (July 13th, 1931 a)  
 Northern Rhodesia (July 13th, 1931 a)  
Leeward Islands (Antigua, Dominica, Montserrat, St. Christopher-Nevis,<sup>2</sup> Virgin Islands) (March 9th, 1932 a)  
 Malta (October 11th, 1934 a)  
Burma (excluding the Karenni States under His Majesty's suzerainty) (October 19th, 1938 a)  
 His Majesty reserves the right to limit the obligations mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered commercial under the law of Burma.  
 New Zealand (Western Samoa included) (April 9th, 1929)  
 India (October 23rd, 1937)  
 Is not binding as regards the enforcement of the provisions of this Convention upon the territories in India of any Prince or Chief under the suzerainty of His Majesty.  
 India reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in the first paragraph of Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.  
 Czechoslovakia (September 18th, 1931)  
 The Czechoslovak Republic does not intend to invalidate in any way the bilateral treaties concluded by it with various States, which regulate the questions referred to in the present Convention by provisions going beyond the provisions of the Convention.  
 Denmark (April 25th, 1929)  
 Under Danish law, arbitral awards made by an

Ratifications

Arbitral Tribunal do not immediately become operative; it is necessary in each case, in order to make an award operative, to apply to the ordinary Courts of Law. In the course of the proceedings, however, the arbitral award will generally be accepted by such courts without further examination as a basis of the final judgments in the affair.  
 Estonia (May 16th, 1929)  
 Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.  
 Finland (July 30th, 1931)  
 France (May 13th, 1931)  
 Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.  
 Germany (September 1st, 1930)  
 Greece (January 15th, 1932)  
 The Hellenic Government reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.  
 Italy (November 12th, 1930)  
 Luxembourg (September 15th, 1930)  
 Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.  
 The Netherlands (for the Kingdom in Europe) (August 12th, 1931)  
Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao (January 28th, 1933 a)  
 Portugal (December 10th, 1930)  
 (1) The Portuguese Government reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.  
 (2) The Portuguese Government declares, according to the terms of Article 10, that the present Convention does not apply to its Colonies.  
 Romania (June 22nd, 1931)  
 Reserves the right to limit the obligation mentioned in Article 1 to contracts which are considered as commercial under its national law.  
 Spain (January 15th, 1930)  
 Sweden (August 8th, 1929)  
 Switzerland (September 25th, 1930)  
 Thailand (July 7th, 1931)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Bolivia

Nicaragua

Peru

II.7: Convention on execution of foreign arbitral awards, 1927

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Bahamas . . . . .		16 Feb 1977 d	Mauritius . . . . .		18 Jul 1969 d
Bangladesh . . . . .	27 Jun 1979	27 Jun 1979	Republic of Korea . . . . .	4 Mar 1968	
German Democratic Republic <sup>3</sup>			Uganda . . . . .	5 May 1965	
Ireland . . . . .	29 Nov 1956	10 Jun 1957	United Kingdom on behalf of		
Israel . . . . .	24 Oct 1951	27 Feb 1952	<u>Hong Kong</u> . . . . .		10 Feb 1965 a
Japan . . . . .	4 Feb 1952	11 Jul 1952	Yugoslavia . . . . .	13 Mar 1959	13 Mar 1959
Malta . . . . .		16 Aug 1966 d			

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 2096. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 92, p. 301.

2/ In a notification received on 16 December 1985, the Government of the United Kingdom recalled the following:

At the time of accession, Anguilla was part of the territory of St. Christopher and Nevis. By 1978, Anguilla had a separate constitutional status, as part of the St. Christopher/Anguilla group. St. Christopher and Nevis became independent on 19 September 1983 and Anguilla then reverted to being a dependant territory of the United Kingdom. Therefore, the Convention continues to apply to Anguilla.

3/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 22 January 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 22 January 1958, of the Convention of 26 September 1927 on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 26 September 1927 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States."

8. CONVENTION FOR THE SETTLEMENT OF CERTAIN CONFLICTS OF LAWS IN CONNECTION WITH BILLS OF EXCHANGE AND PROMISSORY NOTES

Geneva, June 7th, 1930<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since January 1st, 1934 (article 13).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Austria (August 31st, 1932)  
 Belgium (August 31st, 1932)  
 Brazil (August 26th, 1942 a)  
 Denmark (July 27th, 1932)  
 The Government of the King, by its acceptance of this Convention, does not intend to assume any obligations as regards Greenland.  
 Finland (August 31st, 1932)  
 France (April 27th, 1936 a)  
 Germany<sup>2</sup> (October 3rd, 1933)  
 Greece (August 31st, 1931)  
 Italy (August 31st, 1932)  
 Japan (August 31st, 1932)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Monaco (January 25th, 1934 a)  
 The Netherlands (for the Kingdom in Europe) (August 20th, 1932)  
Netherlands Indies and Curacao (July 16th, 1935 a)  
Surinam (August 7th, 1936 a)  
 Norway (July 27th, 1932)  
 Poland (December 19th, 1936 a)  
 Portugal<sup>2, 3</sup> (June 8th, 1934)  
 Sweden (July 27th, 1932)  
 Switzerland (August 26th, 1932)<sup>4</sup>  
 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (November 25th, 1936 a)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Colombia	Peru	Turkey
Czechoslovakia	Spain	Yugoslavia
Ecuador		

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations (See also note 3)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
German Democratic Republic <sup>5</sup>		Luxembourg . . .	5 Mar 1963
Hungary . . . . .	28 Oct 1964 a	Portugal <sup>3</sup>	

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 3314. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 143, p. 317.

2/ All the parties to this Convention have agreed to consider the instrument of ratification deposited by this country, after the date stipulated in the Convention, as valid. The Japanese Government however, is of opinion that this ratification has the character of an accession.

3/ The ratification was made subject to the reservation that the provisions of the Convention do not apply to the colonial territory of Portugal (see League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 143, p. 319). In a communication received on 18 August 1953, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of that reservation.

4/ According to a declaration made by the Swiss Government when depositing the instrument

of ratification of this Convention, the latter was to take effect, in respect of Switzerland, only after the adoption of a law revising Sections XXIV to XXXIII of the Federal Code of Obligations or, if necessary, of a special law regarding bills of exchange, promissory notes and cheques. The law above referred to having entered into force on July 1st, 1937, the Convention took effect for Switzerland, as from that date.

5/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the Convention of 7 June 1930 for the

Settlement of Certain Conflicts of Laws in connection with Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with

the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention for the Settlement of Certain Conflicts of Laws in Connection with Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes of 7 June 1930 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States."

9. CONVENTION FOR THE SETTLEMENT OF CERTAIN CONFLICTS OF LAWS IN CONNECTION WITH CHEQUES

Geneva, March 19th, 1931<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since January 1st, 1934 (article 14).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Brazil (August 26th, 1942 a)  
 Denmark (July 27th, 1932)  
 The Government of the King, by its acceptance of this Convention, does not intend to assume any obligations as regards Greenland.  
 Finland (August 31st, 1932)  
 France (April 27th, 1936 a)  
 Germany<sup>2</sup> (October 3rd, 1933)  
 Greece<sup>2</sup> (June 1st, 1934)  
 Italy (August 31st, 1933)  
 Japan (August 25th, 1933)  
 Switzerland (August 26th, 1932)<sup>4</sup>

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Monaco (February 9th, 1933)  
 The Netherlands<sup>2</sup> (For the Kingdom in Europe) (April 2nd, 1934)  
Netherlands Indies and Curacao (September 30th, 1935 a)  
Surinam (August 7th, 1936 a)  
 Nicaragua (March 16th, 1932 a)  
 Norway (July 27th, 1932)  
 Poland (December 19th, 1936 a)  
 Portugal<sup>2, 3</sup> (June 8th, 1934)  
 Sweden (July 27th, 1932)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Czechoslovakia	Mexico	Turkey
Ecuador	Romania	Yugoslavia
	Spain	

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations (See also note 3)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Austria . . . . .	1 Dec 1958	Hungary . . . . .	28 Oct 1964 <u>a</u>
Belgium <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	18 Dec 1961	Indonesia . . . . .	9 Mar 1959 <u>d</u>
German Democratic Republic <sup>6</sup>		Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Aug 1968 <u>a</u>

NOTES.

1/ Registered No. 3317. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 143, p. 407.

2/ All the parties to this Convention have agreed to consider the instrument of ratification deposited by this country, after the date stipulated in the Convention, as valid. The Japanese Government, however, is of opinion that this ratification has the character of an accession.

3/ The ratification was made subject to the reservation that the provisions of the Convention do not apply to the colonial territory of Portugal (see League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 143, p. 409). In a communication received on 18 August 1953, the Government of Portugal

notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of this reservation.

4/ According to a declaration made by the Swiss Government when depositing the instrument of ratification of this Convention, the latter was to take effect, in respect of Switzerland only after the adoption of a law revising Sections XXIV to XXXIII of the Federal Code of Obligations or, if necessary of a special law regarding bills of exchange, promissory notes and cheques. The law above referred to having entered into force on July 1st, 1937, the Convention took effect for Switzerland, as from that date.

5/ With a declaration that, in accordance with article 18 of the Convention, the Government

of Belgium does not intend to assume any obligations in respect of the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi.

6/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application as from 6 June 1958, of the Convention of 19 March 1931 for the Settlement of Certain Conflicts of Laws in connection with cheques, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in

the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the Declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 18 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention for the Settlement of Certain Conflicts of Laws in Connection with Cheques of 19 March 1931 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States."

10. CONVENTION PROVIDING A UNIFORM LAW FOR BILLS OF EXCHANGE AND PROMISSORY NOTES

Geneva, June 7th, 1930<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since January 1st, 1934 (article VI).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

- Austria<sup>2</sup>** (August 31st, 1932)  
This ratification is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 6, 10, 14, 15, 17 and 20 of Annex II to this Convention.
- Belgium** (August 31st, 1932)  
This ratification is subject to the utilisation of the rights provided in Articles 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 20 of Annex II to this Convention. As regards the Belgian Congo and Ruanda-Urundi, the Belgian Government intends to reserve all the rights provided in the Annex in question, with the exception of the right mentioned in Article 21 of that Annex.
- Brazil** (August 26th, 1942 a)  
This accession is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19 and 20 of Annex II to the Convention.
- Denmark<sup>3</sup>** (July 27th, 1932)  
The undertaking by the Government of the King to introduce in Denmark the Uniform Law forming Annex I to this Convention is subject to the reservations referred to in Articles 10, 14, 15, 17, 18 and 20 of Annex II to the said Convention.  
The Government of the King, by its acceptance of this Convention, does not intend to assume any obligations as regards Greenland.
- Finland<sup>4</sup>** (August 31st, 1932)  
This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 14 and 20 of Annex II to this Convention, and Finland has availed itself of the right granted to the High Contracting Parties by Articles 15, 17 and 18 of the said Annex to legislate on the matters referred to therein.
- France** (April 27th, 1936 a)  
Declares that Articles 1, 2, 3, 4, 5<sup>b</sup>, 6, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22 and 23 of Annex II to this Convention are being applied.
- Germany<sup>6</sup>** (October 3rd, 1933)  
This ratification is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 6, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19 and 20 of Annex II to the Convention.
- Greece** (August 31st, 1931)  
Subject to the following reservations with regard to Annex II:  
Article 8: Paragraphs 1 and 3.  
Article 9: As regards bills payable at a fixed date, or at a fixed period after date or after sight.  
Article 13.  
Article 15: (a) Proceedings against a drawer or endorser who has made an inequitable gain; (b) Same proceedings against an acceptor who has made an inequitable gain. "These proceedings shall be taken within a period of

Ratifications or definitive accessions

- five years counting from the date of the bill of exchange."  
Article 17: The provisions of Greek law relating to short-term limitations shall apply.  
Article 20: The above-mentioned reservations apply equally to promissory notes.
- Italy** (August 31st, 1932)  
The Italian Government reserves the right to avail itself of the right granted in Articles 2, 8, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19 and 20 of Annex II to this Convention.
- Japan** (August 31st, 1932)  
This ratification is given subject to the right referred to in the provisions mentioned in Annex II to this Convention, in virtue of Article 1, paragraph 2.
- Monaco** (January 25th, 1934 a)
- Netherlands (for the Kingdom in Europe)** (August 20th, 1932)  
This ratification is subject to the reservation mentioned in Annex II to the Convention.  
Netherlands Indies and Curacao (July 16th, 1935 a)  
Subject to the reservations mentioned in Annex II to the Convention.  
Surinam (August 7th, 1936 a)  
Subject to the reservations mentioned in Annex II to the Convention.
- Norway<sup>7</sup>** (July 27th, 1932)  
This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 14 and 20 of Annex II to the Convention, and the Royal Norwegian Government reserves the right, at the same time, to avail itself of the right granted to each of the High Contracting Parties by Articles 10, 15, 17 and 18 of the said Annex to legislate on the matters referred to therein.
- Poland** (December 19th, 1936 a)  
This accession is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 2, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, paragraph 2, and 22 of Annex II to the Convention.
- Portugal<sup>6, 8</sup>** (June 8th, 1934)
- Sweden<sup>9</sup>** (July 27th, 1932)  
This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 14 and 20 of Annex II to the Convention, and the Royal Swedish Government has availed itself of the right granted to the High Contracting Parties by Articles 10, 15 and 17 of the said Annex to legislate on the matters referred to therein.
- Switzerland<sup>10</sup>** (August 26th, 1932)  
This ratification is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 2, 6, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 of Annex II.
- Union of Soviet Socialist Republics** (November 25th, 1936 a)  
Subject to the reservation mentioned in Annex II to the Convention.

II.10: Uniform law for bills of exchange and promissory notes

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Colombia  
Czechoslovakia  
Ecuador

Peru  
Spain

Turkey  
Yugoslavia

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations (see also notes 2 to 4, 7, 8 and 9)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a)</u>
German Democratic Republic <sup>11</sup>		Hungary <sup>12</sup> Luxembourg <sup>13</sup>	28 Oct 1964 a 5 Mar 1963

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 3313. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 143, p. 257.

2/ In a communication received on 13 May 1963, the Government of Austria notified the Secretary-General that, in accordance with the third paragraph of article I of the Convention, it "has decided to make reservations referred to in article 18 of Annex II to the Convention, to the effect that certain business days shall be assimilated to legal holidays as regards presentment for acceptance of payment and all other acts relating to bills of exchange".

In a communication received on 26 November 1968, the Government of Austria, with reference to the above-mentioned reservations, notified the Secretary-General that "according to Austrian Law in force since July 26, 1967, no payment, acceptance or other acts may be demanded in respect of bills of exchange and promissory notes on the following legal holidays or days assimilated to such holidays: 1 January (New Year's Day), 6 January (Epiphany), Good Friday, Easter Monday, 1 May (Legal Holiday), Ascension, Whit-Monday, Corpus Christi, 15 August (Assumption), 26 October (National Day), 1 November (All Saints' Day), 8 December (Immaculate Conception), 25 December and 26 December (Christmas), Saturdays and Sundays".

3/ In a communication received on 31 January 1966, the Government of Denmark notified the Secretary-General of the following: "As from December 1, 1965, the Danish laws giving effect to the uniform legislation introduced by the Convention were amended to provide that Saturdays shall be assimilated to legal holidays. This communication should be considered as a notification made in accordance with the third paragraph of article I of the Convention."

In the same communication, the Government of Denmark also notified the Secretary-General that the declaration made on its behalf under article X, paragraph 1, of the Convention upon its ratification to the effect that it "does not intend to assume any obligations as regards Greenland", should be considered as withdrawn as from 1 July 1965.

4/ In a communication received on 29 July 1966, the Government of Finland notified the

Secretary-General of the following: "As from 1 June 1966, the First of May and Saturdays of June, July and August shall be assimilated to legal holidays. This communication should be considered as a notification made in accordance with the third paragraph of article I of the Convention."

In a communication received on 6 June 1977, the Government of Finland informed the Secretary-General of the following:

"As from 1 April 1968, the Finnish laws giving effect to the uniform legislation introduced by the two Conventions were amended to provide that Saturdays throughout the year shall be assimilated to legal holidays. This communication should be considered as a notification made in accordance with the third paragraph of article I [of the Convention]."

5/ The Minister for Foreign Affairs of the French Republic informed the Secretary-General by a communication received at the Secretariat on October 20th, 1937, that, in consequence of certain changes introduced into French legislation regarding the maturity of commercial bills by the Decree-Law of August 31st, 1937, the holder of a bill of exchange may, in accordance with Article 38 of the Uniform Law for Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes (Annex I to the Convention), present it, not only on the day on which it is payable, but either on that day or on one of the two following business days.

Consequently, the reservation made in this respect by France, on her accession to the Convention, concerning Article 5 of Annex II to the said instrument ceases to apply.

6/ All the parties to this Convention have agreed to consider the instrument of ratification deposited by this country, after the date stipulated in the Convention, as valid. The Japanese Government, however, is of opinion that this ratification has the character of an accession.

7/ In a communication received on 15 April 1970, the Government of Norway notified the Secretary-General that as from 1 June 1970, legislation would be promulgated in Norway assimilating Saturdays and the first day of the month of May to legal holidays.

8/ The ratification was made subject to the



reservation that the provisions of the Convention do not apply to the colonial territory of Portugal (see League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 143, p. 261). In a communication received on 18 August 1953, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of this reservation.

9/ In a communication received on 16 May 1961, the Government of Sweden notified the Secretary-General that the Swedish Government, after having obtained the approval of the Parliament, promulgated on 7 April 1961 the law under which Saturdays from 1 June to 30 September of each year shall be assimilated to legal holidays for the purposes including the presentation for acceptance or payment and all other acts relating to bills of exchange. The Government of Sweden further requested that this communication be considered as a notification of reservations made in accordance with the third paragraph of article I of the Convention.

In a communication received on 18 June 1965, the Government of Sweden notified the Secretary-General of the following: . . . on 26 May 1965, the Swedish Government, with the approval of the Parliament, promulgated legal provisions under which the Swedish law giving effect to the uniform legislation introduced by the Convention was amended to provide that Saturdays shall be assimilated to legal holidays, as is already the case with the Saturdays of April, May, June, July, August and September. These provisions will enter into force on 1 October 1965.

10/ According to a declaration made by the Swiss Government when depositing the instrument of ratification of this Convention, the latter was to take effect, in respect of Switzerland, only after the adoption of a law revising Sections XXIV to XXXIII of the Federal Code of Obligations or, if necessary, of a special law regarding bills of exchange, promissory notes and cheques. The law above referred to having entered into force on July 1st, 1937, the Convention took effect, for Switzerland, as from that date

11/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the Convention of 7 June 1930 providing a Uniform Law for Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention Providing a Uniform Law for Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes of 7 June 1930 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States."

12/ In a communication received on 5 January 1966, the Government of Hungary, with reference to the third paragraph of article I of the Convention and article 18 of Annex II thereof, notified the Secretary-General of the following: "In respect of bills of exchange and promissory notes, no payment may be demanded in Hungary on legal holidays, namely: 1 January (New Year's Day), 4 April (Liberation Day), 1 May (Labour Day), 20 August (Constitution Day), 7 November (Anniversary of the October Socialist Revolution), 25 December (Christmas Day), 26 December (Boxing Day), Easter Monday, and weekly rest days (usually Sundays)."

13/ The instrument of ratification stipulates that the Government of Luxembourg, in accordance with article 1 of the Convention, avails itself of all the reservations provided in articles 1, 4, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19 and 20 of Annex II to the Convention.

Subsequently, on 25 March 1985, the Secretary-General received from the Government of Hungary the following notification:

"In the circulation of bills of exchange between inlanders the protest may be replaced by a dated statement, written on the bill of exchange itself and signed by the drawee and the third person making the payment /Article 8, Annex 2, respectively, unless an authentic protest is required by the drawer in the wording of the bill of exchange.

In the case mentioned in the above paragraph it is deemed that an undated negotiation of bill is dated as before the date of the protest."

In a further communication received on 21 June 1985, the Government of Hungary provided the following additional comments with respect to the above-mentioned notification:

"1/ As regards conformity with Article 8 of Annex II, the wording "signed by the drawee and the third person making the payment, respectively" is intended by the competent Hungarian financial organs to express that the statement of the person to whom the bill of exchange is payable is required. If the bill of exchange is not domiciled with a named person for payment, the drawee's statement is required. In the case of an instrument domiciled with a named person payment, the statement signed by that named person is required.

2/ The wording in regard to bills of exchange domiciled with a named person for payment had to be expanded for two reasons:

/a/ As the third person named for payment can be considered as the drawee's "cashier", it is logical to authorize him to make the statement in case of non-payment.

/b/ A domiciled bill of exchange is to be presented for payment at maturity at the domicile. If the statement of the third person named for payment could not be accepted in lieu of protest and the statement of

the drawee should therefore be obtained, it would often cause practically insurmountable difficulties in reaching the drawee within two and a half business days of frustrated payment.

Attention is called in this respect to the fact that the same solution is adopted by Art. 56, para. /3/, of the Draft Convention on International Bills of Exchange and International Promissory Notes /A/CN9/211/ prepared by the Working Group on International Negotiable Instruments."

11. CONVENTION PROVIDING A UNIFORM LAW FOR CHEQUES

Geneva, March 19th, 1931<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since January 1st, 1934 (article VI).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

**Brazil** (August 26th, 1942 a)  
This accession is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 25, 26, 29 and 30 of Annex II to the Convention.

**Denmark<sup>2</sup>** (July 27th, 1932)  
The undertaking of the Government of the King to introduce in Denmark the Uniform Law forming Annex I to this Convention is subject to the reservations referred to in Articles 4, 6, 9, 14, para. 1, 16 (a), 18, 25, 26, 27 and 29 of Annex II to the said Convention.

The Government of the King, by its acceptance of this Convention, does not intend to assume any obligations as regards Greenland.

**Finland<sup>3</sup>** (August 31st, 1932)  
This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 4, 6, 9, 14, paragraph 1, 16 (a), 18 and 27 of Annex II to this Convention, and Finland has availed itself of the right granted to the High Contracting Parties by Articles 25, 26 and 29 of the said Annex to legislate on the matters referred to therein.

**France<sup>4</sup>** (April 27th, 1936 a)  
Declares that Articles 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 31 of Annex II to this Convention are being applied.

**Germany<sup>6</sup>** (October 3rd, 1933)  
This ratification is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 6, 14, 15, 16, paragraph 2, 18, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 29 of Annex II to the Convention.

**Greece<sup>6</sup>** (June 1st, 1934)  
Subject to the following conditions:

A. The Hellenic Government does not avail itself of the reservations provided in Articles 1, 2, 5-8, 10-14, 16, paragraph 1 (a) and (b), 18, paragraph 1, 19-22, 24 and 26, paragraph 2, of Annex II.

B. The Hellenic Government avails itself of the following reservations provided in Annex II:

(1) The reservation in Article 3, paragraph 3 of Article 2 of the Uniform Law being replaced by the words: "A cheque which does not specify the place of payment shall be regarded as payable at the place where it was drawn".

(2) The reservation in Article 4, the following paragraph being added to Article 3: "A cheque issued and payable in Greece shall not be valid as a cheque unless it is drawn on a banking Company or Greek legal person having the status of an institution of public law, engaging in banking business".

(3) The reservation in Article 9, the following provision being added to paragraph 3 of Article 6 of the Uniform Law: "But in such

Ratifications or definitive accessions

exceptional case the issue of the cheque to bearer is prohibited."

(4) The reservation in Article 15, the following paragraph being added to Article 31 of the Uniform Law: "By presidential decree, promulgated at the instance of the Ministers of Justice and National Economy, it may be decided what institutions in Greece are to be regarded as clearing-houses."

(5) The reservation in the second paragraph of Article 16, it being laid down that "provisions with regard to the loss or theft of cheques shall be embodied in Greek law".

(6) The reservation in Article 17, the following paragraph being added at the end of Article 35: "In exceptional circumstances connected with the rate of exchange of Greek currency, the effects of the stipulation contained in paragraph 3 of the present Article may be abrogated in each case by special legislation as regards cheques payable in Greece. The above provision may also be applied as regards cheques issued in Greece."

(7) The reservation in Article 23, the following being added to No. 2 in Article 45 of the Uniform Law: "which, however, in the case of cheques issued and payable in Greece, shall be calculated in each case at the legal rate of interest in force in Greece". Similarly, the following is added to No. 2 of Article 46 of the Uniform Law: "except in the special case dealt with in No. 2 of the preceding Article".

(8) The reservation in Article 25, the following Article being added to the National Law: "In the event of forfeiture of the bearer's rights or limitation of the right of action, proceedings may be taken against the drawer or endorser on the ground of his having made an inequitable gain. The right to take such proceedings lapses after three years from the date of the issue of the cheque."

(9) The reservation in the first paragraph of Article 26, a provision being enacted to the following effect: "The causes of interruption or suspension of limitation of actions enacted in the present law shall be governed by the rules regarding limitation and short-term limitation of actions."

(10) The reservation in Article 27, a separate Article being appended in the following terms: "Legal holidays within the meaning of the present law shall be all Sundays and all full days of rest observed by public offices."

(11) The reservation in Article 28 and the reservation in Article 29.

(12) The reservation in Article 30.

**Italy** (August 31st, 1933)  
In accordance with Article 1 of this Convention, the Royal Italian Government intends to avail

Ratifications or definitive accessions

itself of the rights provided in Articles 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14, 16, para. 2, 19, 20, 21, para. 2, 23, 25, 26, 29 and 30 of Annex II.

In connection with Article 15 of Annex II to this Convention, the institutions referred to in the said article are, in Italy, solely the "Stanze di compensazione".

Japan (August 25th, 1933)  
By application of Article I, paragraph 2, of the Convention, this ratification is subject to the benefit of the provisions mentioned in Annex II to this Convention.

Monaco (February 9th, 1933)  
The Netherlands<sup>6</sup> (for the Kingdom in Europe) (April 2nd, 1934)  
This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Annex II to the Convention.  
Netherlands Indies and Curacao (September 30th, 1935 a)  
Subject to the reservations mentioned in Annex II to the Convention.

Surinam (August 7th, 1936 a)  
Subject to the reservations mentioned in Annex II to the Convention.

Nicaragua (March 16th, 1932 a)  
Norway<sup>7</sup> (July 27th, 1932)  
This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 4, 6, 9, 14, paragraph

Ratifications or definitive accessions

1, 16(a) and 18 of Annex II to the Convention, and the Royal Norwegian Government reserves the right, at the same time, to avail itself of the right granted to each of the High Contracting Parties by Articles 25, 26, 27 and 29 of the said Annex to legislate on the matters referred to therein.

Poland (December 19th, 1936 a)  
This accession is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 14, paragraph 1, 15, 16, paragraph 1 (a), 16, paragraph 2, 17, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29 and 30 of Annex II to the Convention.

Portugal<sup>6, 8</sup> (June 8th, 1934)  
Sweden<sup>9</sup> (July 27th, 1932)  
This ratification is subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 4, 6, 9, 14, paragraph 1, 16(a) and 18 of Annex II to the Convention, and the Royal Swedish Government has availed itself of the right granted to the High Contracting Parties by Articles 25, 26 and 29 of the said Annex to legislate on the matters referred to therein.

Switzerland<sup>10</sup> (August 26th, 1932)  
This ratification is given subject to the reservations mentioned in Articles 2, 4, 8, 15, 16, paragraph 2, 19, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29 and 30 of Annex II

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Czechoslovakia	Mexico	Turkey
Ecuador	Romania	Yugoslavia
	Spain	

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations (See also notes 2 to 4, and 7 to 9)

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Austria <sup>11</sup> . . . . .	1 Dec 1958	Hungary <sup>14</sup> . . . . .	28 Oct 1964 a
Belgium <sup>12</sup> . . . . .	18 Dec 1961	Indonesia . . . . .	9 Mar 1959 d
German Democratic Republic <sup>13</sup>		Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Aug 1968 a
		Malawi . . . . .	[3 Nov 1965 a] <sup>15</sup>

NOTES:

- 1/ Registered No. 3316. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 143, p. 355.
- 2/ See note 3 in part II.10 in the League of Nations Treaties for the notification by Denmark, which also applies to this Convention.
- 3/ See note 4 in chapter II.10 in the League of Nations Treaties for the notifications by Finland, which also apply to this Convention.

- 4/ The Secretary-General received on 7 February 1979 from the Government of France the following communication:  
The French Government is at present conducting a campaign against tax fraud. To this end, it has, inter alia, taken measures to impose restrictions on the endorsing of cheques; these measures are embodied in the French Finance Act of 1979.  
These measures may well be deemed to conflict with the Convention of 19 March 1931 providing a Uniform Law for Cheques, for which the United

## II.11: Uniform law for cheques

Nations has assumed depositary functions. France has been a party to that Convention since 27 April 1936.

Accordingly, in order to avoid any conflict between French domestic legislation and the provisions of the Convention, the French Government intends to make, with respect to articles 5 and 14 of annex I, the reservation provided for in annex II, article 7, of the Convention of 19 March 1931.

Since no objections by the Contracting States were received within 90 days from the date of circulation of this communication by the Secretary-General (effected on 10 February 1979), the reservation was deemed accepted and took effect on 11 May 1979.

Subsequently, the Secretary-General received on 20 February 1980, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

"The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany has taken note of the communication of the French Government on the Convention of 19 March 1931 providing a Uniform Law for Cheques, which was received by the Secretary-General of the United Nations on 7 February 1979 and distributed with circular note C.N.29.1979.Treaties-1 of 10 February 1979 of the Acting Director of the General Legal Division and which informed about the modification of France's membership of the Convention effected by the said communication, and raises no objections thereto."

5/ The Minister for Foreign Affairs of the French Republic informed the Secretariat on October 20th, 1937, that, in consequence of certain changes introduced into French legislation regarding the maturity of commercial bills by the Decree-Law of August 31st, 1937, and in application of Article 27 of Annex II to the Convention and Article II of the Final Act of the Conference by which it was adopted, no payment whatsoever, in respect of a bill, draft cheque, current account, deposit of funds or securities or otherwise, may be demanded and no protest may be drawn up on Saturdays or Mondays, which for these purposes only, are assimilated to legal holidays.

6/ All the parties to this Convention have agreed to consider the instrument of ratification deposited by this country, after the date stipulated in the Convention, as valid. The Japanese Government, however, is of opinion that this ratification has the character of an accession.

7/ See note 7 in part II.10 in the League of Nations Treaties for the notification by Norway which also applies to this Convention.

8/ The ratification was made subject to the reservation that the provisions of the Convention do not apply to the colonial territory of Portugal (see League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 143, p. 361). In a communication received on 18 August 1953, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of this reservation.

9/ See note 9 in chapter II.10 in the League of Nations Treaties for the notification by

Sweden which also applies to this Convention.

10/ According to the declaration made by the Swiss Government when depositing the instrument of ratification of this Convention, the latter was to take effect, in respect of Switzerland, only after the adoption of a law revising Sections XXIV to XXXIII of the Federal Code of Obligations or, if necessary, of a special law regarding bills of exchange, promissory notes and cheques. The law above referred to having entered into force on July 1st, 1937, the Convention took effect, for Switzerland, as from that date.

11/ The ratification by the Government of Austria is made subject to the reservations contained in articles 6, 14, 15, 16 (paragraph 2), 17, 18, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 of Annex II to the Convention.

In a communication received on 26 November 1968, the Government of Austria, with reference to the reservations provided for in article 27 of Annex II to the Convention, specified legal holidays or days assimilated to such holidays as regards the limit of time for presentment and all acts relating to cheques. For the list of holidays, see the second paragraph of note 2 in chapter II.10 in the League of Nations Treaties.

12/ With a declaration that, in accordance with article X of the Convention, the Government of Belgium does not intend to assume any obligations in respect of the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi. Moreover the Government of Belgium reserves its right to avail itself of all the provisions of Annex II to the Convention.

13/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the Convention of 19 March 1931 providing a Uniform Law for Cheques, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication

## II.11: Uniform law for cheques

---

of the Convention providing a Uniform Law for cheques of 19 March 1931 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States."

14/ The instrument of accession contains the following reservation:

"In accordance with article 30 of Annex II to the Convention, the Hungarian People's Republic declares that the Uniform Law for Cheques shall not be applicable to the special kinds of cheques used in inland trade between Socialist economic organizations."

In a communication received on 5 January 1966, the Government of Hungary, with reference to the third paragraph of article I of the Convention and article 27 of Annex II to the Convention, notified the Secretary-General that "in respect of cheques, no payment may be demanded in Hungary on legal holidays". For list of holidays, see note 2 in chapter II.10 in the League of Nations Treaties.

15/ In a communication received on 30 July 1968, the Government of Malawi informed the Secretary-General that it denounced the Convention under the procedure provided in the third paragraph of article 8 of the Convention, which read as follows:

"In urgent cases a High Contracting Party which denounces the Convention shall immediately notify direct all other High Contracting

Parties, and the denunciation shall take effect two days after the receipt of such notification by the said High Contracting Parties. A High Contracting Party denouncing the Convention in these circumstances shall also inform the Secretary-General of the League of Nations of its decision."

and that, in accordance with the above-mentioned provisions, the denunciation took effect on 5 October 1967 in respect of France; on 8 October 1967 in respect of Austria, Denmark, Italy and Norway; on 9 October 1968 in respect of Portugal and Sweden; on 13 October 1967 in respect of Finland; on 14 October 1967 in respect of Poland; on 15 October 1967 in respect of Brazil, Greece, Hungary, Indonesia and Monaco; on 18 October 1967 in respect of Belgium and Switzerland; and on 24 April 1968 in respect of Japan.

The Government of Malawi further informed the Secretary-General that it no longer considered itself bound by the Convention in respect of Nicaragua, the Government of that State having not acknowledged, in spite of several requests, the notification of denunciation addressed to it by the Government of Malawi, and that it had so notified the Government of Nicaragua. Subsequently, in a communication addressed to the Secretary-General on 19 March 1969, the Government of Malawi informed him that the latter notification had been received by the Government of Nicaragua on 17 January 1969.

12. CONVENTION ON THE STAMP LAWS IN CONNECTION WITH BILLS OF EXCHANGE AND PROMISSORY NOTES

Geneva, June 7th, 1930<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since January 1st, 1934 (article 5).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Austria (August 31st, 1932)  
 Belgium (August 31st, 1932)  
 Brazil (August 26th, 1942 a)  
 Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(April 18th, 1934 a)  
 His Majesty does not assume any obligations in respect of any of his Colonies or Protectorates or any territories under mandate exercised by his Government in the United Kingdom.

Newfoundland (May 7th, 1934 a)  
 Subject to the provision D.I. in the Protocol of the Convention.

Barbados (with limitation)<sup>2</sup>, Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate, Bermuda (with limitation), British Guiana (with limitation), British Honduras, Ceylon (with limitation), Cyprus (with limitation), Fiji (with limitation), Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar (with limitation), Gold Coast [(a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate], Kenya (Colony and Protectorate) (with limitation), Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States: Neari Sembilan, Pahang, Perak, Selangor; (b) Unfederated Malay States: Johore, Kedah, Kelantan, Perlis, Trengganu, and Brunei (with limitation)], Malta, Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland Protectorate, Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate) (with limitation), Straits Settlements (with limitation), Swaziland, Trinidad and Tobago (with limitation), Uganda Protectorate (with limitation), Windward Islands (Grenada, St Lucia, St. Vincent) (with limitation)  
 (July 18th, 1936 a)

Bahamas (with limitation), British Solomon Islands Protectorate (with limitation), Falkland Islands and Dependencies (with limitation), Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony (with limitation), Mauritius, Saint Helena and Ascension (with limitation), Tanganika Territory (with limitation), Tonga (with limitation), Trans-Jordan (with limitation), Zanzibar (with limitation)  
 (September 7th, 1938 a)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Jamaica, including the Turks and Caicos Islands and the Cayman Islands (with limitation), Somaliland Protectorate (with limitation)  
 (August 3rd, 1939 a)

Australia (September 3rd, 1939 a)  
 Including the territories of Papua and Norfolk Island and the mandated territories of New Guinea and Nauru.

It is agreed that, insofar as concerns the Commonwealth of Australia, the only instruments to which the provisions of this Convention shall apply are bills of exchange presented for acceptance or accepted or payable elsewhere than in the Commonwealth of Australia.

A similar limitation shall apply in the case of Territories of Papua and Norfolk Island and the Mandated Territories of New Guinea and Nauru.<sup>3</sup>

Ireland<sup>4</sup> (July 10th, 1936 a)  
 Denmark (July 27th, 1932)

The Government of the King, by its acceptance of this Convention, does not intend to assume any obligations as regards Greenland.

Finland (August 31st, 1932)  
 France (April 27th, 1936 a)

Germany<sup>5</sup> (October 3rd, 1933)  
 Italy (August 31st, 1932)

Japan (August 31st, 1932)  
 Monaco (January 25th, 1934 a)

The Netherlands (for the Kingdom in Europe)  
 (August 20th, 1932)

Netherlands Indies and Curaçao  
 (July 16th, 1935 a)  
Surinam (August 7th, 1936 a)

New Hebrides (with limitation)  
 (March 16th, 1939 a)

Norway (July 27th, 1932)  
 Poland (December 19th, 1936 a)

Portugal<sup>5, 6</sup> (June 8th, 1934)  
 Sweden (July 27th, 1932)

Switzerland<sup>7</sup> (August 26th, 1932)  
 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics  
 (November 25th, 1936 a)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Colombia  
 Czechoslovakia  
 Ecuador

Peru  
 Spain

Turkey  
 Yugoslavia

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Bahamas <sup>8</sup> . . . . .	19 May 1976 d	Malaysia . . . . .	14 Jan 1960 d
Cyprus <sup>9</sup> . . . . .	5 Mar 1968 d	Malta . . . . .	6 Dec 1966 d
Fiji <sup>9</sup> . . . . .	25 Mar 1971 d	Papua New Guinea . . . . .	12 Feb 1981 a
German Democratic Republic <sup>10</sup>		Portugal <sup>6</sup>	
Hungary . . . . .	28 Oct 1964 a	Tonga <sup>9</sup> . . . . .	2 Feb 1972 d
Luxembourg . . . . .	5 Mar 1963	Uganda . . . . .	15 Apr 1965 a

Declarations and Reservations

(Unless otherwise indicated, the declarations and reservations were made upon ratification, accession or succession.)

PAPUA NEW GUINEA

"It is agreed that, insofar as concerns Papua New Guinea, the only instruments to which the provisions of the Convention shall apply are bills of exchange presented for acceptance or accepted or payable elsewhere than in Papua New Guinea."

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 3315. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 143, p. 337.

2/ The words "with limitation" placed after the names of certain territories indicate that the limitation contained in Section D of the Protocol of the Convention applies to these territories.

3/ This limitation was accepted by the States parties to the Convention, which were consulted in accordance with Section D, paragraph 4, of the Protocol of the said Convention.

4/ The Government of Ireland having informed the Secretary-General of the League of Nations of its desire to be allowed the limitation specified in paragraph 1 of Section D of the Protocol to this Convention, the Secretary-General has transmitted this desire to the interested States in application of paragraph 4 of the above-mentioned Section. No objection having been raised on the part of the said States, this limitation should be considered as accepted.

5/ All the parties to this Convention have agreed to consider the instrument of ratification deposited by this country, after the date stipulated in the Convention, as valid. The Japanese Government, however, is of opinion that this ratification has the character of an accession.

6/ The ratification was made subject to the reservation that the provisions of the Convention do not apply to the colonial territory of Portugal (see League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 143, p. 339). In a communication received on 18 August 1953, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of this reservation.

7/ According to a declaration made by the Swiss Government when depositing the instrument of ratification of this Convention, the latter was to take effect, in respect of Switzerland, only after the adoption of a law revising Sections XXIV to XXXIII of the Federal Code of Obligations or, if necessary of a special law regarding bills of exchange, promissory notes and cheques. The law above referred to having entered into force on July 1st, 1937, the Convention took effect, for Switzerland, as from that date.

8/ Maintaining the limitations contained in Section D of the Protocol to the Convention, subject to which the Convention was made applicable to its territory.

9/ Maintaining the limitations contained in Section D of the Protocol of the Convention subject to which the Convention was made applicable to its territory before the attainment of independence.

10/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the Convention of 7 June 1930 on the Stamp Laws in connection with Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the



declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regu-

lations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention on the Stamp Laws in Connection with Bills of Exchange and Promissory Notes of 7 June 1930 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States."

13. CONVENTION ON THE STAMP LAWS IN CONNECTION WITH CHEQUES

Geneva, March 19th, 1931<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since November 29th, 1933 (article 5).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Brazil (August 26th, 1942 a)  
 Great Britain and Northern Ireland (January 13th, 1932)  
 This ratification does not include any British Colony or Protectorate or any mandated territory in respect of which the mandate is exercised by His Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom.  
Barbados, Basutoland, Bechuanaland Protectorate, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, Ceylon, Cyprus, Fiji, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar, Gold Coast [(a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate], Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States: Negri Sembilan, Pahang, Perak, Selangor; (b) Unfederated Malay States: Johore, Kedah, Kelantan, Perlis, Trengganu, and Brunei], Malta, Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland Protectorate, Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Straits Settlements, Swaziland, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda Protectorate, Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent)  
 (July 18th, 1936 a)  
Bahamas, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Gilbert and Ellice Islands Colony, Mauritius, Saint Helena and Ascension, Tanganyika Territory, Tonga, Trans-Jordan, Zanzibar  
 (September 7th, 1938 a)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Jamaica, including the Turks and Caicos Islands and the Cayman Islands (August 3rd, 1939 a)  
Somaliland Protectorate (August 3rd, 1939 a)  
 Australia (September 3rd, 1938 a)  
 Including the territories of Papua and Norfolk Island and the mandated territories of New Guinea and Nauru  
 Ireland (July 10th, 1936 a)  
 Denmark (July 27th, 1932)  
 The Government of the King, by its acceptance of this Convention, does not intend to assume any obligations as regards Greenland.  
 Finland (August 31st, 1932)  
 France (April 27, 1936 a)  
 Germany<sup>2</sup> (October 3rd, 1933)  
 Greece<sup>2</sup> (June 1st, 1934)  
 Italy (August 31st, 1933)  
 Japan (August 25th, 1933)  
 Monaco (February 9th, 1933)  
 The Netherlands<sup>2</sup> (for the Kingdom in Europe) (April 2nd, 1934)  
Netherlands Indies and Curaçao (September 30th, 1935 a)  
Surinam (August 7th, 1936 a)  
New Hebrides (March 16th, 1939 a)  
 Nicaragua (March 16th, 1932 a)  
 Norway (July 27th, 1932)  
 Poland (December 19th, 1936 a)  
 Portugal<sup>2, 3</sup> (June 8th, 1934)  
 Sweden (July 27th, 1932)  
 Switzerland<sup>4</sup> (August 26th, 1932)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Czechoslovakia  
 Ecuador

Mexico  
 Romania  
 Spain

Turkey  
 Yugoslavia

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Austria . . . . .	1 Dec 1958	Indonesia . . . . .	9 Mar 1959 d
Bahamas . . . . .	19 May 1976 d	Luxembourg . . . . .	1 Aug 1968 a
Belgium <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	18 Dec 1961	Malaysia . . . . .	14 Jan 1960 d
Cyprus . . . . .	5 Mar 1968 d	Malta . . . . .	6 Dec 1966 d
Fiji . . . . .	25 Mar 1971 d	Papua New Guinea . . . . .	12 Feb 1981 a
German Democratic Republic <sup>6</sup>		Portugal <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	
Hungary . . . . .	28 Oct 1964 a	Tonga . . . . .	2 Feb 1972 d

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 3301. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 143, p. 7.

2/ All the parties to this Convention have agreed to consider the instrument of ratification deposited by this country, after the date stipulated in the Convention, as valid. The Japanese Government, however, is of opinion that this ratification has the character of an accession.

3/ The ratification was made subject to the reservation that the provisions of the Convention do not apply to the colonial territory of Portugal (see ibid., vol. 143, p. 9). In a communication received on 18 August 1953, the Government of Portugal notified the Secretary-General of the withdrawal of this reservation.

4/ According to a declaration made by the Swiss Government when depositing the instrument of ratification of this Convention, the latter was to take effect, in respect of Switzerland, only after the adoption of a law revising Sections XXIV to XXXIII of the Federal Code of Obligations or, if necessary, of a special law regarding bills of exchange, promissory notes and cheques. The law above referred to having entered into force on July 1st, 1937, the Convention took effect, for Switzerland, as from that date.

5/ With a declaration that, in accordance with article 9 of the Convention, the Government of Belgium does not intend to assume any obligations in respect of the Trust Territory of Ruanda-Urundi.

6/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 13 January 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the Convention of 19 March 1931 on the Stamp Laws in connection with Cheques, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 28 April 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention on the Stamp Laws in Connection with Cheques of 19 March 1931 to which it acceded on the basis of the succession of States."

II.14: Counterfeiting currency

14. (a) INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF COUNTERFEITING CURRENCY

Geneva, April 20th, 1929<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since February 22nd, 1931 (article 25).

(a) CONVENTION

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Austria (June 25th, 1931)  
 Belgium (June 6th, 1932)  
 Brazil (July 1st, 1938 a)  
 Bulgaria (May 22nd, 1930)  
 Colombia (May 9th, 1932)  
 Cuba (June 13th, 1933)  
 Czechoslovakia (September 12th, 1931)  
 Denmark<sup>2</sup> (February 19th, 1931)  
 Ecuador (September 25th, 1937 a)  
 Estonia (August 30th, 1930 a)  
 Finland (September 25th, 1936 a)  
 Germany (October 3rd, 1933)  
 Greece (May 19th, 1931)  
 Hungary (June 14th, 1933)  
 Ireland (July 24th, 1934 a)  
 Italy (December 27th, 1935)  
 Latvia (July 22nd, 1939 a)  
 Mexico (March 30th, 1936 a)  
 Monaco (October 21st, 1931)  
 The Netherlands (April 30th, 1932)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Norway (March 16th, 1931)  
 In view of the provisions of Article 176, paragraph 2, of the Norwegian Ordinary Criminal Code and Article 2 of the Norwegian Law on the Extradition of Criminals, the extradition provided for in Article 10 of the present Convention may not be granted for the offence referred to in Article 3, No. 2, where the person uttering the counterfeit currency himself accepted it bona fide as genuine.<sup>3</sup>  
 Poland (June 15th, 1934)  
 Portugal (September 18th, 1930)  
 Romania (March 7th, 1939)  
 Spain (April 28th, 1930)  
 Turkey (January 21st, 1937 a)  
 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics<sup>4</sup> (July 13th, 1931)  
 Yugoslavia (November 24th, 1930)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Albania  
 United States of America  
 India

China<sup>5</sup>  
 Japan  
 Luxembourg  
 Panama

As provided in Article 24 of the Convention, this signature does not include the territories of any Prince or Chief under the suzerainty of His Majesty.

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Algeria <sup>6</sup>	17 Mar 1965 a	Indonesia <sup>8</sup>	3 Aug 1982 a
Australia	5 Jan 1982 a	Iraq	14 May 1965 a
Bahamas	9 Jul 1975 d	Israel	10 Feb 1965 a
Benin	17 Mar 1966 a	Ivory Coast	25 May 1964 a
Burkina Faso	8 Dec 1964 a	Kenya	10 Nov 1977 a
Cyprus	10 Jun 1965 a	Kuwait	9 Dec 1968 a
Egypt	15 Jul 1957 a	Lebanon	6 Oct 1966 a
Fiji	25 Mar 1971 d	Malawi	18 Nov 1965 a
France	28 Mar 1958	Malaysia <sup>9</sup>	4 Jul 1972 a
Gabon	11 Aug 1964 a	Mali	6 Jan 1970 a
German Democratic Republic <sup>7</sup>		Mauritius	18 Jul 1969 d
Ghana	9 Jul 1964 a	Morocco <sup>10</sup>	4 May 1976 a
Holy See	1 Mar 1965 a	Niger	5 May 1969 a

II.14: Counterfeiting currency

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Peru . . . . .	11 May 1970 <u>a</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .	2 Jun 1967 <u>a</u>
Philippines <sup>11</sup> . . . . .	5 May 1971 <u>a</u>	Switzerland . . . . .	30 Dec 1958
[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>12</sup>	3 Dec 1964 <u>a</u>	Syrian Arab Republic <sup>13</sup> . . . . .	14 Aug 1964
San Marino . . . . .	18 Oct 1967 <u>a</u>	Thailand . . . . .	6 Jun 1963 <u>a</u>
Senegal . . . . .	25 Aug 1965 <u>a</u>	Togo . . . . .	3 Oct 1978 <u>a</u>
Singapore . . . . .	12 Feb 1979 <u>d</u>	Uganda . . . . .	15 Apr 1965 <u>a</u>
Solomon Islands . . . . .	3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	28 Jul 1959
South Africa . . . . .	29 Aug 1967 <u>a</u>		

Accessions in respect of territories

Netherlands . . . . .	22 Mar 1954	Netherlands Antilles and Surinam
United Kingdom . . . . .	13 Oct 1960	Antigua, Bahamas, Basutoland, Bechuanaland Pro- tectorate, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands, British Virgin Islands, Dominica, Falkland Island, Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland, <sup>14</sup> Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Grenada, Jamaica, Kenya, Mauritius, Montserrat, North Borneo, St. Christopher-Nevis and Anguilla, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Sarawak, Sierra Leone, State of Singapore, Swaziland, Tanganyika, Trinidad, Uganda, Zanzibar Barbados and its dependencies
	7 Mar 1963	

(b) PROTOCOL

Note: The Protocol came into force at the same time as the Convention, of which it forms an integral part, and was registered under the same number.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Austria	(June 25th, 1931)
Belgium	(June 6th, 1932)
Brazil	(July 1st, 1938 <u>a</u> )
Bulgaria	(May 22nd, 1930)
Colombia	(May 9th, 1932)
Cuba	(June 13th, 1933)
Czechoslovakia	(September 12th, 1931)
Denmark <sup>2</sup>	(February 19th, 1931)
Ecuador	(September 25th, 1937 <u>a</u> )
Estonia	(August 30th, 1930 <u>a</u> )
Finland	(September 25th, 1936 <u>a</u> )
Germany	(October 3rd, 1933)
Greece	(May 19th, 1931)
Hungary	(June 14th, 1933)
Ireland	(July 24th, 1934 <u>a</u> )

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Italy	(December 27th, 1935)
Latvia	(July 22nd, 1939 <u>a</u> )
Mexico	(March 30th, 1936 <u>a</u> )
Monaco	(October 21st, 1931)
The Netherlands	(April 30th, 1932)
Norway	(March 16th, 1931)
Poland	(June 15th, 1934)
Portugal	(September 18th, 1930)
Romania	(March 7th, 1939)
Spain	(April 28th, 1930)
Turkey	(January 21st, 1937 <u>a</u> )
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics <sup>4</sup>	(July 13th, 1931)
Yugoslavia	(November 24th, 1930)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Albania  
United States of America

China<sup>5</sup>  
Japan  
India

Luxembourg  
Panama

II.14: Counterfeiting currency

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Algeria . . . . .	17 Mar 1965 <u>a</u>	Lebanon . . . . .	6 Oct 1966 <u>a</u>
Australia . . . . .	5 Jan 1982 <u>a</u>	Malawi . . . . .	18 Nov 1965 <u>a</u>
Bahamas . . . . .	9 Jul 1975 <u>a</u>	Malaysia . . . . .	4 Jul 1972 <u>a</u>
Benin . . . . .	17 Mar 1966 <u>a</u>	Mali . . . . .	6 Jan 1970 <u>a</u>
Burkina Faso . . . . .	8 Dec 1964 <u>a</u>	Mauritius . . . . .	18 Jul 1969 <u>d</u>
Cyprus . . . . .	10 Jun 1965 <u>a</u>	Niger . . . . .	5 May 1969 <u>a</u>
Egypt . . . . .	15 Jul 1957 <u>a</u>	Peru . . . . .	11 May 1970 <u>a</u>
Fiji . . . . .	25 Mar 1971 <u>d</u>	Philippines . . . . .	5 May 1971 <u>a</u>
France . . . . .	28 Mar 1958	[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>12</sup>	3 Dec 1964 <u>a</u>
Gabon . . . . .	11 Aug 1964 <u>a</u>	San Marino . . . . .	18 Oct 1967 <u>a</u>
German Democratic Republic <sup>7</sup>		Senegal . . . . .	25 Aug 1965 <u>a</u>
Ghana . . . . .	9 Jul 1964 <u>a</u>	South Africa . . . . .	29 Aug 1967 <u>a</u>
Holy See . . . . .	1 Mar 1965 <u>a</u>	Sri Lanka . . . . .	2 Jun 1967 <u>a</u>
Indonesia <sup>8</sup> . . . . .	3 Aug 1982 <u>a</u>	Switzerland . . . . .	30 Dec 1958
Iraq . . . . .	14 May 1965 <u>a</u>	Syrian Arab Republic <sup>13</sup> . . . . .	14 Aug 1964
Israel . . . . .	10 Feb 1965 <u>a</u>	Thailand . . . . .	6 Jun 1963 <u>a</u>
Ivory Coast . . . . .	25 May 1964 <u>a</u>	Uganda . . . . .	15 Apr 1965 <u>a</u>
Kuwait . . . . .	9 Dec 1968 <u>a</u>	United Kingdom . . . . .	28 Jul 1959

Accessions in respect of territories

Netherlands . . . . .	22 Mar 1954	Netherlands Antilles and Surinam
United Kingdom . . . . .	13 Oct 1960	Antigua, Bahamas, Basutoland, Bechuanaland Pro- tectorate, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands, British Virgin Islands, Dominica, Falkland, Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland, <sup>14</sup> Fiji, Gambia, Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Grenada, Jamaica, Kenya, Mauritius, Montserrat, North Borneo, St. Christopher-Nevis and Anguilla, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Sarawak, Sierra Leone, State of Singapore, Swaziland, Tanganyika, Trinidad, Uganda, Zanzibar
	7 Mar 1963	Barbados and its dependencies

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 2623. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 112, p. 371.

2/ According to a Declaration made by the Danish Government when ratifying the Convention, the latter was to take effect in respect of Denmark only upon the coming into force of the Danish Penal Code of April 15th, 1930. This Code having entered into force on January 1st, 1933, the Convention has become effective for Denmark from the same date.

3/ As this reservation has not given rise to any objection on the part of the States to which it was communicated in accordance with Article 22, it may be considered as accepted.

4/ Instrument deposited in Berlin.

5/ See note concerning signatures, ratifica-

tions, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

6/ With the following reservation, which is deemed to have been accepted by the other Contracting Parties in accordance with article 22 of the Convention:

The Democratic and Popular Republic of Algeria does not consider itself bound by article 19 of the Convention, which confers upon the International Court of Justice jurisdiction with respect to any disputes concerning the Convention.

The jurisdiction of international tribunals may be accepted, by way of exception, in cases with respect to which the Algerian Government shall have expressly given its consent.

7/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Repub-

lic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 2 March 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974, concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the International Convention of 20 April 1929 for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the International Convention for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency, April 20th, 1929 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

8/ With the following reservation, which is deemed to have been accepted by the other Contracting Parties in accordance with article 22 of the Convention:

"The Government of the Republic of Indonesia does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 19 of this Convention but takes the position that any dispute relating to the interpretation or application of the Convention may be submitted to arbitration or to the International Court of Justice for decision, only with the agreement of all the parties to the dispute.

9/ With the following reservation, which is deemed to have been accepted by the other

Contracting Parties in accordance with article 22 of the Convention:

"The Government of Malaysia . . . does not consider itself bound by the provisions of article 19 of the Convention."

10/ With the following reservation, which is deemed to have been accepted by the other Contracting Parties in accordance with article 22 of the Convention: The Kingdom of Morocco does not consider itself bound by article 19 of the Convention which provides that any disputes which might arise relating to the said Convention shall be settled by the Permanent Court of International Justice.

However, it may accept the jurisdiction of the International Court, by way of exception, in cases where the Moroccan Government expressly states that it accepts such jurisdiction.

11/ With the following reservation, which is deemed to have been accepted by the other Contracting Parties in accordance with article 22 of the Convention:

"Articles 5 and 8 of the Convention shall be inoperative with respect to the Philippines unless and until Article 163 of the Revised Penal Code and Section 14 (a), Rule 110, of the Rules of the Court in the Philippines, shall have been amended to conform to the said provisions of the Convention."

12/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.

13/ In a communication received on 14 August 1964, the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, referring to Presidential decree No. 1147 of 20 June 1959, pursuant to which the application of the Convention for the Suppression of Counterfeiting Currency and Protocol, done at Geneva on 30 April 1929, was extended to the Syrian Province of the United Arab Republic, and to décret-loi No. 25 promulgated on 13 June 1962 by the President of the Syrian Arab Republic (see note in chapter I.1.) has informed the Secretary-General that the Syrian Arab Republic considers itself a party to the said Convention and Protocol as from 20 June 1959.

14/ See note in chapter V.2.

II.15: Suppression of counterfeiting currency--Optional Protocol

15. OPTIONAL PROTOCOL CONCERNING THE SUPPRESSION OF COUNTERFEITING CURRENCY

Geneva, April 20th, 1929

IN FORCE since August 30th, 1930.<sup>1</sup>

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Austria	(June 25th, 1931)
Brazil	(July 1st, 1938 a)
Bulgaria	(May 22nd, 1930)
Colombia	(May 9th, 1932)
Cuba	(June 13th, 1933)
Czechoslovakia	(September 12th, 1931)
Estonia	(August 30th, 1930 a)
Finland	(September 25th, 1936 a)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Greece	(May 19th, 1931)
Latvia	(July 22nd, 1939 a)
Poland	(June 15th, 1934)
Portugal	(September 18th, 1930)
Romania	(November 10th, 1930)
Spain	(April 28th, 1930)
Yugoslavia	(November 24th, 1930)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Panama

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession</u>
Algeria . . . . .	17 Mar 1965	Ivory Coast . . . . .	25 May 1964
Burkina Faso . . . . .	8 Dec 1964	Malawi . . . . .	18 Nov 1965
Cyprus . . . . .	10 Jun 1965	Niger . . . . .	5 May 1969
Gabon . . . . .	11 Aug 1964	[Republic of South Viet-Nam] <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	3 Dec 1964
Ghana . . . . .	9 Jul 1964	Senegal . . . . .	25 Aug 1965
Iraq . . . . .	14 May 1965	Sri Lanka . . . . .	2 Jun 1967
Israel . . . . .	10 Feb 1965		

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 2624. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 112, p. 395.

2/ See note 4 in chapter III.6.



16. CONVENTION AND STATUTE ON FREEDOM OF TRANSIT

Barcelona, April 20th, 1921<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since October 31st, 1922 (article 6).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Albania (October 8th, 1921)  
 Austria (November 15th, 1923)  
 Belgium (May 16th, 1927)  
 British Empire, including Newfoundland  
 (August 2nd, 1922)  
 Subject to the declaration inserted in the  
 Procès-verbal of the meeting of April 19th,  
 1921, as to the British Dominions which have  
 not been represented at the Barcelona Confer-  
 ence.  
Federated Malay States: Perak, Selangor, Negri  
Sembilan and Pahang  
 (August 22nd, 1923 a)  
Non-Federated Malay States: Brunei, Johore,  
Kedah, Perlis, Kelantan and Trengganu  
 (August 22nd, 1923 a)  
Palestine  
 (January 28th, 1924 a)  
 New Zealand (August 2nd, 1922)  
 India (August 2nd, 1922)  
 Bulgaria (July 11th, 1922)  
 Chile (March 19th, 1928)  
 Czechoslovakia (October 29th, 1923)  
 Denmark (November 13th, 1922)  
 Estonia (June 6th, 1925)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Finland (January 29th, 1923)  
 France (September 19th, 1924)  
Syria and Lebanon (February 7th, 1929 a)  
 Germany (April 9th, 1924 a)  
 Greece (February 18th, 1924)  
 Hungary (May 18th, 1928 a)  
 Iran (January 29th, 1931)  
 Iraq (March 1st, 1930 a)  
 Italy (August 5th, 1922)  
 Japan (February 20th, 1924)  
 Latvia (September 29th, 1923)  
 Luxembourg (March 19th, 1930)  
 The Netherlands (including the Netherlands Indies,  
Surinam and Curaçao) (April 17th, 1924)  
 Norway (September 4th, 1923)  
 Poland (October 8th, 1924)  
 Romania (September 5th, 1923)  
 Spain (December 17th, 1929)  
 Sweden (January 19th, 1925)  
 Switzerland (July 14th, 1924)  
 Thailand (November 29, 1922 a)  
 Turkey (June 27th, 1933 a)  
 Yugoslavia (May 7th, 1930)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

Bolivia	Guatemala	Peru (a)
China <sup>2</sup>	Lithuania	Portugal
Ethiopia (a)	Panama	Uruguay

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by  
 the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d)</u>
Democratic Kampuchea . . . . .	12 Apr 1971 d	Malta . . . . .	13 May 1966 d
Fiji . . . . .	15 Mar 1972 d	Mauritius . . . . .	18 Jul 1969 d
Lao People's Democratic Republic . . . . .	24 Nov 1956 d	Nepal . . . . .	22 Aug 1966 a
Lesotho . . . . .	23 Oct 1973 d	Nigeria . . . . .	3 Nov 1967 a
Malawi <sup>3</sup>		Rwanda . . . . .	10 Feb 1965 d
		Swaziland . . . . .	24 Nov 1969 a

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 171. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 7, p. ii.

2/ See note concerning signatures, ratifica-  
 tions, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2  
 in chapter I.1).

3/ In a letter addressed to the Secretary-  
 General on 3 September 1968, the President of the  
 Republic of Malawi, referring to the Convention  
 and Statute on Freedom of Transit, done at  
 Barcelona on 20 April 1921, stated the following:  
 "As I mentioned in my previous letter to you  
 of the 24th November 1964, concerning  
 Malawi's inherited treaty obligations,

my Government regards all multilateral treaties validly applied to the former Nyasaland, including this Convention and Statute, as remaining in force on a reciprocal basis as between Malawi and any other party to the treaty, pending our notification to the depositary of the treaty confirming Malawi's succession, acceding in her own right, or terminating all legal connection therewith.

"On behalf of the Government of Malawi, I would now inform you, as depositary for this Convention and Statute, that my Government

considers that as from this date any legal obligations and rights which may have devolved upon Malawi from the previous ratification by the United Kingdom are terminated. Accordingly, Malawi considers herself to have no further legal connection with the Convention and Statute on Freedom of Transit, signed at Barcelona on 20th April 1921. The Government of Malawi wishes, however, to reserve the right to accede to this Convention and Statute at a later date should this become necessary."

17. CONVENTION AND STATUTE ON THE REGIME OF NAVIGABLE WATERWAYS OF INTERNATIONAL CONCERN

Barcelona, April 20th, 1921<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since October 31st, 1922 (article 6).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Albania (October 8th, 1921)  
 Austria (November 15th, 1923)  
 British Empire, including Newfoundland  
 (August 2nd, 1922)  
 Subject to the declaration inserted in the Procès-verbal of the meeting of April 19th, 1921, as to the British Dominions which have not been represented at the Barcelona Conference.  
Federated Malay States: Perak, Selangor, Negri Sembilan and Pahang  
 (August 22nd, 1923 a)  
Non-Federated Malay States: Brunei, Johore, Kedah, Perlis, Kelantan and Trengganu  
 (August 22nd, 1923 a)  
 (January 28th, 1924 a)  
Palestine  
 (August 2nd, 1922)  
 New Zealand [August 2nd, 1922]<sup>2</sup>  
 India (July 11th, 1922)  
 Bulgaria (March 19th, 1928)  
 Chile (September 8th, 1924)  
 Czechoslovakia

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Denmark (November 13th, 1922)  
 Finland (January 29th, 1923)  
 France (December 31st, 1926)  
 Greece (January 3rd, 1928)  
 Hungary (May 18th, 1928 a)  
 Italy (August 5th, 1922)  
 Luxembourg (March 19th, 1930)  
 Norway (September 4th, 1923)  
 Romania (May 9th, 1924 a)  
 In so far as its provisions are not in conflict with the principles of the new Danube Statute drawn up by the International Commission which was appointed in accordance with Articles 349 of the Treaty of Versailles, 304 of the Treaty of Saint-Germain, 232 of the Treaty of Neuilly and 288 of the Treaty of Trianon.  
 Sweden (September 15th, 1927)  
 Thailand (November 29th, 1922 a)  
 Turkey (June 27th, 1933 a)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Belgium  
 Bolivia  
 China  
 Colombia (a)  
 Estonia

Guatemala  
 Lithuania  
 Panama  
 Peru (a)

Poland  
 Portugal  
 Spain  
 Uruguay

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

Participant	Accession (a), succession (d)	Denunciation	Participant	Accession (a), succession (d)	Denunciation
Democratic Kampuchea . . .	12 Apr 1971 <u>d</u>		Malta . . . . .	13 May 1966 <u>d</u>	
China <sup>3</sup>			Morocco . . . . .	10 Oct 1972 <u>a</u>	
Fiji . . . . .	15 Mar 1972 <u>d</u>		Nigeria . . . . .	3 Nov 1967 <u>a</u>	
India . . . . .		26 Mar 1956 <sup>2</sup>	Solomon Islands . . . . .	3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>	
Malawi <sup>4</sup>			Swaziland . . . . .	16 Oct 1970 <u>a</u>	

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 172. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 7, p. 35.

2/ With effect from 26 March 1957.

3/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

4/ In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General on 21 March 1969, the President of the Republic of Malawi, referring to the Convention and Statute on the Régime of Navigable Waterways of International Concern, done at Barcelona on 20 April 1921, stated the following:

"In my letter to you of the 24th November 1964, concerning the disposition of Malawi's inherited treaty obligations, my Government declared that with respect to any multilate-

## II.17: Régime of navigable waterways

---

ral treaty which was applied or extended to the former Nyasaland Protectorate, any Party to such a treaty could on the basis of reciprocity rely as against Malawi on the terms of that treaty until Malawi notified its depositary of what action it wished to take by way of confirmation of termination, confirmation of succession, or accession.

"I am to inform you as depositary of this Convention that the Government of Malawi now

wishes to terminate any connection with this Convention which it might have inherited. The Government of Malawi considers that any legal relationship with the aforementioned Convention and Statute on the Régime of Navigable Waterways of International Concern, Barcelona, 1921 which might have devolved upon it by way of succession from the ratification of the United Kingdom, is terminated as of this date."

18. ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL TO THE CONVENTION ON THE REGIME OF NAVIGABLE WATERWAYS  
OF INTERNATIONAL CONCERN

Barcelona, April 20th, 1921<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since October 31st, 1922.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Albania (October 8th, 1921)  
Austria (November 15th, 1923)  
To the full extent indicated under paragraph (a)  
of the Protocol.  
British Empire (August 2nd, 1922)  
In respect of the United Kingdom only accepting  
paragraph (a).  
Newfoundland (August 2nd, 1922)  
To the full extent indicated under paragraph  
(a).  
Nyasaland Protectorate and Tanganyika Territory  
(August 2nd, 1922)  
To the full extent indicated in paragraph (b).  
Bahamas, Barbados, British Guiana, British  
Solomon Islands, Ceylon, Cyprus, Fiji, Gambia  
Colony and Protectorate, Gibraltar, Gilbert  
and Ellice Islands Colony, Gold Coast  
(Ashanti and Northern Territories),  
Hong-Kong, Jamaica (including Turks and  
Caios Islands and Cayman Islands), Kenya  
Colony and Protectorate, Leeward Islands,  
Malta, Mauritius, Nigeria Colony and  
Protectorate, Seychelles, Sierra Leone Colony  
and Protectorate, St. Helena, Straits  
Settlements, Tonga Islands, Trinidad and  
Tobago, Uganda Protectorate, Windward Islands  
(Grenada, St. Lucia and St. Vincent), Zanzibar  
(August 2nd, 1922 a)  
To the full extent indicated under paragraph  
(a).  
Federated Malay States: Perak, Selangor, Negri  
Sembilan and Pahang (August 22nd, 1923 a)  
To the full extent indicated under paragraph  
(a).  
Non-Federated Malay States: Brunei, Johore,  
Kedah, Perlis, Kelantan and Trengganu  
(August 22nd, 1923 a)  
To the full extent indicated under paragraph  
(a).  
Palestine (January 28th, 1924 a)  
To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a)  
of the Protocol.

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Bermuda (December 27th, 1928 a)  
To the full extent indicated in paragraph  
(a).  
New Zealand (August 2nd, 1922)  
Accepting paragraph (a).  
India [August 2nd, 1922]  
In respect of India only accepting paragraph  
(a).  
Chile (March 19th, 1928)  
Accepting paragraph (b).  
Czechoslovakia (September 8th, 1924)  
Accepting paragraph (b).  
Denmark (November 13th, 1922)  
Accepting paragraph (a).  
Finland (January 29th, 1923)  
Accepting paragraph (b).  
Greece (January 3rd, 1928)  
Hungary (May 18th, 1928 a)  
To the full extent indicated in paragraph  
(a).  
Luxembourg (March 19th, 1930)  
To the full extent indicated in paragraph  
(a).  
Norway (September 4th, 1923)  
Accepting paragraph (a).  
Romania (May 9th, 1924 a)  
Is unable to accept any restriction of her  
liberty in administrative matters on the  
waterways which are not of international  
concern, that is to say, on purely national  
rivers, while at the same time accepting the  
principles of liberty in accordance with the  
laws of the country.  
Sweden (September 15th, 1927)  
Accepting paragraph (b).  
Thailand (November 29th, 1922 a)  
To the full extent indicated under paragraph  
(a).  
Turkey (June 27th, 1933 a)  
To the full extent indicated in paragraph  
(a).

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

Belgium  
Accepting paragraph (a)

Peru  
Portugal

Spain  
Accepting paragraph (a)

II.18: Régime of navigable waterways--Additional Protocol

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by  
the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Denunciation</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Denunciation</u>
Fiji . . . . . To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a)	15 Mar 1972 d		Nigeria . . . . . To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a), namely, on condition of reciprocity on all navigable waterways.	3 Nov 1967 a	
India . . . . .		26 Mar 1956 <sup>2</sup>			
Malta . . . . . To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a)	13 May 1966 d		Solomon Islands . . . . . To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a)	3 Sep 1981 d	
Morocco . . . . . To the full extent indicated in paragraph (a), "on all navigable waterways".	10 Oct 1972 a				

NOTES:

- 1/ Registered No. 173. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 7, p. 65.
- 2/ With effect from 26 March 1957.

II.19: Right to a flag of States having no sea-coast

19. DECLARATION RECOGNISING THE RIGHT TO A FLAG OF STATES HAVING NO SEA-COAST

Barcelona, April 20th, 1921<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since 20 April 1921.

Ratifications or definitive accession

Albania (October 8th, 1921)  
 Austria (July 10th, 1924)  
 Belgium (May 16th, 1927)  
 British Empire, including Newfoundland  
 (October 9th, 1922)  
 Canada (October 31st, 1922 a)  
 Australia (October 31st, 1922 a)  
 New Zealand (October 9th, 1922)  
 Union of South Africa (October 31st, 1922 a)  
 India (October 9th, 1922)  
 Bulgaria (July 11th, 1922)  
 Chile (March 19th, 1928)  
 Czechoslovakia (September 8th, 1924)  
 Denmark (November 13th, 1922)  
 Estonia<sup>2</sup>  
 Finland (September 22nd, 1922 a)  
 France<sup>2</sup>  
 Germany (November 10th, 1931 a)  
 Greece (January 3rd, 1928)

Ratifications or definitive accession

Hungary (May 18th, 1928 a)  
 Iraq (April 17th, 1935 a)  
 Italy<sup>2</sup>  
 Japan (February 20th, 1924)  
 Latvia (February 12th, 1924)  
 Mexico (October 17th, 1935 a)  
 The Netherlands<sup>2</sup> (including Netherlands Indies,  
Surinam and Curacao) (November 28th, 1921)  
 Norway (September 4th, 1923)  
 Poland (December 20th, 1924)  
 Romania (February 22nd, 1923 a)  
 Spain (July 1st, 1929)  
 Sweden (January 19th, 1925)  
 Switzerland<sup>2</sup>  
 Thailand (November 29th, 1922 a)  
 Turkey (June 27th, 1933 a)  
 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (May 16th, 1935 a)  
 Yugoslavia (May 7th, 1930)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

Bolivia  
 China  
 Guatemala

Iran  
 Lithuania  
 Panama

Peru (a)  
 Portugal  
 Uruguay

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession (a), succession (d)</u>
China <sup>3</sup>		Mauritius . . . . .	18 Jul 1969 d
Fiji . . . . .	15 Mar 1972 d	Mongolia . . . . .	15 Oct 1976 a
Lesotho . . . . .	23 Oct 1973 d	Rwanda . . . . .	10 Feb 1965 d
German Democratic Republic <sup>4</sup>		Solomon Islands . . . . .	3 Sep 1981 d
Malawi . . . . .	11 Jun 1969 d	Swaziland . . . . .	16 Oct 1970 a
Malta . . . . .	21 Sep 1966 d		

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 174. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 7, p. 73.

2/ Accepts Declaration as binding without ratification.

3/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

4/ In a notification received on 31 January 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic

public stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 4 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 23 February 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974, concerning the application, as from 4 June 1958, of the Declaration of 20 April 1921 recognising the Right to a Flag of States having no Sea-coast, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation

between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and

the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Declaration recognizing the Right to a Flag of States having no Sea-coast, April 20th, 1921 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."



20. CONVENTION AND STATUTE ON THE INTERNATIONAL REGIME OF MARITIME PORTS

Geneva, December 9th, 1923<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since July 26th, 1926 (article 6).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Austria (January 20th, 1927 a)  
 Belgium (May 16th, 1927)  
 Does not apply to the Belgian Congo or to the territory of Ruanda-Urundi under Belgian mandate, without prejudice to the right of ratification at a subsequent date on behalf of either or both of these territories.  
 With regard to Article 12 of the Statute, the Belgian Government declares that legislation exists in Belgium on the transport of emigrants, and that this legislation, whilst it does not distinguish between flags and consequently does not affect the principle of equality of treatment of flags, imposes special obligations on all vessels engaged in the transport of emigrants.

British Empire (August 29th, 1924)  
 This ratification shall not be deemed to apply in the case of the Dominion of Canada, the Commonwealth of Australia, the Dominion of New Zealand, the Union of South Africa or the Irish Free State (or any territories under their authority) or in the case of India, and that, in pursuance of the power reserved in Article 9 of this Convention, it shall not be deemed to apply in the case of any of the Colonies, Possessions or Protectorates or of the territories in respect of which His Britannic Majesty has accepted a mandate; without prejudice, however, to the right of subsequent ratification or accession on behalf of any or all those Dominions, Colonies, Possessions, Protectorates or Territories.

Newfoundland (April 23rd, 1925 a)  
Southern Rhodesia (April 23rd, 1925 a)  
Bahamas, Barbados, Bermuda, British Guiana, British Honduras, British Solomon Islands Protectorate, Brunei, Ceylon, Cyprus, Falkland Islands and Dependencies, Fiji, Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gibraltar, Gilbert and Ellice Islands, Gold Coast, Grenada, Hong-Kong, Jamaica (excluding Turks and Caicos Islands and Cayman Islands), Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Leeward Islands (Antigua, Dominica, Montserrat, St. Christopher-Nevis, Virgin Islands), Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States: Perak, Selangor, Neuri Sembilan and Pahang; (b) Non-Federated Malay States: Johore, Kedah, Perlis, Kelantan, Trengganu], Mauritius, Nigeria [(a) Colony, (b) Protectorate, (c) Cameroons under British Mandate], Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan), St. Helena, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Seychelles, Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Somaliland, Straits Settlements, Tanqanyika Territory, Tonga, Trans-Jordan, Trinidad and Tobago, Zanzibar (September 22nd, 1925 a)  
Malta (November 7th, 1925 a)  
 Australia (June 29th, 1925 a)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Does not apply in the case of Papua, Norfolk Island and the mandated territories of Nauru and New Guinea.

New Zealand (April 1st, 1925)  
 Including the mandated territory of Western Samoa.

India (April 1st, 1925)  
 Czechoslovakia (July 10th, 1931)  
 With reservation as to the right relating to emigrants mentioned in Article twelve (12) of the Statute.

Denmark (April 27th, 1926)  
 Excluding Greenland, the maritime ports of which are subject to a separate régime.

Estonia (November 4th, 1931)  
 The Estonian Government reserves the right regarding emigration provided for in Article 12 of the Statute.

France (August 2nd, 1932)  
 Shall have the power, in conformity with Article 8 of the Statute, of suspending the benefit of equality of treatment as regards the mercantile marine of a State which, under the provisions of Article 12, paragraph 1, has itself departed from equality of treatment in favour of its own marine.  
 Does not include any of the Protectorates, Colonies, Overseas Possessions or Territories under the sovereignty or authority of the French Republic.

Germany (May 1st, 1928)  
 In conformity with Article 12 of the Statute on the International Régime of Maritime Ports, the German Government declares that it reserves the right of limiting the transport of emigrants, in accordance with the provisions of its own legislation, to vessels which have been granted special authorisation as fulfilling the requirements of the said legislation.  
 In exercising this right, the German Government will continue to be guided as far as possible by the principles of this Statute.

Greece (January 24th, 1927)  
 With reservation as to the right relating to emigrants mentioned in Article twelve (12) of the Statute.

Hungary (March 21st, 1929)  
 With reservation as to the right regarding emigration provided in Article 12 of the Statute.

Iraq (May 1st, 1929 a)  
 With reservation as to the rights regarding emigration provided in Article 12 of the Statute.

Italy (October 16th, 1933)  
 With reservation as to the right relating to emigrants mentioned in Article twelve (12) of the Statute.  
 This ratification does not apply to the Italian colonies or possessions.  
 This ratification cannot be interpreted as im-

II.20: Régime of maritime ports

Ratifications or definitive accessions

plying the admission or the recognition of any reservation or declaration made with a view to limiting in any way the rights granted by Article 12 of the Statute to the High Contracting Parties.

Japan (September 30th, 1926)  
With reservation as to the right relating to emigrants mentioned in Article twelve (12) of the Statute.

Mexico (March 5th, 1934 a)  
The Netherlands (February 22nd, 1928)  
Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curacao (February 22nd, 1928 a)  
The Netherlands Government reserves the right mentioned in Article 12, paragraph 1, of the

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Statute annexed to the Convention, it being understood that no discrimination shall be made against the flag of any contracting State which in regard to the transport of emigrants does not discriminate against the Netherlands flag.

Norway (June 21st, 1928)  
Sweden (September 15th, 1927)  
Switzerland (October 23rd, 1926)  
Thailand (January 9th, 1925)  
Yugoslavia (November 20th, 1931)  
With reservation as to the right relating to emigrants mentioned in Article twelve (12) of the Statute.

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

Brazil  
Bulgaria  
Chile  
Lithuania  
With reservation as to the right relating to emigrants mentioned in Article twelve (12) of the Statute.

Panama (a)  
Salvador  
Spain  
With reservation as to the right relating to emigrants mentioned in Article twelve (12) of the Statute.  
Uruguay

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

Participant	Accession (a). succession (d)	Denunciation	Participant	Accession (a). succession (d)	Denunciation
Burkina Faso . . . . .	18 Jul 1966 a		Mauritius . . . . .	18 Jul 1969 d	
Cyprus . . . . .	9 Nov 1964 d		Monaco . . . . .	20 Feb 1976 a	
Fiji . . . . .	15 Mar 1972 d		Morocco . . . . .	19 Oct 1972 a	
Ivory Coast . . . . .	22 Jun 1966 a		Nigeria . . . . .	3 Nov 1967 a	
Madagascar <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	4 Oct 1967 a		Thailand . . . . .		2 Oct 1973
Malaysia . . . . .	31 Aug 1966 a		Trinidad and Tobago . . . . .	14 Jun 1966 a	
Malta . . . . .	18 Apr 1966 d				

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 1379. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 58, p. 285.

2/ The Government of Madagascar shall have

the power, in conformity with article 8 of the Statute, of suspending the benefit of equality of treatment as regards the mercantile marine of a State which, under the provisions of article 12, paragraph 1, has itself departed from equality of treatment in favour of its own marine.

21. CONVENTION ON THE TAXATION OF FOREIGN MOTOR VEHICLES

Geneva, March 30th, 1931<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since May 9th, 1933 (Article 14).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Belgium (November 9th, 1932)  
Subject to subsequent accession for the colonies and territories under mandate

Great Britain and Northern Ireland [April 20th, 1932]  
Does not include any colonies, protectorates or overseas territories or territories under suzerainty or mandate.

Southern Rhodesia (August 6th, 1932 a)  
Newfoundland (January 9th, 1933 a)  
Ceylon, Cyprus, Gold Coast [(a) Colony, (b) Ashanti (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate], Hong-Kong, Jamaica, Malta, Windward Islands (Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent) (January 3rd, 1935 a)  
Nigeria [(a) Colony, (b) Protectorate, (c) Cameroons under British Mandate], Sierra Leone (Colony under Protectorate) (March 11th, 1936 a)  
Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan) (April 29th, 1936 a)  
Malay States [(a) Federated Malay States, Negri Sembilan, Pahang, Perak, Selangor; (b) Unfederated Malay States: Johore, Kedah, Kelantan, Perlis, Trengganu], Straits Settlements (November 6th, 1937 a)  
Kenya (Colony and Protectorate), Northern Rhodesia, Nyasaland, Tanganyika Territory, Uganda, Zanzibar (May 3rd, 1938 a)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Trinidad (May 21st, 1940 a)  
Ireland [November 27th, 1933 a]  
Bulgaria (March 5th, 1932 a)  
Denmark (December 4th, 1931)  
Egypt (May 20th, 1939 a)  
Finland [May 23rd, 1934 a]  
Greece (June 6th, 1939 a)  
Iraq (September 20th, 1938 a)  
Italy (September 25th, 1933)  
Latvia (January 10th, 1939 a)  
Luxembourg [March 31st, 1933]  
The Netherlands (including the Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao) (January 16th, 1934)  
Poland (June 15th, 1934)  
Portugal (January 23rd, 1932)  
Does not assume any obligation as regards its Colonies.

Romania [June 19th, 1935 a]  
Spain (June 3rd, 1933)  
Sweden (November 9th, 1933)  
Switzerland (October 19th, 1934)  
Turkey (September 25th, 1936)  
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (July 23rd, 1935 a)  
Yugoslavia (May 9th, 1933 a)

Signature not yet perfected by ratification  
Czecho-Slovakia

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations<sup>2</sup>

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Denunciation<sup>3</sup></u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Denunciation<sup>3</sup></u>
Denmark . . . . .	7 Mar 1968	Netherlands <sup>5</sup>	
Finland <sup>4</sup> . . . . .	10 Sep 1956	Poland . . . . .	26 May 1971
Ireland . . . . .	18 Mar 1963	Romania . . . . .	10 Jul 1967
Luxembourg . . . . .	2 Jun 1965	United Kingdom . . . . .	14 Jan 1963

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 3185, League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 138, p. 149.

2/ A new convention on the subject of the taxation of foreign motor vehicles was drawn up within the framework of the Inland Transport Committee of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe and opened for signature at Geneva on 18 May 1956, namely, the Convention on the Taxation of Road Vehicles for Private Use in International Traffic. Its article 4 provides as follows:

"As soon as a country which is a Contracting Party to the Convention of 30 March 1931 on the Taxation of Foreign Motor Vehicles becomes a Contracting Party to the present Convention, it shall take the measures laid down in article 17 of the 1931 Convention to denounce that Convention."

For the list of signatures, ratifications and accessions to the Convention of 18 May 1956, see chapter XI.B.10.

3/ In accordance with article 17, denunciation takes effect one year after date of its receipt by the Secretary-General.

4/ In a communication of 31 July 1957, the Government of Finland, with reference to its notification of denunciation, has informed the Secretary-General that the said notification has been intended to take effect in respect of Finland on 10 September 1957, i.e., one year after the date of its receipt by the Secretary-General, only "if the Convention on the Taxation of Road Vehicles for Private Use in International Traffic of 18 May 1956, to which Finland is a party, has entered into force by that date. If the Convention has not entered into force on 10 September 1957, it is the intention of the Government of Finland that the denunciation should take effect on such date thereafter as the Convention shall enter into force."

5/ In a communication received on 1 March 1960, the Government of the Netherlands has informed the Secretary-General that it "will no longer consider itself bound, for the Realm as a whole, by the provisions of the 1931 Convention in its relations with those Parties to the said Convention for whom the Convention of 1956 [on the Taxation of Road Vehicles for Private Use in International Traffic] has come into force, this as from the date on which the Convention of 1956 enters into force between those States and the Kingdom of the Netherlands but not before one year after the day on which you will have received this declaration".

22. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION RELATING TO THE SIMPLIFICATION OF CUSTOMS FORMALITIES

Geneva, November 3rd, 1923<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since November 27th, 1924 (article 26).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Austria (September 11th, 1924)  
 Belgium (October 4th, 1924)  
 Brazil (July 10th, 1929)  
 British Empire (August 29th, 1924)

It is stated in the instrument of ratification that this ratification shall not be deemed to apply in the case of the Dominion of Canada, the Commonwealth of Australia (or any territory under its authority) or the Irish Free State or in the case of India, and that in pursuance of the power reserved in Article XXIX of the Convention, it shall not be deemed to apply in the case of the Island of Newfoundland or of the territories of Iraq and Nauru, in respect of which His Britannic Majesty has accepted a mandate. It does not apply to the Sudan.

Burma<sup>2</sup>  
 Australia (March 13th, 1925)  
 Excluding Papua, Norfolk Island and the Mandated Territory of New Guinea.  
 New Zealand (August 29th, 1924)  
 Includes the mandated territory of Western Samoa.  
 Union of South Africa (August 29th, 1924)  
 India (March 13th, 1925)  
 Bulgaria (December 10th, 1926)  
 China<sup>3</sup> (February 23rd, 1926)  
 Czechoslovakia (February 10th, 1927)  
 Denmark (May 17th, 1924)  
 Egypt (March 23rd, 1925)  
 Estonia (February 28th, 1930 a)  
 Finland (May 23rd, 1928)  
 France (September 13th, 1926)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Does not apply to the Colonies under its sovereignty.

Morocco (French Protectorate)

(November 8th, 1926)  
 Tunisia (November 8th, 1926)  
Syria and Lebanon (March 9th, 1933 a)  
 Germany (August 1st, 1925)  
 Greece (July 6th, 1927)  
 Hungary (February 23rd, 1926)  
 Iran (May 8th, 1925 a)  
 Iraq (May 3rd, 1934 a)  
 Italy (June 13th, 1924)  
 Latvia (September 28th, 1931 a)  
 Luxembourg (June 10th, 1927)  
 The Netherlands (including the Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curacao) (May 30th, 1925)  
 Norway (September 7th, 1926)  
 Poland (September 4th, 1931)  
 Romania (December 23rd, 1925)

Under the same reservations as those formulated by the other Governments and inserted in Article 6 of the Protocol, the Royal Government understands that Article 22 of the Convention confers the right to have recourse to the procedure provided for in this Article for questions of a general nature solely on the High Contracting Parties, private persons being only entitled to appeal to their own judicial authorities in case any dispute arises with the authorities of the Kingdom  
 Sweden (February 12th, 1926)  
 Switzerland (January 3rd, 1927)  
 Thailand (May 19th, 1925)  
 Yugoslavia (May 2nd, 1929)

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Chile  
 Lithuania

Paraguay  
 Portugal

Spain  
 Uruguay

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Denunciation</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Ratification, accession (a), succession (d)</u>	<u>Denunciation</u>
Cyprus . . . . .	6 May 1964 <u>d</u>		Malawi . . . . .	16 Feb 1967 <u>a</u>	
Fiji . . . . .	31 Oct 1972 <u>d</u>	31 Oct 1972	Niger . . . . .	14 Mar 1966 <u>a</u>	
German Democratic Republic <sup>4</sup>			Nigeria . . . . .	14 Sep 1964 <u>d</u>	
Israel . . . . .	29 Aug 1936 <u>a</u>		Pakistan . . . . .	27 Jan 1951 <u>d</u>	
Japan . . . . .	29 Jul 1952		Singapore . . . . .	22 Dec 1967 <u>a</u>	
Lesotho . . . . .	12 Jan 1970 <u>a</u>		Solomon Islands . . . . .	3 Sep 1981 <u>d</u>	
			Tonga . . . . .	11 Nov 1977 <u>d</u>	

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 775. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 30, p. 371. The Convention and Protocol came into force on the same day.

2/ See note 3 in part II.2 in the League of Nations Treaties.

3/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

4/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 6 June 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 10 June 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany:

The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that the notification by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the German Democratic Republic of 31 January 1974 concerning the application, as from 6 June 1958, of the International Convention of 3 November 1923 relating to the Simplification of Custom Formalities cannot, either for the past or for the future by itself have the effect of establishing contractual relations between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic.

23. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE CAMPAIGN AGAINST CONTAGIOUS DISEASES OF ANIMALS

Geneva, February 20th, 1935<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since March 23rd, 1938 (articles 13 and 14).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Belgium (July 21st, 1937)  
 The Belgian Government does not regard the mere fact that in Belgium the inspection of meat, while carried out by Government veterinary surgeons or by veterinary surgeons approved by the Government, is placed under the supervision of the Minister of the Interior (Inspection of Foodstuffs), as being contrary to the provisions of Article 3, paragraph 5, of the present Convention; particularly since

Ratifications or definitive accessions

all the requirements of the said Article are observed in Belgium.  
 Bulgaria (August 28th, 1936)  
 Iraq (December 24th, 1937 @)  
 Latvia (May 4th, 1937)  
 Poland (January 3rd, 1939)  
 Romania (December 23rd, 1937)  
 Turkey (March 19th, 1941)  
 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (September 20th, 1937)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

Austria	France	The Nertherlands (for the
Chile (@)	Greece	Kingdom in Europe)
Czechoslovakia	Italy	Spain
		Switzerland

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Accession</u>
Yugoslavia . . . . .	8 Feb 1967

NOTES:

<sup>1/</sup> Registered No 4310 League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol 186, p. 173.

---

24. CONVENTION CONCERNING THE TRANSIT OF ANIMALS, MEAT AND OTHER PRODUCTS OF ANIMAL ORIGIN

Geneva, February 20th, 1935<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since December 6th, 1938 (articles 20 and 21).

---

Ratifications

Belgium (July 21st, 1937)  
Bulgaria (September 7th, 1938)  
Latvia (May 4th, 1937)  
Romania (December 23rd, 1937)

Ratifications

Turkey (March 19th, 1941)  
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (September 20th, 1937)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

Austria  
Chile (a)  
Czechoslovakia

The Czechoslovak Government does not consider that it can waive the right to make the transit of animals across its territory subject to a previous authorisation. It intends, in practice, to exercise the right so reserved in as liberal a spirit as possible, in conformity with the principles which are at the

basis of the present Convention, the object of which is to facilitate the transit of animals and of animal products.

France  
Greece  
The Netherlands (for the Kingdom in Europe) Italy  
Poland  
Spain  
Switzerland

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

Participant

Yugoslavia

Accession

8 Feb 1967

---

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 4486. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 193, p. 37.



25. INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION CONCERNING THE EXPORT AND IMPORT OF ANIMAL PRODUCTS (OTHER THAN MEAT, MEAT PREPARATIONS, FRESH ANIMAL PRODUCTS, MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS)

Geneva, February 20th, 1935<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since December 6th, 1938 (articles 14 and 15).

---

Ratifications

Belgium (July 21st, 1937)  
Bulgaria (September 7th, 1938)  
Latvia (May 4th, 1937)  
Romania (December 23rd, 1937)

Ratifications

Turkey (March 19th, 1941)  
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (September 20th, 1937)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

Austria  
Chile (a)  
Czechoslovakia  
France  
Greece

Italy  
The Netherlands (for the Kingdom in Europe)  
Poland  
Spain  
Switzerland

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

Participant

Yugoslavia

Accession

8 Feb 1967

---

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 4487. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 193, p. 59.

26. CONVENTION ESTABLISHING AN INTERNATIONAL RELIEF UNION

Geneva, July 12th, 1927<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since December 27th, 1932 (article 18).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Albania (August 31st, 1929)  
 Belgium (May 9th, 1929)  
 Great Britain and Northern Ireland [January 9th, 1929 a]  
 Does not include any of His Britannic Majesty's Colonies, Protectorates or territories under suzerainty or mandate.  
Burma<sup>2</sup>  
 New Zealand [December 22nd, 1928 a]  
 On the understanding that no contribution to the initial fund of the Union will fall due by New Zealand before the commencement of the next financial year in that country, viz., April 1st, 1929.  
 India [April 2nd, 1929]  
 Bulgaria (May 22nd, 1931)  
 China<sup>3</sup> (May 29th, 1935 a)  
 Cuba [June 18th, 1934]  
 Czechoslovakia<sup>4</sup> (August 20th, 1931)  
 Ecuador (July 30th, 1928)  
 Egypt [August 7th, 1928]  
 Subject to later acceptance by the Egyptian Government of the decisions of the Executive Committee fixing its contribution.  
 Finland (April 10th, 1929)

Ratifications or definitive accessions

France (April 27th, 1932)  
 Germany (July 22nd, 1929)  
 Greece [January 16th, 1931]  
 Hungary<sup>4</sup> (April 17th, 1929)  
 It being understood that "the most extensive immunities, facilities and exemptions" mentioned in Article 10 of the present Convention shall not include extraterritoriality or the other rights and immunities enjoyed in Hungary by duly accredited diplomatic agents.  
 Iran (September 28th, 1932 a)  
 Iraq<sup>4</sup> (June 12th, 1934 a)  
 Italy (August 2nd, 1928)  
 Applies also to the Italian Colonies.  
 Luxembourg [June 27th, 1929 a]  
 Monaco (May 21st, 1929)  
 Poland (July 11th, 1930)  
 Romania [September 11th, 1928]  
 San Marino (August 12th, 1929)  
 Sudan (May 11th, 1928 a)  
 Switzerland (January 2nd, 1930 a)  
 Turkey (March 10th, 1932)  
 Venezuela (June 19th, 1929)  
 Yugoslavia [August 28th, 1931 a]

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Brazil  
 Colombia  
 Guatemala

Latvia  
 Nicaragua  
 Peru

Portugal  
 Spain  
 Uruguay

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Notice of withdrawal from the International Relief Union<sup>4, 5</sup></u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Notice of withdrawal from the International Relief Union<sup>4, 5</sup></u>
Burma . . . . .	1 Oct 1951	India . . . . .	9 Nov 1950
Cuba . . . . .	8 Oct 1956	Iraq <sup>4</sup>	
Czechoslovakia <sup>4</sup>		Luxembourg . . . . .	20 Apr 1964
Egypt . . . . .	1 Aug 1955	New Zealand . . . . .	2 Aug 1950
France . . . . .	20 Feb 1973	Romania <sup>6</sup> . . . . .	24 Dec 1963
Greece . . . . .	6 Nov 1963	United Kingdom . . . . .	4 May 1948
Hungary <sup>4</sup>		Yugoslavia . . . . .	5 Jul 1951

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 3115. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 135, p. 247.

2/ See note 3 in part II.2 in the League of Nations Treaties.

3/ See note concerning signatures, ratifications, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

4/ In a letter of 6 December 1968, the Executive Secretary of the International Relief Union informed the Secretary-General that the Govern-

II.26: International relief Union

---

ments of the following States had withdrawn from the said Union by notifying it directly of their withdrawal on the dates indicated:

Czechoslovakia . . . . .	30 June	1951
Hungary . . . . .	13 November	1951
Iraq . . . . .	10 April	1961

5/ In accordance with article 19, the provisions of the Convention cease to be applicable to the territory of the withdrawing Member one year after the receipt of the notice of withdrawal by the Secretary-General.

6/ The notice of withdrawal contains the following statement:

The Romanian People's Republic hereby gives notice of its decision [of withdrawal] and accordingly considers itself free from any obligations deriving from the Convention establishing an International Relief Union.

As regards the question of dealing with the consequences of national disasters the Government of the Romanian People's Republic will continue as heretofore to give assistance to countries which suffer such disasters in the manner it considers appropriate.

27. CONVENTION ON THE INTERNATIONAL REGIME OF RAILWAYS

Geneva, December 9th, 1923<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since March 23rd, 1926 (article 6).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Austria (January 20th, 1927)  
 Belgium (May 16th, 1927)  
 Does not apply to the Belgium Congo or to the territory of Ruanda-Urundi under Belgian mandate, without prejudice to the right of ratification at a subsequent date on behalf of either or both of these territories.  
 British Empire (August 29th, 1924)  
 This ratification shall not be deemed to apply in the case of the Dominion of Canada, the Commonwealth of Australia, the Dominion of New Zealand, the Union of South Africa or the Irish Free State (or any territories under their authority) or in the case of India, and in pursuance of the power reserved in Article 9 of this Convention, it shall not be deemed to apply in the case of any of the Colonies, Possessions or Protectorates or of the territories in respect of which His Britannic Majesty has accepted a mandate; without prejudice, however, to the right of subsequent ratification or accession on behalf of any or all of those Dominions, Colonies, Possessions, Protectorates or territories.  
Southern Rhodesia (April 23rd, 1925 a)  
Newfoundland (April 23rd, 1925 a)  
British Guiana, British Honduras, Brunei (September 22nd, 1925 a)  
Federated Malay States [(a) Perak, Selangor, Negri Sembilan, Pahang; (b) Non-Federated Malay States: Johore, Kedah, Perlis, Kelantan, Trengganu] (September 22nd, 1925 a)  
Gambia (Colony and Protectorate), Gold Coast [(a) Colony, (b) Ashanti, (c) Northern Territories, (d) Togoland under British Mandate] (September 22nd, 1925 a)  
Hong-Kong (September 22nd, 1925 a)  
Nigeria [(a) Colony, (b) Protectorate, (c) Cameroons under British Mandate], Northern

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Rhodesia, Nyasaland (September 22nd, 1925 a)  
Palestine (excluding Trans-Jordan) (September 22nd, 1925 a)  
Sierra Leone (Colony and Protectorate), Straits Settlements (September 22nd, 1925 a)  
Tanganyika Territory, Trans-Jordan (September 22nd, 1925 a)  
 New Zealand (April 1st, 1925)  
 Including the mandated territory of Western Samoa.  
 India (April 1st, 1925)  
 Denmark (April 27th, 1926)  
 Estonia (September 21st, 1929)  
 Ethiopia (September 20th, 1928 a)  
 Finland (February 11th, 1937)  
 France (August 28th, 1935)  
 Subject to the reservation contained in Article 9 of the present Convention to the effect that its provisions do not apply to the various Protectorates, Colonies, Possessions or Overseas Territories under the sovereignty or authority of the French Republic.  
 Germany (December 5th, 1927)  
 Greece (March 6th, 1929)  
 Hungary (March 21st, 1929)  
 Italy (December 10th, 1934)  
 This ratification does not apply to the Italian colonies or possessions.  
 Japan (September 30th, 1926)  
 Latvia (October 8th, 1934)  
 The Netherlands (for the Kingdom in Europe) (February 22nd, 1928)  
 Norway (February 24th, 1926)  
 Poland (January 7th, 1928)  
 Romania (December 23rd, 1925)  
 Spain (January 15th, 1930)  
 Sweden (September 15th, 1927)  
 Switzerland (October 23rd, 1926)  
 Thailand (January 9th, 1925)  
 Yugoslavia (May 7th, 1930)

Signatures or accessions not yet perfected by ratification

Brazil  
 Bulgaria  
 Chile  
 China (a)<sup>2</sup>  
 The Chinese Government, subject to the declarations made in its name by the delegates whom it instructed to take part in the discussions on this Convention, confirms the said declarations regarding:  
 (1) The whole of Part III: "Relations between the railway and its users", Articles 14, 15, 16 and 17;  
 (2) In Part VI: "General Regulations", Article 37, relating to the conclusion of

special agreements for the purpose of putting the provisions of the Statute into force in cases where existing agreements are not adequate for this purpose  
 Colombia (a)  
 Czechoslovakia  
 Lithuania  
 Panama (a)  
 Portugal  
 Salvador  
 Uruguay

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by  
the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Succession</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Succession</u>
German Democratic Republic <sup>3</sup>		Malawi . . . . .	7 Jan 1969

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 1129. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 47, p. 55.

2/ See note concerning signatures, ratification, accessions, etc., on behalf of China (note 2 in chapter I.1).

3/ In a communication received on 4 October 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic had declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 26 September 1958.

In this connexion, the Secretary-General received, on 24 February 1976, the following communication from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany.

With reference to the communication by the German Democratic Republic of 30 September 1974, concerning the application, as from 26 September 1958, of the Convention and Statute

of 9 December 1923 on the International Régime of Railways, the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany declares that in the relation between the Federal Republic of Germany and the German Democratic Republic the declaration of application has no retroactive effect beyond 21 June 1973.

Subsequently, in a communication received on 17 June 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic declared:

"The Government of the German Democratic Republic takes the view that in accordance with the applicable rules of international law and the international practice of States the regulations on the reapplication of agreements concluded under international law are an internal affair of the successor State concerned. Accordingly, the German Democratic Republic was entitled to determine the date of reapplication of the Convention and Statute on the International Régime of Railways, December 9th, 1923 to which it established its status as a party by way of succession."

28. CONVENTION REGARDING THE MEASUREMENT OF VESSELS EMPLOYED IN INLAND NAVIGATION

Paris, November 27th, 1925<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since October 1st, 1927 (article 12).

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Belgium (July 2nd, 1927)  
 Albania  
 British Empire (for Great Britain and Northern  
 Denmark  
 Ireland) (July 14th, 1927)  
 Estonia  
 Bulgaria (July 2nd, 1927)  
 Iran  
 Czechoslovakia (January 17th, 1929)  
 Ireland  
 France (July 2nd, 1927)

It being understood on behalf of the French Gov-  
 ernment, and as provided for in Article 6 of  
 the Protocol of Signature, that in the event  
 of a re-measurement of a vessel originally  
 measured by its own officials the original  
 indelible marks, when they are not intended  
 solely to indicate that the vessel has been  
 measured, shall have added to them an  
 indelible cross having arms of equal length,  
 and that this addition shall be regarded as  
 equivalent to the removal described in  
 Article 10 of the Annex to the Convention;  
 that the old measurement plates shall be  
 marked with a cross instead of being  
 withdrawn; and that, if new plates are  
 affixed, the old plates shall be placed at  
 the same level and near to the new ones. In  
 the case provided for above, the notification  
 provided for in the third paragraph of  
 Article 5 and in Article 6 of the Convention  
 shall also be addressed to the original  
 office of inscription.

Germany (July 2nd, 1927)  
 Greece (February 6th, 1931)  
 Hungary (January 3rd, 1928)  
 Italy (September 27th, 1932)  
 The Netherlands (for the Kingdom in Europe)  
 (July 2nd, 1927)  
 Poland (June 16th, 1930)  
 Romania (May 18th, 1928)  
 Spain (July 11th, 1927)  
 Switzerland (July 2nd, 1927)  
 Yugoslavia (May 7th, 1930)

Under Clause IV of the Protocol of Signature.

Open to accession by:

Albania  
 Denmark  
 Estonia  
 Iran  
 Ireland  
 Latvia  
 Lithuania  
 Luxembourg  
 Norway  
 Portugal  
 Sweden  
 Turkey

Signatures not yet perfected by ratification

Finland

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

II.28: Vessels employed in inland navigation

---

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by  
the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Denunciation</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Denunciation</u>
Belgium . . . . .	9 Mar 1972	Hungary . . . . .	5 Jan 1978
Bulgaria . . . . .	4 Mar 1980	Netherlands . . . . .	14 Aug 1978
Czechoslovakia . . . . .	19 Apr 1974	Romania . . . . .	24 May 1976
France . . . . .	13 Jun 1975	Switzerland . . . . .	7 Feb 1975
German Democratic Republic <sup>2</sup> .	31 Aug 1976	Yugoslavia . . . . .	28 Jul 1975 <sup>3</sup>
Germany, Federal Republic of	14 Feb 1975		

---

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 1539. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 67, p. 63.

2/ In a notification received on 21 February 1974, the Government of the German Democratic Republic stated that the German Democratic Republic has declared the reapplication of the Convention as of 21 August 1958.

3/ In a communication received on 24 November 1975, the Government of Yugoslavia informed the Secretary-General that the denunciation should be considered, for the purpose of article 14 of the Convention of 1925, as having taken effect on 19 April 1975, the date when the Convention of 15 February 1966 on the same subject entered into force in respect of Yugoslavia.

29. GENERAL ACT OF ARBITRATION (PACIFIC SETTLEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL DISPUTES)

Geneva, September 26th, 1928<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since August 16th, 1929 (Article 44).  
FIVE-YEAR PERIODS OF OBLIGATION (Article 45).

- 1st period: August 16th, 1929--August 15th, 1934--Expired.
- 2nd period: August 16th, 1934--August 15th, 1939--Expired.
- 3rd period: August 16th, 1939--August 15th, 1944--Current period.
- 4th period: August 16th, 1944--August 15th, 1949--Period next following
- etc . . .

Under the system established by the General Act (Article 45), States cannot be released from their obligation before the expiration of a five-year period.

In order to obtain release for the ensuing period, they must potify their denunciation six months before the expiration of the current period

1. Accessions: 22

A (20 accessions)  
All the provisions of the Act

- Belgium (May 18th, 1929)  
Subject to the reservation provided in Article 39 (2) (a), with the effect of excluding from the procedures described in this Act disputes arising out of facts prior to the accession of Belgium or prior to the accession of any other Party with whom Belgium may have a dispute.
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (May 21st, 1931)  
Subject to the following conditions:

1. That the following disputes are excluded from the procedure described in the General Act, including the procedure of conciliation:

(i) Disputes arising prior to the accession of His Majesty to the said General Act or relating to situations or facts prior to the said accession;

(ii) Disputes in regard to which parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

(iii) Disputes between His Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom and the Government of any other Member of the League which is a member of the British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such a manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;

(iv) Disputes concerning questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States; and

(v) Disputes with any Party to the General Act who is not a Member of the League of Nations.

2. That His Majesty reserves the right in relation to the disputes mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act to require that the procedure prescribed in Chapter II of the said Act shall be suspended in respect of any dispute which has been submitted to and is under consideration by the Council of the League of Nations, provided that notice to suspend is given after the dispute has been submitted to the Council and is given within ten days of the notification of the initiation of the procedure,

B (2 accessions)  
Provisions relating to conciliation and judicial settlement (Chapters I and II) and general provisions dealing with these procedures (Chapter IV)

- The Netherlands (including Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curaçao) (August 8th, 1930)
- Sweden (May 13th, 1929)

Provisions relating to conciliation (Chapter I) and general provisions concerning that procedure (Chapter IV)

and provided also that such suspension shall be limited to a period of twelve months or such longer period as may be agreed by the parties to the dispute or determined by a decision of all the Members of the Council other than the parties to the dispute.

3. (i) That, in the case of a dispute not being a dispute mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act which is brought before the Council of the League of Nations in accordance with the provisions of the Covenant, the procedure prescribed in Chapter I of the General Act shall not be applied, and, if already commenced, shall be suspended, unless the Council determines that the said procedure shall be adopted.

(ii) That, in the case of such a dispute, the procedure described in Chapter III of the General Act shall not be applied unless the Council has failed to effect a settlement of the dispute within twelve months from the date on which it was first submitted to the Council, or, in a case where the procedure prescribed in Chapter I has been adopted without producing an agreement between the parties, within six months from the termination of the work of the Conciliation Commission. The Council may extend either of the above periods by a decision of all its Members other than the parties to the dispute.

His Majesty's Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, by a communication which was received at the Secretariat on February 15th, 1939, made the following declaration:

"His Majesty's Government in the United King-



dom will continue, after the 16th August 1939, to participate in the General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes subject to the reservation that, as from that date, the participation of His Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom in the General Act will not, should they unfortunately find themselves involved in hostilities, cover disputes arising out of events occurring during the war. This reservation applies also to the procedure of conciliation.

"The participation of His Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom in the General Act, after the 16th August 1939, will continue, as heretofore, to be subject to the reservations set forth in their instrument of accession."

Canada (July 1st, 1931)

Subject to the following conditions:

1. That the following disputes are excluded from the procedure described in the General Act, including the procedure of conciliation:

(i) Disputes arising prior to the accession in respect of Canada to the said General Act or relating to situations or facts prior to the said accession;

(ii) Disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

(iii) Disputes between His Majesty's Government in Canada and the Government of any other Member of the League which is a Member of the British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such a manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;

(iv) Disputes concerning questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States; and

(v) Disputes with any Party to the General Act who is not a Member of the League of Nations.

2. That His Majesty in respect of Canada reserves the right in relation to the disputes mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act to require that the procedure prescribed in Chapter II of the said Act shall be suspended in respect of any dispute which has been submitted to and is under consideration by the Council of the League of Nations, provided that notice to suspend is given after the dispute has been submitted to the Council and is given within ten days of the notification of the initiation of the procedure, and provided also that such suspension shall be limited to a period of twelve months or such longer period as may be agreed by the parties to the dispute or determined by a decision of all the Members of the Council other than the parties to the dispute.

3. (i) That, in the case of a dispute, not being a dispute mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act, which is brought before the Council of the League of Nations in accordance with the provisions of the Covenant, the procedure prescribed in Chapter I of the General Act shall not be applied, and, if already commenced, shall be suspended, unless the Council determines that the said procedure shall be adopted.

(ii) That, in the case of such a dispute, the procedure described in Chapter III of the Gen-

eral Act shall not be applied unless the Council has failed to effect a settlement of the dispute within twelve months from the date on which it was first submitted to the Council, or, in a case where the procedure prescribed in Chapter I has been adopted without producing an agreement between the parties, within six months from the termination of the work of the Conciliation Commission. The Council may extend either of the above periods by a decision of all its Members other than the parties to the dispute.

By a letter of December 7th, 1939, which the Secretary-General was asked to communicate to the Governments concerned,<sup>2</sup> the Permanent Delegate of Canada to the League of Nations notified the Secretary-General that, in view of the considerations set out in the letter:

The Canadian Government will not regard their acceptance of the General Act as covering disputes arising out of events occurring during the present war.

Australia (May 21st, 1931)

Subject to the following conditions:

1. That the following disputes are excluded from the procedure described in the General Act, including the procedure of conciliation:

(i) Disputes arising prior to the accession of His Majesty to the said General Act or relating to situations or facts prior to the said accession;

(ii) Disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

(iii) Disputes between His Majesty's Government in the Commonwealth of Australia and the Government of any other Member of the League which is a Member of the British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such a manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;

(iv) Disputes concerning questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States; and

(v) Disputes with any Party to the General Act who is not a Member of the League of Nations.

2. That His Majesty reserves the right in relation to the disputes mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act to require that the procedure prescribed in Chapter II of the said Act shall be suspended in respect of any dispute which has been submitted to and is under consideration by the Council of the League of Nations, provided that notice to suspend is given after the dispute has been submitted to the Council and is given within ten days of the notification of the initiation of the procedure, and provided also that such suspension shall be limited to a period of twelve months or such longer period as may be agreed by the parties to the dispute or determined by a decision of all the Members of the Council other than the parties to the dispute.

3. (i) That, in the case of a dispute, not being a dispute mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act, which is brought before the Council of the League of Nations in accordance with the provisions of the Covenant, the procedure

prescribed in Chapter I of the General Act shall not be applied, and, if already commenced, shall be suspended, unless the Council determines that the said procedure shall be adopted.

(i) That, in the case of such a dispute, the procedure described in Chapter III of the General Act shall not be applied unless the Council has failed to effect a settlement of the dispute within twelve months from the date on which it was first submitted to the Council, or, in a case where the procedure prescribed in Chapter I has been adopted without producing an agreement between the parties, within six months from the termination of the work of the Conciliation Commission. The Council may extend either of the above periods by a decision of all its Members other than the parties to the dispute.

By a telegram of September 7th, 1939, which the Secretary-General was asked to communicate to the Governments concerned, the Prime Minister of the Commonwealth of Australia notified the Secretary-General that, in view of the considerations set out in the telegram:  
His Majesty's Government in the Commonwealth of Australia will not regard its accession to the General Act as covering or relating to any disputes arising out of events occurring during the present crisis.

New Zealand (May 21st, 1931)

Subject to the following conditions:

1. That the following disputes are excluded from the procedure described in the General Act, including the procedure of conciliation:

(i) Disputes arising prior to the accession of His Majesty to the said General Act or relating to situations or facts prior to the said accession;

(ii) Disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

(iii) Disputes between His Majesty's Government in New Zealand and the Government of any other Member of the League which is a Member of the British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such a manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;

(iv) Disputes concerning questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States; and

(v) Disputes with any Party to the General Act who is not a Member of the League of Nations

2. That His Majesty reserves the right in relation to the disputes mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act to require that the procedure prescribed in Chapter II of the said Act shall be suspended in respect of any dispute which has been submitted to and is under consideration by the Council of the League of Nations, provided that notice to suspend is given after the dispute has been submitted to the Council and is given within ten days of the notification of the initiation of the procedure, and provided also that such suspension shall be limited to a period of twelve months or such longer period as may be agreed by the parties to the dispute or determined by a deci-

sion of all the Members of the Council other than the parties to the dispute.

3. (i) That, in the case of a dispute, not being a dispute mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act, which is brought before the Council of the League of Nations in accordance with the provisions of the Covenant, the procedure prescribed in Chapter I of the General Act shall not be applied, and, if already commenced, shall be suspended, unless the Council determines that the said procedure shall be adopted.

(ii) That, in the case of such a dispute, the procedure described in Chapter III of the General Act shall not be applied unless the Council has failed to effect a settlement of the dispute within twelve months from the date on which it was first submitted to the Council, or, in a case where the procedure prescribed in Chapter I has been adopted without producing an agreement between the parties, within six months from the termination of the work of the Conciliation Commission. The Council may extend either of the above periods by a decision of all its Members other than the parties to the dispute.

The High Commissioner for New Zealand in London, by a communication which was received at the Secretariat on February 15th, 1939, made the following declaration:

"His Majesty's Government in the Dominion of New Zealand will continue, after the 16th August 1939, to participate in the General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes subject to the reservation that, as from that date, the participation of the New Zealand Government will not, should it unfortunately find itself involved in hostilities, cover disputes arising out of events occurring during the war. This reservation applies also to the procedures of conciliation.

"The participation of the New Zealand Government in the General Act, after the 16th August 1939, will continue, as heretofore, to be subject to the reservations set forth in its instrument of accession."

Ireland (September 26th, 1931)

India (May 21st, 1931)

Subject to the following conditions:

1. That the following disputes are excluded from the procedure described in the General Act, including the procedure of conciliation

(i) Disputes arising prior to the accession of His Majesty to the said General Act or relating to situations or facts prior to the said accession;

(ii) Disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement;

(iii) Disputes between the Government of India and the Government of any other Member of the League which is a Member of the British Commonwealth of Nations, all of which disputes shall be settled in such a manner as the parties have agreed or shall agree;

(iv) Disputes concerning questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States; and

(v) Disputes with any Party to the General

Act who is not a Member of the League of Nations.

2. That His Majesty reserves the right in relation to the disputes mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act to require that the procedure prescribed in Chapter II of the said Act shall be suspended in respect of any dispute which has been submitted to and is under consideration by the Council of the League of Nations, provided that notice to suspend is given after the dispute has been submitted to the Council and is given within ten days of the notification of the initiation of the procedure, and provided also that such suspension shall be limited to a period of twelve months or such longer period as may be agreed by the parties to the dispute or determined by a decision of all the Members of the Council other than the parties to the dispute.

3. (i) That, in the case of a dispute, not being a dispute mentioned in Article 17 of the General Act, which is brought before the Council of the League of Nations in accordance with the provisions of the Covenant, the procedure prescribed in Chapter I of the General Act shall not be applied, and, if already commenced, shall be suspended, unless the Council determines that the said procedure shall be adopted.

(ii) That, in the case of such a dispute, the procedure described in Chapter III of the General Act shall not be applied unless the Council has failed to effect a settlement of the dispute within twelve months from the date on which it was first submitted to the Council, or, in a case where the procedure prescribed in Chapter I has been adopted without producing an agreement between the parties, within six months from the termination of the work of the Conciliation Commission. The Council may extend either of the above periods by a decision of all its Members other than the parties to the dispute.

His Majesty's Secretary of State for India, by a communication which was received at the Secretariat on February 15th, 1939, made the following declaration:

"India will continue, after the 16th August 1939, to participate in the General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes subject to the reservation that, as from that date, the participation of India will not, should she unfortunately find herself involved in hostilities, cover disputes arising out of events occurring during the war. This reservation applies also to the procedure of conciliation.

"The participation of India in the General Act, after the 16th August 1939, will continue, as heretofore, to be subject to the reservations set forth in the instrument of accession in respect of India."

Denmark (April 14th, 1930)  
Estonia (September 3rd, 1931)

Subject to the following conditions:

The following disputes are excluded from the procedures described in the General Act, including the procedure of conciliation:

(a) Disputes resulting from facts prior either to the accession of Estonia or to the

accession of another Party with whom Estonia might have a dispute;

(b) Disputes concerning questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States.

Ethiopia (March 15th, 1935)  
Finland (September 6th, 1930)  
France (May 21st, 1931)

The said accession concerning all disputes that may arise after the said accession with regard to situations or facts subsequent thereto, other than those which the Permanent Court of International Justice may recognize as bearing on a question left by international law to the exclusive competence of the State, it being understood that in application of Article 39 of the said Act the disputes which the parties or one of them may have referred to the Council of the League of Nations will not be submitted to the procedures described in this Act unless the Council has been unable to pronounce a decision under the conditions laid down in Article 15, paragraph 6, of the Covenant.

Furthermore, in accordance with the resolution adopted by the Assembly of the League of Nations "on the submission and recommendations of the General Act", Article 28 of this Act is interpreted by the French Government as meaning in particular that "respect for rights established by treaty or resulting from international law" is obligatory upon arbitral tribunals constituted in application of Chapter III of the said General Act.

The Minister for Foreign Affairs of the French Republic, by a communication which was received at the Secretariat on February 14th, 1939, made the following declaration:

"The Government of the French Republic declares that it adds to the instrument of accession to the General Act of Arbitration deposited in its name on May 21st, 1931, the reservation that in future that accession shall not extend to disputes relating to any events that may occur in the course of a war in which the French Government is involved."

Greece (September 14th, 1931)  
Subject to the following conditions:

The following disputes are excluded from the procedures described in the General Act, including the procedure of conciliation referred to in Chapter I:

(a) Disputes resulting from facts prior either to the accession of Greece or to the accession of another Party with whom Greece might have a dispute;

(b) Disputes concerning questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States and in particular disputes relating to the territorial status of Greece, including disputes relating to its rights of sovereignty over its ports and lines of communication.

Italy (September 7th, 1931)  
Subject to the following reservations:

1. The following disputes shall be excluded from the procedure described in the said Act.

(a) Disputes arising out of facts or situations prior to the present accession;

(b) Disputes relating to questions which in-

ternational law leaves to the sole jurisdiction of States;

(c) Disputes affecting the relations between Italy and any third Power.

II. It is understood that, in conformity with Article 29 of the said Act, disputes for the solution of which a special procedure is provided by other conventions shall be settled in accordance with the provisions of those conventions; and that, in particular, disputes which may be submitted to the Council or Assembly of the League of Nations in virtue of one of the provisions of the Covenant shall be settled in accordance with those provisions.

III. It is further understood that the present accession in no way affects Italy's accession to the Statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice and to the clause in that Statute concerning the compulsory jurisdiction of the Court.

Latvia (September 17th, 1935)  
 Luxembourg (September 15th, 1930)  
 Norway<sup>4</sup> (June 11th, 1930)  
 Peru (November 21st, 1931)  
 Subject to reservation (b) provided for in Article 39, paragraph 2.  
 Spain: Denunciation (April 8th, 1939)<sup>5</sup>  
 Switzerland (December 7th, 1934)  
 Turkey (June 26th, 1934)

Subject to the following reservations:

The following disputes are excluded from the procedure described in the Act:

(a) Disputes arising out of facts or situations prior to the present accession,

(b) Disputes relating to questions which by international law are solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States;

(c) Disputes affecting the relations between Turkey and any third Power.

2. Open to accession by:

- (1) The Members of the League of Nations which have not acceded:
- (2) Further, the following States:

United States of America  
 Brazil  
 Chile  
 Costa Rica  
 Germany

Guatemala  
 Honduras  
 Hungary  
 Japan  
 Nicaragua  
 Paraguay

Salvador  
 Spain  
 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics  
 Venezuela

Actions subsequent to the date upon which the Secretary-General of the Organization of the United Nations assumed the functions of depositary

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Succession</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Succession</u>
Australia <sup>6</sup>		Pakistan <sup>9</sup>	12 Jul 1974
France <sup>7</sup>		Turkey <sup>10</sup>	
India <sup>8</sup>		United Kingdom <sup>11</sup>	

NOTES:

1/ Registered under the number 2123. League of Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 93, p. 343.

2/ The letter was received by the Secretariat of the League of Nations on December 8th, 1939. For the text, see Official Journal of the League of Nations, Nos. 1-3, January, February, March 1940.

3/ The telegram was received by the Secretariat of the League of Nations on September 8th, 1939. For the text, see Official Journal of the League of Nations, Nos. 9-10, September-October 1939.

4/ On June 11th, 1929, Norway acceded to Chapters I, II and IV. On June 11th, 1930, it extended its accession to the whole of the Act.

5/ Spain acceded on September 16th, 1930.

By a letter dated April 1st, 1939, and received by the Secretariat on April 8th, the Spanish National Government denounced the accession of Spain, pursuant to the terms of Article 45 of the General Act

Under Article 45, this denunciation should have been effected six months before the expiration of the current five-year period--that is to say, in this case, before February 16th, 1939.

In regard to this point, the National Government states in its letter that, as the Secretary-General and almost all the States which are parties to the General Act have "in the past... refused to receive any communications from the National Government, this Government could not have acted earlier in pursuance of the right which it now exercises in virtue of Article 45 of the Act".

The Secretary-General brought this communica-

tion to the knowledge of the Governments concerned.

6/ On 17 March 1975, the Secretary-General received a declaration to the effect that the Government of Australia, in accordance with article 40, of the above-mentioned Act, abandons all the conditions to which its acceptance is subject (instrument of accession deposited with the Secretary-General of the League of Nations on 21 May 1931) with the exception of the condition relating to disputes in regard to which the parties to the dispute have agreed or shall agree to have recourse to some other method of peaceful settlement.

7/ In a notification received on 10 January 1974, the Government of France declared the following:

In a case dealt with by the International Court of Justice the Government of the French Republic noted that it was contended that the 1928 General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes could, in the present circumstances, justify the exercise of jurisdiction by the Court.

On that occasion the French Government specified the reasons why it considered that view to be unfounded.

While reaffirming that position, and, accordingly, without prejudice to it, the French Government requests you, with a view to avoiding any new controversy, to take cognizance of the fact that, with respect to any State or any institution that might contend that the General Act is still in force, the present letter constitutes denunciation of that Act in conformity with Article 45 thereof.

8/ In a notification received on 18 September 1974, the Minister of External Affairs of India declared the following:

"I have the honour to refer to the General Act of 26th September 1928 for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes, which was accepted for British India by the then His Majesty's Secretary of State for India by a communication addressed to the Secretariat of the League of Nations dated 21st May 1931, and which was later revised on 15th February 1939.

"The Government of India never regarded themselves as bound by the General Act of 1928 since her Independence in 1947, whether by succession or otherwise. Accordingly, India has never been and is not a party to the General Act of 1928 ever since her Independence. I write this to make our position absolutely clear on this point so that there is no doubt in any quarter."

9/ The notification of succession specified that the Government of Pakistan does not maintain the reservations formulated by British India upon accession to the General Act of Arbitration.

The notification also contains the following declaration:

When Pakistan became a Member of the United Nations in October 1947, the delegation of India communicated to the Secretary-General the text of the Constitutional arrangements made at

the time when India and Pakistan became independent (Document A/C.6/161 of 7 October 1947), with reference to the devolution upon them, as successor States of the former British India, of British India's international rights and obligations.

Among the rights and obligations of former British India were those of the General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes done at Geneva on 26th September 1928, which was acceded to by British India on 21st May 1931. The Government of Pakistan regards the Act as continuing in force as between parties to the Act as established on 26th September 1928 and all successor States. Article 17 of the said Act is given efficacy by Article 37 of the Statute of International Court of Justice, as between Members of the United Nations or parties to the Statute of the Court.

As a result of the arrangements mentioned in paragraph 1, Pakistan has been a separate party to the General Act of 1928 from the date of her independence, i.e. the 14th August 1947, since in accordance with Section 4 of the Indian Independence (International Arrangements), Order, 1947 (Document No. A/C.6/161 of 7 October 1946), Pakistan succeeded to the rights and obligations of British India under all multilateral treaties binding upon her before her partition into the two successor States. By virtue of these arrangements, the Government of Pakistan did not need to take any steps to indicate its consent de novo to acceding to multilateral conventions by which British India had been bound. Nevertheless, the Secretary-General of the United Nations was made aware of the situation through the communication referred above.

However, in order to dispel all doubts in this connexion and without prejudice to Pakistan's rights as a successor State to British India, the Government of Pakistan have decided to notify Your Excellency, in your capacity as depositary of the General Act of 1928, that the Government of Pakistan continues to be bound by the accession of British India of the General Act of 1928. The Government of Pakistan does not, however, affirm the reservations made by British India.

In this regard, the Secretary-General received on 18 September 1974 a communication from the Minister of External Affairs of India stating inter alia:

2. In the aforementioned communication, the Prime Minister of Pakistan has stated, inter alia, that as a result of the constitutional arrangements made at the time when India and Pakistan became independent, Pakistan has been a separate party to the General Act of 1928 for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes from the date of her independence, i.e. 14th August 1947, since in accordance with Section 4 of the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order 1947, Pakistan succeeded to the rights and obligations of British India under all multilateral treaties binding upon her before her partition into the two successor States.

The Prime Minister of Pakistan has further

stated that accordingly, the Government of Pakistan did not need to take any steps to communicate its consent de novo to acceding to multilateral conventions by which British India had been bound. However, in order to dispel all doubts in this connexion, the Government of Pakistan have stated that they continue to be bound by the accession of British India of the General Act of 1928. The communication further adds that "the Government of Pakistan does not, however, affirm the reservations made by British India".

3. In this connexion, the Government of India has the following observations to make:

- (1) The General Act of 1928 for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes was a political agreement and was an integral part of the League of Nations system. Its efficacy was impaired by the fact that the organs of the League of Nations to which it refers have now disappeared. It is for these reasons that the General Assembly of the United Nations on 28 April 1949 adopted the Revised General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes.
- (2) Whereas British India did accede to the General Act of 1928, by a communication of 21 May 1931, revised on 15 February 1939, neither India nor Pakistan, into which British India was divided in 1947, succeeded to the General Act of 1928, either under general international law or in accordance with the provisions of the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order, 1947.
- (3) India and Pakistan have not yet acceded to the Revised General Act of 1949.
- (4) Neither India nor Pakistan have regarded themselves as being party to or bound by the provisions of the General Act of 1928. This is clear from the following:
  - (a) In 1947, a list of treaties to which the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order, 1947 was to apply was prepared by "Expert Committee No. 9 on Foreign Relations". Their report is contained in Partition Proceedings, Volume III, pages 217-276. The list comprises 627 treaties in force in 1947. The 1928 General Act is not included in that list. The report was signed by the representatives of India and Pakistan. India should not therefore have been listed in any record as a party to the General Act of 1928 since 15 August 1947.
  - (b) In several differences or disputes since 1947, such as those relating to the uses of river waters or the settlement of the boundary in the Rann of Kutch area, the 1928 General Act was not relied upon or cited either by India or by Pakistan.
  - (c) In a case decided in 1961, the Supreme Court of Pakistan while referring to the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order, 1947 held that this Order "did not and, indeed, could not provide for the devolution of treaty rights and obligations which were not capable of being succeeded to by a part of a country, which is severed from the parent State and estab-

lished as an independent sovereign power, according to the practice of States". Such treaties would include treaties of alliance, arbitration or commerce. The Court held that "an examination of the provision of the said Order of 1947 also reveals no intention to depart from this principle".

(d) Statements on the existing international law of succession clearly establish that political treaties like the 1928 General Act are not transmissible by succession or by devolution agreements. Professor O'Connell states as follows. "Clearly not all these treaties are transmissible; no State has yet acknowledged its succession to the General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes" (1928). (State Succession in Municipal Law and International Law, vol. II, 1967, page 213.) See also Sir Humphrey Waldock's Second Report (article 3) and Third Report (articles 6 and 7) on State Succession submitted to the International Law Commission in 1969 and 1970, respectively; Succession of States and Governments, Doc. A/CN.4/149-Add.1 and A/CN.4/150--Memorandums prepared by UN Secretariat on 3 December 1962 and 10 December 1962, respectively, and Oscar Schachter, "The Development of International Law through Legal Opinions of the United Nations Secretariat", British Yearbook of International Law (1948) page 91, 106-107

(e) The Government of Pakistan had attempted to establish the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in the Trial of Prisoners of War case in May 1973 and in that connexion, as an alternative pleading, for the first time cited the provisions of the General Act of 1928 in support of the Court's jurisdiction to deal with the matter. Although the Government of India did not appear in these proceedings on the ground that their consent, required under the relevant treaty, had not been obtained before instituting these proceedings, their views regarding the non-application of the General Act of 1928 to India-Pakistan were made clear to the Court by a communication dated 4 June 1973 from the Indian Ambassador at The Hague

4. To sum up the 1928 General Act, being an integral part of the League of Nations system, ceased to be a treaty in force upon the disappearance of the organs of the League of Nations. Being a political agreement it could not be transmissible under the law of succession. Neither India nor Pakistan have regarded themselves as bound by the General Act of 1928 since 1947. The General Act of 1928 was not listed in the list of 627 agreements to which the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order, 1947 related and India and Pakistan could therefore not have been listed in any record as parties to the 1928 General Act. Nor have Pakistan or India yet acceded to the Revised General Act of 1949.

5. The Government of Pakistan, by their communication dated 30 May 1974, have now expressed their intention to be bound by the

General Act of 1928, without the reservations made by British India. This new act of Pakistan may or may not amount to accession to the General Act of 1928 depending upon their wishes as a sovereign State and the position in international law of the treaty in question. In view of what has been stated above, the Government of India consider that Pakistan cannot, however, become a party to the General Act of 1928 by way of succession under the Indian Independence (International Arrangements) Order, 1947, as stated by Pakistan.

10/ In a notification received on 18 December 1978 the Government of Turkey declared the following:

"In a case being dealt with by the International Court of Justice, it has been alleged that the General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes of 26 September 1928 provides a basis of jurisdiction for the Court to entertain a unilateral application. In that connection, the Government of Turkey has made clear its position that the General Act is no longer in force. The Government of Turkey reaffirms this position.

"Nevertheless, without prejudice to that position, and for the removal of any possibility of doubt that might arise as a result of any state or any institution considering that the afore-mentioned General Act continues to have any force or validity, the Government of Turkey hereby gives notice of denunciation of the General Act and requests that this notice be treated as a formal notification of denunciation under Article 45 thereof in so far as the General Act might be regarded as still in force."

"Article 45 of the General Act provides as follows:

" '1. The present General Act shall be concluded for a period of five years, dating from its entry into force.

" '2. It shall remain in force for further successive periods of five years in the case of Contracting Parties which do not denounce it at least six months before the expiration of the current period.

" '3. Denunciation shall be effected by a written notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the League of Nations, who shall inform all the Members of the League and the non-member States referred to in Article 43.

" '4. A denunciation may be partial only, or may consist in notification of reservations not previously made.

" '5. Notwithstanding denunciation by one of the Contracting Parties concerned in a dispute, all proceedings pending at the expiration of the current period of the General Act shall be duly completed.' "

11/ In a notification received on 8 February 1974, the Government of the United Kingdom declared inter alia the following:

"In the light of events since then [the accession of the United Kingdom to the General Act] doubts have been raised as to the continued legal force of the General Act Without prejudice to the views of the United Kingdom as to the continued force of the General Act,

(i) insofar as the General Act may be regarded as still in force, the United Kingdom hereby gives notice of its denunciation of the General Act in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 2 of Article 45 thereof;

(ii) insofar as the General Act may be regarded as no longer in force, this notice serves to place beyond doubt the position of the United Kingdom in this matter.

In a notification received on 1 March 1974, the Government of the United Kingdom subsequently indicated that the notification received on 8 February 1974 was to be treated as a formal notification of denunciation under Article 45 of the General Act in so far as the latter might be regarded as still in force.

30. CONVENTION CONCERNING THE UNIFICATION OF ROAD SIGNALS

Geneva, March 30th, 1931<sup>1</sup>

IN FORCE since July 16th, 1934 (article 11).<sup>2</sup>

Ratifications or definitive accessions

Egypt (June 10th, 1940 a)  
 France (October 11th, 1934)  
 Does not assume any obligation in regard to  
 Algeria, colonies, protectorates and territories  
 under its mandate.  
Algeria (July 22nd, 1935 a)  
 Hungary (January 8th, 1937)  
 Italy (September 25th, 1933)  
 Latvia (January 10th, 1939 a)  
 Luxembourg (April 9th, 1936)  
 Monaco (January 19th, 1932 a)  
 The Netherlands (for the Kingdom in Europe,  
Surinam and Curacao) (January 16th, 1934 a)  
Netherlands Indies (January 29th, 1940 a)  
 In view of the special character of the roads  
 in the Netherlands Indies, the Netherlands  
 Government reserves the right to place upon  
 them the danger signals referred to in  
 paragraph I, subparagraph (2), of the Annex  
 to the Convention, at a distance from the  
 obstacle which shall not be less than 60  
 metres, without making special arrange-  
 ments<sup>3</sup>.  
 Poland (April 5th, 1934)  
 Portugal (April 18th, 1932 a)  
 Does not include the Portuguese Colonies.  
 Romania (June 19th, 1935 a)  
 Spain (July 18th, 1933)  
 Sweden (February 25th, 1938 a)  
 Switzerland (October 19th, 1934)  
 Turkey (October 15th, 1936)  
 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics  
 (July 23rd, 1935 a)

Signatures subject to ratification:

Belgium  
 Subject to subsequent accession for the  
 colonies and territories under mandate.  
 Czechoslovakia  
 Denmark  
 Germany  
 Yugoslavia

Actions subsequent to the date upon which the Secretary-General of the United Nations  
 assumed the functions of depositary

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Denunciation</u>	<u>Participant</u>	<u>Denunciation</u>
France . . . . .	19 Oct 1954	Portugal . . . . .	6 Jun 1957
Hungary . . . . .	30 Jul 1962	Romania . . . . .	26 May 1961
Italy . . . . .	29 Mar 1953	Spain . . . . .	28 Feb 1958
Luxembourg . . . . .	30 Nov 1954	Sweden . . . . .	31 Mar 1952
Monaco . . . . .	18 May 1953	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics . . . . .	26 Apr 1961
Netherlands . . . . .	29 Dec 1952 <sup>4</sup>		
Poland . . . . .	29 Oct 1958		

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 3459. League of Nations,  
Treaty Series, vol. 150, p. 247.

2/ The Convention ceased to have effect on 30  
 July 1963, the number of States bound by its pro-  
 visions having been reduced to less than five as  
 the result of successive denunciations.

3/ This reservation has been submitted to the  
 States Parties to the Convention for acceptance.

4/ Denunciation for the Kingdom in Europe on-  
 ly: The Netherlands wishes to remain a party to  
 the Convention in respect of the Netherlands An-  
 tilles, Surinam and Netherlands New Guinea until  
 the Protocol of 19 September 1949 has become ap-  
 plicable to those territories (see chapter  
 XI.B-2).



31. AGREEMENT CONCERNING MARITIME SIGNALS

Signed at Lisbon, October 23, 1930

IN FORCE since November 22nd, 1931 (article 12).

Definitive signatures or accessions and Ratifications

Belgium (February 10th, 1932)  
Belgium cannot undertake, for the present, to apply the provisions relating to "Warning of gale expected to affect the locality" which form the first chapter of the Regulations of this Agreement.

Further, the ratification by Belgium of the provisions which are the object of Chapter II (Tide and depth signals), and Chapter III (Signals concerning the movement of vessels at the entrances of harbours or important channels), will only take effect when Germany, Denmark, France, Great Britain, the Netherlands and Norway shall have themselves notified their effective ratifications of the provisions contained in these two chapters.

The ratification by Belgium does not apply to the Belgian Congo.

Brazil (November 21st, 1932 a)  
China (May 29th 1935)  
Free City of Danzig (through the intermediary of Poland) (October 2nd, 1933)  
Finland (June 12thh, 1936)  
France (July 13th, 1931)  
Morocco (September 3rd, 1931)  
Tunis (October 27th, 1931)  
French Colonies and Mandated Territories as follows:  
Cameroon (October 28th, 1933 a)  
French Cost of Somaliland "  
French Equatorial Africa "  
French Settlements in India "  
French West Africa "  
Guadeloupe, Guyana "  
Indo-China "  
Madaqascar, Martinique "  
New Caledonia "  
Oceania "  
Reunion "  
St. Pierre and Miquelon "  
Togoland "  
Greece (September 14th, 1932)  
Latvia (September 17th, 1935 a)  
Monaco (November 3rd, 1933)  
The Netherlands (August 24th, 1931 s)  
(Including the Netherlands Indies.)  
Poland (October 2nd, 1933)  
Portugal (October 23rd, 1930 s)  
Roumania (June 1st, 1931 s)  
Spain (November 3rd, 1933)  
Turkey (June 27th, 1936 a)  
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (April 27th, 1931 s)  
Yugoslavia (December 11th, 1937)

Signatures subject to ratification:

Union of South Africa  
Cuba  
Estonia  
Germany  
Sweden

Open to accession by:

Albania  
United States of America  
Argentine Republic  
Australia  
Great Britain and Northern Ireland  
Bulgaria  
Canda  
Chile  
Colombia  
Costa Rica  
Denmark  
Dominican Republic  
Egypt  
Ecuador  
Guatemala  
Haiti  
Honduras  
Iceland  
India  
Iran  
Iraq  
Ireland  
Italy  
Japan  
Liberia  
Lithuania  
Mexico  
New Zealand  
Nicaragua  
Norway  
Panama  
Peru  
Salvador  
Tangier  
Thailand  
Uruguay  
Venezuela

II.31: Maritime Signals

---

Actions subsequent to the assumption of depositary functions by the Secretary-General of the United Nations

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Denunciation</u>
Belgium . . . . .	1 Oct 1985
France . . . . .	11 Jul 1983
Greece . . . . .	24 Jul 1986

---

NOTES:

1/ Registered No. 2849. See Treaty Series of the League of Nations, vol. 125, p. 95. Ratifications and accessions subsequent to registration: vol. 138, p. 453; vol. 142, p. 379; vol.156, p.241; vol. 160, p.393; vol 164, p. 390 and vol. 181, p. 395.



Index

INDEX

Reference numbers correspond to chapters and their subdivisions  
(see table of contents and running head on top of each page).

- ADVERTISING MATERIALS  
See Customs, XI.A-5, XI.A-7
- AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT BANK  
X.2
- AIRCRAFT  
See Customs, XI.A-11
- ANIMAL DISEASES  
Part II-23
- ANIMAL PRODUCTS  
Part II-24, 25
- APARTHEID  
IV.7, IV.10  
Also see Discrimination
- ARBITRAL AWARDS  
XXII.1; Part II-6, 7  
Also see Settlement of disputes
- ARBITRATION  
See Arbitral awards  
Settlement of disputes
- ASIAN AND PACIFIC DEVELOPMENT CENTRE  
X.11
- ASIAN COCONUT COMMUNITY  
XIX.7
- ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK  
X.4
- ASIAN RICE TRADE FUND  
XIX.11
- ASIA-PACIFIC INSTITUTE FOR  
BROADCASTING DEVELOPMENT  
XXV.3
- ASIA-PACIFIC TELECOMMUNITY  
XXV.2
- BILLS OF EXCHANGE  
See Negotiable instruments
- BROADCASTING  
XIV.3; PART II-1  
Also see Telecommunications, XXV.3
- CARIBBEAN DEVELOPMENT BANK  
X.6
- CHEQUES  
See Negotiable instruments
- CHILDREN  
See Traffic in persons
- CIVIL LIABILITY  
See Transport and communica-  
tions, XI.D-1
- COCOA  
XIX.9, XIX.14, XIX.22, XIX.31
- COCONUT  
See Asian Coconut Community
- COFFEE  
XIX.4, XIX.5, XIX.15, XIX.25
- COLLISIONS  
See Navigation, XII.3
- COMMERCIAL SAMPLES  
See Customs, XI.A-5
- COMMODITIES  
See Cocoa  
Coconut  
Coffee  
Common Fund for  
Commodities  
Jute  
Olive oil  
Pepper  
Rice  
Rubber  
Sugar  
Tea  
Tin  
Tropical timber
- COMMON FUND FOR COMMODITIES  
XIX.21
- CONFLICTS OF LAWS  
Part II-4, 5, 8, 9
- CONSTITUTIVE INSTRUMENTS  
See African Development Bank  
Asian Coconut Community  
Asian Development Bank  
Asian Rice Trade Fund  
Asia Pacific Institute for  
Broadcasting Development  
Asia-Pacific Telecommunity  
Caribbean Development Bank  
Charter  
Common Fund for  
Commodities  
Economic Community of West  
Africa  
International Maritime  
Consultative Organization  
International Centre for Genetic  
Engineering and Biotechnology  
International Court of  
Justice  
International Fund for  
Agricultural Development  
International Refugee  
Organization

- CONSTITUTIVE INSTRUMENTS (cont'd)  
 International Relief Union  
 International Tea  
 Promotion Association  
 International Trade  
 Organization  
 International Tropical  
 Timber Bureau  
Office internationale  
d'hygiène publique  
 Pepper Community  
 Southeast Asia Tin  
 Research and Development Center  
 United Nations  
 United Nations Industrial  
 Development Organization  
 University for Peace  
 World Health Organization  
Also see Commodities (for Commodity  
 organizations
- CONSULAR RELATIONS  
 III.6, III.7, III.8
- CONTINENTAL SHELF  
See Law of the sea, XXI.4
- CONTAINERS  
 XI.A-9, XI.A-15
- CONTRACTS  
See Trade, X.10  
 Transport and communica-  
 tions, XI.B-11, XI.B-26, XI D-2
- COPYRIGHT  
 XIV.3, XIV.4
- COUNTERFEITING  
 Part II-14, 15
- CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY  
 IV.6  
Also see Genocide
- CUSTOMS  
 XI.A-1, XI.A-2, XI.A-3, XI.A-4, XI.A-5,  
 XI.A-6, XI.A-7, XI.A-8, XI.A-9, XI.A-10,  
 XI.A-11, XI.A-12, XI.A-13, XI.A-14,  
 XI.A-15, XI.A-16, XI.A-17,; Part II-22, 25  
Also see Education and culture,  
 XIV.1, XIV.2, XIV.5
- DANGEROUS DRUGS  
See Narcotic drugs
- DANGEROUS GOODS  
See Transport and communica-  
 tions, XI.B-14
- DEVELOPMENT  
See African Development Bank  
 Asian Development Bank  
 Caribbean Development Bank  
 International Fund for  
 Agricultural Development  
 United Nations Industrial  
 Development Organization
- DIPLOMATIC AGENTS  
See Internationally protected persons
- DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS  
 III.3, III.4, III.5
- DISARMAMENT  
 XXVI.1, XXVI.2; Part II-1
- DISCRIMINATION  
 IV.2, IV.8  
Also see Apartheid  
 Women
- DRIVING PERMITS  
See Transport and communications, XI.B-27
- ECONOMIC COMMUNITY OF WEST AFRICA  
 X 5
- ECONOMIC STATISTICS  
See Statistics
- EDUCATIONAL MATERIALS  
See Education and culture, XIV.1, XIV.2,  
 XIV.5,
- EDUCATION AND CULTURE  
 XIV.1, XIV.2, XIV.5, XIV.6
- ENVIRONMENT  
 XXVII.1, XXVII.2  
Also see Disarmament, XXVI.1
- EUROP WAGONS  
See Customs, XI.A-12  
Also see Transport and communica-  
 tions, XI.C-1, XI.C-2
- FISCAL MATTERS  
 XXVIII.1(a); Part II-21  
Also see Customs  
 Copyright  
 XXVIII.1  
 Transport and communications,  
 XI.B-10, XI.B-12, XI.B-13
- FISHING  
See Law of the sea, XXI.3
- GENERAL AGREEMENT ON TARIFFS AND  
 TRADE  
See Trade, X.1
- GENETIC ENGINEERING  
 XIV.7
- GENOCIDE  
 IV.1
- HEALTH  
See Animal diseases  
 World Health Organization
- HIGH SEAS  
See Law of the sea, XXI.2
- HOSTAGES  
 XVIII.5  
Also see Internationally protected persons

Index

- HUMAN RIGHTS  
IV.3, IV.4, IV.5, IV.9  
Also see Apartheid  
Children  
Crimes against humanity  
Discrimination  
Slavery  
Traffic in persons  
Women
- INFORMATION  
XVII.1  
Also see Broadcasting  
Telecommunications
- INSURANCE  
See Transport and communications, XI.B-29
- INTER AFRICAN MOTOR VEHICLE THIRD  
PARTY LIABILITY INSURANCE CARD  
See Transport and communications, XI.B-29
- INTERGOVERNMENTAL MARITIME CONSULTATIVE  
ORGANIZATION  
XII.1,
- INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE  
I.3, I.4
- INTERNATIONAL FUND FOR AGRICULTURAL  
DEVELOPMENT  
X.8
- INTERNATIONALLY PROTECTED PERSONS  
III.11
- INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS  
See Constitutive instruments  
Representation of states
- INTERNATIONAL REFUGEE ORGANIZATION  
U.1
- INTERNATIONAL RELIEF UNION  
Part II-26
- INTERNATIONAL TEA PROMOTION ASSOCIATION  
XIX.16
- INTERNATIONAL TRADE ORGANIZATION  
X.1
- INTERNATIONAL TROPICAL TIMBER BUREAU  
XIX.19
- JUTE  
XIX.24
- LAND-LOCKED COUNTRIES  
See Right to flag  
Transit, X.3
- LAW OF THE SEA  
XXI.1, XXI.2, XXI.3, XXI.4, XXI.5, XXI.6
- LAW OF TREATIES  
XXIII 1, XXIII 2
- LIMITATION STATUTES  
See Crimes against humanity, IV.6
- LIMITATION STATUTES (cont'd)  
Trade, X.7  
Transport and communica-  
tions, XI.D-1
- LINER CONFERENCES  
See Navigation, XII.6
- MAINTENANCE OBLIGATIONS  
XX.1
- MARRIAGE  
See Women, XVI.3
- MISSING PERSONS  
XV.1, XV.2, XV.3
- MOON  
See Outer space, XXIV.2
- MOST FAVOURED NATION CLAUSE  
X.1
- MULTIMODAL TRANSPORT  
See Transport and communications, XI.E-1
- NARCOTIC DRUGS  
VI.1, VI.2, VI.3, VI.4, VI.5, VI.6, VI.7,  
VI.8, VI.9, VI.10, VI.11, VI.12, VI.13,  
VI.14, VI.15, VI.16, VI.17, VI.18
- NATIONALITY  
Part II-4, 5  
Also see Diplomatic relations, III.4  
Consular relations, III.7  
Women, XVI.2
- NAVIGATION  
XII.2, XII.3, XII.4, XII.5, XII.6, XII.7;  
Part II-17, 18, 28, 31  
Also see Customs, XI.A-11  
Transport and communica-  
tions, XI.D-1, XI.D-2
- NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS  
Part II-8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13
- NICKEL STUDY GROUP  
XIX.29
- OBSCENE PUBLICATIONS  
VIII.1, VIII.2, VIII.3, VIII.4, VIII.5,  
VIII.6
- OFFICE INTERNATIONAL D'HYGIENE  
PUBLIQUE  
IX.2
- OLIVE OIL  
XIX.1, XIX.2, XIX.3
- OPIUM  
See Narcotic drugs
- OUTER SPACE  
XXIV.1, XXIV.2  
Also see Telecommunications, XXV.1
- OZONE LAYER  
See Environment, XXVII.2

Index

PACIFIC SETTLEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL DISPUTES  
See Settlement of disputes

PALLETS  
See Customs, XI.A-14

PEACE  
See Broadcasting, Part II-1  
University for Peace

PEPPER  
See Pepper community

PEPPER COMMUNITY  
XIX.8

PENAL MATTERS  
See Apartheid  
Counterfeiting  
Crimes against humanity, IV.6  
Hostages  
Internationally protected persons  
Genocide  
Slavery

PHONOGRAMS  
XIV.3, XIV.4

POLLUTION  
See Environment

POPPY PLANT  
See Narcotic drugs

PORTS  
Part II-20

PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES  
III.1, III.2

PROMISSORY NOTES  
See Negotiable instruments

PROSTITUTION  
See Traffic in persons

PSYCHOTROPIC SUBSTANCES  
See Narcotic drugs

RACIAL DISCRIMINATION  
See Discrimination

RAILWAYS  
Part II-27  
Also see Customs, XI.A-12  
Transport and communica-  
tions, XI.C-1, XI.C-2, XI.C.3

REFUGEES  
V.1, V.2, V.5  
Also see Statelessness

REPRESENTATION OF STATES  
III.12

RICE  
See Asian Rice Trade Fund

RIGHT OF FLAG  
Part II-19

ROAD TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT  
See Transport and communications

RUBBER  
XIX.20

SALES OF GOODS  
See Trade, X.7, X.10

SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES  
II.1; Part II-29  
Also see Consular relations, III.8  
Diplomatic relations, III.5  
International Court of Justice  
Law of the sea, XXI.5  
Special missions, III.10

SLAVERY  
XVIII.1, XVIII.2, XVIII.3, XVIII.4  
Also see Traffic in persons

SOUTHEAST ASIA TIN RESEARCH AND  
DEVELOPMENT CENTRE  
XIX.17

SPECIALIZED AGENCIES  
See Privileges and immunities, III.2

SPECIAL MISSIONS  
III.9, III.10

STAMP LAWS  
See Negotiable instruments, Part II-12,  
13

STATE PROPERTY, ARCHIVES AND DEBTS  
III.13

STATELESSNESS  
U.3, U.4; Part II-2, 3  
Also see Refugees

STATISTICS  
XIII.1, XIII.2, XIII.3

SUCCESSION OF STATES  
See State property, III.13  
Law of treaties, XXIII.2

SUGAR  
XIX.6, XIX.10, XIX.18, XIX.27, 28

TAXATION  
See Fiscal matters

TEA  
See International Tea Promotion  
Association

TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
XXV.1; Part II-1  
Also see Asia-Pacific Institute for  
Broadcasting Development  
Asia-Pacific Telecommunity

TERRITORIAL SEA  
See Law of the sea, XXI.1

TIN  
XIX.13, XIX.23

Index

- Also see Southeast Asia Tin Research and Development Center
- TIR CARNET  
See Customs, XI.A-3, XI.A-13
- TORTURE  
IV.9
- TOURING  
See Customs, XI.A-1, XI.A-2, XI.A-3, XI.A-4, XI.A-6, XI.A-7, XI.A-8, XI.A-11
- TRADE  
X.1, X.5, X.7, X.10; Part II.24, 25  
Also see Customs  
International Trade Organization  
Negotiable instruments  
Transit X-3  
Transport and communications
- TRAFFIC IN PERSONS  
VII.1, VII.2, VII.3, VII.4, VII.5, VII.6, VII.7, VII.8, VII.9, VII.10, VII.11  
Also see Slavery
- TRANSIT  
X.3; Part II-16, 24  
Also see Right of flag
- TRANSPORT AND COMMUNICATIONS  
XI.B-1, XI.B-2, XI.B-3, XI.B-4, XI.B-5, XI.B-6, XI.B-7, XI.B-8, XI.B-9, XI.B-10, XI.B-11, XI.B-12, XI.B-13, XI.B-14, XI.B-15, XI.B-16, XI.B-17, XI.B-18, XI.B-19, XI.B-20, XI.B-21, XI.B-22, XI.B-23, XI.B-24, XI.B-25, XI.B-26, XI.B-27, XI.B-28, XI.B-29, XI.C-1, XI.C-2, XI.D-1, XI.D-2, XI.D-3, XI.E-1; Part II-30  
Also see Customs  
Education and culture  
Fiscal matters  
Navigation  
Railways  
Right of flag  
Trade
- TROPICAL TIMBER  
See International Tropical Timber Bureau
- UNITED NATIONS  
I.1, I.2, I.5  
Also see Privileges and immunities, III.1
- UNITED NATIONS INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION  
X.9
- UNIVERSITY FOR PEACE  
XIV.6
- VEHICLES  
See Customs, XI.A-1, XI.A-2, XI.A-3, XI.A-4, XI.A-8, XI.A-10  
Fiscal matters, Part II-21  
Transport and communications, XI.B-5, XI.B-6, XI.B-10, XI.B-12, XI.B-13, XI.B-16, XI.B-18, XI.B-21, XI.B-29
- VESSELS  
See Customs, XI.A-11  
Navigation, XII.2, XII.4, XII.5  
Part II-28  
Transport and communications XI.D-1
- WAR CRIMES  
See Crimes against humanity
- WATER TRANSPORT  
See Transport and communications, XI.D-1, XI.D-2, XI.D-3
- WEAPONS  
See Disarmament
- WHEAT  
XIX.28
- WHITE SLAVE TRAFFIC  
See Traffic in Persons
- WOMEN  
XVI.1, XVI.2, XVI.3  
Also see Discrimination, IV.8  
Traffic in persons
- WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION  
IX.1,



---

### كيفية الحصول على منشورات الأمم المتحدة

يمكن الحصول على منشورات الأمم المتحدة من المكتبات ودور التوزيع في جميع أنحاء العالم. استعلم عنها من المكتبة التي تتعامل معها أو اكتب إلى الأمم المتحدة، قسم البيع في نيويورك أو في جنيف.

### 如何购取联合国出版物

联合国出版物在全世界各地的书店和经售处均有发售。请向书店询问或写信到纽约或日内瓦的联合国销售组。

### HOW TO OBTAIN UNITED NATIONS PUBLICATIONS

United Nations publications may be obtained from bookstores and distributors throughout the world. Consult your bookstore or write to: United Nations, Sales Section, New York or Geneva.

### COMMENT SE PROCURER LES PUBLICATIONS DES NATIONS UNIES

Les publications des Nations Unies sont en vente dans les librairies et les agences dépositaires du monde entier. Informez-vous auprès de votre libraire ou adressez-vous à Nations Unies, Section des ventes, New York ou Genève.

### КАК ПОЛУЧИТЬ ИЗДАНИЯ ОРГАНИЗАЦИИ ОБЪЕДИНЕННЫХ НАЦИЙ

Издания Организации Объединенных Наций можно купить в книжных магазинах и агентствах во всех районах мира. Наводите справки об изданиях в вашем книжном магазине или пишите по адресу: Организация Объединенных Наций, Секция по продаже изданий, Нью-Йорк или Женева.

### COMO CONSEGUIR PUBLICACIONES DE LAS NACIONES UNIDAS

Las publicaciones de las Naciones Unidas están en venta en librerías y casas distribuidoras en todas partes del mundo. Consulte a su librero o diríjase a: Naciones Unidas, Sección de Ventas, Nueva York o Ginebra.

---